

SPIN KOSTKA POLYNOMIALS AND VERTEX OPERATORS

NAIHUAN JING AND NING LIU

ABSTRACT. An algebraic iterative formula for the spin Kostka-Foulkes polynomial $K_{\xi\mu}^-(t)$ is given using vertex operator realizations of Hall-Littlewood symmetric functions and Schur's Q -functions. Based on the operational formula, more favorable properties are obtained parallel to the Kostka polynomial. In particular, we obtain some formulae for the number of (unshifted) marked tableaux. As an application, we confirmed a conjecture of Aokage on the expansion of the Schur P -function in terms of Schur functions. Tables of $K_{\xi\mu}^-(t)$ for $|\xi| \leq 6$ are listed.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Hall-Littlewood symmetric functions $P_\mu(x; t)$ and the Kostka-Foulkes polynomials $K_{\lambda\mu}(t)$ both have played an active role in algebraic combinatorics and representation theory. On one hand, the Hall-Littlewood symmetric functions $P_\mu(x; t)$ are certain deformation of the Schur functions $s_\lambda(x)$ and the Kostka-Foulkes polynomials $K_{\lambda\mu}(t)$ are the transition coefficients between the two bases. On the other hand, $K_{\lambda\mu}(t)$ have the following representation theoretic interpretation. Let \mathfrak{B}_μ be the variety of flags preserved by a nilpotent matrix with Jordan block of shape μ . The cohomology group $H^\bullet(\mathfrak{B}_\mu)$ affords a graded \mathfrak{S}_n -module structure. Set

$$C_{\lambda\mu}(t) = \sum_{i \geq 0} t^i (\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}(S^\lambda, H^{2i}(\mathfrak{B}_\mu))),$$

where S^λ denotes the Specht module of \mathfrak{S}_n associated with λ . Garsia and Procesi [5] proved that

$$(1.1) \quad K_{\lambda\mu}(t) = C_{\lambda\mu}(t^{-1})t^{n(\mu)},$$

which confirms geometrically the positivity of the Kostka-Foulkes polynomials [11].

Recently, Wan and Wang [19] have introduced the spin Kostka-Foulkes polynomials $K_{\xi\mu}^-(t)$ as the transition coefficients between the Hall-Littlewood functions $P_\mu(x; t)$ and Schur's Q -functions Q_ξ with interesting representation theoretic interpretations. As is well-known, the Schur Q -functions are

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 05E05; Secondary: 17B69, 05E10.

Key words and phrases. spin Kostka polynomials, Jing operators, Hall-Littlewood polynomials.

indexed by strict partitions and were used by Schur [18] in generalizing the Frobenius character formula for projective irreducible characters of the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n . Schur's Q-functions form a distinguished basis in the subring of symmetric functions generated by p_1, p_3, \dots . Yamaguchi [21] has shown that the category of irreducible \mathfrak{S}_n -supermodules is equivalent to that of supermodules of the Hecke-Clifford algebra $\mathcal{H}_n = \mathcal{C}_n \rtimes \mathbb{C}\mathfrak{S}_n$ and the irreducible objects D^ξ are parametrized by strict partitions $\xi \in \mathcal{SP}_n$. Wan and Wang have shown that the spin Kostka polynomials admit the following interpretation [19]:

$$(1.2) \quad K_{\lambda\mu}^-(t) = 2^{l(\xi)/2} C_{\xi\mu}(t^{-1}) t^{n(\mu)},$$

and

$$C_{\xi\mu}^-(t) = \sum_{i \geq 0} t^i (\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_n}(D^\xi, \mathcal{C}_n \otimes H^{2i}(\mathfrak{B}_\mu))).$$

Let $\mathfrak{q}(n)$ be the queer Lie superalgebra containing the general linear Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ as its even subalgebra. Sergeev [17] has shown that the irreducible $\mathfrak{q}(n)$ -modules $V(\xi)$ are also parametrized by strict partitions $\xi \in \mathcal{SP}_n$. It turns out that the q -weight multiplicity $\gamma_{\xi\mu}^-(t)$ associated with the weight space $V(\xi)_\mu$ also appears as the spin Kostka polynomial [19]:

$$(1.3) \quad K_{\lambda\mu}^-(t) = 2^{l(\xi)/2} \gamma_{\xi\mu}^-(t).$$

The purpose of this paper is to give an operational algebraic formula for the spin Kostka-Foulkes polynomials $K_{\xi\mu}^-(t)$. The method we adopt is similar to that of [4], in which the vertex operator realizations of the Hall-Littlewood polynomials and Schur functions were employed. However, there is some subtlety in the spin situation.

In the usual vertex realization of Schur's Q-functions [6], only the modes of odd indices (of the twisted Heisenberg algebra) were used in the definition. Should this vertex operator be employed, the commutation relations of its components with those of the vertex operator for the Hall-Littlewood symmetric functions would have infinitely many terms in the quadratic relations. To salvage the situation, we introduce a new vertex operator realization of the Schur Q-functions using a larger Heisenberg algebra graded by all integers (see (2.8)-(2.9)). The new vertex operator realization enables us to get a finite quadratic relation between the operators realizing both the Hall-Littlewood and Schur Q-functions and then the matrix coefficients express the spin Kostka polynomials.

As matrix coefficients, the spin Kostka-Foulkes polynomials can be computed in general and exact formulas are obtained in some special cases. We also prove a stability formula for the spin Kostka polynomials. We have clarified some questions regarding them (disproved the symmetric property, cf. Ex. 3.11) and obtained counting formulas for the Stembridge coefficients [18] between the Schur P functions and Schur functions. As applications, we

have confirmed a recent conjecture of Aokage and are able to derive a tensor decomposition in the general situation.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall the vertex operator realization of the Hall-Littlewood functions and give a new vertex operator construction of the Schur Q-functions, which is specifically tailored for taming the commutation relation between the two vertex operators. In Section 3 we express the spin Hall-Littlewood polynomials as matrix coefficients of vertex operators and derive an iterative formula (see Theorem 3.5). Finally in Section 4 we use the iterative formulas to verify Aokage's conjecture on multiplicities of tensor products of spin modules, and a formula is also obtained for the general case.

2. VERTEX OPERATOR REALIZATION OF HALL-LITTLEWOOD AND SCHUR Q-FUNCTIONS

A partition (resp. strict partition) $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots)$, denoted $\lambda \vdash n$, is a weakly (resp. strictly) decreasing sequence of positive integers such that $\sum_i \lambda_i = n$. The sum $|\lambda| = \sum_i \lambda_i$ is called the weight and the number $l(\lambda)$ of nonzero parts is called the length. We also denote $\lambda \models n$ if λ is a composition of n when the parts λ_i are not necessarily ordered. The set of partitions (resp. strict partitions) of weight n will be denoted by \mathcal{P}_n (resp. \mathcal{SP}_n). The dominance order $\lambda \geq \mu$ is defined by $|\lambda| = |\mu|$ and $\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_i \geq \mu_1 + \dots + \mu_i$ for each i .

Let m_i be the multiplicity of i in λ and set $z_\lambda = \prod_{i \geq 1} i^{m_i(\lambda)} m_i(\lambda)!$, we define the parity $\varepsilon_\lambda = (-1)^{|\lambda| - l(\lambda)}$ and

$$(2.1) \quad z_\lambda(t) = \frac{z_\lambda}{\prod_{i \geq 1} (1 - t^{\lambda_i})},$$

$$(2.2) \quad n(\lambda) = \sum_{i \geq 1} (i-1)\lambda_i.$$

A partition λ can be visualized by its Young diagram when λ is identified with $\{(i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid 1 \leq i \leq l(\lambda), 1 \leq j \leq \lambda_i\}$. To each cell $(i, j) \in \lambda$, we define its content $c_{ij} = j - i$ and hook length $h_{ij} = \lambda_i + \lambda'_j - i - j + 1$, where the partition $\lambda' = (\lambda'_1, \dots, \lambda'_{\lambda_1})$ is the dual partition of λ obtained by reflecting the Young diagram of λ along the diagonal.

In this paper, we use the t -integer $[n] = t^{n-1} + t^{n-2} + \dots + t + 1$. Similarly $[n]! = [n] \cdots [1]$, and the Gauss t -binomial symbol $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} = \frac{[n]!}{[k]![n-k]!}$.

Let Λ_F be the ring of symmetric functions over $F = \mathbb{Q}(t)$, the field of rational functions in t . We also consider Λ over the ring of integers. The space Λ_F is graded and decomposes into a direct sum

$$(2.3) \quad \Lambda_F = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \Lambda_F^n.$$

where Λ_F^n is the subspace of degree n , spanned the element $p_\lambda = p_{\lambda_1} p_{\lambda_2} \cdots p_{\lambda_l}$ with $|\lambda| = n$. Here p_r is the degree r power sum symmetric function.

Let $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}$ be the subring of $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$ generated by the p_{2r-1} , $r \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{Q}[p_r : r \text{ odd}].$$

The Schur Q -functions Q_ξ , ξ strict, form a \mathbb{Q} -basis of $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}$ [14]. Γ is also a graded ring $\Gamma = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \Gamma^n$, where $\Gamma^n = \Gamma \cap \Lambda^n$.

The space Λ_F is equipped with the bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ defined by

$$(2.4) \quad \langle p_\lambda, p_\mu \rangle = \delta_{\lambda\mu} z_\lambda(t).$$

As $\{z_\lambda(t)^{-1} p_\lambda\}$ is the dual basis of the power sum basis, the adjoint operator of the multiplication operator p_n is the differential operator $p_n^* = \frac{n}{(1-t^n)} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_n}$ of degree $-n$.

We recall the vertex operator realization of the Hall-Littlewood symmetric functions [7] and construct a variant vertex operator for the Schur Q -function on the space Λ_F . The *vertex operators* $H(z)$ and its adjoint $H^*(z)$ are t -parametrized linear maps: $\Lambda_F \rightarrow \Lambda_F[[z, z^{-1}]] = \Lambda_F \otimes F[z, z^{-1}]$ defined by

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} H(z) &= \exp \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1-t^n}{n} p_n z^n \right) \exp \left(- \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_n} z^{-n} \right) \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H_n z^n, \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} H^*(z) &= \exp \left(- \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1-t^n}{n} p_n z^n \right) \exp \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_n} z^{-n} \right) \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H_n^* z^{-n}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $*$ is $\mathbb{Q}(t)$ -linear and anti-involutive satisfying

$$(2.7) \quad \langle H_n u, v \rangle = \langle u, H_n^* v \rangle$$

for $u, v \in \Lambda_F$.

We now introduce the *vertex operators* $Q(z)$ and its adjoint $Q^*(z)$ as the linear maps: $\Lambda_F \rightarrow \Lambda_F[[z, z^{-1}]]$ defined by

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} Q(z) &= \exp \left(\sum_{n \geq 1, \text{odd}} \frac{2}{n} p_n z^n \right) \exp \left(- \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_n} z^{-n} \right) \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} Q_n z^n, \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.9) \quad Q^*(z) = \exp \left(- \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1-t^n}{n} p_n z^n \right) \exp \left(\sum_{n \geq 1, \text{odd}} \frac{2}{1-t^n} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_n} z^{-n} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} Q_n^* z^{-n}.$$

The components $H_n, H_{-n}^* \in \text{End}_F(\Lambda)$ are of degree n , so are annihilation operators for $n > 0$. Similarly $Q_n, Q_{-n}^* \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Lambda)$. We remark that the second exponential factor of $Q(z)$ is different from the usual construction in [6], and this will be crucial for our later discussion. In particular, note that $Q(-z) \neq Q^*(z)$ in the current situation due to different inner product.

We collect the relations of the vertex operators as follows.

Proposition 2.1. [7, 6] 1) *The operators H_n and H_n^* satisfy the following relations*

$$(2.10) \quad H_m H_n - t H_n H_m = t H_{m+1} H_{n-1} - H_{n-1} H_{m+1}$$

$$(2.11) \quad H_m^* H_n^* - t H_n^* H_m^* = t H_{m-1}^* H_{n+1}^* - H_{n+1}^* H_{m-1}^*$$

$$(2.12) \quad H_m H_n^* - t H_n^* H_m = t H_{m-1} H_{n-1}^* - H_{n-1}^* H_{m-1} + (1-t)^2 \delta_{m,n}$$

$$(2.13) \quad H_{-n} \cdot 1 = Q_{-n} \cdot 1 = \delta_{n,0}, \quad H_n^* \cdot 1 = Q_n^* \cdot 1 = \delta_{n,0}$$

where $\delta_{m,n}$ is the Kronecker delta function.

2) *The operators Q_n satisfy the Clifford algebra relations:*

$$(2.14) \quad \{Q_m, Q_n\} = (-1)^n 2\delta_{m,-n}$$

where $\{A, B\} = AB + BA$.

Proof. Commutation relations (2.10)-(2.13) were from [7]. We focus on 2). Define the normal ordering product

$$: Q(z)Q(w) := \exp \left(\sum_{n \geq 1, \text{odd}} \frac{2}{n} p_n (z^n + w^n) \right) \exp \left(- \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_n} (z^{-n} + w^{-n}) \right).$$

Then we have for $|z| < |w|$

$$\begin{aligned} Q(z)Q(w) &=: Q(z)Q(w) : \exp \left(- \sum_{n \geq 1, \text{odd}} \frac{2}{n} \left(\frac{w}{z}\right)^n \right) \\ &=: Q(z)Q(w) : \frac{z-w}{z+w}. \end{aligned}$$

The rest of the argument is similar to Proposition 4.15 in [6]. \square

Note that the vacuum vector 1 is annihilated by p_n^* , so

$$(2.15) \quad H(z) \cdot 1 = \exp \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1-t^n}{n} p_n z^n \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_n z^n = q(z)$$

where q_n is the Hall-Littlewood polynomial of one-row partition (n) , and clearly

$$(2.16) \quad q_n = H_n \cdot 1 = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \frac{1}{z_\lambda(t)} p_\lambda.$$

We also introduce a spin analogue $h(z)$ as follows,

$$(2.17) \quad \tilde{h}(z) = \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^n - (-1)^n}{n} p_n z^n\right) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \tilde{h}_n z^n,$$

then

$$(2.18) \quad \tilde{h}_n = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \frac{\varepsilon_\lambda}{z_\lambda(-t)} p_\lambda.$$

Moreover,

$$(2.19) \quad \tilde{h}_n(-t) = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \varepsilon_\lambda u_\lambda q_\lambda,$$

where $\varepsilon_\lambda = (-1)^{|\lambda| - l(\lambda)}$ and $u_\lambda = \frac{l(\lambda)!}{\prod_{i \geq 1} m_i(\lambda)!}$.

As consequences of the proposition, one also has that

$$(2.20) \quad H_n H_{n+1} = t H_{n+1} H_n,$$

$$(2.21) \quad H_n^* H_{n-1}^* = t H_{n-1}^* H_n^*,$$

$$(2.22) \quad \langle H_n \cdot 1, H_n \cdot 1 \rangle = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \frac{1}{z_\lambda(t)} = 1 - t, \quad n > 0$$

$$(2.23) \quad \langle H_n \cdot 1, H_{-n}^* \cdot 1 \rangle = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \frac{(-1)^{l(\lambda)}}{z_\lambda(t)} = t^n - t^{n-1}, \quad n > 0$$

where the last two identities follow from (2.12) and (2.10) by induction.

In general, expressing H_μ for any composition μ in terms of the basis elements H_λ , $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$ can be formulated as follows. Let $S_{i,a}$ be the transformation $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_i, \lambda_{i+1}, \dots) \mapsto (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{i+1} - a, \lambda_i + a, \dots)$, where $\lambda_{i+1} > \lambda_i$. Define

$$(2.24) \quad C(S_{i,a}) = \begin{cases} t & a = 0 \\ t^{a+1} - t^{a-1} & 1 \leq a < \lfloor \frac{\lambda_{i+1} - \lambda_i}{2} \rfloor \\ t^{a+\epsilon} - t^{a-1} & 1 \leq a = \lfloor \frac{\lambda_{i+1} - \lambda_i}{2} \rfloor \end{cases}$$

where $\epsilon \equiv \lambda_{i+1} - \lambda_i \pmod{2}$. For $\underline{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_r)$ and $\underline{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_r)$ let

$$(2.25) \quad C(S_{\underline{i}, \underline{a}}) = C(S_{i_1, a_1}) C(S_{i_2, a_2}) \cdots C(S_{i_r, a_r})$$

where the product order follows that of $S_{i_1, a_1} S_{i_2, a_2} \cdots S_{i_r, a_r} \lambda$, i.e. from the right to the left. In particular, when $t = 0$, $C(S_{\underline{i}, \underline{a}}) = 0$ unless all $a_i = 1$, then $C(S_{\underline{i}, \underline{1}}) = (-1)^r$ which is possible only when $\lambda_{i+1} - \lambda_i \geq 2$. When $t = -1$, $C(S_{\underline{i}, \underline{a}}) = 0$ unless when all $a_i = 0$ and $C(S_{\underline{i}, \underline{0}}) = (-1)^r$.

Let μ be a composition and λ be a partition. Denote

$$(2.26) \quad B(\lambda, \mu) \triangleq \sum_{\underline{i}, \underline{a}} C(S_{\underline{i}, \underline{a}})$$

summed over $\underline{i} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r)$, $\underline{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r)$ such that $S_{\underline{i}, \underline{a}} \mu = \lambda$.

Proposition 2.2. [9] *Suppose μ is a composition, then*

$$(2.27) \quad H_\mu = \sum_{\lambda \vdash |\mu|} B(\lambda, \mu) H_\lambda.$$

We remark that λ appears only when $\lambda \geq \mu$ in (2.27). Let μ be a composition and λ be a partition. If there exists $\underline{i} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r)$, $\underline{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r)$ such that $S_{\underline{i}, \underline{a}} \mu = \lambda$. Then $\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \geq \sum_{i=1}^k \mu_i$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$

Proposition 2.3. [7, 6] (1) *Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l)$ be a partition. The vertex operator products $H_{\lambda_1} \cdots H_{\lambda_l}.1$ is the Hall-Littlewood function $Q_\lambda(t)$:*

$$(2.28) \quad H_{\lambda_1} \cdots H_{\lambda_l}.1 = Q_\lambda(t) = \prod_{i < j} \frac{1 - R_{ij}}{1 - tR_{ij}} q_{\lambda_1} \cdots q_{\lambda_l}$$

where the raising operator $R_{ij} q_\lambda = q_{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_i+1, \dots, \lambda_j-1, \dots, \lambda_l)}$.

(2) *Let $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_l)$ be a strict partition, then*

$$(2.29) \quad Q_\xi = Q_{\xi_1} Q_{\xi_2} \cdots Q_{\xi_l}.1$$

are the Schur Q -function indexed by the strict partition ξ . Moreover, $Q_\xi.1$, ξ strict, form an orthogonal \mathbb{Z} -base of Γ under the specialized inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{t=-1}$, explicitly

$$(2.30) \quad \langle Q_\lambda.1, Q_\xi.1 \rangle_{t=-1} = 2^{l(\lambda)} \delta_{\lambda\xi}, \quad \lambda, \xi \in \mathcal{SP}.$$

Proof. Part (1) is from [7]. Since our vertex operator $Q(z)$ is different from that of [6], we explain why the new vertex operator also realizes the Schur Q -functions. From the argument in proving (2.20) in Prop. 2.1 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} Q(z_1)Q(z_2) \cdots Q(z_l).1 &= \prod_{i < j} \frac{z_i - z_j}{z_i + z_j} : Q(z_1)Q(z_2) \cdots Q(z_l) : .1 \\ &= \prod_{i < j} \frac{z_i - z_j}{z_i + z_j} \exp\left(\sum_{n \geq 1, \text{odd}} \frac{2p_n}{n} (z_1^n + \cdots + z_l^n)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Taking coefficient of $z_1^{\xi_1} \cdots z_l^{\xi_l}$, we obtain that Q_ξ is exactly the Schur Q -function indexed by ξ (cf. [6]). \square

3. SPIN HALL-LITTLEWOOD POLYNOMIALS AND VERTEX OPERATORS

Wan and Wang have introduced a very interesting spin analogue of Kostka(-Foulkes) polynomials and shown that these polynomials enjoy favorable properties parallel to those of the Kostka polynomials [19].

Definition 3.1. [19] The spin Kostka polynomials $K_{\xi\mu}^-(t)$ for $\xi \in \mathcal{SP}$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{P}$ are defined by

$$(3.1) \quad Q_\xi(x) = \sum_{\mu} K_{\xi\mu}^-(t) P_\mu(x; t),$$

where $Q_\xi(x)$ (resp. $P_\mu(x; t)$) are Schur's Q -functions (resp. Hall-Littlewood functions).

From the above discussion and Theorem 2.3, it is clear that the spin Kostka polynomials can be expressed as the matrix coefficients:

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\xi\mu}^-(t) &= \langle Q_\mu(x; t), Q_\xi(x) \rangle \\ &= \langle H_{\mu_1} H_{\mu_2} \cdots H_{\mu_l} \cdot 1, Q_{\xi_1} Q_{\xi_2} \cdots Q_{\xi_k} \cdot 1 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

To compute the matrix coefficients, we first get the commutation relations by usual techniques of vertex operators:

$$(3.2) \quad H^*(z)Q(w)(w - tz) + Q(w)H^*(z)(z + w) = 2(1 - t)z\delta\left(\frac{w}{z}\right)\tilde{h}(z),$$

$$(3.3) \quad \tilde{h}^*(z)H(w) = H(w)\tilde{h}^*(z)\frac{w + z}{w - tz},$$

$$(3.4) \quad Q(z)\tilde{h}(w) = \tilde{h}(w)Q(z)\frac{z - tw}{z + w}.$$

We remark that if the old vertex operator $\tilde{Q}(w)$ from [6] were used, then the commutation relations between $H^*(z)$ and $\tilde{Q}(w)$ would have been an infinite quadratic relation.

Taking coefficients we obtain the following commutation relations.

Proposition 3.2. *The commutation relations between the Hall-Littlewood vertex operators and Schur's Q -function operators are:*

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} H_n^* Q_m &= t^{-1} H_{n-1}^* Q_{m-1} + t^{-1} Q_m H_n^* + t^{-1} Q_{m-1} H_{n-1}^* \\ &\quad + 2(1 - t^{-1})\tilde{h}_{m-n}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.6) \quad \tilde{h}_m^* H_n = H_n \tilde{h}_m^* + (1 + t) \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} t^{m-k-1} H_{n-m+k} \tilde{h}_k^*,$$

$$(3.7) \quad Q_n \tilde{h}_m = \tilde{h}_m Q_n + (1 + t) \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^{m-k} \tilde{h}_k Q_{n-k+m}.$$

Now we can state our formulas to compute the spin Kostka polynomials. To this end, we prepare some necessary notations. Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_l)$ and $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_m)$ be (strict)partitions. We denote $\lambda^{[i]} = (\lambda_{i+1}, \dots, \lambda_l)$, $\lambda^{\hat{i}} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{i-1}, \lambda_{i+1}, \dots, \lambda_l)$, and $\lambda - \mu = (\lambda_1 - \mu_1, \lambda_2 - \mu_2, \dots)$.

Theorem 3.3. *For strict partition $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_l)$ and partition $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_m)$ and integer k ,*

$$(3.8) \quad H_k^* Q_\xi = \sum_{i=1}^l (-1)^{i-1} 2\tilde{h}_{\xi_i-k} Q_{\xi^{\hat{i}}},$$

$$(3.9) \quad \tilde{h}_k^* H_\mu = \sum_{\tau \vdash k} t^{k-l(\tau)} (1+t)^{l(\tau)} H_{\mu-\tau}.$$

Proof. We show the first relation by induction on $k + |\xi|$. The case of $k + |\xi| = 1$ is clear. Assume that (3.8) holds for $k + |\xi| = n - 1$. Using the induction hypothesis and (3.5) we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
& H_k^* Q_{\xi_1} Q_{\xi_2} \cdots Q_{\xi_l} \\
&= t^{-1} H_{k-1}^* Q_{\xi_1-1} Q_{\xi_2} \cdots Q_{\xi_l} + t^{-1} Q_{\xi_1} H_k^* Q_{\xi_2} \cdots Q_{\xi_l} + t^{-1} Q_{\xi_1-1} H_{k-1}^* Q_{\xi_2} \cdots Q_{\xi_l} \\
&+ 2(1-t^{-1}) \tilde{h}_{\xi_1-k} Q_{\xi_2} \cdots Q_{\xi_l} \\
&= t^{-1} (2\tilde{h}_{\xi_1-k} Q_{\xi_2} \cdots Q_{\xi_l} - 2\tilde{h}_{\xi_2-k+1} Q_{\xi_1-1} Q_{\xi_3} \cdots Q_{\xi_l} + 2\tilde{h}_{\xi_3-k+1} Q_{\xi_1-1} Q_{\xi_2} Q_{\xi_4} \cdots Q_{\xi_l} \\
&+ \cdots + (-1)^{l+1} 2\tilde{h}_{\xi_l-k+1} Q_{\xi_1-1} Q_{\xi_2} \cdots Q_{\xi_{l-1}}) \\
&+ t^{-1} Q_{\xi_1} (2\tilde{h}_{\xi_2-k} Q_{\xi_3} \cdots Q_{\xi_l} - 2\tilde{h}_{\xi_3-k} Q_{\xi_2} Q_{\xi_4} \cdots Q_{\xi_l} + 2\tilde{h}_{\xi_4-k} Q_{\xi_2} Q_{\xi_3} Q_{\xi_5} \cdots Q_{\xi_l} \\
&+ \cdots + (-1)^l 2\tilde{h}_{\xi_l-k} Q_{\xi_2} Q_{\xi_3} \cdots Q_{\xi_{l-1}}) \\
&+ t^{-1} Q_{\xi_1-1} (2\tilde{h}_{\xi_2-k+1} Q_{\xi_3} \cdots Q_{\xi_l} - 2\tilde{h}_{\xi_3-k+1} Q_{\xi_2} Q_{\xi_4} \cdots Q_{\xi_l} + 2\tilde{h}_{\xi_4-k+1} Q_{\xi_2} Q_{\xi_3} Q_{\xi_5} \cdots Q_{\xi_l} \\
&+ \cdots + (-1)^l 2\tilde{h}_{\xi_l-k+1} Q_{\xi_2} Q_{\xi_3} \cdots Q_{\xi_{l-1}}) \\
&+ 2(1-t^{-1}) \tilde{h}_{\xi_1-k} Q_{\xi_2} Q_{\xi_3} \cdots Q_{\xi_l}.
\end{aligned}$$

Simplifying the expression, we see the above is

$$\begin{aligned}
& t^{-1} (2\tilde{h}_{\xi_1-k} Q_{\xi_2} \cdots Q_{\xi_l} - 2\tilde{h}_{\xi_2-k+1} Q_{\xi_1-1} Q_{\xi_3} \cdots Q_{\xi_l} + 2\tilde{h}_{\xi_3-k+1} Q_{\xi_1-1} Q_{\xi_2} Q_{\xi_4} \cdots Q_{\xi_l} \\
&+ \cdots + (-1)^{l+1} 2\tilde{h}_{\xi_l-k+1} Q_{\xi_1-1} Q_{\xi_2} \cdots Q_{\xi_{l-1}}) \\
&+ 2t^{-1} (\tilde{h}_{\xi_2-k+1} Q_{\xi_1-1} Q_{\xi_3} \cdots Q_{\xi_l} - \tilde{h}_{\xi_3-k+1} Q_{\xi_1-1} Q_{\xi_2} Q_{\xi_4} \cdots Q_{\xi_l} + \tilde{h}_{\xi_4-k+1} Q_{\xi_1-1} \cdots Q_{\xi_l} \\
&+ \cdots + (-1)^l \tilde{h}_{\xi_l-k+1} Q_{\xi_1-1} Q_{\xi_2} Q_{\xi_3} \cdots Q_{\xi_{l-1}}) \\
&- 2(\tilde{h}_{\xi_2-k} Q_{\xi_1} Q_{\xi_3} \cdots Q_{\xi_l} - \tilde{h}_{\xi_3-k} Q_{\xi_1} Q_{\xi_2} Q_{\xi_4} \cdots Q_{\xi_l} + \tilde{h}_{\xi_4-k} Q_{\xi_1} Q_{\xi_2} Q_{\xi_3} Q_{\xi_5} \cdots Q_{\xi_l} \\
&+ \cdots + (-1)^l \tilde{h}_{\xi_l-k} Q_{\xi_1} Q_{\xi_2} Q_{\xi_3} \cdots Q_{\xi_{l-1}}) \\
&+ 2(1-t^{-1}) \tilde{h}_{\xi_1-k} Q_{\xi_2} Q_{\xi_3} \cdots Q_{\xi_l} \quad (\text{by (3.7)}) \\
&= 2\tilde{h}_{\xi_1-k} Q_{\xi_2} \cdots Q_{\xi_l} - 2\tilde{h}_{\xi_2-k} Q_{\xi_1} Q_{\xi_3} \cdots Q_{\xi_l} + \cdots + 2(-1)^{l-1} \tilde{h}_{\xi_l-k} Q_{\xi_1} \cdots Q_{\xi_{l-1}},
\end{aligned}$$

which has proved the first one. The second relation is similarly shown by (3.6) and induction on $l(\mu)$ as well. \square

Example 3.4. Let $\mu = (2, 2)$ and $\xi = (3, 1)$, then by Theorem 3.3

$$\begin{aligned}
K_{\xi\mu}^-(t) &= \langle H_2 H_{2,1}, Q_3 Q_{1,1} \rangle \\
&= \langle H_{2,1}, 2h_1 Q_{1,1} \rangle \\
&= 2(t(1+t^{-1})H_{1,1}, Q_{1,1}) \\
&= 4t + 4.
\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3.3, we now obtain an algebraic formula for $K_{\xi\mu}^-(t)$.

Theorem 3.5. For $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_l) \in \mathcal{SP}_n$ and $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m) \in \mathcal{P}_n$, $K_{\xi\mu}^-(t)$ is given by the iterative formula:

$$(3.10) \quad K_{\xi\mu}^-(t)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^l \sum_{\tau \models \xi_i - \mu_1} \sum_{\lambda \vdash n - \xi_i} (-1)^{i-1} 2t^{\xi_i - \mu_1} (1 + t^{-1})^{l(\tau)} B(\lambda, \mu^{[1]} - \tau) K_{\xi_i \lambda}^-(t).$$

Proof. It follows readily from (3.8), (3.9) and (2.27). \square

Eq. (3.10) shows that all spin Kostka polynomials are integral polynomials, and it also gives an effective recurrence of $K_{\xi \mu}^-(t)$ as shown by the following example.

Example 3.6. Let $\xi = (4, 3, 1)$ and $\mu = (3, 3, 2)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\xi \mu}^-(t) &= \langle H_3 H_3 H_2.1, Q_4 Q_3 Q_1.1 \rangle \\ &= \langle H_3 H_2.1, 2\tilde{h}_1 Q_3 Q_1.1 \rangle - \langle H_3 H_2.1, 2\tilde{h}_0 Q_4 Q_1.1 \rangle \\ &= 2\langle t(1 + t^{-1})(H_2 H_2.1 + H_3 H_1.1), Q_3 Q_1.1 \rangle - 2\langle H_3 H_2.1, Q_4 Q_1.1 \rangle \\ &= 2(t + 1)(K_{(3,1)(2,2)}^-(t) + K_{(3,1)(3,1)}^-(t)) - 2K_{(4,1)(3,2)}^-(t). \end{aligned}$$

The spin Kostka polynomials have quite a few remarkable properties resembling those of the Kostka-Foulkes polynomials. As a consequence of the recurrence we have the following.

Corollary 3.7. *Let ξ be a strict partition and μ be a partition, we have*

(1) *If there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\xi_i = \mu_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, then*

$$(3.11) \quad K_{\xi \mu}^-(t) = 2^k K_{\xi^{[k]} \mu^{[k]}}^-(t).$$

In particular, $K_{\xi \xi}^-(t) = 2^{l(\xi)}$.

(2) *$2^{l(\xi)} \mid K_{\xi \mu}^-(t)$.*

(3) *$K_{\xi \mu}^-(-1) = 2^{l(\xi)} \delta_{\xi \mu}$.*

Proof. They are immediate consequences of Theorem 3.5. \square

Some special cases of Theorem 3.5 are listed as follows.

Example 3.8. Suppose $\xi \in \mathcal{SP}_n$, $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_n$, we have

$$(3.12) \quad K_{\xi(n)}^-(t) = 2\delta_{\xi, (n)}$$

$$(3.13) \quad K_{(n)\mu}^-(t) = 2t^{n-\mu_1} \sum_{\tau \models n-\mu_1} (1 + t^{-1})^{l(\tau)} B(\emptyset, \mu^{(1)} - \tau)$$

$$(3.14) \quad K_{\xi(\mu_1, \mu_2)}^-(t) = \begin{cases} 2^{2-\delta_{0, \xi_2}} t^{\xi_1 - \mu_1} (1 + t^{-1}) & \text{if } \xi > (\mu_1, \mu_2) \\ 4 & \text{if } \xi = (\mu_1, \mu_2) \\ 0 & \text{if others.} \end{cases}$$

There is a compact formula of $K_{(n)\mu}^-(t)$ [19] by using a result of [14]. We will come back to the Wan-Wang formula using the iteration in the next section.

The following result was first proved in [19] using the similar property of the Kostka-Foulkes polynomials. Using our iterative formula, one can give an

independent proof from that of the Kostka-Foulkes polynomials. We remark that the method can also be used to show this property for the Kostka-Foulkes polynomial by the iterative formula in [4].

Corollary 3.9. *Let $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots) \in \mathcal{SP}_n$, $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots) \in \mathcal{P}_n$, then $K_{\xi\mu}^-(t) = 0$, unless $\xi \geq \mu$.*

Proof. It is equivalent to prove $K_{\xi\mu}^-(t) = 0$, if $\xi \not\geq \mu$. We argue it by induction on n . The initial step is obvious. Suppose it holds for $< n$. There exists a smallest $k \geq 1$, such that $\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \dots + \xi_k < \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \dots + \mu_k$. If $k = 1$, then it's evident that $K_{\xi\mu}^-(t) = 0$ by the iterative formula (3.10). If $k > 1$, then there exists $k > j \geq 1$, such that $\xi_{j+1} < \mu_1 \leq \xi_j$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\xi\mu}^-(t) &= \sum_{i=1}^j (-1)^{i-1} \langle H_{\mu_2} H_{\mu_3} \cdots, 2\tilde{h}_{\xi_i - \mu_1} Q_{\xi_1} \cdots \hat{Q}_{\xi_i} \cdots \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^j (-1)^{i-1} \sum_{\tau \models \xi_i - \mu_1} 2t^{\xi_i - \mu_1} (1+t^{-1})^{l(\tau)} \langle H_{\mu^{[1]} - \tau}, Q_{\xi_i} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^j (-1)^{i-1} \sum_{\tau \models \xi_i - \mu_1} 2t^{\xi_i - \mu_1} (1+t^{-1})^{l(\tau)} \sum_{\nu \vdash n - \xi_i} B(\nu, \mu^{[1]} - \tau) \langle H_\nu, Q_{\xi_i} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^j (-1)^{i-1} \sum_{\tau \models \xi_i - \mu_1} 2t^{\xi_i - \mu_1} (1+t^{-1})^{l(\tau)} \sum_{\nu \vdash n - \xi_i} B(\nu, \mu^{[1]} - \tau) K_{\xi_i\nu}^-(t). \end{aligned}$$

By the remark below Proposition 2.2, for each $1 \leq i \leq j$, we have $\nu_1 + \dots + \nu_{k-1} \geq \mu_2 + \dots + \mu_k - \tau_1 - \dots - \tau_{k-1} \geq \mu_2 + \dots + \mu_k + \mu_1 - \xi_i > \xi_1 + \dots + \xi_{i-1} + \xi_{i+1} + \dots + \xi_k$. By induction, we have $K_{\xi_i\nu}^-(t) = 0$. \square

The Kostka-Foulkes polynomials have the stability property [4], which says that if $\mu_1 \geq \lambda_2$, then $K_{\lambda+(r), \mu+(r)}(t) = K_{\lambda\mu}(t)$ for all $r \geq 1$. Here, $\lambda+(r) = (\lambda_1 + r, \lambda_2, \dots)$. The spin Kostka polynomials also enjoy the same stability.

Proposition 3.10. *Let $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_i) \in \mathcal{SP}$, $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m) \in \mathcal{P}$, and $\mu_1 > \xi_2$. Then for any $r \geq 1$, we have*

$$(3.15) \quad K_{\xi+(r)\mu+(r)}^-(t) = K_{\xi\mu}^-(t).$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.3, it follows that

$$K_{\xi+(r)\mu+(r)}^-(t) = \langle H_{\mu_2} H_{\mu_3} \cdots H_{\mu_m} \cdot 1, 2\tilde{h}_{\xi_1 - \mu_1} Q_{\xi_2} \cdots Q_{\xi_i} \cdot 1 \rangle = K_{\xi\mu}^-(t). \quad \square$$

The spin Kostka-Foulkes polynomials $K_{\lambda\mu}(t)$ were conjecturally symmetric [19, Qu. 4.10] in the sense that

$$K_{\lambda\mu}^-(t) = t^{m_{\lambda\mu}} K_{\lambda\mu}^-(t^{-1})$$

for some $m_{\lambda\mu} \in \mathbb{Z}$. However, the following is a counterexample.

Example 3.11. Given $\xi = (3, 2)$ and $\mu = (2, 1^3)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\xi\mu}^-(t) &= \langle H_2 H_1 H_1 H_1.1, Q_3 Q_2.1 \rangle \\ &= \langle H_1 H_1 H_1.1, 2\tilde{h}_1 Q_2.1 \rangle - \langle H_1 H_1 H_1.1, 2\tilde{h}_0 Q_3.1 \rangle \\ &= 2\langle t(1+t^{-1})[3]H_1 H_1.1, Q_2.1 \rangle - 2K_{(3)(1^3)}^-(t) \\ &= 4t(t^3 + 2t^2 + 3t + 2). \end{aligned}$$

4. MARKED TABLEAUX

To study projective representations of the symmetric group, Stembridge [18] introduced the number $g_{\xi\lambda}$ as follows:

$$(4.1) \quad Q_{\xi}(x) = \sum_{\lambda} b_{\xi\lambda} s_{\lambda}(x), \quad g_{\xi\lambda} = 2^{-l(\xi)} b_{\xi\lambda}.$$

Note that $b_{\xi\lambda} = K_{\xi\lambda}^-(0)$, but we will see that $g_{\xi\lambda}$ can be extended to any partition ξ , so we reserve this notation in this section.

Let ξ, λ be partitions with ξ strict. The coefficient $g_{\xi\lambda}$ of s_{λ} in the expansion of the Schur Q -function $2^{-l(\xi)} Q_{\xi}$ counts the number of (unshifted) marked tableaux T of shape λ and weight ξ such that

- (a) $w(T)$ has the lattice property;
- (b) for each $k \geq 1$, the last occurrence of k' in $w(T)$ precedes the last occurrence of k .

Here $w(T)$ is the word of T by reading the symbols in T from right to left in successive rows, starting with the top row.

The combinatorial interpretation and the representation-theoretic interpretation of $g_{\xi\lambda}$ are known [15, 18, 19, 20]. However, no effective formula for $g_{\xi\mu}$ is available. As an application of the preceding section, we give an algebraic formula for $g_{\xi\lambda}$.

The ring $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$ of symmetric functions has the canonical bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_0 = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{t=0}$ under which Schur functions are orthonormal:

$$(4.2) \quad \langle p_{\lambda}, p_{\mu} \rangle_0 = \delta_{\lambda, \mu} z_{\lambda},$$

thus the adjoint operator of the multiplication operator p_n is the differential operator $p_n^- = n \frac{\partial}{\partial p_n}$.

With respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_0$, the *vertex operators* and their adjoint operators for Schur's functions and Schur's Q -functions are given by [6, 8]:

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} S^{\pm}(z) &= \exp \left(\pm \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n} p_n z^n \right) \exp \left(\mp \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_n} z^{-n} \right) \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} S_n^{\pm} z^{\pm n}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.4) \quad Q^+(z) = Q(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} Q_n^+ z^n,$$

$$(4.5) \quad Q^-(z) = \exp\left(-\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n} p_n z^n\right) \exp\left(\sum_{n \geq 1, \text{odd}} 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial p_n} z^{-n}\right) \\ = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} Q_n^- z^{-n}.$$

Note that $Q^-(z)$ are the specialized vertex operator $Q^*(z)|_{t=0}$. Here we denote the adjoint operators by S_n^+ and Q_n^+ respectively, to distinguish from the preceding section.

Therefore $g_{\xi\lambda}$ can be expressed in terms of this inner product:

$$(4.6) \quad g_{\xi\lambda} = 2^{-l(\xi)} b_{\xi\lambda} = 2^{-l(\xi)} \langle s_\lambda, Q_\xi \rangle_0 = 2^{-l(\xi)} \langle S_\lambda \cdot 1, Q_\xi \cdot 1 \rangle_0.$$

Recall that the involution $\omega : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$ defined by $\omega(p_\lambda) = \varepsilon_\lambda p_\lambda$ [14] is an isometry with respect to the canonical inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_0$ such that

$$\omega(s_\lambda) = s_{\lambda'}, \quad \omega(Q_\xi) = Q_\xi.$$

Proposition 4.1. *For given $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n$, $\xi \in \mathcal{SP}_n$, then $g_{\xi\lambda}$ or $b_{\xi\lambda}$ has the following property*

$$(4.7) \quad g_{\xi\lambda} = g_{\xi\lambda'}.$$

We introduce the operators for the elementary symmetric functions e_n :

$$(4.8) \quad e^\pm(z) = \exp\left(\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} p_n^\pm z^{\pm n}\right) = \sum_{n \geq 0} e_n^\pm z^{\pm n}.$$

where $p_n^+ = p_n$, $p_n^- = n \frac{\partial}{\partial p_n}$, and $e^+(z) = h(z)|_{t=0}$.

Then by Theorem 3.3 we have

Proposition 4.2. *For any strict partition $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_l)$, any partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots)$ and integer k ,*

$$(4.9) \quad S_k^- Q_\xi = \sum_{i=1}^l (-1)^{i-1} 2 e_{\xi_i - k} Q_{\xi_1} Q_{\xi_2} \cdots \hat{Q}_{\xi_i} \cdots Q_{\xi_l},$$

$$(4.10) \quad e_k^- S_\lambda = \sum_{\rho} S_\rho,$$

where ρ runs through the partitions such that λ/ρ are vertical k -strips.

The algebraic iterative formula for $b_{\xi\lambda}$ is then natural:

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\xi \in \mathcal{SP}_n$, $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n$,*

$$(4.11) \quad b_{\xi\lambda} = \sum_{i=1}^{l(\xi)} 2(-1)^{i-1} \sum_{\rho^i} b_{\xi^{(i)}\rho^i},$$

where ρ^i runs through the partitions such that $\lambda^{[1]}/\rho^i$ are vertical $\xi_i - \lambda_1$ -strips.

Example 4.4. Let $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n$, we have

$$(4.12) \quad b_{(n)\lambda} = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } \lambda \text{ is a hook;} \\ 0 & \text{if } \lambda \text{ is not a hook.} \end{cases}$$

Combining (3.1) and (4.1), we have

$$(4.13) \quad K_{\xi\mu}^-(t) = \sum_{\lambda} b_{\xi\lambda} K_{\lambda\mu}(t),$$

where $K_{\lambda\mu}(t)$ are the Kostka-Foulkes polynomials.

By (4.12), we have

$$(4.14) \quad K_{(n)\mu}^-(t) = \sum_{\lambda \text{ hook}} 2K_{\lambda\mu}(t).$$

Recall that a compact formula for the Kostka-Foulkes polynomials $K_{\lambda\mu}(t)$ is known for λ being hook-shaped [10, 4]

$$(4.15) \quad K_{(n-k, 1^k)\mu}(t) = t^{n(\mu) + \frac{k(k+1-2l)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} l-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix},$$

where $n = |\mu|$, $l = l(\mu)$. Therefore, we have that for any partition $\mu \vdash n$

$$(4.16) \quad K_{(n)\mu}^-(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{l(\mu)-1} 2t^{n(\mu) + \frac{k(k+1-2l(\mu))}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} l(\mu) - 1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(4.17) \quad = t^{n(\mu)} \prod_{i=1}^{l(\mu)} (1 + t^{1-i}).$$

Here the second equation follows from the t -binomial expansion [1, (2.9)] or an easy induction on $l(\mu)$ from (4.16). We remark that (4.17) was first given by Wan-Wang [19] using identities of Hall-Littlewood polynomials.

For given partition λ , we define

$$\{\lambda\}_s \doteq \{\rho \subset \lambda^{[1]} \mid \rho \text{ is a hook and } \lambda^{[1]}/\rho \text{ is a vertical } s\text{-strips}\}.$$

Set $N^{(s)}(\lambda) = \text{Card}\{\lambda\}_s$. It is clear that $N^{(s)}(\lambda) = 0$ when $s < 0$ or $s > |\lambda^{[1]}|$. Now we can give a two-row formula by the iterative formula for $b_{\xi\lambda}$.

Theorem 4.5. Let $1 \leq m < \frac{n}{2}$, $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n$, we have

$$(4.18) \quad b_{(n-m, m)\lambda} = 4(N^{(n-m-\lambda_1)}(\lambda) - N^{(m-\lambda_1)}(\lambda)).$$

To compute $N^{(s)}(\lambda)$, we denote all hook (resp. double hook) partitions of n by $HP(n)$ (resp. $DHP(n)$). That is $HP(n) \doteq \{(\lambda_1, 1^{m_1}) \mid \lambda_1 + m_1 = n\}$, $DHP(n) \doteq \{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, 2^{m_2}, 1^{m_1}) \mid \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + 2m_2 + m_1 = n\}$. Clearly, $HP(n) \subset DHP(n)$. We remark that $N^{(s)}(\lambda) = 0$ unless $\lambda \in DHP(n)$. Now let's consider $N^{(s)}(\lambda)$ ($0 \leq s \leq |\lambda^{[1]}|$, $\lambda \in DHP(n)$) case by case.

Case 1: If $\lambda \in HP(n)$, then $N^{(s)}(\lambda) = 1$.

Before considering the case $\lambda \in DHP(n) \setminus HP(n)$, we look at the following special case.

Case 2: If $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, 1^{m_1})$ and $\lambda \notin HP(n)$, then we have

$$(4.19) \quad N^{(s)}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } s \geq m_1 + 2 \\ 1 & \text{if } s = 0 \text{ or } s = m_1 + 1 \\ 2 & \text{if } 1 \leq s \leq m_1. \end{cases}$$

Case 3: If $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, 2^{m_2}, 1^{m_1}) \in DHP(n) \setminus HP(n)$. It follows from **Case 2** that

$$(4.20) \quad \begin{aligned} N^{(s)}(\lambda) &= N^{(s-m_2)}((\lambda_1, \lambda_2, 1^{m_1})) \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq s \leq m_2 - 1 \text{ or } s \geq m_1 + m_2 + 2 \\ 1 & \text{if } s = m_2 \text{ or } s = m_1 + m_2 + 1 \\ 2 & \text{if } 1 + m_2 \leq s \leq m_1 + m_2. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Example 4.6. For given $\xi = (4, 3)$, $\lambda = (2, 2, 2, 1)$, then $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 2$, $m_1 = m_2 = 1$, we have

$$b_{(4,3)(2,2,2,1)} = 4(N^{(2)}(\lambda) - N^{(1)}(\lambda)) = 4 \times (2 - 1) = 4.$$

The symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n has a two-valued representation, known as the spin representation studied by Schur and this is actually a representation of the double covering group $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n$ of \mathfrak{S}_n [16]. It is known that the irreducible spin representations of \mathfrak{S}_n are parametrized by strict partitions of n . Let ζ^λ be the irreducible spin character of the Schur double covering group $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n$ afforded by the module V^λ , $\lambda \in \mathcal{SP}_n$. Stembridge [18] obtained the irreducible decomposition for the twisted tensor product of $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n$ [12]:

$$\mathbf{ch}(\zeta^{(n)} \otimes \zeta^\lambda) = P_\lambda(x; -1)$$

where \mathbf{ch} is the characteristic map (cf. [6]).

Corollary 4.7. *Let S^λ be the Specht module corresponding to partition $\lambda \vdash n$ and $1 \leq m < \frac{n}{2}$. Then we have the irreducible decomposition as \mathfrak{S}_n -modules:*

$$(4.21) \quad V^{(n)} \otimes V^{(n-m, m)} \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda \in DHP(n)} (N^{(n-m-\lambda_1)}(\lambda) - N^{(m-\lambda_1)}(\lambda)) S^\lambda.$$

Aokage [3] obtained the explicit irreducible decomposition of $(V^{(n)})^{\otimes 2}$ when n is even, so (4.21) offers the formula for a general tensor product. Recall that the symmetric functions $P_\mu(x; -1)$ are well-defined for all partitions μ , so $g_{\mu\lambda}$ are defined similarly as (4.1) for any partitions λ, μ :

$$(4.22) \quad P_\mu(x; -1) = \sum_{\lambda} g_{\mu\lambda} s_\lambda(x).$$

Note that the following identities between the Schur P -functions and the Schur functions hold by using the tensor product of the spin representations of the symmetric group [2]:

$$(4.23) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{\lambda \in HP(n) \setminus HOP(n)} s_{\lambda}(x) &= \sum_{l(\mu) \leq 2} (-1)^{\mu_2} P_{\mu}(x; -1) \\ \sum_{\lambda \in HOP(n)} s_{\lambda}(x) &= \sum_{l(\mu) = 2} (-1)^{\mu_2 + 1} P_{\mu}(x; -1) \end{aligned}$$

where $HOP(n) \doteq \{\lambda \in HP(n) \mid \lambda_1 \text{ is odd}\}$ and $n = 2r$ is even.

Aokage has conjectured the following result at the end of his paper [2].

Theorem 4.8. *For $\lambda = (n - j, 1^j) \in HP(n)$,*

$$(4.24) \quad g_{(r^2)\lambda} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j < r \\ (-1)^{r+j} & \text{if } j \geq r. \end{cases}$$

As an application of our two-row formula for $b_{\xi\lambda}$, we will present a proof of Aokage's conjecture.

Combining with above two identities in (4.23), we have

$$P_n(x; -1) + 2 \sum_{i \geq 1}^r (-1)^i P_{(n-i, i)}(x; -1) = \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j s_{(n-j, 1^j)}(x).$$

Thus,

$$P_{(r^2)}(x; -1) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i \geq 0}^{r-1} (-1)^{i+r+1} Q_{(n-i, i)}(x; -1) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^{r+j} s_{(n-j, 1^j)}(x).$$

By the orthonormality of s_{λ} ,

$$g_{(r^2)\lambda} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i \geq 0}^{r-1} (-1)^{i+r+1} b_{(n-i, i)\lambda} + \frac{1}{2} (-1)^{r+j} \delta_{(n-j, 1^j)\lambda}.$$

It follows from the remark below Theorem 4.5, we have $g_{(r^2)\lambda} = 0$ unless $\lambda \in DHP(n)$. Now let's show Thm. 4.8.

Proof. Let $\lambda = (n - j, 1^j) \in HP(n)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_{(r^2)\lambda} &= \frac{1}{2} (-1)^{r+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} (-1)^{i+r+1} (N^{(j-i)}(\lambda) - N^{(i+j-n)}(\lambda)) + \frac{1}{2} (-1)^{r+j} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (-1)^{r+1} + (-1)^{r+1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\min\{r-1, j\}} (-1)^i - \sum_{i=n-j}^{r-1} (-1)^i \right) + \frac{1}{2} (-1)^{r+j}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the result follows immediately by a careful analysis of j and direct computation. \square

We remark that there exists a quadratic expression of the P -function in terms of Schur functions [13]. Explicit and direct linear expansion (4.22) in general is thus needed. Indeed, we can give a compact formula of $g_{(r^2)\lambda}$ for any partition λ .

Theorem 4.9. *For $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, 2^{m_2}, 1^{m_1}) \in DHP(n) \setminus HP(n)$, we have that*

$$(4.25) \quad g_{(r^2)\lambda} = \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} (-1)^{i+r+1} (N^{(n-i-\lambda_1)}(\lambda) - N^{(i-\lambda_1)}(\lambda)).$$

By considering λ case by case, we have that

$$g_{(r^2)\lambda} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \lambda_2 + m_1 - 1 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 + m_1 + 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Tables for $K_{\xi\mu}^-(t)$, $2 \leq n \leq 6$

Here $[n] = t^{n-1} + \dots + t + 1$, $[n]!! = [n][n-2]\dots$. For completeness, we include $n = 2, 3, 4$ from [19].

TABLE 1. n=2

$\mu \setminus \xi$	(2)
(2)	2
(1 ²)	2[2]

TABLE 2. n=3

$\mu \setminus \xi$	(3)	(2, 1)
(3)	2	0
(2, 1)	2[2]	4
(1 ³)	2[4]	4t[2]

TABLE 3. n=4

$\mu \setminus \xi$	(4)	(3, 1)
(4)	2	0
(3, 1)	2[2]	4
(2 ²)	2t[2]	4[2]
(2, 1 ²)	2[4]	4[2] ²
(1 ⁴)	2[6]!!/[3]!	4t[4]!!

TABLE 4. $n=5$

$\mu \setminus \xi$	(5)	(4, 1)	(3, 2)
(5)	2	0	0
(4, 1)	$2[2]$	4	0
(3, 2)	$2t[2]$	$4[2]$	4
(3, 1 ²)	$2[4]$	$4[2]^2$	$4[2]$
(2 ² , 1)	$2t[4]$	$4[2][3]$	$4[2]^2$
(2, 1 ³)	$2[6]!//[3]!$	$4[4][3]$	$4t[2]([3] + 1)$
(1 ⁵)	$2[8]!//[4]!$	$4t[6]!//[2]$	$4t^2[4]^2$

TABLE 5. $n=6$

$\mu \setminus \xi$	(6)	(5, 1)	(4, 2)	(3, 2, 1)
(6)	2	0	0	0
(5, 1)	$2[2]$	4	0	0
(4, 2)	$2t[2]$	$4[2]$	4	0
(4, 1 ²)	$2[4]$	$4[2]^2$	$4[2]$	0
(3, 3)	$2t^2[2]$	$4t[2]$	$4[2]$	0
(3, 2, 1)	$2t[4]$	$4[2][3]$	$4[2](t + 2)$	8
(3, 1 ³)	$2[6]!//[3]!$	$4[4][3]$	$4[2]^2[3]$	$8t[2]$
(2 ³)	$2t^3[4]$	$4t[4]!!$	$4[2]([4] + t^2)$	$8t[2]$
(2 ² , 1 ²)	$2t[6]!//[3]!$	$4[4]^2$	$4[2]^2([4] + t)$	$8t[2]^2$
(2, 1 ⁴)	$2[8]!//[4]!$	$4[4][6]!!$	$4t[4]!([4] + 1)$	$8t^2[4]!!$
(1 ⁶)	$2[10]!//[5]!$	$4t[8]!//[3]!$	$4t^2[5][6]!//[3]$	$8t^4[6]!//[3]$

Acknowledgments

We thank Jinkui Wan and Weiqiang Wang for helpful discussions on the subject. The project is partially supported by the Simons Foundation under grant no. 523868 and NSFC grant 12171303.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Andrews, *q-series: their development and applications in analysis, number theory, combinatorics, physics and computer algebra*, CBMS vol. 66, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1986.
- [2] K. Aokage, *Rectangular Hall-Littlewood symmetric functions and a specific spin character*, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 63 (2021), 133-151.
- [3] K. Aokage, *Tensor square of the basic spin representations of Schur covering groups for the symmetric groups*, J. Algebr. Combin. 54 (2021), 135-150.
- [4] T. Bryan and N. Jing, *An iterative formula for the Kostka-Foulkes polynomials*, J. Algebr. Combin. 54 (2021), 625-634.

- [5] A. M. Garsia and C. Procesi, *On certain graded S_n -modules and the q -Kostka polynomials*, Adv. Math. 94 (1992), 82-138.
- [6] N. Jing, *Vertex operators, symmetric functions, and the spin group Γ_n* , J. Algebra 138 (1991), 340-398.
- [7] N. Jing, *Vertex operators and Hall-Littlewood symmetric functions*, Adv. Math. 87 (1991), 226-248.
- [8] N. Jing, *Symmetric polynomials and $U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$* , Represent. Theory 4 (2000), 46-63.
- [9] N. Jing and N. Liu, *The Green polynomials via vertex operators*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 226 (2022), no. 8, Paper No. 107032.
- [10] N. Kirillov, *Ubiquity of Kostka polynomials*, In: Physics and combinatorics 1999 (Nagoya), pp. 85-200. World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 2001.
- [11] A. Lascoux and P. Schützenberger, *Sur une conjecture de H. O. Foulkes*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B 286 (1978), no. 7, A323-A324.
- [12] A. Kleshchev, *Linear and projective representations of symmetric groups*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005.
- [13] A. Lascoux, B. Leclerc and J.-Y. Thibon, Jean-Yves, *Une nouvelle expression des fonctions P de Schur*, (A new expression of Schur P -functions). C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 316 (1993), 221-224.
- [14] I. Macdonald, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, 2nd ed., Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1995.
- [15] B. Sagan, *Shifted tableaux, Schur Q -functions, and a conjecture of R. Stanley*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A. 45 (1987), 62-103.
- [16] I. Schur, *Über die Darstellungen der symmetrischen und der alternierenden Gruppe durch gebrochen lineare Substitutionen*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 139 (1911), 155-250.
- [17] A. Sergeev, *Tensor algebra of the identity representation as a module over the Lie superalgebras $GL(n, m)$ and $Q(n)$* , Math. USSR Sb. 51 (1985), 419-427.
- [18] J. Stembridge, *Shifted tableaux and the projective representations of symmetric groups*, Adv. Math. 74 (1989), 87-134.
- [19] J. Wan and W. Wang, *Spin Kostka polynomials*, J. Algebr. Combin. 37 (2013), 117-138.
- [20] D. Worley, *A theory of shifted Young tableaux*, Ph.D. thesis, MIT, 1984.
- [21] M. Yamaguchi, *A duality of the twisted group algebra of the symmetric group and a Lie superalgebra*, J. Algebra 222 (1999), 301-327.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTH CAROLINA STATE UNIVERSITY, RALEIGH, NC 27695, USA

Email address: `jing@ncsu.edu`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, SOUTH CHINA UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, GUANGZHOU, GUANGDONG 510640, CHINA

Email address: `mathliu123@outlook.com`