

THE GENUINE UNITARY DUAL OF $Spin(2n, \mathbb{C})$

KAYUE DANIEL WONG AND HONGFENG ZHANG

ABSTRACT. In this manuscript, we give a classification of all irreducible, unitary representations of $Spin(2n, \mathbb{C})$.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	2
3. The main theorem	4
4. Proof of unitarity	11
5. Intertwining operators	12
6. Proof of non-unitarity	25
Appendix A. Some lemmas	43
Appendix B. Unipotent representations	50
References	52

1. INTRODUCTION

A major unsolved problem in the representation theory of real reductive Lie groups G is the classification of all irreducible, unitarizable (\mathfrak{g}, K) -modules, i.e. the unitary dual \widehat{G} . We record some (partial) results of \widehat{G} thus far:

- Real rank one Lie groups [BB81];
- $GL(n, F)$ for $F = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}$ [V86];
- $SO(n, \mathbb{C})$ and $Sp(2n, \mathbb{C})$ [B89];
- Universal cover of $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ [H90];
- $G_2(\mathbb{C})$ [D79], (double cover of) $G_2(\mathbb{R})$ [V94];
- All real reductive groups with strongly regular infinitesimal character [SR99];
- Split real classical Lie groups with trivial lowest K -type [B10].

In this paper, we classify all unitary, genuine representations of $Spin(2n, \mathbb{C})$ treated as a real Lie group, i.e. representations that do not factor through $SO(2n, \mathbb{C})$. Combined with the results of [B89], we obtain a full description of the unitary dual of $Spin(2n, \mathbb{C})$.

Date: March 21, 2023.

It is widely conjectured that the unitary dual for complex G can be obtained by inducing from a parabolic subgroup $P = LU$ a representation in the Levi subgroup L consisting of (a) type A unitary characters, or (b) some complementary series, or (c) a finite set of unitary representations called *unipotent representations* (and taking its lowest K -type subquotient). On the other hand, the work of Brega [Br99] gives an interesting collection of genuine unitary representations for $G = Spin(2n, \mathbb{C})$ (Remark 3.10). In Appendix B, we will prove that Brega's representations are all unipotent in the sense of Barbasch [B17]. As an immediate consequence, we prove that all genuine unitary representations of $Spin(2n, \mathbb{C})$ agree with the conjectured structure of \widehat{G} mentioned above (Theorem 4.1).

A substantial part of this paper is to prove the above construction of unitary representations exhausts the genuine unitary dual of $Spin(2n, \mathbb{C})$. To do so, we use *bottom layer K -type* arguments (Section 3.1) to reduce our study to representations whose lowest K -type is the spinor module. In Section 5 and 6, we make heavy use of the intertwining operators studied in [B10] to conclude that all representations with spinor lowest K -types that are not covered in the previous paragraph must have an indefinite Hermitian form on some *spin-relevant K -types* (Definition 3.8). Since these K -types are always bottom layer, this finishes the exhaustion part of the classification problem.

It is expected that similar techniques can also be applied to the classification of unitary dual for $Spin(2n + 1, \mathbb{C})$. We will pursue along this direction in another paper.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Representations for complex groups. Let G be a connected reductive complex Lie group viewed as a real Lie group. Fix a maximal compact subgroup K , and a pair $(B, H = TA)$ where B is a real Borel subgroup and H is a θ -stable Cartan subgroup such that $T = B \cap H$, and A the complement stabilized by θ . We write $\mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{k}_0, \mathfrak{b}_0, \mathfrak{h}_0, \mathfrak{t}_0, \mathfrak{a}_0$ as their respective Lie algebras, and their complexifications are denoted by removing the subscript \bullet_0 .

The **Langlands parameter** of any irreducible module is a pair $(\lambda_L; \lambda_R) \in \mathfrak{h}^* \cong \mathfrak{h}_0^* \times \mathfrak{h}_0^*$ such that $\mu := \lambda_L - \lambda_R$ is the parameter of a character of T in the decomposition of the θ -stable Cartan subalgebra $H = T \cdot A$, and $\nu := \lambda_L + \lambda_R$ the A -character. Then the *principal series representation* associated to $(\lambda_L; \lambda_R)$ is the (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module

$$X(\lambda_L, \lambda_R) = X(\mu, \nu) = \text{Ind}_B^G(e^\mu \otimes e^\nu \otimes 1)_{K\text{-finite}}$$

with infinitesimal character $(\lambda_L; \lambda_R)$ (here the symbol Ind refers to normalized Harish-Chandra induction).

Let $J(\lambda_L; \lambda_R)$ be the unique irreducible subquotient of $X(\lambda_L; \lambda_R)$ containing the lowest K -type $\mathcal{V}_{\{\mu\}}$ with extremal weight $\mu = \lambda_L - \lambda_R$ (here $\{\omega\}$ is defined to the unique Weyl conjugate of ω such that $\{\omega\}$ is dominant). This is called the **Langlands subquotient**.

Proposition 2.1 (Parthasarathy-Rao-Varadarajan, Zhelobenko). *Assume G is a complex connected reductive group viewed as a real group, and let (λ_L, λ_R) and (λ'_L, λ'_R) be parameters described above. Then the following are equivalent:*

- $(\lambda_L, \lambda_R) = (w\lambda'_L, w\lambda'_R)$ for some $w \in W$.

- $X(\lambda_L, \lambda_R)$ and $X(\lambda'_L, \lambda'_R)$ have the same composition factors with the same multiplicities.
- The Langlands subquotient of $X(\lambda_L, \lambda_R)$, written as $J(\lambda_L, \lambda_R)$, is the same as that of $X(\lambda'_L, \lambda'_R)$.

Furthermore, every irreducible (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module is equivalent to some $J(\lambda_L, \lambda_R)$.

2.2. Intertwining operators. The irreducible subquotient $J(\lambda_L, \lambda_R) = J(\mu, \nu)$ of the principal series representations $X(\lambda_L, \lambda_R) = X(\mu, \nu)$ in Proposition 2.1 can be constructed using intertwining operators as follows: Let $w \in W$ be a Weyl group element. Then there is a standard intertwining operator

$$(1) \quad i_w(\mu, \nu) : X(\mu, \nu) \rightarrow X(w\mu, w\nu),$$

which can be normalized to be the identity on the lowest K -type. It is a rational function of ν defined almost everywhere.

Suppose $X(\lambda_L, \lambda_R)$ is such that $Re \nu$ is dominant with respect to the roots in B . Then then the image of $i_{w_0}(\mu, \nu)$ in (1), where w_0 is the longest element in the Weyl group, is equal to $J(w_0\lambda_L, w_0\lambda_R) \cong J(\lambda_L, \lambda_R)$.

In Section 5, we will have a detailed study of $i_w(\mu, \nu)$ on some isotypic subspaces of $X(\mu, \nu)$ in $Spin(2n, \mathbb{C})$ when the lowest K -type $\mathcal{V}_{\{\mu\}}$ is the spinor module. This constitutes the main tool in determining the (non-)unitarity of $J(\mu, \nu)$'s.

2.3. Hermitian dual. Let π be an admissible (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module. Recall the definition of Hermitian dual π^h of π given in [V84, Definition 2.10]. Most notably, π has a non-degenerate invariant Hermitian form if and only if $\pi \cong \pi^h$ (c.f. [V84, Corollary 2.15]).

By [B89, Section 2.4] (or [V84, Proposition 2.14] for the real case), one has

$$X(\mu, \nu)^h = X(\mu, -\bar{\nu}) \quad (\text{or } X(\lambda_L; \lambda_R)^h = X(-\overline{\lambda_R}; -\overline{\lambda_L}))$$

and similarly for $J(\mu, \nu)$. From now on, we will write $\nu^h := -\bar{\nu}$ and $(\lambda_L^h, \lambda_R^h) := -(\overline{\lambda_R}, \overline{\lambda_L})$ for the Langlands parameters of the Hermitian dual.

Therefore, $J(\mu, \nu)$ has a non-degenerate invariant Hermitian form if and only if there exists $w_h \in W$ such that

$$(2) \quad w_h\mu = \mu, \quad w_h\nu = -\bar{\nu}.$$

Thanks to the work of Harish-Chandra, the problem of classifying the unitary, irreducible G -modules is equivalent to the problem of classifying the irreducible (\mathfrak{g}, K) -modules having a positive definite invariant Hermitian form. From now on, we call such (\mathfrak{g}, K) -modules **unitarizable**, and the collection of all such modules is called the **unitary dual** \widehat{G} of G . By our above discussions, one can only focus on the Langlands parameters satisfying (2) in the classification of \widehat{G} .

2.4. Reduction to real parameters. To further narrow down the parameters to be considered for the classification of \widehat{G} , one has the following ‘reduce to real parameters’ theorem:


Theorem 2.2 ([B89], Proposition 2.5). *Let $\pi \in \widehat{G}$ be a unitarizable (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module. Then there exists a parabolic subgroup $P = LN \leq G$, a unitary representation $\pi_{re} \in \widehat{L}$ which has real infinitesimal character, and a unitary character $\chi \in \widehat{L}$ such that*

$$\pi = \text{Ind}_P^G((\pi_{re} \otimes \chi) \otimes \mathbf{1}).$$

In view of the above theorem and (2), one only considers irreducible modules $J(\mu, \nu)$ (or $J(\lambda_L; \lambda_R)$) such that $\nu = \bar{\nu}$ (or $\overline{\lambda_L} = \lambda_L$ and $\overline{\lambda_R} = \lambda_R$); and there exists an involution $s \in W$ such that $s\mu = \mu$ and $s\nu = -\nu$ (or $\lambda_R = -s\lambda_L$).

3. THE MAIN THEOREM

From now on, we focus only on $G = Spin(2n, \mathbb{C})$. Fix a choice of positive simple roots given by the Dynkin diagram:



Let $J(\mu, \nu) = J(\lambda_L; \lambda_R)$ be an irreducible, Hermitian (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module with real infinitesimal character. By Proposition 2.1, one can conjugate μ and ν (resp. λ_L and λ_R) with some $w \in W$ such that $w\mu$ is dominant. By replacing $J(\mu, \nu)$ with $J(w\mu, w\nu)$, one may assume μ is dominant with respect to the above choice of simple roots.

Suppose μ consists only of integer coefficients, then $J(\mu, \nu)$ can be descended to an irreducible representation of $SO(2n, \mathbb{C})$. Therefore, we only study $J(\mu, \nu)$ with μ equal to

$$(3) \quad \mu := (\dots; \mu_r; \dots; \mu_2; \mu_1), \quad \text{where } \mu_r = \underbrace{\left(\frac{2r-1}{2}, \dots, \frac{2r-1}{2}\right)}_{m_r}.$$

It is possible that the last $\frac{1}{2}$ -coordinate of μ_1 is equal $\frac{-1}{2}$. However, this only differs from (3) by an outer automorphism.

3.1. Bottom-layer arguments. The classification of \widehat{G} can be effectively simplified by using bottom layer K -types as detailed in [KV95]. The special case of complex groups is in Section 2.7 of [B89].

Suppose $J(\mu, \nu)$ is an irreducible Hermitian (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module with real infinitesimal character, and μ is dominant of the form (3). Let

$$M := \prod_{r \geq 1} M_r = \prod_{r \geq 2} G(A_{m_r-1}) \times G(D_{m_1})$$

be the Levi component of a real parabolic subalgebra of Type $\prod_{r \geq 2} A_{m_r-1} \times D_{m_1}$ strictly containing the centralizer of μ . Consider the induced module

$$(4) \quad I := \text{Ind}_{\prod_{r \geq 2} A_{m_r-1} \times D_{m_1}}^{D_n} \left(\bigotimes_{r \geq 2} J_{A_{m_r-1}}(\mu_r, \nu_r) \otimes J_{D_{m_1}}(\mu_1, \nu_1) \right)$$

containing $J(\mu, \nu)$ as its lowest K -type subquotient (from now on, we only specify the information on the Lie Type of the Levi subgroup for parabolic induction when there is no danger of confusion). Then a **bottom layer K -type** \mathcal{V}_{β_r} has highest weight of the form $\beta_r = \mu + \mu_{M_r}$, where μ_{M_r} are $K \cap M_r$ -types in $J_{M_r}(\mu_r, \nu_r)$, so that β_r is K -dominant. In such cases, the multiplicities and signatures of the $K \cap M$ -type $\mathcal{V}_{\mu_{M_r}}$ in the inducing module of I coincide with that of the K -type \mathcal{V}_{β_r} in $J(\mu, \nu)$.

By Section 2.7 of [B89], some bottom layer K -types for I are obtained by:

- For $r \geq 2$, one can add $(1, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0, -1, \dots, -1)$ (equal number of 1's and -1 's) to μ_r in μ .
- For $r = 1$, one can add $(1, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ (even number of 1's) or $(1, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0, -1)$ (odd number of 1's) to μ_1 in μ .

3.2. (Pseudo-)spherical unitary dual of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$. In view of (4), it is essential to determine the unitarity of $J_{A_{m_r-1}}(\mu_r, \nu_r)$, whose lowest $K = \widetilde{U}(m_r)$ -type has highest weight equal to $(\frac{2r-1}{2}, \dots, \frac{2r-1}{2})$. These representations are *pseudo-spherical* in the sense of [V86], since they are all equal to the irreducible spherical representation $J_{A_{m_r-1}}(0, \nu_r)$ tensored with a unitary character $(\frac{\det}{|\det|})^{\frac{2r-1}{2}}$. As a consequence, one only needs to understand the spherical unitary dual of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$, which we recall below.

Let $J_{A_{n-1}}(0, \nu)$ denote the irreducible subquotient of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ with Langlands parameter $(\lambda_L; \lambda_R) = (\frac{\nu}{2}; \frac{\nu}{2})$. We describe all ν such that $J_{A_{n-1}}(0, \nu)$ is unitarizable. By the discussions in Sections 2.3 and 2.4, one may assume that ν is real, and there exists $w \in W(A_{n-1})$ such that $w\nu = -\nu$.

Let $\nu_1 = (\nu_{1,1}, \dots, \nu_{1,n_1})$ be the longest subsequence of ν such that

$$\nu_{1,j} - \nu_{1,j+1} \in 2\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\} \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq j \leq n_1 - 1.$$

Let $\nu_2 = (\nu_{2,1}, \dots, \nu_{2,n_2})$ be the longest subsequence of $\nu \setminus \nu_1$ such that $\nu_{2,j} - \nu_{2,j+1} \in 2\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ for any $1 \leq j \leq n_2 - 1$. Repeating the progress on the remainder, we get

$$\nu = (\nu_1; \dots; \nu_m)$$

with $\nu_i = (\nu_{i,1}, \dots, \nu_{i,n_i}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$ such that $\nu_{i,j} - \nu_{i,j+1} \in 2\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ for any $1 \leq j \leq n_i - 1$.

The following proposition is useful to understand the structure of $J_{A_{n-1}}(0, \nu)$.

Proposition 3.1 (Proposition 12.2, [V86]). *Let $J_i = J_{A_{n_i-1}}(0, \nu_i)$, then*

$$(5) \quad J_{A_{n-1}}(0, \nu) \cong \text{Ind}_{\prod_{i=1}^m A_{n_i-1}}^{A_{n-1}} \left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^m J_i \right).$$

Since we assume $J_{A_{n-1}}(0, \nu)$ has an invariant Hermitian structure, there are two possibilities for the $J_i = (0, \nu_i)$'s appearing above:

- (a) J_i is Hermitian, i.e. $\nu_i = -w_i \nu_i$ for some $w_i \in W(A_{n_i-1})$; or
 - (b) J_i is not Hermitian, and there is a corresponding J_j equal to its Hermitian dual J_i^h .
- In other words, there exists $w_i \in W(A_{n_i-1}) = W(A_{n_j-1})$ such that $\nu_i = -w_i \nu_j$.

Lemma 3.2 (Lemma 12.6, [V86]). *Retain the above setting. If there exists $J_k = J(0, \nu_k)$ such that it is not one-dimensional, then $J_{A_{n-1}}(0, \nu)$ is not unitary. More precisely, the Hermitian form of $J_{A_{n-1}}(0, \nu)$ is indefinite at the trivial K -type and the adjoint K -type with highest weight $(1, 0, \dots, 0, -1)$.*

Therefore, one must have $\nu_{i,j} - \nu_{i,j+1} = 2$ for all i and j . In particular, the only possibility for the Hermitian J_i in Case (a) above is when J_i is the trivial module. As for Case (b), we are left to study the unitarity of the following:

Definition 3.3. Let $\nu_{a,t} := (a - 1 + t, a - 3 + t, \dots - a + 3 + t, -a + 1 + t)$, $r \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}$ and

$$(6) \quad \text{comp}_r(a, t) := J((r, \dots, r), \nu_{a,t}) = J(0, \nu_{a,t}) \otimes \left(\frac{\det}{|\det|} \right)^r.$$

The t -complementary series of $GL(2a, \mathbb{C})$ is given by

$$\text{Ind}_{A_{a-1} \times A_{a-1}}^{A_{2a-1}} \left(\text{comp}_0(a, t) \otimes \text{comp}_0(a, t)^h \right).$$

By the discussions above and induction in stages, the module $J(0, \nu)$ in (5) is unitary implies that it is parabolically induced from a tensor product of trivial modules and t -complementary series. The theorem below determines precisely when the t -complementary series is unitary:

Theorem 3.4 (Lemma 12.12, [V86]). *Using the setting of Definition 3.3, the t -complementary series is unitary if and only if $|t| < 1$ (Stein's complementary series). Otherwise,*

- (a) *If $|t|$ is a positive integer, then the parameters $\nu_{a,t} \cup (-\nu_{a,t})$ form sequences of unequal lengths, so that the t -complementary series does not show up in (5); or*
- (b) *If $q < |t| < q + 1$ for some integer $1 \leq q \leq a$, then the Hermitian form of the t -complementary series is indefinite at the trivial K -type and the K -type with highest weight $(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{a-q+1}, 0, \dots, 0, \underbrace{-1, \dots, -1}_{a-q+1})$.*
- (c) *If $a + 1 < |t|$, then the Hermitian form of the t -complementary series is indefinite at the trivial K -type and the adjoint K -type.*

3.3. A unitarizability criterion. With the knowledge of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ and the bottom-layer argument, we can give a necessary condition for an irreducible Hermitian (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module $J(\mu, \nu)$ to be unitarizable. By the discussions in Section 2.3, there exists $w_r \in W(D_{m_r})$ such that $w\mu_r = w\mu_r$ and $w_r \nu_r = -\nu_r$ for any $r \geq 1$.

Proposition 3.5. *Let $J(\mu, \nu)$ be an irreducible Hermitian (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module, and I be the induced module (4) corresponding to $J(\mu, \nu)$. If $J_{A_{m_r-1}}(\mu_r, \nu_r)$ is not unitary for some $r \geq 2$, then so is $J(\mu, \nu)$.*

Proof. By the results in the previous section, if $J_{A_{m_r-1}}(\mu_r, \nu_r)$ is non-unitary, then the Hermitian form on $J_{A_{m_r-1}}(\mu_r, \nu_r)$ will be indefinite at the lowest K -type and the K -type with highest weight

$$\left(\underbrace{\frac{2r+3}{2}, \dots, \frac{2r+3}{2}}_q, \frac{2r+1}{2}, \dots, \frac{2r+1}{2}, \underbrace{\frac{2r-1}{2}, \dots, \frac{2r-1}{2}}_q \right),$$

for some $q \in \mathbb{N}_+$. By the bottom-layer argument in Section 3.1, the Hermitian form on I and its irreducible subquotient $J(\mu, \nu)$ is also indefinite at the lowest K -type μ and the K -type with highest weight

$$\beta_r = (\dots, \mu_{r+1}, \underbrace{\frac{2r+3}{2}, \dots, \frac{2r+3}{2}}_q, \frac{2r+1}{2}, \dots, \frac{2r+1}{2}, \underbrace{\frac{2r-1}{2}, \dots, \frac{2r-1}{2}}_q, \mu_{r-1}, \dots, \mu_1),$$

for some $q \in \mathbb{N}_+$. Therefore, $J(\mu, \nu)$ is not unitary. \square

Corollary 3.6. *Let $J(\mu, \nu)$ be an irreducible Hermitian (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module with $\mu = (\dots, \mu_r, \dots, \mu_2)$. Then $J(\mu, \nu)$ is unitary if and only if each $J_{A_{m_r-1}}(\mu_r, \nu_r)$ is unitary for all $r \geq 2$.*

So we are reduced to studying the unitarity of $J_{D_{m_1}}(\mu_1, \nu_1)$. To begin with, we recall the following:

Theorem 3.7 (Corollary 2.9, [B89]). *Let $P = MN$ be a parabolic subgroup of G . Suppose $(\mu + \nu, \check{\alpha}) \notin 2\mathbb{Z}$ for any $\alpha \in \Delta(\mathfrak{n})$, then*

$$J(\mu, \nu) \cong \text{Ind}_M^G(J_M(\mu, \nu)).$$

Assume that $\nu_1 = (\nu_{1,1}, \dots, \nu_{1,m_1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m_1}$. Let

$$T := \{\nu_{1,i} \pmod{2} \mid 1 \leq i \leq m_1\}.$$

For any $t \in T$, let ν_1^t be the subsequence of ν_1 which is equal to $t \pmod{2}$ such that $\nu_1 = \bigsqcup_{t \in T} \nu_1^t$. Let m_1^t be the length of ν_1^t , and $\mu_1^t = (\underbrace{\frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}}_{m_1^t})$. Since we assume $J(\mu_1, \nu_1)$ to

be Hermitian, one has $m_1^t = m_1^{-t}$ for all $t \in T$, and $\nu_1^t, -\nu_1^{-t}$ are equal up to permutation. Now Theorem 3.7 implies that $J(\mu_1, \nu_1)$ is equal to

$$(7) \quad \text{Ind}_M^G \left(\bigotimes_{t \in T'} J_{A_{2(m_1^t + m_1^{1-t})-1}}(\mu_1^t \cup \mu_1^{-t} \cup -\mu_1^{1-t} \cup -\mu_1^{1+t}, \nu_1^t \cup \nu_1^{-t} \cup \nu_1^{1-t} \cup \nu_1^{1+t}) \otimes J_{A_{m_1^0 + m_1^1 - 1}}(\mu_1^0 \cup -\mu_1^1, \nu_1^0 \cup \nu_1^1) \otimes J_{D_{2m_1^{1/2}}}(\mu_1^{1/2} \cup \mu_1^{-1/2}, \nu_1^{1/2} \cup \nu_1^{-1/2}) \right)$$

where M is of Type $\prod_{t \in T'} A_{2(m_1^t + m_1^{1-t})-1} \times A_{m_1^0 + m_1^1 - 1} \times D_{2m_1^{1/2}}$, and $T' := T \setminus \{0, \pm \frac{1}{2}, 1\}$. By the classification of unitary representations in Type A again, this implies that

- $J_{A_{2(m_1^t + m_1^{1-t})-1}}(\mu_1^t \cup \mu_1^{-t} \cup -\mu_1^{1-t} \cup -\mu_1^{1+t}, \nu_1^t \cup \nu_1^{-t} \cup \nu_1^{1-t} \cup \nu_1^{1+t})$ is parabolically induced from a tensor product of Stein's complementary series tensored with $(\frac{\det}{|\det|})^{1/2}$.

- $J_{A_{m_1^0+m_1^1-1}}(\mu_1^0 \cup -\mu_1^1, \nu_1^0 \cup \nu_1^1)$ is parabolically induced from a tensor product of the unitary character $(\frac{\det}{|\det|})^{2\ell+1/2}$.

So the classification problem is further reduced to studying the unitarity of

$$J_{D_{2n}}(\mu_1, \nu_1) = J_{D_{2n}}(\mu_1^{1/2} \cup \mu_1^{-1/2}, \nu_1^{1/2} \cup \nu_1^{-1/2}) = \text{Ind}_{D_n \times D_n}^{D_{2n}} \left(J(\mu_1^{1/2}, \nu_1^{1/2}) \otimes J(\mu_1^{1/2}, \nu_1^{1/2})^h \right)$$

From now on, we only consider $(\mu_1, \nu_1) = (\mu_1^{1/2} \cup \mu_1^{-1/2}, \nu_1^{1/2} \cup \nu_1^{-1/2})$. In terms of (λ_L, λ_R) coordinates, let

$$J_{D_n}(\sigma_L, \sigma_R) := J_{D_n}(\mu_1^{1/2}, \nu_1^{1/2}), \quad J_{D_n}(\sigma_L^h, \sigma_R^h) := J_{D_n}(\mu_1^{-1/2}, \nu_1^{-1/2})$$

so that

$$(8) \quad J_{D_{2n}}(\mu_1, \nu_1) = J_{D_{2n}}(\sigma_L \cup \sigma_L^h, \sigma_R \cup \sigma_R^h) = \text{Ind}_{D_n \times D_n}^{D_{2n}} \left(J_{D_n}(\sigma_L, \sigma_R) \otimes J_{D_n}(\sigma_L^h, \sigma_R^h) \right).$$

To determine the (non-)unitarity of these modules, the following K -types will play an important role:

Definition 3.8. *Let $G = Spin(2n, \mathbb{C})$. The K -types $\mathcal{V}_{\eta(q)}$ with highest weights*

$$(9) \quad \eta(q) = \left(\underbrace{\frac{3}{2}, \dots, \frac{3}{2}}_q, \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}}_{n-q-1}, \frac{(-1)^q}{2} \right)$$

are called **spin-relevant K -types**.

3.4. Statement of the main theorem. We now present the final reduction step in the determination of \widehat{G} , which leads us to the main theorem in Theorem 3.9. Recall in the previous section that one only needs to study the unitarity of

$$\pi = J_{D_{2n}}(\mu_1^{1/2} \cup \mu_1^{-1/2}, \nu_1^{1/2} \cup \nu_1^{-1/2}) = J_{D_{2n}}(\sigma_L \cup \sigma_L^h, \sigma_R \cup \sigma_R^h)$$

as in Equation (8). Since the coordinates of $\nu_1^{1/2}$ are in $\frac{1}{2} + 2\mathbb{Z}$, the coordinates of $\sigma_L = \frac{1}{2}(\mu_1^{1/2} + \nu_1^{1/2})$ are all of the form $\mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$, and the coordinates of $\sigma_R = \sigma_L - \mu_1^{1/2}$ are all integers.

Consider the induced module

$$(10) \quad \text{Ind}_{A_{2n-1}}^{D_{2n}} \left(\text{Ind}_{A_{n-1} \times A_{n-1}}^{A_{2n-1}} \left(J_{A_{n-1}}(\sigma_L, \sigma_R) \otimes J_{A_{n-1}}(\sigma_L^h, \sigma_R^h) \right) \right)$$

having π as its lowest K -type subquotient. By Section 3.1, there exists some irreducible representations ι_i of Type A_{n_i-1} such that

$$J_{A_{n-1}}(\sigma_L, \sigma_R) = \text{Ind}_{\prod_i A_{n_i-1}}^{A_{n-1}} \left(\bigotimes_i \iota_i \right) \quad J_{A_{n-1}}(\sigma_L^h, \sigma_R^h) = \text{Ind}_{\prod_i A_{n_i-1}}^{A_{n-1}} \left(\bigotimes_i \iota_i^h \right).$$

Since the adjoint K -type $\mathcal{V}_{\eta(1)}$ (c.f. (9)) is bottom layer with respect to the induced module (10), the results in Section 3.2 imply that if π is unitary, then all ι_i must be one-dimensional by Lemma 3.2, and

$$\iota_i \otimes \iota_i^h \cong \text{comp}_{1/2}(n_i, \frac{2r-1}{2}) \otimes \text{comp}_{1/2}(n_i, \frac{2r-1}{2})^h$$

for some $1 \leq r \leq n_i$ by Theorem 3.4(b). Since the coordinates of σ_L are in $\frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$, this implies

$$\iota_i \cong \begin{cases} \text{comp}_{1/2}(n_i, \frac{2r-1}{2}) & \text{if } n_i + r \text{ is even;} \\ \text{comp}_{1/2}(n_i, \frac{2r-1}{2})^h & \text{if } n_i + r \text{ is odd} \end{cases}.$$

In conclusion, one only needs to study π in (8) with σ_L of the form

$$\sigma_L = \left\{ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccc} S_1, & S_1 - 1, & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \frac{1}{2}, & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & -s_1 + 1, & -s_1, \\ & S_2, & S_2 - 1, & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \frac{1}{2}, & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & -s_2 + 1, & -s_2, \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & & & & & & \vdots & & & \ddots & \ddots & & & \\ & & & S_l, & S_l - 1, & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \frac{1}{2}, & \dots & \dots & -s_l + 1, & -s_l, & & \\ & & & & S_{l+1}, & S_{l+1} - 1, & \dots & \dots & \dots & \frac{1}{2}, & & & & & & \\ & & & & & \ddots & \ddots & & & \vdots & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & S_k, & \dots, & \frac{1}{2} & & & & & & & \end{array} \right\}$$

for two descending chains of positive half integers

$$\{S_1 \geq S_2 \geq \dots \geq S_k\}, \quad \{s_1 \geq s_2 \geq \dots \geq s_l\},$$

with $k \geq l$. More explicitly, the coordinates $(S_i, S_i - 1, \dots, -s_i + 1, -s_i)$ appearing on each row of the above expression of σ_L are the λ_L -coordinates of the twisted complementary series:

$$(11) \quad \begin{cases} \text{comp}_{1/2}(S_i + s_i + 1, S_i - s_i - \frac{1}{2}) & \text{if } S_i > s_i \\ \text{comp}_{1/2}(S_i + s_i + 1, s_i - S_i + \frac{1}{2})^h & \text{if } S_i \leq s_i, \end{cases}$$

We will write

$$(12) \quad \sigma_L \longleftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} S_1 & S_2 & \dots & S_l & S_{l+1} & \dots & S_k \\ s_1 & s_2 & \dots & s_l & & & \end{pmatrix}$$

from now on. For later purposes, we take $S_{k+1} = -\frac{1}{2}$ and $s_i = -\frac{1}{2}$ if $i > l$.

Here is the main theorem of the manuscript:

Theorem 3.9. *Let $\pi = J_{D_{2n}}(\sigma_L \cup \sigma_L^h, \sigma_R \cup \sigma_R^h)$, where σ_L is as given in (12). Then π is unitary if and only if*

$$(13) \quad S_i \geq s_i \quad \text{and} \quad s_i + 1 \geq S_{i+1}$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. Otherwise, π has an indefinite signature on the lowest K -type and $\mathcal{V}_{\eta(i)}$ for some i .

Since $\eta(i)$ is bottom layer corresponding to the induced module (4), this finishes the classification of \widehat{G} for $G = Spin(2n, \mathbb{C})$.

Remark 3.10. *When the inequalities in (13) are strict, it matches with that of [Br99, Equation (9)], where the unitarity of π is proved in [Br99, Theorem 3.1]. More precisely, our σ_L above corresponds to λ_1^L in [Br99, Equation (8)]. Henceforth we call them **Brega representations**. In Appendix B, we will prove that all Brega representations are unipotent in the sense of Barbasch [B17].*

To end this section, we list all the representations of $Spin(16, \mathbb{C})$ whose parameters are of the form as in (8). We list whether these representations are unitary or not and, in the case when it is not unitary, which spin-relevant K -type has an indefinite signature in its Hermitian form.

Parameter	$J \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_L \cup \sigma_L^h \\ \sigma_R \cup \sigma_R^h \end{pmatrix}$	Is Unitary?
$\begin{pmatrix} 7 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$	$J \begin{pmatrix} 7/2, 5/2, 3/2, 1/2, 0, -1, -2, -3 \\ 3, 2, 1, 0, -1/2, -3/2, -5/2, -7/2 \end{pmatrix}$	Y
$\begin{pmatrix} 5 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$	$J \begin{pmatrix} 5/2, 3/2, 1/2, 1/2, 0, 0, -1, -2 \\ 2, 1, 0, 0, -1/2, -1/2, -3/2, -5/2 \end{pmatrix}$	Y
$\begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$	$J \begin{pmatrix} 5/2, 3/2, 1/2, -1/2, 1, 0, -1, -2 \\ 2, 1, 0, -1, 1/2, -1/2, -3/2, -5/2 \end{pmatrix}$	Y
$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 3 \\ 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$	$J \begin{pmatrix} 3/2, 3/2, 1/2, 1/2, 0, 0, -1, -1 \\ 1, 1, 0, 0, -1/2, -1/2, -3/2, -3/2 \end{pmatrix}$	N - $\mathcal{V}_{\eta(2)}$
$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$	$J \begin{pmatrix} 3/2, 1/2, 1/2, 1/2, 0, 0, 0, -1 \\ 1, 0, 0, 0, -1/2, -1/2, -1/2, -3/2 \end{pmatrix}$	Y
$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$	$J \begin{pmatrix} 3/2, 1/2, 1/2, -1/2, 1, 0, 0, -1 \\ 1, 0, 0, -1, 1/2, -1/2, -1/2, -3/2 \end{pmatrix}$	Y
$\begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$	$J \begin{pmatrix} 3/2, 1/2, -1/2, -3/2, 2, 1, 0, -1 \\ 1, 0, -1, -2, 3/2, 1/2, -1/2, -3/2 \end{pmatrix}$	Y
$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$	$J \begin{pmatrix} 1/2, 1/2, 1/2, 1/2, 0, 0, 0, 0 \\ 0, 0, 0, 0, -1/2, -1/2, -1/2, -1/2 \end{pmatrix}$	Y
$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$	$J \begin{pmatrix} 1/2, 1/2, 1/2, -1/2, 1, 0, 0, 0 \\ 0, 0, 0, -1, 1/2, -1/2, -1/2, -1/2 \end{pmatrix}$	Y
$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$	$J \begin{pmatrix} 1/2, 1/2, -1/2, -1/2, 1, 1, 0, 0 \\ 0, 0, -1, -1, 1/2, 1/2, -1/2, -1/2 \end{pmatrix}$	Y
$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$	$J \begin{pmatrix} 1/2, 1/2, -1/2, -3/2, 2, 1, 0, 0 \\ 0, 0, -1, -2, 3/2, 1/2, -1/2, -1/2 \end{pmatrix}$	N - $\mathcal{V}_{\eta(3)}$
$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$	$J \begin{pmatrix} 1/2, -1/2, -3/2, -5/2, 3, 2, 1, 0 \\ 0, -1, -2, -3, 5/2, 3/2, 1/2, -1/2 \end{pmatrix}$	N - $\mathcal{V}_{\eta(3)}$

4. PROOF OF UNITARITY

In this section, we will prove the following stronger result, which (by Remark 3.10) immediately implies the unitarity statement of Theorem 3.9:

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\pi = J_{D_{2n}}(\sigma_L \cup \sigma_L^h, \sigma_R \cup \sigma_R^h)$ be such that*

$$\sigma_L \longleftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} S_1 & S_2 & \dots & S_l & S_{l+1} & \dots & S_k \\ s_1 & s_2 & \dots & s_l & & & \end{pmatrix}$$

satisfies (13). Then π is a subquotient of a parabolically induced module, whose inducing module is a tensor product of some Stein's complementary series $\text{Ind}_{A_{\ell-1} \times A_{\ell-1}}^{A_{2\ell-1}}(\text{comp}_{1/2}(\ell, \frac{1}{2}) \otimes \text{comp}_{1/2}(\ell, \frac{1}{2})^h)$ along with a Brega representation (Remark 3.10).

Proof. We prove by induction on the number of equalities in (13). Note that in the base case when there are no equalities in (13), then π itself is a Brega representation.

By the induction hypothesis, suppose the theorem holds for all π_r with σ_L -coordinates having exactly r equalities in (13). Consider π_{r+1} , whose corresponding σ_L -coordinates have $r+1$ equalities in (13).

Firstly, suppose $S_i = s_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq l$, then $J_{D_n}(\sigma_L, \sigma_R)$ (resp. $J_{D_n}(\sigma_L^h, \sigma_R^h)$) is the subquotient of the induced module

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Ind}_{A_{2S_i-2} \times D_{n-2S_i+1}}^{D_n} \left(\text{comp}_{1/2}(2S_i-1, \frac{1}{2}) \otimes J(\sigma_L^-, \sigma_R^-) \right) \\ & \text{(resp. } \text{Ind}_{A_{2S_i-2} \times D_{n-2S_i+1}}^{D_n} \left(\text{comp}_{1/2}(2S_i-1, \frac{1}{2})^h \otimes J((\sigma_L^-)^h, (\sigma_R^-)^h) \right)) \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{comp}_{1/2}(2S_i-1, \frac{1}{2})$ is the twisted one-sided t -complementary series (6), and

$$\sigma_L^- \longleftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} S_1 & \dots & S_{i-1} & S_{i+1} & \dots & S_l & S_{l+1} & \dots & S_k \\ s_1 & \dots & s_{i-1} & s_{i+1} & \dots & s_l & & & \end{pmatrix}.$$

By (8) and induction in stages, π_{r+1} is a subquotient of (14)

$$\text{Ind}_{A_{4S_i-3} \times D_{2n-4S_i+2}}^{D_{2n}} \left(\text{Ind}_{A_{2S_i-2} \times A_{2S_i-2}}^{A_{4S_i-3}} \left(\text{comp}_{1/2}(2S_i-1, \frac{1}{2}) \otimes \text{comp}_{1/2}(2S_i-1, \frac{1}{2})^h \right) \otimes \pi^- \right)$$

with $\pi^- := J_{D_{2n-4S_i+2}}(J(\sigma_L^- \cup (\sigma_L^-)^h, \sigma_R^- \cup (\sigma_R^-)^h))$ satisfying the induction hypothesis. Therefore the theorem holds for π_{r+1} by induction in stages.

The case for $s_i+1 = S_{i+1}$ is identical – in such a case, take $\text{comp}_{1/2}(2S_{i+1}, \frac{1}{2})$ instead of $\text{comp}_{1/2}(2S_i-1, \frac{1}{2})$ in (14), and

$$\sigma_L^- \longleftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} S_1 & \dots & S_{i-1} & S_i & S_{i+2} & \dots & S_l & S_{l+1} & \dots & S_k \\ s_1 & \dots & s_{i-1} & s_{i+1} & s_{i+2} & \dots & s_l & & & \end{pmatrix}$$

instead. So the theorem follows. \square

Combined with the result in Appendix B, this implies all representations in Theorem 3.9 are subquotients of modules inducing from some (twisted) Stein's complementary series tensored with a unipotent representation. Also, by taking Equations (4) and (7) into account, we have verified the conjectured structure of all genuine representations in \widehat{G} for $Spin(2n, \mathbb{C})$ described in the introduction.

5. INTERTWINING OPERATORS

In view of Section 4, one only needs to show that the irreducible modules $J(\mu, \nu)$ whose parameters does not satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 3.9 is not unitary. To do so, we use intertwining operators to relate $J(\mu, \nu)$ with some non-unitary induced modules I , and show that they have the same multiplicities of some K -types where the G -invariant Hermitian form of I are indefinite. Similar methods can also be found in [B10].

Throughout this section, we will assume $\{\mu\} = (\frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2})$.

5.1. Basics of intertwining operators. Given $\nu \in \mathfrak{a}^*$, we define

$$\Delta^-(\nu) = \{\alpha \in \Delta^+(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}) \mid \langle \nu, \alpha \rangle < 0\}.$$

Let $w \in W(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$, there exists an intertwining operator $i_w(\mu, \nu)$ such that

$$i_w(\mu, \nu) : X(\mu, \nu) \rightarrow X(w\mu, w\nu)$$

$$f \mapsto \int_{w^{-1}Nw \cap \overline{N}} f(\overline{n}w^{-1}g)d\overline{n}.$$

For convenience, we also denote it by i_w . When $\Delta^-(\nu) \subset \Delta^-(w\nu)$, the integral defining $i_w(\mu, \nu)$ converges absolutely. Moreover, if $w = w_1w_2$ with $l(w) = l(w_1) + l(w_2)$, then

$$i_w(\mu, \nu) = i_{w_1}(w_2\mu, w_2\nu) \circ i_{w_2}(\mu, \nu)$$

For any K -module Γ , we study how the Γ -isotropic space $\text{Hom}_K[\Gamma, X(\mu, \nu)]$ maps to the Γ -isotropic space $\text{Hom}_K[\Gamma, X(w\mu, w\nu)]$ under $i_w(\mu, \nu)$ – by Frobenius reciprocity, we know that the space $\text{Hom}_K(\Gamma, X(\mu, \nu))$ is isomorphic to the μ -weight space of Γ , denoted by Γ^μ . Then the intertwining operator restricting to the Γ -isotropic space is given by

$$(15) \quad \phi_w(\mu, \nu) : \Gamma^\mu \rightarrow \Gamma^{w\mu}$$

$$x \mapsto \int_{w^{-1}Nw \cap \overline{N}} b(\overline{n}w^{-1})^{\mu+2\rho} \Gamma(k(\overline{n}w^{-1})^{-1})x d\overline{n},$$

where $b(\cdot), k(\cdot)$ means taking the component of the decomposition $G = BK$. We can replace $\phi_w(\mu, \nu)$ by $(\int b(\overline{n}w^{-1})^{\mu+2\rho})^{-1} \phi_w(\mu, \nu)$, so $\phi_w(\mu, \nu)$ is identity on Γ^μ when $\Gamma = \mathcal{V}_{\{\mu\}}$ is the K -type with extremal weight μ .

Suppose $w = s_\alpha$ is a simple reflection, where α is a simple root. Let \mathfrak{g}_α be the α -root space of \mathfrak{g} . Let $H_\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}, E_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha, F_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$ be the $SL(2)$ -triple, i.e.

$$[H_\alpha, E_\alpha] = 2E_\alpha, \quad [H_\alpha, F_\alpha] = -2F_\alpha, \quad [E_\alpha, F_\alpha] = H_\alpha.$$

Let $\mathfrak{g}(\alpha) = \mathbb{C}\{H_\alpha, E_\alpha, F_\alpha\}$ and $G(\alpha)$ be the connected subgroup of G with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(\alpha)$. Then i_{s_α} can be computed over $G(\alpha)$, and $i_{s_\alpha}|_{\Gamma\text{-isotropic space}}$ are determined by the

action of maximal compact subgroup $U(\alpha)$ of $G(\alpha)$ on Γ . So $\phi_{s_\alpha}|_{\Gamma^\mu}$ can be also computed over $G(\alpha)$.

We make the following assumption, which is satisfied in the cases that we are studying later:

Assumption 5.1. *Every irreducible component of $\Gamma|_{U(\alpha)}$ has dimension ≤ 4 for any simple root α .*

Under the above assumption, there are two possibilities:

Case (i). Assume that $\langle \mu, \alpha \rangle = 0$, then every irreducible components of $\Gamma|_{U(\alpha)}$ has dimension 1 or 3, and $\phi_{s_\alpha} : \Gamma^\mu \rightarrow \Gamma^\mu$ is given by

$$\phi_{s_\alpha}(x) = \begin{cases} x, & s_\alpha x = x, \\ \frac{2-\langle \alpha, \nu \rangle}{2+\langle \alpha, \nu \rangle} x, & s_\alpha x = -x. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, the intertwining operator on the Γ -isotropic space is determined by the action of the Weyl group on the zero weight space of Γ .

Case (ii). Assume that $\langle \mu, \alpha \rangle \neq 0$, then every irreducible components of $\Gamma|_{U(\alpha)}$ has dimension 2 or 4. Assume $x \in \Gamma^\mu$ in the irreducible $U(\alpha)$ -subspace $V \subset \Gamma$, then

$$\phi_{s_\alpha}(x) = \begin{cases} s_\alpha x, & \dim V = 2, \\ \frac{3-\langle \alpha, \nu \rangle}{3+\langle \alpha, \nu \rangle} s_\alpha x, & \dim V = 4. \end{cases}$$

5.2. Intertwining operators on spin-relevant K -types. We will be studying the intertwining operators on the $\mathcal{V}_{\eta(i)}$ -isotypic spaces of $X(w\mu, w\nu)$. Rather than studying each $\mathcal{V}_{\eta(i)}$ individually, we will construct a K -module Θ_i containing multiple $\mathcal{V}_{\eta(i)}$'s, and investigate the action on the intertwining operators on the Θ_i -isotypic spaces.

Let $\mathcal{V}_S = \mathcal{V}_{\underbrace{(\frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2})}_n} \oplus \mathcal{V}_{\underbrace{(\frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})}_n}$ be the sum of two spinor modules in $Spin(2n, \mathbb{C})$.

Using the notation in [HP06, Chapter 2], we have:

$$\mathcal{V}_S = \mathbb{C}\left\{ \bigwedge_{i=1}^t u_i \mid 0 \leq t \leq n \right\},$$

where each $u_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge u_{i_l} \in \mathcal{V}_S$ has weight equal to $\sum_{k=1}^l \mathbf{e}_{i_k} - (\frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2})$ (here \mathbf{e}_x is the vector with 1 on the x^{th} -coordinate and 0 on the other coordinates).

Let

$$\mathcal{V}_{A,i} := \begin{cases} \mathcal{V}_{\underbrace{(1, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0)}_i}, & 0 \leq i < n, \\ \mathcal{V}_{\underbrace{(1, \dots, 1)}_n} \oplus \mathcal{V}_{\underbrace{(1, \dots, 1, -1)}_n}, & i = n. \\ \mathcal{V}_{\underbrace{(1, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0)}_{2n-i}}, & n < i \leq 2n \end{cases}$$

In other words, $\mathcal{V}_{A,i}$ is the restriction of the $\mathfrak{gl}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ -module with highest weight $(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_i, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{2n-i})$ to $\mathfrak{so}(2n, \mathbb{C})$. We denote these modules by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{V}_{A,1} &= \mathbb{C}\{e_1, \dots, e_n, e_1^*, \dots, e_n^*\} \\ \mathcal{V}_{A,i} &= \wedge^i \mathcal{V}_{A,1}.\end{aligned}$$

Let $\Theta_i = \mathcal{V}_S \otimes \mathcal{V}_{A,i}$. When $0 \leq i \leq n$, we have

$$\Theta_i \cong \Theta_{2n-i} \cong \bigoplus_{j=0}^i \mathcal{V}_{\left(\underbrace{\frac{3}{2}, \dots, \frac{3}{2}}_j, \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}}_{n-j}\right)} \oplus \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\min\{i, n-1\}} \mathcal{V}_{\left(\underbrace{\frac{3}{2}, \dots, \frac{3}{2}}_j, \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}}_{n-j}\right)} \oplus \delta_{n,i} \cdot \mathcal{V}_{\left(\underbrace{\frac{3}{2}, \dots, \frac{3}{2}}_n, -\frac{3}{2}\right)},$$

where $\delta_{n,i} = \begin{cases} 1, & n = i, \\ 0, & n \neq i. \end{cases}$ By looking at the μ -weight space of the above K -types, one has

$$(16) \quad \Theta_i^\mu \cong \bigoplus_{0 \leq j \leq \min\{i, 2n-i\}} \mathcal{V}_{\eta(j)}^\mu$$

for $0 \leq i \leq 2n$. Therefore, one can get information on $\mathcal{V}_{\eta(j)}$ for all $0 \leq j \leq i$ by studying intertwining operators on the Θ_i -isotropic spaces.

5.3. Type A intertwining operators. To begin with, note that $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ acts trivially on the weight μ and hence it acts on Θ_i^μ . As a $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ -module, it is a direct sum of $d_i := \min\{\lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor, \lfloor \frac{2n-i}{2} \rfloor\} + 1$ characters. More precisely, let

$$(17) \quad m \in \overbrace{\{ |n-i|, |n-i|+2, \dots, n-\epsilon(i) \}}^{d_i \text{ terms}}, \quad \text{where } \epsilon(i) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ 1 & \text{if } i \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

Then the characters are spanned by the following d_i elements:

$$(18) \quad P_{i,m}^{(1,n)} := \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_m \leq n, \\ 1 \leq k_1 < \dots < k_{\frac{m-n+i}{2}} \leq m}} \left((-1)^{\sum_p j_p} u_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge u_{j_m} \right) \otimes \bigwedge_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n, \\ k \notin \{j_1, \dots, j_m\}}} e_k \wedge \bigwedge_{1 \leq q \leq \frac{m-n+i}{2}} (e_{j_{k_q}} \wedge e_{j_{k_q}}^*).$$

Consider the subspace

$$(19) \quad \Theta_i^{r+1, r+s} := \mathbb{C}\{u_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge u_{j_k} \otimes e_{s_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{s_l} \wedge e_{t_1}^* \wedge \dots \wedge e_{t_{l-1}}^* \mid r+1 \leq j_\bullet, s_\bullet, t_\bullet \leq r+s\},$$

so that $\Theta_i^{r+1, r+s}$ is a $Spin(2s)$ -module. We will use $P_{i, |s-i|+2l}^{(r+1, r+s)}$ to denote the l -th element in the $\mathfrak{gl}(s, \mathbb{C})$ -submodules in $\Theta_i^{r+1, r+s}$ with highest weights $(\underbrace{\frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}}_s)$. As usual, we use

$(\Theta_i^{r+1, r+s})^{\mu'}$ to denote the μ' -weight space of $\Theta_i^{r+1, r+s}$ for any weight μ' of $Spin(2s)$.

Proposition 5.2. *Let $\nu = (\nu_1 > \nu_2 > \cdots > \nu_n)$ with*

$$\nu_i - \nu_{i+1} = 2 \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq n-1.$$

Let $w_{A_{n-1}} = (1, n)(2, n-1) \cdots$ be the longest element in $W(A_{n-1})$, so $w_{A_{n-1}}\mu = \mu$, and $w_{A_{n-1}}\nu = (\nu_n < \cdots < \nu_2 < \nu_1)$. Then the image of

$$\phi_{w_{A_{n-1}}} : \Theta_i^\mu \rightarrow \Theta_i^\mu$$

is exactly the $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ -submodules of Θ_i given by (18) whose highest weights are equal to μ .

Proof. By induction in stages, the image of $i_{w_{A_{n-1}}}$ is equal to $\text{Ind}_{A_{n-1}}^{D_n}(J_{A_{n-1}}(\mu, \nu))$, where $J_{A_{n-1}}(\mu, \nu)$ is a character of $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$. Upon restricting $i_{w_{A_{n-1}}}$ to the weight spaces Θ_i (here Θ_i is treated as a $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ -module), the image of $\phi_{w_{A_{n-1}}}$ is the $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ -submodules of Θ_i with highest weights equal to μ . \square

Lemma 5.3. *Let $\nu = (\nu_1 < \cdots < \nu_{n-1}; x)$ with*

$$\nu_{k+1} - \nu_k = 2 \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq k \leq n-2,$$

and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $w_{A_{n-2}} = (1, n-1)(2, n-2) \cdots$ be the longest element in $W(A_{n-2})$, and $w = (12 \cdots n) \in W(A_{n-1})$, so $w(\mu) = \mu$ and $w(\nu) = (x; \nu_1 < \cdots < \nu_{n-1})$. Consider

$$\phi_w : \Theta_i^\mu \rightarrow \Theta_i^\mu,$$

we have

- (i) *The map ϕ_w is well-defined over $\text{im}(\phi_{w_{A_{n-2}}}) = \bigoplus_{i',j} P_{i',j}^{(1,n-1)} \cdot (\Theta_{i-i'}^{n,n})^{\frac{1}{2}}$ if $x \neq \nu_{n-1} + 2$.*
- (ii) *The map ϕ_w is injective over $\text{im}(\phi_{w_{A_{n-2}}}) = \bigoplus_{i',j} P_{i',j}^{(1,n-1)} \cdot (\Theta_{i-i'}^{n,n})^{\frac{1}{2}}$ if $x \neq \nu_1 - 2$.*

Proof. Firstly, by Proposition 5.2, one has

$$\text{im}(\phi_{w_{A_{n-2}}}) = \phi_{w_{A_{n-2}}}(\mu, w_{A_{n-2}}^{-1}\nu)(\Theta_i^\mu) = \bigoplus_{i',j} P_{i',j}^{(1,n-1)} \cdot (\Theta_{i-i'}^{n,n})^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We now compute the transformation ϕ_w over $\text{im}(\phi_{w_{A_{n-2}}})$. For simplicity, we only consider the case when $\nu_{n-1} = 0$, i.e. $(\nu_1 < \cdots < \nu_{n-1}) = (-2n+4 < \cdots < -2 < 0)$.

Since

$$\phi_w\left(\bigoplus_{i',j} P_{i',j}^{(1,n-1)} \cdot (\Theta_{i-i'}^{n,n})^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) = \text{im}(\phi_w \circ \phi_{w_{A_{n-2}}})(\mu, w_{A_{n-2}}^{-1}\nu) = \text{im}(\phi_{w_2} \circ \phi_w) \subset \text{im}(\phi_{w_2}(\mu, w_{A_{n-2}}^{-1}\nu)),$$

where w_2 is the element such that $w_2\mu = \mu$, $w_2w = w_{A_{n-2}}$, we have

$$\phi_w\left(\bigoplus_{i',j} P_{i',j}^{(1,n-1)} \cdot (\Theta_{i-i'}^{n,n})^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \subset \bigoplus_{i',j} (\Theta_{i-i'}^{1,1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot P_{i',j}^{(2,n)}.$$

In particular, ϕ_w maps

$$P_{i-1,j}^{(1,n-1)} \cdot e_n, \quad P_{i,j-1}^{(1,n-1)} \cdot u_n, \quad P_{i-2,j-1}^{(1,n-1)} \cdot (u_n \otimes e_n \wedge e_n^*)$$

to the linear subspace of $\bigoplus_{i',j} (\Theta_{i-i'}^{1,1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot P_{i',j}^{(2,n)}$ with the number of u_\bullet 's in every term is equal to j , that is, the subspace spanned by

$$e_1 \cdot P_{i-1,j}^{(2,n)}, \quad u_1 \cdot P_{i,j-1}^{(2,n)}, \quad (u_1 \otimes e_1 \wedge e_1^*) \cdot P_{i-2,j-1}^{(2,n)}.$$

Assume that ϕ_w over $\bigoplus_{i',j} P_{i',j}^{(1,n-1)} \cdot (\Theta_{i-i'}^{n,n})^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is given by the matrix $(a_{kl}(i, j, n))_{1 \leq k, l \leq 3}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi_w \left(P_{i-1,j}^{(1,n-1)} \cdot e_n, \quad P_{i,j-1}^{(1,n-1)} \cdot u_n, \quad P_{i-2,j-1}^{(1,n-1)} \cdot (u_n \otimes e_n \wedge e_n^*) \right) \\ = & (e_1 \cdot P_{i-1,j}^{(2,n)}, \quad u_1 \cdot P_{i,j-1}^{(2,n)}, \quad (u_1 \otimes e_1 \wedge e_1^*) \cdot P_{i-2,j-1}^{(2,n)}) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}(i, j, n) & a_{12}(i, j, n) & a_{13}(i, j, n) \\ a_{21}(i, j, n) & a_{22}(i, j, n) & a_{23}(i, j, n) \\ a_{31}(i, j, n) & a_{32}(i, j, n) & a_{33}(i, j, n) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$P_{i-1,j}^{(1,n-1)} = (-1)^j e_1 \cdot P_{i-2,j}^{(2,n-1)} + (-1)^j u_1 \cdot P_{i-1,j-1}^{(2,n-1)} + (-1)^j (u_1 \otimes e_1 \wedge e_1^*) \cdot P_{i-3,j-1}^{(2,n-1)},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi_w(P_{i-1,j}^{(1,n-1)} \cdot e_n) \\ = & \phi_{(12)}(\phi_{(23\dots n)}(P_{i-1,j}^{(1,n-1)} \cdot e_n)) \\ = & \phi_{(12)}((-1)^j e_1 \cdot \phi_{(23\dots n)}(P_{i-2,j}^{(2,n-1)} \cdot e_n) + \dots) \\ = & \phi_{(12)}((-1)^j e_1 \cdot a_{11}(i-1, j, n-1) e_2 \cdot P_{i-2,j}^{(3,n)} + \dots) \\ = & (-1)^j a_{11}(i-1, j, n-1) (e_1 \wedge e_2) \cdot P_{i-2,j}^{(3,n)} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

where the \dots above are terms not containing “ $(e_1 \wedge e_2) \cdot P_{i-2,j}^{(3,n)}$ ”. Comparing with

$$\phi_w(P_{i-1,j}^{(1,n-1)} \cdot e_n) = a_{11}(i, j, n) e_1 \cdot P_{i-1,j}^{(2,n)} + \text{terms without “}(e_1 \wedge e_2) \cdot P_{i-2,j}^{(3,n)}\text{”},$$

we get

$$a_{11}(i, j, n) = a_{11}(i-1, j, n-1).$$

By similar analysis on the remaining terms, we get the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}(i, j, n) & a_{12}(i, j, n) & a_{13}(i, j, n) \\ a_{21}(i, j, n) & a_{22}(i, j, n) & a_{23}(i, j, n) \\ a_{31}(i, j, n) & a_{32}(i, j, n) & a_{33}(i, j, n) \end{pmatrix} \\ = & \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}(i-1, j, n-1) & -a_{12}(i-1, j, n-1) & -a_{13}(i-1, j, n-1) \\ -a_{21}(i, j-1, n-1) & a_{22}(i, j-1, n-1) & a_{23}(i, j-1, n-1) \\ -a_{31}(i-2, j-1, n-1) & a_{32}(i-2, j-1, n-1) & a_{33}(i-2, j-1, n-1) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Inductively, we have

$$(20) \quad \begin{aligned} a_{1t}(i, j, n) &= (-1)^{(1+\delta_{t,1}) \cdot (n-j-1)} a_{1t}(i+j-n+1, j, j+1), \\ a_{2t}(i, j, n) &= (-1)^{\delta_{t,1} \cdot \frac{n+j-i-2}{2}} a_{2t}\left(i, \frac{j-n+i}{2} + 1, \frac{n-j+i}{2} + 1\right), \\ a_{3t}(i, j, n) &= (-1)^{\delta_{t,1} \cdot \frac{-n+i+j-2}{2}} a_{3t}\left(n-j+2, \frac{j-i+n+2}{2}, \frac{3n-i-j+2}{2}\right), \end{aligned}$$

for all $1 \leq t \leq 3$. Therefore, it remains to compute the following matrix entries for all i and j :

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11}(i, j, j+1) & a_{12}(i, j, j+1) & a_{13}(i, j, j+1) \\ a_{21}(n+j-2, j, n) & a_{22}(n+j-2, j, n) & a_{23}(n+j-2, j, n) \\ a_{31}(n-j+2, j, n) & a_{32}(n-j+2, j, n) & a_{33}(n-j+2, j, n) \end{pmatrix}$$

We will show how to get $a_{11}(i, j, j+1)$, the other terms can be computed similarly. To do so, one needs to study the image of $P_{i-1, j}^{(1, j)} \cdot e_{j+1}$ under $\phi_w = \phi_{(12 \dots (j+1))}$. Notice that $i-1$ should be even, and

$$P_{i-1, j}^{(1, j)} = \sum_{1 \leq k_1 < \dots < k_{\frac{i-1}{2}} \leq j} (-1)^{\frac{j(j+1)}{2}} u_1 \cdots u_j \otimes \bigwedge_{s=1}^{\frac{i-1}{2}} (e_{k_s} \wedge e_{k_s}^*),$$

Since

$$P_{i-1, j}^{(1, j)} \cdot e_{j+1} = (-1)^j P_{i-1, j-1}^{(1, j-1)} \cdot (u_j \otimes e_{j+1}) + (-1)^j P_{i-3, j-1}^{(1, j-1)} \cdot (u_j \otimes e_j \wedge e_j^* \wedge e_{j+1}),$$

the image of $P_{i-1, j}^{(1, j)} \cdot e_{j+1}$ under $\phi_{(j, j+1)}$ can be computed using the results in Section 5.1. More precisely, one has the ± 1 -eigenspace decomposition of the operator $\phi_{(j, j+1)}$:

$$u_j \otimes e_{j+1} = \frac{1}{2}(u_j \otimes e_{j+1} - u_j \otimes e_j) + \frac{1}{2}(u_j \otimes e_{j+1} + u_{j+1} \otimes e_j)$$

and hence for $w_{A_{n-2}} \nu = (\nu_j, \dots, \nu_1, x + \nu_1)$, $\phi_{(j, j+1)}$ acts on $u_j \otimes e_{j+1}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{(j, j+1)}(u_j \otimes e_{j+1}) &= \frac{1}{2}(u_j \otimes e_{j+1} - u_{j+1} \otimes e_j) + \frac{2+x}{2(2-x)}(u_j \otimes e_{j+1} + u_{j+1} \otimes e_j) \\ &= \frac{-2}{x-2}(u_j \otimes e_{j+1}) + \frac{-x}{x-2}(u_{j+1} \otimes e_j). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, one has

$$\begin{aligned} &\phi_{(j, j+1)}(P_{i-1, j}^{(1, j)} \cdot e_{j+1}) \\ &= (-1)^j \left(\frac{-2}{x-2} P_{i-1, j-1}^{(1, j-1)} \cdot (u_j \otimes e_{j+1}) + \frac{-x}{x-2} P_{i-1, j-1}^{(1, j-1)} \cdot (u_{j+1} \otimes e_j) \right) \\ &+ (-1)^j \left(\frac{-2}{x-2} P_{i-3, j-1}^{(1, j-1)} \cdot (u_j \otimes e_j \wedge e_j^* \wedge e_{j+1}) + \frac{-x}{x-2} P_{i-3, j-1}^{(1, j-1)} \cdot (u_{j+1} \otimes e_j \wedge e_{j+1} \wedge e_{j+1}^*) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that only the terms with $(u_{j+1} \otimes e_{j+1} \wedge e_{j+1}^*)$ or u_{j+1} can produce the terms in $e_1 \cdot P_{i-1,j}^{(2,j+1)}$ after applying $\phi_{(j-1,j)}, \dots, \phi_{(12)}$. By computing $\phi_{(j-1,j)}, \dots, \phi_{(12)}$ as above, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_w(P_{i-1,j}^{(1,j)} \cdot e_{j+1}) &= (\phi_{(1,2)} \circ \dots \circ \phi_{(j,j+1)})(P_{i-1,j}^{(1,j)} \cdot e_{j+1}) \\ &= (-1)^j \frac{x+2j-2}{x-2} e_1 \cdot P_{i-1,j}^{(2,j+1)} + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

where \dots is the linear combination of $u_1 \cdot P_{i-1,j-1}^{(2,j+1)}$ and $(u_1 \otimes e_1 \wedge e_1^*) \cdot P_{i-2,j-1}^{(2,j+1)}$. Therefore,

$$a_{11}(i, j, j+1) = (-1)^j \frac{x+2j-2}{x-2}.$$

Similarly, we can get the following:

$$\begin{aligned} &\begin{pmatrix} a_{11}(i, j, j+1) & a_{12}(i, j, j+1) & a_{13}(i, j, j+1) \\ a_{21}(n+j-2, j, n) & a_{22}(n+j-2, j, n) & a_{23}(n+j-2, j, n) \\ a_{31}(n-j+2, j, n) & a_{32}(n-j+2, j, n) & a_{33}(n-j+2, j, n) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{x-2} \begin{pmatrix} (-1)^{i+j+1}(x+2j-2) & (-1)^{i+1}(2j-i+1) & (-1)^i(-i+1) \\ (-1)^j(-2n+2j) & (-1)^{n+j}(x+2n-4) & (-1)^{n+j}(-2j+2) \\ (-1)^{\frac{n+j-i+2}{2}}(-2n+2j) & (-1)^{\frac{3n+j-i}{2}}(2j-2) & (-1)^{\frac{3n+j-i+2}{2}}(x+2n-4) \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

By (20), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\begin{pmatrix} a_{11}(i, j, n) & a_{12}(i, j, n) & a_{13}(i, j, n) \\ a_{21}(i, j, n) & a_{22}(i, j, n) & a_{23}(i, j, n) \\ a_{31}(i, j, n) & a_{32}(i, j, n) & a_{33}(i, j, n) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{x-2} \begin{pmatrix} (-1)^j \cdot (x+2j-2) & (-1)^i \cdot (-n+i-j) & (-1)^i \cdot (n-i-j) \\ (-1)^j \cdot (-2n+2j) & (-1)^i \cdot (x+n+i-j-2) & (-1)^i \cdot (n-i-j) \\ (-1)^j \cdot (-2n+2j) & (-1)^{i+1} \cdot (n-i+j) & (-1)^i \cdot (x+3n-i-j-2) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

When $1 < j < n$ and $|i-n| \leq |j-2|$, the elements

$$P_{i-1,j}^{(1,n-1)} \cdot e_n, \quad P_{i,j-1}^{(1,n-1)} \cdot u_n, \quad P_{i-2,j-1}^{(1,n-1)} \cdot (u_n \otimes e_n \wedge e_n^*)$$

all exist. The matrix of the transformation ϕ_w is as above matrix $(a_{st})_{1 \leq s, t \leq 3}$, and is well-defined if $x \neq 2 = \nu_{n-1} + 2$. Since the matrix has determinant $(-1)^{n-i} \left(\frac{x+2n-2}{x-2}\right)^2$, the map ϕ_w is injective if $x \neq -2n+2 = \nu_1 - 2$.

When $j = 1, n$ or $|i-n| > |j-2|$, only one or two of the elements among

$$P_{i-1,j}^{(1,n-1)} \cdot e_n, \quad P_{i,j-1}^{(1,n-1)} \cdot u_n, \quad P_{i-2,j-1}^{(1,n-1)} \cdot (u_n \otimes e_n \wedge e_n^*)$$

exist. In these cases, by deleting the entries of $(a_{st})_{1 \leq s, t \leq 3}$ corresponding to the elements which don't exist, we get the matrix of the map ϕ_w . So the map ϕ_w is well-defined if $x \neq 2 = \nu_{n-1} + 2$. We can check that the matrix of the map ϕ_w has determinant ± 1 or $\pm \frac{x+2n-2}{x-2}$. Hence, the map ϕ_w is injective if $x \neq -2n+2 = \nu_1 - 2$.

These finish the proof of the statement. \square

We also record the following result, whose proof is almost identical to the above lemma:

Lemma 5.4. Let $\nu = (x; \nu_1 < \cdots < \nu_{n-1})$ with

$$\nu_{k+1} - \nu_k = 2 \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq k \leq n-2,$$

and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $w = (n \cdots 21) \in W(A_{n-1})$, so $w(\mu) = \mu$ and $w(\nu) = (\nu_1 < \cdots < \nu_{n-1}; x)$. Consider

$$\phi_w : \Theta_i^\mu \rightarrow \Theta_i^\mu,$$

we have

- (i) The map ϕ_w is well-defined over $\bigoplus_{i',j} (\Theta_{i-i'}^{1,1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot P_{i',j}^{(2,n)}$ if $x \neq \nu_1 - 2$.
- (ii) The map ϕ_w is injective over $\bigoplus_{i',j} (\Theta_{i-i'}^{1,1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot P_{i',j}^{(2,n)}$ if $x \neq \nu_{n-1} + 2$.

Lemma 5.5. Let $\mu^- := (\frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})$, and $\nu = (\nu_1 < \cdots < \nu_{n-1}; x)$ with $\nu_{k+1} - \nu_k = 2$ for all $1 \leq k \leq n-2$, and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $w = (12 \cdots n) \in W(A_{n-1})$, so that $w\mu^- = (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2})$ and $w\nu = (x; \nu_1 < \cdots < \nu_{n-1})$. Consider

$$\phi_w : \Theta_i^{\mu^-} \rightarrow \Theta_i^{w\mu^-},$$

we have

- (i) The map ϕ_w is well-defined over $\bigoplus_{i',j} P_{i',j}^{(1,n-1)} \cdot (\Theta_{i-i'}^{n,n})^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ if $x \neq \nu_{n-1} + 3$.
- (ii) The map ϕ_w is injective over $\bigoplus_{i',j} P_{i',j}^{(1,n-1)} \cdot (\Theta_{i-i'}^{n,n})^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ if $x \neq \nu_1 - 3$.

Proof. The proof is similar to Lemma 5.3. As before, we take $(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_{n-1}) = (-2n+4 < \cdots < -2 < 0)$ for simplicity. Note that ϕ_w sends the subspace spanned by

$$(21) \quad P_{i,j}^{(1,n-1)}, \quad P_{i-2,j}^{(1,n-1)} \cdot (e_n \wedge e_n^*), \quad P_{i-1,j-1}^{(1,n-1)} \cdot (u_n \otimes e_n^*),$$

to the subspace spanned by

$$(22) \quad P_{i,j}^{(2,n)}, \quad (e_1 \wedge e_1^*) \cdot P_{i-2,j-1}^{(2,n)}, \quad (u_1 \otimes e_1^*) \cdot P_{i-1,j-1}^{(2,n)}.$$

When $i = 1$ or $i = 2n - 1$, it is easy to find that under the basis (21) and (22), the matrix of ϕ_w is given by the diagonal matrix with ± 1 in the diagonal.

Assume that $1 < i < 2n - 1$, we have the following cases that only one element among the three elements in (21) exists, and the matrix of ϕ_w is also given by ± 1 :

- (i) when $i \leq n - 1$ and $j = n - i - 1$, only $P_{i,j}^{(1,n-1)}$ exists,
- (ii) when $i \geq n + 1$ and $j = |n - i + 1|$, only $P_{i-2,j}^{(1,n-1)} \cdot (e_n \wedge e_n^*)$ exists,
- (iii) when i is odd and $j = n$, only $P_{i-1,j-1}^{(1,n-1)} \cdot (u_n \otimes e_n^*)$ exists.

When i, j are not in the above three cases, the three elements in (21) all exist. Assume that the matrix of ϕ_w is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11}(i, j, n) & a_{12}(i, j, n) & a_{13}(i, j, n) \\ a_{21}(i, j, n) & a_{22}(i, j, n) & a_{23}(i, j, n) \\ a_{31}(i, j, n) & a_{32}(i, j, n) & a_{33}(i, j, n) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using the arguments similar to Lemma 5.3, the matrix of ϕ_w is

$$\frac{1}{x-3} \begin{pmatrix} (-1)^{n-1}(i+j-n+x-2) & (-1)^n(i+j-n+1) & -(i+j-n+1) \\ (-1)^n(j-i+n+1) & (-1)^{n+1}(j-i+n+x-2) & j-i+n+1 \\ (-1)^n(2j-2n) & (-1)^n(-2j+2n) & 2j-2n-x+3 \end{pmatrix}$$

The matrix of ϕ_w is well-defined if $x \neq 3 = \nu_{n-1} + 3$. Since the determinant of the matrix is $-\frac{x+2n-1}{x-3}$, the map ϕ_w is injective if $x \neq -2n+1 = \nu_1 - 3$. These finish the proof of the statements. \square

As above, we record the following result:

Lemma 5.6. *Let $\tilde{\mu}^- := (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2})$, and $\nu = (x; \nu_1 < \dots < \nu_{n-1})$ with $\nu_{k+1} - \nu_k = 2$ for all $1 \leq k \leq n-2$, and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $w = (n \cdots 21) \in W(A_{n-1})$, so that $w\tilde{\mu}^- = (\frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})$ and $w\nu = (\nu_1 < \dots < \nu_{n-1}; x)$. Consider*

$$\phi_w : \Theta_i^{\tilde{\mu}^-} \rightarrow \Theta_i^{w\tilde{\mu}^-},$$

we have

- (i) *The map ϕ_w is well-defined over $\bigoplus_{i',j} (\Theta_{i-i'}^{1,1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot P_{i',j}^{(2,n)}$ if $x \neq \nu_1 - 3$.*
- (ii) *The map ϕ_w is injective over $\bigoplus_{i',j} (\Theta_{i-i'}^{1,1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot P_{i',j}^{(2,n)}$ if $x \neq \nu_{n-1} + 3$.*

Remark 5.7.

(i) *We have similar results for replacing μ by $-\mu$ in this subsection. Firstly, replacing μ by $-\mu$ in Proposition 5.2, the image of $\phi_{w_{A_{n-1}}}$ will be spanned by $d_i = \min\{\lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor, \lfloor \frac{2n-i}{2} \rfloor\} + 1$ characters*

$$Q_{i,m}^{(1,n)} := \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_m \leq n} u_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge u_{j_m} \otimes e_{j_1}^* \wedge \dots \wedge e_{j_m}^* \wedge \sum_{\{k_1, \dots, k_{\frac{i-m}{2}}\} \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{j_1, \dots, j_m\}} \bigwedge_{l=1}^{\frac{i-m}{2}} (e_{k_l} \wedge e_{k_l}^*).$$

with $m \in \{i - 2\lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor, i - 2\lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor + 2, \dots, \min\{i, 2n - i\}\}$.

Then replacing μ (resp. μ^-) by $-\mu$ (resp. $-\mu^-$), and replacing $\bigoplus_{i',j'} P_{i-i',j}^{(1,n-1)} \cdot (\Theta_{i'}^{n+1,n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}}$ (resp. $\bigoplus_{i',j'} P_{i-i',j}^{(1,n-1)} \cdot (\Theta_{i'}^{n+1,n+1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}$) by $\bigoplus_{i',j'} Q_{i-i',j}^{(1,n-1)} \cdot (\Theta_{i'}^{n+1,n+1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ (resp. $\bigoplus_{i',j'} Q_{i-i',j}^{(1,n-1)} \cdot (\Theta_{i'}^{n+1,n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}}$) in Lemma 5.3 (resp. Lemma 5.5), one can get similar results. A similar replacement also holds for Lemma 5.4 and Lemma 5.6.

(ii) Let $\overline{\mathfrak{gl}}(n, \mathbb{C})$ be another Type A_{n-1} Levi subalgebra with simple roots

$$\{e_i - e_{i+1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-2\} \cup \{e_{n-1} + e_n\}.$$

Let $\mu^- = (\frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})$, then the $\overline{\mathfrak{gl}}(n, \mathbb{C})$ -submodule with highest weight μ^- in Θ_i is spanned by $\overline{P}_{i,j}^{(1,n)}$, where $\overline{P}_{i,j}^{(1,n)}$ is defined by “replacing the u_n (resp. $1, e_n, e_n^*$) in $P_{i,j}^{(1,n)}$ by $\sqrt{2}$ (resp. $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}u_n, e_n^*, e_n$)”, i.e.

$$(23) \quad \overline{P}_{i,j}^{(1,n)} := (-1)^j \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} P_{i,j}^{(1,n-1)} \cdot (u_n \otimes e_n^*) + \sqrt{2} P_{i,j-1}^{(1,n-1)} - \sqrt{2} P_{i-2,j-1}^{(1,n-1)} \cdot (u_n \otimes e_n \wedge e_n^*) \right).$$

The $\overline{\mathfrak{gl}}(n, \mathbb{C})$ -submodule with the highest weight $-\mu^-$ in Θ_i is spanned by $\overline{Q}_{i,m}^{(1,n)}$, which is defined by “replacing the u_n (resp. $1, e_n, e_n^*$) in $Q_{i,j}^{(1,n)}$ by $\sqrt{2}$ (resp. $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}u_n, e_n^*, e_n$)” similarly as in (23).

Multiplying the last coordinate of the weight by -1 , and replacing $P_{i,j}^{(1,n)}$ by $\overline{P}_{i,j}^{(1,n)}$ or replacing $Q_{i,j}^{(1,n)}$ by $\overline{Q}_{i,j}^{(1,n)}$ in the argument, one can get similar results to all the lemmas of this subsection.

5.4. Type D intertwining operator.

Lemma 5.8. Let $\nu = (2n - \frac{3}{2}, \dots, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$, and $w \in W(D_n)$ be the longest Weyl group element. Then the image of

$$\phi_w : \Theta_i^\mu \rightarrow \Theta_i^{w\mu}$$

is a one-dimensional subspace of $\Theta_i^{w\mu}$ spanned by the vector

$$T_i^{(1,n)} := \sum_{s=0}^{\min\{\lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor, \lfloor \frac{2n-i}{2} \rfloor\}} Q_{i, i-2\lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor + 2s}^{(1,n)} \quad \text{if } n \text{ is even}$$

$$\overline{T}_i^{(1,n)} := \sum_{s=0}^{\min\{\lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor, \lfloor \frac{2n-i}{2} \rfloor\}} \overline{Q}_{i, i-2\lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor + 2s}^{(1,n)} \quad \text{if } n \text{ is odd.}$$

Proof. First of all, note that by our choice of ν , the intertwining operator $i_w : X(\mu, \nu) \rightarrow X(w\mu, w\nu)$ has image equal to the finite-dimensional spinor module

$$J(w\mu, w\nu)|_K = \begin{cases} \mathcal{V}_\mu & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ \mathcal{V}_{\mu^-} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

Upon restricting to the weight spaces, the image of $\phi_w : \Theta_i^\mu \rightarrow \Theta_i^{w\mu}$ must be the $w\mu = -\mu$ (resp. $w\mu = -\mu^-$) weight space of the \mathcal{V}_μ (resp. \mathcal{V}_{μ^-}) given in the above formula, which is obviously one-dimensional.

It is easy to check that for the $\min\{\lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor, \lfloor \frac{2n-i}{2} \rfloor\} + 1$ possibilities of m appearing in the expressions of $T_i^{(1,n)}$ (resp. $\overline{T}_i^{(1,n)}$) above, $Q_{i,m}^{(1,n)}$ (resp. $\overline{Q}_{i,m}^{(1,n)}$) forms a basis of $\Theta_i^{w\mu}$.

Moreover, we can check directly that $T_i^{(1,n)}$ (resp. $\overline{T}_i^{(1,n)}$) is a highest weight vector in Θ_i , and hence is exactly the $w\mu = -\mu$ (resp. $w\mu = -\mu^-$) weight space of the \mathcal{V}_μ . \square

5.5. Injectivity of certain intertwining operators. We now apply the results in the previous sections to study certain intertwining operators appearing in Section 6.

Let (μ, ν) be the Langlands parameter for an irreducible representation of $Spin(2n, \mathbb{C})$ with $\{\mu\} = (\frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2})$. We introduce the following notations:

- $\{\nu\}_A$ (resp. $\{\nu\}_{-,A}$) be the unique dominant (resp. anti-dominant) $W(A_n)$ -conjugate of ν .
- $\{\nu\}_B$ (resp. $\{\nu\}_{-,B}$) be the unique dominant (resp. anti-dominant) $W(B_n)$ -conjugate of ν .
- μ_{ν_1} be defined as $\mu_{\nu_1} := w_1\mu$ if $\nu_1 = w_1\nu$ for some $w_1 \in W(D_n)$ of shortest length (see Example 6.2 below for an explicit example).

Let $\nu = \dots \cup \nu_1 \cup \dots \cup \nu_k \cup \dots \cup \nu_m$, where ν_m is non-empty. We write

$$(24) \quad \dots \cup \{\nu_1\}_- \cup \dots \cup \{\nu_k\}_- \cup \dots \cup \nu_m := \dots \cup \{\nu_1\}_{-,B} \cup \dots \cup \{\nu_k\}_{-,B} \cup \dots \cup \nu'_m$$

to be the unique $W(D_n)$ -conjugate of ν such that each $\{\nu_i\}_{-,B}$ is the anti-dominant $W(B_\bullet)$ -conjugate of ν_i , and ν'_m is obtained by multiplying the last coordinate of ν_m with ± 1 . For example,

$$\{(\frac{9}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{1}{2})\}_- \cup (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{5}{2}) = (-\frac{9}{2}, -\frac{5}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}) \cup (\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{5}{2}),$$

rather than $(-\frac{9}{2}, -\frac{5}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) \cup (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{5}{2})$.

Proposition 5.9. *Let*

$$\begin{aligned} \mu' &= \left(\overbrace{\frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}}^{n_1}, \overbrace{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{n_2}}^{n_2} \right), & \mu &\in \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2} \right\} \\ \nu' &= (\nu_1 < \dots < \nu_{n_1}; \xi_1 \leq \dots \leq \xi_{n_2}), & \nu_{k+1} - \nu_k &= 2 \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $\xi_l \neq \nu_1 - 2$ or $\nu_{n_1} + 2$ (resp. $\nu_1 - 3$ or $\nu_{n_1} + 2$) if $\mu_l = \frac{1}{2}$ (resp. $-\frac{1}{2}$) for all $1 \leq l \leq n_2$, then the intertwining operator

$$\begin{array}{c} X(\mu', \nu') \\ \downarrow \alpha \\ X((\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{n_2}; \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}); (\xi_1 \leq \dots \leq \xi_{n_2}; \nu_1 < \dots < \nu_{n_1})) \end{array}$$

is well-defined and injective over the μ' -weight subspace $\bigoplus_{i',j} P_{i',j}^{(1,n_1)} \cdot (\Theta_{i-i'}^{n_1+1, n_1+n_2})_{(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{n_2})}$

of Θ_i for all $0 \leq i \leq 2(n_1 + n_2)$.

By Remark 5.7, a similar result also holds by replacing μ' (resp. $P_{i',j}^{(1,n_1)}$ and $\Theta_{i-i'}^{(n_1+1, n_1+n_2)}$) with $-\mu'$ (resp. $Q_{i',j}^{(1,n_1)}$ and $\Theta_{i-i'}^{(n_1+1, n_1+n_2)}$).

Proof. We show it by induction on n_2 . The base case $n_2 = 0$ is trivially true. Assume that it is true for $n_2 - 1$, then for the case n_2 , write α as the composition of the following $\alpha'' \circ \alpha'$:

$$\begin{array}{c} X((\frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}; \mu_1, \dots, \mu_{n_2}); (\nu_1 < \dots < \nu_{n_1}; \xi_1 \leq \dots \leq \xi_{n_2})) \\ \downarrow \alpha' \\ X((\mu_1; \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}; \mu_2, \dots, \mu_{n_2}); (\xi_1; \nu_1 < \dots < \nu_{n_1}; \xi_2 \leq \dots \leq \xi_{n_2})) \\ \downarrow \alpha'' \\ X((\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{n_2}; \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}); (\xi_1 \leq \xi_2 \leq \dots \leq \xi_{n_2}; \nu_1 < \dots < \nu_{n_1})). \end{array}$$

By the condition of ξ_1 , Lemma 5.3 or Lemma 5.5 implies that α' is well-defined and injective $\bigoplus_{i',j} P_{i',j}^{(1,n_1)} \cdot (\Theta_{i-i'}^{n_1+1,n_1+n_2})^{(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{n_2})}$, and

$$\alpha' \left(\bigoplus_{i',j} P_{i',j}^{(1,n_1)} \cdot (\Theta_{i-i'}^{n_1+1,n_1+n_2})^{(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{n_2})} \right) \subset \bigoplus_{i',i'',j} (\Theta_{i''}^{1,1})^{\mu_1} \cdot P_{i',j}^{(2,n_1+1)} \cdot (\Theta_{i-i'-i''}^{n_1+2,n_1+n_2})^{(\mu_2, \dots, \mu_{n_2})}.$$

By induction, we know that α' is well defined and injective over $\bigoplus_{i',i'',j} (\Theta_{i''}^{1,1})^{\mu_1} \cdot P_{i',j}^{(2,n_1+1)} \cdot (\Theta_{i-i'-i''}^{n_1+2,n_1+n_2})^{(\mu_2, \dots, \mu_{n_2})}$. Hence, the lemma also holds for $\alpha = \alpha'' \circ \alpha'$. \square

Proposition 5.10. *Let*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mu}' &= (\overbrace{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{n_1}}^{n_1}, \overbrace{\frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}}^{n_2}), & \mu_l &\in \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2} \right\} \\ \tilde{\nu}' &= (\xi_1 \leq \dots \leq \xi_{n_1}; \nu_1 < \dots < \nu_{n_2}), & \nu_{k+1} - \nu_k &= 2 \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $\xi_l \neq \nu_{n_2} + 2$ (resp. $\nu_{n_2} + 3$) if $\mu_l = \frac{1}{2}$ (resp. $-\frac{1}{2}$) for all $1 \leq l \leq n_1$, then the intertwining operator

$$\begin{array}{c} X(\tilde{\mu}', \tilde{\nu}') \\ \downarrow \omega \\ X(\mu_{\{\tilde{\nu}'\}_{-,A}}, \{\tilde{\nu}'\}_{-,A}) \end{array}$$

(recall the definition μ_{ν_1} in the beginning of this section) is injective over the $\tilde{\mu}'$ -weight subspace $\bigoplus_{i',j} (\Theta_{i-i'}^{1,n_1})^{(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{n_1})} \cdot P_{i',j}^{(n_1+1,n_1+n_2)}$.

By Remark 5.7, a similar result also holds by replacing $\tilde{\mu}'$ (resp. $\Theta_{i-i'}^{1,n_1}$ and $P_{i',j}^{(n_1+1,n_1+n_2)}$) with $-\tilde{\mu}'$ (resp. $\Theta_{i-i'}^{1,n_1}$ and $Q_{i',j}^{(n_1+1,n_1+n_2)}$).

Proof. We show it by induction on n_2 . It is trivial for the base case $n_2 = 0$.

Assume that the statement is true for $n_2 - 1$. If $\xi_{n_1} \leq \nu_1$, then $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n_1}, \nu_1, \dots, \nu_{n_2})$ is an non-increasing sequence. So ω is the identity map and the lemma is clearly true. If

$\xi_{n_1} \geq \nu_{n_2}$, then ω is the composition of the following ω' and ω'' :

$$\begin{aligned} & X((\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{n_1}; \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}), (\xi_1 \leq \dots \leq \xi_{n_1}; \nu_1 < \dots < \nu_{n_2})) \\ & \quad \downarrow \omega' \\ & X((\mu_2, \dots, \mu_{n_1}; \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}; \mu_1), (\xi_1 \leq \dots \leq \xi_{n_1-1}; \nu_1 < \dots < \nu_{n_2}; \xi_{n_1})) \\ & \quad \downarrow \omega'' \\ & X(\mu_{\{\tilde{\nu}'\}_{-,A}}, \{\tilde{\nu}'\}_{-,A}). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 5.4, Lemma 5.6, and the assumption $\xi_{n_1} \neq \nu_{n_2} + 2$ (resp. $\nu_{n_2} + 3$) if $\mu_{n_1+1} = \frac{1}{2}$ (resp. $-\frac{1}{2}$), one can conclude that ω' is injective. Alternatively, this can be seen by the “ $GL(1) \times GL(n_2) \rightarrow GL(n_2) \times GL(1)$ ” intertwining operator calculations in [B10], since (in the case when $\xi_{n_1} \neq \nu_{n_2} + 2$) the strings (ξ_{n_1}) and $(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_{n_2})$ are *nested* in the sense of [B10, Definition 2.1].

By induction, we know that ω'' is also injective over the image of ω' . Hence, the lemma holds for $\omega = \omega'' \circ \omega'$.

If $\nu_k \leq \xi_{n_1} < \nu_{k+1}$ for some $1 \leq k \leq n_2 - 1$, write the ω as the composition of the following ω_1 and ω_2 ,

$$\begin{aligned} & X((\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{n_1}; \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}), (\xi_1 \leq \dots \leq \xi_{n_1-1} \leq \xi_{n_1}; \nu_1 < \dots < \nu_{n_2})) \\ & \quad \downarrow \omega_1 \\ & X \left(\begin{array}{cccc} \mu_1, \dots, \mu_{n_1-1}; & \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}; & \mu_{n_1}; & \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2} \\ \xi_1 \leq \dots \leq \xi_{n_1-1}; & \nu_1 < \dots < \nu_k; & \xi_{n_1}; & \nu_{k+1} < \dots < \nu_{n_2} \end{array} \right) \\ & \quad \downarrow \omega_2 \\ & X(\mu_{\{\tilde{\nu}'\}_{-,A}}, \{\tilde{\nu}'\}_{-,A}). \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 5.4 and Lemma 5.6 again, along with the assumption $\nu_k \leq \xi_{n_1} < \nu_{k+1}$ (hence $\xi_{n_1} \neq \nu_k + 2, \nu_k + 3$), we know that ω_1 is injective. Also, by induction hypothesis on the first $n_1 + k - 1$ coordinates, we know that ω_2 is injective since $\xi_2, \dots, \xi_{n_1-1} \leq \xi_{n_1} < \nu_{k+1} = \nu_k + 2 < \nu_k + 3$, and hence the lemma holds for $\omega = \omega_2 \circ \omega_1$. \square

We conclude this section by the following trick, which will be used in the proof of Propositions 6.6, 6.8 and 6.9 in the next section.

Proposition 5.11. *Let w be an element in $W(D_n)$. Write*

$$(25) \quad w = s_{\alpha_m} \cdots s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_1} = s_{\beta_{m'}} \cdots s_{\beta_2} s_{\beta_1},$$

for some simple reflections s_{α_\bullet} and s_{β_\bullet} , which are not assumed to be a reduced expression, so that the intertwining operators $\phi_{s_{\alpha_\bullet}}$ or $\phi_{s_{\beta_\bullet}}$ may not be well-defined.

Suppose there exists a subspace Θ' of $\Gamma = \Theta_i$ such that $\phi_{s_{\alpha_k}}$ (resp. $\phi_{s_{\beta_k}}$) is well-defined over $\phi_{s_{\alpha_{k-1}}} \cdots s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_1}(\Theta')$ (resp. $\phi_{s_{\beta_{k-1}}} \cdots s_{\beta_2} s_{\beta_1}(\Theta')$) for all k , then we have

$$(26) \quad \phi_{s_{\alpha_m}} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_{s_{\alpha_2}} \circ \phi_{s_{\alpha_1}} = \phi_{s_{\beta_{m'}}} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_{s_{\beta_2}} \circ \phi_{s_{\beta_1}}$$

over the subspace Θ' .

Proof. Firstly, assume that ν satisfies

$$(27) \quad \langle \alpha, \nu \rangle \neq \pm 2, \text{ or } \pm 3, \forall \alpha \in \Delta^+(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}).$$

Then for any root α , ϕ_{s_α} is well-defined and invertible over the corresponding weight space of Θ_i by the formulas in Section 5.1. Notice that (26) holds when the two expansions in (25) are both reduced. We can prove (26) by induction on (m, m') as follows. When $(m, m') = (0, 0)$, it is trivial. Assume it is true for $(< m, \leq m')$ and $(\leq m, < m')$. By the formulas in Section 5.1 and the results of $SL(2)$, we get that $\phi_{s_\alpha} \circ \phi_{s_\alpha} = 1$. For example, in the case (i) of Section 5.1, assume that $s_\alpha x = -x$, then $\phi_{s_\alpha}(x) = \frac{2 - \langle \alpha, \nu \rangle}{2 + \langle \alpha, \nu \rangle} x$ and

$$\phi_{s_\alpha}(\phi_{s_\alpha}(x)) = \frac{2 - \langle \alpha, s_\alpha \nu \rangle}{2 + \langle \alpha, s_\alpha \nu \rangle} \cdot \left(\frac{2 - \langle \alpha, \nu \rangle}{2 + \langle \alpha, \nu \rangle} x \right) = x.$$

Assume that $s_{\alpha_m} \cdots s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_1}$ is not reduced. Let $1 \leq k \leq m$ be the smallest number such that the length of $s_{\alpha_k} \cdots s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_1}$ is less than $s_{\alpha_{k-1}} \cdots s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_1}$, so $s_{\alpha_k} \cdots s_{\alpha_2} s_{\alpha_1} = s_{\alpha'_{k-2}} \cdots s_{\alpha'_2} s_{\alpha'_1}$ for some simple reflections $s_{\alpha'_i}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi_{s_{\alpha_m}} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_{s_{\alpha_2}} \circ \phi_{s_{\alpha_1}} \\ &= \phi_{s_{\alpha_m}} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_{s_{\alpha_{k+1}}} \circ \phi_{s_{\alpha'_{k-2}}} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_{s_{\alpha'_2}} \circ \phi_{s_{\alpha'_1}} \\ &= \phi_{s_{\beta_{m'}}} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_{s_{\beta_2}} \circ \phi_{s_{\beta_1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality is due to $\phi_{s_{\alpha_k}} \circ \phi_{s_{\alpha_k}} = 1$ and (26) holds for reduced expansions, and the second equality is due to the induction for $(m-2, m')$. Therefore, the proposition holds for all ν satisfying condition (27).

As for general ν such that (26) is well-defined, notice that the formulas of ϕ_{s_α} in Section 5.1 are rational functions over ν . So (26) holds for these values by continuity arguments, and the proposition follows. \square

6. PROOF OF NON-UNITARITY

6.1. A useful lemma. We begin this section with the following lemma, will be used extensively in the non-unitarity proof:

Lemma 6.1. *Let $\pi = J(\sigma_L \cup \sigma_L^h, \sigma_R \cup \sigma_R^h)$ be a representation of $G = Spin(4n, \mathbb{C})$, where $\sigma_L = \sigma'_L \cup \sigma''_L$,*

$$\sigma'_L \longleftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} S_1 \\ s_1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \sigma''_L \longleftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} S_2 & \cdots & S_p \\ s_2 & \cdots & s_p \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let (μ, ν) (resp. (μ', ν') , (μ'', ν'')); (μ^h, ν^h) , (μ'^h, ν'^h) , (μ''^h, ν''^h)) be the parameters corresponding to σ_L (resp. σ'_L, σ''_L ; $\sigma_L^h, \sigma'^h, \sigma''^h$). Fix any integer $r \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, and consider

the following intertwining operators (c.f. (15)) in $Spin(2n, \mathbb{C})$ for $\Gamma = \Theta_r$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\begin{array}{c} X(\mu_{\{\nu\}}; \{\nu\}) \\ \downarrow \delta'_1 \\ X(\mu' \cup \mu_{\{\nu''\}}; \{\nu'\}_A \cup \{\nu''\}) \\ \downarrow \psi_1 \\ X(\mu' \cup \mu_{\{\nu''\}_-}; \{\nu'\}_{A,-} \cup \{\nu''\}_-) \\ \downarrow \delta_1 \\ X(\mu_{\{\nu\}_-}; \{\nu\}_-) \end{array} & \text{and} & \begin{array}{c} X(\mu_{\{\nu^h\}}; \{\nu^h\}) \\ \downarrow \delta'_2 \\ X(\mu^{h'} \cup \mu_{\{\nu^{h''}\}}; \{\nu^{h'}\}_A \cup \{\nu^{h''}\}) \\ \downarrow \psi_2 \\ X(\mu^{h'} \cup \mu_{\{\nu^{h''}\}_-}; \{\nu^{h'}\}_{A,-} \cup \{\nu^{h''}\}_-) \\ \downarrow \delta_2 \\ X(\mu_{\{\nu^h\}_-}; \{\nu^h\}_-) \end{array},
\end{array}$$

Suppose both $\delta_1|_{\text{im}(\psi_1)}$ and $\delta_2|_{\text{im}(\psi_2)}$ are injective on the corresponding weight spaces of Θ_r , then the induced module

$$\text{Ind}_{A_{2(S_1+s_1)+1} \times D_{2n-2(S_1+s_1+1)}}^{D_{2n}} \left(J_A(\sigma'_L \cup \sigma_L^h; \sigma'_R \cup \sigma_R^h) \otimes J_D(\sigma''_L \cup \sigma_L^h; \sigma''_R \cup \sigma_R^h) \right)$$

has π as its lowest K -type subquotient, and both modules have the same multiplicities for the K -types $\mathcal{V}_{\eta(i)}$ for all $0 \leq i \leq r$.

Consequently, $\delta_1|_{\text{im}(\psi_1)}$ and $\delta_2|_{\text{im}(\psi_2)}$ are also injective by taking $\Gamma = \Theta_i$ for all $0 \leq i \leq r$.

Example 6.2. We give an example to illustrate the notations before going to the proof of Lemma 6.1. Let $\sigma_L = (\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}) \cup (\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})$ with

$$\mu = \mu' \cup \mu'' = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) \cup (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) \text{ and } \nu = \nu' \cup \nu'' = (\frac{5}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}) \cup (\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}).$$

Then the intertwining operators in Lemma 6.1 are as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\begin{array}{c} X((\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}); (\frac{5}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})) \\ \downarrow \delta'_1 \\ X((\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) \cup (-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}); (\frac{5}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}) \cup (\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})) \\ \downarrow \psi_1 \\ X((\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) \cup (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}); (-\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{5}{2}) \cup (-\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2})) \\ \downarrow \delta_1 \\ X((-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}); (-\frac{5}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})), \end{array} & \text{and} & \begin{array}{c} X((-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}); (\frac{5}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})) \\ \downarrow \delta'_2 \\ X((\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) \cup (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}); (\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{5}{2}) \cup (\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})) \\ \downarrow \psi_2 \\ X((\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) \cup (-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}); (-\frac{5}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}) \cup (-\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2})) \\ \downarrow \delta_2 \\ X((\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}); (-\frac{5}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})). \end{array}
\end{array}$$

Note that the modules on the right are Hermitian dual of the left (c.f. Section 2.3), and the operators $\delta'_2 = \delta_1^h$, $\psi_2 = \psi_1^h$ and $\delta_2 = (\delta'_1)^h$ are the Hermitian adjoints of δ_1 , ψ_1 and δ'_1 respectively. This also proves the well-known fact that $J(\sigma_L, \sigma_R)$ and $J(\sigma_L^h, \sigma_R^h)$ have the same K -type multiplicities.

Proof. By the discussions in Section 2.2,

$$\text{im}(\delta_l \circ \psi_l \circ \delta'_l) = \begin{cases} J(\sigma_L, \sigma_R) & \text{if } l = 1 \\ J(\sigma_L^h, \sigma_R^h) & \text{if } l = 2 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\text{im}(\psi_l) = \begin{cases} \text{Ind}_{A_{S_1+s_1} \times D_{n-S_1-s_1-1}}^{D_n} (J_A(\sigma'_L, \sigma'_R) \otimes J_D(\sigma''_L, \sigma''_R)) & \text{if } l = 1 \\ \text{Ind}_{A_{S_1+s_1} \times D_{n-S_1-s_1-1}}^{D_n} (J_A(\sigma_L^h, \sigma_R^h) \otimes J_D(\sigma_L^h, \sigma_R^h)) & \text{if } l = 2 \end{cases}.$$

Therefore,

$$\pi = \text{Ind}_{D_n \times D_n}^{D_{2n}} (\text{im}(\delta_1 \circ \psi_1 \circ \delta'_1) \otimes \text{im}(\delta_2 \circ \psi_2 \circ \delta'_2))$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Ind}_{A_{2(S_1+s_1)+1} \times D_{2n-2(S_1+s_1+1)}}^{D_{2n}} \left(J_A(\sigma'_L \cup \sigma_L{}^h; \sigma'_R \cup \sigma_R{}^h) \otimes J_D(\sigma''_L \cup \sigma_L{}^h; \sigma''_R \cup \sigma_R{}^h) \right) \\ &= \text{Ind}_{D_n \times D_n}^{D_{2n}} (\text{im}(\psi_1) \otimes \text{im}(\psi_2)) \end{aligned}$$

by Equation (8) and induction in stages. So the lemma follows from Frobenius reciprocity if one can show that for $l = 1, 2$, $[\mathcal{V}_{\eta(i)} : \text{im}(\delta_l \circ \psi_l \circ \delta'_l)] = [\mathcal{V}_{\eta(i)} : \text{im}(\psi_l)]$ for all $0 \leq i \leq r$.

As discussed in the last paragraph of Example 6.2, we have $\delta'_2 = \delta_1^h$, $\psi_2 = \psi_1^h$ and $\delta_2 = (\delta'_1)^h$ are Hermitian adjoints to each other. So

$$\ker(\delta_2 \circ \psi_2) = \text{im}(\psi_1 \circ \delta'_1)^\perp, \quad \ker(\psi_2) = \text{im}(\psi_1)^\perp.$$

Therefore,

$$\ker(\delta_2 \circ \psi_2) = \ker(\psi_2) \iff \text{im}(\psi_1 \circ \delta'_1) = \text{im}(\psi_1).$$

Similarly,

$$\ker(\delta_1 \circ \psi_1) = \ker(\psi_1) \iff \text{im}(\psi_2 \circ \delta'_2) = \text{im}(\psi_2).$$

Suppose $\delta_1|_{\text{im}(\psi_1)}$, $\delta_2|_{\text{im}(\psi_2)}$ are injective on the corresponding weight spaces of Θ_r , then $\ker(\delta_l \circ \psi_l) = \ker(\psi_l)$ ($l = 1, 2$) for the K -types of Θ_r , and hence

$$[\Theta_r : \text{im}(\delta_l \circ \psi_l \circ \delta'_l)] \stackrel{\delta_l|_{\text{im}(\psi_l)} \text{ injective}}{=} [\Theta_r : \text{im}(\psi_l \circ \delta'_l)] \stackrel{\text{im}(\psi_l \circ \delta'_l) = \text{im}(\psi_l)}{=} [\Theta_r : \text{im}(\psi_l)].$$

By (16) and Frobenius reciprocity, this implies

$$(28) \quad \sum_{0 \leq i \leq r} [\mathcal{V}_{\eta(i)} : \text{im}(\delta_l \circ \psi_l \circ \delta'_l)] = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq r} [\mathcal{V}_{\eta(i)} : \text{im}(\psi_l)].$$

On the other hand, since $\text{im}(\delta_l \circ \psi_l \circ \delta'_l)$ is a subquotient of $\text{im}(\psi_l)$, one has

$$[\mathcal{V}_{\eta(i)} : \text{im}(\delta_l \circ \psi_l \circ \delta'_l)] \leq [\mathcal{V}_{\eta(i)} : \text{im}(\psi_l)]$$

for all i . Combined with (28), this implies the inequality above is an equality for all $0 \leq i \leq r$, and the result follows as a consequence. \square

From now on, we only record the ν -parameter of each $X(w\mu, w\nu)$ in the intertwining operators as long as it does not cause any confusion, i.e. we write

$$w\nu \rightarrow w'\nu$$

for the intertwining operator $X(w\mu, w\nu) \rightarrow X(w'\mu, w'\nu)$.

We will only deal with the intertwining operators about $X(\sigma_L, \sigma_R)$ in details, omitting the similar part about $X(\sigma_L^h, \sigma_R^h)$. Note that for $X(\sigma_L, \sigma_R)$, the corresponding μ -parameter can be figure out by ν -parameter as follows : Let $\mu' = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$ and $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n)$ be the parameters of $X(\sigma_L, \sigma_R)$, then

$$\mu_l = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } \nu_l \equiv \frac{1}{2} \pmod{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } \nu_l \equiv -\frac{1}{2} \pmod{2} \end{cases}, \forall 1 \leq l \leq n.$$

6.2. Base Case ‘U’. In this section and the next section, we will study two base cases of non-unitarity. The main results are Corollary 6.5 (Non-unitary Case ‘U’) and Corollary 6.7 (Non-unitary Case ‘V’).

Proposition 6.3. *Assume that $\sigma_L \longleftrightarrow \binom{S}{s}$ then the representations $\pi = J(\sigma_L \cup \sigma_L^h, \sigma_R \cup \sigma_R^h)$ is the lowest K -type of the induced representation $\text{Ind}_{A_{2n-1}}^{D_{2n}}(J_A(\sigma_L \cup \sigma_L^h, \sigma_R \cup \sigma_R^h))$, both having the same multiplicities of $\mathcal{V}_{\eta(i)}$ for $0 \leq i \leq \min\{2s+2, n\}$.*

Proof. Recall that (σ_L, σ_R) corresponds to

$$\mu = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}\right), \quad \nu = \left(\overbrace{2S - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}}^{s+\frac{1}{2}}, \overbrace{-\frac{3}{2}, \dots, -2s - \frac{1}{2}}^{s+\frac{1}{2}}\right).$$

Consider the intertwining operator in $\text{Spin}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ as in Lemma 6.1:

$$(29) \quad \begin{array}{c} (2S - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}, \dots, -2s - \frac{1}{2}) \\ \downarrow \psi_1 \\ (-2s - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, 2S - \frac{1}{2}) \\ \downarrow \beta_I \\ (-2s - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{3}{2}, -2S + \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \pm\frac{1}{2}) \\ \downarrow \omega_{II} \\ \{(-2s - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{3}{2}, -2S + \frac{1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{1}{2})\}_- \end{array}$$

where \pm before $\frac{1}{2}$ is equal to $(-1)^{S+\frac{1}{2}}$, and $\delta_1 = \omega_{II} \circ \beta_I$ (the analogous operators on the Hermitian dual side are omitted). Taking $\Gamma = \Theta_r$ for some $0 \leq r \leq n$, Proposition 5.2 implies that $\text{im}(\psi_1)$ is spanned by

$$(30) \quad P_{r,j}^{(1,n)}, \quad j \in \{n-r, n-r+2, \dots, n-\epsilon\}.$$

By Lemma 6.1, it suffices to show that $\delta_1|_{\text{im}(\psi_1)}$ and $\delta_2|_{\text{im}(\psi_2)}$ are injective for the weight spaces of Θ_i , where $i := \min\{2s+2, n\}$. We only prove the injectivity of $\delta_1|_{\text{im}(\psi_1)}$ in full detail, and the case of $\delta_2|_{\text{im}(\psi_2)}$ is similar.

Firstly, Proposition 5.10 implies that ω_{II} is injective over $\text{im}(\beta_I \circ \psi_1)$. More precisely,

$$\omega_{II} : X \left(\left(\frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}; -\frac{1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{1}{2}, \pm\frac{1}{2}\right), \left(-2s - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{3}{2}; -2S + \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \pm\frac{1}{2}\right) \right) \rightarrow X(\mu_{\{\nu\}_-}, \{\nu\}_-).$$

Since $-\frac{3}{2}$ is not equal to $\pm\frac{1}{2} + 2$ or $\pm\frac{1}{2} + 3$, so the result follows by applying $-\tilde{\mu}' = (\frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}; -\frac{1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{1}{2}, \pm\frac{1}{2})$ as stated in the last paragraph of the proposition.

As for the injectivity of β_I over $\text{im}(\psi_1)$, notice that

$$P_{i,j}^{(1,n)} = \sum_{i',j'} (-1)^{(s+\frac{1}{2}-j')(j-j')} P_{i',j'}^{(1,s+\frac{1}{2})} \cdot P_{i-i',j-j'}^{(s+\frac{3}{2},n)},$$

where

$$(31) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 \leq i' \leq 2s + 1, \quad j' \in \left\{ |s + \frac{1}{2} - i'|, |s + \frac{1}{2} - i'| + 2, \dots, s + \frac{1}{2} - \epsilon' \right\} \\ 0 \leq i - i' \leq 2S + 1, \quad j - j' \in \left\{ |S + \frac{1}{2} - (i - i')|, |S + \frac{1}{2} - (i - i')| + 2, \dots, S + \frac{1}{2} - \epsilon'' \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 5.8, we have

$$(32) \quad \beta_I(P_{i,j}^{(1,n)}) = \sum_{i',j'} c_{i',j'}^j P_{i',j'}^{(1,s+\frac{1}{2})} \cdot T_{i-i'}^{(s+\frac{3}{2},n)}$$

for some $c_{i',j'}^j \neq 0$. Here, as (24), T will refer to \bar{T} if $S + \frac{1}{2}$ is odd. We will show that the elements

$$(33) \quad \beta_I(P_{i,n-\epsilon}^{(1,n)}), \quad \beta_I(P_{i,n-\epsilon-2}^{(1,n)}), \quad \dots, \quad \beta_I(P_{i,n-i}^{(1,n)})$$

are linearly independent.

We give a lexicographic order on the set $\{P_{i',j'}^{(1,s+\frac{1}{2})} \cdot T_{i-i'}^{(s+\frac{3}{2},n)}\}$ as follows:

$$P_{i',j'}^{(1,s+\frac{1}{2})} \cdot T_{i-i'}^{(s+\frac{3}{2},n)} > P_{i'_1,j'_1}^{(1,s+\frac{1}{2})} \cdot T_{i-i'_1}^{(s+\frac{3}{2},n)} \iff i' > i'_1, \text{ or } i' = i'_1, j' > j'_1.$$

It suffices to show that the elements (33) contain different maximal elements in the above lexicographic order.

Note that by (31), we have $(i - i') + (j - j') \geq S + \frac{1}{2} = n - s - \frac{1}{2}$, and hence for all (i', j') appearing in (32), one has $i' + j' \leq i + j - (n - s - \frac{1}{2})$.

We *claim* that the largest (i', j') appearing in (32) in the above lexicographic order must satisfy

$$(34) \quad i' + j' = i + j - (n - s - \frac{1}{2}).$$

Suppose on the contrary that the claim does not hold, i.e. the largest (i', j') appearing in (32) satisfies $i' + j' < i + j - (n - s - \frac{1}{2})$. Consider

$$(i'_+, j'_+) := \begin{cases} (i' + 2, j') & \text{if } j' = s + \frac{1}{2} \\ (i' + 1, j' + 1) & \text{if } j' < s + \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}.$$

Obviously, $(i'_+, j'_+) > (i', j')$. Moreover, (i'_+, j'_+) appears in (32) – for instance, in the first case when $j' = s + \frac{1}{2}$, then by looking at the first equation of (31), $j' = s + \frac{1}{2} - \epsilon'$ attains its maximum possible value with $\epsilon' = 0$. Therefore, $i' \equiv \epsilon' \pmod{2}$ is even, and

$$\begin{aligned} i \leq 2s + 2 &\stackrel{(30)}{\implies} i + j \leq n + 2s + 1 \\ &\implies i' + j' < n + 2s + 1 - (n - s - \frac{1}{2}) \\ &\stackrel{j'=s+\frac{1}{2}}{\implies} i' < 2s + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Note that for any $s \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$, $2s + 1$ is even. Taking into account the parity of i' , one has

$$i' \leq 2s - 1 \implies i'_+ = i' + 2 \leq 2s + 1.$$

So i'_+ satisfies the first equation of (31), and $P_{(i'_+, j'_+)}^{(1, s + \frac{1}{2})}$ appears in (32), contradicting the maximality of (i', j') . The same arguments apply for the second case when $j' < s + \frac{1}{2}$.

We are now in the position to show that (33) is linearly independent – first of all, all $\beta_I(P_{i,j})$'s in (33) have different j 's. By our discussions above, the expressions of $\beta_I(P_{i,j})$ in the form (32) will have distinct maximal (i', j') 's. One can therefore conclude that $\beta_I|_{\text{im}(\psi_1)}$ is injective, and the lemma follows. \square

Example 6.4. *By Proposition 5.2 and (16), the number of elements in $\text{im}(\phi_1)$ in (30) gives precisely the multiplicities*

$$(35) \quad [\Theta_r : \text{Ind}_{A_{n-1}}^{D_n}(J_{A_{n-1}}(\sigma_L, \sigma_R))] = \sum_{k \leq r} [\mathcal{V}_{\eta(k)} : \text{Ind}_{A_{n-1}}^{D_n}(J_{A_{n-1}}(\sigma_L, \sigma_R))]$$

for all $0 \leq r \leq n$. Alternatively, one can compute $[\mathcal{V}_{\eta(r)} : \text{Ind}_{A_{n-1}}^{D_n}(J_{A_{n-1}}(\sigma_L, \sigma_R))]$ directly using Frobenius reciprocity, since $J_{A_{n-1}}(\sigma_L, \sigma_R)|_K = \mathbb{C}_\mu$ is a character for all $\sigma_L \leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} S \\ s \end{pmatrix}$.

We present an example for $n = 4$: Firstly, the multiplicities in (35) are given in (30) by

$$(r = 0) : 1 \quad (r = 1) : 1 \quad (r = 2) : 2 \quad (r = 3) : 2 \quad (r = 4) : 3,$$

and hence

π	$[\mathcal{V}_{\eta(0)} : \pi]$	$[\mathcal{V}_{\eta(1)} : \pi]$	$[\mathcal{V}_{\eta(2)} : \pi]$	$[\mathcal{V}_{\eta(3)} : \pi]$	$[\mathcal{V}_{\eta(4)} : \pi]$
$\text{Ind}_{A_3}^{D_4}(\mathbb{C}_\mu)$	1	0	1	0	1

On the other hand, one can obtain the multiplicities of the following irreducible modules using *atlas*:

π	$[\mathcal{V}_{\eta(0)} : \pi]$	$[\mathcal{V}_{\eta(1)} : \pi]$	$[\mathcal{V}_{\eta(2)} : \pi]$	$[\mathcal{V}_{\eta(3)} : \pi]$	$[\mathcal{V}_{\eta(4)} : \pi]$
$J(\sigma_L, \sigma_R), \sigma_L \leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \frac{5}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$	1	0	1	0	0
$J(\sigma_L, \sigma_R), \sigma_L \leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{5}{2} \end{pmatrix}$	1	0	1	0	1

In the first case when $\sigma_L \leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \frac{5}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$, the multiplicities match with that of the above induced module for all $i \leq \min\{2(\frac{1}{2}) + 2, 4\} = 3$, while in the second case when $\sigma_L \leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{5}{2} \end{pmatrix}$, the multiplicities match for all $i \leq \min\{2(\frac{5}{2}) + 2, 4\} = 4$.

Corollary 6.5. *Let $\phi_L \leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} U \\ u \end{pmatrix}$ with $U < u$. Then*

$$\pi_u := J(\phi_L \cup \phi_L^h, \phi_R \cup \phi_R^h)$$

is not unitary at the K -type with highest weight $\eta(2U + 2)$.

Proof. By Proposition 6.3, π_u is a subquotient of

$$\text{Ind}_{A_{2n-1}}^{D_{2n}} (J_A(\phi_L \cup \phi_L^h, \phi_R \cup \phi_R^h)), \quad (n = U + u + 1)$$

and the multiplicities of the K -type $\mathcal{V}_{\eta(i)}$ are equal for both modules for all $0 \leq i \leq \min\{2u + 2, n\} = n$. Note that Theorem 3.4 implies that

$$J_A(\phi_L \cup \phi_L^h, \phi_R \cup \phi_R^h) = \text{Ind}_{A_{n-1} \times A_{n-1}}^{A_{2n-1}} \left(\text{comp}_{1/2}(n, u - U + \frac{1}{2}) \otimes \text{comp}_{1/2}(n, u - U + \frac{1}{2})^h \right)$$

has an indefinite form on $(\overbrace{1, \dots, 1}^{2U+2}, 0, \dots, 0, \overbrace{-1, \dots, -1}^{2U+2})$. So the induced module above has an indefinite form on $\mathcal{V}_{\eta(2U+2)}$, and the result follows. \square

6.3. Base Case ‘V’.

Proposition 6.6. *Let $\sigma_L = \sigma'_L \cup \sigma''_L$ with $\sigma'_L \longleftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} V_1 \\ v_1 \end{pmatrix}$, $\sigma''_L \longleftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} V_2 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix}$. Assume that $V_2 > v_1 + 1$, then $\pi = J_{D_{2n}}(\sigma_L \cup \sigma_L^h, \sigma_R \cup \sigma_R^h)$ is the lowest K -type subquotient of the induced representation*

$$\text{Ind}_{A_{2n_1-1} \times D_{2n-2n_1}}^{D_{2n}} (J_A(\sigma'_L \cup \sigma_L^h, \sigma'_R \cup \sigma_R^h) \otimes J_D(\sigma''_L \cup \sigma_L^h, \sigma''_R \cup \sigma_R^h))$$

(where $n_1 = V_1 + v_1 + 1$), both having the same multiplicity of $\mathcal{V}_{\eta(i)}$ for $i \leq 2v_1 + 3$.

Proof. Recall the ν -parameter is as follows,

$$\nu = \underbrace{(2V_1 - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}, \dots, -2v_1 - \frac{1}{2})}_{n_1 := V_1 + v_1 + 1} \cup \underbrace{(2V_2 - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}, \dots, -2v_2 - \frac{1}{2})}_{n_2 := V_2 + v_2 + 1}$$

Using Lemma 6.1, we wish to show $\ker(\delta_1|_{\text{im}\psi_1}) = 0$ with $\Gamma = \Theta_{2v_1+3}$. Consider the intertwining operators

$$\begin{array}{c} (2V_1 - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, -2v_1 - \frac{1}{2}) \cup \{(2V_2 - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, -2v_2 - \frac{1}{2})\} \\ \downarrow \tilde{\psi}_1 \\ (-2v_1 - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, 2V_1 - \frac{1}{2}) \cup (-2v_2 - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{3}{2}, -2V_2 + \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \pm \frac{1}{2}) \\ \downarrow \tilde{\delta}_1 \\ \{(2V_1 - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, -2v_1 - \frac{1}{2}) \cup (2V_2 - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, -2v_2 - \frac{1}{2})\}_-, \end{array}$$

where the \pm before $\frac{1}{2}$ is equal to $(-1)^{V_2 + \frac{1}{2}}$. Then $\tilde{\delta}_1 \circ \tilde{\psi}_1 = \delta_1 \circ \psi_1$, and $\tilde{\psi}_1$ is part of the intertwining operator ψ_1 . Hence it suffices to show that $\ker(\tilde{\delta}_1|_{\text{im}(\tilde{\psi}_1)}) = 0$.

Write $\tilde{\delta}_1$ as the composition of the following intertwining operators.

$$\begin{array}{c}
(-2v_1 - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, 2V_1 - \frac{1}{2}) \cup (-2v_2 - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{3}{2}, -2V_2 + \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \pm\frac{1}{2}) \\
\downarrow \alpha_I \\
(-2v_2 - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{3}{2}) \cup (-2v_1 - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, 2V_1 - \frac{1}{2}) \cup (-2V_2 + \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \pm\frac{1}{2}) \\
\downarrow \beta_{II} \\
(-2v_2 - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{3}{2}) \cup (-2v_1 - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{3}{2}) \cup (-2V_2 + \frac{1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{1}{2}) \cup (\frac{1}{2}, \dots, \pm(2V_1 - \frac{1}{2})) \\
\downarrow \gamma_{III} \\
(-2v_2 - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{3}{2}) \cup (-2v_1 - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{3}{2}) \cup (-2V_2 + \frac{1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{1}{2}) \cup (-2V_1 + \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \pm\frac{1}{2}) \\
\downarrow \omega_{IV} \\
\{(2V_1 - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, -2v_1 - \frac{1}{2}) \cup (2V_2 - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, -2v_2 - \frac{1}{2})\}_-,
\end{array}$$

where the \pm in the forth line is equal to $(-1)^{V_1+V_2+1}$ and other \pm 's are equal to $(-1)^{V_2+\frac{1}{2}}$, which is determined by (24).

We first study α_I in full detail: Note that if $v_1 > \frac{1}{2}$, α_I may conjugate the ν -parameters into a more dominant form, so it may not be well-defined. However, by Proposition 5.9, α_I is well-defined and injective over $\text{im}(\tilde{\psi}_1)$. More precisely,

$$\alpha_I : (-2v_1 - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, 2V_1 - \frac{1}{2}) \cup (-2v_2 - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{3}{2}) \rightarrow (-2v_2 - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{3}{2}) \cup (-2v_1 - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, 2V_1 - \frac{1}{2}),$$

and the corresponding μ -coordinates of the above ν -parameters are all $+\frac{1}{2}$. Since for all $x \in \{-2v_2 - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{3}{2}\}$, $x \neq (-2v_1 - \frac{1}{2}) - 2$ or $(2V_1 - \frac{1}{2}) + 2$ (indeed, these strings are **strongly nested** in the sense of [B10, Section 2]), so the hypotheses of Proposition 5.9 is satisfied. Now Proposition 5.11 implies that

$$\tilde{\delta}_1 = \omega_{IV} \circ \gamma_{III} \circ \beta_{II} \circ \alpha_I$$

over the subspace $\text{im}(\tilde{\psi}_1)$ of Γ_{2v_1+3} .

Now we focus on ω_{IV} : Write ω_{IV} as the composition of the following type A intertwining operators:

$$\begin{array}{c}
(-2v_2 - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{3}{2}) \cup (-2v_1 - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{3}{2}) \cup (-2V_2 + \frac{1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{1}{2}) \cup (-2V_1 + \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \pm\frac{1}{2}) \\
\downarrow \omega'_{IV} \\
\{(-2v_2 - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{3}{2}) \cup (-2v_1 - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{3}{2})\}_- \cup (-2V_2 + \frac{1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{1}{2}) \cup (-2V_1 + \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \pm\frac{1}{2}) \\
\downarrow \omega''_{IV} \\
\{(-2v_2 - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{3}{2}) \cup (-2v_1 - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{3}{2}) \cup (-2V_2 + \frac{1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{1}{2})\}_- \cup (-2V_1 + \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \pm\frac{1}{2}) \\
\downarrow \omega'''_{IV} \\
\{(2V_1 - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, -2v_1 - \frac{1}{2}) \cup (2V_2 - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, -2v_2 - \frac{1}{2})\}_-.
\end{array}$$

Applying Proposition 5.10 to $\omega'_{IV}, \omega''_{IV}, \omega'''_{IV}$, we get that $\omega_{IV} = \omega'''_{IV} \circ \omega''_{IV} \circ \omega'_{IV}$ is injective over $\text{im}(\gamma_{III} \circ \beta_{II} \circ \alpha_I \circ \tilde{\psi}_1)$.

So we are left to show $\gamma_{III} \circ \beta_{II}$ is injective over $\text{im}(\alpha_I \circ \tilde{\psi}_1)$. To do so, one can omit the $(-2v_2 - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{3}{2})$ -component in the above intertwining operators. In other words, we assume $v_2 = -\frac{1}{2}$ in the lemma.

Let's make further reductions: Assume that $V_2 > v_1 + 2$, write $\gamma_{III} \circ \beta_{II}$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
& (-2\mathbf{v}_1 - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, 2\mathbf{V}_1 - \frac{1}{2}) \cup (-2\mathbf{V}_2 + \frac{1}{2}, \dots, -2\mathbf{v}_1 - \frac{11}{2}, -2v_1 - \frac{7}{2}, \dots, \pm\frac{1}{2}) \\
& \quad \downarrow \alpha_{I,*} \\
& (-2\mathbf{V}_2 + \frac{1}{2}, \dots, -2\mathbf{v}_1 - \frac{11}{2}, -2\mathbf{v}_1 - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, 2\mathbf{V}_1 - \frac{1}{2}) \cup (-2v_1 - \frac{7}{2}, \dots, \pm\frac{1}{2}) \\
& \quad \downarrow \theta_{II} \\
& \underline{(-2V_2 + \frac{1}{2}, \dots, -2v_1 - \frac{11}{2}, -2v_1 - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{3}{2})} \cup (-2v_1 - \frac{7}{2}, \dots, -\frac{1}{2}) \cup (-2V_1 + \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \pm\frac{1}{2}) \\
& \quad \downarrow \alpha_{III,*} \\
& \underline{(-2v_1 - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{3}{2})} \cup (-2V_2 + \frac{1}{2}, \dots, -2v_1 - \frac{11}{2}, -2v_1 - \frac{7}{2}, \dots, -\frac{1}{2}) \cup (-2V_1 + \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \pm\frac{1}{2}).
\end{aligned}$$

By applying Proposition 5.9 as above, $\alpha_{I,*}|_{\text{im}(\tilde{\psi}_1)}$ and $\alpha_{III,*}|_{\text{im}(\theta_{II} \circ \alpha_{I,*} \circ \tilde{\psi}_1)}$ are injective. More precisely, the coordinates of the two strings transformed under the intertwining operators in **bold** (for $\alpha_{I,*}$) and underlined (for $\alpha_{III,*}$) above have absolute differences ≥ 5 . Hence, it remains to show that $\theta_{II}|_{\text{im}(\alpha_{I,*} \circ \tilde{\psi}_1)}$ is injective, which is reduced to the case of “ $V_2 = v_1 + 2$ ”.

For the reduction of V_1 , assume that $V_1 > v_1 + 4$. Write $\gamma_{III} \circ \beta_{II}$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
& (-2v_1 - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, 2V_1 - \frac{1}{2}) \cup (-2v_1 - \frac{7}{2}, \dots, \pm\frac{1}{2}) \\
& \quad \downarrow \alpha_{I,*} \\
& (-2v_1 - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, 2V_1 - \frac{9}{2}) \cup (-2v_1 - \frac{7}{2}, \dots, -\frac{1}{2}) \cup (2V_1 - \frac{5}{2}, \pm(2V_1 - \frac{1}{2})) \\
& \quad \downarrow \alpha_{II,*} \\
& (-2v_1 - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, 2V_1 - \frac{9}{2}) \cup (-2v_1 - \frac{7}{2}, \dots, -\frac{1}{2}) \cup (-2V_1 + \frac{1}{2}, \pm(-2V_1 + \frac{5}{2})) \\
& \quad \downarrow \alpha_{III,*} \\
& (-2V_1 + \frac{1}{2}, -2V_1 + \frac{5}{2}) \cup (-2v_1 - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, 2V_1 - \frac{9}{2}) \cup (-2v_1 - \frac{7}{2}, \dots, \pm\frac{1}{2}) \\
& \quad \downarrow \zeta_{IV} \\
& (-2V_1 + \frac{1}{2}, -2V_1 + \frac{5}{2}) \cup (-2v_1 - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{3}{2}) \cup (-2v_1 - \frac{7}{2}, \dots, -\frac{1}{2}) \cup (-2V_1 + \frac{9}{2}, \dots, \pm\frac{1}{2}) \\
& \quad \downarrow \alpha_{V,*} \\
& (-2v_1 - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{3}{2}) \cup (-2v_1 - \frac{7}{2}, \dots, -\frac{1}{2}) \cup (-2V_1 + \frac{1}{2}, -2V_1 + \frac{5}{2}, -2V_1 + \frac{9}{2}, \dots, \pm\frac{1}{2}).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by Proposition 5.9, we have $\alpha_{I,*}, \alpha_{II,*}, \alpha_{III,*}$ and $\alpha_{V,*}$ are all injective over the image of $\text{im}(\tilde{\psi}_1)$. Hence, it suffices to prove the injectivity of ζ_{IV} over the image of $\text{im}(\tilde{\psi}_1)$, and we are reduced to the case of $V_1 = 2$. By induction, it is enough to show the cases for $V_1 = v_1 + 2, v_1 + 3$ or $v_1 + 4$.

To conclude, one only needs to study injectivity of $\gamma_{III} \circ \beta_{II}$ for the following σ_L 's:

$$\begin{pmatrix} v+2 & v+2 \\ v & \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} v+3 & v+2 \\ v & \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} v+4 & v+2 \\ v & \end{pmatrix}$$

We will study the first case for full detail (the proof of other cases are similar). More explicitly, let

$$\nu = \overbrace{\left(2v + \frac{7}{2}, \dots, -2v - \frac{1}{2}\right)}^{n_1=2v+3} \cup \overbrace{\left(2v + \frac{7}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}\right)}^{n_2=v+\frac{5}{2}}$$

(note that $n_1 = 2v + 3$ is an even number). Then we need to show $\gamma_{III} \circ \beta_{II}$

$$(36) \quad \begin{aligned} & (-2v - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, 2v + \frac{7}{2}) \cup (-2v - \frac{7}{2}, \dots, \pm\frac{1}{2}) \\ & \quad \downarrow \beta_{II} \\ & (-2v - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{3}{2}) \cup (-2v - \frac{7}{2}, \dots, -\frac{1}{2}) \cup (\frac{1}{2}, \dots, \pm(2v + \frac{7}{2})) \\ & \quad \downarrow \gamma_{III} \\ & (-2v - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{3}{2}) \cup (-2v - \frac{7}{2}, \dots, -\frac{1}{2}) \cup (-2v - \frac{7}{2}, \dots, \pm\frac{1}{2}) \end{aligned}$$

is injective over $\text{im}(\tilde{\psi}_1) = \text{Span}(\mathcal{M}_0)$, where

$$(37) \quad \mathcal{M}_0 := \left\{ P_{i,j}^{(1,n_1)} \cdot T_{n_1-i}^{(n_1+1,n_1+n_2)} \mid 0 \leq i \leq 2v+3, j \in \{|n_1-i|, |n_1-i|+2, \dots, n_1-\epsilon(i)\} \right\}.$$

has $\underbrace{1+1+2+2+\dots+\frac{2v+3}{2}}_{2v+4 \text{ terms}} = \frac{(2v+5)^2}{4} = \frac{(n_1+2)^2}{4}$ elements.

We proceed by induction on v . The base case when $v = -\frac{1}{2}$, i.e. $\sigma_L \longleftrightarrow \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \geq \frac{3}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} \end{smallmatrix} \begin{smallmatrix} \frac{3}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} \end{smallmatrix} \right)$ is proved in Lemma A.1.

Suppose the statement is true for $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \geq v+1 & v+1 \\ v-1 & \end{smallmatrix} \right)$ by the induction hypothesis, let's prove the case $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} v+2 & v+2 \\ v & \end{smallmatrix} \right)$. Lemma A.2 implies that the intertwining operator

$$\begin{aligned} & (-2v - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, 2v + \frac{7}{2}) \cup (-2v - \frac{7}{2}, \dots, \pm\frac{1}{2}) \\ & \quad \downarrow \chi \\ & (-2v - \frac{7}{2}, -2v - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, 2v + \frac{7}{2}) \cup (-2v - \frac{3}{2}, \dots, \pm\frac{1}{2}) \end{aligned}$$

satisfies the following property: Let \mathcal{P} be the projection

$$\mathcal{P} : \Theta_{n_1} = \bigoplus_{t=0}^4 \Theta_t^{1,2} \otimes \Theta_{n_1-t}^{3,n_1+n_2} \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{t=2}^4 \Theta_t^{1,2} \otimes \Theta_{n_1-t}^{3,n_1+n_2}$$

(c.f. Equation (19)). Then $\mathcal{P} \circ \chi$ is injective on the subspace of $\text{Span}(\mathcal{M}_0)$ spanned by

$$(38) \quad \mathcal{M}_1 := \{ P_{i,j}^{(1,n_1)} \cdot T_{n_1-i}^{(n_1+1,n_1+n_2)} \mid (i,j) \neq (n_1, 0) \}.$$

We now show that $\gamma_{III} \circ \beta_{II}$ is injective over $\text{Span}(\mathcal{M}_0)$: consider the intertwining operator

$$\begin{aligned} & (-2v - \frac{7}{2}, -2v - \frac{1}{2}) \cup (-2v + \frac{3}{2}, \dots, -\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, 2v + \frac{7}{2}) \cup (-2v - \frac{3}{2}, \dots, \pm \frac{1}{2}) \\ & \quad \downarrow \chi' \\ & (-2v - \frac{7}{2}, -2v - \frac{1}{2}) \cup (-2v + \frac{3}{2}, \dots, -\frac{3}{2}) \cup (-2v - \frac{3}{2}, \dots, -\frac{1}{2}) \cup (-2v + \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \pm \frac{1}{2}), \end{aligned}$$

Then $\chi' \circ \chi$ is the composition of the intertwining operators

$$\begin{aligned} & (-2v - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, 2v + \frac{7}{2}) \cup (-2v - \frac{7}{2}, \dots, \pm \frac{1}{2}) \\ & \quad \downarrow \gamma_{III} \circ \beta_{II} \\ & (-2v - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{3}{2}) \cup (-2v - \frac{7}{2}, \dots, -\frac{1}{2}) \cup (-2v - \frac{7}{2}, \dots, \pm \frac{1}{2}) \\ & \quad \downarrow \chi'' \\ & (-2v - \frac{7}{2}, -2v - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{3}{2}) \cup (-2v - \frac{3}{2}, \dots, -\frac{1}{2}) \cup (-2v - \frac{7}{2}, \dots, \pm \frac{1}{2}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\gamma_{III} \circ \beta_{II}$ is injective over $\text{Span}(\mathcal{M}_0)$ if one can show that

$$\chi' \circ \chi \text{ is injective over } \text{Span}(\mathcal{M}_0).$$

To see so, note that χ' is the ' $\gamma_{III} \circ \beta_{II}$ ' operator for the ν -parameter $(-2v + \frac{3}{2}, \dots, 2v + \frac{7}{2}) \cup (-2v - \frac{3}{2}, \dots, -\frac{1}{2})$ corresponding to $\begin{pmatrix} v+2 & v+1 \\ v-1 & \end{pmatrix}$. By the induction hypothesis, χ' is injective for Θ_{2v+1} (and hence for all Θ_{2v+3-t} , $2 \leq t \leq 4$ as in the proof of Lemma 6.1). So χ' is injective on the space spanned by

$$\bigoplus_{t=2}^4 \Theta_t^{1,2} \otimes \mathbb{C} \left\{ P_{i,j}^{3,n_1+1} \cdot T_{n_1-t-i}^{(n_1+2, n_1+n_2)} \mid \begin{array}{l} 0 \leq i \leq n_1 - t, \\ j \in \{|n_1 - 1 - i|, \dots, n_1 - 1 - \epsilon(i)\} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Hence χ' is injective on the space spanned by $\mathcal{P} \circ \chi(\mathcal{M}_1)$, and

$$\dim(\chi'(\mathcal{P} \circ \chi(\text{Span}(\mathcal{M}_1)))) = \dim((\mathcal{P} \circ \chi(\text{Span}(\mathcal{M}_1)))) = \dim(\chi(\text{Span}(\mathcal{M}_1))) = \frac{(n_1+2)^2}{4} - 1,$$

where the second last equality follows from Lemma A.2. Notice that χ' keeps the $(1, 2)$ -components (i.e. $u_1, u_2, e_1, e_2, e_1^*, e_2^*$) fixed, we have $\chi' \circ \mathcal{P} \circ \chi = \mathcal{P} \circ \chi' \circ \chi$. Hence, the space spanned by $\chi' \circ \chi(\mathcal{M}_1)$ also has dimension $\frac{(n_1+2)^2}{4} - 1$.

On the other hand, consider the only element $P_{n_1,0}^{(1,n_1)} T_0^{(n_1+1, n_1+n_2)}$ in $\mathcal{M}_0 \setminus \mathcal{M}_1$. By direct calculation, it is easy to see

$$\mathcal{P} \circ \chi(P_{n_1,0}^{(1,n_1)} T_0^{(n_1+1, n_1+n_2)}) = 0 \text{ and } \chi' \circ \chi(P_{n_1,0}^{(1,n_1)} T_0^{(n_1+1, n_1+n_2)}) \neq 0.$$

Moreover, $\chi' \circ \chi(P_{n_1,0}^{(1,n_1)} T_0^{(n_1+1, n_1+n_2)})$ is not in the space spanned by $\chi' \circ \chi(\mathcal{M}_1)$. Hence, the space spanned by $\chi' \circ \chi(\mathcal{M}_0) = (\chi' \circ \chi(\mathcal{M}_1)) \cup (\chi' \circ \chi(\mathcal{M}_0 \setminus \mathcal{M}_1))$ has dimension $(\frac{(n_1+2)^2}{4} - 1) + 1 = \frac{(n_1+2)^2}{4}$. In other words, $\chi' \circ \chi$ is injective over $\text{Span}(\mathcal{M}_0)$.

Similarly, we can check another condition on the Hermitian dual side in Lemma 6.1, and hence the result follows. \square

Corollary 6.7. *Let $\phi_L \longleftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} V_1 & V_2 \\ v_1 & v_2 \end{pmatrix}$ with $v_1 + 1 < V_2$. Then*

$$\pi_v := J(\phi_L \cup \phi_L^h, \phi_R \cup \phi_R^h)$$

is not unitary at the K -type with highest weight $\eta(2v_1 + 3)$.

Proof. By Proposition 6.6, π_v is a subquotient of

$$\text{Ind}_{A_{2n-1}}^{D_{2n}} (J_A(\phi'_L \cup \phi_L^h, \phi'_R \cup \phi_R^h) \otimes J_D(\phi''_L \cup \phi_L^h, \phi''_R \cup \phi_R^h)),$$

and the multiplicities of the K -type $\mathcal{V}_{\eta(2v_1+3)}$ are equal for both modules. On the other hand, Theorem 3.4 implies that

$$J_A(\phi'_L \cup \phi_L^h, \phi'_R \cup \phi_R^h) = \text{Ind}_{A_{j-1} \times A_{j-1}}^{A_{2j-1}} \left(\text{comp}_{1/2}(j, V_1 - v_1 - \frac{1}{2}) \otimes \text{comp}_{1/2}(j, V_1 - v_1 - \frac{1}{2})^h \right)$$

(where $j = V_1 + v_1 + 1$) has an indefinite form on $(\overbrace{1, \dots, 1}^{2v_1+3}, 0, \dots, 0, \overbrace{-1, \dots, -1}^{2v_1+3})$, and the module $J_D(\phi''_L \cup \phi_L^h, \phi''_R \cup \phi_R^h)$ is unitary by Section 4 (since $V_2 > v_1 + 1 \geq v_2 + 1 > v_2$). So the induced module above has an indefinite form on $\mathcal{V}_{\eta(2v_1+3)}$, and the result follows. \square

6.4. Induction by complementary series. In this section, we generalize the results in Section 6.2 and Section 6.3 by inducing some Stein complementary series to the modules in Corollary 6.5 and Corollary 6.7. We will show that their non-unitarity is preserved upon induction by complementary series (Corollary 6.11).

Lemma 6.8. *Let $\pi = J(\sigma_L \cup \sigma_L^h, \sigma_R \cup \sigma_R^h)$ be such that*

$$\sigma_L \longleftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} C & S_2 & \cdots & S_p \\ c & s_2 & \cdots & s_p \end{pmatrix}, \quad C - c = 0 \text{ or } 1.$$

Consider $\pi' = J(\sigma'_L \cup \sigma_L^h, \sigma'_R \cup \sigma_R^h)$, where $\sigma'_L \longleftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} S_2 & \cdots & S_p \\ s_2 & \cdots & s_p \end{pmatrix}$ has the first column removed. Then π and

$$\text{Ind}_{A_{2(C+c)+1} \times D_{2n-2(C+c+1)}}^{D_{2n}} \left(\text{Ind}_{A_{C+c}}^{A_{2(C+c)+1}} \left(\text{comp}_{1/2}(C+c+1, 1/2) \otimes \text{comp}_{1/2}(C+c+1, 1/2)^h \right) \otimes \pi' \right)$$

have the same multiplicity of the K -types of highest weights $\eta(i)$ for all $0 \leq i \leq C+c+1$.

Proof. Let $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_p)$ with $\nu_k = \underbrace{(2S_k - \frac{1}{2}, 2S_k - \frac{5}{2}, \dots, -2S_k + \frac{3}{2}, -2S_k - \frac{1}{2})}_{S_k + s_k + 1}$, where

$(S_1, s_1) = (C, c)$. Consider the intertwining operators

$$\begin{array}{c} (\nu_1 \cup \{ \bigcup_{2 \leq k \leq p} \nu_k \}) \\ \downarrow \psi_1 \\ (\{\nu_1\}_-, A \cup \{ \bigcup_{2 \leq k \leq p} \nu_k \}_-) \\ \downarrow \delta_1 \\ \{\nu\}_- \end{array}$$

as in Lemma 6.1. We will show that $\ker(\delta_1|_{\text{im}\psi_1}) = 0$ for the K -types with highest weights $\eta(i)$, $0 \leq i \leq C + c + 1$ (the Hermitian dual part $\ker(\delta_2|_{\text{im}\psi_2}) = 0$ is similar).

Firstly, δ_1 can be decomposed into the following intertwining operators:

$$\begin{aligned} \{\nu_1\}_{-,A} \cup \left\{ \bigcup_{2 \leq k \leq p} \nu_k \right\}_- &= (-2c - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, 2C - \frac{1}{2}) \cup (\min\{-2S_2 + \frac{1}{2}, -2s_2 - \frac{1}{2}\}, \dots) \\ &\quad \downarrow \alpha_I \\ \left\{ \bigcup_{2 \leq k \leq p} \nu_k \right\}_- \cup \{\nu_1\}_{-,A} & \\ &\quad \downarrow \beta_{II} \\ \left\{ \bigcup_{2 \leq k \leq p} \nu_k \right\}_- \cup (-2c - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{3}{2}) \cup (-2C + \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \pm\frac{1}{2}) & \\ &\quad \downarrow \omega_{III} \\ \{\nu\}_- & \end{aligned}$$

where the parameters ν 's use the notation as in Equation (24), and the α_I above is similar to the α_I appearing in the proof of Proposition 6.6. More explicitly, for any $x \in \left\{ \bigcup_{2 \leq k \leq p} \nu_k \right\}_-$, $\frac{1}{2} \geq x \geq \min\{-2S_2 + \frac{1}{2}, -2s_2 - \frac{1}{2}\}$ and by hypothesis

$$\min\{-2S_2 + \frac{1}{2}, -2s_2 - \frac{1}{2}\} \geq -2(c+1) + \frac{1}{2} = -2c - \frac{3}{2} > (-2c - \frac{1}{2}) - 2.$$

So α_I is well-defined and injective over $\text{im}(\psi_1)$ by Proposition 5.9, and Proposition 5.11 implies that

$$\delta_1 = \omega_{III} \circ \beta_{II} \circ \alpha_I$$

over $\text{im}(\psi_1)$.

For $\beta_{II}|_{\text{im}(\alpha_I \circ \psi_1)}$, it is injective for $0 \leq i \leq C + c + 1$ by Proposition 6.3. Indeed, β_{II} is equal to β_I in the proof of Proposition 6.3. Finally, write ω_{III} as the composition of the following ω'_{III} and ω''_{III} :

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ \bigcup_{2 \leq k \leq p} \nu_k \right\}_- \cup (-2c - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{3}{2}) \cup (-2C + \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \pm\frac{1}{2}) & \\ &\quad \downarrow \omega'_{III} \\ \left\{ \bigcup_{2 \leq k \leq p} \nu_k \cup (-2c - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{3}{2}) \right\}_- \cup (-2C + \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \pm\frac{1}{2}) & \\ &\quad \downarrow \omega''_{III} \\ \{\nu\}_- & \end{aligned}$$

Applying Proposition 5.10 for ω'_{III} and ω''_{III} , we get that the $\omega_{III}|_{\text{im}(\beta_{II} \circ \alpha_I \circ \psi_1)}$ is injective as well, and the lemma follows. \square

Lemma 6.9. *Let $\pi = J_{D_{2n}}(\sigma_L \cup \sigma_L^h, \sigma_R \cup \sigma_R^h)$ with*

$$\sigma_L \longleftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} * & C_1 & \dots & C_q \\ ** & c_1 & \dots & c_q \end{pmatrix},$$

where

- $C_i - c_i = 0$ or 1; and
- $\begin{pmatrix} * \\ ** \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} U_1 \\ u_1 \end{pmatrix}$ or $\begin{pmatrix} V_1 & V_2 \\ v_1 & v_2 \end{pmatrix}$ are as given in Corollary 6.5 and Corollary 6.7.

Consider the induced module

$$(39) \quad \text{Ind}_{A_{2j-1} \times D_{2n-2(j+1)}}^{D_{2n}} \left(J_A(\sigma_L^* \cup \sigma_L^{*h}, \sigma_R^* \cup \sigma_R^{*h}) \otimes J_D(\sigma'_L \cup \sigma_L^{*h}, \sigma'_R \cup \sigma_R^{*h}) \right),$$

where

$$(40) \quad \begin{cases} \sigma^* \longleftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} U_1 \\ u_1 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } \sigma'_L \longleftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} C_1 & \cdots & C_q \\ c_1 & \cdots & c_q \end{pmatrix}, & \text{if } \begin{pmatrix} * \\ ** \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} U_1 \\ u_1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \sigma^* \longleftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} V_1 \\ v_1 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } \sigma'_L \longleftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} V_2 & C_1 & \cdots & C_q \\ v_2 & c_1 & \cdots & c_q \end{pmatrix}, & \text{if } \begin{pmatrix} * \\ ** \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} V_1 & V_2 \\ v_1 & v_2 \end{pmatrix}. \end{cases}$$

Then π and the induced module (39) have the same multiplicities for all K -types with highest weight $\eta(i)$ for

$$i \leq \begin{cases} 2U_1 + 2, & \text{if } \begin{pmatrix} * \\ ** \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} U_1 \\ u_1 \end{pmatrix} \\ 2v_1 + 3, & \text{if } \begin{pmatrix} * \\ ** \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} V_1 & V_2 \\ v_1 & v_2 \end{pmatrix}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. When $\begin{pmatrix} * \\ ** \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} U_1 \\ u_1 \end{pmatrix}$, the argument is similar to the Lemma 6.8. So we focus on the case $\begin{pmatrix} * \\ ** \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} V_1 & V_2 \\ v_1 & v_2 \end{pmatrix}$: suppose $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_c)$ and $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_c)$, where

$$\nu_i = \underbrace{(2V_i - \frac{1}{2}, 2V_i - \frac{5}{2}, \dots, -2v_i - \frac{1}{2})}_{V_i + v_i + 1},$$

and ν_c corresponds to the ν -parameters of $\begin{pmatrix} C_1 & \cdots & C_q \\ c_1 & \cdots & c_q \end{pmatrix}$.

We need to show that for the K -type \mathcal{V}_{η_i} ($0 \leq i \leq 2v_1 + 3$), then $\ker(\delta_1|_{\text{im}\psi_1}) = 0$ in Lemma 6.1 holds (the Hermitian dual part $\ker(\delta_2|_{\text{im}\psi_2}) = 0$ is similar). Consider the intertwining operators:

$$\begin{array}{c} \nu_1 \cup \{\nu_2 \cup \nu_c\} \\ \downarrow \tilde{\psi}_1 \\ \{\nu_1\}_{-,A} \cup \{\nu_c\}_{-} \cup (-2v_2 - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{3}{2}) \cup (-2V_2 + \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \pm\frac{1}{2}) \\ \downarrow \tilde{\delta}_1 \\ \{\nu\}_{-}, \end{array}$$

where the parameters ν 's use the notation as in Equation (24), and $\tilde{\delta}_1$ is the composition of the following intertwining operators:

$$\begin{array}{c}
\{\nu_1\}_{-,A} \cup \{\nu_c\}_- \cup (-2v_2 - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{3}{2}) \cup (-2V_2 + \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \pm\frac{1}{2}) \\
\downarrow \alpha_I \\
\{\nu_c\}_- \cup (-2v_2 - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{3}{2}) \cup \{\nu_1\}_{-,A} \cup (-2V_2 + \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \pm\frac{1}{2}) \\
\downarrow \beta_{II} \\
\{\nu_c\}_- \cup (-2v_2 - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{3}{2}) \cup (-2v_1 - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{3}{2}) \cup (-2V_2 + \frac{1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{1}{2}) \cup (-2V_1 + \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \pm\frac{1}{2}) \\
\downarrow \omega_{III} \\
\{\nu\}_-.
\end{array}$$

As before, we know that $\alpha_I|_{\text{im}(\tilde{\psi}_1)}$ is well-defined and injective by Proposition 5.9, and hence

$$\tilde{\delta}_1 = \omega_{III} \circ \beta_{II} \circ \alpha_I$$

over $\text{im}(\tilde{\psi}_1)$ by Proposition 5.11. Also, β_{II} above is the “ $\gamma_{III} \circ \beta_{II}$ ” in the proof of Proposition 6.6, which is injective over $\text{im}(\alpha_I \circ \tilde{\psi}_1)$. Finally, by a similar argument as the “ $\omega_{III} = \omega'_{III} \circ \omega''_{III}$ ” in the proof of Lemma 6.8, ω_{III} is injective over $\text{im}(\beta_{II} \circ \alpha_I \circ \tilde{\psi}_1)$. Hence, $\tilde{\delta}_1|_{\text{im}(\tilde{\psi}_1)}$ is injective.

Note that $\delta_1 \circ \psi_1 = \tilde{\delta}_1 \circ \tilde{\psi}_1$, and $\tilde{\delta}_1$ can be factored into $\tilde{\delta}_1 = \phi_{w'} \circ \delta_1$ for some $w' \in W$. Hence $\delta_1|_{\text{im}(\psi_1)}$ is also injective. So the result follows. \square

Combining Lemma 6.8 and Lemma 6.9, we have:

Proposition 6.10. *Let $\pi := J_{D_{2n}}(\sigma_L \cup \sigma_L^h, \sigma_R \cup \sigma_R^h)$, where*

$$\sigma_L \longleftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} C_1 & \dots & C_p & * & C_{p+1} & \dots & C_q \\ c_1 & \dots & c_p & ** & c_{p+1} & \dots & c_q \end{pmatrix}$$

satisfies

- $C_i - c_i = 0$ or 1; and
- $\begin{pmatrix} * \\ ** \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} U_1 \\ u_1 \end{pmatrix}$ or $\begin{pmatrix} V_1 & v_1 \\ V_2 & v_2 \end{pmatrix}$ are as given in Corollary 6.5 and Corollary 6.7.

Consider the induced module

$$(41) \quad \text{Ind}_{\prod_{i=1}^p A_{2j_i-1} \times A_{2j_i-1} \times D_m}^{D_{2n}} \left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^p \text{Ind}_{A_{j_i-1} \times A_{j_i-1}}^{A_{2j_i-1}} \left(\text{comp}_{1/2}(j_i, \frac{1}{2}) \otimes \text{comp}_{1/2}(j_i, \frac{1}{2})^h \right) \otimes \right. \\ \left. J_A(\sigma_L^* \cup \sigma_L^{*h}, \sigma_R^* \cup \sigma_R^{*h}) \otimes J_D(\sigma'_L \cup \sigma_L'^h, \sigma'_R \cup \sigma_R'^h) \right),$$

where $j_i := C_i + c_i + 1$, and $\sigma_L^*, \sigma_L'^h$ are as given in (40).

Then π and (41) have the same multiplicities for all K -types with highest weight $\eta(i)$ for

$$i \leq \begin{cases} 2U_1 + 2, & \text{if } \begin{pmatrix} * \\ ** \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} U_1 \\ u_1 \end{pmatrix} \\ 2v_1 + 3, & \text{if } \begin{pmatrix} * \\ ** \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} V_1 & V_2 \\ v_1 & v_2 \end{pmatrix}. \end{cases}$$

Corollary 6.11. *The (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module π in Proposition 6.10 is not unitary, and the Hermitian form is indefinite on K -type with highest weights $\eta(2U_1 + 2)$ or $\eta(2v_1 + 3)$ respectively.*

Proof. By Corollary 6.5 and Corollary 6.7, the induced module (41) is nonunitary on the K -type $\mathcal{V}_{\eta(2U_1+2)}$ or $\mathcal{V}_{\eta(2v_1+3)}$ respectively, since the Type A factors of (41) other than $J_A(\sigma_L^* \cup \sigma_L^{*h}, \sigma_R^* \cup \sigma_R^{*h})$ are all Stein complementary series, and the Type D factor $J_D(\sigma_L' \cup \sigma_L^h, \sigma_R' \cup \sigma_R^h)$ is also unitary by the results in Section 4. Consequently, the result follows from Proposition 6.10. \square

6.5. **General case.** We now begin the proof of non-unitarity in the general case:

Lemma 6.12.

(a) Let $\tilde{\Phi} := J_{D_n}(\tilde{\phi}_L, \tilde{\phi}_R)$, where

$$\tilde{\phi}_L \longleftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} U_1 & \cdots & U_k \\ u_1 & \cdots & u_k \end{pmatrix}$$

with $U_i < u_i$ for all i . Then there exists $G_u^+ = Spin(2N_u, \mathbb{C})$, and a Levi subgroup $M_u^+ = \prod_r A_{u_r-1} \times D_n$ of G_u^+ such that the induced module

$$\text{Ind}_{M_u^+}^{G_u^+} \left(\bigotimes_r \text{comp}_{1/2}(u_r; \frac{1}{2}) \otimes \tilde{\Phi} \right)$$

has an irreducible lowest K -type subquotient $J_{D_{N_u}}(\sigma_{u,L}^+, \sigma_{u,R}^+)$ with

$$\sigma_{u,L}^+ \longleftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} u_1^+ & \cdots & u_{k^+}^+ \\ u_1^+ & \cdots & u_{k^+}^+ \end{pmatrix}$$

for some $k^+ \geq k$, $u_1^+ = u_1$ and $u_{k^+}^+ = u_k$.

(b) Let $\tilde{\Psi} := J_{D_n}(\tilde{\psi}_L, \tilde{\psi}_R)$, where

$$\tilde{\psi}_L \longleftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} V_1 & \cdots & V_\ell \\ v_1 & \cdots & v_\ell \end{pmatrix}$$

with $v_i + 1 < V_{i+1}$ for all i . Then there exists $G_v^+ = Spin(2N_v, \mathbb{C})$ and a Levi subgroup $M_v^+ = \prod_r A_{v_r-1} \times D_n$ of G_v^+ such that the induced module

$$\text{Ind}_{M_v^+}^{G_v^+} \left(\bigotimes_r \text{comp}_{1/2}(v_r; \frac{1}{2}) \otimes \tilde{\Psi} \right),$$

Remark 6.14. *In the case when $\sigma_L \longleftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} S_1 & \cdots & S_k \\ s_1 & \cdots & s_k \end{pmatrix}$ satisfies (13), the above induction arguments is used in [Br99] to prove the unitarity of $\pi = J_{D_{2n}} J(\sigma_L \cup \sigma_L^h, \sigma_R \cup \sigma_R^h)$. More precisely, it is proved that at each step of parabolic induction in the proof of Lemma 6.12 and Example 6.13, the resulting induced module is irreducible.*

The irreducibility result relies heavily on the associated variety theorem and multiplicity one theorem in [Br99, Proposition 3.1 – 3.2]. If (13) is not satisfied, neither of them would necessarily hold.

We are now in the position to prove Theorem 3.9. Let $\pi = J_{D_{2n}}(\sigma_L \cup \sigma_L^h, \sigma_R \cup \sigma_R^h)$ such that σ_L does not satisfy (13), then it must be of the form:

$$\sigma_L \longleftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} X_1 & \cdots & X_a & Y_1 & \cdots & Y_b & X_{a+1} & \cdots & X_c & Y_{b+1} & \cdots & Y_d & \cdots \\ x_1 & \cdots & x_a & y_1 & \cdots & y_b & x_{a+1} & \cdots & x_c & y_{b+1} & \cdots & y_d & \cdots \end{pmatrix},$$

where

- Each $\begin{pmatrix} X_1 & \cdots & X_a \\ x_1 & \cdots & x_a \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} X_{a+1} & \cdots & X_c \\ x_{a+1} & \cdots & x_c \end{pmatrix}, \dots$ satisfies (13);
- $x_a + 1 \geq Y_1, x_c + 1 \geq Y_{b+1}, \dots$; and
- Each $\begin{pmatrix} Y_1 & \cdots & Y_b \\ y_1 & \cdots & y_b \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} Y_{b+1} & \cdots & Y_d \\ y_{b+1} & \cdots & y_d \end{pmatrix}, \dots$ is of the form given in Lemma 6.12(a) or Lemma 6.12(b). In particular, we further require $y_b + 1 < X_{a+1}$ (respectively $y_d + 1 < X_{c+1}, \dots$) if the Y -coordinates is in the latter case.

We divide the proof into 3 steps:

Step 1: By applying Lemma 6.12 on the Y -parameters $\begin{pmatrix} Y_{b+1} & \cdots & Y_d \\ y_{b+1} & \cdots & y_d \end{pmatrix}, \dots$, one can induce $J(\sigma_L, \sigma_R)$ (resp. $J(\sigma_L^h, \sigma_R^h)$) and hence π to a larger $Spin$ group $G_+ = Spin(4N_+, \mathbb{C})$ by some $\text{comp}_{1/2}(j, \frac{1}{2})$ (resp. $\text{comp}_{1/2}(j, \frac{1}{2})^h$) such that

$$\text{Ind}_{M_+}^{G_+} \left(\bigotimes_r \text{Ind}_{A_{j_r-1} \times A_{j_r-1}}^{A_{2j_r-1}} \left(\text{comp}_{1/2}(j_r + 1, \frac{1}{2}) \otimes \text{comp}_{1/2}(j_r + 1, \frac{1}{2})^h \right) \otimes \pi \right)$$

has the lowest K -type subquotient $\pi_+ = J_{D_{2N_+}}(\sigma_{+,L} \cup \sigma_{+,L}^h, \sigma_{+,R} \cup \sigma_{+,R}^h)$ satisfying

$$\sigma_{+,L} \longleftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} X_1 & \cdots & X_a & Y_1 & \cdots & Y_b & X_{a+1} & \cdots & X_c & Y_{b+1}^+ & \cdots & Y_d^+ & \cdots \\ x_1 & \cdots & x_a & y_1 & \cdots & y_b & x_{a+1} & \cdots & x_c & y_{b+1}^+ & \cdots & y_d^+ & \cdots \end{pmatrix},$$

where $Y_q^+ - y_q^-$ are equal to 0 or 1 for all $q \geq b + 1$.

Step 2: By applying the proof of Lemma 6.12 to the Y -parameters $\begin{pmatrix} Y_1 & \cdots & Y_b \\ y_1 & \cdots & y_b \end{pmatrix}$, one can induce $J(\sigma_{+,L}, \sigma_{+,R})$ (resp. $J(\sigma_{+,L}^h, \sigma_{+,R}^h)$) and hence π_+ by some $\text{comp}_{1/2}(j, \frac{1}{2})$ (resp.

$\text{comp}_{1/2}(j, \frac{1}{2})^h$) to obtain an induced module in $G_{++} = Spin(2N_{++}, \mathbb{C})$, whose lowest K -type subquotient $\pi_{++} = J_{D_{2N_{++}}}(\sigma_{++,L} \cup \sigma_{++,L}^h, \sigma_{++,R} \cup \sigma_{++,R}^h)$ satisfying

$\sigma_{++,L} \longleftrightarrow$

$$\begin{pmatrix} X_1 & \cdots & X_a & Y'_1 & \cdots & Y'_u & * & Y'_{u+1} & \cdots & Y'_{b+} & X_{a+1} & \cdots & X_c & Y_{b+1}^+ & \cdots & Y_{d+}^+ & \cdots \\ x_1 & \cdots & x_a & y'_1 & \cdots & y'_u & ** & y'_{u+1} & \cdots & y'_{b+} & x_{a+1} & \cdots & x_c & y_{b+1}^+ & \cdots & y_{d+}^+ & \cdots \end{pmatrix},$$

where all Y'_i, y'_i satisfy (13), and $\begin{pmatrix} * \\ ** \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} U_1 \\ u_1 \end{pmatrix}$ or $\begin{pmatrix} V_1 & V_2 \\ v_1 & v_2 \end{pmatrix}$ as in Corollary 6.5 or Corollary 6.7.

Step 3: By applying Remark 6.14 to the X_i, x_i and Y'_i, y'_i coordinates in Step 2, one can induce π_{++} further to get a induced module whose lowest K -type subquotient $\pi_{+++} = J_{D_{2N_{+++}}}(\sigma_{+++},L \cup \sigma_{+++},L^h, \sigma_{+++},R \cup \sigma_{+++},R^h)$ satisfying

$$\sigma_{+++},L \longleftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} C_1 & \cdots & C_p & * & C_{p+1} & \cdots & C_q \\ c_1 & \cdots & c_p & ** & c_{p+1} & \cdots & c_q \end{pmatrix},$$

as in the hypothesis of Proposition 6.10.

To conclude, π_{+++} is the lowest K -type subquotient of the induced module

$$(42) \quad \text{Ind}_{M_{+++}}^{G_{+++}} \left(\bigotimes_w \text{Ind}_{A_{j_w-1} \times A_{j_w-1}}^{A_{2j_w-1}} \left(\text{comp}_{1/2}(j_w + 1, \frac{1}{2}) \otimes \text{comp}_{1/2}(j_w + 1, \frac{1}{2})^h \right) \otimes \pi \right).$$

Suppose on the contrary that π is unitary, then the inducing module of (42) is unitary, since the other constituents are Stein complementary series tensored with $(\frac{\det}{|\det|})^{1/2}$. Consequently, all the irreducible constituents of (42) are unitary. However, Corollary 6.11 implies that π_{+++} is not unitary. So we have a contradiction, and hence π is not unitary. \square

APPENDIX A. SOME LEMMAS

In this section, we record some results used in the proof of Proposition 6.6.

Lemma A.1. *Consider the (\mathfrak{g}, K) -modules $J_{D_n}(\sigma_L, \sigma_R)$, with*

$$\sigma_L \longleftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{2} & \frac{3}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{2} & \frac{3}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \frac{5}{2} & \frac{3}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{5}{2} & \frac{3}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \frac{7}{2} & \frac{3}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{7}{2} & \frac{3}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the intertwining operator $\gamma_{III} \circ \beta_{II}$ in the proof of Proposition 6.6 is injective over the space spanned by \mathcal{M}_0 given in (37).

Proof. This can be done by direct calculations on the elements in \mathcal{M}_0 , or alternatively one can verify it by `atlas`. More explicitly, upon restricting to the Θ_2 -isotypic subspaces, \mathcal{M}_0 spans the image of the intertwining operator $\tilde{\phi}_1$, which is part of the long intertwining

operator:

$$\begin{array}{c}
X(\mu, (\frac{5}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})) \\
\downarrow \delta'_1 \\
X(w_1\mu, w_1(\frac{5}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})) \\
\downarrow \tilde{\phi}_1 \\
X(w_2\mu, w_2(\frac{5}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})) \\
\downarrow \gamma_{III} \circ \beta_{II} \\
X(w_3\mu, w_3(\frac{5}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})) \\
\downarrow \omega_{IV} \\
X(w_0\mu, w_0(\frac{5}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}))
\end{array}$$

(resp. $X(\mu, (\frac{9}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}))$ and $X(\mu, (\frac{13}{2}, \frac{9}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}))$), whose image is equal to $J(\sigma_L, \sigma_R)$. So $\gamma_{III} \circ \beta_{II}$ is injective if one can check that

$$[\Theta_2 : J(\sigma_L, \sigma_R)] = \sum_{k=0}^2 [\mathcal{V}_{\eta(k)} : J(\sigma_L, \sigma_R)] = |\mathcal{M}_0| = \frac{(2(-\frac{1}{2}) + 5)^2}{4} = 4$$

Indeed, one can check directly from `atlas` that

$$[\mathcal{V}_{\eta(0)} : J(\sigma_L, \sigma_R)] = [\mathcal{V}_{\eta(1)} : J(\sigma_L, \sigma_R)] = 1, \quad [\mathcal{V}_{\eta(2)} : J(\sigma_L, \sigma_R)] = 2$$

in all cases above. For instance, if $\sigma_L \longleftrightarrow \left(\frac{5}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\right)$, i.e. $J(\sigma_L, \sigma_R) = J((\frac{5}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}), (2, 1, 0, 1, 0))$, then its `atlas` parameter is given by:

```
atlas> set G = complexification (Spin(10,0))
atlas> set p = parameter(G, [3,-1,4,-1,0,2,1,1,1,1]/1, [2,0,2,0,1,2,0,3,-1,0]/2)
```

Indeed, the infinitesimal character and lowest K -type of \mathfrak{p} are:

```
atlas> infinitesimal_character(p)
Value: [ 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0 ]/1
atlas> LKT(p)
Value: final K-type K_type(x=0, lambda=[0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1]/1)
```

In other words, the infinitesimal character of \mathfrak{p} is

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_L &= [1, 0, 1, 0, 1] = \left(\frac{5}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \\
\lambda_R &= [1, 0, 1, 0, 0] = (2, 1, 1, 0, 0)
\end{aligned}$$

in usual coordinates, and lowest K -type of highest weight $[0, 0, 0, 0, 1] = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$, i.e. the spinor module S^+ .

Now we look at some K -types of \mathfrak{p} :

atlas> branch_irr(p,30)

Value:

1* K_type(x=0, lambda=[0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1]/1) [10]
 1* K_type(x=0, lambda=[0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,1,0]/1) [18]
 2* K_type(x=0, lambda=[0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,1]/1) [24]
 1* K_type(x=0, lambda=[1,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,1]/1) [26]
 1* K_type(x=0, lambda=[0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,1,0]/1) [28]
 1* K_type(x=0, lambda=[0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,1,1]/1) [30]

the second and third line says that the K -type $\mathcal{V}_{\eta(1)}$ appears with multiplicity 1, and $\mathcal{V}_{\eta(2)}$ appears with multiplicity 2 respectively. So the result follows. \square

Lemma A.2. Consider the (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module $J_{D_n}(\sigma_L, \sigma_R)$, with $\sigma_L \longleftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} v+2 & v+2 \\ v & \end{pmatrix}$, with

$$\nu = \overbrace{\left(2v + \frac{7}{2}, \dots, -2v - \frac{1}{2}\right)}^{n_1=2v+3} \cup \overbrace{\left(2v + \frac{7}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}\right)}^{n_2=v+\frac{5}{2}}.$$

Then the intertwining operator

$$\left(-2v - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, 2v + \frac{7}{2}\right) \cup \left(-2v - \frac{7}{2}, \dots, \pm\frac{1}{2}\right)$$

$$\downarrow \chi$$

$$\left(-2v - \frac{7}{2}, -2v - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, 2v + \frac{7}{2}, -2v - \frac{3}{2}, \dots, \pm\frac{1}{2}\right)$$

satisfies the following property: Let \mathcal{P} be the projection

$$\mathcal{P} : \Theta_{n_1} = \bigoplus_{t=0}^4 \Theta_t^{(1,2)} \otimes \Theta_{n_1-t}^{(3, n_1+n_2)} \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{t=2}^4 \Theta_t^{(1,2)} \otimes \Theta_{n_1-t}^{(3, n_1+n_2)}.$$

Then $\mathcal{P} \circ \chi$ is injective on the space spanned by \mathcal{M}_1 defined in Equation (38).

Proof. Recall that $\mathcal{M}_1 := \{P_{i,j}^{(1, n_1)} \cdot T_{n_1-i}^{(n_1+1, n_1+n_2)} \mid (i, j) \neq (n_1, 0)\}$. Write the elements in \mathcal{M}_1 as

$$\begin{aligned} & P_{i,j}^{(1, n_1)} \cdot T_{n_1-i}^{(n_1+1, n_1+n_2)} \\ &= P_{i,j}^{(1, n_1)} \cdot \left(T_{n_1-i}^{(n_1+2, n_1+n_2)} + (u_{n_1+1} \otimes e_{n_1+1}^*) \cdot T_{n_1-i-1}^{(n_1+2, n_1+n_2)} + (e_{n_1+1} \wedge e_{n_1+1}^*) \cdot T_{n_1-i-2}^{(n_1+2, n_1+n_2)} \right) \\ &= P_{i,j}^{(1, n_1)} \cdot \left(Q_{0,0}^{(n_1+1, n_1+1)} \cdot T_{n_1-i}^{(n_1+2, n_1+n_2)} + Q_{1,1}^{(n_1+1, n_1+1)} \cdot T_{n_1-i-1}^{(n_1+2, n_1+n_2)} + Q_{2,0}^{(n_1+1, n_1+1)} \cdot T_{n_1-i-2}^{(n_1+2, n_1+n_2)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since χ only acts on the first n_1+1 components of the elements, we omit the $T_*^{(n_1+2, n_1+n_2)}$ in the above expressions, and write

$$\begin{aligned} P_{i,j} \cdot Q_{0,0} &:= P_{i,j}^{(1, n_1)} \cdot Q_{0,0}^{(n_1+1, n_1+1)} \cdot T_{n_1-i}^{(n_1+2, n_1+n_2)} \\ P_{i,j} \cdot Q_{1,1} &:= P_{i,j}^{(1, n_1)} \cdot Q_{1,1}^{(n_1+1, n_1+1)} \cdot T_{n_1-i-1}^{(n_1+2, n_1+n_2)} \\ P_{i,j} \cdot Q_{2,0} &:= P_{i,j}^{(1, n_1)} \cdot Q_{2,0}^{(n_1+1, n_1+1)} \cdot T_{n_1-i-2}^{(n_1+2, n_1+n_2)} \end{aligned}$$

Then the $\frac{(n_1+2)^2}{4} - 1$ elements in \mathcal{M}_1 are given by

$$\begin{array}{l}
(j = 1) \\
(j = 2) \\
(j = 3) \\
\vdots \\
(j = 2k - 1) \\
(j = 2k) \\
\vdots \\
(j = n_1)
\end{array}
\begin{array}{l}
P_{n_1-1,1} \cdot (Q_{0,0} + Q_{1,1}); \\
P_{n_1,2} \cdot Q_{0,0}, \\
P_{n_1-2,2} \cdot (Q_{0,0} + Q_{1,1} + Q_{2,0}); \\
P_{n_1-1,3} \cdot (Q_{0,0} + Q_{1,1}), \\
P_{n_1-3,3} \cdot (Q_{0,0} + Q_{1,1} + Q_{2,0}); \\
\vdots \\
P_{n_1-1,2k-1} \cdot (Q_{0,0} + Q_{1,1}), \\
P_{n_1-3,2k-1} \cdot (Q_{0,0} + Q_{1,1} + Q_{2,0}), \\
\vdots \\
P_{n_1-2k+1,2k-1} \cdot (Q_{0,0} + Q_{1,1} + Q_{2,0}); \\
P_{n_1,2k} \cdot Q_{0,0}, \\
P_{n_1-2,2k} \cdot (Q_{0,0} + Q_{1,1} + Q_{2,0}), \\
\vdots \\
P_{n_1-2k,2k} \cdot (Q_{0,0} + Q_{1,1} + Q_{2,0}); \\
\vdots \\
P_{n_1,n_1} \cdot Q_{0,0}, \\
P_{n_1-2,n_1} \cdot (Q_{0,0} + Q_{1,1} + Q_{2,0}), \\
\vdots \\
P_{0,n_1} \cdot (Q_{0,0} + Q_{1,1} + Q_{2,0}).
\end{array}$$

We denote the elements from top to bottom as $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_{\frac{(n_1+2)^2}{4}-1}$.

Notice that χ sends the space spanned by

$$(43) \quad P_{i,j} \cdot Q_{0,0}, \quad P_{i-2,j} \cdot Q_{2,0}, \quad P_{i-1,j-1} \cdot Q_{1,1}$$

to the space spanned by

$$(44) \quad Q_{0,0}^{(1,1)} \cdot P_{i,j}^{(2,n_1+1)}, \quad Q_{2,0}^{(1,1)} \cdot P_{i-2,j}^{(2,n_1+1)}, \quad Q_{1,1}^{(1,1)} \cdot P_{i-1,j-1}^{(2,n_1+1)}.$$

And χ sends $P_{2m,n_1} \cdot Q_{1,1}$ to $Q_{1,1}^{(1,1)} \cdot P_{2m,n_1}^{(2,n_1+1)}$ for any $0 \leq m \leq \lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor$.

Let \mathcal{P}' be the projection of the space spanned by $\{Q_{i,j}^{(1,1)} \cdot P_{i',j'}^{(2,n_1+1)}\}$ to the subspace spanned by

$$(45) \quad \{Q_{i,j}^{(1,1)} \cdot P_{i',j'}^{(2,n_1+1)} \mid i' + j' \geq n_1 + 2, \text{ or } i \geq 1, i' \geq 1, \text{ or } i \geq 2\}$$

along the subspace spanned by $\{Q_{0,0}^{(1,1)} \cdot P_{i',n_1-i'}^{(2,n_1+1)}, Q_{1,1}^{(1,1)} \cdot P_{0,n_1}^{(2,n_1+1)}\}$. Then the following holds:

- (a) $Q_{0,0}^{(1,1)} \cdot P_{i',n_1-i'}^{(2,n_1+1)}$ and $Q_{1,1}^{(1,1)} \cdot P_{0,n_1}^{(2,n_1+1)}$ are projected to 0 by \mathcal{P} . Indeed, both $P_{i',n_1-i'}$ and $P_{0,n_1}^{(2,n_1+1)}$ has no expressions of the form $e_i \wedge e_i^*$ by (18). So there are at most one e_1, e_2, e_1^*, e_2^* in $Q_{0,0}^{(1,1)} \cdot P_{i',n_1-i'}^{(2,n_1+1)}$ and $Q_{1,1}^{(1,1)} \cdot P_{0,n_1}^{(2,n_1+1)}$, i.e. they belong to $\bigoplus_{t=0}^1 \Theta_t^{(1,2)} \otimes \Theta_{n_1-t}^{(3,n_1+n_2)}$ in Θ_{n_1} .
- (b) \mathcal{P} is injective over $\text{im}(\mathcal{P}')$.

By (a), $\mathcal{P} \circ \chi = \mathcal{P} \circ \mathcal{P}' \circ \chi$. By (b), in order to show $\mathcal{P} \circ \chi$ is injective over $\text{Span}(\mathcal{M}_1)$, it suffices to show that $\mathcal{P}' \circ \chi$ is injective over the space spanned by \mathcal{M}_1 , that is, if $c_r \in \mathbb{C}, 1 \leq r \leq \frac{(n_1+2)^2}{4} - 1$ satisfy

$$(46) \quad \mathcal{P}' \circ \chi \left(\sum_{r=1}^{\frac{(n_1+2)^2}{4} - 1} c_r E_r \right) = 0,$$

then $c_r = 0$ for all $1 \leq r \leq \frac{(n_1+2)^2}{4} - 1$.

Firstly, note that χ sends $P_{2m,n_1} \cdot Q_{1,1}$ to $Q_{1,1}^{(1,1)} \cdot P_{2m,n_1}^{(2,n_1+1)}$. By comparing the coefficients of $Q_{1,1}^{(1,1)} \cdot P_{2m,n_1}^{(2,n_1+1)}$ in (46), there is only one nonzero term with coefficient c_r for each $\frac{(n_1+2)^2}{4} - \frac{n_1}{2} \leq r \leq \frac{(n_1+2)^2}{4} - 2$. Hence we have $c_r = 0$ for $\frac{(n_1+2)^2}{4} - \frac{n_1}{2} \leq r \leq \frac{(n_1+2)^2}{4} - 2$.

As for why $c_{\frac{(n_1+2)^2}{4} - 1} = 0$, note that χ sends the space spanned by

$$\begin{aligned} P_{2,n_1} \cdot Q_{0,0} & \quad (\text{appearing in } E_{\frac{(n_1+2)^2}{4} - 2}), \\ P_{0,n_1} \cdot Q_{2,0} & \quad (\text{appearing in } E_{\frac{(n_1+2)^2}{4} - 1}), \\ P_{1,n_1-1} \cdot Q_{1,1} & \quad (\text{appearing in } E_{\frac{(n_1+2)^2}{4} - \frac{n_1}{2} - 2}) \end{aligned}$$

to the space spanned by

$$(47) \quad Q_{0,0}^{(1,1)} \cdot P_{2,n_1}^{(2,n_1+1)}, \quad Q_{2,0}^{(1,1)} \cdot P_{0,n_1}^{(2,n_1+1)}, \quad Q_{1,1}^{(1,1)} \cdot P_{1,n_1-1}^{(2,n_1+1)}$$

by the matrix ϕ_w in Lemma 5.5. From which we can determine the coefficients of (47) in the left of (46). Note that the matrix of ϕ_w in Lemma 5.5 with $x = -4v - 7 = -2n_1 - 1$ has one dimensional kernel spanned by

$$(-1)^{n_1} \cdot 2P_{2,n_1} \cdot Q_{0,0} + (-1)^{n_1+1} \cdot 2n_1 P_{0,n_1} \cdot Q_{2,0} - 2P_{1,n_1-1} \cdot Q_{1,1}.$$

Hence, the second and the third column of the matrix of ϕ_w are linearly independent. Combining with $c_{\frac{(n_1+2)^2}{4}-2} = 0$, we get $c_{\frac{(n_1+2)^2}{4}-1} = 0$ and $c_{\frac{(n_1+2)^2}{4}-\frac{n_1}{2}-2} = 0$.

By induction, we can assume $c_{\frac{(n_1+2)^2}{4}-1}, \dots, c_{r+2}, c_{r+1}$ are all zeros. Notice that there exist s, t such that $s > r$ and the E_r, E_s, E_t give components of the form (43). By induction, $c_s = 0$. Note that the matrix of ϕ_w has one dimensional kernel spanned by

$$(-1)^{n_1}(i+j-n_1)P_{i,j} \cdot Q_{0,0} + (-1)^{n_1}(i-j-n_1-2)P_{i-2,j} \cdot Q_{2,0} + 2(j-n_1-1)P_{i-1,j-1} \cdot Q_{1,1}.$$

Since the terms of (43) all exist, we know that $i+j-n_1, i-j-n_1-2, j-n_1-1 \neq 0$. Hence, any two columns of the matrix of ϕ_w in Lemma 5.5 have rank 2, and one can conclude that $c_r = 0$ and $c_t = 0$. By induction, we get c_r are zeros for all $1 \leq r \leq \frac{(n_1+2)^2}{4} - 1$. \square

Example A.3. We give an example to illustrate the proof of the claim. Consider $\sigma_L \leftrightarrow \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \frac{5}{2} & \frac{5}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{smallmatrix} \right)$, so that $\nu = \left(\frac{9}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{3}{2} \right) \cup \left(\frac{9}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right)$. Note that $v = \frac{1}{2}$ and $n_1 = 2v + 3 = 4$. Then

$$\mathcal{M}_1 = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P_{3,1}^{(1,4)} T_1^{(5,7)}, \\ P_{4,2}^{(1,4)} T_0^{(5,7)}, \quad P_{2,2}^{(1,4)} T_2^{(5,7)}, \\ P_{3,3}^{(1,4)} T_1^{(5,7)}, \quad P_{1,3}^{(1,4)} T_3^{(5,7)}, \\ P_{4,4}^{(1,4)} T_0^{(5,7)}, \quad P_{2,4}^{(1,4)} T_2^{(5,7)}, \quad P_{0,4}^{(1,4)} T_4^{(5,7)} \end{array} \right\},$$

In the E_i notations in the above proof ($1 \leq i \leq 8$), they are equal to:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} E_1 := P_{3,1} \cdot (Q_{0,0} + Q_{1,1}); \\ E_2 := P_{4,2} \cdot Q_{0,0}; \quad E_3 := P_{2,2} \cdot (Q_{0,0} + Q_{1,1} + Q_{2,0}); \\ E_4 := P_{3,3} \cdot (Q_{0,0} + Q_{1,1}); \quad E_5 := P_{1,3} \cdot (Q_{0,0} + Q_{1,1} + Q_{2,0}); \\ E_6 := P_{4,4} \cdot Q_{0,0}; \quad E_7 := P_{2,4} \cdot (Q_{0,0} + Q_{1,1} + Q_{2,0}); \quad E_8 := P_{0,4} \cdot (Q_{0,0} + Q_{1,1} + Q_{2,0}) \end{array} \right\}.$$

And the basis in (45) is given by:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} F_1 := Q_{1,1} \cdot P_{3,1}, \quad F_2 := Q_{0,0} \cdot P_{4,2}, \quad F_3 := Q_{2,0} \cdot P_{2,2}; \\ F_4 := Q_{1,1} \cdot P_{2,2}, \quad F_5 := Q_{0,0} \cdot P_{3,3}, \quad F_6 := Q_{2,0} \cdot P_{1,3}; \\ F_7 := Q_{1,1} \cdot P_{3,3}, \quad F_8 := Q_{0,0} \cdot P_{4,4}, \quad F_9 := Q_{2,0} \cdot P_{2,4}; \\ F_{10} := Q_{1,1} \cdot P_{1,3}, \quad F_{11} := Q_{0,0} \cdot P_{2,4}, \quad F_{12} := Q_{2,0} \cdot P_{0,4}; \\ F_{13} := Q_{1,1} \cdot P_{2,4} \end{array} \right\}.$$

APPENDIX B. UNIPOTENT REPRESENTATIONS

Motivated by a conjecture of Arthur on automorphic representations, [BV85] considered a class of irreducible representations for complex simple Lie groups G called **special unipotent representations**, which satisfy the properties conjectured by Arthur.

More explicitly, each special unipotent representation π corresponds to a *special* nilpotent orbit $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ (in the sense of Lusztig), whose infinitesimal character is equal to $\lambda_{\mathcal{O}} := \frac{1}{2}h^{\vee}$, where $\{e^{\vee}, f^{\vee}, h^{\vee}\}$ is the Dynkin $SL(2)$ -triple of the Lusztig-Spaltenstein dual orbit $\mathcal{O}^{\vee} \subset \mathfrak{g}^{\vee}$ (with $e^{\vee} \in \mathcal{O}^{\vee}$). In particular, π satisfies the following:

- $\text{Ann}(\pi) \subseteq U(\mathfrak{g})$ is maximal subject to all irreducible representations with infinitesimal character $(\lambda_{\mathcal{O}}, \lambda_{\mathcal{O}})$,

or equivalently, the associated variety $AV(\text{Ann}(\pi))$ is of the smallest possible Gelfand-Kirillov dimension for the infinitesimal character $(\lambda_{\mathcal{O}}, \lambda_{\mathcal{O}})$.

Special unipotent representations were conjectured to be the *building blocks* of the unitary dual as described in the introduction. However, this is not true in the case of $G = Sp(2n, \mathbb{C})$ – the Segal-Shale-Weil (also called oscillator) representation is known to be unitary, yet it is not unitarily induced from any representations on a proper Levi component, nor its infinitesimal character is of the form $\frac{1}{2}h^{\vee}$.

For this reason, [B89] and [B17] considered a larger class of representations called **unipotent representations** for all classical groups. More precisely, for each classical nilpotent orbit \mathcal{O} , an element $\lambda_{\mathcal{O}} \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ is assigned to \mathcal{O} . Then π is a unipotent representation attached to \mathcal{O} if it has infinitesimal character $(\lambda_{\mathcal{O}}, \lambda_{\mathcal{O}})$, and its annihilator ideal $\text{Ann}(\pi)$ is maximal in the above sense. For instance, the oscillator representations in $Sp(2n, \mathbb{C})$ are the unipotent representations attached to the minimal nilpotent orbit in $\mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$.

Using [B17, Section 2.8], one can directly check the following holds:

Lemma B.1. *Let $\sigma_L \longleftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} S_1 & S_2 & \dots & S_l & S_{l+1} & \dots & S_k \\ s_1 & s_2 & \dots & s_l & & & \end{pmatrix}$, where*

$$S_i > s_i \quad \text{and} \quad s_i + 1 > S_{i+1}$$

satisfies the hypothesis of Remark 3.10 (in particular, $k = l$ or $l + 1$). Then $\sigma_L \cup \sigma_L^h$ is a Weyl conjugate of $\lambda_{\mathcal{O}(\sigma_L)}$, where

$$\mathcal{O}(\sigma_L) = \bigcup_{i=1}^k (2S_i + 1, 2S_i, 2s_i + 2, 2s_i + 1)$$

is a nilpotent orbit of $\mathfrak{so}(4n, \mathbb{C})$ (here a nilpotent orbit is described by the column sizes of its corresponding partition).

By Remark 3.10, the above lemma implies that all Brega representations are of the form $J_{D_{2n}}(\sigma_L \cup \sigma_L^h, \sigma_R \cup \sigma_R^h) = J_{D_{2n}}(\lambda_{\mathcal{O}(\sigma_L)}, w\lambda_{\mathcal{O}(\sigma_L)})$ for some Weyl group element w . As discussed above, one can conclude that it is a unipotent representation if the following holds:

Theorem B.2. *Suppose σ_L satisfies the hypothesis of Remark 3.10, so that*

$$\pi = J_{D_{2n}}(\sigma_L \cup \sigma_L^h, \sigma_R \cup \sigma_R^h) = \text{Ind}_{D_n \times D_n}^{D_{2n}} \left(J_{D_n}(\sigma_L, \sigma_R) \otimes J_{D_n}(\sigma_L^h, \sigma_R^h) \right).$$

is a Brega representation. Then its associated variety is equal to $AV(\text{Ann}(\pi)) = \overline{\mathcal{O}(\sigma_L)}$, where $\mathcal{O}(\sigma_L)$ is as given in Lemma B.1.

Proof. For all nilpotent orbits \mathcal{O} , let $\text{Spr}(\mathcal{O})$ be its corresponding Weyl group representation under the Springer correspondence. In particular, the *fake degree* of $b(\text{Spr}(\mathcal{O}))$ of $\text{Spr}(\mathcal{O})$, i.e. the smallest degree in which $\text{Spr}(\mathcal{O})$ appears in the harmonic polynomials on the Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} is equal to the *co-dimension* $\dim(\mathcal{N}) - \dim(\mathcal{O})$ of the nilpotent cone \mathcal{N} of \mathfrak{g} .

By Lemma 3.1 of [Br99], the associated varieties of the annihilators of $J_{D_n}(\sigma_L \cup \sigma_R)$ and $J_{D_n}(\sigma_L^h \cup \sigma_R^h)$ are both equal to the closure of the special nilpotent orbit $\mathcal{P} := \bigcup_{i=1}^k (2S_i + 1, 2s_i + 1)$. By the Kazhdan-Lusztig conjectures for non-integral infinitesimal characters (for instance [McG94, Theorem 5.1]), the character theory (and hence the associated variety) of $\pi = J_{D_{2n}}(\sigma_L \cup \sigma_L^h, \sigma_R \cup \sigma_R^h)$ can be obtained from that of $J_{D_n}(\sigma_L \cup \sigma_R)$ and $J_{D_n}(\sigma_L^h \cup \sigma_R^h)$. In particular, the associated variety of π satisfies

$$(48) \quad \text{Spr}(AV(\text{Ann}(\pi))) = j_{D_n \times D_n}^{D_{2n}}(\text{Spr}(\mathcal{P}) \otimes \text{Spr}(\mathcal{P})),$$

where $j_{W_0}^W$ is the *truncated induction*, which preserves the fake degree of representations by definition.

We are now in the position to prove the theorem: By [BV85, Corollary 5.18], $AV(\pi)$ is bounded below by $\overline{\mathcal{O}(\sigma_L)}$. On the other hand, (48) and the first paragraph of the proof imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{so}(4n, \mathbb{C})}) - \dim(AV(\text{Ann}(\pi))) &= b(\text{Spr}(AV(\text{Ann}(\pi)))) \\ &= b(\text{Spr}(\mathcal{P})) + b(\text{Spr}(\mathcal{P})) \\ &= 2(\dim(\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{so}(2n, \mathbb{C})}) - \dim(\mathcal{P})) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by the formula of the dimension of nilpotent orbits [CM93, Theorem 6.1.3], we know that

$$\dim(\mathcal{O}(\sigma_L)) = \frac{4n(4n-1)}{2} - \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^k ((2S_i+1)^2 + (2S_i)^2 + (2s_i+2)^2 + (2s_i+1)^2) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^k (1+1) \right),$$

and

$$\dim \mathcal{P} = \frac{2n(2n-1)}{2} - \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^k ((2S_i+1)^2 + (2s_i+1)^2) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^k ((2S_i+1) - (2s_i+1)) \right),$$

Using the above formulas, one can check directly that

$$\dim(\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{so}(4n, \mathbb{C})}) - \dim(\mathcal{O}(\lambda_L)) = 2(\dim(\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{so}(2n, \mathbb{C})}) - \dim(\mathcal{P})).$$

So $\dim(AV(\text{Ann}(\pi))) = \dim(\mathcal{O}(\lambda_L))$, and hence $AV(\text{Ann}(\pi)) = \overline{\mathcal{O}(\lambda_L)}$ attains its lower bound. \square

Example B.3. Let $\sigma_L \longleftrightarrow \left(\frac{2n-1}{2}\right)$. Then

$$\sigma_L \cup \sigma_L^h = \left(\frac{2n-1}{2}, \frac{2n-3}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}, 0, -1, \dots, n-1\right) \sim \frac{\rho}{2},$$

where $\rho = \rho_{\mathfrak{so}(4n, \mathbb{C})}$ is half the sum of all positive roots of $\mathfrak{so}(4n, \mathbb{C})$. By Lemma B.1, $\sigma_L \cup \sigma_L^h$ is equal to $\lambda_{\mathcal{O}(\sigma_L)}$, where

$$\mathcal{O}(\sigma_L) = [2n, 2n-1, 1]$$

is the **model orbit** of dimension $4n^2$, and

$$\pi = J_{D_{2n}}(\sigma_L \cup \sigma_L^h, \sigma_R \cup \sigma_R^h) = J_{D_{2n}}\left(\frac{\rho}{2}, \frac{w\rho}{2}\right) = \text{Ind}_{D_n \times D_n}^{D_{2n}} \left(J_{D_n}(\sigma_L, \sigma_R) \otimes J_{D_n}(\sigma_L^h, \sigma_R^h) \right)$$

for some $w \in W(D_{2n})$.

On the other hand, the representations $J_{D_n}(\sigma_L, \sigma_R) = S^+ \otimes \text{triv}$ and $J_{D_n}(\sigma_L^h, \sigma_R^h) = \text{triv} \otimes S^+$ are both finite-dimensional, both having a single K -type equal to the spinor module S^+ . Therefore, \mathcal{P} is the zero orbit. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{so}(4n, \mathbb{C})}) - \dim(\mathcal{O}(\sigma_L)) &= \frac{4n(4n-1)}{2} - 4n^2 \\ &= 2 \left(\frac{2n(2n-1)}{2} - 0 \right) \\ &= 2 (\dim(\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{so}(2n, \mathbb{C})}) - \dim(\mathcal{P})) \end{aligned}$$

and hence $AV(\text{Ann}(\pi)) = \overline{\mathcal{O}(\sigma_L)} = [2n, 2n-1, 1]$.

REFERENCES

- [AvLTV20] J. Adams, M. van Leeuwen, P. Trapa and D. Vogan, *Unitary representations of real reductive groups*, *Astérisque* **417** (2020).
- [BB81] M.W. Baldoni-Silva, D. Barbasch, *The unitary spectrum for real rank one groups*, *Invent. Math.* **72** (1983), 27–55.
- [B89] D. Barbasch, *The unitary dual for complex classical Lie groups*, *Invent. Math.* **96** (1989), 103–176.
- [B10] D. Barbasch, *The unitary spherical spectrum for split classical groups*, *Journal of Inst. of Math. Jussieu* **9** (2010) Issue 2, 265–356.
- [B17] D. Barbasch Unipotent representations and the dual pair correspondence, *Representation theory, number theory, and invariant theory*, *Progr. Math.*, 323, Birkhauser/Springer (2017), 47–85.
- [BV85] D. Barbasch, D. Vogan, *Unipotent representations of complex semisimple Lie groups*, *Ann. of Math.* **121** (1985), 41–110.
- [Br99] A. Brega, *On the unitary dual of $Spin(2n, \mathbb{C})$* , *Tran. Amer. Math. Soc.* **351**(1) (1999), 403 – 415.
- [CM93] D.H. Collingwood, W.M. McGovern, *Nilpotent Orbits in Semisimple Lie Algebras*, Van Nostrand Reinhold Mathematics Series, Van Nostrand Reinhold Co., New York, 1993
- [D79] M. Duflo, *Représentation unitaires irréductibles des groupes simples complexes de rang deux*, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **107** (1979), no. 1, 55–96.
- [H90] J.-S. Huang, *The unitary dual of the universal covering group of $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$* , *Duke Math. J.* **61** (1990), no. 3, 705–745.
- [HP06] J.-S. Huang, P. Pandžić. *Dirac Operators in Representation Theory*, Mathematics: Theory and Applications, Birkhauser, 2006.

- [KV95] A. Knapp, D. Vogan, *Cohomological induction and unitary representations*, Princeton University Press, Princeton NJ, 1995.
- [KZ76] A. Knapp, G. Zuckerman, *Classification theorems for representations of semisimple Lie groups*, Lect. Notes in Math. **587** (1976), 138–159.
- [McG94] W. M. McGovern, *Completely prime maximal ideals and quantization*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **108** (1994), no. 519
- [SR88] S. Salamanca-Riba, *On the unitary dual of some classical Lie groups*, Compositio Math. **68** (1988), no. 3, 251–303.
- [SR99] S. Salamanca-Riba, *On the unitary dual of real reductive Lie groups and the $A_q(\lambda)$ modules: the strongly regular case*, Duke Math. J. **96** (1999), no. 3, 521–546.
- [V79] D. Vogan, *The algebraic structure of the representation of semisimple Lie groups I*, Ann. of Math. (2) **109** (1979), no. 1, 1–60.
- [V82] D. Vogan, *Representations of real reductive Lie groups*, Progress in Mathematics **15** Birkhauser Boston, 1981.
- [V84] D. Vogan, *Unitarizability of certain series of representations*, Ann. of Math. (2) **120** (1984), no. 1, 141–187.
- [V86] D. Vogan, *The unitary dual of $GL(n)$ over an archimedean field*, Invent. Math. **83** (1986), 449–505.
- [V94] D. Vogan, *The unitary dual of G_2* , Invent. Math. **116** (1994), no. 1-3, 677–791.

SCHOOL OF SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING, THE CHINESE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG, SHENZHEN, GUANGDONG 518172, P. R. CHINA

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, THE CHINESE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG, SHATIN, HONG KONG, P. R. CHINA

Email address: `kayue.wong@gmail.com`

SCHOOL OF SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING, THE CHINESE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG, SHENZHEN, GUANGDONG 518172, P. R. CHINA

Email address: `zhanghongf@pku.edu.cn`