

THE ENERGY DENSITY OF BIHARMONIC QUADRATIC MAPS BETWEEN SPHERES

RAREȘ AMBROSIE

ABSTRACT. In this note we prove that a quadratic form from \mathbb{S}^m to \mathbb{S}^n is non-harmonic biharmonic if and only if it has constant energy density $(m+1)/2$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Biharmonic maps represent a natural generalization of the well known harmonic maps. Even if the most examples and classification results for biharmonic maps have been obtained in the submanifolds theory (see, for example, [6] and [11]), there are also other geometric contexts when the biharmonic maps have interesting applications (see, for example, [9], [10] and [13]). Recently, biharmonic homogeneous polynomial maps between spheres have been studied and, in particular, the biharmonic quadratic forms (see [1]).

It is well known that there are quadratic forms with non-constant energy density, but a quadratic form is harmonic if and only if its energy density is a certain constant (see Proposition (2.2)). Naturally, one could ask if the same type of property holds for biharmonic quadratic forms. In this note we give a positive answer to this question and we prove that a quadratic form is non-harmonic biharmonic if and only if its energy density is equal to $(m+1)/2$, where m is the dimension of the domain sphere (see Theorem (3.1)).

2. PRELIMINARY

As suggested by J. Eells and J.H. Sampson in [4, 5], or J. Eells and L. Lemaire in [3], biharmonic maps $\phi : M^m \rightarrow N^n$ between two Riemannian manifolds are critical points of the bienergy functional

$$E_2 : C^\infty(M, N) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad E_2(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M |\tau(\phi)|^2 v_g,$$

where M is compact and $\tau(\phi) = \text{trace} \nabla d\phi$ is the tension field associated to the smooth map ϕ . In 1986, G.Y. Jiang proved in [7, 8] that the biharmonic maps are characterized by the vanishing of their bitension field, where the associated bitension field is given by

$$\tau_2(\phi) = -\Delta \tau(\phi) - \text{trace} R^N(d\phi(\cdot), \tau(\phi)) d\phi(\cdot).$$

The equation $\tau_2(\phi) = 0$ is called the biharmonic equation and it is a fourth order semilinear elliptic equation.

In this note we use the following sign conventions for the rough Laplacian, that acts on the set $C(\phi^{-1}TN)$ of all sections of the pull-back bundle $\phi^{-1}TN$, and for the curvature tensor field

$$\Delta \sigma = -\text{trace}_g \nabla^2 \sigma, \quad R(X, Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C43, 35G20, 15A63.

Key words and phrases. Biharmonic maps, spherical maps, homogeneous polynomial maps.

Since any harmonic map is automatically biharmonic, we study the biharmonic maps which are not harmonic. Such maps are called proper biharmonic.

In this paper, we consider homogeneous polynomial maps of degree 2 between spheres, that are quadratic forms (we follow the notations and terminology in [1]). In the following, by $\mathbb{S}^m(r)$ we indicate the m -dimensional Euclidean sphere of radius r and, when $r = 1$, we write \mathbb{S}^m instead of $\mathbb{S}^m(1)$.

Consider the diagram below

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{R}^{m+1} & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \\ \uparrow i & \nearrow \Phi & \uparrow i \\ \mathbb{S}^m & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathbb{S}^n \end{array}$$

where $F : \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is a quadratic form. Then, F can be written in the form

$$F(\bar{x}) = (X^t A_1 X, \dots, X^t A_{n+1} X),$$

where the vector $\bar{x} = (x^1, x^2, \dots, x^{m+1})$ is identified with the matrix

$$X^t = [x^1 \ x^2 \ \dots \ x^{m+1}]$$

and A_1, \dots, A_{n+1} are square matrices of order $m+1$ such that if $|\bar{x}| = 1$ then $|F(\bar{x})| = 1$. In this case, we have $|F(\bar{x})|^2 = |\bar{x}|^4$. We note that, by a standard symmetrization process, we can always assume that A_i is symmetric, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n+1$.

We will always assume that φ is not a constant map, therefore there exists $i_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots, n+1\}$ such that A_{i_0} is not a scalar matrix, i.e. I_{m+1} multiplied by a non-zero real constant.

With $\overset{\circ}{d}$ and $\overset{\circ}{\Delta}$ we denote operators that act on \mathbb{R}^{m+1} . Since F is a homogeneous polynomial map of degree 2, we have at any $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \overset{\circ}{d}F(\bar{x}) &= \begin{bmatrix} 2X^t A_1 \\ 2X^t A_2 \\ \vdots \\ 2X^t A_{n+1} \end{bmatrix}, \\ \left| \overset{\circ}{d}F(\bar{x}) \right|^2 &= 4X^t (A_1^2 + A_2^2 + \dots + A_{n+1}^2) X = 4X^t S X, \\ \overset{\circ}{\Delta}F &= -2(\text{tr}A_1, \text{tr}A_2, \dots, \text{tr}A_{n+1}), \end{aligned}$$

where we denoted $S = A_1^2 + A_2^2 + \dots + A_{n+1}^2$.

We recall the following result (see, for example, [2]).

Proposition 2.1. *The map φ is harmonic if and only if $\overset{\circ}{\Delta}F = \bar{0}$.*

It is not difficult to deduce the next result, which relates the harmonicity of the map φ with its energy density $e(\varphi) = (1/2)|d\varphi|^2$.

Proposition 2.2. *The map φ is harmonic if and only if its energy density $e(\varphi) = m+1$.*

For the sake of completeness we are going to prove the last result.

Proof. First, we recall the following formulas for quadratic forms, which hold on \mathbb{S}^m :

$$(2.2) \quad \tau(\varphi) = -\overset{\circ}{\Delta}F + \left(\left| \overset{\circ}{d}F \right|^2 - 2(m+3) \right) \Phi$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad |d\varphi|^2 = \left| \overset{\circ}{d}F \right|^2 - 4.$$

For the direct implication, it is easy to see that it follows directly from Proposition (2.1) and formulas (2.2) and (2.3).

For the converse implication, Equations (2.2) and (2.3) give us

$$\tau(\varphi) = -\overset{\circ}{\Delta}F,$$

which further implies that

$$\left\langle \overset{\circ}{\Delta}F, \Phi \right\rangle = 0.$$

Thus, on \mathbb{S}^m we have

$$\text{tr}A_1 \cdot X^t A_1 X + \text{tr}A_2 \cdot X^t A_2 X + \dots + \text{tr}A_{n+1} \cdot X^t A_{n+1} X = 0,$$

which is equivalent to

$$X^t (\text{tr}A_1 \cdot A_1 + \text{tr}A_2 \cdot A_2 + \dots + \text{tr}A_{n+1} \cdot A_{n+1}) X = 0.$$

Since the above equation is a homogeneous one, we can extend it on \mathbb{R}^{m+1} and, because the matrix $A = \text{tr}A_1 \cdot A_1 + \text{tr}A_2 \cdot A_2 + \dots + \text{tr}A_{n+1} \cdot A_{n+1}$ is a symmetric matrix, we can conclude that $A = O_{m+1}$. We observe that

$$\text{tr}A = \frac{1}{4} \left| \overset{\circ}{\Delta}F \right|^2 = 0.$$

Thus, $\tau(\varphi) = 0$ and the proof is complete. \square

Remark 2.3. The condition $e(\varphi) = m + 1$ is equivalent to $S = ((m+3)/2) I_{m+1}$.

Next, we recall the following result.

Theorem 2.4. (see [1]) Let $F : \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a quadratic form given by

$$F(\bar{x}) = (X^t A_1 X, \dots, X^t A_{n+1} X),$$

such that if $|\bar{x}| = 1$ then $|F(\bar{x})| = 1$. We consider $\varphi : \mathbb{S}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$ defined by $\varphi(\bar{x}) = F(\bar{x})$ and $\Phi = i \circ \varphi : \mathbb{S}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. If we denote $S = A_1^2 + A_2^2 + \dots + A_{n+1}^2$ then, at a point $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{S}^m$, the bitension field of φ has the following expression

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \tau_2(\varphi)_{\bar{x}} = & -4(m+5 - 4X^t S X) (\text{tr}A_1, \text{tr}A_2, \dots, \text{tr}A_{n+1}) \\ & + 4 \left((m+3)(m+5) - 6(m+5)X^t S X + 8(X^t S X)^2 \right) \Phi(\bar{x}) \\ & + 32(X^t A_1 S X, \dots, X^t A_{n+1} S X). \end{aligned}$$

We note that, the condition $S = \alpha I_{m+1}$, for some real constant $\alpha > 1$, is equivalent to $|d\varphi|^2$ is constant. More precisely, $|d\varphi|^2 = 4(\alpha - 1)$. In this case the bitension field takes the form

$$\tau_2(\varphi)_{\bar{x}} = -8 \left(\alpha - \frac{m+5}{4} \right) \overset{\circ}{\Delta}F + 32 \left(\alpha - \frac{m+5}{4} \right) \left(\alpha - \frac{m+3}{2} \right) \Phi(\bar{x}).$$

Corollary 2.5. If the quadratic form φ has constant energy density, then φ is proper biharmonic if and only if $e(\varphi) = (m+1)/2$.

The following relations will be useful in our proof. According to [12], by using the standard coordinates, any quadratic form $F : \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ can be written as

$$F(\bar{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \bar{a}_i (x^i)^2 + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m+1} \bar{a}_{ij} x^i x^j,$$

where $\bar{a}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, for $i = 1, \dots, m+1$, and $\bar{a}_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, for $1 \leq i < j \leq m+1$. To simplify the notation, we set $\bar{a}_{ij} = \bar{a}_{ji}$, in order to have defined the vectors \bar{a}_{ij} for all $1 \leq i, j \leq m+1$. The condition that F takes \mathbb{S}^m into \mathbb{S}^n is equivalent to the following (see [12] and [14]):

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} |\bar{a}_i| &= 1, & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq m+1, \\ \langle \bar{a}_i, \bar{a}_{ij} \rangle &= 0, & \text{for } i \neq j, \\ |\bar{a}_{ij}|^2 + 2\langle \bar{a}_i, \bar{a}_j \rangle &= 2, & \text{for } i \neq j, \\ \langle \bar{a}_i, \bar{a}_{jk} \rangle + \langle \bar{a}_{ij}, \bar{a}_{ik} \rangle &= 0, & \text{for } i, j, k \text{ distinct}, \\ \langle \bar{a}_{ij}, \bar{a}_{kl} \rangle + \langle \bar{a}_{ik}, \bar{a}_{jl} \rangle + \langle \bar{a}_{il}, \bar{a}_{jk} \rangle &= 0, & \text{for } i, j, k, l \text{ distinct}. \end{aligned}$$

We note that in the following proof the coefficients lists computations have been done using *Mathematica*[®].

3. ENERGY DENSITY OF BIHARMONIC QUADRATIC FORMS

In this section we prove the main result of this paper.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\varphi : \mathbb{S}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$ be a quadratic form. Then φ is proper-biharmonic if and only if its energy density $e(\varphi)$ is constant and equal to $(m+1)/2$.*

Proof. For the direct implication, the idea is to use Formula (2.4) and the homogenization process.

The energy density and the notions of harmonic and biharmonic maps are invariant under the action of isometries on domain and/or codomain. Therefore, we are allowed to perform linear orthogonal transformation on \mathbb{R}^{m+1} and/or \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . The strategy of the proof is to compute $\tau_2(\varphi)$, then we act with an orthogonal transformations on \mathbb{R}^{m+1} and we get a simplified form for $\tau_2(\varphi)$. We transform the non-homogeneous polynomial equation $\tau_2(\varphi) = \bar{0}$ on \mathbb{S}^m into a homogeneous polynomial equation of degree 6 on \mathbb{R}^{m+1} , and thus, for each component of this equation, all coefficients have to be 0.

We suppose from the beginning that φ is not harmonic, thus

$$\overset{\circ}{\Delta} F \neq \bar{0} \quad \text{and} \quad S \neq \frac{m+3}{2} I_{m+1}.$$

The matrix S defines a quadratic map. We perform an orthogonal change of the domain variables x^1, x^2, \dots, x^{m+1} which brings S in diagonal form. Thus, we write S as

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} s_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & s_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & s_{m+1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

We write the matrices A_i as

$$A_i = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 2a_1^i & a_{12}^i & \cdots & a_{1(m+1)}^i \\ a_{12}^i & 2a_2^i & \cdots & a_{2(m+1)}^i \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{1(m+1)}^i & a_{2(m+1)}^i & \cdots & 2a_{m+1}^i \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n+1.$$

The vectors \bar{a}_i and \bar{a}_{ij} satisfy System (2.5). By direct computation of S and using the first relation of System (2.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} s_k &= |\bar{a}_k|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^{m+1} |\bar{a}_{ik}|^2 \\ (3.1) \quad &= 1 + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^{m+1} |\bar{a}_{ik}|^2. \end{aligned}$$

We transform the non-homogeneous polynomial map $\tau_2(\varphi)$ from Equation (2.4) into a homogeneous polynomial map of degree 6 because it is well known that if a homogeneous polynomial is vanishing on the sphere \mathbb{S}^m , then it is vanishing on \mathbb{R}^{m+1} . Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (3.2) \quad &-4|\bar{x}|^4((m+5)|\bar{x}|^2 - 4X^t SX) (\text{tr}A_1, \text{tr}A_2, \dots, \text{tr}A_{n+1}) \\ &+ 4 \left((m+3)(m+5)|\bar{x}|^4 - 6(m+5)|\bar{x}|^2 X^t SX + 8(X^t SX)^2 \right) F(\bar{x}) \\ &+ 32|\bar{x}|^4 (X^t A_1 SX, \dots, X^t A_{n+1} SX) = \bar{0}, \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \end{aligned}$$

We analyse the coefficient list for each component of the above homogeneous polynomial equation. For any $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n+1\}$ we notice that the coefficient of $(x^k)^6$, which has to vanish, gives

$$(3.3) \quad 4(5+m-4s_k) (a_k^i (3+m-2s_k) - \text{tr}A_i) = 0, \quad \forall k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m+1\},$$

Thus, for any k arbitrarily fixed, we have either

$$s_k = \frac{m+5}{4},$$

or

$$a_k^i (3+m-2s_k) - \text{tr}A_i = 0, \quad \forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n+1\}.$$

The last relations can be rewritten as

$$(3.4) \quad \bar{a}_k (3+m-2s_k) = -\frac{1}{2} \overset{\circ}{\Delta} F,$$

and further, taking in consideration the first relation in Equation (2.5), we obtain

$$(3.5) \quad |3+m-2s_k| = \frac{1}{2} \left| \overset{\circ}{\Delta} F \right|.$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that $s_1 = (m+5)/4$. By looking at the i -th component of Equation (3.2), from the coefficients of $(x^1)^5 x^2$, $(x^1)^5 x^3$, \dots , $(x^1)^5 x^{m+1}$ we get the following system of equations, for any $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n+1\}$,

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{cases} -4a_{12}^i (5+m-4s_2) = 0, \\ -4a_{13}^i (5+m-4s_3) = 0, \\ \dots \\ -4a_{1(m+1)}^i (5+m-4s_{m+1}) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Since s_1 is greater than 1, equation (3.1) gives us

$$|\bar{a}_{12}| + |\bar{a}_{13}| + \dots + |\bar{a}_{1(m+1)}| > 0.$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that $a_{12}^{i_0} \neq 0$. Thus, from Equation (3.6) for $i = i_0$ we obtain $s_2 = (m+5)/4$. By looking at the i_0 -th component of Equation (3.2), from the coefficients of $(x^1)^3 x^2 (x^3)^2$, $(x^1)^3 x^2 (x^4)^2$, \dots , $(x^1)^3 x^2 (x^{m+1})^2$ we get

$$\begin{cases} 2a_{12}^{i_0}(5+m)(5+m-4s_3) = 0, \\ 2a_{12}^{i_0}(5+m)(5+m-4s_4) = 0, \\ \dots \\ 2a_{12}^{i_0}(5+m)(5+m-4s_{m+1}) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then,

$$s_3 = s_4 = \dots = s_{m+1} = \frac{m+5}{4}.$$

Thus $S = ((m+5)/4)I_{m+1}$.

We conclude that if there is an eigenvalue of S equal to $(m+5)/4$, then all of them are equal to $(m+5)/4$. Thus, $S = ((m+5)/4)I_{m+1}$ and using Equations (2.1) and (2.3) we get that φ has constant energy density $e(\varphi) = (m+1)/2$.

Now, we assume that $s_k \neq (m+5)/4$, for any $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m+1\}$. We have that $\overset{\circ}{\Delta}F \neq \bar{0}$, otherwise, according to Proposition (2.1) φ would be harmonic. From Equations (3.4) it follows that $3+m-2s_k \neq 0$ for any $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m+1\}$ and therefore

$$(3.7) \quad \bar{a}_k = \frac{-1}{2(3+m-2s_k)} \overset{\circ}{\Delta}F, \quad k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m+1\}.$$

From Equation (3.7) it follows that $\bar{a}_k \parallel \bar{a}_l$, for any $k, l \in \{1, 2, \dots, m+1\}$. Since $|\bar{a}_k| = 1$, for any $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m+1\}$, we distinguish two cases:

Case 1. For any $k, l \in \{1, 2, \dots, m+1\}$, $\bar{a}_k = \bar{a}_l$. From Equations (3.4) we conclude that

$$s_1 = s_2 = \dots = s_{m+1} = \alpha,$$

and thus S is a scalar matrix, i.e. $S = \alpha I_{m+1}$, which implies that the map φ has constant energy density. Since $\alpha \neq (m+5)/4$, using Corollary (2.5) we conclude the map φ cannot be proper biharmonic.

Case 2. There exists $k \neq l$ such that $\bar{a}_k \neq \bar{a}_l$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\bar{a}_1 = -\bar{a}_2$. From Equation (3.7) we get

$$(3.8) \quad s_2 = 3 + m - s_1$$

Also, from the third relation of System (2.5) we get

$$|\bar{a}_{12}|^2 = 2 - 2\langle \bar{a}_1, \bar{a}_2 \rangle = 4.$$

Thus, there exists i_0 such that $a_{12}^{i_0} \neq 0$. From the coefficients of $(x^1)^5 x^2$ in the i_0 -th component of Equation (3.2) we get

$$(3.9) \quad 4a_{12}^{i_0}(15+m^2+m(8-6s_1)-26s_1+8s_1^2+4s_2) = 0.$$

Using Equation (3.8) we substitute s_2 in Equation (3.9) and we obtain the equation

$$8s_1^2 - 6s_1(5+m) + m^2 + 12m + 27 = 0,$$

which has the solutions

$$\left\{ \frac{m+3}{2}, \frac{m+9}{4} \right\}.$$

Since $3 + m - 2s_k \neq 0$ for any $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m + 1\}$, it follows that $s_1 = (m + 9)/4$, the coefficient of $(x^1)^3 (x^2)^3$ becomes $-4a_{12}^{i_0}(-3 + m)$ which is vanishing if and only if $m = 3$. But, then $s_1 = 3$ which is in contradiction with $3 + m - 2s_1 \neq 0$. Thus, *Case 2* leads only to contradictions.

The converse implication follows immediately from Corollary (2.5) and the proof is complete. \square

Remark 3.2. We note that the direct implication of Proposition (2.1) can be proved in the same manner as the direct implication of Theorem (3.1) using Equation (2.2) and the homogenization process.

Remark 3.3. There exists quadratic forms with constant energy density which are neither biharmonic nor harmonic. We give the following example from [1]. Let $F_\lambda : \mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^6$ given by

$$F_\lambda(\bar{z}, \bar{w}) = \left(|\bar{z}|^2 + \lambda|\bar{w}|^2, \sqrt{2(1 - \lambda)}P(\bar{z}, \bar{w}), \sqrt{1 - \lambda^2}|\bar{w}|^2 \right),$$

where $\lambda \in [0, 1)$ and $P : \mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$ is the orthogonal multiplication of quaternions. By direct computations, we can see that

$$S = A_1^2 + A_2^2 + A_3^2 + A_4^2 + A_5^2 + A_6^2 + A_7^2 + A_8^2 = (3 - 2\lambda)I_8.$$

Thus, the quadratic form $\varphi_\lambda : \mathbb{S}^7 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^5$, obtained from the restriction of F_λ has constant energy density $e(\varphi_\lambda) = 4(1 - \lambda)$. If $\lambda = 0$, the map φ_0 is proper biharmonic, but if $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, the map φ_λ is neither biharmonic nor harmonic.

Acknowledgments. Thanks are due to Cezar Oniciuc and Ye-Lin Ou for useful comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Ambrosie, C. Oniciuc, Y.-L. Ou, *Biharmonic homogeneous polynomial maps between spheres*, arxiv: 2205.13175.
- [2] P. Baird, J.C. Wood, *Harmonic Morphisms Between Riemannian Manifolds*, London Mathematical Society Monographs (N.S.), 29, Oxford University Press, Oxford, (2003).
- [3] J. Eells, L. Lemaire, *Selected Topics in Harmonic Maps*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, American Mathematical Society, vol.50 (1983), Providence, RI,v+85 pp.
- [4] J. Eells, J.H. Sampson, *Energie et deformations en geometrie differentielle*, Ann. Inst. Fourier 14 (1964), fasc. 1, 61–69.
- [5] J. Eells, J.H. Sampson, *Variational Theory in Fibre Bundles*, Proc. U.S.-Japan Seminar in Differential Geometry (1965), pp.22–33.
- [6] D. Fetcu, C. Oniciuc, *Biharmonic and biconservative hypersurfaces in space forms*, Contemp. Math., vol. 777 (2022), 65–90.
- [7] G.Y. Jiang, *2-harmonic isometric immersions between Riemannian manifolds*, Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A 7 (1986), 130–144.
- [8] G.Y. Jiang, *2-harmonic maps and their first and second variational formulas*, Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A7(4) (1986), 389–402.
- [9] C. Oniciuc, *New examples of biharmonic maps in spheres*, Colloq. Math. 97 (2003), no. 1, 131–139.
- [10] Y.-L. Ou, *Bi-eigenmaps and biharmonic submanifolds in a sphere*, J. Geom. Phys. 180 (2022), Paper No. 104621, 5.
- [11] Y.-L. Ou, B.-Y. Chen, *Biharmonic Submanifolds And Biharmonic Maps In Riemannian Geometry*, World Scientific, Hackensack, N. J., (2020).
- [12] G. Toth, *Quadratic Eigenmaps between Spheres*, Geometriae Dedicata, 56 (1995), 35–52.
- [13] Z.-P. Wang, Y.-L. Ou, H.-C. Yang, *Biharmonic maps from a 2-sphere*, J. Geom. Phys. 77 (2014), 86–96.
- [14] R. Wood, *Polynomial Maps from Spheres to Spheres*, Invent. Math. 5 (1968), 163–168.

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, AL. I. CUZA UNIVERSITY OF IASI, BLVD. CAROL I, 11, 700506
IASI, ROMANIA

Email address: rares_ambrosie@yahoo.com