

# Invariance of Brownian motion associated with running maxima

Yuu Hariya\*

## Abstract

Let  $B = \{B_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  be a one-dimensional standard Brownian motion. As an application of a recent result of ours on exponential functionals of Brownian motion, we show in this paper that, for every fixed  $t > 0$ , the process given by

$$B_s - B_t - \left| B_t + \max_{0 \leq u \leq s} B_u - \max_{s \leq u \leq t} B_u \right| + \left| \max_{0 \leq u \leq s} B_u - \max_{s \leq u \leq t} B_u \right|, \quad 0 \leq s \leq t,$$

is a Brownian motion. The path transformation that describes the above process is proven to be an involution, commute with the time reversal, and preserve Pitman's transformation.

## 1 Introduction

Let us consider a one-dimensional standard Brownian  $B = \{B_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  along with its exponential additive functional  $A_t$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , defined by

$$A_t \equiv A_t(B) := \int_0^t e^{2B_s} ds.$$

This additive functional of Brownian motion has importance in a number of areas of probability theory such as stochastic analysis of Laplacians on hyperbolic spaces and pricing of Asian options in mathematical finance, and is also known for its close relationship with planar Brownian motion; see, e.g., the detailed surveys [12, 13] by Matsumoto and Yor.

---

\*Supported in part by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 22K03330

Mathematical Institute, Tohoku University, Aoba-ku, Sendai 980-8578, Japan

E-mail: hariya@tohoku.ac.jp

*Keywords and Phrases:* Brownian motion; exponential functional; anticipative path transformation; Pitman's transformation

*MSC 2020 Subject Classifications:* Primary 60J65; Secondary 60J55

For every fixed  $t > 0$ , we have observed in [8] that the Wiener measure on the space  $C([0, t]; \mathbb{R})$  of real-valued continuous functions over  $[0, t]$ , is invariant under the anticipative transformation  $\mathcal{T} \equiv \mathcal{T}^t : C([0, t]; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C([0, t]; \mathbb{R})$  defined by

$$\mathcal{T}(\phi)(s) := \phi_s - \log \left\{ 1 + \frac{A_s(\phi)}{A_t(\phi)} (e^{2\phi_t} - 1) \right\}, \quad 0 \leq s \leq t, \quad \phi \in C([0, t]; \mathbb{R}), \quad (1.1)$$

namely it holds that

$$\{\mathcal{T}(B)(s)\}_{0 \leq s \leq t} \stackrel{(d)}{=} \{B_s\}_{0 \leq s \leq t}. \quad (1.2)$$

See [8, Theorem 1.1]; we also append a proof for the reader's convenience in Appendix. The aim of the present paper is to understand better the above invariance in law of Brownian motion, which we will accomplish in this paper by incorporating a positive real parameter  $c$  into the definition (1.1) of  $\mathcal{T}$  to consider two extremals by tending  $c \downarrow 0$  and  $c \rightarrow \infty$ .

In what follows, unless otherwise specified, we fix  $t > 0$ . For every  $c > 0$ , define  $\mathcal{T}^{(c)} \equiv \mathcal{T}^{t,(c)} : C([0, t]; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C([0, t]; \mathbb{R})$  by

$$\mathcal{T}^{(c)}(\phi) := \frac{1}{c} \mathcal{T}(c\phi), \quad \phi \in C([0, t]; \mathbb{R}). \quad (1.3)$$

Since  $\mathcal{T}$  enjoys those two properties, by definition, each  $\mathcal{T}^{(c)}$  is readily seen to be an involution and commutative with the time reversal as well; see Section 3 for details. One of the main results of the paper is the following generalization of (1.2):

**Theorem 1.1.** *For every  $c > 0$ , we have*

$$\{\mathcal{T}^{(c)}(B)(s)\}_{0 \leq s \leq t} \stackrel{(d)}{=} \{B_s\}_{0 \leq s \leq t}.$$

In order to describe two extremal cases of the above theorem with  $c \downarrow 0$  and  $c \rightarrow \infty$ , we introduce two transformations on  $C([0, t]; \mathbb{R})$  denoted respectively by  $\mathcal{G} \equiv \mathcal{G}^t$  and  $\mathcal{M} \equiv \mathcal{M}^t$ : for each  $\phi \in C([0, t]; \mathbb{R})$ ,

$$\mathcal{G}(\phi)(s) := \phi_s - \frac{2s}{t} \phi_t, \quad (1.4)$$

$$\mathcal{M}(\phi)(s) := \phi_s - \phi_t - \left| \phi_t + \max_{0 \leq u \leq s} \phi_u - \max_{s \leq u \leq t} \phi_u \right| + \left| \max_{0 \leq u \leq s} \phi_u - \max_{s \leq u \leq t} \phi_u \right|, \quad (1.5)$$

for  $0 \leq s \leq t$ . Then the law of Brownian motion is also invariant under  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$ :

**Theorem 1.2.** *It holds that*

$$\{\mathcal{G}(B)(s)\}_{0 \leq s \leq t} \stackrel{(d)}{=} \{\mathcal{M}(B)(s)\}_{0 \leq s \leq t} \stackrel{(d)}{=} \{B_s\}_{0 \leq s \leq t}.$$

Notice that, since the continuous process  $\{\mathcal{G}(B)(s)\}_{0 \leq s \leq t}$  is a centered Gaussian process, the above invariance under  $\mathcal{G}$  is immediate by observing that, for every  $s_1, s_2 \in [0, t]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{G}(B)(s_1)\mathcal{G}(B)(s_2)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[B_{s_1}B_{s_2}] - \frac{2s_1}{t}\mathbb{E}[B_{s_2}B_t] - \frac{2s_2}{t}\mathbb{E}[B_{s_1}B_t] + \frac{4s_1s_2}{t^2}\mathbb{E}[B_t^2] \\ &= \min\{s_1, s_2\} - \frac{2s_1}{t}s_2 - \frac{2s_2}{t}s_1 + \frac{4s_1s_2}{t^2}t \\ &= \min\{s_1, s_2\}; \end{aligned}$$

yet the fact that  $\mathcal{G}$  is an involution and commutative with the time reversal as each  $\mathcal{T}^{(c)}$  is, would be noteworthy, and we put those two properties of  $\mathcal{G}$  in Proposition 3.2.

Recall the well-known Pitman's transformation, which we denote by  $\mathcal{P}$ , defined on the space  $C([0, \infty); \mathbb{R})$  of real-valued continuous functions  $\phi$  over  $[0, \infty)$ :

$$\mathcal{P}(\phi)(s) := 2 \max_{0 \leq u \leq s} \phi_u - \phi_s, \quad s \geq 0. \quad (1.6)$$

For every  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ , we denote by  $B^{(\mu)} = \{B_s^{(\mu)} := B_s + \mu s\}_{s \geq 0}$  the Brownian motion with drift  $\mu$ . In addition to being an involution and commutative with the time reversal, the transformation  $\mathcal{M}$  is also seen to preserve  $\mathcal{P}$  restricted on  $C([0, t]; \mathbb{R})$  (Proposition 3.3), and together with the fact that  $\mathcal{M}(B)(t) = -B_t$  (see (2.6) below), the Cameron–Martin formula entails the following corollary to Theorem 1.2:

**Corollary 1.3.** *For every  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ , it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \{(B_s^{(\mu)}, \mathcal{M}(B^{(\mu)})(s), \mathcal{P}(B^{(\mu)})(s))\}_{0 \leq s \leq t} \\ & \stackrel{(d)}{=} \{(\mathcal{M}(B^{(-\mu)})(s), B_s^{(-\mu)}, \mathcal{P}(B^{(-\mu)})(s))\}_{0 \leq s \leq t}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

As  $t > 0$  is arbitrarily fixed, the above corollary may be regarded as an extension of the known fact (cf. [11, Theorem 1.2 $_{\mu}$ (ii)]) that

$$\mathcal{P}(B^{(\mu)}) \stackrel{(d)}{=} \mathcal{P}(B^{(-\mu)}).$$

There is a renewed interest in Pitman's or Pitman-type transformations in the context of probabilistic studies of classical integrable systems such as box-ball systems and Toda lattice; see a series of recent papers [1, 2, 3, 4, 5] by Croydon et al. We expect that our result will provide a new insight about the profound transformation.

We give an outline of the paper. In Section 2, we prove Theorem 1.1 and 1.2. In Section 3, we investigate properties of the path transformations  $\mathcal{T}^{(c)}$ ,  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  in Propositions 3.1–3.3, respectively, and see how those of  $\mathcal{M}$  entail Corollary 1.3. To make the paper self-contained, we summarize some properties of the path transformation  $\mathcal{T}$  to be used in the proof of Proposition 3.1, and provide their proofs in Appendix, in which we also recall a proof of identity (1.2) from [8].

## 2 Proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2

In this section, we prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

### 2.1 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this subsection, we prove Theorem 1.1.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* For each fixed  $c > 0$ , by the scaling property of Brownian motion, the process  $B^c = \{B_s^c\}_{s \geq 0}$  defined by

$$B_s^c := cB_{s/c^2}$$

is also a Brownian motion. Then, by identity (1.2) applied to  $B^c$  with duration  $c^2t$ , we see that the process  $\{\mathcal{T}^{c^2t}(B^c)(s)\}_{0 \leq s \leq c^2t}$  is identical in law with  $B^c$  up to time  $c^2t$ , that is,

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{c} \mathcal{T}^{c^2t}(B^c)(s) \right\}_{0 \leq s \leq c^2t} \stackrel{(d)}{=} \{B_{s/c^2}\}_{0 \leq s \leq c^2t}. \quad (2.1)$$

By the definition (1.1) of  $\mathcal{T}$  with duration  $c^2t$ ,

$$\frac{1}{c} \mathcal{T}^{c^2t}(B^c)(s) = B_{s/c^2} - \frac{1}{c} \log \left\{ 1 + \frac{A_s(B^c)}{A_{c^2t}(B^c)} (e^{2B_{c^2t}^c} - 1) \right\}, \quad 0 \leq s \leq c^2t,$$

in which we have, by changing the variables,

$$\begin{aligned} A_s(B^c) &= \int_0^s e^{2cB_u/c^2} du \\ &= c^2 A_{s/c^2}(cB), \end{aligned}$$

as well as  $A_{c^2t}(B^c) = c^2 A_t(cB)$ . Therefore, by the definition (1.3) of  $\mathcal{T}^{(c)} \equiv \mathcal{T}^{t,(c)}$ , identity (2.1) entails that

$$\{\mathcal{T}^{t,(c)}(B)(s/c^2)\}_{0 \leq s \leq c^2t} \stackrel{(d)}{=} \{B_{s/c^2}\}_{0 \leq s \leq c^2t},$$

which proves the theorem.  $\square$

### 2.2 Proof of Theorem 1.2

This subsection is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.2. Although the case of the transformation  $\mathcal{G}$  was already proven just after the statement of Theorem 1.2, since it can also be treated in the same way as that of  $\mathcal{M}$ , we give proofs of both cases below. For each  $c > 0$ , by the definition of  $\mathcal{T}^{(c)}$ , together with that of  $\mathcal{T}$  (recall (1.3) and (1.1), respectively), keep in mind the expression

$$\mathcal{T}^{(c)}(\phi)(s) = \phi_s - \frac{1}{c} \log \left\{ 1 + \frac{A_s(c\phi)}{A_t(c\phi)} (e^{2c\phi_t} - 1) \right\}, \quad 0 \leq s \leq t, \quad (2.2)$$

for every  $\phi \in C([0, t]; \mathbb{R})$ . We begin with

**Lemma 2.1.** *For every  $\phi \in C([0, t]; \mathbb{R})$ , we have the following:*

(i) *it holds that, for all  $s \in [0, t]$ ,*

$$\mathcal{T}^{(c)}(\phi)(s) \xrightarrow{c \downarrow 0} \mathcal{G}(\phi)(s); \quad (2.3)$$

(ii) *if, in addition,  $\phi_0 = 0$ , then it holds that, for all  $s \in [0, t]$ ,*

$$\mathcal{T}^{(c)}(\phi)(s) \xrightarrow{c \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(\phi)(s). \quad (2.4)$$

*Remark 2.2.* Since, for every  $c > 0$ , the function  $\mathcal{T}^{(c)}(\phi)(s) - \phi_s$ ,  $0 \leq s \leq t$ , is monotone (in fact, it is nonincreasing when  $\phi_t \geq 0$  and nondecreasing when  $\phi_t \leq 0$ ), and both of the limit functions

$$\mathcal{G}(\phi)(s) - \phi_s \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{M}(\phi)(s) - \phi_s, \quad 0 \leq s \leq t, \quad (2.5)$$

are continuous, the above two convergences (2.3) and (2.4) take place uniformly in  $s$ . Providing that  $\phi_0 = 0$  for the latter, the graphs of both of the two functions in (2.5) connect the two points  $(0, 0)$  and  $(t, -2\phi_t)$  monotonically.

*Proof of Lemma 2.1.* In view of (2.2), assertion (i) is immediate from the fact that  $(1/x) \log(1+x) \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow 0} 1$ . As for assertion (ii), first observe that, when  $\phi_0 = 0$ ,

$$\mathcal{T}^{(c)}(\phi)(0) = \mathcal{M}(\phi)(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{T}^{(c)}(\phi)(t) = \mathcal{M}(\phi)(t) = -\phi_t \quad (2.6)$$

for any  $c > 0$ . Indeed, it is readily seen from (2.2) that

$$\mathcal{T}^{(c)}(\phi)(0) = \phi_0 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{T}^{(c)}(\phi)(t) = -\phi_t.$$

On the other hand, by the definition (1.5) of  $\mathcal{M}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(\phi)(0) &= \phi_0 - \phi_t - \left| \phi_t + \phi_0 - \max_{0 \leq u \leq t} \phi_u \right| + \left| \phi_0 - \max_{0 \leq u \leq t} \phi_u \right| \\ &= -\phi_t + \phi_t - \max_{0 \leq u \leq t} \phi_u + \max_{0 \leq u \leq t} \phi_u \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(\phi)(t) &= \phi_t - \phi_t - \left| \phi_t + \max_{0 \leq u \leq t} \phi_u - \phi_t \right| + \left| \max_{0 \leq u \leq t} \phi_u - \phi_t \right| \\ &= -\max_{0 \leq u \leq t} \phi_u + \max_{0 \leq u \leq t} \phi_u - \phi_t \\ &= -\phi_t, \end{aligned}$$

where, for the second line from the bottom, we have used the fact that  $\max_{0 \leq u \leq t} \phi_u \geq 0$  because of  $\phi_0 = 0$ . Turning to the case  $s \in (0, t)$ , we rewrite, in expression (2.2),

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + \frac{A_s(c\phi)}{A_t(c\phi)} (e^{2c\phi_t} - 1) &= \frac{1}{A_t(c\phi)} \left( e^{2c\phi_t} \int_0^s e^{2c\phi_u} du + \int_s^t e^{2c\phi_u} du \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{A_t(c\phi)} \int_0^t \exp \{ 2c(\phi_t \mathbf{1}_{\{0 \leq u \leq s\}} + \phi_u) \} du, \end{aligned}$$

from which we see that, as  $c \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\mathcal{T}^{(c)}(\phi)(s) \rightarrow \phi_s - 2 \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{u \in [0, t]} \{ \phi_t \mathbf{1}_{\{0 \leq u \leq s\}} + \phi_u \} + 2 \max_{0 \leq u \leq t} \phi_u,$$

where the essential supremum is taken with respect to the Lebesgue measure on  $[0, t]$ . Since we take  $s > 0$ , the last expression is equal to

$$\phi_s - 2 \max \left\{ \phi_t + \max_{0 \leq u \leq s} \phi_u, \max_{s \leq u \leq t} \phi_u \right\} + 2 \max_{0 \leq u \leq t} \phi_u,$$

which is seen to agree with  $\mathcal{M}(\phi)(s)$  by noting the relations

$$2 \max\{a, b\} = a + b + |a - b| \quad (a, b \in \mathbb{R}) \quad \text{and} \quad \max_{0 \leq u \leq t} \phi_u = \max \left\{ \max_{0 \leq u \leq s} \phi_u, \max_{s \leq u \leq t} \phi_u \right\}.$$

The proof of assertion (ii) is completed.  $\square$

We are in a position to prove Theorem 1.2.

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* Since both  $\{\mathcal{G}(B)(s)\}_{0 \leq s \leq t}$  and  $\{\mathcal{M}(B)(s)\}_{0 \leq s \leq t}$  are continuous processes, it suffices to show that their finite-dimensional distributions agree with those of  $B$  up to time  $t$ . To this end, pick  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $0 \leq s_1 < \dots < s_n \leq t$  and a bounded continuous function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  arbitrarily. Then, by Theorem 1.1, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[f(\mathcal{T}^{(c)}(B)(s_1), \dots, \mathcal{T}^{(c)}(B)(s_n))] = \mathbb{E}[f(B_{s_1}, \dots, B_{s_n})]$$

for any  $c > 0$ . Thanks to the boundedness and continuity of  $f$ , the bounded convergence theorem entails that, as  $c \downarrow 0$ , the left-hand side converges to

$$\mathbb{E}[f(\mathcal{G}(B)(s_1), \dots, \mathcal{G}(B)(s_n))]$$

by Lemma 2.1(i), while as  $c \rightarrow \infty$ , it converges to

$$\mathbb{E}[f(\mathcal{M}(B)(s_1), \dots, \mathcal{M}(B)(s_n))]$$

by Lemma 2.1(ii). This concludes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

### 3 Properties of $\mathcal{T}^{(c)}$ , $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{M}$

As was already referred to in Section 1, the path transformations  $\mathcal{T}^{(c)}$ ,  $c > 0$ ,  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  have two properties in common: one is that they are an involution and the other is the commutativity with the time reversal. The aim of this section is to investigate properties of these transformations including the above two, which we think are of interest in their own right; in particular, we reveal that the transformation  $\mathcal{M}$  preserves Pitman's transformation and see how Corollary 1.3 is deduced.

Following the notation in [6] by Donati-Martin, Matsumoto and Yor, define  $Z : C([0, \infty); \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C([0, \infty); \mathbb{R})$  by

$$Z_s(\phi) := e^{-\phi_s} A_s(\phi), \quad s \geq 0, \quad \phi \in C([0, \infty); \mathbb{R}). \quad (3.1)$$

With  $t > 0$  kept fixed, as in [7, 8], we also denote by  $R$  the time reversal defined by

$$R(\phi)(s) := \phi_{t-s} - \phi_t, \quad 0 \leq s \leq t, \quad \phi \in C([0, t]; \mathbb{R}), \quad (3.2)$$

under which we know the invariance of the law of  $B$  up to time  $t$ :

$$\{R(B)(s)\}_{0 \leq s \leq t} \stackrel{(d)}{=} \{B_s\}_{0 \leq s \leq t}. \quad (3.3)$$

(Be aware that the usual time reversal of Brownian motion refers to  $\{-R(B)(s)\}_{0 \leq s \leq t}$  in our notation.) In what follows, we denote by  $\text{Id}$  the identity map on  $C([0, t]; \mathbb{R})$  and restrict the transformation  $Z$  on  $C([0, t]; \mathbb{R})$ . In the case  $c = 1$ , namely the case of  $\mathcal{T}$ , the following properties of  $\mathcal{T}^{(c)}$  are proven in [8, Proposition 2.1].

**Proposition 3.1.** *For every  $c > 0$ , we have the following:*

- (i)  $Z(c\mathcal{T}^{(c)}(\phi)) = Z(c\phi)$  for any  $\phi \in C([0, t]; \mathbb{R})$ ;
- (ii)  $\mathcal{T}^{(c)} \circ \mathcal{T}^{(c)} = \text{Id}$ ;
- (iii) for any  $\phi \in C([0, t]; \mathbb{R})$  with  $\phi_0 = 0$ ,

$$(R \circ \mathcal{T}^{(c)})(\phi) = (\mathcal{T}^{(c)} \circ R)(\phi).$$

These properties of each  $\mathcal{T}^{(c)}$  are immediate from those of  $\mathcal{T}$  investigated in [8], which, for the reader's convenience, we put in Lemma A.1 in Appendix with proof.

*Proof of Proposition 3.1.* (i) By the definition (1.3) of  $\mathcal{T}^{(c)}$  and by Lemma A.1(i),

$$\begin{aligned} Z(c\mathcal{T}^{(c)}(\phi)) &= Z(\mathcal{T}(c\phi)) \\ &= Z(c\phi). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) For any  $\phi \in C([0, t]; \mathbb{R})$ , we have, by the definition (1.3) of  $\mathcal{T}^{(c)}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}^{(c)}(\mathcal{T}^{(c)}(\phi)) &= \frac{1}{c}\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{T}(c\phi)) \\ &= \frac{1}{c} \cdot c\phi \\ &= \phi,\end{aligned}$$

where we used Lemma A.1(ii) for the second line.

(iii) Let  $\phi \in C([0, t]; \mathbb{R})$  be such that  $\phi_0 = 0$ . By the definition (1.3) of  $\mathcal{T}^{(c)}$ , since  $R$  is a linear transformation,

$$\begin{aligned}R(\mathcal{T}^{(c)}(\phi)) &= \frac{1}{c}R(\mathcal{T}(c\phi)) \\ &= \frac{1}{c}\mathcal{T}(R(c\phi)) \\ &= \frac{1}{c}\mathcal{T}(cR(\phi)),\end{aligned}$$

which is  $\mathcal{T}^{(c)}(R(\phi))$  by definition. Here we used Lemma A.1(iii) for the second line and the linearity of  $R$  again for the third.  $\square$

We turn to the transformation  $\mathcal{G}$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** *The following hold:*

(i)  $\mathcal{G} \circ \mathcal{G} = \text{Id}$ ;

(ii) for any  $\phi \in C([0, t]; \mathbb{R})$  with  $\phi_0 = 0$ ,

$$R(\mathcal{G}(\phi)) = \mathcal{G}(R(\phi)).$$

We may infer those properties of  $\mathcal{G}$  from Proposition 3.1, but direct proofs are of course possible as seen below:

*Proof of Proposition 3.2.* (i) For every  $\phi \in C([0, t]; \mathbb{R})$  and  $s \in [0, t]$ , we have, by the definition (1.4) of  $\mathcal{G}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{G}(\phi))(s) &= \mathcal{G}(\phi)(s) - \frac{2s}{t}\mathcal{G}(\phi)(t) \\ &= \phi_s - \frac{2s}{t}\phi_t - \frac{2s}{t} \cdot (-\phi_t) \\ &= \phi_s.\end{aligned}$$

(ii) By the definition (3.2) of  $R$ , for every  $s \in [0, t]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}R(\mathcal{G}(\phi))(s) &= \mathcal{G}(\phi)(t-s) - \mathcal{G}(\phi)(t) \\ &= \phi_{t-s} - \frac{2(t-s)}{t}\phi_t + \phi_t,\end{aligned}$$



which, when  $\phi_0 = 0$ , is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{t-s} - \phi_t - \frac{2s}{t}(\phi_0 - \phi_t) &= R(\phi)(s) - \frac{2s}{t}R(\phi)(t) \\ &= \mathcal{G}(R(\phi))(s)\end{aligned}$$

as claimed.  $\square$

Regarding Pitman's transformation  $\mathcal{P}$  defined in (1.6) as a transformation on  $C([0, t]; \mathbb{R})$ , we have

**Proposition 3.3.** *Restricted on the space of  $\phi$ 's in  $C([0, t]; \mathbb{R})$  satisfying  $\phi_0 = 0$ , the transformation  $\mathcal{M}$  enjoys the following properties:*

$$(i) \mathcal{P} \circ \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{P}; \quad (ii) \mathcal{M} \circ \mathcal{M} = \text{Id}; \quad (iii) R \circ \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M} \circ R.$$

*Proof.* We fix  $\phi \in C([0, t]; \mathbb{R})$  and  $s \in [0, t]$  arbitrarily below. By Proposition 3.1(i), we have

$$Z_s(c\mathcal{T}^{(c)}(\phi)) = Z_s(c\phi). \quad (3.4)$$

As for the right-hand side, in view of the definition (3.1) of the transformation  $Z$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{c} \log Z_s(c\phi) &= \frac{1}{c} \log A_s(c\phi) - \phi_s \\ &\xrightarrow{c \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{P}(\phi)(s).\end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

On the other hand, as for the left-hand side, pick  $\varepsilon > 0$  arbitrarily. Then, supposing now that  $\phi_0 = 0$ , we have, for every sufficiently large  $c$ ,

$$\max_{0 \leq u \leq t} |\mathcal{T}^{(c)}(\phi)(u) - \mathcal{M}(\phi)(u)| < \varepsilon$$

since the pointwise convergence (2.4) of  $\mathcal{T}^{(c)}(\phi)$  to  $\mathcal{M}(\phi)$  is uniform (see Remark 2.2), whence, by the definition (3.1) of  $Z$ ,

$$e^{-2c\varepsilon} e^{-c\mathcal{T}^{(c)}(\phi)(s)} A_s(c\mathcal{M}(\phi)) \leq Z_s(c\mathcal{T}^{(c)}(\phi)) \leq e^{2c\varepsilon} e^{-c\mathcal{T}^{(c)}(\phi)(s)} A_s(c\mathcal{M}(\phi)).$$

It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned}-2\varepsilon + \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M}(\phi))(s) &\leq \liminf_{c \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{c} \log Z_s(c\mathcal{T}^{(c)}(\phi)) \\ &\leq \limsup_{c \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{c} \log Z_s(c\mathcal{T}^{(c)}(\phi)) \leq 2\varepsilon + \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M}(\phi))(s).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the arbitrariness of  $\varepsilon$ ,

$$\lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{c} \log Z_s(c\mathcal{T}^{(c)}(\phi)) = \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M}(\phi))(s),$$

which, together with (3.4) and (3.5), entails (i).

We proceed to the proof of (iii) first, which we will do by a direct computation instead of appealing to Proposition 3.1(iii). By the definition (3.2) of  $R$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} R(\mathcal{M}(\phi))(s) &= \mathcal{M}(\phi)(t-s) - \mathcal{M}(\phi)(t) \\ &= \mathcal{M}(\phi)(t-s) + \phi_t, \end{aligned}$$

where, supposing that  $\phi_0 = 0$ , the second line is due to (2.6). On the other hand, by the definition (1.5) of  $\mathcal{M}$ , observe that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{M}(R(\phi))(s) \\ &= R(\phi)(s) - R(\phi)(t) - \left| R(\phi)(t) + \max_{0 \leq u \leq s} R(\phi)(u) - \max_{s \leq u \leq t} R(\phi)(u) \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \max_{0 \leq u \leq s} R(\phi)(u) - \max_{s \leq u \leq t} R(\phi)(u) \right| \\ &= \phi_{t-s} - \phi_t - (\phi_0 - \phi_t) - \left| \phi_0 - \phi_t + \max_{t-s \leq u \leq t} \phi_u - \max_{0 \leq u \leq t-s} \phi_u \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \max_{t-s \leq u \leq t} \phi_u - \max_{0 \leq u \leq t-s} \phi_u \right|, \end{aligned}$$

which is also  $\mathcal{M}(\phi)(t-s) + \phi_t$  when  $\phi_0 = 0$ .

Turning to the proof of (ii), by the definition (1.5) of  $\mathcal{M}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{M}(\phi))(s) \\ &= \mathcal{M}(\phi)(s) - \mathcal{M}(\phi)(t) - \left| \mathcal{M}(\phi)(t) + \max_{0 \leq u \leq s} \mathcal{M}(\phi)(u) - \max_{s \leq u \leq t} \mathcal{M}(\phi)(u) \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \max_{0 \leq u \leq s} \mathcal{M}(\phi)(u) - \max_{s \leq u \leq t} \mathcal{M}(\phi)(u) \right|. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Since  $\mathcal{M}(\phi)(t) = -\phi_t$  when  $\phi_0 = 0$ , and

$$\max_{0 \leq u \leq s} \mathcal{M}(\phi)(u) - \max_{s \leq u \leq t} \mathcal{M}(\phi)(u) = \max_{0 \leq u \leq s} \phi_u - \max_{s \leq u \leq t} \phi_u + \phi_t$$

by (i) and by Lemma 3.4 below, we insert these into (3.6) to get

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{M}(\phi))(s) \\ &= \mathcal{M}(\phi)(s) + \phi_t - \left| -\phi_t + \max_{0 \leq u \leq s} \phi_u - \max_{s \leq u \leq t} \phi_u + \phi_t \right| + \left| \max_{0 \leq u \leq s} \phi_u - \max_{s \leq u \leq t} \phi_u + \phi_t \right| \\ &= \mathcal{M}(\phi)(s) + \phi_s - \mathcal{M}(\phi)(s) \\ &= \phi_s \end{aligned}$$

as expected. □

The proof of Proposition 3.3 is completed once we establish the following lemma, which may be regarded as a complement to property (i) in the proposition.

**Lemma 3.4.** *It holds that, for every  $\phi \in C([0, t]; \mathbb{R})$  with  $\phi_0 = 0$ ,*

$$2 \max_{s \leq u \leq t} \mathcal{M}(\phi)(u) - \mathcal{M}(\phi)(s) = 2 \max_{s \leq u \leq t} \phi_u - \phi_s - 2\phi_t, \quad 0 \leq s \leq t.$$

*Proof.* For every  $s \in [0, t]$ , by property (iii) in Proposition 3.3,

$$\max_{0 \leq u \leq t-s} R(\mathcal{M}(\phi))(u) = \max_{0 \leq u \leq t-s} \mathcal{M}(R(\phi))(u).$$

The left-hand side is equal to

$$\max_{0 \leq u \leq t-s} \mathcal{M}(\phi)(t-u) - \mathcal{M}(\phi)(t) = \max_{s \leq u \leq t} \mathcal{M}(\phi)(u) + \phi_t,$$

whereas the right-hand side is equal, by property (i) in Proposition 3.3, to

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{0 \leq u \leq t-s} R(\phi)(u) - \frac{1}{2}R(\phi)(t-s) + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{M}(R(\phi))(t-s) \\ &= \max_{0 \leq u \leq t-s} (\phi_{t-u} - \phi_t) - \frac{1}{2}(\phi_s - \phi_t) + \frac{1}{2}\{\mathcal{M}(\phi)(s) + \phi_t\} \\ &= \max_{s \leq u \leq t} \phi_u - \frac{1}{2}\phi_s + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{M}(\phi)(s), \end{aligned}$$

hence the claim. Here, for the second line, we used  $\mathcal{M}(R(\phi))(t-s) = R(\mathcal{M}(\phi))(t-s)$  by Proposition 3.3(iii) and  $\mathcal{M}(\phi)(t) = -\phi_t$ .  $\square$

Proposition 3.3 enables us to prove Corollary 1.3.

*Proof of Corollary 1.3.* Let  $F : C([0, t]; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a Borel-measurable function with respect to the topology of uniform convergence. Noting  $\mathcal{M}(B)(t) = -B_t$  in view of (2.6), we have, by Theorem 1.2,

$$\mathbb{E}[F(\mathcal{M}(B))e^{\mu B_t}] = \mathbb{E}[F(B)e^{-\mu B_t}].$$

By multiplying both sides by  $e^{-\mu^2 t/2}$ , the Cameron–Martin formula entails that

$$\mathbb{E}[F(\mathcal{M}(B^{(\mu)}))] = \mathbb{E}[F(B^{(-\mu)})],$$

that is, by the arbitrariness of  $F$ ,

$$\{\mathcal{M}(B^{(\mu)})(s)\}_{0 \leq s \leq t} \stackrel{(d)}{=} \{B_s^{(-\mu)}\}_{0 \leq s \leq t}.$$

Hence we have the joint identity in law

$$\begin{aligned} & \{((\mathcal{M} \circ \mathcal{M})(B^{(\mu)})(s), \mathcal{M}(B^{(\mu)})(s), (\mathcal{P} \circ \mathcal{M})(B^{(\mu)})(s))\}_{0 \leq s \leq t} \\ & \stackrel{(d)}{=} \{(\mathcal{M}(B^{(-\mu)})(s), B_s^{(-\mu)}, \mathcal{P}(B^{(-\mu)})(s))\}_{0 \leq s \leq t}, \end{aligned}$$

which is the claim since the left-hand side agrees with that of (1.7) by (i) and (ii) of Proposition 3.3.  $\square$

Let  $T$  be any of the transformations  $\mathcal{T}^{(c)}$  (with any  $c > 0$ ),  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$ . Notice that  $T(B)(t) = -B_t$ . We conclude this section with a remark on the commutativity of  $T$  with the time reversal  $R$  as observed in Propositions 3.1–3.3.

*Remark 3.5.* By the same argument as in the above proof, we have, for any  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\{T(B^{(\mu)})(s)\}_{0 \leq s \leq t} \stackrel{(d)}{=} \{B_s^{(-\mu)}\}_{0 \leq s \leq t}, \quad (3.7)$$

which is consistent with the commutativity with  $R$  as seen below:

$$\begin{aligned} \{(R \circ T)(B^{(\mu)})(s)\}_{0 \leq s \leq t} &\stackrel{(d)}{=} \{R(B^{(-\mu)})(s)\}_{0 \leq s \leq t} \\ &\stackrel{(d)}{=} \{B_s^{(\mu)}\}_{0 \leq s \leq t} \\ &\stackrel{(d)}{=} \{T(B^{(-\mu)})(s)\}_{0 \leq s \leq t} \\ &\stackrel{(d)}{=} \{(T \circ R)(B^{(\mu)})(s)\}_{0 \leq s \leq t}, \end{aligned}$$

where the first and third lines are due to (3.7) and the second and fourth to (3.3); in fact, because of the commutativity, the leftmost and rightmost sides are identical.

Our proofs of the two facts, the invariance of Brownian motion under  $\mathcal{M}$  in Theorem 1.2 and its Corollary 1.3, are simple with the help of Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 3.3, but not direct. It would be interesting to give direct explanation without appealing to results on exponential functionals. We note that, if we let  $\sigma$  be a unique time that  $\{B_s\}_{0 \leq s \leq t}$  attains its maximum, which exists a.s. (see, e.g., [9, Remark 2.8.16]), then the transform  $\{\mathcal{M}(B)(s)\}_{0 \leq s \leq t}$  admits the expression

$$B_s - B_t - \left| B_t + \max_{0 \leq u \leq s} B_u - \max_{0 \leq u \leq t} B_u \right| + \max_{0 \leq u \leq t} B_u - \max_{0 \leq u \leq s} B_u \quad \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq \sigma,$$

and

$$B_s - B_t - \left| B_t + \max_{0 \leq u \leq t} B_u - \max_{s \leq u \leq t} B_u \right| + \max_{0 \leq u \leq t} B_u - \max_{s \leq u \leq t} B_u \quad \text{for } \sigma \leq s \leq t;$$

in particular,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(B)(\sigma) &= B_\sigma - 2 \max\{B_t, 0\} \\ &= \max_{0 \leq u \leq t} B_u - 2 \max\{B_t, 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

It would also be of interest to explore discrete analogues to our results in terms of a simple random walk.

## Appendix

Here, based on [8], with providing their proofs, we list properties of  $\mathcal{T}$  recalled in the previous section; a proof of identity (1.2) in law is also given.

## A.1 Properties of $\mathcal{T}$

The following properties of the transformation  $\mathcal{T}$  are taken from [8, Proposition 2.1].

**Lemma A.1.** *We have the following:*

- (i)  $Z \circ \mathcal{T} = Z$ ;
- (ii)  $\mathcal{T} \circ \mathcal{T} = \text{Id}$ ;
- (iii) for any  $\phi \in C([0, t]; \mathbb{R})$  with  $\phi_0 = 0$ ,

$$(R \circ \mathcal{T})(\phi) = (\mathcal{T} \circ R)(\phi).$$

*Proof.* (i) In view of the definition (1.1) of  $\mathcal{T}$ , a direct computation shows that, for every  $\phi \in C([0, t]; \mathbb{R})$ ,

$$\frac{1}{A_s(\mathcal{T}(\phi))} = \frac{1}{A_s(\phi)} + \frac{e^{2\phi_t} - 1}{A_t(\phi)}, \quad 0 < s \leq t. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Differentiate both side with respect to  $s$  to get

$$\{Z_s(\mathcal{T}(\phi))\}^{-2} = \{Z_s(\phi)\}^{-2}, \quad 0 < s \leq t,$$

which proves the claim by the positivity of  $Z$ .

- (ii) It suffices to show that, for any  $\phi \in C([0, t]; \mathbb{R})$ ,

$$A_s((\mathcal{T} \circ \mathcal{T})(\phi)) = A_s(\phi), \quad 0 \leq s \leq t. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

To this end, observe that, for  $0 < s \leq t$ , relation (A.1) is rewritten as

$$\frac{1}{A_s(\mathcal{T}(\phi))} = \int_s^t \frac{du}{\{Z_u(\phi)\}^2} + \frac{e^{\phi_t}}{Z_t(\phi)}, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

in which we replace  $\phi$  by  $\mathcal{T}(\phi)$  to see that, thanks to property (i),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{A_s((\mathcal{T} \circ \mathcal{T})(\phi))} &= \int_s^t \frac{du}{\{Z_u(\phi)\}^2} + \frac{e^{\mathcal{T}(\phi)(t)}}{Z_t(\phi)} \\ &= \frac{1}{A_s(\phi)} - \frac{1}{A_t(\phi)} + \frac{e^{-\phi_t}}{Z_t(\phi)} \\ &= \frac{1}{A_s(\phi)}, \end{aligned}$$

proving (A.2).

- (iii) For every  $s \in [0, t]$ , by the definition (3.2) of  $R$ ,

$$(R \circ \mathcal{T})(\phi)(s) = \phi_{t-s} - \log \left\{ 1 + \frac{A_{t-s}(\phi)}{A_t(\phi)} (e^{2\phi_t} - 1) \right\} + \phi_t,$$

whereas

$$(\mathcal{T} \circ R)(\phi)(s) = \phi_{t-s} - \phi_t - \log \left\{ 1 + \frac{A_t(\phi) - A_{t-s}(\phi)}{A_t(\phi)} (e^{-2\phi_t} - 1) \right\},$$

in which we have the equality

$$1 + \frac{A_t(\phi) - A_{t-s}(\phi)}{A_t(\phi)} (e^{-2\phi_t} - 1) = e^{-2\phi_t} \left\{ 1 + \frac{A_{t-s}(\phi)}{A_t(\phi)} (e^{2\phi_t} - 1) \right\}.$$

Combining these leads to the conclusion.  $\square$

## A.2 Proof of (1.2)

With slight abuse of notation, we simply write  $Z_s = Z_s(B)$ ,  $s \geq 0$ , in the sequel. We begin with the following observation asserting that the conditional law of  $B_t$  given  $\sigma(Z_s, s \leq t)$  is symmetric.

**Lemma A.2.** *It holds that*

$$(B_t, \{Z_s\}_{0 \leq s \leq t}) \stackrel{(d)}{=} (-B_t, \{Z_s\}_{0 \leq s \leq t}).$$

*Proof.* The assertion is an immediate consequence of the fact [11, Proposition 1.7] that, given  $\sigma(Z_s, s \leq t)$  with  $Z_t = z > 0$ , the conditional law of  $B_t$  admits the symmetric density function

$$\frac{1}{2K_0(1/z)} \exp\left(-\frac{\cosh x}{z}\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

with respect to the Lebesgue measure. Here  $K_0$  is the modified Bessel function of the third kind (or the Macdonald function) of order 0; see, e.g., [10, Section 5.7].  $\square$

The above conditional symmetry of  $B_t$  may also be deduced from the fact [11, Theorem 1.6(ii)] that, for any  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\{Z_s(B^{(\mu)})\}_{s \geq 0} \stackrel{(d)}{=} \{Z_s(B^{(-\mu)})\}_{s \geq 0}.$$

Using Lemma A.2, we give a

*Proof of (1.2).* If we show that

$$\{A_s(\mathcal{T}(B))\}_{0 \leq s \leq t} \stackrel{(d)}{=} \{A_s\}_{0 \leq s \leq t}, \tag{A.4}$$

then, taking the derivative with respect to  $s$  on each side proves (1.2). In view of (A.3),

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ \frac{1}{A_s(\mathcal{T}(B))} \right\}_{0 < s \leq t} &= \left\{ \int_s^t \frac{du}{Z_u^2} + \frac{e^{B_t}}{Z_t} \right\}_{0 < s \leq t} \\ &\stackrel{(d)}{=} \left\{ \int_s^t \frac{du}{Z_u^2} + \frac{e^{-B_t}}{Z_t} \right\}_{0 < s \leq t} \\ &= \left\{ \frac{1}{A_s} \right\}_{0 < s \leq t}, \end{aligned}$$

where the second line is due to Lemma A.2. Identity (A.4) is proven.  $\square$

## References

- [1] D.A. Croydon, T. Kato, M. Sasada, S. Tsujimoto, Dynamics of the Box-Ball System with Random Initial Conditions via Pitman’s Transformation, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **283** (2023), no. 1398, vii+99 pp.
- [2] D.A. Croydon, M. Sasada, Duality between box-ball systems of finite box and/or carrier capacity, in: *Stochastic Analysis on Large Scale Interacting Systems*, 63–107, RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu **B79**, Res. Inst. Math. Sci. (RIMS), Kyoto, 2020
- [3] D.A. Croydon, M. Sasada, Discrete integrable systems and Pitman’s transformation, in: *Stochastic Analysis, Random Fields and Integrable Probability—Fukuoka 2019*, 381–402, *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.* **87**, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2021.
- [4] D.A. Croydon, M. Sasada, S. Tsujimoto, Dynamics of the ultra-discrete Toda lattice via Pitman’s transformation, in: *Mathematical structures of integrable systems and their applications*, 235–250, RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu **B78**, Res. Inst. Math. Sci. (RIMS), Kyoto, 2020.
- [5] D.A. Croydon, M. Sasada, S. Tsujimoto, Bi-infinite solutions for KdV- and Toda-type discrete integrable systems based on path encodings, *Math. Phys. Anal. Geom.* **25** (2022), no. 4, Paper No. 27, 71 pp.
- [6] C. Donati-Martin, H. Matsumoto, M. Yor, Some absolute continuity relationships for certain anticipative transformations of geometric Brownian motions, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **37** (2001), 295–326.
- [7] Y. Hariya, Extensions of Bougerol’s identity in law and the associated anticipative path transformations, *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **146** (2022), 311–334.
- [8] Y. Hariya, Invariance of Brownian motion associated with exponential functionals, [arXiv:2203.08706v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.08706v2).
- [9] I. Karatzas, S.E. Shreve, *Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus*, 2nd ed., Springer, New York, 1991.
- [10] N.N. Lebedev, *Special Functions and Their Applications*, Dover, New York, 1972.
- [11] H. Matsumoto, M. Yor, An analogue of Pitman’s  $2M - X$  theorem for exponential Wiener functionals, Part I: A time-inversion approach, *Nagoya Math. J.* **159** (2000), 125–166.
- [12] H. Matsumoto, M. Yor, Exponential functionals of Brownian motion, I: Probability laws at fixed time, *Probab. Surv.* **2** (2005), 312–347.
- [13] H. Matsumoto, M. Yor, Exponential functionals of Brownian motion, II: Some related diffusion processes, *Probab. Surv.* **2** (2005), 348–384.