

# One-loop beta-functions of quartic enhanced tensor field theories

Joseph Ben Geloun<sup>a,c,†</sup> and Reiko Toriumi<sup>b,‡</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Laboratoire d'Informatique de Paris Nord UMR CNRS 7030  
Université Paris 13, 99, avenue J.-B. Clement, 93430 Villetaneuse, France*

<sup>b</sup>*Okinawa Institute of Science and Technology  
1919-1 Tancha, Onna-son, Kunigami-gun, Okinawa, Japan 904-0495*

<sup>c</sup>*International Chair in Mathematical Physics and Applications  
(ICMPA-UNESCO Chair), University of Abomey-Calavi,  
072B.P.50, Cotonou, Rep. of Benin*

E-mails: <sup>†</sup>[bengeloun@lipn.univ-paris13.fr](mailto:bengeloun@lipn.univ-paris13.fr), <sup>‡</sup>[reiko.toriumi@oist.jp](mailto:reiko.toriumi@oist.jp)

## Abstract

Enhanced tensor field theories (eTFT) have dominant graphs that do not correspond to melonic diagrams of ordinary tensor field theories. They therefore describe pertinent candidates to escape the so-called branched polymer phase, the universal geometry found for tensor models. For generic rank  $d$  of the tensor field, we compute the perturbative  $\beta$ -functions at one-loop of two just-renormalizable quartic eTFT coined by  $+$  or  $\times$ , depending on their vertex weights. The models  $+$  has two quartic coupling constants  $(\lambda, \lambda_+)$ , and two 2-point couplings (mass,  $Z_a$ ). Meanwhile, the model  $\times$  has two quartic coupling constants  $(\lambda, \lambda_\times)$  and three 2-point couplings (mass,  $Z_a, Z_{2a}$ ). At all orders, both models have a constant wave function renormalization:  $Z = 1$  and therefore no anomalous dimension. Despite such peculiar behavior, both models acquire nontrivial radiative corrections for the coupling constants. The RG flow of the model  $+$  exhibits neither asymptotic freedom nor the ordinary Landau ghost of  $\phi_4^4$  model:  $\lambda_+$  is a fixed point and  $\lambda$  has linear behavior in time scale  $t = \log(k/k_0)$ . In the UV, the mass behaves likewise namely is linear in  $t$ , whereas  $Z_a$  decreases exponentially towards a constant value. For the model  $\times$ , both  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda_\times$  do not flow, all remaining 2-point coupling constants are linear functions of the time scale in the UV.

April 28, 2023

Pacs numbers: 11.10.Gh, 04.60.-m, 02.10.Ox

Key words: Renormalization group, beta-function, tensor models, tensor field theories, quantum gravity

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Review of the renormalizable enhanced quartic TFT</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1	Enhanced TFT models . . . . .	4
2.2	Amplitudes and renormalizability . . . . .	6
2.3	An alternative enhanced TFT model . . . . .	9
<b>3</b>	<b>One-loop beta-functions of the model +</b>	<b>10</b>
3.1	Effective action . . . . .	11
3.2	4-point function and its beta-function . . . . .	14
3.3	Computing $\Gamma_2$ and $Z_a$ RG equation . . . . .	16
3.4	Self energy and mass RG equation . . . . .	18
3.5	Integration at arbitrary loops . . . . .	22
<b>4</b>	<b>One-loop beta-functions of the model <math>\times</math></b>	<b>23</b>
4.1	Effective coupling equations . . . . .	24
4.2	Self energy and mass RG equation . . . . .	24
4.3	Computing $\Gamma_{2;a}$ and $Z_a$ RG equation . . . . .	26
4.4	Computing of $\Gamma_{2;2a}$ and $Z_{2a}$ RG equation . . . . .	27
4.5	Integration at arbitrary loops . . . . .	28
<b>5</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>Euler-Maclaurin formula and Feynman amplitude approximations</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>Higher order perturbation of model +</b>	<b>36</b>
B.1	4-point function at third order . . . . .	36
B.2	$\Gamma_2$ at second order . . . . .	36
B.3	Self energy and mass corrections . . . . .	37
<b>C</b>	<b>Second order perturbation of model <math>\times</math></b>	<b>40</b>
C.1	Self energy and mass corrections . . . . .	40
C.2	$\Gamma_{2;a}$ at second order . . . . .	41
C.3	$\Gamma_{2;2a}$ at second order . . . . .	41

## 1 Introduction

In the search for a gravitational theory resulting from the random generation of geometries, the most notable success to date restricts to two dimensions [1]. The models at the base of this success are those of the celebrated random matrices. These statistical models generate simplicial complexes of dimension 2 and, therefore, discretized random surfaces. An impressive number of results pertains to these models (KPZ equation, integrable models,

string theory, ...) [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]. More recently, it has been proven [7] [8] that the continuous limit of such random surfaces provides a fluctuating spherical geometry, the Brownian sphere, endowed with the famous Liouville conformal field. Building on the success of statistical matrix models, models of random tensors [9] [10] generate discrete random geometries in higher dimensions and aim at extending these results to higher dimensions [11] [12] [13] [14]. However, their continuum limit is singular and of (Hausdorff) dimension less than 2 [15]. The generated continuous spaces belong to the so-called universal branched polymer geometry which cannot faithfully describe the geometry of our 4-dimensional space-time.

Let us take a closer look at these tensor models and their universality classes. At large size  $N$  of the tensor indices, tensor statistical models are dominated by a class of graphs called melonic diagrams or melons. They mainly contribute to the construction of the continuum limit of tensor models. Melonic diagrams define a subclass of topologically spheres and form a tree structure that characterizes the so-called branched polymer phase [16]. It is a striking feature of several tensor models that such melons dominate universally and can be studied analytically. Nevertheless, in the context of quantum gravity, this class of universal branched polymers is undesirable. We therefore aspire to escape from this branched polymer phase.

In order to improve the critical behavior of tensor models, an interesting idea then emerged: one could adjust the scale  $N$ , considering coupling constants of non-melonic interactions in such a way that a broader class of graphs, including non-melonic ones, contributes to the critical behavior of tensor models [17]. Thus, making the graphs that were previously suppressed contribute to the large limit  $N$  defines the working hypothesis now put forward. We call the models of such a program *enhanced tensor models*. Note that a model exhibiting a phase transition towards a two-dimensional geometry was found in [17]. Therefore, this already proves that enhanced tensor models produce different universality classes and phases from branched polymers. However, notably, the counterpart of these results in quantum field theory (QFT) still remains a vast territory to explore.

QFT is one of the most successful languages of modern physics. It describes with incredible precision complex systems with an infinity of degrees of freedom via the renormalization group (RG). In a different perspective from statistical models whose degrees of freedom are fixed, QFT characterized by a nontrivial propagator unfolds a model flow from microscopic (ultraviolet) to macroscopic (infrared) scales. We consider this point of view to be relevant for random geometry and quantum gravity. Indeed, if the degrees of freedom or modes of the tensor fields are geometric like spacetime quanta, and if the presence of the nontrivial kinetic term induces a flow from UV to IR governed by a given dynamics, we consider that going towards the infrared, these degrees of freedom could agglomerate and form new degrees of freedom in a way similar to a condensation phenomenon [18] [19] [20] [21] [22]. The fact that some QFT of tensor fields called Tensor Field Theories (TFTs), are asymptotically free, and therefore act like Quantum Chromodynamics, brings one more stone to this building [23] [24].

Studying TFTs requires adopting the powerful renormalization group methods of QFT to extract their critical behavior. The renormalization analysis of a TFT is complex because it is a nonlocal field theory [22] [25] [26] [27] [28] [29]. Additionally, one needs to treat the dimensions of coupling constants carefully in order to analyze their flows [30] [31] [32] [33] [34].

More recently, enhanced tensor field theories (eTFTs) have been introduced as extensions

of enhanced tensor models [35] [36]. They are proven renormalizable at all orders of perturbation theory and, for the first time, non-melonic graphs contribute to the renormalization group analysis of the coupling constants. Hence, they are crucial candidates for generating a geometric phase different from that of branched polymers and those of 2D geometries.

In this work, we take further the analysis of [35] and compute the  $\beta$ -functions of two eTFT models. One model is called  $+$  and the second model is labeled by  $\times$ . They were shown to be perturbatively just-renormalizable, at all orders, for given sets of parameters  $(d, D, a, b)$ , where  $d$  is the tensor field rank,  $D$  is the background group dimension,  $b$  parametrizes the kinetic term  $\sim p^{2b}(\phi_p)^2$ , and  $a$  determines the vertex weight  $\sim p^{2a}(\phi_p)^4$ . The  $\beta$ -function of model  $+$  is studied for generic rank  $d \geq 3$  of the tensor field and  $(D = 1, a = (d - 2)/2, b = (d - 3/2)/2)$ . The second model  $\times$  has fixed parameters  $(D = 1, d = 3, a = 1/2, b = 1)$ . Both models show exotic features compared to the ordinary TFT and ordinary scalar  $\phi^4$  QFT.

Our results are the following:

(1) In both models, the wave function renormalization is constant  $Z = 1$  and therefore the anomalous dimension is vanishing. Although this hints at a classical behavior, there is still a RG flow; the system of  $\beta$ -functions is remarkably simple and explicitly integrable at one loop; we give the solutions of all equations in terms of the so-called time scale ( $t = \log(k/k_0)$ ). Based on the power counting theorem of each model and given the type of corrections that appear, we conjecture that the system of  $\beta$ -functions of each model can be explicitly solved and the models can be constructed in the way of [37] at all orders of perturbation theory. This is a genuine feature of the present quantum models.

(2) In the model  $+$ , one quartic coupling is still running and integrates as a linear function of the time scale. There is therefore running of the coupling and an evolution. The second coupling does not flow: this was expected as there were no divergent terms associated with that coupling. For generic non vanishing couplings, this behavior is neither comparable to asymptotic freedom, to asymptotic safety, nor to Landau ghost. The model  $+$  has a mass and another 2-point coupling  $Z_a$  for a quadratic term  $p^{2a}(\phi_p)^2$  (which is not the wave function renormalization and was introduced to ensure the renormalizability of the model). They behave just like relevant operators and tend to blow up in the IR; however, at the contrary of relevant operators of ordinary QFT, the mass grows linearly in the UV.  $Z_a$  on the other hand, is suppressed in the UV and reaches a constant, so behaves just like an ordinary relevant operator in IR.

(3) Concerning the model  $\times$ , the quartic couplings do not flow for the same reason above: no divergences are associated with these couplings. Inspecting the flow of the mass and the 2-point couplings  $Z_a$  and  $Z_{2a}$  introduced to make sense of a renormalization analysis, they all behave linearly in terms of the time scale. This is again a peculiar behavior different from usual QFT and TFT models.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we review the essence of [35] and present two models named model  $+$  and model  $\times$ . In section 3, we compute the  $\beta$ -functions of the model  $+$  at 1-loop and interpret the results. The next section 4 presents the same analysis for the model  $\times$ . The conclusion in section 5 provides a summary of our work and present some perspectives. In appendix A, we detail the integral approximation techniques that we will use to tackle the spectral sums which appear in the calculation of the  $\beta$ -functions. In appendices B and C, we illustrate the divergent graphs at second order in perturbation theory to support our different conjectures.

## 2 Review of the renormalizable enhanced quartic TFT

We review here the main results of [35] introducing a class of quartic eTFTs parametrized by  $(D, d, a, b) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+$ . In the following sections, we will specify the 4-tuple dealing only with specific models.

### 2.1 Enhanced TFT models

Consider a field theory defined by a complex function  $\phi : (U(1)^D)^{\times d} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . The Fourier transform of this complex field yields a rank  $d$  complex tensor  $\phi_{\mathbf{P}}$ , with  $\mathbf{P} = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_d)$  a multi-index.  $\bar{\phi}_{\mathbf{P}}$  denotes its complex conjugate. Note that in the notation  $\phi_{\mathbf{P}}$  the indices  $p_s$  define themselves multi-indices:

$$p_s = (p_{s,1}, p_{s,2}, \dots, p_{s,D}), \quad p_{s,i} \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (1)$$

A tensor field theory action  $S$  is built by a sum of convolutions of the tensors  $\phi_{\mathbf{P}}$  and  $\bar{\phi}_{\mathbf{P}}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} S[\bar{\phi}, \phi] &= \text{Tr}_2(\bar{\phi} \cdot \mathbf{K} \cdot \phi) + \mu \text{Tr}_2(\phi^2) + S^{\text{int}}[\bar{\phi}, \phi], \\ \text{Tr}_2(\bar{\phi} \cdot \mathbf{K} \cdot \phi) &= \sum_{\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P}'} \bar{\phi}_{\mathbf{P}} \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{P}; \mathbf{P}') \phi_{\mathbf{P}'}, \quad \text{Tr}_2(\phi^2) = \sum_{\mathbf{P}} \bar{\phi}_{\mathbf{P}} \phi_{\mathbf{P}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

and where  $S^{\text{int}}[\bar{\phi}, \phi]$  is another convolution involving, in the case we are focusing on, 4 tensors.

Hence, giving  $\mathbf{K}$  and  $S^{\text{int}}[\bar{\phi}, \phi]$  entirely determines the models. For a real parameter  $b \geq 0$ , we introduce the class of kernels  $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{K}_b(\mathbf{P}; \mathbf{P}') = \delta_{\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P}'} \mathbf{P}^{2b}$  where

$$\delta_{\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P}'} = \prod_{s=1}^d \prod_{i=1}^D \delta_{p_{s,i}, p'_{s,i}}, \quad \mathbf{P}^{2b} = \sum_{s=1}^d |p_s|^{2b}, \quad |p_s|^{2b} = \sum_{i=1}^D |p_{s,i}|^{2b}, \quad (3)$$

where  $\delta_{p,q}$  denotes the usual Kronecker symbol on  $\mathbb{Z}$ . It is clear that  $\mathbf{K}_b$  represents a power sum of eigenvalues of  $d$  Laplacian operators over the  $d$  copies of  $U(1)^D$  ( $b = 1$  precisely corresponds to Laplacian eigenvalues on the torus). Seeking renormalizable theories [35], more freedom for  $b$  values, allowing even values different from integers, leads to interesting models. In ordinary quantum field theory (QFT), the restriction  $b \leq 1$  ensures the Osterwalder-Schrader (OS) positivity axiom [37, 38]. In any case,  $b$  will be set as a strictly positive real parameter with no other restriction.

We concentrate on the interaction part. Given a parameter  $a \geq 0$ , we distinguish the following quartic interactions:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}_{4;1}(\phi^4) &= \sum_{p_s, p'_s \in \mathbb{Z}^D} \phi_{12\dots d} \bar{\phi}_{1'2'3'\dots d'} \phi_{1'2'3'\dots d'} \bar{\phi}_{12'3'\dots d'}, \\ \text{Tr}_{4;1}([p^{2a} + p'^{2a}]\phi^4) &= \sum_{p_s, p'_s \in \mathbb{Z}^D} \left( |p_1|^{2a} + |p'_1|^{2a} \right) \phi_{12\dots d} \bar{\phi}_{1'2'3'\dots d'} \phi_{1'2'3'\dots d'} \bar{\phi}_{12'3'\dots d'}, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

$$= 2 \sum_{p_s, p'_s \in \mathbb{Z}^D} |p_1|^{2a} \phi_{12\dots d} \bar{\phi}_{1'2'3'\dots d'} \phi_{1'2'3'\dots d'} \bar{\phi}_{12'3'\dots d'} = 2 \text{Tr}_{4;1}(p^{2a} \phi^4), \quad (5)$$

$$\text{Tr}_{4;1}([p^{2a} p'^{2a}] \phi^4) = \sum_{p_s, p'_s \in \mathbb{Z}^D} \left( |p_1|^{2a} |p'_1|^{2a} \right) \phi_{12\dots d} \bar{\phi}_{1'2'3'\dots d'} \phi_{1'2'3'\dots d'} \bar{\phi}_{12'3'\dots d'}. \quad (6)$$

where the notation  $\phi_{12\dots d}$  stands for  $\phi_{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_d} = \phi_{\mathbf{P}}$ . In the above equations (4), (5) and (6), the color index 1 plays a special role. The sum over all possible color configurations delivers colored symmetric interactions:

$$\text{Tr}_4(\phi^4) := \text{Tr}_{4;1}(\phi^4) + \text{Sym}(1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow d), \quad (7)$$

$$\text{Tr}_4(p^{2a} \phi^4) := \text{Tr}_{4;1}(p^{2a} \phi^4) + \text{Sym}(1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow d), \quad (8)$$

$$\text{Tr}_4([p^{2a} p'^{2a}] \phi^4) := \text{Tr}_{4;1}([p^{2a} p'^{2a}] \phi^4) + \text{Sym}(1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow d). \quad (9)$$

One might consider the momentum weights in the above interactions as derivative couplings for particular choices of  $a$ . We look for just renormalizable models in our theory space, and then will constrain  $a$  *a-posteriori* to some particular values. It turns out that the two interactions (8) and (9) generate two new 2-point diverging graphs that neither the mass nor the wave function renormalization can absorb. They are of the form:

$$\text{Tr}_2(p^{2\xi} \phi^2) = \text{Tr}_2(\bar{\phi} \cdot \mathbf{K}_\xi \cdot \phi), \quad \xi = a, 2a, \quad (10)$$

In [35], the authors handled these by adding them in kinetic term, in addition to the former term  $\text{Tr}_2(p^{2b} \phi^2)$ . The models that proves renormalizable have the following kinetic terms and interactions:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{model } + : \quad S_+^{\text{int}}[\bar{\phi}, \phi] &= \frac{\lambda}{2} \text{Tr}_4(\phi^4) + \frac{\lambda_+}{2} \text{Tr}_4(p^{2a} \phi^4) + CT_2[\bar{\phi}, \phi] + \sum_{\xi=a,b} CT_{2;\xi}[\bar{\phi}, \phi] \\ S_+^{\text{kin}}[\bar{\phi}, \phi] &= \sum_{\xi=a,b} Z_\xi \text{Tr}_2(p^{2\xi} \phi^2) + \mu \text{Tr}_2(\phi^2), \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{model } \times : \quad S_\times^{\text{int}}[\bar{\phi}, \phi] &= \frac{\lambda}{2} \text{Tr}_4(\phi^4) + \frac{\lambda_\times}{2} \text{Tr}_4([p^{2a} p'^{2a}] \phi^4) + CT_2[\bar{\phi}, \phi] + \sum_{\xi=a,2a,b} CT_{2;\xi}[\bar{\phi}, \phi], \\ S_\times^{\text{kin}}[\bar{\phi}, \phi] &= \sum_{\xi=a,2a,b} Z_\xi \text{Tr}_2(p^{2\xi} \phi^2) + \mu \text{Tr}_2(\phi^2) \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where  $\lambda$ ,  $\lambda_+$ ,  $\lambda_\times$  are coupling constants,  $CT_{(\cdot)}$  are counterterms,  $\mu$  is the mass coupling,  $Z_a$  and  $Z_{2a}$  are other kinetic term couplings, and  $Z_b$  will be called the wave function renormalization. Note that we could be also interested in a model  $\lambda = \lambda_+$ , in which case these couplings merge in a single one. We will address this after the extraction of the  $\beta$ -function.

Another important issue is the following: the choice of modifying the covariance of the theory using a kinetic term as  $\sum_\xi Z_\xi \text{Tr}_2(p^{2\xi} \phi^2) + \mu \text{Tr}_2(\phi^2)$  make computations lengthier. Indeed, the analysis of the amplitude could be made easier by keeping a kinetic term as  $Z_b \text{Tr}_2(p^{2b} \phi^2) + \mu \text{Tr}_2(\phi^2)$  and let the extra term  $Z_a \text{Tr}_2(p^{2a} \phi^2)$  to be part of the interaction. This makes the covariance much simpler. We will show that both models share the same power counting and the renormalization analysis applies equally well on both. The  $\beta$ -function will be computed with the second kind of models (with simpler covariance).

## 2.2 Amplitudes and renormalizability

The models  $+$  and  $\times$  associated with the actions given by (11) and (12), respectively, give the quantum models determined by the partition function

$$Z_{\bullet} = \int d\nu_{C_{\bullet}}(\bar{\phi}, \phi) e^{-S_{\bullet}^{\text{int}}[\bar{\phi}, \phi]}, \quad (13)$$

where  $\bullet = +, \times$ , and  $d\nu_{C_{\bullet}}(\bar{\phi}, \phi)$  is a field Gaussian measure with covariance  $C_{\bullet}$  given by the inverse of the kinetic term:

$$C_{\bullet}(\mathbf{P}; \mathbf{P}') = \tilde{C}_{\bullet}(\mathbf{P}) \delta_{\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P}'}, \quad \tilde{C}_{\bullet}(\mathbf{P}) = \frac{1}{\sum_{\xi} \mathbf{P}^{2\xi} + \mu}. \quad (14)$$

where, if  $\bullet = +$ ,  $\xi = a, b$  and if  $\bullet = \times$ ,  $\xi = a, 2a, b$ .

**Feynman graphs in TFTs.** Feynman graphs of TFTs and enhanced TFTs have two equivalent representations. One is called “stranded graph” representation and incorporates more details of the structure of the Feynman graph. The other representation of a Feynman graph in this theory is a bipartite colored graph [39, 40].

The propagator is drawn as a set of  $d$  non-intersecting segments called strands, or as a dotted line (see Figure 1).




Figure 1: Two ways of representing the propagator of the theory.

In Figure 2, an interaction is pictured as a stranded vertex (pictures above) or by a  $d$ -regular colored bipartite graph (pictures below). We list therein all possible quadratic and quartic vertices and a bold line represents a momentum weight of the term.




Figure 2: Rank  $d$  vertices of the mass,  $\phi^2$ - and  $\phi^4$ -terms.

In Figure 3, we give some examples of two 4-pt graphs.




Figure 3: Rank  $d = 3$  Feynman graphs.

At the perturbative level, we compute the amplitude of a Feynman graph  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{L})$  with set of vertices  $\mathcal{V}$  and set of propagator lines  $\mathcal{L}$ , in the standard way:

$$A_{\mathcal{G}}(\{p_{\text{ext}}\}) = \sum_{\mathbf{P}_v} \prod_{l \in \mathcal{L}} C_{\bullet, l}(\mathbf{P}_v, \mathbf{P}'_v) \prod_{v \in \mathcal{V}} (-\mathbf{V}_v(\mathbf{P}_v)) \quad (15)$$

where  $C_{\bullet, l}$  is a propagator with line index  $l$ ,  $\mathbf{V}_v(\mathbf{P}_v)$  is a given vertex weight that contains a coupling constant but also a momentum weight if the vertex  $v$  is enhanced. The sum is performed over internal momenta and will follow the ordinary momentum routine whereas the set  $\{p_{\text{ext}}\}$  defines external momenta that are not summed over.

Some notation is needed to distinguish the different vertices and their weight that we shall deal with:

- the set  $\mathcal{V}_{4;s}$  of vertices  $\text{Tr}_{4;s}(\phi^4)$  with color  $s$  and with kernel  $\mathbf{V}_{4;s}$ ,  $\mathcal{V}_4 = \sqcup_{s=1}^d \mathcal{V}_{4;s}$  (disjoint union notation);
- the set  $\mathcal{V}_{+;4;s}$  of vertices  $\text{Tr}_{4;s}(p^{2a} \phi^4)$  with color  $s$  and with vertex kernel  $\mathbf{V}_{+;4;s}$ ,  $\mathcal{V}_{+;4} = \sqcup_{s=1}^d \mathcal{V}_{+;4;s}$ ;
- the set  $\mathcal{V}_{\times;4;s}$  of vertices  $\text{Tr}_{4;s}([p^{2a} p'^{2a}] \phi^4)$  with vertex kernel  $\mathbf{V}_{\times;4;s}$ ,  $\mathcal{V}_{\times;4} = \sqcup_{s=1}^d \mathcal{V}_{\times;4;s}$ ;
- the set  $\mathcal{V}_2$  of mass vertices with kernel  $\mathbf{V}_2$ , the set  $\mathcal{V}_{2;\xi;s}$  of vertices  $Z_{\xi} \text{Tr}_2(p^{2\xi} \phi^2)$  each kind corresponding to a kernel  $\mathbf{V}_{2;\xi;s}$ ,  $\mathcal{V}_{2;s} = \cup_{\xi} \mathcal{V}_{2;\xi;s}$ .

We denote the cardinalities  $|\mathcal{V}_{4;s}| = V_{4;s}$ ,  $|\mathcal{V}_4| = V_4$ ,  $|\mathcal{V}_{\bullet;4;s}| = V_{\bullet;4;s}$ ,  $|\mathcal{V}_{\bullet;4}| = V_{\bullet;4}$ ,  $\bullet = +, \times$ ;  $|\mathcal{V}_{2;\xi;s}| = V_{2;\xi;s}$ ,  $V_{2;\xi} = \sum_s V_{2;\xi;s}$ . Then,  $\mathcal{V} = \sqcup_{s=1}^d (\mathcal{V}_{4;s} \cup \mathcal{V}_{\bullet;4;s} \cup \mathcal{V}_{2;s})$ ,  $|\mathcal{V}| = V$ .

**Power counting theorems and list of divergent graphs.** We restrict now to primitively divergent graphs. Given  $a \leq b$ , the degree of divergence of a graph amplitude of the model  $+$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{d,+}(\mathcal{G}) = & \\ & - \frac{2D}{(d-1)!} (\omega(\mathcal{G}_{\text{color}}) - \omega(\partial\mathcal{G})) - D(C_{\partial\mathcal{G}} - 1) - \frac{1}{2} [(D(d-1) - 2b)N_{\text{ext}} - 2D(d-1)] \\ & + \frac{1}{2} [-2D(d-1) + (D(d-1) - 2b)n] \cdot V + 2a\rho_+ + 2a\rho_{2;a} + 2b\rho_{2;b}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$



where  $\omega(\mathcal{G}_{\text{color}})$  is the Gurau degree [41, 42] of the extended colored graph  $\mathcal{G}_{\text{color}}$  of  $\mathcal{G}$ ,  $\partial\mathcal{G}$  is the boundary graph of  $\mathcal{G}$  [22],  $C_{\partial\mathcal{G}}$  is the number of connected components of  $\partial\mathcal{G}$ ,  $N_{\text{ext}}$  is the number of external legs of the diagram  $\mathcal{G}$ ,  $n \cdot V = \sum_k kV_k$  where  $k$  is the valence of the vertex  $V_k$ ,  $\rho_+$  is the number of times that a momentum is enhanced passing through a strand of an enhanced vertex  $\mathcal{V}_{\bullet,4}$ ; likewise  $\rho_{2;\xi}$ ,  $\xi = a, b$  is the number of times that a momentum gets an enhancement passing through a vertex  $\mathcal{V}_{2;\xi;s}$ .

Concerning the model  $\times$ , one obtains the degree of divergence in this model valid for  $3a \leq 2b$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{d;\times}(\mathcal{G}) = & -\frac{2D}{(d^-)!}(\omega(\mathcal{G}_{\text{color}}) - \omega(\partial\mathcal{G})) - D(C_{\partial\mathcal{G}} - 1) - \frac{1}{2}[(Dd^- - 2b)N_{\text{ext}} - 2Dd^-] \\ & + \frac{1}{2}[-2Dd^- + (Dd^- - 2b)n] \cdot V + 2a\rho_{\times} + \sum_{\xi=a,2a,b} 2\xi\rho_{2;\xi}. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

The following statement has been proved in [35].

**Proposition 1** (List of primitively divergent graphs for the model  $+$ ). *The  $p^{2a}\phi^4$ -model  $+$  with parameters  $a = D(d^- - 1)/2$ ,  $b = D(d^- - \frac{1}{2})/2$  for two integers  $d > 2$  and  $D > 0$ , has primitively divergent graphs with  $(\Omega(\mathcal{G}) = \omega(\mathcal{G}_{\text{color}}) - \omega(\partial\mathcal{G}))$ :*

$\mathcal{G}$	$N_{\text{ext}}$	$V_2$	$V_{2;a}$	$V_4$	$\rho_+$	$C_{\partial\mathcal{G}} - 1$	$\Omega(\mathcal{G})$	$\omega_{d;+}(\mathcal{G})$
	4	0	0	0	$V_{+;4}$	0	1	0
I	2	0	0	0	$V_{+;4}$	0	1	$\frac{D}{2}$
II	2	0	0	0	$V_{+;4} - 1$	0	0	$\frac{D}{2}$
III	2	0	0	1	$V_{+;4}$	0	0	$\frac{D}{2}$
IV	2	0	1	0	$V_{+;4}$	0	1	0
V	2	0	1	0	$V_{+;4} - 1$	0	0	0
VI	2	0	1	1	$V_{+;4}$	0	0	0

Table 1: List of primitively divergent graphs of the  $p^{2a}\phi^4$ -model  $+$ .

Having a look at Table 1 and the row labeled by  $\Omega(\mathcal{G})$ , we see that the dominant graphs are not those labeled by  $\Omega(\mathcal{G}) = 0$  which are the melonic diagrams, but are those that are  $\Omega(\mathcal{G}) = 1$  and thus non-melonic graphs. This shows that the model  $+$  delivers the expected output.

**Theorem 1.** *The  $p^{2a}\phi^4$  model  $+$  with parameters  $a = D(d^- - 1)/2$ ,  $b = D(d^- - \frac{1}{2})/2$  for arbitrary rank  $d \geq 3$  and dimension  $D > 0$  with action defined by (2) is just-renormalizable at all orders of perturbation theory.*

**Proposition 2** (List of primitively divergent graphs for the model  $\times$ ). *The  $p^{2a}\phi^4$ -model  $\times$  with parameters  $D = 1$ ,  $d = 3$ ,  $a = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $b = 1$ , has the following primitively divergent graphs which obey  $(\Omega(\mathcal{G}) = \omega(\mathcal{G}_{\text{color}}) - \omega(\partial\mathcal{G}))$*

$\mathcal{G}$	$N_{\text{ext}}$	$V_2$	$V_{2;a}$	$V_4$	$\rho_{\times}$	$C_{\partial\mathcal{G}} - 1$	$\Omega(\mathcal{G})$	$\omega_{\text{d};\times}(\mathcal{G})$
I	2	0	0	0	$2V_{\times;4} - 1$	0	1	0
II	2	0	0	0	$2V_{\times;4} - 2$	0	0	0
III	2	0	0	1	$2V_{\times;4}$	0	0	0

Table 2: List of primitively divergent graphs of the  $p^{2a}\phi^4$ -model  $\times$ .

**Theorem 2.** *The  $p^{2a}\phi^4$  model  $\times$  with parameters  $D = 1, d = 3, a = \frac{1}{2}, b = 1$ , with the action defined by (2) is renormalizable at all orders of perturbation.*

This model  $\times$  has an unexpected behavior: it does not have divergent 4pt-graphs, only log-divergent 2pt-graphs. One may ask if this is a super-renormalizable model with a finite number of divergent graphs. The answer is no because the model has infinite terms participating in the mass flow. The property can be regarded as a particularity entailed by both the nonlocality and the presence of enhanced vertices which make the mass behave like a marginal coupling.

### 2.3 An alternative enhanced TFT model

As stated previously, the presence of the enhanced vertices generate new 2pt-terms with external data following the pattern of  $\text{Tr}_2(p^{2a}\phi^2)$  for the model  $+$ , and the patterns of  $\text{Tr}_2(p^{2a}\phi^2)$  and  $\text{Tr}_2(p^{4a}\phi^2)$  for the model  $\times$ . Consequently, in [35], the authors have modified the covariance and performed the renormalization analysis. We present here an alternative way of dealing with these terms that make the whole simpler. We simply demand that the new terms are interactions and therefore propose the following models: (in the following, we use the same notation as in the previous sections as no confusion may arise)

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{model } + : \quad S_+^{\text{int}}[\bar{\phi}, \phi] &= \frac{\lambda}{2} \text{Tr}_4(\phi^4) + \frac{\lambda_+}{2} \text{Tr}_4(p^{2a}\phi^4) + Z_a \text{Tr}_2(p^2\phi^2) \\
&\quad + CT_2[\bar{\phi}, \phi] + CT_{2;b}[\bar{\phi}, \phi] \\
S_+^{\text{kin}}[\bar{\phi}, \phi] &= Z_b \text{Tr}_2(p^{2b}\phi^2) + \mu \text{Tr}_2(\phi^2), \tag{18}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{model } \times : \quad S_{\times}^{\text{int}}[\bar{\phi}, \phi] &= \frac{\lambda}{2} \text{Tr}_4(\phi^4) + \frac{\lambda_{\times}}{2} \text{Tr}_4([p^{2a}p^{2a}]\phi^4) + \sum_{\xi=a,2a} Z_{\xi} \text{Tr}_2(p^{2\xi}\phi^2) \\
&\quad + CT_2[\bar{\phi}, \phi] + CT_{2;b}[\bar{\phi}, \phi], \\
S_{\times}^{\text{kin}}[\bar{\phi}, \phi] &= Z_b \text{Tr}_2(p^{2b}\phi^2) + \mu \text{Tr}_2(\phi^2) \tag{19}
\end{aligned}$$

Considering this proposal, the covariance of these models is unique and given by

$$C(\mathbf{P}; \mathbf{P}') = \tilde{C}(\mathbf{P}) \delta_{\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P}'}, \quad \tilde{C}(\mathbf{P}) = \frac{1}{\mathbf{P}^{2b} + \mu}. \tag{20}$$

The difference between this propagator (20) and the former (14) is that, for a given strand, the momentum which was previously  $\sum_{\xi} p_s^{2\xi}$  becomes  $p_s^{2b}$ . The multiscale analysis of [35]

with appropriate parameter  $M^{2b}$  ( $M > 1$ ) can be mimicked with no difficulty to obtain the sliced propagator as

$$C_0 \leq K, \quad C_i(\mathbf{P}) \leq KM^{2bi} e^{\delta M^{-bi}(\mathbf{P}^b + \mu)} \quad (21)$$

for  $i > 0$  a high slice index, and for some constant  $K$  and  $\delta$ .

To write the multiscale amplitude where each propagator lives in an arbitrary slice is identical to the previous analysis [35] for both the model  $+$  and the model  $\times$ . We need a convenient bound on that quantity. One should arrive at the expression of a sliced amplitude according to a momentum attribution  $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (i_1, \dots, i_{|\mathcal{L}|})$ :

$$|A_{\mathcal{G}; \boldsymbol{\mu}}| \leq \tilde{K} \prod_{l \in \mathcal{L}} M^{-2bi_l} \sum_{p_{f_s}} \prod_{f_s \in F_{\text{int}}} e^{-\delta(\sum_{l \in f_s} M^{-bi_l})|p_{f_s}^b|} \prod_{i=1}^d \prod_{v_s \in \mathcal{V}_{\bullet, 4; s}} \text{weight}(v_s) \quad (22)$$

where  $\tilde{K}$  is a constant, and  $\text{weight}(v_s)$  is self-explanatory and is identical to the former case.

The rest of the analysis consists in performing the spectral sums  $\sum_{p_{f_s}}(\cdot)$ . A close inspection of the method introduced in [35] shows that one condition is imposed and gets rid of  $a$  at leading order. The parameter  $a$  does not contribute to the sum. We have at  $a \geq 0$ :

$$\sum_{p_1, \dots, p_D=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{l=1}^D p_l^c \right)^n e^{-B(p^b + p^a)} = kB^{-\frac{cn+D}{b}} e^{-B^{1-\frac{c}{b}}} (1 + \mathcal{O}(B^{\frac{1}{b}})) \quad (23)$$

Requesting  $a \leq b$  for the model  $+$  and  $3a \leq 2b$  for the model  $\times$  removes the parameter  $a$  from the expansion at leading order in  $B$ . Then, the remaining part of the momentum integration exactly performs in the same way. We therefore reach the same power counting, the same list of divergent graphs, Propositions 1 and 2 are valid for the models  $+$  and  $\times$ , respectively. We perform the same subtraction procedure making the models (18) and (19) renormalizable at all orders of perturbation theory. Theorems 1 and 2 hold for the models  $+$  and  $\times$ , respectively.

From this point onwards, we consider the models (18) and (19). To simplify the determination of the graph combinatorial factors, we will distinguish all couplings by providing them with colors. For instance, for the model  $+$ , we write:

$$S_+^{\text{int}}[\bar{\phi}, \phi] := \sum_{c=1}^d \frac{\lambda^{(c)}}{2} \text{Tr}_{4;c}(\phi^4) + \sum_{c=1}^d \frac{\lambda_+^{(c)}}{2} \text{Tr}_{4;c}(p^{2a} \phi^4) + \sum_{c=1}^d Z_a^{(c)} \text{Tr}_{2;c}(p^{2a} \phi^2). \quad (24)$$

In the end, the  $\beta$ -functions of  $\lambda$ ,  $\lambda_+$ , and  $Z_a$  will be directly inferred by letting  $\lambda^{(c)} \rightarrow \lambda$ ,  $\lambda_+^{(c)} \rightarrow \lambda_+$  and  $Z_a^{(c)} \rightarrow Z_a$ . The same will be done for the model  $\times$ .

### 3 One-loop beta-functions of the model $+$

This section now addresses a first set of new results in this contribution: we determine the RG flow at 1-loop of the model  $+$ . We compute the  $\beta$ -function of the coupling  $\lambda$ , the coupling  $Z_a$  and the mass. Since, the action contains a vertex weight and an extra quadratic coupling, we carefully carry out the formalism of finding the effective action and write the resulting RG flow equations.

### 3.1 Effective action

The presence of several coupling constants in our theory urges us to handle the effective action with care. We will compute the renormalization of coupling constants via multiscale analysis. We start with performing a slice decomposition of the covariance. We let  $M > 1$  a positive real number and we define the (sharp) cutoff functions as

$$\chi^0(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 1 \leq \alpha \\ 0 & \text{if } 1 > \alpha \end{cases}, \quad (25)$$

$\forall i > 0$ ,

$$\chi^i(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \alpha \leq M^{-2bi} \\ 1 & \text{if } M^{-2bi} < \alpha \leq M^{-2b(i-1)} \\ 0 & \text{if } M^{-2b(i-1)} < \alpha \end{cases} \quad (26)$$

The presence of  $2b$  in the cutoff function is related to the propagator momentum power and has a normalization effect for the degree of divergence  $\omega_{d,+}(\mathcal{G})$ . Then we write the covariance in (14) as expressed in Schwinger parametrization,

$$C(\mathbf{P}; \mathbf{P}') = \tilde{C}(\mathbf{P}) \delta_{\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P}'}, \quad \tilde{C}(\mathbf{P}) = \frac{1}{\mathbf{P}^{2b} + \mu} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \tilde{C}_i(\mathbf{P}), \quad (27)$$

with

$$\tilde{C}_i(\mathbf{P}) = \int_0^{\infty} d\alpha e^{-\alpha(\mathbf{P}^{2b} + \mu)} \chi^i(\alpha). \quad (28)$$

We integrate out the fields at high scales greater than  $i$  and include their effects in the effective action  $W^i$ . As written below,

$$Z = \int d\nu_{C_{\leq i}}(\bar{\phi}_{\leq i}, \phi_{\leq i}) e^{-W^i(\bar{\phi}_{\leq i}, \phi_{\leq i})}, \quad (29)$$

where

$$C_{\leq i}(\mathbf{P}; \mathbf{P}') = \delta_{\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P}'} \sum_{j \leq i} \tilde{C}_j(\mathbf{P}). \quad (30)$$

Following Wilsonian renormalization group idea, after integrating up to the scale  $i$ , we continue integrating the slice  $i$  in order to obtain an effective action at scale  $i-1$ . We can do this by using the property of Gaussian measure; we can readily decompose  $C_{\leq i} = C_i + C_{\leq i-1}$  and the corresponding fields  $\phi_{\leq i} = \psi_i + \phi_{\leq i-1}$  ( $\bar{\phi}_{\leq i} = \bar{\psi}_i + \bar{\phi}_{\leq i-1}$ ). Then the partition function becomes

$$Z = \int d\nu_{C_{\leq i-1}}(\bar{\phi}_{\leq i-1}, \phi_{\leq i-1}) e^{-W^{i-1}(\bar{\phi}_{\leq i-1}, \phi_{\leq i-1})}, \quad (31)$$

where the effective action at scale  $i-1$  is given by

$$e^{-W^{i-1}(\bar{\phi}_{\leq i-1}, \phi_{\leq i-1})} = \int d\nu_{C_i}(\bar{\psi}_i, \psi_i) e^{-W^i(\bar{\psi}_i + \bar{\phi}_{\leq i-1}, \psi_i + \phi_{\leq i-1})}, \quad (32)$$

Note that here, in the case that the theory is renormalizable, one can assert the effective action at any scale  $i$  takes the same form as the interaction action,

$$W^i(\bar{\phi}_{\leq i}, \phi_{\leq i}) = S^{\text{int}}(\bar{\phi}_{\leq i}, \phi_{\leq i}), \quad (33)$$

We can, then, write formally:

$$-W^{i-1}(\bar{\phi}_{\leq i-1}, \phi_{\leq i-1}) = \text{Tr}_2(\bar{\phi}_{\leq i-1} \cdot \Sigma \cdot \phi_{\leq i-1}) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}_4(\phi_{\leq i-1}^4 \cdot \Gamma_4) + R(\phi_{\leq i-1}), \quad (34)$$

where  $\Sigma(\{p\})$  is the sum over all amputated 1PI 2-pt graphs,  $\Gamma_4(\{p\})$  is the sum of 1PI 4-pt graphs following the pattern of  $\text{Tr}_4(\phi^4)$ , and  $R(\phi_{\leq i-1})$  is the rest of the terms containing 1PR graphs (they do not contribute to the iteration process) and the finite terms. In the above equation,  $\Sigma$  and  $\Gamma_4$  are kernels that are convoluted with the tensors fields.

We separate the graph amplitudes into local and nonlocal parts, therefore,

$$\Sigma(\{p\}) = \Sigma(\{0\}) + \sum_c |p_c|^{2b} \partial_{|p_c|^{2b}} \Sigma \Big|_{\{p\}=0} + \sum_c |p_c|^{2a} \partial_{|p_c|^{2a}} \Sigma \Big|_{\{p\}=0} + \dots \quad (35)$$

As a result of [35], the renormalization analysis of the model dictated by the rows of I, III, IV, and VI in Table 1 proved that  $\partial_{|p_c|^{2b}} \Sigma \Big|_{\{p\}=0} = 0$  and the rest of the terms in  $\dots$  are finite, whereas the mass renormalization  $\Sigma(\{0\})$  and  $\partial_{|p_c|^{2a}} \Sigma \Big|_{\{p\}=0} \equiv \Gamma_2^{(c)}(\{0\})$  are divergent.  $|p_c|^{2a} \Gamma_2^{(c)}(\{p\})$  is the sum of all amputated 1PI (one-particle-irreducible) 2pt-functions following the pattern of  $\text{Tr}_2(p_c^{2a} \phi^2)$  on their boundary graphs as dictated by II and V rows of Table 1. The boundary of the graphs contributing to this function are all melonic without the  $|p|^{2a}$ -enhancement (see Proposition 1 and lines with  $\Omega(\mathcal{G}) = 0$ ).

Similarly, one can expand the contribution coming from 4-point function

$$\Gamma_4(\{p\}) = \sum_c \left\{ \Gamma_4^{(c)}(\{0\}) + |p_c|^{2a} \partial_{|p_c|^{2a}} \Gamma_4^{(c)} \Big|_{\{p\}=0} + |p_c|^{2b} \partial_{|p_c|^{2b}} \Gamma_4^{(c)} \Big|_{\{p\}=0} \right\} + \dots, \quad (36)$$

where  $\sum_c \Gamma_4^{(c)}(\{0\}) \equiv \Gamma_4(\{0\})$  is the sum of all amputated 1PI 4pt-functions following the pattern of  $\text{Tr}_4(\phi^4)$  on their boundary graphs as dictated by the first row of Table 1. We define  $\partial_{|p_c|^{2a}} \Gamma_4^{(c)} \Big|_{\{p\}=0} \equiv \Gamma_{4,+}^{(c)}(\{0\})$  which are all amputated 1PI 4pt-functions following the pattern of  $\text{Tr}_{4;c}(p^{2a} \phi^4)$ , with characteristics given by the first row of Table 1 and having a boundary with external  $|p|^{2a}$ -enhancement (see Proposition 1). In fact, from Proposition 1, there is only the leading order  $\mathcal{O}(\lambda_+)$  contribution in  $\Gamma_{4,+}^{(c)}(\{0\})$  and there are no contributions from higher orders in perturbation theory in  $\lambda_+$ . Also, from the Proposition 1, the rest denoted by  $\dots$  and  $\partial_{|p_c|^{2b}} \Gamma_4^{(c)} \Big|_{\{p\}=0}$  are finite.

Now, reorganizing and absorbing all the finite parts into  $\tilde{R}(\phi_{\leq i-1})$ , however intentionally leaving the term with  $\partial_{|p_c|^{2b}} \Sigma \Big|_{\{p\}=0}$  even though it is zero,

$$\begin{aligned} -W^{i-1}(\phi_{\leq i-1}, \bar{\phi}_{\leq i-1}) &= \Sigma_{i-1}(\{0\}) \text{Tr}_2(\phi_{\leq i-1}^2) + (\partial_{|p_c|^{2b}} \Sigma \Big|_{\{p\}=0})_{i-1} \text{Tr}_2(p^{2b} \phi_{\leq i-1}^2) \\ &+ \sum_c \Gamma_{2,i-1}^{(c)}(\{0\}) \text{Tr}_{2;c}(p^{2a} \phi_{\leq i-1}^2) + \sum_c \frac{\Gamma_{4,i-1}^{(c)}(\{0\})}{2} \text{Tr}_{4;c}(\phi_{\leq i-1}^4) \\ &+ \sum_c \frac{\Gamma_{4,+}^{(c)}(\{0\})}{2} \text{Tr}_{4;c}(p^{2a} \phi_{\leq i-1}^4) + \tilde{R}(\phi_{\leq i-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

The effective theory is then defined by a new measure given by

$$d\nu_{\tilde{C}^{i-1}(\phi_{\leq i-1})} \exp \left[ \Sigma_{i-1}(\{0\}) \text{Tr}_2(\phi_{\leq i-1}^2) + \sum_c (\partial_{|p_c|^{2b}} \Sigma|_{\{p\}=0})_{i-1} \text{Tr}_2(p_c^{2b} \phi_{\leq i-1}^2) \right], \quad (38)$$

which is still a Gaussian measure. Let us compute the new covariance for the above Gaussian measure,

$$\frac{1}{Z_{b,i-1}} \int_0^\infty d\alpha e^{-\alpha(|p|^{2b} + \mu_{\text{ren},i-1})} \chi^{i-1}(\alpha) = \frac{1}{Z_{b,i-1}} \tilde{C}^{i-1}(p), \quad (39)$$

where we defined the renormalized mass  $\mu_{\text{ren},i-1}$  to be

$$\mu_{\text{ren},i-1} = \frac{\mu_{i-1} - \Sigma_{i-1}(\{0\})}{Z_{b,i-1}}, \quad (40)$$

and the wave function renormalization to be

$$Z_{b,i-1} \equiv 1 + (\partial_{|p_c|^{2b}} \Sigma|_{\{p\}=0})_{i-1}. \quad (41)$$

Note that the color dependence on  $(\partial_{|p_c|^{2b}} \Sigma|_{\{p\}=0})$  should be actually absent. Then, the effective theory for  $\phi_{\leq i-1}$  can be written as

$$d\nu_{\frac{1}{Z_{b,i-1}} \tilde{C}^{i-1}(\phi_{\leq i-1})} \exp \left[ \sum_c \Gamma_{2,i-1}^{(c)}(\{0\}) \text{Tr}_2(p_c^{2a} \phi_{\leq i-1}^2) + \sum_c \frac{\Gamma_{4,i-1}^{(c)}(\{0\})}{2} \text{Tr}_4(\phi_{\leq i-1}^4) \right. \\ \left. + \sum_c \frac{\Gamma_{4;+,i-1}^{(c)}(\{0\})}{2} \text{Tr}_4(p_c^{2a} \phi_{\leq i-1}^4) + \tilde{R}(\phi_{\leq i-1}) \right]. \quad (42)$$

With a field rescaling  $\phi_{\leq i-1} \rightarrow \sqrt{Z_{b,i-1}} \phi_{\leq i-1}$  (which in our specific case, there is no actual rescaling because  $Z_{b,i-1} = 1$  and trivial), the effective theory for  $\phi_{\leq i-1}$  can be recast:

$$d\nu_{\tilde{C}^{i-1}(\phi_{\leq i-1})} \exp \left[ \sum_c \frac{\Gamma_{2,i-1}^{(c)}(\{0\})}{Z_{b,i-1}} \text{Tr}_{2;c}(p^{2a} \phi_{\leq i-1}^2) + \sum_c \frac{\Gamma_{4,i-1}^{(c)}(\{0\})}{2Z_{b,i-1}^2} \text{Tr}_{4;c}(\phi_{\leq i-1}^4) \right. \\ \left. + \sum_c \frac{\Gamma_{4;+,i-1}^{(c)}(\{0\})}{2Z_{b,i-1}^2} \text{Tr}_{4;c}(p^{2a} \phi_{\leq i-1}^4) + \tilde{R}(\sqrt{Z_{b,i-1}} \phi_{\leq i-1}) \right]. \quad (43)$$

Now we can identify the effective couplings at scale  $i-1$ ,

$$Z_{a,i-1} = -\frac{\Gamma_{2,i-1}^{(c)}(\{0\})}{Z_{b,i-1}}, \\ \lambda_{i-1}^{(c)} = -\frac{\Gamma_{4,i-1}^{(c)}(\{0\})}{Z_{b,i-1}^2}, \\ \lambda_{+;i-1}^{(c)} = -\frac{\Gamma_{4;+,i-1}^{(c)}(\{0\})}{Z_{b,i-1}^2}. \quad (44)$$

Note that in our case,  $(\partial_{|p_c|^{2b}} \Sigma|_{\{p\}=0})_{i-1} = 0$  therefore, throughout, we actually had

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{b,i-1} &= 1, \\
\mu_{\text{ren},i-1} &= \mu_{i-1} - \Sigma_{i-1}(\{0\}), \\
Z_{a,i-1} &= -\Gamma_{2,i-1}^{(c)}(\{0\}), \\
\lambda_{i-1}^{(c)} &= -\Gamma_{4,i-1}^{(c)}(\{0\}), \\
\lambda_{+;i-1}^{(c)} &= -\Gamma_{4+,i-1}^{(c)}(\{0\}).
\end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

In the following sections, we will compute these perturbative renormalization group flow equations restricting to 1-loop corrections. For the model +, the  $\beta$ -functions can be computed for generic parameters  $a = (d - 2)/2$ , and  $b = (d - 3/2)/2$  and  $d > 2$  but with fixed group dimension  $D = 1$ . For numerics, we will concentrate on a certain model +, specified by  $d = 3$ ,  $a = 1$ , and  $b = 3/4$ . More general equations for  $D > 1$  can be also computed with a bit more work 1.

### 3.2 4-point function and its beta-function

We will restrict the analysis by only considering up to one-loop corrections to the  $\beta$ -function in the perturbation theory. Note that, from Proposition 1,  $\Gamma_{4,+}^{(c)}(\{p\})$  only contains the first order zero-loop graph with one  $\lambda_+$  coupling with four external legs. Next, we focus on  $\Gamma_4^{(c)}(\{p\})$  and write

$$\Gamma_4^{(c)}(\{p\}) = \sum_{\mathcal{G}_{4,\ell}^{(c)}} K_{\mathcal{G}_{4,\ell}^{(c)}} S_{\mathcal{G}_{4,\ell}^{(c)}}(\{p\}), \tag{46}$$

where the sum over  $\mathcal{G}_{4,\ell}^{(c)}$  runs over a list of 4pt-graphs obeying the first row of Table 1,  $K_{\mathcal{G}_{4,\ell}^{(c)}}$  is a combinatorial factor and  $S_{\mathcal{G}_{4,\ell}^{(c)}}(\{p\})$  is a formal amplitude sum. At zero-loop, the first order graph is made of one  $\lambda$  interaction bubble with four external legs. At one-loop a single graph that we call  $n_4^{(c)}$  shown in Fig. 4 contributes. If one is further interested in two-loop contribution, see Appendix B.1.




Figure 4: One-loop divergent graph,  $n_4^{(c)}$  at  $d = 3$  contributing to the flow of  $\lambda$ .

Explicitly, at one-loop,

$$K_{n_4}^{(c)} = 2, \quad S_{n_4}^{(c)}(\{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}'\}) = \frac{1}{2!} \left( \frac{-\lambda_+^{(c)}}{2} \right)^2 \sum_{q_c} \frac{|q_c|^{2a}}{(|\mathbf{p}_{\bar{c}}|^{2b} + |q_c|^{2b} + \mu)} \frac{|q_c|^{2a}}{(|\mathbf{p}'_{\bar{c}}|^{2b} + |q_c|^{2b} + \mu)}, \quad (47)$$

where  $\mathbf{p}_{\bar{c}} = (p_1, \dots, p_{c-1}, p_{c+1}, \dots, p_d)$ , and  $|\mathbf{p}_{\bar{c}}|^{2b} = \sum_{l=1|l \neq c}^d p_l^{2b}$ .

We compile these equations and deliver the  $\beta$ -functions of the couplings up to one-loop as

$$\lambda_{\text{ren}}^{(c)} = \lambda^{(c)} - \frac{1}{4} (\lambda_+^{(c)})^2 S_0, \quad S_0 = \sum_q \frac{|q|^{4a}}{(|q|^{2b} + \mu_i)^2}, \quad (48)$$

$$\lambda_{+,\text{ren}}^{(c)} = \lambda_+^{(c)}, \quad (49)$$

where  $\lambda_{\text{ren}}$  and  $\lambda_{+,\text{ren}}$  are the obvious corresponding renormalized coupling constant. We set all couplings to  $\lambda^{(c)} = \lambda$ , and  $\lambda_+^{(c)} = \lambda_+$  to simplify these

$$\lambda_{\text{ren}} = \lambda - \frac{1}{4} (\lambda_+)^2 S_0, \quad (50)$$

$$\lambda_{+,\text{ren}} = \lambda_+. \quad (51)$$

These RG flow equations carry already a lot of information. The second equation displays the fact that the coupling  $\lambda_+$  does not run and defines a fixed point at all orders of perturbation. A second fact is that the two couplings  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda_+$  never coincide and could not be set at equal value. At the perturbative level, the model  $\lambda = \lambda_+$  is ill-defined (nonrenormalizable). Another piece of information conveyed by the first equation is that  $\lambda_{\text{ren}} < \lambda$  ( $S_0$  may be formal but is positive) which means that  $\lambda$  increases in the UV. One may ask if this leads to the ordinary Landau ghost (like the  $\phi_4^4$  theory for instance), the answer is no. Indeed, note that the second term is not a correction in  $\lambda^2$ , but rather a correction in  $\lambda_+^2$ . This is a new effect that we must investigate better.

We want to understand the qualitative feature of RG flow given by the above coupled system. In the multiscale analysis with discrete scale  $i$ , the system can be written as

$$\lambda_{i-1} = \lambda_i - \frac{1}{4} \lambda_{+,i}^2 S_{0,i}, \quad (52)$$

$$\lambda_{+,i-1} = \lambda_{+,i}, \quad (53)$$

where  $S_{0,i}$  stands for the cut-off amplitude of the formal sum  $S_0$  and formulates as

$$\begin{aligned} S_{0,i} &= \sum_q |q|^{4a} \int_0^\infty d\alpha \chi^i(\alpha) e^{-\alpha(|q|^{2b} + \mu_i)} \int_0^\infty d\alpha' \chi^i(\alpha') e^{-\alpha'(|q|^{2b} + \mu_i)} \\ &= \int_0^\infty d\alpha \chi^i(\alpha) \int_0^\infty d\alpha' \chi^i(\alpha') e^{-(\alpha + \alpha')\mu_i} \sum_q |q|^{4a} e^{-(\alpha + \alpha')|q|^{2b}}, \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

where the sharp cutoff function  $\chi^i(\alpha)$  was defined in (26). The sum over  $q$  will be handled using an integral via Euler-Maclaurin approximation (Appendix A details the following result, and we have  $S_{0,i} = \tilde{S}_{0,i}$  and therefore,  $\lambda_i = \tilde{\lambda}_i$  and  $\lambda_{+,i} = \tilde{\lambda}_{+,i}$ , where  $\tilde{\phantom{x}}$  indicates a



dimensionless quantity):

$$S_{0,i} = \frac{1}{b} \log \frac{(M^{2b} + 1)^2}{4M^{2b}} + \mathcal{O}(M^{-2bi} \log(M^{-2bi})). \quad (55)$$

We write the  $\beta$ -function for a given coupling  $g$  as  $\beta_g(k) = k \partial_k g(k)$ , where  $k$  is a momentum scale. In our present setting dealing with discrete slices (multiscale analysis), we have finite difference equations that we will turn into differential equation. The momentum scale must be compared to the slice range as  $k/k_0 \sim M^i$ , given in terms of a given momentum unit  $k_0$ . Using the so-called time scale  $t = \log(k/k_0) \sim i \log M$ , given that the coupling  $\lambda_+ = \lambda_{+,i}$  does not run and that, at leading order  $S_{0,i} = c \log M$ , where  $c > 0$ , the difference  $\lambda_{i-1} - \lambda_i$  takes the form:

$$-(\lambda_{i-1} - \lambda_i) = \frac{\partial \lambda_i}{\partial i} = \frac{1}{4} \lambda_{+,i}^2 S_{0,i}, \quad (56)$$

which can be translated into the following first order ODE

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \lambda_i}{\partial((\log M)i)} = \partial_t \lambda(t) &= -\beta_\lambda \lambda_+^2 \\ \beta_\lambda &= -\frac{1}{4b} \frac{\log \frac{(M^{2b}+1)^2}{4M^{2b}}}{\log(M)} < 0. \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

One may wonder why  $M$ , the propagator slice parameter, appears in the perturbative expansion and if this the present equation does not depend on the slicing scheme. The multi-scale analysis justifies this entirely: we are computing a flow between two scales  $\sim M^{-2bi}$ , therefore  $M$  becomes an input of our equation.

This easily integrates to give a solution:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(t) &= (-\beta_\lambda) \lambda_+^2 t + C, \quad C = cste \\ \lambda(t) &= (-\beta_\lambda) \lambda_+^2 (t - t_0) + \lambda(t_0), \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

where at some IR scale  $e^{t_0} \ll \Lambda/k_0 = e^t$ . As opposed to the usual  $\phi_4^4$  model, there is no pole in the solution at first order. This proves that this is not a Landau ghost and therefore this model is not similar to the ordinary  $\phi_4^4$  model. Furthermore, at large  $t \geq t_0$ , and for non vanishing  $\lambda_+ \neq 0$ , since  $\lambda > \lambda(t_0)$ , the bare coupling is supposedly not vanishing. We conclude that the model is not asymptotically free. This hints at an asymptotically safe model that only non perturbative calculation can make rigorous. If  $\lambda_+ = 0$ , then  $\lambda(t) = C$  and we have a fixed point. However, the enhancement disappears, both couplings  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda_+$  do not flow, all amplitudes become finite. Note that the resulting model is not the usual  $T^4$ -TFT model with only  $\lambda$  coupling and a different class of dominant graphs (melonic ones).

### 3.3 Computing $\Gamma_2$ and $Z_a$ RG equation

Now we compute the renormalization of the 2-point coupling,  $\Gamma_2^{(c)}(\{0\})$ , at fixed color  $c$ :

$$|p_c|^{2a} \Gamma_2^{(c)}(\{p\}) = \sum_{\mathcal{G}_{2;a;t}^{(c)}} K_{\mathcal{G}_{2;a;t}^{(c)}} S_{\mathcal{G}_{2;a;t}^{(c)}}(\{p\}), \quad (59)$$

where the sum is over all amputated 1PI 2-pt graphs at 1-loop whose boundaries to be in the form of  $\text{Tr}_{2;(c)}(p^{2a}\phi^2)$ .

Up to the first order in perturbation theory, we have  $\mathcal{G}_{2;a;i}^{(c)} \in \{z_a^{(c)}, m_e^{(c)}\}$ , where  $z_a^{(c)}$  is the leading order (zero-loop) graph with one  $Z_a^{(c)}$  interaction with two external legs. (Appendix B shows additional graphs up to the second order in perturbation theory.) In (35), we have identified  $\partial_{|p_c|^{2a}}\Sigma|_{\{p\}=0} \equiv \Gamma_2^{(c)}(\{0\})$  as divergent. The other contributing graphs, namely  $m_e^{(c)}$ ,  $c = 1, 2, 3$ , are divergent at first order in perturbation theory (row II of Table 1) with  $\omega_{m_e^{(c)}} = \frac{D}{2}$ .




Figure 5: Illustration of  $m_e^{(c=1)}$  for  $d = 3$  in colored (left) and stranded (right) representations.  $\{q_{\tilde{c}}\} = \{q_2, q_3\}$ ,  $|\mathbf{q}_{\tilde{c}}|^{2b} = |q_2|^{2b} + |q_3|^{2b}$ .

$$K_{m_e^{(c)}} S_{m_e^{(c)}}(\{p\}) = K_{m_e^{(c)}} \left( -\frac{\lambda_+^{(c)}}{2} \right) \sum_{\{q_{\tilde{c}}\}} \frac{|p_c|^{2a}}{(|\mathbf{q}_{\tilde{c}}|^{2b} + |p_c|^{2b} + \mu)}, \quad (60)$$

where  $K_{m_e^{(c)}} = 2$ . Putting all together, up to first order in perturbation theory,

$$|p_c|^{2a} \Gamma_2^{(c)}(\{p\}) = -|p_c|^{2a} Z_a^{(c)} - \lambda_+^{(c)} |p_c|^{2a} \sum_{\{q_{\tilde{c}}\}} \frac{1}{(|\mathbf{q}_{\tilde{c}}|^{2b} + |p_c|^{2b} + \mu)}. \quad (61)$$

$\Gamma_2^{(c)}(\{p\})$  is therefore identified easily. For general  $d$ , recalling the renormalization group equations (45) and setting all the external momenta  $\{p\} = 0$ , we obtain:

$$Z_{a,\text{ren}}^{(c)} = -\Gamma_2^{(c)}(\{0\}) = Z_a^{(c)} + \lambda_+^{(c)} \sum_{\{q_{\tilde{c}}\}} \frac{1}{(|\mathbf{q}_{\tilde{c}}|^{2b} + \mu)}. \quad (62)$$

Note that we only keep the first order in Taylor expansion in couplings. Setting the couplings independent of colors,

$$Z_{a,\text{ren}} = Z_a + \lambda_+ \sum_{\{q_1, \dots, q_{d-1}\}} \frac{1}{(|\mathbf{q}|^{2b} + \mu)}. \quad (63)$$

In the multiscale analysis language,

$$Z_{a,i-1} = Z_{a,i} + \lambda_{+,i} S_{1,i}, \quad (64)$$

where  $S_{1,i}$  is given in (75) with explicit expression given in (77), where we fixed  $D = 1$ . We recall that  $\lambda_+ = \lambda_{+,i}$  does not run. Making explicit the dimensions, we obtain the renormalization group equation for  $Z_a$  as

$$Z_{a,i-1} = Z_{a,i} + k^{1/2} \lambda_{+,i} \tilde{S}_{1,i}, \quad (65)$$

which, using  $\tilde{S}_{1,i}$  given in (A.22), gives the  $\beta$ -function for  $Z_a$ :

$$\begin{aligned} -(Z_{a,i-1} - Z_{a,i}) &= \frac{\partial Z_{a,i}}{\partial i} = -k^{1/2} \lambda_{+,i} \tilde{S}_{1,i}, \\ \frac{\partial Z_{a,i}}{\partial((\log M)i)} &= \partial_t Z_a(t) = -k^{1/2} \beta_{Z_a} \lambda_+, \\ \beta_{Z_a} &= \frac{\tilde{S}_{1,i}}{\log(M)} > 0, \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

Introducing dimensionless quantities,  $Z_a(t) = k^{1/2} \tilde{Z}_a(t)$ , the dimensionless RG equation can be written

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \tilde{Z}_a(t) &= -\frac{1}{2} \tilde{Z}_a(t) + k^{-1/2} \partial_t Z_a(t) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \tilde{Z}_a(t) - \beta_{Z_a} \lambda_+. \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

This integrates easily with respect to  $t$  and gives

$$\tilde{Z}_a(t) = c_1 e^{-t/2} - 2\beta_{Z_a} \lambda_+, \quad (68)$$

where  $c_1$  is again an integration constant. This equation just expresses the fact that  $\tilde{Z}_a(t)$  is a relevant coupling and decreases exponentially in the UV ( $t \rightarrow \infty$ ) and suppressed up to reach a constant  $-2\beta_{Z_a} \lambda_+$ . Meanwhile, in the IR ( $t \rightarrow -\infty$ ),  $Z_a(t)$  blows up as any relevant coupling.

### 3.4 Self energy and mass RG equation

Our following task is to compute the so-called self energy, which we denote by  $\Sigma_b(\{p\})$ :

$$\Sigma_b(\{p\}) = \sum_{c=1}^d \sum_{\mathcal{G}_{2,t}^{(c)}} K_{\mathcal{G}_{2,t}^{(c)}} S_{\mathcal{G}_{2,t}^{(c)}}(\{p\}), \quad (69)$$

where the first sum is broken by color  $c$  and the second sum is performed over all amputated 1PI 2pt-graphs  $\mathcal{G}_{2,t}^{(c)}$  (with color label  $c$ ) at 1-loop with boundary to be in the form of  $\text{Tr}_2(p^{2b} \phi^2)$  (hence the index  $b$  in subscript in  $\Sigma_b(\{p\})$ ).

It is noteworthy that  $\Sigma_b(\{p\})$  corresponds to the part  $\Sigma(\{0\}) + \sum_c |p_c|^{2b} \partial_{|p_c|^{2b}} \Sigma|_{\{p\}=0}$  of total self-energy function  $\Sigma(\{p\})$  in (35). Noting that the second term  $\partial_{|p_c|^{2b}} \Sigma|_{\{p\}=0} = 0$ , we only focus on the contribution  $\Sigma(\{0\})$ , namely the contribution to the mass renormalization.

The graphs we are interested in are denoted  $\mathcal{G}_{2,t}^{(c)} \in \{m^{(c)}, n^{(c)}\}_{c=1,2,\dots,d}$ , see Figures 6 and 7.

- For the graph  $m^{(c)}$ ,  $c = 1, \dots, d$ , the degree of divergence  $\omega_{d,+}(m^{(c)})$  reaches  $\frac{D}{2}$ , as shown in the class III of Table 1. In Figure 6, we display  $m^{(c)}$ , when the vertical line is color  $c$ .




Figure 6: The graph  $m^{(c)}$  in the case  $d = 3$  in colored (left) and stranded (right) representations.

The contribution to the amplitude brought by the graphs  $m^{(c)}$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{c=1}^d K_{m^{(c)}} S_{m^{(c)}}(\{p\}) &= \sum_{c=1}^d 2 \left( -\frac{\lambda^{(c)}}{2} \right) \sum_{\{q_{\bar{c}}\}} \frac{1}{(|\mathbf{q}_{\bar{c}}|^{2b} + |p_c|^{2b} + \mu)} \\ &= \sum_{c=1}^d \sum_{\{q_{\bar{c}}\}} (-\lambda^{(c)}) \frac{1}{(|\mathbf{q}_{\bar{c}}|^{2b} + |p_c|^{2b} + \mu)}, \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

where  $K_{m^{(c)}} = 2$ , for any  $c$ .

- In the second class of graphs denoted each  $n^{(c)}$ , the amplitude has divergence degree  $\omega_{d,+}(n^{(c)}) = \frac{D}{2}$ . This graph belongs to the class I in Table 1. Figure 7 shows  $n^{(c)}$  at rank  $d = 3$  with horizontal line colored by index  $c$ .




Figure 7:  $n^{(c)}$  for  $d = 3$  in colored (left) and stranded (right) representations.

The sum of Feynman amplitude associated with the graph  $n(c)$  yields

$$\sum_{c=1}^d K_{n^{(c)}} S_{n^{(c)}}(\{p\}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{c=1}^d (-\lambda_+^{(c)}) \sum_{q_c} \frac{|q_c|^{2a}}{(|q_c|^{2b} + |\mathbf{p}_{\bar{c}}|^{2b} + \mu)}, \quad (71)$$

where  $K_{n^{(c)}} = 1$  for any  $c$ .

Up to the first order in perturbation theory and evaluating at 0 external momenta, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_b(\{0\}) &= \sum_{c=1}^d \left( K_{m^{(c)}} S_{m^{(c)}}(\{p\}) + K_{n^{(c)}} S_{n^{(c)}}(\{p\}) \right) \Big|_{p=0} \\ &= \sum_{c=1}^d \left[ (-\lambda^{(c)}) \sum_{\{q_{\bar{c}}\}} \frac{1}{(|\mathbf{q}_{\bar{c}}|^{2b} + \mu)} + \frac{1}{2} (-\lambda_+^{(c)}) \sum_{q_c} \frac{|q_c|^{2a}}{(|q_c|^{2b} + \mu)} \right]\end{aligned}\tag{72}$$

Therefore, for general  $d$ , the renormalized mass equation up to the first order in perturbation is given by:

$$\mu_{\text{ren}} = \mu + \sum_{c=1}^d \left\{ \lambda^{(c)} \sum_{\{q_{\bar{c}}\}} \frac{1}{(|\mathbf{q}_{\bar{c}}|^{2b} + \mu)} + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_+^{(c)} \sum_{q_c} \frac{|q_c|^{2a}}{(|q_c|^{2b} + \mu)} \right\}.\tag{73}$$

Now assert color independence, namely  $\lambda^{(c)} = \lambda$ ,  $\lambda_+^{(c)} = \lambda_+$ , one gets

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_{\text{ren}} &= \mu + d \left( \lambda S_1 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_+ S_2 \right) \\ S_1 &= \sum_{\{q_1, \dots, q_{d-1}\}} \frac{1}{(|\mathbf{q}|^{2b} + \mu)} \quad S_2 = \sum_q \frac{|q|^{2a}}{(|q|^{2b} + \mu)},\end{aligned}\tag{74}$$

where we restrict ourselves to  $D = 1$ .

To compute the perturbative flow, we must reach dimensionless coupling equations and therefore must set up the scaling dimension of the mass coupling (note that  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda_+$  were marginal and therefore dimensionless). Following [34], the mass scaling dimension is determined by the maximal degree of divergence of the 2pt amplitudes.

We cut off the propagators, switch to dimensionful quantities, and write the sums

$$S_{1,i} = \sum_{\mathbf{q} \in k\mathbb{Z}^{d-1}} \int_0^\infty d\alpha \chi^i(\alpha) e^{-\alpha(|\mathbf{q}|^{2b} + \mu_i)},\tag{75}$$

$$S_{2,i} = \sum_{q \in k\mathbb{Z}} \int_0^\infty d\alpha \chi^i(\alpha) |q|^{2a} e^{-\alpha(|q|^{2b} + \mu_i)}.\tag{76}$$

In writing explicitly the dimensions of  $q$  and  $\alpha$  in terms of momentum scale  $k$ , (A.25) in Appendix A proves that we can approximate those as

$$S_{1,i} = k^{1/2} \left( \left( \frac{1}{b} \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2b} \right) \right)^{d-1} (2d-3) (1 - M^{-1/2}) M^{i/2} + \mathcal{O}(M^{-i/2}) \right),\tag{77}$$

$$S_{2,i} = k^{1/2} \left( 4 \Gamma \left( \frac{2(d-1)}{2d-3} \right) (1 - M^{-1/2}) M^{i/2} + \mathcal{O}(M^{-i(d-2)}) \right),\tag{78}$$

where we have set  $D = 1$  at any rank  $d$  and  $b = \frac{1}{2}(d^- - \frac{1}{2})$  given in Proposition 1. Compiling this, we obtain the following equation at leading order,

$$\mu_{i-1} = \mu_i + k^{1/2} d \left( \lambda_i \tilde{S}_{1,i} + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{+,i} \tilde{S}_{2,i} \right),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{S}_{1,i} &= k^{-1/2} S_{1,i}, \\
\tilde{S}_{2,i} &= k^{-1/2} S_{2,i},
\end{aligned}
\tag{79}$$

where we recall that  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda_+$  are marginal, therefore dimensionless in scales, and where  $\tilde{S}_{1,i}$  and  $\tilde{S}_{2,i}$  are given in (A.22) and (A.17).

We obtain the  $\beta$ -function for the mass

$$\begin{aligned}
-(\mu_{i-1} - \mu_i) &= \frac{\partial \mu_i}{\partial i} = -k^{1/2} d \left( \tilde{S}_{1,i} \lambda_i + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{S}_{2,i} \lambda_{+,i} \right), \\
\frac{\partial \mu_i}{\partial((\log M)i)} &= \partial_t \mu = -k^{1/2} (\beta_{\mu,1} \lambda + \beta_{\mu,2} \lambda_+), \\
\beta_{\mu,1} &= \frac{d}{\log M} \tilde{S}_{1,i} > 0, \\
\beta_{\mu,2} &= \frac{d}{2 \log M} \tilde{S}_{2,i} > 0.
\end{aligned}
\tag{80}$$

We switch to dimensionless quantities, fixing  $\mu = k^{1/2} \tilde{\mu}$ , where  $\tilde{\mu}$  is dimensionless in scale, therefore,  $\partial_t \mu = k \partial_k \mu = k^{1/2} (\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\mu} + \partial_t \tilde{\mu})$ . This equation becomes

$$\partial_t \tilde{\mu}(t) = -\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\mu}(t) + k^{-1/2} \partial_t \mu(t).
\tag{81}$$

Given that the coupling  $\lambda_+ = \lambda_{+,i}$  does not run and that,  $\lambda$  runs according to (58), we make the  $t$  dependence explicit and

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t \tilde{\mu}(t) &= -\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\mu}(t) - \beta_{\mu,1} \lambda(t) - \beta_{\mu,2} \lambda_+ \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\mu}(t) + \beta_{\mu,1} \beta_\lambda \lambda_+^2 t - \left( \beta_{\mu,2} \lambda_+ + \beta_{\mu,1} \beta_\lambda \lambda_+^2 t_0 + \beta_{\mu,1} \lambda(t_0) \right),
\end{aligned}
\tag{82}$$

where we recall  $\beta_\lambda < 0$ . We can solve this differential equation and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mu}(t) &= c_1 e^{-t/2} + 4\beta t - 4\beta + 2\gamma, \\
\beta &= \beta_{\mu,1} \beta_\lambda \lambda_+^2 < 0, \\
\gamma &= -\left( \beta_{\mu,2} \lambda_+ + \beta_{\mu,1} \beta_\lambda \lambda_+^2 t_0 + \beta_{\mu,1} \lambda(t_0) \right).
\end{aligned}
\tag{83}$$

where  $c_1$  is an integration constant that should be set by an initial condition of the flow.

In the UV, the first exponential term vanishes and the second linear term dominates. As  $\beta = \beta_{\mu,1} \beta_\lambda \lambda_+^2 < 0$ , the mass becomes negative and grows linearly. This is not the ordinary behavior of scalar field theory as well as of TFT where relevant operators in the UV are suppressed. In the IR, the exponential term dominates and this is the common behavior of relevant operators in ordinary  $\phi^4$ -theory.

**Summary** – We list our dimensionless 1-loop RG flow equations for the model + and their solutions below.

$\partial_t \lambda(t) = -\beta_\lambda \lambda_+^2, \quad \beta_\lambda < 0$	$\lambda(t) = -\beta_\lambda \lambda_+^2 (t - t_0) + \lambda(t_0)$
$\partial_t \lambda_+ = 0$	$\lambda_+ = cste$
$\partial_t \tilde{\mu}(t) = -\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\mu}(t) - \beta_{\mu,1} \lambda(t) - \beta_{\mu,2} \lambda_+$ $\beta_{\mu,1} > 0, \beta_{\mu,2} > 0$	$\tilde{\mu}(t) = c_1 e^{-t/2} + 4\beta t - 4\beta + 2\gamma$ $\beta = \beta_{\mu,1} \beta_\lambda \lambda_+^2 < 0,$ $\gamma = -\left(\beta_{\mu,2} \lambda_+ + \beta_{\mu,1} \beta_\lambda \lambda_+^2 t_0 + \beta_{\mu,1} \lambda(t_0)\right)$
$\partial_t \tilde{Z}_a(t) = -\frac{1}{2}\tilde{Z}_a(t) - \beta_{Z_a} \lambda_+, \quad \beta_{Z_a} > 0$	$\tilde{Z}_a(t) = c_2 e^{-t/2} - 2\beta_{Z_a} \lambda_+$

### 3.5 Integration at arbitrary loops

The power counting of the model  $+$ , provided by Proposition 1, gives us a lot of information about the  $\beta$ -functions of the couplings even in at arbitrarily high order of perturbation theory. In this section, we investigate general forms of the  $\beta$ -functions of the couplings in the model  $+$  at all orders of perturbation theory.

**4-pt couplings  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda_+$  RG equation** – Proposition 1 dictates that there are no diverging amplitudes contributing to the renormalization of  $\lambda_+$  at all orders in perturbation, therefore,  $\lambda_+$  is constant at all orders. Furthermore, from the first row of Table 1 of Proposition 1, which governs the renormalization of the coupling  $\lambda$ , we know that in order for the amplitude to be divergent, Feynman graph must only contain  $\lambda_+$  couplings, and no other couplings. Then, we can readily conclude that, the subleading corrections to  $\lambda$  at  $n$ -loops,  $n$  being arbitrary, can be written as a polynomial  $P_n(\lambda_+)$  in the variable  $\lambda_+$  that is fixed to a constant. At arbitrary  $n$ -th order in perturbation, the  $\beta$ -function of the coupling  $\lambda$  assumes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \lambda(t) &= P_n(\lambda_+), \\ \lambda(t) &= P_n(\lambda_+)(t - t_0) + \lambda(t_0). \end{aligned} \quad (84)$$

The particular form of  $P_n(\lambda_+) = -\beta_\lambda \lambda_+^2 + \dots$  is left for future investigation. Note that we may find an asymptotic safe theory we must solve  $P_n(\lambda_+) = 0$  which may have several solutions  $\lambda_+^*$ .

**2-pt coupling  $Z_a$  RG equation** – The classes II and V of Proposition 1 contribute to the renormalization of the 2-pt coupling  $Z_a$ . All class II amplitudes involve only the coupling  $\lambda_+$  yielding a similar result as to the one-loop computation above. The class V contains contributions with exactly one  $Z_a$  and several  $\lambda_+$  (an example is given in Fig. 12 Appendix B). Therefore, at an arbitrary  $n$ -th order in perturbation theory, we expect

$$\partial_t Z_a(t) = k^{1/2} Q_{1;n}(\lambda_+) + \log(k/k_0) Z_a(t) Q_{2;n}(\lambda_+), \quad (85)$$

where  $Q_{i;n}(\lambda_+)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  are polynomials in  $\lambda_+$ . Then, in terms of dimensionless quantities, we obtain

$$\partial_t \tilde{Z}_a(t) = \left(t Q_{2;n}(\lambda_+) - \frac{1}{2}\right) \tilde{Z}_a(t) + Q_{1;n}(\lambda_+). \quad (86)$$

which will integrate to yield

$$\tilde{Z}_a(t) = e^{\frac{Q_{2;n}t^2}{2} - \frac{t}{2}} \left[ c_1 + \sqrt{2} \frac{Q_{1;n}}{\sqrt{Q_{2;n}}} e^{\frac{1}{8Q_{2;n}}} \text{Erf}' \left( \frac{2Q_{2;n}t - 1}{2\sqrt{2}\sqrt{Q_{2;n}}} \right) \right] \quad (87)$$

where  $\text{Erf}'$  is the non normalized incomplete error function  $\text{Erf}'(z) = \int_0^z e^{-s^2} ds$ , and  $c_1$  an integration constant. Understanding the flow of  $\tilde{Z}_a(t)$  rests upon the understanding of the polynomials  $Q_{1;n}$  and  $Q_{2;n}$ . Focusing on the asymptotic behavior of the Erf function,  $\text{Erf}'(z) \sim e^{-z^2}/z^2$ , we see that  $\tilde{Z}_a(t) \sim e^{\frac{Q_{2;n}t^2}{2} - \frac{t}{2}}$ . Thus,  $\tilde{Z}_a(t)$  behaves the same in the UV ( $t \rightarrow \infty$ ) and the IR ( $t \rightarrow -\infty$ ), either can be suppressed or blows up depending on the sign of  $Q_{2;n}$ .

**Mass RG equation** – Looking at Proposition 1, the classes that contribute to the renormalization of the mass are I, III, IV, and VI. The class I only contains  $\lambda_+$ , which is held constant. The class III contains only exactly one  $\lambda$  and the rests are all  $\lambda_+$ . The class IV contains only exactly one  $Z_a$  coupling and the rests are all  $\lambda_+$ . Finally, the class VI contains exactly only one  $Z_a$ , and exactly only one  $\lambda$ , and the rests are  $\lambda_+$ . Noting that  $\lambda_+$  does not run at all orders, the most complicated one could have is the class VI where  $\lambda$  and  $Z_a$  are coupled (whose example is given in Fig.14). Therefore, we expect

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \tilde{\mu}(t) &= -\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\mu}(t) + R_{1;n}(\lambda_+) \lambda(t) + R_{2;n}(\lambda_+) \\ &\quad + R_{3;n}(\lambda_+) t \tilde{Z}_a(t) + R_{4;n}(\lambda_+) t \tilde{Z}_a(t) \lambda(t), \end{aligned} \quad (88)$$

to an arbitrary  $n$ -th order in perturbation theory, and  $R_{i;n}$ , with  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$  are polynomials in  $\lambda_+$  and some constants.

In summary, we expect that the coupled system of RG equations of the model + to an arbitrary  $n$ -th order is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \lambda_+ &= 0, \\ \partial_t \lambda(t) &= P_n(\lambda_+), \\ \partial_t \tilde{Z}_a(t) &= \left( t Q_{2;n}(\lambda_+) - \frac{1}{2} \right) \tilde{Z}_a(t) + Q_{1;n}(\lambda_+) \\ \partial_t \tilde{\mu}(t) &= -\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\mu}(t) + R_{1;n}(\lambda_+) \lambda(t) + R_{2;n}(\lambda_+) \\ &\quad + t \tilde{Z}_a(t) R_{3;n}(\lambda_+) + t \tilde{Z}_a(t) \lambda(t) R_{4;n}(\lambda_+). \end{aligned} \quad (89)$$

## 4 One-loop beta-functions of the model $\times$

Just as performed for the model +, we will compute the 1-loop RG flow equations of coupling constants via multiscale analysis for the model  $\times$ . Because the scheme and proofs are nearly identical, the derivations and explanations are given in a streamlined analysis. We stress the following fact: although the notation and expressions are similar to previous section, they actually refer to different quantities. As the model is different, there is no confusion and no need to introduce new notation.



## 4.1 Effective coupling equations

The integration of high modes yields a formal effective action of the form (34) where notation keeps its meaning but adapts to the present model. Therein, the 2-point amplitudes expand in local and nonlocal parts, obtaining, a self-energy of the same form as given in (35) in addition with the following term:

$$\sum_c |p_c|^{4a} \partial_{|p_c|^{4a}} \Sigma|_{\{p\}=0}. \quad (90)$$

According to [35], Table 2 dictates the renormalization analysis of the model. One shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{|p_c|^{2b}} \Sigma|_{\{p\}=0} = 0 & \quad \text{implies } Z_b = 1 \quad \text{wave function renormalization} \\ \Sigma(\{0\}) \sim \log -\text{divergent} & \quad (\text{row III}) \quad \text{mass renormalization} \\ \partial_{|p_c|^{2a}} \Sigma|_{\{p\}=0} \equiv \Gamma_{2;a}^{(c)}(\{0\}) \sim \log -\text{divergent} & \quad (\text{row I}) \quad Z_a \text{ renormalization} \\ \partial_{|p_c|^{4a}} \Sigma|_{\{p\}=0} \equiv \Gamma_{2;2a}^{(c)}(\{0\}) \sim \log -\text{divergent} & \quad (\text{row II}) \quad Z_{2a} \text{ renormalization} \end{aligned} \quad (91)$$

where  $|p_c|^{2a} \Gamma_{2;a}^{(c)}(\{p\})$  and  $|p_c|^{4a} \Gamma_{2;2a}^{(c)}(\{p\})$  are the sum of all amputated 1PI 2pt-functions following the patterns of  $\text{Tr}_{2;c}(p^{2a} \phi^2)$  and  $\text{Tr}_{2;c}(p^{4a} \phi^2)$ , respectively, on their boundary graphs. Because 4-point functions converge we do not need to report them.

We reorganize the effective action as

$$\begin{aligned} -W^{i-1}(\phi_{\leq i-1}, \bar{\phi}_{\leq i-1}) &= \Sigma_{i-1}(\{0\}) \text{Tr}_2(\phi_{\leq i-1}^2) + \sum_c \Gamma_{2;a,i-1}^{(c)}(\{0\}) \text{Tr}_{2;c}(p^{2a} \phi_{\leq i-1}^2) \\ &+ \sum_c \Gamma_{2;2a,i-1}^{(c)}(\{0\}) \text{Tr}_{2;c}(p^{4a} \phi_{\leq i-1}^2) + \tilde{R}(\phi_{\leq i-1}), \end{aligned} \quad (92)$$

where  $\tilde{R}(\phi_{\leq i-1})$  contains all finite contributions.

Following step by step, the previous analysis, we deliver the the effective couplings at scale  $i-1$ :

$$Z_{b,i-1} = 1, \quad (93)$$

$$\mu_{\text{ren},i-1} = \mu_{i-1} - \Sigma_{i-1}(\{0\}), \quad (94)$$

$$Z_{a,i-1} = -\Gamma_{2;a,i-1}^{(c)}(\{0\}), \quad (95)$$

$$Z_{2a,i-1} = -\Gamma_{2;2a,i-1}^{(c)}(\{0\}), \quad (96)$$

Following Proposition 2, we work with the set of parameters  $d = 3$ ,  $D = 1$ ,  $a = \frac{1}{2}$ , and  $b = 1$  so that the model is just-renormalizable.

## 4.2 Self energy and mass RG equation

For the model  $\times$ , we calculate the self energy which we denote again by  $\Sigma_b(\{p\})$  and which has an expression similar to (69), where the graphs  $\mathcal{G}_{2;l}^{(c)}$  should be chosen among all amputated 1PI 2pt-graphs with boundary of the form  $\text{Tr}_{2;c}(p^{2b} \phi^2)$ .

Up to the first order in perturbation theory,  $\mathcal{G}_{2,\iota}^{(c)} \in \{m^{(1)}, m^{(2)}, m^{(3)}\}$ . (At second order in perturbation theory, the interested reader may look at Fig. 18 in Appendix C.)

Recall that  $\Sigma_b(\{p\})$  corresponds to  $\Sigma(\{0\}) + \sum_c |p_c|^{2b} \partial_{|p_c|^{2b}} \Sigma|_{\{p\}=0}$ . Considering that the second term vanishes, i.e.  $\partial_{|p_c|^{2b}} \Sigma|_{\{p\}=0} = 0$ , only the contribution  $\Sigma(\{0\})$ , namely the mass renormalization, survives.

For  $m^{(c)}$ ,  $c = 1, 2, 3$ ,  $\omega_{d;\times}(m^{(c)}) = 0$ . This graph belongs to the class III in Table 2, also, it appears at first order.




Figure 8: The graph  $m^{(c)}$ . In the case  $d = 3$ .  $|\mathbf{q}_{\tilde{e}}|^{2b} = |q_1|^{2b} + |q_2|^{2b}$ . Here the parameters should follow that of Proposition 2.

We sum the contributions of the graphs  $m^{(c)}$  and write:

$$\Sigma_b(\{p\}) = \sum_{c=1}^d K_{m^{(c)}} S_{m^{(c)}}(\{p\}) = \sum_{c=1}^d 2 \left( -\frac{\lambda^{(c)}}{2} \right) \sum_{\{\tilde{q}_{\tilde{e}}\}} \frac{1}{(|\mathbf{q}_{\tilde{e}}|^{2b} + p_c^{2b} + \mu)}, \quad (97)$$

where  $K_{m^{(c)}} = 2$ .

The renormalized mass (94) finds the expression:

$$\mu_{\text{ren}} = \mu - \Sigma_b(\{p\})|_{\{p\}=\{0\}} = \mu + \sum_{c=1}^d \lambda^{(c)} \sum_{\{\tilde{q}_{\tilde{e}}\}} \frac{1}{(|\mathbf{q}_{\tilde{e}}|^{2b} + \mu)}. \quad (98)$$

Now assert color independence, namely  $\lambda^{(c)} = \lambda$ , and  $\lambda_{\times}^{(c)} = \lambda_{\times}$ , the renormalized mass can be expressed as

$$\mu_{\text{ren}} = \mu + d\lambda \sum_{\{\tilde{q}_{\tilde{e}}\}} \frac{1}{(|\mathbf{q}_{\tilde{e}}|^{2b} + \mu)} = \mu + d\lambda S_1, \quad (99)$$

where  $S_1$  is defined earlier (74). We perform a similar analysis as done in section 3.4, use (A.24) for  $\tilde{S}_{1,i}$  and (A.25) to obtain the running of the mass at leading order,

$$\mu_{i-1} = \mu_i + d\tilde{S}_{1,i} \lambda_i. \quad (100)$$

Note that the mass does not have a scaling dimension, and that  $\lambda$  does not run in this model (see (96)), then we write the RG flow equation for  $\mu$ :

$$-(\mu_{i-1} - \mu_i) = \frac{\partial \mu_i}{\partial i} = -d\tilde{S}_{1,i} \lambda_i,$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \mu_i}{\partial((\log M)i)} &= \partial_t \mu(t) = -\beta_{\mu,1} \lambda, \\ \beta_{\mu,1} &= \frac{d}{\log M} \tilde{S}_{1,i} = 2d\pi > 0.\end{aligned}\tag{101}$$

Fixing an initial condition at  $t_0$ , this integrates to give

$$\mu(t) = -(t - t_0)\beta_{\mu,1} \lambda + \mu(t_0).\tag{102}$$

Therefore, the mass in this theory, the model  $\times$  grows linearly in  $t$  in its magnitude.

### 4.3 Computing $\Gamma_{2;a}$ and $Z_a$ RG equation

We address here the flow of the 2-point coupling  $Z_a$ . The 2-pt diagram sum  $\Gamma_{2;a}^{(c)}(\{p\})$  follows again an equation similar to (59) of the previous section 3.3. The sum performs over all amputated 1PI 2-pt graphs at 1-loop of the form  $\text{Tr}_{2;c}(p^{2a} \phi^2)$ .

At first order, the diagrams  $n_e^{(c)}$ ,  $c = 1, 2, 3$ , see Fig. 9, contribute to the flow. (The next order in perturbation theory will have the additional graphs of Appendix C.) In (35), we have defined  $\partial_{|p_c|^{2a}} \Sigma|_{\{p\}=0} \equiv \Gamma_{2;a}^{(c)}(\{0\})$  which is divergent.

The graphs  $n_e^{(c)}$  satisfy  $\omega_{d;\times}(n_e^{(c)}) = 0$  and belong to the class I in Table 2.




Figure 9: For  $d = 3$ ,  $n_e^{(c=1)}$  is shown above in colored (left) and stranded (right) representations.  $\{p\} = \{p_1, p_2, p_3\}$  and  $|\mathbf{p}_{\bar{c}}|^{2b} = |p_1|^{2b} + |p_2|^{2b}$ .

The Feynman amplitude associated with the graph  $n_e^{(c)}$  shown in Fig. 9 is

$$K_{n_e^{(c)}} S_{n_e^{(c)}}(\{p\}) = 2 \left( -\frac{\lambda_{\times}^{(c)}}{2} \right) |p_c|^{2a} \sum_{q_c} \frac{|q_c|^{2a}}{(|q_c|^{2b} + |\mathbf{p}_{\bar{c}}|^{2b} + \mu)},\tag{103}$$

where  $K_{n_e^{(c)}} = 2$ .

Let us now compute the renormalization of  $Z_a$ , up to first order in perturbation theory

$$\begin{aligned}|p_c|^{2a} \Gamma_{2;a}(\{p\}) &= -|p_c|^{2a} Z_a^{(c)} + K_{n_e^{(c)}} S_{n_e^{(c)}}(\{p\}) \\ &= -|p_c|^{2a} Z_a^{(c)} - \lambda_{\times}^{(c)} |p_c|^{2a} \sum_{q_c} \frac{|q_c|^{2a}}{(|q_c|^{2b} + |\mathbf{p}_{\bar{c}}|^{2b} + \mu)}.\end{aligned}\tag{104}$$

Therefore, to the first order in perturbation,

$$Z_{a,\text{ren}}^{(c)} = -\Gamma_{2;a}^{(c)}(\{p\})|_{\{p\}=0} = Z_a^{(c)} + \lambda_{\times}^{(c)} \sum_q \frac{|q|^{2a}}{|q|^{2b} + \mu}.\tag{105}$$

By imposing the color independence, we write

$$Z_{a,\text{ren}} = Z_a + \lambda_\times S_2, \quad (106)$$

where  $S_2$  is given in (74). In multiscale analysis, we write

$$Z_{a,i-1} = Z_{a,i} + \lambda_{\times,i} S_{2,i}, \quad (107)$$

where  $S_{2,i}$  is given in (76).

We approximate  $S_{2,i}$  in (A.23). Now, we use the fact that  $Z_a$  has no scaling dimension (A.25), and that  $\lambda_\times$  does not run as presented in (96), and express the  $\beta$ -function of the coupling  $Z_a$  as:

$$\begin{aligned} -(Z_{a,i-1} - Z_{a,i}) &= \frac{\partial Z_{a,i}}{\partial i} = -\tilde{S}_{2,i} \lambda_{\times,i}, \\ \frac{\partial Z_{a,i}}{\partial((\log M)i)} &= \partial_t Z_a(t) = -\beta_{Z_a} \lambda_\times, \\ \beta_{Z_a} &= \frac{\tilde{S}_{2,i}}{\log M} = 2 > 0, \end{aligned} \quad (108)$$

where  $\tilde{S}_{2,i}$  is given in (A.23). The solution is straightforward, after fixing an initial condition at some  $t_0$

$$Z_a(t) = -(t - t_0) \beta_{Z_a} \lambda_\times + Z_a(t_0). \quad (109)$$

Therefore, in exactly the same manner as the mass in this theory, the 2-pt coupling  $Z_a$  in the model  $\times$ , grows linearly in  $t$  in its magnitude.

#### 4.4 Computing of $\Gamma_{2;2a}$ and $Z_{2a}$ RG equation

We focus on the RG flow for  $Z_{2a}$ . We denote by  $|p_c|^{4a} \Gamma_{2;2a}^{(c)}(\{p\})$  the sum is over all amputated 1PI 2-pt graphs at 1-loop whose boundaries follow the pattern of  $\text{Tr}_{2;c}(p^{4a} \phi^2)$ .

The diagrams that will contribute at 1-loop are denoted  $m_{ee}^{(c)}$  and depicted in Fig. 10. (Higher order diagrams are listed in Appendix C) For  $m_{ee}^{(c)}$ ,  $\omega_{d;\times}(m_{ee}^{(c)}) = 0$  and belongs to the class II in Table 2.




Figure 10:  $m_{ee}^{(c=1)}$  for  $d = 3$  is illustrated in colored (left) and stranded (right) representations.  $\{q_{\bar{c}}\} = \{q_2, q_3\}$ ,  $|\mathbf{q}_{\bar{c}}|^{2b} = |q_2|^{2b} + |q_3|^{2b}$ .

$$K_{m_{ee}^{(c)}} S_{m_{ee}^{(c)}}(\{p\}) = 2 \left( -\frac{\lambda_\times^{(c)}}{2} \right) |p_c|^{4a} \sum_{\{q_{\bar{c}}\}} \frac{1}{(|\mathbf{q}_{\bar{c}}|^{2b} + |p_c|^{2b} + \mu)}, \quad (110)$$

where  $K_{m_{ee}^{(c)}} = 2$ . Given this, we compute the renormalization of  $Z_{2a}$ : where

$$\begin{aligned} |p_c|^{4a} \Gamma_{2;2a}^{(c)}(\{p\}) &= -|p_c|^{4a} Z_{2a}^{(c)} + K_{m_{ee}^{(c)}} S_{m_{ee}^{(c)}}(\{p\}) \\ &= -|p_c|^{4a} Z_{2a}^{(c)} - \lambda_{\times}^{(c)} |p_c|^{4a} \sum_{\{q_{\tilde{c}}\}} \frac{1}{(|\mathbf{q}_{\tilde{c}}|^{2b} + |p_c|^{2b} + \mu)}. \end{aligned} \quad (111)$$

After a small manipulation, we obtain

$$Z_{2a,\text{ren}}^{(c)} = Z_{2a}^{(c)} + \lambda_{\times}^{(c)} \sum_{\{q_{\tilde{c}}\}} \frac{1}{(|\mathbf{q}_{\tilde{c}}|^{2b} + \mu)}. \quad (112)$$

The equation for  $Z_{2a}$  is reached after requiring color independence:

$$Z_{2a,\text{ren}} = Z_{2a} + \lambda_{\times} S_1, \quad (113)$$

where we recognize  $S_1$  (74). Passing through the same steps via multiscale regularization, the coupling equation can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{2a,i-1} &= Z_{2a,i} + \lambda_{\times,i} S_{1,i}, \\ -(Z_{2a,i-1} - Z_{2a,i}) &= \frac{\partial Z_{2a,i}}{\partial i} = -\tilde{S}_{1,i} \lambda_{\times,i}, \\ \frac{\partial Z_{2a,i}}{\partial((\log M)i)} &= \partial_t Z_{2a}(t) = -\beta_{Z_{2a}} \lambda_{\times}, \\ \beta_{Z_{2a}} &= \frac{\tilde{S}_{1,i}}{\log M} = 2\pi > 0, \end{aligned} \quad (114)$$

where  $\tilde{S}_{1,i}$  is computed in (A.24). Then, at this order of perturbation,  $Z_{2a}$  yields also a linear function in the time scale  $t$ .

$$Z_{2a}(t) = -(t - t_0) \beta_{Z_{2a}} \lambda_{\times} + Z_a(t_0). \quad (115)$$

The argument goes the same as the mass and the other 2-point coupling  $Z_a$ , i.e., the 2-pt coupling  $Z_{2a}$  in the model  $\times$  grows linearly in  $t$  in its magnitude.

**Summary** – We give a summary of the 1-loop RG flow equations for the model  $\times$  and their solutions:

$\partial_t \lambda(t) = 0$	$\lambda(t) = cste$
$\partial_t \lambda_{\times}(t) = 0$	$\lambda_{\times}(t) = cste$
$\partial_t \mu(t) = -\beta_{\mu,1} \lambda, \quad \beta_{\mu,1} = 2d\pi > 0$	$\mu(t) = -2d\pi \lambda(t - t_0) + \mu(t_0)$
$\partial_t Z_a(t) = -\beta_{Z_a} \lambda_{\times}, \quad \beta_{Z_a} = 2 > 0$	$Z_{2a}(t) = -2\lambda_{\times}(t - t_0) + Z_a(t_0)$
$\partial_t Z_a(t) = -\beta_{Z_{2a}} \lambda_{\times}, \quad \beta_{Z_a} = 2\pi > 0$	$Z_{2a}(t) = -2\pi \lambda_{\times}(t - t_0) + Z_a(t_0)$

## 4.5 Integration at arbitrary loops

The power counting of the model  $\times$  is provided by Proposition 2. This gives us more information on the  $\beta$ -functions of the couplings at arbitrary order of perturbation theory.

Indeed, the information is stringent enough to let us present explicit general forms of  $\beta$ -functions of the couplings.

**4-pt couplings  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda_\times$  RG equation** – The power counting theorem of the model  $\times$  with Proposition 2 determines that at all orders in perturbation theory, there are no amplitudes which are divergent contributing to the renormalization of 4-pt couplings  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda_\times$ . Hence,  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda_\times$  of the model  $\times$  are constant and do not flow with scales, therefore

$$\partial_t \lambda = 0, \quad \partial_t \lambda_\times = 0, \quad (116)$$

which trivially yield

$$\lambda(t) = cste, \quad \lambda_\times(t) = cste. \quad (117)$$

**Mass, 2-pt couplings  $Z_a$  and  $Z_{2a}$  RG equations** – Observation of Proposition 2 tells us that the mass renormalization is decided by the class III, where only exactly one  $\lambda$  and a number of  $\lambda_\times$  contribute. The  $Z_a$  renormalization is decided by the class I, where only  $\lambda_\times$  contributes. We also notice that only  $\lambda_\times$  contributes to the renormalization of  $Z_{2a}$ , as class II dictates.

With (117), one immediately conclude that the coupled differential RG equations for the couplings of the model  $\times$ , which generalize trivially the equations for the first order RG equations (101), (108), and (114), to arbitrary  $n$ -th orders, by introducing polynomials  $P_n(\lambda_\times)$ ,  $Q_n(\lambda_\times)$ , and  $R_n(\lambda_\times)$ , are

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \mu(t) &= \lambda P_n(\lambda_\times), \\ \partial_t Z_a(t) &= Q_n(\lambda_\times), \\ \partial_t Z_{2a}(t) &= R_n(\lambda_\times). \end{aligned} \quad (118)$$

One can immediately solve such system of equations and obtain too all orders in perturbation theory,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(t) &= (t - t_0)\lambda P_n(\lambda_\times) + \mu(t_0), \\ Z_a(t) &= (t - t_0)Q_n(\lambda_\times) + Z_a(t_0), \\ Z_{2a}(t) &= (t - t_0)R_n(\lambda_\times) + Z_{2a}(t_0), \end{aligned} \quad (119)$$

where we notice that all couplings above grow linearly in  $t$  in their respective magnitudes.

## 5 Conclusion

We have computed explicitly the one-loop  $\beta$ -functions of the couplings of two eTFTs, the model  $+$  and the model  $\times$ , at first order of perturbation theory. The system of RG flow equations can be explicitly computed. Both models  $+$  and  $\times$  do have a constant wave function renormalization ( $Z_b = 1$ ). Nevertheless, we have obtained some nontrivial RG flows of the couplings. Table 3.4 and Table 4.4 summarize the upshot of this analysis.

For the model  $+$ , the enhanced 4-pt coupling  $\lambda_+$  does not run and therefore is constant, whereas the ordinary 4-pt coupling  $\lambda$  grows linearly in  $t = \log k/k_0$ , where  $k$  is momentum

scale. This statement is true for all orders in perturbation theory. The 2-pt coupling  $Z_a$  has exponential behavior in  $t$ : it therefore is suppressed in the UV and reaches a constant. At first order of perturbation, we found that the mass behaves differently in the UV: it grows linearly in  $t$ . In the IR, the mass behaves like a relevant operator and blows up exponentially. Thus in both direction, the mass grows. This is something specific about the present eTFT model.

Concerning the model  $\times$ , the 4-pt couplings  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda_\times$  do not run and therefore are constant at all orders of perturbation. On the other hand, all the 2-pt couplings (mass,  $Z_a$  and  $Z_{2a}$ ) grow in their magnitude linearly in the scale  $t$ . Once again, this behavior is not common of ordinary QFT and TFT, and thus is brought by the particular form of the vertex weight and the combinatorics of the model.

Furthermore, with the explicit forms of the running of the couplings at first order, together with the close observations of the power counting theorems for each model,  $+$  or  $\times$ , in [35], we have deduced the behavior of the  $\beta$ -functions of the couplings at all orders of perturbation. The computation of the polynomial coefficients at all-loops will be left for future investigations. We conjecture that the systems could be explicitly integrated at arbitrary and given order. More generally, the amplitudes are simple enough to be re-summed at all orders. This property to be proved will require more work.

Concerning the quantum gravity side, the absence of asymptotic freedom or non trivial fixed points in the UV at first order of perturbation for generic  $(\lambda, \lambda_+)$  makes unlikely the UV completion of the quartic eTFTs. However, much less is known about their IR behavior. The computation of higher order perturbations of the models  $+$  and  $\times$  may have IR fixed points. Flowing backwards in the IR direction, the system of  $\beta$ -function collects polynomial coefficients in the coupling  $\lambda_+$ . The root of these polynomials may lead to vanishing  $\beta$ -functions and hence produces non trivial IR fixed points. The IR study of eTFTs will require the use of different tools like the Functional Renormalization Group Equation which could be also a following-up study from our work.

## 6 Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Dario Benedetti, Sylvain Carrozza, Riccardo Martini, and Fabien Vignes-Tourneret for the insightful discussions. The authors would also like to thank the thematic program “Quantum Gravity, Random Geometry, and Holography” 9 January - 17 February 2023 at Institut Henri Poincaré, Paris, France for the platform for discussions and the collaboration and letting us progress further on this project. The authors acknowledge support of the Institut Henri Poincaré (UAR 839 CNRS-Sorbonne Université) and LabEx CARMIN (ANR-10-LABX-59-01).

## Appendix

### A Euler-Maclaurin formula and Feynman amplitude approximations

We briefly review the approximation of a discrete spectral sum by an integral using Euler-Maclaurin formula [23]. In perturbation theory, this approximation is well controlled and sufficient to achieve our calculations.

Consider  $h_n(x) = x^n e^{-Ax^a}$ , with  $x \geq 0$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and the sum  $\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} h_n(p)$ . We use Euler-Maclaurin formula to obtain for a finite integer  $q \leq 1$ ,

$$\sum_{p=1}^q h_n(p) = \int_1^q h_n(p) dp + R(q), \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where

$$R(q) = -B_1(h_n(1) + h_n(q)) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2k}}{2k!} (h_n^{(2k-1)}(q) - h_n^{(2k-1)}(1)), \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where  $B_k$  are Bernoulli numbers and  $h^{(2k-1)}$  denotes  $2k-1$ <sup>th</sup> derivative of  $h_n(p)$  with respect to  $p$ .

One can show that

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} R(q) = -B_1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2k}}{2k} \binom{n}{2k-1} + \mathcal{O}(A) = \mathcal{O}(1) + \mathcal{O}(A). \quad (\text{A.3})$$

For any  $A$ , the integral below is exact,

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \int_1^q h_n(p) dp = \frac{1}{a} A^{-\frac{1+n}{a}} \Gamma\left[\frac{1+n}{a}, A\right] = \frac{1}{a} A^{-\frac{1+n}{a}} \Gamma\left[\frac{1+n}{a}\right] - \frac{1}{1+n} + \mathcal{O}(A), \quad (\text{A.4})$$

with the incomplete Gamma function  $\Gamma[\cdot, \cdot]$ , and Euler Gamma function  $\Gamma[\cdot]$ . This then gives us

$$\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} h_n(p) = \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{p=1}^q h_n(p) = \frac{1}{a} A^{-\frac{1+n}{a}} \Gamma\left[\frac{1+n}{a}\right] - \frac{1}{1+n} + \mathcal{O}(1) + \mathcal{O}(A). \quad (\text{A.5})$$

**Expanding  $\tilde{S}_{0,i}$ .** The goal is to approximate  $S_{0,i}$  (54) using the above developments. Use Euler-Maclaurin expansion, we compute the sum

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\tau} &= \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} |q|^{4a} e^{-(\alpha+\alpha')|q|^{2b}} = 2 \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} q^{4a} e^{-(\alpha+\alpha')q^{2b}} \\ &= 2 \int_1^{\infty} dq q^{4a} e^{-(\alpha+\alpha')q^{2b}} + R = 2 \times \frac{1}{2b(\alpha+\alpha')^{\frac{4a+1}{2b}}} \Gamma\left(\frac{4a+1}{2b}, \alpha+\alpha'\right) + R \\ &= \frac{(\alpha+\alpha')^{-\frac{(4a+1)}{2b}}}{b} \Gamma\left(\frac{4a+1}{2b}, \alpha+\alpha'\right) + R, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.6})$$



where  $\Gamma(s, x) = \int_x^\infty t^{s-1} e^{-t} dt$  denotes the upper incomplete Gamma function.  $R$  is the Euler-Maclaurin remainder which behaves, according to (A.5), as  $R = \mathcal{O}(1) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha + \alpha')$ .  $\Gamma(s, x)$  admits a known asymptotic expansion when  $x \rightarrow 0^+$  given by

$$\Gamma(s, x) = \Gamma(s) - \frac{x^s}{s} + \mathcal{O}(x^{s+1}) \quad (\text{A.7})$$

with the obstruction  $s \notin \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$ . Now, for simplicity, we constrain our model and use  $D = 1$ , but keep  $a$  and  $b$  accordingly as given by Theorem 1. We use the above expansion (A.7) in (A.6) as  $\alpha + \alpha'$  is a small parameter (recalling that  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha'$  are small in the UV)

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} |q|^{4a} e^{-(\alpha + \alpha')|q|^{2b}} \\ &= \frac{(\alpha + \alpha')^{-\frac{(4a+1)}{2b}}}{b} \left( \Gamma\left(\frac{4a+1}{2b}\right) - \frac{(\alpha + \alpha')^{\frac{4a+1}{2b}}}{\frac{4a+1}{2b}} + \mathcal{O}((\alpha + \alpha')^{\frac{4a+1}{2b}+1}) \right) + R \\ &= \frac{1}{b} \Gamma\left(\frac{4a+1}{2b}\right) (\alpha + \alpha')^{-\frac{(4a+1)}{2b}} + \mathcal{O}(1) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha + \alpha'). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.8})$$

Having a look at (54), using  $e^{-(\alpha + \alpha')\mu} = 1 + \mathcal{O}(\alpha + \alpha')$ , we integrate this expression above over  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha'$ , with  $\frac{1}{b} \Gamma\left(\frac{4a+1}{2b}\right) = \frac{1}{b} \Gamma(2) = \frac{1}{b}$ , and write:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{S}_{0,i} &= \int_{M^{-2bi}}^{M^{-2b(i-1)}} d\alpha \int_{M^{-2bi}}^{M^{-2b(i-1)}} d\alpha' \left[ \frac{1}{b} (\alpha + \alpha')^{-2} + \mathcal{O}((\alpha + \alpha')^{-1}) \right] \\ &= \int_{M^{-2bi}}^{M^{-2b(i-1)}} d\alpha \left[ -\frac{1}{b} (\alpha + \alpha')^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(\log(\alpha + \alpha')) \right]_{\alpha'=M^{-2bi}}^{\alpha'=M^{-2b(i-1)}} \\ &= \frac{1}{b} \left[ \log(\alpha + M^{-2bi}) - \log(\alpha + M^{-2b(i-1)}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left( \mathcal{O}(\alpha) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha \log(\alpha + M^{-2bi})) + \mathcal{O}(M^{-2bi} \log(\alpha + M^{-2bi})) \right) \right]_{\alpha=M^{-2bi}}^{\alpha=M^{-2b(i-1)}} \\ &= \frac{1}{b} \left[ -\log(2M^{-2bi}) + 2 \log(M^{-2b(i-1)} + M^{-2bi}) - \log(2M^{-2b(i-1)}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \mathcal{O}(M^{-2bi}) + \mathcal{O}(M^{-2bi} \log(M^{-2bi})) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{b} \left[ 2 \log[M^{-2bi}(M^{2b} + 1)] - \log(2M^{-2bi}) - \log(2M^{-2bi+2b}) \right] \\ &\quad + \mathcal{O}(M^{-2bi}) + \mathcal{O}(M^{-2bi} \log(M^{-2bi})) \\ &= \frac{1}{b} \left[ 2 \log(M^{2b} + 1) - \log(4) - \log(M^{2b}) \right] + \mathcal{O}(M^{-2bi}) + \mathcal{O}(M^{-2bi} \log(M^{-2bi})) \\ &= \frac{1}{b} \left[ \log \frac{(M^{2b} + 1)^2}{4M^{2b}} \right] + \mathcal{O}(M^{-2bi}) + \mathcal{O}(M^{-2bi} \log(M^{-2bi})) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.9})$$

Thus  $\tilde{S}_{0,i}$  is approximated by

$$\tilde{S}_{0,i} = \frac{1}{b} \log \frac{(M^{2b} + 1)^2}{4M^{2b}} + \mathcal{O}(M^{-2bi} \log(M^{-2bi})) \quad (\text{A.10})$$

As  $M \geq 1$ ,  $\frac{(M^{2b} + 1)^2}{(4M^{2b})} > 1$ , then the leading coefficient in the above expanding is positive.

We can put the above in a standard  $\log M$  approximation by expanding at large enough  $M \gg 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{b} \log \frac{(M^{2b} + 1)^2}{(4M^{2b})} &= \frac{1}{b} \left[ \log(M^{2b}) + 2 \log(1 + 1/M^{2b}) - \log(4) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{b} \log M^{2b} + \frac{2}{b} \left( \frac{1}{M^{2b}} - \log(2) \right) + \mathcal{O}(M^{-4b}) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.11})$$

At large  $M$ , the first term will correspond to the standard  $\log \Lambda$  divergence for marginal coupling.

**Dimensionful computations for  $S_{0,i}$ .** We address now the crucial question of the dimension in our computation. The previous calculations of were performed without taking care of that aspect that we now restore. The couplings and fields have scaling dimensions. Expressing the propagator in Schwinger parameterization as in (28), we notice that  $\alpha$  should have a dimension of  $-2b$  in units of momentum scale  $k$ . In other words, we can write  $\alpha = k^{-2b} \tilde{\alpha}$  where  $\tilde{\alpha}$  is dimensionless. There is a dimensionful quantity  $\tau$  associated with  $\tilde{\tau}$  (A.6), in which  $q$  acquires dimension of 1 in the units of the momentum scale. The integral approximates the discrete sum over  $q$  as in the second line of (A.6), but gains one more dimension in the computation of  $\tau$ . A similar fact concerning discrete sums having scaling dimensions was advocated and used in [32]. This also can be understood by the power counting theorem: in order to make the couplings marginal, so log-divergent in the cut-off, discrete sums must carry scale dimension. We perform the following change of variables to let the dimensions be explicit in terms of a momentum scale  $k$ :

$$\begin{aligned} q &= k\tilde{q}, & \tilde{q} &\in \mathbb{Z} \\ \alpha &= k^{-2b} \tilde{\alpha} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.12})$$

We obtain in terms of dimensionless  $\tilde{\tau}$  and  $\tilde{S}_{0,i}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \tau &= k^{4a+1} \tilde{\tau} \\ S_{0,i} &= k^{4a+1} k^{-4b} \tilde{S}_{0,i} = \tilde{S}_{0,i}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.13})$$

where in the last equality, we notice  $4a + 1 - 4b = 0$ , and therefore we get  $S_{0,i}$  (55).

**Expanding  $\tilde{S}_{1,i}$  and  $\tilde{S}_{2,i}$  for model +.** We use a similar technique to provide an approximation of  $S_{1,i}$  (75) and  $S_{2,i}$  (76).

Express the propagators in Schwinger representation and carefully converting the above sums into integrals and get

$$\tilde{S}_{2,i} = \int_0^\infty d\alpha \chi^i(\alpha) e^{-\alpha\mu_i} \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} |q|^{2a} e^{-\alpha|q|^{2b}}. \quad (\text{A.14})$$

This integral is similar to (A.6), changing only  $\alpha + \alpha'$  to  $\alpha$  and for a particular choice of  $2a$ . We perform an approximation in an analogous manner as before and get:

$$\sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} |q|^{2a} e^{-\alpha|q|^{2b}} = \frac{\alpha^{-\frac{(2a+1)}{2b}}}{b} \Gamma\left(\frac{2a+1}{2b}, \alpha\right) + R = \frac{1}{b} \Gamma\left(\frac{2a+1}{2b}\right) \alpha^{-\frac{(2a+1)}{2b}} + \mathcal{O}(1) \quad (\text{A.15})$$

We insert this expression in (A.14) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{S}_{2,i} &= \int_{M^{-2bi}}^{M^{-2b(i-1)}} d\alpha e^{-\alpha\mu_i} \left[ c_{1;a,b} \alpha^{-\frac{(2a+1)}{2b}} + \mathcal{O}(1) \right] \\
&= \int_{M^{-2bi}}^{M^{-2b(i-1)}} d\alpha (1 + \mathcal{O}(\alpha)) \left[ c_{1;a,b} \alpha^{-\frac{(2a+1)}{2b}} + \mathcal{O}(1) \right] \\
&= \int_{M^{-2bi}}^{M^{-2b(i-1)}} d\alpha \left[ c_{1;a,b} \alpha^{-\frac{(2a+1)}{2b}} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^{1-\frac{(2a+1)}{2b}}) \right] \\
&= \left[ \frac{1}{1 - \frac{(2a+1)}{2b}} c_{1;a,b} \alpha^{1-\frac{(2a+1)}{2b}} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^{2-\frac{(2a+1)}{2b}}) \right]_{M^{-2bi}}^{M^{-2b(i-1)}}, \tag{A.16}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $c_{1;a,b} = \frac{1}{b} \Gamma\left(\frac{2a+1}{2b}\right)$ .

For the model +, we use  $a = \frac{1}{2}D(d^- - 1)$  and  $b = \frac{1}{2}D(d^- - \frac{1}{2})$  for just-renormalizability (see Proposition 1) with  $D = 1$ , then,  $\frac{2a+1}{2b} = \frac{2(d-1)}{2d-3} = 1 + \frac{1}{2d-3}$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{S}_{2,i} &= \left[ -\frac{(2d-3)}{(2d-3)/4} \Gamma\left(\frac{2(d-1)}{2d-3}\right) \alpha^{-1/(2d-3)} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^{1-1/(2d-3)}) \right]_{M^{-2bi}}^{M^{-2b(i-1)}} \\
&= 4\Gamma\left(\frac{2(d-1)}{2d-3}\right) (M^{-2bi(-1/4b)} - M^{-2b(i-1)(-1/4b)}) + \mathcal{O}(M^{-i(d-2)}) \\
&= 4\Gamma\left(\frac{2(d-1)}{2d-3}\right) M^{i/2} (1 - M^{-1/2}) + \mathcal{O}(M^{-i(d-2)}). \tag{A.17}
\end{aligned}$$

Now we compute  $\tilde{S}_{1,i}$  namely,

$$\tilde{S}_{1,i} = \sum_{\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}} \int_0^\infty d\alpha \chi^i(\alpha) e^{-\alpha(|\mathbf{q}|^{2b} + \mu_i)} = \int_0^\infty d\alpha \chi^i(\alpha) e^{-\alpha\mu_i} \left( \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-\alpha|q|^{2b}} \right)^{d-1}. \tag{A.18}$$

Let us focus on a single sum over  $q$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-\alpha|q|^{2b}} &= 2 \sum_{q=1}^\infty e^{-\alpha q^{2b}} + 1 = 2 \int_1^\infty dq e^{-\alpha q^{2b}} + R + 1 \\
&= 2 \left( \frac{1}{2b} \alpha^{-\frac{1}{2b}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2b}, \alpha\right) \right) + R + 1, \tag{A.19}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $R = \mathcal{O}(1) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ . We use (A.7) in (A.19) as  $\alpha$  is a small parameter in the UV,

$$\sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-\alpha|q|^{2b}} = \frac{1}{b} \alpha^{-\frac{1}{2b}} \left[ \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2b}\right) - 2b\alpha^{\frac{1}{2b}} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^{\frac{1}{2b}+1}) \right] + R + 1 = \frac{1}{b} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2b}\right) \alpha^{-\frac{1}{2b}} + \mathcal{O}(1). \tag{A.20}$$

We insert this expression in (A.18),

$$\tilde{S}_{1,i} = \int_0^\infty d\alpha \chi^i(\alpha) e^{-\alpha\mu_i} \left( \frac{1}{b} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2b}\right) \alpha^{-\frac{1}{2b}} + \mathcal{O}(1) \right)^{d-1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{M^{-2bi}}^{M^{-2b(i-1)}} d\alpha e^{-\alpha\mu_i} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{b} \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2b} \right) \right)^{d-1} \alpha^{-\frac{d-1}{2b}} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^{-\frac{d-2}{2b}}) \right] \\
&= \int_{M^{-2bi}}^{M^{-2b(i-1)}} d\alpha (1 + \mathcal{O}(\alpha)) \left[ \left( \frac{1}{b} \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2b} \right) \right)^{d-1} \alpha^{-\frac{d-1}{2b}} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^{-\frac{d-2}{2b}}) \right] \\
&= \left[ \left( \frac{1}{b} \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2b} \right) \right)^{d-1} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{d-1}{2b}} \alpha^{1 - \frac{d-1}{2b}} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^{1 - \frac{d-2}{2b}}) \right]_{M^{-2bi}}^{M^{-2b(i-1)}} \\
&= \left( \frac{1}{b} \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2b} \right) \right)^{d-1} \frac{2b}{2b - d + 1} M^{-i(2b-d+1)} \left( M^{(2b-d+1)} - 1 \right) + \mathcal{O}(M^{-i(2b-d+2)}), \quad (\text{A.21})
\end{aligned}$$

For the model  $+$ , we use  $b = \frac{1}{2}D(d^- - \frac{1}{2})$  for just-renormalizability (see Proposition 1) with  $D = 1$ , then:

$$\tilde{S}_{1,i} = \left( \frac{1}{b} \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2b} \right) \right)^{d-1} (2d - 3) M^{i/2} \left( 1 - M^{-1/2} \right) + \mathcal{O}(M^{-i/2}). \quad (\text{A.22})$$

$\tilde{S}_{1,i}$  and  $\tilde{S}_{2,i}$  for the model  $\times$ . For the model  $\times$ , from the Proposition 2, we have a specific set of parameters, i.e.,  $D = 1$ ,  $d = 3$ ,  $a = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $b = 1$ , and  $\frac{2a+1}{2b} = 1$ . We then specialize the previous computation. Starting from (A.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{S}_{2,i} &= \int_{M^{-2i}}^{M^{-2(i-1)}} d\alpha (1 + \mathcal{O}(\alpha)) \left[ \alpha^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(1) \right] \\
&= \left[ \log \alpha + \mathcal{O}(\alpha) \right]_{M^{-2i}}^{M^{-2(i-1)}} \\
&= 2 \log M + \mathcal{O}(M^{-2(i-1)}). \quad (\text{A.23})
\end{aligned}$$

For the model  $\times$ , from the Proposition 2, we have a specific set of parameters, i.e.,  $D = 1$ ,  $d = 3$ ,  $a = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $b = 1$ , and  $\frac{d-1}{2b} = 1$ . So, recomputing (A.21), we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{S}_{1,i} &= \int_{M^{-2i}}^{M^{-2(i-1)}} d\alpha (1 + \mathcal{O}(\alpha)) \left[ \left( \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \right)^2 \alpha^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \right] \\
&= \left[ \pi \log \alpha + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}}) \right]_{M^{-2i}}^{M^{-2(i-1)}} \\
&= 2\pi \log M + \mathcal{O}(M^{-i}), \quad (\text{A.24})
\end{aligned}$$

where we substitute  $\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) = \sqrt{\pi}$ .

**Dimensionful computations of  $S_{1,i}$  (75) and  $S_{2,i}$  (76).** Following the similar argument as for  $S_{0,i}$ , we perform the changes of variables given in (A.12) so that the dimensions are explicit in computations. Then, we obtain in terms of dimensionless  $\tilde{S}_{1,i}$  and  $\tilde{S}_{2,i}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{1,i} &= k^{-2b} k^{d-1} \tilde{S}_{1,i} \\
S_{2,i} &= k^{-2b} k^{2a} k \tilde{S}_{2,i}, \quad (\text{A.25})
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last equalities, we note from Propositions 1 and 2 that

$$d - 1 - 2b = 2a - 2b + 1 = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & \text{for the model } + \\ 0, & \text{for the model } \times \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.26})$$

## B Higher order perturbation of model +

This appendix illustrates the higher order corrections of the different couplings RG flow. These manifestly highlight the structure of the RG flow at higher loops.

### B.1 4-point function at third order

- The graph  $n_{42}^{(c)}$  has degree of divergence  $\omega_{n_{42}^{(c)}} = 0$ , following the first row of Table 1, and its amplitude appears at the third order in perturbation theory.




Figure 11: A two-loop divergent graph,  $n_{42}^{(c)}$  contributing to  $\Gamma_4^{(c)}(\{p\})$ , at  $d = 3$ .

Explicitly, at two-loop,

$$K_{n_{42}^{(c)}} = 12,$$

$$S_{n_{42}^{(c)}}(\{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}'\}) = \frac{1}{3!} \left( \frac{-\lambda_+^{(c)}}{2} \right)^3 \sum_{\{q\}} \frac{(|q_c|^{2a})^3}{(|\mathbf{p}_{\tilde{c}}|^{2b} + |q_c|^{2b} + \mu)(|\mathbf{p}'_{\tilde{c}}|^{2b} + |q_c|^{2b} + \mu)^2(|\mathbf{q}|^{2b} + \mu)}.$$

The contribution to the Feynman amplitude will be of the form  $\sum_c K_{n_{42}^{(c)}} S_{n_{42}^{(c)}}$ .

### B.2 $\Gamma_2$ at second order

The following graphs will contribute to the flow of the  $Z_a$  coupling. Computing it, we distinguish the colors and introduce  $Z_a^{(c)}$  which makes us work at fixed color  $c$ .

- For  $m_{2e}^{(c c')}$ ,  $\omega_{m_{2e}^{(c c')}} = 0$ . This graph belongs to the class V in Table 1.




Figure 12: The graph  $m_{2e}^{(c=1 c'=2)}$ , for  $d = 3$  is illustrated.  $\{q_{\tilde{c}=1}\} = \{q_{c'=2}, q_3\}$ , and  $|\mathbf{q}_1|^{2b} = |q_2|^{2b} + |q_3|^{2b}$ .

The contribution to the Feynman amplitude is then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{c'} K_{m_{2e}^{(c,c')}} S_{m_{2e}^{(c,c')}}(\{p\}) &= \sum_{c' \neq c}^{(d-1) \text{ terms}} 2 \left[ \left( -\frac{\lambda_+^{(c)}}{2} \right) (-Z_a^{(c')}) \right] \sum_{\{q_{\tilde{c}}\}} \frac{|q_{c'}|^{2a} |p_c|^{2a}}{(|\mathbf{q}_{(\tilde{c})}|^{2b} + |p_c|^{2b} + \mu)^2} \\
&= \lambda_+^{(c)} |p_c|^{2a} \sum_{c' \neq c}^{(d-1) \text{ terms}} Z_a^{(c')} \sum_{\{q_{\tilde{c}}\}} \frac{|q_{c'}|^{2a}}{(|\mathbf{q}_{(\tilde{c})}|^{2b} + |p_c|^{2b} + \mu)^2}, \quad (\text{B.27})
\end{aligned}$$

where  $K_{m_{2e}^{(c,c')}} = 2$ .

- The graph  $meme_2^{(c,c')}$ , is such that  $\omega_{meme_2^{(c,c')}} = \frac{D}{2}$  and it appears in the class II in Table 1.




Figure 13: For  $d = 3$ ,  $meme_2^{(c=1, c'=2)}$  is illustrated.  $q'_{(\tilde{c}\tilde{c}')} = q'_3$ ,  $\{q\} = \{q_1, q_2, q_3\}$ ,  $|\mathbf{q}|^{2b} = |q_1|^{2b} + |q_2|^{2b} + |q_3|^{2b}$ ,  $|\mathbf{q}_{(\tilde{c}\tilde{c}')}|^{2b} = |q_3|^{2b}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{c' \neq c} K_{meme_2^{(c,c')}} S_{meme_2^{(c,c')}}(\{p\}) \\
&= \sum_{c' \neq c}^{(d-1) \text{ terms}} K_{meme_2^{(c,c')}} \left( -\frac{\lambda_+^{(c)}}{2} \right) \left( -\frac{\lambda_+^{(c')}}{2} \right) |p_c|^{2a} \\
&\quad \sum_{\{q'_{(\tilde{c}\tilde{c}')}\}, \{q\}} \frac{|q_{c'}|^{2a}}{(|\mathbf{q}|^{2b} + \mu)(|\mathbf{q}'_{(\tilde{c}\tilde{c}')}|^{2b} + |q_{c'}|^{2b} + |p_c|^{2b} + \mu)^2} \quad (\text{B.28})
\end{aligned}$$

where  $K_{meme_2^{(c,c')}} = 4$ , and where we introduced the notation  $q'_{(\tilde{c}\tilde{c}'')}$  which means that the color of this momentum cannot be neither  $c$  nor  $c'$ .

### B.3 Self energy and mass corrections

We gather here the contributions to the self-energy and wave function renormalization  $Z_b$  at second order of perturbation.

- For the graph  $m_2^{(c,c')}$ , the degree of divergence is given by  $\omega_{d,+}(m_2^{(c,c')}) = 0$ . The class VI in Table 1 includes this graph.




Figure 14:  $m_2^{(c,c')}$  in colored and stranded representations at  $d = 3$ .  $|\mathbf{q}_{\tilde{c}}|^{2b} = |q_{c'}|^{2b} + |q_1|^{2b}$  and  $\{q_{\tilde{c}}\} = \{q_{c'}, q_1\}$ .

The contribution of the graph  $m_2^{(c,c')}$  shown in Fig. 14 in the amplitude is

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{c,c' \neq c} K_{m_2^{(c,c')}} S_{m_2^{(c,c')}}(\{p\}) &= \sum_{c,c' \neq c}^{d \times (d-1) \text{ terms}} 2 \left[ \left( -\frac{\lambda^{(c)}}{2} \right) \left( -Z_a^{(c')} \right) \right] \sum_{\{q_{\tilde{c}}\}} \frac{|q_{c'}|^{2a}}{(|\mathbf{q}_{\tilde{c}}|^{2b} + |p_c|^{2b} + \mu)^2} \\ &= \sum_{c=1}^d \lambda^{(c)} \sum_{c' \neq c} \sum_{\{q_{\tilde{c}}\}} \frac{Z_a^{(c')} |q_{c'}|^{2a}}{(|\mathbf{q}_{\tilde{c}}|^{2b} + |p_c|^{2b} + \mu)^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.29})$$

where  $K_{m_2^{(c,c' \neq c)}} = 2$ .

- Concerning the graph  $mme_2^{(c,c')}$ , the superficial degree of divergence is  $\omega_{d,+}(mme_2^{(c,c')}) = \frac{D}{2}$ . It can be found in the class III in Table 1.




Figure 15:  $mme_2^{(c=1,c'=2)}$ , for  $d = 3$  in colored and stranded representations, with  $q'_{(\tilde{c}\tilde{c}')} = q'_3$ ,  $|\mathbf{q}'_{(\tilde{c}\tilde{c}')}|^{2b} = |q_3|^{2b}$ .

The amplitude associated with the graph  $mme_2^{(c,c')}$  shown in Fig. 15 is given by

$$\sum_{c,c' \neq c} K_{mme_2^{(c,c')}} S_{mme_2^{(c,c')}}(\{p\})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{c, c' \neq c}^{d \times (d-1) \text{ terms}} 4 \left[ \left( -\frac{\lambda^{(c)}}{2} \right) \left( -\frac{\lambda_+^{(c')}}{2} \right) \right] \\
&\quad \sum_{\{q'_{(\tilde{c}\tilde{c}')}, \{q\}\}} \frac{|q_{c'}|^{2a}}{(|\mathbf{q}|^{2b} + \mu)(|q'_{(\tilde{c}\tilde{c}')}|^{2b} + |q_{c'}|^{2b} + |p_c|^{2b} + \mu)^2} \\
&= \sum_{c=1}^d \lambda^{(c)} \sum_{c' \neq c} \sum_{\{q'_{(\tilde{c}\tilde{c}')}, \{q\}\}} \frac{\lambda_+^{(c')} |q_{c'}|^{2a}}{(|\mathbf{q}|^{2b} + \mu)(|q'_{(\tilde{c}\tilde{c}')}|^{2b} + |q_{c'}|^{2b} + |p_c|^{2b} + \mu)^2}, \tag{B.30}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $K_{mme_2^{(c,c')}} = 4$ .

- For the graph  $n_2^{(c)}$ ,  $\omega_{d,+}(n_2^{(c)}) = 0$ , and it belongs to the class IV in Table 1.




Figure 16: The illustration of  $n_2^{(c)}$  in  $d = 3$ .

Now the amplitude of  $n_2^{(c)}$  is

$$\sum_c K_{n_2^{(c)}} S_{n_2^{(c)}}(\{p\}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{c=1}^d \lambda_+^{(c)} Z_a^{(c)} \sum_{q_c} \frac{(|q_c|^{2a})^2}{(|q_c|^{2b} + |\mathbf{p}_{\tilde{c}}|^{2b} + \mu)^2}, \tag{B.31}$$

where  $K_{n_2^{(c)}} = 1$ .

- The  $nme_2^{(c)}$  belongs to the class I in Table 1 and it fulfills  $\omega_{d,+}(nme_2^{(c)}) = \frac{D}{2}$ .




Figure 17: For  $d = 3$ , the  $nme_2^{(c=1)}$  with  $|\mathbf{p}_{\tilde{c}}|^{2b} = |p_2|^{2b} + |p_3|^{2b}$ .



$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum K_{nme_2^{(c)}} S_{nme_2^{(c)}}(\{p\}) \\
= & \sum_{c=1}^d 4 \frac{1}{2!} \left[ \left( -\frac{\lambda_+^{(c)}}{2} \right)^2 \right] \sum_{\{q\}} \frac{(|q_c|^{2a})^2}{(|\mathbf{q}|^{2b} + \mu)(|q_c|^{2b} + |\mathbf{p}_{\tilde{c}}|^{2b} + \mu)^2}, \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{c=1}^d (\lambda_+^{(c)})^2 \sum_{\{q\}} \frac{(|q_c|^{2a})^2}{(|\mathbf{q}|^{2b} + \mu)(|q_c|^{2b} + |\mathbf{p}_{\tilde{c}}|^{2b} + \mu)^2}, \tag{B.32}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $K_{nme_2^{(c)}} = 4$ .

## C Second order perturbation of model $\times$

### C.1 Self energy and mass corrections

- For  $mm_{ee}^{(c,c')}$ , the superficial degree of divergence is  $\omega_{d;\times}(mm_{ee}^{(c,c')}) = 0$ . This graph belongs to the class III in Table 2, and appears in the second order  $\mathcal{O}(\lambda\lambda_\times)$  in Taylor expansion.




Figure 18:  $mm_{ee}^{(c=1, c'=2)}$ , for  $d = 3$  in both colored and stranded representations.  $\{q'_{(\tilde{c}\tilde{c}')}\} = q'_3$ ,  $|\mathbf{q}_{(\tilde{c}\tilde{c}')}|^{2b} = |q_3|^{2b}$ .

The amplitude associated with the graph  $mm_{ee}^{(c,c')}$  shown in Fig. 18 is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{c, c' \neq c} K_{mm_{ee}^{(c, c')}} S_{mm_{ee}^{(c, c')}}(\{p\}) \\
= & \sum_{c, c' \neq c}^{d \times (d-1) \text{ terms}} 4 \left[ \left( -\frac{\lambda^{(c)}}{2} \right) \left( -\frac{\lambda_\times^{(c')}}{2} \right) \right] \\
& \sum_{\{q'_{(\tilde{c}\tilde{c}')}\}, \{q\}} \frac{|q_{c'}|^{4a}}{(|\mathbf{q}|^{2b} + \mu)(|q'_{(\tilde{c}\tilde{c}')}|^{2b} + |q_{c'}|^{2b} + |p_c|^{2b} + \mu)^2}
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{c=1}^d \lambda^{(c)} \sum_{c' \neq c} \sum_{\{q'_{(\tilde{c}\tilde{c}')}\}, \{q\}} \frac{\lambda_{\times}^{(c')} |q_{c'}|^{4a}}{(|\mathbf{q}|^{2b} + \mu)(|q'_{(\tilde{c}\tilde{c}')}|^{2b} + |q_{c'}|^{2b} + |p_c|^{2b} + \mu)^2}, \quad (\text{C.33})$$

where  $K_{mm_{ee}^{(c,c')}} = 4$ .

## C.2 $\Gamma_{2;a}$ at second order

We work out the contribution to the flow of  $Z_a^{(c)}$  at fixed color  $c$ .

- The graph  $n_{ee}m_{ee}^{(c)}$  obeys  $\omega_{d;\times}(n_{ee}m_{ee}^{(c)}) = 0$  and belongs to the class I in Table 2.




Figure 19: The graph  $n_{ee}m_{ee}^{(c=1)}$  at  $d = 3$ .  $|\mathbf{p}_{\tilde{c}=\bar{1}}|^{2b} = |p_2|^{2b} + |p_3|^{2b}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & K_{n_{ee}m_{ee}^{(c)}} S_{n_{ee}m_{ee}^{(c)}}(\{p\}) \\ &= 8 \frac{1}{2!} \left( -\frac{\lambda_{\times}^{(c)}}{2} \right)^2 |p_c|^{2a} \sum_{\{q\}} \frac{(|q_c|^{2a})^3}{(|\mathbf{q}|^{2b} + \mu)(|q_c|^{2b} + |\mathbf{p}_{(\tilde{c})}|^{2b} + \mu)^2} \\ &= \lambda_{\times}^{(c)} |p_c|^{2a} \sum_{\{q\}} \frac{(|q_c|^{2a})^3}{(|\mathbf{q}|^{2b} + \mu)(|q_c|^{2b} + |\mathbf{p}_{(\tilde{c})}|^{2b} + \mu)^2} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.34})$$

where we set  $K_{n_{ee}m_{ee}^{(c)}} = 8$ .

## C.3 $\Gamma_{2;2a}$ at second order

We list below the second order contributions to the flow of the last coupling  $Z_{2a}^{(c)}$ . We investigate this at given color  $c$ .

- The graph  $m_{ee}m_{ee}^{(c,c')}$  is part of the class II in Table 2. It has vanishing divergence degree:  $\omega_{d;\times}(m_{ee}m_{ee}^{(c,c')}) = 0$ .




Figure 20: The graph  $m_{ee}m_{ee}^{(c=1, c'=2)}$  illustrated at  $d = 3$ .  $q'_{(\tilde{c}\tilde{c}')} = q'_3$ ,  $|\mathbf{q}_{(\tilde{c}\tilde{c}')}|^{2b} = |q_3|^{2b}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{c' \neq c} K_{m_{ee}m_{ee}^{(c, c')}} S_{m_{ee}m_{ee}^{(c, c')}}(\{p\}) \\
&= \sum_{c' \neq c}^{(d-1) \text{ terms}} 4 \left[ \left( -\frac{\lambda_{\times}^{(c)}}{2} \right) \left( -\frac{\lambda_{\times}^{(c')}}{2} \right) \right] |p_c|^{4a} \\
& \quad \sum_{\{q'_{(\tilde{c}\tilde{c}')}\}, \{q\}} \frac{|q_{c'}|^{4a}}{(|\mathbf{q}|^{2b} + \mu)(|q'_{(\tilde{c}\tilde{c}')}|^{2b} + |q_{c'}|^{2b} + |p_c|^{2b} + \mu)^2} \\
&= \lambda_{\times}^{(c)} |p_c|^{4a} \sum_{c' \neq c} \sum_{\{q'_{(\tilde{c}\tilde{c}')}\}, \{q\}} \frac{\lambda_{\times}^{(c')} |q_{c'}|^{4a}}{(|\mathbf{q}|^{2b} + \mu)(|q'_{(\tilde{c}\tilde{c}')}|^{2b} + |q_{c'}|^{2b} + |p_c|^{2b} + \mu)^2}, \quad (\text{C.35})
\end{aligned}$$

where  $K_{m_{ee}m_{ee}^{(c, c')}} = 4$ .

## References

- [1] P. Di Francesco, P. H. Ginsparg and J. Zinn-Justin, “2-D Gravity and random matrices,” *Phys. Rept.* **254**, 1 (1995) [arXiv:hep-th/9306153].
- [2] V. G. Knizhnik, A. M. Polyakov and A. B. Zamolodchikov, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **3**, 819 (1988) doi:10.1142/S0217732388000982
- [3] F. David, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **3**, 1651 (1988) doi:10.1142/S0217732388001975
- [4] J. Distler and H. Kawai, “Conformal Field Theory and 2D Quantum Gravity Or Who’s Afraid of Joseph Liouville?,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **321**, 509 (1989).
- [5] A. Morozov, “Matrix models as integrable systems,” in CRM-CAP Summer School on Particles and Fields ’94, p 127–210 (1995) [arXiv:hep-th/9502091 [hep-th]].
- [6] G. ’t Hooft, “A Planar Diagram Theory for Strong Interactions,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **72**, 461 (1974).
- [7] J. F. Le Gall, G. Miermont, “Scaling limits of random planar maps with large faces,” *The Annals of Probability* **1**, 1-69 (2011).
- [8] J. Miller, S. Sheffield, “Liouville quantum gravity spheres as matings of finite-diameter trees,” *Annales de l’Institut Henri Poincaré, Probabilités et Statistiques* **55**, 3 1712-1750, (2019).
- [9] R. Gurau, “Random Tensors,” Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2016.
- [10] A. Tanasa, “Combinatorial Physics,” Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2021.
- [11] J. Ambjorn, B. Durhuus and T. Jonsson, “Three-Dimensional Simplicial Quantum Gravity And Generalized Matrix Models,” *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **6**, 1133 (1991).
- [12] M. Gross, “Tensor models and simplicial quantum gravity in  $> 2$ -D,” *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.* **25A**, 144 (1992).
- [13] N. Sasakura, “Tensor model for gravity and orientability of manifold,” *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **6**, 2613 (1991).
- [14] D. V. Boulatov, “A Model of three-dimensional lattice gravity,” *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **7**, 1629 (1992) [arXiv:hep-th/9202074]; H. Ooguri, “Topological lattice models in four-dimensions,” *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **7**, 2799 (1992) [arXiv:hep-th/9205090].
- [15] V. Bonzom, R. Gurau, A. Riello and V. Rivasseau, “Critical behavior of colored tensor models in the large N limit,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **853**, 174 (2011) [arXiv:1105.3122 [hep-th]].
- [16] R. Gurau and J. P. Ryan, “Melons are branched polymers,” *Annales Henri Poincare* **15**, no. 11, 2085 (2014) [arXiv:1302.4386 [math-ph]].

- [17] V. Bonzom, T. Delepouve and V. Rivasseau, “Enhancing non-melonic triangulations: A tensor model mixing melonic and planar maps,” Nucl. Phys. B **895**, 161 (2015) [arXiv:1502.01365 [math-ph]].
- [18] D. Oriti, “The group field theory approach to quantum gravity,” arXiv:gr-qc/0607032.
- [19] D. Oriti, “A Quantum field theory of simplicial geometry and the emergence of space-time,” J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **67**, 012052 (2007) [hep-th/0612301].
- [20] L. Marchetti, D. Oriti, A. G. A. Pithis and J. Thürigen, “Phase transitions in TGFT: a Landau-Ginzburg analysis of Lorentzian quantum geometric models,” JHEP **02**, 074 (2023) [arXiv:2209.04297 [gr-qc]].
- [21] A. Eichhorn and T. Koslowski, “Flowing to the continuum in discrete tensor models for quantum gravity,” arXiv:1701.03029 [gr-qc].
- [22] J. Ben Geloun and V. Rivasseau, “A Renormalizable 4-Dimensional Tensor Field Theory,” Commun. Math. Phys. **318**, 69 (2013) [arXiv:1111.4997 [hep-th]].
- [23] J. Ben Geloun, “Renormalizable Models in Rank  $d \geq 2$  Tensorial Group Field Theory,” Commun. Math. Phys. **332**, 117-188 (2014) doi:10.1007/s00220-014-2142-6 [arXiv:1306.1201 [hep-th]].
- [24] V. Rivasseau, “Why are tensor field theories asymptotically free?,” Europhys. Lett. **111**, no. 6, 60011 (2015) [arXiv:1507.04190 [hep-th]].
- [25] J. Ben Geloun and R. Toriumi, “Parametric representation of rank  $d$  tensorial group field theory: Abelian models with kinetic term  $\sum_s |p_s| + \mu$ ,” J. Math. Phys. **56**, no. 9, 093503 (2015) [arXiv:1409.0398 [hep-th]].
- [26] S. Carrozza, “Tensorial methods and renormalization in Group Field Theories,” Springer Theses, 2014 (Springer, NY, 2014), arXiv:1310.3736 [hep-th].
- [27] J. Ben Geloun and V. Rivasseau, “Addendum to ‘A Renormalizable 4-Dimensional Tensor Field Theory’,” Commun. Math. Phys. **322**, 957 (2013) [arXiv:1209.4606 [hep-th]].
- [28] J. Ben Geloun and D. O. Samary, “3D Tensor Field Theory: Renormalization and One-loop  $\beta$ -functions,” Annales Henri Poincaré **14**, 1599 (2013) [arXiv:1201.0176 [hep-th]].
- [29] J. Ben Geloun, “Two and four-loop  $\beta$ -functions of rank 4 renormalizable tensor field theories,” Class. Quant. Grav. **29**, 235011 (2012) [arXiv:1205.5513 [hep-th]].
- [30] S. Carrozza, “Discrete Renormalization Group for SU(2) Tensorial Group Field Theory,” Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Comb. Phys. Interact. **2** (2015), 49-112 [arXiv:1407.4615 [hep-th]].
- [31] S. Carrozza, “Group field theory in dimension  $4 - \epsilon$ ,” Phys. Rev. D **91**, no. 6, 065023 (2015) [arXiv:1411.5385 [hep-th]].

- [32] D. Benedetti, J. Ben Geloun and D. Oriti, “Functional Renormalisation Group Approach for Tensorial Group Field Theory: a Rank-3 Model,” JHEP **1503**, 084 (2015) [arXiv:1411.3180 [hep-th]].
- [33] J. Ben Geloun, R. Martini and D. Oriti, “Functional Renormalization Group analysis of a Tensorial Group Field Theory on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ,” EPL **112**, no.3, 31001 (2015) doi:10.1209/0295-5075/112/31001 [arXiv:1508.01855 [hep-th]].
- [34] J. Ben Geloun, R. Martini and D. Oriti, “Functional Renormalisation Group analysis of Tensorial Group Field Theories on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,” Phys. Rev. D **94**, no.2, 024017 (2016) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.94.024017 [arXiv:1601.08211 [hep-th]].
- [35] J. Ben Geloun and R. Toriumi, “Renormalizable enhanced tensor field theory: The quartic melonic case,” J. Math. Phys. **59**, no.11, 112303 (2018) doi:10.1063/1.5022438 [arXiv:1709.05141 [hep-th]].
- [36] J. Ben Geloun, “A power counting theorem for a  $p^{2a}\phi^4$  tensorial group field theory”, arXiv:hep-th/1507.00590.
- [37] V. Rivasseau, “From perturbative to constructive renormalization,” Princeton series in physics (Princeton Univ. Pr., Princeton, 1991).
- [38] V. Rivasseau, “Quantum Gravity and Renormalization: The Tensor Track,” AIP Conf. Proc. **1444**, 18 (2011) [arXiv:1112.5104 [hep-th]].
- [39] V. Bonzom, R. Gurau and V. Rivasseau, “Random tensor models in the large N limit: Uncoloring the colored tensor models,” Phys. Rev. D **85**, 084037 (2012) [arXiv:1202.3637 [hep-th]].
- [40] R. Gurau and J. P. Ryan, “Colored Tensor Models - a review,” SIGMA **8**, 020 (2012) [arXiv:1109.4812 [hep-th]].
- [41] R. Gurau, “The  $1/N$  expansion of colored tensor models,” Annales Henri Poincare **12**, 829 (2011) [arXiv:1011.2726 [gr-qc]].
- [42] R. Gurau, “The complete  $1/N$  expansion of colored tensor models in arbitrary dimension,” Annales Henri Poincare **13**, 399 (2012) [arXiv:1102.5759 [gr-qc]].