

Conformal Ricci solitons on generalized (κ, μ) -space forms

Mehraj Ahmad Lone and Towseef Ali Wani

Abstract. In this paper, we study conformal Ricci solitons and conformal gradient Ricci solitons on generalized (κ, μ) -space forms. The conditions for the solitons to be shrinking, steady and expanding are derived in terms of conformal pressure p . We show under what conditions a Ricci semi-symmetric generalized (κ, μ) -space form equipped with a conformal Ricci soliton forms an Einstein manifold.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). 53C05, 53C40.

Keywords. Conformal Ricci soliton, Conformal gradient Ricci soliton, generalized (κ, μ) -space form,

1. Introduction

The concept of Ricci flow was introduced by R. Hamilton [15] in 1982. The Ricci flow is an evolution equation for metric on a Riemannian manifold given by

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial t} = -2S$$

where g is the Riemannian metric and S denotes the Ricci tensor.

A self-similar solution of the Ricci flow [15, 23], which moves only by one parameter family of diffeomorphism and scaling is called a Ricci soliton [17]. The Ricci soliton is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_V g + 2S = 2\lambda g$$

where \mathcal{L}_V is the Lie derivative, S is the Ricci tensor, g is the Riemannian metric, V is the vector field and λ is a scalar. The Ricci soliton is denoted by (g, V, λ) and is said to be shrinking, steady and expanding according to whether λ is positive, zero and negative respectively.

The concept of conformal Ricci flow was introduced by Fischer [11] as a variation of classical Ricci flow equation that modifies the volume constraint

to a scalar curvature constraint. The conformal Ricci flow on a smooth, closed, connected, oriented n -manifold is defined by the equation [11]

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial g}{\partial t} + 2\left(S + \frac{g}{n}\right) &= -pg \\ \text{and} \quad r &= -1 \end{aligned}$$

where p is a non-dynamical scalar field which is time dependent, r is the scalar curvature of the manifold, and n is the dimension of M .

In 2015, Basu et. al. [2] introduced the notion of conformal Ricci soliton equation on Kenmotsu manifold M^{2n+1} as

$$\mathcal{L}_V g + 2S = \left[2\lambda - \left(p + \frac{2}{2n+1}\right)\right]g \quad (1.1)$$

where λ is constant. The equation is a generalization of the Ricci soliton and satisfies the conformal Ricci flow equation. The conformal Ricci flow equations are analogous to the Navier-Stokes equations of fluid mechanics and because of this analogy, the time-dependent scalar field p is called a conformal pressure and, as for the real physical pressure in fluid mechanics that serves to maintain the incompressibility of the fluid, the conformal pressure serves as a Lagrange multiplier to conformally deform the metric flow so as to maintain the scalar curvature constraint.

A conformal Ricci soliton is called a conformal gradient Ricci soliton if the potential vector field V is gradient of some smooth function f i.e. $V = \text{grad}(f) = \nabla f$ and satisfies

$$\nabla \nabla f + S = \left[2\lambda - \left(p + \frac{2}{2n+1}\right)\right]g \quad (1.2)$$

where ∇ is Riemannian connection on the Riemannian manifold.

Conformal Ricci solitons were studied by Ganguly et.al. within the framework of almost co-Kähler manifolds [13] and $(LCS)_n$ -manifolds [14]. The authors generalized conformal Ricci solitons and obtained interesting results in [9]. Dey et.al. [8] studied conformal Ricci solitons on almost Kenmotsu manifolds. Siddiqui [22] studied conformal Ricci solitons of Lagrangian submanifolds in Kähler manifolds. Ganguly et. al. [12] investigated conformal Ricci solitons and quasi-Yamable soliton on generalized Sasakian space form. Motivated by these studies, we investigate conformal Ricci soliton and conformal gradient Ricci soliton in generalized (κ, μ) - space forms.

2. Preliminaries

Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold of dimension $(2n + 1)$. (M, g) is called almost contact manifold [4] if we can define an endomorphism ϕ on its tangent bundle TM , a vector field ξ and a 1-form η satisfying

$$\phi^2 = -Id + \eta \otimes \xi, \quad \phi(\xi) = 0, \quad \eta(\phi) = 0, \quad (2.1)$$

$$g(X, \xi) = \eta(X), \quad (2.2)$$

$$\eta(\xi) = 1, \quad (2.3)$$

for any vector fields X, Y on M . It is called a contact metric manifold if

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y).$$

Making use of above equations, it is easy to prove that for an almost contact metric manifold (M, g) ,

$$g(\phi E, F) = -g(E, \phi F). \quad (2.4)$$

An almost contact metric manifold is said to be a contact manifold if its second fundamental 2-form Φ , defined by $\Phi(X, Y) = g(X, \phi Y)$, satisfies

$$d\eta = \Phi.$$

On a contact metric manifold $M(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ the tensor h defined by $\mathcal{L}_\xi \phi$ is symmetric and satisfies the following relations [4]

$$\nabla_X \xi = -\phi X - \phi hX, h\xi = 0, h\phi = -\phi h, tr(h) = 0, \eta \circ h = 0$$

A contact manifold is called a (κ, μ) -metric manifold if the characteristic vector field ξ belongs to the (κ, μ) -distribution i.e.[5]

$$R(X, Y)\xi = \kappa\{\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y\} - \mu\{\eta(Y)hX - \eta(X)hY\}$$

where X and Y are vector fields on M and $2h = \mathcal{L}_\xi \phi$. If κ, μ are some smooth functions then the manifold is called generalized (κ, μ) -space. A (κ, μ) -space of dimension greater than three with constant ϕ -sectional curvature is called (κ, μ) -space form and its curvature tensor is given by [18]

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Y)Z = & \frac{c+3}{4}R_1(X, Y)Z + \frac{c-1}{4}R_2(X, Y)Z + \left(\frac{c+3}{4} - \kappa\right)R_3(X, Y)Z \\ & + R_4(X, Y)Z + \frac{1}{2}R_5(X, Y)Z + (1 - \mu)R_6(X, Y)Z \end{aligned}$$

where $R_1, R_2, R_3, R_4, R_5, R_6$ are defined as follows

$$R_1(X, Y)Z = g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y,$$

$$R_2(X, Y)Z = g(X, \phi Z)\phi Y - g(Y, \phi Z)\phi X + 2g(X, \phi Y)\phi Z,$$

$$R_3(X, Y)Z = \eta(X)\eta(Z)Y - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)X + g(X, Z)\eta(Y)\xi - g(Y, Z)\eta(X)\xi,$$

$$R_4(X, Y)Z = g(Y, Z)hX - g(X, Z)hY + g(hY, Z)X - g(hX, Z)Y,$$

$$R_5(X, Y)Z = g(hY, Z)hX - g(hX, Z)hY + g(\phi hX, Z)\phi hY - g(\phi hY, Z)\phi hX,$$

$$R_6(X, Y)Z = \eta(X)\eta(Z)hY - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)hX + g(hX, Z)\eta(Y)\xi - g(hY, Z)\eta(X)\xi,$$

for any vector fields X, Y, Z on M . As a generalization of (κ, μ) -space form, Carriazo et. al. [7] introduced the notion of generalized (κ, μ) -space form and provided interesting examples of such spaces. An almost contact metric manifold is called a generalized (κ, μ) -space form if there exist smooth functions $f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4, f_5, f_6$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Y)Z = & f_1R_1(X, Y)Z + f_2R_2(X, Y)Z + f_3R_3(X, Y)Z + f_4R_4(X, Y)Z \\ & + f_5R_5(X, Y)Z + f_6R_6(X, Y)Z \end{aligned}$$

where $R_1, R_2, R_3, R_4, R_5, R_6$ are defined as above.

Example 1. [19] Consider the 3-dimensional manifold $M = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | x_3 \neq 0\}$, where (x_1, x_2, x_3) are the standard coordinates in \mathbb{R}^3 . The vector fields,

$$e_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \quad e_2 = -2x_2x_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + 2\frac{x_1}{x_3^3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} - \frac{1}{x_3^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}, \quad e_3 = \frac{1}{x_3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2},$$

are linearly independent at each point of M . Let g be the Riemannian metric defined by $g(e_i, e_j) = \delta_{ij}$, $i, j = 1, 2, 3$. Let ∇ be the Riemannian connection and R the curvature tensor of g . We easily get

$$[e_1, e_2] = \frac{2}{x_3^2} e_3, \quad [e_2, e_3] = 2e_1 + \frac{1}{x_3^3} e_3, \quad [e_3, e_1] = 0.$$

Let η be the 1-form defined by $\eta(z) = g(z, e_1)$ for any $z \in \mathcal{X}(M)$. Because $\eta \wedge d\eta \neq 0$, everywhere on M , η is a contact form. Let ϕ be the $(1, 1)$ -tensor field, defined by $\phi e_1 = 0$, $\phi e_2 = e_3$, $\phi e_3 = -e_2$. Using the linearity of $\phi, d\eta$, and g , we have

$$\eta(e_1) = 1, \quad \phi^2 z = z - \eta(z)e_1, \quad d\eta(z, w) = g(z, \phi w)$$

and

$$g(\phi z, \phi w) = g(z, w) - \eta(z)\eta(w)$$

for any $z, w \in \mathcal{X}(M)$. Hence (ϕ, e_1, η, g) defines a contact metric structure M . So M with this structure is a contact metric manifold.

Putting $\xi = e_1$, $x = e_2$, $\phi x = e_3$ and using the well known formula

$$2g(\nabla_y z, w) = yg(z, w) + zg(w, y) - wg(y, z) - g(y, [z, w]) - g(z, [y, w]) + g(w, [y, z]),$$

We calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_x \xi &= -(1 + \frac{1}{x_3^2})\phi x, & \nabla_{\phi x} \xi &= (1 - \frac{1}{x_3^2})x, \\ \nabla_{\xi} x &= (-1 + \frac{1}{x_3^2})\phi x, & \nabla_{\xi} \phi x &= (1 - \frac{1}{x_3^2})x, \\ \nabla_x x &= 0, & \nabla_x \phi x &= (1 + \frac{1}{x_3^2})\xi, \\ \nabla_{\phi x} x &= (-1 + \frac{1}{x_3^2})\xi - \frac{1}{x_3^3}\phi x, & \nabla_{\phi x} \phi x &= \frac{1}{x_3^3}x. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore for the tensor field h , we get $h\xi = 0$, $hx = \lambda x$, and $\kappa = \frac{x_3^4 - 1}{x_3^4}$, we finally get

$$\begin{aligned} R(x, \xi)\xi &= \kappa(\eta(\xi)x - \eta(x)\xi) + \mu(\eta(\xi)hx - \eta(x)h\xi) \\ R(\phi x, \xi)\xi &= \kappa(\eta(\xi)\phi x - \eta(\phi x)\xi) + \mu(\eta(\xi)h\phi x - \eta(\phi x)h\xi) \\ R(x, \phi x)\xi &= \kappa(\eta(\phi x)x - \eta(x)\phi x) + \mu(\eta(\phi x)hx - \eta(x)h\phi x). \end{aligned}$$

These relations yield the following, by a straight forward calculations,

$$R(z, w)\xi = \kappa(\eta(w)z - \eta(z)w) + \mu(\eta(w)hz - \eta(z)hw),$$

where κ and μ are non-constant smooth functions. Hence M is a generalized (κ, μ) -contact metric manifold.

Submanifolds of generalized (κ, μ) -space forms were studied by Hui et. al. [16]. Lee et. al. [20] studied generalized Wintgen inequality for submanifolds in generalized (κ, μ) -space forms.

For a generalized (κ, μ) -space form, we have the following relations [7]

$$\nabla_X \xi = (f_3 - f_1)\phi X + (f_6 - f_4)\phi hX \quad (2.5)$$

$$(\nabla_X \eta)Y = (f_3 - f_1)g(\phi X, Y) + (f_6 - f_4)g(\phi hX, Y) \quad (2.6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_X \phi)Y &= (f_1 - f_3)[g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X] \\ &\quad + (f_4 - f_6)[g(hX, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)hX] \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Y)\xi &= (f_1 - f_3)\{\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y\} \\ &\quad + (f_4 - f_6)\{\eta(Y)hX - \eta(X)hY\} \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} R(\xi, Y)Z &= (f_1 - f_3)[g(Y, Z)\xi - \eta(Z)Y] \\ &\quad + (f_4 - f_6)[g(hY, Z)\xi - \eta(Z)hY] \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} S(X, Y) &= (2nf_1 + 3f_2 - f_3)g(X, Y) - (3f_2 + (2n - 1)f_3)\eta(X)\eta(Y) \\ &\quad + ((2n - 1)f_4 - f_6)g(hX, Y) \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} QX &= (2nf_1 + 3f_2 - f_3)X - (3f_2 + (2n - 1)f_3)\eta(X)\xi \\ &\quad + ((2n - 1)f_4 - f_6)hX \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

$$S(X, \xi) = 2n(f_1 - f_3)\eta(X), \quad (2.12)$$

$$Q\xi = 2n(f_1 - f_3)\xi \quad (2.13)$$

for all vector fields X and Y in TM and where S is the Ricci tensor and Q is the Ricci operator related by $S(X, Y) = g(Q(X), Y)$.

3. Main Results

Theorem 3.1. *Consider a generalized (κ, μ) -space form M admitting a conformal Ricci soliton (g, V, λ) . Then the soliton is*

1. *shrinking if $p < [4n(f_3 - f_1) - \frac{2}{2n+1}]$*
2. *steady if $p = [4n(f_3 - f_1) - \frac{2}{2n+1}]$*
3. *expanding if $p > [4n(f_3 - f_1) - \frac{2}{2n+1}]$*

Proof. Since M admits a conformal Ricci soliton (g, V, λ) , from (1.1) we have,

$$(L_V g)(X, Y) + 2S(X, Y) + [2\lambda - (p + \frac{2}{2n+1})]g(X, Y) = 0$$

Using the property of Lie derivative, we get

$$g(\nabla_X V, Y) + g(X, \nabla_Y V) + 2S(X, Y) + [2\lambda - (p + \frac{2}{2n+1})]g(X, Y) = 0$$

Substituting $X = Y = \xi$ and using (2.3), we get

$$2g(\nabla_\xi V, \xi) + 2S(\xi, \xi) + [2\lambda - (p + \frac{2}{2n+1})] = 0$$

Using the fact that ∇ is a metric connection, we have

$$-2g(V, \nabla_\xi \xi) + 2S(\xi, \xi) + [2\lambda - (p + \frac{2}{2n+1})] = 0$$

Since $\nabla_\xi \xi = 0$, we get from the above equation

$$2S(\xi, \xi) + [2\lambda - (p + \frac{2}{2n+1})] = 0$$

Now using (2.12) and substituting the value of $S(\xi, \xi)$, we get

$$4n(f_1 - f_3) + [2\lambda - (p + \frac{2}{2n+1})] = 0$$

Rearranging the above equation, we get

$$\lambda = 2n(f_1 - f_3) + (\frac{p}{2} + \frac{1}{2n+1})$$

Upon using three different conditions on λ in the above equations, we get the desired expressions. \square

Theorem 3.2. *Consider a $(2n+1)$ -dimensional Ricci semi-symmetric generalized (κ, μ) -space form M admitting a conformal Ricci soliton (g, V, λ) . If $f_4 = f_6$, then the manifold is Einstein and the potential vector field V is a conformal vector field.*

Proof. Since the manifold M is Ricci semi-symmetric, for any vector fields X and Y on M , we have

$$R(X, Y).S = 0,$$

where R is the curvature tensor and S is the Ricci tensor of M .

The above equation can be written as

$$S(R(X, Y)Z, U) + S(Z, R(X, Y)U) = 0,$$

where X, Y, Z, U are vector fields on M .

Replacing U by ξ in the above equation, we get

$$S(R(X, Y)Z, \xi) + S(Z, R(X, Y)\xi) = 0$$

Using the fact that $S(X, Y) = g(QX, Y)$ where Q is the Ricci operator, we have

$$g(Q\xi, R(X, Y)Z) + S(Z, R(X, Y)\xi) = 0$$

Now using (2.8) and (2.13) in the above equation, we get

$$g(2n(f_1 - f_3)\xi, R(X, Y)Z) + S(Z, (f_1 - f_3)[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y] + (f_4 - f_6)[\eta(Y)hX - \eta(X)hY]) = 0$$

Simplifying and make use of (2.3) and the fact that $f_4 = f_6$, we get

$$2n(f_1 - f_3)\eta(R(X, Y)Z) + (f_1 - f_3)[\eta(Y)S(Z, X) - \eta(X)S(Z, Y)] = 0$$

Putting $X = \xi$, we get

$$2n(f_1 - f_3)\eta(R(\xi, Y)Z) + (f_1 - f_3)[\eta(Y)S(Z, \xi) - S(Z, Y)] = 0$$

Making use of (2.9), (2.12) and then simplifying, we get

$$S(Y, Z) = 2n(f_1 - f_3)g(Y, Z), \quad (3.1)$$

Thus it is clear from the expression (3.1) that M is an Einstein manifold. Since M admits a conformal Ricci soliton (g, V, λ) , from (1.1) we have,

$$(L_V g)(X, Y) + 2S(X, Y) + [2\lambda - (p + \frac{2}{2n+1})]g(X, Y) = 0$$

Making use of (3.1) in the above expression, we get

$$(L_V g)(X, Y) = [2\lambda - 4n(f_1 - f_3) - (p + \frac{2}{2n+1})]g(X, Y)$$

Putting $\delta = [2\lambda - 4n(f_1 - f_3) - (p + \frac{2}{2n+1})]$, we can write

$$\mathcal{L}_V g = \delta g. \quad (3.2)$$

From (3.2), we conclude that V is a conformal vector field. \square

Theorem 3.3. *Consider a $(2n+1)$ -dimensional generalized (κ, μ) -space form M admitting a conformal Ricci soliton (g, V, λ) whose potential vector field V is pointwise collinear with the Reeb vector field ξ . Then V is constant multiple of ξ and M is an Einstein manifold of scalar curvature $r = 2n(2n+1)(f_1 - f_3)$.*

Proof. Let's assume that $V = b\xi$ for some smooth function b . Then from (1.1) we can write

$$\begin{aligned} bg(\nabla_X \xi, Y) + bg(X, \nabla_Y \xi) + X(b)\eta(Y) + Y(b)\eta(X) + 2S(X, Y) = \\ [2\lambda - (p + \frac{2}{2n+1})]g(X, Y) \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.5) in the above equation, we get,

$$X(b)\eta(Y) + Y(b)\eta(X) + 2S(X, Y) = [2\lambda - (p + \frac{2}{2n+1})]g(X, Y) \quad (3.3)$$

Putting $Y = \xi$ and using (2.12), we get,

$$X(b) = [2\lambda - (p + \frac{2}{2n+1}) - 4n(f_1 - f_3 - \xi(b))]\eta(X) \quad (3.4)$$

Putting $X = \xi$, we get

$$\xi(b) = [\lambda - (\frac{p}{2} + \frac{1}{2n+1}) - 2n(f_1 - f_3)] \quad (3.5)$$

In view of (3.4) and (3.5), we can write

$$db = [\lambda - (\frac{p}{2} + \frac{1}{2n+1}) - 2n(f_1 - f_3)]\eta \quad (3.6)$$

Operating by d on both sides of (3.6) and using the fact that $d^2 = 0$, we get

$$[\lambda - (\frac{p}{2} + \frac{1}{2n+1}) - 2n(f_1 - f_3)]d\eta \quad (3.7)$$

Since $d\eta \neq 0$, we get from (3.7)

$$\lambda = 2n(f_1 - f_3) + \left(\frac{p}{2} + \frac{1}{2n+1}\right). \quad (3.8)$$

Substituting (3.7) in (3.5), we get $db = 0$ which implies that b is constant. Thus V is constant multiple of ξ which proves the first part of the theorem.

To prove the second part of the theorem, we consider an orthonormal basis $\{e_i : 1 \leq i \leq 2n+1\}$ at each point of the manifold and put $X = Y = e_i$ in (3.3) and summing over $1 \leq i \leq (2n+1)$, we get

$$\xi(b) + r = (2n+1)\left[\lambda - \left(\frac{p}{2} + \frac{1}{2n+1}\right)\right]. \quad (3.9)$$

Using the fact that b is constant in (3.9) we get,

$$r = (2n+1)\left[\lambda - \left(\frac{p}{2} + \frac{1}{2n+1}\right)\right]. \quad (3.10)$$

Using (3.8) in (3.10), we get

$$r = 2n(2n+1)(g_1 - g_3),$$

which is the desired expression for the scalar curvature of the manifold.

Also, using the fact that b is constant in (3.3), we get

$$S(X, Y) = \left[\lambda - \left(\frac{p}{2} + \frac{1}{2n+1}\right)\right]g(X, Y).$$

Hence M is an Einstein manifold. \square

Lemma 3.4. *Consider a $(2n+1)$ -dimensional generalized (κ, μ) -space form M admitting a conformal gradient Ricci soliton $(g, \nabla f, \lambda)$. Then the curvature tensor R satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Y)\nabla f = & (2ndf_1 + 3df_2 - df_3)(Y)X - (2ndf_1 + 3df_2 - df_3)(X)Y \\ & + (3f_2 + (2n-1)f_3)(g_1 - g_3)[2g(\phi X, Y)\xi + \eta(X)\phi X - \eta(Y)\phi Y] \\ & + (3f_2 + (2n-1)f_3)(g_4 - g_6)[2g(\phi hX, Y)\xi + \phi hX - \phi hY] \\ & - (3df_2 + (2n-1)df_3)(Y)\eta(X)\xi + (3df_2 + (2n-1)df_3)(X)\eta(Y)\xi \\ & + ((2n-1)f_4 - f_6)[(\nabla_Y h)X - (\nabla_X h)Y] + ((2n-1)df_4 - df_6)(Y)hX \\ & - ((2n-1)df_4 - df_6)(X)hY. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since $(g, \nabla f, \lambda)$ is a conformal gradient Ricci soliton on N , for any vector field X on N , we can write

$$\nabla_X \nabla f = \left[\lambda - \left(\frac{p}{2} + \frac{1}{2n+1}\right)\right]X - QX,$$

where Q is the Ricci operator. Differentiating covariantly with respect to arbitrary vector field Y , we get

$$\nabla_Y \nabla_X \nabla f = \left[\lambda - \left(\frac{p}{2} + \frac{1}{2n+1}\right)\right]\nabla_Y X - \nabla_Y QX.$$

Interchanging X and Y in the above equaton we get,

$$\nabla_X \nabla_Y \nabla f = \left[\lambda - \left(\frac{p}{2} + \frac{1}{2n+1} \right) \right] \nabla_X Y - \nabla_X QY.$$

Also, we can write

$$\nabla_{[X,Y]} \nabla f = \left[\lambda - \left(\frac{p}{2} + \frac{1}{2n+1} \right) \right] (\nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X) - Q(\nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X).$$

Using the above equations in the expression for curvature tensor we get

$$R(X, Y) \nabla f = (\nabla_Y Q)X - (\nabla_X Q)Y. \quad (3.11)$$

Now,

$$(\nabla_Y Q)X = \nabla_Y QX - Q \nabla_Y X. \quad (3.12)$$

Differentiating covariantly (2.11) with respect to Y , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_Y QX &= (2nf_1 + 3f_2 - f_3) \nabla_Y X + (2ndf_1 + 3df_2 - df_3)(Y)X \\ &\quad + ((2n-1)f_4 - f_6) \nabla_Y hX + ((2n-1)df_4 - df_6)(Y)hX \\ &\quad - (3f_2 + (2n-1)f_3) [\nabla_Y \eta(X)\xi + \eta(X)\nabla_Y \xi] \\ &\quad - (3df_2 + (2n-1)df_3)(Y)\eta(X)\xi. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Also, from (2.11), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} Q \nabla_Y X &= (2nf_1 + 3f_2 - f_3) \nabla_X Y + ((2n-1)(f_4 - f_6)h \nabla_Y X) \\ &\quad - (3f_2 + (2n-1)f_3)\eta(\nabla_Y X)\xi. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Using (3.13) and (3.14) in (3.12), and recalling (2.5) and (2.6) we get,

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_Y Q)X &= (2ndf_1 + 3df_2 - df_3)(Y)X + ((2n-1)f_4 - f_6)(\nabla_Y h)X \\ &\quad + ((2n-1)df_4 - df_6)(Y)hX \\ &\quad - (3f_2 + (2n-1)f_3) [(f_3 - f_1)g(\phi X, Y) + (f_6 - f_4)g(\phi hX, Y)]\xi \\ &\quad - (3f_2 + (2n-1)f_3)\eta(X) [(f_3 - f_1)\phi X + (f_6 - f_4)\phi hX] \\ &\quad - (3df_2 + (2n-1)df_3)(Y)\eta(X)\xi. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

Interchanging X and Y in (3.15), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_X Q)Y &= (2ndf_1 + 3df_2 - df_3)(X)Y + ((2n-1)f_4 - f_6)(\nabla_X h)Y \\ &\quad + ((2n-1)df_4 - df_6)(X)hY \\ &\quad - (3f_2 + (2n-1)f_3) [(f_3 - f_1)g(\phi Y, X) + (f_6 - f_4)g(\phi hY, X)]\xi \\ &\quad - (3f_2 + (2n-1)f_3)\eta(Y) [(f_3 - f_1)\phi Y + (f_6 - f_4)\phi hY] \\ &\quad - (3df_2 + (2n-1)df_3)(X)\eta(Y)\xi. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

Now using (3.15) and (3.16) in (3.11), we get the desired expression for $R(X, Y) \nabla f$ \square

Theorem 3.5. *Consider a $(2n+1)$ -dimensional generalized (κ, μ) -space form M admitting a conformal gradient Ricci soliton $(g, \nabla f, \lambda)$ such that $g(hY, \nabla f) = 0$. Then the potential function f is constant if both f_1 and f_3 are constants.*

Proof. Since M admits a conformal gradient Ricci soliton, putting $X = \xi$ in (3.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
R(\xi, Y)\nabla f = & (2ndf_1 + 3df_2 - df_3)(Y)\xi - (2ndf_1 + 3df_2 - df_3)(\xi)Y \\
& + (3f_2 + (2n - 1)f_3)[(f_3 - f_1)\eta(Y)\phi Y + (f_6 - f_4)\phi hY] \\
& - (3df_2 + (2n - 1)df_3)(Y)\xi + (3df_2 + (2n - 1)df_3)(\xi)\eta(Y)\xi \\
& + ((2n - 1)f_4 - f_6)[(\nabla_Y h)\xi - (\nabla_\xi h)Y] \\
& - ((2n - 1)df_4 - df_6)(\xi)hY.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

Now taking the inner product of (3.17) with structure vector field ξ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
g(R(\xi, Y)\nabla f, \xi) = & 2n(df_1 - df_3)(Y) - (2ndf_1 + 3df_2 - df_3)(\xi)\eta(Y) \\
& + (3df_2 + (2n - 1)df_3)[(f_3 - f_1)\eta(Y)g(\phi Y, \xi) \\
& + (f_6 - f_4)g(\phi hY, \xi)] - (3df_2 + (2n - 1)df_3)(Y) \\
& + (3df_2 + (2n - 1)df_3)(\xi)\eta(Y) \\
& + ((2n - 1)f_4 - f_6)g((\nabla_Y h)\xi - (\nabla_\xi h)Y, \xi) \\
& - ((2n - 1)df_4 - df_6)(X)g(hY, \xi).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

Making use of the fact $h\xi = 0$ and symmetry of h in (3.18), we get

$$g(R(\xi, Y)\nabla f, \xi) = 2n(df_1 - df_3)(Y) - 2n(df_1 - df_3)(\xi)\eta(Y). \tag{3.19}$$

Now, using the property of the curvature tensor, we have

$$g(R(\xi, Y)\nabla f, \xi) = -g(R(\xi, Y)\xi, \nabla f). \tag{3.20}$$

Making use of (2.9) in (3.20), we get

$$g(R(\xi, Y)\nabla f, \xi) = (f_3 - f_1)[\eta(Y)(\xi f) - (Yf)] + (f_6 - f_4)[-g(hY, \nabla f)]. \tag{3.21}$$

Now using the fact that $g(hY, \nabla f) = 0$, we get from the (3.21)

$$g(R(\xi, Y)\nabla f, \xi) = (f_3 - f_1)[\eta(Y)(\xi f) - (Yf)]. \tag{3.22}$$

From (3.19) and (3.22), we get

$$2n(df_1 - df_3)(Y) - 2n(df_1 - df_3)(\xi)\eta(Y) = (f_3 - f_1)[\eta(Y)(\xi f) - (Yf)]. \tag{3.23}$$

If f_1 and f_3 are constants, then we get from (3.23)

$$\eta(Y)(\xi f) - (Yf) = 0.$$

The above equation can be rewritten as

$$g((\xi f)\xi, Y) = g(\nabla f, Y).$$

Since Y is an arbitrary vector field, we can write

$$\nabla f = (\xi f)\xi. \tag{3.24}$$

Differentiating (3.24) covariantly along the vector field X , we get

$$\nabla_X \nabla f = (X(\xi f))\xi + (\xi f)[(f_3 - f_1)\phi X + (f_6 - f_4)\phi hX].$$

Substituting the value of $\nabla_X \nabla f$, we get

$$QX = \left[\lambda - \left(\frac{p}{2} + \frac{1}{2n+1} \right) \right] X - (X(\xi f)) [(f_3 - f_1)\phi X + (f_6 - f_4)\phi hX]. \quad (3.25)$$

Comparing the coefficients of ϕX from (2.11) and (3.25), we get $(\xi f) = 0$. Using this in (3.24), we get $\nabla f = 0$. Hence f is constant. \square

Theorem 3.6. *Consider a $(2n+1)$ -dimensional generalized (κ, μ) -space form M admitting a conformal gradient Ricci soliton $(g, \nabla f, \lambda)$. Then the soliton is*

1. *shrinking if $p < [2f_3 - 6f_2 - 2nf_1 - \frac{2}{2n+1}]$*
2. *steady if $p = [2f_3 - 6f_2 - 2nf_1 - \frac{2}{2n+1}]$*
3. *expanding if $p > [2f_3 - 6f_2 - 2nf_1 - \frac{2}{2n+1}]$*

Proof. Comparing the coefficients of X in (2.11) and (3.25), we get

$$\lambda = \left[\left(\frac{p}{2} + \frac{1}{2n+1} \right) + (2nf_1 - 3f_2 - f_3) \right].$$

Now we use the three different conditions on λ in the above equation to get the desired expressions. \square

References

- [1] P. Alegre, D. E. Blair and A. Carriazo, *Generalized Sasakian-space-forms*, Israel J. Math., 141: 157-183, 2004.
- [2] N. Basu and A. Bhattacharyya, *Conformal Ricci soliton in Kenmotsu manifold*, Glob. J. Adv. Res. Class. Mod. Geom. 4(1) (2015):15-21.
- [3] D. E. Blair, *Contact manifolds in Riemannian geometry*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 509, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1976,
- [4] D. E. Blair, *Riemannian Geometry of Contact and Symplectic Manifolds*, Birkhäuser (Boston, 2002).
- [5] E. Boeckx, *A full classification of contact metric (κ, μ) -spaces*, Illinois J. Math., 44 (2000), 212-21
- [6] A. Carriazo and V. Martin-Molina, *Generalized (κ, μ) -space forms and D_α -homothetic deformations*, Balk. J. Geom. Appl., 16(1): 37-47, 2011.
- [7] A. Carriazo, V. Martin-Molina and M. M. Tripathi, *Generalized (κ, μ) -space forms*, Mediterr. J. Math., 10(2): 475-496, 2013.
- [8] D. Dey and P. Majhi, *Almost Kenmotsu metric as a conformal Ricci soliton*, Conform. Geom. Dyn., 23(5), 105-116.
- [9] S. Dey, S. Roy and A. Bhattacharyya, *Kenmotsu metric as a conformal η -Einstein soliton*, Carpath. Math. Publ., 13(1) (2021): 110-118.
- [10] U. C. De and K. Mandal, *Certain results on generalized (κ, μ) -contact metric manifolds*, J. Geom. Phys. , 108 (2017): 611-621.
- [11] A. E. Fischer, *An introduction to conformal Ricci flow*, Class. Quantum Gravity, 21 (3)(2004): S171.

- [12] D. Ganguly, S. Dey, A. Ali and A. Bhattacharyya, *Conformal Ricci soliton and Quasi-Yamabe soliton on generalized Sasakian space form*, J. Geom. Phys., 169 (2021): 104339.
- [13] D. Ganguly and A. Bhattacharya, *Conformal Ricci soliton on almost co-Kähler manifold.*, Adv. Math. Sci. J., 9(10)(2020): 8399-8411.
- [14] D. Ganguly and A. Bhattacharya, *A study on conformal Ricci solitons in the framework of $(LCS)_n$ -manifolds*, Ganita, 70(2)(2020): 201-216.
- [15] R. S. Hamilton, *Three-manifolds with positive Ricci curvature*, J. Differential Geom. 17(2) (1982): 255-306.
- [16] S. K. Hui, S. Uddin and P. Mandal, *Submanifolds of generalized (κ, μ) -space forms*, Period. Math. Hung., 77 (2018): 329-339.
- [17] R. S. Hamilton, *Ricci flow on surfaces*, Mathematics and General Relativity, Edited by James A. Isenberg, Contemp. Math., 71 (1988): 237-262.
- [18] T. Koufogiorgos, *Contact Riemannian manifolds with constant ϕ -sectional curvature*, Tokyo J. Math., 20 (1977): 55-67.
- [19] T. Koufogiorgos, C. Tsihlias, *On the Existence of a New Class of Contact Metric Manifolds*, Canad. Math. Bull., 43(4) (2000): 440-447.
- [20] C. W. Lee, J. W. Lee and J. E. Vilcu, *Generalized Wintgen inequality for submanifolds in generalized (κ, μ) -space forms*, Quaest. Math., 45(4) (2022): 497-511.
- [21] A. M. Pastore and V. Saltarelli, *Generalized nullity distributions on almost Kenmotsu manifolds*, Int. Electron. J. Geom. 4(2) (2011): 168-183.
- [22] M. D. Siddiqi, *Conformal Ricci Solitons of Lagrangian submanifolds in Kähler manifolds*, Proc. Inst. Math. Mech. Natl. Acad. Sci. Azerb., 46(1): 45-55.
- [23] P. Topping, *Lectures on the Ricci flow*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 325, Cambridge University Press, 2006.

Mehraj Ahmad Lone
Department of Mathematics,
National Institute of Technology Srinagar,
190006, Kashmir, India.
e-mail: mehrajlone@nitsri.net

Towseef Ali Wani
Department of Mathematics,
National Institute of Technology Srinagar,
190006, Kashmir, India.
e-mail: towseef_02phd19@nitsri.net