

SUBCONVEXITY FOR $GL(1)$ TWISTS OF RANKIN-SELBERG L -FUNCTIONS

ARITRA GHOSH

ABSTRACT. Let f and g be two Hecke-Maass or holomorphic primitive cusp forms for $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and χ be a primitive Dirichlet character of modulus p , a prime. A subconvex bound for the central values of the Rankin-Selberg L -functions is given by

$$L\left(\frac{1}{2}, f \otimes g \otimes \chi\right) \ll_{f,g,\epsilon} p^{\frac{22}{23} + \epsilon},$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$, where the implied constant depends only on the forms f, g and ϵ .

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
Notation	2
Acknowledgement	2
2. Sketch of the proof	3
3. Preliminaries	4
3.1. Preliminaries on holomorphic cusp forms.	4
3.2. Voronoi summation formula.	4
3.3. Circle Method :	4
4. Setting-up the circle method :	4
5. Estimation of $\tilde{S}(N)$	6
5.1. Applying the Voronoi and Poisson summation formula	6
5.2. Evaluation of the character sum	8
6. Further estimation	8
6.1. Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality	9
7. Final estimation	17
References	18

1. INTRODUCTION

Let f and g be two Hecke-Maass or holomorphic primitive cusp forms for $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and χ be a primitive Dirichlet character of modulus p , a prime. Then the L -function associated with $f \otimes g \otimes \chi$ is given by

$$L(s, f \otimes g \otimes \chi) = L(2s, \chi^2) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_f(n) \lambda_g(n) \chi(n)}{n^s},$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$, which can be analytically extended to \mathbf{C} and satisfies a functional equation relating s and $1 - s$ (see [7]). In this context the convexity bound is

$$L\left(\frac{1}{2}, f \otimes g \otimes \chi\right) \ll_{f,g,\epsilon} p^{1+\epsilon},$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$ which can be obtained by using the approximate functional equation and the Phragmen-Lindelof principle. The purpose of this paper is to prove the following

subconvexity bound using delta method only. For other aspects and further study on Rankin-Selberg L -functions one can see [1], [4], [13]. Here we get the following :

Theorem 1. *Let f and g be holomorphic or Maass cusp forms for $SL(2, \mathbf{Z})$ and let χ a primitive Dirichlet character of modulus p , an odd prime. Then we have*

$$L\left(\frac{1}{2}, f \otimes g \otimes \chi\right) \ll_{f,g,\epsilon} p^{\frac{22}{23}+\epsilon},$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$.

Remark 1. *Here we are considering f, g to be holomorphic cusp forms for simplicity as everything will be same for Hecke-Maass cusp forms.*

Remark 2. *Here we are considering p to be a prime number for simplicity but also one can do for p when p is not a prime (one has to handle coprimality issue carefully) using the same method.*

Remark 3. *Sun considered this problem in [20] for depth aspect when $\kappa > 12$. Using similar arguments done in our paper, we can do that problem also and also by handling carefully the coprimality issues, one could do this problem for general p (even when it is not a prime) using similar argument as we can handle the coprimality issue using the flexibility of our method.*

Remark 4. *Same kind of problem has been considered in [12], [15] (where in both of them they assumed that at least one of the forms to be holomorphic) and also in [6] (where they got the bound to be $1 - \frac{1}{1324}$) but the main purpose of this paper is to address the problem as a $GL(2) \times GL(2) \times GL(1)$ problem using delta method and getting better result. This problem is different from the problem considered in [19] as he considered the problem when both f, g have trivial nebentypus but for us, using [2], we can say that $g \times \chi \in M_k(p^2, \chi^2)$.*

Remark 5. *Here if we assume the pointwise Ramanujan bound (say $\lambda_f(n) \ll_{f,\epsilon} d(n)n^\theta$ where d is the divisor function) then we would have*

$$L\left(\frac{1}{2}, f \otimes g \otimes \chi\right) \ll_{f,g,\epsilon} p^{\frac{19}{20} + \frac{202}{100}\theta + \epsilon},$$

Notation. In this article ‘ \ll ’ will mean that whenever it occurs, the implied constants will depend on f, ϵ only and the notation ‘ $X \asymp Y$ ’ will mean that $Yp^{-\epsilon} \leq X \leq Yp^\epsilon$.

Application 1. *As an application of this theorem, we improve the bounds obtained [12] for the problem of distinguishing modular forms based on their first Fourier coefficients.*

Corollary 1. *From the proof of corollary 1.3 of [12], we have, for f as given in the theorem (1). Then there exists a constant $C = C(f, \epsilon)$ such that for any primitive cuspidal newform g for $SL(2, \mathbf{Z})$ and for any primitive Dirichlet character $\chi(n)$ having modulus N , there exists $n \leq N^{\frac{22}{23}+\epsilon}$ with $(n, N) = 1$, such that*

$$\lambda_f(n) \neq \lambda_g(n)\chi(n).$$

Proof. The proof is identical to the proof of Corollary 1.3 [12]. Use Theorem (1) in place of [[12], Theorem 1.1] in the proof. \square

Acknowledgement. The author is grateful to his advisor Prof. Ritabrata Munshi for suggesting the problem, sharing his beautiful ideas, explaining his ingenious method, and his kind support and encouragement throughout the work. The author is also thankful to Prahlad Sharma and Sumit Kumar for their helpful comments. The author is thankful to Stat-Math Unit, Indian Statistical Institute, Kolkata, for the excellent research environment.

2. SKETCH OF THE PROOF

By means of approximate functional equation and dyadic subdivision, we have

$$(1) \quad L\left(\frac{1}{2}, f \otimes g \otimes \chi\right) \ll_{\epsilon, A} \sup_{N \ll p^{2+\epsilon}} \frac{S(N)}{\sqrt{N}} + O(p^{-A})$$

for any small $\epsilon > 0$ and any large $A > 0$, where

$$S(N) = \sum_{n \sim N} \lambda_f(n) \lambda_g(n) \chi(n).$$

Here in the sketch we will consider the case when $N = p^2$, at the boundary and also suppress the weight function for notational simplicity and we are using the method of R. Munshi (see [17]). To proceed further, in the delta method approach we separate oscillations of the Fourier coefficients $\lambda_f(n)$ and $\lambda_g(n)\chi(n)$, using the Jutila's circle method (see [8], [9]) similarly as done in author's previous article (see [5]). Then we write this sum as

$$\mathbf{S} = \sum_{n, m \sim p^2} \lambda_f(n) \lambda_g(m) \chi(m) \delta_{n, m},$$

where $\delta_{n, m}$ is the Kronecker δ -symbol. Here to get an inbuilt bilinear structure in the circle method itself, we need to use a more flexible version of the circle method - the one investigated by M. Jutila (see [8], [9]). This version comes with an error term which is satisfactory, as we shall find out, as long as we allow the moduli to be slightly larger than \sqrt{N} . Up to an admissible error we see that \mathbf{S} is given by

$$\mathbf{S} = \sum_{n, m \sim N} \lambda_f(n) \lambda_g(m) \chi(m) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{I}(\alpha) e((n-m)\alpha) d\alpha,$$

where $\tilde{I}(\alpha) := \frac{1}{2\delta L} \sum_{q \in \Phi} \sum_{d \pmod{q}}^* I_{d/q}(\alpha)$ and $I_{d/q}$ is the indicator function of the interval $[\frac{d}{q} - \delta, \frac{d}{q} + \delta]$, $Q := N^{1/2+\epsilon}$ and $L \asymp Q^{2-\epsilon}$.

Trivial bound at this stage yields $N^{2+\epsilon}$ and we need to establish the bound $N^{1-\theta}$ for some $\theta > 0$, i.e., roughly speaking we need to save $N+$ something. Observe that by our choice of Q , there is no analytic oscillation in the weight function $e((n-m)\alpha)$. Hence their weights can be dropped in our sketch. At first using the $GL(2)$ Voronoi summation formula on the n sum we get that

$$\sum_{n \sim N} \lambda_f(n) e\left(\frac{na}{q}\right) \approx \frac{N}{q} \sum_{n \sim \frac{Q^2}{N}} \lambda_f(n) e\left(\frac{-n\bar{a}}{q}\right),$$

where q is of size $Q \approx \sqrt{N}p^{n/2}$. The left hand side is trivially bounded by N , whereas the right hand side is trivially bounded by Q . Hence we have "saved" $\frac{N}{Q}$.

Again applying the $GL(2)$ Voronoi summation formula on the m sum we will save $\frac{N}{pQ}$. Upto this step our total savings becomes

$$\frac{N}{Q} \times \frac{N}{pQ} \times Q = \frac{N^2}{pQ} = \frac{N}{p^{n/2}},$$

so we have already reached at the boundary and any savings will work. After this we used Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality and then we opened the absolute value squares and after that we used the shifted convolution sum result [3] and also analysed a short twisted $GL(2)$ character sum when the length of the sum is greater than the size of the conductor. Hence we got our savings, giving our subconvexity result.

3. PRELIMINARIES

3.1. Preliminaries on holomorphic cusp forms. Let $f, g : \mathbb{H} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$, be holomorphic cusp forms with normalized Fourier coefficients $\lambda_f(n)$ and $\lambda_g(n)$ respectively. Also we take χ , a primitive Dirichlet character of modulus p where p is a prime.

3.2. Voronoi summation formula. We will use the following Voronoi summation formula. This was first established by T. Meurman (see [14]) in the case of full level.

Lemma 3.2.1. *Let f be as above, and v be a compactly supported smooth function on $(0, \infty)$. Also consider $(a, q) = 1$. Then we have*

$$(2) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_f(n) e_q(an) v(n) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_f(n) e_q(-\bar{a}n) V(n),$$

where \bar{a} is the multiplicative inverse of a mod q , and $V(n)$ is a certain integral Hankel transform of v .

Here note that, if we take v to be supported in $[Y, 2Y]$ and satisfying $y^j v^{(j)}(y) \ll_j 1$, then one can see that the sum on the right hand side becomes being supported essentially on $n \ll q^2(qY)^\epsilon/Y$ (the implied constant depends only on f and ϵ). Also note that the terms with $n \gg q^2(qY)^\epsilon/Y$ contributes an amount which is negligibly small. For smaller values of n one can consider the trivial bound $V(n/q^2) \ll Y$. For more details one can see the paper of R. Munshi (see [17]).

3.3. Circle Method : Here in this paper we shall use Jutila's circle method (see [8], [9]). For any set $S \subset \mathbb{R}$, let I_S denote the associated characteristic function, i.e. $I_S(x) = 1$ for $x \in S$ and 0 otherwise. For any collection of positive integers $\Phi \subset [Q, 2Q]$ (which we call the set of moduli), where $Q \geq 1$ and a positive real number δ in the range $Q^{-2} \ll \delta \ll Q^{-1}$, we define the function

$$\tilde{I}_{\Phi, \delta}(x) := \frac{1}{2\delta L} \sum_{q \in \Phi} \sum_{d \pmod q} I_{[\frac{d}{q} - \delta, \frac{d}{q} + \delta]}(x),$$

where $I_{[\frac{d}{q} - \delta, \frac{d}{q} + \delta]}$ is the indicator function of the interval $[\frac{d}{q} - \delta, \frac{d}{q} + \delta]$. Here $L := \sum_{q \in \Phi} \phi(q)$ (then roughly we have $L \asymp Q^2$) and we will choose Φ in such a way that $L \asymp Q^{2-\epsilon}$.

Then this becomes an approximation of $I_{[0,1]}$ in the following sense:

Lemma 3.3.1. *We have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| I_{[0,1]}(x) - \tilde{I}_{\Phi, \delta}(x) \right|^2 dx \ll \frac{Q^{2+\epsilon}}{\delta L^2},$$

where I is the indicator function of $[0, 1]$.

This is a consequence of the Parseval theorem from Fourier analysis (see [8], [16]).

4. SETTING-UP THE CIRCLE METHOD :

Let us apply the circle method directly to the smooth sum

$$S(N) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \lambda_f(n) \lambda_g(n) \chi(n) h\left(\frac{n}{N}\right),$$

where the function h is smooth, supported in $[1, 2]$ with $h^{(j)}(x) \ll_j 1$. Now we will approximate the above sum $S(N)$ using M. Jutila's circle method (see [8], [9]) by the following sum :

$$\tilde{S}(N) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{q \in \Phi} \sum_{a \pmod q}^* \sum_{n, m \in \mathbb{Z}} \lambda_f(n) \lambda_g(m) \chi(m) e\left(\frac{a(n-m)}{q}\right) F(n, m),$$

where $e_q(x) = e^{2\pi ix/q}$, and

$$F(x, y) = h\left(\frac{x}{N}\right) h^*\left(\frac{y}{N}\right) \frac{1}{2\delta} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} e(\alpha(n-m)) d\alpha.$$

Here h^* is another smooth function having compact support in $(0, \infty)$, with $h_2(x) = 1$ for x in the support of h . Also we choose $\delta = N^{-1}$ so that we have

$$\frac{\partial^{i+j}}{\partial^i x \partial^j y} F(x, y) \ll_{i,j} \frac{1}{N^{i+j}}.$$

Then we have the following lemma :

Lemma 4.0.1. *Let $\Phi \subset [1, Q]$, with*

$$L = \sum_{q \in \Phi} \phi(q) \gg Q^{2-\epsilon},$$

and $\delta \gg \frac{1}{N}$. Then we must have

$$(3) \quad S(N) = \tilde{S}(N) + O_{f,\epsilon} \left(N \sqrt{\frac{Q^2}{\delta L^2}} \right).$$

Proof. Consider

$$G(x) = \sum_{n,m \in \mathbf{Z}} \lambda_f(n) \lambda_g(m) \chi(m) h\left(\frac{n}{N}\right) h^*\left(\frac{m}{N}\right) e(x(n-m)).$$

One can see that $S(N) = \int_0^1 G(x) dx$ and $\tilde{S}(N) = \int_0^1 \tilde{I}_{\Phi,\delta}(x) G(x) dx$. Hence

$$|S(N) - \tilde{S}(N)| \leq \int_0^1 \left| 1 - \tilde{I}_{\Phi,\delta}(x) \right| \left| \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \lambda_f(n) e(xn) h\left(\frac{n}{N}\right) \right| \left| \sum_{m \in \mathbf{Z}} \lambda_g(m) \chi(m) e(xm) h^*\left(\frac{m}{N}\right) \right| dx.$$

For the middle sum we have the point-wise bound $\sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \lambda_f(n) e(xn) h\left(\frac{n}{N}\right) \ll_{f,\epsilon} N^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}$. Using Cauchy we get

$$|S(N) - \tilde{S}(N)| \ll_{f,\epsilon} N^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \left[\int_0^1 \left| 1 - \tilde{I}_{\Phi,\delta}(x) \right|^2 dx \right]^{1/2} \left[\int_0^1 \left| \sum_{m \in \mathbf{Z}} \lambda_g(m) \chi(m) e(xm) h^*\left(\frac{m}{N}\right) \right|^2 dx \right]^{1/2}.$$

For the last sum we open the absolute value square and execute the integral. So we are left with only the diagonal, which has size N . For the other sum we use (3.3.1). It follows that

$$|S(N) - \tilde{S}(N)| \ll_{f,\epsilon} N \sqrt{\frac{Q^2}{\delta L^2}}.$$

□

As for subconvexity, we need to save N with something more, i.e., we must have $\delta L^2 > Q^2 p^\eta$, i.e., $\frac{1}{N} \gg \delta \gg \frac{p^\eta}{Q^2}$, i.e., $Q \gg \sqrt{N} p^{\eta/2}$. Here we choose $Q = \sqrt{N} p^{\eta/2+\epsilon}$ and prime to p . Hence the error term in Lemma (4.0.1) is bounded by $O\left(\frac{N}{p^{\eta/2}}\right)$.

5. ESTIMATION OF $\tilde{S}(N)$

5.1. Applying the Voronoi and Poisson summation formula. At first we assume that each member of Φ is coprime to p , the modulus of the character χ .

Now let us consider

$$(4) \quad \tilde{S}_x(N) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{q \in \Phi} \sum_{a \pmod{q}}^* S(a, q, x, f) T(a, q, x, g),$$

where

$$S(a, q, x, f) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_f(n) e_q(an) e(xn) h\left(\frac{n}{N}\right),$$

and

$$T(a, q, x, g) := \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \lambda_g(m) \chi(m) e_q(-am) e(-xm) h^*\left(\frac{m}{N}\right).$$

so that we have

$$(5) \quad \tilde{S}(N) = \frac{1}{2\delta} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \tilde{S}_x(N) dx$$

Lemma 5.1.1. *We have*

$$(6) \quad S(a, q, x, f) = \frac{N^{3/4}}{q^{1/2}} \sum_{1 \leq n \leq N_0} \frac{\lambda_f(n)}{n^{1/4}} e\left(-\frac{\bar{a}n}{q}\right) \mathcal{I}_1(n, x, q) + O(N^{-2023}),$$

where $N_0 := \frac{Q^2}{N}$ and $\mathcal{I}_1(n, x, q)$ is given by

$$\mathcal{I}_1(n, x, q) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} h(y) e\left(Nxy \pm \frac{4\pi}{q} \sqrt{Nny}\right) W_f\left(\frac{4\pi \sqrt{Nny}}{q}\right) dy,$$

where W_f is a smooth nice function.

Proof. Applying the Voronoi summation formula (3.2.1) to the n -sum of the equation (4), then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \lambda_f(n) e\left(\frac{an}{q}\right) e(nx) h\left(\frac{n}{N}\right) &= \frac{1}{q} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \lambda_f(n) e\left(-\frac{\bar{a}n}{q}\right) \\ &\quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}} h\left(\frac{y}{N}\right) e(xy) J_{k_f-1}\left(\frac{4\pi \sqrt{ny}}{q}\right) dy, \end{aligned}$$

where J_{k_f-1} is the Bessel function. By changing $y \mapsto Ny$ and using the decomposition,

$$J_{k_f-1}(x) = \frac{W_f(x)}{\sqrt{x}} e(x) + \frac{\bar{W}_f(x)}{\sqrt{x}} e(-x),$$

where $W_f(x)$ is a nice function, the right hand side integral becomes

$$N^{3/4} q^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} h(y) e\left(Nxy \pm \frac{4\pi}{q} \sqrt{Nny}\right) W_f\left(\frac{4\pi \sqrt{Nny}}{q}\right) dy.$$

By repeated integral by parts we see that, this integral is negligibly small if $|n| \gg N_q = \frac{q^2 N^\epsilon}{N} \asymp \frac{Q^2 N^\epsilon}{N} =: N_0$. Hence the lemma follows. \square

Lemma 5.1.2. *We have*

$$(7) \quad T(a, q, x) = \frac{N^{3/4}}{\tau(\bar{\chi}) \sqrt{pq}} \sum_{\beta \pmod{p}}^* \bar{\chi}(-\beta) \sum_{1 \leq m \leq M_0} \frac{\lambda_g(m)}{m^{1/4}} e\left(\frac{\bar{c}m}{pq}\right) I_2(q, m, x) + O(N^{-2023}),$$

where $c = ap + \beta q$, $(c, pq) = 1$, $M_0 := \frac{(pq)^2}{N}$, and

$$(8) \quad I_2(q, m, x) = \int_0^\infty h^*(y) e\left(Nxy \pm \frac{4\pi}{pq} \sqrt{Nmy}\right) W_g\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{Nmy}}{pq}\right) dy.$$

Proof. At first let us expand $\chi(m)$ in terms of additive characters so that, we have

$$\chi(m) = \frac{1}{\tau(\bar{\chi})} \sum_{\beta \pmod{p}} \bar{\chi}(\beta) e\left(\frac{\beta m}{p}\right),$$

where $\tau(\bar{\chi})$ is the Gauss sum associated to $\bar{\chi}$. Hence the m -sum in (4) transforms into

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} T &:= T(a, q, x, g) \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^\infty \lambda_g(m) \chi(m) e\left(\frac{-am}{q}\right) e(-mx) h^*\left(\frac{m}{N}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\tau(\bar{\chi})} \sum_{\beta \pmod{p}} \bar{\chi}(\beta) \sum_{m=1}^\infty \lambda_g(m) e\left(\frac{(\beta q - ap)m}{pq}\right) e(-mx) h^*\left(\frac{m}{N}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Now let us consider $c = ap - \beta q$ so that $(c, pq) = 1$. Now applying the Voronoi summation formula to S_2 with the modulus pq and $g(m) = e(-mx) h^*\left(\frac{m}{N}\right)$, we arrive at

$$(10) \quad T = \frac{1}{\tau(\bar{\chi})pq} \sum_{\beta \pmod{p}}^* \bar{\chi}(\beta) \sum_{m=1}^\infty \lambda_g(m) e\left(\frac{\bar{c}m}{pq}\right) u(m),$$

where

$$(11) \quad u(m) = \int_0^\infty h^*\left(\frac{y}{N}\right) e(-yx) J_{k_g-1}\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{my}}{pq}\right) dy.$$

Now By changing $y \mapsto Ny$ and using the decomposition,

$$J_{k_g-1}(x) = \frac{W_g(x)}{\sqrt{x}} e(x) + \frac{\bar{W}_g(x)}{\sqrt{x}} e(-x),$$

where $W_f(x)$ is a nice function, we get that,

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} u(m) &= \frac{N^{\frac{3}{4}} \sqrt{p} \sqrt{q}}{m^{1/4}} \int_0^\infty h^*(y) e\left(Nxy \pm \frac{4\pi}{pq} \sqrt{Nmy}\right) W_g\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{Nmy}}{pq}\right) dy \\ &:= \frac{N^{\frac{3}{4}} \sqrt{pq}}{m^{1/4}} I_2(q, m, x). \end{aligned}$$

Here note the abuse of notation as the weight function h^* appearing above is different from the one we started with, which also satisfies $h^{*(j)}(x) \ll_{j, k_f} \frac{1}{x^j}$ and also $\text{supp}(h^*) \subset [1/2, 5/2]$. Now using integrating by parts, we have

$$I_2(q, m, x) \ll_j 1.$$

Hence the integral $I_2(q, m, x)$ is notably small if

$$m \gg M_q = \frac{(pq)^2}{N} \asymp \frac{(pQ)^2}{N} := M_0.$$

Now plugging the expression (12) of $u(m)$ into (10), we get the lemma. \square

Hence we have

Lemma 5.1.3.

$$(13) \quad \tilde{S}_x(N) = \frac{N^{3/2}}{\tau(\bar{\chi})L\sqrt{p}} \sum_{q \in \Phi} \frac{1}{q} \sum_{1 \leq n \ll N_q} \frac{\lambda_f(n)}{n^{1/4}} \mathcal{I}_1(n, x, q) \sum_{1 \leq m \ll M_q} \frac{\lambda_g(m)}{m^{1/4}} \mathcal{I}_2(q, m, x) \mathcal{C},$$

where the character sum \mathcal{C} is given by

$$(14) \quad \sum_{a \bmod q}^* \sum_{\beta \bmod p}^* \bar{\chi}(\beta) e\left(\frac{\bar{c}m}{pq}\right) e\left(-\frac{\bar{a}n}{q}\right).$$

5.2. Evaluation of the character sum. Now let us further simplify the character sum so that we get

Lemma 5.2.1.

$$(15) \quad \mathcal{C} = \tau(\bar{\chi})\bar{\chi}(m)\bar{\chi}(q^2) \sum_{\substack{d|q \\ m \equiv p^2 n \pmod{d}}} d\mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right) \text{ if } (p, q) = 1,.$$

Proof. We will analyze the character sum in two cases.

Here $(q, p) = 1$. So we have

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{C} &= \sum_{a \bmod q}^* \sum_{\beta \bmod p}^* \bar{\chi}(\beta) e\left(\frac{(-\beta q + ap)m}{pq}\right) e\left(-\frac{\bar{a}n}{q}\right) \\ &= \sum_{\beta \bmod p}^* \bar{\chi}(\beta) \sum_{a \bmod q}^* e\left(\frac{ap^2}{q}m\right) e\left(-\frac{\beta q^2}{p}m\right) e\left(-\frac{\bar{a}n}{q}\right) \\ &= \sum_{a \bmod q}^* e\left(-\frac{ap^2}{q}m\right) e\left(-\frac{\bar{a}n}{q}\right) \sum_{\beta \bmod p}^* \bar{\chi}(\beta) e\left(-\frac{\beta q^2}{p}m\right) \\ &= \tau(\bar{\chi})\chi(m)\bar{\chi}(q^2) \sum_{a \bmod q}^* e\left(\frac{ap^2m - \bar{a}n}{q}\right) \\ &= \tau(\bar{\chi})\chi(m)\bar{\chi}(q^2) \sum_{\substack{d|q \\ m \equiv p^2 n \pmod{d}}} d\mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right). \end{aligned}$$

□

6. FURTHER ESTIMATION

In this section, first we apply the Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality to the n -sum in (17) to get rid of one $GL(2)$ Fourier coefficients $\lambda_f(n)$, given below:

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{S}_x(N) &= \frac{N^{3/2}}{L\sqrt{p}} \sum_{q \in \Phi} \frac{\bar{\chi}(q^2)}{q} \sum_{d|q} d \\ &\times \sum_{\substack{1 \leq n \ll N_q \\ 1 \leq m \ll M_q \\ m \equiv p^2 n \pmod{d}}} \frac{\lambda_f(n)\lambda_g(m)}{(nm)^{1/4}} \chi(m) \mathcal{I}_1(n, x, q) \mathcal{I}_2(q, m, x). \end{aligned}$$

6.1. Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality. At first we choose the set of moduli Φ to be the product set $\Phi_1\Phi_3\Phi_4$, where Φ_i consists of primes in the dyadic segment $[Q_i, 2Q_i]$ (and coprime to p) for $i = 1, 3, 4$ and $Q_1Q_3Q_4 = Q = \sqrt{N}p^{n/2}$ with $q_1 \leq q_3 \leq q_4$. Also we pick Q_1, Q_3, Q_4 (whose optimal sizes will be determined later) so that the collections Φ_1, Φ_3, Φ_4 are disjoint. Also let $m = p^2n + dr$ so size of r becomes $\frac{M_q - p^2n}{d}$ and $q_2 = q_3q_4$ with $Q_2 = Q_3Q_4$. Letting $d = d_1d_2$ where $d_i|q_i$ for $i \in 1, 2$, (17) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{S}_x(N) &= \frac{N^{3/2}}{L\sqrt{p}} \sum_{q \in \Phi} \frac{\bar{\chi}(q^2)}{q} \sum_{d|q_1q_2} d \sum_{1 \leq r \ll \frac{M_q - p^2n}{d}} \chi(dr) \\
&\times \sum_{1 \leq n \ll N_q} \frac{\lambda_f(n)\lambda_g(p^2n + dr)}{(n(p^2n + dr))^{1/4}} \mathcal{I}_1(n, x, q) \mathcal{I}_2(q, p^2n + dr, x) \\
(18) \quad &= \frac{N^{3/2}}{L\sqrt{p}} \sum_{q \in \Phi} \frac{\bar{\chi}(q^2)}{q} \sum_{d|q_1q_2} d \sum_{1 \leq r \ll \frac{M_q - p^2n}{d}} \chi(dr) \\
&\times \sum_{1 \ll n \ll N_q} \left(\frac{\mathcal{I}_1(n, x, q) \mathcal{I}_2(q, p^2n + dr, x)}{(n(p^2n + dr))^{1/4}} \right) (\lambda_f(n)\lambda_g(p^2n + dr))
\end{aligned}$$

Now we have several cases.

Case 1. Let $d = q$. For this case we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_q &:= \frac{N^{3/2}}{L\sqrt{p}} \sum_{\substack{q \in \Phi \\ q=q_1q_2}} \frac{q\bar{\chi}(q^2)}{q} \sum_{1 \leq r \ll \frac{M_q - p^2n}{q}} \chi(qr) \\
&\times \sum_{1 \leq n \ll N_q} \left(\frac{\mathcal{I}_1(n, x, q) \mathcal{I}_2(q, p^2n + qr, x)}{(n(p^2n + qr))^{1/4}} \right) (\lambda_f(n)\lambda_g(p^2n + qr)) \\
(19) \quad &= \frac{N^{3/2}}{L\sqrt{p}} \sum_{q_2 \in \Phi_2} \bar{\chi}(q_2) \sum_{1 \leq r \ll \frac{M_q - p^2n}{q}} \chi(r) \sum_{1 \leq n \ll N_q} \frac{\lambda_f(n)}{n^{1/4}} \\
&\times \left(\sum_{q_1 \in \Phi_1} \bar{\chi}(q_1) \lambda_g(p^2n + q_1q_2r) \frac{\mathcal{I}_1(n, x, q_1q_2) \mathcal{I}_2(q, p^2n + q_1q_2r, x)}{(p^2n + q_1q_2r)^{1/4}} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Now applying Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality to (19), as the integrals $\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2$ do not oscillate, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Sigma_q| &\ll \frac{N^{3/2}}{L\sqrt{p}} \left(\sum_{q_2 \in \Phi_2} \sum_{1 \leq r \ll \frac{M_0}{Q}} \sum_{1 \leq n \ll N_0} \frac{|\lambda_f(n)|^2}{n^{1/2}} \right)^{1/2} \\
&\times \left(\sum_{q_2 \in \Phi_2} \sum_{1 \leq r \ll \frac{M_0}{Q}} \sum_{1 \leq n \ll N_0} \left| \sum_{q_1 \in \Phi_1} \bar{\chi}(q_1) \lambda_g(p^2n + q_1 q_2 r) \frac{\mathcal{I}_2(q, p^2n + q_1 q_2 r, x)}{(p^2n + q_1 q_2 r)^{1/4}} \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
(20) \quad &\ll \frac{N^{3/2}}{L\sqrt{p}} \left(Q_2 \times \frac{M_0}{Q} \times N_0^{1/2} \right)^{1/2} \\
&\times \left(\sum_{q_2 \in \Phi_2} \sum_{1 \leq r \ll \frac{M_0}{Q}} \sum_{1 \leq n \ll N_0} \left| \sum_{q_1 \in \Phi_1} \bar{\chi}(q_1) \lambda_g(p^2n + q_1 q_2 r) \frac{\mathcal{I}_2(q, p^2n + q_1 q_2 r, x)}{(p^2n + q_1 q_2 r)^{1/4}} \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
&\ll \frac{N^{3/2}}{L\sqrt{p}} \left(\frac{M_0}{Q_1} \times N_0^{1/2} \right)^{1/2} \times S_q^{1/2},
\end{aligned}$$

where for the bound in second step, we have used partial summation and the Ramanujan bound on average and

$$S_q := \sum_{q_2 \in \Phi_2} \sum_{1 \leq r \ll \frac{M_0}{Q}} \sum_{1 \leq n \ll N_0} \left| \sum_{q_1 \in \Phi_1} \bar{\chi}(q_1) \lambda_g(p^2n + q_1 q_2 r) \frac{\mathcal{I}_2(q, p^2n + q_1 q_2 r, x)}{(p^2n + q_1 q_2 r)^{1/4}} \right|^2.$$

At first let us club the variables $q_2 r \mapsto r$, we have

$$S_q = \sum_{Q_2 \leq r \ll \frac{M_0}{Q_1}} \sum_{1 \leq n \ll N_0} \left| \sum_{q_1 \in \Phi_1} \bar{\chi}(q_1) \lambda_g(p^2n + q_1 r) \frac{\mathcal{I}_2(q, p^2n + q_1 r, x)}{(p^2n + q_1 r)^{1/4}} \right|^2.$$

Now opening the absolute value square we have

$$\begin{aligned}
S_q &= \sum_{Q_2 \leq r \ll \frac{M_0}{Q_1}} \sum_{1 \leq n \ll N_0} \sum_{q_1 \in \Phi_1} \bar{\chi}(q_1) \lambda_g(p^2n + q_1 r) \frac{\mathcal{I}_2(q, p^2n + q_1 r, x)}{(p^2n + q_1 r)^{1/4}} \\
(21) \quad &\times \sum_{q'_1 \in \Phi'_1} \chi(q'_1) \lambda_g(p^2n + q'_1 r) \frac{\overline{\mathcal{I}_2(q, p^2n + q'_1 r, x)}}{(p^2n + q'_1 r)^{1/4}} \\
&= S_{q, \text{diag}} + S_{q, \text{off}},
\end{aligned}$$

where $S_{q, \text{diag}}$ is the diagonal, given by (22) and $S_{q, \text{off}}$ is the off-diagonal given by (24).

For the diagonal case, i.e., for $q_1 = q'_1$, we have

$$(22) \quad S_{q, \text{diag}} \ll \sum_{Q_2 \leq r \ll \frac{M_0}{Q_1}} \sum_{1 \leq n \ll N_0} \sum_{q_1 \in \Phi_1} \frac{|\lambda_g(p^2n + q_1 r)|^2 \left| \mathcal{I}_2(q, p^2n + q_1 r, x) \right|^2}{(p^2n + q_1 r)^{1/2}}.$$

Now changing the variables $q_1 r \mapsto r$, this reduces to,

$$(23) \quad S_{q, \text{diag}} \ll \sum_{1 \leq n \ll N_0} \sum_{Q \leq r \ll M_0} \frac{|\lambda_g(p^2n + r)|^2}{(p^2n + r)^{1/2}} \ll N_0 \times \frac{M_0}{M_0^{1/2}} = N_0 M_0^{1/2},$$

by the Ramanujan bound on average and the fact that size of $p^2n =$ size of q_1r .

For the off-diagonal case, i.e., for $q_1 \neq q'_1$, (21) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
(24) \quad S_{q, off} &\ll \sum_{1 \leq n \ll N_0} \sum_{q_1 \in \Phi_1} \sum_{q'_1 \in \Phi'_1} \chi(q'_1) \bar{\chi}(q_1) \\
&\times \sum_{Q_2 \leq r \ll \frac{M_0}{Q_1}} \lambda_g(p^2n + q_1r) \lambda_g(p^2n + q'_1r) \frac{\mathcal{I}_2(q, p^2n + q_1r, x) \overline{\mathcal{I}_2(q, p^2n + q'_1r, x)}}{(p^2n + q_1r)^{1/4} (p^2n + q'_1r)^{1/4}} \\
&\ll \sum_{1 \leq n \ll N_0} \sum_{q_1 \in \Phi_1} \sum_{q'_1 \in \Phi'_1} \\
&\times \left| \sum_{Q_2 \leq r \ll \frac{M_0}{Q_1}} \lambda_g(p^2n + q_1r) \lambda_g(p^2n + q'_1r) \frac{\mathcal{I}_2(q, p^2n + q_1r, x) \overline{\mathcal{I}_2(q, p^2n + q'_1r, x)}}{(p^2n + q_1r)^{1/4} (p^2n + q'_1r)^{1/4}} \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

Now using partial summation formula this reduces to

$$(25) \quad S_{q, off} \ll \sum_{1 \leq n \ll N_0} \sum_{q_1 \in \Phi_1} \sum_{q'_1 \in \Phi'_1} \frac{1}{M_0^{1/2}} \left| \sum_{Q_2 \leq r \ll \frac{M_0}{Q_1}} \lambda_g(p^2n + q_1r) \lambda_g(p^2n + q'_1r) \right|.$$

Now changing the variables $u := p^2n + q_1r, v := p^2n + q'_1r$ we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
(26) \quad S_{q, off} &\ll \sup_{Q+p^2N_0 \leq M \ll M_0+p^2N_0} \sum_{1 \leq n \ll N_0} \sum_{q_1 \in \Phi_1} \sum_{q'_1 \in \Phi'_1} \frac{1}{M^{1/2}} \\
&\times \left| \sum_{\substack{M \leq u, v \ll 2M \\ q'_1u - q_1v = (q'_1 - q_1)p^2n}} \lambda_g(u) \lambda_g(v) W\left(\frac{u}{M}\right) V\left(\frac{v}{M}\right) \right|,
\end{aligned}$$

where W, V are nice functions supported in $[1/2, 3]$ and take value 1 on $[1, 2]$.

Now using the Theorem 1.3 of [3] estimating the shifted convolution sum we have

$$(27) \quad \sum_{\substack{M \leq u, v \ll 2M \\ q'_1u - q_1v = (q'_1 - q_1)p^2n}} \lambda_g(u) \lambda_g(v) W\left(\frac{u}{M}\right) V\left(\frac{v}{M}\right) \ll (q'_1M + q_1M)^{1/2+\theta} \ll Q_1^{1/2+\theta} M^{1/2+\theta}.$$

where θ is given in [11], Kim-Sarnak exponent (for holomorphic cusp forms, $\theta = 0$). So (26) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}
(28) \quad S_{q, off} &\ll N_0 \times Q_1^2 \times Q_1^{1/2+\theta} M_0^\theta \\
&\ll N_0 Q_1^{5/2} (Q_1 M_0)^\theta.
\end{aligned}$$

Then from (21), (23), (26) and (27), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(29) \quad |\Sigma_q| &\ll \frac{N^{3/2}}{L\sqrt{p}} \left(\frac{M_0}{Q_1} \times N_0^{1/2}\right)^{1/2} \times \left(N_0 M_0^{1/2} + N_0 Q_1^{5/2} (Q_1 M_0)^\theta\right)^{1/2} \\
&\ll \frac{N^{3/2}}{L\sqrt{p}} \left(\frac{M_0}{Q_1} \times N_0^{1/2}\right)^{1/2} \times \left(N_0 M_0^{1/2}\right)^{1/2} \\
&\ll \sqrt{N} \times \frac{p^{1+\frac{\eta}{2}}}{Q_1^{1/2}},
\end{aligned}$$

which happens if, putting $M_0 = p^{2+\eta}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(30) \quad N_0 Q_1^{5/2} (Q_1 M_0)^\theta &\ll N_0 M_0^{1/2} \\
&\iff Q_1 \ll p^{\frac{2}{5} + \frac{\eta}{5} - \frac{12}{25}\theta(\eta+2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Case 2. Let $d = q_1$ (or q_3 or q_4). For this case we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(31) \quad \Sigma_{q_1} &:= \frac{N^{3/2}}{L\sqrt{p}} \sum_{\substack{q \in \Phi \\ q=q_1q_2}} \frac{q_1 \bar{\chi}(q^2)}{q} \sum_{1 \leq r \ll \frac{M_0 - p^2n}{q_1}} \chi(q_1 r) \\
&\times \sum_{1 \leq n \ll N_q} \left(\frac{\mathcal{I}_1(n, x, q) \mathcal{I}_2(q, p^2n + q_1 r, x)}{(n(p^2n + q_1 r))^{1/4}} \right) (\lambda_f(n) \lambda_g(p^2n + q_1 r)) \\
&\ll \frac{N^{3/2}}{L\sqrt{p}} \left(\sum_{q_2 \in \Phi_2} \frac{1}{q_2^2} \sum_{1 \leq r \ll \frac{M_0}{Q_1}} \sum_{1 \leq n \ll N_0} \frac{|\lambda_f(n)|^2}{n^{1/2}} \right)^{1/2} \\
&\times \left(\sum_{q_2 \in \Phi_2} \sum_{1 \leq r \ll \frac{M_0 - p^2n}{Q_1}} \left| \sum_{q_1 \in \Phi_1} \bar{\chi}(q_1 r) \lambda_g(p^2n + q_1 r) \frac{\mathcal{I}_1(n, x, q_1 q_2) \mathcal{I}_2(q, p^2n + q_1 r, x)}{(p^2n + q_1 r)^{1/4}} \right|^2 \right)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now applying Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality to (31), as the integrals $\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2$ do not oscillate, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Sigma_{q_1}| &\ll \frac{N^{3/2}}{L\sqrt{p}} \left(\frac{Q_2}{Q_2^2} \sum_{1 \leq r \ll \frac{M_0}{Q_1}} \sum_{1 \leq n \ll N_0} \frac{|\lambda_f(n)|^2}{n^{1/2}} \right)^{1/2} \\
&\times \left(Q_2 \sum_{1 \leq r \ll \frac{M_0 - p^2n}{Q_1}} \sum_{1 \leq n \ll N_0} \left| \sum_{q_1 \in \Phi_1} \bar{\chi}(q_1) \lambda_g(p^2n + q_1 r) \frac{\mathcal{I}_2(q, p^2n + q_1 r, x)}{(p^2n + q_1 r)^{1/4}} \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
(32) \quad &\ll \frac{N^{3/2}}{L\sqrt{p}} \left(\frac{M_0}{Q_1} \times N_0^{1/2} \right)^{1/2} \\
&\times \left(\sum_{1 \leq r \ll \frac{M_0 - p^2n}{Q_1}} \sum_{1 \leq n \ll N_0} \left| \sum_{q_1 \in \Phi_1} \bar{\chi}(q_1) \lambda_g(p^2n + q_1 r) \frac{\mathcal{I}_2(q, p^2n + q_1 r, x)}{(p^2n + q_1 r)^{1/4}} \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
&\ll \frac{N^{3/2}}{L\sqrt{p}} \left(\frac{M_0}{Q_1} \times N_0^{1/2} \right)^{1/2} \times S_{q_1}^{1/2},
\end{aligned}$$

where for the bound in second step, we have used partial summation formula and the Ramanujan bound on average and

$$S_{q_1} := \sum_{1 \leq r \ll \frac{M_0 - p^2n}{Q_1}} \sum_{1 \leq n \ll N_0} \left| \sum_{q_1 \in \Phi_1} \bar{\chi}(q_1) \lambda_g(p^2n + q_1 r) \frac{\mathcal{I}_2(q, p^2n + q_1 r, x)}{(p^2n + q_1 r)^{1/4}} \right|^2.$$

Now opening the absolute value square we have

$$(33) \quad S_{q_1} = \sum_{1 \leq r \ll \frac{M_0 - p^2 n}{Q_1}} \sum_{1 \leq n \ll N_0} \sum_{q_1 \in \Phi_1} \bar{\chi}(q_1) \lambda_g(p^2 n + q_1 r) \frac{\mathcal{I}_2(q, p^2 n + q_1 r, x)}{(p^2 n + q_1 r)^{1/4}} \\ \times \sum_{q'_1 \in \Phi'_1} \chi(q'_1) \lambda_g(p^2 n + q'_1 r) \frac{\overline{\mathcal{I}_2(q, p^2 n + q'_1 r, x)}}{(p^2 n + q'_1 r)^{1/4}}.$$

For the diagonal case, i.e., for $q_1 = q'_1$, this reduces to

$$(34) \quad S_{q_1, \text{diag}} = \sum_{1 \leq r \ll \frac{M_0 - p^2 n}{Q_1}} \sum_{1 \leq n \ll N_0} \sum_{q_1 \in \Phi_1} \frac{|\lambda_g(p^2 n + q_1 r)|^2 |\mathcal{I}_2(q, p^2 n + q_1 r, x)|^2}{(p^2 n + q_1 r)^{1/2}}.$$

Now clubbing the variables q_1, r and then changing the variables $q_1 r + p^2 n \mapsto r$, we have,

$$(35) \quad S_{q_1, \text{diag}} \ll \sum_{1 \leq n \ll N_0} \sum_{Q_1 \leq r \ll M_0} \frac{|\lambda_g(r)|^2}{r^{1/2}} \ll N_0 \times \frac{M_0}{M_0^{1/2}} = N_0 M_0^{1/2},$$

by the Ramanujan bound on average.

For the off-diagonal case, i.e., for $q_1 \neq q'_1$, (33) becomes

$$(36) \quad S_{q_1, \text{off}} \ll \sum_{1 \leq n \ll N_0} \sum_{q_1 \in \Phi_1} \sum_{q'_1 \in \Phi'_1} \chi(q'_1) \bar{\chi}(q_1) \\ \times \sum_{1 \leq r \ll \frac{M_0 - p^2 n}{Q_1}} \lambda_g(p^2 n + q_1 r) \lambda_g(p^2 n + q'_1 r) \frac{\mathcal{I}_2(q, p^2 n + q_1 r, x) \overline{\mathcal{I}_2(q, p^2 n + q'_1 r, x)}}{(p^2 n + q_1 r)^{1/4} (p^2 n + q'_1 r)^{1/4}} \\ \ll \sum_{1 \leq n \ll N_0} \sum_{q_1 \in \Phi_1} \sum_{q'_1 \in \Phi'_1} \\ \times \left| \sum_{1 \leq r \ll \frac{M_0 - p^2 n}{Q_1}} \lambda_g(p^2 n + q_1 r) \lambda_g(p^2 n + q'_1 r) \frac{\mathcal{I}_2(q, p^2 n + q_1 r, x) \overline{\mathcal{I}_2(q, p^2 n + q'_1 r, x)}}{(p^2 n + q_1 r)^{1/4} (p^2 n + q'_1 r)^{1/4}} \right|.$$

Now changing the variables $u := p^2 n + q_1 r, v := p^2 n + q'_1 r$ and using partial summation formula we arrive at

$$(37) \quad S_{q_1, \text{off}} \ll \sup_{Q_1 + p^2 N_0 \leq M \ll M_0} \sum_{1 \leq n \ll N_0} \sum_{q_1 \in \Phi_1} \sum_{q'_1 \in \Phi'_1} \frac{1}{M^{1/2}} \\ \times \left| \sum_{\substack{M \leq u, v \ll 2M \\ q'_1 u - q_1 v = (q'_1 - q_1) p^2 n}} \lambda_g(u) \lambda_g(v) W\left(\frac{u}{M}\right) V\left(\frac{v}{M}\right) \right|,$$

where W, V are nice functions supported on $[1/2, 3]$.

Now using the Theorem 1.3 of [3] for estimating the shifted convolution sum of (37) we have

$$\sum_{\substack{M \leq u, v \ll 2M \\ q'_1 u - q_1 v = (q'_1 - q_1) p^2 n}} \lambda_g(u) \lambda_g(v) W\left(\frac{u}{M}\right) V\left(\frac{v}{M}\right) \ll (q'_1 M + q_1 M)^{1/2 + \theta} \ll Q_1^{1/2 + \theta} M^{1/2 + \theta}.$$

Hence (37) reduces to

$$(38) \quad S_{q_1, \text{off}} \ll N_0 Q_1^{5/2} (Q_1 M_0)^\theta.$$

So from (33), (35), (37) we have

$$(39) \quad S_{q_1} \ll \left(N_0 M_0^{1/2} + N_0 Q_1^{5/2} (Q_1 M_0)^\theta \right) \ll N_0 M_0^{1/2},$$

by case 1 (6.1).

By similar arguments as done in Case 1 (6.1), we have from (35), (37), (39),

$$(40) \quad \begin{aligned} |\Sigma_{q_1}| &\ll \frac{N^{3/2}}{L\sqrt{p}} \times \left(\frac{M_0}{Q_1} \times N_0^{1/2} \right)^{1/2} \times \left(N_0 M_0^{1/2} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\ll \sqrt{N} \times \frac{p^{1+\frac{\eta}{2}}}{Q_1^{1/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 3. Let $d = q_2$ with $q_2 = q_3 q_4$ (or $q_3 q_4$ or $q_1 q_4$). Replacing q_1 by q_2 and q_2 by q_1 in the Case 2 (6.1), for the off-diagonal case, i.e., for $q_2 \neq q'_2$, we have from (36),

$$(41) \quad \begin{aligned} S_{q_2, off} &\ll \sum_{1 \leq n \ll N_0} \sum_{1 \leq r \ll \frac{M_0 - p^2 n}{Q_2}} \sum_{\substack{q_2 \in \Phi_2 \\ q_2 = q_3 q_4}} \chi(q_2) \lambda_g(p^2 n + q_2 r) \frac{\mathcal{I}_2(q, p^2 n + q_2 r, x)}{(p^2 n + q_2 r)^{1/4}} \\ &\times \sum_{q'_2 \in \Phi'_2} \bar{\chi}(q'_2) \lambda_g(p^2 n + q'_2 r) \frac{\mathcal{I}_2(q, p^2 n + q'_2 r, x)}{(p^2 n + q'_2 r)^{1/4}} \\ &\ll \left(\sum_{1 \leq n \ll N_0} \sum_{q_4 \in \Phi_4} \sum_{q'_2 \in \Phi'_2} \sum_{1 \leq r \ll \frac{M_0 - p^2 n}{Q_2}} \frac{|\lambda_g(p^2 n + q'_2 r)|^2}{(p^2 n + q'_2 r)^{1/2}} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\times \left(\sum_{1 \leq n \ll N_0} \sum_{q'_2 \in \Phi'_2} \sum_{q_4 \in \Phi_4} \sum_{1 \leq r \ll \frac{M_0 - p^2 n}{Q_2}} \left| \sum_{q_3 \in \Phi_3} \bar{\chi}(q_3) \lambda_g(p^2 n + q_3 q_4 r) \frac{\mathcal{I}_2(q, p^2 n + q_3 q_4 r, x)}{(p^2 n + q_3 q_4 r)^{1/4}} \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

Now changing the variables $u := p^2 n + q'_2 r$ for the first term and clubbing the variables $v := q_4 r$ for the second term we have, we arrive at

$$(42) \quad \begin{aligned} S_{q_2, off} &\ll \left(\sum_{1 \leq n \ll N_0} \sum_{q_4 \in \Phi_4} \sum_{Q_2 \leq u \ll M_0} \frac{|\lambda_g(u)|^2}{u^{1/2}} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\times \left(\sum_{1 \leq n \ll N_0} \sum_{q'_2 \in \Phi'_2} \sum_{Q_4 \leq v \ll \frac{M_0 - p^2 n}{Q_3}} \left| \sum_{q_3 \in \Phi_3} \bar{\chi}(q_3) \lambda_g(p^2 n + q_3 v) \frac{\mathcal{I}_2(q, p^2 n + q_3 v, x)}{(p^2 n + q_3 v)^{1/4}} \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\ll \left(N_0 Q_4 M_0^{1/2} \right)^{1/2} \times (S_{q_2, of2})^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

where for the last inequality we have used the Ramanujan bound on average and the partial summation formula and

$$S_{q_2, of2} := \sum_{1 \leq n \ll N_0} \sum_{q'_2 \in \Phi'_2} \sum_{Q_4 \leq v \ll \frac{M_0 - p^2 n}{Q_3}} \left| \sum_{q_3 \in \Phi_3} \bar{\chi}(q_3) \lambda_g(p^2 n + q_3 v) \frac{\mathcal{I}_2(q, p^2 n + q_3 v, x)}{(p^2 n + q_3 v)^{1/4}} \right|^2.$$

Opening the absolute value square we have

$$(43) \quad S_{q_2, of2} = Q_2 \sum_{1 \leq n \ll N_0} \sum_{Q_4 \leq v \ll \frac{M_0 - p^2 n}{Q_3}} \sum_{q_3 \in \Phi_3} \sum_{q'_3 \in \Phi'_3} \bar{\chi}(q'_3) \chi(q_3) \lambda_g(p^2 n + q_3 v) \lambda_g(p^2 n + q'_3 v) \\ \times \frac{\mathcal{I}_2(q, p^2 n + q_3 v, x)}{(p^2 n + q_3 v)^{1/4}} \frac{\overline{\mathcal{I}_2(q, p^2 n + q'_3 v, x)}}{(p^2 n + q'_3 v)^{1/4}}.$$

Now for the diagonal case $q'_3 = q_3$ using the Ramanujan bound on average and clubbing the variables $u := p^2 n + q_3 v$, we have,

$$(44) \quad S_{q_2, of2d} = Q_2 \sum_{1 \leq n \ll N_0} \sum_{Q_2 \leq u \ll \frac{M_0 - p^2 n}{Q_3}} \left| \lambda_g(u) \right|^2 \frac{1}{u^{1/2}} \\ \ll N_0 Q_2 M_0^{1/2}.$$

For the off-diagonal case $q'_3 \neq q_3$, using the partial summation formula we have,

$$(45) \quad S_{q_2, of2od} = Q_2 \sum_{1 \leq n \ll N_0} \sum_{Q_4 \leq v \ll \frac{M_0 - p^2 n}{Q_3}} \sum_{q_3 \in \Phi_3} \sum_{q'_3 \in \Phi'_3} \bar{\chi}(q'_3) \chi(q_3) \lambda_g(p^2 n + q_3 v) \lambda_g(p^2 n + q'_3 v) \\ \times \frac{\mathcal{I}_2(q, p^2 n + q_3 v, x)}{(p^2 n + q_3 v)^{1/4}} \frac{\overline{\mathcal{I}_2(q, p^2 n + q'_3 v, x)}}{(p^2 n + q'_3 v)^{1/4}} \\ \ll Q_2 \sum_{1 \leq n \ll N_0} \sum_{q_3 \in \Phi_3} \sum_{q'_3 \in \Phi'_3 \in \Phi_2^*} \left| \sum_{Q_4 \leq v \ll \frac{M_0 - p^2 n}{Q_3}} \lambda_g(p^2 n + q_3 v) \lambda_g(p^2 n + q'_3 v) \right. \\ \left. \times \frac{\mathcal{I}_2(q, p^2 n + q_3 v, x)}{(p^2 n + q_3 v)^{1/4}} \frac{\overline{\mathcal{I}_2(q, p^2 n + q'_3 v, x)}}{(p^2 n + q'_3 v)^{1/4}} \right|.$$

Now changing the variables $w := p^2 n + q_3 v$, $z := p^2 n + q'_3 v$ and using partial summation formula we arrive at

$$(46) \quad S_{q_2, of2od} \ll \sup_{Q_2 + p^2 N_0 \leq M \ll M_0} Q_2 \sum_{1 \leq n \ll N_0} \sum_{q_3 \in \Phi_3} \sum_{q'_3 \in \Phi'_3 \in \Phi_2^*} \frac{1}{M^{1/2}} \\ \times \left| \sum_{\substack{M \leq w, z \ll 2M \\ q'_3 w - q_3 z = (q'_3 - q_3) p^2 n}} \lambda_g(w) \lambda_g(z) W\left(\frac{w}{M}\right) V\left(\frac{z}{M}\right) \right|,$$

where W, V are nice functions supported on $[1/2, 3]$ with taking value 1 on $[1, 2]$.

Now using the Theorem 1.3 of [3] for estimating the shifted convolution sum of (46) we have

$$\sum_{\substack{M \leq w, z \ll 2M \\ q'_3 w - q_3 z = (q'_3 - q_3) p^2 n}} \lambda_g(w) \lambda_g(z) W\left(\frac{w}{M}\right) V\left(\frac{z}{M}\right) \ll (q'_3 M + q_3 M)^{1/2 + \theta} \ll Q_3^{1/2 + \theta} M^{1/2 + \theta}.$$

Hence (46) reduces to

$$(47) \quad S_{q_2, of2od} \ll N_0 Q_2 Q_3^{5/2} (Q_3 M_0)^\theta.$$

So from (43), (45), (45), (46) we have

$$(48) \quad S_{q_2, of2} \ll \left(N_0 Q_2 M_0^{1/2} + N_0 Q_2 Q_3^{5/2} (Q_3 M_0)^\theta \right) \ll N_0 Q_2 M_0^{1/2},$$

where we will take $Q_3 \ll p^{\frac{2}{5} + \frac{\eta}{5} - \frac{12}{25}\theta(\eta+2)}$.

Then from (32) and (42), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(49) \quad |\Sigma_{q_2}| &\ll \frac{N^{3/2}}{L\sqrt{p}} \times \left(\frac{M_0}{Q_2} \times N_0^{1/2}\right)^{1/2} \times \left(N_0 M_0^{1/2} + \left(\left(N_0 Q_4 M_0^{1/2}\right)^{1/2} \times \left(N_0 Q_2 M_0^{1/2}\right)^{1/2}\right)\right)^{1/2} \\
&\ll\ll \frac{N^{3/2}}{L\sqrt{p}} \times \left(\frac{M_0}{Q_2} \times N_0^{1/2}\right)^{1/2} \times \left(N_0 M_0^{1/2} Q_4^{1/2} Q_2^{1/2}\right)^{1/2} \\
&\ll \frac{N^{3/2}}{L\sqrt{p}} \times \frac{M_0^{3/4} N_0}{Q_3^{1/4}} \\
&\ll \sqrt{N} \times \frac{p^{1+\frac{3\eta}{4}}}{Q_3^{1/4}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Case 4. Let $d = 1$. For this case we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(50) \quad \Sigma_1 &:= \frac{N^{3/2}}{L\sqrt{p}} \sum_{q \in \Phi} \frac{\bar{\chi}(q^2)}{q} \sum_{1 \leq r \ll M_q - p^2 n} \chi(r) \\
&\times \sum_{1 \leq n \ll N_q} \left(\frac{\mathcal{I}_1(n, x, q) \mathcal{I}_2(q, p^2 n + r, x)}{(n(p^2 n + r))^{1/4}} \right) (\lambda_f(n) \lambda_g(p^2 n + r)) \\
&= \frac{N^{3/2}}{L\sqrt{p}} \sum_{q \in \Phi} \frac{\bar{\chi}(q^2)}{q} \sum_{1 \leq n \ll N_q} \lambda_f(n) \left(\frac{\mathcal{I}_1(n, x, q)}{n^{1/4}} \right) \\
&\times \sum_{1 \leq r \ll M_q - p^2 n} \lambda_g(r + p^2 n) \chi(r + p^2 n) \left(\frac{\mathcal{I}_2(q, p^2 n + r, x)}{(p^2 n + r)^{1/4}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Now by Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality and partial summation formula and changing variables $r + p^2 n \mapsto r$, (50) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}
(51) \quad \Sigma_1 &\ll \frac{N^{3/2}}{L\sqrt{p}} \left(\sum_{q \in \Phi} \frac{1}{q^2} \sum_{1 \leq n \ll N_0} \frac{1}{N_0^{1/2}} |\lambda_f(n)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
&\times \left(\sum_{q \in \Phi} \sum_{1 \leq n \ll N_0} \left| \frac{1}{M_0^{1/4}} \sum_{r \in \mathbf{Z}} \lambda_g(r) \chi(r) W\left(\frac{r}{M_0}\right) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
&\ll \frac{N^{3/2}}{L\sqrt{p}} \times \left(\frac{1}{Q} \times N_0^{1/2}\right)^{1/2} \times \left(\frac{Q N_0}{M_0^{1/2}}\right)^{1/2} \times \left| \sum_{r \in \mathbf{Z}} \lambda_g(r) \chi(r) W\left(\frac{r}{M_0}\right) \right| \\
&\ll \frac{N^{3/2}}{L\sqrt{p}} \times \frac{N_0^{3/4}}{M_0^{1/4}} \times \left| \sum_{r \in \mathbf{Z}} \lambda_g(r) \chi(r) W\left(\frac{r}{M_0}\right) \right|,
\end{aligned}$$

where W is a nice function supported on $[\frac{1}{2}, 3]$ and equals to 1 on $[1, 2]$. Here we have used the Ramanujan bound on average.

Now consider the twisted $GL(2)$ short character sum of the right hand side of (51). For this case note that conductor $= p^2 < M_0 = p^{2+\eta}$. Also by applying the Mellin's inversion formula,

$$\sum_{r \in \mathbf{Z}} \lambda_g(r) \chi(r) W\left(\frac{r}{M_0}\right) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma} M_0^s \tilde{W}(s) L(s, f \otimes \chi) ds + O(M_0^{-A}),$$

for any $A > 0$. As the Mellin transform $\tilde{W}(s)$ decays rapidly on the vertical line, so shifting the contour to the negative side (i.e., $\sigma < 0$), and noting that $L(s, f \otimes \chi) \ll (p^2)^{\frac{1}{2}-\sigma}$, we have,

$$\sum_{r \in \mathbf{Z}} \lambda_g(r) \chi(r) W\left(\frac{r}{M_0}\right) = O\left(\left(\frac{M_0}{p^2}\right)\right) = O(p^{n\sigma}).$$

As $0 < \eta < 1$, so shifting the contour $\sigma \mapsto -\infty$, we have

$$(52) \quad \sum_{r \in \mathbf{Z}} \lambda_g(r) \chi(r) W\left(\frac{r}{M_0}\right) \ll p^\epsilon.$$

Using (52), (51) reduces to

$$(53) \quad \Sigma_1 \ll \frac{N^{3/2}}{L\sqrt{p}} \times \frac{N_0^{3/4}}{M_0^{1/4}} \times p^\epsilon \ll \sqrt{N} \frac{1}{p^{1+\frac{\eta}{2}}}.$$

7. FINAL ESTIMATION

Now from (18), (29), (40), (49) and (53) we have

$$(54) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{S}_x(N) \ll \sqrt{N} \times & \left(\frac{p^{1+\frac{\eta}{2}}}{Q_1^{1/2}} + \frac{p^{1+\frac{\eta}{2}}}{Q_1^{1/2}} + \frac{p^{1+\frac{\eta}{2}}}{Q_3^{1/2}} + \frac{p^{1+\frac{\eta}{2}}}{Q_4^{1/2}} + \frac{p^{1+\frac{3\eta}{4}}}{Q_3^{1/4}} + \frac{p^{1+\frac{3\eta}{4}}}{Q_1^{1/4}} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{p^{1+\frac{3\eta}{4}}}{Q_1^{1/4}} + \frac{1}{p^{1+\frac{\eta}{2}}} + \frac{p}{p^{\eta/2}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

For the best possible estimate let us take

$$\frac{p^{1+\frac{\eta}{2}}}{Q_1^{1/2}} = \frac{p^{1+\frac{3\eta}{4}}}{Q_3^{1/4}}$$

$$\iff Q_3 = Q_1^2 p^\eta,$$

with $Q_3 = Q_4$. So this with $Q_1 \leq Q_3 \leq Q_4$ and $Q = Q_1 Q_3 Q_4$ gives

$$Q_1^5 p^{2\eta} \ll p^{1+\frac{\eta}{2}}$$

$$\iff Q_1 \ll p^{\frac{1}{5}-\frac{3\eta}{10}}.$$

We take $Q_1 = p^{\frac{1}{5}-\frac{3\eta}{10}}$ which is compatible with the condition (30) for all $\eta > 0$, even with the best known bound for θ . Also we have from $Q_1 Q_3 Q_4 = Q = p^{1+\frac{\eta}{2}}$ we have $Q_3 = Q_4 = p^{\frac{2}{5}+\frac{2\eta}{5}}$.

So that (54) reduces to

$$(55) \quad \tilde{S}_x(N) \ll \sqrt{N} \left(p^{\frac{9}{10}+\frac{13\eta}{20}} + \frac{p}{p^{\eta/2}} \right)$$

For optimal choice of η , equating these we get $\eta = \frac{2}{23}$. Putting this in the previous equation we have

$$(56) \quad \tilde{S}_x(N) \ll \sqrt{N} p^{\frac{22}{23}+\epsilon}.$$

Hence (56) along with (1) gives the Theorem (1).

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Acharya, P. Sharma and S. K. Singh, *Aspect subconvexity for $GL(2) \times GL(2)$ L -function*. Journal of Number Theory. **240** (2022) 296-324, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnt.2022.01.011>.
- [2] A.O.L. Atkin, W-C.W. Li, *Twists of newforms and pseudo-eigenvalues of W -operators*, Inventiones Math. **48** (1978) 221–243.
- [3] V. Blomer, *Shifted convolution sums and subconvexity bounds for automorphic L -functions*, International Mathematics Research Notices, Volume 2004, Issue 73, 2004, Pages 3905–3926. <https://doi.org/10.1155/S1073792804142505>
- [4] V. Blomer, S. Jana, P. D. Nelson, *The Weyl bound for triple product L -functions*, arXiv, <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2101.12106>.
- [5] A. Ghosh, *Weyl-type bounds for twisted $GL(2)$ short character sums*, Ramanujan J (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11139-022-00664-3>
- [6] G. Harcos, P. Michel, *The subconvexity problem for Rankin–Selberg L -functions and equidistribution of Heegner points. II*. Invent. math. **163**, 581–655 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00222-005-0468-6>
- [7] H. Iwaniec and E. Kowalski, *Analytic Number Theory*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publication 53, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.
- [8] M. Jutila, *Transformations of exponential sums*, Proceedings of the Amalfi Conference on Analytic Number Theory (Maiori 1989), Univ. Salerno, Salerno, (1992) 263-270.
- [9] M. Jutila, *The additive divisor problem and its analogs for Fourier coefficients of cusp forms. I*, Math. Z. **233**(1996), 435-461; II., *ibid* **225**(1997), 625-637.
- [10] M. Jutila, Y. Motohashi, *Uniform bounds for Rankin–Selberg L -functions*, Multiple Dirichlet series, automorphic forms, and analytic number theory, 243– 256, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **75**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/pspum/075/2279941>.
- [11] H. Kim, *Functoriality for the exterior square of GL_4 and the symmetric fourth of $GL(2)$* (with Appendix 1 by D. Ramakrishnan and Appendix 2 by H. Kim and P. Sarnak), J. Amer. Math. Soc. **16** (2003), 139–183
- [12] E. Kowalski, P. Michel, and J. VanderKam, *Rankin–Selberg L -functions in the level aspect*. Duke Math. J., **114**(1):123–191, 2002.
- [13] Y. K. Lau, J. Liu, and Y. Ye, *A new bound $k^{2/3+\epsilon}$ for Rankin–Selberg L -functions for Hecke congruence subgroups*, Int. Math. Res. Pap. 2006, Art. ID 35090, 78 pp, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/IMRP/2006/35090>.
- [14] T. Meurman, *On exponential sums involving the Fourier coefficients of Maass wave forms*. J. Reine Angew. Math. **384** (1988), 192–207.
- [15] P. Michel, *The subconvexity problem for Rankin–Selberg L -functions and equidistribution of Heegner points*. Ann. Math. **160**, 185–236 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.4007/annals.2004.160.185>
- [16] R. Munshi, *Shifted convolution sums for $GL(3) \times GL(2)$* . Duke Math. J. **162** (13) 2345 - 2362, 1 October 2013. <https://doi.org/10.1215/00127094-2371416>
- [17] R. Munshi, *The circle method and bounds for L -functions- I*. Math. Ann. **358**, 389–401 (2014).
- [18] R. Munshi, *The circle method and bounds for L -functions—II: Subconvexity for twists of $GL(3)$ L -functions*. Amer. J. Math. **137**, no. 3, 791–812 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1353/ajm.2015.0018>
- [19] C. Raju, *Sub-convexity problem for Rankin–Selberg L -functions*, arXiv, <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1807.11092>.
- [20] Q. Sun, *Bounds for $GL_2 \times GL_2$ L -functions in depth aspect*, arXiv, <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2012.10835>.

ARITRA GHOSH

STAT-MATH UNIT, INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE, 203 B.T. ROAD, KOLKATA 700108, INDIA; EMAIL: ARITRAJP30@GMAIL.COM