

MONOIDAL ENVELOPES OF FAMILIES OF ∞ -OPERADS AND ∞ -OPERADIC KAN EXTENSIONS

KENSUKE ARAKAWA

ABSTRACT. We provide details of the proof of Lurie’s theorem on operadic Kan extensions [Lur17, Theorem 3.1.2.3]. Along the way, we generalize the construction of monoidal envelopes of ∞ -operads to families of ∞ -operads and use it to construct the fiberwise direct sum functor, both of which we characterize by certain universal properties. Aside from their uses in the proof of Lurie’s theorem, these results and constructions have their independent interest.

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|----|
| Introduction | 1 |
| Notation and Terminology | 2 |
| 1. A Result on Families of ∞ -Operads | 2 |
| 1.1. Simplicial Grothendieck Construction | 3 |
| 1.2. Proof of Proposition 1.1 | 6 |
| 2. Monoidal Envelopes of Families of ∞ -Operads | 10 |
| 2.1. Definition and Construction | 10 |
| 2.2. Universal Property of Monoidal Envelopes | 14 |
| 3. The Fiberwise Direct Sum Functor | 15 |
| 3.1. Universal Property of the Direct Sum Functor | 16 |
| 3.2. Direct Sum and Slice | 19 |
| 4. The Fundamental Theorem of Operadic Kan Extensions | 20 |
| 4.1. Recollection | 20 |
| 4.2. Preliminary Results | 22 |
| 4.3. Proof of Theorem 4.3 | 26 |
| References | 35 |

INTRODUCTION

In his book [Lur17], Lurie introduces (among other things) the notion of ∞ -operads, an ∞ -categorical analog of (colored) operads. Given an ∞ -operad \mathcal{O}^\otimes and a symmetric monoidal ∞ -category \mathcal{C}^\otimes , we can form the ∞ -category $\mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})$ of \mathcal{O} -algebras. If $f : \mathcal{O}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{O}'^\otimes$ is a morphism of ∞ -operads, there is the induced forgetful map $f^* : \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}'}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})$. In analogy with restrictions and extensions of scalars, it is natural to ask whether the map f^* has a left adjoint. Lurie gives an affirmative answer to this question in [Lur17, Corollary 3.1.3.5], provided that \mathcal{C} has enough colimits. Because of its fundamental importance, the result has been applied repeatedly in his work.

Lurie’s proof of [Lur17, Corollary 3.1.3.5] relies on another major theorem on operadic Kan extensions [Lur17, Theorem 3.1.2.3] (or Theorem 4.3), which we call the **fundamental theorem of operadic Kan extensions**, or FTOK for short.

The proof of FTOK, as Lurie himself acknowledges, is very long. Perhaps because of the length of the proof, he omits some crucial details of the proof. This note aims to provide all the details by making necessary constructions and proving results on them. (In particular, we do not aim to provide a more concise proof of FTOK than the one provided in [Lur17].)

We can roughly divide the details we provide into two parts: The first one is the datum of “coherent homotopy.” In the proof of [Lur17, Theorem 3.1.2.3] (to be more precise, on p. 337), Lurie claims that certain diagrams are “equivalent” without writing the actual equivalence. Such a practice is fairly common in the literature and is understandable to some extent. However, in our case, we must be more attentive because a considerable amount of effort is required to write down the actual equivalence. We thus give a complete treatment of the equivalence in this note. (See around Step 1 of the proof of Theorem 4.3.) The second missing detail is the verification that all the combinatorics fits together. (This corresponds to Step 3 of Theorem 4.3.) Such detail, again, could be left to the reader if the verification is trivial. But in our case, the verification is so complicated that a sizable portion of readers will benefit from having it written down. We thus record every single detail of the verification.

Here is an outline of this note. In Section 1, we will prove an equivalent formulation of families of ∞ -operads. In Section 2, we generalize Lurie’s monoidal envelopes to families of ∞ -operads. Using monoidal envelopes, we can define the fiberwise direct sum functor, whose properties we discuss in Section 3. The constructions and results in Sections 1, 2, and 3 will be used in writing down the equivalence discussed in the previous paragraph, but they also have some independent interest. To the author’s knowledge, these constructions and results have not appeared elsewhere. Finally, in Section 4, we will give a complete proof of FTOK.

NOTATION AND TERMINOLOGY

Our notation and terminology mostly follow those of [Lur17]. Here are some deviations.

- We will say that a morphism of simplicial sets is **final** if it is cofinal in the sense of [Lur09], and **initial** if its opposite is final.
- We will write Δ^{-1} for the empty simplicial set.
- If \mathcal{C} is a category and α is an ordinal (or more generally a well-ordered set), then an α -**sequence** in \mathcal{C} is a functor $F : \alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ such that the map $\operatorname{colim}_{\beta < \alpha} F\beta \rightarrow F\alpha$ is an isomorphism for each limit ordinal less than α .
- If X is a simplicial set, then we denote the cone point of the simplicial set X^\triangleright by ∞ .

1. A RESULT ON FAMILIES OF ∞ -OPERADS

Let \mathcal{C} be an ∞ -category. Recall that a \mathcal{C} -**family of ∞ -operads** [Lur17, Definition 2.3.2.1] is a categorical fibration $p : \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \times N(\mathbf{Fin}_*)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (a) For each object $M \in \mathcal{M}^\otimes$ with image $(C, \langle m \rangle) \in \mathcal{C} \times N(\mathbf{Fin}_*)$ and for each inert map $\alpha : \langle m \rangle \rightarrow \langle n \rangle$ in $N(\mathbf{Fin}_*)$, the morphism $(\operatorname{id}_C, \alpha)$ admits a p -cocartesian lift.
- (b) Let $M \in \mathcal{M}^\otimes$ be an object with image $(C, \langle m \rangle) \in \mathcal{C} \times N(\mathbf{Fin}_*)$, where $m \geq 1$. Choose for each $1 \leq i \leq m$ a p -cocartesian lift $f_i : M \rightarrow M_i$ over $(\operatorname{id}_C, \rho^i) : (C, \langle n \rangle) \rightarrow (C, \langle 1 \rangle)$. Then the morphisms f_i form a p -limit cone. Every object in $\mathcal{M}_{(0)}^\otimes$ is p -terminal.

- (c) Let $n \geq 1$ and $C \in \mathcal{C}$. Given objects $M_1, \dots, M_n \in \mathcal{M}_C$, there is an object $M \in \mathcal{M}^\otimes$ lying over $(C, \langle n \rangle)$ which admits p -cocartesian morphisms $M \rightarrow M_i$ over $(\text{id}_C, \rho^i) : (C, \langle n \rangle) \rightarrow (C, \langle 1 \rangle)$.

The goal of this section is to prove the following equivalent formulation of families of ∞ -operads:

Proposition 1.1. *Let \mathcal{C} be an ∞ -category and let $p : \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \times N(\text{Fin}_*)$ be a categorical fibration satisfying conditions (a) and (b) above. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (c-i) *The map p satisfies condition (c).*
 (c-ii) *For each $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $\rho_i^i : \mathcal{M}_{\langle n \rangle}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\langle 1 \rangle}^\otimes$ be the functor over \mathcal{C} induced by the morphism ρ^i . Then for each $n \geq 1$, the functor*

$$(\rho_i^i)_{1 \leq i \leq n} : \mathcal{M}_{\langle n \rangle}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \times_{\mathcal{C}} \cdots \times_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{M}$$

is an equivalence of ∞ -categories.

Here the functor $\rho_i^i : \mathcal{M}_{\langle n \rangle}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is obtained in the following way: Since every inert morphism in $\mathcal{C} \times N(\text{Fin}_*)$ admits a p -cocartesian lift, it is possible to choose a natural transformation $\mathcal{M}_{\langle n \rangle}^\otimes \times \Delta^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^\otimes$ fitting into the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathcal{M}_{\langle n \rangle}^\otimes)^b \times \{0\} & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{M}^\otimes, \mathcal{E}) \\ \downarrow & \dashrightarrow & \downarrow \\ (\mathcal{M}_{\langle n \rangle}^\otimes)^b \times (\Delta^1)^\sharp & \xrightarrow{p_2 \times \rho^i} & \mathcal{C}^b \times N(\text{Fin}_*)^\sharp, \end{array}$$

where \mathcal{E} denotes the set of p -cocartesian edges over inert morphisms in $\mathcal{C} \times N(\text{Fin}_*)$ whose image in \mathcal{C} is degenerate, and $N(\text{Fin}_*)^\sharp$ is the marked simplicial set whose underlying simplicial set is $N(\text{Fin}_*)$ and with inert morphisms marked. We understand that ρ_i^i is the restriction of the filler, so that it is a functor over \mathcal{C} and its homotopy class over \mathcal{C} is well-defined.

Remark 1.2. A reader conversant with the language of generalized ∞ -operads will recognize Proposition 1.1 as [Lur17, Proposition 2.3.2.11]. However, in general, the diagram appearing in (2) of [Lur17, Definition 2.3.2.1] is not strictly commutative but is commutative up to a specified natural equivalence. So Proposition 1.1 is slightly different from the cited proposition and should be regarded as its “strictified version.”

The hardest part of the proof is the rectification of cocartesian fibrations. We will overcome this problem by appealing to the simplicial Grothendieck construction, which we explain in subsection 1.1. Proposition 1.1 will then be proved in subsection 1.2.

1.1. Simplicial Grothendieck Construction. In this subsection, we describe a convenient method to construct a cocartesian fibration out of a functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_\Delta$ of ordinary categories.

Let \mathcal{C} be an ordinary category and $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_\Delta$ a projectively fibrant functor. The **(simplicial) Grothendieck construction** $\int F$ is the simplicial category which is defined as follows:

- (1) The set of objects of F is given by $\coprod_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \text{ob } F(C)$.
- (2) Let $C, C' \in \mathcal{C}$ and $X \in F(C)$, $X' \in F(C')$. Then the hom-simplicial sets is

$$\left(\int F \right) (X, X') = \coprod_{f \in \mathcal{C}(C, C')} F(C') (Ff(X), X').$$

Composition is given by the composites

$$F(C'')(Fg(X'), X'') \times F(C')(Ff(X), X') \rightarrow F(C'')(Fg(X'), X'') \times F(C'')(FgFf(X), FgX') \\ \xrightarrow{\circ} F(C'')(F(gf)(X), X'').$$

This construction defines a functor

$$\int : \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \text{Cat}_\Delta) \rightarrow (\text{Cat}_\Delta)_{/\mathcal{C}}.$$

The Grothendieck construction is closely related to Lurie's relative nerve functor [Lur09, § 3.2.5]:

Proposition 1.3. *Let \mathcal{C} be a category and $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_\Delta$ a functor. There is an isomorphism of simplicial sets*

$$N\left(\int F\right) \cong N_{N \circ F}(\mathcal{C})$$

between the homotopy coherent nerve of $\int F$ and the relative nerve of the composite $N \circ F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_\Delta \xrightarrow{N} \mathbf{sSet}$, which commutes with the projection to $N(\mathcal{C})$. The isomorphism is natural in F .

Proof. An n -simplex of the relative nerve $N_{N \circ F}(\mathcal{C})$, by definition, consists of an n -simplex $\sigma = C_0 \xrightarrow{f_1} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_n} C_n$ in $N(\mathcal{C})$ and a simplicial functor $\varphi_I : \mathfrak{C}[\Delta^I] \rightarrow F(C_{\max I})$ for each subset $I \subset [n]$, such that for any inclusions $I \subset J \subset [n]$ of subsets, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{C}[\Delta^I] & \longrightarrow & F(C_{\max I}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{C}[\Delta^J] & \longrightarrow & F(C_{\max J}) \end{array}$$

commutes. Given such an n -simplex $(\sigma, (x_I)_I)$, we define a simplicial functor $\varphi : \mathfrak{C}[\Delta^n] \rightarrow \int F$ as follows:

- For each integer $0 \leq i \leq n$, we set $\varphi(i) = \varphi_{[i]}(i)$.
- For each pair of integers $0 \leq i \leq j \leq n$, the map

$$\varphi : \mathfrak{C}[\Delta^n](i, j) \rightarrow \left(\int F\right)(\varphi_{[i]}(i), \varphi_{[i]}(j))$$

is the composite

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{C}[\Delta^n](i, j) &\xrightarrow{\varphi_{[j]}} F(C_j)(\varphi_{[j]}(i), \varphi_{[j]}(j)) \\ &= F(C_j)(F(f_{ij})(\varphi_{[i]}(i)), \varphi_{[j]}(j)) \\ &\hookrightarrow \left(\int F\right)(\varphi_{[i]}(i), \varphi_{[i]}(j)). \end{aligned}$$

Here $f_{ij} : C_i \rightarrow C_j$ is the composite $f_j \cdots f_{i+1}$.

The assignment $(\sigma, (x_I)_I) \mapsto \varphi$ determines a morphism of simplicial sets

$$\Phi : N_{N \circ F}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow N\left(\int F\right)$$

over $N(\mathcal{C})$. We claim that it is an isomorphism of simplicial sets by constructing an inverse.

Let $\varphi : \mathfrak{C}[\Delta^n] \rightarrow \int F$ be a simplicial functor. Its projection to \mathcal{C} determines an n -simplex $\sigma = C_0 \xrightarrow{f_1} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_n} C_n$ in $N(\mathcal{C})$. For each subinterval $I \subset [n]$, define a simplicial functor $\varphi_I : \mathfrak{C}[\Delta^I] \rightarrow F(C_{\max I})$ as follows:

- For each integer $i \in I$, we set $\varphi_I(i) = Ff_{i, \max I}(\varphi(i))$.

- For each pair of integers $i, j \in I$ with $i \leq j$, the map

$$\varphi_I : \mathfrak{C}[\Delta^I](i, j) \rightarrow F(C_{\max I})(\varphi_I(i), \varphi_I(j))$$

is the composite

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{C}[\Delta^I](i, j) &= \mathfrak{C}[\Delta^n](i, j) \\ &\xrightarrow{\varphi} F(C_j)(F(f_{ij})(\varphi(i)), \varphi(j)) \\ &\xrightarrow{Ff_{j, \max I}} F(C_{\max I})(F(f_{i, \max I})(\varphi(i)), F(f_{j, \max I})(\varphi(j))) \\ &= F(C_{\max I})(\varphi_I(i), \varphi_I(j)). \end{aligned}$$

Next, for an arbitrary subset $J \subset [n]$, we define a simplicial functor $\varphi_J : \mathfrak{C}[\Delta^J] \rightarrow F(C_{\max J})$ to be the restriction of $\varphi_{[\max J]}$. Then the pair $(\sigma, (\varphi_I)_I)$ is an n -simplex of $N_{N \circ F}(\mathfrak{C})$, and the assignment $\varphi \mapsto (\sigma, (\varphi_I)_I)$ determines an inverse of Φ . \square

Corollary 1.4. *Let \mathfrak{C} be a category and $F : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_\Delta$ a projectively fibrant functor. Then the functor*

$$p : N\left(\int F\right) \rightarrow N(\mathfrak{C})$$

is a cocartesian fibration. A morphism $(f, g) : (C, X) \rightarrow (D, Y)$ in $N(\int F)$ is p -cocartesian if and only if the morphism $g : (Ff)(X) \rightarrow Y$ of $N(F(D))$ is an equivalence.

Proof. Define $\tilde{F} : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \text{sSet}^+$ by $\tilde{F}C = N(FC)^\sharp$, the homotopy coherent nerve of FC with equivalences marked. Then \tilde{F} is a projectively fibrant functor, so by [Lur09, Proposition 3.2.5.18] its relative nerve $N_{\tilde{F}}^+(\mathfrak{C}) \rightarrow N(\mathfrak{C})^\sharp$ is a fibrant object of $\text{sSet}^+/N(\mathfrak{C})$ equipped with the cocartesian model structure. The claim now follows from Proposition 1.3. \square

Remark 1.5. The advantage of working with the simplicial Grothendieck construction is that we can be very explicit about the functors induced by cocartesian fibrations. Recall that if $p : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a cocartesian fibration of ∞ -categories and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism in \mathcal{B} , the induced functor $f_! : \mathcal{A}_X = \mathcal{A} \times_{\mathcal{B}} \{X\} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_Y$ is defined by choosing a cocartesian natural transformation $\mathcal{A}_X \times \Delta^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ fitting into the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}_X \times \{0\} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{A} \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \text{dashed} & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{A}_X \times \Delta^1 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \Delta^1 \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{B} \end{array}$$

and then restricting it to $\mathcal{A}_X \times \{1\}$.

In the case p is the nerve of a simplicial functor of the form $\int F \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$, given a morphism $f : C \rightarrow C'$ in \mathfrak{C} , the induced functor $f_! : N(FC) \rightarrow N(FC')$ is nothing but Nf . Indeed, the collection

$$\{\text{id}_{FfX} : FfX \rightarrow FfX\}_{X \in FC}$$

defines a simplicial natural transformation from the inclusion $FC \hookrightarrow \int F$ to the composite $FC \xrightarrow{Ff} FC' \hookrightarrow \int F$, and the nerve of this simplicial natural transformation gives us a natural transformation $N(FC) \times \Delta^1 \rightarrow N(\int F)$ over the morphism f which is pointwise cocartesian by Corollary 1.4.

1.2. Proof of Proposition 1.1. In this subsection, we prove Proposition 1.1 using the results in the previous subsection.

Let $(\mathbf{Cat}_\Delta)_{\text{fib}}$ denote the full subcategory of \mathbf{Cat}_Δ spanned by the fibrant simplicial categories. We let $N^\natural : (\mathbf{Cat}_\Delta)_{\text{fib}} \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet}^+$ denote the functor obtained from the homotopy coherent nerve functor by marking equivalences.

Lemma 1.6. *Let \mathcal{C} be a small category and let $F, G : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet}_{\text{cocart}}^+$ be projectively fibrant functors. For any natural transformation $f : F \rightarrow G$, we can find projectively fibrant functors $F', G' : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_\Delta$, a projective fibration $f' : F' \rightarrow G'$, and a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & N^\natural \circ F' \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow N^\natural \circ f' \\ G & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & N^\natural \circ G' \end{array}$$

in $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{sSet}^+)$ whose horizontal arrows are weak equivalences.

Proof. Let $F_b, G_b : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet}$ denote the functors obtained by forgetting the markings. Since F, G are projectively fibrant, for each object $C \in \mathcal{C}$, the simplicial set $F_b(C)$ is an ∞ -category and the marked edges of $F(C)$ are precisely the equivalences of $F_b(C)$. Now find a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C} \circ F_b & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & F' \\ \mathcal{C} \circ f \downarrow & & \downarrow f' \\ \mathcal{C} \circ G_b & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & G' \end{array}$$

in $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Cat}_\Delta)$, where F', G' are projectively fibrant, f' is a projective fibration, and the horizontal arrows are weak equivalences. Since the adjunction

$$\mathcal{C} : \mathbf{sSet}_{\text{Joyal}} \rightleftarrows \mathbf{Cat}_\Delta : N$$

is a Quillen equivalence, the horizontal arrows of the induced square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_b & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & N \circ F' \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow N \circ f' \\ G_b & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & N \circ G' \end{array}$$

in $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{sSet})$ are weak equivalences (i.e., pointwise categorical equivalences). By marking the equivalences, we obtain the desired square. \square

Definition 1.7. Let $n \geq 1$. We let $\mathcal{B}(n)$ denote the nerve of the category $\{\infty\} \star \langle n \rangle^\circ$, where $\langle n \rangle^\circ = \{1, \dots, n\}$ is regarded as a discrete category. For each $1 \leq i \leq n$, we will denote the unique morphism $\infty \rightarrow i$ by ρ^i .

Proposition 1.8. *Let $n \geq 1$, and consider a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{p} & \mathcal{D} \\ & \searrow q & \swarrow r \\ & & \mathcal{B}(n) \end{array}$$

of ∞ -categories. Assume the following:

- (1) The functors q and r are cocartesian fibrations, and the functor p is a categorical fibration.
- (2) The functor p preserves cocartesian morphisms over $\mathcal{B}(n)$.
- (3) The functor $(\rho^i)_i : \mathcal{D}_\infty \rightarrow \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathcal{D}_i$ induces an injection between the set of equivalence classes of objects of $\mathcal{D}_{\langle n \rangle}$ and that of $\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathcal{D}_i$.

- (4) For each object $C \in \mathcal{C}_\infty$, the q -cocartesian morphisms $C \rightarrow C_i$ over ρ^i form a p -limit cone.
- (5) Given an object $(C_i)_i \in \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathcal{C}_i$, there is an object $C \in \mathcal{C}$ which admits a q -cocartesian morphism $C \rightarrow C_i$ over the morphism ρ^i for every i .

Then the functor

$$\mathcal{C}_\infty \rightarrow \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathcal{C}_i \times_{\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathcal{D}_i} \mathcal{D}_\infty$$

is an equivalence of ∞ -categories.

In the proof, we shall make use of the adjunction

$$r_! : \mathbf{sSet}^+ / \mathcal{B}(n) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \mathbf{Fun}(\{\infty\} \star \langle n \rangle^\circ, \mathbf{sSet}^+) : r^*$$

between the (marked) relative nerve functor r^* and its left adjoint $r_!$, given by $r_!(X)(x) = X \times_{\mathcal{B}(n)^\sharp} \mathcal{B}(n)^\sharp_x$.

Proof. The statement of the proposition is a bit vague, because the functors $\mathcal{C}_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_i$ and $\mathcal{D}_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_i$ are defined only up to natural equivalence. A more precise formulation is the following: Since p is a categorical fibration, the map $\mathcal{C}^\sharp \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^\sharp$ is a fibration in the cocartesian model structures over $\mathcal{B}(n)$, where \mathcal{C}^\sharp is the marked simplicial set whose marked edges are the q -cocartesian edges, and \mathcal{D}^\sharp is defined similarly. (This is the opposite convention of [Lur09, §3.2], but it shouldn't cause any confusion.) Therefore, for each object $i \in \langle n \rangle^\circ$, there is a natural transformation $\mathcal{C}_\infty \times \Delta^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ which fits into the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}_\infty^\sharp \times \{0\}^\sharp & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{C}^\sharp \\ \downarrow & \dashrightarrow & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{C}_\infty^\sharp \times (\Delta^1)^\sharp & \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_\infty^\sharp \times (\Delta^1)^\sharp \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}^\sharp, \end{array}$$

where the functor $\mathcal{D}^\sharp \times (\Delta^1)^\sharp \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^\sharp$ is a r -cocartesian natural transformation over $\infty \rightarrow i$ which starts from the inclusion $\mathcal{D}_\infty \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}$. The restriction of the filler determines a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}_\infty & \xrightarrow{\rho_i^i} & \mathcal{C}_i \\ p \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ \mathcal{D}_\infty & \xrightarrow{\rho_i^i} & \mathcal{D}_i. \end{array}$$

The claim is that, for any such choice of functors $\mathcal{D}_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_i$ and $\mathcal{C}_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_i$, the resulting functor $\phi : \mathcal{C}_\infty \rightarrow \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathcal{C}_i \times_{\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathcal{D}_i} \mathcal{D}_\infty$ is an equivalence of ∞ -categories.

We begin by proving the essential surjectivity. Let $((C_i)_i, D) \in \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathcal{C}_i \times_{\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathcal{D}_i} \mathcal{D}_\infty$ be an arbitrary object. By our hypothesis (5), we can find an object $C \in \mathcal{C}_\infty$ which admits a q -cocartesian morphism $C \rightarrow C_i$ over ρ^i for each $1 \leq i \leq n$. By the uniqueness of cocartesian morphisms, there is an equivalence to $(C_i)_i \simeq (\rho_i^i(C))_i$ in $\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathcal{C}_i$. Its image in $\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathcal{D}_i$ determines an equivalence $(\rho_i^i(D))_i \simeq (\rho_i^i(p(C)))_i$. By virtue of assumption (3), we obtain an equivalence $g : D \xrightarrow{\simeq} p(C)$ in \mathcal{D}_∞ . Using the fact that p is a categorical fibration, we can lift the morphism g to an equivalence $\tilde{g} : \tilde{C} \xrightarrow{\simeq} C$ in \mathcal{C} . Therefore, replacing f_i by $f_i \tilde{g}$ if necessary, we may assume that $p(C) = D$. For each $1 \leq i \leq n$, the morphism f_i determines a functor $\mathcal{C}_\infty^\sharp \times \{0\}^\sharp \cup \{C\}^\sharp \times (\Delta^1)^\sharp \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\sharp$. Since the inclusion

$$\mathcal{C}_\infty^\sharp \times \{0\}^\sharp \cup \{C\}^\sharp \times (\Delta^1)^\sharp \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}_\infty^\sharp \times (\Delta^1)^\sharp$$

is a trivial cofibration in the cocartesian model structure over $\mathcal{B}(n)$ [Lur09, Proposition 3.1.2.2], we can find a filler of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}_\infty^\natural \times \{0\}^\natural \cup \{C\}^\natural \times (\Delta^1)^\natural & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{C}^\natural \\ \downarrow & \dashrightarrow & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{C}_\infty^\natural \times (\Delta^1)^\natural & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{D}_\infty^\natural \times (\Delta^1)^\natural \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}^\natural. \end{array}$$

The filler determines a functor $\mathcal{C}_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_i$ which is naturally equivalent to ρ_i^i over \mathcal{D}_i . So the functor $\phi' : \mathcal{C}_\infty \rightarrow \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathcal{C}_i \times \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathcal{D}_i \mathcal{D}_\infty$ obtained from these fillers is naturally equivalent to ϕ . By construction, we have $\phi'(C) = ((C_i)_i, D)$. This proves that ϕ is essentially surjective.

Next, to prove that ϕ is fully faithful, we consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mathcal{C}_\infty^\natural \times \{1\}^\natural & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathcal{C}_i^\natural \\ & & \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ & \mathcal{C}_\infty^\natural \times (\Delta^1)^\natural & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathcal{C}_i^\natural \times_{(\mathcal{B}(n))^\natural} (\{\infty\} \star \{i\})^\natural & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathcal{C}_i^\natural \\ & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{C}_\infty^\natural \times \{0\}^\natural & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{D}_\infty^\natural \times \{1\}^\natural & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathcal{D}_i^\natural \\ & \downarrow & \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ & \mathcal{D}_\infty^\natural \times (\Delta^1)^\natural & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathcal{D}_i^\natural \times_{(\mathcal{B}(n))^\natural} (\{\infty\} \star \{i\})^\natural & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathcal{D}_i^\natural \\ & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{D}_\infty^\natural \times \{0\}^\natural & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{D}_\infty^\natural \times \{0\}^\natural & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathcal{D}_i^\natural \end{array}$$

We wish to show that the back face of this diagram is homotopy cartesian in the cocartesian model structure in \mathbf{sSet}^+ . By [HHR21, Proposition 2.10], the maps labeled with \simeq are weak equivalences in \mathbf{sSet}^+ . It will therefore suffice to show that the front face is homotopy cartesian. Note that the front face can be identified with the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} r_1(\mathcal{C}^\natural)(\infty) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} r_1(\mathcal{C}^\natural)(i) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ r_1(\mathcal{D}^\natural)(\infty) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} r_1(\mathcal{D}^\natural)(i), \end{array}$$

where $r_1 : \mathbf{sSet}^+/\mathcal{B}(n) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(\{\infty\} \star \langle n \rangle^\circ, \mathbf{sSet}^+)$ is the left adjoint of the relative nerve functor.

Find a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} r_1(\mathcal{C}^\natural) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & F \\ r_1(p) \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ r_1(\mathcal{D}^\natural) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & G \end{array}$$

in $\mathbf{Fun}(\{\infty\} \star \langle n \rangle^\circ, \mathbf{sSet}^+)$, where F and G are projectively fibrant functors, f is a projective fibration, and the horizontal arrows are weak equivalences. According to Lemma 1.6, we may assume that the natural transformation

$$f : \{\infty\} \star \langle n \rangle^\circ \times [1] \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet}^+$$

can be factored as

$$\{\infty\} \star \langle n \rangle^\circ \times [1] \xrightarrow{f'} (\mathbf{Cat}_\Delta)_{\text{fib}} \xrightarrow{N^\natural} \mathbf{sSet}^+.$$

We set $f'_0 = F'$ and $g'_0 = G'$, and write $\mathcal{C}_\Delta = \int F'$ and $\mathcal{D}_\Delta = \int G'$. We are then reduced to showing that the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N(\mathcal{C}_{\Delta, \infty})^\natural & \longrightarrow & \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} N(\mathcal{C}_{\Delta, i})^\natural \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ N(\mathcal{D}_{\Delta, \infty})^\natural & \longrightarrow & \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} N(\mathcal{D}_{\Delta, i})^\natural \end{array}$$

of marked simplicial sets is homotopy cartesian. Since the vertical arrows are fibrations in $\mathbf{sSet}_{\text{cocart}}^+$ and all the objects are fibrant, it suffices to show that the map

$$N(\mathcal{C}_{\Delta, \infty})^\natural \rightarrow N(\mathcal{D}_{\Delta, \infty})^\natural \times_{\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} N(\mathcal{D}_{\Delta, i})^\natural} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} N(\mathcal{C}_{\Delta, i})^\natural$$

is a weak equivalence in $\mathbf{sSet}_{\text{cocart}}^+$. This is equivalent to the condition that the map

$$\theta' : N(\mathcal{C}_{\Delta, \infty}) \rightarrow N(\mathcal{D}_{\Delta, \infty}) \times_{\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} N(\mathcal{D}_{\Delta, i})} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} N(\mathcal{C}_{\Delta, i})$$

is an equivalence of ∞ -categories.

According to Proposition 1.3, the map $r^*(f)$ can be identified with the map

$$N\left(\int f'\right) : N(\mathcal{C}_\Delta)^\natural \rightarrow N(\mathcal{D}_\Delta)^\natural.$$

Moreover, since the adjunction $r_! \dashv r^*$ is a Quillen equivalence, the maps $\mathcal{C}^\natural \rightarrow r^*(F)$ and $\mathcal{D}^\natural \rightarrow r^*(G)$ are weak equivalences in the cocartesian model structure over $\mathcal{B}(n)$. So the functor $N(\mathcal{C}_\Delta) \rightarrow N(\mathcal{D}_\Delta)$ has all the properties (1)-(5). So the proof of the essential surjectivity of θ applies as well to θ' , showing that θ' is essentially surjective. It remains to verify that θ' is fully faithful. For this, it suffices to show that for each pair of objects $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}_{\Delta, \infty}$, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}_{\Delta, \infty}(X, Y) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathcal{C}_{\Delta, i}((F' \rho^i)X, (F' \rho^i)Y) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{D}_{\Delta, \infty}(fX, fY) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathcal{D}_{\Delta, i}((G' \rho^i)fX, (G' \rho^i)fY) \end{array}$$

of Kan complexes is homotopy cartesian. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mathcal{C}_\Delta(X, Y) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathcal{C}_\Delta(X, (F' \rho^i)Y) \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{C}_{\Delta, \infty}(X, Y) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathcal{C}_{\Delta, i}((F' \rho^i)X, (F' \rho^i)Y) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathcal{C}_{\Delta, i}((F' \rho^i)X, (F' \rho^i)Y) \\ & \downarrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & \mathcal{D}_\Delta(fX, fY) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathcal{D}_\Delta(fX, (G' \rho^i)fY) \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{D}_{\Delta, \infty}(fX, fY) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathcal{D}_{\Delta, i}((G' \rho^i)fX, (G' \rho^i)fY) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathcal{D}_{\Delta, i}((G' \rho^i)fX, (G' \rho^i)fY) \end{array}$$

The slanted arrows are isomorphisms of simplicial sets, so it suffices to show that the back face is homotopy cartesian. This follows from our assumption (4). \square

We can now prove Proposition 1.1.

Proof of Proposition 1.1. Clearly (c-ii) implies (c-i). Conversely, suppose that condition (c-i) is satisfied. For each $n \geq 1$, there is a unique functor $\mathcal{B}(n) \rightarrow N(\text{Fin}_*)$ which is the identity map on morphisms. Applying Proposition 1.8 to the functor $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \times_{N(\text{Fin}_*)} \mathcal{B}(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{B}(n)$, we see that condition (c-ii) holds true. \square

2. MONOIDAL ENVELOPES OF FAMILIES OF ∞ -OPERADS

In [Lur17, Section 2.2.4], Lurie introduces the universal procedure to make an arbitrary ∞ -operad into a symmetric monoidal ∞ -category. The resulting symmetric monoidal ∞ -category is called the **monoidal envelope**. In this section, we will show that given a family of ∞ -operads, we can take the monoidal envelope of each fiber to obtain a family of symmetric monoidal ∞ -categories. We will also prove that monoidal envelopes of families of ∞ -operads enjoys the expected universal property.

We remark that the proofs of the results in this section are only slight modifications of Lurie’s original proofs of various results concerning monoidal envelopes, which can be found in [Lur17, Section 2.2.4]. Nevertheless, for the sake of completeness, we will record the proofs whenever Lurie’s proof does not apply verbatim.

2.1. Definition and Construction. In this subsection, we define monoidal envelopes of families of ∞ -operads and show that they are again families of ∞ -operads.

We begin with a generalization of Lurie’s construction [Lur17, Construction 2.2.4.1]:

Definition 2.1. Let \mathcal{M}^\otimes be a generalized ∞ -operad [Lur17, Definition 2.3.2.1]. We define $\text{Act}(\mathcal{M}^\otimes) \subset \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{M}^\otimes)$ to be the full subcategory spanned by the active morphisms. If $p : \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^\otimes$ is a fibration of generalized ∞ -operads, the **\mathcal{N} -monoidal envelope** of \mathcal{M}^\otimes is defined to be the fiber product

$$\text{Env}_{\mathcal{N}}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes = \mathcal{M}^\otimes \times_{\text{Fun}(\{0\}, \mathcal{N}^\otimes)} \text{Act}(\mathcal{N}^\otimes).$$

In the case $\mathcal{N}^\otimes = N(\text{Fin}_*)$, we will write $\text{Env}_{\mathcal{N}}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes = \text{Env}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes$. We will regard $\text{Env}_{\mathcal{N}}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes$ as an ∞ -category over \mathcal{N}^\otimes via the composite

$$\text{Env}_{\mathcal{N}}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Act}(\mathcal{N}^\otimes) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_1} \mathcal{N}^\otimes.$$

Thus, if $p : \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow N(\text{Fin}_*)$ is a generalized ∞ -operad, then $\text{Env}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes$ is the ∞ -category of pairs $(M, \alpha : p(M) \rightarrow \langle k \rangle)$, where $M \in \mathcal{M}^\otimes$ and α is an active morphism of $N(\text{Fin}_*)$. In particular, the ∞ -category $\text{Env}(\mathcal{M}) = \text{Env}(\mathcal{M})_{\langle 1 \rangle}^\otimes$ may be identified with $\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^\otimes$. The intuition here is that an object $M \in \mathcal{M}_{\langle n \rangle}^\otimes$ is regarded as a “formal tensor product” of the objects $\rho_i^i(M) \in \mathcal{M}$.

Note that the (fully faithful) diagonal embedding $\mathcal{N}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Act}(\mathcal{N}^\otimes)$ induces a fully faithful embedding $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \hookrightarrow \text{Env}_{\mathcal{N}}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes$, which partly justifies the terminology “envelope.” In fact, the monoidal envelope enjoys a certain universal property, as we will see in Subsection 2.2.

The goal of this note is to prove the following result, which is a generalization of [Lur17, Proposition 2.2.4.4].

Proposition 2.2. *Let \mathcal{C} be an ∞ -category and let $p : \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \times N(\text{Fin}_*)$ be a \mathcal{C} -family of ∞ -operads. Let*

$$q : \text{Env}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \times N(\text{Fin}_*)$$

denote the functor induced by the functors $\text{Env}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \rightarrow N(\text{Fin}_)$ and $\text{Env}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. Then:*

- (a) *The functor q makes $\text{Env}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes$ into a \mathcal{C} -family of ∞ -operads.*
- (b) *A morphism of $\text{Env}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes$ whose image in \mathcal{M}^\otimes is inert is q -cocartesian. The converse holds if it lies over an inert morphism in $\mathcal{C} \times N(\text{Fin}_*)$.*

Remark 2.3. In the situation of Proposition 2.2, the fiber $\text{Env}(\mathcal{M})_C^\otimes = \text{Env}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \times_{\mathcal{C}} \{C\}$ over an object $C \in \mathcal{C}$ is the monoidal envelope of the ∞ -operad \mathcal{M}_C^\otimes , which is a symmetric monoidal ∞ -category by [Lur17, Proposition 2.2.4.4].

We will return to the proof after a sequence of lemmas.

Lemma 2.4. [Lur17, Lemma 2.2.4.11] *Let $p : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a cocartesian fibration of ∞ -categories. Suppose there is a full subcategory $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{E}$ which has the following properties:*

- (i) *For each object $D \in \mathcal{D}$, the inclusion $\mathcal{C}_D \subset \mathcal{E}_D$ admits a left adjoint $L_D : \mathcal{E}_D \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_D$.*
- (ii) *For each morphism $f : D \rightarrow D'$ in \mathcal{D} , the associated functor $f_! : \mathcal{E}_D \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{D'}$ carries L_D -equivalences (i.e., its image under L_D is an equivalence) to $L_{D'}$ -equivalences.*

Let $q = p|_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ denote the restriction of p . The following holds:

- (1) *The functor $q = p|_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a cocartesian fibration.*
- (2) *Let $g : D \rightarrow D'$ be a morphism in \mathcal{D} and $f : C \rightarrow C'$ a morphism in \mathcal{C} lifting g . Then f is q -cocartesian if and only if the map $g_! C \rightarrow C'$ is an $L_{D'}$ -equivalence, where $g_! : \mathcal{E}_D \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{D'}$ is the functor induced by g .*

Lemma 2.5. [Lur17, Remark 2.2.4.12] *Let $p : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a cocartesian fibration of ∞ -categories and $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{E}$ a full subcategory. Consider the following conditions for \mathcal{C} :*

- (i) *For each object $D \in \mathcal{D}$, the inclusion $\mathcal{C}_D \subset \mathcal{E}_D$ admits a left adjoint $L_D : \mathcal{E}_D \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_D$.*
- (ii) *For each morphism $f : D \rightarrow D'$ in \mathcal{D} , the associated functor $f_! : \mathcal{E}_D \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{D'}$ carries L_D -equivalences (i.e., its image under L_D is an equivalence) to $L_{D'}$ -equivalences.*
- (i') *The inclusion $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{E}$ admits a left adjoint $L : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$.*
- (ii') *The functor p carries each L -equivalence to an equivalence.*

The conditions (i) and (ii) are equivalent to the conditions (i') and (ii'). Moreover, if these conditions are satisfied, then for each object $D \in \mathcal{D}$, a morphism in \mathcal{C}_D is an L -equivalence if and only if it is an L_D -equivalence.

Lemma 2.6. [Lur17, Lemma 2.2.4.13] *Let $p : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be an inner fibration of ∞ -categories and $\mathcal{D}' \subset \mathcal{D}$ a full subcategory. Set $\mathcal{C}' = \mathcal{C} \times_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{D}'$. Assume the following:*

- (i) *The inclusion $\mathcal{D}' \subset \mathcal{D}$ admits a left adjoint.*
- (ii) *For each object $C \in \mathcal{C}$, the morphism $pC \rightarrow D'$ which exhibits D as a \mathcal{D}' -localization of pC lifts to a p -cocartesian morphism $C \rightarrow C'$.*

Then \mathcal{C}' is a reflective subcategory of \mathcal{C} , and a morphism $f : C \rightarrow C'$ in \mathcal{C} exhibits C' as a \mathcal{C}' -localization of C if and only if f is p -cocartesian and the morphism $p(f)$ exhibits $p(C')$ as a \mathcal{D}' -localization of $p(C)$.

Lemma 2.7. [Lur17, Lemma 2.2.4.14] *Let $p : \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^{\otimes}$ be a fibration of generalized ∞ -operads. Set $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \times_{\text{Fun}(\{0\}, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes})} \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes})$. The inclusion*

$$\text{Env}_{\mathcal{N}}(\mathcal{M})^{\otimes} \subset \mathcal{D}$$

admits a left adjoint. Moreover, a morphism $\alpha : D \rightarrow D'$ in \mathcal{D} exhibits D' as an $\text{Env}_{\mathcal{N}}(\mathcal{M})^{\otimes}$ -localization of D if and only if $D' \in \text{Env}_{\mathcal{N}}(\mathcal{M})^{\otimes}$, the image of α in \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} is inert, and the image of α in \mathcal{N}^{\otimes} is an equivalence.

Proof. We recall from [Lur09, Lemma 5.2.8.19] that the inclusion $\text{Act}(\mathcal{N}^{\otimes}) \subset \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes})$ admits a left adjoint and that a morphism $\alpha : g \rightarrow g'$ in $\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes})$ corresponding to a square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bullet & \xrightarrow{f} & \bullet \\ g \downarrow & & \downarrow g' \\ \bullet & \xrightarrow{f'} & \bullet \end{array}$$

exhibits g' as an $\text{Act}(\mathcal{N}^\otimes)$ -localization if and only if g' is active, f is inert, and f' is an equivalence.

Now let $(M, g : p(M) \rightarrow N)$ be an object of $\text{Env}_{\mathcal{N}}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes$, and find a morphism $\alpha : g \rightarrow g'$ as in the previous paragraph. According to Lemma 2.6, it will suffice to show that α admits a lift with domain (M, g) which is cocartesian with respect to the projection $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{N}^\otimes)$. Since f is inert and p is a fibration of generalized ∞ -operads, we can lift the morphism f to an inert morphism $\beta : M \rightarrow M'$ in \mathcal{M}^\otimes . Then (β, α) determines a morphism $(M, g) \rightarrow (M', g')$ which lifts α . Since its image in \mathcal{M}^\otimes is p -cocartesian, the morphism (α, β) is cocartesian with respect to $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{N}^\otimes)$. The claim follows. \square

Lemma 2.8. [Lur09, Corollary 2.4.7.12] *Let $f : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a functor of ∞ -categories. The evaluation at $1 \in \Delta^1$ induces a cocartesian fibration $p : \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{C} \times_{\text{Fun}(\{0\}, \mathcal{D})} \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, and a morphism in \mathcal{E} is p -cocartesian if and only if its image in \mathcal{C} is an equivalence.*

Lemma 2.9. [Lur17, Lemma 2.2.4.15] *Let $p : \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^\otimes$ be a fibration of generalized ∞ -operads. Set $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{M}^\otimes \times_{\text{Fun}(\{0\}, \mathcal{N}^\otimes)} \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{N}^\otimes)$. The following holds:*

- (1) *Evaluation at $1 \in \Delta^1$ induces a cocartesian fibration $q' : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^\otimes$.*
- (2) *A morphism in \mathcal{D} is q' -cocartesian if and only if its image in \mathcal{M}^\otimes is an equivalence.*
- (3) *The map q' restricts to a cocartesian fibration $q : \text{Env}_{\mathcal{N}}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^\otimes$.*
- (4) *A morphism f in $\text{Env}_{\mathcal{N}}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes$ is q -cocartesian if and only if its image in \mathcal{M}^\otimes is inert.*

Proof. Assertions (1) and (2) follow from Lemma 2.8. Let now $L : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \text{Env}_{\mathcal{N}}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes$ denote the left adjoint of the inclusion, which exists by Lemma 2.7. According to this lemma, the functor q' maps L -equivalences to equivalences. Thus, by Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5, the restriction $q = q'|_{\text{Env}_{\mathcal{N}}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes}$ is a cocartesian fibration, and a morphism $(f, g) : (M, \alpha) \rightarrow (M', \alpha')$ in $\text{Env}_{\mathcal{N}}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes$ is q -cocartesian if and only if the map $\theta : g_! (M, \alpha) \rightarrow (M', \alpha')$ is an L -equivalence. Here $g_! : \mathcal{D}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{X'}$ is the functor induced by the morphism g . Now up to equivalence, the map θ may be identified with the map $(f, \text{id}) : (M, g \circ \alpha) \rightarrow (M', \alpha')$. So Lemma 2.7 tells us that the latter condition holds if and only if $f : M \rightarrow M'$ is inert. This proves (4). \square

Lemma 2.10. [Lur17, Lemma 2.2.4.16] *Let $n \geq 0$, and let $\beta : \langle m \rangle \rightarrow \langle n \rangle$ and $\beta_i : \langle m_i \rangle \rightarrow \langle 1 \rangle$ be active morphisms for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Suppose we are given a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \langle m \rangle & \xrightarrow{\gamma_i} & \langle m_i \rangle \\ \beta \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta_i \\ \langle n \rangle & \xrightarrow{\rho^i} & \langle 1 \rangle \end{array}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that γ_i is inert. Then the morphisms $\{\beta \rightarrow \beta_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ form a limit cone relative to the map $p = \text{ev}_1 : \text{Act}(N(\text{Fin}_)) \rightarrow N(\text{Fin}_*)$.*

Proof of Proposition 2.2. We begin with the first half of part (b). Let $r : \mathcal{C} \times N(\text{Fin}_*) \rightarrow N(\text{Fin}_*)$ denote the projection. If a morphism f in $\text{Env}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes$ has the property that its image in \mathcal{M}^\otimes is inert, then we know from Lemma 2.9 that f is rq -cocartesian, and the image of f in \mathcal{C} is an equivalence. Thus $q(f)$ is r -cocartesian. Hence f is q -cocartesian.

Next we proceed to (a). We must prove the following.

- (1) The functor q is a categorical fibration.

- (2) Let $(M, \alpha : \langle n_M \rangle \rightarrow \langle k \rangle)$ be an object of $\text{Env}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes$ with image $C \in \mathcal{C}$, and let $\beta : \langle k \rangle \rightarrow \langle l \rangle$ be an inert map. There is a q -cocartesian morphism $(M, \alpha) \rightarrow (M', \alpha')$ lying over (id_C, β) whose image in \mathcal{M}^\otimes is inert.
- (3) Let $E \in \text{Env}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes$ and set $(C, \langle m \rangle) = q(E)$. Then the q -cocartesian morphisms $\gamma = \{E \rightarrow E_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq k}$ lying over the morphisms $\{(\text{id}_C, \rho^i) : (C, \langle m \rangle) \rightarrow (C, \langle 1 \rangle)\}_{1 \leq i \leq k}$ form a q -limit cone.
- (4) Let $k \geq 1$, $C \in \mathcal{C}$, and $E_1, \dots, E_k \in \text{Env}(\mathcal{M})_{(X, \langle 1 \rangle)}^\otimes$. There is an object $E \in \text{Env}(\mathcal{M})_{(C, \langle k \rangle)}^\otimes$ and q -cocartesian morphisms $E \rightarrow E_i$ over ρ^i .

Note that (2) and the first half of part (b) implies the latter half of (b).

Assertion (1) is clear, because q is the composite

$$\text{Env}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \times \text{Act}(N(\text{Fin}_*)) \xrightarrow{\text{id} \times \text{ev}_1} \mathcal{C} \times N(\text{Fin}_*),$$

and the first map is a pullback of p and the second map is a categorical fibration. For assertion (2), find a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \langle n_M \rangle & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \langle k \rangle \\ \gamma \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta \\ \langle n'_M \rangle & \xrightarrow{\alpha'} & \langle l \rangle \end{array}$$

in Fin_* with γ inert and α' active. Since p is an \mathcal{C} -family of ∞ -operads, there is an inert morphism $f : M \rightarrow M'$ in \mathcal{M}^\otimes lying over (id_C, γ) . The maps f, γ, β determines a morphism $(M, \alpha) \rightarrow (M', \alpha')$ in $\text{Env}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes$ lying over (id_C, β) , which is q -cocartesian by the ‘‘if’’ part of part (b).

For assertion (3), set $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{M}^\otimes \times_{\text{Fun}(\{0\}, \mathcal{N}^\otimes)} \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{N}^\otimes)$ and consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Env}_{\mathcal{C} \times N(\text{Fin}_*)}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \mathcal{D} & \xrightarrow{\beta} & \mathcal{M}^\otimes \\ q_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ \mathcal{C} \times \text{Act}(N(\text{Fin}_*)) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C} \times \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, N(\text{Fin}_*)) & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \times \text{ev}_0} & \mathcal{C} \times N(\text{Fin}_*) \\ \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \times \text{ev}_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \times \text{ev}_1 & & \\ \mathcal{C} \times N(\text{Fin}_*) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathcal{C} \times N(\text{Fin}_*) & & \end{array}$$

whose squares in the top rows are cartesian. We must show that γ is an $(\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \times \text{ev}_1) \circ q_0$ -limit cone. Since relative limits are stable under pullbacks [Lur09, Proposition 4.3.1.5], it suffices to show that $\beta \alpha \gamma$ is a p -limit cone and that $q_0 \gamma$ is an $\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \times \text{ev}_1$ -limit cone. First we consider $\beta \alpha \gamma$. Write $E = (M, \alpha : \langle n_M \rangle \rightarrow \langle n \rangle)$ and $E_i = (M_i, \alpha_i : \langle n_{M_i} \rangle \rightarrow \langle 1 \rangle)$. The morphism $\gamma_i : E \rightarrow E_i$ can be depicted by a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \langle n_M \rangle & \xrightarrow{\alpha} \langle n \rangle \\ f_i \downarrow & \beta_i \downarrow & \downarrow \rho^i \\ M_i & \langle n_{M_i} \rangle & \xrightarrow{\alpha_i} \langle 1 \rangle \end{array}$$

Since γ_i is q -cocartesian, the morphism f_i is inert. Hence β_i is inert. Since the horizontal arrows are active, the commutativity of the diagram implies that the map $\beta_i : \langle n_M \rangle \rightarrow \langle n_{M_i} \rangle$ is the inert map which induces a bijection $\alpha^{-1}(i) \cong \langle n_{M_i} \rangle^\circ$. Combining this with the fact that p is a \mathcal{C} -family of ∞ -operads, we deduce that the morphisms $\{f_i\}_i = \{\beta \alpha \gamma_i\}_i$ form a p -limit cone. For $q_0 \gamma$, we use Lemma 2.10.

To prove (4), write $E_i = (M_i, \alpha_i : \langle n_{M_i} \rangle \rightarrow \langle 1 \rangle)$. Let $n_M = n_{M_1} + \dots + n_{M_k}$, and fix a bijection $\langle n_M \rangle \cong \bigvee_{i=1}^k \langle n_{M_i} \rangle$. Since \mathcal{M}_C^\otimes is an ∞ -operad, we can find an object $M \in \mathcal{C}_{(C, \langle n_M \rangle)}^\otimes$ and which admits a p -cocartesian morphism $f_i : M \rightarrow M_i$ lying over

the inert map $\beta_i : \langle n_M \rangle \rightarrow \langle n_{M_i} \rangle$ for each $1 \leq i \leq k$. Let $\alpha : \langle n_M \rangle \rightarrow \langle k \rangle$ denote the function which maps $\langle n_{M_i} \rangle^\circ$ to $i \in \langle k \rangle^\circ$, and set $E = (M, \alpha : \langle n_M \rangle \rightarrow \langle k \rangle)$. The triple (f_i, β_i, ρ^i) determines a morphism $E \rightarrow E_i$ which is q -cocartesian by part (b). This proves (4). \square

2.2. Universal Property of Monoidal Envelopes. In this subsection, we prove that the monoidal envelope of families of ∞ -operads has the expected universal property. The contents of this subsection will not be used elsewhere in the note.

We begin with a definition.

Definition 2.11. Let \mathcal{C} be an ∞ -category and let $p : \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \times N(\mathbf{Fin}_*)$ a \mathcal{C} -family of ∞ -operads. Let $q : \mathcal{D}^\otimes \rightarrow N(\mathbf{Fin}_*)$ be a symmetric monoidal ∞ -category. We let $\mathrm{Fun}^\otimes(\mathrm{Env}(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{D}) \subset \mathrm{Fun}_{N(\mathbf{Fin}_*)}(\mathrm{Env}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes, \mathcal{D}^\otimes)$ denote the full subcategory spanned by the functors which restrict to a symmetric monoidal functor $\mathrm{Env}(\mathcal{M}_C)^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^\otimes$ for each $C \in \mathcal{C}$.

Here is the universal property of monoidal envelopes. The result is a generalization of [Lur17, Proposition 2.2.4.9].

Proposition 2.12. *Let \mathcal{C} be an ∞ -category and $p : \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \times N(\mathbf{Fin}_*)$ a \mathcal{C} -family of ∞ -operads. Let $q : \mathcal{D}^\otimes \rightarrow N(\mathbf{Fin}_*)$ be a symmetric monoidal ∞ -category. The embedding $i : \mathcal{M}^\otimes \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Env}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes$ induces an equivalence of ∞ -categories*

$$\mathrm{Fun}^\otimes(\mathrm{Env}(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{D}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{D}).$$

Proof. Let \mathcal{E}^\otimes denote the essential image of i . In other words, \mathcal{E}^\otimes is the full subcategory of $\mathrm{Env}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes$ spanned by those objects $(M, \alpha : p(M) \rightarrow \langle n \rangle)$ such that α is an equivalence. The restriction map $\mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{D})$ is an equivalence of ∞ -categories, so it will suffice to show that the map

$$\mathrm{Fun}^\otimes(\mathrm{Env}(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{D})$$

is a trivial fibration. For this, it suffices to prove the following:

- (a) Every functor $\mathcal{E}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^\otimes$ over $N(\mathbf{Fin}_*)$ admits a q -left Kan extension $\mathrm{Env}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^\otimes$.
- (b) A functor $F \in \mathrm{Fun}_{N(\mathbf{Fin}_*)}(\mathrm{Env}(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{D})$ restricts to a symmetric monoidal functor $\mathrm{Env}(\mathcal{M}_C)^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^\otimes$ for each $C \in \mathcal{C}$ if and only if it is a q -left Kan extension of $F|_{\mathcal{E}^\otimes}$ and $F|_{\mathcal{E}^\otimes}$ is a morphism of generalized ∞ -operads.

We start from (a). For each object $(M, \alpha : p_2(M) \rightarrow \langle n \rangle)$ in $\mathrm{Env}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes$, the ∞ -category $\mathcal{E}_{/(M, \alpha)}^\otimes$ has a terminal object, given by the map $(\mathrm{id}_M, \alpha) : (M, \mathrm{id}_{p_2(M)}) \rightarrow (M, \alpha)$ (Lemma 2.6). Therefore, if $F : \mathcal{E}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^\otimes$ is an arbitrary functor over $N(\mathbf{Fin}_*)$, then the diagram $\mathcal{E}_{/(M, \alpha)}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^\otimes \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{D}^\otimes$ has a q -colimit cone if and only if there is a q -cocartesian morphism $F(M, \mathrm{id}_{p_2(M)}) \rightarrow D$ in \mathcal{D} lifting α . The existence of such a q -cocartesian morphism follows from the fact that q is a cocartesian fibration.

For (b), let $p' : \mathrm{Env}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \times N(\mathbf{Fin}_*)$ denote the projection. By the discussion in the previous paragraph, it will suffice to show that F preserves p' -cocartesian morphisms lying over degenerate edges of \mathcal{X} if and only if for each object $(M, \alpha : p_2(M) \rightarrow \langle n \rangle) \in \mathrm{Env}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes$, the map $F(M, \mathrm{id}_{p_2(M)}) \rightarrow F(M, \alpha)$ is a q -cocartesian morphism. Necessity is clear, since the morphism $(M, \mathrm{id}_{p_2(M)}) \rightarrow (M, \alpha)$ is p' -cocartesian by Proposition 2.2. For sufficiency, suppose that every morphism of the form $(M, \mathrm{id}_{p_2(M)}) \rightarrow (M, \alpha)$ is mapped to a q -cocartesian morphism. Let $(f, g) : (M, \alpha : p_2(M) \rightarrow \langle n \rangle) \rightarrow (M', \alpha' : p_2(M') \rightarrow \langle n' \rangle)$ be a p' -cocartesian morphism in $\mathrm{Env}(\mathcal{M}^\otimes)$ lying over a degenerate edge of \mathcal{C} . We wish to show that

$F(f, g)$ is q -cocartesian. By hypothesis, the map $F(M, \text{id}_{p_2(M)}) \rightarrow F(M, \alpha)$ is q -cocartesian. So it suffice to show that the composite $F(M, \text{id}_{p_2(M)}) \rightarrow F(M, \alpha) \rightarrow F(M', \alpha')$ is q -cocartesian. We may therefore reduce to the case $\alpha = \text{id}_{p_2(M)}$. Factor the map $g : p_2(M) \rightarrow \langle n' \rangle$ as

$$p_2(M) \xrightarrow{g'} \langle n'' \rangle \xrightarrow{g''} \langle n' \rangle,$$

where g' is inert and g'' is active. Lift $(\text{id}_{p_1(M)}, g')$ to a p -cocartesian morphism $f' : M \rightarrow M''$ in \mathcal{M}^\otimes . The morphism $(f', g') : (M, \text{id}_{p_2(M)}) \rightarrow (M'', \text{id}_{\langle n'' \rangle})$ is p' -cocartesian, so we can find a triangle in $\text{Env}(\mathcal{M}_{p_1(M)}^\otimes)$ which we depict as

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & p_2(M'') & & \\
 & p(f') \nearrow & \parallel & \searrow p(f'') & \\
 p_2(M) & \xrightarrow{p(f)} & p_2(M') & & \\
 \parallel & & \parallel & & \downarrow \alpha' \\
 p_2(M) & \xrightarrow{g'} & \langle n'' \rangle & \xrightarrow{g''} & \langle n' \rangle \\
 & \searrow p(g) & & & \\
 & & p_2(M) & \xrightarrow{p(g)} & \langle n' \rangle
 \end{array}$$

The morphism f'' is both active and inert, so it is an equivalence. Thus, by hypothesis, the map $F(f'', g'')$ is a q -cocartesian. The morphism (f', g') is also q -cocartesian, since it is an inert morphism of \mathcal{E}^\otimes . Hence $F(f, g)$ is q -cocartesian, as required. \square

3. THE FIBERWISE DIRECT SUM FUNCTOR

Let \mathcal{O}^\otimes be an ∞ -operad. Given objects $X_1, \dots, X_n \in \mathcal{O}$, we can find an object $X \in \mathcal{O}_{\langle n \rangle}^\otimes$ which admits a p -cocartesian morphism $X \rightarrow X_i$ over $\rho^i : \langle n \rangle \rightarrow \langle 1 \rangle$ for each i . Such an object is denoted by $X_1 \oplus \dots \oplus X_n$ [Lur17, Remark 2.1.1.15]. By inspection, the object $X_1 \oplus \dots \oplus X_n$ is the equivalent to the image of the object $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in (\mathcal{O}_{\text{act}}^\otimes)^n$ under the tensor product of $\text{Env}(\mathcal{O}) = \mathcal{O}_{\text{act}}^\otimes$. So the operation \oplus can be made functorial using monoidal envelopes. In fact, we can do it fiberwise:

Definition 3.1. Let \mathcal{C} be an ∞ -category, let $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \times N(\text{Fin}_*)$ be a \mathcal{C} -family of ∞ -operads, and let $n \geq 1$ be a positive integer. We define a functor

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^n : (\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^\otimes)^n \times_{\mathcal{C}^n} \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^\otimes \times_{\mathcal{C}} \dots \times_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^\otimes$$

over \mathcal{C} , well-defined up to natural equivalence over \mathcal{C} , as follows: According to Proposition 1.1, there is an equivalence of ∞ -categories

$$\text{Env}(\mathcal{M})_{\langle n \rangle}^\otimes \xrightarrow{\simeq} (\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^\otimes)^n \times_{\mathcal{C}^n} \mathcal{C}$$

over \mathcal{C} , obtained from the functors $\rho^i : \text{Env}(\mathcal{M})_{\langle n \rangle}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Env}(\mathcal{M})_{\langle 1 \rangle}^\otimes = \mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^\otimes$. The functors $\text{Env}(\mathcal{M})_{\langle n \rangle}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ and $(\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^\otimes)^n \times_{\mathcal{C}^n} \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ are categorical fibrations, so the above equivalence admits an inverse equivalence

$$(\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^\otimes)^n \times_{\mathcal{C}^n} \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{Env}(\mathcal{M})_{\langle n \rangle}^\otimes$$

over \mathcal{C} . The functor $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n$ is the composite

$$(\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^\otimes)^n \times_{\mathcal{C}^n} \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{Env}(\mathcal{M})_{\langle n \rangle}^\otimes \xrightarrow{\text{forget}} \mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^\otimes.$$

In this section, we will prove two important properties of the direct sum functor: Its universal property and the interaction with slices.

3.1. Universal Property of the Direct Sum Functor. In this subsection, we will characterize the direct sum functor by a certain universal property (Corollary 3.5).

Proposition 3.2. *Let \mathcal{M} be a model category. Suppose we are given a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow[f \simeq]{} & E' \\ p \downarrow & & \downarrow p' \\ B & \xrightarrow[q \twoheadrightarrow]{} & B' \end{array}$$

in \mathcal{M} . Assume the following:

- (1) The maps p, p', q are fibrations.
- (2) The maps f and q are weak equivalences.
- (3) The object E' is cofibrant.
- (4) The map q has a section $s : B' \rightarrow B$.

Then there is a map $g : E' \rightarrow E$ rendering the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E' & \xrightarrow{g} & E \\ \downarrow p' & & \downarrow p \\ B' & \xrightarrow{s} & B \end{array}$$

commutative, such that the composite $fg : E' \rightarrow E'$ is homotopic to the identity in $\mathcal{M}_{/B'}$.

Proof. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} E & \xrightarrow{f} & E' & \dashrightarrow & E \\ p \downarrow & & p' \downarrow & & p \downarrow \\ B & \xrightarrow{q} & B' & \xrightarrow{s} & B \end{array}$$

in $\mathcal{M}_{/B'}$. Since p is a fibration between fibrant objects and E' is cofibrant, [Lur09, Proposition A.2.3.1] shows that there is a map $g : E' \rightarrow E$ rendering the diagram commutative, such that $[g][f] = [\text{id}_E]$ in $\text{ho}(\mathcal{M}_{/B'})$. Since $[f]$ is an isomorphism in $\text{ho}(\mathcal{M}_{/B'})$, the uniqueness of inverses implies that $[f][g] = [\text{id}_{E'}]$ in $\text{ho}(\mathcal{M}_{/B'})$. Since E' is a fibrant-cofibrant object of $\mathcal{M}_{/B'}$, we deduce that fg is homotopic to the identity in $\mathcal{M}_{/B'}$. \square

Definition 3.3. Let $n \geq 1$. Given integers $m_1, \dots, m_n \geq 0$, we shall identify the pointed set $\bigvee_{i=1}^n \langle m_i \rangle$ with the set $\langle m_1 + \dots + m_n \rangle$ via the map

$$\bigvee_{i=1}^n \langle m_i \rangle \rightarrow \langle m_1 + \dots + m_n \rangle$$

which maps $k \in \bigvee_{i=1}^n \langle m_i \rangle$ to $\sum_{j < i} m_j + k$. The maps $\bigvee_{i=1}^n \langle m_i \rangle \rightarrow \langle m_i \rangle$ define an inert natural transformation

$$h_i : N(\text{Fin}_*)_{\text{act}}^n \times \Delta^1 \rightarrow N(\text{Fin}_*).$$

Proposition 3.4. *Let $n \geq 1$, let \mathcal{C} be an ∞ -category, and let $p : \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \times N(\text{Fin}_*)$ be a \mathcal{C} -family of ∞ -operads. We can construct the functor $\bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq n} : (\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^{\otimes})^n \times \mathfrak{e}^n$*

$\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^\otimes$ so that for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, there is an inert natural transformation $\bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq n} \rightarrow \text{pr}_i$ rendering the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} ((\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^\otimes)^n \times_{\mathcal{C}^n} \mathcal{C}) \times \Delta^1 & \xrightarrow{\tilde{h}_i} & \mathcal{M}^\otimes \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ \mathcal{C} \times N(\mathbf{Fin}_*)_{\text{act}}^n \times \Delta^1 & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \times h_i} & \mathcal{C} \times N(\mathbf{Fin}_*) \end{array}$$

commutative.

Proof. We begin with the construction of the functor $\bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq n}$. For each $1 \leq i \leq n$, there is an inert natural transformation $g_i : \text{Env}(N(\mathbf{Fin}_*))_{\langle n \rangle}^\otimes \times \Delta^1 \rightarrow \text{Env}(N(\mathbf{Fin}_*))^\otimes$ from the inclusion to the functor

$$(\alpha : \langle k \rangle \rightarrow \langle n \rangle) \rightarrow (\alpha^{-1}(i)_* \rightarrow \langle 1 \rangle),$$

where $\alpha^{-1}(i)_*$ denotes the unique object $\langle m \rangle \in \mathbf{Fin}_*$ which admits an order-preserving bijection $\alpha^{-1}(i) \cong \langle m \rangle^\circ$. This natural transformation covers $\rho^i : \langle n \rangle \rightarrow \langle 1 \rangle$. Thus we may construct the functor $\rho_i^i : \text{Env}(N(\mathbf{Fin}_*))_{\langle n \rangle}^\otimes \rightarrow N(\mathbf{Fin}_*)_{\text{act}}$ by $\rho^i(\alpha : \langle k \rangle \rightarrow \langle n \rangle) = \alpha^{-1}(i)_*$. Since the functor p is a categorical fibration, it induces a fibration $\text{Env}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \times \text{Env}(N(\mathbf{Fin}_*))^\otimes$ of generalized ∞ -operads. Therefore, we can find an inert natural transformation $\text{Env}(\mathcal{M})_{\langle n \rangle}^\otimes \times \Delta^1 \rightarrow \text{Env}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes$ rendering the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Env}(\mathcal{M})_{\langle n \rangle}^\otimes \times \{0\} & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & \text{Env}(\mathcal{M})^\otimes \\ \downarrow & \dashrightarrow & \downarrow \\ \text{Env}(\mathcal{M})_{\langle n \rangle}^\otimes \times \Delta^1 & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & \mathcal{C} \times \text{Env}(N(\mathbf{Fin}_*))_{\langle n \rangle}^\otimes \times \Delta^1 \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \times g_i} \mathcal{C} \times \text{Env}(N(\mathbf{Fin}_*))^\otimes \end{array}$$

commutative. We use this inert natural transformation to define the functor $\rho_i^i : \text{Env}(\mathcal{M})_{\langle n \rangle}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^\otimes$. This will ensure that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^\otimes)^n \times_{\mathcal{C}^n} \mathcal{C} & \xleftarrow{(\rho_i^i)_{i=1}^n} & \text{Env}(\mathcal{M})_{\langle n \rangle}^\otimes \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{C} \times (N(\mathbf{Fin}_*)_{\text{act}})^n & \xleftarrow{\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \times (\rho_i^i)_{i=1}^n} & \mathcal{C} \times \text{Env}(N(\mathbf{Fin}_*))_{\langle n \rangle}^\otimes \end{array}$$

is commutative. Now the functor $(\rho_i^i)_{i=1}^n : \text{Env}(N(\mathbf{Fin}_*))_{\langle n \rangle}^\otimes \rightarrow ((N(\mathbf{Fin}_*))_{\text{act}})^n$ is a trivial fibration, and it has a section $\phi : (N(\mathbf{Fin}_*)_{\text{act}})^n \rightarrow \text{Env}(N(\mathbf{Fin}_*))_{\langle n \rangle}^\otimes$ given by

$$\langle \langle k_i \rangle \rangle_{i=1}^n \mapsto \left(\bigvee_{i=1}^n \langle k_i \rangle \rightarrow \bigvee_{i=1}^n \langle 1 \rangle = \langle n \rangle \right).$$

Applying Proposition 3.2 to the commutative diagram (??) and the section $\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \times \phi$, we can find a functor $\Phi : (\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^\otimes)^n \rightarrow \text{Env}(\mathcal{M})_{\langle n \rangle}^\otimes$ with the following properties:

- The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^\otimes)^n \times_{\mathcal{C}^n} \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \text{Env}(\mathcal{M})_{\langle n \rangle}^\otimes \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{C} \times (N(\mathbf{Fin}_*)_{\text{act}})^n & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \times \phi} & \mathcal{C} \times \text{Env}(N(\mathbf{Fin}_*))_{\langle n \rangle}^\otimes \end{array}$$

is commutative.

- The composite $(\rho_i^i)_{1 \leq i \leq n} \circ \Phi$ is naturally equivalent over $\mathcal{C} \times (N(\text{Fin}_*)_{\text{act}})^n$ to the identity functor.

We will construct the functor $\bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ as the composite

$$(\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^{\otimes})^n \times_{\mathcal{C}^n} \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\Phi} \text{Env}(\mathcal{M})_{\langle n \rangle}^{\otimes} \xrightarrow{\text{forget}} \mathcal{M}^{\otimes}.$$

Next, we show that, with our construction of the functor $\bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq n}$, there is an inert natural transformation $\tilde{h}_i : ((\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^{\otimes})^n \times_{\mathcal{C}^n} \mathcal{C}) \times \Delta^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\otimes}$ rendering the diagram in the statement commutative. Since pr_i is naturally equivalent over $\mathcal{C} \times N(\text{Fin}_*)$ to the composite $\rho_i^i \Phi$, it suffices (by [Lur09, Proposition A.2.3.1]) to find an inert natural transformation rendering the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} ((\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^{\otimes})^n \times_{\mathcal{C}^n} \mathcal{C}) \times \partial \Delta^1 & \xrightarrow{(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \rho_i^i \Phi)} & \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \\ \downarrow & \dashrightarrow & \downarrow p \\ ((\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^{\otimes})^n \times_{\mathcal{C}^n} \mathcal{C}) \times \Delta^1 & \longrightarrow \mathcal{C} \times (N(\text{Fin}_*)_{\text{act}})^n \times \Delta^1 \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \times h_i} & \mathcal{C} \times N(\text{Fin}_*) \end{array}$$

commutative. The outer rectangle is equal to that of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} ((\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^{\otimes})^n \times_{\mathcal{C}^n} \mathcal{C}) \times \partial \Delta^1 \xrightarrow{\Phi \times \text{id}} \mathcal{C} \times \text{Env}(\mathcal{M})_{\langle n \rangle}^{\otimes} \times \partial \Delta^1 & \xrightarrow{(\text{forget}, \rho_i^i)} & \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ ((\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^{\otimes})^n \times_{\mathcal{C}^n} \mathcal{C}) \times \Delta^1 \xrightarrow{\Phi \times \text{id}} \mathcal{C} \times \text{Env}(\mathcal{M})_{\langle n \rangle}^{\otimes} \times \Delta^1 & \longrightarrow \mathcal{C} \times \text{Env}(N(\text{Fin}_*)_{\langle n \rangle})^{\otimes} \times \Delta^1 \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \times g_i} & \mathcal{C} \times N(\text{Fin}_*) \end{array}$$

so the existence of the desired filler follows from the definition of ρ_i^i . \square

Corollary 3.5 (Universal Property of the Direct Sum Functor). *Let \mathcal{C} be an ∞ -category and let $p : \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \times N(\text{Fin}_*)$ be a \mathcal{C} -family of ∞ -operads. Let $q : K \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be an object of $\mathbf{sSet}/\mathcal{C}$, let $n \geq 1$, and let $f, g_1, \dots, g_n : K \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^{\otimes}$ be diagrams. Assume the following:*

- (1) For each $1 \leq i \leq n$, there is an inert natural transformation $\alpha_i : f \rightarrow g_i$ over \mathcal{C} .
- (2) For each vertex v in K , the maps α_i give rise to a p -limit cone $\{f(v) \rightarrow g_i(v)\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$.

Then f is naturally equivalent over \mathcal{C} to the composite

$$G : K \xrightarrow{(g_i)_{i=1}^n} (\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^{\otimes})^n \times_{\mathcal{C}^n} \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq n}} \mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^{\otimes}.$$

Proof. For each vertex v in K , write $p_2(g_i(v)) = \langle m_i(v) \rangle$ and $p_2(f(v)) = \langle m(v) \rangle$. Let $\eta : K \times \Delta^1 \rightarrow N(\text{Fin}_*)$ denote the natural equivalence which satisfies the following conditions:

- The restriction $\eta|_{K \times \{0\}}$ is given by $K \xrightarrow{(p_2 g_i)_i} N(\text{Fin}_*)_{\text{act}}^n \xrightarrow{\bigvee_{i=1}^n} N(\text{Fin}_*)$.
- The restriction $\eta|_{K \times \{1\}}$ is given by $p_2 f$.
- For each vertex $v \in K$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$, the composite

$$\langle m(v) \rangle \xrightarrow{\eta} \langle m(v) \rangle \xrightarrow{p_2 \alpha_i} \langle m_i(v) \rangle$$

is defined as follows: Given $k \in \langle m(v) \rangle^{\circ}$, the integer $\sum_{j < i} m_j(v) + k \in \langle m(v) \rangle$ is mapped to k . The remaining elements are mapped to the base point of $\langle m_i(v) \rangle$.

Using the fact that p is a categorical equivalence, we can find a functor $f' : K \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\otimes}$ and a natural equivalence $f' \xrightarrow{\cong} f$ which lifts the natural equivalence $(q \circ \text{pr}_1, \eta) :$

$K \times \Delta^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \times N(\mathbf{Fin}_*)$. Replacing f by f' if necessary, we may assume that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K \times \Delta^1 & \xrightarrow{\alpha_i} & \mathcal{M}^\otimes \\ \downarrow (q, (p_2 g_j)_j) \times \text{id} & & \downarrow p \\ (\mathcal{C} \times (N(\mathbf{Fin}_*)_{\text{act}})^n) \times \Delta^1 & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \times h_i} & \mathcal{C} \times N(\mathbf{Fin}_*) \end{array}$$

commutes for each $1 \leq i \leq n$. Since relative limits in functor categories can be formed objectwise [Lur23, Tag 02XK], the morphisms $\alpha_i : f \rightarrow g_i$ in $\text{Fun}(K, \mathcal{M}^\otimes)$ form a $\text{Fun}(K, p)$ -limit cone. Moreover, since relative limits are stable under pullbacks [Lur09, Proposition 4.3.1.5], we deduce that the morphisms $\{\alpha_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ of $\text{Func}(K, \mathcal{M}^\otimes)$ form a $\text{Func}(K, p)$ -limit cone.

Now using Proposition 3.4, we can construct the functor $\bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq n} : (\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^\otimes)^n \times_{\mathcal{C}^n} \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^\otimes$ so that there is an inert natural transformation $\tilde{h}_i : \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq n} \rightarrow \text{pr}_i$ which lifts the composite $(\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^\otimes)^n \times_{\mathcal{C}^n} \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \times N(\mathbf{Fin}_*)_{\text{act}}^n \times \Delta^1 \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \times h_i} \mathcal{C} \times N(\mathbf{Fin}_*)$. Again, the induced natural transformations $\{G \rightarrow g_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ form a $\text{Func}(K, p)$ -limit cone covering the same cone as $\{\alpha_i\}$. Thus we obtain the desired equivalence $G \xrightarrow{\sim} f$ in $\text{Func}(K, \mathcal{M}^\otimes)$. \square

The above corollary admits the following further corollary, which we shall use in the next section.

Corollary 3.6. *Let $q : \mathcal{C}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^\otimes$ be a fibration of ∞ -operads. Let K_1, \dots, K_n be simplicial sets, and let $K = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} K_i$. Let $\bar{f} : K^\triangleright \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\otimes$ and $\{\bar{f}_i : K_i^\triangleright \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\otimes\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ be active diagrams, and suppose there are inert natural transformations $\{\bar{f} \rightarrow \bar{f}_i \circ (\text{pr}_i)^\triangleright\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ such that for each vertex v in K^\triangleright , the induced map $\{\bar{f}(v) \rightarrow \bar{f}_i \circ (\text{pr}_i)^\triangleright(v)\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ form a q -limit cone. If each \bar{f}_i is an operadic q -colimit diagram, so is \bar{f} .*

Proof. According to Corollary 3.5, the diagram \bar{f} is naturally equivalent to the composite

$$K^\triangleright \rightarrow \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} (K_i^\triangleright) \xrightarrow{\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \bar{f}_i} (\mathcal{C}_{\text{act}}^\otimes)^n \xrightarrow{\bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq n}} \mathcal{C}^\otimes.$$

The claim is thus a consequence of [Lur17, Proposition 3.1.1.8]. \square

3.2. Direct Sum and Slice. Let \mathcal{O}^\otimes be an ∞ -operad and let $Y \in \mathcal{O}^\otimes$ be an object. If Y lies over an object $\langle n \rangle \in N(\mathbf{Fin}_*)$ with $n \geq 2$, then we can find objects $Y_i \in \mathcal{O}$ and an equivalence $Y \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Y_i$. Given objects $X_1, \dots, X_n \in \mathcal{O}^\otimes$ and active maps $f_i : X_i \rightarrow Y_i$, their direct sum $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n f_i : \bigoplus_{i=1}^n X_i \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Y_i \simeq Y$ is an active morphism with codomain Y . Conversely, given an active morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathcal{O}^\otimes , we can write $f \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^n f_i$, where f_i is the active map obtained by factoring the composite $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Y_i$ into an inert map followed by an active map. The following proposition, which is the only result of this subsection, asserts that this “direct sum decomposition” of morphisms is an equivalence on the level of ∞ -categories:

Proposition 3.7. *Let \mathcal{C} be an ∞ -category, let $C \in \mathcal{C}$ be an object, and let $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \times N(\mathbf{Fin}_*)$ be a \mathcal{C} -family of ∞ -operads. For any integer $n \geq 1$ and any objects $M_1, \dots, M_n \in \mathcal{M} \times_{\mathcal{C}} \{C\}$, the direct sum functor induces an equivalence of ∞ -categories*

$$((\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^\otimes)^n \times_{\mathcal{C}^n} \mathcal{C})_{/(M_1, \dots, M_n)} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^\otimes)_{/\bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_i}.$$

Proof. Recall that the direct sum functor $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n$ is obtained as the composite

$$(\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^{\otimes})^n \times_{\mathcal{C}^n} \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow[\Phi]{\simeq} \text{Env}(\mathcal{M})_{\langle n \rangle}^{\otimes} \xrightarrow{\text{forget}} \mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^{\otimes},$$

where the map Φ is the inverse equivalence over \mathcal{C} of the functor $(\rho_i^i)_{1 \leq i \leq n} : \text{Env}(\mathcal{M})_{\langle n \rangle}^{\otimes} \xrightarrow{\simeq} (\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^{\otimes})^n \times_{\mathcal{C}^n} \mathcal{C}$. The functor Φ maps the object (M_1, \dots, M_n) to the object $(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_i, \alpha : \langle n \rangle \rightarrow \langle n \rangle)$, where α is a bijection. It will therefore suffice to prove that the functor

$$\theta : \left(\text{Env}(\mathcal{M})_{\langle n \rangle}^{\otimes} \right)_{/(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_i, \alpha)} \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^{\otimes})_{/\bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_i}$$

is an equivalence of ∞ -categories. Set $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_i$. By definition, we have

$$\left(\text{Env}(\mathcal{M})_{\langle n \rangle}^{\otimes} \right)_{/(M, \alpha)} = \left(\text{Act}(N(\text{Fin}_*))_{\langle n \rangle}^{\otimes} \right)_{/\alpha} \times_{N(\text{Fin}_*)_{/\langle n \rangle}} \mathcal{M}_{/M}^{\otimes}.$$

Since the evaluation at $0 \in \Delta^1$ induces an isomorphism of simplicial sets

$$\left(\text{Act}(N(\text{Fin}_*))_{\langle n \rangle}^{\otimes} \right)_{/\alpha} \xrightarrow{\cong} (N(\text{Fin}_*)_{\text{act}})_{/\langle n \rangle},$$

we deduce that the functor θ is an *isomorphism* of simplicial sets. \square

4. THE FUNDAMENTAL THEOREM OF OPERADIC KAN EXTENSIONS

In this final section, we will provide complete details of the proof of [Lur17, Theorem 3.1.2.3], using tools and results in the preceding sections.

4.1. Recollection. In this subsection, we recall the definition of operadic Kan extensions and the statement of the fundamental theorem of operadic Kan extensions.

Definition 4.1. [Lur17, Definition 3.1.2.2] Let $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow N(\text{Fin}_*) \times \Delta^1$ be a Δ^1 -family of ∞ -operads and let $q : \mathcal{C}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\otimes}$ be a fibration of ∞ -operads. Set $\mathcal{A}^{\otimes} = \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \times_{\Delta^1} \{0\}$, $\mathcal{B}^{\otimes} = \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \times_{\Delta^1} \{1\}$. A map $F : \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\otimes}$ is called an **operadic q -left Kan extension of $F|_{\mathcal{A}^{\otimes}}$** if the following condition is satisfied for every object $B \in \mathcal{B}$:

(*) The composite map

$$\left((\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^{\otimes})_{/B} \times_{\Delta^1} \{0\} \right)^{\triangleright} \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}_{/B}^{\otimes})^{\triangleright} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{C}^{\otimes}$$

is an operadic q -colimit diagram.

Lurie imposes condition (*) to hold for every object $B \in \mathcal{B}^{\otimes}$ in [Lur17, Definition 3.1.2.2]. This is not a problem, because of the following proposition, which seems to be implicitly used in [Lur17].

Proposition 4.2. *Let $p : \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow N(\text{Fin}_*) \times \Delta^1$ be a correspondence from an ∞ -operad \mathcal{A}^{\otimes} to another ∞ -operad \mathcal{B}^{\otimes} . Let $q : \mathcal{C}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\otimes}$ be a fibration of ∞ -operads and let $F : \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\otimes}$ be a map of generalized ∞ -operads. Suppose that F is an operadic q -left Kan extension of $F|_{\mathcal{A}^{\otimes}}$. Then for every object $B \in \mathcal{B}^{\otimes}$, the map*

$$\theta : \left((\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^{\otimes})_{/B} \times_{\Delta^1} \{0\} \right)^{\triangleright} \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}_{/B}^{\otimes})^{\triangleright} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{C}^{\otimes}$$

is an operadic q -colimit diagram.

Proof. Let $\langle n \rangle$ be the image of B in $N(\text{Fin}_*)$. We consider two cases, depending on whether or not n is equal to 0.

Suppose first that $n = 0$. Then we have $(\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^{\otimes})_{/B} = (\mathcal{M}_{\langle 0 \rangle}^{\otimes})_{/B}$, since there is no active map $\langle k \rangle \rightarrow \langle 0 \rangle$ with $k \geq 1$. Since every object of $\mathcal{M}_{\langle 0 \rangle}^{\otimes}$ is p -terminal, the functor $\mathcal{M}_{\langle 0 \rangle}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \Delta^1$ is a trivial fibration. It follows that the functor $(\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^{\otimes})_{/B} \times_{\Delta^1}$

$\{0\} \rightarrow \Delta^1_{/1} \times_{\Delta^1} \{0\} \cong \Delta^0$ is also a trivial fibration. It will therefore suffice to show that F carries a morphism of the form $A \rightarrow B$ in \mathcal{M}^\otimes with $A \in \mathcal{M}^\otimes_{(0)} \times_{\Delta^1} \{0\}$ to an equivalence in \mathcal{C}^\otimes . This is clear, since $\mathcal{C}^\otimes_{(0)}$ is a contractible Kan complex.

If $n \geq 1$, choose for each $1 \leq i \leq n$ an inert map $B \rightarrow B_i$ in \mathcal{B}^\otimes over $\rho^i : \langle n \rangle \rightarrow \langle 1 \rangle$, and choose also a direct sum functor $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n$ for \mathcal{M}^\otimes and \mathcal{C}^\otimes . Replacing $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n$ by a functor naturally equivalent one, we may assume that $B = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n B_i$. According to Proposition 3.7, the direct sum functor induces an equivalence of ∞ -categories

$$\phi : ((\mathcal{M}^\otimes_{\text{act}})^n \times_{(\Delta^1)^n} \Delta^1)_{/(B_1, \dots, B_n)} \xrightarrow{\cong} (\mathcal{M}^\otimes_{\text{act}})_{/B}.$$

There is also an isomorphism of simplicial sets

$$\psi : \prod_{i=1}^n (\mathcal{M}^\otimes_{\text{act}})_{/B_i} \times_{\Delta^1} \{0\} \cong ((\mathcal{M}^\otimes_{\text{act}})^n \times_{(\Delta^1)^n} \Delta^1)_{/(B_1, \dots, B_n)} \times_{\Delta^1} \{0\}.$$

It will therefore suffice to show that the composite $\theta \circ \phi^\triangleright \circ \psi^\triangleright$ is an operadic q -colimit diagram. For this, we consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (\prod_{i=1}^n (\mathcal{M}^\otimes_{\text{act}})_{/B_i} \times_{\Delta^1} \{0\})^\triangleright & \xrightarrow{\cong} & ((\mathcal{M}^\otimes_{\text{act}})^n \times_{(\Delta^1)^n} \Delta^1)_{/(B_1, \dots, B_n)} \times_{\Delta^1} \{0\}^\triangleright & \xrightarrow{\cong} & (\mathcal{M}^\otimes_{\text{act}})_{/B} \times_{\Delta^1} \{0\}^\triangleright \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \prod_{i=1}^n ((\mathcal{M}^\otimes_{\text{act}})_{/B_i} \times_{\Delta^1} \{0\})^\triangleright & & (\mathcal{M}^\otimes_{\text{act}})^n \times_{(\Delta^1)^n} \Delta^1 & \xrightarrow{\bigoplus_{i=1}^n} & \mathcal{M}^\otimes_{\text{act}} \\ \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow G & & \downarrow F \\ (\mathcal{M}^\otimes_{\text{act}})^n & \xrightarrow{\prod_{i=1}^n F} & (\mathcal{C}^\otimes_{\text{act}})^n & \xrightarrow{\bigoplus_{i=1}^n} & \mathcal{C}^\otimes_{\text{act}} \end{array}$$

Here the map G is the restriction of the map $\prod_{i=1}^n F$. The left column and the top right square are commutative. The bottom right square commutes up to natural equivalence by Proposition 3.4 and Corollary 3.5. Hence the diagram $\theta \circ \phi^\triangleright \circ \psi^\triangleright$ is naturally equivalent to the composite

$$\theta' : \left(\prod_{i=1}^n (\mathcal{M}^\otimes_{\text{act}})_{/B_i} \times_{\Delta^1} \{0\} \right)^\triangleright \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^n \left((\mathcal{M}^\otimes_{\text{act}})_{/B_i} \times_{\Delta^1} \{0\} \right)^\triangleright \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}^\otimes_{\text{act}})^n \xrightarrow{\bigoplus_{i=1}^n} \mathcal{C}^\otimes_{\text{act}}.$$

The diagram θ' is an operadic q -colimit diagram by [Lur17, Proposition 3.1.1.8]. \square

We can now state the fundamental theorem of operadic Kan extensions.

Theorem 4.3. [Lur17, Theorem 3.1.2.3] *Let $p : \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow N(\text{Fin}_*) \times \Delta^n$ be a Δ^n -family of generalized ∞ -operads, and let $q : \mathcal{C}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^\otimes$ be a fibration of ∞ -operads. Consider a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}^\otimes \times_{\Delta^n} \Lambda_0^n & \xrightarrow{f_0} & \mathcal{C}^\otimes \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow q \\ \mathcal{M}^\otimes & \xrightarrow{g} & \mathcal{O}^\otimes \end{array}$$

of simplicial sets. Suppose that for each vertex i in Λ_0^n and each vertex j in Δ^n , the induced maps $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \times_{\Delta^n} \{v\} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\otimes$ and $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \times_{\Delta^n} \{j\} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^\otimes$ are morphisms of ∞ -operads.

(A) If $n = 1$, the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) There is a dashed filler which is an operadic q -left Kan extension of f_0 .
- (b) For each object $B \in \mathcal{M}^\otimes \times_{\Delta^1} \{1\}$, the diagram

$$\{0\} \times_{\Delta^1} \left((\mathcal{M}^\otimes_{\text{act}})_{/B} \right) \rightarrow \{0\} \times_{\Delta^1} \mathcal{M}^\otimes \xrightarrow{f_0} \mathcal{C}^\otimes$$

admits an operadic q -colimit cone which lifts the map

$$\left(\{0\} \times_{\Delta^1} \left(\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^{\otimes} /_B\right)\right)^{\triangleright} \rightarrow \left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} /_B\right)^{\triangleright} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \xrightarrow{g} \mathcal{O}^{\otimes}.$$

(B) If $n > 1$ and the restriction $f_0|_{\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \times_{\Delta^n} \Delta^{\{0,1\}}}$ is an operadic q -left Kan extension of $f_0|_{\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \times_{\Delta^n} \{0\}}$, then there is a dashed arrow rendering the diagram commutative.

The rest of this note is devoted to elaborating Lurie's proof of the above theorem.

4.2. Preliminary Results. In this subsection, we collect some results which will be used in the proof of Theorem 4.2. We recommend that the reader skip to the next subsection and refer to this subsection as needed.

Proposition 4.4. *Let $p : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be an inner fibration of ∞ -categories, K a simplicial set, and $\bar{f} : K^{\triangleright} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ a diagram. Set $f = \bar{f}|_K$ and let q denote the functor $\mathcal{C}_{f/} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{pf/}$. If \bar{f} maps the cone point to a p -terminal object, then \bar{f} is q -terminal.*

Proof. We must show that the map

$$(\mathcal{C}_{f/})_{/\bar{f}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{f/} \times_{\mathcal{D}_{pf/}} (\mathcal{D}_{pf/})_{/q\bar{f}}$$

is a trivial fibration. Let $C = \bar{f}(\infty)$. Given a monomorphism $A \rightarrow B$ of simplicial sets, a lifting problem on the left hand side corresponds under adjunction to a lifting problem on the right hand side:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{C}_{f/})_{/\bar{f}} \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \text{dashed} & \downarrow \\ B & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{f/} \times_{\mathcal{D}_{pf/}} (\mathcal{D}_{pf/})_{/q\bar{f}} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} K \star A & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}/C \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \text{dashed} & \downarrow \\ K \star B & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C} \times_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{D}/pC. \end{array}$$

The right hand lifting problem is solvable because C is p -terminal. \square

Corollary 4.5. *Let $q : \mathcal{C}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\otimes}$ be a fibration of ∞ -operads, let K be a simplicial set, and let $n \geq 0$. Consider a lifting problem*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K \star \partial\Delta^n & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{C}^{\otimes} \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \text{dashed} & \downarrow q \\ K \star \Delta^n & \xrightarrow{g} & \mathcal{O}^{\otimes}. \end{array}$$

If the map g maps the terminal vertex of Δ^n to an object in $\mathcal{O}_{(0)}^{\otimes}$, then the lifting problem admits a solution.

Proof. If $n = 0$, find an object $C \in \mathcal{C}_{(0)}^{\otimes}$ which lies over $g(0)$. Such an object exists because the functor $\mathcal{C}_{(0)}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{(0)}^{\otimes}$ is a trivial fibration. The object C is q -terminal, so the functor

$$\mathcal{C}_{/C}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{/q(C)}^{\otimes} \times_{\mathcal{O}^{\otimes}} \mathcal{C}^{\otimes}$$

is a trivial fibration. This implies the existence of the filler.

If $n > 1$, then set $h = f|_K$. We must solve a lifting problem

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \partial\Delta^n & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{h/}^{\otimes} \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \text{dashed} & \downarrow q' \\ \Delta^n & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{qh/}^{\otimes}. \end{array}$$

For this, it will suffice to show that the image of the vertex $n \in \partial\Delta^n$ under the top horizontal arrow is q' -terminal. This follows from Proposition 4.4. \square

Lemma 4.6. [Lur17, Lemma 3.1.2.5] *Let \mathcal{C} be an ∞ -category and $\mathcal{C}^0 \subset \mathcal{C}$ a full subcategory. Let $\sigma : \Delta^n \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a nondegenerate simplex such that $\sigma(i) \notin \mathcal{C}^0$ for each $0 \leq i \leq n$. Consider the following simplicial sets:*

- (1) *The simplicial subset $K \subset \mathcal{C}$ consisting of those simplices $\tau : \Delta^k \star \Delta^l \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, where $k, l \geq -1$, $\tau|_{\Delta^k}$ factors through \mathcal{C}^0 and $\tau|_{\Delta^l}$ factors through σ .*
- (2) *The simplicial subset $K_0 \subset \mathcal{C}$ consisting of those simplices $\tau : \Delta^k \star \Delta^l \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, where $k, l \geq -1$, $\tau|_{\Delta^k}$ factors through \mathcal{C}^0 and $\tau|_{\Delta^l}$ factors through $\sigma|_{\partial\Delta^n}$.*
- (3) *The simplicial subset $L \subset \mathcal{C}$ generated by the simplices $\tilde{\sigma} : \Delta^k \star \Delta^n \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, where $k \geq -1$, $\tilde{\sigma}|_{\Delta^k}$ factors through \mathcal{C}^0 , and $\tilde{\sigma}|_{\Delta^n} = \sigma$.*

Then:

- (a) *The map $\mathcal{C}_{/\sigma}^0 \star \Delta^n \amalg_{\mathcal{C}_{/\sigma}^0 \star \partial\Delta^n} K_0 \rightarrow K_0 \cup L$ is an isomorphism of simplicial sets.*
- (b) *The inclusion*

$$K_0 \cup L \subset K$$

is a trivial cofibration in the Joyal model structure.

Proof. We begin with (a). It is clear that the simplicial set $K_0 \cup L$ is the union of K_0 and the image of the map $\mathcal{C}_{/\sigma}^0 \star \Delta^n \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. Therefore, it will suffice to show that if a simplex x of $\mathcal{C}_{/\sigma}^0 \star \Delta^n$ is mapped to a simplex in K_0 , then x belongs to $\mathcal{C}_{/\sigma}^0 \star \partial\Delta^n$. The image of x can be represented by a simplex of the form

$$\Delta^k \star \Delta^l \xrightarrow{\text{id} \star u} \Delta^k \star \Delta^n \xrightarrow{\tilde{\sigma}} \mathcal{C},$$

where $k, l \geq -1$, $u : [l] \rightarrow [n]$ is a poset map, and $\tilde{\sigma}$ is an extension of σ which maps the vertices of Δ^k into \mathcal{C}^0 . We wish to show that if $\sigma u : \Delta^l \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ factors through $\sigma|_{\partial\Delta^n}$, then u factors through $\partial\Delta^n$. This is clear, since σ is nondegenerate.

Next we prove (b). For each $m \geq n$, let X_m denote the simplicial subset of K consisting of the simplices in K_0 and the simplices of the form

$$\tau : \Delta^k \star \Delta^{m'} \rightarrow \mathcal{C},$$

where $k \geq -1$, $n \leq m' < m$, $\tau|_{\Delta^k}$ factors through \mathcal{C}^0 , and $\tau|_{\Delta^{m'}}$ is a degeneration of σ . Then we have a filtration

$$K_0 \cup L = X_n \subset X_{n+1} \subset \cdots$$

and $K = \bigcup_{i \geq n} X_i$. To prove (b), it will suffice to show that each inclusion $X_i \subset X_{i+1}$ is a trivial cofibration.

Let $\varepsilon : [m] \rightarrow [n]$ be a surjective poset map. We let $\mathcal{J}(\varepsilon) \subset \mathbf{\Delta}_{[m]//[n]}$ denote the full subcategory spanned by the factorizations $(\varepsilon', \varepsilon'') = [m] \xrightarrow{\varepsilon'} [m'] \xrightarrow{\varepsilon''} [n]$ of ε into two surjective poset maps, and let $\mathcal{J}_0(\varepsilon)$ denote its full subcategory spanned by the object other than (id, ε) . Let $A(\varepsilon) \subset \mathcal{C}_{/\sigma\varepsilon}^0$ denote the union of the image of the maps $\mathcal{C}_{/\sigma\varepsilon''}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{/\sigma\varepsilon'\varepsilon'}^0 = \mathcal{C}_{/\sigma\varepsilon}^0$, where $(\varepsilon', \varepsilon'')$ ranges over the objects of $\mathcal{J}_0(\varepsilon)$. We consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \coprod_{\varepsilon: [m] \rightarrow [n]} (A(\varepsilon) \star \Delta^m) \cup (\mathcal{C}_{/\sigma\varepsilon}^0 \star \partial\Delta^m) & \longrightarrow & \coprod_{\varepsilon: [m] \rightarrow [n]} \mathcal{C}_{/\sigma\varepsilon}^0 \star \Delta^m \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X_m & \longrightarrow & X_{m+1} \end{array}$$

where the coproducts are indexed by the surjective poset maps $[m] \rightarrow [n]$. To complete the proof, it suffices to prove the following:

- (i) The square is a pushout.

(ii) For each surjection $\varepsilon : [m] \rightarrow [n]$, the inclusion

$$A(\varepsilon) \star \Delta^m \cup \mathcal{C}_{/\sigma\varepsilon}^0 \star \partial\Delta^m \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{/\sigma\varepsilon}^0 \star \Delta^m$$

is a trivial cofibration.

We start with (i). Let x be a simplex in $\mathcal{C}_{/\sigma\varepsilon}^0 \star \Delta^m$ corresponding to a pair $(\widetilde{\sigma\varepsilon} : \Delta^k \star \Delta^l \rightarrow \mathcal{C}, u : \Delta^l \rightarrow \Delta^m)$, $k, l \geq -1$, $k + l + 1 \geq 0$. Its image in \mathcal{C} is the composition

$$\alpha : \Delta^k \star \Delta^l \xrightarrow{\text{id} \star u} \Delta^k \star \Delta^m \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\sigma\varepsilon}} \mathcal{C}.$$

We wish to show that if α belongs to X_m , then $(\widetilde{\sigma\varepsilon}, u)$ belongs to $A(\varepsilon) \star \Delta^m \cup (\mathcal{C}_{/\sigma\varepsilon}^0 \star \partial\Delta^m)$. The claim is obvious if u is not surjective on vertices. So assume that u is surjective on vertices. Since $\alpha|_{\Delta^l}$ is a degeneration of σ , it cannot factor through $\sigma|_{\partial\Delta^n}$. Thus α does not belong to K_0 . Hence (since the vertices of σ do not belong to \mathcal{C}^0) we can find a factorization of α of the form

$$\alpha : \Delta^k \star \Delta^l \xrightarrow{\text{id} \star u'} \Delta^k \star \Delta^{m'} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\sigma\varepsilon'}} \mathcal{C},$$

where $m' < m$, $\varepsilon' : [m'] \rightarrow [n]$ is a surjection, and $\widetilde{\sigma\varepsilon}'$ is an extension of $\sigma\varepsilon'$ which maps Δ^k into \mathcal{C}^0 . Replacing $\Delta^{m'}$ by $\Delta^{u'[l]}$ if necessary, we may assume that u' is surjective on vertices. Factor the map $\widetilde{\sigma\varepsilon}'$ as

$$\Delta^k \star \Delta^{m'} \xrightarrow{s \star s'} \Delta^p \star \Delta^{m''} \xrightarrow{\tau} \mathcal{C},$$

where $p, m'' \geq -1$, s, s' are surjective on vertices and τ is nondegenerate. (Such a factorization exists because the vertices of σ do not belong to \mathcal{C}^0 .) Then $\sigma\varepsilon'$ is a degeneration of $\tau|_{\Delta^q}$, so Eilenberg-Zilber's lemma implies that $\tau|_{\Delta^{m''}}$ is a degeneration of σ . Therefore, we can write $\tau|_{\Delta^{m''}} = \sigma\varepsilon''$, where $\varepsilon'' : [m''] \rightarrow [n]$ is a surjective poset map. By Eilenberg-Zilber's lemma, $\widetilde{\sigma\varepsilon}'$ is a degeneration of τ . Since $m'' < m$, this implies that the simplex $(\widetilde{\sigma\varepsilon}, u)$ belongs to $A(\varepsilon) \star \Delta^m$, as required.

We next prove (ii). Since join functors preserves trivial cofibrations in each variable, it will suffice to show that the inclusion $A(\varepsilon) \subset \mathcal{C}_{/\sigma\varepsilon}^0$ is a trivial cofibration. Arguing as in the previous paragraph, we see that $A(\varepsilon)$ is the colimit of the diagram $F : \mathcal{J}_0(\varepsilon)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet}$ defined by $(\varepsilon', \varepsilon'') \mapsto \mathcal{C}_{/\sigma\varepsilon'}^0$. This diagram admits a natural transformation to the constant diagram at $\mathcal{C}_{/\sigma\varepsilon}^0$ whose components are trivial fibrations. It will therefore suffice to prove that the diagrams F and the constant diagram at $\mathcal{C}_{/\sigma\varepsilon}^0$ are projectively cofibrant. There is a functor $\mathcal{J}_0(\varepsilon)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \omega$ which maps a factorization $[m] \rightarrow [m'] \rightarrow [n]$ of ε to the integer m' . This functor endows the category $\mathcal{J}_0(\varepsilon)^{\text{op}}$ with the structure of a direct category. The claim is thus a consequence of [Hov07, Theorem 5.1.3]. \square

Definition 4.7. Let X be a simplicial set over Δ^1 . Given a simplex $\Delta^k \rightarrow X$, we define the **head** of X to be the simplex $\Delta^{u^{-1}(1)} \rightarrow \Delta^k \rightarrow X$ and the **tail** to be the simplex $\Delta^{u^{-1}(0)} \rightarrow \Delta^k \rightarrow X$, where u denotes the composite $\Delta^k \rightarrow X \rightarrow \Delta^1$.

Proposition 4.8. *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{p} & Y \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & \Delta^1 & \end{array}$$

be a commutative diagram of simplicial sets. Let $n \geq 0$, and let $S \subset S' \subset Y_1 = Y \times_{\Delta^1} \{1\}$ be simplicial subsets satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) The simplicial set S contains the $(n-1)$ -skeleton of Y_1 .

- (2) The simplicial set S' is generated by S and a set Σ of nondegenerate n -simplices of Y_1 which do not belong to S' .

Let $X(S)$ denote the simplicial subset of X spanned by the simplices whose head lies over S , and define $X(S')$ similarly. Let $\{\sigma_a\}_{a \in A}$ be the enumeration of all nondegenerate simplices of X_1 whose image in Y is a degeneration of a simplex in Σ . Choose an ordering of A so that that dimension of σ_a is a non-decreasing function of $a \in A$. For each $a \in A$, define simplicial sets $X(S')_{<a}$, $X(S')_{\leq a}$, K_a , and $K_{0,a}$ as follows:

- $X(S')_{<a} \subset X$ is the simplicial subset generated by $X(S)$ and those simplices of X whose head factors through σ_b for some $b < a$.
- $X(S')_{\leq a} \subset X$ is the simplicial subset generated by $X(S)$ and those simplices of X whose head factors through σ_b for some $b \leq a$.
- $K_a \subset X$ is the simplicial subset consisting of the simplices whose head factors through σ_a .
- $K_{0,a} \subset X$ is the simplicial subset consisting of the simplices whose head factors through $\partial\sigma_a = \sigma_a|_{\partial\Delta^{\dim\sigma_a}}$.

Then the following holds:

- (1) $X(S') = X(S) \cup \bigcup_{a \in A} X(S')_{\leq a}$.
 (2) For each $a \in A$, the square

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} K_{0,a} & \longrightarrow & X(S')_{<a} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K_a & \longrightarrow & X(S')_{\leq a} \end{array}$$

of simplicial sets is cocartesian.

Proof. We start with (1). The containment $X(S) \cup \bigcup_{a \in A} X(S')_{\leq a} \subset X(S')$ holds trivially. For the reverse inclusion, let x be an arbitrary simplex of $X(S')$. We must show that x belongs to $X(S) \cup \bigcup_{a \in A} X(S')_{\leq a}$. Let $\tau(x) : \Delta^m \rightarrow X_1$ denote the head of x . If $p\tau(x)$ belongs to S , then x belongs to $X(S)$ and we are done. If $\tau(x)$ does not belong to S , then $p\tau(x)$ factors through a simplex σ in Σ . If $p\tau(x)$ factors through the boundary of σ , then $p\tau(x)$ belongs to the $(n-1)$ -skeleton of Y_1 and hence $\tau(x)$ belongs to S , a contradiction. Therefore, $p\tau(x)$ is a degeneration of σ . Now $\tau(x)$ is a degeneration of some nondegenerate simplex $\tau'(x)$ of X_1 . Then $p\tau(x)$ is a degeneration of $p\tau'(x)$, so Eilenberg-Zilber's lemma implies that $p\tau'(x)$ is a degeneration of σ . Hence $\tau'(x) = \sigma_a$ for some $a \in A$, and hence $x \in X(S')_{\leq a}$.

We next prove (2). We will write $K_0 = K_{0,a}$ and $K = K_a$. First we remark that $X(S')_{<a}$ contains K_0 . Indeed, suppose we are given a simplex x of X whose head $\tau(x) : \Delta^m \rightarrow X_1$ factors through $\partial\sigma_a$. By construction, there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \partial\Delta^{\dim\sigma_a} & \hookrightarrow & \Delta^{\dim\sigma_a} & \xrightarrow{s} & \Delta^n \\ \uparrow & & \sigma_a \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma \\ \Delta^m & \xrightarrow{\tau(x)} & X_1 & \xrightarrow{p} & Y_1, \end{array}$$

where s is surjective on vertices. If the map $\Delta^m \rightarrow \Delta^n$ is not surjective on vertices, then $p\tau(x)$ factors through the $(n-1)$ -skeleton of Y_1 and hence x belongs to $X(S)$. If the map $\Delta^m \rightarrow \Delta^n$ is surjective on vertices, then write $\tau(x)$ as a degeneration of a nondegenerate simplex $\tau'(x)$ of X_1 . Eilenberg-Zilber's lemma implies that the simplex $p\tau'(x)$ must be a degeneration of σ . Thus $p\tau'(x) = \sigma_b$ for some $b \in A$. Since $\tau(x)$ factors through the boundary of σ_a , the dimension of σ_b is strictly smaller than that of σ_a . Thus $b < a$. Hence x belongs to $X(S')_{<a}$.

By what we have just shown in the previous paragraph, the diagram (4.1) is well-defined. We now show that it is cocartesian. By definition, $X(S')_{\leq a}$ is the union of K and $X(S')_{< a}$. Therefore, it suffices to show that K_0 is the intersection of K and $X(S')_{< a}$. So let x be a simplex of K . We must show that, if x does not belong to K_0 , then x does not belong to $X(S')_{< a}$ either. Let $\tau(x) : \Delta^m \rightarrow X_1$ be the head of x . Since x does not belong to K_0 , $\tau(x)$ is a degeneration of σ_a . Thus $p(\tau(x))$ is a degeneration of some simplex $\sigma \in \Sigma$. In particular, $p(\tau(x))$ does not belong to S , so $\tau(x)$ does not belong to $X(S)$. Therefore, should $\tau(x)$ belong to $X(S')_{< a}$, then $\tau(x)$ must factor through some σ_b for some $b < a$. If $\tau(x)$ factors through $\partial\sigma_b$ for some $b < a$, then we would have $\dim \sigma_a < \dim \sigma_b$, a contradiction. So $\tau(x)$ is a degeneration of σ_b ; but then $a = b$, a contradiction. Thus x does not belong to $X(S')_{< a}$, as required. \square

4.3. Proof of Theorem 4.3. In this final subsection of this note, we will give a proof of Theorem 4.3 by elaborating the proof given by Lurie in [Lur17].

The proof proceeds by a simplex-by-simplex argument. For this, we will classify simplices of $N(\text{Fin}_*) \times \Delta^{\{1, \dots, n\}}$ into five (somewhat artificial) groups.

Definition 4.9. Let $n \geq 1$ and let α be a morphism in $N(\text{Fin}_*) \times \Delta^{\{1, \dots, n\}}$ with image $\alpha_0 : \langle m \rangle \rightarrow \langle n \rangle$ in $N(\text{Fin}_*)$. We say that α is:

- (1) **active** if α_0 is active;
- (2) **strongly inert** if α_0 is inert, the induced injection $\langle n \rangle^\circ \rightarrow \langle m \rangle^\circ$ is order-perserving, and the image of α in $\Delta^{\{1, \dots, n\}}$ is degenerate; and
- (3) **neutral** if it is neither active nor strongly inert.

Note that active morphisms and strongly inert morphisms are closed under composition. Also, every morphism in $N(\text{Fin}_*) \times \Delta^{\{1, \dots, n\}}$ can be factored uniquely as a composition of a strongly inert map followed by an active map.

Let σ be an m -simplex of $N(\text{Fin}_*) \times \Delta^{\{1, \dots, n\}}$ depicted as

$$\langle \langle k_0 \rangle, e_0 \rangle \xrightarrow{\alpha_\sigma(1)} \dots \xrightarrow{\alpha_\sigma(m)} \langle \langle k_m \rangle, e_m \rangle.$$

We will say that σ is **closed** if $k_m = 1$, and **open** otherwise. We say that σ is **complete**¹ if $\{e_0, \dots, e_m\} = \{1, \dots, n\}$ and **incomplete** otherwise. Every nondegenerate simplex of $N(\text{Fin}_*) \times \Delta^{\{1, \dots, n\}}$ is a face of a nondegenerate complete simplex.

We partition the set of nondegenerate complete simplices of $N(\text{Fin}_*) \times \Delta^{\{1, \dots, n\}}$ into five groups $G_{(1)}, G_{(2)}, G'_{(2)}, G_{(3)}, G'_{(3)}$ as follows: m -simplex of $N(\text{Fin}_*) \times \Delta^{\{1, \dots, n\}}$. Write $\alpha_\sigma(i) = \sigma|_{\Delta^{\{i, i+1\}}}$. Let $0 \leq k \leq m$ be the minimal integer such that $\alpha_\sigma(i)$ is strongly inert for every $i > k$, and let $0 \leq j \leq k$ be the minimal integer such that $\alpha_\sigma(i)$ is active for every $j < i \leq k$.

- If $j = 0$, $k = m$, and σ is closed, then σ belongs to $G_{(1)}$.
- If $j = 0$, $k < m$, and σ is closed, then σ belongs to $G_{(2)}$.
- If $j = 0$ and σ is open, then σ belongs to $G'_{(2)}$.
- If $j \geq 1$ and $\alpha_\sigma(j)$ is strongly inert, then σ belongs to $G_{(3)}$.
- If $j \geq 1$ and $\alpha_\sigma(j)$ is neutral, then σ belongs to $G'_{(3)}$.

Given an m -simplex $\sigma \in G_{(2)}$, we define its **associate** $\sigma' \in G'_{(2)}$ by $\sigma' = \sigma|_{\Delta^{\{0, \dots, m-1\}}}$.

Given an m -simplex $\sigma \in G_{(3)}$, then we define its **associate** σ' by $\sigma' = \sigma\partial_j \in G'_{(3)}$, where j is the integer defined as above.

Proof of Theorems 4.3. We will regard \mathcal{M}^\otimes and $N(\text{Fin}_*) \times \Delta^n$ as a simplicial set over Δ^1 by means of the map $\Delta^n \rightarrow \Delta^1$ which maps the vertex $0 \in \Delta^n$ to the vertex $0 \in \Delta^1$ and the remaining vertices to the vertex $1 \in \Delta^1$. Given a simplicial subset

¹Lurie uses the term “new” instead of “complete.”

$S \subset N(\text{Fin}_*) \times \Delta^{\{1, \dots, n\}}$, we let $\mathcal{M}_S^\otimes \subset \mathcal{M}^\otimes$ denote the simplicial subset consisting of the simplices whose tail lies over S . We will also write $\overline{S} = (N(\text{Fin}_*) \times \Delta^{\{1, \dots, n\}})_{\overline{S}}$.

The implication (a) \implies (b) for part (A) is obvious. Assume therefore that condition (b) is satisfied if $n = 1$. For each $m \geq 0$, let $F(m)$ denote the simplicial subset of $N(\text{Fin}_*) \times \Delta^{\{1, \dots, n\}}$ generated by the nondegenerate simplices σ satisfying one of the following conditions:

- σ is incomplete.
- σ has dimension less than m .
- σ has dimension m and belongs to $G_{(2)}$ or $G_{(3)}$.

Observe that $\mathcal{M}_{F(0)}^\otimes = \mathcal{M}^\otimes \times_{\Delta^n} \Lambda_0^n$. We will complete the proof by inductively constructing a map $f_m : \mathcal{M}_{F(m)}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\otimes$ which makes the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_{F(m-1)}^\otimes & \xrightarrow{f_{m-1}} & \mathcal{C}^\otimes \\ \downarrow & \nearrow f_m & \downarrow q \\ \mathcal{M}_{F(m)}^\otimes & \xrightarrow{g|_{\mathcal{M}_{F(m)}^\otimes}} & \mathcal{O}^\otimes \end{array}$$

commutative, and such that f_1 has the following special properties if $n = 1$:

- (i) For each object $B \in \mathcal{M}^\otimes \times_{\Delta^1} \{1\}$, the map

$$\left((\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^\otimes)_{/B} \times_{\Delta^1} \{0\} \right)^\triangleright \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{(1)}^\otimes \xrightarrow{f_1} \mathcal{C}^\otimes$$

is an operadic q -colimit diagram.

- (ii) For every inert morphism $e : M' \rightarrow M$ in $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \times_{\Delta^1} \{1\}$ such that $M \in \mathcal{M}$, the functor f_1 carries e to an inert morphism in \mathcal{C}^\otimes .

Fix $m > 0$, and suppose that f_{m-1} has been constructed. Observe that $F(m)$ is obtained from $F(m-1)$ by adjoining the following simplices:

- The $(m-1)$ -simplices in $G_{(1)}$.
- The $(m-1)$ -simplices in $G'_{(2)}$ without associates.
- The m -simplices in $G_{(2)}$ and $G_{(3)}$.

We define simplicial subsets $F'(m) \subset F''(m) \subset F(m)$ as follows: $F'(m)$ is generated by $F(m-1)$ and the $(m-1)$ -simplices in $G_{(1)}$; $F''(m-1)$ is generated by $F'(m)$ and the $(m-1)$ -simplices of $G'_{(2)}$ without associates. Our strategy is to extend f_{m-1} to $\mathcal{M}_{F'(m)}^\otimes$, then to $\mathcal{M}_{F''(m)}^\otimes$, and then to $\mathcal{M}_{F(m)}^\otimes$.

(Step 1) We will extend f_{m-1} to a map $f'_m : \mathcal{M}_{F'(m)}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\otimes$ over \mathcal{O}^\otimes .

Let $\{\sigma_a\}_{a \in A}$ be the collection of nondegenerate simplices of $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \times_{\Delta^n} \Delta^{\{1, \dots, n\}}$ whose image in $N(\text{Fin}_*) \times \Delta^{\{1, \dots, n\}}$ is a degeneration of some $(m-1)$ -simplex of $G_{(1)}$. Choose a well-ordering on A so that $\dim \sigma_a$ is non-decreasing in $a \in A$. For each $a \in A$, let $\mathcal{M}_{<a}^\otimes$ denote the simplicial subset spanned by $\mathcal{M}_{F(m-1)}^\otimes$ and the simplices of \mathcal{M}^\otimes whose tail factors through σ_b for some $b < a$. We define $\mathcal{M}_{\leq a}^\otimes$ similarly. According to Proposition 4.8, we have $\mathcal{M}_{F'(m)}^\otimes = \bigcup_{a \in A} \mathcal{M}_{\leq a}^\otimes$, so it suffices to extend f_{m-1} to an A -sequence $f^{\leq a} : \mathcal{M}_{\leq a}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\otimes$ over \mathcal{O}^\otimes .

The construction is inductive. Let $a \in A$, and suppose that $f^{\leq b}$ has been constructed for $b < a$. These maps determine a map $f^{<a} : \mathcal{M}_{<a}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\otimes$ extending f_{m-1} . Let $K_0 \subset K \subset \mathcal{M}^\otimes$ denote the simplicial subset consisting of the simplices of \mathcal{M}^\otimes whose head factors through $\sigma_a | \partial \Delta^{\dim \sigma_a}$ and σ_a , respectively. According to

Lemma 4.6, the left hand square of the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (\mathcal{M}_{/\sigma_a}^\otimes \times_{\Delta^n} \{0\}) \star \partial \Delta^{\dim \sigma_a} & \longrightarrow & K_0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_{<a}^\otimes \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\mathcal{M}_{/\sigma_a}^\otimes \times_{\Delta^n} \{0\}) \star \Delta^{\dim \sigma_a} & \longrightarrow & K & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_{\leq a}^\otimes \end{array}$$

is homotopy cocartesian. The right hand square is cocartesian by Proposition 4.8. It follows that the map

$$\left(\mathcal{M}_{/\sigma_a}^\otimes \times_{\Delta^n} \{0\} \right) \star \Delta^{\dim \sigma_a} \amalg_{\left(\mathcal{M}_{/\sigma_a}^\otimes \times_{\Delta^n} \{0\} \right) \star \partial \Delta^{\dim \sigma_a}} \mathcal{M}_{<a}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\leq a}^\otimes$$

is a trivial cofibration in the Joyal model structure. Thus we only need to extend the composite

$$g_0 : \left(\mathcal{M}_{/\sigma_a}^\otimes \times_{\Delta^n} \{0\} \right) \star \partial \Delta^{\dim \sigma_a} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{<a}^\otimes \xrightarrow{f^{<a}} \mathcal{C}^\otimes$$

to a map $\left(\mathcal{M}_{/\sigma_a}^\otimes \times_{\Delta^n} \{0\} \right) \star \Delta^{\dim \sigma_a} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\otimes$ over \mathcal{O}^\otimes .

Assume first that σ_a is zero-dimensional, so that, in particular, $m = 1$. If $n > 1$, then $F(0) = F'(1)$ and there is nothing to do. If $n = 1$, then let $B \in \mathcal{M}^\otimes$ be the image of σ_a . Since σ_a is closed, the object B lies in $\mathcal{M} \times_{\Delta^1} \{1\}$. Using the inert-active factorization system in \mathcal{M}^\otimes , we see that the inclusion $\left((\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^\otimes)_{/B} \times_{\Delta^1} \{0\} \right) \subset \mathcal{M}_{/B}^\otimes \times_{\Delta^1} \{0\}$ is a right adjoint, hence final. So our assumption (b) ensures that we can find the desired extension g . Note that condition (i) is satisfied with this particular construction.

Assume next that σ_a has positive dimension. Since $\Delta^{\dim \sigma_a}$ has an initial vertex, we see as in the previous paragraph that the inclusion $\left((\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^\otimes)_{/\sigma_a} \times_{\Delta^n} \{0\} \right) \subset \mathcal{M}_{/\sigma_a}^\otimes \times_{\Delta^1} \{0\}$ is a right adjoint, and hence final. Thus, by virtue of [Lur17, Proposition 3.1.1.7], it suffices to show that the map

$$\left((\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^\otimes)_{/\sigma_a} \times_{\Delta^n} \{0\} \right) \star \{0\} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{<a}^\otimes \xrightarrow{f^{<a}} \mathcal{C}^\otimes$$

is an operadic q -colimit diagram. Let $B = \sigma_a(0)$. The map $\left((\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^\otimes)_{/B} \times_{\Delta^n} \{0\} \right) \rightarrow \left((\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^\otimes)_{/B} \times_{\Delta^n} \{0\} \right) \star \{0\}$ is a trivial fibration, so it suffices to show that the map

$$\phi : \left((\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^\otimes)_{/B} \times_{\Delta^n} \{0\} \right) \star \{0\} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{<a}^\otimes \xrightarrow{f^{<a}} \mathcal{C}^\otimes$$

is an operadic q -colimit cone. Let $\langle p \rangle \in N(\text{Fin}_*)$ be the image of the object B . If $p = 0$, then $\left((\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^\otimes)_{/B} \times_{\Delta^n} \{0\} \right) \star \{0\}$ is a contractible Kan complex, and for each object $\alpha : A \rightarrow B$ in $\left((\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^\otimes)_{/B} \times_{\Delta^n} \{0\} \right) \star \{0\}$, the map $\phi|_{\{\alpha\} \star \{0\}}$ is an equivalence in \mathcal{C}^\otimes (since $\mathcal{C}_{(0)}^\otimes$ is a contractible Kan complex). Thus ϕ is an operadic q -colimit cone by [Lur17, Example 3.1.1.6]. If $p = 1$, the claim follows from (i). If $p > 1$, choose for each $1 \leq i \leq p$ an inert map $B \rightarrow B_i$ in $\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^\otimes \times_{\Delta^n} \{1\}$ over $\rho^i : \langle p \rangle \rightarrow \langle 1 \rangle$. Note that since $p > 1$, we have $m \geq 2$, so that $f^{<a}$ is defined on $\mathcal{M}_{F(1)}^\otimes$.

Using Proposition 3.4, choose a direct sum functor $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n : (\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^\otimes)^p \times_{(\Delta^1)^p} \Delta^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^\otimes$ so that there is an inert natural transformation $\tilde{h}_i : \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \rightarrow \text{pr}_i$ making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} ((\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^\otimes)^p \times_{(\Delta^n)^p} \Delta^n) \times \Delta^1 & \xrightarrow{\tilde{h}_i} & \mathcal{M}^\otimes \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ (\Delta^n \times N(\text{Fin}_*)_{\text{act}})^p \times \Delta^1 & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{\Delta^n} \times \tilde{h}_i} & \Delta^n \times N(\text{Fin}_*) \end{array}$$

commutative. There is an equivalence $\alpha : B \xrightarrow{\cong} \bigoplus_{i=1}^p B_i$ lying over the identity of $\langle p \rangle$. There is a natural equivalence

$$H : \left((\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^{\otimes})_{/\alpha} \times_{\Delta^n} \{0\} \right)^{\triangleright} \times \Delta^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\otimes}$$

which makes the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \left((\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^{\otimes})_{/\alpha} \times_{\Delta^n} \{0\} \right)^{\triangleright} \times \{0\} & \longrightarrow & \left((\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^{\otimes})_{/B} \times_{\Delta^n} \{0\} \right)^{\triangleright} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \left((\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^{\otimes})_{/\alpha} \times_{\Delta^n} \{0\} \right)^{\triangleright} \times \Delta^1 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \left((\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^{\otimes})_{/\alpha} \times_{\Delta^n} \{0\} \right)^{\triangleright} \times \{1\} & \longrightarrow & \left((\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^{\otimes})_{/\bigoplus_{i=1}^p B_i} \times_{\Delta^n} \{0\} \right)^{\triangleright} \times \{1\} \end{array}$$

commutative, such that the restriction of H to $\left((\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^{\otimes})_{/\alpha} \times_{\Delta^n} \{0\} \right) \times \Delta^1$ is the constant natural transformation at the projection $\left((\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^{\otimes})_{/\alpha} \times_{\Delta^n} \{0\} \right) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\otimes}$ and the restriction $H|_{\{\infty\} \times \Delta^1}$ is given by α . This natural equivalence takes values in $\mathcal{M}_{F(1)}^{\otimes}$, because α lies in $\mathcal{M}_{F(1)}^{\otimes}$. So it suffices to show that the composite

$$\phi : \left((\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^{\otimes})_{/\bigoplus_{i=1}^p B_i} \times_{\Delta^n} \{0\} \right)^{\triangleright} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{F(1)}^{\otimes} \xrightarrow{f_1} \mathcal{C}^{\otimes}$$

is an operadic q -colimit diagram. Now consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \left(\prod_{1 \leq i \leq p} (\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^{\otimes})_{/B_i} \times_{\Delta^n} \{0\} \right)^{\triangleright} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \left((\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^{\otimes})^p \times_{(\Delta^n)^p} \Delta^n \right)_{/(B_1, \dots, B_p)} \times_{\Delta^n} \{0\} \right)^{\triangleright} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq \psi \\ & & \left((\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^{\otimes})_{/\bigoplus_{i=1}^p B_i} \times_{\Delta^n} \{0\} \right)^{\triangleright} \\ & & \downarrow \\ \left(\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^{\otimes} \right)^p \times_{(\Delta^n)^p} \Delta^n & \xrightarrow{\bigoplus_{i=1}^p} & \mathcal{M}^{\otimes}. \end{array}$$

Here the map ψ is the equivalence of ∞ -categories induced by the direct sum functor (Proposition 3.7). In light of the commutativity of this diagram, the composite

$$\eta : \left(\prod_{1 \leq i \leq p} (\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^{\otimes})_{/B_i} \times_{\Delta^n} \{0\} \right)^{\triangleright} \rightarrow \left(\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^{\otimes} \right)^p \times_{(\Delta^n)^p} \Delta^n \xrightarrow{\bigoplus_{i=1}^p} \mathcal{M}^{\otimes}$$

takes values in $\mathcal{M}_{F(1)}^{\otimes}$, and it suffices to show that the composite $f_1 \eta$ is an operadic q -colimit diagram. Now the inert natural transformation \tilde{h}_i induces an inert natural transformation from η to the composite

$$\eta_i : \left(\prod_{1 \leq i \leq p} (\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^{\otimes})_{/B_i} \times_{\Delta^n} \{0\} \right)^{\triangleright} \rightarrow \left((\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^{\otimes})_{/B_i} \times_{\Delta^n} \{0\} \right)^{\triangleright} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\otimes}.$$

Since $F(1)$ contains the 1-simplices in $G(2)$, this natural transformation takes values in $\mathcal{M}_{F(1)}^{\otimes}$. Since f_1 satisfies (ii), we deduce that the composite $f_1 \eta$ admits an inert natural transformation H_i to the composite $f_1 \eta_i$, such that for each vertex v in $\left(\prod_{1 \leq i \leq p} (\mathcal{M}_{\text{act}}^{\otimes})_{/B_i} \times_{\Delta^n} \{0\} \right)^{\triangleright}$, the components $\{H_i(v)\}_{1 \leq i \leq p}$ form a q -limit cone. It follows from Corollary 3.6 and (i) that $f_1 \eta$ is an operadic q -colimit diagram, as desired.

(Step 2) We will extend the map f'_m in Step 1 to a map $f''_m : \mathcal{M}_{F''(m)}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\otimes$ over \mathcal{O}^\otimes .

We argue as in Step 1. Let $\{\sigma_a\}_{a \in A}$ be the set of all nondegenerate simplices of $\mathcal{M}^\otimes \times_{\Delta^n} \Delta^{\{1, \dots, n\}}$ whose image in $N(\text{Fin}_*) \times \Delta^{\{1, \dots, n\}}$ is a degeneration of an $(m-1)$ -simplex in $G'_{(2)}$ without associates. Choose a well-ordering of the set A so that $\dim \sigma_a$ is a non-decreasing function of a . For each $a \in A$, let $\mathcal{M}_{<a}^\otimes$ denote the simplicial subset spanned by $\mathcal{M}_{F''(m)}^\otimes$ and the simplices of \mathcal{M}^\otimes whose tail factors through σ_b for some $b < a$. We define $\mathcal{M}_{\leq a}^\otimes$ similarly. (The notations $\mathcal{M}_{<a}^\otimes$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\leq a}^\otimes$ are in conflict with the ones introduced in Step 1, but there should not be any confusion.) By Proposition 4.8, we have $\mathcal{M}_{F''(m)}^\otimes = \bigcup_{a \in A} \mathcal{M}_{<a}^\otimes$, so it suffices to extend f'_m to an A -sequence $f^{\leq a} : \mathcal{M}_{\leq a}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\otimes$ over \mathcal{O}^\otimes . The construction is inductive. Suppose $f^{\leq b}$ has been constructed for $b < a$, and let $f^{<a} : \mathcal{M}_{<a}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\otimes$ be their amalgamation. Just as in Step 1, we are reduced to solving a lifting problem of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathcal{M}_{/\sigma_a}^\otimes \times_{\Delta^n} \{0\}) \star \partial \Delta^{\dim \sigma_a} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_{<a}^\otimes \xrightarrow{f^{<a}} \mathcal{C}^\otimes \\ \downarrow & & \searrow \text{dashed} \quad \downarrow q \\ (\mathcal{M}_{/\sigma_a}^\otimes \times_{\Delta^n} \{0\}) \star \Delta^{\dim \sigma_a} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}^\otimes \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}^\otimes. \end{array}$$

The existence of such a lift follows from Corollary 4.5.

(Step 3) We complete the proof by extending the map f''_m in Step 2 to a map $f_m : \mathcal{M}_{F(m)}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\otimes$ over \mathcal{O}^\otimes . Let $\{\sigma'_a\}_{a \in A}$ be the collection of all $(m-1)$ -simplices in $G'_{(2)}$ and $G'_{(3)}$ which have at least one associate. Choose a well-ordering on A , and for each $a \in A$, let $F_{\leq a}$ denote the simplicial subset of $F(m)$ generated by $F''(m)$ and the associates of the simplices σ'_b for $b \leq a$. Define $F_{<a}$ similarly. We will choose the ordering on A so that the following condition is satisfied:

- (\blacklozenge) Let $a \in A$ and let σ be an associate of σ'_a . Let $0 \leq l \leq m$ be the (unique) integer such that $d_l \sigma = \sigma'_a$. Then for each $i \in [m] \setminus \{l\}$, the simplex $d_i \sigma$ belongs to $F_{<a}$.

The existence of such an ordering is nontrivial, but we will not get into it presently. The construction of such an ordering can be found at the very end of this step. Note that (\blacklozenge) implies that:

- ($\blacklozenge\blacklozenge$) For every $a \in A$, the simplex σ'_a does not belong to $F_{<a}$.

Indeed, suppose σ'_a belongs to $F_{<a}$. Choose a minimal element $b \in A$ such that σ'_a belongs to $F_{<b}$. Then σ'_a factors through one of the following simplices:

- Incomplete simplices.
- Nondegenerate simplices of dimensions less than $m-1$.
- $(m-1)$ -simplices in $G_{(1)} \cup G_{(2)} \cup G_{(3)}$.
- $(m-1)$ -simplices in $G'_{(2)}$ without associates.
- Associates of σ'_c for some $c < b$.

Since σ'_a is complete and nondegenerate and has dimension $m-1$, the cases (1), (2), (3), and (4) are immediately ruled out. We show that the case (5) is impossible by reasoning by contradiction. Suppose that there are an index $c < b$ and an associate σ of σ'_c through which σ'_a factors. We have $\sigma'_a = d_i \sigma$ for some $0 \leq i \leq m$ for dimensional reasons. Using (\blacklozenge), we deduce that σ'_a belongs to $F_{<c}$, contrary to the minimality of b .

We now get back to the construction of f_m . We will construct f_m as an amalgamation of an A -sequence $\{f_{\leq a} : \mathcal{M}_{F_{\leq a}}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\otimes\}_{a \in A}$ over \mathcal{O}^\otimes which extends f''_m . The construction is inductive. Suppose that $f_{\leq b}$ has been constructed for $b \leq a$, so that

they together determine a map $f_{<a} : \mathcal{M}_{F_{<a}}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\otimes}$. We must extend $f_{<a}$ to $\mathcal{M}_{F_{\leq a}}^{\otimes}$. We consider two cases, depending on whether σ'_a belongs to $G'_{(2)}$ or to $G'_{(3)}$.

(Case 1) Suppose that σ'_a belongs to $G'_{(2)}$. We shall deploy an argument which is a variant of Proposition 4.8. Let $\{\tau_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be the collection of all nondegenerate simplices of \mathcal{M}^{\otimes} the image of whose head in $N(\text{Fin}_*) \times \Delta^{\{1, \dots, n\}}$ is a degeneration of σ'_a . Choose a well-ordering of Λ so that $\dim \tau_\lambda$ is a non-decreasing function of λ . For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, we let $\mathcal{N}_{\leq \lambda} \subset \mathcal{M}^{\otimes}$ denote the simplicial subset generated by $\mathcal{M}_{F_{<a}}^{\otimes}$ and the simplices $\tau : \Delta^p \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\otimes}$ for which there is an integer $0 \leq p' < p$ such that $\tau|_{\Delta^{\{0, \dots, p'\}}}$ factors through some τ_μ for some $\mu \leq \lambda$, $\tau|_{\Delta^{\{p', p'+1\}}}$ is inert, and $\tau|_{\Delta^{\{p'+1, \dots, p\}}}$ factors through \mathcal{M} . We define $\mathcal{N}_{< \lambda}$ similarly.

We shall prove the following assertion later:

(*) $\mathcal{M}_{F_{\leq a}}^{\otimes}$ is the union of $\mathcal{M}_{F_{<a}}^{\otimes}$ and $\{\mathcal{N}_{\leq \lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$.

Accepting (*) for now, we complete the proof as follows. It will suffice construct a Λ -sequence $\{f^{\leq \lambda} : \mathcal{N}_{\leq \lambda} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\otimes}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of maps over \mathcal{O}^{\otimes} which extends $f_{<a}$. The construction is inductive. Suppose $f^{\leq \mu}$ has been constructed for $\mu < \lambda$, and let $f^{< \lambda} : \mathcal{N}_{< \lambda} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\otimes}$ denote the map obtained by amalgamating the maps $\{f^{\leq \mu}\}_{\mu < \lambda}$. Let $(\langle k \rangle, n)$ be the final vertex of τ_λ . Note that $k \geq 2$. There are k inert maps $\langle k \rangle \rightarrow \langle 1 \rangle$, and these maps and $p\tau_\lambda$ combine to determine a diagram $\Delta^{\dim \tau_\lambda} \star \langle k \rangle^\circ \rightarrow N(\text{Fin}_*) \times \Delta^n$. Let $\mathcal{X} = (\Delta^{\dim \tau_\lambda} \star \langle k \rangle^\circ) \times_{N(\text{Fin}_*) \times \Delta^n} \mathcal{M}^{\otimes}$ and let $\bar{\tau}_\lambda : \Delta^{\dim \tau_\lambda} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ denote the induced diagram. For each $1 \leq i \leq k$, let \mathcal{X}_i denote the fiber of \mathcal{X} over $i \in \langle k \rangle^\circ$ (which is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M} \times_{\Delta^n} \{n\}$), and set $\mathcal{X}^0 = \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq k} \mathcal{X}_i$ and $\mathcal{X}_{\bar{\tau}_\lambda}^0 = \mathcal{X}^0 \times_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{X}_{\bar{\tau}_\lambda}$. We now consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \partial \Delta^{\dim \bar{\tau}_\lambda} \star \mathcal{X}_{\bar{\tau}_\lambda}^0 & \longrightarrow & K_0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{N}_{< \lambda} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Delta^{\dim \bar{\tau}_\lambda} \star \mathcal{X}_{\bar{\tau}_\lambda}^0 & \longrightarrow & K & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{N}_{\leq \lambda}. \end{array}$$

Here $K \subset \mathcal{X}$ denotes the simplicial subset spanned by the simplices whose tail factors through $\bar{\tau}_\lambda$, and K_0 is its simplicial subset obtained by replacing $\bar{\tau}_\lambda$ by $\partial \bar{\tau}_\lambda$, where we regard \mathcal{X} as a simplicial set over Δ^1 by the map $\Delta^{\dim \tau_\lambda} \star \langle k \rangle^\circ \rightarrow \{0\} \star \{1\} = \Delta^1$. The left hand square is homotopy cocartesian by Lemma 4.6. We shall prove later that:

(**) The horizontal arrows of the the right hand square are well-defined, and the right hand square is cocartesian.

We are now reduced to solving the lifting problem

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \partial \Delta^{\dim \bar{\tau}_\lambda} \star \mathcal{X}_{\bar{\tau}_\lambda}^0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{N}_{< \lambda} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}^{\otimes} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & \dashrightarrow & \downarrow \\ \Delta^{\dim \bar{\tau}_\lambda} \star \mathcal{X}_{\bar{\tau}_\lambda}^0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{N}_{\leq \lambda} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}^{\otimes}. \end{array}$$

Now the ∞ -category $\mathcal{X}_{\bar{\tau}_\lambda}^0$ is the disjoint union of the ∞ -categories $(\mathcal{X}_i)_{\bar{\tau}_\lambda} = \mathcal{X}_i \times_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{X}_{\bar{\tau}_\lambda}$. Each ∞ -category $(\mathcal{X}_i)_{\bar{\tau}_\lambda}$ has an initial object, given by a cone $\phi_i : (\Delta^{\dim \bar{\tau}_\lambda})^\triangleright \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ which maps the last edge to an inert morphism over $(\rho^i, \text{id}) : (\langle k \rangle, n) \rightarrow (\langle 1 \rangle, n)$. Set $S = \coprod_i \{\phi_i\}$. The inclusion $S \subset \mathcal{X}_{\bar{\tau}_\lambda}^0$ is initial, so we are

reduced to solving the lifting problem

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\partial\Delta^{\dim\bar{\tau}_\lambda} \star S & \longrightarrow & \partial\Delta^{\dim\bar{\tau}_\lambda} \star \mathcal{X}_{\bar{\tau}_\lambda/}^0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{N}_{\leq\lambda} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}^\otimes \\
\downarrow & & & & & & \downarrow \\
\Delta^{\dim\bar{\tau}_\lambda} \star S & \longrightarrow & \Delta^{\dim\bar{\tau}_\lambda} \star \mathcal{X}_{\bar{\tau}_\lambda/}^0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{N}_{\leq\lambda} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}^\otimes
\end{array}$$

If the dimension of $\bar{\tau}_\lambda$ is positive, then the claim is immediate since the restriction of the top horizontal arrow to $\{\dim\bar{\tau}_\lambda\} \star S$ is a q -limit cone. If $\bar{\tau}_\lambda$ is zero-dimensional (in which case $m = n = 1$), let C_i denote the image of $\phi_i \in S$ under the top horizontal map. The bottom horizontal arrow classifies a diagram $X \rightarrow q(C_i)$ of inert maps $\{\alpha_i : X \rightarrow q(C_i)\}_{1 \leq i \leq k}$ lying over $\{\rho^i : \langle k \rangle \rightarrow \langle 1 \rangle\}_{1 \leq i \leq k}$, and we wish to lift this to a diagram $S^\triangleleft \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\otimes$ in \mathcal{C}^\otimes which maps each $\phi_i \in S$ to the object C_i . Since q is a fibration of ∞ -operads, we can in fact find such a lift consisting of inert morphisms. Note that with such a choice of lift, condition (ii) is satisfied.

We now move on to the verification of (*) and (**). We begin with (*). It is clear that $\mathcal{N}_{\leq\lambda}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{F_{<a}}^\otimes$ are contained in $\mathcal{M}_{F_{\leq a}}^\otimes$. For the reverse implication, let x be an arbitrary simplex of $\mathcal{M}_{F_{\leq a}}^\otimes$. We must show that x belongs to either $\mathcal{M}_{F_{<a}}^\otimes$ or one of the $\mathcal{N}_{\leq\lambda}$'s. If x belongs to $\mathcal{M}_{F_{<a}}^\otimes$, we are done. So assume not. Let r denote the dimension of x . Since x does not belong to $\mathcal{M}_{F_{<a}}^\otimes$, its head is nonempty. Find an integer $0 \leq r' \leq r$ such that $x|_{\Delta^{\{r', \dots, r\}}}$ is the head of x . Since x does not belong to $\mathcal{M}_{F_{<a}}^\otimes$, the simplex $px|_{\Delta^{\{r', \dots, r\}}}$ factors through an associate σ of σ'_a . Let $\Delta^r \xrightarrow{u} \Delta^m \xrightarrow{\sigma} N(\text{Fin}_*) \times \Delta^{\{1, \dots, n\}}$ be such a factorization. If the image of u does not contain some integer $i \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$, then $px|_{\Delta^{\{r', \dots, r\}}}$ factors through $d_i\sigma$ and hence through $F_{<a}$ by (\blacklozenge) , a contradiction. So the image of u contains every integer in $\{0, \dots, m-1\}$. Let $0 \leq r'' < r$ be the largest integer such that $u(r'') < m$. There are now several cases to consider:

- Suppose that u is surjective on vertices and that the map $x(r'') \rightarrow x(r''+1)$ is inert. We write $x|_{\Delta^{\{0, \dots, r''\}}} = s^*y$, where $s : [r''] \rightarrow [k]$ is a surjection and y is nondegenerate. We claim that y is one of the τ_λ 's, so that x belongs to $\mathcal{N}_{\leq\lambda}$. Let $k' = s(r'')$. Then $y|_{\Delta^{\{k', \dots, k\}}}$ is the head of y . We write $py|_{\Delta^{\{k', \dots, k\}}} = s'^*z$, where z is nondegenerate and s' is a surjection. Then we obtain the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\Delta^{\{0, \dots, r''\}} & \longleftarrow & \Delta^{\{r', \dots, r''\}} & \xrightarrow{u|_{\Delta^{\{r', \dots, r''\}}}} & \Delta^{m-1} \\
\downarrow s & \searrow x|_{\Delta^{\{0, \dots, r''\}}} & & & \downarrow \sigma'_a \\
\Delta^k & \xrightarrow{y} & \mathcal{M}^\otimes & \xrightarrow{p} & N(\text{Fin}_*) \times \Delta^n \\
\uparrow & \nearrow & & \nearrow z & \\
\Delta^{\{k', \dots, k\}} & \xrightarrow{s'^*} & \Delta^l & &
\end{array}$$

Applying Eilenberg-Zilber's lemma to $px|_{\Delta^{\{r', \dots, r''\}}}$, we deduce that $z = \sigma'_a$. Thus y is one of the simplices in $\{\tau_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$, as required.

- Suppose that u is surjective on vertices and that the map $\theta : u(r'') \rightarrow u(r''+1)$ is not inert. By factoring the map θ into an inert map followed by an active map, we can find an $(r+1)$ -simplex y of $\mathcal{M}_{F_{<a}}^\otimes$ such that $d_{r''+1}y = x$ and $y|_{\Delta^{\{r'', r''+1, r''+2\}}}$ is the chosen factorization of θ . By the previous point, the simplex y belongs to $\mathcal{N}_{\leq\lambda}$ for some λ , and hence so must x .
- Suppose u is not surjective on vertices. Find an inert map $\theta : u(r) \rightarrow X$ over the last edge of σ , and let y be an $(r+1)$ -simplex y of $\mathcal{M}_{F_{\leq a}}^\otimes$ such that

$d_{r+1}y = x$ and $y|\Delta^{\{r,r+1\}}$ is equal to θ . By the first point, the simplex y belongs to $\mathcal{N}_{\leq\lambda}$ for some λ , and hence so must x .

This completes the proof of (*).

Next we prove (**). First we show that the maps $K \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\leq\lambda}$ and $K_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{<\lambda}$ are well-defined. A typical simplex in the image of the map $K \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\otimes}$ has the form $x : \Delta^r \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\otimes}$, where there is an integer $-1 \leq r' \leq r$ such that $x|\Delta^{\{0,\dots,r'\}}$ factors through τ_λ and, if $r' < r$, then $p\left(x|\Delta^{\{r',r'+1\}}\right)$ is inert and $p\left(x|\Delta^{\{r'+1,\dots,r\}}\right)$ is the constant map at $(\langle 1 \rangle, n)$. (When $r' = -1$, the symbol $\Delta^{\{0,\dots,r'\}}$ denotes the empty simplicial set.) By choosing an inert map $x(r') \rightarrow X$ with $X \in \mathcal{M}$ if $r' < r$, or by factoring the map $x(r') \rightarrow x(r'+1)$ into an inert map followed by an active map, we can find a simplex y belonging to $\mathcal{N}_{\leq\lambda}$ and satisfying $d_{r'+1}y = x$. Hence x belongs to $\mathcal{N}_{\leq\lambda}$, showing that the map $K \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\leq\lambda}$ is well-defined. Next, for the map $K_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{<\lambda}$, assume further $x|\Delta^{\{0,\dots,r'\}}$ factors through the boundary of τ_λ . We must show that x belongs to $\mathcal{N}_{<\lambda}$. We have two cases to consider:

- Suppose that the head of the simplex $p\left(x|\Delta^{\{0,\dots,r'\}}\right)$ factors through $\partial\sigma'_a$. Then the head of $p(x)$ factors through $d_i\sigma$ for some $0 \leq i < m$ for some associate σ of σ'_a , so x belongs to $\mathcal{M}_{F_{<a}}^{\otimes}$ by (\blacklozenge) .
- Suppose that the head of the simplex $p\left(x|\Delta^{\{0,\dots,r'\}}\right)$ is a degeneration of σ'_a . Write $x|\Delta^{\{0,\dots,r'\}} = s^*y$, where y is nondegenerate and s is a surjection. Eilenberg-Zilber's lemma implies that the simplex $p(y)$ is again a degeneration of σ'_a , so $y = \tau_\mu$ for some $\mu \in \Lambda$. Since x factors through the boundary of τ_λ , the dimension of y must be smaller than that of τ_λ . Thus $\mu < \lambda$. The above argument (that the map $K \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\leq\lambda}$ is well-defined) shows that y belongs to $\mathcal{N}_{\leq\mu} \subset \mathcal{N}_{<\lambda}$, so x belongs to $\mathcal{N}_{<\lambda}$.

This completes the verification of the first half of (**). We next proceed to the latter half: We show that the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{N}_{<\lambda} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{N}_{\leq\lambda} \end{array}$$

is cocartesian. Clearly $\mathcal{N}_{\leq\lambda}$ is the union of the images of K and $\mathcal{N}_{<\lambda}$. So it suffices to show that if a simplex z of K is mapped into $\mathcal{N}_{<\lambda}$, then z belongs to K_0 . Taking the contrapositive, we will show that if z does not belong to K_0 , then its image in $\mathcal{N}_{\leq\lambda}$ does not belong to $\mathcal{N}_{<\lambda}$. Let $x : \Delta^r \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\leq\lambda}$ be the image of z . By the definition of the map $K \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\leq\lambda}$ and by the hypothesis that z does not belong to K_0 , there is an integer $0 \leq r' \leq r$ such that $x|\Delta^{\{0,\dots,r'\}}$ is a degeneration of τ_λ and, if $r' < r$, then $p\left(x|\Delta^{\{r',r'+1\}}\right)$ is inert and $p\left(x|\Delta^{\{r'+1,\dots,r\}}\right)$ is the constant map at $(\langle 1 \rangle, n)$. The head of $p(x)$ is thus a degeneration of either σ'_a or its associate, and so it does not belong to $F_{<a}$ by $(\blacklozenge\blacklozenge)$. Therefore, x does not belong to $\mathcal{M}_{F_{<a}}^{\otimes}$. So should x belong to $\mathcal{N}_{<\lambda}$, then $x|\Delta^{\{0,\dots,r'\}}$ must factor through τ_μ for some $\mu < \lambda$. This is impossible because $x|\Delta^{\{0,\dots,r'\}}$ is a degeneration of τ_λ . Hence x does not belong to $\mathcal{N}_{<\lambda}$.

(Case 2) Suppose that σ'_a belongs to $G'_{(3)}$. We will show that the inclusion $\mathcal{M}_{<a}^{\otimes} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\leq a}^{\otimes}$ is a weak categorical equivalence. The desired extension of $f_{<a}$ can then be found because the map q is a categorical fibration.

Let σ be the unique associate of σ'_a , which we depict as

$$\langle\langle k_0 \rangle\rangle, e_0 \xrightarrow{\alpha_\sigma(1)} \dots \xrightarrow{\alpha_\sigma(m)} \langle\langle k_m \rangle\rangle, e_m.$$

Choose integers $0 < j < k \leq m$ such that $\alpha_\sigma(i)$ is strongly inert for $i = j$, active for $j < i \leq k$, and strongly inert for $i > k$. Set $Y = (N(\mathbf{Fin}_*) \times \Delta^n)_{/\sigma} \times_{\Delta^n} \{0\}$. Using (\blacklozenge) , we may consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y \star \Lambda_j^m & \longrightarrow & \overline{F_{<a}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y \star \Delta^m & \longrightarrow & \overline{F_{\leq a}}. \end{array}$$

Using $(\blacklozenge\blacklozenge)$, we deduce that this square is cocartesian. Therefore, it suffices to show that the inclusion

$$(Y \star \Lambda_j^m) \times_{N(\mathbf{Fin}_*) \times \Delta^n} \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow (Y \star \Delta^m) \times_{N(\mathbf{Fin}_*) \times \Delta^n} \mathcal{M}^\otimes$$

is a weak categorical equivalence. We will prove more generally that for any morphism $Y' \rightarrow Y$ of simplicial sets, the map

$$\eta_{Y'} : (Y' \star \Lambda_j^m) \times_{N(\mathbf{Fin}_*) \times \Delta^n} \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow (Y' \star \Delta^m) \times_{N(\mathbf{Fin}_*) \times \Delta^n} \mathcal{M}^\otimes$$

is a weak categorical equivalence. The assignment $Y' \mapsto \eta_{Y'}$ defines a functor from \mathbf{sSet}/Y to the arrow category $\mathbf{sSet}^{[1]}$ which commutes with filtered colimits. Since weak categorical equivalences are stable under filtered colimits, we may assume that Y' is a finite simplicial set. If Y' is empty, the claim follows from [Lur17, Lemma 2.4.4.6]. For the inductive step, we can find a pushout diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \partial\Delta^p & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Delta^p & \longrightarrow & Y' \end{array}$$

in \mathbf{sSet}/Y , such that the claim holds for X . The map $\eta_{Y'}$ factors as

$$\begin{aligned} (Y' \star \Lambda_j^m) \times_{N(\mathbf{Fin}_*) \times \Delta^n} \mathcal{M}^\otimes &\xrightarrow{\phi} (Y' \star \Lambda_j^m \cup X \star \Delta^m) \times_{N(\mathbf{Fin}_*) \times \Delta^n} \mathcal{M}^\otimes \\ &\xrightarrow{\psi} (Y' \star \Delta^m) \times_{N(\mathbf{Fin}_*) \times \Delta^n} \mathcal{M}^\otimes. \end{aligned}$$

The map ϕ is a pushout of η_X , and hence is a trivial cofibration. The map ψ is a pushout of the inclusion

$$\psi' : (\Delta^p \star \Lambda_j^m \cup \partial\Delta^p \star \Delta^m) \times_{N(\mathbf{Fin}_*) \times \Delta^n} \mathcal{M}^\otimes \rightarrow (\Delta^p \star \Delta^m) \times_{N(\mathbf{Fin}_*) \times \Delta^n} \mathcal{M}^\otimes.$$

Using the isomorphism $\Delta^p \star \Lambda_j^m \cup \partial\Delta^p \star \Delta^m \cong \Lambda_{p+1+j}^{m+p+1}$ and Lemma [Lur17, Lemma 2.4.4.6], we deduce that ψ' is a weak categorical equivalence. Hence ψ is a weak categorical equivalence, completing the treatment of Case 2.

It remains to construct a well-ordering on A which satisfies (\blacklozenge) . For each $a \in A$, define integers $u_{\text{neut}}(a), u_{\text{act}}(a), u_{\text{oc}}(a), u_{\text{as}}(a)$ as follows: Let σ be an associate of σ'_a , and set $\alpha_\sigma(i) = \sigma|\Delta^{\{i-1, i\}}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Then:

- $u_{\text{neut}}(a)$ is the number of integers $1 \leq i \leq m$ such that $\alpha_\sigma(i)$ is neutral.
- $u_{\text{act}}(a)$ is the number of integers $1 \leq i \leq m$ such that $\alpha_\sigma(i)$ is active.
- $u_{\text{oc}}(a)$ is set equal to 0 if σ is closed, and is set equal to 1 if σ is open.
- $u_{\text{as}}(a)$ is the number of pairs of integers $1 \leq i < j \leq m$ such that $\alpha_\sigma(i)$ is active and $\alpha_\sigma(j)$ is strictly inert.

We choose a well-ordering on A so that the function

$$A \ni a \mapsto (u_{\text{neut}}(a), u_{\text{act}}(a), u_{\text{oc}}(a), u_{\text{as}}(a)) \in \mathbb{Z}^4$$

is non-decreasing, where \mathbb{Z}^4 is equipped with the lexicographic ordering. (Thus $(n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4) < (n'_1, n'_2, n'_3, n'_4)$ if and only if $n_i < n'_i$, where i is the minimal integer for which $n_i \neq n'_i$.) We will show that this ordering does the job.

Let a, σ, i be as in (\blacklozenge) . We wish to show that $d_i\sigma$ belongs to $F_{<a}$. If $d_i\sigma$ is incomplete or degenerate, then it belongs to $F(m-1)$ and we are done. So assume that $d_i\sigma$ is complete and nondegenerate. Then $d_i\sigma$ belongs to (exactly) one of the sets $G_{(1)}, G_{(2)}, G'_{(2)}, G_{(3)}, G'_{(3)}$. If $d_i\sigma$ belongs to $G_{(1)} \cup G_{(2)} \cup G_{(3)}$, or if it belongs to $G'_{(2)}$ and has no associates, then it belongs to $F''(m)$ and we are done. So we will assume that $d_i\sigma$ belongs to $G'_{(2)} \cup G'_{(3)}$ and has an associate, so that $d_i\sigma = \sigma'_b$ for some $b \in A$. We wish to show that $b < a$.

We will make use of the following notations. Let $\alpha_\sigma(i) = \sigma|\Delta^{\{i-1, i\}}$. Let $0 \leq k \leq m$ be the minimal integer such that $\alpha_\sigma(i)$ is strongly inert for every $i > k$, and let $0 \leq j \leq k$ be the minimal integer such that $\alpha_\sigma(i)$ is active for every $j < i \leq k$.

Suppose first that $\sigma'_a \in G'_{(2)}$. Our assumption on $d_i\sigma$ implies that $i = k$ and that the composite $\alpha_\sigma(k+1) \circ \alpha_\sigma(k)$ is neutral. It follows that the associate τ of $d_i\sigma$ satisfies $\alpha_\tau(s) = \alpha_\sigma(s)$ for $s \neq k, k+1$, $\alpha_\tau(i)$ is strictly inert, and $\alpha_\tau(k+1)$ is active. Thus $u_{\text{neut}}(a) = u_{\text{neut}}(b)$, $u_{\text{act}}(a) = u_{\text{act}}(b)$, $u_{\text{oc}}(a) = u_{\text{oc}}(b)$, and $u_{\text{as}}(a) > u_{\text{as}}(b)$. Hence $a > b$, as required.

Suppose next that $\sigma'_a \in G'_{(3)}$ and that $d_i\sigma \in G'_{(2)}$.

- If $u_{\text{neut}}(a) > 0$, we are done, since $u_{\text{neut}}(b) = 0$.
- If $u_{\text{neut}}(a) = 0$, then each $\alpha_\sigma(i)$ is either active or strongly inert. It follows that $u_{\text{act}}(a)$ is not less than the number of active morphisms in $d_i\sigma$, which is equal to $u_{\text{act}}(b)$. So $u_{\text{act}}(a) \geq u_{\text{act}}(b)$. If $u_{\text{act}}(a) > u_{\text{act}}(b)$, we are done.
- If $u_{\text{neut}}(a) = 0$ and $u_{\text{act}}(a) = u_{\text{act}}(b)$, then σ is open. Indeed, if σ were closed, then $i = m$ since $d_i\sigma$ is open. But then $d_i\sigma$ must contain a subsequence consisting of a strictly inert morphism followed by an active morphism. This is impossible because $d_i\sigma$ belongs to $G'_{(2)}$. Hence $u_{\text{oc}}(a) > u_{\text{oc}}(b)$ and we are done.

Finally, suppose that $\sigma'_a \in G'_{(3)}$ and that $d_i\sigma \in G'_{(3)}$. Note that our assumption on $d_i\sigma$ forces $i = j-1$ or $i = k$.

- The number of neutral morphisms in $d_i\sigma$ is at most $u_{\text{neut}}(a) + 1$, so

$$(4.2) \quad u_{\text{neut}}(a) \geq \#\{\text{neutral morphisms in } d_i\sigma\} - 1 = u_{\text{neut}}(b).$$

If $u_{\text{neut}}(a) > u_{\text{neut}}(b)$, we are done.

- Suppose $u_{\text{neut}}(a) = u_{\text{neut}}(b)$, so that the equality holds in (4.2). Then $0 < i < m$, the composite $\alpha_\sigma(i+1) \circ \alpha_\sigma(i)$ is neutral, and $\alpha_\sigma(i)$ is active. ($\alpha_\sigma(i)$ is necessarily strictly inert since $i = j-1$ or $i = k$.) It follows that the associate τ of $d_i\sigma$ satisfies $\alpha_\tau(s) = \alpha_\sigma(s)$ for $s \neq i, i+1$, $\alpha_\tau(i)$ is strictly inert, and $\alpha_\tau(i+1)$ is active. Thus $u_{\text{neut}}(a) = u_{\text{neut}}(b)$, $u_{\text{act}}(a) = u_{\text{act}}(b)$, $u_{\text{oc}}(a) = u_{\text{oc}}(b)$, and $u_{\text{as}}(a) > u_{\text{as}}(b)$. Hence $a > b$, as desired.

This completes the proof of (\blacklozenge) and hence the proof of the theorem. □

REFERENCES

- [HHR21] Fabian Hebestreit, Gijs Heuts, and Jaco Ruit, *A short proof of the straightening theorem*, 2021.
- [Hov07] M. Hovey, *Model categories*, Mathematical surveys and monographs, American Mathematical Society, 2007.
- [Lur09] J. Lurie, *Higher topos theory (am-170)*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, Princeton University Press, 2009.
- [Lur17] ———, *Higher algebra*, <https://www.math.ias.edu/lurie/papers/HA.pdf>, 2017.
- [Lur23] Jacob Lurie, *Kerodon*, <https://kerodon.net>, 2023.

Email address: arakawa.kensuke.22c@st.kyoto-u.ac.jp

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO, 606-8502, JAPAN