

# A CYCLIC SIEVING PHENOMENON FOR SYMPLECTIC TABLEAUX

GRAEME HENRICKSON, ANNA STOKKE AND MAX WIEBE

*University of Winnipeg  
Department of Mathematics and Statistics  
Winnipeg, Manitoba  
Canada R3B 2E9*

ABSTRACT. We give a cyclic sieving phenomenon for symplectic  $\lambda$ -tableaux,  $SP(\lambda, 2m)$ , where  $\lambda$  is a partition of an odd positive integer  $n$  and  $\gcd(m, p) = 1$  for any odd prime  $p \leq n$ . We use the crystal structure on Kashiwara-Nakashima symplectic tableaux to get a cyclic sieving action as the product of simple reflections in the Weyl group. The cyclic sieving polynomial is the  $q$ -analogue of the hook-content formula for symplectic tableaux.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The *cyclic sieving phenomenon* (CSP) was introduced by Reiner, Stanton and White in [25]. Let  $X$  be a finite set,  $\langle g \rangle$  a cyclic group of order  $n$  that acts on  $X$  and  $f(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ . The triple  $(X, \langle g \rangle, f(q))$  exhibits the cyclic sieving phenomenon if, for  $\omega$  a primitive  $n$ th root of unity,

$$|\{x \in X \mid g^k \cdot x = x\}| = f(\omega^d),$$

for all  $d \geq 0$ . Since then, CSPs and have been widely studied in various settings. For a 2011 survey see [27].

Numerous researchers have investigated cyclic sieving phenomena for semistandard Young tableaux (see, for instance, [2, 3, 5, 11, 13, 20–24, 26]). Using the cyclic action given by Schützenberger’s promotion operator  $\partial$  [28, 29] on rectangular semistandard tableaux  $SSYT(\lambda, m)$  with entries in  $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ , Rhoades proved that the triple

$$(SSYT(\lambda, m), \langle \partial \rangle, q^{-\kappa(\lambda)} s_\lambda(1, q, \dots, q^{m-1}))$$

exhibits the CSP. Here  $s_\lambda(1, q, \dots, q^{m-1})$  is a principal specialization of the Schur polynomial and  $\kappa(\lambda) = \sum_i (i-1)\lambda_i$ . In general, the above result does not transfer to tableaux of non-rectangular shape, though CSPs have been given for hook shapes [5] and for stretched hook shapes [2].

---

*E-mail address:* a.stokke@uwinnipeg.ca.

*Key words and phrases.* Young tableau, symplectic tableaux, crystal graphs, cyclic sieving phenomenon.

This research was supported by NSERC grant RGPIN-2018-05877.

Given a finite-dimensional simple complex Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  with irreducible highest weight  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module  $V_q(\lambda)$ , the crystal base  $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$  reflects the structure of the  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module  $V_q(\lambda)$  in a combinatorial way and so reveals information about the structure of the irreducible highest weight  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module  $V(\lambda)$ . Kashiwara and Nakashima gave Young tableaux realizations of crystal bases  $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$  for classical simple Lie algebra types in [17]. Essentially this is a description of each  $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$  as a set of tableaux of shape  $\lambda$  satisfying certain conditions. For Cartan type  $A_{m-1}$ , these are the usual semistandard tableaux, but for other Cartan types the tableaux descriptions are more complicated.

Oh and Park [20] employed the cyclic action  $\mathbf{c}$  arising from the  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_m)$ -crystal structure for semistandard tableaux to prove that

$$(SSYT(\lambda, m), \langle \mathbf{c} \rangle, q^{-\kappa(\lambda)} s_\lambda(1, q, \dots, q^{m-1}))$$

exhibits the CSP when  $\ell(\lambda)$  (the length of  $\lambda$ ) is less than  $m$  and  $\gcd(m, |\lambda|) = 1$  [20]. This result was extended to skew shapes in [1]. The action arising from the crystal structure of a  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module was further studied in [21]. When  $\mathfrak{g}$  is type  $A_{m-1}$ ,  $\ell(\lambda) < m$ , and there is at least one fixed point under the action of  $\mathbf{c}$ , they showed that  $(SSYT(\lambda, m), \langle \mathbf{c} \rangle, s_\lambda(1, q, q^2, \dots, q^{m-1}))$  exhibits the CSP if and only if  $\lambda = (am)^b$  where  $b = 1$  or  $b = m - 1$ .

In this paper, we consider CSPs for symplectic tableaux. There are a few different types of symplectic tableaux, which index bases for irreducible  $\mathfrak{sp}(2m)$ -modules  $V(\lambda)$ , where  $\ell(\lambda) \leq m$  (see [33] or [32]). Symplectic King tableaux (see [18, 19]) are relatively easy to describe. De Concini described a different version of symplectic tableaux in [8] and Sheats gave a weight-preserving bijection between De Concini and King tableaux in [30]. Kashiwara and Nakashima described symplectic tableaux endowed with a crystal structure in [17]. These are related to De Concini tableaux through a straightforward bijection.

The highest weight  $U_q(\mathfrak{sp}(2m))$ -crystal  $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$  with highest weight  $\lambda$  can be realized as the set of Kashiwara-Nakashima tableaux  $SP(\lambda, 2m)$  of shape  $\lambda$ . The crystal structure leads to a cyclic action on  $SP(\lambda, 2m)$  given by the product  $\sigma = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_m$  of simple reflection operators in the Weyl group and  $G = \langle \sigma \rangle$  has order  $2m$ .

As is the case for semistandard tableaux, there is a hook-content formula that counts the number of symplectic tableaux (see [7], [10]) and we will consider its  $q$ -analogue  $f_{sp}^\lambda(q)$ . We prove that the  $q$ -dimension  $\dim_q(\mathcal{B}(\lambda))$  is equal to  $f_{sp}^\lambda(q)$  in Section 4. To prove a CSP, we impose the restrictions that  $\lambda$  is a partition of an odd positive integer  $n$  and  $\gcd(m, p) = 1$  for any odd prime  $p \leq n$ . Then every orbit in  $SP(\lambda, 2m)$  has order  $2m$ , which we prove in Theorem 5.1. Next, we partition the weights associated to  $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$  into sets of size  $2m$  using an action on the weights induced by the subgroup of  $\mathfrak{S}_m$  corresponding to the dihedral group of order  $2m$ . This then gives an appropriate form for the polynomial  $f_{sp}^\lambda(q)$  in Theorem 5.5.

Our main result is Theorem 5.7, which states that for  $\lambda$  a partition of an odd integer  $n$  and  $\gcd(m, p) = 1$  for any odd prime  $p \leq n$ , the following is a CSP-triple:

$$(SP(\lambda, 2m), \langle \sigma \rangle, f_{sp}^\lambda(q)).$$

We begin the paper with a review of crystal base theory in Section 2, with a particular focus on Cartan type  $C_m$ . Next we discuss Kashiwara-Nakashima tableaux and the associated crystal action in Section 3. In Section 4 we prove results concerning the  $q$ -analogue of the symplectic hook-content formula, which will serve as our CSP polynomial. Our final section, Section 5, is devoted to our main result, where we prove our cyclic sieving phenomenon.

## 2. CRYSTAL BASES

In this section, we review crystal base theory. For an introduction to Lie algebras, the reader is referred to [9] or [15]. For a more thorough coverage of crystal bases, see [6] and [14].

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a finite-dimensional simple complex Lie algebra and let  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  be its quantum group. Let  $\Phi$  be its root system, with index set  $I$ , weight lattice  $\Lambda$  and simple roots  $\{\alpha_i \mid i \in I\}$ . The co-root of  $\alpha \in \Phi$  is  $\alpha^\vee = \frac{2\alpha}{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle}$ .

We can associate a *Kashiwara crystal* (*crystal* for short) to the root system. This is a set  $\mathcal{B}$  together with maps  $\text{wt} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \Lambda$ ,  $e_i, f_i : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \cup \{0\}$  and  $\epsilon_i, \phi_i : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{-\infty\}$  satisfying the following properties:

- (1)  $f_i(b) = b'$  if and only if  $b = e_i(b')$  for all  $b, b' \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $i \in I$ ;
- (2)  $\text{wt}(e_i(b)) = \text{wt}(b) + \alpha_i$ , if  $e_i(b) \in \mathcal{B}$ , and  $\text{wt}(f_i(b)) = \text{wt}(b) - \alpha_i$ , if  $f_i(b) \in \mathcal{B}$ ;
- (3)  $\epsilon_i(e_i(b)) = \epsilon_i(b) - 1$  and  $\phi_i(e_i(b)) = \phi_i(b) + 1$  if  $e_i(b) \in \mathcal{B}$ ;
- (4)  $\epsilon_i(f_i(b)) = \epsilon_i(b) + 1$  and  $\phi_i(f_i(b)) = \phi_i(b) - 1$  if  $f_i(b) \in \mathcal{B}$ ;
- (5)  $\phi_i(b) = \epsilon_i(b) + (\text{wt}(b), \alpha_i^\vee)$  for all  $i \in I$ ;
- (6) If  $\phi_i(b) = -\infty$  for  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ , then  $e_i(b) = f_i(b) = 0$ .

For  $\chi \in \Lambda$ , let  $\mathcal{B}_\chi = \{b \in \mathcal{B} \mid \text{wt}(b) = \chi\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{B} = \bigsqcup_{\chi \in \Lambda} \mathcal{B}_\chi$ . Define

$$\text{wt}(\mathcal{B}) = \{\chi \in \Lambda \mid \mathcal{B}_\chi \neq \emptyset\}.$$

The *crystal graph* of  $\mathcal{B}$  is a directed graph, which is given by taking  $\mathcal{B}$  as the set of vertices and defining an edge  $b \xrightarrow{i} b'$  if and only if  $f_i b = b'$  for  $i \in I$ .

There is a crystal  $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$  associated to each irreducible highest weight  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module  $V_q(\lambda)$  that reflects its structure. Kashiwara and Nakashima gave Young tableaux realizations of crystal bases  $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$  for classical simple Lie algebra types in [17]. In this paper we focus on Cartan type  $C_m$  and, unless stated otherwise, when we refer to the crystal  $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ , we mean the crystal of the irreducible highest weight  $U_q(\mathfrak{sp}(2m))$ -module with highest weight  $\lambda$ .

**Example 2.1.** The type  $C_m$  ( $m \geq 2$ ) finite dimensional Lie algebra can be realized as the symplectic Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{sp}(2m, \mathbb{C})$ . If  $\mathbf{e}_i = (0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)$  denotes the unit vector with one in the  $i$ th position, then

$$\Phi = \{\pm \mathbf{e}_i \pm \mathbf{e}_j \mid i < j\} \cup \{\pm 2\mathbf{e}_i\},$$

and the set of positive roots are

$$\Phi^+ = \{\mathbf{e}_i \pm \mathbf{e}_j \mid i < j\} \cup \{2\mathbf{e}_i\}.$$

The weight lattice is  $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^m$  and a weight  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$  is dominant if and only if  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_m \geq 0$ . Let  $\alpha_i = \mathbf{e}_i - \mathbf{e}_{i+1}$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq m-1$  and let

$\alpha_m = 2\mathbf{e}_m$ . Then  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-1}, \alpha_m\}$  is the set of simple roots, which is a basis for  $\Phi$ , and the *fundamental weights* are  $\omega_i = \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \dots + \mathbf{e}_i$ . The Weyl group for  $\mathfrak{sp}(2m)$  is the hyperoctahedral group, which is the group of signed permutations  $\pi$  of  $\{\pm 1, \pm 2, \dots, \pm m\}$ , where  $\pi(-i) = -\pi(i)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . The bilinear form  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  is the usual inner product and the Cartan matrix has entries  $\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle = 2 \frac{(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)}{(\alpha_j, \alpha_j)}$ ,  $1 \leq i, j, \leq m$ .

The Young tableau realization of  $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$  is obtained using the standard  $C_m$ -crystal  $\mathcal{B}(1)$ , which has crystal graph

$$\boxed{1} \xrightarrow{-1} \boxed{2} \xrightarrow{-2} \dots \xrightarrow{m-1} \boxed{m} \xrightarrow{-m} \boxed{\overline{m}} \xrightarrow{m-1} \dots \xrightarrow{-2} \boxed{\overline{2}} \xrightarrow{-1} \boxed{\overline{1}}$$

crystal operator

$$f_i \left( \boxed{j} \right) = \begin{cases} \boxed{i+1} & \text{if } j = i \text{ and } 1 \leq i \leq m-1 \\ \boxed{\overline{i}} & \text{if } i = j = m \text{ or } j = \overline{i+1} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and  $\text{wt} \left( \boxed{i} \right) = \mathbf{e}_i$ ,  $\text{wt} \left( \boxed{\overline{i}} \right) = -\mathbf{e}_i$ . As well,  $\phi_i(x) = \max\{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \mid f_i^k \neq 0\}$  and  $\epsilon_i(x) = \max\{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \mid e_i^k \neq 0\}$ .

The tensor product  $\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{C}$  of two crystals with the same underlying root system has a crystal structure with  $\text{wt}(x \otimes y) = \text{wt}(x) + \text{wt}(y)$ , for  $x \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $y \in \mathcal{C}$ , and tensor product formula

$$f_i(x \otimes y) = \begin{cases} f_i(x) \otimes y & \text{if } \phi_i(y) \leq \epsilon_i(x) \\ x \otimes f_i(y) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$e_i(x \otimes y) = \begin{cases} x \otimes e_i(y) & \text{if } \phi_i(y) \geq \epsilon_i(x) \\ e_i(x) \otimes y & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

where  $\phi_i(x \otimes y) = \phi_i(x) + \max(0, \phi_i(y) - \epsilon_i(x))$  and  $\epsilon_i(x \otimes y) = \epsilon_i(y) + \max(0, \epsilon_i(x) - \phi_i(y))$ . (The above coincides with the tensor product rule used in [6], but is slightly different than the tensor product rule in [14].)

The procedure for applying  $f_i$  to an element of  $\mathcal{B}(1)^{\otimes k}$  can be determined combinatorially using the (symplectic) *signature rule*. If  $\boxed{x_1} \otimes \boxed{x_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \boxed{x_k} \in \mathcal{B}(1)^{\otimes k}$ , then

$$f_i \left( \boxed{x_1} \otimes \boxed{x_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \boxed{x_k} \right) = \boxed{x_1} \otimes \boxed{x_2} \otimes \dots \otimes f_i \left( \boxed{x_j} \right) \otimes \dots \otimes \boxed{x_k}$$

where  $x_j$  is determined as follows:

- (1) Place a  $-$  above  $x_s$  if  $x_s = i$  or  $x_s = \overline{i+1}$  and place a  $+$  above  $x_s$  if  $x_s = \overline{i}$  or  $x_s = i+1$ . If every  $-$  is left of every  $+$  then  $x_j$  is equal to the rightmost  $x_s$  that is labelled with  $-$ .
- (2) Otherwise, bracket a  $+$  with a  $-$  so that there are no  $+$ 's or  $-$ 's in between.
- (3) Continue bracketing  $+$ 's with  $-$ 's with no unbracketed  $+$ 's or  $-$ 's in between until all unbracketed  $-$ 's are left of unbracketed  $+$ 's.

- (4) Choose  $x_j$  to be the rightmost unbracketed  $-$ . If there are no unbracketed  $-$ 's, the result is 0.

**Example 2.2.** To determine  $f_1 \left( \boxed{1} \otimes \boxed{\bar{2}} \otimes \boxed{\bar{1}} \otimes \boxed{2} \otimes \boxed{\bar{2}} \otimes \boxed{1} \right)$ , bracket as follows:

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} - & - & (+ & (+ & -) & -) \\ \boxed{1} \otimes \boxed{\bar{2}} \otimes \boxed{\bar{1}} \otimes \boxed{2} \otimes \boxed{\bar{2}} \otimes \boxed{1}, & \text{so} \\ f_1 \left( \boxed{1} \otimes \boxed{\bar{2}} \otimes \boxed{\bar{1}} \otimes \boxed{2} \otimes \boxed{\bar{2}} \otimes \boxed{1} \right) = & \boxed{1} \otimes \boxed{\bar{1}} \otimes \boxed{\bar{1}} \otimes \boxed{2} \otimes \boxed{\bar{2}} \otimes \boxed{1}. \end{array}$$

### 3. KASHIWARA-NAKASHIMA (SYMPLECTIC) TABLEAUX

Crystals of tableaux for type  $C_m$  are constructed by embedding *Kashiwara-Nakashima tableaux* (KN-tableaux) into tensor powers of the standard crystal.

A *partition*  $\lambda$  of a positive integer  $n$  is a  $k$ -tuple  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$ , where  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k > 0$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i = n$ . The length of  $\lambda$  is  $\ell(\lambda) = k$  and the *Young diagram* of shape  $\lambda$  is given by arranging  $n$  boxes in  $k$  left-justified rows with  $\lambda_i$  boxes in the  $i$ th row. The conjugate of  $\lambda$  is the partition  $\lambda^t = (\lambda_1^t, \lambda_2^t, \dots, \lambda_r^t)$  where  $\lambda_i^t$  is the number of boxes in the  $i$ th column of the Young diagram of shape  $\lambda$ .

A *semistandard tableau* of shape  $\lambda$  is a filling of the Young diagram of shape  $\lambda$  with positive integers such that the entries in each row are weakly increasing from left to right and the entries in each column are strictly increasing from top to bottom. The set of semistandard tableaux of a given shape  $\lambda$  admits a  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}(n))$ -crystal structure (see [6] for details).

The irreducible  $\mathfrak{sp}(2m)$ -representations are indexed by partitions  $\lambda$  with  $\ell(\lambda) \leq m$  so we will assume throughout that  $\ell(\lambda) \leq m$ . Semistandard KN-tableaux have entries from the set  $\mathcal{M} = \{1, 2, \dots, m, \bar{m}, \dots, \bar{1}\}$  with ordering

$$1 < 2 < \dots < m < \bar{m} < \dots < \bar{2} < \bar{1}.$$

A semistandard KN-tableau  $T$  of shape  $\lambda$  is a filling of the Young diagram of shape  $\lambda$  with entries from  $\mathcal{M}$  that satisfies the following properties:

- (1) The entries in  $T$  are weakly increasing across rows from left to right and strictly increasing down columns from top to bottom.
- (2) For every column in  $T$  that contains both an  $i$  and an  $\bar{i}$ , where  $i$  belongs to the  $p$ -th box from the top and  $\bar{i}$  belongs to the  $q$ -th box from the bottom, we have  $p + q \leq i$ .
- (3) If  $T$  has two adjacent columns having one of the following configurations, where  $p, q, r, s$  are the relevant row numbers, with  $p \leq q < r \leq s$  and  $i \leq j$ , then  $(q - p) + (s - r) < j - i$ .

$$\begin{array}{cc} p \rightarrow i & \left| \begin{array}{c} i \\ j \\ \bar{j} \\ \bar{i} \end{array} \right. & \left. \begin{array}{c} i \\ j \\ \bar{j} \\ \bar{i} \end{array} \right. \\ q \rightarrow & & \\ r \rightarrow & & \\ s \rightarrow & & \end{array}$$

We will denote the set of KN-symplectic tableaux of shape  $\lambda$  with entries from  $\mathcal{M}$  by  $SP(\lambda, 2m)$ .

**Example 3.1.** The tableau  $T = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline \bar{3} & \bar{2} \\ \hline \bar{2} & \bar{1} \\ \hline \end{array}$  is not a KN-symplectic tableau since

it violates the second property: 2 belongs to row 1 and  $\bar{2}$  belongs to row 2 so  $p + q = 3 > 2$ .

On the other hand,  $T = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline \bar{3} & \bar{3} \\ \hline \bar{2} & \bar{1} \\ \hline \end{array}$  is a KN-symplectic tableau. For property (2),  $p + q = 3 \leq 3$  and for property (3),  $p = q = 1$ ,  $r = 2$  and  $s = 3$  so  $(q - p) + (s - r) = 1 < j - i = 2$ .

The set  $SP(\lambda, 2m)$ , where  $\lambda$  is a partition of  $n$ , admits a crystal structure, which is given by embedding  $SP(\lambda, 2m)$  into the  $n$ -fold tensor power  $\mathcal{B}(1)^{\otimes n}$  to give a bijection with a connected component of the crystal  $\mathcal{B}(1)^{\otimes n}$ .

The *column reading word* of a tableau  $T \in SP(\lambda, 2m)$  is the element  $C(T) \in \mathcal{B}(1)^{\otimes n}$  given by reading the entries up columns from bottom to top, starting with the leftmost column. To give a crystal structure on  $SP(\lambda, 2m)$ ,  $T \in SP(\lambda, 2m)$  is identified with its image  $C(T)$  in  $\mathcal{B}(1)^{\otimes n}$  and the action of a crystal operator on  $T$  is given by its action on  $C(T)$ . The set of KN-tableaux  $SP(\lambda, 2m)$  is crystal isomorphic to the highest weight  $U_q(\mathfrak{sp}(2m))$ -crystal  $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$  with highest weight  $\lambda$ . For details, see [6, §6.3] or [14, §8.3].

If  $T \in SP(\lambda, 2m)$  and if  $a_i$  (respectively  $a_{\bar{i}}$ ) is equal to the number of entries equal to  $i$  (respectively  $\bar{i}$ ) in  $T$ , then the weight of  $T$  is  $\text{wt}(T) = (\chi_1, \dots, \chi_m)$ , where  $\chi_i = a_i - a_{\bar{i}}$ . The set  $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)_\chi$  corresponds to the set of KN-tableaux with weight  $\chi$  and the set  $\text{wt}(\mathcal{B}(\lambda))$  consists of the set of weights  $\chi$  in  $\Lambda$  for which there is a tableaux  $T \in SP(\lambda, 2m)$  with  $\text{wt}(T) = \chi$ .

**Example 3.2.** For  $T = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline \bar{3} & \bar{3} \\ \hline \bar{2} & \bar{1} \\ \hline \end{array} \in SP((2, 2, 2), 6)$ ,  $\text{wt}(T) = (0, -1, -1)$  and

$C(T) = \boxed{\bar{2}} \otimes \boxed{\bar{3}} \otimes \boxed{1} \otimes \boxed{\bar{1}} \otimes \boxed{\bar{3}} \otimes \boxed{3}$ . We have

$f_2(C(T)) = \boxed{\bar{2}} \otimes \boxed{\bar{3}} \otimes \boxed{1} \otimes \boxed{\bar{1}} \otimes \boxed{\bar{2}} \otimes \boxed{3}$  so  $f_2(T) = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline \bar{3} & \bar{2} \\ \hline \bar{2} & \bar{1} \\ \hline \end{array}$ .

Figure 1 gives an example of a crystal graph.

Given a simple reflection  $s_i$  in the Weyl group  $\mathcal{W}$ ,  $s_i(\chi) = \chi - (\chi, \alpha_i^\vee)\alpha_i$  for  $\chi \in \Lambda$ ,  $i \in I$ . For type  $C_m$ ,  $s_i(\chi) = (\chi_1, \dots, \chi_{i+1}, \chi_i, \dots, \chi_m)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m - 1$  and  $s_m(\chi) = (\chi_1, \dots, \chi_{m-1}, -\chi_m)$ .

For  $i \in I$ , define a bijection  $\sigma_i$  on  $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$  by

$$(1) \quad \sigma_i(b) = \begin{cases} f_i^k(b) & \text{if } k \geq 0 \\ e_i^{-k}(b) & \text{if } k < 0 \end{cases},$$




FIGURE 1. Type  $C_2$  crystal graph for  $\lambda = (2, 1)$ .

where  $b \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)$  and  $k = (\text{wt}(b), \alpha_i^\vee)$ . The Weyl group  $\mathcal{W}$  acts on  $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$  ([6, Theorem 11.14]) by  $s_i \cdot b = \sigma_i(b)$ ,  $b \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ . As well, we have (see [6, Proposition 2.36]):

$$(2) \quad \text{wt}(\sigma_i(b)) = s_i(\text{wt}(b)).$$

Then  $\sigma = \sigma_1\sigma_2\cdots\sigma_m$  gives a bijection on  $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$  and since the  $\sigma_i$ 's act on  $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$  as simple reflections of the Weyl group,  $\sigma$  is a Coxeter element of  $\mathcal{W}$  so has order equal to the Coxeter number of  $\mathcal{W}$ . We summarize this in the following Lemma.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $\lambda$  be a partition and let  $G = \langle \sigma \rangle$ , where  $\sigma = \sigma_1\cdots\sigma_m$ . Then  $G = \langle \sigma \rangle$  has order  $2m$  and acts on  $SP(\lambda, 2m)$ .*

**Example 3.4.** Consider the action of  $G = \langle \sigma \rangle$  on  $SP((2, 1), 6)$ , where  $\sigma = \sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_3$ . There are two orbits of size two:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & \bar{2} \\ \hline 3 & \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 2 & \bar{1} \\ \hline 3 & \\ \hline \end{array} \right\}, \left\{ \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline 2 & \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 2 & \bar{3} \\ \hline 1 & \\ \hline \end{array} \right\},$$

and 10 orbits of size six.

If  $T \in SP(\lambda, 2m)$  has weight  $\chi = (\chi_1, \dots, \chi_m)$ , it follows from (2) that for  $1 \leq i \leq m-1$ ,

$$\text{wt}(\sigma_i T) = (\chi_1, \dots, \chi_{i+1}, \chi_i, \dots, \chi_m) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{wt}(\sigma_m T) = (\chi_1, \dots, \chi_{m-1}, -\chi_m).$$

This gives the following Lemma, which will be useful throughout the paper.

**Lemma 3.5.** *If  $T \in SP(\lambda, 2m)$  and  $\text{wt}(T) = (\chi_1, \dots, \chi_m)$  then*

$$\text{wt}(\sigma T) = (-\chi_m, \chi_1, \dots, \chi_{m-1}).$$

#### 4. $q$ -DIMENSION AND THE SYMPLECTIC HOOK-CONTENT FORMULA

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a simple complex Lie algebra with weight lattice  $\Lambda$  and let  $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$  be the highest weight  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -crystal with highest weight  $\lambda$ . The formal character of  $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$  is

$$\text{ch}(\mathcal{B}(\lambda)) = \sum_{\chi \in \text{wt}(\mathcal{B}(\lambda))} |\mathcal{B}(\lambda)_\chi| e^\chi,$$

where  $e^\chi$  are formal basis elements of the group algebra  $\mathbb{Q}[\Lambda]$  with multiplication  $e^\chi e^\xi = e^{\chi+\xi}$ . Let  $\rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \alpha$  be the Weyl vector. The  $q$ -dimension of  $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$  is obtained by specialization of  $\text{ch}(\mathcal{B}(\lambda))$  (see [16, §10.10] for details):

$$\dim_q(\mathcal{B}(\lambda)) = \sum_{\chi \in \text{wt}(\mathcal{B}(\lambda))} |\mathcal{B}(\lambda)_\chi| q^{\langle \rho, \lambda - \chi \rangle}.$$

For  $\chi \in \text{wt}(\mathcal{B}(\lambda))$ , write  $\lambda - \chi = \sum_{i \in I} b_i \alpha_i$  as a sum of simple roots and let  $\text{ht}(\lambda - \chi) = \sum_{i \in I} b_i$ . Then

$$\dim_q(\mathcal{B}(\lambda)) = \sum_{\chi \in \text{wt}(\mathcal{B}(\lambda))} |\mathcal{B}(\lambda)_\chi| q^{\text{ht}(\lambda - \chi)}.$$

Let  $T \in SP(\lambda, 2m)$  where  $\text{wt}(T) = (\chi_1, \dots, \chi_m)$  with  $\chi_i = a_i - a_{\bar{i}}$ , where  $a_i$  records the number of  $i$ 's in  $T$  and  $a_{\bar{i}}$  the number of  $\bar{i}$ 's in  $T$ . Define

$$\text{pwr}(T) = \sum_{i=1}^m ((i-1)a_i + (2m-i)a_{\bar{i}}).$$

Then  $q^{\text{pwr}(T)}$  is the product given by assigning  $q^{i-1}$  to each  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  in  $T$  and  $q^{2m-i}$  to each entry  $\bar{i} \in \{\bar{1}, \dots, \bar{m}\}$  in  $T$ .



**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $\lambda$  be a partition of  $n$  and let  $T \in SP(\lambda, 2m)$  have weight  $\chi$ . Then*

$$pwr(T) = \sum_{i=1}^m (i-1)\chi_i + \frac{2m-1}{2} \left( n - \sum_{i=1}^m \chi_i \right).$$

As well,

$$dim_q(\mathcal{B}(\lambda)) = q^{-\kappa(\lambda)} \sum_{T \in SP(\lambda, 2m)} q^{pwr(T)},$$

where  $\kappa(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^m (i-1)\lambda_i$ .

*Proof.* Let  $wt(T) = \chi = (\chi_1, \dots, \chi_m)$ , where  $\chi_i = a_i - a_{\bar{i}}$ . Since  $\sum_{i=1}^m (a_i + a_{\bar{i}}) = n$

and  $\sum_{i=1}^m (a_i - a_{\bar{i}}) = \sum_{i=1}^m \chi_i$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} pwr(T) &= \sum_{i=1}^m i\chi_i - \frac{1}{2} \left( n + \sum_{i=1}^m \chi_i \right) + m \left( n - \sum_{i=1}^m \chi_i \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m (i-1)\chi_i + \frac{2m-1}{2} \left( n - \sum_{i=1}^m \chi_i \right). \end{aligned}$$

Write  $\lambda - \chi$  as a linear combination of simple roots  $\lambda - \chi = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i \alpha_i$ . Then

$\chi = (\lambda_1 - b_1, \lambda_2 + b_1 - b_2, \dots, \lambda_m + b_{m-1} - 2b_m)$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^m \chi_i = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i - 2b_m = n - 2b_m$

and  $\sum_{i=1}^m (i-1)\chi_i = \sum_{i=1}^m (i-1)\lambda_i + \sum_{i=1}^m b_i - (2m-1)b_m$ . Thus

$$pwr(T) = \kappa(\lambda) + \sum_{i=1}^m b_i = \kappa(\lambda) + ht(\lambda - \chi)$$

and

$$\sum_{T \in SP(\lambda, 2m)} q^{pwr(T)} = q^{\kappa(\lambda)} \sum_{\chi \in wt(\mathcal{B}(\lambda))} |\mathcal{B}(\lambda)_\chi| q^{ht(\lambda - \chi)} = q^{\kappa(\lambda)} dim_q(\mathcal{B}(\lambda)).$$

■

The above Lemma shows that  $pwr(T)$  is completely determined by the weight of  $T$ . We will also use the notation  $pwr(\chi)$  to denote  $pwr(T)$ , where  $wt(T) = \chi$ . In light of the Lemma, we have the following:

$$\sum_{T \in SP(\lambda, 2m)} q^{pwr(T)} = \sum_{\chi \in wt(\mathcal{B}(\lambda))} |\mathcal{B}(\lambda)_\chi| q^{pwr(\chi)}.$$

**Example 4.2.** (1) For  $T \in SP((2, 2, 2), 6)$  as in Example 3.2,  $pwr(T) = 17$ .

(2) Let  $\lambda = (2, 1)$  and  $m = 2$ . Referring to Figure 1,  $|SP(\lambda, 2m)| = 16$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{T \in SP(\lambda, 2m)} q^{pwr(T)} &= q + 2q^2 + 2q^3 + 3q^4 + 3q^5 + 2q^6 + 2q^7 + q^8 \\ &\equiv 4(1 + q + q^2 + q^3) \pmod{q^4 - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

This polynomial is of the type covered by Theorem 5.5.

The *hook length* of a box in the  $i$ th row and  $j$ th column of the Young diagram of shape  $\lambda$  is the number of boxes in its hook. In other words,  $h(i, j) = \lambda_i + \lambda_j^t - i - j + 1$ . Define

$$r_\lambda(i, j) = \begin{cases} \lambda_i + \lambda_j - i - j + 2 & \text{if } i > j, \\ i + j - \lambda_i^t - \lambda_j^t & \text{if } i \leq j. \end{cases}$$

The hook-content formula for symplectic tableaux ([7, Corollary 6]) is given by

$$(3) \quad |SP(\lambda, 2m)| = \prod_{(i,j) \in [\lambda]} \frac{2m + r_\lambda(i, j)}{h(i, j)}.$$

For staircase tableaux of the form  $\lambda = (m, m-1, \dots, 1)$ , the above formula simplifies nicely [4, Corollary 4.48].

For  $T \in SP(\lambda, 2m)$ , define  $x^{wt(T)} = x_1^{\chi_1} x_2^{\chi_2} \dots x_m^{\chi_m}$ , where  $wt(T) = (\chi_1, \dots, \chi_m)$  and  $sp_\lambda(x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_m^{\pm 1}) = \sum_{T \in SP(\lambda, 2m)} x^{wt(T)}$ . In [7], we worked with a specialization

$sp_\lambda(q, q^3, q^5, \dots, q^{2m-1})$  to prove (3), but this polynomial does not work as a CSP polynomial with the action under consideration. Instead, we will use a natural  $q$ -analogue of (3) as our CSP polynomial, which we will now set about proving is equal to  $dim_q(\mathcal{B}(\lambda))$ .

Using [12]\*Equation 24.18, we can express  $\sum q^{pwr(T)}$  as a quotient of determinants:

$$(4) \quad \sum_{T \in SP(\lambda, 2m)} q^{pwr(T)} = \frac{|q^{(j-1)(\lambda_i+m-i+1)} - q^{(2m-j)(\lambda_i+m-i+1)}|_{i,j=1}^m}{|q^{(j-1)(m-i+1)} - q^{(2m-j)(m-i+1)}|_{i,j=1}^m}.$$

For a positive integer  $k$  define  $[k] = 1 - q^k$  and let  $[k]! = [k][k-1] \dots [1]$ . (Note that in [7] we worked with  $\langle k \rangle = q^k - q^{-k}$ .)

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $\lambda$  be a partition and let  $\mu_i = \lambda_i + m - i$ . Then*

$$|q^{(j-1)(\mu_i+1)} - q^{(2m-j)(\mu_i+1)}|_{i,j=1}^m = q^{\sum_{i=1}^m (i-1)(\mu_i+1)} \prod_{i=1}^m [\mu_i+1] \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} [\mu_i - \mu_j][\mu_i + \mu_j + 2].$$

*Proof.* Using elementary row operations,  $|q^{(j-1)(\mu_i+1)} - q^{(2m-j)(\mu_i+1)}|_{1 \leq i, j \leq m}$  equals

$$(-1)^{m(m-1)/2} \prod_{i=1}^m (1 - q^{\mu_i+1}) |q^{(m-j)(\mu_i+1)} (1 + q^{\mu_i+1})^{2j-2}|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (-1)^{m(m-1)/2} \prod_{i=1}^m (1 - q^{\mu_i+1}) q^{(m-1)(\mu_i+1)} |q^{-(j-1)(\mu_i+1)} (1 + q^{\mu_i+1})^{2j-2}| \\
&= (-1)^{m(m-1)/2} q^{\sum_{i=1}^m (m-1)(\mu_i+1)} \prod_{i=1}^m (1 - q^{\mu_i+1}) |(q^{-(\mu_i+1)} (1 + q^{\mu_i+1})^2)^{j-1}|.
\end{aligned}$$

The Vandermonde determinant  $|(q^{-(\mu_i+1)} (1 + q^{\mu_i+1})^2)^{j-1}|$  is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} (q^{-(\mu_j+1)} (1 + q^{\mu_j+1})^2 - q^{-(\mu_i+1)} (1 + q^{\mu_i+1})^2) \\
&= \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} -q^{-(\mu_i+1)} (1 + q^{2\mu_i+2} - q^{\mu_i-\mu_j} - q^{\mu_i+\mu_j+2}) \\
&= (-1)^{\frac{m(m-1)}{2}} q^{-\sum_{i=1}^m (m-i)(\mu_i+1)} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} (1 - q^{\mu_i-\mu_j}) (1 - q^{\mu_i+\mu_j+2}) \\
&= (-1)^{\frac{m(m-1)}{2}} q^{-\sum_{i=1}^m (m-i)(\mu_i+1)} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} [\mu_i - \mu_j][\mu_i + \mu_j + 2].
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting, we obtain the result. ■

**Lemma 4.4.** *We have*

$$|q^{(j-1)(m-i+1)} - q^{(2m-j)(m-i+1)}|_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} = q^{\sum_{i=1}^m (j-1)(m-j+1)} \prod_{i=1}^m [2i - 1]!$$

*Proof.* Using elementary row operations to get the determinant in the form of that of a Vandermonde matrix, we find that  $|q^{(j-1)(m-i+1)} - q^{(2m-j)(m-i+1)}|_{1 \leq i, j \leq m}$  is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&(-1)^{m(m-1)/2} \prod_{i, j=1}^m (q^{j-1} - q^{2m-j}) |(q^{i-1} + q^{2m-i})^{j-1}| \\
&= (-1)^{m(m-1)/2} \prod_{j=1}^m (q^{j-1} - q^{2m-j}) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} ((q^{j-1} + q^{2m-j}) - (q^{i-1} + q^{2m-i})) \\
&= (-1)^{m(m-1)/2} \prod_{j=1}^m q^{j-1} (1 - q^{2m-2j+1}) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} -q^{i-1} (1 - q^{j-i}) (1 - q^{2m-j-i+1}) \\
&= q^{\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} (i-1)(m-i)} q^{\sum_{j=1}^m j-1} \prod_{j=1}^m [2m - 2j + 1] \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} [j - i][2m - j - i + 1] \\
&= q^{\sum_{i=1}^m (j-1)(m-j+1)} \prod_{i=1}^m [2i - 1]!
\end{aligned}$$

Define  $f_{sp}^\lambda(q)$  to be the  $q$ -analogue of the symplectic hook-length formula: ■

$$f_{sp}^\lambda(q) = \prod_{(i,j) \in [\lambda]} \frac{[2m + r_\lambda(i, j)]}{[h(i, j)]}.$$

The following theorem is a symplectic version of [31, Theorem 7.21.2].

**Theorem 4.5.** *Let  $\lambda$  be a partition and let  $\kappa(\lambda) = \sum(i-1)\lambda_i$ . Then*

$$\dim_q(\mathcal{B}(\lambda)) = f_{sp}^\lambda(q).$$

*Proof.* By [31, 7.101]  $\prod_{(i,j) \in [\lambda]} [h_\lambda(i,j)] = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^m [\mu_i]!}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} [\mu_i - \mu_j]}$ . The proof of [7, Lemma 4.3] yields

$$\prod_{(i,j) \in [\lambda]} [2m + r_\lambda(i,j)] = \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{[\mu_i + 1]!}{[2i - 1]!} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} [\mu_i + \mu_j + 2].$$

Since  $\sum_{i=1}^m (i-1)(\mu_i + 1) - \sum_{i=1}^m (i-1)(m-i+1) = \kappa(\lambda)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{T \in SP(\lambda, 2m)} q^{pwr(T)} &= q^{\kappa(\lambda)} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^m [\mu_i + 1] \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} [\mu_i - \mu_j][\mu_i + \mu_j + 2]}{\prod_{i=1}^m [2i - 1]!} \\ &= q^{\kappa(\lambda)} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^m [\mu_i + 1]! \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} [\mu_i - \mu_j][\mu_i + \mu_j + 2]}{\prod_{i=1}^m [\mu_i]! \prod_{i=1}^m [2i - 1]!} \\ &= q^{\kappa(\lambda)} \prod_{(i,j) \in [\lambda]} \frac{[2m + r_\lambda(i,j)]}{[h(i,j)]}, \end{aligned}$$

so  $\dim_q(\mathcal{B}(\lambda)) = \prod_{(i,j) \in [\lambda]} \frac{[2m + r_\lambda(i,j)]}{[h(i,j)]}$  by Lemma 4.1. ■

## 5. A CYCLIC SIEVING PHENOMENON FOR SYMPLECTIC TABLEAUX

To prove the results in this section, we will often work with symplectic weights. If  $\lambda$  is a partition of  $n$  and  $T \in SP(\lambda, 2m)$  has weight  $\chi$ , then  $\sum_{i=1}^m \chi_i = n - 2\ell$  for some  $0 \leq \ell \leq n$ . Thus, if  $n$  is odd,  $\sum_{i=1}^m \chi_i$  is odd, which is a fact we will refer to in our proofs.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $\lambda$  be a partition of  $n$ , where  $n$  is odd, and suppose that  $\gcd(m, p) = 1$  for any odd prime  $p$  with  $p \leq n$ . Then every orbit in  $SP(\lambda, 2m)$  under the action of  $\sigma$  has cardinality  $2m$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $T \in SP(\lambda, 2m)$  with  $\text{wt}(T) = \chi = (\chi_1, \dots, \chi_m)$ . Since  $\gcd(m, p) = 1$  for odd primes  $p \leq n$ , either  $m = 2^k$  for some positive integer  $k$  or  $m > n$  and  $m$  is not divisible by any odd prime  $p \leq n$ . By Lemma 3.3 the cardinality of the orbit of  $T$  divides  $2m$ . First note that  $\sigma T \neq T$ . Indeed, if this were the case,  $\text{wt}(T) = \text{wt}(\sigma T)$  so  $\chi_i = \chi_j$  for  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq m$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^m \chi_i = m\chi_1$ . If  $m = 2^k$ , this is impossible, since  $\sum_{i=1}^m \chi_i$  is odd. As well,  $m\chi_i \neq 0$  so if  $m > n$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^m \chi_i > n$  or  $\sum_{i=1}^m \chi_i < -n$ , which is also not possible.

Suppose that the orbit of  $T$  has fewer than  $2m$  elements. Then, for the case  $m = 2^k$ ,  $\sigma^a(T) = T$ , for some  $a = 2^j$  with  $1 \leq j \leq k$ . Since  $\text{wt}(T) = \text{wt}(\sigma^a T)$ ,

$$(\chi_1, \chi_2, \dots, \chi_m) = (-\chi_{m-a+1}, -\chi_{m-a+2}, \dots, -\chi_m, \chi_1, \dots, \chi_{m-a}).$$

Since  $a$  divides  $m$ ,  $\chi_i = \chi_{i+a} = \chi_{i+2a} = \dots = \chi_{m-a+i} = -\chi_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$  and, in the case where  $a = m$ , we have  $(-\chi_1, -\chi_2, \dots, -\chi_m) = (\chi_1, \chi_2, \dots, \chi_m)$ , again yielding  $\chi_i = -\chi_i$ . But then  $\chi_i = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , which is not possible.

Now suppose  $m \neq 2^k$  and that  $\text{gcd}(m, p) = 1$  for any odd prime  $p$ , with  $p \leq n$ . If  $\sigma^a(T) = T$ , where  $a$  divides  $m$ , the argument is the same as above. The other possibility is that  $\sigma^{2a}(T) = T$ , where  $a$  divides  $m$ ,  $1 \leq a < m$  and  $2a$  does not divide  $m$ . Then  $m = ba$ , where  $b = 2k + 1$  is odd. Thus  $m = 2ak + a \equiv a \pmod{2a}$  and

$$(\chi_1, \chi_2, \dots, \chi_m) = (-\chi_{m-2a+1}, \dots, -\chi_m, \chi_1, \dots, \chi_{m-2a}) = \text{wt}(\sigma^{2a}(T)).$$

Then  $\chi_i = \chi_{i+2a} = \chi_{i+4a} = \dots = \chi_{i+2ka} = -\chi_{i+a} = -\chi_{i+3a} = \dots = \chi_i$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq a-1$  and  $\chi_a = \chi_{3a} = \dots = -\chi_{2a} = -\chi_{4a} = \dots = \chi_a$  so

$$\text{wt}(T) = (\chi_1, \dots, \chi_a, -\chi_1, \dots, -\chi_a, \chi_1, \dots, \chi_a, \dots).$$

Since  $m = ab$ , there are a total of  $b$  entries in the  $m$ -tuple that are equal to  $\chi_i$  or  $-\chi_i$ , for each  $1 \leq i \leq a$ . Since  $\sum_{i=1}^m \chi_i \neq 0$ ,  $\chi_i \neq 0$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq a$ . Assuming  $\chi_i > 0$ ,  $T$  contains at least  $\chi_i$  entries equal to  $i$ , at least  $\chi_i$  entries equal to  $\overline{i+a}$ , et. cetera. But then  $T$  has at least  $b$  entries so  $n \geq b$ . Since  $b$  is odd and no odd prime less than  $n$  divides  $m$  this is impossible. ■

**Remark 5.2.** If  $\lambda$  is a partition of an even number then  $SP(\lambda, 2m)$  may have single-element orbits. As well, if  $\lambda$  is a partition of an odd number  $n$  and  $m$  is divisible by some prime  $p \leq n$ , then  $SP(\lambda, 2m)$  may have orbits with fewer than  $2m$  elements (see Example 3.4). It is also worth pointing out that, while our hypotheses guarantee that  $\text{gcd}(m, n) = 1$ , this is not sufficient. For example, if  $\lambda = (4, 1)$  and  $m = 6$ , there are orbits with fewer than 12 elements.

A set of integers  $S$  is a *complete residue system* modulo a positive integer  $n$  if  $|S| = n$  and no two elements in  $S$  are congruent modulo  $n$ . In order to prove a CSP for  $SP(\lambda, 2m)$ , using  $f_{sp}^\lambda(q)$  as a CSP polynomial, we aim to partition the set of weights  $\text{wt}(\mathcal{B}(\lambda))$  into sets  $\mathcal{A}_\chi$  of cardinality  $2m$  such that the powers in the polynomial  $f_{sp}^\lambda(q)$  associated to each  $\mathcal{A}_\chi$  form a complete residue system modulo  $2m$ .

The symplectic version of [20, Lemma 3.2] does not hold. Instead, we will work with an action of signed permutations associated to the dihedral group of order  $2m$  on  $\text{wt}(\mathcal{B}(\lambda))$  to divide the powers into sets that each form complete residue systems modulo  $2m$ .

The symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_m$  acts on  $\text{wt}(\mathcal{B}(\lambda))$  as  $\theta \cdot \chi = (\chi_{\theta^{-1}(1)}, \dots, \chi_{\theta^{-1}(m)})$ ,  $\theta \in \mathfrak{S}_m$ ,  $\chi \in \text{wt}(\mathcal{B}(\lambda))$ . Let  $\gamma = (1, 2, \dots, m)$  and  $\beta = (2, m)(3, m-1)(4, m-2) \dots \in \mathfrak{S}_m$ , noting that the group generated by  $\gamma$  and  $\beta$  is the subgroup of  $\mathfrak{S}_m$  corresponding to the dihedral group of order  $2m$ .

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let  $\lambda$  be a partition of an odd integer  $n$  and suppose that  $\text{gcd}(m, p) = 1$  for any odd prime  $p \leq n$ . Let  $D_{2m} = \langle \gamma = (1, 2, \dots, m), \beta = (2, m)(3, m-1) \dots \rangle$ .*

If  $\chi \in wt(\mathcal{B}(\lambda))$ , then the set  $\{pwr(\gamma^t\chi), pwr(-\gamma^t\beta\chi) \mid 0 \leq t \leq m-1\}$  is a complete residue system modulo  $2m$ .

*Proof.* For  $0 \leq t \leq m-1$ ,  $pwr(\gamma^t\chi) = \sum_{i=1}^m ((i-1+t) \bmod m)\chi_i + \frac{2m-1}{2}(n - \sum_{i=1}^m \chi_i)$ .

Since  $\gcd(m, p) = 1$  for odd primes  $p \leq n$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^m \chi_i$  is odd with  $\sum_{i=1}^m \chi_i = n - 2\ell$ , where  $0 \leq \ell \leq n$ ,  $\gcd(\sum_{i=1}^m \chi_i, m) = 1$ . It follows that the set  $\{pwr(\gamma^t\chi) \mid 0 \leq t \leq m-1\}$  is a complete residue system modulo  $m$ , so no two elements in the set  $\{pwr(\gamma^t\chi) \mid 0 \leq t \leq m-1\}$  are congruent modulo  $2m$ .

Also

$$pwr(-\gamma^t\beta\chi) \equiv pwr(-\gamma^{t+1}\beta\chi) + \sum_{i=1}^m \chi_i \pmod{m},$$

so  $\{pwr(-\gamma^t\beta\chi) \mid 0 \leq t \leq m-1\}$  is a complete residue set modulo  $m$ . Thus none of the elements in the set  $\{pwr(-\gamma^t\beta\chi) \mid 0 \leq t \leq m-1\}$  are congruent modulo  $2m$ .

Lastly,

$$pwr(\chi) - pwr(-\gamma^t\beta\chi) = (t+1) \sum_{i=1}^m \chi_i - 2m \sum_{i=1}^m \chi_i + m \sum_{i=t+2}^m \chi_i,$$

so if  $pwr(\chi) - pwr(-\gamma^t\beta\chi)$  is divisible by  $2m$ , then  $m$  divides  $(t+1) \sum_{i=1}^m \chi_i$ . Then, since  $\gcd(m, \sum_{i=1}^m \chi_i) = 1$ ,  $m$  divides  $t+1$ , which is only possible if  $m = t+1$ . However, if  $m = t+1$ , then  $pwr(\chi) - pwr(-\gamma^t\beta\chi) = -m \sum_{i=1}^m \chi_i$  and, since  $\sum_{i=1}^m \chi_i$  is odd, this cannot be divisible by  $2m$ , so  $pwr(\chi) \not\equiv pwr(-\gamma^t\beta\chi) \pmod{2m}$  for  $0 \leq t \leq m-1$ . It follows that  $pwr(\gamma^{t_1}\chi) \not\equiv pwr(-\gamma^{t_2}\beta\chi) \pmod{2m}$ , for  $t_1 \neq t_2$  so the set in question is a complete residue set modulo  $2m$ .  $\blacksquare$

Given a weight  $\chi \in wt(\mathcal{B}(\lambda))$ , define the subset  $\mathcal{A}_\chi$  of  $wt(\mathcal{B}(\lambda))$  as

$$\mathcal{A}_\chi = \{\gamma^t\chi, -\gamma^t\beta\chi \mid 0 \leq t \leq m-1\}.$$

Under the conditions of Lemma 5.3,  $|\mathcal{A}_\chi| = 2m$ , so the sets  $\mathcal{A}_\chi$  partition  $wt(\mathcal{B}(\lambda))$  into sets of size  $2m$ .

**Example 5.4.** (1) Let  $\lambda = (2, 1)$  and  $m = 4$ . By Lemma 3.3, every tableau  $T \in SP(\lambda, 2m)$  has order 8 under the action of  $\sigma$ . Let  $\chi = (2, -1, 0, 0) \in wt(\mathcal{B}(\lambda))$ . The following is an example of a set of weights  $\mathcal{A}_\chi$ , covered by Lemma 5.3:

$$\{(2, -1, 0, 0), (0, 2, -1, 0), (0, 0, 2, -1), (-1, 0, 0, 2), \\ (-2, 0, 0, 1), (1, -2, 0, 0), (0, 1, -2, 0), (0, 0, 1, -2)\}.$$

The corresponding powers form a complete residue set modulo 8.

(2) If the hypotheses in Lemma 3.3 are relaxed, the result does not hold. For example, if  $\lambda = (2, 1)$ ,  $m = 3$  and  $\chi = (1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0)$  then  $\{pwr(\gamma^t\chi), pwr(-\gamma^t\beta\chi) \mid 0 \leq t \leq 2\}$  is not a complete residue set modulo 6. Note that, in this case,  $f_{sp}^\lambda(q) = 10q^5 + 11q^4 + 11q^3 + 10q^2 + 11q + 11 \pmod{q^6 - 1}$  and Theorem 5.7 does not hold.

**Theorem 5.5.** *Let  $\lambda$  be a partition of an odd positive integer  $n$ , and suppose that  $\gcd(m, p) = 1$  for any odd prime  $p$  with  $p \leq n$ . Then*

$$f_{sp}^\lambda(q) \equiv \frac{|SP(\lambda, 2m)|}{2m} \sum_{k=0}^{2m-1} q^k \pmod{q^{2m} - 1}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq wt(\mathcal{B}(\lambda))$  be a transversal for the collection of sets  $\mathcal{A}_\chi$ . Then

$$|SP(\lambda, 2m)| = \sum_{\chi \in wt(\mathcal{B}(\lambda))} |\mathcal{B}(\lambda)_\chi| = \sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{A}} |\mathcal{B}(\lambda)_\chi| |\mathcal{A}_\chi| = 2m \sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{A}} |\mathcal{B}(\lambda)_\chi|.$$

By Lemma 5.3,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{sp}^\lambda(q) &= \sum_{\chi \in wt(\mathcal{B}(\lambda))} |\mathcal{B}(\lambda)_\chi| q^{pwr(\chi)} \\ &= \sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{A}} |\mathcal{B}(\lambda)_\chi| \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{A}_\chi} q^{pwr(\xi)} \\ &\equiv \sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{A}} |\mathcal{B}(\lambda)_\chi| (1 + q + \cdots + q^{2m} - 1) \pmod{q^{2m} - 1} \\ &= \frac{|SP(\lambda, 2m)|}{2m} (1 + q + \cdots + q^{2m-1}) \pmod{q^{2m} - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

■

**Remark 5.6.** In [21], the authors explicitly compute  $\dim_q(\mathcal{B}(\lambda))$  modulo  $q^n - 1$  for finite-dimensional simple complex Lie algebras under the assumption that  $(\alpha, \lambda)$  is divisible by  $n$  for any  $\alpha \in \Phi^+$ . The conditions in our Lemma 5.3 do not satisfy this.

Combining Theorem 5.1 and Theorem 5.5, we obtain the following CSP.

**Theorem 5.7.** *Let  $\lambda$  be a partition of  $n$ , where  $n$  is odd, and suppose that  $\gcd(m, p) = 1$  for any odd prime  $p \leq n$ . Then  $(SP(\lambda, 2m), \langle \sigma \rangle, f_{sp}^\lambda(q))$  exhibits the cyclic sieving phenomenon, where  $\sigma$  is the natural action induced by the  $U_q(\mathfrak{sp}(2m))$ -crystal.*

## REFERENCES

- [1] P. Alexandersson, Free action and cyclic sieving on skew semi-standard Young tableaux, *Bull. Iran. Math. Soc.*, 49(6) (2023).
- [2] P. Alexandersson, E. Kantarci Oğuz and S. Linusson, Promotion and cyclic sieving on families of SSYT, *Ark. Mat.*, 59 (2021), 247–274.
- [3] J. Basman Monterrubio, G. Henrickson and A. Stokke, Cyclic sieving for a family of semi-standard tableaux, arXiv:2302.14143.
- [4] J. Bernstein, J. Striker and C. Vorland,  $P$ -strict promotion and  $B$ -bounded rowmotion, with applications to tableaux of many flavors, *Comb. Theory*, 1 (2021), Paper No. 8, 46.
- [5] M. Bennett, B. Madill and A. Stokke, Jeu-de-taquin promotion and a cyclic sieving phenomenon for semistandard hook tableaux, *Discrete Math.*, 319 (2014), 62–67.
- [6] D. Bump and A. Schilling, *Crystal bases. Representations and combinatorics*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, 2017.
- [7] P.S. Campbell and A. Stokke, Hook-content formulae for symplectic and orthogonal tableaux, *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 55(3) (2012), 462–473.

- [8] C. De Concini, Symplectic standard tableaux, *Adv. Math.*, 34 (1979), 1–27.
- [9] K. Erdmann and M. Wildon, *Introduction to Lie Algebras*, Springer Undergraduate Mathematics Series, Springer-Verlag, London, 2006.
- [10] N. El Samra and R. C. King, Dimensions of irreducible representations of the classical Lie groups, *J. Phys. A*, 12 (1979), 2317–2328.
- [11] B. Fontaine and J. Kamnitzer, Cyclic sieving, rotation, and geometric representation theory, *Sel. Math. New Ser.*, 20 (2013), 609–625.
- [12] W. Fulton and J. Harris, *Representation theory: a first course*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 129, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [13] C. Gaetz, O. Pechenik, J. Striker and J.P. Swanson, Curious cyclic sieving on increasing tableaux, *Enumer. Comb. Appl.*, 2(3) (2022), Article S2R18.
- [14] J. Hong and S.-J. Kang, *Introduction to quantum groups and crystal bases*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, American Mathematical Society, 42, Providence, 2002.
- [15] J.E. Humphreys, *Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1973.
- [16] V. G. Kac, *Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras*, Third edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [17] M. Kashiwara and T. Nakashima, Crystal graphs for representations of the  $q$ -analogue of classical Lie algebras, *J. Algebra*, 165 (1994), 295–345.
- [18] R. C. King, Weight multiplicities for the classical groups, In *Group theoretical methods in physics (Fourth Internat. Colloq., Nijmegen, 1975)*, Lecture Notes in Phys., Vol. 50, Springer, Berlin, 1976, 490–499.
- [19] R. C. King and N. G. I. El-Sharkaway, Standard young tableaux and weight multiplicities of the classical Lie groups, *J. Phys. A: Math Gen.*, 16 (1983), 3153–3177.
- [20] Y.-T. Oh and E. Park, Crystals, semistandard tableaux and cyclic sieving phenomenon, *Electron. J. Comb.*, 26(4) (2019), Article P4.39.
- [21] Y.-T. Oh and E. Park,  $q$ -dimensions of highest weight crystals and cyclic sieving phenomenon, *European J. Combin.*, 97 (2021), Paper No. 103372.
- [22] O. Pechenik, Cyclic sieving of increasing tableaux and small Schröder paths, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A*, 125 (2014), 357–378.
- [23] S. Pon and Q. Wang, Promotion and evacuation on standard Young tableaux of rectangle and staircase shape, *Electron. J. Combin.*, 18(1) (2011), Article P18.
- [24] T. Pressey, A. Stokke and T. Visentin, Increasing tableaux, Narayana numbers and an instance of the cyclic sieving phenomenon, *Ann. Comb.*, 20 (2016), 609–621.
- [25] V. Reiner, D. Stanton and D. White, The cyclic sieving phenomenon, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 108(1) (2004), 17–50.
- [26] B. Rhoades, Cyclic sieving, promotion, and representation theory, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 117(1) (2010), 38–76.
- [27] B. Sagan, The cyclic sieving phenomenon: a survey, In *Surveys in Combinatorics 2011*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2011, 183–234.
- [28] M.-P. Schützenberger, Quelques remarques sur une construction de Schensted, *Canad. J. Math.*, 13 (1961), 117–128.
- [29] M.-P. Schützenberger, Promotion des morphismes d’ensembles ordonnés, *Discrete Math.*, 2 (1972), 93–74.
- [30] J. Sheats, A symplectic jeu de taquin bijection between the tableaux of King and De Concini, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 351(9) (1999), 3569–3607.
- [31] R. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics Vol. 2*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [32] A. Stokke, A symplectic Desarmenien matrix and a standard basis for the symplectic Weyl module, *J. Algebra*, 272(2) (2004), 512–529.
- [33] S. Sundaram, Tableaux in the representation theory of the classical Lie groups, In: *Invariant theory and tableaux (Minneapolis MN, 1988)*, IMA Vol. Math. Appl., 19, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990, 191–225.