

MONOMIAL PROJECTIONS OF VERONESE VARIETIES: NEW RESULTS AND CONJECTURES

LIENA COLARTE-GÓMEZ, ROSA M. MIRÓ-ROIG, AND LISA NICKLASSON

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we consider the homogeneous coordinate rings $A(Y_{n,d}) \cong \mathbb{K}[\Omega_{n,d}]$ of monomial projections $Y_{n,d}$ of Veronese varieties parameterized by subsets $\Omega_{n,d}$ of monomials of degree d in $n+1$ variables where: (1) $\Omega_{n,d}$ contains all monomials supported in at most s variables and, (2) $\Omega_{n,d}$ is a set of monomial invariants of a finite diagonal abelian group $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ of order d . Our goal is to study when $\mathbb{K}[\Omega_{n,d}]$ is a quadratic algebra and, if so, when $\mathbb{K}[\Omega_{n,d}]$ is Koszul or G -quadratic. For the family (1), we prove that $\mathbb{K}[\Omega_{n,d}]$ is quadratic when $s \geq \lceil \frac{n+2}{2} \rceil$. For the family (2), we completely characterize when $\mathbb{K}[\Omega_{2,d}]$ is quadratic in terms of the group $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$, and we prove that $\mathbb{K}[\Omega_{2,d}]$ is quadratic if and only if it is Koszul. We also provide large families of examples where $\mathbb{K}[\Omega_{n,d}]$ is G -quadratic.

1. INTRODUCTION

The *Veronese variety* $X_{n,d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{\binom{n+d}{n}-1}$ is the projective toric variety parameterized by the set $\mathcal{M}_{n,d}$ of all monomials of degree d in $R = \mathbb{K}[x_0, \dots, x_n]$. *Monomial projections* $Y_{n,d}$ of Veronese varieties $X_{n,d}$ are projective toric varieties parameterized by subsets $\Omega_{n,d} \subset \mathcal{M}_{n,d}$ of monomials. Their homogeneous coordinate rings $A(Y_{n,d})$ are isomorphic to the monomial \mathbb{K} -algebras $\mathbb{K}[\Omega_{n,d}]$. Many geometric properties of $Y_{n,d}$ can be explained by means of the algebraic structure of $\mathbb{K}[\Omega_{n,d}]$, and vice versa. For this reason, monomial projections of Veronese varieties appear at the crossroads between commutative algebra and algebraic geometry.

In order to better understand the properties of monomial projections $Y_{n,d}$ of Veronese varieties, and the monomial \mathbb{K} -algebras $\mathbb{K}[\Omega_{n,d}]$, it is useful to study their homogeneous ideals $I(Y_{n,d})$. Moreover, these ideals are of great interest since $I(Y_{n,d})$ is the homogeneous binomial prime ideal of syzygies of the monomials in $\mathbb{K}[\Omega_{n,d}]$. Among them, the ideals $I(Y_{n,d})$ generated by quadrics are in the spotlight, especially since many of them provide examples of *Koszul algebras*. Koszul algebras were introduced by Priddy in [22], and since then, they have played an important role in the research fields of commutative algebra and algebraic geometry. We recall that, when $I(Y_{n,d})$ is generated by quadrics, the algebra $\mathbb{K}[\Omega_{n,d}]$ is called *quadratic*, and when $I(Y_{n,d})$ admits a Gröbner basis of quadrics, $\mathbb{K}[\Omega_{n,d}]$ is said to be *G -quadratic*. It is known that Koszul algebras are quadratic and that G -quadratic algebras are Koszul. The converses are in general false, see for instance [14].

The first author was partially supported by the grant *Borsa Ferran Sunyer i Balaguer 2021*.

The first and second authors have been partially supported by the grant PID2019-104844GB-I00.

The third author was supported by the grant KAW 2019.0512.

One of the most extensively studied examples is precisely the Veronese variety $X_{n,d}$, whose associated monomial \mathbb{K} -algebra $\mathbb{K}[\mathcal{M}_{n,d}]$ is G-quadratic and, hence, Koszul and quadratic ([2, 14, 16]). Unlike the Veronese variety $X_{n,d}$, the homogeneous ideal $I(Y_{n,d})$ of a monomial projection $Y_{n,d}$ is in general not generated by quadrics, and binomials of arbitrarily high degree are often required (see Example 3.1). In this work, we focus on two families of monomial subsets, namely (1) $\Omega_{n,d}$ containing at least all monomials supported in at most s variables, and (2) $\Omega_{n,d}$ being the set of monomial invariants of a finite abelian group $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ of order d . Our main objective is to investigate which monomial subsets $\Omega_{n,d}$ from these two families give rise to quadratic, G-quadratic, and Koszul algebras. Along the way, we pose several questions, problems and conjectures.

Family (1) is motivated by the fact that it contains the *pinched Veronese algebras* $\mathrm{PV}(n, d, s)$, i. e. the \mathbb{K} -algebra generated by all monomials in R of degree d in at most s variables. The problem of determining when $\mathrm{PV}(n, d, s)$ is a quadratic or Koszul algebra was posed in [11]. In the main result of this paper (Theorem 3.10), we contribute to this problem by proving that the monomial \mathbb{K} -algebras given by (1) are quadratic when $s \geq \lceil \frac{n+2}{2} \rceil$. A key observation is that the \mathbb{K} -vector spaces $\langle \Omega_{n,d} \rangle$ are 2-normal for these values of s , i. e. $\mathbb{K}[\Omega_{n,d}]_2 = R_{2d}$. Family (2) has been studied in [7, 8, 9, 10], where the authors proved that the associated ideals $I(Y_{n,d})$ are generated by binomials of degree at most 3. In this direction, for $n = 2$ we completely determine when $\mathbb{K}[\Omega_{2,d}]$ is a quadratic algebra in terms of the finite abelian group $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ and, furthermore, we prove that $\mathbb{K}[\Omega_{2,d}]$ is a quadratic algebra if and only if it is a Koszul algebra (Theorem 4.6 and Propositions 4.7 and 4.8). For those being Koszul, we provide families of examples where $\mathbb{K}[\Omega_{2,d}]$ is a G-quadratic algebra (Propositions 4.10 and 4.12), and this allows us to produce further examples in any dimension (Proposition 4.15 and Corollary 4.16).

This work is organized as follows. In Section 2, we gather the basic notions and definitions needed in the body of this paper. In Section 3, we begin our study of homogeneous ideals of monomial projections $Y_{n,d}$ of Veronese varieties. A first important observation is a combinatorial characterization of when a binomial in $I(Y_{n,d})$ is generated by binomials of lower degree. In Subsection 3.1, we focus our attention on family (1). We show that if a subset of monomials $\Omega_{n,d}$ spans a 2-normal vector space, then $I(Y_{n,d})$ is generated by binomials of degree at most 3 (Proposition 3.7), and we exhibit an example where $I(Y_{n,d})$ is minimally generated by quadrics and cubics. Next, we characterize when a subset $\Omega_{n,d}$ in (1) spans a 2-normal vector space (Proposition 3.5), and we use this fact in the proof of our main result: a monomial \mathbb{K} -algebra $\mathbb{K}[\Omega_{n,d}]$ with $\Omega_{n,d}$ as in (1) is a quadratic algebra when $s \geq \lceil \frac{n+2}{2} \rceil$ (Theorem 3.10). In Subsection 3.2, we introduce the family (2) and we gather what is known about their homogeneous ideals.

Section 4 is devoted to the problem of determining when quadratic algebras $\mathbb{K}[\Omega_{n,d}]$ are Koszul and further G-quadratic. We focus mainly on monomial projections of the Veronese surface of family (2). Given a finite abelian group $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ of order d , let $\Omega_{2,d}$ be the set of all monomial invariants of G of degree d . Using the results of [7] and [13], we completely characterize when $\mathbb{K}[\Omega_{2,d}]$ is quadratic in terms of the finite abelian group G , and we establish that $\mathbb{K}[\Omega_{2,d}]$ is a quadratic algebra if and only if it is a Koszul algebra (Theorem 4.6 and Propositions 4.7 and 4.8).

Afterwards, for this subfamily we ask if being quadratic is also equivalent to being G-quadratic. We establish this fact for large subfamilies of algebras $\mathbb{K}[\Omega_{2,d}]$ with $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ a finite cyclic group (Propositions 4.10 and 4.12). To tackle these topics more in general, we give a technique (Lemma 4.14) to produce examples of G-quadratic algebras $\mathbb{K}[\Omega_{N,d}]$ with $N \geq 3$ by means of G-quadratic algebras $\mathbb{K}[\Omega_{n,d}]$ with $N > n$. In particular, we apply this technique to G-quadratic algebras $\mathbb{K}[\Omega_{n,d}]$ in the family (2) (Proposition 4.15 and Corollary 4.16).

Finally, in Section 5, we gather the main questions and problems posed along Section 4, and we present our two main related conjectures regarding the family (2) of monomial projections.

Acknowledgements. The authors are grateful to the anonymous referees whose comments and suggestions help to improve the exposition of this paper. This work was partially developed while the first and second authors were visiting the *Università degli Studi di Genova*, they would like to thank the mathematical community of the *Dipartimento di Matematica (DIMA)* for its hospitality.

2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this note, $R = \mathbb{K}[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ will be a polynomial ring over a field \mathbb{K} , and R^Λ the subring of invariants of a finite subgroup $\Lambda \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$. Given positive integers n and d , we denote by $\mathcal{M}_{n,d} = \{x_0^{a_0} \cdots x_n^{a_n} \in R \mid a_0 + \cdots + a_n = d\}$ the set of monomials of degree d in R , and its cardinality by $N_{n,d} = |\mathcal{M}_{n,d}| = \binom{n+d}{n}$.

Recall that an algebra A is a standard graded \mathbb{K} -algebra if $A = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} A_i$ with $A_0 = \mathbb{K}$, and A_1 is finite dimensional as a \mathbb{K} -space and generates A as a \mathbb{K} -algebra. Equivalently, A can be presented as $A = R/I$ where $I \subset R$ is a homogeneous ideal.

Definition 2.1. Let A be a standard graded \mathbb{K} -algebra.

- (i) The algebra A is said to be *quadratic* if its defining ideal I is generated by quadrics.
- (ii) The algebra A is said to be *G-quadratic* if I has a Gröbner basis of quadrics (with respect to some coordinate system of R and some term order).
- (iii) The algebra A is said to be *Koszul* if $\mathrm{reg}_A \mathbb{K} = 0$, i. e. \mathbb{K} has a linear A -resolution.

It is known that Koszul algebras are quadratic and that G-quadratic algebras are Koszul, as mentioned before the converses are not true in general.

We let $X_{n,d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{n,d}-1}$ denote the *Veronese variety*, defined as the n -dimensional projective variety parameterized by $\mathcal{M}_{n,d} = \{m_1, \dots, m_{N_{n,d}}\}$, i. e. the image of the Veronese embedding

$$\nu_{n,d} : \mathbb{P}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N_{n,d}-1}, \quad p \rightarrow (m_1(p) : \cdots : m_{N_{n,d}}(p)).$$

We take a new polynomial ring $S = \mathbb{K}[w_1, \dots, w_{N_{n,d}}]$ in $N_{n,d}$ variables. Then we have an isomorphism $\mathbb{K}[\mathcal{M}_{n,d}] \cong S/I(X_{n,d})$ between the d -th *Veronese subalgebra* $\mathbb{K}[\mathcal{M}_{n,d}] \subset R$ and the homogeneous coordinate ring of $X_{n,d}$. The homogeneous prime ideal $I(X_{n,d})$ is minimally generated by the quadratic binomials

$$\{w_i w_j - w_k w_\ell \mid m_i m_j = m_k m_\ell, \quad 1 \leq i, j, k, \ell \leq N_{n,d}\}.$$

Given a subset $\Omega_{n,d} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{n,d}$ of $\mu_{n,d} \leq N_{n,d}$ monomials, we denote by $\varphi_{\Omega_{n,d}} : \mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{\mu_{n,d}-1}$ the rational map defined by $\Omega_{n,d}$ and we say that $Y_{n,d} := \overline{\varphi_{\Omega_{n,d}}(\mathbb{P}^n)} \subset \mathbb{P}^{\mu_{n,d}-1}$ is the *monomial projection of the Veronese variety $X_{n,d}$ parameterized by $\Omega_{n,d}$* . We have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}^n & \xrightarrow{\nu_{n,d}} & X_{n,d} \\ & \searrow \varphi_{\Omega_{n,d}} & \downarrow \pi \\ & & Y_{n,d} \end{array}$$

where π is the projection of the Veronese variety $X_{n,d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{n,d}-1}$ from the linear subspace generated by the coordinate points $(0 : \dots : 0 : 1 : 0 : \dots : 0) \in \mathbb{P}^{N_{n,d}-1}$, with 1 in position i such that $m_i \notin \Omega_{n,d}$, to the linear subspace $V(w_{m_i}, m_i \notin \Omega_{n,d}) \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{n,d}-1}$.

We let $A(Y_{n,d})$ denote the homogeneous coordinate ring $S/I(Y_{n,d})$ of $Y_{n,d}$, where $S = \mathbb{K}[w_1, \dots, w_{\mu_{n,d}}]$. The homogeneous ideal $I(Y_{n,d})$ can be defined as the kernel of the homomorphism $\rho : S \rightarrow R$ defined by $\rho(w_i) = m_i$. Here, $m_1, \dots, m_{\mu_{n,d}}$ are the monomials of $\Omega_{n,d}$, after renaming the monomials in $\mathcal{M}_{n,d}$ if necessary. Hence, we have an isomorphism between $A(Y_{n,d})$ and the monomial \mathbb{K} -algebra $\mathbb{K}[\Omega_{n,d}]$ generated by the monomials in $\Omega_{n,d}$; we will use them indistinguishably in the sequel. The prime ideal $\ker \rho = I(Y_{n,d})$ is generated by binomials

$$(1) \quad \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^{\mu_{n,d}} w_i^{\alpha_i} - \prod_{i=1}^{\mu_{n,d}} w_i^{\beta_i} \mid \prod_{i=1}^{\mu_{n,d}} m_i^{\alpha_i} = \prod_{i=1}^{\mu_{n,d}} m_i^{\beta_i} \right\}.$$

For a monomial $m = x_0^{a_0} \cdots x_n^{a_n} \in R$, the *support* of m is the set $\text{supp}(m) = \{x_i \mid a_i > 0\}$. In this paper, we concentrate our attention on two families of monomial projections parameterized by

- (1) $\Omega_{n,d} \supset \{m \in \mathcal{M}_{n,d} \mid |\text{supp}(m)| \leq s\}$ for a given number $s \geq \lceil \frac{n+2}{2} \rceil$,
- (2) $\Omega_{n,d} = \{m \in \mathcal{M}_{n,d} \mid m \text{ is an invariant of a finite abelian group } G \subset \text{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})\}$.

A more detailed description of the second family is provided in Subsection 3.2. Our first task is to study minimal generating sets of the homogeneous binomial ideals arising from these two families.

3. GENERATORS OF MONOMIAL PROJECTION OF VERONESE VARIETIES

While the homogeneous ideal $I(X_{n,d})$ of the Veronese variety is generated by quadrics [16], in general, the ideal of a monomial projection of the Veronese variety may require generators of arbitrarily high degree. Let us illustrate this well-known fact with a few examples.

Example 3.1. We take $n = 2$, $d \geq 4$, and let $Y_{2,d}$ be the monomial projection of the Veronese surface $X_{2,d}$ parameterized by

$$\Omega_{2,d} = \left\{ x_0^d, x_1^d, x_0 x_1^{d-2} x_2, x_0^2 x_1^{d-4} x_2^2, x_2^d, x_0^{d-1} x_1 \right\}.$$

Using Macaulay2 [21], we check that, for $d = 4$, the homogeneous ideal $I(Y_{2,4})$ of $Y_{2,4}$ is minimally generated by two binomials of degree 2 and one binomial of degree 4. For $d = 5$, the ideal $I(Y_{2,5})$

is minimally generated by one binomial of degree 2, two binomials of degree 3, and one binomial of degree 5. If we take $d = 6$, then $I(Y_{2,6})$ is minimally generated by four binomials of degree 2 and one binomial of degree 6.

From now on, we fix positive integers n and d , and a subset $\Omega_{n,d} \subset \mathcal{M}_{n,d}$ of $\mu_{n,d} = |\Omega_{n,d}|$ monomials. The monomial projection parameterized by $\Omega_{n,d}$ is denoted by $Y_{n,d}$. We denote by $I(Y_{n,d})_k$ the set of binomials of degree k appearing in (1), and we refer to these binomials as k -binomials. We say that a k -binomial is *trivial* if it is a $(k-1)$ -binomial multiplied by a variable.

Definition 3.2. Let $k \geq 3$ be an integer and $w^\alpha = w^{\alpha_+} - w^{\alpha_-} \in I(Y_{n,d})_k$ a non-trivial k -binomial, where w^{α_+} and w^{α_-} denotes monomials with exponent vectors $\alpha_+, \alpha_- \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\mu_{n,d}}$. An $I(Y_{n,d})_k$ -sequence from w^{α_+} to w^{α_-} is a finite sequence $(w^{(1)}, \dots, w^{(t)})$ of monomials of S of degree k satisfying the two conditions:

- (i) $w^{(1)} = w^{\alpha_+}$ and $w^{(t)} = w^{\alpha_-}$,
- (ii) for all $1 \leq j < t$, $w^{(j)} - w^{(j+1)}$ is a trivial k -binomial.

In the following proposition, we gather a well-known fact about binomial ideals. It characterizes when a binomial in $I(Y_{n,d})_k$ belongs to the ideal $(I(Y_{n,d})_{k-1})$ and, hence, it is not needed in a minimal generating set of $I(Y_{n,d})$. For sake of completeness, we include a simple proof.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $w^\alpha = w^{\alpha_+} - w^{\alpha_-} \in I(Y_{n,d})_k$ with $k \geq 3$. The following are equivalent.*

- (i) $w^\alpha \in (I(Y_{n,d})_{k-1})$.
- (ii) *There is an $I(Y_{n,d})_k$ -sequence from w^{α_+} to w^{α_-} .*

Proof. It is clear that (ii) implies (i). Let us prove the converse. Assume that $w^\alpha \in (I(Y_{n,d})_{k-1})$. We denote by $\{q_1, \dots, q_N\}$ with $q_j = q_j^+ - q_j^-$ a system of binomial generators of $I(Y_{n,d})_{k-1}$. By hypothesis, there exist linear forms $\{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_N\} \subset S$ such that $w^{\alpha_+} = \ell_1 q_1 + \dots + \ell_N q_N + w^{\alpha_-}$. We write $\ell_j = \sum_{i=0}^{N_{n,d}-1} a_{ij} w_i$, where each $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{K}$. Therefore,

$$w^{\alpha_+} = \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{i=0}^{N_{n,d}-1} (a_{ij} w_i q_j^+ - a_{ij} w_i q_j^-) + w^{\alpha_-}.$$

Hence, there exists a pair i_0, j_0 such that $a_{i_0 j_0} = 1$ and $w^{\alpha_+} = w_{i_0} q_{j_0}^+$, or $a_{i_0 j_0} = -1$ and $w^{\alpha_+} = w_{i_0} q_{j_0}^-$. Assume $a_{i_0}^{j_0} = 1$ (analogously we deal with the case $a_{i_0}^{j_0} = -1$). Set $w^{(2)} = w_{i_0} q_{j_0}^-$. We have

$$w^{\alpha_+} = w^{\alpha_+} - w^{(2)} + \sum_{(i,j) \neq (i_0, j_0)} (a_{ij} w_i q_j^+ - a_{ij} w_i q_j^-) + w^{\alpha_-}.$$

Thus,

$$w^{(2)} = \sum_{(i,j) \neq (i_0, j_0)} (a_{ij} w_i q_j^+ - a_{ij} w_i q_j^-) + w^{\alpha_-}.$$

Now we can repeat the same argument for $w^{(2)}$. Considering that the number of summands decreases in each step, we can assure that we end with what we are looking for, namely a $I(Y_{n,d})_k$ -sequence from w^{α_+} to $w^{(t)} = w^{\alpha_-}$. \square

3.1. Monomial projections and 2-normality.

Definition 3.4. A vector subspace $V \subseteq R_d$ is said to be *2-normal* if $\mathbb{K}[V]_2 = R_{2d}$.

2-normality was introduced in [4], as an extension of the classical notion of projective normality, to study the minimal free resolution of $\mathbb{K}[V]$ using Koszul cohomology methods. Here, the vector space V is projectively normal if $V = R_d$. In these terms, any proper subset $\Omega_{n,d} \subsetneq \mathcal{M}_{n,d}$ of monomials generates a non-projectively normal subspace. Notice that, for 2-normal vector subspaces $V \subset R_d$, it holds that $\mathbb{K}[V]_t = R_{td}$, for all $t \geq 2$.

In this subsection, we focus on subsets $\Omega_{n,d}$ of monomials spanning 2-normal subspaces. We shall see that subsets in family (1) $\Omega_{n,d} \supset \{m \in \mathcal{M}_{n,d} \mid |\text{supp}(m)| \leq s\}$, for a given number $s \geq \lceil \frac{n+2}{2} \rceil$, do indeed span 2-normal subspaces. Next, we apply 2-normality to bound the degree of minimal generators for the binomial ideals $I(Y_{n,d})$ associated to monomial projections $Y_{n,d}$. Our main result shows that $I(Y_{n,d})$ is minimally generated by binomials of degree 2 (Theorem 3.10).

Proposition 3.5. *Let $\Omega_{n,d} \subset \mathcal{M}_{n,d}$ be a subset of monomials. The \mathbb{K} -vector space $\langle \Omega_{n,d} \rangle$ is 2-normal in the following cases:*

- (i) $\Omega_{n,d} \supseteq \{m \in \mathcal{M}_{n,d} \mid |\text{supp}(m)| \leq \lceil (n+2)/2 \rceil\}$,
- (ii) $\Omega_{n,d} = \mathcal{M}_{n,d} \setminus \{m\}$ with $m \in \mathcal{M}_{n,d} \setminus \{x_0^d, \dots, x_n^d\}$.

That is, the Hilbert function of the monomial projection $Y_{n,d}$ parameterized by $\Omega_{n,d}$ satisfies $\text{HF}(Y_{n,d}, k) = \binom{n+kd}{n}$ for all $k \geq 2$.

Proof. (i) Let $m = x_0^{a_0} x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n}$ be a monomial of degree $2d$. We shall prove that m is the product of two monomials of degree d and support of size at most $\lceil (n+2)/2 \rceil$. After possibly reindexing our variables, we can assume that $a_0 \leq a_1 \leq \cdots \leq a_n$. Let

$$m_1 = \prod_{1 \leq 2k+1 < n} x_{2k+1}^{a_{2k+1}} \quad \text{and} \quad m_2 = \prod_{0 \leq 2k < n} x_{2k}^{a_{2k}}$$

so that $m = m_1 m_2 x_n^{a_n}$. Notice that the supports of m_1 and m_2 are of size at most $\lceil n/2 \rceil$. The degree of m_1 is at most d and

$$2 \deg(m_1) = \sum_{1 \leq 2k+1 < n} 2a_{2k+1} \leq \sum_{1 \leq 2k+1 < n} (a_{2k+1} + a_{2k+2}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \leq 2d.$$

Similarly,

$$2 \deg(m_2) = \sum_{0 \leq 2k < n} 2a_{2k} \leq \sum_{0 \leq 2k < n} (a_{2k} + a_{2k+1}) \leq \sum_{i=0}^n a_i = 2d,$$

so $\deg(m_2) \leq d$. Now we can write

$$(2) \quad m = (m_1 x_n^{d-\deg(m_1)}) (m_2 x_n^{d-\deg(m_2)}).$$

(ii) Let $m \in \mathcal{M}_{n,d} \setminus \{x_0^d, \dots, x_n^d\}$ and let r be any monomial of degree kd with $k \geq 2$. We want to see that r can be factorized as product of k monomials in $\Omega_{n,d} = \mathcal{M}_{n,d} \setminus \{m\}$. If m does not divide r , then the result is true. Moreover, if $\text{supp}(r) \subseteq \text{supp}(m)$, then the result follows from (i).

Therefore, we can assume that $m = x_0^{a_0} \cdots x_t^{a_t}$ with $0 < t < n$ and $a_0 \cdots a_t \neq 0$, and $r = x_0^{b_0} \cdots x_n^{b_n}$ with $a_0 \leq b_0, \dots, a_t \leq b_n$ and there is j with $t < j \leq n$ such that $b_j > 0$. We set $s_1 = m \frac{x_j}{x_0} \in \Omega_{n,d}$. Since s_1 divides r , we get a monomial $s_2 = r/s_1$ of degree $(k-1)d$. For $k=2$, the product $r = s_1 s_2$ is as wanted unless $s_2 = m$. In this case, $r = m^2 \frac{x_j}{x_0}$, and it is enough to take $s_1 = m \frac{x_j}{x_1}$ and $s_2 = r/s_1 \neq m$. Now the result follows by induction on k . \square

The bound $\lceil (n+2)/2 \rceil$ for the support in Proposition 3.5 (i) can not be replaced by a smaller number while maintaining 2-normality. Take for example $n=3$ and $d=5$. Then $\lceil (n+2)/2 \rceil = 3$, and the monomial $x_0^2 x_1^2 x_2^2 x_3^4$ of degree 10 is not the product of two monomials of degree 5 in two variables. Similarly, if we violate (ii) by taking $\Omega_{n,d} = \mathcal{M}_{n,d} \setminus \{x_0^d\}$, then the \mathbb{K} -vector space spanned by $\Omega_{n,d}$ is not 2-normal.

Remark 3.6. The question of the arithmetically Cohen-Macaulayness of monomial projections $Y_{n,d}$ is a longstanding problem posed by Gröbner in [16], see also [7, 8, 18, 17, 19, 16, 23, 24, 25]. For $\Omega_{n,d} \neq \mathcal{M}_{n,d}$ as in Proposition 3.5, a straightforward application of [15, Theorem 2.6] and the 2-normality property shows that $\mathbb{K}[\Omega_{n,d}]$ is always a non Cohen-Macaulay ring.

The proof of Theorem 3.10 relies on the fact that the defining ideal of $\mathbb{K}[V]$ is generated in degree two and three, when $V \subset R_d$ is a 2-normal subspace, proved in [1, Proposition 1.2]. We provide an alternative proof of this claim, in the toric case.

Proposition 3.7. *Let $\Omega_{n,d} \subset \mathcal{M}_{n,d}$ be a subset of monomials spanning a 2-normal vector space in R_d , and let $Y_{n,d}$ be the monomial projection parameterized by $\Omega_{n,d}$. Then, the ideal $I(Y_{n,d})$ is generated by binomials of degrees at most 3.*

Proof. Fix $k \geq 4$ and let $w^\alpha = w^{\alpha^+} - w^{\alpha^-} = w_{i_1} \cdots w_{i_k} - w_{j_1} \cdots w_{j_k} \in I(Y_{n,d})_k$ be a non-trivial k -binomial. For each w_{i_ℓ} (respectively w_{j_ℓ}), let $m_{i_\ell} = x_0^{a_{\ell 0}} \cdots x_n^{a_{\ell n}} \in \Omega_{n,d}$ be its associated monomial (respectively, $m_{j_\ell} = x_0^{b_{\ell 0}} \cdots x_n^{b_{\ell n}} \in \Omega_{n,d}$), for $\ell = 1, \dots, k$.

We consider the monomials m_{i_1} and m_{j_1} , and for each $0 \leq s \leq n$, we define:

$$c_s = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a_{1s} \geq b_{1s} \\ b_{1s} - a_{1s} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This gives rise a non zero monomial $\tilde{m} = x_0^{c_0} \cdots x_n^{c_n} \in R$ of degree strictly less than d , which divides $m_{i_2} \cdots m_{i_k}$. Thus, we consider $m' = (m_{i_2} \cdots m_{i_k})/\tilde{m}$, which is a monomial of degree at least $(k-2)d \geq 2d$. Then, arguing as in the proof of Proposition 3.5, we can find a monomial $r \in \Omega_{n,d}$ of degree d dividing m' . Thus, $m_{i_2} \cdots m_{i_k} = r \cdot s$, where s is a monomial of degree $(k-2)d \geq 2d$. By hypothesis, $\langle \Omega_{n,d} \rangle$ is 2-normal. Since s is a monomial of degree at least $2d$, we can factorize

$$m_{i_2} \cdots m_{i_k} = (m_{i_2}^{(1)} \cdots m_{i_{k-1}}^{(1)}) m_{i_k}^{(1)},$$

where all $m_{i_l}^{(1)} \in \Omega_{n,d}$, for $2 \leq l \leq k$. In particular, $m_{i_k}^{(1)} = r$. Now define $w^{(2)} \in S$ to be the monomial $\rho^{-1}(m_{i_2}^{(1)}) \rho^{-1}(m_{i_3}^{(1)}) \cdots \rho^{-1}(m_{i_k}^{(1)})$. By construction, $w^{\alpha^+} - w^{(2)} \in I(Y_{n,d})_k$ is a trivial

k -binomial and \tilde{m} divides $m_{i_2}^{(1)} \cdots m_{i_{k-1}}^{(1)}$. Thus, m_{j_1} divides $m_{i_1} m_{i_2}^{(1)} \cdots m_{i_{k-1}}^{(1)}$. Applying the same argument as before, we factorize

$$m_{i_1} m_{i_2}^{(1)} \cdots m_{i_{k-1}}^{(1)} = m_{i_1}^{(2)} m_{i_2}^{(2)} \cdots m_{i_{k-1}}^{(2)},$$

where $m_{i_1}^{(2)} = m_{j_1}$ and all $m_{i_\ell}^{(2)} \in \Omega_{n,d}$, for $2 \leq \ell \leq k-1$. We set

$$w^{(3)} = \rho^{-1}(m_{i_1}^{(2)}) \cdots \rho^{-1}(m_{i_{k-1}}^{(2)}) \rho^{-1}(m_{i_k}^{(1)}).$$

Since

$$m_{i_1} m_{i_2}^{(1)} \cdots m_{i_{k-1}}^{(1)} m_{i_k}^{(1)} = m_{i_1}^{(2)} m_{i_2}^{(2)} \cdots m_{i_{k-1}}^{(2)} m_{i_k}^{(1)},$$

$w^{(2)} - w^{(3)} \in \mathbf{I}(Y_{n,d})_k$ is a trivial k -binomial. Furthermore, since $m_{i_1}^{(2)} = m_{j_1}$, also $w^{(3)} - w^{\alpha-} \in \mathbf{I}(Y_{n,d})_k$ is a trivial k -binomial. Therefore, $(w^{\alpha+}, w^{(2)}, w^{(3)}, w^{\alpha-})$ is an $\mathbf{I}(Y_{n,d})_k$ -sequence, and by Proposition 3.3, we have $w^\alpha \in (\mathbf{I}(Y_{n,d})_{k-1})$. Repeating the same argument, we obtain

$$\cdots \subset (\mathbf{I}(Y_{n,d})_k) \subset (\mathbf{I}(Y_{n,d})_{k-1}) \subset \cdots \subset (\mathbf{I}(Y_{n,d})_3). \quad \square$$

Corollary 3.8. *Let $\Omega_{n,d} \subset \mathcal{M}_{n,d}$ be a subset of monomials parametrizing a monomial projection $Y_{n,d}$. Assume*

- (i) $\Omega_{n,d} \supseteq \{m \in \mathcal{M}_{n,d} \mid |\text{supp}(m)| \leq \lceil (n+2)/2 \rceil\}$, or
- (ii) $\Omega_{n,d} = \mathcal{M}_{n,d} \setminus \{m\}$ with $m \in \mathcal{M}_{n,d} \setminus \{x_0^d, \dots, x_n^d\}$.

Then, the ideal $\mathbf{I}(Y_{n,d})$ is generated by binomials of degree at most 3.

Proof. It immediately follows from Propositions 3.5 and 3.7. □

Our next question is when degree 3 generators of $\mathbf{I}(Y_{n,d})$ are necessary. Indeed, we see in the example below that quadrics are not always enough to generate $\mathbf{I}(Y_{n,d})$ for all monomial projections covered in Corollary 3.8.

Example 3.9. Take $n = 2$, $d = 4$ and $\Omega_{2,4} = \mathcal{M}_{2,4} \setminus \{x_0^2 x_1^2\}$. We have checked computationally, using the software Macaulay2 [21], that the homogeneous ideal $\mathbf{I}(Y_{2,4})$ of the monomial projection $Y_{2,4}$ parameterized by $\Omega_{2,4}$ is minimally generated by 60 quadrics and 3 cubics.

We are now ready to state the main result of this section, which also provides a partial answer to Question 16 in [11] concerning the *pinched Veronese algebra* $\text{PV}(n, d, s)$, i. e. the algebra generated by all monomials in $n+1$ variables of degree d that are supported in at most s variables.

Theorem 3.10. *Fix integers $d, n \geq 2$, and let $Y_{n,d}$ be a monomial projection parameterized by $\Omega_{n,d} \supset \{m \in \mathcal{M}_{n,d} \mid |\text{supp}(m)| \leq \lceil \frac{n+2}{2} \rceil\}$. Then, the algebra $\mathbf{A}(Y_{n,d})$ is quadratic. In particular, when $s \geq \lceil \frac{n+2}{2} \rceil$, the pinched Veronese algebra $\text{PV}(n, d, s)$ is quadratic.*

Proof. Let $t = \lceil (n+2)/2 \rceil$. By Proposition 3.3 and Corollary 3.8, the ideal $\mathbf{I}(Y_{2,d})$ is generated in degrees two and three, so it remain to show that generators of degree three are not needed. To this end, we take a nontrivial relation

$$m_1 m_2 m_3 = m_4 m_5 m_6 = x_0^{d_0} x_1^{d_1} \cdots x_n^{d_n}, \quad m_1, \dots, m_6 \in \Omega_{n,d}.$$

As we can apply the factorization (2) from the proof of Proposition 3.5 pairwise to the monomials, it is enough to consider the case $\Omega_{n,d} = \{m \in \mathcal{M}_{n,d} \mid |\text{supp}(m)| \leq t\}$. The goal is to prove the existence of two sequences:

$$\begin{aligned} m_1 m_2 m_3 &= m_1^{(1)} m_2^{(1)} m_3^{(1)} = \cdots = m_1^{(r)} m_2^{(r)} m_3^{(r)} \\ m_4 m_5 m_6 &= m_4^{(1)} m_5^{(1)} m_6^{(1)} = \cdots = m_4^{(s)} m_5^{(s)} m_6^{(s)}, \end{aligned}$$

where each step is a degree two relation, so one monomial is unchanged, and $m_i^{(r)} = m_j^{(s)}$ for a pair $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, $j \in \{4, 5, 6\}$. We distinguish three cases.

Case 1: $n = 2$. Here $t = 2$ and, after possibly reordering our x -variables, we can assume that $d_0 = \min\{d_0, d_1, d_2\}$ and so $d_0 \leq d$. We claim there are $m_2^{(1)}$ and $m_3^{(1)}$ in $\Omega_{2,d}$ such that $m_2 m_3 = m_2^{(1)} m_3^{(1)}$ and $x_0 \notin \text{supp}(m_3^{(1)})$. Indeed, say $m_2 m_3 = x_0^{a_0} x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2}$. As $d_0 \leq d$, we also have $a_0 \leq d$. Then $a_0 + a_1 \geq d$ or $a_0 + a_2 \geq d$, as both strictly less than d would disagree with $a_0 + a_1 + a_2 = 2d$. Say $a_0 + a_1 \geq d$. Then take $m_2^{(1)} = x_0^{a_0} x_1^{d-a_0}$ and $m_3^{(1)} = x_1^{d-a_2} x_2^{a_2}$. Now we set $m_1^{(1)} = m_1$ and we apply the same procedure to $m_1^{(1)} m_2^{(1)}$. We obtain $m_1^{(2)}$ and $m_2^{(2)}$ such that $\text{supp}(m_1^{(2)}) \subseteq \{x_0, x_1\}$ and $\text{supp}(m_2^{(2)}) \subseteq \{x_1, x_2\}$. Taking $m_3^{(2)} = m_3^{(1)}$, we now have the sequence $m_1 m_2 m_3 = m_1^{(1)} m_2^{(1)} m_3^{(1)} = m_1^{(2)} m_2^{(2)} m_3^{(2)}$, where we have only used degree two relations. As $m_1^{(2)}$ is the only one divisible by x_0 , we have $m_1^{(2)} = x_0^{d_0} x_1^{d-d_0}$. With exactly the same arguments, we find a sequence $m_4 m_5 m_6 = m_4^{(1)} m_5^{(1)} m_6^{(1)} = m_4^{(2)} m_5^{(2)} m_6^{(2)}$ so that $m_4^{(2)} = x_0^{d_0} x_1^{d-d_0} = m_1^{(2)}$.

Case 2: $n = 3$. We continue in a similar flavour as the previous case. Here $t = 3$ and two of the exponents d_0, d_1, d_2, d_3 are less than or equal to d as $d_0 + d_1 + d_2 + d_3 = 3d$. We may assume $d_0, d_1 \leq d$. The key is the following operation. We have $m_1 m_2 = x_0^{a_0} x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} x_3^{a_3}$, where in particular $a_0, a_1 \leq d$. Then, we can refactor $m_1 m_2 = m_1^{(1)} m_2^{(1)}$ so that $m_1^{(1)}, m_2^{(1)} \in \Omega_{3,d}$ and all x_0 's are collected in $m_1^{(1)}$, and all x_1 's are collected in $m_2^{(1)}$. In other words, $\text{supp}(m_1^{(1)}) \subseteq \{x_0, x_2, x_3\}$ and $\text{supp}(m_2^{(1)}) \subseteq \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$. Repeating similar arguments, we can achieve a sequence

$$m_1 m_2 m_3 = m_1^{(1)} m_2^{(1)} m_3^{(1)} = m_1^{(2)} m_2^{(2)} m_3^{(2)} = m_1^{(3)} m_2^{(3)} m_3^{(3)}$$

where $m_1^{(3)} = x_0^{d_0} f_1$, $m_2^{(3)} = x_1^{d_1} f_2$, $m_3^{(3)} = f_3$ and f_1, f_2, f_3 are monomials in x_2, x_3 . The same procedure can be applied to $m_4 m_5 m_6$. We get $m_4^{(3)} m_5^{(3)} m_6^{(3)} = (x_0^{d_0} f_4)(x_1^{d_1} f_5) f_6$ where f_4, f_5, f_6 are monomials in x_2, x_3 . We are not done yet, as f_1 and f_4 are not necessarily equal. Say f_1 has smaller x_2 -degree compared to f_4 . Then one of f_2 or f_3 is divisible by x_2 , so we can apply one of the quadratic relations

$$(x_0^{d_0} f_1)(x_1^{d_1} f_2) = (x_0^{d_0} \frac{x_2}{x_3} f_1)(x_1^{d_1} \frac{x_3}{x_2} f_2) \quad \text{or} \quad (x_0^{d_0} f_1) f_3 = (x_0^{d_0} \frac{x_2}{x_3} f_1) \frac{x_3}{x_2} f_3.$$

We can repeat this argument until f_1 has been replaced by f_4 .

Case 3: $n > 3$. In the following remark, we collect an operation that will be applied several times in the proof of this third case.

Remark 3.11. Take $m, \tilde{m} \in \Omega_{n,d}$ both divisible by some variable x_i . Assume further that $x_j | m$ for some $j \neq i$ and let

$$m^{(1)} = \frac{x_i}{x_j} m, \quad \tilde{m}^{(1)} = \frac{x_j}{x_i} \tilde{m}.$$

Then $m^{(1)} \tilde{m}^{(1)} = m \tilde{m}$ and if $x_j | \tilde{m}$ or $|\text{supp}(\tilde{m})| < t$ we have $m^{(1)}, \tilde{m}^{(1)} \in \Omega_{n,d}$.

We will use the notation $(a_{i,0}, a_{i,1}, \dots, a_{i,n})$ for the exponent vector of m_i , $i = 1, \dots, 6$. Let i, j be a pair $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, $j \in \{4, 5, 6\}$ such that $|\text{supp}(m_i) \cap \text{supp}(m_j)|$ is maximal among the choices of i, j . We can assume $i = 1$ and $j = 4$. We may index our variables so that $\text{supp}(m_1) \cap \text{supp}(m_4) = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_\beta\}$, and $d_0 \leq d_1 \leq \dots \leq d_\beta$. In the first part of the proof, we will prove the existence of a sequence such that $m_1^{(r)}$ and $m_4^{(s)}$ both are divisible by $x_0^{d_0} \cdots x_\beta^{d_\beta}$, if $d_0 + \dots + d_\beta \leq d$. If $d_0 + \dots + d_\beta > d$, we will instead find a sequence with

$$m_1^{(r)} = m_4^{(s)} = \left(\prod_{0 \leq i < k} x_i^{d_i} \right) x_k^a, \quad \text{for a } k \leq \beta.$$

As a first step, we aim to obtain a sequence such that $m_1^{(*)}$ is divisible by x_0^c where $c = \min(d_0, d)$. We assume $a_{1,0} < \min(d_0, d)$, as otherwise we are already done with this first step. Moreover, applying the factorization (2) to the monomial $m_2 m_3$, we can assume that $|\text{supp}(m_2) \cap \text{supp}(m_3)| \leq 1$. If we are in the hypothesis of Remark 3.11 with respect to m_1 and m_2 , or m_1 and m_3 , then we can get the initial step $m_1 m_2 m_3 = m_1^{(1)} m_2^{(1)} m_3^{(1)}$ in our sequence and $a_{1,0}^{(1)} = a_{1,0} + 1$. Else, we are in one of the following cases:

- (i) $\text{supp}(m_1) \cap \text{supp}(m_2) = \{x_0\}$, $|\text{supp}(m_2)| = t$ and $a_{3,0} = 0$,
- (ii) $\text{supp}(m_1) \cap \text{supp}(m_2) = \text{supp}(m_1) \cap \text{supp}(m_3) = \{x_0\}$ and $|\text{supp}(m_2)| = |\text{supp}(m_3)| = t$.

Since we have the hypothesis $|\text{supp}(m_2) \cap \text{supp}(m_3)| \leq 1$, we have $|\text{supp}(m_2 m_3)| = n + 1$, so (ii) reduces to $m_1 = x_0^d$ and $m_1 m_2 m_3$ is already on the desired form. On the other hand, we can assume in (i) that $\text{supp}(m_2) = \{x_0, x_t, \dots, x_n\}$ and $\text{supp}(m_3) \subseteq \{x_1, \dots, x_{t-1}, x_t\}$. We first observe the following. If $|\text{supp}(m_3)| < |\text{supp}(m_2)| = t$ and $x_t \in \text{supp}(m_3)$, then we apply Remark 3.11 to m_2 and m_3 with $(i, j) = (t, 0)$. Now, we are in position to apply Remark 3.11 to m_1 and $m_3^{(1)}$. If $|\text{supp}(m_3)| < |\text{supp}(m_2)| = t$ and $x_t \notin \text{supp}(m_3)$, there is some pair $i \neq j$ such that $a_{3,j} > a_{2,i} > 0$. Thus, we can do the operation

$$(3) \quad m_1^{(1)} = m_1, \quad m_2^{(1)} = \frac{x_j^{a_{2,i}}}{x_i^{a_{2,i}}} m_2, \quad m_3^{(1)} = \frac{x_i^{a_{2,i}}}{x_j^{a_{2,i}}} m_3.$$

Either we get in position to apply Remark 3.11 to increase $a_{1,0}$, or we get $|\text{supp}(m_3^{(1)})| < t$ and $|\text{supp}(m_2^{(1)}) \cap \text{supp}(m_3^{(1)})| = 1$, and we can argue as before, or we get in (i) with $|\text{supp}(m_3)| = t$. Thus, it remains to consider (i) with

$$m_2 = x_0^{a_{2,0}} x_t^{a_{2,t}} x_{t+1}^{d_{t+1}} \cdots x_n^{d_n}, \quad m_3 = x_1^{a_{3,1}} \cdots x_t^{a_{3,t}}$$

and $|\text{supp}(m_2)| = |\text{supp}(m_3)| = t$. It's straightforward to check that the assumption $|\text{supp}(m_2)| = |\text{supp}(m_3)| = t$ implies that there is a pair $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, $j \in \{4, 5, 6\}$ such that m_i, m_j share at

least two variables. Hence, we can assume $\beta > 0$. So, we have $m_1 m_3 = x_0^{a_{1,0}} x_1^{d_1} \cdots x_{t-1}^{d_{t-1}} x_t^{a_{3,t}}$ and by hypothesis $d_0 \leq d_1 \leq \cdots \leq d_\beta$. We distinguish the following cases.

$a_{1,0} + d_1 \geq d$ and $d_0 > d$. Then, we have $d_t + \cdots + d_n < d$, as otherwise the total degree of $m_1 m_2 m_3$ would exceed $3d$. This allows us to define

$$m_1^{(1)} = m_1, \quad m_2^{(1)} = x_0^{a_{2,0}-a_{3,t}} x_t^{d_t} \cdots x_n^{d_n}, \quad m_3^{(1)} = x_0^{a_{3,t}} x_1^{a_{3,1}} \cdots x_{t-1}^{a_{3,t-1}}.$$

Now we can apply Remark 3.11 to $m_1^{(1)}$ and $m_3^{(1)}$.

$a_{1,0} + d_1 \geq d$ and $d_0 \leq d$. Let

$$m_1^{(1)} = x_0^{a_{1,0}} x_1^{d-a_{1,0}}, \quad m_2^{(1)} = m_2, \quad m_3^{(1)} = x_1^{d_1-d+a_{1,0}} x_2^{d_2} \cdots x_{t-1}^{d_{t-1}} x_t^{d_{3,t}},$$

and, then,

$$m_1^{(2)} = \frac{x_0^{a_{2,0}}}{x_1^{a_{2,0}}} m_1^{(1)} = x_0^{d_0} x_1^{d-d_0}, \quad m_2^{(2)} = \frac{x_1^{a_{2,0}}}{x_0^{a_{2,0}}} m_2^{(1)} = x_1^{a_{2,0}} x_t^{a_{2,t}} x_{t+1}^{d_{t+1}}, \quad m_3^{(2)} = m_3^{(1)}.$$

Now $m_1^{(2)}$ is on the desired form.

$a_{1,0} + d_1 < d$. In this subcase, we can define

$$m_1^{(1)} = x_0^{a_{1,0}} x_1^{d_1} \cdots x_{k-1}^{d_{k-1}} x_k^{a_{1,k}}, \quad m_2^{(1)} = m_2, \quad m_3^{(1)} = x_k^{a_{3,k}} x_{k+1}^{d_{k+1}} \cdots x_{t-1}^{d_{t-1}} x_t^{a_{3,t}},$$

for some $1 < k < t$. Next we apply (3) once more. Now none of $m_2^{(1)}$ and $m_3^{(1)}$ are divisible by x_1 . So, we can not possibly end up in the situation where $m_3^{(*)}$ gets maximal support, as this would mean $\text{supp}(m_2^{(1)} m_3^{(1)})$ containing all variables. Hence, we will at last be able to apply Remark 3.11.

Following the steps described above, we will eventually obtain a $m_1^{(*)}$ divisible by x_0^c , $c = \min(d_0, d)$. If $c < d$, we obtain a sequence $m_1 m_2 m_3 = \cdots = m_1^{(*)} m_2^{(*)} m_3^{(*)}$ where $\text{supp}(m_2^{(*)} m_3^{(*)}) \subset \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. We repeat the same process to increase now $a_{1,1}^{(*)}$. Moreover, we can perform it without involving the variable x_0 and neither cases (i) with $|\text{supp}(3)| = t$ or (ii) will occur. Continuing in this way, we obtain a sequence $m_1 m_2 m_3 = \cdots = m_1^{(r)} m_2^{(r)} m_3^{(r)}$ where $m_1^{(r)} = x_0^{d_0} \cdots x_i^{d_i} x_{i+1}^{a_{1,i+1}^{(r)}}$ and $\text{supp}(m_2^{(r)} m_3^{(r)}) \subset \{x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n\}$. We apply the steps described above to $m_4 m_5 m_6$ as well. If $d_0 + d_1 + \cdots + d_\beta \geq d$, this means we have obtained $m_1^{(r)} = m_4^{(s)}$, and we are done. Else, we now have $m_1^{(r)}$ and $m_4^{(s)}$ divisible by $x_0^{d_0} \cdots x_\beta^{d_\beta}$ but $m_1^{(r)} \neq m_4^{(s)}$. Let's reset the notation here so that $m_i := m_i^{(r)}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $m_j := m_j^{(s)}$ for $j = 4, 5, 6$. We have

$$(4) \quad m_1 = x_0^{d_0} \cdots x_\beta^{d_\beta} \tilde{m}_1, \quad m_4 = x_0^{d_0} \cdots x_\beta^{d_\beta} \tilde{m}_4$$

where $\text{supp}(\tilde{m}_1) \cap \text{supp}(\tilde{m}_4) = \emptyset$, $|\text{supp}(m_1) \cap \text{supp}(m_2 m_3)| \leq 1$ and $|\text{supp}(m_4) \cap \text{supp}(m_5 m_6)| \leq 1$. If $|\text{supp}(m_2 m_3)| < n$, $m_2 m_3$ is a monomial in at most $n - 1$ variables, we can assume they are supported in at most $t - 1$ variables each. At this step we need to split into two cases.

Case A: $|\text{supp}(m_1^{(1)})| = |\text{supp}(m_4^{(1)})| = t$. Here

$$|\text{supp}(m_2^{(1)} m_3^{(1)})| \leq n + 1 - t + 1 \leq t.$$

Hence, we can factor $m_2^{(1)}m_3^{(1)}$ in any way we like without exceeding the maximal allowed support. As \tilde{m}_4 divides $m_2^{(1)}m_3^{(1)}$, we choose $m_2^{(1)}m_3^{(1)} = m_2^{(2)}m_3^{(2)}$ such that $m_2^{(2)} = \tilde{m}_4\tilde{m}_2^{(2)}$. In the same way, we factor $m_5^{(1)}m_6^{(1)} = m_5^{(2)}m_6^{(2)}$ such that $m_5^{(2)} = \tilde{m}_1\tilde{m}_5^{(2)}$. But then $\tilde{m}_2^{(2)}m_3^{(2)} = \tilde{m}_5^{(2)}m_6^{(2)}$, and we can refactor $m_2^{(2)}m_3^{(2)}$ once more so that $m_3^{(3)} = m_6^{(2)}$.

Case B: $|\text{supp}(m_1^{(1)})| < t$ (or $|\text{supp}(m_4^{(1)})| < t$). Take some x_i in $\text{supp}(\tilde{m}_1)$ and x_j in $\text{supp}(\tilde{m}_4)$. Then x_j divides $m_2^{(1)}$ or $m_3^{(1)}$, let's say $m_2^{(1)}$. As both $m_1^{(1)}$ and $m_2^{(1)}$ have support smaller than t , we can do the operation

$$m_1^{(2)} = \frac{x_j}{x_i}m_1^{(1)}, \quad m_2^{(2)} = \frac{x_i}{x_j}m_2^{(1)}.$$

Now $|\text{supp}(m_1^{(2)}) \cap \text{supp}(m_4^{(1)})| > |\text{supp}(m_1^{(1)}) \cap \text{supp}(m_4^{(1)})|$. So, we go back to the first part of the proof, which will result in (4) with a greater value of β .

Finally, when $|\text{supp}(m_2m_3)| = n$, we have $\beta = 0$ and we can assume that $\text{supp}(m_1) = \{x_0, x_1\}$. When $n \geq 4$, the allowed support is $t \geq 3$. So, if $x_i \in \text{supp}(m_4)$ with $i \neq 0$, then we can go back to the first part of the proof to increase $a_{1,i}$ as if $x_i \in \text{supp}(m_1)$. Either we obtain the desired sequence in the process or we end with $\beta > 0$ and we finish the proof. \square

For $s < \lceil \frac{n+2}{2} \rceil$, the pinched Veronese $\text{PV}(n, d, s)$ is in general not quadratic. Take for instance $n = 3$ and $d = 5$. Then, $\lceil \frac{n+2}{2} \rceil = 3$, and the ideal $I(Y_{3,5})$ defining the algebra $\text{PV}(3, 5, 2)$ is minimally generated by 168 binomials of degree 2 and 12 binomials of degree 3.

3.2. Monomial projections parameterized by invariants of finite abelian groups. In this subsection, we shift focus to our second family of monomial projections. We fix an integer $n \geq 2$ and $G \subset \text{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ a finite abelian group of order d . We will assume that \mathbb{K} is an algebraically closed field and d is not divisible by the characteristic of \mathbb{K} . Therefore, we can assume that G acts diagonally on R . We write $G = \Gamma_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \Gamma_s$, where each $\Gamma_i \subset \text{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ is a cyclic group of order d_i with $d = d_1 \cdots d_s$ and $d_i | d_{i+1}$. We present Γ_i as the group generated by a diagonal matrix

$$M_{d_i; \alpha_{i0}, \dots, \alpha_{in}} := \text{diag}(e_i^{\alpha_{i0}}, \dots, e_i^{\alpha_{in}}) = \begin{pmatrix} e_i^{\alpha_{i0}} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & e_i^{\alpha_{i1}} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & e_i^{\alpha_{in}} \end{pmatrix},$$

where e_i is a d_i -th primitive root of 1 in \mathbb{K} and $\text{GCD}(\alpha_{i0}, \dots, \alpha_{in}, d_i) = 1$.

The *first cyclic extension* of G is the finite abelian group $\overline{G} \subset \text{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ generated by G and the diagonal matrix

$$M_{d; 1, \dots, 1} := \text{diag}(e, \dots, e) = \begin{pmatrix} e & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & e & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & e \end{pmatrix},$$

where e is a d -th primitive root of 1 in \mathbb{K} . By [7, Theorem 2.2.11], the set \mathcal{B}_1 of monomial invariants of G of degree d minimally generates the ring $R^{\overline{G}}$ of invariants of \overline{G} . Let $\mu_d = |\mathcal{B}_1|$. Analogously, we define the t -th *cyclic extension* of G as $\overline{G}^t = \langle G, M_{td; 1, \dots, 1} \rangle \subset \text{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$. As a consequence,

for any $t \geq 1$ the algebra $R^{\overline{G}^t}$ is minimally generated by the set of monomial invariants of G of degree td .

Notation 3.12. Let $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ be a finite abelian group of order d . By $X_{n,d}^G \subset \mathbb{P}^{\mu_{n,d}-1}$ we denote the monomial projection of the Veronese variety $X_{n,d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{n,d}-1}$ parameterized by \mathcal{B}_1 .

Remark 3.13. The homogeneous coordinate ring $A(X_{n,d}^G)$ of $X_{n,d}^G$ is isomorphic to $R^{\overline{G}}$. So, $A(X_{n,d}^G)$ is always a Cohen-Macaulay algebra ([20, Proposition 13]).

Let us see an example.

Example 3.14. Take $n = 3, d = 4$ and $G = \langle M_{4;0,1,2,3} \rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(4, \mathbb{K})$ a cyclic group of order 3. Then, G acts on $R = \mathbb{K}[x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3]$ by $(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) \mapsto (x_0, ex_1, e^2x_2, e^3x_3)$, where e is a d -th root of unity. Thus, a monomial is in $R^{\overline{G}}$ if and only if its exponent vector $(a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^4$ is a solution of the linear system of congruences

$$\begin{cases} y_0 + y_1 + y_2 + y_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{d} \\ y_1 + 2y_2 + 3y_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{d}. \end{cases}$$

The monomials of degree 4 and 8 in $R^{\overline{G}}$ are respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_1 &= \{x_0^4, x_1^4, x_0x_1^2x_2, x_0^2x_2^2, x_0^2x_1x_3, x_2^4, x_1x_2^2x_3, x_1^2x_3^2, x_0x_2x_3^2, x_3^4\}. \\ \mathcal{B}_2 &= \{x_0^8, x_1^8, x_0x_1^6x_2, x_0^2x_1^4x_2^2, x_0^3x_1^2x_3^2, x_0^4x_2^4, x_3x_0^2x_1^5, x_3x_0^3x_1^3x_2, x_3x_0^4x_1x_2^2, x_3^2x_0^4x_1^2, x_3^2x_0^5x_2, x_2^8, \\ &\quad x_3x_1x_2^6, x_3^2x_1^2x_2^4, x_3^2x_0x_2^5, x_3^3x_1^3x_2^2, x_3^3x_0x_1x_2^3, x_3^4x_1^4, x_3^4x_0x_1^2x_2, x_3^4x_0^2x_2^2, x_3^5x_0^2x_1, x_3^8\}. \end{aligned}$$

We have that $R^{\overline{G}} = \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{B}_1]$ and $R^{\overline{G}^2} = \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{B}_2]$. The sets \mathcal{B}_1 and \mathcal{B}_2 parameterize monomial projections $X_{3,4}^G$ and $X_{3,8}^G$ of the Veronese threefolds $X_{3,4} \subset \mathbb{P}^{34}$ and $X_{3,8} \subset \mathbb{P}^{164}$.

In contrast to the monomial projections considered in Section 3.2, the \mathbb{K} -vector spaces spanned by \mathcal{B}_1 are rarely 2-normal. Take $G = \langle M_{d,\alpha_0,\dots,\alpha_n} \rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ a cyclic group of order d , and assume $0 \neq \alpha_i < \alpha_j$ for some $0 \leq i, j \leq n$. For any integer $t \geq 1$, the monomial $x_i^{td} \in R^{\overline{G}}$. However, the monomial $x_i^{td-1}x_j$ is not an invariant of G . Indeed, $x_i^{td-1}x_j \in R^{\overline{G}}$ if and only if $td\alpha_i + \alpha_j - \alpha_i \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$, which happens if and only if $\alpha_j = \alpha_i$. Thus, $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{B}_1]_t < \dim_{\mathbb{K}} R_{td}$ for all $t \geq 1$, so \mathcal{B}_1 is non 2-normal. Still, we have the property that the homogeneous ideal $I(X_{n,d}^G)$ of the variety $X_{n,d}^G$ is generated by binomials of degree at most 3, see [7, Theorem 3.2.6]. Hence, we are led to consider the following problem.

Problem 3.15. For which finite abelian groups $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ of order d are the algebras $A(X_{n,d}^G)$ quadratic?

Indeed, there are finite abelian groups G for which the ideals $I(X_{n,d}^G)$ are minimally generated by binomials of degrees 2 and 3. But we also have families of varieties $X_{n,d}^G$ whose homogeneous ideals are minimally generated by binomials of degree 2. To describe these families, we need to fix some notation.

Notation 3.16. From now on, given integers $d \geq 2$ and $0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < d$, we set $\alpha'_1 = \frac{\alpha_1}{\text{GCD}(\alpha_1, d)}$, $d' = \frac{d}{\text{GCD}(\alpha_1, d)}$ and let μ, λ be the unique determined integers with $0 < \lambda \leq d'$ such that $\alpha_2 = \lambda\alpha'_1 + \mu d'$.

In addition, the strictness of the inequality

$$(5) \quad \text{GCD}(\alpha_1, d) \cdot \text{GCD}(\lambda, d') \cdot \text{GCD}(\lambda - \text{GCD}(\alpha_1, d), d') \geq 1$$

plays an important role.

Proposition 3.17. *Let $G = \langle M_{d;0,\alpha_1,\alpha_2} \rangle \subset \text{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ be cyclic group of order $d \geq 2$ with $0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < d$. Then, $\text{A}(X_{2,d}^G)$ is a quadratic algebra if and only if the inequality (5) is strict.*

Proof. See [7, Corollary 3.1.24 and Proposition 3.2.8]. \square

Proposition 3.18. *Let $G = \langle M_{d;0,\rho_1,\dots,\rho_n} \rangle \subset \text{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ be a cyclic group $G = \langle M_{d;0,\rho_1,\dots,\rho_n} \rangle \subset \text{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ of order d . If there are entries $\rho_i < \rho_j < \rho_k$ such that we have equality in (5) with $\alpha_1 = \rho_j - \rho_i$ and $\alpha_2 = \rho_k - \rho_i$, then $\text{A}(X_{n,d}^G)$ is not quadratic.*

Proof. It follows directly from Proposition 3.17 taking into account that $\text{I}(X_{n,d}^G)$ contains the binomial generators of the surface $X_{2,d}^{G_1}$, where $G_1 = \langle M_{d;0,\alpha_j-\alpha_i,\alpha_k-\alpha_i} \rangle \subset \text{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$. Indeed, by construction, if a binomial of degree 3 in $\text{I}(X_{2,d}^{G_1})$ does not admit a $\text{I}(X_{2,d}^{G_1})_3$ -sequence, then it does not admit an $\text{I}(X_{n,d}^G)_3$ -sequence. So by Proposition 3.3, it cannot be expressed as a linear combination of binomials of degree 2 in $\text{I}(X_{n,d}^G)$. \square

In the next section, applying Lemma 4.14, we will get examples of varieties $X_{n,d}^G$ of any dimension $n \geq 2$ such that the associated algebra $\text{A}(X_{n,d}^G)$ is quadratic. We finish this section with an example studied in [9], for which the associated algebra is quadratic.

Proposition 3.19. *Let $G = \langle M_{d;0,1,2,3} \rangle \subset \text{GL}(4, \mathbb{K})$ be a cyclic group of order $d \geq 4$. Then, $\text{A}(X_{n,d}^G)$ is a quadratic algebra if and only if d is even.*

Proof. See [9, Corollary 5.7]. \square

Motivated by the results of this section, we will investigate the Koszulness and the existence of a quadratic Gröbner basis for the ideals $\text{I}(X_{n,d}^G)$.

4. KOSZULNESS OF MONOMIAL PROJECTIONS OF VERONESE VARIETIES

This section is entirely devoted to studying the Koszulness of the homogeneous coordinate ring $\mathbb{K}[\Omega_{n,d}]$ of a monomial projection of a Veronese variety, as well as whether $\mathbb{K}[\Omega_{n,d}]$ is G-quadratic.

An application of [12, Corollary 6.10(2)] states that the d -th graded component of a monomial complete intersection ideal

$$(x_0^{\lambda+1}, \dots, x_n^{\lambda+1})_d = \{x_0^{a_0} \cdots x_n^{a_n} \in \mathcal{M}_{n,d} \mid a_i > \lambda \text{ for some } i\}$$

generates a Koszul algebra if $d - \lambda > \frac{(\lambda+1)n}{n+1}$. This provides a proof for Koszulness of some quadratic algebras from Theorem 3.10.

Proposition 4.1. *Take positive integers d, n, λ and let*

$$\Omega_{n,d} = \{x_0^{a_0} \cdots x_n^{a_n} \in \mathcal{M}_{n,d} \mid a_i > \lambda \text{ for some } i\}.$$

Let s be the greatest integer such that $d > s\lambda$. Then $\Omega_{n,d}$ contains all $m \in \mathcal{M}_{n,d}$ with $|\text{supp}(m)| \leq s$, and $\mathbb{K}[\Omega_{n,d}]$ is Koszul if $s > \frac{(\lambda+1)n}{\lambda(n+1)}$.

Proof. The two inequalities $d > s\lambda$ and $s > \frac{(\lambda+1)n}{\lambda(n+1)}$ imply

$$d - \lambda > \lambda(s - 1) \geq \frac{(\lambda + 1)n}{n + 1}. \quad \square$$

We single out two families of Koszul algebras from Proposition 4.1, obtained by removing full support monomials from $\mathcal{M}_{n,d}$.

Proposition 4.2. *The following two sets of monomials generate Koszul algebras.*

(i) $\mathcal{M}_{n,d} \setminus \{(x_0 \cdots x_n)^\lambda\}$ with $d = \lambda(n + 1)$.

(ii) $\mathcal{M}_{n,d} \setminus \{x_0^{\lambda-1}(x_1 \cdots x_n)^\lambda, \dots, x_n^{\lambda-1}(x_0 \cdots x_{n-1})^\lambda\}$ with $d = \lambda(n + 1) - 1$.

Proof. Applying Proposition 4.1, we obtain the result except in (i) when $n = 2$ and $d = 3$. In this case, we have $\mathbb{K}[\mathcal{M}_{2,3} \setminus \{x_0 x_1 x_2\}]$, which is isomorphic to the homogeneous coordinate ring of the pinched Veronese $\text{PV}(2, 3, 2)$ and, by [5, Theorem 3.1], it is a Koszul algebra. \square

It is not known whether all algebras in Theorem 3.10 are Koszul. We highlight the first open case, which appears in $n = 2, d = 4$.

Question 4.3. *Let $Y_{2,4}$ be the monomial projection parameterized by $\mathcal{M}_{2,4} \setminus \{x_0 x_1 x_2^2\}$. Is $A(Y_{2,4})$ a Koszul algebra?*

Remark 4.4. We have not been able to detect a term order for which the algebra $A(Y_{2,4})$ in Question 4.3 is G-quadratic. However, this does not exclude the possibility of $A(Y_{2,4})$ being Koszul. For example, the pinched Veronese $\text{PV}(2, 3, 2)$ is proved to be Koszul in [5, 6], but as remarked in [11], there is no term order for which it is G-quadratic in the given coordinates.

In the remaining part of this section, we deal with our second family of monomial projections. We fix \mathbb{K} an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Recall that $X_{n,d}^G$ denotes the variety parameterized by the set \mathcal{B}_1 of monomial invariants of degree d of a finite abelian group $G \subset \text{GL}(n + 1, \mathbb{K})$ of order d . We start showing that for any surface $X_{2,d}^G$, with $G \subset \text{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$, the algebra $A(X_{2,d}^G)$ is Koszul if and only if it is quadratic. We will use the following result.

Proposition 4.5. *Let A be a standard Cohen-Macaulay domain over a field of characteristic zero with short h -vector $(h_0, h_1, h_2 \neq 0)$. If $h_2 < h_1$, then A is Koszul.*

Proof. See [13, Theorem 5.2(1)]. \square

By [7, Proposition 3.1.2], we have that the Hilbert series of $A(X_{n,d}^G)$ is of the form

$$\frac{h_n z^n + h_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \dots + h_1 z + 1}{(1 - z)^{n+1}},$$

where h_i coincides with the number of monomials $m = x_0^{a_0} \cdots x_n^{a_n} \in R^{\overline{G}}$ of degree id satisfying $a_0 < d, \dots, a_n < d$. In particular, $h_1 = \text{codim}(X_d) = \mu_d - (n+1)$ and h_n is the number monomials m in \mathcal{B}_1 with $|\text{supp}(m)| = n+1$. Since $A(X_{n,d}^G)$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring, the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity $\text{reg}(A(X_{n,d}^G))$ is the degree of the h -polynomial, $\sum_i h_i z^i$, plus one. Moreover, by ([7, Theorem 3.3.5]), we have

$$n \leq \text{reg}(A(X_{n,d}^G)) \leq n+1,$$

with equality $\text{reg}(A(X_{n,d}^G)) = n+1$ if and only if there is at least one monomial $m \in R^{\overline{G}}$ with $|\text{supp}(m)| = n+1$, i. e. $h_n > 0$.

In the case of the surfaces $X_{2,d}^G$, we have $\text{reg}(A(X_{2,d}^G)) \leq 3$ and a short h -vector $(1, h_1, h_2)$, where h_2 could be zero. From the description of the h_i in terms of \mathcal{B}_1 , we always have $h_2 \leq h_1$. We distinguish three cases depending on the shape of $(1, h_1, h_2)$.

- (A) If $h_2 = 0$, then $\text{reg}(A(X_{2,d}^G)) = 2$. This implies that $I(X_{2,d}^G)$ is generated by binomials of degree 2 and $A(X_{2,d}^G)$ has a linear resolution. Hence, $A(X_{2,d}^G)$ is Koszul.
- (B) If $h_2 = 1$, then $\text{reg}(A(X_{2,d}^G)) = 3$ and $A(X_{2,d}^G)$ is a Gorenstein ring. From the symmetry of a minimal free resolution of $A(X_{2,d}^G)$, it follows that $A(X_{2,d}^G)$ is generated by binomials of degree 2 if and only if $X_{2,d}^G$ is not a cubic surface in \mathbb{P}^3 .
- (C) Otherwise $\text{reg}(A(X_{2,d}^G)) = 3$ and $A(X_{2,d}^G)$ is a ring with $h_2 > 1$.

Theorem 4.6. *Let $G \subset \text{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ be a finite abelian group of order d . The following are equivalent.*

- (i) $A(X_{2,d}^G)$ is Koszul.
- (ii) \mathcal{B}_1 contains at least one monomial m with $|\text{supp}(m)| = 2$.
- (iii) $A(X_{2,d}^G)$ is quadratic.

Proof. For surfaces $X_{2,d}^G$ of type (A) the result is true. Thus, we can assume that $\text{reg}(A(X_{2,d}^G)) = 3$ and, so, $A(X_{2,d}^G)$ has h -vector $(1, h_1, h_2 \neq 0)$. First, we prove that if $h_2 = h_1$, then $I(X_{2,d}^G)$ cannot be only generated by binomials of degree 2. Indeed, if $h_2 = h_1$, then any monomial $m \in \mathcal{B}_1 \setminus \{x_0^d, x_1^d, x_2^d\}$ has $|\text{supp}(m)| = 3$. Thus, we have at least one non-trivial relation $x_0^d x_1^d x_2^d = m_1 m_2 m_3$ with $|\text{supp}(m_i)| = 3$. We consider the 3-binomial $w^\alpha = \rho^{-1}(x_0^d) \rho^{-1}(x_1^d) \rho^{-1}(x_2^d) - \rho^{-1}(m_1) \rho^{-1}(m_2) \rho^{-1}(m_3)$. It is straightforward that w^α does not admit an $I(X_{2,d}^G)_3$ -sequence. By Proposition 3.3, $I(X_{2,d}^G)$ cannot be only generated by quadrics.

Thus, we obtain that $A(X_{2,d}^G)$ is Koszul if and only if $h_2 < h_1$; if and only if $I(X_{2,d}^G)$ is generated by binomials of degree 2. Since $h_1 = \text{codim}(A(X_{2,d}^G)) = |\mathcal{B}_1| - 3$, and $h_1 - h_2$ is the number of monomials $m \in \mathcal{B}_1 \setminus \{x_0^d, x_1^d, x_2^d\}$ with $|\text{supp}(m)| = 2$, the result follows. \square

As an application of the above result, we get the following.

Proposition 4.7. *If $G = \Gamma_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \Gamma_s \subset \text{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ is a finite abelian non cyclic group of order $d = d_1 \cdots d_s$, then the algebra $A(X_{2,d}^G)$ is Koszul.*

Proof. The monomial $x_0^{d_s} x_1^{d-d_s}$ is an invariant of G , so $A(X_{2,d}^G)$ is Koszul by Theorem 4.6. \square

Given a finite cyclic group $G = \langle M_{d;\alpha_0,\alpha_1,\alpha_2} \rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$, for the purpose of studying the Koszulness of $A(X_{2,d}^G)$, we can assume that $\alpha_0 = 0$ and $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2$.

Proposition 4.8. *Let $G = \langle M_{d;0,\alpha_1,\alpha_2} \rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ be a finite cyclic group of order d . The algebra $A(X_{2,d}^G)$ is quadratic, and hence Koszul, precisely when*

- (i) $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$, or
- (ii) $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2$ and the inequality (5) is strict.

Proof. (i) It is enough to consider the surface $X_{2,d}^G$ where $G = \langle M_{d;0,1,1} \rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$. This is of type (A), so $A(X_{2,d}^G)$ is Koszul.

(ii) It follows from Proposition 3.17 and Theorem 4.6. \square

The fact that $A(X_{2,d}^G)$ being Koszul is equivalent to $I(X_{2,d}^G)$ being generated by quadrics, leads us to ask the following question.

Question 4.9. *Let $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ be a finite abelian group of order d . Is it true that $A(X_{2,d}^G)$ is quadratic if and only if $I(X_{2,d}^G)$ has a quadratic Gröbner basis?*

Next, we consider families of finite abelian groups $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ for which we are able to find a quadratic Gröbner basis for the ideal $I(X_{n,d}^G)$.

Proposition 4.10. *Let $G = \langle M_{d;0,1,k} \rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ and $d = tk(k-1)$ for some integer $t \geq 1$. Then, $I(X_{2,d}^G)$ has a quadratic Gröbner basis.*

Proof. We denote $\mathcal{B}_1 = \{m_0, \dots, m_{\mu_d}\}$ and we take $S = \mathbb{K}[w_0, \dots, w_{\mu_d}]$. We want to prove that there is a term order (S, \preceq) such that $I(X_{2,d}^G)$ admits a Gröbner basis of quadrics. To this end, we observe that a monomial $m = x_0^a x_1^b x_2^c$ belongs to \mathcal{B}_1 if and only if there exists an integer r with $0 \leq r \leq k$ such that

$$(*)_r : \begin{cases} a + b + c = d \\ b + kc = rd. \end{cases}$$

The system $(*)_0$ has only one solution $(d, 0, 0)$, and for r with $1 \leq r \leq k$, we have solutions

$$(6) \quad \{((k-1)c - (r-1)d, rd - kc, c) \mid c = (r-1)tk, \dots, rt(k-1)\}$$

determined by r and c . We set $I_0 := \{0\}$ and $I_r := \{(r-1)tk, \dots, rt(k-1)\}$ for $1 \leq r \leq k$. Thus, \mathcal{B}_1 is uniquely determined by the set $\mathcal{W}_d = \{(r, c) \mid 0 \leq r \leq k \text{ and } c \in I_r\}$. We let $m_{(r,c)}$ denote the monomial in \mathcal{B}_1 determined by (r, c) , and we write (r_i, c_i) for the pair in \mathcal{W}_d corresponding to the monomial $m_i \in \mathcal{B}_1$. With this notation, a binomial $w^\alpha = w_{i_1} \cdots w_{i_s} - w_{j_1} \cdots w_{j_s}$ belongs to $I(X_{2,d}^G)$ if and only if we have equalities

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} r_{i_1} + \cdots + r_{i_s} &= r_{j_1} + \cdots + r_{j_s} \\ c_{i_1} + \cdots + c_{i_s} &= c_{j_1} + \cdots + c_{j_s}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the description (6), we sort the monomials in \mathcal{B}_1 as follows. Given $m_i, m_j \in \mathcal{B}_1$, we say that $m_i < m_j$ if and only if $r_i < r_j$ or, $r_i = r_j$ and $c_i < c_j$. Now in S , we say that $w_i \preceq w_j$ if and only if $m_i \leq m_j$ and we take (S, \preceq) as Lex with $\{w_1, \dots, w_{\mu_d}\}$ ordered by \preceq . Our goal is to prove

that $I(X_{2,d}^G)$ has a quadratic Gröbner basis with respect to \preceq . Let $w^\alpha \in I(X_{2,d}^G)$ be a non-trivial 3-binomial. To achieve our goal, it suffices to show that there is a 2-binomial $w^\beta \in I(X_{2,d}^G)$ such that $\text{LT}(w^\beta)$ divides $\text{LT}(w^\alpha)$.

We put $w^\alpha = w_i w_j w_\ell - w_t w_u w_v$ with $\text{LT}(w^\alpha) = w_i w_j w_\ell$ and we assume that $w_i \preceq w_j \preceq w_\ell$ and $w_t \preceq w_u \preceq w_v$. The hypothesis w^α non-trivial means that $\{w_i, w_j, w_\ell\} \cap \{w_t, w_u, w_v\} = \emptyset$, which implies $\text{LT}(w^\alpha) = w_i w_j w_\ell$ if and only if $w_v \prec w_\ell$, i.e. $r_v < r_\ell$ or $r_v = r_\ell$ and $c_v < c_\ell$. We will strongly use the following facts

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} |I_0| &= 1, & |I_r| &= t(k-r) + 1, \quad 1 \leq r \leq k, \\ t(k-1) + 1 &= |I_1| > |I_2| > \cdots > |I_{k-1}| = t+1 > |I_k| = 1, \\ \min I_r - \max I_{r-1} &= (r-1)tk - (r-1)t(k-1) = (r-1)t. \end{aligned}$$

Claim. Let $w\tilde{w} \in S$ be a monomial of degree 2. Assume

- (A) $r = 0$ and $\tilde{r} \geq 2$, or
- (B) $r > 0$ and one of the following conditions holds.
 - (i) $r \leq \tilde{r} - 1$, $c < \max I_r = rt(k-1)$ and $\tilde{c} > \min I_{\tilde{r}} = (\tilde{r}-1)tk$,
 - (ii) $r \leq \tilde{r} - 2$,
 - (iii) $r = \tilde{r}$ and $c \leq \tilde{c} - 2$.

Then, there is a 2-binomial $w^\beta \in I(X_{2,d}^G)$ with $\text{LT}(w^\beta) = w\tilde{w}$.

Assume that **Claim** is true. We want to prove that there is a 2-binomial $w^\beta \in I(X_{2,d}^G)$ such that $\text{LT}(w^\beta)$ divides $w_i w_j w_\ell$. We distinguish several cases depending on the values of (r_i, r_j, r_l) .

$r_i = 0$. If $r_j \geq 2$ or $r_\ell \geq 2$ we can apply **Claim**(A) with $w_i w_j$ or $w_i w_\ell$. If $r_j = 0$ and $r_\ell = 0$ or 1 the equalities (7) have only one solution, so w^α would be trivial. If $r_j = r_\ell = 1$ the equalities (7) imply $(r_t, r_u, r_v) = (0, 1, 1)$ and $c_i = c_t = 0$, so w^α would again be trivial.

$r_i > 0$. **Claim**(B) with $w_i w_j$ or $w_i w_\ell$ applies unless we are in one of the following three subcases.

$r_i = r_j = r_\ell$, $c_j = c_i + \delta_j$ and $c_\ell = c_i + \delta_\ell$ with $\delta_j, \delta_\ell \in \{0, 1\}$. Then, (7) and $\text{LT}(w^\alpha) = w_i w_j w_\ell$ imply $r_t = r_u = r_v = r_i$ and $c_v < c_\ell \leq c_i + 1$. In particular, (7) gives us $3c_i + \delta_j + \delta_\ell = c_t + c_u + c_v$. Since $c_v < c_\ell$, we have $2c_i + \delta_j < c_t + c_u$ and, hence, $c_t > c_i$ or $c_u > c_i$ which is a contradiction because then we get $w_v \prec w_t$ or $w_v \prec w_u$.

$r_i = r_j < r_\ell = r_i + 1$ with $c_j = c_i + \delta_j$, $\delta_j \in \{0, 1\}$, and $c_i = \max I_{r_i}$ or $c_\ell = \min I_{r_\ell}$. As before, (7) and the inequality $r_v \leq r_\ell$ imply $(r_t, r_u, r_v) = (r_i, r_j, r_\ell)$. Hence we have $c_v < c_\ell$, and in particular $c_\ell > \min I_{r_\ell}$. Then $c_i = \max I_{r_i}$ and therefore $c_j = c_i$. Now by (7) we obtain $2c_i < c_t + c_u$ which gives us $c_t > c_i$ or $c_u > c_i$. But this is a contradiction since $c_i = \max I_{r_i}$.

$r_i < r_j = r_\ell = r_i + 1$ with $c_\ell = c_j + \delta_\ell$, $\delta_\ell \in \{0, 1\}$, and $c_i = \max I_{r_i}$ or $c_\ell = \min I_{r_\ell}$. By (7) we have $r_t + r_u + r_v = 3r_i + 2$ with $r_u \leq r_u \leq r_v \leq r_i + 1$ which implies $(r_t, r_u, r_v) = (r_i, r_i + 1, r_i + 1)$. Since $w_v \prec w_l$, we have $c_v < c_\ell$ and in particular $c_\ell > \min I_{r_\ell}$. Hence $c_i = \max I_{r_i}$, and as $r_t = r_i$ we have $c_t \leq c_i$. Now by (7) we obtain $c_j < c_u$ which implies $c_\ell \leq c_u$. But then we get $w_l \preceq w_u \preceq w_v$ which contradicts $w_v \prec w_l$.

To finish, it only remains to prove the **Claim**. Let us start with (A) and assume $r = 0$ and $\tilde{r} \geq 2$. We write $\tilde{c} = (\tilde{r} - 1)tk + \delta$, with $\delta \leq t(k - \tilde{r})$ so that $\tilde{c} \in I_{\tilde{r}}$. We define

$$(r', c') = (1, (\tilde{r} - 1)t + \delta), \quad \text{and} \quad (r'', c'') = (\tilde{r} - 1, (\tilde{r} - 1)t(k - 1)).$$

Since $\delta \leq t(k - \tilde{r})$ and $(\tilde{r} - 1)t + \delta \leq t(k - 1)$ by (8), we obtain $(r', c'), (r'', c'') \in \mathcal{W}_d$ and $m_{(r,c)}m_{(\tilde{r},\tilde{c})} = m_{(r',c')}m_{(r'',c'')}$. This induces a 2-binomial w^β with $\text{LT}(w^\beta) = w\tilde{w}$.

We move on to (B) and assume $r > 0$. To prove (i) and (iii), we define $(r', c') = (r, c + 1)$ and $(r'', c'') = (\tilde{r}, \tilde{c} - 1)$. This defines a 2-binomial with leading term $w\tilde{w}$ in the same way we saw above. The same construction can also be applied to prove (ii) except in the two subcases

- (a) $(c, \tilde{c}) = (rt(k - 1), (\tilde{r} - 1)tk + \delta)$,
- (b) $(c, \tilde{c}) = (rt(k - 1) - \delta, (\tilde{r} - 1)tk)$.

Both are analogues and we deal only with (a). We define

$$(r', c') = (r + 1, (r + 1)t(k - 1)) \quad \text{and} \quad (r'', c'') = (\tilde{r} - 1, (\tilde{r} - 2)tk + \delta + t).$$

By (8), $\delta \leq |I_{\tilde{r}}| = t(k - \tilde{r})$ and $|I_{\tilde{r}-1}| = t(k - \tilde{r} + 1)$, so the pairs $(r', c'), (r'', c'') \in \mathcal{W}_d$. In all cases, we obtain $m_{(r,c)}m_{(\tilde{r},\tilde{c})} = m_{(r',c')}m_{(r'',c'')}$, which induces a 2-binomial w^β with $\text{LT}(w^\beta) = w\tilde{w}$. \square

Remark 4.11. We have seen in Proposition 4.8 that the algebra $R^{\overline{G}}$, with $G = \langle M_{d;0,1,k} \rangle \subset \text{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$, is Koszul if and only if $\text{GCD}(k, d) \text{GCD}(k - 1, d) > 1$. The term order considered in the proof of Proposition 4.10 above does not always provide a quadratic Gröbner basis when the condition $d = tk(k - 1)$ is dropped. However, there are many other possible term orders. We have verified it for $d \leq 25$, by computation in Macaulay2 [21], that each $\text{I}(X_{n,d}^G)$ has a quadratic Gröbner basis w. r. t. some term order.

Proposition 4.12. *Let $G \subset \text{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ be a cyclic group of order d . In the cases*

- (i) $G = \langle M_{d;0,k,d-k} \rangle$ with d even and $\text{GCD}(d, k) = 1$,
- (ii) $G = \langle M_{d;0,\alpha_1,\alpha_2} \rangle$ with $\text{GCD}(d, \alpha_1, \alpha_2) = \delta > 1$,

the ideal $\text{I}(X_{2,d}^G)$ has a quadratic Gröbner basis.

Proof. (i) Since $\text{GCD}(d, k) = 1$, we have $G = \langle M_{d;0,1,d-1} \rangle$. If $d = 2$, the result is trivial, so we take $d \geq 4$ even. We set $G' = \langle M_{d;0,1,2} \rangle$, a straightforward linear change of variable shows that R^G and $R^{G'}$ are isomorphic. Now (i) follows from Proposition 4.10.

(ii) We set $d' = d/\delta$, $\alpha'_1 = \alpha_1/\delta$, $\alpha'_2 = \alpha_2/\delta$ and let $G' = \langle M_{d';0,\alpha'_1,\alpha'_2} \rangle$. We have that the set of monomial invariants of G of degree d is the set of monomial invariants of G' of degree $d = \delta d'$. Hence, $R^{\overline{G}}$ is the δ th Veronese subalgebra of $R^{\overline{G'}}$. Since $R^{\overline{G'}}$ has Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity $\text{reg}(R^{\overline{G'}}) \leq 3$, by [14, Theorem 2] the ideal $\text{I}(X_{2,d}^G)$ has a quadratic Gröbner basis. \square

As in the proof of Proposition 4.12 above, we can apply [14, Theorem 2] to assure that, for any finite abelian group $G \subset \text{GL}(n + 1, \mathbb{K})$ of order d and any integer $t \geq \lceil \frac{\text{reg}(A(X_{n,d}^G))}{2} \rceil$, the ring $R^{\overline{G}^t}$ of invariants of the t -th cyclic extension of G is a quadratic Gröbner algebra.

Problem 4.13. *Given a finite abelian group $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ of order d , which is the smallest integer $t \geq 1$ such that $R^{\overline{G}^t}$ is a quadratic Gröbner algebra?*

In particular, for any finite abelian group $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$, we have $t \leq 2$ and this bound is sharp by Proposition 3.17.

The next lemma allows us to produce quadratic Gröbner algebras $A(X_{n,d}^G)$ in any dimension $n \geq 2$. For some positive integers ℓ_1, \dots, ℓ_n , we consider a polynomial ring $\tilde{R} = \mathbb{K}[x_{ij}]$ on $N = \ell_1 + \dots + \ell_n$ variables x_{ij} , where $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq \ell_i$. We define a homomorphism $\psi : \tilde{R} \rightarrow R$ by $x_{ij} \mapsto x_i$.

Lemma 4.14. *Let $\Omega_{n,d} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{n,d}$, and let $\Omega_{N,d} \subset \tilde{R}$ be the preimage of $\Omega_{n,d}$ under ψ as defined above. Take $Y_{n,d}$ and $Y_{N,d}$ to be the monomial projections parameterized by $\Omega_{n,d}$ and $\Omega_{N,d}$. If the ideal $I(Y_{n,d})$ is generated in degrees at most k , so is $I(Y_{N,d})$. Moreover, if $I(Y_{n,d})$ has a Gröbner basis of binomials of degrees at most k , so does $I(Y_{N,d})$.*

Proof. It is enough to consider $\tilde{R} = \mathbb{K}[x_{01}, x_{02}, x_1, \dots, x_n]$, as the general statement then follows by repeated use of the argument.

We will use the notation x^α and $x^{\tilde{\alpha}}$ for monomials in R and \tilde{R} with exponent vectors α and $\tilde{\alpha}$. Let $S = \mathbb{K}[w_\alpha]$ be the ambient ring of $I(Y_{n,d})$, here on variables indexed by all α such that $x^\alpha \in \Omega$. Similarly, we define $\tilde{S} = \mathbb{K}[w_{\tilde{\alpha}}]$. Let $\varphi : \tilde{S} \rightarrow S$ be the homomorphism defined by

$$\varphi(w_{(\alpha_{01}, \alpha_{02}, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)}) = w_{(\alpha_{01} + \alpha_{02}, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)}.$$

It is clear that the preimage of a generating set of $I(Y_{n,d})$ under φ is a generating set of $I(Y_{N,d})$.

Assume $I(Y_{n,d})$ has a Gröbner basis of binomials of degree $\leq k$ w. r. t. a term order \succ on S . We extend \succ to an order of the variables of \tilde{S} by declaring $w_{\tilde{\alpha}} \succ w_{\tilde{\beta}}$ if $\varphi(w_{\tilde{\alpha}}) \succ \varphi(w_{\tilde{\beta}})$ or $\varphi(w_{\tilde{\alpha}}) = \varphi(w_{\tilde{\beta}})$ and $\alpha_{01} > \beta_{01}$. Now for monomials u, v in \tilde{S} , we say that $u > v$ if $\varphi(u) \succ \varphi(v)$ or $\varphi(u) = \varphi(v)$ and $u \succ v$ according to RevLex on \tilde{S} . It is easily verified that this is a proper term order on \tilde{S} .

To prove that $I(Y_{N,d})$ has a degree k Gröbner basis w. r. t. this order, take a degree r binomial

$$f = w_{\tilde{\alpha}^{(1)}} \cdots w_{\tilde{\alpha}^{(r)}} - w_{\tilde{\beta}^{(1)}} \cdots w_{\tilde{\beta}^{(r)}} \in I(Y_{N,d}),$$

where $r > k$ and $w_{\tilde{\alpha}^{(1)}} \cdots w_{\tilde{\alpha}^{(r)}}$ is the leading term. We want to prove that this term is divisible by the leading term of some binomial of degree $\leq k$ in $I(Y_{N,d})$. We assume that the two terms in f have no common factor. The proof is carried out in two cases.

Case 1: $\varphi(f) \neq 0$. Then, $\varphi(f)$ is a non-trivial binomial in $I(Y_{n,d})$. It follows from how we defined the ordering that $\varphi(w_{\tilde{\alpha}^{(1)}} \cdots w_{\tilde{\alpha}^{(k)}}) = w_{\alpha^{(1)}} \cdots w_{\alpha^{(k)}}$ is the leading term of $\varphi(f)$. By assumption, $w_{\alpha^{(1)}} \cdots w_{\alpha^{(k)}}$ is divisible by the leading term of some binomial of degree $s \leq k$ in I . Say $w_{\alpha^{(1)}} \cdots w_{\alpha^{(s)}} - w_{\gamma^{(1)}} \cdots w_{\gamma^{(s)}} \in I(Y_{n,d})$, with leading term $w_{\alpha^{(1)}} \cdots w_{\alpha^{(s)}}$. Then, we lift $w_{\gamma^{(1)}} \cdots w_{\gamma^{(s)}} \in S$ to $w_{\tilde{\gamma}^{(1)}} \cdots w_{\tilde{\gamma}^{(s)}} \in \tilde{S}$ so that $w_{\tilde{\alpha}^{(1)}} \cdots w_{\tilde{\alpha}^{(s)}} - w_{\tilde{\gamma}^{(1)}} \cdots w_{\tilde{\gamma}^{(s)}} \in I(Y_{N,d})$. The choice of $\gamma_{01}^{(1)}, \gamma_{02}^{(1)}, \dots, \gamma_{01}^{(s)}, \gamma_{02}^{(s)}$ is not unique, but as $w_{\alpha^{(1)}} \cdots w_{\alpha^{(s)}} \succ w_{\gamma^{(1)}} \cdots w_{\gamma^{(s)}}$, we are guaranteed that $w_{\tilde{\alpha}^{(1)}} \cdots w_{\tilde{\alpha}^{(s)}} \succ w_{\tilde{\gamma}^{(1)}} \cdots w_{\tilde{\gamma}^{(s)}}$.

Case 2. $\varphi(f) = 0$. In this case, we turn to the RevLex order on \tilde{S} . We can assume we have numbered the factors so that $w_{\tilde{\alpha}^{(1)}} \succ \cdots \succ w_{\tilde{\alpha}^{(r)}}$ and $w_{\tilde{\beta}^{(1)}} \succ \cdots \succ w_{\tilde{\beta}^{(r)}}$. As φ respects the order and $\varphi(f) = 0$, we have $\varphi(w_{\tilde{\alpha}^{(i)}}) = \varphi(w_{\tilde{\beta}^{(i)}})$. Since $w_{\tilde{\alpha}^{(1)}} \cdots w_{\tilde{\alpha}^{(r)}}$ is leading w. r. t. RevLex, this tells us that $w_{\tilde{\alpha}^{(r)}} \succ w_{\tilde{\beta}^{(r)}}$. Then $\alpha_{01}^{(r)} > \beta_{01}^{(r)} \geq 0$. Thus, in some other factor $w_{\tilde{\alpha}^{(i)}}$, we have $\alpha_{02}^{(i)} > 0$. From this, we construct the relation

$$w_{\tilde{\alpha}^{(i)}} w_{\tilde{\alpha}^{(r)}} - w_{(\alpha_{01}^{(i)}+1, \alpha_{02}^{(i)}-1, \alpha_1^{(i)}, \dots, \alpha_n^{(i)})} w_{(\alpha_{01}^{(r)}-1, \alpha_{02}^{(r)}+1, \alpha_1^{(r)}, \dots, \alpha_n^{(r)})} \in \mathbf{I}(Y_{N,d}).$$

As

$$w_{(\alpha_{01}^{(i)}+1, \alpha_{02}^{(i)}-1, \alpha_1^{(i)}, \dots, \alpha_n^{(i)})} \succ w_{\tilde{\alpha}^{(i)}} \succeq w_{\tilde{\alpha}^{(r)}} \succ w_{(\alpha_{01}^{(r)}-1, \alpha_{02}^{(r)}+1, \alpha_1^{(r)}, \dots, \alpha_n^{(r)})},$$

the leading term is $w_{\tilde{\alpha}^{(i)}} w_{\tilde{\alpha}^{(r)}}$. \square

Proposition 4.15 below illustrates how to apply Lemma 4.14 to algebras R^G . To avoid heavy notation, we state the proposition for cyclic groups. The construction can then be applied to any abelian group.

Proposition 4.15. *Let $G = \langle M_{d;0,\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_n} \rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$, and let \tilde{M} be the diagonal block matrix with blocks $M_{d;0,\dots,0}, M_{d;\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_1}, \dots, M_{d;\alpha_n,\dots,\alpha_n}$ of any sizes. If $\mathbf{A}(X_{n,d}^G)$ is a quadratic Gröbner algebra, so is the algebra given by the group $\langle \tilde{M} \rangle$.*

Proof. We take ℓ_1, \dots, ℓ_n to be the sizes of the diagonal blocks of \tilde{M} , and define a map ψ as in Lemma 4.14. Then, the set of monomial invariants of G is the image of the monomial invariants of $\langle \tilde{M} \rangle$ under ψ . The result then follows from Lemma 4.14. \square

Applying Proposition 4.15 to the groups in Proposition 4.12, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 4.16. *Let $G = \langle M \rangle$ be a cyclic group of order d , where M is a diagonal block matrix with three blocks $M_{d;0,\dots,0}, M_{d;k,\dots,k}, M_{d;d-k,\dots,d-k}$ of any sizes. If d is even and $\mathrm{GCD}(d, k) = 1$, then the algebra $\mathbf{A}(X_{n,d}^G)$ is G -quadratic. The same holds if M is composed of three blocks $M_{d;0,\dots,0}, M_{d;\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_1}, M_{d;\alpha_2,\dots,\alpha_2}$ and $\mathrm{GCD}(d, \alpha_1, \alpha_2) > 1$.*

Example 4.17 explores an alternative approach to tackle the Koszulness of algebras $\mathbf{A}(X_{n,d}^G)$.

Example 4.17. Let $G = \langle M_{4;0,1,2,3} \rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(4, \mathbb{K})$ be a cyclic group of order 4. The algebra $R^{\overline{G}}$ is generated by the set of monomials \mathcal{B}_1 given in Example 3.14. The defining ideal $\mathbf{I}(X_{3,4}^G) \subset \mathbb{K}[w_0, \dots, w_9]$ has quadratic Gröbner basis under the RevLex term order with $w_0 > \cdots > w_9$, if the variables w_i are mapped to the monomials in \mathcal{B}_1 sorted according to RevLex with $x_1 > x_3 > x_0 > x_2$. An alternative way to prove that $R^{\overline{G}}$ is Koszul is the following. Let S be the Segre product of two copies of the second Veronese subring on three variables. More concretely, we let

$$S = \mathbb{K}[y_0^2, y_1^2, y_2^2, y_0y_1, y_0y_2, y_1y_2] \circ \mathbb{K}[z_0^2, z_1^2, z_2^2, z_0z_1, z_0z_2, z_1z_2] = \mathbb{K}[y_i y_j z_k z_\ell]_{0 \leq i, j, k, \ell \leq 2}.$$

This is a Koszul algebra as Segre products of Veronese subalgebras are Koszul, by [2]. A surjective homomorphism $S \rightarrow R^{\overline{G}}$ is induced by

$$y_0 \mapsto x_0^2, \quad y_1 \mapsto x_2^2, \quad y_2 \mapsto x_1x_3, \quad z_0 \mapsto x_0x_2, \quad z_1 \mapsto x_1^2, \quad z_2 \mapsto x_3^2.$$

In fact $R^{\overline{G}} \cong S/(y_0y_1 - z_0^2, y_2^2 - z_1z_2)$, and $y_0y_1 - z_0^2, y_2^2 - z_1z_2$ is a regular sequence of linear forms on the generators of S . This proves that $R^{\overline{G}}$ is Koszul, as the quotient of a Koszul algebra by a regular sequence of elements of degree one or two is again Koszul, by [3].

Unfortunately, we were unable to use the technique from Example 4.17 on $\langle M_{6;0,1,2,3} \rangle$, which is also quadratic by Proposition 3.19. Nevertheless, we are optimistic that there are other examples where similar ideas can be applied.

5. OPEN PROBLEMS AND CONJECTURES

In this last section, we collect the open questions encountered in the preceding sections, and state two conjectures.

- (1) Let $Y_{2,4}$ be the monomial projection parameterized by $\mathcal{M}_{2,4} \setminus \{x_0x_1x_2^2\}$. Is $A(Y_{2,4})$ a Koszul algebra?
- (2) Are the pinched Veronese algebras $PV(n, d, s)$ with $d > s > 1$ quadratic only if $s \geq \lceil \frac{n+2}{2} \rceil$, say with a few sporadic exceptions?
- (3) Given a finite abelian group $G \subset GL(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ of order d , can we determine if $A(X_{n,d}^G)$ is a quadratic algebra?
- (4) Let $G \subset GL(3, \mathbb{K})$ be a finite abelian group of order d . Is $A(X_{2,d}^G)$ quadratic if and only if $I(X_{2,d}^G)$ has a quadratic Gröbner basis?
- (5) Let $G = \langle M_{d;0,1,2,3} \rangle \subset GL(4, \mathbb{K})$ be a cyclic group of order d . Assume that d is even. Is $A(X_{3,d}^G)$ quadratic if and only if $I(X_{3,d}^G)$ has a quadratic Gröbner basis?

We end the paper writing down two conjectures based on the results of the previous sections, on [9, Corollary 5.7(2)], as well as on Macaulay2 [21] computations.

Conjecture 5.1. *Let $n \geq 3$ and take a cyclic group $G \subset GL(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ of order d generated by a diagonal matrix*

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^{\alpha_0} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\alpha_1} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & e^{\alpha_n} \end{pmatrix}$$

where e is a d -th primitive root of $1 \in \mathbb{K}$. The algebra $A(X_{n,d}^G)$ is quadratic if and only if for all $i < j < k$, the algebra $A(X_{2,d}^{G^{i,j,k}})$ is quadratic, where $G^{i,j,k} \subset GL(3, \mathbb{K})$ denotes the cyclic group generated by the diagonal matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^{\alpha_i} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\alpha_j} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{\alpha_k} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Conjecture 5.2. *Let $G \subset GL(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ be a finite abelian group of order d . The algebra $A(X_{n,d}^G)$ is quadratic if and only if $I(X_{n,d}^G)$ has a quadratic Gröbner basis.*

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Alzati and F. Russo, *On the k -normality of projected algebraic varieties*. Bull. Braz. Math. Soc., New series **33** (2002), 27–48.
- [2] J. Backelin and R. Fröberg, *Koszul algebras, Veronese embeddings, and rings with linear resolutions*. Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. **30** (1985), 85–97.
- [3] S. Barcanescu and N. Manolache, *Betti numbers of Segre–Veronese singularities*. Rev. Roumaine Math. Pure Appl. **26** (1981), 548–565.
- [4] C. Birkenhake, *Noncomplete linear systems on abelian varieties*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **348** (1996), 1885–1908.
- [5] G. Caviglia, *The pinched Veronese is Koszul*, J. Algebraic Combin. **30** (2009) 539–548.
- [6] G. Caviglia and A. Conca, *Koszul property of projections of the Veronese cubic surface*. Adv. in Math. **234** (2013), 404–413.
- [7] L. Colarte-Gómez, *Gröbner’s problem and the geometry of GT-varieties*. PhD Thesis, Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain, July of 2021.
- [8] L. Colarte-Gómez, E. Mezzetti and R. M. Miró-Roig, *On the arithmetic Cohen-Macaulayness of varieties parameterized by Togliatti systems*. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **200** (2021), 1757–1780.
- [9] L. Colarte-Gómez and R. M. Miró-Roig, *Minimal set of binomial generators for certain Veronese 3-fold projections*. J. Pure Appl. Algebra **224** (2020), 768–788.
- [10] L. Colarte-Gómez and R. M. Miró-Roig, *The canonical module of GT-varieties and the normal bundle of RL-varieties*. Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics. **19** (2022), 1–23.
- [11] A. Conca, E. De Negri and R. M. Rossi, *Koszul algebra and regularity, in Commutative Algebra*. Springer, New York, 2013, 285–315.
- [12] A. Conca, J. Herzog, N.V. Trung, G. Valla, *Diagonal subalgebras of bigraded algebras and embeddings of blow-ups of projective spaces*, Amer. J. Math. **119** (1997) 859–901.
- [13] A. Conca, S. B. Iyengar, H. D. Nguyen and T. Römer, *Absolutely Koszul algebras and the Backelin-Roos property*. Acta Math Vietnam **40** (2015), 353–374.
- [14] D. Eisenbud, A. Reeves, B. Totaro, *Initial ideals, Veronese subrings, and rates of algebras*, Adv. Math. **109** (1994) 168–187.
- [15] S. Goto, N. Suzuki and K. Watanabe, *On affine semigroup rings*. Japanese Journal of Mathematics. **2:1** (1976), 1–12.
- [16] W. Gröbner, *Über Veronesische Varietäten und deren Projektionen*, Arch. Math. **16** (1965), 257–264.
- [17] L. T. Hoa and N. V. Trung, *Affine semigroups and Cohen-Macaulay rings generated by monomials*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **298** (1985), 145 - 167.
- [18] L. T. Hoa, *Classification of the triple projections of Veronese varieties*, Mathematische Nachrichten **128** (1986), 185 - 197.
- [19] M. Hochster, *Rings of Invariants of Tori, Cohen-Macaulay Rings Generated by Monomials, and Polytopes* Annals of Mathematic **96** (1972), 318-337.
- [20] J. A. Eagon and M. Hochster, *Cohen-Macaulay Rings, Invariant Theory, and the Generic Perfection of Determinantal Loci*. American Journal of Mathematics. **93:4** (1971), 1020-1058.
- [21] D.R. Grayson and M.E. Stillman, *Macaulay2, a software system for research in algebraic geometry*, Available at <http://www.math.uiuc.edu/Macaulay2/>
- [22] D.B. Priddy, *Koszul resolutions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **152** (1970), 39–60.
- [23] P. Schenzel, *On Veronesean embeddings and projections of Veronesean varieties* Archiv der Mathematik. **30** (1978), 391 - 397.
- [24] N. V. Trung, *Classification of the double projections of Veronese varieties*, Journal of Mathematics of Kyoto University **22** (1982), 567 - 581.

- [25] N. V. Trung, *Projections of one-dimensional Veronese varieties*, Mathematische Nachrichten. **118** (1984), 47 - 67.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF THE POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, ŚNIADECKICH 8, 00-656 WARZAW, POLAND
Email address: `lcolartegomez@impan.pl`

FACULTAT DE MATEMÀTIQUES I INFORMÀTICA, UNIVERSITAT DE BARCELONA, GRAN VIA DES LES CORTS CATALANES 585, 08007 BARCELONA, SPAIN

Email address: `miro@ub.edu`, ORCID 0000-0003-1375-6547

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI GENOVA, VIA DODECANESO 35, 16146 GENOVA, ITALY

Email address: `nicklasson@dima.unige.it`