

THE FAMILIES CLIFFORD INDEX AND DIFFERENTIAL KO-THEORY

DANIEL BERWICK-EVANS

ABSTRACT. Extending ideas of Atiyah–Bott–Shapiro and Quillen, we construct a model for differential KO-theory whose cocycles are families of Clifford modules with superconnection. The model is built to accommodate an analytic pushforward for bundles of spin manifolds, affording a differential refinement of Atiyah and Singer’s families index.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. The main idea from the families index	3
3. Clifford modules	5
4. The Atiyah–Bott–Shapiro construction and the spectrum KO	11
5. KO-classes from Cl_n -bundles	17
6. The Pontryagin class of a Cl_n -bundle as a Čech–de Rham cocycle	20
7. Differential cocycles	26
8. The families differential index	31
References	36

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper gives a model for differential KO-theory in degree $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ that generalizes a generators and relations description of \widehat{K}^0 , differential complex K-theory in degree 0.

For a smooth manifold M , generators for $\widehat{K}^0(M)$ are triples (V, \mathbb{A}, ϕ) where $V \rightarrow M$ is a \mathbb{C} -vector bundle with hermitian metric, \mathbb{A} is a hermitian superconnection, and ϕ is an odd differential form on M . These data are subject to an equivalence relation involving a Chern–Simons form; see §7.1 for details. At first pass, generators for $\widehat{KO}^n(M)$ replace \mathbb{C} by the real Clifford algebra Cl_n : consider (V, \mathbb{A}, ϕ) where V is a real, metrized vector bundle with self-adjoint Cl_n -action, \mathbb{A} is a Cl_n -linear metric-preserving connection on V , and ϕ is a differential form in degrees $n - 1 \pmod 4$. The appropriate equivalence relation on these data generalizes the Atiyah–Bott–Shapiro equivalence relation on Clifford modules [ABS64], see also Donovan–Karoubi [DK70]. Roughly, triples (V, \mathbb{A}, ϕ) and (V', \mathbb{A}', ϕ') are equivalent when there is an inclusion $g: V \hookrightarrow V'$ of metrized vector bundles together with the data of a $\text{Cl}_n \otimes \text{Cl}_{-1}$ -action on the orthogonal complement $V^\perp \subset V'$, plus a condition on a Chern–Simons form. The main subtlety is that this equivalence relation needs to be implemented locally on M , meaning an element of $\widehat{KO}^n(M)$ is given by an open cover $\{U_\alpha\}$ with $(V_\alpha, \mathbb{A}_\alpha, \phi_\alpha)$ over each U_α and equivalences $g_{\alpha\beta}$ for each $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$ satisfying a cocycle condition that refines the one in the Čech–de Rham complex; §6 and §7 for details.

Definition 1.1 (Sketch of Definition 7.6). Let M be a smooth manifold. Consider the free abelian group on equivalence classes of tuples $\{V_\alpha \rightarrow U_\alpha, \mathbb{A}_\alpha, \phi_\alpha, g_{\alpha\beta}\}$, modulo concordances with exact Chern–Simons form. Define $\widehat{KO}^n(M)$ as the quotient gotten from identifying the direct sum of bundles with addition in $\widehat{KO}^n(M)$; see (87).

Theorem 1.2. The graded ring $\widehat{KO}^\bullet(M)$ is naturally isomorphic to the differential KO-theory of M . When $M = \text{pt}$, this description refines the Atiyah–Bott–Shapiro isomorphism that sends a Clifford module to a class in $\text{KO}^\bullet(\text{pt})$.

We emphasize that the real vector bundles $V_\alpha \rightarrow U_\alpha$ in Definition 1.1 are finite rank. By contrast, Atiyah and Singer’s construction of (non-differential) KO-theory comes from families of Cl_n -linear Fredholm operators acting on infinite-rank bundles [AS69]. As we explain below, an index bundle construction permits a comparison between Atiyah and Singer’s description of $\text{KO}^n(M)$ and the one from Theorem 1.2; see §4.2–§4.5 and Proposition 5.12. As outlined in §2, Definition 1.1 emerges naturally when considering a differential refinement of the index bundle for a family of Clifford linear Dirac operators. The kernel of such a family is usually not a vector bundle (it need not have locally constant rank), which leads to the subtle gluing data for the index bundle and its differential refinement. Definition 1.1 is purpose-built to accommodate this information, providing a natural home for the analytic index in differential KO-theory.

Theorem 1.3. Let $\pi: X \rightarrow B$ be a proper family of n -dimensional Riemannian spin manifolds and $V \rightarrow X$ be a metrized real vector bundle with compatible connection ∇ . The families Clifford index determines a degree $-n$ differential cocycle $\widehat{\pi}_1(V, \nabla)$ on B whose associated class $[\widehat{\pi}_1(V, \nabla)] \in \widehat{\text{KO}}^{-n}(B)$ refines the analytic pushforward in KO-theory.

1.1. Comparison with other work. In contrast to differential K-theory for complex bundles, the literature on differential KO-theory is quite sparse. The most thorough treatment to date is by Grady and Sati [GS21]. They work with Hopkins and Singer’s model for differential cohomology [HS05] phrased in terms of sheaves of spectra [BNM16]. When applied to KO, this general construction elucidates structural aspects like differential twists and communicates with powerful homotopical tools, e.g., the differential Atiyah–Hirzebruch spectral sequence.

The Hopkins–Singer differential refinement of complex K-theory is frequently augmented by geometrically-motivated cocycle models. These more tailored presentations of \widehat{K} are crucial in certain constructions such as the differential analytic index in [GL15] and differential index theorem in [FL10]. In turn, these constructions allow one to refine and enhance classical results, e.g., [Lot94, Fre01, Bun09, Bun11]. The analog of these geometric models and their ensuing applications remain under-developed in differential KO-theory. Freed sketches one candidate construction [Fre00, page 34], and further suggestions are given by Bunke and Schick [BS12, §4.9]; however, these ideas were never fleshed out. More recently, Gomi and Yamashita [GY22] developed a model as an enhancement of Karoubi’s KO-theory [Kar78], with the goal of studying differential refinements of invariants of condensed matter systems [FH21].

The cocycle model for differential KO constructed in this paper is motivated by the geometry of index bundles with intended applications in real differential index theory. At the heart of this model is the definition of a Cl_n -bundle (see Definition 5.2), which arises as a finite-dimensional families index of Cl_n -linear Dirac operators, see §2.2. This geometry resonates with Furuta’s vectorial bundles [Fur02, Gom10] and Henrique’s quasi-bundles [Hen09], though below we emphasize the connection with the Atiyah–Bott–Shapiro construction [ABS64]. In future work we plan to construct a differential topological index via a realization of the Atiyah–Bott–Shapiro Thom class in the framework of Theorem 1.2.

Replacing the real Clifford algebras Cl_n with complex Clifford algebras Cl_n leads to analogs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 for differential complex K-theory. This makes contact with previous results. For example, when $n = 0$ and M is compact, Theorem 1.2 generalizes the generators and relations presentation of $\widehat{K}^0(M)$ in terms of finite-rank vector bundles with connection and an odd degree differential form; see Remark 7.7. One also finds overlap with models of a more analytic flavor: applying the index bundle construction (see §2) to the Hilbert bundle model for differential K-theory from [GL15] leads to a version of Definition 1.1 with \mathbb{C} -coefficients, where the odd degree groups in [GL15, §6] can be understood in terms of Cl_1 -linear superconnections. Finally, Theorem 1.3 (both in the statement and proof) generalizes Freed and Lott’s construction of the differential analytic index for families of spin^c-manifolds [FL10].

Definition 1.1 is also designed to receive a cocycle map from a class of 1|1-dimensional Euclidean field theories in the style of Stolz and Teichner [ST04, ST11]. A fundamental assertion of the Stolz–Teichner program is that quantization of such field theories should correspond to the analytic pushforward in KO-theory. It is conjectured that a 2|1-dimensional generalization supplies an analytic pushforward in the cohomology theory of topological modular forms. Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 give a context in which one can start to make some of these ideas precise, see [BE23a, BE23b, BE23c]. Owing to its independent interest in geometry, the present paper is written to be independent from this discussion of field theories.

1.2. Acknowledgements. I thank Theo Johnson-Freyd for patiently (and repeatedly) entertaining my questions about superalgebra signs rules. I also thank Yigal Kamel his careful reading and feedback on previous drafts. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation under grant DMS-2205835.

2. THE MAIN IDEA FROM THE FAMILIES INDEX

Definition 1.1 emerges naturally when generalizing Freed and Lott’s families differential index [FL10, §3] to families of Clifford linear Dirac operators. In this section, we review versions of the families index and describe the relationship with our definitions and results.

2.1. The families index in K-theory. Let X be a compact even-dimensional spin^c manifold. The index of the Dirac operator \not{D} on X is

$$(1) \quad \text{Ind}(\not{D}) = [\ker(\not{D})_{\text{ev}}] - [\ker(\not{D})_{\text{odd}}] \in K(\text{pt}) \simeq \mathbb{Z},$$

where we consider $\ker(\not{D})$ as a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded \mathbb{C} -vector space with even and odd subspaces $\ker(\not{D})_{\text{ev}}$ and $\ker(\not{D})_{\text{odd}}$, respectively. A family of Riemannian spin^c manifolds $\pi: X \rightarrow B$ with compact even-dimensional fibers determines a family of operators \not{D} on B . The fiber at $b \in B$ is a Dirac operator \not{D}_b on the spin^c manifold $\pi^{-1}(\{b\}) = X_b$. One would like to construct a class in $K(B)$ from this data. Taking the fiberwise index yields a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded vector space $\ker(\not{D}_b)$ for each $b \in B$. However, these typically fail to assemble into a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded vector bundle, e.g., the dimension of the kernel need not be locally constant. Hence, this naive attempt at a families index does not determine a class in $K(B)$ generalizing (1).

There are two well-known ways to remedy this. The first is analytic: the space of Fredholm operators represents the functor $K(-)$, e.g., see [LM94, §III.8]. A family of spin^c manifolds $\pi: X \rightarrow B$ determines a B -family of Dirac operators, which in turn provides a map from B into the space of Fredholm operators. The homotopy class of this map defines the *families analytic index* $\text{Ind}(\not{D}) \in K(B)$. The second approach is geometric: consider the open cover $\{U_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}}$ of B defined as

$$(2) \quad U_\lambda := \{b \in B \mid \lambda \notin \text{Spec}(\not{D}_b^2)\},$$

where $\text{Spec}(\not{D}_b^2)$ denotes the spectrum of the self-adjoint, nonnegative Dirac Laplacian \not{D}_b^2 . For $b \in U_\lambda$, let $\mathcal{H}_b^{<\lambda}$ denote the sum of eigenspaces of \not{D}_b^2 with eigenvalues less than λ . Ellipticity of \not{D}_b^2 implies that the $\mathcal{H}_b^{<\lambda}$ fit together into a finite rank, smooth, $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded vector bundle $\mathcal{H}^{<\lambda} \rightarrow U_\lambda$. Hence we obtain a K-theory class $[\mathcal{H}^{<\lambda}] = [\mathcal{H}_{\text{ev}}^{<\lambda}] - [\mathcal{H}_{\text{odd}}^{<\lambda}] \in K(U_\lambda)$. On overlaps $U_\lambda \cap U_\mu$ with $\lambda < \mu$, there is an evident inclusion of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded vector bundles,

$$(3) \quad g_{\lambda\mu}: \mathcal{H}^{<\lambda} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{<\mu}.$$

The restriction of \not{D} to the orthogonal complement of the inclusion (3) determines an invertible odd endomorphism $e_{\lambda\mu}$ that witnesses an equality of K-theory classes $[\mathcal{H}^{<\lambda}] = [\mathcal{H}^{<\mu}] \in K(U_\lambda \cap U_\mu)$, see (106). In fact, the inclusions (3) and odd isomorphisms $e_{\lambda\mu}$ satisfy a cocycle condition on $U_\lambda \cap U_\mu \cap U_\nu$. This constructs a well-defined class

$$(4) \quad \text{Ind}(\not{D}) := [\{ \mathcal{H}^{<\lambda} \rightarrow U_\lambda, g_{\lambda\mu}, e_{\lambda\mu} \}] \in K(B)$$

equal to the families analytic index of $\pi: X \rightarrow B$; see [Fre87, §7.2], [BGV92, §9.5].

The geometric description (4) of the index also leads to a natural refinement in the differential K-theory of B that uses the *Bismut superconnection*, denoted \mathbb{B} and recalled in (107) below. The restriction of the degree 1 part of \mathbb{B} to $\mathcal{H}^{<\lambda}$ determines ordinary connections $\nabla^{<\lambda}$ on each finite-rank bundle $\mathcal{H}^{<\lambda} \subset \mathcal{H}|_{U_\lambda}$ with Chern forms

$$(5) \quad \text{Ch}(\nabla^{<\lambda}) \in \Omega^{\text{even}}(U_\lambda; \mathbb{R}[u^{\pm 1}]), \quad |u| = -2.$$

Properties of the Bismut superconnection further determine a unique extension of the data (5) to a cocycle in the Čech–de Rham complex of M relative to the open cover (2) (see Proposition 6.15),

$$(6) \quad \text{Ch}(\mathbb{B}, \{U_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}}) \in \Omega^\bullet(U_*; \mathbb{R}[u^{\pm 1}]), \quad (d + \delta)\text{Ch}(\mathbb{B}, \{U_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}}) = 0.$$

By construction, this Čech–de Rham cocycle represents the Chern character of the index bundle. By the Riemann–Roch theorem, another representative is the integral along the fibers

$$(7) \quad \int_{X/B} \text{Ch}(\nabla^V) \wedge \text{Todd}(\nabla^{X/B}) \in \Omega_{\text{cl}}^\bullet(B; \mathbb{R}[\alpha, \alpha^{-1}])$$

of the Chern form of V modified by the $\text{Todd}(\nabla^{X/B})$, the Todd form of the spin^c -family.

The families differential index comes from specifying a coboundary in the Čech–de Rham complex that mediates between the cocycles (6) and (7). Adapting methods of Bismut [Bis86], Bismut–Cheeger [BC89] and Freed–Lott [FL10, §3], there are forms $\eta_\lambda \in \Omega^{\text{odd}}(U_\lambda, \mathbb{R}[u, u^{-1}])$ satisfying

$$(8) \quad \int_{X/B} \text{Ch}(\nabla^V) \wedge \text{Todd}(X/B) - \text{Ch}(\mathbb{B}, \{U_\lambda\}) = (d + \delta)\{\eta_\lambda\} \in \Omega^\bullet(U_*; \mathbb{R}[u, u^{-1}]),$$

where d is the de Rham differential and δ is the Čech differential, i.e., the η_λ determine the desired coboundary in the Čech–de Rham complex. This determines a differential K-cocycle

$$(9) \quad \widehat{\text{Ind}}(\mathcal{D}) = [\{(\mathcal{H}^{<\lambda} \rightarrow U_\lambda, \nabla^{<\lambda}, \eta_\lambda), (g_{\lambda\mu}, e_{\lambda\mu})\}] \in \widehat{\text{K}}(B)$$

representing the differential index.

2.2. The families index in KO-theory. Now consider the Clifford linear Dirac operator \mathcal{D} on an n -dimensional Riemannian spin manifold. The kernel of \mathcal{D} is a finite-rank Clifford module [LM94, II.7]. The equivalence class of this module under the Atiyah–Bott–Shapiro map gives a class in $\text{KO}^{-n}(\text{pt})$ [ABS64]

$$(10) \quad \text{Ind}(\mathcal{D}) := [\ker(\mathcal{D})] \in \text{KO}^{-n}(\text{pt}).$$

This is the *Clifford index* of \mathcal{D} . We refer to §8.1 for further details on the Clifford linear Dirac operator and §4.1 for a review of the Atiyah–Bott–Shapiro construction.

When generalizing (10) to families of n -dimensional spin manifolds $\pi: X \rightarrow B$, the kernels of the fiberwise Clifford linear Dirac operators fail to determine a smooth bundle of Clifford-modules for the same reasons as in the spin^c case. One again has an analytic remedy: the space Fred_n of Clifford linear Fredholm operators represents the functor $\text{KO}^{-n}(-)$ [AS69], [LM94, III.10]. A family of Clifford linear Dirac operators associated to a bundle of spin manifolds $\pi: X \rightarrow B$ determines a map $B \rightarrow \text{Fred}_n$ whose homotopy class defines the *families analytic index*

$$(11) \quad \text{Ind}(\mathcal{D}) \in [B, \text{Fred}_n] \simeq \text{KO}^{-n}(B).$$

The main goal of this paper is to develop the complementary geometric approach to the families Clifford index, in parallel to the index bundle for the spin^c -Dirac operator. In the remainder of this section we sketch the main ideas.

Remark 2.1. There are several sign conventions to fix when working with Clifford linear Dirac operators. Our conventions (explained in Remarks 3.7 and 4.1) lead to the kernel of the Clifford linear Dirac operator being a (left) Cl_{-n} -module.

For a family \mathcal{D} of Cl_{-n} -linear Dirac operators, define an open cover (2) as before: consider the subspace where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ is not an eigenvalue of \mathcal{D}^2 . Taking the direct sum of eigenspaces with eigenvalues $< \lambda$ yields finite-rank bundles of Clifford modules $\mathcal{H}^{<\lambda} \rightarrow U_\lambda$ over each component. Smoothness of this bundle follows from ellipticity of the Dirac Laplacian \mathcal{D}^2 . On an overlap $U_\lambda \cap U_\mu$, the restriction of \mathcal{D} to the orthogonal complement to the inclusion of bundles (3) determines an invertible odd endomorphism that commutes with the Cl_{-n} -action, see (106). This odd endomorphism generates a Cl_{-1} -action, leading to a $\text{Cl}_{-n} \otimes \text{Cl}_{-1} \simeq \text{Cl}_{-n-1}$ -action on the orthogonal complement extending the prior Cl_{-n} -action. The existence of such an extension implies that the bundles of Clifford modules over $U_\lambda \cap U_\mu$ represent the same class in KO-theory. In fact, the analogous information (4) in the Clifford linear case determines a class in $\text{KO}^{-n}(B)$.

To summarize, the index bundle for a family of Cl_{-n} -linear Dirac operators is data:

- (i) an open cover with finite-rank Cl_{-n} -module bundles $\mathcal{H}^{<\lambda} \rightarrow U_\lambda$;
- (ii) for each intersection $U_\lambda \cap U_\mu$, inclusions (3) of Cl_{-n} -modules together with the data of a Cl_{-n-1} -action on the orthogonal complement extending the Cl_{-n} -action.

These data satisfy a cocycle condition. This essentially recovers Definition 5.2 of a Cl_{-n} -bundle. In turn, Cl_{-n} -bundles can be used to construct $\text{KO}^{-n}(M)$ (Proposition 5.12), yielding a version of Theorem 1.2 in (non-differential) KO-theory.

A differential refinement comes from generalizing the differential index (9) in complex K-theory. To start, the Bismut superconnection \mathbb{B} defined in (107) for a family of Clifford linear Dirac operators is automatically Cl_{-n} -linear. It restricts to an ordinary Cl_{-n} -linear connection $\nabla^{<\lambda}$ on each $\mathcal{H}^{<\lambda}$ with Chern forms analogous to (5) comprising part of the data of a Čech–de Rham cocycle (6) representing the Pontryagin character of the index bundle. Replacing the Todd form in (7) by the \hat{A} -form, the remaining data of the differential index comes from η_λ -forms determining a Čech–de Rham coboundary as in (8). These are constructed from Clifford linear generalizations of the standard constructions. With these definitions in hand, the differential KO-index is defined essentially the same as in (9),

$$(12) \quad \widehat{\text{Ind}}(\mathcal{D}) = [\{(\mathcal{H}^{<\lambda} \rightarrow U_\lambda, \nabla^{<\lambda}, \eta_\lambda), (g_{\lambda\mu}, e_{\lambda\mu})\}] \in \widehat{\text{KO}}^{-n}(B)$$

where $\mathcal{H}^{<\lambda} \rightarrow U_\lambda$ is a bundle of Cl_{-n} -modules, $g_{\lambda\mu}$ is an inclusion of Clifford module bundles, $e_{\lambda\mu}$ extends a Cl_{-n} -action on the orthogonal complement of the inclusion to a Cl_{-n-1} -action, $\nabla^{<\lambda}$ is a Clifford linear connection on $\mathcal{H}^{<\lambda}$, and the forms $\{\eta_\lambda\}$ determine a Čech–de Rham coboundary.

Definition 7.3 of a differential cocycle is designed so that the differential index (12) is an immediate example. Constructing a map from data like (12) to differential KO-theory basically follows the same route as in complex K-theory. The main work in Theorem 1.2 is to show that this map is surjective; this comes down to a computation (Proposition 7.23). Theorem 1.3 then follows from the differential index construction sketched above.

3. CLIFFORD MODULES

In this section we review the theory of Clifford modules. Our focus is on real Clifford algebras and real Clifford modules, with a few pieces of the theory over \mathbb{C} . For definitions and constructions that apply to either real or complex coefficients, we use \mathbb{F} to denote either \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} .

3.1. $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded $*$ -algebras. The category of *real*, respectively *complex*, *super vector spaces* is the category whose objects are $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded vector spaces over \mathbb{R} , respectively \mathbb{C} , and morphisms are linear maps that preserve the grading. We promote this to a symmetric monoidal category via the graded tensor product, where the adjective “super” refers to the sign in the braiding isomorphism

$$V \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} W \xrightarrow{\sim} W \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} V \quad v \otimes w \mapsto (-1)^{|v||w|} w \otimes v \quad v \in V, w \in W$$

where v and w are homogeneous elements of degree $|v|, |w| \in \mathbb{Z}/2$. For a super vector space V , let $V_{\text{ev}} \oplus V_{\text{odd}}$ denote the direct sum decomposition of V into its even and odd

subspaces. The *grading involution* is the linear map $(-1)^{\mathbb{F}}: V \rightarrow V$ that acts by $+1$ on V_{ev} and -1 on V_{odd} .¹ Let ΠV denote the parity reversal of V , i.e., $(\Pi V)_{\pm} = V_{\mp}$. Throughout, $\mathbb{F}^{n|m}$ will denote the super vector space with $(\mathbb{F}^{n|m})_{\text{ev}} = \mathbb{F}^n$ and $(\mathbb{F}^{n|m})_{\text{odd}} = \mathbb{F}^m$.

A *superalgebra* over \mathbb{F} is an algebra object in super vector spaces over \mathbb{F} . The *super commutator* of elements in a superalgebra is defined as

$$(13) \quad [a, b] = ab - (-1)^{|a||b|}ba \quad a, b \in A,$$

for homogenous elements a and b . The super commutator satisfies a graded version of the Jacobi identity.

Example 3.1. For a super vector space V , let $\text{End}(V)$ denote the set of all linear maps $V \rightarrow V$ (not necessarily grading-preserving), where grading-preserving maps are regarded as even and grading-reversing maps are odd. Composition of linear maps endows $\text{End}(V)$ with the structure of a superalgebra.

Remark 3.2. Generalizing the previous example, for super vector spaces V and W one can consider the super vector space $\underline{\text{Hom}}(V, W)$ of all linear maps $V \rightarrow W$, graded according to whether a linear map is grading preserving versus grading reversing. This endows the category of super vector spaces with a closed monoidal structure, i.e., $- \otimes V$ is left adjoint to $\underline{\text{Hom}}(V, -)$.

A *super trace* on a superalgebra is a map of super vector spaces

$$(14) \quad \text{sTr}_A: A \rightarrow \mathbb{F} \quad \text{sTr}_A([a, b]) = 0$$

that vanishes on super commutators, and hence is determined by a linear map $A/[A, A] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$. The *opposite* of a superalgebra A is a superalgebra A^{op} with the same underlying super vector space and multiplication

$$a \cdot_{\text{op}} b := (-1)^{|a||b|}b \cdot a$$

where $b \cdot a$ is the multiplication in A . For superalgebras A and B , let $A \otimes B$ denote the superalgebra with multiplication

$$(a \otimes b) \cdot (a' \otimes b') = (-1)^{|b||a'|}aa' \otimes bb'.$$

Example 3.3. The *super trace* of a linear endomorphism $T: V \rightarrow V$ is defined as

$$(15) \quad \text{sTr}(T) := \text{Tr}((-1)^{\mathbb{F}} \circ T)$$

where Tr is the ordinary trace. The super trace determines a trace on the superalgebra $\text{End}(V)$ from Example 3.1.

A **-structure* on a superalgebra is a *-structure on its underlying (ungraded) algebra, i.e., an anti-involution of the underlying algebra (that is conjugate-linear when $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$).

Example 3.4. The usual transpose determines a *-structure on $\text{End}(V)$.

Remark 3.5. A closely related notion is that of a **-superalgebra*, which is a superalgebra together with an involutive *graded* anti-involution, meaning a homomorphism to the opposite algebra in the graded sense. There is a dictionary relating superalgebras with *-structures to *-superalgebras [DEF⁺99, pages 89-92]. The signs associated with *-superalgebras (while perhaps more categorically pleasing) compare awkwardly with the standard conventions in index theory. This is why we have chosen to work with ordinary *-structures.

¹The notation $(-1)^{\mathbb{F}}$ is from physics where \mathbb{F} stands for “fermion”, e.g., see [Wit82, §1].

3.2. Modules over superalgebras. Given a superalgebra A , let ${}_A\text{Mod}$ and Mod_A denote the categories of left and right A -modules, respectively, whose objects are super vector spaces with a (graded) A -action. These categories have symmetric monoidal structures from the direct sum of A -modules. Similarly, let ${}_A\text{Mod}_B$ denote the category of $A - B$ -bimodules. There are canonical equivalences of categories

$$(16) \quad {}_{A \otimes B}\text{Mod} \simeq {}_A\text{Mod}_{B^{\text{op}}} \simeq \text{Mod}_{A^{\text{op}} \otimes B^{\text{op}}}$$

where a left $A \otimes B$ -bimodule W is sent to the $A - B^{\text{op}}$ -bimodule with the same underlying super vector space and action

$$a \cdot w \cdot b := (-1)^{|w||b|}(a \otimes b) \cdot w$$

with similar formulas defining a right $A^{\text{op}} \otimes B^{\text{op}}$ -module. We often use notation like ${}_A W$ for an object of ${}_A\text{Mod}$, and ${}_A W_B$ for an object of ${}_A\text{Mod}_B$.

A super trace on a superalgebra A induces a super trace on the category of (finite-dimensional) A -modules. For right A -modules, this super trace comes from the composition

$$(17) \quad \text{End}_A(W) \xleftarrow{\sim} W \otimes_A W^\vee \rightarrow A/[A, A] \xrightarrow{\text{sTr}_A} \mathbb{F}$$

where $W^\vee := \text{Hom}_A(W, A)$ is the dual (left) A -module, and the map to $A/[A, A]$ is the Hattori–Stallings trace [Hat65, Sta65] induced by the evaluation pairing,

$$\text{eval}: W \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} W^\vee \rightarrow A.$$

One obtains traces for bimodules (and left modules) from traces on right modules using (16).

Super algebras A and B are *Morita equivalent* if there exist bimodules ${}_A W_B$ and ${}_B V_A$ such that ${}_A W \otimes_B V_A \simeq {}_A A_A$ and ${}_B V \otimes_A W_B \simeq {}_B B_B$, where A and B are regarded as bimodules over themselves. For Morita equivalent algebras, there are equivalences of categories

$$({}_B V \otimes_A -): {}_A\text{Mod} \rightleftarrows {}_B\text{Mod}: ({}_A W \otimes_B -).$$

Remark 3.6. Super algebras, bimodules, and bimodule maps are the objects, 1-morphisms and 2-morphisms of the (super) Morita bicategory, e.g., see [Fre12, §1.27]. Objects in this bicategory are 1-isomorphic when they are Morita equivalent. We do not explicitly use this bicategorical structure below, but we do employ some of the terminology. In particular, a Morita equivalence will be referred to as an *invertible* bimodule in reference to its role as an invertible 1-morphism in the Morita bicategory.

3.3. Clifford algebras. For a real (ungraded) vector space V with quadratic form q , the *Clifford algebra* is the quotient of the tensor algebra of V

$$(18) \quad \text{Cl}(V, q) := T(V)/\langle v \otimes v + q(v) \rangle.$$

This is a superalgebra with grading involution determined by $v \mapsto -v$ for $v \in V \subset \text{Cl}(V, q)$.

Remark 3.7. Sign conventions in (18) vary; ours are consistent with [ABS64, LM94, ST04] but differ from [Bou88, IX.9].

Let $\text{Cl}_{n,m}$ denote the Clifford algebra associated to the real vector space $V = \mathbb{R}^{n+m} = \mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^m$ for quadratic form given by the direct sum of the standard positive definite inner product on \mathbb{R}^n and the standard negative definite inner product on \mathbb{R}^m . Hence, we have the generators and relations presentation,

$$(19) \quad \text{Cl}_{n,m} = \langle f_1, \dots, f_n, e_1, \dots, e_m \mid [f_i, f_j] = -2\delta_{ij}, [e_i, e_j] = 2\delta_{ij}, [f_i, e_j] = 0 \rangle$$

where the $f_i \in \mathbb{R}^n \subset \text{Cl}_{n,m}$ and $e_i \in \mathbb{R}^m \subset \text{Cl}_{n,m}$ are odd, and the relations all involve the super commutator (13). Since quadratic forms over \mathbb{R} are classified by their signature, there exists an isomorphism $\text{Cl}(V, q) \simeq \text{Cl}_{n,m}$ for any (V, q) where q has signature (n, m) .

We equip $\text{Cl}_{n,m}$ with a $*$ -structure determined by the values on generators,

$$(20) \quad e_i^* = e_i, \quad f_i^* = -f_i.$$

We also adopt the notation

$$\mathrm{Cl}_n := \begin{cases} \mathrm{Cl}_{n,0} & n \geq 0 \\ \mathrm{Cl}_{0,n} & n < 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$(21) \quad \Gamma_{n,m} = 2^{-(n+m)/2} f_1 f_2 \cdots f_n e_1 e_2 \cdots e_m, \quad \Gamma_n := \begin{cases} \Gamma_{n,0} & n \geq 0 \\ \Gamma_{0,n} & n < 0. \end{cases}$$

The element $\Gamma_{n,m}$ depends on a choice of orientation of $\mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^m$, and changing the orientation introduces a sign. There are canonical isomorphisms

$$(22) \quad \mathrm{Cl}_n^{\mathrm{op}} \simeq \mathrm{Cl}_{-n}, \quad \mathrm{Cl}_n \simeq \mathrm{Cl}_1^{\otimes n}, \quad \mathrm{Cl}_n \otimes \mathrm{Cl}_m \simeq \mathrm{Cl}_{n+m}, \quad \mathrm{Cl}_n \otimes \mathrm{Cl}_{-m} \simeq \mathrm{Cl}_{n,m},$$

for n, m both nonnegative or both nonpositive. The isomorphism $\mathrm{Cl}_n^{\mathrm{op}} \simeq \mathrm{Cl}_{-n}$ is determined by the identity map on underlying super vector spaces, so below we will write $\mathrm{Cl}_n^{\mathrm{op}} = \mathrm{Cl}_{-n}$. The other isomorphisms critically use that \otimes is the graded tensor product of superalgebras.

Define the complex Clifford algebras by $\mathrm{Cl}_{n,m} := \mathrm{Cl}_{n,m} \otimes \mathbb{C}$. These have $*$ -structures by taking the \mathbb{C} -antilinear extension of the assignments (20). There are isomorphisms $\mathrm{Cl}_{n,m} \simeq \mathrm{Cl}_{n+m} \simeq \mathrm{Cl}_{-n-m}$ implemented by multiplying the appropriate generators by $i = \sqrt{-1}$. These isomorphisms do not preserve the elements $\Gamma_{n,m} \in \mathrm{Cl}_{n,m} \subset \mathrm{Cl}_{n,m}$ in (21).

3.4. Clifford modules. A (real) *Clifford module* is a graded left module over $\mathrm{Cl}(V, q)$. Right Clifford modules and Clifford bimodules are defined analogously. The first isomorphism in (22) determines equivalences of categories

$$(23) \quad \mathrm{Cl}_n \otimes \mathrm{Cl}_{-m} \mathbf{Mod} \simeq \mathrm{Cl}_n \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathrm{Cl}_m} \simeq \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathrm{Cl}_{-n} \otimes \mathrm{Cl}_m}$$

which will allow us to translate any Clifford (bi)module into a left Clifford module.

An *inner product* on a real super vector space W is an inner product on the ungraded vector space underlying W such that the even and odd subspaces of W are orthogonal. We take a similar definition for a hermitian inner product on a complex super vector space.

Remark 3.8. Sign conventions in differential geometry often use a mix of the graded and ungraded tensor product for $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded Hilbert spaces, Clifford algebras, and differential forms valued in sections of a super vector bundle, e.g., conventions in [BGV92]. Making these conventions consistent with each other uses an equivalence between inner products on super vector spaces defined via the graded and ungraded tensor product. This equivalence involves signs and factors of $\sqrt{-1}$ (see [DEF⁺99, pages 90-91]). Such factors appear when the two conventions collide. For example, self-adjoint superconnections inherit signs from this translation, see Definition 6.2.

A left Clifford module $\rho: \mathrm{Cl}(V, q) \rightarrow \mathrm{End}(W)$ is *self-adjoint* [BGV92, Definition 3.3] if W is equipped with an inner product and

$$(24) \quad \rho(v^*) = \rho(v)^\dagger$$

for all $v \in V \subset \mathrm{Cl}(V, q)$, using the $*$ -structure (20) and where $(-)^{\dagger}$ is the adjoint on $\mathrm{End}(W)$. Self-adjoint right modules and self-adjoint bimodules are defined using the equivalences (23). Given a self-adjoint left $\mathrm{Cl}(V, q)$ -module W , a *Clifford linear endomorphism* is a linear map $T: W \rightarrow W$ (not necessarily grading preserving) that graded commutes with the Clifford action, i.e., for all $v \in V \subset \mathrm{Cl}(V, q)$,

$$T(\rho(v) \cdot w) = (-1)^{|T|} \rho(v) \cdot T(w), \quad \iff \quad [T, \rho(v)] = 0$$

where $[-, -]$ denotes the super commutator (13) on $\mathrm{End}(W)$. A Clifford linear odd endomorphism is *self-adjoint* when it is self adjoint with respect to the inner product on W . Note that the grading involution on W is always self-adjoint.

Complex Clifford modules are defined analogously, where self-adjoint modules are defined relative to a hermitian inner product.

Example 3.9. Define the left $\text{Cl}_{1,1}$ -module whose underlying super vector space is $\mathbb{R}^{1|1}$ with action determined by

$$(25) \quad f \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad e \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

using the notation (19) for generators. For the standard inner product this $\text{Cl}_{1,1}$ -action is self-adjoint. The assignment (25) induces an isomorphism of superalgebras, $\text{Cl}_{1,1} \simeq \text{End}(\mathbb{R}^{1|1})$. This implies that $\text{Cl}_{1,1}$ is Morita equivalent to \mathbb{R} with Morita bimodule $\mathbb{R}^{1|1}$. Using (22), we find that $\text{Cl}_n \otimes \text{Cl}_m$ is Morita equivalent to Cl_{n+m} for any $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. From (23), we also see that (25) determines an invertible $\text{Cl}_1 - \text{Cl}_1$ -bimodule. The isomorphism $\text{Cl}_{1,1} \simeq \text{End}(\mathbb{R}^{1|1})$ shows that there are two isomorphism classes of invertible $\text{Cl}_1 - \text{Cl}_1$ -bimodules, corresponding under Morita equivalence to the line \mathbb{R} with its two possible gradings. The left $\text{Cl}_{1,1}$ -module from (25) corresponds to Cl_1 as a bimodule over itself. Finally, the isometric automorphisms of Cl_1 as a bimodule is the group $\mathbb{Z}/2 = \text{Spin}(1)$, acting by $\{\pm 1\}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{1|1} \simeq \text{Cl}_1$.

Example 3.10. Taking tensor powers of the previous example yields a Morita equivalence between $\text{Cl}_{n,n}$ and \mathbb{R} , determined by Cl_n as an invertible Cl_n -bimodule for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The group $\text{SO}(n)$ acts on $\text{Cl}_{\pm n}$ through algebra automorphisms via its standard action on generators $\mathbb{R}^n \subset \text{Cl}_{\pm n}$. This gives a categorical action of $\text{SO}(n)$ on the groupoid of invertible $\text{Cl}_{\pm n}$ -bimodules, given by twisting the left $\text{Cl}_{\pm n}$ -action by an algebra automorphism of $\text{Cl}_{\pm n}$. Categorical actions are additional data, which in this case amounts to the $\text{Spin}(n)$ -extension of $\text{SO}(n)$. To see this, we first observe that by Schur's lemma there exists an isomorphism between $\text{Cl}_{\pm n}$ as a bimodule over itself for the standard action, and the bimodule that twists the left action by an element of $\text{SO}(n)$. This isomorphism is given by a (metric-preserving) scalar, i.e., $\{\pm 1\}$. Hence, the group of automorphisms of $\text{Cl}_{\pm n}$ as a bimodule is a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -extension of $\text{SO}(n)$, which turns out to be the spin double cover, e.g., see [ST04, Definition 2.3.1].

Example 3.11. Let \mathbb{H} denote the quaternions, and fix the isomorphism of vector spaces $\mathbb{H} \simeq \mathbb{R}^4$ sending $\{1, i, j, k\}$ to the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^4 . Define a Cl_4 -module whose underlying super vector space is $\mathbb{R}^{4|4}$ with action determined by the action of generators $\mathbb{R}^4 \subset \text{Cl}_4$

$$(26) \quad \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathbb{R}^{4|4}) \quad q \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 0 & q \\ -\bar{q} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad q \in \mathbb{H}$$

where $q \in \mathbb{H}$ is an element of the quaternions acting on \mathbb{R}^4 via the left action of the quaternions on themselves, and the block diagonal matrix is for the decomposition $\mathbb{R}^{4|4} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{4|0} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{0|4}$, i.e., into even and odd subspaces. Checking the Clifford relations, the assignment (26) extends to an injective homomorphism of superalgebras $\text{Cl}_4 \hookrightarrow \text{End}(\mathbb{R}^{4|4})$ with image the \mathbb{H} -linear endomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}^{4|4} \simeq \mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H}$.

Example 3.12. Let \mathbb{O} denote the octonions, and fix an isomorphism of vector spaces $\mathbb{O} \simeq \mathbb{R}^8$ by sending the generators of the octonions to the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^8 . Define a Cl_8 -module whose underlying super vector space is $\mathbb{R}^{8|8}$ with an action determined by the action of generators $\mathbb{R}^8 \subset \text{Cl}_8$

$$(27) \quad \mathbb{R}^8 \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathbb{R}^{8|8}) \quad x \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 0 & x \\ -\bar{x} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad x \in \mathbb{O}$$

where $x \in \mathbb{O}$ acts on \mathbb{R}^8 (on the left) via the identification with left multiplication in the octonions, and the block diagonal matrix is for the decomposition $\mathbb{R}^{8|8} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{8|0} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{0|8}$. The assignment (27) leads to an injective map $\text{Cl}_8 \hookrightarrow \text{End}(\mathbb{R}^{8|8})$; since $\dim(\text{Cl}_8) = 2^8 = 16 \cdot 16 = \dim(\text{End}(\mathbb{R}^{8|8}))$, this map is an isomorphism. Together with Example 3.9, this induces the famous Morita equivalences between the Clifford algebras Cl_{n+8} and Cl_n for all n . We also note the isomorphism of $\text{Cl}_8 \simeq \text{Cl}_4 \otimes \text{Cl}_4$ -modules [LM94, page 70]

$$(28) \quad (\text{Cl}_4 \mathbb{R}^{4|4}) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} (\text{Cl}_4 \mathbb{R}^{4|4}) \simeq (\text{Cl}_8 \mathbb{R}^{8|8})^{\oplus 4}$$

where $\text{Cl}_4 \mathbb{R}^{4|4}$ is from the previous example.

Example 3.13. The complexification of the module from Example 3.9 gives a $\text{Cl}_{1,1} \otimes \mathbb{C} \simeq \text{Cl}_2$ -module that is an invertible $\mathbb{C} - \text{Cl}_2$ -bimodule. Explicitly, the action of Cl_2 on $\mathbb{C}^{1|1}$ is determined by the action of the generators

$$(29) \quad f_1 \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad f_2 \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

inducing an isomorphism $\text{Cl}_2 \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^{1|1})$ and hence a Morita equivalence between Cl_2 and \mathbb{C} .

3.5. Super traces for Clifford modules. There is a canonical isomorphism [BGV92, Proposition 3.21]

$$\text{Cl}_n / [\text{Cl}_n, \text{Cl}_n] \simeq \text{Cl}_n / \text{Cl}_{n-1} \simeq \Lambda^n \mathbb{R}^n = \text{Det}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

with the determinant line bundle of \mathbb{R}^n . Hence, a (nontrivial) super trace (14) on Cl_n is equivalent to the data of a trivialization of the determinant line on \mathbb{R}^n , i.e., a choice of volume form. Any such volume form is equivalent to an element $c \cdot \Gamma_n$ for $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and Γ_n from (21). This gives a formula for the associated super trace,

$$(30) \quad \text{sTr}_{\text{Cl}_n}(a) := c \cdot \text{sTr}(\Gamma_n \circ a) \quad c \in \mathbb{R}$$

where the super trace on the right is taken for the action of $\Gamma_n \circ a$ on the vector space $\Lambda^\bullet \mathbb{R}^n \simeq \text{Cl}_n$ coming from the left action of the Clifford algebra on itself.

After choosing a scalar c , equations (17) and (30) determine a super trace on free Cl_n -modules. We extend this to a super trace on arbitrary Clifford modules by writing a finite-rank module as a summand of a free module. The resulting super trace

$$\text{End}_{\text{Cl}_n}(W) \rightarrow \text{Det}(\mathbb{R}^n) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{R}$$

inherits all the properties of the Hattori–Stallings trace (17), e.g., it vanishes on super commutators of Clifford linear endomorphisms. When comparing with the Pontryagin character in KO-theory, it turns out to be convenient to modify the above to include a formal parameter; compare [FL10, §2.5].

Definition 3.14. Given a left $\text{Cl}_{n,m}$ -module W , the *Clifford super trace* of a $\text{Cl}_{n,m}$ -linear map T is

$$(31) \quad \text{sTr}_{\text{Cl}_{n,m}}(T) = \text{sTr}(u^{(m-n)/2} \Gamma_{n,m} \circ T) \in \mathbb{R}[u^{1/2}, u^{-1/2}],$$

where $u^{1/2}$ is an invertible formal variable of degree -1 with inverse $u^{-1/2}$.

From properties of the ordinary super trace and the definition of $\Gamma_{n,m}$ in (21), we observe that the Clifford super trace satisfies

$$(32) \quad \text{sTr}_{\text{Cl}_{n,m}}(T_1 \oplus T_2) = \text{sTr}_{\text{Cl}_{n,m}}(T_1) + \text{sTr}_{\text{Cl}_{n,m}}(T_2)$$

for T_i an endomorphism of a $\text{Cl}_{n,m}$ -module W_i for $i = 1, 2$, and

$$(33) \quad \text{sTr}_{\text{Cl}_{n,m} \otimes \text{Cl}_{n',m'}}(T_1 \otimes T_2) = \text{sTr}_{\text{Cl}_{n,m}}(T_1) \cdot \text{sTr}_{\text{Cl}_{n',m'}}(T_2)$$

for T_1 an endomorphism of a $\text{Cl}_{n,m}$ -module W_1 and for T_2 an endomorphism of a $\text{Cl}_{n',m'}$ -module W_2 .

There is a completely analogous trace theory for modules over complex Clifford algebras, enjoying the same formal properties as the real Clifford super trace. The choice of normalization in (31) determines a normalization of the complex Clifford supertrace, using that $\text{Det}(\mathbb{C}^n) \simeq \text{Det}(\mathbb{R}^n) \otimes \mathbb{C}$, i.e., that a real trivialization of the determinant line also provides a trivialization of its complexification.

Example 3.15. For the $\text{Cl}_{1,1}$ -module in Example 3.9,

$$\text{sTr}_{\text{Cl}_{1,1}}(\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^{1|1}}) = \text{sTr}(\Gamma_{1,1}) = 2/2 = 1.$$

From this we see that the Clifford super trace of a $\text{Cl}_{n,m}$ -linear operator T is compatible with the Morita equivalences from Example 3.9

$$\text{sTr}_{\text{Cl}_{n,m} \otimes \text{Cl}_{1,1}}(T \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^{1|1}}) = \text{sTr}_{\text{Cl}_{n,m}}(T) \cdot \text{sTr}_{\text{Cl}_{1,1}}(\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^{1|1}}) = \text{sTr}_{\text{Cl}_{n,m}}(T).$$

For the Cl_4 -module in Example 3.11 we find

$$(34) \quad \text{sTr}_{\text{Cl}_4}(\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^{4|4}}) = \text{sTr}(u^{-4/2}\Gamma_4) = 2^{-4/2} \cdot 8u^{-2} = 2u^{-2},$$

and for the Cl_8 -module in Example 3.12,

$$(35) \quad \text{sTr}_{\text{Cl}_8}(\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^{8|8}}) = \text{sTr}(u^{-8/2}\Gamma_8) = u^{-4}2^{-8/2} \cdot 16 = u^{-4}.$$

We verify that (34) and (35) are compatible with the isomorphism of Cl_8 -modules in (28),

$$2u^{-2} \cdot 2u^{-2} = \text{sTr}_{\text{Cl}_4 \otimes \text{Cl}_4}(\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^{4|4}} \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^{4|4}}) = \text{sTr}_{\text{Cl}_8}((\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^{8|8}})^{\oplus 4}) = 4u^{-4}.$$

From (35) we also find that for a Cl_n -linear operator T ,

$$\text{sTr}_{\text{Cl}_n \otimes \text{Cl}_{8k}}(T \otimes (\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^{8|8}})^{\otimes k}) = \text{sTr}_{\text{Cl}_n}(T) \cdot (\text{sTr}_{\text{Cl}_8}(\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^{8|8}}))^k = \text{sTr}_{\text{Cl}_n}(T) \cdot u^{-4k},$$

so that the 8-periodicity of Clifford modules is reflected by the Clifford super trace, where the periodicity generator is $u^{\pm 4} \in \mathbb{R}[u^{1/2}, u^{-1/2}]$.

Example 3.16. The complex Clifford module from Example 3.13 has

$$\text{sTr}_{\text{Cl}_2}(\text{id}_{\mathbb{C}^{1|1}}) = \text{sTr}(\Gamma_2) = 2iu^{-1}/2 = iu^{-1}.$$

Hence, analogous to the 8-periodicity in the real case

$$(36) \quad \text{sTr}_{\text{Cl}_n \otimes \text{Cl}_{2k}}(T \otimes (\text{id}_{\mathbb{C}^{1|1}})^{\otimes k}) = \text{sTr}_{\text{Cl}_n}(T) \cdot (\text{sTr}_{\text{Cl}_2}(\text{id}_{\mathbb{C}^{1|1}}))^k = \text{sTr}_{\text{Cl}_n}(T) \cdot i^k u^{-k}.$$

Remark 3.17. When working with complex Clifford modules, some authors incorporate a factor of $i^{n/2}$ in the definition (21) of Γ_n so that $\text{sTr}_{\text{Cl}_2}(\text{id}_{\mathbb{C}^{1|1}}) = u^{-1}$, e.g., see [BGV92, Proposition 3.21] and [ST04, Definition 3.2.16]. However, for real Clifford modules this is a bit less natural as the resulting Γ_n is not an element of the real Clifford algebra Cl_n . One reason to incorporate the formal variable u in (31) is that any two choices of normalization can be related by rescaling u by the appropriate scalar.

4. THE ATIYAH–BOTT–SHAPIRO CONSTRUCTION AND THE SPECTRUM KO

In this section we review a Clifford module construction of the spaces representing $\text{KO}^n(-)$ from [HST10, §7] and [Che07, §1.3-1.4]. Our presentation emphasizes the connection with the Atiyah–Bott–Shapiro construction and the families index.

4.1. The Atiyah–Bott–Shapiro construction. Let M be a manifold, not necessarily compact. A class in the compactly supported real K-theory group $\text{KO}_c^0(M)$ can be specified by a pair (V, Q) for a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded real vector bundle $V \rightarrow M$ and $Q \in \text{End}(V)_{\text{odd}}$ an odd endomorphism that is invertible outside of a compact subset of M . Roughly,

$$(37) \quad [V] = [V_{\text{ev}}] - [V_{\text{odd}}] \in \text{KO}^0(M)$$

determines a class, and on the subspace of M where Q is invertible we obtain an isomorphism $V_{\text{ev}} \xrightarrow{\sim} V_{\text{odd}}$, so that the formal difference (37) vanishes on this subspace; we explain this in greater detail in Remark 4.20.

Given a right Cl_n -module W , consider the trivial bundle $\underline{W} = W \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. Viewing this as a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded vector bundle, equip it with the odd operator $\text{cl}_x \in \text{End}(W)_{\text{odd}}$ that at a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is Clifford multiplication by $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \subset \text{Cl}_n$ on W . This constructs a map

$$(38) \quad \text{Mod}_{\text{Cl}_n} \rightarrow \text{KO}_c^0(\mathbb{R}^n) \simeq \text{KO}^{-n}(\text{pt}), \quad W \mapsto (\underline{W}, \text{cl}_x)$$

where the class has compact support because the operator cl_x is invertible away from $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ as $(\text{cl}_x)^2 = -|x|^2$ is multiplication by a scalar. The isomorphism in (38) is the suspension isomorphism, identifying the 1-point compactification of \mathbb{R}^n with the n -sphere. Direct sums of Cl_n -modules are sent to addition of classes in $\text{KO}^{-n}(\text{pt})$ under (38).

Let \mathfrak{M}_n denote the Grothendieck group of Mod_{Cl_n} . The standard inclusion $\mathbb{R}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ yields a homomorphism of superalgebras $i: \text{Cl}_n \hookrightarrow \text{Cl}_{n+1}$ determined by the map on generators (19). This induces restrictions

$$\text{Mod}_{\text{Cl}_{n+1}} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{\text{Cl}_n}, \quad i^*: \mathfrak{M}_{n+1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_n, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Atiyah–Bott–Shapiro [ABS64, Theorem 11.5] show that (38) factors through the quotient $\mathfrak{M}_n/i^*\mathfrak{M}_{n+1}$, and in fact determines isomorphisms of abelian groups

$$(39) \quad \mathfrak{M}_n/i^*\mathfrak{M}_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{KO}^{-n}(\mathrm{pt}) \quad n \geq 0.$$

Furthermore, the tensor product of Clifford modules is compatible with the graded ring structure on $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathrm{KO}^{-n}(\mathrm{pt})$. Together with the 8-fold periodicity of Clifford algebras and KO , the maps (39) give a completely algebraic description of the graded ring $\mathrm{KO}^\bullet(\mathrm{pt})$.

Remark 4.1. We compare sign conventions in the Atiyah–Bott–Shapiro isomorphism (39). First, we recall the equivalence of categories $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{Cl}_n} \simeq_{\mathrm{Cl}_{-n}} \mathrm{Mod}$ using the canonical isomorphism $\mathrm{Cl}_{\pm n}^{\mathrm{op}} = \mathrm{Cl}_{\mp n}$. This allows one to rephrase (39) in terms of left Clifford modules,

$$(40) \quad {}_{-n}\mathfrak{M}/i^*{}_{-n-1}\mathfrak{M} \simeq \mathrm{KO}^{-n}(\mathrm{pt}) \quad n \geq 0, \quad i: \mathrm{Cl}_{-n} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Cl}_{-n-1}$$

but with the indicated sign change. One can instead use the ungraded anti-automorphism of Cl_n (sometimes called the *transpose*) that gives a left-module version of (39) but without a sign change. This second option is a bit awkward when considering tensor products of modules and the corresponding products in $\mathrm{KO}^\bullet(\mathrm{pt})$: the ungraded tensor product of Cl_m and Cl_n is not a Clifford algebra.

Our choice of sign convention below is fixed by our preference for left modules and the example of the Clifford linear Dirac operator on an n -dimensional Riemannian spin manifold. If one demands that the Dirac Laplacian is a nonnegative operator, then it must be defined on the associated bundle for the $\mathrm{Spin}(n)$ action on Cl_n as in (98) (the associated bundle for Cl_{-n} leads to a nonpositive Dirac Laplacian). This convention agrees with [LM94, II.7]. Using the canonical isomorphism $\mathrm{Cl}_n^{\mathrm{op}} = \mathrm{Cl}_{-n}$, the kernel of the Clifford linear Dirac operator is then a left Cl_{-n} -module, which determines an element of $\mathrm{KO}^{-n}(\mathrm{pt})$ using the convention (40).

Remark 4.2. The Atiyah–Bott–Shapiro isomorphism (40) can be verified completely explicitly using the generators and relations description [LM94, I.9]

$$(41) \quad \pi_*\mathrm{KO} = \mathbb{Z}[\eta, \alpha, \beta^{\pm 1}] / \langle 2\eta, \eta^3, \eta\alpha, \alpha^2 - 4\beta \rangle, \quad |\eta| = -1, |\alpha| = -4, |\beta| = -8.$$

We sketch surjectivity of (40). The Clifford modules constructed in the previous section correspond to classes

$$[\mathrm{Cl}_{-1}\mathbb{R}^{1|1}] = \eta \in \mathrm{KO}^{-1}(\mathrm{pt}), \quad [\mathrm{Cl}_4\mathbb{R}^{4|4}] = \beta^{-1}\alpha \in \mathrm{KO}^4(\mathrm{pt}), \quad [\mathrm{Cl}_8\mathbb{R}^{8|8}] = \beta^{-1} \in \mathrm{KO}^8(\mathrm{pt})$$

where the Cl_{-1} -action on $\mathbb{R}^{1|1}$ is defined in Example 3.9 (by forgetting the Cl_1 -action), the Cl_4 -action on $\mathbb{R}^{4|4}$ in Example 3.11 and the Cl_8 -action on $\mathbb{R}^{8|8}$ in Example 3.12. To see that $2\eta = \eta^3 = 0$, one must show that $\mathbb{R}^{1|1} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{1|1} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{2|2}$ extends to a $\mathrm{Cl}_{-1} \otimes \mathrm{Cl}_{-1} \simeq \mathrm{Cl}_{-2}$ -module and the Cl_{-3} -module $(\mathbb{R}^{1|1})^{\otimes 3} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{4|4}$ extends to a Cl_{-4} -module; for the former, the second Clifford generator can be taken to be the isomorphism that exchanges the copies of $\mathbb{R}^{1|1}$ in the direct sum, and for the latter one uses a module structure closely related to (26). To see $\eta\alpha = 0$, it suffices to check $\eta\alpha\beta^{-1} = 0$; starting with the $\mathrm{Cl}_{-1} \otimes \mathrm{Cl}_4$ -module $\mathbb{R}^{1|1} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{4|4}$, a Morita equivalence provides a Cl_3 -module with underlying vector space $\mathbb{R}^{4|4}$, and this similarly has an extension to a Cl_4 -module. Invertibility of β follows from the Morita equivalence implemented by (27), and the relation involving α and β is equivalent to the isomorphism (28).

4.2. Unbounded operators that represent KO . Next we review a space of unbounded operators that is known to represent KO , following the presentation in [HST10, §3]. Fix a real, infinite-dimensional, separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . An *unbounded operator* D on \mathcal{H} is the data of a subspace $\mathrm{dom}(D) \subset \mathcal{H}$ (the domain of D) and a linear map $D: \mathrm{dom}(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$. An unbounded operator is *self-adjoint* if it is self-adjoint on the closure of its domain. An unbounded, self-adjoint operator D has *compact resolvent* if the spectrum of D consists of eigenvalues of finite multiplicity that do not have an accumulation point in \mathbb{R} .

Definition 4.3. Let $\mathrm{Inf}(\mathcal{H})$ denote the set of unbounded, self-adjoint operators on \mathcal{H} with compact resolvent.

Remark 4.4. The notation Inf comes from the terminology in [HST10]: $D \in \text{Inf}(\mathcal{H})$ is an *infinitesimal generator* of a super semigroup representation. Although we do not use super semigroup representations, we keep the same notation for ease of comparison with [HST10]. We caution that our sign conventions differ slightly from [HST10]; see Remark 4.5.

To simplify the exposition, hereafter we will typically omit the words “compact resolvent” and “self-adjoint,” referring to an element of $\text{Inf}(\mathcal{H})$ simply as an unbounded operator on \mathcal{H} . The Cayley transform determines an injective map [HST10, Proposition 3.7]

$$(42) \quad \text{Inf}(\mathcal{H}) \hookrightarrow O(\mathcal{H})$$

from unbounded operators to the infinite orthogonal group, sending eigenspaces of an operator D with (real) eigenvalue λ to an orthogonal operator with (complex) eigenvalue $\frac{\lambda+i}{\lambda-i}$. Equip the set of unbounded operators with the subspace topology inherited from $O(\mathcal{H})$, where $O(\mathcal{H})$ is given the norm topology.

Next we consider variations on the space $\text{Inf}(\mathcal{H})$ of unbounded operators that involve gradings and Clifford actions. Define a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded Hilbert space as a separable Hilbert space whose underlying vector space has a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -grading such that the even and odd subspaces are infinite-dimensional and orthogonal to each other. A *stable Cl_n -module* is a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded Hilbert space with a self-adjoint left Cl_n -module structure such that every isomorphism class of irreducible Cl_n -module appears with infinite multiplicity; for example, starting with a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , then $\mathcal{H}_n := \text{Cl}_n \otimes \mathcal{H}$ is a stable Cl_n -module. Any pair of stable Cl_n -modules are isomorphic, and the tensor product of a stable Cl_n -module with a stable Cl_m -module determines a stable Cl_{n+m} -module (using the Morita equivalence from Example 3.9 when n and m have different signs). We refer to [Joa01, §3] for further discussion of this tensor product of stable Cl_n -modules.

A self-adjoint unbounded operator D on \mathcal{H}_n is *odd* if its domain is a graded subspace and $D: \text{dom}(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is an odd linear map. An odd self-adjoint unbounded operator D on \mathcal{H}_n is *Clifford linear* if $\text{dom}(D)$ is a Clifford submodule and D graded commutes with the Cl_n -action. Let Inf_{-n} denote the set of odd, Clifford linear unbounded operators on \mathcal{H}_n . We equip this set with the subspace topology for the evident inclusion

$$(43) \quad \text{Inf}_{-n} \subset \text{Inf}(\mathcal{H}_n).$$

Remark 4.5. In [HST10], the authors use stable *right* Cl_n -modules rather than the stable left Cl_n -modules above, compare Remark 4.1. The spaces Inf_{-n} in the present paper are homeomorphic to the ones in [HST10] (with the sign unchanged) by trading a left Cl_n -action on $\mathcal{H}_n = \text{Cl}_n \otimes \mathcal{H}$ for a right Cl_{-n} -action.

It is important in applications that the domain of an unbounded operator $D \in \text{Inf}_{-n}$ is *not* required to be dense in \mathcal{H}_n ; this permits the following example.

Example 4.6. Consider a graded, Cl_n -invariant subspace $W \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}_n$. Define the unbounded operator P_W whose domain is W and $P_W: W \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_n$ is the inclusion. If W is finite-dimensional, then P_W has compact resolvent and $P_W \in \text{Inf}_{-n}$.

Perhaps the most well-known analytic model for the KO-spectrum comes from the Atiyah–Singer spaces Fred_n of Cl_n -linear Fredholm operators that for $n \geq 0$ represent KO^{-n} [AS69], [LM94, III.10]. The spaces Inf_n give an unbounded version of these spaces in the following sense (we continue to use the sign convention from Remark 4.1).

Theorem 4.7 ([HST10] Proposition 3.11 and Theorem 8.2). For $n \geq 0$, there are homotopy equivalences $\text{Inf}_n \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Fred}_n$. Hence, Inf_n represents the functor $\text{KO}^{-n}(-)$.

The 8-periodicity of Clifford modules gives homeomorphisms $\text{Inf}_n \simeq \text{Inf}_{n-8}$, and so (by Bott periodicity) one concludes that Inf_n represents $\text{KO}^{-n}(-)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Alternatively, one can extend the construction of the spaces Fred_n to arbitrary $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and prove a version of Theorem 4.7 for all n , e.g., see [HST10, Remark 0.1].

4.3. Topological categories and their classifying spaces. A *topological category* is a category in which the objects and morphisms are topological spaces for which the structure maps (source, target, unit, composition) are continuous. For a topological category \mathcal{C} , let \mathcal{C}_0 denote the space of objects and \mathcal{C}_1 the space of morphisms.

Given an open cover of a topological space, the following two examples construct topological categories.

Example 4.8. Let Z be a topological space, and $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ an open cover of Z . For $\sigma \subset I$ a nonempty finite subset, let $U_\sigma = \bigcap_{i \in \sigma} U_i$ denote the intersection. Define the *Čech category* of the cover, denoted $\check{C}(U_i)$, as the topological category whose objects are $\check{C}(U_i)_0 = \coprod_{\sigma} U_\sigma$ and whose morphisms are the space $\check{C}(U_i)_1 = \coprod_{\sigma \subseteq \tau} U_\tau$. The source and target maps are determined by the projection and inclusion $U_\tau \subseteq U_\sigma$, the unit is induced by the identity map $U_\sigma \rightarrow U_\sigma$, and composition comes from nested inclusions.

Example 4.9. Let Z be a topological space, and $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ an open cover of Z with the data of an ordering on the indexing set I . Then define a topological category $\check{C}^{\text{ord}}(U_i)$ with objects and morphisms

$$\check{C}^{\text{ord}}(U_i)_0 = \prod_{i \in I} U_i, \quad \check{C}^{\text{ord}}(U_i)_1 = \prod_{i \leq j} U_{ij}, \quad U_{ij} = U_i \cap U_j.$$

The source and target maps are the inclusions $U_i \cap U_j \subset U_i, U_j$, the unit map is the identity on $U_i = U_i \cap U_i$, and composition comes from inclusions of triple intersections into double intersections.

Definition 4.10. The *nerve* of a topological category \mathcal{C} is the simplicial space whose k -simplices are $N_k \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_k$ for $k = 0, 1$, and for $k > 1$ are length k chains of composable morphisms

$$\begin{aligned} N_k \mathcal{C} &= \{x_0 \xrightarrow{f_1} x_1 \xrightarrow{f_2} x_2 \xrightarrow{f_3} \dots \xrightarrow{f_k} x_k \mid x_i \in \mathcal{C}_0, f_i \in \mathcal{C}_1\} \\ &= \mathcal{C}_1 \times_{\mathcal{C}_0} \dots \times_{\mathcal{C}_0} \mathcal{C}_1, \end{aligned}$$

topologized as the fibered product. The face maps in $N_\bullet \mathcal{C}$ are determined by composing morphisms, and degeneracies are determined by the unit map in \mathcal{C} .

The *geometric realization* of a simplicial space Z_\bullet is

$$(44) \quad |Z_\bullet| := \left(\prod_n Z_n \times \Delta^n \right) / \sim \quad (f^* x, t) \sim (x, f_* t) \quad \forall f: [l] \rightarrow [k]$$

where Δ^n is the standard n -simplex, and $f: [l] \rightarrow [k]$ is an order-preserving map. Geometric realization is natural: a map of simplicial spaces $F: Z_\bullet \rightarrow Y_\bullet$ determines a map between realizations, $|F|: |Z_\bullet| \rightarrow |Y_\bullet|$.

Definition 4.11. Given a topological category \mathcal{C} , define the *classifying space* of \mathcal{C} as the geometric realization of its nerve, $\text{BC} := |N_\bullet \mathcal{C}|$.

Example 4.12. The nerve of the topological category $\check{C}^{\text{ord}}(U_i)$ from Example 4.9 has as k -simplices the intersections

$$(45) \quad N_k \check{C}^{\text{ord}}(U_i) = \prod_{i_0 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k} U_{i_0 i_1 \dots i_k}, \quad U_{i_0 i_1 \dots i_k} = U_{i_0} \cap U_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap U_{i_k}.$$

whereas the nerve of the topological category $\check{C}(U_i)$ from Example 4.8 has as k -simplices

$$N_k \check{C}(U_i) = \prod_{\sigma_0 \subseteq \sigma_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \sigma_k} U_{\sigma_k}.$$

Results of Segal [Seg68, Proposition 4.12], and Dugger–Isaksen [DI04, Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2.6] show that the canonical maps

$$(46) \quad \text{BC}^{\text{ord}}(U_i) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{B}\check{C}(U_i), \quad \text{B}\check{C}^{\text{ord}}(U_i) \xrightarrow{\sim} Z, \quad \text{B}\check{C}(U_i) \xrightarrow{\sim} Z,$$

are homotopy equivalences.

There is a homotopy equivalence $BC^{\text{op}} \simeq BC$ between the realization of a category and the realization of its opposite. Below we will often identify $B\check{C}(U_i)$ with $B\check{C}(U_i)^{\text{op}}$.

4.4. The Atiyah–Bott–Shapiro category.

Definition 4.13. Let \mathcal{M}_n^δ be the category whose objects are finite-dimensional Cl_n -submodules $W \subset \mathcal{H}_n$ and whose morphisms are

$$(47) \quad \text{Mor}(W_0, W_1) := \begin{cases} \text{Cl}_n \otimes \text{Cl}_{-1} - \text{action on } W_0^\perp \\ \text{extending the } \text{Cl}_n - \text{action} & \text{if } W_0 \subseteq W_1 \subset \mathcal{H}_n \\ \emptyset & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

where $W_0^\perp \subseteq W_1$ denotes the orthogonal complement of the subspace $W_0 \subseteq W_1$. Composition for a nested inclusion $W_0 \subseteq W_1 \subseteq W_2$ uses the direct sum of Clifford modules to obtain a $\text{Cl}_n \otimes \text{Cl}_{-1}$ -module structure on the orthogonal complement of $W_0 \subseteq W_2$.

Remark 4.14. An extension to a $\text{Cl}_n \otimes \text{Cl}_{-1}$ -action is the data of a self-adjoint odd endomorphism e on W_0^\perp that graded commutes with the Cl_n -action and satisfies $e^2 = 1$.

Remark 4.15. For $n \geq 0$, a $\text{Cl}_{-n} \otimes \text{Cl}_{-1}$ -module is the same data as a Cl_{-n-1} -module. Hence, for $n \leq 0$, \mathcal{M}_n^δ is a category whose morphisms parameterize how the Atiyah–Bott–Shapiro map (40) identifies (left) Clifford modules in $\text{KO}^n(\text{pt})$.

Let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_n)$ denote the space of bounded Cl_n -linear operators on \mathcal{H}_n . There are injections

$$(48) \quad \text{Obj}(\mathcal{M}_n^\delta) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_n), \quad \text{Mor}(\mathcal{M}_n^\delta) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_n)^{\times 3}.$$

The first map comes from identifying a submodule $W_0 \subset \mathcal{H}_n$ with a finite rank projection operator. Similarly, $(W_0, W_1, e) \in \text{Mor}(\mathcal{M}_n^\delta)$ determines a pair of finite rank projection operators and a bounded operator gotten by extending e (in the notation of Remark 4.14) to an operator on \mathcal{H}_n via the zero operator on the orthogonal complement of $W_0^\perp \subset \mathcal{H}_n$.

Definition 4.16. Define the *n*th Atiyah–Bott–Shapiro category \mathcal{M}_n as the topological category with underlying category \mathcal{M}_n^δ whose objects and morphisms are endowed with the subspace topology for the inclusions (48) using the norm topology on bounded operators.

Remark 4.17. Since a tensor product of Cl_n and Cl_m -modules produces a Cl_{n+m} -module and any pair of stable Cl_n -modules are isomorphic, there are continuous functors

$$(49) \quad \mathcal{M}_n \times \mathcal{M}_m \xrightarrow{\otimes} \mathcal{M}_{n+m},$$

unique up to a contractible space of choices. The topological category \mathcal{M}_n also has a partially-defined sum operation, defined for orthogonal subspaces $W, W' \subset \mathcal{H}_n$.

4.5. The families index and the Atiyah–Bott–Shapiro category. We will compare the classifying space of the *n*th Atiyah–Bott–Shapiro category with the space Inf_{-n} through an index map, similar to the families index described in §2. Define an open cover with components indexed by $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$

$$(50) \quad \text{Inf}_{n,\lambda} := \{D \in \text{Inf}_{-n} \mid \lambda \notin \text{Spec}(D^2)\} \subset \text{Inf}_{-n}.$$

Let $\check{C}(\text{Inf}_{n,\lambda})$ denote the Čech category of this cover of Inf_{-n} . Explicitly, the objects of this category are given by an unbounded operator D and a $(k+1)$ -tuple of positive real numbers,

$$\check{C}(\text{Inf}_{n,\lambda})_0 = \{D, \lambda_0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_k \mid D \in \text{Inf}_{-n}, \lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}, \lambda_i \notin \text{Spec}(D^2)\},$$

satisfying the indicated property. Morphisms are given by the same data together with a specified subset $\{\lambda_{i_0}, \dots, \lambda_{i_l}\} \subseteq \{\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_k\}$ with $\lambda_{i_0} \leq \lambda_{i_1} \leq \dots \leq \lambda_{i_l}$.

Define a continuous functor

$$(51) \quad \text{Ind}: \check{C}(\text{Inf}_{n,\lambda})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n$$

as follows. On objects, Ind assigns to $(D, \lambda_0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_k)$ the subspace $\mathcal{H}^{<\lambda_k} \subset \mathcal{H}_n$ given by the direct sum of eigenspaces of D^2 of eigenvalue less than λ_k ,

$$\mathcal{H}^{<\lambda_k} := \bigoplus_{\mu < \lambda_k} \mathcal{H}_\mu.$$

The subspace $\mathcal{H}^{<\lambda_k} \subset \mathcal{H}_n$ is finite-dimensional because D has compact resolvent. Furthermore, $\mathcal{H}^{<\lambda_k}$ is a graded Cl_n -submodule because D is a Clifford linear operator. On morphisms, the functor (51) assigns the inclusion of Clifford submodules $\mathcal{H}^{<\lambda_{i_1}} \subset \mathcal{H}^{<\lambda_k} \subset \mathcal{H}_n$, where on the orthogonal complement to the inclusion the operator D is used to construct a Cl_{-1} -action as follows. First decompose the orthogonal complement to the inclusion into μ -eigenspaces for the operator D^2 ,

$$(\mathcal{H}^{<\lambda_{i_1}})^\perp \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda_{i_1} < \mu < \lambda_k} \mathcal{H}_\mu.$$

The sum is finite because D^2 has compact resolvent and is nonnegative, and the eigenspaces are orthogonal because D^2 is self-adjoint. Now define an odd operator

$$e: (\mathcal{H}^{<\lambda_{i_1}})^\perp \rightarrow (\mathcal{H}^{<\lambda_{i_1}})^\perp, \quad e := \bigoplus_{\mu} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu}} D|_{\mathcal{H}_\mu} \right).$$

By construction, $e^2 = +1$, and hence determines a Cl_{-1} -action (see Remark 4.14). This action is self-adjoint and graded commutes with the Cl_n -action because D is self-adjoint and Cl_n -linear.

Lemma 4.18. The continuous functor (51) induces a weak equivalence

$$(52) \quad \text{BInd}: \check{\text{B}}\check{\text{C}}(\text{Inf}_{n,\lambda}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{B}\mathcal{M}_n,$$

and hence $\text{B}\mathcal{M}_n$ represents the functor $\text{KO}^n(-)$.

Proof. In [HST10, page 53], there is a topological category denoted D_n with the same objects as \mathcal{M}_n and whose morphisms are denoted

$$\text{Mor}_{\text{D}_n}(W_0, W_1) = \begin{cases} \{R \in O_{C_n}(W_2^\perp) \mid R \text{ odd } R^2 = 1\} & \text{if } W_0 \subset W_1 \\ \emptyset & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Since R is orthogonal and $R^2 = 1$, it generates a self-adjoint action of Cl_{-1} . From this we observe that D_n and \mathcal{M}_n are isomorphic as topological categories: their spaces of objects and morphisms are homeomorphic. Then [HST10, Proposition 3.11, Proposition 4.6, and Theorem 7.1] construct a homotopy equivalence $\text{B}\mathcal{M}_n \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Inf}_{-n}$ that sits in the homotopy commutative triangle,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \check{\text{B}}\check{\text{C}}(\text{Inf}_{n,\lambda}) & \\ \text{Ind} \swarrow & & \searrow \sim \\ \text{B}\mathcal{M}_n & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Inf}_{-n} \end{array}$$

where the weak equivalence $\check{\text{B}}\check{\text{C}}(\text{Inf}_{n,\lambda}) \rightarrow \text{Inf}_{-n}$ follows from (46), and homotopy commutativity follows from the argument in [HST10, Proposition 4.6]. By the 2-out-of-3 property, this shows that the map (52) is a weak equivalence. The second statement in the lemma then follows from Theorem 4.7. \square

Remark 4.19. The fact that $\text{B}\mathcal{M}_n$ represents $\text{KO}^n(-)$ follows directly from [HST10, Theorem 7.1]. An independent proof is given in [Che07, §1.3-1.4], using a comparison between $\text{B}\mathcal{M}_n$ and Clifford linear Fredholm operators. The only new content in Lemma 4.18 is the observation that the index map implements this weak equivalence.

Remark 4.20. We revisit the Atiyah–Bott–Shapiro description of compactly supported KO-class $[V, Q] \in \text{KO}_c^0(M)$ from (37). Choose an open cover U_0, U_1 of M with the property that the closure of U_0 is a compact subset of M and $Q|_{U_1}$ is invertible. Next choose an embedding $V|_{U_0} \subset U_0 \times \mathcal{H}_0$ as a summand of the trivial Hilbert bundle; such an embedding is unique up to a contractible space of choices by [Kui65]. Next consider the constant map $U_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_0$ to the basepoint of \mathcal{M}_0 , i.e., the zero module $\{0\} \subset \mathcal{H}_n$. On the overlap $U_0 \cap U_1$, the odd operator Q determines a continuous family of morphisms in \mathcal{M}_0 between $V|_{U_0} \subset U_0 \times \mathcal{H}_0$ and the zero object. All together, we obtain a continuous functor $(V, Q): \text{BC}(U_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_0$ of topological categories. After realization, this determines a map

$$M \simeq \text{BC}(U_i) \rightarrow \text{BM}_0$$

representing the compactly supported class $[V, Q] \in \text{KO}_c^0(M)$; see Proposition 5.12 and Corollary 5.15.

5. KO-CLASSES FROM Cl_n -BUNDLES

In this section we define categories of Cl_n -bundles over a smooth manifold M that provides a cocycle model for KO-theory and recovers the Atiyah–Bott–Shapiro category when $M = \text{pt}$. All super vector bundles are assumed to be finite-rank. A *metric* on a super vector bundle is a metric on its underlying (ungraded) vector bundle such that the even and odd subspaces in each fiber are orthogonal.

5.1. Cl_n -bundles. We begin with a naive candidate for the category of Cl_n -bundles.

Definition 5.1. A *basic Cl_n -bundle* over M is a metrized super vector bundle $V \rightarrow M$ with Cl_n -action for which the fibers are self-adjoint left Cl_n -modules. A *stable isomorphism* between basic Cl_n -bundles is a Cl_n -equivariant inclusion $V \hookrightarrow V'$ over M together with the data of a self-adjoint $\text{Cl}_n \otimes \text{Cl}_{-1}$ -action on $V^\perp \subset V'$ extending the given Cl_n -action.

Definition 5.1 recovers a category equivalent to the (discrete) Atiyah–Bott–Shapiro category when $M = \text{pt}$. Furthermore, a Cl_n -bundle in the above sense determines a map $M \rightarrow \text{BM}_n$; see the proof of Proposition 5.12. However, there are continuous maps $M \rightarrow \text{BM}_n$ that are not realized by a basic Cl_n -bundle, and hence KO-classes that do not admit a cocycle description using Definition 5.1. The easiest such example is a map $S^1 \rightarrow \text{BM}_1$ whose class in $\widetilde{\text{KO}}^1(S^1) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ is nontrivial. The following definition repairs this defect. We use the notation from Example 4.8 for open subsets of a manifold M and their intersections.

Definition 5.2. A *Cl_n -bundle* over a manifold M is data:

- (1) an open cover $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ of M ;
- (2) for each nonempty finite subset $\sigma \subset I$, a metrized super vector bundle $V_\sigma \rightarrow U_\sigma$ with a Cl_n -action on V_σ for which the fibers are self-adjoint left Cl_n -modules;
- (3) for each inclusion of nonempty finite subsets $\sigma \subset \tau \subset I$, a Cl_n -equivariant, injective map of metrized super vector bundles $g_{\sigma\tau}: V_\sigma|_{U_\tau} \hookrightarrow V_\tau$ over U_τ , together with a $\text{Cl}_n \otimes \text{Cl}_{-1}$ -action on the orthogonal complement of the image $V_\sigma^\perp \subset V_\tau$ that extends the Cl_n -action.

For $\sigma \subset \tau \subset \rho$, we require an equality of maps $g_{\sigma\tau} \circ g_{\tau\rho} = g_{\sigma\rho}: V_\sigma|_{U_\rho} \hookrightarrow V_\rho$, and we require the Cl_{-1} -action on $V_\sigma^\perp \subset V_\tau \hookrightarrow V_\rho$ restricts from the Cl_{-1} -action on $V_\tau^\perp \subset V_\rho$. We use the notation $e_{\sigma\tau} \in \Gamma(U_\tau, \text{End}(V_\tau^\perp)_{\text{odd}})$ for the generator of the Cl_{-1} -action on $V_\sigma^\perp \subset V_\tau$ and $V = \{V_\sigma, g_{\sigma\tau}, e_{\sigma\tau}\}$ when referring to a Cl_n -bundle over M .

Example 5.3. Real vector bundles are examples of Cl_0 -modules. However, a Cl_0 -bundle need not be a vector bundle. For example, for a Cl_0 -bundle defined relative to an open cover $U_0, U_1 \subset M$, Definition 5.2 requires data

$$(53) \quad V_0 \rightarrow U_0, \quad V_1 \rightarrow U_1, \quad V_0|_{U_{01}} \hookrightarrow V_{01} \hookleftarrow V_1|_{U_{01}}$$

for real vector bundles V_0 and V_1 , and a stable equivalences over $U_{01} = U_0 \cap U_1$. Vector bundles V_0 and V_1 that are stably isomorphic over U_{01} but have different ranks therefore determine a Cl_0 -bundle that is not a vector bundle.

Definition 5.4. For a smooth map $\phi: N \rightarrow M$ and a Cl_n -bundle $V = \{V_\sigma, g_{\sigma\tau}, e_{\sigma\tau}\}$, the *pullback* $\phi^*V := \{\phi^*V_\sigma, \phi^*g_{\sigma\tau}, \phi^*e_{\sigma\tau}\}$ is a Cl_n -bundle on N defined relative to the open cover $\{\phi^{-1}(U_i)\}$. In particular, Cl_n -bundles can be restricted to submanifolds $N \subset M$.

We require the following versions of equivalence between Cl_n -modules. Each of these generalizes a standard notion of equivalence between vector bundles; see Example 5.8.

Definition 5.5. An *isomorphism* between Cl_n -bundles $V = \{V_\sigma, g_{\sigma\tau}, e_{\sigma\tau}\}$ and $V' = \{V'_\alpha, g'_{\alpha\beta}, e'_{\alpha\beta}\}$ defined relative to open covers $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{U'_i\}_{i \in I'}$, respectively, consists of Cl_n -equivariant metrized vector bundles isomorphisms $\varphi_{\alpha\sigma}: V_\sigma|_{U'_\alpha} \xrightarrow{\sim} V'_\alpha|_{U_\sigma}$ for $\sigma \in I$ and $\alpha \in I'$ with $U'_\alpha \cap U_\sigma$ nonempty. The isomorphisms $\varphi_{\alpha\sigma}$ are required to be compatible with the restrictions of $g_{\sigma\tau}, g'_{\alpha\beta}, e_{\sigma\tau}, e'_{\alpha\beta}$: for $\sigma \subset \tau$ and $\alpha \subset \beta$, the above data are required to fit into a commuting diagram

$$(54) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} V_\tau|_{U'_\beta} & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\beta\tau}} & V'_\beta|_{U_\tau} \\ g_{\sigma\tau} \uparrow & & \uparrow g'_{\alpha\beta} \\ V_\sigma|_{U'_\alpha} & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\alpha\sigma}} & V'_\alpha|_{U_\sigma} \end{array}$$

and the Cl_{-1} -actions on orthogonal complements of the images are required to be compatible. We write $\varphi: V \xrightarrow{\sim} V'$ for an isomorphism of Cl_n -bundles.

Definition 5.6. Using the notation from Definition 5.5, a *stable equivalence* between Cl_n -bundles V and V' is the data of Cl_n -equivariant isometric inclusion $\varphi_{\alpha\sigma}: V_\sigma|_{U'_\alpha} \hookrightarrow V'_\alpha|_{U_\sigma}$ with a $\text{Cl}_n \otimes \text{Cl}_{-1}$ -action on $V_\sigma^\perp \subset V'_\alpha|_{U_\sigma}$ for each $\sigma \in I$ and $\alpha \in I'$ with $U_\sigma \cap U'_\alpha \neq \emptyset$. These data are required to satisfy compatibility properties analogous to (54).

Definition 5.7. A *concordance* between Cl_n -bundles V and V' on M is a Cl_n -bundle \tilde{V} on $M \times \mathbb{R}$ together with isomorphisms $i_0^* \tilde{V} \cong V$ and $i_1^* \tilde{V} \cong V'$, where $i_0, i_1: M \hookrightarrow M \times \mathbb{R}$ are the inclusions at 0 and 1 respectively. When such a concordance exists, we say that V and V' are *concordant*. Concordance defines an equivalence relation on isomorphism classes of Cl_n -bundles over M whose equivalence classes are called *concordance classes*.

Example 5.8. For vector bundles $V, V' \rightarrow M$ regarded as Cl_0 -modules, an isomorphism in the sense of Definition 5.5 is the same data as an isomorphism of vector bundles. If vector bundles $V, V' \rightarrow M$ are stably equivalent Cl_0 -bundles in the sense of Definition 5.6, then $[V] = [V'] \in \text{KO}^0(M)$; indeed, $[V] - [V'] = [V^\perp] \in \text{KO}^0(M)$ and the existence of an odd isomorphism $e: V_\pm^\perp \rightarrow V_\mp^\perp$ implies $[V^\perp] = [V_{\text{ev}}^\perp] - [V_{\text{odd}}^\perp] = 0$. In fact, a stable equivalence determines a concordance between V and V' as Cl_0 -modules, as constructed in the proof of the following.

Lemma 5.9. If Cl_n -bundles over M are stable equivalent, then they are concordant.

Proof. Let V and V' be stable equivalent Cl_n -bundles over M . By refining the cover, we can assume that Cl_n -bundles V, V' , and the stable equivalence between them are defined subordinate to a fixed cover $\{U_i\}$ of M . So let $V = \{V_\sigma, g_{\sigma\tau}, e_{\sigma\tau}\}$ and $V' = \{V'_\sigma, g'_{\sigma\tau}, e'_{\sigma\tau}\}$. Consider the open cover of \mathbb{R} given by $\{(-\infty, 1), (0, \infty)\}$. The concordance \tilde{V} is defined via the basic Cl_n -modules,

$$V_\sigma \times (-\infty, 1) \rightarrow U_\sigma \times (-\infty, 1), \quad V'_\sigma \times (0, 1) \rightarrow U_\sigma \times (0, 1), \quad V'_\sigma \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow U_\sigma \times (0, \infty).$$

For inclusions $U_\sigma \times J \hookrightarrow U_\tau \times J$ with fixed $J = (-\infty, 1), (0, \infty)$ or $(0, 1)$, we have the evident data $(g_{\sigma\tau}, e_{\sigma\tau})$ or $(g'_{\sigma\tau}, e'_{\sigma\tau})$ from V or V' . It remains to specify the compatibility data (3) in Definition 5.2 for the inclusions

$$U_\sigma \times (-\infty, 1) \hookrightarrow U_\sigma \times (0, 1) \hookrightarrow U_\sigma \times (0, \infty).$$

For the right inclusion, the bundles are related by restriction, so the orthogonal complements are the zero bundle and there is no additional data to specify. For the left inclusion, we use

the stable equivalence datum for $\varphi_\sigma: V_\sigma \times (0, 1) \hookrightarrow V'_\sigma \times (0, 1)$ to specify the compatibility data. This completes the construction of the concordance. \square

Remark 5.10. By composing concordances, a zig-zag of stable equivalences also determines a concordance between Cl_n -bundles.

Definition 5.11. Let $V = \{V_\sigma, g_{\sigma\tau}, e_{\sigma\tau}\}$ be a Cl_n -bundle on M defined relative to an open cover $\{U_i\}$, and let $V' = \{V'_\alpha, g'_{\alpha\beta}, e'_{\alpha\beta}\}$ be a Cl_m -bundle on M' defined relative to an open cover $\{U'_k\}$. Define the following addition and multiplication operations on Cl_n -bundles.

- (1) If $n = m$, the *external direct sum* is a Cl_n -bundle $V \boxplus V' := \{V_\sigma \boxplus V'_\alpha, g_{\sigma\tau} \boxplus g'_{\alpha\beta}, e_{\sigma\tau} \boxplus e'_{\alpha\beta}\}$ on $M \times M'$ defined relative to the product open cover $\{U_i \times U'_k\}$, where $V_\sigma \boxplus V'_\alpha$ denotes the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded external direct sum of super vector bundles over $U_\sigma \times U'_\alpha$.
- (2) The *external tensor product* is a $\text{Cl}_n \otimes \text{Cl}_m$ -bundle $V \boxtimes V' = \{V_\sigma \boxtimes V'_\alpha, g_{\sigma\tau} \boxtimes g'_{\alpha\beta}, e_{\sigma\tau} \boxtimes e'_{\alpha\beta}\}$ on $M \times M'$ defined relative to the open cover $\{U_i \times U'_k\}$, where $V_\sigma \boxtimes V'_\alpha$ denotes the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded external tensor product of super vector bundles over $U_\sigma \times U'_\alpha$.

Direct sum and tensor product operations for Cl_n -bundles on M are defined by pulling back the external direct sum and external tensor product along the diagonal $M \hookrightarrow M \times M$.

5.2. From Cl_n -bundles to KO^n -classes.

Proposition 5.12. There is a surjective map from Cl_n -bundles over M to $\text{KO}^n(M)$ that reduces to the Atiyah–Bott–Shapiro map (40) when $M = \text{pt}$. A pair of Cl_n -bundles determine the same class in $\text{KO}^n(M)$ if and only if they are concordant. The external product and external sum of Cl_n -bundles is compatible with the external product and external sum in KO .

Proof. Given a Cl_n -bundle V over M defined relative to an open cover $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$, consider the topological category $\check{C}(U_i)$ from Example 4.8. The data in Definition 5.2 determines a map $\check{C}(U_i)_0 \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}_n)_0$ to the space of objects in the n th Atiyah–Bott–Shapiro category that is unique up to contractible choice, defined as follows. Choose Cl_n -equivariant embeddings $\epsilon_\sigma: V_\sigma \subset \mathcal{H}_n \times U_\sigma$ over U_σ for all σ . By [Kui65], the space of embeddings is contractible, so these choices are indeed unique up to contractible choice. Further specify homotopies between the embeddings

$$\mathcal{H}_n \times U_\tau \xleftarrow{\epsilon_\sigma|_{U_\tau}} V_\sigma|_{U_\tau} \xrightarrow{g_{\sigma\tau}} V_\tau \xrightarrow{\epsilon_\tau} \mathcal{H}_n \times U_\tau$$

and higher homotopies between nested inclusions (again by [Kui65]). This results in a map between realizations that is unique up to contractible choice

$$(55) \quad M \simeq |N_\bullet \check{C}(U_i)^{\text{op}}| \rightarrow |N_\bullet \mathcal{M}_n| = \text{BM}_n.$$

By Lemma 4.18, this determines a class $[V] \in \text{KO}^n(M)$. By the nerve theorem [Bor48], any continuous map $M \rightarrow \text{BM}_n$ is homotopic to the realization of a map $N_\bullet \check{C}(U_i)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow N_\bullet \mathcal{M}_n$ where $\{U_i\}$ is taken to be a good open cover; hence by Theorem 4.18 any class in $\text{KO}^n(M)$ can be represented by a Cl_n -bundle. Concordant Cl_n -bundles determine homotopic maps to BM_n by construction, and therefore represent the same KO -class. Choosing a good open cover of $M \times \mathbb{R}$, homotopic maps can be lifted to concordant Cl_n -bundles.

The compatibility with external tensor product follows from Theorem 4.18 together with the fact that realization commutes with finite products; explicitly, the map (55) associated with an external tensor product of Cl_n - and Cl_m -modules is homotopic to the composition

$$\begin{aligned} M \times M' &\simeq |N_\bullet \check{C}(U_i \times U'_j)^{\text{op}}| \simeq |N_\bullet \check{C}(U_i)^{\text{op}} \times N_\bullet \check{C}(U'_j)^{\text{op}}| \simeq |N_\bullet \check{C}(U_i)^{\text{op}}| \times |N_\bullet \check{C}(U'_j)^{\text{op}}| \\ &\rightarrow \text{BM}_n \times \text{BM}_m \rightarrow \text{BM}_{n+m}, \end{aligned}$$

where the first arrow is determined by the maps (55) associated with the constituent Cl_n - and Cl_m -bundles, and the second arrow is the realization of (49). Hence, this agrees with the product map $\text{KO}^n(M) \times \text{KO}^m(M') \rightarrow \text{KO}^{n+m}(M \times M')$.

The external sum is handled similarly, up to one subtlety coming from the fact that the sum operation on \mathcal{M}_n is only partially defined (see Remark 4.17). To ensure that the desired sums are defined, when choosing the maps to $\mathcal{B}\mathcal{M}_n$ associated with Cl_n -bundles V and V' , one chooses subspaces $V_\sigma \subset \mathcal{H}_n \times U_\sigma$ and $V'_\alpha \subset \mathcal{H}_n \times U_\alpha$ that are orthogonal to one another. Then the collection of subspaces $V_\sigma \boxplus V'_\alpha \subset \mathcal{H}_n \times U_\sigma \times U'_\alpha$ with the obvious compatibility data determines a map $M \times M' \rightarrow \mathcal{B}\mathcal{M}_n$ associated with the Cl_n -bundle $V \boxplus V'$. \square

For a Cl_n -bundle V over M , we use the notation $[V] \in \text{KO}^n(M)$ to denote the associated KO-class from Proposition 5.12.

Corollary 5.13. If Cl_n -bundles V and V' over M are stable equivalent, then $[V] = [V'] \in \text{KO}^n(M)$.

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemma 5.9. \square

5.3. A representative of the suspension class.

Definition 5.14. A Cl_n -bundle V on M has *compact support* if on the complement of a compact subset $K \subset M$, V has the zero Cl_n -bundle as its underlying super vector bundle. Similarly, for a fiber bundle $\pi: M \rightarrow N$, a Cl_n -bundle V on M has *compact vertical support* if for each $y \in N$, there exists a neighborhood $U \subset N$ such that V has compact support on $\pi^{-1}(U)$.

Corollary 5.15. There is a surjective map from compactly supported Cl_n -bundles over M to $\text{KO}_c^n(M)$. A pair of Cl_n -bundles determine the same class in $\text{KO}_c^n(M)$ if and only if they are concordant. The external product of Cl_n -bundles is compatible with the external product in compactly supported KO.

Proof. This follows from the fact that the zero Cl_n -bundle uniquely determines the constant map to basepoint of $\mathcal{B}\mathcal{M}_n$ under (55). \square

Definition 5.16. The *suspension class* is a choice of generator $\text{KO}_c^1(\mathbb{R}) \simeq \widetilde{\text{KO}}^1(S^1) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 5.17. The suspension class is represented by the following Cl_1 -bundle. Consider

$$(56) \quad \begin{aligned} \{U_i\} &= \{(-1, 1), \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}\} \\ V_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}} &= \mathbf{0}, \quad V_{(-1, 1)} = \underline{\text{Cl}}_1 \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{Cl}_1 \simeq \mathbb{R}^{1|1}$ is a left module over itself, together with the stable isomorphism $\mathbf{0} \hookrightarrow \underline{\text{Cl}}_1$ over $(-1, 0) \amalg (0, 1)$ from the $\text{Cl}_1 \otimes \text{Cl}_{-1}$ -action on $\mathbb{R}^{1|1}$ from Example 3.9.

Proof. Let $\sigma_{\mathbb{R}}$ denote the Cl_1 -bundle (56), and $[\sigma_{\mathbb{R}}] \in \text{KO}_c^1(\mathbb{R})$ the corresponding KO-class using Corollary 5.15. The open cover $\{(-\infty, 0), (-1, 1), (0, \infty)\}$ is good, so (again by Corollary 5.15) the suspension class can be expressed in terms of basic Cl_n -bundles on this cover together with stable isomorphisms on overlaps. The compact support condition requires that we take the zero module on $(-\infty, 0)$ and $(0, \infty)$. On $(-1, 1)$, a Cl_1 -module must be the trivial bundle with fiber the super vector space $\mathbb{R}^{k|k} \simeq (\mathbb{R}^{1|1})^{\oplus k}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$; this is because $f \in \text{Cl}_1$ gives an isomorphism between the even and odd subspaces of the bundle of Cl_1 -modules. On $(-1, 0)$ and $(0, 1)$, we require a $\text{Cl}_1 \otimes \text{Cl}_{-1} \simeq \text{Cl}_{1,1}$ -module structure on $\mathbb{R}^{k|k}$. Up to parity reversal, $\mathbb{R}^{1|1}$ with $\text{Cl}_{1,1}$ -module structure from Example 3.9 is the unique irreducible $\text{Cl}_{1,1}$ -module. We conclude that any class in $\text{KO}_c^1(\mathbb{R})$ is of the form $[(\sigma_{\mathbb{R}})^{\oplus k}]$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, where negative k takes the parity reversed module. Hence, $[\sigma_{\mathbb{R}}]$ is a generator of $\text{KO}_c^1(\mathbb{R}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$, and therefore a representative of the suspension class. \square

6. THE PONTRYAGIN CLASS OF A Cl_n -BUNDLE AS A ČECH-DE RHAM COCYCLE

For an ordinary vector bundle $V \rightarrow M$, a choice of connection ∇ on V determines a closed differential form that refines the Pontryagin character $\text{Ch}(V) \in \text{H}(M; \mathbb{R}[u^{\pm 1}])$ of $[V] \in \text{KO}^0(M)$,

$$\text{sTr}(e^{-u\nabla^2}) \in \Omega^\bullet(M; \mathbb{R}[u^{\pm 1}]), \quad [\text{sTr}(e^{-u\nabla^2})] = \text{Ch}(V) \in \text{H}(M; \mathbb{R}[u^{\pm 1}]).$$

In this section we construct an analogous refinement for Cl_n -bundles: after choosing connection data, a Cl_n -bundle $V \rightarrow M$ determines a cocycle in the Čech–de Rham complex of M that refines the Pontryagin character of $[V]$; see Propositions 6.15 and 6.16.

6.1. Review of Chern forms of superconnections. For a real super vector bundle $V \rightarrow M$, let $V_{\text{ev}} \subset V$ and $V_{\text{odd}} \subset V$ denote its even and odd subbundles, respectively. Consider the space of V -valued differential forms $\Omega^\bullet(M; V) = \Gamma(M; \Lambda^\bullet(T^*M) \otimes V)$ as a super vector space.

Definition 6.1 ([Qui85]). A *superconnection* \mathbb{A} on V is an odd \mathbb{R} -linear map

$$(57) \quad \mathbb{A}: \Omega^\bullet(M; V) \rightarrow \Omega^\bullet(M; V), \quad \mathbb{A}(\alpha \cdot v) = d\alpha \cdot v + (-1)^{|\alpha|} \alpha \cdot \mathbb{A}v$$

satisfying the indicated Leibniz rule, where $v \in \Omega^\bullet(M; V)$ and $\alpha \in \Omega^\bullet(M)$.

On an n -manifold, one can express a superconnection as sum

$$(58) \quad \mathbb{A} = \sum_{j=0}^n \mathbb{A}_{(j)},$$

where $\mathbb{A}_{(1)} = \nabla$ is an ordinary connection on V , $\mathbb{A}_{(2j)} \in \Omega^{2j}(M; \text{End}(V)_{\text{odd}})$ are valued in odd endomorphisms, and $\mathbb{A}_{(2j+1)} \in \Omega^{2j+1}(M; \text{End}(V)_{\text{ev}})$ are valued in even endomorphisms.

Definition 6.2 ([BGV92], page 117). Let $V \rightarrow M$ be a metrized real super vector bundle equipped with a superconnection \mathbb{A} . The *adjoint* superconnection is

$$(59) \quad \mathbb{A}^* = \sum_j (-1)^{j(j+1)/2} \mathbb{A}_{(j)}^\dagger$$

where for $j \neq 1$, $\mathbb{A}_{(j)}^\dagger$ is the adjoint of an endomorphism-valued form using the metric on V , and $\mathbb{A}_{(1)}^\dagger = \nabla^\dagger$ is the adjoint of the ordinary connection characterized by

$$d\langle v, w \rangle = \langle \nabla v, w \rangle + \langle v, \nabla^\dagger w \rangle.$$

A superconnection is *self-adjoint* if $\mathbb{A}^* = \mathbb{A}$.

Remark 6.3. Self-adjointness is equivalent to $\mathbb{A}_{(j)}$ being self-adjoint in degrees $j = 4k, 4k+3$, and skew-adjoint in degrees $j = 4k+1, 4k+2, j \neq 1$.

For $u^{1/2}$ a formal variable of degree -1 , define

$$(60) \quad \mathbb{A}(u) := u^{-1/2} \mathbb{A}_{(0)} + \mathbb{A}_{(1)} + u^{1/2} \mathbb{A}_{(2)} + \cdots + u^{j/2} \mathbb{A}_{(j)} + \cdots$$

Then the *Pontryagin form* of \mathbb{A} is the differential form

$$(61) \quad \text{Ch}(\mathbb{A}) := \text{sTr}(e^{-u\mathbb{A}(u^2)}) \in \Omega^\bullet(M; \mathbb{R}[u, u^{-1}])$$

of total degree 0, representing the Pontryagin character of V . The absence of fractional powers of u in $\text{Ch}(\mathbb{A})$ follows from the fact that the super trace of an odd endomorphism is zero. Using Remark 6.3, self-adjointness further implies $\text{Ch}(\mathbb{A})$ takes values in differential forms in degrees $0 \pmod 4$; see Lemma 6.10 below. The equalities of differential forms

$$(62) \quad \text{Ch}(\mathbb{A} \oplus \mathbb{A}') = \text{Ch}(\mathbb{A}) + \text{Ch}(\mathbb{A}'), \quad \text{Ch}(\mathbb{A} \otimes \mathbb{A}') = \text{Ch}(\mathbb{A}) \cdot \text{Ch}(\mathbb{A}') \in \Omega^\bullet(M; \mathbb{R}[u, u^{-1}])$$

refine the direct sum and tensor product formulas for the Pontryagin character in cohomology.

Definition 6.4. Suppose we are given super vector bundles and superconnections (V_0, \mathbb{A}_0) and (V_1, \mathbb{A}_1) over M . A *concordance* between the superconnections \mathbb{A}_0 and \mathbb{A}_1 is a superconnection $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}$ on a super vector bundle $\tilde{V} \rightarrow M \times \mathbb{R}$ with the data of isomorphisms $i_0^* \tilde{V} \simeq V_0$ and $i_1^* \tilde{V} \simeq V_1$ and the property that

$$i_0^* \tilde{\mathbb{A}} = \mathbb{A}_0, \quad i_1^* \tilde{\mathbb{A}} = \mathbb{A}_1$$

for inclusions $i_j: M \times \{j\} \hookrightarrow M \times \mathbb{R}$, $j = 0, 1$.

Definition 6.5. The *Chern–Simons form* of a concordance $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}$ of superconnections is

$$(63) \quad \text{CS}(\tilde{\mathbb{A}}) := \int_{[0,1]} \text{Ch}(\tilde{\mathbb{A}}) \in \Omega^\bullet(M; \mathbb{R}[u, u^{-1}]).$$

By Stokes' theorem, the Chern–Simons form satisfies

$$(64) \quad d\text{CS}(\tilde{\mathbb{A}}) = \text{Ch}(\mathbb{A}_1) - \text{Ch}(\mathbb{A}_0).$$

Remark 6.6. Modulo exact forms $\text{CS}(\tilde{\mathbb{A}})$ only depends on \mathbb{A}_1 and \mathbb{A}_0 and not on the choice of concordance $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}$.

Example 6.7. For superconnections $\mathbb{A}_0, \mathbb{A}_1$ on the same super vector bundle $V \rightarrow M$, affine interpolation

$$(65) \quad \tilde{\mathbb{A}} = dt\partial_t + (1-t)\mathbb{A}_0 + t\mathbb{A}_1 \quad i_0^*\tilde{\mathbb{A}} = \mathbb{A}_0, \quad i_1^*\tilde{\mathbb{A}} = \mathbb{A}_1$$

gives a concordance on $p^*V \rightarrow M \times \mathbb{R}$ for $p: M \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ the projection. We use the notation

$$(66) \quad \text{CS}(\mathbb{A}_0, \mathbb{A}_1) := \text{CS}(\tilde{\mathbb{A}})$$

for concordances of the form (65).

The existence of a concordance implies that $V_0 \simeq V_1$, so often we shall implicitly assume that concordant superconnections \mathbb{A}_0 and \mathbb{A}_1 are defined on the same bundle. However, the concordance need not be of the form (65).

Using (62), Chern–Simons forms have the following behavior under direct sums and tensor products

$$(67) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{CS}(\tilde{\mathbb{A}} \oplus \tilde{\mathbb{B}}) &= \text{CS}(\tilde{\mathbb{A}}) + \text{CS}(\tilde{\mathbb{B}}) \\ \text{CS}(\tilde{\mathbb{A}} \otimes \tilde{\mathbb{B}}) &= \text{CS}(\tilde{\mathbb{A}})\text{Ch}(\tilde{\mathbb{B}}) + \text{Ch}(\tilde{\mathbb{A}})\text{CS}(\tilde{\mathbb{B}}) \pmod{\text{image}(d)} \\ &= \text{CS}(\tilde{\mathbb{A}})\text{Ch}(\tilde{\mathbb{B}}) + \text{Ch}(\tilde{\mathbb{A}})\text{CS}(\tilde{\mathbb{B}}) + d\text{CS}(\tilde{\mathbb{A}})\text{CS}(\tilde{\mathbb{B}}). \end{aligned}$$

We require a generalization of (63) to $(k+1)$ -tuples of concordant superconnections. First define the affine smooth simplex Aff^k and the closed k -simplex $\Delta^k \subset \text{Aff}^k$ as

$$\text{Aff}^k = \{(t_0, t_1, \dots, t_k) \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1} \mid \sum t_i = 1\}, \quad \Delta^k = \{(t_0, t_1, \dots, t_k) \in \text{Aff}^k \mid t_i \geq 0\}.$$

Then given a super vector bundle $V \rightarrow M$ with $k+1$ superconnections $\mathbb{A}_0, \mathbb{A}_1, \mathbb{A}_2, \dots, \mathbb{A}_k$, define a superconnection $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}$ on p^*V by

$$\tilde{\mathbb{A}} := \sum_{j=0}^k dt_j \partial_{t_j} + \sum_{j=0}^k t_j p^* \mathbb{A}_j$$

where $p: M \times \text{Aff}^k \rightarrow M$ is the projection. Then the differential forms

$$(68) \quad \text{CS}_k(\tilde{\mathbb{A}}) = \int_{\Delta^k} \text{Ch}(\tilde{\mathbb{A}}) \in \Omega^\bullet(M; \mathbb{R}[u^{\pm 1}])$$

have total degree $-k$ and (by Stokes Theorem) satisfy

$$(69) \quad d\text{CS}_k(\tilde{\mathbb{A}}) = \int_{\partial\Delta^k} \text{Ch}(\tilde{\mathbb{A}}) = \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \int_{\Delta^{k-1}} \text{Ch}(\tilde{\mathbb{A}}_j)$$

where $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}_j$ is the restriction of $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}$ to the j th face of Aff^k . When $k=1$, (68) reproduces the Chern–Simons form (63), where the property (69) reduces to (64).

6.2. Clifford linear superconnections. In [Qui85, §5], Quillen uses superconnections to construct a differential form refinement of the Chern character for complex super vector bundles with Cl_1 -actions as an odd degree counterpart to (61). The following is an elaboration on Quillen's ideas that treats real bundles with Cl_n -actions.

Definition 6.8. Let $V \rightarrow M$ be a real super vector bundle with fiberwise Cl_n -action. A superconnection \mathbb{A} on V is Cl_n -linear if \mathbb{A} graded commutes with the Cl_n -action.

Definition 6.9. Let $V \rightarrow M$ be a real, metrized super vector bundle with fiberwise self-adjoint Cl_n -action. The *Chern form* of a Cl_n -linear self-adjoint superconnection \mathbb{A} on V is

$$(70) \quad \text{Ch}_n(\mathbb{A}) := \text{sTr}(u^{-n/2}\Gamma_n \circ \exp(-u\mathbb{A}(u)^2)) \in \Omega^\bullet(M; \mathbb{R}[u^{1/2}, u^{-1/2}]),$$

using the super trace on Cl_n -modules (31) extended $\Omega^\bullet(M)$ -linearly.

Lemma 6.10. For a self-adjoint Cl_n -linear superconnection \mathbb{A} , the differential form $\text{Ch}_n(\mathbb{A})$ lies in the subalgebra $\Omega^\bullet(M; \mathbb{R}[\alpha, \alpha]) \subset \Omega^\bullet(M; \mathbb{R}[u^{1/2}, u^{-1/2}])$ where $\alpha = 2u^2$. Furthermore, $\text{Ch}_n(\mathbb{A})$ is closed and of total degree n .

Proof. The fact that $\text{Ch}_n(\mathbb{A}) \in \Omega^\bullet(M; \mathbb{R}[u, u]) \subset \Omega^\bullet(M; \mathbb{R}[u^{1/2}, u^{-1/2}])$ follows from the super trace of an odd endomorphism being zero. In more detail, (58) specifies whether a component of a superconnection takes values in even or odd endomorphisms; the odd endomorphisms correspond to the half-integer coefficients in (60). The endomorphism Γ_n is even or odd depending on the parity of n , and carries a factor of $u^{-n/2}$ from the definition of the Clifford super trace. Hence, the half-integer powers of u in the expansion of $\text{Ch}_n(\mathbb{A})$ are coefficients of odd endomorphisms and therefore sent to zero under the super trace. Self-adjoint superconnections give a further refinement to even powers of u using that the super trace of a skew-adjoint operator is zero, see Remark 6.3. This verifies that $\text{Ch}_n(\mathbb{A}) \in \Omega^\bullet(M; \mathbb{R}[\alpha, \alpha])$.

Since $u\mathbb{A}(u)^2$ has total degree zero and $u^{-n/2}\Gamma_n$ has total degree n , $\text{Ch}_n(\mathbb{A})$ has total degree n . Finally, to see that $\text{Ch}_n(\mathbb{A})$ is closed, one applies the same argument as in the case ordinary superconnections, e.g., [BGV92, Proposition 1.41]. The key fact is

$$(71) \quad \text{sTr}_{\text{Cl}_n}([\mathbb{A}, \omega]) = d\text{sTr}_{\text{Cl}_n}(\omega).$$

This follows from a local computation, writing $\mathbb{A} = d + \gamma$ and using that the Clifford super trace vanishes on super commutators [Qui85, Proposition 2]. Then the fact that $\text{Ch}_n(\mathbb{A})$ is closed follows from (71) and the identity $[\mathbb{A}, \exp(-\mathbb{A}^2)] = 0$. \square

Definition 6.11. A *concordance* between self-adjoint Cl_n -linear superconnections $\mathbb{A}_0, \mathbb{A}_1$ on $V_0, V_1 \rightarrow M$ is a self-adjoint Cl_n -linear superconnection $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}$ on $\tilde{V} \rightarrow M \times \mathbb{R}$ together with the data of isomorphisms

$$i_0^* \tilde{V} \simeq V_0, \quad i_1^* \tilde{V} \simeq V_1$$

with the property that $i_0^* \tilde{\mathbb{A}} = \mathbb{A}_0$ and $i_1^* \tilde{\mathbb{A}} = \mathbb{A}_1$ for inclusions $i_j: M \times \{j\} \hookrightarrow M \times \mathbb{R}$, $j = 0, 1$.

Definition 6.12. The *Chern–Simons form* of a concordance between Cl_n -linear self-adjoint superconnections is a differential form with total degree $n - 1$,

$$(72) \quad \text{CS}(\tilde{\mathbb{A}}) := \int_{[0,1]} \text{Ch}_n(\tilde{\mathbb{A}}) \in \Omega^\bullet(M; \mathbb{R}[\alpha, \alpha^{-1}]).$$

The standard arguments show that the Chern–Simons form satisfies (64), and only depends on \mathbb{A}_0 and \mathbb{A}_1 up to exact forms. We have the same formulas (67) for Chern–Simons forms involving direct sums and tensor products.

Lemma 6.13. Suppose we are given the data of a stable equivalence of Cl_n -bundles

$$(73) \quad V_0 \hookrightarrow V_1, \quad e \in \Gamma(M; \text{End}(V^\perp)_{\text{odd}}), \quad e^2 = \text{id}$$

together with Cl_n -linear self-adjoint superconnections \mathbb{A}_0 on V_0 and \mathbb{A}_1 on V_1 (that need not be compatible with the inclusion $V_0 \hookrightarrow V_1$). Then there is a uniquely determined self-adjoint Cl_n -linear superconnection \mathbb{A}'_0 on V_1 with the equalities of differential forms of total degree n

$$(74) \quad \text{Ch}_n(\mathbb{A}_1) - \text{Ch}_n(\mathbb{A}_0) = d\text{CS}(\mathbb{A}'_0, \mathbb{A}_1), \quad \text{Ch}_n(\mathbb{A}_0) = \text{Ch}_n(\mathbb{A}'_0) \in \Omega^\bullet(M; \mathbb{R}[u^{\pm 1}])$$

refining the equality in cohomology $\text{Ch}(V_0) = \text{Ch}(V_1)$ of Pontryagin characters. In particular, a stable equivalence $V_0 = \mathbf{0} \hookrightarrow V_1$ with a choice of super connection on V_1 determines a differential form ζ satisfying $\text{Ch}(\mathbb{A}_1) = d\zeta$.

Proof. Let $V_0^\perp \subset V_1$ denote the orthogonal complement to the inclusion $V_0 \hookrightarrow V_1$. The existence of the Cl_n -linear endomorphism $e \in \Gamma(\text{End}(V_0^\perp)_{\text{odd}})$ with $e^2 = \text{id}_{V_0^\perp}$ implies that there is a direct sum decomposition of basic Cl_n -bundles $V_0^\perp \simeq (V_0^\perp)_+ \oplus (V_0^\perp)_-$ where $e: (V_0^\perp)_\pm \rightarrow (V_0^\perp)_\mp$ is a grading-reversing isomorphism that exchanges the summands. Let

$$p^+ : V_1 \rightarrow (V_0^\perp)_+$$

denote the orthogonal projection. Using the isomorphism of basic Cl_n -bundles,

$$V_1 \simeq V_0 \oplus V_0^\perp \simeq V_0 \oplus (V_0^\perp)_+ \oplus (V_0^\perp)_-$$

define the self-adjoint Cl_n -linear superconnection on V_1

$$(75) \quad \mathbb{A}'_0 = \mathbb{A}_0 \oplus (p^+ \circ \nabla_1 \circ p^+) \oplus e^*(p^+ \circ \nabla_1 \circ p^+)$$

where ∇_1 is the ordinary connection part of \mathbb{A}_1 , see (58). Using (62) and properties of the Clifford supertrace, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ch}_n(\mathbb{A}'_0) &= \text{Ch}_n(\mathbb{A}_0) + \text{Ch}_n((p^+ \circ \nabla_1 \circ p^+)) + \text{Ch}_n(e^*(p^+ \circ \nabla_1 \circ p^+)) \\ &= \text{Ch}_n(\mathbb{A}_0) + \text{Ch}_n((p^+ \circ \nabla_1 \circ p^+)) - \text{Ch}_n((p^+ \circ \nabla_1 \circ p^+)) \\ &= \text{Ch}_n(\mathbb{A}_0) \end{aligned}$$

where the second line uses that e is an odd automorphism. The result follows. \square

Example 6.14. The modules in Example 3.15 can be regarded as basic Cl_n -bundles over $M = \text{pt}$. The Clifford super traces then compute the well-known Pontryagin characters of the associated classes in $\text{KO}^\bullet(\text{pt})$. Specifically,

$$(76) \quad \text{Ch}_8(\text{Cl}_8 \mathbb{R}^{8|8}) = u^{-4} = \beta^{-1} = \frac{1}{4}\alpha^{-2} \quad \text{Ch}_4(\text{Cl}_4 \mathbb{R}^{4|4}) = 2u^{-2} = \beta^{-1}\alpha = \frac{1}{4}\alpha^{-1},$$

using the notation (41) for elements of $\text{KO}^\bullet(\text{pt})$ and the identifications

$$\Omega^\bullet(\text{pt}; \mathbb{R}[\alpha, \alpha^{-1}]) \simeq \mathbb{R}[\alpha, \alpha^{-1}] \subset \mathbb{R}[u, u^{-1}], \quad 2u^2 = \alpha.$$

6.3. The Pontryagin form of a Cl_n -bundle. The Pontryagin form of a Cl_n -bundle is locally determined by (70), where the dependence of the differential form representative on the choice of superconnection is measured by (72). Our present goal is to show that patching these local values together results in a cocycle in the Čech–de Rham complex, $(\Omega^\bullet(U_*), d + \delta)$. Our conventions for the Čech–de Rham double complex follow Bott and Tu [BT82, §8], and (in particular) the Čech differential δ requires an ordering on the indexing set I of the cover.

Proposition 6.15. Given a Cl_n bundle $V = \{V_\sigma, g_{\sigma\tau}, e_{\sigma\tau}\}$ and an ordering on I , choices of self-adjoint superconnections \mathbb{A}_σ on $V_\sigma \rightarrow U_\sigma$ for each $\sigma \subset I$ determine a Čech–de Rham cocycle denoted

$$(77) \quad \text{Ch}_n(\{U_i\}, \mathbb{A}_i) \in \Omega^\bullet(U_*; \mathbb{R}[u^{\pm 1}]).$$

Proof. Starting with the degree n Chern forms for each $i \in I$,

$$(78) \quad \text{Ch}_n(\mathbb{A}_i) \in \Omega^\bullet(U_i; \mathbb{R}[u^{\pm 1}])$$

we construct an extension to a cocycle in the Čech–de Rham complex. For $\sigma = \{i_0 < i_1 < \dots < i_k\}$, the Cl_n -bundle gives the data of stable isomorphisms $g_{i_j\sigma} : V_{i_j}|_{U_\sigma} \hookrightarrow V_\sigma$ over U_σ .

Using the chosen superconnections \mathbb{A}_{i_j} on V_{i_j} and \mathbb{A}_σ on $V_\sigma \rightarrow U_\sigma$, Lemma 6.13 produces superconnections \mathbb{A}'_{i_j} on V_σ for each $i_j \in \sigma \subset I$,

$$\mathbb{A}'_{i_0}, \mathbb{A}'_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbb{A}'_{i_k}, \quad \tilde{\mathbb{A}}_\sigma = \sum_{j=0}^k dt_j \partial_{t_j} + \sum_{j=0}^k t_j \mathbb{A}'_{i_j}, \quad i_0 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k$$

which determine the indicated superconnection $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}_\sigma$ on p^*V_σ where $k+1 = |\sigma|$ and $p: U_\sigma \times \mathbb{A}\text{ff}^k \rightarrow U_\sigma$ is the projection. Above, we order the superconnections $\mathbb{A}'_{i_0}, \mathbb{A}'_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbb{A}'_{i_k}$ using the ordering on I . Following (68), define the higher Chern–Simons forms

$$(79) \quad \text{CS}_k(\tilde{\mathbb{A}}_\sigma) = \int_{\Delta^k} \text{Ch}_n(\tilde{\mathbb{A}}_\sigma) \in \Omega^{\bullet-k}(U_\sigma; \mathbb{R}[u^{\pm 1}])$$

of total degree $n - k$. We note that changing the ordering of I can change the sign of the form (79). The Chern forms (78) and higher Chern–Simons forms (79) provide the necessary data for a degree n cocycle in the Čech–de Rham complex; it remains to show that they satisfy the required properties. For δ the Čech differential and d the de Rham differential in the Čech–de Rham double complex, the first condition to check is

$$\delta\{\text{Ch}_n(\mathbb{A}_i)\} = \delta\{\text{Ch}_n(\tilde{\mathbb{A}}_i)\} = \{d\text{CS}(\mathbb{A}_i, \mathbb{A}_j)\} = \{d\text{CS}(\tilde{\mathbb{A}}_{ij})\} \in \prod_{i < j} \Omega^n(U_i \cap U_j)$$

where the first and second equalities use (74), and the third is the definition of (79). The conditions on higher intersections follow similarly from

$$\begin{aligned} d \int_{\Delta^k} \text{Ch}_n(\mathbb{A}_{i_0, \dots, i_k}) &= \int_{\partial \Delta^k} \text{Ch}_n(\mathbb{A}_{i_0, \dots, i_k}) \\ &= \sum (-1)^j \int_{\Delta^{k-1}} \text{Ch}_n(\mathbb{A}_{i_0, \dots, \hat{i}_j, \dots, i_k}) \\ &= \delta \int_{\Delta^{k-1}} \text{Ch}_n(\mathbb{A}_{i_0, \dots, i_k}). \end{aligned}$$

This shows we have produced a cocycle in the double complex, completing the proof. \square

Proposition 6.16. The Čech–de Rham cocycle (77) represents the Pontryagin character of the Cl_n -bundle $V = \{V_\sigma, g_{\sigma\tau}, e_{\sigma\tau}\}$.

Proof. Since the space of superconnections is affine, $[\text{Ch}_n(\{U_i\}, \mathbb{A}_i)]$ only depends on $[V] \in \text{KO}^n(M)$. So by Proposition 5.12, Ch_n determines a map

$$(80) \quad [\text{Ch}_n]: \text{KO}^n(M) \rightarrow \text{H}^n(M; \mathbb{R}[\alpha, \alpha^{-1}]).$$

The local description (70) along with the properties (32) and (33) of the Clifford supertrace imply that the map (80) is additive and multiplicative.

When $n = 0$ (where $\text{Cl}_0 = \mathbb{R}$) the formula (70) coincides with the standard differential form refinement of the Pontryagin character for real vector bundles, verifying the lemma in this case. The statement for general n follows from the fact that Ch_n is compatible with the suspension class and Bott element, as we now explain. Let $V = \{V_\sigma, g_{\sigma\tau}, e_{\sigma\tau}\}$ be a Cl_j -module, and choose $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $8k - j \geq 0$. Then consider the diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{KO}^j(M) & \xrightarrow{\smile [\sigma_{\mathbb{R}^{8k-j}}]} & \text{KO}_c^{8k}(M \times \mathbb{R}^{8k-j}) & \xrightarrow{\smile [\beta^k]} & \text{KO}_c^0(M \times \mathbb{R}^{8k-j}) \\ \text{[Ch}_j] \downarrow & & \text{[Ch}_{8k}] \downarrow & & \text{[Ch}_0] \downarrow \\ \text{H}^j(M; \mathbb{R}[u, u]) & \xrightarrow{\smile [\text{Ch}(\sigma_{\mathbb{R}^{8k-j}})]} & \text{H}_c^{8k}(M \times \mathbb{R}^{8k-j}; \mathbb{R}[u, u]) & \xrightarrow{\smile u^{4k}} & \text{H}_c^0(M \times \mathbb{R}^{8k-j}; \mathbb{R}[u, u]) \end{array}$$

The upper left horizontal arrow comes from the external product with the Cl_n -bundle representing the suspension class $\sigma_{\mathbb{R}^{8k-j}} = (\sigma_{\mathbb{R}})^{\boxtimes (8k-j)}$, where $\sigma_{\mathbb{R}}$ is defined in (56). The image of this class under Ch_n is a Čech–de Rham representative of the suspension class in ordinary cohomology, being compactly supported and nonzero in cohomology; compare Lemma 7.16

below. This implies that the horizontal arrows on the left are both (suspension) isomorphisms. The middle horizontal arrows are the Bott isomorphisms, where Example 6.14 computes the image of the Bott class under Ch_n . The vertical arrow on the far right is the usual Pontryagin character in degree 0. Since all the horizontal arrows are isomorphisms, compatibility of the Pontryagin character in cohomology with suspension implies that the other vertical arrows are also given by the Pontryagin character. \square

7. DIFFERENTIAL COCYCLES

Our next goal is to refine the KO-cocycles from Proposition 5.12 to differential cocycles (see Definition 7.3). The specifics are motivated by a generators and relations description of differential complex K-theory reviewed in §7.1 below. We then prove Theorem 1.2, showing that $\widehat{\text{KO}}^\bullet(M)$ from Definition 7.6 is a model for differential KO-theory.

7.1. Review of differential complex K-theory. We review the geometric construction of differential complex K-theory from [Klo08, Chapter 2]. This is an extension of [FL10, Definition 2.14] to super vector bundles with superconnection; see Remark 7.2. For a smooth manifold M consider triples (V, \mathbb{A}, ϕ) where $V \rightarrow M$ is a hermitian vector bundle, \mathbb{A} is a self-adjoint superconnection on V , and $\phi \in \Omega^{\text{odd}}(M)$. Define an equivalence relation

$$(81) \quad (V, \mathbb{A}, \phi) \sim (V', \mathbb{A}', \phi') \iff \begin{array}{l} \text{there exists an isomorphism } f: V \xrightarrow{\sim} V' \\ \text{with } \phi' = \text{CS}(\mathbb{A}, f^*\mathbb{A}') + \phi \in \Omega^{\text{odd}}(M)/\text{image}(d). \end{array}$$

i.e., an isomorphism of hermitian vector bundles with a condition on the resulting Chern–Simons form.

Definition 7.1. Define $\widehat{\text{K}}^0(M)$ as the quotient of the free abelian group generated by equivalence classes (81), modulo the subgroup generated by

$$(82) \quad (V, \mathbb{A}, \phi) + (V', \mathbb{A}', \phi') - (V \oplus V', \mathbb{A} \oplus \mathbb{A}', \phi + \phi') \quad \text{and} \quad (W \oplus \Pi W, \nabla \oplus \Pi \nabla, 0)$$

where ΠW is the parity reversal of a purely even vector bundle W , ∇ is an ordinary connection on W , and $\Pi \nabla$ is the corresponding connection on ΠW . A triple (V, ∇, η) is a *differential cocycle* and $[V, \mathbb{A}, \phi] \in \widehat{\text{K}}^0(M)$ denotes its class in differential K-theory.

Remark 7.2. In any given equivalence class (81) there is a representative involving an ordinary connection: every superconnection is concordant to an ordinary connection.

We note that (81) implies there is a well-defined map

$$\widehat{\text{K}}^0(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{\text{even}}(M), \quad [V, \nabla, \phi] \mapsto \text{Ch}(V, \nabla, \phi) := \text{sTr}(e^{-\nabla^2}) + d\phi,$$

valued in closed, even differential forms. For the generalization below, we emphasize that ϕ is a coboundary mediating between the differential forms $\text{sTr}(e^{-\nabla^2})$ and $\text{Ch}(V, \nabla, \phi)$.

7.2. Differential refinements of Cl_n -bundles.

Definition 7.3. A *differential refinement* of a Cl_n -bundle $V = \{V_\sigma, g_{\sigma\tau}, e_{\sigma\tau}\}$ defined relative to an open cover $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ is data

- (i) for each $\sigma \subset I$, a self-adjoint Cl_n -linear superconnection \mathbb{A}_σ on $V_\sigma \rightarrow U_\sigma$, and
- (ii) a closed global form $\text{Ch}(V, \mathbb{A}, \phi) \in \Omega^\bullet(M; \mathbb{R}[\alpha^{\pm 1}])$ of total degree n ;
- (iii) a coboundary $\{\phi_\sigma \in \Omega^\bullet(U_\sigma; \mathbb{R}[\alpha^{\pm 1}])\}$ in the Čech–de Rham complex mediating between the global form $\text{Ch}(V, \mathbb{A}, \phi)$ and the cocycle $\text{Ch}_n(\{U_i\}, \mathbb{A}_i) \in \Omega^\bullet(U_*; \mathbb{R}[u^{\pm 1}])$ constructed in Proposition 6.15 (which depends on a choice of ordering on I).

A differential refinement of a Cl_n -bundle is a *differential cocycle* denoted by $(V, \mathbb{A}, \phi) = \{(V_\sigma, \mathbb{A}_\sigma, \phi_\sigma), (g_{\sigma\tau}, e_{\sigma\tau})\}$.

We unpack the data and property of the Čech–de Rham coboundary in (iii). The data of this coboundary are differential forms $\phi_i \in \Omega^\bullet(U_i; \mathbb{R}[\alpha^{\pm 1}])$ of total degree $n - 1$ for each $i \in I$. These forms satisfy two properties. First, the locally defined quantities

$$(83) \quad \text{Ch}(\mathbb{A}_i) + d\phi_i \in \Omega^\bullet(U_i; \mathbb{R}[\alpha^{\pm 1}]), \quad \delta(\text{Ch}(\mathbb{A}_i) + d\phi_i) = 0$$

determine the global form in (ii), i.e.,

$$\mathrm{Ch}(V, \mathbb{A}, \phi)|_{U_i} - \mathrm{Ch}(\mathbb{A}_i) = d\phi_i \in \Omega^\bullet(U_i; \mathbb{R}[\alpha^{\pm 1}]), \quad \mathrm{Ch}(V, \mathbb{A}, \phi) \in \Omega^\bullet(M; \mathbb{R}[\alpha^{\pm 1}]).$$

Second, for all $i < j$,

$$(84) \quad \mathrm{CS}(\tilde{\mathbb{A}}_{ij}) = \phi_j - \phi_i \in \Omega^\bullet(U_{ji}; \mathbb{R}[\alpha, \alpha^{-1}]),$$

for the Chern–Simons form defined in (79).

Definition 7.4. A *concordance* between differential cocycles $(V_0, \mathbb{A}_0, \phi_0), (V_1, \mathbb{A}_1, \phi_1)$ is a differential cocycle $(\tilde{V}, \tilde{\mathbb{A}}, \tilde{\phi})$ over $M \times \mathbb{R}$ together with isomorphisms

$$i_0^* \tilde{V} \cong V_0, \quad i_0^*(\tilde{\mathbb{A}}, \tilde{\phi}) = (\mathbb{A}_0, \phi_0), \quad i_1^* \tilde{V} \cong V_1, \quad i_1^*(\tilde{\mathbb{A}}, \tilde{\phi}) = (\mathbb{A}_1, \phi_1).$$

satisfying the indicated compatibility with the superconnections and differential form data.

Definition 7.5. Given a concordance between differential cocycles, define the *total Chern–Simons form*

$$(85) \quad \mathrm{CS}((V_0, \mathbb{A}_0, \phi_0), (V_1, \mathbb{A}_1, \phi_1)) = \int_{[0,1]} \mathrm{Ch}_n(\tilde{V}, \tilde{\mathbb{A}}, \tilde{\phi}) \in \Omega^\bullet(M; \mathbb{R}[\alpha, \alpha^{-1}])$$

of total degree $n - 1$. Again by Stokes' theorem, the total Chern–Simons measures the difference between the Chern characters of concordant differential cocycles as in (64).

For a smooth manifold M , consider the set of degree n differential cocycles modulo the following equivalence relation

$$(86) \quad (V, \mathbb{A}, \phi) \sim (V', \mathbb{A}', \phi') \iff \begin{array}{l} \text{there exists a concordance } (\tilde{V}, \tilde{\mathbb{A}}, \tilde{\phi}) \\ \text{with } \mathrm{CS}((V, \mathbb{A}, \phi), (V', \mathbb{A}', \phi')) \in \text{image}(d). \end{array}$$

Definition 7.6. For a smooth manifold M , define $\widehat{\mathrm{KO}}^n(M)$ as the quotient of the free abelian group generated by equivalence classes (86) modulo the subgroup generated by

$$(87) \quad (V, \mathbb{A}, \phi) + (V', \mathbb{A}', \phi') - (V \oplus V', \mathbb{A} \oplus \mathbb{A}', \phi + \phi').$$

Let $[V, \mathbb{A}, \phi] \in \widehat{\mathrm{KO}}^n(M)$ denote the equivalence class of a differential cocycle in differential KO-theory.

Remark 7.7. Suppose (V, \mathbb{A}, ϕ) is a differential cocycle for which V is a basic Cl_n -bundle. Then by Stokes' theorem

$$\mathrm{CS}((V, \mathbb{A}, \phi), (V', \mathbb{A}', \phi')) = \int_{[0,1]} (\mathrm{Ch}_n(\tilde{\mathbb{A}}) + d\tilde{\phi}) = \mathrm{CS}(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{A}') + \phi' - \phi.$$

The condition (86) asks that the right hand side be an exact form, which directly generalizes the equivalence relation (81) in differential complex K-theory.

7.3. A ring structure on $\widehat{\mathrm{KO}}^\bullet(M)$. We define the following product structure on differential cocycles; compare [FL10, Equation 2.20] or [Klo08, §4.2].

Definition 7.8. Given a differential cocycle (V, \mathbb{A}, ϕ) on M and a differential cocycle (V', \mathbb{A}', ϕ') on M' define a differential cocycle on $V \boxtimes V'$ (see Definition 5.11) as

$$(88) \quad \{V_\sigma \boxtimes V'_\alpha, \mathbb{A}_\sigma \boxtimes 1 + 1 \boxtimes \mathbb{A}'_\alpha, \phi_\sigma \mathrm{Ch}_n(\mathbb{A}'_\alpha) + (-1)^n \mathrm{Ch}_n(\mathbb{A}_\sigma) \phi'_\alpha + \phi_\sigma d\phi'_\alpha\}$$

where $\mathbb{A}_\sigma \boxtimes 1 + 1 \boxtimes \mathbb{A}'_\alpha$ is a superconnection on $V_\sigma \boxtimes V'_\alpha$ and $\phi_\sigma \mathrm{Ch}_n(\mathbb{A}'_\alpha) + \phi'_\alpha \mathrm{Ch}_m(\mathbb{A}_\sigma) + \phi_\sigma d\phi'_\alpha \in \Omega^\bullet(U_\sigma \times U'_\alpha; \mathbb{R}[\alpha, \alpha^{-1}])$. We use the shorthand $(V \boxtimes V', \mathbb{A} \boxtimes \mathbb{A}', \phi \boxtimes \phi')$ for the resulting differential cocycle.

Lemma 7.9. The assignment $(V, \mathbb{A}, \phi) \mapsto \mathrm{Ch}_n(V, \mathbb{A}, \phi)$ is compatible with sums and products:

- (1) For differential cocycles (V, \mathbb{A}, ϕ) and (V, \mathbb{A}', ϕ') of degree n we have $\mathrm{Ch}_n(V \oplus V', \mathbb{A} \oplus \mathbb{A}', \phi + \phi') = \mathrm{Ch}_n(V, \mathbb{A}, \phi) + \mathrm{Ch}_n(V', \mathbb{A}', \phi')$.
- (2) For differential cocycles (V, \mathbb{A}, ϕ) and (V', \mathbb{A}', ϕ') of degree n and m , we have $\mathrm{Ch}_{n+m}(V \boxtimes V', \mathbb{A} \boxtimes \mathbb{A}', \phi \boxtimes \phi') = \mathrm{Ch}_n(V, \mathbb{A}, \phi) \cdot \mathrm{Ch}_m(V', \mathbb{A}', \phi')$.

Proof. The statement can be checked locally, where it follows from the definition and properties (32) and (33) of the Clifford super trace. \square

The following verifies that tensor products of differential cocycles endows $\widehat{\text{KO}}^\bullet(M)$ with a graded ring structure.

Lemma 7.10. If degree n differential cocycles (V, \mathbb{A}, ϕ) and (V', \mathbb{A}', ϕ') over M are concordant, and degree m differential cocycles (W, \mathbb{B}, ψ) and (W', \mathbb{B}', ψ') over N are concordant, then $(V \boxtimes W, \mathbb{A} \boxtimes \mathbb{B}, \phi \boxtimes \psi)$ is concordant to $(V' \boxtimes W', \mathbb{A}' \boxtimes \mathbb{B}', \phi' \boxtimes \psi')$ over $M \times N$. Furthermore, up to exact forms the total Chern–Simons form of the concordance is

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{CS}((V \boxtimes W, \mathbb{A} \boxtimes \mathbb{B}, \phi \boxtimes \psi), (V' \boxtimes W', \mathbb{A}' \boxtimes \mathbb{B}', \phi' \boxtimes \psi')) \\ &= \text{CS}((V, \mathbb{A}, \phi), (V', \mathbb{A}', \phi')) \text{Ch}_m(W, \mathbb{B}, \psi) \\ & \quad + (-1)^n \text{Ch}_n(V, \mathbb{A}, \phi) \text{CS}((W, \mathbb{B}, \psi), (W', \mathbb{B}', \psi')) \\ & \quad + \text{CS}((V, \mathbb{A}, \phi), (V', \mathbb{A}', \phi')) d\text{CS}((W, \mathbb{B}, \psi), (W', \mathbb{B}', \psi')). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let \tilde{W} be the concordance over $M \times \mathbb{R}$ and \tilde{V} be the concordance over $N \times \mathbb{R}$. Then we pullback $\tilde{W} \boxtimes \tilde{V}$ along the map

$$M \times N \times \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\text{id} \times \Delta} M \times N \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \simeq (M \times \mathbb{R}) \times (N \times \mathbb{R})$$

where $\Delta: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ is the diagonal map. This pullback gives the desired concordance between $(W \boxtimes V, \mathbb{A} \boxtimes \mathbb{B}, \phi \boxtimes \psi)$ and $(V' \boxtimes W', \mathbb{A}' \boxtimes \mathbb{B}', \phi' \boxtimes \psi')$. By Lemma 7.9 part (2) and a short computation using (67), the Chern–Simons form is as claimed. \square

7.4. Differential refinements of stable equivalences.

Definition 7.11. Let $V_0, V_1 \rightarrow M$ be basic Cl_n -modules. A *differential stable equivalence* between differential cocycles $(V_0, \mathbb{A}_0, \phi_0)$ and $(V_1, \mathbb{A}_1, \phi_1)$ is an inclusion $g: V_0 \hookrightarrow V_1$ with the data of a $\text{Cl}_n \otimes \text{Cl}_{-1}$ -action on $V_0^\perp \subset V_1$ extending the given Cl_n -action such that

$$(89) \quad \text{CS}(\mathbb{A}'_0, \mathbb{A}_1) = \phi_0 - \phi_1 \in \Omega^\bullet(M; \mathbb{R}[u, u])^{n-1} / \text{image}(d)$$

for $\text{CS}(\mathbb{A}'_0, \mathbb{A}_1)$ the differential form constructed in Lemma 6.13.

Lemma 7.12. Let $V_0, V_1 \rightarrow M$ be Cl_n -modules, and suppose that differential cocycles $(V_0, \mathbb{A}_0, \phi_0)$ and $(V_1, \mathbb{A}_1, \phi_1)$ are differential stable equivalent in the sense of Definition 7.11. Then $(V_0, \mathbb{A}_0, \phi_0)$ and $(V_1, \mathbb{A}_1, \phi_1)$ are concordant with vanishing total Chern–Simons form.

Proof. Lemma 5.9 constructs a concordance between the Cl_n bundles V and V' , so it remains to construct a differential refinement of this concordance with the claimed properties. The superconnection data is specified by

$$\begin{aligned} (p_-^* V_0, p_-^* \mathbb{A}_0, p_-^* \phi_0) &\rightarrow M \times (-\infty, 1), & (p^* V_1, p^* \mathbb{A}_1, p^* \phi_1) &\rightarrow M \times (0, 1), \\ (p_+^* V_1, p_+^* \mathbb{A}_1, p_+^* \phi_1) &\rightarrow M \times (0, \infty) \end{aligned}$$

where $p: M \times (0, 1) \rightarrow M$, $p_-: M \times (-\infty, 1) \rightarrow M$ and $p_+: M \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow M$. The Čech–de Rham coboundary is given by ϕ_0 and ϕ_1 : the condition (84) follows from the property (89) of a stable equivalence, and the condition (83) follows from the equality

$$(90) \quad \text{Ch}(\mathbb{A}_0) + d\phi_0 = \text{Ch}(\mathbb{A}_1) + d\phi_1.$$

Finally, (90) also implies that the total Chern form of this differential cocycle over $M \times \mathbb{R}$ is constant in \mathbb{R} , being equal to the pullback of (90) along the projection $M \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$. Having no dt component, the integral defining the Chern–Simons form vanishes identically. \square

By Lemma 6.13, we obtain the following corollary that produces the claimed generalization of the second relation in (82).

Corollary 7.13. Let (W, \mathbb{A}, ϕ) be a differential cocycle over M . If W has an extension to a $\text{Cl}_n \otimes \text{Cl}_{-1}$ -bundle over M , then there exists a concordance of differential cocycles with vanishing total Chern–Simons form between (W, \mathbb{A}, ϕ) and $(\mathbf{0}, 0, \zeta)$, where $\mathbf{0}$ is the zero bundle over M with zero connection 0 , and $\zeta \in \Omega^\bullet(M; \mathbb{R}[u, u])^{n-1} / \text{image}(d)$ satisfies

$$(91) \quad \text{Ch}_n(W, \mathbb{A}, \phi) = d\zeta.$$

7.5. A differential refinement of the KO-suspension class. The suspension isomorphism in de Rham cohomology has a cocycle-level description as external multiplication with a differential form $U_{\mathbb{R}^n}$

$$\Omega^\bullet(M) \rightarrow \Omega_c^{\bullet+n}(M \times \mathbb{R}^n), \quad \alpha \mapsto \alpha \wedge U_{\mathbb{R}^n}, \quad U_{\mathbb{R}^n} \in \Omega_c^n(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} U_{\mathbb{R}^n} = 1$$

where $\Omega_c^n(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \Omega^n(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the subspace of rapidly decreasing forms; these forms give a model for compactly supported cohomology [MQ86, §4]. Any rapidly decreasing form with total integral 1 gives a suspension class (note our normalization above for this integral). A standard choice for $U_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ is the Gaussian

$$(92) \quad U_{\mathbb{R}^n} := 2^{n/2} e^{-|x|^2} d\text{vol}.$$

The suspension isomorphism $\text{KO}^k(M) \rightarrow \text{KO}_c^{k+n}(M \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ is similarly determined by external multiplication with a generator of $\text{KO}_c^n(\mathbb{R}^n) \simeq \widehat{\text{KO}}^n(S^n) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$; see Lemma 5.17. We use Definition 5.14 of a compactly supported Cl_n -bundle in the following.

Definition 7.14. Define a *rapidly decreasing degree k differential cocycle* over \mathbb{R}^n as a compactly supported Cl_n -bundle V and a differential refinement (V, \mathbb{A}, ϕ) for which $\text{Ch}_k(V, \mathbb{A}, \phi) \in \Omega(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}[\alpha, \alpha^{-1}])$ and $\{\phi_\sigma\}$ are rapidly decreasing differential forms on \mathbb{R}^n . Consider the set of equivalence classes of rapidly decreasing differential cocycles modulo the relation (86). Define $\widehat{\text{KO}}_c^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as the quotient of the free abelian group on this set by the subgroup generated by (87).

By the above definition, there are maps

$$(93) \quad \text{KO}_c^n(\mathbb{R}^n) \xleftarrow{[-]} \widehat{\text{KO}}_c^n(\mathbb{R}^n) \xrightarrow{\text{Ch}_n} \Omega_c(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}[\alpha, \alpha^{-1}])^n,$$

and so one can ask for a differential cocycle $\hat{\sigma}_{\mathbb{R}^n} \in \widehat{\text{KO}}_c^n(\mathbb{R}^n)$ that simultaneously refines the suspension class in KO-theory and the differential form representative (92) of the suspension class in de Rham cohomology.

Definition 7.15. Let $(\mathbb{R}^{1|1}, \mathbb{A})$ denote the basic Cl_1 -bundle on \mathbb{R} with super vector bundle $\mathbb{R}^{1|1} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and Cl_1 -action determined by the equation for f in (25). Equip this basic Cl_1 -bundle with the superconnection

$$(94) \quad \mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}_{(0)} + \mathbb{A}_{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & x \\ x & 0 \end{bmatrix} + d = xe + d,$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is the standard coordinate, and we identify the odd endomorphism with the left action by $xe \in \text{Cl}_{-1}$ from Example 3.9. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we may also consider the Cl_n -bundle with superconnection on \mathbb{R}^n given by the n th external product $(\mathbb{R}^{1|1}, \mathbb{A})^{\boxtimes n}$.

The following is an elaboration on computations in [MQ86, §2].

Lemma 7.16. We have the equality of differential forms

$$\text{Ch}_n(\mathbb{A}^{\boxtimes n}) = U_{\mathbb{R}^n} \in \Omega_c^n(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

for $U_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ defined in (92).

Proof. It suffices to prove the lemma in the case $n = 1$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ch}_1(\mathbb{A}) &= \text{sTr}(\Gamma_1 u^{-1/2} \exp(-u\mathbb{A}(u)^2)) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \text{sTr} \left(u^{-1/2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \exp(-u\mathbb{A}(u)^2) \right) \\ &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-x^2} dx = \sqrt{2} e^{-x^2} dx \in \Omega_c^1(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}[u, u^{-1}]) \end{aligned}$$

where we remind that the factor of $2^{-1/2}$ in the second line is from the definition of Γ_1 in (21), and we used that

$$u\mathbb{A}(u)^2 = \begin{bmatrix} x^2 & 0 \\ 0 & x^2 \end{bmatrix} + u^{1/2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & dx \\ dx & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This shows $\text{Ch}_1(\mathbb{A}) = U_{\mathbb{R}}$ and the statement is proved. \square

The Cl_n -bundle underlying $(\mathbb{R}^{1|1}, \mathbb{A})^{\boxtimes n}$ is not compactly supported in the sense of Definition 5.14, and hence cannot be used to define a differential refinement of the suspension class. However, $(\mathbb{R}^{1|1}, \mathbb{A}, 0)^{\boxtimes n}$ is concordant to a compactly supported differential cocycle defined as follows; compare Lemma 5.17.

Definition 7.17. Define the *suspension cocycle* $\hat{\sigma}_{\mathbb{R}} = (V, \mathbb{A}, \phi) \in \widehat{\text{KO}}_c^1(\mathbb{R})$ as the equivalence class associated with the following differential cocycle on \mathbb{R} :

$$\begin{aligned} \{U_i\} &= \{(-1, 1), \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}\} \\ V_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}} &= \mathbf{0}, \quad \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}} = 0, \quad V_{(-1, 1)} = \mathbb{R}^{1|1}, \quad \mathbb{A}_{(-1, 1)} = d + xe, \\ \phi_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}} &= (\sqrt{2} \int_{-\infty}^x e^{-|t|^2} dt \amalg \sqrt{2} \int_{\infty}^x e^{-|t|^2} dt), \quad \phi_{(-1, 1)} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The stable isomorphism data over $(-1, 0)$ and $(0, 1)$ comes from the $\text{Cl}_1 \otimes \text{Cl}_{-1}$ -action on $\mathbb{R}^{1|1}$ from Example 3.9. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define the *nth suspension cocycle* as external tensor product $\hat{\sigma}_{\mathbb{R}^n} := (\hat{\sigma}_{\mathbb{R}})^{\boxtimes n}$.

Lemma 7.18. There is a concordance between $\hat{\sigma}_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ and $(\mathbb{R}^{1|1}, \mathbb{A}, 0)^{\boxtimes n}$ whose Chern–Simons form vanishes.

Proof. On $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, consider the canonical inclusion $\mathbf{0} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1|1}$ with Cl_{-1} -action on $\mathbf{0}^{\perp} = \mathbb{R}^{1|1}$ from Example 3.9, and on $(1, -1)$ consider the identity inclusion $\mathbb{R}^{1|1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1|1}$. Corollary 7.13 verifies that this concordance of Cl_1 -bundles is a concordance between differential cocycles. \square

Lemma 7.19. The KO-class $[\hat{\sigma}_{\mathbb{R}^n}] \in \text{KO}_c^n(\mathbb{R}^n) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ underlying the *nth suspension cocycle* is the *nth suspension class*, i.e., a generator.

Proof. It suffices to prove the $n = 1$ case, which follows from Lemma 5.17. \square

Definition 7.20. The *nth differential suspension map* is

$$(95) \quad \boxtimes \hat{\sigma}_{\mathbb{R}^n} : \widehat{\text{KO}}^k(M) \rightarrow \widehat{\text{KO}}_{cv}^{k+n}(M \times \mathbb{R}^n), \quad (W, \mathbb{A}, \phi) \mapsto (W, \mathbb{A}, \phi) \boxtimes \hat{\sigma}_{\mathbb{R}^n}$$

where the subscript *cv* stands for compact vertical support relative to $M \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow M$.

7.6. A model for differential KO-theory from Cl_n -bundles.

Lemma 7.21. For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the following square commutes:

$$(96) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{\text{KO}}^n(M) & \longrightarrow & \text{KO}^n(M) \\ \text{Ch}_n \downarrow & & \downarrow [\text{Ch}_n] \\ \Omega_{\text{cl}}(M; \mathbb{R}[\alpha, \alpha^{-1}])^n & \longrightarrow & \text{H}^n(M; \mathbb{R}[\alpha, \alpha^{-1}]). \end{array}$$

where the upper horizontal arrow sends a differential cocycle in $\widehat{\text{KO}}^n(M)$ to its underlying class in $\text{KO}^n(M)$ (via Proposition 5.12), the lower horizontal arrow sends a closed form to its de Rham cohomology class, and the arrow Ch_n extracts the datum of the closed differential form $\text{Ch}_n(V, \mathbb{A}, \phi) \in \Omega_{\text{cl}}(M; \mathbb{R}[\alpha, \alpha^{-1}])^n$ of total degree n .

Proof. This follows from Proposition 6.16 and the definition of a differential cocycle. \square

Lemma 7.22. There is an exact sequence

$$(97) \quad \text{KO}^{n-1}(M) \xrightarrow{[\text{Ch}]} \Omega^{n-1}(M; \mathbb{R}[\alpha, \alpha^{-1}]) / \text{image}(d) \xrightarrow{a} \widehat{\text{KO}}^n(M) \rightarrow \text{KO}^n(M) \rightarrow 0$$

where the map $a(\phi) = [\mathbf{0}, 0, \phi] \in \widehat{\text{KO}}^n(M)$ sends a differential form ϕ of total degree $n - 1$ to the zero Cl_n -bundle with differential refinement determined by ϕ .

Proof. We work from right to left. Contractibility of spaces of superconnections and differential forms implies that the map $\widehat{\text{KO}}^n(M) \rightarrow \text{KO}^n(M)$ is surjective. Exactness at $\widehat{\text{KO}}^n(M)$ follows from Corollary 7.13. Next we check exactness at $\Omega^{n-1}(M; \mathbb{R}[\alpha, \alpha^{-1}])$. By Proposition 5.12, it suffices to show that for all degree $n-1$ differential cocycles (V, \mathbb{A}, ϕ) the degree n differential cocycle $(\mathbf{0}, 0, \text{Ch}_{n-1}(V, \mathbb{A}, \phi))$ is concordant to zero. In turn, this requires we find a concordance from the zero Cl_n -bundle and a differential refinement of this concordance whose Chern–Simons form is $\text{Ch}_{n-1}(V, \mathbb{A}, \phi)$. Apply the differential suspension map (95) to (V, \mathbb{A}, ϕ) . This yields a compactly supported degree n differential cocycle over $M \times \mathbb{R}$, which (after a reparameterization of \mathbb{R}) determines a concordance from the zero Cl_n -bundle to itself. By Lemma 7.9 and the fact that $\text{Ch}(\sigma_{\mathbb{R}}) = U_{\mathbb{R}}$ from (92) integrates to 1, the Chern–Simons form of this concordance is precisely $\text{Ch}_{n-1}(V, \mathbb{A}, \phi)$. The lemma is proved. \square

Proposition 7.23. The k th differential suspension map (95) is an isomorphism.

Proof. It suffices to prove the statement for $k = 1$. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{KO}^{n-1}(M) & \xrightarrow{[\text{Ch}_{n-1}]} & \Omega^{n-1}(M; \mathbb{R}[\alpha, \alpha^{-1}])/\text{image}(d) & \longrightarrow & \widehat{\text{KO}}^n(M) \longrightarrow \text{KO}^n(M) \\ \downarrow [\sigma_{\mathbb{R}}] & & \downarrow (-) \wedge U_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \right) & & \downarrow \sigma_{\mathbb{R}} \quad \downarrow [\sigma_{\mathbb{R}}] \\ \text{KO}_c^n(M \times \mathbb{R}) & \xrightarrow{[\text{Ch}_n]} & \Omega_c^n(M \times \mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}[\alpha, \alpha^{-1}])/\text{image}(d) & \longrightarrow & \widehat{\text{KO}}_c^{n+1}(M \times \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \text{KO}_c^{n+1}(M \times \mathbb{R}), \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows on the far left and far right are the suspension isomorphism in (non-differential) KO-theory. The arrow given by the external tensor product with the rapidly decreasing 1-form $U_{\mathbb{R}} = \sqrt{2}e^{-x^2}dx$ has an inverse given by integration over \mathbb{R} . Hence, three of the vertical arrows are isomorphisms. By exactness of the rows and surjectivity of the horizontal maps on the far right, we conclude the dashed vertical arrow is an isomorphism by the five lemma. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. The result follows from the uniqueness of multiplicative differential extensions for rationally even cohomology theories [BS10, Theorem 1.7], using that $\widehat{\text{KO}}^\bullet(-)$ is multiplicative together with Lemmas 7.21 and 7.22. \square

Remark 7.24. One can also prove Theorem 1.2 directly without appealing to Bunke and Schick’s unicity theorem. To sketch the idea, for $n = 0$ Theorem 1.2 follows from the same arguments for the generators and relations presentation for complex K-theory in degree 0, e.g., see [FL10, §2.2]. With the $n = 0$ case in hand, the general statement follows the differential suspension isomorphism using the same argument as [FL10, Proposition 9.21]. Yet another proof comes from constructing an isomorphism between $\widehat{\text{KO}}^\bullet$ and the differential refinement constructed by Hopkins and Singer [HS05, §4] as a homotopy pullback; for this comparison, one uses the point-set model for the KO-spectrum from §4, together with the description of the Pontryagin character from §6.

8. THE FAMILIES DIFFERENTIAL INDEX

8.1. Review of the (families) analytic index. Let X be an n -dimensional Riemannian spin manifold with principal $\text{Spin}(n)$ -bundle $P \rightarrow X$. Define the vector bundle [LM94, II.7]

$$(98) \quad \mathbb{S}_X := P \times_{\text{Spin}(n)} \text{Cl}_n \simeq P \times_{\text{Spin}(n)} (\text{Cl}_n^{\text{ev}} \oplus \text{Cl}_n^{\text{odd}})$$

with $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -grading inherited from the grading on the Clifford algebra as indicated. The fibers of \mathbb{S}_X are invertible $\text{Cl}(TX) - \text{Cl}_n$ -bimodules. The Dirac operator is the composition

$$(99) \quad \not{D}: \Gamma(\mathbb{S}_X) \xrightarrow{\nabla} \Gamma(T^*X) \otimes_{C^\infty(X)} \Gamma(\mathbb{S}_X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma(TX) \otimes_{C^\infty(X)} \Gamma(\mathbb{S}_X) \xrightarrow{\text{cl}} \Gamma(\mathbb{S}_X),$$

where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection, the middle isomorphism uses the metric, and the final arrow on the right is (left) Clifford multiplication. Hence, \not{D} commutes with the right Cl_n -action on $\Gamma(\mathbb{S}_X)$. Given a real vector bundle $V \rightarrow X$ with metric and compatible

connection ∇^V , the V -twisted Cl_n -linear Dirac operator $\not{D} \otimes V$ is defined similarly on $\Gamma(\mathbb{S}_X \otimes V)$. After trading the right Cl_n -action in (98) for a left Cl_{-n} -action (using $\text{Cl}_n^{\text{op}} = \text{Cl}_{-n}$), the Dirac operator $\not{D} \otimes V$ is a Cl_{-n} -linear unbounded operator on the L^2 -completion of sections $L^2(\mathbb{S}_X \otimes V)$, which we identify with a stable Cl_{-n} -module. The space of identifications $L^2(\mathbb{S}_X \otimes V) \simeq \mathcal{H}_{-n}$ is contractible by [Kui65]. The domain of $\not{D} \otimes V$ is the dense subspace of smooth spinors, $\Gamma(\mathbb{S}_X \otimes V) \subset L^2(\mathbb{S}_X \otimes V)$. When the spin manifold is compact, $\not{D} \otimes V$ has compact resolvent and so determines a point in the space Inf_{-n} of unbounded operators defined in §4.2. Under Theorem 4.7 we then obtain a class,

$$(100) \quad [\not{D} \otimes V] \in \text{KO}^{-n}(\text{pt}).$$

By Lemma 4.18, this class equals the one computed by the Clifford index (10).

The families generalization of (100) starts with the following geometric data.

Definition 8.1 ([BGV92, §10.1]). *A family of Riemannian spin manifolds is*

- (1) a smooth fibration of manifolds $\pi: X \rightarrow B$ whose fibers are compact of constant dimension n and have chosen spin structures;
- (2) a metric $g^{X/B}$ on the vertical tangent bundle $T(X/B) := \ker(d\pi) \subset TX$; and
- (3) a projection $p: TX \rightarrow T(X/B)$.

Letting $P \rightarrow X$ denote the principal spin bundle for $T(X/B) \rightarrow X$, we define the families spinor bundle $\mathbb{S} := P \times_{\text{Spin}(n)} \text{Cl}_n$ over X analogously to (98). Given a real vector bundle $V \rightarrow X$ with metric and compatible connection, we may form the V -twisted spinor bundle $\mathbb{S} \otimes V$. The associated Cl_{-n} -linear Dirac operator $\not{D} \otimes V$ is defined in the same manner as before. Restricting $\mathbb{S} \otimes V$ and $\not{D} \otimes V$ to a fiber $X_b := \pi^{-1}(\{b\})$ at $b \in B$, we recover the spinor bundle $\mathbb{S}_{X_b} \otimes V|_{X_b}$ and Dirac operator $\not{D} \otimes V|_{X_b}$ for the Riemannian spin manifold X_b .

The direct image $\pi_*(\mathbb{S} \otimes V)$ determines a smooth $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded Fréchet vector bundle over B [BGV92, §9.2]. The fibers of this bundle have the structure of left Cl_{-n} -bundles, and the Cl_{-n} -linear Dirac operator determines a family of Clifford linear odd operators. The fiberwise L^2 -completion of $\pi_*(\mathbb{S} \otimes V)$ determines a continuous (but not smooth) $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded Hilbert bundle over B . By Kuiper's theorem [Kui65], we may choose an identification with $\mathcal{H}_{-n} \times B \rightarrow B$, the trivial bundle. The family of Dirac operators then determines a continuous map

$$(101) \quad B \rightarrow \text{Inf}_n \quad b \mapsto (\not{D} \otimes V)_b \in \text{Inf}_n$$

for the spaces Inf_n constructed in [HST10] and reviewed in §4.2.

Definition 8.2. Define the *families analytic index* of $\pi: X \rightarrow B$ as the KO-class

$$(102) \quad [\not{D} \otimes V] \in [B, \text{Inf}_n] \simeq \text{KO}^{-n}(B)$$

determined by the map (101).

Remark 8.3. We learned the above description of the families analytic index from Stolz and Teichner, see [ST04, Remark 3.2.21] and [HST10, §3 and §6]. It is equivalent to the more standard Clifford linear Fredholm index from [LM94, III.16] using the comparison results [HST10, Proposition 3.11 and Theorem 8.2], stated as Theorem 4.7 above.

8.2. The families index as a Cl_{-n} -bundle. We refine the families analytic index (102) to a Cl_{-n} -module that is compatible with (102) via Proposition 5.12.

Proposition 8.4. Given a family of n -dimensional Riemannian spin manifolds $\pi: X \rightarrow B$ and a real vector bundle $V \rightarrow X$ with metric and compatible connection, there is a Cl_{-n} -bundle on B that is unique up to concordance representing the KO-class $[\not{D} \otimes V] \in \text{KO}^{-n}(B)$.

Proof. The existence of a continuous Cl_{-n} -bundle follows formally from the construction (101) and Lemma 4.18. A smooth refinement critically uses properties of Dirac operators. For the family $\not{D} \otimes V$ of Dirac operators acting on the (continuous) Hilbert bundle $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow B$, define an ordered open cover via subsets $U_\lambda \subset B$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$

$$(103) \quad U_\lambda =: \{b \in B \mid \lambda \notin \text{Spec}(\not{D}_b^2)\} \subset B.$$

For a fixed $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and $b \in U_\lambda$, define

$$(104) \quad \mathcal{H}_b^{<\lambda} := \bigoplus_{\nu < \lambda} \mathcal{H}_b^\nu \subset \mathcal{H}_b$$

as the direct sum of ν -eigenspaces \mathcal{H}_b^ν of \mathcal{D}_b^2 with eigenvalue $\nu < \lambda$. Let $\mathcal{H}^{<\lambda}$ denote the Cl_{-n} -invariant subset of $\mathcal{H}|_{U_\lambda} \simeq U_\lambda \times \mathcal{H}_{-n}$ whose fiber at b is (104). Ellipticity implies that the subsets (103) are smooth submanifolds and the subsets (104) are smooth, finite-rank bundles of Cl_{-n} -modules on U_λ ; see [BGV92, Proposition 9.10] or [LM94, III, Theorem 5.8].

We shall define a Cl_{-n} -bundle on B subordinate to the open cover $\{U_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ for any choice of subset $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ that determines a locally finite open cover of B . For $\sigma \subset \Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ a finite subset determining a nonempty intersection U_σ , let $\lambda \in \sigma \subset \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ be the largest element and assign the bundle $\mathcal{H}^{<\lambda}|_{U_\sigma} \rightarrow U_\sigma$ from restricting along the inclusion $U_\sigma \subset U_\lambda$. Over $U_\lambda \cap U_\mu$ for $\lambda < \mu$, there is a canonical inclusion of bundles of Cl_{-n} -modules

$$(105) \quad g_{\lambda\mu}: \mathcal{H}^{<\lambda}|_{U_\mu} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{<\mu}|_{U_\lambda}.$$

Indeed, there is an orthogonal decomposition $\mathcal{H}^{<\mu} \simeq \mathcal{H}^{<\lambda} \oplus \mathcal{H}^{(\lambda,\mu)}$ over $U_\lambda \cap U_\mu$, where $\mathcal{H}^{(\lambda,\mu)}$ is the finite-rank smooth vector bundle whose fiber at $b \in U_\lambda \cap U_\mu$ is the direct sum of eigenspaces of \mathcal{D}_b^2 with eigenvalues in (λ, μ) . Hence, $(\mathcal{H}^{<\lambda})^\perp = \mathcal{H}^{(\lambda,\mu)}$, for the orthogonal complement taken relative to the inclusion (105). Define a family of odd endomorphisms of $\mathcal{H}^{(\lambda,\mu)}$ that at $b \in U_\lambda \cap U_\mu$ is given by a direct sum of linear maps on each eigenspace

$$(106) \quad e_{\lambda\mu}(b) := \bigoplus_{\nu \in (\lambda,\mu)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}} \mathcal{D}_b|_{\mathcal{H}_b^\nu}, \quad e_{\lambda\mu}^2 = \text{id}_{\mathcal{H}^{(\lambda,\mu)}}$$

where sum is indexed by eigenvalues $\nu \in (\lambda, \mu)$ of \mathcal{D}_b^2 , and $e_{\lambda\mu}$ generates a self-adjoint Cl_{-1} -action on $\mathcal{H}^{(\lambda,\mu)}$. For arbitrary $\sigma \subset \tau \subset \Lambda$, the above data restrict to give the data of a Cl_{-n} -bundle associated to the inclusion $U_\tau \subset U_\sigma$. This completes the construction of a Cl_{-n} -bundle associated to a family of spin manifolds.

A priori the above construction depends on a choice of subcover $\{U_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ for $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. For a different choice of subcover $\{U_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda'}$, we can compare the resulting Cl_{-n} -bundles on the mutual refinement $\{U_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in (\Lambda \cup \Lambda')}$. For $\lambda < \lambda'$, we obtain inclusions (105) of Cl_{-n} -bundles with commuting Cl_{-1} -actions on the orthogonal complement to the inclusion. This gives the data of a stable equivalence of Cl_{-n} -bundles. By Lemma 5.9, the Cl_{-n} -bundles are therefore concordant.

The Cl_{-n} -bundle constructed above determines a continuous functor $\check{C}(U_\lambda)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{-n}$ that (by comparing with Lemma 4.18) factors the map $B \rightarrow \text{Inf}_n$ in (102). Hence, the associated KO-class agrees with the families analytic index. \square

8.3. A differential refinement of families index. Following Bismut [Bis86], the geometric data in Definition 8.1 allows for the construction of a superconnection on the infinite rank bundle $\pi_*(\mathbb{S} \otimes V)$ whose degree zero part is the family of Dirac operators $\mathcal{D} \otimes V$. To review this, let $\Omega^\bullet(B; \pi_*(\mathbb{S} \otimes V))$ denote the real Fréchet space of differential forms with values in the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded Fréchet bundle $\pi_*(\mathbb{S} \otimes V)$. We regard $\Omega^\bullet(B; \pi_*(\mathbb{S} \otimes V))$ as a left Cl_{-n} -module. Following [BGV92, Definition 9.12], a *superconnection on $\pi_*(\mathbb{S} \otimes V)$ adapted to $\mathcal{D} \otimes V$* is a differential operator $\mathbb{A}: \Omega^\bullet(B; \pi_*(\mathbb{S} \otimes V)) \rightarrow \Omega^\bullet(B; \pi_*(\mathbb{S} \otimes V))$ of odd degree satisfying a Leibniz rule and taking the form

$$\mathbb{A} = \mathcal{D} \otimes V + \sum_{i=1}^{\dim(B)} \mathbb{A}_i$$

i.e., the degree zero part of the superconnection is the family of Dirac operators. A superconnection is *Cl_{-n} -linear* if it graded commutes with the Cl_{-n} -action on $\Omega^\bullet(B; \pi_*(\mathbb{S} \otimes V))$.

The Fréchet bundle $\pi_*(\mathbb{S} \otimes V)$ carries a unitary connection $\nabla^{\pi_*(\mathbb{S} \otimes V)}$ constructed from the Levi-Civita connection on \mathbb{S} , the connection on V , and the mean curvature of the fibers

of $\pi: X \rightarrow B$ [BGV92, Proposition 9.13]. The *Bismut superconnection* is defined as [BGV92, Proposition 10.15]

$$(107) \quad \mathbb{B} := \not{D} \otimes V + \nabla^{\pi_*(\mathbb{S} \otimes V)} - \frac{c(T)}{4}$$

where $c(T)$ is Clifford multiplication by the curvature 2-form T of the bundle $\pi: X \rightarrow B$. The Bismut superconnection is Cl_{-n} -linear: each of the three terms on the right of (107) independently commutes with the Cl_{-n} -action, where it is important to note that the operator $c(T)$ uses the left $\text{Cl}(TX)$ -action, which commutes with the left Cl_{-n} -action.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. It remains to construct a differential refinement of the Cl_{-n} -bundle $\{\mathcal{H}^{<\lambda}, g_{\lambda\mu}, e_{\lambda\mu}\}$ from Proposition 8.4. Following Definition 7.3, we will supply data:

- (i) ordinary Cl_{-n} -linear connections $\nabla^{<\lambda}$ on each $\mathcal{H}^{<\lambda}$;
- (ii) a global differential form of total degree $-n$; and
- (iii) differential forms $\eta_\lambda \in \Omega^\bullet(B; \mathbb{C}[u, u^{-1}])$ determining a Čech-de Rham coboundary between the Pontryagin character associated with (i) and the global form from (ii).

For (i), define connections

$$(108) \quad \nabla^{<\lambda} := p_\lambda \circ \nabla^{\pi_*(\mathbb{S} \otimes V)} \circ p_\lambda$$

on each $\mathcal{H}^{<\lambda} \rightarrow U_\lambda$, where $p_\lambda: \mathcal{H}|_{U_\lambda} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{<\lambda}$ is the fiberwise orthogonal projection. By [BGV92, Proposition 9.10], p_λ is a smoothing operator, and hence the resulting operator $\nabla^{<\lambda}$ on the finite-rank vector bundle $\mathcal{H}^{<\lambda} \rightarrow U_\lambda$ is smooth. Finally, since p_λ and $\nabla^{\pi_*(\mathbb{S} \otimes V)}$ are Cl_{-n} -linear, the resulting connection $\nabla^{<\lambda}$ on $\mathcal{H}^{<\lambda}$ is Cl_{-n} -linear.

For (ii), we take the differential form

$$(109) \quad u^{-n/2} \int_{X/B} \text{Ch}(\nabla^V) \wedge \hat{A}(X/B) \in \Omega_{\text{cl}}^\bullet(B; \mathbb{R}[\alpha, \alpha^{-1}])$$

where

$$(110) \quad \hat{A}(X/B) := \det^{1/2} \left(\frac{u \cdot (\nabla^{X/B})^2}{\sinh(u \cdot (\nabla^{X/B})^2)} \right) \in \Omega(X; \mathbb{R}[\alpha, \alpha^{-1}])^0$$

is the \hat{A} -form of the vertical tangent bundle of $\pi: X \rightarrow B$ constructed from the Levi-Civita connection $\nabla^{X/B}$ for the family. The integral in (109) is over the fibers of $\pi: X \rightarrow B$. Since $\text{Ch}(\nabla^V)$ and $\hat{A}(X/B)$ have total degree zero, (109) has total degree $-n$.

The construction of the data (iii) is a bit more involved, but essentially follows the same arc as Bismut's proof of the local index theorem. Indeed, we shall construct differential forms $\eta_\lambda \in \Omega^\bullet(U_\lambda; \mathbb{R}[\alpha, \alpha^{-1}])$ of total degree $n-1$ satisfying

$$(111) \quad \text{Ch}_{-n}(\nabla^{<\lambda}) + d\eta_\lambda = \left(u^{-n/2} \int_{X/B} \text{Ch}(\nabla^V) \wedge \hat{A}(X/B) \right) |_{U_\lambda} \in \Omega_{\text{cl}}^\bullet(U_\lambda; \mathbb{R}[\alpha, \alpha^{-1}]).$$

Using that the Pontryagin character is the Chern character of the complexification, the η_λ come from applying Morita equivalences to reduce the problem to Bismut's known construction for the families index over \mathbb{C} , see [BGV92, Chapter 10] for n even and [BF86, §II.f] for n odd. Our particular method of constructing η_λ is an adaptation of [FL10, §7.2].

Recall the invertible bimodule \mathbb{C}^{11} implementing the Morita equivalence between $\mathbb{C}\ell_2$ and \mathbb{C} from Example 3.13. Taking tensor powers, we obtain invertible bimodules

$$M(n) := \begin{cases} \text{invertible } \mathbb{C} - \text{Cl}_{-n} \text{ bimodule for } n \text{ even} \\ \text{invertible } \text{Cl}_{-1} - \text{Cl}_{-n} \text{ bimodule for } n \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

Consider the Fréchet vector bundles on B ,

$$(112) \quad M(n) \otimes_{\text{Cl}_{-n}} \pi_*(\mathbb{S} \otimes V_{\mathbb{C}}) \simeq \pi_*(M(n) \otimes_{\text{Cl}_{-n}} \mathbb{S} \otimes V_{\mathbb{C}}) \simeq \pi_*(\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V)$$

as a bundle of $\text{Cl}_0 = \mathbb{C}$ -modules when n is even and Cl_{-1} -modules when n is odd. The last isomorphism in (112) translates between Clifford linear Dirac operators and Dirac operators

built out of spinor representations (see [LM94, §II.7]): when n is even, we identify (112) with the direct image of the standard $V \otimes \mathbb{C}$ -twisted complex spinor bundle

$$\mathcal{S} := P \times_{\text{Spin}(n)} \Delta \simeq M(n) \otimes_{\text{Cl}_{-n}} (\mathbb{S} \otimes \mathbb{C})$$

where \mathcal{S} is constructed as the associated bundle for the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded complex spin representation Δ (compare 98). Similarly, when n is odd we identify (112) with the Cl_{-1} -module of $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ -twisted complex spinors, again denoted $\mathcal{S} \simeq M(n) \otimes_{\text{Cl}_{-n}} \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{C}}$ above. Under these identifications, the operator

$$(113) \quad D := \text{id}_{M(n)} \otimes_{\text{Cl}_{-n}} (\not{D} \otimes V_{\mathbb{C}})$$

can be identified with the standard $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ -twisted Dirac operator acting on $\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V$. Furthermore, the Clifford linear Bismut superconnection (107) becomes the standard Bismut superconnection,

$$\mathbb{A}_{\text{Bis}} = M(n) \otimes_{\text{Cl}_{-n}} \otimes \mathbb{B},$$

e.g., as defined in [BGV92, Proposition 9.10] for n even, and [BF86, §II.f] for n odd.

For each $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ define the finite-rank complex vector bundle over U_{λ}

$$M(n) \otimes_{\text{Cl}_{-n}} (\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{<\lambda}) =: \begin{cases} \mathcal{H}_0^{<\lambda} & n \text{ even} \\ \mathcal{H}_1^{<\lambda} & n \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

whose fibers are $\mathbb{C} = \text{Cl}_0$ -modules when n is even and Cl_{-1} -modules when n is odd. For n even, the Cl_{-n} -linear connection on $\mathcal{H}^{<\lambda}$ determines a Cl_0 -linear connection $\nabla^{\mathcal{H}_0^{<\lambda}}$ on $\mathcal{H}_0^{<\lambda}$, and for n odd we obtain a Cl_{-1} -linear connection $\nabla^{\mathcal{H}_1^{<\lambda}}$ on $\mathcal{H}_1^{<\lambda}$. Furthermore, using (36) to compute the Clifford super dimension of the modules $M(n)$, the Clifford super trace in (111) can be computed by the complex Clifford super trace,

$$\text{Ch}_{-n}(\nabla^{<\lambda}) = \begin{cases} u^{-n/2} \text{Ch}_0(\nabla^{\mathcal{H}_0^{<\lambda}}) & n \text{ even} \\ u^{-(n-1)/2} \text{Ch}_{-1}(\nabla^{\mathcal{H}_1^{<\lambda}}) & n \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

We will use the notation

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}} := (M(n) \otimes_{\text{Cl}_{-n}} \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathbb{C}) = L^2(\pi_*(\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V))$$

to denote the continuous complex Hilbert bundle that is related to the real Hilbert bundle $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\pi_*(\mathbb{S} \otimes V_{\mathbb{C}}))$ by a Morita equivalence.

Next we apply an argument from [FL10, §7.2] to construct η_{λ} . For $l \in \{0, 1\}$, let $\Pi \mathcal{H}_l^{<\lambda}$ denote the parity reversal of the super vector bundle $\mathcal{H}_l^{<\lambda}$ and consider the (continuous) Hilbert bundle $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \Pi \mathcal{H}_l^{<\lambda}$ on U_{λ} with the \mathbb{R} -family of self-adjoint unbounded operators

$$(114) \quad D^{\lambda}(\alpha) = \begin{bmatrix} D & \alpha i_{\lambda} \\ \alpha p_{\lambda} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$$

where D is as in (113), $p_{\lambda}: \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_l^{<\lambda}$ is the spectral projection and $i_{\lambda}: \mathcal{H}_l^{<\lambda} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the inclusion. Fix a real-valued function $\alpha(s) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ that vanishes near $0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and has $\alpha(s) = 1$ for $s \geq 1$. Then define the 1-parameter family of superconnections

$$\mathbb{A}_{\text{Bis},\lambda}(s) = s D^{\lambda}(\alpha(s)) + \nabla^{\pi_*(\mathcal{S} \otimes V_{\mathbb{C}})} \oplus \nabla^{\mathcal{H}_l^{<\lambda}} - s^{-1} \frac{c(T)}{4} \oplus 0_{\mathcal{H}_l^{<\lambda}}.$$

For each s , this is a superconnection adapted to a finite-rank deformation of the family of Dirac operators D . Then for s near zero $\mathbb{A}_{\text{Bis},\lambda}(s) = \mathbb{A}_{\text{Bis}} \oplus \nabla^{\Pi \mathcal{H}_0^{<\lambda}}$ and

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \text{Ch}_{-l}(\mathbb{A}_{\text{Bis},\lambda}(s)) = u^{-n/2} \int_{X/B} \text{Ch}(V) \wedge \hat{A}(X/B) - \text{Ch}_{-l}(\nabla^{\mathcal{H}_l^{<\lambda}}),$$

by standard properties of the Bismut superconnection; for $l = 0$ see [BGV92, Theorem 10.23] and for $l = 1$ see [BF86, Theorem 2.10]. For $\alpha(s) > 0$, $D_{\lambda}(\alpha(s))$ is a family of invertible operators by [FL10, Lemma 7.18]. Then by [BGV92, Theorem 9.26],

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \text{Ch}(\mathbb{A}_{\text{Bis},\lambda}(s)) = 0.$$

Therefore, the differential form (compare [FL10, Equation 7.23])

$$\eta_\lambda := u^{-n/2} \int_0^\infty \mathfrak{sTr}(u \frac{d\mathbb{A}_{\text{Bis},\lambda}(s)}{ds} e^{u\mathbb{A}_{\text{Bis},\lambda}(s)^2}) ds \in \Omega^\bullet(U_\lambda; \mathbb{R}[\alpha, \alpha^{-1}])$$

is well-defined and satisfies (111). The $\{\nabla^{<\lambda}, \eta_\lambda\}$ provide the data for a differential refinement of the Cl_{-n} -bundle $\{\mathcal{H}^{<\lambda}, g_{\lambda\mu}\}$, where to U_σ we assign the restriction of $\{\mathcal{H}^{<\lambda}, \nabla^{<\lambda}, \eta_\lambda\}$ for $\lambda \in \sigma \subset \Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ the largest element. It remains to verify the compatibility conditions (83) and (84), so that the η_λ determine the desired Čech–de Rham coboundary. The description (111) identifies the global form and verifies condition (83). The integrals for η_λ and η_μ differ by a super trace over a finite-dimensional subspace on which the restriction of the Dirac operator is invertible, coming down to the exact sequence of metrized super vector bundles

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{<\lambda} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{<\mu} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{(\lambda,\mu)} \rightarrow 0,$$

and the Chern–Simons form of the associated connections. As the first arrow is a stable equivalence, the discrepancy between the integrals η_λ and η_μ is measured by the same construction as in Lemma 6.13, and (84) is satisfied by construction. This completes the construction of the differential index

$$\widehat{\pi}_1(V, \nabla) := \{\mathcal{H}^{<\lambda} \rightarrow U_\lambda, \nabla^{<\lambda}, \eta_\lambda, g_{\lambda\mu}, e_{\lambda\mu}\} \in \widehat{\text{KO}}^{-n}(B)$$

for $[V, \nabla] \in \widehat{\text{KO}}^0(X)$.

Just as in Proposition 8.4, the only choice involved in constructing $\pi_1(V, \nabla)$ is the choice of cover $\{U_\lambda\}$ of B for $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. A concordance between Cl_{-n} -bundles for different choices was constructed in Proposition 8.4 via a stable equivalence of Cl_{-n} -bundles. By Corollary 7.13, the Chern–Simons form for this stable equivalence is exact, and hence the differential class $\pi_1(V, \nabla)$ does not depend on the choice of cover.

Finally, Proposition 8.4 shows that the differential index refines the families analytic index. This completes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [ABS64] M. Atiyah, R. Bott, and A. Shapiro, *Clifford modules*, *Topology* **3** (1964), no. suppl. 1, 3–38.
- [AS69] M. Atiyah and I. Singer, *Index theory for skew-adjoint Fredholm operators*, *Inst. Hautes études Sci. Publ. Math.* **37** (1969).
- [BC89] J.-M. Bismut and J. Cheeger, *η -invariants and their adiabatic limits*, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **2** (1989).
- [BE23a] D. Berwick-Evans, *Chern characters and supersymmetric field theories*, to appear in *Geometry and Topology* (2023).
- [BE23b] ———, *The families index for 1|1-dimensional Euclidean field theories*, preprint (2023).
- [BE23c] ———, *How do field theories detect the torsion in topological modular forms?*, preprint (2023).
- [BF86] J. Bismut and D. Freed, *The analysis of elliptic families II: Dirac operators, eta invariants, and the holonomy theorem of Witten*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **107** (1986).
- [BGV92] N. Berline, E. Getzler, and M. Vergne, *Heat kernels and Dirac operators*, Springer, 1992.
- [Bis86] J.-M. Bismut, *The Atiyah–Singer index theorem for families of Dirac operators: Two heat equation proofs*, *Inventiones mathematicae* **83** (1986).
- [BNM16] U. Bunke, T. Nikolaus, and M. Völkl, *Differential cohomology theories as sheaves of spectra*, *J. Homotopy Relat. Struct.* **11** (2016).
- [Bor48] K. Borsuk, *On the imbedding of systems of compacta in simplicial complexes*, *Fund. Math.* **35** (1948).
- [Bou88] N. Bourbaki, *Algebra*, Springer-Verlag (1988).
- [BS10] U. Bunke and T. Schick, *Uniqueness of smooth extensions of generalized cohomology theories*, *Journal of Topology* **3** (2010).
- [BS12] ———, *Differential K-theory: a survey*, *Global differential geometry*, *Springer Proc. Math.* **17** (2012).
- [BT82] R. Bott and L. Tu, *Differential forms in algebraic topology*, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, volume 82, Springer, 1982.
- [Bun09] U. Bunke, *Index theory, eta forms, and Deligne cohomology*, *Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society*, American Mathematical Society, 2009.
- [Bun11] U. Bunke, *String structures and trivialisations of a Pfaffian line bundle*, *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **307** (2011).
- [Che07] P. Cheung, *Supersymmetric field theories and generalized cohomology*, Ph.D. Thesis, 2007.

- [DEF⁺99] P. Deligne, P. Etingof, D. Freed, L. Jeffrey, D. Kazhdan, J. Morgan, D. Morrison, and E. Witten, *Quantum Fields and Strings: A Course for Mathematicians, Volume 1*, American Mathematical Society, 1999.
- [DI04] D. Dugger and D. Isaksen, *Topological hypercovers and \mathbb{A}^1 -realizations*, Math. Z. **246** (2004), no. 4.
- [DK70] P. Donovan and M. Karoubi, *Graded brauer groups and k -theory with local coefficients*, Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. (1970), no. 38.
- [FH21] D. Freed and M. Hopkins, *Reflection positivity and invertible topological phases*, Geom. Topol. **25** (2021).
- [FL10] D. Freed and J. Lott, *An index theorem in differential K -theory*, Geometry and Topology **14** (2010).
- [Fre87] D. Freed, *Geometry of Dirac operators*, preprint (1987).
- [Fre00] ———, *Dirac charge quantization and generalized differential cohomology*, Surveys in Differential Geometry, Int. Press, (2000).
- [Fre01] ———, *K -theory in quantum field theory*, Current developments in Mathematics (2001).
- [Fre12] ———, *Lectures on twisted K -theory and orientifolds*, lectures at ESI Vienna (2012).
- [Fur02] M. Furuta, *Index theorem, II (japanese)*, Iwanami Series in Modern Mathematics (2002).
- [GL15] A. Gorokhovsky and J. Lott, *A Hilbert bundle description of differential K -theory*, Advances in Mathematics **328** (2015).
- [Gom10] Kiyonori Gomi, *Twisted K -theory and finite-dimensional approximation*, Communications in Mathematical Physics **294** (2010).
- [GS21] D. Grady and H. Sati, *Differential KO -theory: constructions, computations, and applications*, Advances in Mathematics **384** (2021).
- [GY22] K. Gomi and M. Yamashita, *Differential KO -theory via gradations and mass terms*, preprint (2022).
- [Hat65] A. Hattori, *Rank element of a projective module*, Nagoya Math. J. **25** (1965).
- [Hen09] A. Henriques, *A proof of Bott periodicity via Clifford algebras*, draft (2009).
- [HS05] M. Hopkins and I. Singer, *Quadratic functions in geometry, topology and M -theory*, J. Differential Geometry **70** (2005), 329–452.
- [HST10] H. Hohnhold, S. Stolz, and P. Teichner, *From minimal geodesics to super symmetric field theories*, CRM Proceedings and Lecture Notes **50** (2010).
- [Joa01] M. Joachim, *A symmetric ring spectrum representing KO -theory*, Topology **40** (2001).
- [Kar78] M. Karoubi, *K -theory. An introduction*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1978.
- [Klo08] K. Klonoff, *An index theorem in differential k -theory*, Ph.D. thesis, available electronically at <http://hdl.handle.net/2152/3912> (2008).
- [Kui65] N. Kuiper, *The homotopy type of the unitary group of Hilbert space*, Topology **3** (1965).
- [LM94] H. Lawson and M. Michelsohn, *Spin geometry*, Princeton Mathematical Series, University Press, 1994.
- [Lot94] J. Lott, *\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} -index theory*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **2** (1994).
- [MQ86] V. Mathai and D. Quillen, *Superconnections, Thom classes and equivariant differential forms*, Topology **25** (1986).
- [Qui85] D. Quillen, *Superconnections and the Chern character*, Topology **24** (1985).
- [Seg68] G. Segal, *Classifying spaces and spectral sequences*, Publications Mathématiques de l’IHÉS **34** (1968).
- [ST04] S. Stolz and P. Teichner, *What is an elliptic object?*, Topology, geometry and quantum field theory, London Math. Soc. LNS 308, Cambridge Univ. Press (2004), 247–343.
- [ST11] ———, *Supersymmetric field theories and generalized cohomology*, Mathematical Foundations of Quantum Field and Perturbative String Theory (B. Jurčo, H. Sati, U. Schreiber, ed.), Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, 2011.
- [Sta65] J.R. Stallings, *Centerless groups — an algebraic formulation of Gottlieb’s theorem*, Topology **4** (1965).
- [Wit82] E. Witten, *Supersymmetry and Morse theory*, Journal of Differential Geometry **17** (1982), 661–692.