

A COMPACT EXTENSION OF JOURNÉ'S $T1$ THEOREM ON PRODUCT SPACES

MINGMING CAO, KÔZÔ YABUTA, AND DACHUN YANG

ABSTRACT. We prove a compact version of the $T1$ theorem for bi-parameter singular integrals. That is, if a bi-parameter singular integral T admits compact full and partial kernel representations, and satisfies the weak compactness property, the diagonal CMO condition, and the product BMO condition, then T can be extended to a compact operator on $L^p(w)$ for all $1 < p < \infty$ and $w \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})$. Even in the unweighted setting, it is the first time to give a compact extension of Journé's $T1$ theorem on product spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Motivation and the main theorem. A central theme in the theory of singular integrals is to establish the boundedness of operators on various function spaces. In the 1980s, David and Journé [7] proved the original $T1$ theorem, which gave a characterization of the L^2 boundedness for Calderón–Zygmund operators based on testing conditions. More specifically, they showed that a singular integral operator T associated with a Calderón–Zygmund kernel is bounded on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if it satisfies the weak boundedness property and $T1, T^*1 \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. It is a deep result of crucial importance because many examples of operators, such as the Calderón commutators and pseudo-differential operators, can be covered by this result. Besides, the L^2 boundedness is usually fundamental to the further analysis, such as achieving L^p boundedness, the endpoint weak $(1, 1)$ estimate, and some weighted estimates.

The theory of multi-parameter singular integrals originated in the work of Fefferman and Stein [12], who carefully treated bi-parameter operators of convolution type. Soon after, using the vector-valued Calderón–Zygmund theory, Journé [19] first established a bi-parameter $T1$ theorem for a class of general bi-parameter singular integral operators which are not necessarily of convolution type. Recently, Pott and Villarroya [21] introduced a new class of bi-parameter singular integral operators, where the vector-valued formulations are replaced by mixed type conditions directly assumed on operators. This machinery was refined by Martikainen [18], who proved a bi-parameter representation of singular integrals by dyadic shifts, and then obtained, as a pleasant byproduct, a bi-parameter $T1$ theorem. It was observed in [15] that the full and partial kernel assumptions in [18] are actually equivalent to the vector-valued formulations of Journé [19]. For more work about the multi-parameter theory and applications, see [4, 5, 10, 11, 13, 20].

Date: April 16, 2023.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 42B20, 42B25, 42B35.

Key words and phrases. Bi-parameter singular integrals, Compactness, $T1$ theorem, Dyadic analysis.

The first author acknowledges financial support from Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation through the Ramón y Cajal 2021 (RYC2021-032600-I), through the “Severo Ochoa Programme for Centres of Excellence in R&D” (CEX2019-000904-S), and through PID2019-107914GB-I00, and from the Spanish National Research Council through the “Ayuda extraordinaria a Centros de Excelencia Severo Ochoa” (20205CEX001). The third author was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11971058 and 12071197), the National Key Research and Development Program of China (Grant No. 2020YFA0712900).

Regarding the compactness of singular integrals, Villarroja [22] first presented a new $T1$ theorem to characterize the compactness for a large class of singular integral operators, in the spirit of David and Journé [7]. The study of the compactness of singular integrals has found useful applications in the field of partial differential equations and geometric measure theory. For example, Fabes et al. [8] applied the compactness of layer potentials to solve the Dirichlet and Neumann boundary value problems, while Hofmann et al. [16, Section 4.6] characterized regular SKT domains via the compactness of layer potentials and commutators.

However, the $T1$ theorem to deduce compactness of multi-parameter singular integrals has been open in the last several years. The purpose of this paper is to develop a general theory of the compactness for a class of bi-parameter singular integrals. To this end, the first problem is how to impose appropriate hypotheses on operators in the bi-parameter case because the general bi-parameter singular integrals (cf. Definition 1.5) are not compact on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})$. For example, consider

$$B := \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}) : \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})} < 1\}$$

and $\mathcal{H} := H \otimes H$, where H denotes the Hilbert transform on the real line. It is not hard to check that $\mathcal{H}(B) = B$. Since \overline{B} is not a compact set in $L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$, we conclude that \mathcal{H} is not compact on $L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$. In view of the work [18, 22], we will use mixed type conditions involving the size and the Hölder estimates of the kernel, a weak compactness property, and CMO conditions. Having formulated proper assumptions on operators, we have to deal with the proof. The proof in the one-parameter setting [22] seems too complicated to be generalized to the bi-parameter case because we have to deal with the much added complexity of the bi-parameter situation, especially some interesting mixed type phenomena. Fortunately, dyadic analysis provides us an effective approach. Furthermore, the bilinear extension will be treated in our forthcoming papers [1, 3].

To set the stage, let us give the definition of the compactness of operators. Given normed spaces \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} , a linear operator $T : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is said to be *compact* if for all bounded sets $A \subset \mathcal{X}$, the set $T(A)$ is relatively compact in \mathcal{Y} . Equivalently, T is compact if for all bounded sequences $\{x_n\} \subset \mathcal{X}$, the sequence $\{T(x_n)\}$ has a convergent subsequence in \mathcal{Y} . More definitions and notation are given in Sections 1.2 and 2.

Now we state our main result as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let T be a bi-parameter singular integral operator. Assume that*

- (a) *T admits compact full and partial kernel representations,*
- (b) *T satisfies the weak compactness property,*
- (c) *T satisfies the diagonal CMO condition,*
- (d) *T satisfies the product BMO condition.*

Then T can be extended to a compact operator on $L^p(w)$ for all $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $w \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})$.

Our main theorem contains several novelties. We introduce a general framework for operators and kernels in order to establish the compactness of bi-parameter singular integrals. Even in the unweighted case, we, for the first time, not only extend the result in [22] to the case of bi-parameter singular integrals, but also improve Journé's $T1$ theorem on product spaces (cf. [19]) to the corresponding compact version. On the other hand, in the weighted setting, we successfully obtain the natural range for $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $w \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})$, which greatly improved Fefferman's weighted estimates with $p > 2$ and $w \in A_{p/2}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ (cf. [10, p. 123]). A

main technique to achieve this is the weighted interpolation for compact operators (cf. Theorem 2.2), for which the idea is essentially contained in the Rubio de Francia extrapolation for compact operators in our previous paper [2] working with Olivo. Indeed, inspired by [2], to show Theorem 1.1, we just need to establish the weighted boundedness of T on $L^r(w)$ for all $r \in (1, \infty)$ and $w \in A_r(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})$, and the compactness of T on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})$. The latter is the core of the proof because the former is somehow involved in the recent literature (see e.g. [17]). Additionally, applying the method of dyadic analysis, our proof is totally different from the one in [22], where wavelet decomposition was used. As we will see below, back to the one-parameter situation, our argument is much more natural and simpler than that in [22]. Beyond that, in the bi-parameter scenario, our result refines [18, Corollary 2.5] and our proof does not need a representation theorem, probabilistic arguments, or goodness of cubes.

1.2. Bi-parameter singular integral operators. Once we have stated our main result, we present the precise definitions of all the previous concepts so to have a rigorous idea of what we are handling. First of all, we proceed to define bi-parameter singular integral operators and compact full and partial kernel representations.

Definition 1.2. Let \mathcal{F} consist of all triples (F_1, F_2, F_3) of bounded functions $F_1, F_2, F_3 : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} F_1(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} F_2(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} F_3(t) = 0.$$

For $i = 1, 2$, let \mathcal{F}_i be the collection of all bounded functions $F_i : \mathcal{Q}_{n_i} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$\lim_{\ell(I_i) \rightarrow 0} F_i(I_i) = \lim_{\ell(I_i) \rightarrow \infty} F_i(I_i) = \lim_{|c_{I_i}| \rightarrow \infty} F_i(I_i) = 0.$$

Given a linear operator T acting on functions defined on the product space $\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$, we define its *adjoint* T^* and its *partial adjoint* T_1 by

$$\langle T(f_1 \otimes f_2), g_1 \otimes g_2 \rangle = \langle f_1 \otimes f_2, T^*(g_1 \otimes g_2) \rangle = \langle f_1 \otimes g_2, T_1(g_1 \otimes f_2) \rangle.$$

We naturally have

$$\langle T_1^*(f_1 \otimes f_2), g_1 \otimes g_2 \rangle = \langle g_1 \otimes f_2, T(f_1 \otimes g_2) \rangle.$$

Throughout this article, we always assume that $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in (0, 1]$ are fixed numbers. For convenience, we use ℓ^∞ metrics on \mathbb{R}^{n_1} and \mathbb{R}^{n_2} .

Definition 1.3. A linear operator T admits a *compact full kernel representation* if the following hold. If $f = f_1 \otimes f_2$ and $g = g_1 \otimes g_2$ with $f_1, g_1 : \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $f_2, g_2 : \mathbb{R}^{n_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $\text{supp}(f_1) \cap \text{supp}(g_1) = \emptyset$, and $\text{supp}(f_2) \cap \text{supp}(g_2) = \emptyset$, then

$$\langle Tf, g \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1+n_2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1+n_2}} K(x, y) f(y) g(x) dx dy,$$

where the kernel $K : (\mathbb{R}^{n_1+n_2} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_1+n_2}) \setminus \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1+n_2} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_1+n_2} : x_1 = y_1 \text{ or } x_2 = y_2\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies

(1) the size condition

$$|K(x, y)| \leq \frac{F_1(x_1, y_1)}{|x_1 - y_1|^{n_1}} \frac{F_2(x_2, y_2)}{|x_2 - y_2|^{n_2}}.$$

(2) the Hölder conditions

$$\begin{aligned} & |K(x, y) - K(x, (y_1, y'_2)) - K(x, (y'_1, y_2)) + K(x, y')| \\ & \leq \left(\frac{|y_1 - y'_1|}{|x_1 - y_1|} \right)^{\delta_1} \frac{F_1(x_1, y_1)}{|x_1 - y_1|^{n_1}} \left(\frac{|y_2 - y'_2|}{|x_2 - y_2|} \right)^{\delta_2} \frac{F_2(x_2, y_2)}{|x_2 - y_2|^{n_2}} \end{aligned}$$

whenever $|y_1 - y'_1| \leq |x_1 - y_1|/2$ and $|y_2 - y'_2| \leq |x_2 - y_2|/2$,

$$\begin{aligned} & |K(x, y) - K((x_1, x'_2), y) - K((x'_1, x_2), y) + K(x', y)| \\ & \leq \left(\frac{|x_1 - x'_1|}{|x_1 - y_1|} \right)^{\delta_1} \frac{F_1(x_1, y_1)}{|x_1 - y_1|^{n_1}} \left(\frac{|x_2 - x'_2|}{|x_2 - y_2|} \right)^{\delta_2} \frac{F_2(x_2, y_2)}{|x_2 - y_2|^{n_2}} \end{aligned}$$

whenever $|x_1 - x'_1| \leq |x_1 - y_1|/2$ and $|x_2 - x'_2| \leq |x_2 - y_2|/2$,

$$\begin{aligned} & |K(x, y) - K((x_1, x'_2), y) - K(x, (y'_1, y_2)) + K((x_1, x'_2), (y'_1, y_2))| \\ & \leq \left(\frac{|y_1 - y'_1|}{|x_1 - y_1|} \right)^{\delta_1} \frac{F_1(x_1, y_1)}{|x_1 - y_1|^{n_1}} \left(\frac{|x_2 - x'_2|}{|x_2 - y_2|} \right)^{\delta_2} \frac{F_2(x_2, y_2)}{|x_2 - y_2|^{n_2}} \end{aligned}$$

whenever $|y_1 - y'_1| \leq |x_1 - y_1|/2$ and $|x_2 - x'_2| \leq |x_2 - y_2|/2$, and

$$\begin{aligned} & |K(x, y) - K(x, (y_1, y'_2)) - K((x'_1, x_2), y) + K((x'_1, x_2), (y_1, y'_2))| \\ & \leq \left(\frac{|x_1 - x'_1|}{|x_1 - y_1|} \right)^{\delta_1} \frac{F_1(x_1, y_1)}{|x_1 - y_1|^{n_1}} \left(\frac{|y_2 - y'_2|}{|x_2 - y_2|} \right)^{\delta_2} \frac{F_2(x_2, y_2)}{|x_2 - y_2|^{n_2}} \end{aligned}$$

whenever $|x_1 - x'_1| \leq |x_1 - y_1|/2$ and $|y_2 - y'_2| \leq |x_2 - y_2|/2$.

(3) the mixed size and Hölder conditions

$$|K(x, y) - K((x'_1, x_2), y)| \leq \left(\frac{|x_1 - x'_1|}{|x_1 - y_1|} \right)^{\delta_1} \frac{F_1(x_1, y_1)}{|x_1 - y_1|^{n_1}} \frac{F_2(x_2, y_2)}{|x_2 - y_2|^{n_2}}$$

whenever $|x_1 - x'_1| \leq |x_1 - y_1|/2$,

$$|K(x, y) - K(x, (y'_1, y_2))| \leq \left(\frac{|y_1 - y'_1|}{|x_1 - y_1|} \right)^{\delta_1} \frac{F_1(x_1, y_1)}{|x_1 - y_1|^{n_1}} \frac{F_2(x_2, y_2)}{|x_2 - y_2|^{n_2}}$$

whenever $|y_1 - y'_1| \leq |x_1 - y_1|/2$,

$$|K(x, y) - K((x_1, x'_2), y)| \leq \frac{F_1(x_1, y_1)}{|x_1 - y_1|^{n_1}} \left(\frac{|x_2 - x'_2|}{|x_2 - y_2|} \right)^{\delta_2} \frac{F_2(x_2, y_2)}{|x_2 - y_2|^{n_2}}$$

whenever $|x_2 - x'_2| \leq |x_2 - y_2|/2$, and

$$|K(x, y) - K(x, (y_1, y'_2))| \leq \frac{F_1(x_1, y_1)}{|x_1 - y_1|^{n_1}} \left(\frac{|y_2 - y'_2|}{|x_2 - y_2|} \right)^{\delta_2} \frac{F_2(x_2, y_2)}{|x_2 - y_2|^{n_2}}$$

whenever $|y_2 - y'_2| \leq |x_2 - y_2|/2$.

(4) the function F_i above is given by

$$F_i(x_i, y_i) := F_{i,1}(|x_i - y_i|)F_{i,2}(|x_i - y_i|)F_{i,3}(|x_i + y_i|),$$

where $(F_{i,1}, F_{i,2}, F_{i,3}) \in \mathcal{F}$, $i = 1, 2$.

We say that a linear operator T admits a *full kernel representation* if both F_1 and F_2 in (1)–(3) above are replaced by a uniform constant $C \geq 1$.

Definition 1.4. A linear operator T admits a *compact partial kernel representation on the first parameter* if the following hold. If $f = f_1 \otimes f_2$ and $g = g_1 \otimes g_2$ with $\text{supp}(f_1) \cap \text{supp}(g_1) = \emptyset$, then

$$\langle Tf, g \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1}} K_{f_2, g_2}(x_1, y_1) f_1(y_1) g_1(x_1) dx_1 dy_1,$$

where the kernel $K_{f_2, g_2} : (\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_1}) \setminus \{(x_1, y_1) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_1} : x_1 = y_1\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies

(i) the size condition

$$|K_{f_2, g_2}(x_1, y_1)| \leq C(f_2, g_2) \frac{F_1(x_1, y_1)}{|x_1 - y_1|^{n_1}}.$$

(ii) the Hölder conditions

$$|K_{f_2, g_2}(x_1, y_1) - K_{f_2, g_2}(x'_1, y_1)| \leq C(f_2, g_2) \left(\frac{|x_1 - x'_1|}{|x_1 - y_1|} \right)^{\delta_1} \frac{F_1(x_1, y_1)}{|x_1 - y_1|^{n_1}}$$

whenever $|x_1 - x'_1| \leq |x_1 - y_1|/2$, and

$$|K_{f_2, g_2}(x_1, y_1) - K_{f_2, g_2}(x_1, y'_1)| \leq C(f_2, g_2) \left(\frac{|y_1 - y'_1|}{|x_1 - y_1|} \right)^{\delta_1} \frac{F_1(x_1, y_1)}{|x_1 - y_1|^{n_1}}$$

whenever $|y_1 - y'_1| \leq |x_1 - y_1|/2$.

(iii) the function F_1 above is given by

$$F_1(x_1, y_1) := F_{1,1}(|x_1 - y_1|) F_{1,2}(|x_1 - y_1|) F_{1,3}(|x_1 + y_1|),$$

where $(F_{1,1}, F_{1,2}, F_{1,3}) \in \mathcal{F}$.

(iv) the bound $C(f_2, g_2)$ above verifies

$$C(\mathbf{1}_{I_2}, \mathbf{1}_{I_2}) + C(\mathbf{1}_{I_2}, a_{I_2}) + C(a_{I_2}, \mathbf{1}_{I_2}) \leq F_2(I_2) |I_2|,$$

for all cubes $I_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$ and all functions a_{I_2} satisfying $\text{supp}(a_{I_2}) \subset I_2$, $|a_{I_2}| \leq 1$, and $\int a_{I_2} = 0$, where $F_2 \in \mathcal{F}_2$.

Analogously, one can define a *compact partial kernel representation on the second parameter* when $\text{supp}(f_2) \cap \text{supp}(g_2) = \emptyset$.

We say that a linear operator T admits *compact partial kernel representations* if it admits a compact partial kernel representation on both the first and second parameters.

We say that a linear operator T admits *partial kernel representations* if both F_1 and F_2 in compact partial kernel representations are replaced by a uniform constant $C \geq 1$.

Definition 1.5. If a linear operator T admits full and partial kernel representations, we call T a *bi-parameter singular integral operator*.

Next, let us give compactness and cancellation assumptions for bi-parameter singular integral operators.

Definition 1.6. We say that T satisfies the *weak compactness property* if

$$|\langle T(\mathbf{1}_{I_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I_2}), \mathbf{1}_{I_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I_2} \rangle| \leq F_1(I_1) |I_1| F_2(I_2) |I_2|,$$

for all cubes $I_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$ and $I_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$, where $F_1 \in \mathcal{F}_1$ and $F_2 \in \mathcal{F}_2$. We say that T satisfies the *weak boundedness property* if both F_1 and F_2 above are replaced by a uniform constant $C \geq 1$.

Definition 1.7. We say that T satisfies the *diagonal CMO conditions* if for every $i = 1, 2$, for every cube $I_i \subset \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$, and for every function a_{I_i} such that $\text{supp}(a_{I_i}) \subset I_i$, $|a_{I_i}| \leq 1$, and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_i}} a_{I_i} dx_i = 0$, there holds

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle T(a_{I_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I_2}), \mathbf{1}_{I_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I_2} \rangle| + |\langle T(\mathbf{1}_{I_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I_2}), a_{I_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I_2} \rangle| \\ & \quad + |\langle T(\mathbf{1}_{I_1} \otimes a_{I_2}), \mathbf{1}_{I_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I_2} \rangle| + |\langle T(\mathbf{1}_{I_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I_2}), \mathbf{1}_{I_1} \otimes a_{I_2} \rangle| \\ & \leq F_1(I_1)|I_1|F_2(I_2)|I_2|, \end{aligned}$$

where $F_1 \in \mathcal{F}_1$ and $F_2 \in \mathcal{F}_2$. We say that T satisfies the *diagonal BMO conditions* if both F_1 and F_2 above are replaced by a uniform constant $C \geq 1$.

Finally, let us define the product BMO space. Let \mathcal{D}_0 be the standard dyadic grid on \mathbb{R}^n :

$$\mathcal{D}_0 := \{2^{-k}([0, 1]^n + m) : k \in \mathbb{Z}, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}.$$

Let $\Omega := (\{0, 1\}^n)^\mathbb{Z}$ and let \mathbb{P} be the natural probability measure on Ω such that the coordinates are independent and uniformly distributed on $\{0, 1\}^n$. Given $\omega = (\omega_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \Omega$, the *random dyadic grid* \mathcal{D}_ω on \mathbb{R}^n is defined by

$$\mathcal{D}_\omega := \left\{ Q + \omega := Q + \sum_{k: 2^{-k} < \ell(Q)} 2^{-k} \omega_k : Q \in \mathcal{D}_0 \right\}.$$

By a *dyadic grid* \mathcal{D} we mean that $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_\omega$ for some $\omega \in \Omega$.

Given a dyadic grid \mathcal{D}_{n_i} on \mathbb{R}^{n_i} , $i = 1, 2$, we denote the collection of dyadic rectangles by $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_{n_1} \times \mathcal{D}_{n_2}$. We say that a locally integrable function $b : \mathbb{R}^{n_1+n_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ belongs to the *dyadic product BMO space* $\text{BMO}_{\text{prod}}(\mathcal{D})$ if

$$\|b\|_{\text{BMO}_{\text{prod}}(\mathcal{D})} := \sup_{\Omega} \left(\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \sum_{\substack{I_1 \times I_2 \in \mathcal{D} \\ I_1 \times I_2 \subset \Omega}} |\langle b, h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2} \rangle|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all sets $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$ such that $|\Omega| < \infty$ and for every $x \in \Omega$ there exists an $I_1 \times I_2 \in \mathcal{D}$ so that $x \in I_1 \times I_2 \subset \Omega$. The *non-dyadic product BMO space* $\text{BMO}_{\text{prod}}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ can be defined using the norm defined by the supremum over all dyadic grids of the above dyadic norm.

Definition 1.8. A linear operator T satisfies the *product BMO condition* if

$$b \in \text{BMO}_{\text{prod}}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}) \quad \text{for all } b \in \{T1, T^*1, T_1(1), T_1^*(1)\}.$$

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains some preliminaries, definitions, and auxiliary results to show our main theorem. Section 3 is devoted to presenting crucial reductions. In Section 4, we give main estimates for matrix elements involved in the expansion of $\langle Tf, g \rangle$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Notation.

- For convenience, we use ℓ^∞ metrics on \mathbb{R}^{n_1} and \mathbb{R}^{n_2} .
- Let \mathcal{Q}_{n_i} denote the collection of all cubes in \mathbb{R}^{n_i} , $i = 1, 2$.
- Given a cube $I \subset \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$, $i = 1, 2$, we denote its center by c_I and its edge length by $\ell(I)$. For any $\lambda > 0$, we denote by λI the cube with the center c_I and edge length $\lambda \ell(I)$. The measure of I is simply denoted by I no matter what dimension we are in.

- Write $\mathbb{I}_i = [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]^{n_i}$ and $\lambda\mathbb{I}_i = [-\frac{\lambda}{2}, \frac{\lambda}{2}]^{n_i}$, $i = 1, 2$.
- Let \mathcal{D}_{n_i} denote a general dyadic grid in \mathbb{R}^{n_i} , $i = 1, 2$.
- For every $i = 1, 2$ and $I \in \mathcal{D}_{n_i}$, set $\text{ch}(I) := \{I' \in \mathcal{D}_{n_i} : I' \subset I, \ell(I') = \ell(I)/2\}$ and let $I^{(k)}$ denote the unique dyadic cube $I'' \in \mathcal{D}_{n_i}$ so that $I \subset I''$ and $\ell(I'') = 2^k \ell(I)$.
- Given cubes $I, J \subset \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$, $i = 1, 2$, we denote by $I \vee J$ the cube with minimal edge length containing $I \cup J$. If there is more than one cube satisfying this condition, we will simply select one.
- Given cubes $I, J \subset \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$, $i = 1, 2$, we respectively define the relative size and the relative distance between them by

$$\text{rsize}(I, J) := \frac{\min\{\ell(I), \ell(J)\}}{\max\{\ell(I), \ell(J)\}} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{rdist}(I, J) := \frac{\text{dist}(I, J)}{\max\{\ell(I), \ell(J)\}}.$$

Moreover, when $I \subset 5J$, we define the inner relative distance between I and J by

$$\text{irdist}(I, J) := 1 + \frac{\text{dist}(I, \text{sk}(J))}{\ell(I)},$$

where $\text{sk}(J) = \bigcup_{J' \in \text{ch}(J)} \partial J'$ is the skeleton of J .

- For each $i = 1, 2$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}_+$, we define $\mathcal{D}_{n_i}(N)$ as the family of all $I \in \mathcal{D}_{n_i}$ with $2^{-N} \leq \ell(I) \leq 2^N$ and $\text{rdist}(I, 2^N \mathbb{I}_i) \leq N$.
- For each $i = 1, 2$, $I \notin \mathcal{D}_{n_i}(N)$ means $I \in \mathcal{D}_{n_i} \setminus \mathcal{D}_{n_i}(N)$.
- Given a cube $I \subset \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$ and $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^{n_i})$, $i = 1, 2$, we write $\langle f \rangle_I := \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I f \, dx$.
- We shall use $a \lesssim b$ and $a \simeq b$ to mean, respectively, that $a \leq Cb$ and $0 < c \leq a/b \leq C$, where the constants c and C are harmless positive constants, not necessarily the same at each occurrence, which depend only on dimension and the constants appearing in the hypotheses of theorems.

2.2. Haar functions. Let h_I be an $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ normalized Haar function related to $I \in \mathcal{D}_n$, where \mathcal{D}_n is a dyadic grid on \mathbb{R}^n . This means the following. Given $I = I_1 \times \cdots \times I_n$, h_I is one of the 2^n functions h_I^η , $\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n) \in \{0, 1\}^n$, defined by

$$h_I^\eta = h_{I_1}^{\eta_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes h_{I_n}^{\eta_n},$$

where $h_{I_i}^0 = |I_i|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{1}_{I_i}$ and $h_{I_i}^1 = |I_i|^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\mathbf{1}_{I_i^-} - \mathbf{1}_{I_i^+})$ for every $i = 1, \dots, n$. Here I_i^- and I_i^+ are the left and right halves of the interval I_i respectively. Note that for any $\eta \neq 0$, the Haar function is cancellative : $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h_I^\eta \, dx = 0$. We usually suppress the presence of η and simply write h_I for some h_I^η , $\eta \neq 0$.

All the cancellative Haar functions on \mathbb{R}^n form an orthonormal basis of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Thus, for each $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we may write

$$g = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{D}_n} \sum_{\eta \in \{0, 1\}^n \setminus \{0\}} \langle g, h_I^\eta \rangle h_I^\eta.$$

However, we suppress the finite η summation and just write $g = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{D}_n} \langle g, h_I \rangle$. We may expand a function $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ using the corresponding product basis:

$$f = \sum_{\substack{I_1 \in \mathcal{D}_{n_1} \\ I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_{n_2}}} \langle f, h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2} \rangle h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}. \quad (2.1)$$

2.3. Weights. A measurable function w on $\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$ is called a *weight* if it is a.e. positive and locally integrable. Given $p \in (1, \infty)$, we say that a weight w on $\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$ belongs to the *bi-parameter weight class* $A_p(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ if

$$[w]_{A_p(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})} := \sup_R \left(\int_R w(x) dx \right) \left(\int_R w(x)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} dx \right)^{p-1} < \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over rectangles $R = I_1 \times I_2$, where I_i is a cube in \mathbb{R}^{n_i} , $i = 1, 2$.

The basic bi-parameter weighted theory can be found in [14, Chapter IV]. Let us present an interpolation theorem for compact operators below, which is a particular case of [6, Theorem 9].

Theorem 2.2. *Let $1 < p_i < \infty$ and $w_i \in A_{p_i}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})$, $i = 0, 1$. Assume that T is a linear operator such that T is bounded on $L^{p_0}(w_0)$ and compact on $L^{p_1}(w_1)$. Then T is compact on $L^p(w)$, where $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}$, $w^{\frac{1}{p}} = w_0^{\frac{1-\theta}{p_0}} w_1^{\frac{\theta}{p_1}}$, and $0 < \theta < 1$.*

2.4. Auxiliary results. We next give two useful lemmas.

Lemma 2.3. *Given $(F_{i,1}, F_{i,2}, F_{i,3}) \in \mathcal{F}$, let*

$$F_i(x_i, y_i) := F_{i,1}(|x_i - y_i|)F_{i,2}(|x_i - y_i|)F_{i,3}(|x_i + y_i|), \quad i = 1, 2.$$

- (i) *For each $i = 1, 2$, there exists a triple $(F'_{i,1}, F'_{i,2}, F'_{i,3}) \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $F'_{i,1}$ is monotone increasing, $F'_{i,2}$ and $F'_{i,3}$ are monotone decreasing, and*

$$F_i(x_i, y_i) \leq F'_i(x_i, y_i) := F'_{i,1}(|x_i - y_i|)F'_{i,2}(|x_i - y_i|)F'_{i,3}\left(1 + \frac{|x_i + y_i|}{1 + |x_i - y_i|}\right). \quad (2.4)$$

- (ii) *Assume that $F_{1,1}$ and $F_{2,1}$ are monotone increasing. Then for each $i = 1, 2$, there exist $\delta'_i \in (0, \delta_i)$ and $(F'_{i,1}, F'_{i,2}, F'_{i,3}) \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $F'_{i,1}$ is monotone increasing, $F'_{i,2}$ and $F'_{i,3}$ are monotone decreasing, and*

$$F_i(x_i, y_i) \frac{|y_i - y'_i|^{\delta'_i}}{|x_i - y_i|^{n_i + \delta'_i}} \leq F'_i(x_i, y_i) \frac{|y_i - y'_i|^{\delta'_i}}{|x_i - y_i|^{n_i + \delta'_i}}, \quad (2.5)$$

whenever $|y_i - y'_i| \leq |x_i - y_i|/2$, where

$$F'_i(x_i, y_i) := F'_{i,1}(|y_i - y'_i|)F'_{i,2}(|x_i - y_i|)F'_{i,3}\left(1 + \frac{|x_i + y_i|}{1 + |x_i - y_i|}\right). \quad (2.6)$$

Proof. By the property of $(F_{i,1}, F_{i,2}, F_{i,3}) \in \mathcal{F}$, we have

$$\lim_{|x_i - y_i| \rightarrow 0} F_i(x_i, y_i) = \lim_{|x_i - y_i| \rightarrow \infty} F_i(x_i, y_i) = \lim_{|x_i + y_i| \rightarrow \infty} F_i(x_i, y_i) = 0. \quad (2.7)$$

Note that $|x_i + y_i| \geq \frac{|x_i + y_i|}{1 + |x_i - y_i|}$. This along with (2.7) gives

$$\lim_{|x_i - y_i| \rightarrow 0} F_i(x_i, y_i) = \lim_{|x_i - y_i| \rightarrow \infty} F_i(x_i, y_i) = \lim_{1 + \frac{|x_i + y_i|}{1 + |x_i - y_i|} \rightarrow \infty} F_i(x_i, y_i) = 0. \quad (2.8)$$

Define

$$F'_{i,1}(t) := \sup_{|x_i - y_i| \leq t} F_i(x_i, y_i)^{\frac{1}{3}},$$

$$F'_{i,2}(t) := \sup_{|x_i - y_i| \geq t} F_i(x_i, y_i)^{\frac{1}{3}},$$

and

$$F'_{i,3}(t) := \sup_{1 + \frac{|x_i - y_i|}{1 + |x_i - y_i|} \geq t} F_i(x_i, y_i)^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

Then it is easy to see that $F'_{i,1}$ is monotone increasing while $F'_{i,2}$ and $F'_{i,3}$ are monotone decreasing. Moreover, it follows from (2.8) that $(F'_{i,1}, F'_{i,2}, F'_{i,3}) \in \mathcal{F}$,

$$F'_{i,j}(|x_i - y_i|) \geq F_i(x_i, y_i)^{\frac{1}{3}}, \quad j = 1, 2, \quad \text{and} \quad F'_{i,3}\left(1 + \frac{|x_i + y_i|}{1 + |x_i - y_i|}\right) \geq F_i(x_i, y_i)^{\frac{1}{3}},$$

which immediately imply (2.4).

To show part (ii), we choose $\delta'_i \in (0, \delta_i)$ and write $\varepsilon_i := \delta_i - \delta'_i$ and

$$F_i(x_i, y_i, y'_i) := F_i(x_i, y_i) \frac{|y_i - y'_i|^{\varepsilon_i}}{|x_i - y_i|^{\varepsilon_i}} \quad \text{for all } |y_i - y'_i| \leq |x_i - y_i|/2. \quad (2.9)$$

We claim that

$$\lim_{|y_i - y'_i| \rightarrow 0} F_i(x_i, y_i, y'_i) = \lim_{|x_i - y_i| \rightarrow \infty} F_i(x_i, y_i, y'_i) = \lim_{1 + \frac{|x_i + y_i|}{1 + |x_i - y_i|} \rightarrow \infty} F_i(x_i, y_i, y'_i) = 0. \quad (2.10)$$

Indeed, the last two limits follow from (2.8). To see the first one, we assume that there exists a sequence $\{(x_k, y_k, y'_k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $|y_k - y'_k| < \frac{1}{2}|x_k - y_k|$ with $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |y_k - y'_k| = 0$, but

$$\inf_k F_i(x_k, y_k, y'_k) > 0. \quad (2.11)$$

If $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|y_k - y'_k|}{|x_k - y_k|} = 0$, then by definition, $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_i(x_k, y_k, y'_k) = 0$, which contradicts (2.11). If $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|y_k - y'_k|}{|x_k - y_k|} > 0$, then there exist a constant $C_0 > 0$ and a subsequence (which we relabel) $\{(x_k, y_k, y'_k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $|y_k - y'_k| \geq C_0|x_k - y_k|$. Since $F_{i,1}$ is monotone increasing and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |y_k - y'_k| = 0$, one has

$$0 \leq F_i(x_k, y_k, y'_k) \leq F_i(x_k, y_k) \lesssim F_{i,1}(|x_k - y_k|) \leq F_{i,1}(C_0^{-1}|y_k - y'_k|) \rightarrow 0,$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_i(x_k, y_k, y'_k) = 0$, which contradicts (2.11). Therefore, the first limit in (2.10) holds.

Now we define

$$F'_{i,1}(t) := \sup_{|y_i - y'_i| \leq t} F_i(x_i, y_i, y'_i)^{\frac{1}{3}},$$

$$F'_{i,2}(t) := \sup_{|x_i - y_i| \geq t} F_i(x_i, y_i, y'_i)^{\frac{1}{3}},$$

and

$$F'_{i,3}(t) := \sup_{1 + \frac{|x_i + y_i|}{1 + |x_i - y_i|} \geq t} F_i(x_i, y_i, y'_i)^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

Then one can check that $F'_{i,1}$ is monotone increasing while $F'_{i,2}$ and $F'_{i,3}$ are monotone decreasing. By (2.10), we see that $(F'_{i,1}, F'_{i,2}, F'_{i,3}) \in \mathcal{F}$,

$$F'_{i,j}(|x_i - y_i|) \geq F_i(x_i, y_i, y'_i)^{\frac{1}{3}}, \quad j = 1, 2, \quad \text{and} \quad F'_{i,3}\left(1 + \frac{|x_i + y_i|}{1 + |x_i - y_i|}\right) \geq F_i(x_i, y_i, y'_i)^{\frac{1}{3}},$$

As a consequence, this, (2.6), and (2.9) imply that

$$F_i(x_i, y_i) \frac{|y_i - y'_i|^{\delta_i}}{|x_i - y_i|^{n_i + \delta_i}} = F_i(x_i, y_i, y'_i) \frac{|y_i - y'_i|^{\delta_i}}{|x_i - y_i|^{n_i + \delta_i}} \leq F'_{i,1}(x_i, y_i) \frac{|y_i - y'_i|^{\delta_i}}{|x_i - y_i|^{n_i + \delta_i}},$$

which shows (2.5) and hence completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 2.12. Fix $i = 1, 2$. Let $r > 1$ and $1 < s < 1 + \frac{1}{n_i}$. Assume that $F_{i,2}$ is monotone decreasing. Then exists a bounded and decreasing function $\tilde{F}_{i,2} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{F}_{i,2}(t) = 0$ such that for all cubes $I_i, J_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$ with $\ell(I_i) = \ell(J_i)$, $I_i \cap J_i = \emptyset$, and $\text{dist}(I_i, J_i) = 0$,

$$\mathcal{J}_1 := \left(\frac{1}{|I_i|^2} \int_{I_i} \int_{J_i} F_{i,2}(|x_i - y_i|)^r dx_i dy_i \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \lesssim \tilde{F}_{i,2}(\ell(I_i)), \quad (2.13)$$

and

$$\mathcal{J}_2 := \left(\frac{1}{|I_i|^2} \int_{I_i} \int_{J_i} \frac{1}{|x_i - y_i|^{sn_i}} dx_i dy_i \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \lesssim |I_i|^{-1}. \quad (2.14)$$

Proof. Given $t > 0$ and $x_i \in J_i$, we let

$$J_i(t) := \{x_i \in J_i : \text{dist}(x_i, I_i) = t\} \quad \text{and} \quad I_i(x_i, t) := \{y_i \in I_i : |y_i - x_i| = t\}.$$

Let σ_i be the Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{R}^{n_i-1} . Observe that

$$\sigma_i(J_i(t)) \leq \ell(I_i)^{n_i-1}, \quad 0 < t < \ell(I_i), \quad (2.15)$$

$$\sigma_i(I_i(x_i, t)) \lesssim t^{n_i-1}, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{dist}(x_i, I_i) < t < \text{dist}(x_i, I_i) + \ell(I_i). \quad (2.16)$$

Since $F_{i,2}$ is monotone decreasing, we use (2.15) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_1^r &\leq \frac{1}{|I_i|} \int_{J_i} F_{i,2}(\text{dist}(x_i, I_i))^r dx_i = \frac{1}{|I_i|} \int_0^{\ell(I_i)} \int_{J_i(t)} F_{i,2}(t)^r d\sigma_i dt \\ &\lesssim \frac{\ell(I_i)^{n_i-1}}{|I_i|} \int_0^{\ell(I_i)} F_{i,2}(t)^r dt = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \ell(I_i)^{-1} \int_{2^{-k-1}\ell(I_i)}^{2^{-k}\ell(I_i)} F_{i,2}(t)^r dt \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k} F_{i,2}(2^{-k}\ell(I_i))^r \leq \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-kr} F_{i,2}(2^{-k}\ell(I_i)) \right)^r. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing

$$\tilde{F}_{i,2}(t) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-kr} F_{i,2}(2^{-k}t),$$

we see that $\tilde{F}_{i,2}$ is bounded and decreasing, and satisfies $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{F}_{i,2}(t) = 0$. Thus, (2.13) holds. Similarly, it follows from (2.16) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_2^s &= \frac{1}{|I_i|^2} \int_{J_i} \left(\int_{\text{dist}(x_i, I_i)}^{\text{dist}(x_i, I_i) + \ell(I_i)} \int_{I_i(x_i, t)} t^{-sn_i} d\sigma_i dt \right) dx_i \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{|I_i|^2} \int_{J_i} \left(\int_{\text{dist}(x_i, I_i)}^{\infty} t^{-n_i(s-1)} \frac{dt}{t} \right) dx_i \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{|I_i|^2} \int_{J_i} \text{dist}(x_i, I_i)^{-n_i(s-1)} dx_i \\ &= \frac{1}{|I_i|^2} \int_0^{\ell(I_i)} \int_{J_i(t)} t^{-n_i(s-1)} d\sigma_i dt \\ &\lesssim \ell(I_i)^{-n_i-1} \int_0^{\ell(I_i)} t^{-n_i(s-1)} dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\lesssim \ell(I_i)^{-n_i-1} \ell(I_i)^{1-n_i(s-1)} = |I_i|^{-s}.$$

This shows (2.14). \square

3. REDUCTIONS OF THE PROOF

Let T be a bi-parameter singular integral satisfying assumptions (a)–(d). Obviously, T satisfies the weak boundedness property, the diagonal BMO condition, and the product BMO condition. Thus, [18, Theorem 2.4] holds, which together with the proof of [17, Theorem 1.2] implies that

$$T \text{ is bounded on } L^r(v) \text{ for all } r \in (1, \infty) \text{ and } v \in A_r(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}). \quad (3.1)$$

We are going to prove that

$$T \text{ is compact on } L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}). \quad (3.2)$$

Assuming (3.2) momentarily, we can conclude the proof of Theorem 1.1 as follows. Let $p \in (1, \infty)$, $w \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})$, $p_1 = 2$, and $w_1 \equiv 1$. We claim that there exist $\theta \in (0, 1)$, $p_0 = p_0(\theta) \in (1, \infty)$, and $w_0 = w_0(\theta) \in A_{p_0}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ such that

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_0} + \frac{1}{p_1} \quad \text{and} \quad w^{\frac{1}{p}} = w_0^{\frac{1-\theta}{p_0}} w_1^{\frac{\theta}{p_1}}. \quad (3.3)$$

Indeed, (3.3) can be shown as in the proof of [2, Lemma 4.1]. Although the latter is given for cubes, in view of the reverse Hölder inequality for $A_p(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ weights from [14, p. 458], the argument still holds for rectangles. The details are left to the reader.

Observe that (3.1) applied to $w_0 \in A_{p_0}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ gives that

$$T \text{ is bounded on } L^{p_0}(w_0). \quad (3.4)$$

Moreover, (3.2) can be rewritten as

$$T \text{ is compact on } L^{p_1}(w_1). \quad (3.5)$$

Consequently, it follows from Theorem 2.2 and (3.3)–(3.5) that T is compact on $L^p(w)$. This shows Theorem 1.1.

It remains to show (3.2). For convenience, set $\mathcal{D}_i := \mathcal{D}_{n_i}$, $i = 1, 2$. Given $N \in \mathbb{N}_+$, we define the *projection operator* as

$$P_N f := \sum_{\substack{J_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1(N) \\ J_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2(N)}} \langle f, h_{J_1} \otimes h_{J_2} \rangle h_{J_1} \otimes h_{J_2},$$

and the *orthogonal projection operator* as

$$P_N^\perp f := \sum_{\substack{J_1 \notin \mathcal{D}_1(N) \\ \text{or } J_2 \notin \mathcal{D}_2(N)}} \langle f, h_{J_1} \otimes h_{J_2} \rangle h_{J_1} \otimes h_{J_2}.$$

Note that P_N is a finite-dimensional operator, which along with [9, Proposition 15.1] implies that P_N is a compact operator. In view of this fact and that $P_N^\perp \circ T = T - P_N \circ T$, the estimate (3.2) is reduced to showing that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \|P_N^\perp \circ T\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})} = 0. \quad (3.6)$$

Let $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})$, By definition and (2.1), we rewrite

$$\langle P_{2N}^\perp(Tf), g \rangle = \sum_{\substack{I_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} \sum_{\substack{J_1 \notin \mathcal{D}_1(2N) \\ \text{or } J_2 \notin \mathcal{D}_2(2N)}} \mathcal{G}_{J_1 J_2}^{I_1 I_2} f_{I_1 I_2} g_{J_1 J_2},$$

where

$$\mathcal{G}_{J_1 J_2}^{I_1 I_2} := \langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}), h_{J_1} \otimes h_{J_2} \rangle, \quad f_{I_1 I_2} := \langle f, h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2} \rangle, \quad \text{and } g_{J_1 J_2} := \langle g, h_{J_1} \otimes h_{J_2} \rangle.$$

In what follows, we only focus on the sum where $\ell(I_1) \leq \ell(J_1)$ and $\ell(I_2) \leq \ell(J_2)$ because other three cases can be shown with minor modifications. For $i = 1, 2$, according to relative distance between I_i and J_i , we split $\ell(I_i) \leq \ell(J_i)$ into the following three cases:

- $\ell(I_i) \leq \ell(J_i)$ and $\text{rdist}(I_i, J_i) \geq 1$;
- $\ell(I_i) \leq \ell(J_i)$, $\text{rdist}(I_i, J_i) < 1$, and $I_i \cap J_i = \emptyset$;
- $I_i \subset J_i$.

Considering symmetry, to obtain (3.6), it suffices to demonstrate that given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an $N_0 = N_0(\varepsilon) > 1$ such that

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq 6} \mathcal{H}_N^j \lesssim \varepsilon \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \quad \text{for all } N > N_0, \quad (3.7)$$

where the implicit constant is independent of ε , N , f , and g , and

$$\mathcal{H}_N^1 := \sum_{\substack{J_1 \notin \mathcal{D}_1(2N) \\ \text{or } J_2 \notin \mathcal{D}_2(2N)}} \sum_{\substack{I_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ \ell(I_1) \leq \ell(J_1) \\ \text{rdist}(I_1, J_1) \geq 1}} \sum_{\substack{I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2 \\ \ell(I_2) \leq \ell(J_2) \\ \text{rdist}(I_2, J_2) \geq 1}} |\mathcal{G}_{J_1 J_2}^{I_1 I_2}| |f_{I_1 I_2}| |g_{J_1 J_2}|,$$

$$\mathcal{H}_N^2 := \sum_{\substack{J_1 \notin \mathcal{D}_1(2N) \\ \text{or } J_2 \notin \mathcal{D}_2(2N)}} \sum_{\substack{I_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ \ell(I_1) \leq \ell(J_1) \\ \text{rdist}(I_1, J_1) \geq 1}} \sum_{\substack{I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2 \\ \ell(I_2) \leq \ell(J_2) \\ \text{rdist}(I_2, J_2) < 1 \\ I_2 \cap J_2 = \emptyset}} |\mathcal{G}_{J_1 J_2}^{I_1 I_2}| |f_{I_1 I_2}| |g_{J_1 J_2}|,$$

$$\mathcal{H}_N^3 := \sum_{\substack{J_1 \notin \mathcal{D}_1(2N) \\ \text{or } J_2 \notin \mathcal{D}_2(2N)}} \sum_{\substack{I_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ \ell(I_1) \leq \ell(J_1) \\ \text{rdist}(I_1, J_1) \geq 1}} \sum_{\substack{I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2 \\ I_2 \subset J_2}} |\mathcal{G}_{J_1 J_2}^{I_1 I_2}| |f_{I_1 I_2}| |g_{J_1 J_2}|,$$

$$\mathcal{H}_N^4 := \sum_{\substack{J_1 \notin \mathcal{D}_1(2N) \\ \text{or } J_2 \notin \mathcal{D}_2(2N)}} \sum_{\substack{I_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ \ell(I_1) \leq \ell(J_1) \\ \text{rdist}(I_1, J_1) < 1 \\ I_1 \cap J_1 = \emptyset}} \sum_{\substack{I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2 \\ \ell(I_2) \leq \ell(J_2) \\ \text{rdist}(I_2, J_2) < 1 \\ I_2 \cap J_2 = \emptyset}} |\mathcal{G}_{J_1 J_2}^{I_1 I_2}| |f_{I_1 I_2}| |g_{J_1 J_2}|,$$

$$\mathcal{H}_N^5 := \sum_{\substack{J_1 \notin \mathcal{D}_1(2N) \\ \text{or } J_2 \notin \mathcal{D}_2(2N)}} \sum_{\substack{I_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ \ell(I_1) \leq \ell(J_1) \\ \text{rdist}(I_1, J_1) < 1 \\ I_1 \cap J_1 = \emptyset}} \sum_{\substack{I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2 \\ I_2 \subset J_2}} |\mathcal{G}_{J_1 J_2}^{I_1 I_2}| |f_{I_1 I_2}| |g_{J_1 J_2}|,$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_N^6 := \sum_{\substack{J_1 \notin \mathcal{D}_1(2N) \\ \text{or } J_2 \notin \mathcal{D}_2(2N)}} \sum_{\substack{I_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ I_1 \subset J_1}} \sum_{\substack{I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2 \\ I_2 \subset J_2}} |\mathcal{G}_{J_1 J_2}^{I_1 I_2}| |f_{I_1 I_2}| |g_{J_1 J_2}|.$$

4. THE PROOF OF MAIN THEOREM

The goal of this section is to prove (3.7). Our analysis will revolve around relative size and distance between cubes. Parametrizing these sums is the core of the proof. Throughout this section, we always keep in mind that $\ell(I_1) \leq \ell(J_1)$ and $\ell(I_2) \leq \ell(J_2)$.

We begin with assuming some extra properties of functions appearing in the hypotheses.

- (P1) Assume that for each $i = 1, 2$ and $j = 1, 2, 3$, the function F_{ij} in Definition 1.3 is the same as the one in Definition 1.4. Indeed, given $(F_{i,1}^1, F_{i,2}^1, F_{i,3}^1) \in \mathcal{F}$ in Definition 1.3 and $(F_{i,1}^2, F_{i,2}^2, F_{i,3}^2) \in \mathcal{F}$ in Definition 1.4, if we define

$$F_{i,j} := \max\{F_{i,j}^1, F_{i,j}^2\}, \quad j = 1, 2, 3,$$

then the compact full and partial kernel representations hold for $(F_{i,1}, F_{i,2}, F_{i,3}) \in \mathcal{F}$.

- (P2) Assume that for each $i = 1, 2$, the function F_i in Definition 1.6 is the same as that in Definition 1.7. This can be seen as above.
- (P3) For each $i = 1, 2$ and $(F_{i,1}, F_{i,2}, F_{i,3}) \in \mathcal{F}$ in Definitions 1.3 and 1.4, we may assume that $F_{i,1}$ is monotone increasing while $F_{i,2}$ and $F_{i,3}$ are monotone decreasing. Indeed, it suffices to define

$$F_{i,1}^*(t) := \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} F_{i,1}(s), \quad F_{i,2}^*(t) := \sup_{s \geq t} F_{i,2}(s), \quad \text{and} \quad F_{i,3}^*(t) := \sup_{s \geq t} F_{i,3}(s).$$

Then it is easy to show that the compact full and partial kernel representations hold for $(F_{i,1}^*, F_{i,2}^*, F_{i,3}^*) \in \mathcal{F}$.

- (P4) Since any dilation of functions in \mathcal{F} , \mathcal{F}_1 , and \mathcal{F}_2 still belongs to the original space, we will often omit all universal constants appearing in the argument involving these functions.
- (P5) In light of Lemma 2.3, we will mostly use alternative estimates for kernels in Definitions 1.3 and 1.4. For example, in Definition 1.3, the size condition can be replaced by

$$|K(x, y)| \leq \frac{F_1(x_1, y_1)}{|x_1 - y_1|^{n_1}} \frac{F_2(x_2, y_2)}{|x_2 - y_2|^{n_2}},$$

where

$$F_i(x_i, y_i) := F_{i,1}(|x_i - y_i|)F_{i,2}(|x_i - y_i|)F_{i,3}\left(1 + \frac{|x_i + y_i|}{1 + |x_i - y_i|}\right),$$

while the first Hölder condition can be replaced by

$$\begin{aligned} & |K(x, y) - K(x, (y_1, y'_2)) - K(x, (y'_1, y_2)) + K(x, y')| \\ & \leq \left(\frac{|y_1 - y'_1|}{|x_1 - y_1|}\right)^{\delta_1} \frac{F_1(x_1, y_1)}{|x_1 - y_1|^{n_1}} \left(\frac{|y_2 - y'_2|}{|x_2 - y_2|}\right)^{\delta_2} \frac{F_2(x_2, y_2)}{|x_2 - y_2|^{n_2}} \end{aligned}$$

whenever $|y_1 - y'_1| \leq |x_1 - y_1|/2$ and $|y_2 - y'_2| \leq |x_2 - y_2|/2$, where

$$F_i(x_i, y_i) := F_{i,1}(|y_i - y'_i|)F_{i,2}(|x_i - y_i|)F_{i,3}\left(1 + \frac{|x_i + y_i|}{1 + |x_i - y_i|}\right).$$

Other conditions can be formulated in a similar way.

Now we consider six cases given in (3.7).

4.1. $\text{rdist}(I_1, J_1) \geq 1$ **and** $\text{rdist}(I_2, J_2) \geq 1$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $I_i, J_i \in \mathcal{D}_i$ with $\ell(I_i) \leq \ell(J_i)$ so that $\text{rdist}(I_i, J_i) \geq 1$, $i = 1, 2$. Then*

$$|\mathcal{G}_{J_1 J_2}^{I_1 I_2}| \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^2 F_i(I_i, J_i) \frac{\text{rsize}(I_i, J_i)^{\frac{n_i}{2} + \delta_i}}{\text{rdist}(I_i, J_i)^{n_i + \delta_i}},$$

where $F_i(I_i, J_i) := F_{i,1}(\ell(I_i))F_{i,2}(\ell(I_i \vee J_i))F_{i,3}(\text{rdist}(I_i \vee J_i, \mathbb{I}_i))$, $i = 1, 2$.

Proof. For any $x_1 \in J_1$ and $y_1 \in I_1$,

$$|x_1 - y_1| \geq \text{dist}(I_1, J_1) \geq \ell(J_1) \geq \ell(I_1) \geq 2|y_1 - c_{I_1}|, \quad (4.2)$$

and

$$|x_1 - y_1| \leq \ell(I_1 \vee J_1) \leq \text{dist}(I_1, J_1) + \ell(I_1) + \ell(J_1) \leq 3 \text{dist}(I_1, J_1) \leq 3|x_1 - y_1|. \quad (4.3)$$

Moreover, $x_1, y_1 \in I_1 \vee J_1$ implies $\frac{1}{2}(x_1 + y_1) \in I_1 \vee J_1$, and

$$1 + \frac{|x_1 + y_1|}{1 + |x_1 - y_1|} \geq \frac{2 \text{dist}(I_1 \vee J_1, \mathbb{I}_1)}{1 + \ell(I_1 \vee J_1)} \geq \frac{\text{dist}(I_1 \vee J_1, \mathbb{I}_1)}{\max\{\ell(I_1 \vee J_1), 1\}} = \text{rdist}(I_1 \vee J_1, \mathbb{I}_1). \quad (4.4)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(x_1, y_1) &:= F_{1,1}(|y_1 - c_{I_1}|)F_{1,2}(|x_1 - y_1|)F_{1,3}\left(1 + \frac{|x_1 + y_1|}{1 + |x_1 - y_1|}\right) \\ &\leq F_{1,1}(\ell(I_1))F_{1,2}(\ell(I_1 \vee J_1))F_{1,3}(\text{rdist}(I_1 \vee J_1, \mathbb{I}_1)) = F_1(I_1, J_1). \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

The same argument holds for $x_2 \in J_2$ and $y_2 \in I_2$. Then we invoke (4.2)–(4.5) to deduce that for each $i = 1, 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_i(I_i, J_i) &:= \int_{I_i} \int_{J_i} F_i(x_i, y_i) \frac{|y_i - c_{I_i}|^{\delta_i}}{|x_i - y_i|^{n_i + \delta_i}} dx_i dy_i, \\ &\lesssim \frac{\ell(I_i)^{\delta_i}}{\ell(I_i \vee J_i)^{n_i + \delta_i}} F_i(I_i, J_i) |I_i| |J_i| \\ &= \left(\frac{\ell(I_i)}{\ell(J_i)}\right)^{\frac{n_i}{2} + \delta_i} \left(\frac{\ell(J_i)}{\ell(I_i \vee J_i)}\right)^{n_i + \delta_i} F_i(I_i, J_i) |I_i|^{\frac{1}{2}} |J_i|^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim \left(\frac{\ell(I_i)}{\ell(J_i)}\right)^{\frac{n_i}{2} + \delta_i} \frac{F_i(I_i, J_i)}{\text{rdist}(I_i, J_i)^{n_i + \delta_i}} |I_i|^{\frac{1}{2}} |J_i|^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= F_i(I_i, J_i) \frac{\text{rsize}(I_i, J_i)^{\frac{n_i}{2} + \delta_i}}{\text{rdist}(I_i, J_i)^{n_i + \delta_i}} |I_i|^{\frac{1}{2}} |J_i|^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Write

$$\mathbf{K}(x, y) := K(x, y) - K(x, (y_1, c_{I_2})) - K(x, (c_{I_1}, y_2)) + K(x, (c_{I_1}, c_{I_2})).$$

Then by the compact full kernel representation, the cancellation of h_{I_1} and h_{I_2} , and the Hölder condition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{G}_{J_1 J_2}^{I_1 I_2}| &= \left| \int_{I_1 \times I_2} \int_{J_1 \times J_2} \mathbf{K}(x, y) h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}(y) h_{J_1} \otimes h_{J_2}(x) dx dy \right| \\ &\lesssim \prod_{i=1}^2 |I_i|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |J_i|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{P}_i(I_i, J_i) \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^2 F_i(I_i, J_i) \frac{\text{rsize}(I_i, J_i)^{\frac{n_i}{2} + \delta_i}}{\text{rdist}(I_i, J_i)^{n_i + \delta_i}}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. \square

Lemma 4.7. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an $N_0 \geq 1$ so that for all $N \geq N_0$,*

$$F_i(I_i, J_i) < \varepsilon \quad \text{or} \quad \text{rdist}(I_i, J_i) \geq 2N^{\frac{1}{8}}, \quad \forall I_i \in \mathcal{D}_i, J_i \notin \mathcal{D}_i(2N).$$

Proof. Let $I_i \in \mathcal{D}_i$ and $J_i \notin \mathcal{D}_i(2N)$. If $\ell(J_i) < 2^{-2N}$, then

$$F_i(I_i, J_i) \lesssim F_{i1}(\ell(I_i)) \leq F_{i,1}(\ell(J_i)) \leq F_{i,1}(2^{-2N}). \quad (4.8)$$

If $\ell(J_i) > 2^{2N}$, then

$$F_i(I_i, J_i) \lesssim F_{i2}(\ell(I_i \vee J_i)) \leq F_{i,2}(\ell(J_i)) \leq F_{i,2}(2^{2N}). \quad (4.9)$$

If $2^{-2N} \leq \ell(J_i) \leq 2^{2N}$ and $\text{rdist}(J_i, 2^{2N}\mathbb{I}_i) > 2N$, then $|c_{J_i}| \geq 2N2^{2N}$. If $\text{rdist}(I_i \vee J_i, \mathbb{I}_i) > N^{\frac{1}{4}}$, we have

$$F_i(I_i, J_i) \lesssim F_{i,3}(\text{rdist}(I_i \vee J_i, \mathbb{I}_i)) \leq F_{i,3}(N^{\frac{1}{4}}). \quad (4.10)$$

If $\text{rdist}(I_i \vee J_i, \mathbb{I}_i) \leq N^{\frac{1}{4}}$, then $|c_{I_i \vee J_i}| \leq 2N^{\frac{1}{4}}(1 + \ell(I_i \vee J_i))$. If $\ell(I_i \vee J_i) > N^{\frac{1}{4}}2^{2N+1}$, then

$$\text{rdist}(I_i, J_i) = \ell(J_i)^{-1} \text{dist}(I_i, J_i) \geq \ell(J_i)^{-1} \ell(I_i \vee J_i)/3 \geq 2N^{\frac{1}{4}}/3 \geq 2N^{\frac{1}{8}}, \quad (4.11)$$

whenever $N \geq 3^8$. If $\ell(I_i \vee J_i) \leq N^{\frac{1}{4}}2^{2N+1}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}(I_i, J_i) &\simeq |c_{I_i} - c_{J_i}| \geq |c_{J_i}| - |c_{I_i \vee J_i}| - |c_{I_i} - c_{I_i \vee J_i}| \\ &\geq 2N2^{2N} - 2N^{\frac{1}{4}}(1 + \ell(I_i \vee J_i)) - \ell(I_i \vee J_i) \\ &\geq 2N2^{2N} - 3N^{\frac{1}{4}}(1 + N^{\frac{1}{4}}2^{2N+1}) \\ &\geq 2N2^{2N} - 9N^{\frac{1}{2}}2^{2N} \geq N^{\frac{1}{2}}2^{2N}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\text{rdist}(I_i, J_i) = \ell(J_i)^{-1} \text{dist}(I_i, J_i) \gtrsim N^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq 2N^{\frac{1}{8}}, \quad (4.12)$$

provided $N > 1$ large enough. In view of the properties of F_{ij} , $j = 1, 2, 3$, the desired conclusion immediately follows from (4.8)–(4.12). \square

Let us turn to the estimate for \mathcal{H}_N^1 . Given $i = 1, 2$, $k_i \geq 0$, $j_i \geq 1$, and $I_i, J_i \in \mathcal{D}_i$, we let

$$J_i(k_i, j_i) := \{I_i \in \mathcal{D}_i : \ell(I_i) = 2^{-k_i} \ell(J_i), j_i \leq \text{rdist}(I_i, J_i) < j_i + 1\}$$

and

$$I_i(k_i, j_i) := \{J_i \in \mathcal{D}_i : \ell(J_i) = 2^{k_i} \ell(I_i), j_i \leq \text{rdist}(I_i, J_i) < j_i + 1\}.$$

Note that for each $i = 1, 2$, $I_i \in J_i(k_i, j_i)$ if and only if $J_i \in I_i(k_i, j_i)$,

$$\#J_i(k_i, j_i) \lesssim 2^{k_i n_i} j_i^{n_i - 1}, \quad \text{and} \quad \#I_i(k_i, j_i) \lesssim j_i^{n_i - 1}. \quad (4.13)$$

By Lemmas 4.1 and 4.7, there exists an $N_0 > 1$ so that for all $N \geq N_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_N^1 &= \sum_{\substack{J_1 \notin \mathcal{D}_1(2N) \\ \text{or } J_2 \notin \mathcal{D}_2(2N)}} \sum_{\substack{I_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ \ell(I_1) \leq \ell(J_1)}} \sum_{\substack{I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2 \\ \ell(I_2) \leq \ell(J_2) \\ \text{rdist}(I_1, J_1) \geq 1 \text{ rdist}(I_2, J_2) \geq 1}} |\mathcal{G}_{J_1 J_2}^{I_1 I_2}| |f_{I_1 I_2}| |g_{J_1 J_2}| \\ &= \sum_{\substack{k_1 \geq 0 \\ j_1 \geq 1}} \sum_{\substack{k_2 \geq 0 \\ j_2 \geq 1}} \sum_{\substack{J_1 \notin \mathcal{D}_1(2N) \\ \text{or } J_2 \notin \mathcal{D}_2(2N)}} \sum_{\substack{I_1 \in J_1(k_1, j_1) \\ I_2 \in J_2(k_2, j_2)}} |\mathcal{G}_{J_1 J_2}^{I_1 I_2}| |f_{I_1 I_2}| |g_{J_1 J_2}| \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{H}_N^{1,1} + \mathcal{H}_N^{1,2} + \mathcal{H}_N^{1,3}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}_N^{1,1} := \varepsilon \sum_{\substack{k_1 \geq 0 \\ j_1 \geq 1}} \sum_{\substack{k_2 \geq 0 \\ j_2 \geq 1}} \sum_{\substack{J_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ J_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} \sum_{\substack{I_1 \in J_1(k_1, j_1) \\ I_2 \in J_2(k_2, j_2)}} \prod_{i=1}^2 2^{-k_i(\frac{n_i}{2} + \delta_i)} j_i^{-n_i - \delta_i} F_i(I_i, J_i) |f_{I_1 I_2}| |g_{J_1 J_2}|,$$

$$\mathcal{H}_N^{1,2} := \sum_{\substack{k_1 \geq 0 \\ j_1 \geq N^{\frac{1}{8}}}} \sum_{\substack{k_2 \geq 0 \\ j_2 \geq 1}} \sum_{\substack{J_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ J_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} \sum_{\substack{I_1 \in J_1(k_1, j_1) \\ I_2 \in J_2(k_2, j_2)}} \prod_{i=1}^2 2^{-k_i(\frac{n_i}{2} + \delta_i)} j_i^{-n_i - \delta_i} F_i(I_i, J_i) |f_{I_1 I_2}| |g_{J_1 J_2}|,$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_N^{1,3} := \sum_{\substack{k_1 \geq 0 \\ j_1 \geq 1}} \sum_{\substack{k_2 \geq 0 \\ j_2 \geq N^{\frac{1}{8}}}} \sum_{\substack{J_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ J_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} \sum_{\substack{I_1 \in J_1(k_1, j_1) \\ I_2 \in J_2(k_2, j_2)}} \prod_{i=1}^2 2^{-k_i(\frac{n_i}{2} + \delta_i)} j_i^{-n_i - \delta_i} F_i(I_i, J_i) |f_{I_1 I_2}| |g_{J_1 J_2}|.$$

It follows from the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality and (4.13) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_N^{1,1} &\leq \varepsilon \sum_{k_1, k_2 \geq 0} \sum_{j_1, j_2 \geq 1} 2^{-k_1(\frac{n_1}{2} + \delta_1)} 2^{-k_2(\frac{n_2}{2} + \delta_2)} j_1^{-n_1 - \delta_1} j_2^{-n_2 - \delta_2} \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{\substack{J_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ J_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} \sum_{\substack{I_1 \in J_1(k_1, j_1) \\ I_2 \in J_2(k_2, j_2)}} |f_{I_1 I_2}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{\substack{J_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ J_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} \sum_{\substack{I_1 \in J_1(k_1, j_1) \\ I_2 \in J_2(k_2, j_2)}} |g_{J_1 J_2}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \varepsilon \sum_{k_1, k_2 \geq 0} \sum_{j_1, j_2 \geq 1} 2^{-k_1(\frac{n_1}{2} + \delta_1)} 2^{-k_2(\frac{n_2}{2} + \delta_2)} j_1^{-n_1 - \delta_1} j_2^{-n_2 - \delta_2} \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{\substack{I_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} \sum_{\substack{J_1 \in I_1(k_1, j_1) \\ J_2 \in I_2(k_2, j_2)}} |f_{I_1 I_2}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{\substack{J_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ J_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} \sum_{\substack{I_1 \in J_1(k_1, j_1) \\ I_2 \in J_2(k_2, j_2)}} |g_{J_1 J_2}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon \sum_{k_1 \geq 0} 2^{-k_1 \delta_1} \sum_{k_2 \geq 0} 2^{-k_2 \delta_2} \sum_{j_1 \geq 1} j_1^{-\delta_1 - 1} \sum_{j_2 \geq 1} j_2^{-\delta_2 - 1} \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{\substack{I_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} |f_{I_1 I_2}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{\substack{J_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ J_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} |g_{J_1 J_2}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})}. \end{aligned}$$

Much as above, we obtain that for any $N \geq N_0$ sufficiently large,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_N^{1,2} &\lesssim \sum_{k_1 \geq 0} 2^{-k_1 \delta_1} \sum_{k_2 \geq 0} 2^{-k_2 \delta_2} \sum_{j_1 \geq N^{\frac{1}{8}}} j_1^{-\delta_1 - 1} \sum_{j_2 \geq 1} j_2^{-\delta_2 - 1} \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{\substack{I_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} |f_{I_1 I_2}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{\substack{J_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ J_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} |g_{J_1 J_2}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim N^{-\delta_1/8} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \\ &\leq \varepsilon \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_N^{1,3} \lesssim \sum_{k_1 \geq 0} 2^{-k_1 \delta_1} \sum_{k_2 \geq 0} 2^{-k_2 \delta_2} \sum_{j_1 \geq 1} j_1^{-\delta_1 - 1} \sum_{j_2 \geq N^{\frac{1}{8}}} j_2^{-\delta_2 - 1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \left(\sum_{\substack{I_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} |f_{I_1 I_2}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{\substack{J_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ J_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} |g_{J_1 J_2}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \lesssim N^{-\delta_2/8} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \\
& \leq \varepsilon \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Gathering the estimates above, we conclude that for any $N \geq N_0$ sufficiently large,

$$\mathcal{H}_N^1 \lesssim \mathcal{H}_N^{1,1} + \mathcal{H}_N^{1,2} + \mathcal{H}_N^{1,3} \lesssim \varepsilon \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})}.$$

4.2. $\text{rdist}(I_1, J_1) \geq 1$, $\text{rdist}(I_2, J_2) < 1$, and $I_2 \cap J_2 = \emptyset$. In this case, $\text{dist}(I_2, J_2) < \ell(J_2)$, and hence $I_2 \subset 5J_2 \setminus J_2$.

Lemma 4.14. *Let $I_1, J_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1$ with $\ell(I_1) \leq \ell(J_1)$ so that $\text{rdist}(I_1, J_1) \geq 1$, and let $I_2, J_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2$ with $\ell(I_2) \leq \ell(J_2)$ so that $\text{rdist}(I_2, J_2) < 1$ and $I_2 \cap J_2 = \emptyset$. Then*

$$|\mathcal{G}_{J_1 J_2}^{I_1 I_2}| \lesssim F_1(I_1, J_1) \frac{\text{rsize}(I_1, J_1)^{\frac{n_1}{2} + \delta_1}}{\text{rdist}(I_1, J_1)^{n_1 + \delta_1}} \tilde{F}_2(I_2, J_2) \frac{\text{rsize}(I_2, J_2)^{\frac{n_2}{2}}}{\text{irdist}(I_2, J_2)^{\delta_2}}, \quad (4.15)$$

where $\tilde{F}_2(I_2, J_2) := F_{2,1}(\ell(I_2)) \tilde{F}_{2,2}(\ell(I_2)) \tilde{F}_{2,3}(I_2 \vee J_2)$ and

$$\tilde{F}_{2,3}(I_2) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k\delta_2} F_{2,3}(\text{rdist}(2^k I_2, \mathbb{I}_2)).$$

Proof. We begin with a claim:

$$\mathcal{Q}_2^1(I_2) := \int_{I_2} \int_{3I_2 \setminus I_2} \frac{F_2(x_2, y_2)}{|x_2 - y_2|^{n_2}} dx_2 dy_2 \lesssim \tilde{F}_2(I_2) |I_2| \leq \tilde{F}_2(I_2, J_2) |I_2| \quad (4.16)$$

and

$$\mathcal{Q}_2^2(I_2, J_2) := \int_{I_2} \int_{J_2 \setminus 3I_2} F_2(x_2, y_2) \frac{|y_2 - c_{I_2}|^{\delta_2}}{|x_2 - c_{I_2}|^{n_2 + \delta_2}} dx_2 dy_2 \lesssim \frac{\tilde{F}_2(I_2, J_2) |I_2|}{\text{irdist}(I_2, J_2)^{\delta_2}}, \quad (4.17)$$

where $\tilde{F}_2(I_2) := F_{2,1}(\ell(I_2)) \tilde{F}_{2,2}(\ell(I_2)) \tilde{F}_{2,3}(I_2)$. We split

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}), h_{J_1} \otimes h_{J_2} \rangle &= \langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}), h_{J_1} \otimes (h_{J_2} \mathbf{1}_{3I_2 \setminus I_2}) \rangle \\
&\quad + \langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}), h_{J_1} \otimes (h_{J_2} \mathbf{1}_{J_2 \setminus 3I_2}) \rangle.
\end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

Note that if $\text{irdist}(I_2, J_2) = 1 + \text{dist}(I_2, \partial J_2)/\ell(I_2) > 2$, then $J_2 \cap 3I_2 = \emptyset$, and hence

$$\langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}), h_{J_1} \otimes (h_{J_2} \mathbf{1}_{3I_2 \setminus I_2}) \rangle = 0.$$

To treat the case $\text{irdist}(I_2, J_2) \leq 2$, we let

$$\mathbf{K}_1(x, y) := K(x, y) - K(x, (c_{I_1}, y_2)).$$

We then utilize the full kernel representation, the cancellation of h_{I_1} , and the Hölder-size condition to arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}), h_{J_1} \otimes (h_{J_2} \mathbf{1}_{3I_2 \setminus I_2}) \rangle| \\
&= \left| \int_{I_1 \times I_2} \int_{J_1 \times (3I_2 \setminus I_2)} \mathbf{K}_1(x, y) h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}(y) h_{J_1} \otimes h_{J_2}(x) dx dy \right| \\
&\lesssim |I_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |J_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{P}_1(I_1, J_1) |I_2|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |J_2|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{Q}_2^1(I_2)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lesssim F_1(I_1, J_1) \frac{\text{rsize}(I_1, J_1)^{\frac{n_1}{2} + \delta_1}}{\text{rdist}(I_1, J_1)^{n_1 + \delta_1}} |I_2|^{\frac{1}{2}} |J_2|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{F}_2(I_2, J_2) \\
&\lesssim F_1(I_1, J_1) \frac{\text{rsize}(I_1, J_1)^{\frac{n_1}{2} + \delta_1}}{\text{rdist}(I_1, J_1)^{n_1 + \delta_1}} \tilde{F}_2(I_2, J_2) \frac{\text{rsize}(I_2, J_2)^{\frac{n_2}{2}}}{\text{irdist}(I_2, J_2)^{\delta_2}},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

where we have used (4.6), (4.16), and $\text{irdist}(I_2, J_2) \leq 2$.

Write

$$\mathbf{K}(x, y) := K(x, (c_{I_1}, c_{I_2})) - K(x, (c_{I_1}, y_2)) - K(x, (y_1, c_{I_2})) + K(x, y).$$

By the full kernel representation, the cancellation of h_{I_1} and h_{I_2} , and the Hölder condition, we invoke (4.6) and (4.17) to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}), h_{J_1} \otimes (h_{J_2} \mathbf{1}_{J_2 \setminus 3I_2}) \rangle| \\
&= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}} \mathbf{K}(x, y) h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}(y) h_{J_1} \otimes (h_{J_2} \mathbf{1}_{J_2 \setminus 3I_2})(x) dx dy \right| \\
&\lesssim |I_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |J_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{P}_1(I_1, J_1) |I_2|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |J_2|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{Q}_2^2(I_2, J_2) \\
&\lesssim F_1(I_1, J_1) \frac{\text{rsize}(I_1, J_1)^{\frac{n_1}{2} + \delta_1}}{\text{rdist}(I_1, J_1)^{n_1 + \delta_1}} \tilde{F}_2(I_2, J_2) \frac{\text{rsize}(I_2, J_2)^{\frac{n_2}{2}}}{\text{irdist}(I_2, J_2)^{\delta_2}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

Therefore, (4.15) is a consequence of (4.18), (4.19), and (4.20).

It remains to show (4.16) and (4.17). To prove (4.16), we first note that for all $x_2 \in 3I_2 \setminus I_2$ and $y_2 \in I_2$, $|x_2 - y_2| \leq 2\ell(I_2)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
1 + \frac{|x_2 + y_2|}{1 + |x_2 - y_2|} &\geq \frac{|x_2 + y_2| + |x_2 - y_2|}{1 + |x_2 - y_2|} \\
&\geq \frac{2|y_2|}{1 + 2\ell(I_2)} \geq \frac{\text{dist}(I_2, \mathbb{I}_2)}{2 \max\{\ell(I_2), 1\}} = \frac{1}{2} \text{rdist}(I_2, \mathbb{I}_2),
\end{aligned}$$

which imply that

$$F_2(x_2, y_2) \leq F_{2,1}(\ell(I_2)) F_{2,2}(|x_2 - y_2|) F_{2,3}(\text{rdist}(I_2, \mathbb{I}_2)). \tag{4.21}$$

Observe also that there exists a sequence of cubes $\{J_k\}_{k=1}^{3^{n_2}-1}$ in \mathbb{R}^{n_2} such that

$$3I_2 \setminus I_2 = \bigcup_{k=1}^{3^{n_2}-1} J_k, \quad \text{where} \quad \text{dist}(I_2, J_k) = 0 \text{ and } \ell(J_k) = \ell(I_2). \tag{4.22}$$

Then it follows from (4.21), Hölder's inequality, (4.22), and Lemma 2.12 that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Q}_2^1(I_2) &\lesssim F_{2,1}(\ell(I_2)) F_{2,3}(\text{rdist}(I_2, \mathbb{I}_2)) \int_{I_2} \int_{3I_2 \setminus I_2} \frac{F_{2,2}(|x_2 - y_2|)}{|x_2 - y_2|^{n_2}} dx_2 dy_2 \\
&\leq F_{2,1}(\ell(I_2)) F_{2,3}(\text{rdist}(I_2, \mathbb{I}_2)) |I_2|^2 \left(\frac{1}{|I_2|^2} \int_{I_2} \int_{3I_2 \setminus I_2} \frac{dx_2 dy_2}{|x_2 - y_2|^{sn_2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \\
&\quad \times \left(\frac{1}{|I_2|^2} \int_{I_2} \int_{3I_2 \setminus I_2} F_{2,2}(|x_2 - y_2|)^{s'} dx_2 dy_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{s'}} \\
&\lesssim F_{2,1}(\ell(I_2)) \tilde{F}_{2,2}(\ell(I_2)) F_{2,3}(\text{rdist}(I_2, \mathbb{I}_2)) |I_2| \\
&\leq \tilde{F}_2(I_2) |I_2| \leq \tilde{F}_2(I_2, J_2) |I_2|,
\end{aligned}$$

provided $\text{rdist}(I_2, \mathbb{I}_2) \geq \text{rdist}(I_2 \vee J_2, \mathbb{I}_2)$, where $1 < s < 1 + \frac{1}{n_2}$.

Let us next turn to the proof of (4.17). Let $x_2 \in J_2 \setminus 3I_2$ and $y_2 \in I_2$. Then $|x_2 - y_2| \geq \ell(I_2)$ and $|x_2 - c_{I_2}| > \ell(I_2) \geq 2|y_2 - c_{I_2}|$. It follows from $\text{rdist}(I_2, J_2) < 1$ that $\text{dist}(I_2, J_2) < \ell(J_2)$ and

$$|x_2 - y_2| \leq \ell(J_2) + \text{dist}(I_2, J_2) + \ell(I_2) \leq 3\ell(J_2).$$

Note that $|y_2| \geq \text{dist}(I_2, \mathbb{I}_2) \geq \text{dist}(I_2 \vee J_2, \mathbb{I}_2)$. Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + \frac{|x_2 + y_2|}{1 + |x_2 - y_2|} &\geq \frac{1 + 2|y_2|}{1 + |x_2 - y_2|} \geq \frac{2 \text{dist}(I_2 \vee J_2, \mathbb{I}_2)}{1 + 3\ell(J_2)} \\ &= \frac{\max\{\ell(I_2 \vee J_2), 1\}}{1 + 3\ell(J_2)} \text{rdist}(I_2 \vee J_2, \mathbb{I}_2) \geq \frac{1}{4} \text{rdist}(I_2 \vee J_2, \mathbb{I}_2), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} F_2(x_2, y_2) &:= F_{2,1}(|y_2 - c_{I_2}|)F_{2,2}(|x_2 - y_2|)F_{2,3}\left(1 + \frac{|x_2 + y_2|}{1 + |x_2 - y_2|}\right) \\ &\lesssim F_{2,1}(\ell(I_2))F_{2,2}(\ell(I_2))F_{2,3}(\text{rdist}(I_2 \vee J_2, \mathbb{I}_2)) \\ &\leq F_{2,1}(\ell(I_2))\tilde{F}_{2,2}(\ell(I_2))\tilde{F}_{2,3}(I_2 \vee J_2) = \tilde{F}_2(I_2, J_2). \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

In addition,

$$\begin{aligned} |x_2 - c_{I_2}| &\geq \ell(I_2)/2 + \text{dist}(I_2, J_2) \\ &= \ell(I_2)/2 + \text{dist}(I_2, \text{sk}(J_2)) \geq \ell(I_2) \text{irdist}(I_2, J_2)/2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

Letting

$$R_k := \{x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2} : 2^k \ell(I_2) < |x_2 - c_{I_2}| \leq 2^{k+1} \ell(I_2)\}, \quad k \geq k_0,$$

where $k_0 := \max\{0, \log_2(\text{irdist}(I_2, J_2)/2)\}$, we invoke (4.23) and (4.24) to conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_2^2(I_2, J_2) &:= \int_{I_2} \int_{J_2 \setminus 3I_2} F_2(x_2, y_2) \frac{|y_2 - c_{I_2}|^{\delta_2}}{|x_2 - c_{I_2}|^{n_2 + \delta_2}} dx_2 dy_2 \\ &\leq \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} \int_{I_2} \int_{R_k} F_2(x_2, y_2) \frac{|y_2 - c_{I_2}|^{\delta_2}}{|x_2 - c_{I_2}|^{n_2 + \delta_2}} dx_2 dy_2 \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} 2^{-k\delta_2} \tilde{F}_2(I_2, J_2) |I_2| \lesssim \frac{\tilde{F}_2(I_2, J_2) |I_2|}{\text{irdist}(I_2, J_2)^{\delta_2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

This shows (4.17). □

Lemma 4.26. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an $N_0 \geq 1$ such that for all $N \geq N_0$,*

$$\tilde{F}_i(I_i, J_i) < \varepsilon \quad \text{or} \quad \ell(I_i) \leq 2^{-N} \ell(J_i),$$

for all $I_i \in \mathcal{D}_i$ and $J_i \notin \mathcal{D}_i(2N)$ satisfying $I_i \cap J_i = \emptyset$ and $\text{rdist}(I_i, J_i) < 1$, where

$$\tilde{F}_i(I_i, J_i) := F_{i,1}(\ell(I_i))\tilde{F}_{i,2}(\ell(I_i))\tilde{F}_{i,3}(I_i \vee J_i)$$

and

$$\tilde{F}_{i,3}(I_i) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k\delta_i} F_{i,3}(\text{rdist}(2^k I_i, \mathbb{I}_i)).$$

Proof. Let $I_i \in \mathcal{D}_i$ and $J_i \notin \mathcal{D}_i(2N)$ be such that $I_i \cap J_i = \emptyset$ and $\text{rdist}(I_i, J_i) < 1$. First, considering the case $\ell(J_i) < 2^{-2N}$, we have

$$\tilde{F}_i(I_i, J_i) \lesssim F_{i,1}(\ell(I_i)) \leq F_{i,1}(2^{-2N}) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

We next treat the case $\ell(J_i) > 2^{2N}$. If $\ell(I_i) > 2^N$, then

$$\tilde{F}_i(I_i, J_i) \lesssim \tilde{F}_{i,2}(\ell(I_i)) \leq \tilde{F}_{i,2}(2^N) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty;$$

otherwise, $\ell(I_i) \leq 2^N \leq 2^{-N}\ell(J_i)$.

If $2^{-2N} \leq \ell(J_i) \leq 2^{2N}$ and $\text{rdist}(J_i, 2^{2N}\mathbb{I}_i) > 2N$, then

$$\text{dist}(J_i, \mathbb{I}_i) \geq \text{dist}(J_i, 2^{2N}\mathbb{I}_i) > 2N2^{2N}.$$

From $I_i \cap J_i = \emptyset$ and $\text{rdist}(I_i, J_i) < 1$, it follows $I_i \subset 5J_i \setminus J_i$. Hence, for any $k \geq 0$,

$$\text{dist}(2^k \cdot 5J_i, \mathbb{I}_i) \geq \text{dist}(J_i, \mathbb{I}_i) - 2^k \cdot 5\ell(J_i)/2 > 2N2^{2N} - 2^k \cdot 5\ell(J_i)/2,$$

which in turn implies that

$$\text{rdist}(2^k(I_i \vee J_i), \mathbb{I}_i) \geq \text{rdist}(2^k \cdot 5J_i, \mathbb{I}_i) = \frac{\text{dist}(2^k \cdot 5J_i, \mathbb{I}_i)}{2^k \cdot 5\ell(J_i)} > \frac{2}{5}2^{-k}N - \frac{1}{2}.$$

Let $N \geq 9$ and $k_N := \lceil \log_2 \sqrt{N} \rceil$. Thus, for all $0 \leq k \leq k_N$,

$$\text{rdist}(2^k(I_i \vee J_i), \mathbb{I}_i) \geq \frac{2\sqrt{N}}{5} - \frac{1}{2} \geq \frac{\sqrt{N}}{5}.$$

Using this estimate and the monotonicity of $F_{i,3}$, we conclude that

$$\tilde{F}_i(I_i, J_i) \lesssim \sum_{k=0}^{k_N} 2^{-k\delta_i} F_{i,3}(\sqrt{N}/5) + \sum_{k=k_N+1}^{\infty} 2^{-k\delta_i} \lesssim F_{i,3}(\sqrt{N}) + 2^{-k_N\delta_i} \rightarrow 0,$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$. This completes the proof. \square

To estimate \mathcal{H}_N^2 , we introduce some notation. Given $k_2 \geq 0$, $m_2 \geq 1$, and $I_2, J_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2$, we write

$$J_2(k_2, 0, m_2) := \{I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2 : \ell(I_2) = 2^{-k_2}\ell(J_2), \text{rdist}(I_2, J_2) < 1, m_2 \leq \text{irdist}(I_2, J_2) < m_2 + 1\}$$

and

$$I_2(k_2, 0, m_2) := \{J_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2 : \ell(J_2) = 2^{k_2}\ell(I_2), \text{rdist}(I_2, J_2) < 1, m_2 \leq \text{irdist}(I_2, J_2) < m_2 + 1\}.$$

Then it is not hard to check that $I_2 \in J_2(k_2, 0, m_2)$ if and only if $J_2 \in I_2(k_2, 0, m_2)$,

$$\#J_2(k_2, 0, m_2) \lesssim (2^{k_2} + m_2)^{n_2-1}, \quad \text{and} \quad \#\left(\bigcup_{m_2=1}^{2^{k_2}} I_2(k_2, 0, m_2)\right) \lesssim 1. \quad (4.27)$$

Now invoking Lemmas 4.7, 4.14, and 4.26, we obtain, for all $N > N_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_N^2 &= \sum_{\substack{J_1 \notin \mathcal{D}_1(2N) \\ \text{or } J_2 \notin \mathcal{D}_2(2N)}} \sum_{\substack{I_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ \ell(I_1) \leq \ell(J_1) \\ \text{rdist}(I_1, J_1) \geq 1}} \sum_{\substack{I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2 \\ \ell(I_2) \leq \ell(J_2) \\ \text{rdist}(I_2, J_2) < 1 \\ I_2 \cap J_2 = \emptyset}} |\mathcal{G}_{J_1 J_2}^{I_1 I_2}| |f_{I_1 I_2}| |g_{J_1 J_2}| \\ &= \sum_{\substack{k_1 \geq 0 \\ j_1 \geq 1}} \sum_{\substack{k_2 \geq 0 \\ 1 \leq m_2 \leq 2^{k_2}}} \sum_{\substack{J_1 \notin \mathcal{D}_1(2N) \\ \text{or } J_2 \notin \mathcal{D}_2(2N)}} \sum_{\substack{I_1 \in J_1(k_1, j_1) \\ I_2 \in J_2(k_2, 0, m_2)}} |\mathcal{G}_{J_1 J_2}^{I_1 I_2}| |f_{I_1 I_2}| |g_{J_1 J_2}| \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{H}_N^{2,1} + \mathcal{H}_N^{2,2} + \mathcal{H}_N^{2,3}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}_N^{2,1} := \varepsilon \sum_{\substack{k_1 \geq 0 \\ j_1 \geq 1}} \sum_{\substack{k_2 \geq 0 \\ 1 \leq m_2 \leq 2^{k_2}}} \sum_{\substack{J_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ J_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} \sum_{\substack{I_1 \in J_1(k_1, j_1) \\ I_2 \in J_2(k_2, 0, m_2)}} 2^{-k_1(\frac{n_1}{2} + \delta_1)} 2^{-k_2 \frac{n_2}{2}} j_1^{-n_1 - \delta_1} m_2^{-\delta_2} |f_{I_1 I_2}| |g_{J_1 J_2}|,$$

$$\mathcal{H}_N^{2,2} := \sum_{\substack{k_1 \geq 0 \\ j_1 \geq N^{\frac{1}{8}}}} \sum_{\substack{k_2 \geq 0 \\ 1 \leq m_2 \leq 2^{k_2}}} \sum_{\substack{J_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ J_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} \sum_{\substack{I_1 \in J_1(k_1, j_1) \\ I_2 \in J_2(k_2, 0, m_2)}} 2^{-k_1(\frac{n_1}{2} + \delta_1)} 2^{-k_2 \frac{n_2}{2}} j_1^{-n_1 - \delta_1} m_2^{-\delta_2} |f_{I_1 I_2}| |g_{J_1 J_2}|,$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_N^{2,3} := \sum_{\substack{k_1 \geq 0 \\ j_1 \geq 1}} \sum_{\substack{k_2 \geq N \\ 1 \leq m_2 \leq 2^{k_2}}} \sum_{\substack{J_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ J_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} \sum_{\substack{I_1 \in J_1(k_1, j_1) \\ I_2 \in J_2(k_2, 0, m_2)}} 2^{-k_1(\frac{n_1}{2} + \delta_1)} 2^{-k_2 \frac{n_2}{2}} j_1^{-n_1 - \delta_1} m_2^{-\delta_2} |f_{I_1 I_2}| |g_{J_1 J_2}|.$$

From the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, (4.13), and (4.27), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_N^{2,1} &\leq \varepsilon \sum_{k_1, k_2 \geq 0} \sum_{j_1 \geq 1} 2^{-k_1(\frac{n_1}{2} + \delta_1)} 2^{-k_2 \frac{n_2}{2}} j_1^{-n_1 - \delta_1} \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{\substack{J_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ J_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m_2 \leq 2^{k_2} \\ I_1 \in J_1(k_1, j_1) \\ I_2 \in J_2(k_2, 0, m_2)}} |f_{I_1 I_2}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{\substack{J_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ J_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m_2 \leq 2^{k_2} \\ I_1 \in J_1(k_1, j_1) \\ I_2 \in J_2(k_2, 0, m_2)}} m_2^{-2\delta_2} |g_{J_1 J_2}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \varepsilon \sum_{k_1, k_2 \geq 0} \sum_{j_1 \geq 1} 2^{-k_1(\frac{n_1}{2} + \delta_1)} 2^{-k_2 \frac{n_2}{2}} j_1^{-n_1 - \delta_1} \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{\substack{I_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ J_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m_2 \leq 2^{k_2} \\ J_1 \in I_1(k_1, j_1) \\ J_2 \in I_2(k_2, 0, m_2)}} |f_{I_1 I_2}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{\substack{J_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ J_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m_2 \leq 2^{k_2} \\ I_1 \in J_1(k_1, j_1) \\ I_2 \in J_2(k_2, 0, m_2)}} m_2^{-2\delta_2} |g_{J_1 J_2}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon \sum_{k_1, k_2 \geq 0} \sum_{j_1 \geq 1} 2^{-k_1 \delta_1} 2^{-k_2/2} j_1^{-\delta_1 - 1} \left(\sum_{\substack{I_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} |f_{I_1 I_2}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{1 \leq m_2 \leq 2^{k_2}} m_2^{-2\delta_2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{\substack{J_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ J_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} |g_{J_1 J_2}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon \sum_{k_2 \geq 0} 2^{-k_2/2} \left(\sum_{1 \leq m_2 \leq 2^{k_2}} m_2^{-2\delta_2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \\ &\leq \varepsilon \sum_{k_2 \geq 0} [2^{-k_2(1-\theta)/2} + 2^{-k_2 \theta \delta_2}] \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the following estimate

$$\sum_{1 \leq m \leq 2^k} m^{-2\delta} = \sum_{1 \leq m \leq 2^{k\theta}} m^{-2\delta} + \sum_{2^{k\theta} < m \leq 2^k} m^{-2\delta} \leq 2^{k\theta} + 2^{k-2k\theta\delta}, \quad \forall \theta \in (0, 1). \quad (4.28)$$

Analogously, when $N \geq N_0$ is large enough,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_N^{2,2} &\lesssim \sum_{j_1 \geq N^{\frac{1}{8}}} j_1^{-\delta_1-1} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \\ &\lesssim N^{-\delta_1/8} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_N^{2,3} &\lesssim \sum_{k_2 \geq N} [2^{-k_2(1-\theta)/2} + 2^{-k_2\theta\delta_2}] \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \\ &\lesssim [2^{-N(1-\theta)/2} + 2^{-N\theta\delta_2}] \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the estimates above imply that for all $N \geq N_0$ sufficiently large,

$$\mathcal{H}_N^2 \lesssim \mathcal{H}_N^{2,1} + \mathcal{H}_N^{2,2} + \mathcal{H}_N^{2,3} \lesssim \varepsilon \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})}.$$

4.3. $\text{rdist}(I_1, J_1) \geq 1$ and $I_2 \subset J_2$.

Lemma 4.29. *Let $I_1, J_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1$ with $\ell(I_1) \leq \ell(J_1)$ and $\text{rdist}(I_1, J_1) \geq 1$, and let $I_2, J_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2$ with $I_2 \subset J_2$. Then*

$$|\mathcal{G}_{J_1 J_2}^{I_1 I_2}| \lesssim F_1(I_1, J_1) \frac{\text{rsize}(I_1, J_1)^{\frac{n_1}{2} + \delta_1}}{\text{rdist}(I_1, J_1)^{n_1 + \delta_1}} \widehat{F}_2(I_2) \text{rsize}(I_2, J_2)^{\frac{n_2}{2}}, \quad (4.30)$$

where $\widehat{F}_2(I_2) := F_2(I_2) + \widetilde{F}_2(I_2)$.

Proof. We begin with the case $I_2 \subsetneq J_2$. We split

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}), h_{J_1} \otimes h_{J_2} \rangle &= \langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}), h_{J_1} \otimes (h_{J_2} \mathbf{1}_{I_2}) \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}), h_{J_1} \otimes (h_{J_2} \mathbf{1}_{3I_2 \setminus I_2}) \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}), h_{J_1} \otimes (h_{J_2} \mathbf{1}_{(3I_2)^c}) \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (4.31)$$

To control the first term, we let

$$\widetilde{h}_{I_2} := |I_2|^{\frac{1}{2}} h_{I_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{\mathbf{K}}(x_1, y_1) := K_{\widetilde{h}_{I_2}, \mathbf{1}_{I_2}}(x_1, y_1) - K_{\widetilde{h}_{I_2}, \mathbf{1}_{I_2}}(x_1, c_{I_1}).$$

By the partial kernel representation, the cancellation of h_{I_1} , and the Hölder condition, one has

$$\begin{aligned} &|\langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}), h_{J_1} \otimes (h_{J_2} \mathbf{1}_{I_2}) \rangle| \\ &= |\langle h_{J_2} \rangle_{I_2} |I_2|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes \widetilde{h}_{I_2}), h_{J_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I_2} \rangle| \\ &= |\langle h_{J_2} \rangle_{I_2} |I_2|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left| \int_{I_1} \int_{J_1} \widetilde{\mathbf{K}}(x_1, y_1) h_{I_1}(y_1) h_{J_1}(x_1) dx_1 dy_1 \right| \\ &\lesssim |I_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |J_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{P}_1(I_1, J_1) |I_2|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |J_2|^{-\frac{1}{2}} C(\widetilde{h}_{I_2}, \mathbf{1}_{I_2}) \\ &\leq F_1(I_1, J_1) \frac{\text{rsize}(I_1, J_1)^{\frac{n_1}{2} + \delta_1}}{\text{rdist}(I_1, J_1)^{n_1 + \delta_1}} F_2(I_2) \text{rsize}(I_2, J_2)^{\frac{n_2}{2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.32)$$

where we have used (4.6) in the last step.

To treat the second term, letting

$$\tilde{\mathbf{K}}(x, y) := K(x, y) - K(x, (c_{I_1}, y_2)),$$

and using the full kernel representation, the cancellation of h_{I_1} , and the mixed size and Hölder condition, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}), h_{J_1} \otimes (h_{J_2} \mathbf{1}_{3I_2 \setminus I_2}) \rangle| \\ &= \left| \int_{I_1 \times I_2} \int_{J_1 \times (3I_2 \setminus I_2)} \tilde{\mathbf{K}}(x, y) h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}(y) h_{J_1} \otimes h_{J_2}(x) dx dy \right| \\ &\lesssim |I_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |J_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{P}_1(I_1, J_1) |I_2|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |J_2|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{Q}_2^1(I_2) \\ &\lesssim F_1(I_1, J_1) \frac{\text{rsize}(I_1, J_1)^{\frac{n_1}{2} + \delta_1}}{\text{rdist}(I_1, J_1)^{n_1 + \delta_1}} \tilde{F}_2(I_2) \text{rsize}(I_2, J_2)^{\frac{n_2}{2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.33)$$

where (4.6) and (4.16) have been used.

To proceed, we claim that

$$\mathcal{R}_2(I_2) := \int_{I_2} \int_{(3I_2)^c} F_2(x_2, y_2) \frac{|y_2 - c_{I_2}|^{\delta_2}}{|x_2 - y_2|^{n_2 + \delta_2}} dx_2 dy_2 \lesssim \tilde{F}_2(I_2) |I_2|, \quad (4.34)$$

where

$$F_2(x_2, y_2) := F_{2,1}(|y_2 - c_{I_2}|) F_{2,2}(|x_2 - y_2|) F_{2,3} \left(1 + \frac{|x_2 + y_2|}{1 + |x_2 - y_2|} \right).$$

Indeed, for all $x_2 \in (3I_2)^c$ and $y_2 \in I_2$,

$$|y_2 - c_{I_2}| \leq \ell(I_2) \leq |x_2 - y_2|. \quad (4.35)$$

Besides, for any $k \geq 0$ and $x_2, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$ satisfying $2^k \ell(I_2) \leq |x_2 - y_2| < 2^{k+1} \ell(I_2)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \left(1 + \frac{|x_2 + y_2|}{1 + |x_2 - y_2|} \right) \\ & \geq 1 + \frac{1 + |x_2 + y_2| + |x_2 - y_2|}{1 + |x_2 - y_2|} \geq 1 + \frac{2|y_2|}{1 + |x_2 - y_2|} \\ & \geq 1 + \frac{2 \text{dist}(2^k I_2, \mathbb{I}_2)}{1 + 2^{k+1} \ell(I_2)} \geq \frac{1}{2} \frac{\text{dist}(2^k I_2, \mathbb{I}_2)}{\max\{2^k \ell(I_2), 1\}} = \frac{1}{2} \text{rdist}(2^k I_2, \mathbb{I}_2). \end{aligned} \quad (4.36)$$

Thus, it follows from (4.35)–(4.36) and the monotonicity of $F_{2,1}$, $F_{2,2}$, and $F_{2,3}$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_2(I_2) &\lesssim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{I_2} \int_{2^k \ell(I_2) \leq |x_2 - y_2| < 2^{k+1} \ell(I_2)} F_2(x_2, y_2) \frac{|y_2 - c_{I_2}|^{\delta_2}}{|x_2 - y_2|^{n_2 + \delta_2}} dx_2 dy_2 \\ &\lesssim |I_2| F_{2,1}(\ell(I_2)) F_{2,2}(\ell(I_2)) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k \delta_2} F_{2,3}(\text{rdist}(2^k I_2, \mathbb{I}_2)) \leq \tilde{F}_2(I_2) |I_2|. \end{aligned} \quad (4.37)$$

Now write

$$\mathbf{K}(x, y) := K(x, y) - K(x, (y_1, c_{I_2})) - K(x, (c_{I_1}, y_2)) + K(x, (c_{I_1}, c_{I_2})).$$

By the full kernel representation, the cancellation of h_{I_1} and h_{I_2} , and the Hölder condition,

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}), h_{J_1} \otimes (h_{J_2} \mathbf{1}_{(3I_2)^c}) \rangle| \\ &= \left| \int_{I_1 \times I_2} \int_{J_1 \times (3I_2)^c} \mathbf{K}(x, y) h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}(y) h_{J_1} \otimes h_{J_2}(x) dx dy \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lesssim |I_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}}|J_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{P}_1(I_1, J_1)|I_2|^{-\frac{1}{2}}|J_2|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{R}_2(I_2) \\
&\lesssim F_1(I_1, J_1)\frac{\text{rsize}(I_1, J_1)^{\frac{n_1}{2}+\delta_1}}{\text{rdist}(I_1, J_1)^{n_1+\delta_1}}\tilde{F}_2(I_2)\text{rsize}(I_2, J_2)^{\frac{n_2}{2}}, \tag{4.38}
\end{aligned}$$

where (4.6) and (4.34) were used in the last inequality. Therefore, (4.30) in the case $I_2 \subsetneq J_2$ follows from (4.31)–(4.33), and (4.38).

Next let us deal with the case $I_2 = J_2$. We decompose

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}), h_{J_1} \otimes h_{I_2} \rangle &= \sum_{I_2', I_2'' \in \text{ch}(I_2)} \langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes (h_{I_2} \mathbf{1}_{I_2'})), h_{J_1} \otimes (h_{I_2} \mathbf{1}_{I_2''}) \rangle \\
&= \sum_{I_2', I_2'' \in \text{ch}(I_2)} \langle h_{I_2} \rangle_{I_2'} \langle h_{I_2} \rangle_{I_2''} \langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I_2'}), h_{J_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I_2''} \rangle. \tag{4.39}
\end{aligned}$$

Consider first the case $I_2' = I_2''$. Let

$$\mathbf{K}_1(x_1, y_1) := K_{\mathbf{1}_{I_2'}, \mathbf{1}_{I_2'}}(x_1, y_1) - K_{\mathbf{1}_{I_2'}, \mathbf{1}_{I_2'}}(x_1, c_{I_1}).$$

By the partial kernel representation, the Hölder condition, and (4.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I_2'}), h_{J_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I_2'} \rangle| \\
&= \left| \int_{I_1} \int_{J_1} \mathbf{K}_1(x_1, y_1) h_{I_1}(y_1) h_{J_1}(x_1) dx_1 dy_1 \right| \\
&\lesssim |I_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}}|J_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{P}_1(I_1, J_1)C(\mathbf{1}_{I_2'}, \mathbf{1}_{I_2'}) \\
&\lesssim |I_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}}|J_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{P}_1(I_1, J_1)F_2(I_2')|I_2'| \\
&\lesssim F_1(I_1, J_1)\frac{\text{rsize}(I_1, J_1)^{\frac{n_1}{2}+\delta_1}}{\text{rdist}(I_1, J_1)^{n_1+\delta_1}}F_2(I_2)|I_2|. \tag{4.40}
\end{aligned}$$

If $I_2' \neq I_2''$, then $I_2'' \subset 3I_2' \setminus I_2'$. Let

$$\mathbf{K}_2(x, y) := K(x, y) - K(x, (c_{I_1}, y_2)).$$

We use the full kernel representation and the mixed size and Hölder condition to conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I_2'}), h_{J_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I_2''} \rangle| \\
&= \left| \int_{I_1 \times I_2'} \int_{J_1 \times I_2''} \mathbf{K}_2(x, y) h_{I_1}(y_1) h_{J_1}(x_1) dx dy \right| \\
&\lesssim |I_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}}|J_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{P}_1(I_1, J_1)\mathcal{Q}_2^1(I_2') \\
&\lesssim |I_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}}|J_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{P}_1(I_1, J_1)\tilde{F}_2(I_2')|I_2'| \\
&\lesssim F_1(I_1, J_1)\frac{\text{rsize}(I_1, J_1)^{\frac{n_1}{2}+\delta_1}}{\text{rdist}(I_1, J_1)^{n_1+\delta_1}}\tilde{F}_2(I_2)|I_2|, \tag{4.41}
\end{aligned}$$

provided (4.6) and (4.16). Thus, (4.39)–(4.41) imply (4.30) in the case $I_2 = J_2$ as desired. \square

Lemma 4.42. *Let $J_i \notin \mathcal{D}_i(2N)$ and $I_i \in \mathcal{D}_i(N)$ with $\ell(J_i) = 2^{k_i}\ell(I_i)$. Then $|k_i| \geq N$ or $\text{rdist}(I_i, J_i) \geq 2N$.*

Proof. If $\ell(J_i) < 2^{-2N}$, then $2^{-N} \leq \ell(I_i) = 2^{-k_i}\ell(J_i) \leq 2^{-k_i-2N}$, hence $k_i \leq -N$. If $\ell(J_i) > 2^{2N}$, then $2^{2N} < \ell(J_i) = 2^{k_i}\ell(I_i) \leq 2^{k_i+N}$, hence $k_i \geq N$. If $2^{-2N} \leq \ell(J_i) \leq 2^{2N}$

and $\text{rdist}(J_i, 2^{2N}\mathbb{I}_i) > 2N$, then $\text{dist}(J_i, 2^{2N}\mathbb{I}_i) > 2N2^{2N}$. The condition $I_i \in \mathcal{D}_i(N)$ gives $\text{dist}(I_i, 2^N\mathbb{I}_i) \leq N2^N$, which in turn implies $I_i \subset (N+2)2^N\mathbb{I}_i \subset 2^{2N}\mathbb{I}_i$ and

$$\text{rdist}(I_i, J_i) = \frac{\text{dist}(I_i, J_i)}{\max\{\ell(I_i), \ell(J_i)\}} \geq \frac{\text{dist}(J_i, 2^{2N}\mathbb{I}_i)}{2^{2N}} \geq 2N.$$

The proof is complete. \square

Observe that by definition,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{I_i \notin \mathcal{D}_i(N)} \widehat{F}_i(I_i) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad (4.43)$$

where $\widehat{F}_i(I_i) := F_i(I_i) + \widetilde{F}_i(I_i)$ with $F_i \in \mathcal{F}_i$ and $\widetilde{F}_i(I_i) := F_{i1}(\ell(I_i))\widetilde{F}_{i2}(\ell(I_i))\widetilde{F}_{i3}(I_i)$.

Recall that for every $k_2 \geq 1$ and $I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2$, $I_2^{(k_2)}$ denotes the unique dyadic cube in \mathcal{D}_2 such that $I_2 \subsetneq I_2^{(k_2)}$ and $\ell(I_2^{(k_2)}) = 2^{k_2}\ell(I_2)$. Write $I_2^{(0)} := I_2$. By Lemmas 4.7, 4.29, 4.42, and (4.43), we obtain, for all $N \geq N_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_N^3 &= \sum_{\substack{J_1 \notin \mathcal{D}_1(2N) \\ \text{or } J_2 \notin \mathcal{D}_2(2N)}} \sum_{\substack{I_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ \ell(I_1) \leq \ell(J_1) \\ \text{rdist}(I_1, J_1) \geq 1}} \sum_{\substack{I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2 \\ I_2 \subsetneq J_2}} |\mathcal{G}_{J_1 J_2}^{I_1 I_2}| |f_{I_1 I_2}| |g_{J_1 J_2}| \\ &= \sum_{\substack{k_1 \geq 0 \\ j_1 \geq 1}} \sum_{k_2 \geq 0} \sum_{\substack{J_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1(2N) \\ \text{or } I_2^{(k_2)} \notin \mathcal{D}_2(2N)}} \sum_{\substack{I_1 \in J_1(k_1, j_1) \\ I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} |\mathcal{G}_{J_1 I_2^{(k_2)}}^{I_1 I_2}| |f_{I_1 I_2}| |g_{J_1 I_2^{(k_2)}}| \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{H}_N^{3,1} + \mathcal{H}_N^{3,2} + \mathcal{H}_N^{3,3}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_N^{3,1} &:= \varepsilon \sum_{\substack{k_1 \geq 0 \\ j_1 \geq 1}} \sum_{k_2 \geq 0} \sum_{\substack{J_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} \sum_{I_1 \in J_1(k_1, j_1)} 2^{-k_1(\frac{n_1}{2} + \delta_1)} 2^{-k_2 \frac{n_2}{2}} j_1^{-n_1 - \delta_1} |f_{I_1 I_2}| |g_{J_1 I_2^{(k_2)}}|, \\ \mathcal{H}_N^{3,2} &:= \sum_{\substack{k_1 \geq 0 \\ j_1 \geq N^{\frac{1}{8}}}} \sum_{k_2 \geq 0} \sum_{\substack{J_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} \sum_{I_1 \in J_1(k_1, j_1)} 2^{-k_1(\frac{n_1}{2} + \delta_1)} 2^{-k_2 \frac{n_2}{2}} j_1^{-n_1 - \delta_1} |f_{I_1 I_2}| |g_{J_1 I_2^{(k_2)}}|, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_N^{3,3} := \sum_{\substack{k_1 \geq 0 \\ j_1 \geq 1}} \sum_{k_2 \geq N} \sum_{\substack{J_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} \sum_{I_1 \in J_1(k_1, j_1)} 2^{-k_1(\frac{n_1}{2} + \delta_1)} 2^{-k_2 \frac{n_2}{2}} j_1^{-n_1 - \delta_1} |f_{I_1 I_2}| |g_{J_1 I_2^{(k_2)}}|.$$

It follows from the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality and (4.13) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_N^{3,1} &\leq \varepsilon \sum_{\substack{k_1 \geq 0 \\ j_1 \geq 1}} \sum_{k_2 \geq 0} 2^{-k_1(\frac{n_1}{2} + \delta_1)} 2^{-k_2 \frac{n_2}{2}} j_1^{-n_1 - \delta_1} \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{\substack{J_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} \sum_{I_1 \in J_1(k_1, j_1)} |f_{I_1 I_2}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{\substack{J_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} \sum_{I_1 \in J_1(k_1, j_1)} |g_{J_1 I_2^{(k_2)}}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \varepsilon \sum_{\substack{k_1 \geq 0 \\ j_1 \geq 1}} \sum_{k_2 \geq 0} 2^{-k_1(\frac{n_1}{2} + \delta_1)} 2^{-k_2 \frac{n_2}{2}} j_1^{-n_1 - \delta_1} \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{\substack{J_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} \sum_{I_1 \in J_1(k_1, j_1)} |f_{I_1 I_2}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{\substack{J_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} \sum_{I_1 \in J_1(k_1, j_1)} |g_{J_1 I_2^{(k_2)}}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\lesssim \varepsilon \sum_{k_1 \geq 0} 2^{-k_1 \delta_1} \sum_{k_2 \geq 0} 2^{-k_2 \frac{n_2}{2}} \sum_{j_1 \geq 1} j_1^{-\delta_1 - 1} \left(\sum_{\substack{I_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} |f_{I_1 I_2}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{\substack{J_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ J_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} |g_{J_1 I_2^{(k_2)}}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})}. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_N^{3,2} &\lesssim \sum_{k_1 \geq 0} 2^{-k_1 \delta_1} \sum_{k_2 \geq 0} 2^{-k_2 \frac{n_2}{2}} \sum_{j_1 \geq N^{\frac{1}{8}}} j_1^{-\delta_1 - 1} \left(\sum_{\substack{I_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} |f_{I_1 I_2}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{\substack{J_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ J_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} |g_{J_1 I_2^{(k_2)}}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim N^{-\delta_1/8} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \leq \varepsilon \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_N^{3,3} &\lesssim \sum_{k_1 \geq 0} 2^{-k_1 \delta_1} \sum_{k_2 \geq N} 2^{-k_2 \frac{n_2}{2}} \sum_{j_1 \geq 1} j_1^{-\delta_1 - 1} \left(\sum_{\substack{I_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} |f_{I_1 I_2}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{\substack{J_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ J_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} |g_{J_1 I_2^{(k_2)}}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim 2^{-N n_2/2} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \leq \varepsilon \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})}, \end{aligned}$$

provided $N \geq 1$ large enough. Consequently, we have proved that for any $N \geq N_0$ sufficiently large,

$$\mathcal{H}_N^3 \lesssim \mathcal{H}_N^{3,1} + \mathcal{H}_N^{3,2} + \mathcal{H}_N^{3,3} \lesssim \varepsilon \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})}.$$

4.4. $\text{rdist}(I_i, J_i) < 1$ and $I_i \cap J_i = \emptyset$, $i = 1, 2$.

Lemma 4.44. *Let $I_i, J_i \in \mathcal{D}_i$ with $\ell(I_i) \leq \ell(J_i)$ such that $\text{rdist}(I_i, J_i) < 1$ and $I_i \cap J_i = \emptyset$, $i = 1, 2$. Then*

$$|\mathcal{G}_{J_1 J_2}^{I_1 I_2}| \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^2 \tilde{F}_i(I_i, J_i) \frac{\text{rsize}(I_i, J_i)^{\frac{n_i}{2}}}{\text{irdist}(I_i, J_i)^{\delta_i}}. \quad (4.45)$$

Proof. We split

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}), h_{J_1} \otimes h_{J_2} \rangle &= \langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}), (h_{J_1} \mathbf{1}_{3I_1 \setminus I_1}) \otimes (h_{J_2} \mathbf{1}_{3I_2 \setminus I_2}) \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}), (h_{J_1} \mathbf{1}_{3I_1 \setminus I_1}) \otimes (h_{J_2} \mathbf{1}_{J_2 \setminus 3I_2}) \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}), (h_{J_1} \mathbf{1}_{J_1 \setminus 3I_1}) \otimes (h_{J_2} \mathbf{1}_{3I_2 \setminus I_2}) \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}), (h_{J_1} \mathbf{1}_{J_1 \setminus 3I_1}) \otimes (h_{J_2} \mathbf{1}_{J_2 \setminus 3I_2}) \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (4.46)$$

Note that $\text{dist}(I_i, J_i) = \text{dist}(I_i, \text{sk}(J_i))$ because $I_i \cap J_i = \emptyset$. Note also that if $\text{irdist}(I_i, J_i) = 1 + \text{dist}(I_i, J_i)/\ell(I_i) > 2$ for some $i = 1, 2$, then $J_i \cap 3I_i = \emptyset$, and hence

$$\langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}), (h_{J_1} \mathbf{1}_{3I_1 \setminus I_1}) \otimes (h_{J_2} \mathbf{1}_{3I_2 \setminus I_2}) \rangle = 0.$$

Thus to treat the first term, it suffices to consider the case $\text{irdist}(I_i, J_i) \leq 2$ for each $i = 1, 2$. It follows from the full kernel representation and the size condition that

$$\begin{aligned} &|\langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}), (h_{J_1} \mathbf{1}_{3I_1 \setminus I_1}) \otimes (h_{J_2} \mathbf{1}_{3I_2 \setminus I_2}) \rangle| \\ &\lesssim \prod_{i=1}^2 |I_i|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |J_i|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{Q}_i^1(I_i) \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^2 \tilde{F}_i(I_i, J_i) \frac{\text{rsize}(I_i, J_i)^{\frac{n_i}{2}}}{\text{irdist}(I_i, J_i)^{\delta_i}}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.47)$$

where we have used (4.16) and the following estimate

$$\mathcal{Q}_1^1(I_1) := \int_{I_1} \int_{3I_1 \setminus I_1} \frac{F_1(x_1, y_1)}{|x_1 - y_1|^{n_1}} dx_1 dy_1 \lesssim \tilde{F}_1(I_1)|I_1| \lesssim \tilde{F}_1(I_1, J_1)|I_1|, \quad (4.48)$$

which can be shown as in (4.16).

To estimate the second term, as above, it suffices to analyze the case $\text{irdist}(I_1, J_1) \leq 2$. Letting

$$\tilde{\mathbf{K}}(x, y) := K(x, y) - K(x, (y_1, c_{I_2})),$$

we use the full kernel representation and the mixed size and Hölder condition to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}), (h_{J_1} \mathbf{1}_{3I_1 \setminus I_1}) \otimes (h_{J_2} \mathbf{1}_{J_2 \setminus 3I_2}) \rangle| \\ &= \left| \int_{I_1 \times I_2} \int_{(3I_1 \setminus I_1) \times (J_2 \setminus 3I_2)} \tilde{\mathbf{K}}(x, y) h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}(y) h_{J_1} \otimes h_{J_2}(x) dx dy \right| \\ &\lesssim |I_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |J_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{Q}_1^1(I_1) |I_2|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |J_2|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{Q}_2^2(I_2, J_2) \\ &\lesssim \tilde{F}_1(I_1, J_1) |I_1|^{\frac{1}{2}} |J_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{F}_2(I_2, J_2) \frac{|I_2|^{\frac{1}{2}} |J_2|^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{\text{irdist}(I_2, J_2)^{\delta_2}} \\ &\lesssim \prod_{i=1}^2 \tilde{F}_i(I_i, J_i) \frac{\text{rsize}(I_i, J_i)^{\frac{n_i}{2}}}{\text{irdist}(I_i, J_i)^{\delta_i}}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.49)$$

where (4.17) and (4.48) were utilized. Analogously,

$$|\langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}), (h_{J_1} \mathbf{1}_{J_1 \setminus 3I_1}) \otimes (h_{J_2} \mathbf{1}_{3I_2 \setminus I_2}) \rangle| \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^2 \tilde{F}_i(I_i, J_i) \frac{\text{rsize}(I_i, J_i)^{\frac{n_i}{2}}}{\text{irdist}(I_i, J_i)^{\delta_i}}. \quad (4.50)$$

To control the last term, we observe that

$$\mathcal{Q}_1^2(I_1, J_1) := \int_{I_1} \int_{J_1 \setminus 3I_1} F_1(x_1, y_1) \frac{|y_1 - c_{I_1}|^{\delta_1}}{|x_1 - c_{I_1}|^{n_1 + \delta_1}} dx_1 dy_1 \lesssim \frac{\tilde{F}_1(I_1, J_1) |I_1|}{\text{irdist}(I_1, J_1)^{\delta_1}}, \quad (4.51)$$

which can be shown as (4.17). Write

$$\mathbf{K}(x, y) := K(x, y) - K(x, (y_1, c_{I_2})) - K(x, (c_{I_1}, y_2)) + K(x, (c_{I_1}, c_{I_2})).$$

In light of (4.17) and (4.51), we apply the full kernel representation, the cancellation of h_{I_1} and h_{I_2} , and the Hölder condition to deduce

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}), (h_{J_1} \mathbf{1}_{J_1 \setminus 3I_1}) \otimes (h_{J_2} \mathbf{1}_{J_2 \setminus 3I_2}) \rangle| \\ &= \left| \int_{I_1 \times I_2} \int_{(J_1 \setminus 3I_1) \times (J_2 \setminus 3I_2)} \mathbf{K}(x, y) h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}(y) h_{J_1} \otimes h_{J_2}(x) dx dy \right| \\ &\lesssim \prod_{i=1}^2 |I_i|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |J_i|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{Q}_i^2(I_i, J_i) \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^2 \tilde{F}_i(I_i, J_i) \frac{\text{rsize}(I_i, J_i)^{\frac{n_i}{2}}}{\text{irdist}(I_i, J_i)^{\delta_i}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.52)$$

Therefore, (4.45) is a consequence of (4.46), (4.47), (4.49), (4.50), and (4.52). \square

Given $k_1 \geq 0$, $m_1 \geq 1$, and $I_1, J_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1$, we let

$$J_1(k_1, 0, m_1) := \{I_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 : \ell(I_1) = 2^{-k_1} \ell(J_1), \text{rdist}(I_1, J_1) < 1, m_1 \leq \text{irdist}(I_1, J_1) < m_1 + 1\}$$

and

$$I_1(k_1, 0, m_1) := \{J_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 : \ell(J_1) = 2^{k_1} \ell(I_1), \text{rdist}(I_1, J_1) < 1, m_1 \leq \text{irdist}(I_1, J_1) < m_1 + 1\}.$$

Then it is not hard to check that $I_1 \in J_1(k_1, 0, m_1)$ if and only if $J_1 \in I_1(k_1, 0, m_1)$,

$$\#J_1(k_1, 0, m_1) \lesssim (2^{k_1} + m_1)^{n_1-1}, \quad \text{and} \quad \# \left(\bigcup_{m_1=1}^{2^{k_1}} I_1(k_1, 0, m_1) \right) \lesssim 1. \quad (4.53)$$

By Lemmas 4.26 and 4.44, we have for all $N > N_0$ sufficiently large,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_N^4 &= \sum_{\substack{J_1 \notin \mathcal{D}_1(2N) \\ \text{or } J_2 \notin \mathcal{D}_2(2N)}} \sum_{\substack{I_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ \ell(I_1) \leq \ell(J_1) \\ \text{rdist}(I_1, J_1) < 1 \\ I_1 \cap J_1 = \emptyset}} \sum_{\substack{I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2 \\ \ell(I_2) \leq \ell(J_2) \\ \text{rdist}(I_2, J_2) < 1 \\ I_2 \cap J_2 = \emptyset}} |\mathcal{G}_{J_1 J_2}^{I_1 I_2}| |f_{I_1 I_2}| |g_{J_1 J_2}| \\ &= \sum_{\substack{k_1 \geq 0 \\ 1 \leq m_1 \leq 2^{k_1}}} \sum_{\substack{k_2 \geq 0 \\ 1 \leq m_2 \leq 2^{k_2}}} \sum_{\substack{J_1 \notin \mathcal{D}_1(2N) \\ \text{or } J_2 \notin \mathcal{D}_2(2N)}} \sum_{\substack{I_1 \in J_1(k_1, 0, m_1) \\ I_2 \in J_2(k_2, 0, m_2)}} |\mathcal{G}_{J_1 J_2}^{I_1 I_2}| |f_{I_1 I_2}| |g_{J_1 J_2}| \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{H}_N^{4,1} + \mathcal{H}_N^{4,2} + \mathcal{H}_N^{4,3}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}_N^{4,1} := \varepsilon \sum_{\substack{k_1 \geq 0 \\ 1 \leq m_1 \leq 2^{k_1}}} \sum_{\substack{k_2 \geq 0 \\ 1 \leq m_2 \leq 2^{k_2}}} \sum_{\substack{J_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ J_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} \sum_{\substack{I_1 \in J_1(k_1, 0, m_1) \\ I_2 \in J_2(k_2, 0, m_2)}} 2^{-k_1 \frac{n_1}{2}} 2^{-k_2 \frac{n_2}{2}} m_1^{-\delta_1} m_2^{-\delta_2} |f_{I_1 I_2}| |g_{J_1 J_2}|,$$

$$\mathcal{H}_N^{4,2} := \sum_{\substack{k_1 \geq N \\ 1 \leq m_1 \leq 2^{k_1}}} \sum_{\substack{k_2 \geq 0 \\ 1 \leq m_2 \leq 2^{k_2}}} \sum_{\substack{J_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ J_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} \sum_{\substack{I_1 \in J_1(k_1, 0, m_1) \\ I_2 \in J_2(k_2, 0, m_2)}} 2^{-k_1 \frac{n_1}{2}} 2^{-k_2 \frac{n_2}{2}} m_1^{-\delta_1} m_2^{-\delta_2} |f_{I_1 I_2}| |g_{J_1 J_2}|,$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_N^{4,3} := \sum_{\substack{k_1 \geq 0 \\ 1 \leq m_1 \leq 2^{k_1}}} \sum_{\substack{k_2 \geq N \\ 1 \leq m_2 \leq 2^{k_2}}} \sum_{\substack{J_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ J_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} \sum_{\substack{I_1 \in J_1(k_1, 0, m_1) \\ I_2 \in J_2(k_2, 0, m_2)}} 2^{-k_1 \frac{n_1}{2}} 2^{-k_2 \frac{n_2}{2}} m_1^{-\delta_1} m_2^{-\delta_2} |f_{I_1 I_2}| |g_{J_1 J_2}|.$$

It follows from the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, (4.27), and (4.53) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_N^{4,1} &\leq \varepsilon \sum_{k_1, k_2 \geq 0} 2^{-k_1 \frac{n_1}{2}} 2^{-k_2 \frac{n_2}{2}} \left(\sum_{\substack{1 \leq m_1 \leq 2^{k_1} \\ 1 \leq m_2 \leq 2^{k_2}}} \sum_{\substack{J_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ J_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} \sum_{\substack{I_1 \in J_1(k_1, 0, m_1) \\ I_2 \in J_2(k_2, 0, m_2)}} |f_{I_1 I_2}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{\substack{1 \leq m_1 \leq 2^{k_1} \\ 1 \leq m_2 \leq 2^{k_2}}} m_1^{-2\delta_1} m_2^{-2\delta_2} \sum_{\substack{J_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ J_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} \sum_{\substack{I_1 \in J_1(k_1, 0, m_1) \\ I_2 \in J_2(k_2, 0, m_2)}} |g_{J_1 J_2}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \varepsilon \sum_{k_1, k_2 \geq 0} 2^{-k_1 \frac{n_1}{2}} 2^{-k_2 \frac{n_2}{2}} \left(\sum_{\substack{I_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m_1 \leq 2^{k_1} \\ J_1 \in I_1(k_1, 0, m_1)}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m_2 \leq 2^{k_2} \\ J_2 \in I_2(k_2, 0, m_2)}} |f_{I_1 I_2}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{\substack{1 \leq m_1 \leq 2^{k_1} \\ 1 \leq m_2 \leq 2^{k_2}}} m_1^{-2\delta_1} m_2^{-2\delta_2} \sum_{\substack{J_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ J_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} \sum_{\substack{I_1 \in J_1(k_1, 0, m_1) \\ I_2 \in J_2(k_2, 0, m_2)}} |g_{J_1 J_2}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \varepsilon \sum_{k_1 \geq 0} 2^{-k_1/2} \left(\sum_{1 \leq m_1 \leq 2^{k_1}} m_1^{-2\delta_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{\substack{I_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} |f_{I_1 I_2}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times \sum_{k_2 \geq 0} 2^{-k_2/2} \left(\sum_{1 \leq m_2 \leq 2^{k_2}} m_2^{-2\delta_2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{\substack{J_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ J_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} |g_{J_1 J_2}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \lesssim \varepsilon \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})}, \end{aligned}$$

where (4.28) was used in the last inequality. Much as in the same way, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_N^{4,2} & \lesssim \sum_{k_1 \geq N} 2^{-k_1/2} \left(\sum_{1 \leq m_1 \leq 2^{k_1}} m_1^{-2\delta_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{\substack{I_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} |f_{I_1 I_2}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \times \sum_{k_2 \geq 0} 2^{-k_2/2} \left(\sum_{1 \leq m_2 \leq 2^{k_2}} m_2^{-2\delta_2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{\substack{J_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ J_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} |g_{J_1 J_2}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \lesssim \varepsilon \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_N^{4,3} \lesssim \varepsilon \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})}.$$

Consequently, we achieve

$$\mathcal{H}_N^4 \lesssim \mathcal{H}_N^{4,1} + \mathcal{H}_N^{4,2} + \mathcal{H}_N^{4,3} \lesssim \varepsilon \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})}.$$

4.5. $\text{rdist}(I_1, J_1) < 1$, $I_1 \cap J_1 = \emptyset$, and $I_2 \subset J_2$.

Lemma 4.54. *Let $I_1, J_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1$ with $\ell(I_1) \leq \ell(J_1)$ be such that $\text{rdist}(I_1, J_1) < 1$ and $I_1 \cap J_1 = \emptyset$, and let $I_2, J_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2$ with $I_2 \subset J_2$. Then*

$$|\mathcal{G}_{J_1 J_2}^{I_1 I_2}| \lesssim \tilde{F}_1(I_1, J_1) \frac{\text{rsize}(I_1, J_1)^{\frac{n_1}{2}}}{\text{irdist}(I_1, J_1)^{\delta_1}} \hat{F}_2(I_2) \text{rsize}(I_2, J_2)^{\frac{n_2}{2}}. \quad (4.55)$$

Proof. We first consider the case $I_2 \subsetneq J_2$. We perform the decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}), h_{J_1} \otimes h_{J_2} \rangle & = \langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}), (h_{J_1} \mathbf{1}_{3I_1 \setminus I_1}) \otimes (h_{J_2} \mathbf{1}_{I_2}) \rangle \\ & \quad + \langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}), (h_{J_1} \mathbf{1}_{3I_1 \setminus I_1}) \otimes (h_{J_2} \mathbf{1}_{3I_2 \setminus I_2}) \rangle \\ & \quad + \langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}), (h_{J_1} \mathbf{1}_{3I_1 \setminus I_1}) \otimes (h_{J_2} \mathbf{1}_{(3I_2)^c}) \rangle \\ & \quad + \langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}), (h_{J_1} \mathbf{1}_{J_1 \setminus 3I_1}) \otimes (h_{J_2} \mathbf{1}_{I_2}) \rangle \\ & \quad + \langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}), (h_{J_1} \mathbf{1}_{J_1 \setminus 3I_1}) \otimes (h_{J_2} \mathbf{1}_{3I_2 \setminus I_2}) \rangle \\ & \quad + \langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}), (h_{J_1} \mathbf{1}_{J_1 \setminus 3I_1}) \otimes (h_{J_2} \mathbf{1}_{(3I_2)^c}) \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (4.56)$$

Let $\tilde{h}_{I_2} := |I_2|^{\frac{1}{2}} h_{I_2}$. As in the proof of Lemma 4.44, we may assume that $\text{irdist}(I_1, J_1) \leq 2$ when we treat the term involving $h_{J_1} \mathbf{1}_{3I_1 \setminus I_1}$. Together with the fact that $I_2 \subset J_2$, the partial kernel representation and the size condition imply that

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}), (h_{J_1} \mathbf{1}_{3I_1 \setminus I_1}) \otimes (h_{J_2} \mathbf{1}_{I_2}) \rangle| \\ & = |I_2|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |\langle h_{J_2} \rangle_{I_2}| |\langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes \tilde{h}_{I_2}), (h_{J_1} \mathbf{1}_{3I_1 \setminus I_1}) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I_2} \rangle| \\ & = |I_2|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |\langle h_{J_2} \rangle_{I_2}| \left| \int_{I_1} \int_{3I_1 \setminus I_1} K_{\tilde{h}_{I_2}, \mathbf{1}_{I_2}}(x_1, y_2) h_{I_1}(y_1) h_{J_1}(x_1) dx_1 dy_1 \right| \\ & \lesssim |I_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |J_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{Q}_1^1(I_1) |I_2|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |J_2|^{-\frac{1}{2}} C(\tilde{h}_{I_2}, \mathbf{1}_{I_2}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\lesssim \tilde{F}_1(I_1, J_1) \frac{\text{rsize}(I_1, J_1)^{\frac{n_1}{2}}}{\text{irdist}(I_1, J_1)^{\delta_1}} F_2(I_2) \text{rsize}(I_2, J_2)^{\frac{n_2}{2}}, \quad (4.57)$$

where we have used (4.48). To bound the second term, we use the full kernel representation and the size condition to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}), (h_{J_1} \mathbf{1}_{3I_1 \setminus I_1}) \otimes (h_{J_2} \mathbf{1}_{3I_2 \setminus I_2}) \rangle| \\ & \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^2 |I_i|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |J_i|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{Q}_i^1(I_i) \\ & \lesssim \tilde{F}_1(I_1, J_1) \frac{\text{rsize}(I_1, J_1)^{\frac{n_1}{2}}}{\text{irdist}(I_1, J_1)^{\delta_1}} \tilde{F}_2(I_2) \text{rsize}(I_2, J_2)^{\frac{n_2}{2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.58)$$

provided (4.16) and (4.48). For the third term, we let

$$\mathbf{K}_1(x, y) := K(x, y) - K(x, (y_1, c_{I_2})),$$

and apply the full kernel representation, the cancellation of h_{I_2} , the mixed size and Hölder condition, (4.34), and (4.48) to arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}), (h_{J_1} \mathbf{1}_{3I_1 \setminus I_1}) \otimes (h_{J_2} \mathbf{1}_{(3I_2)^c}) \rangle| \\ & = \left| \int_{I_1 \times I_2} \int_{(3I_1 \setminus I_1) \times (3I_2)^c} \mathbf{K}_1(x, y) h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}(y) h_{J_1} \otimes h_{J_2}(x) dx dy \right| \\ & \lesssim |I_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |J_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{Q}_1^1(I_1) |I_2|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |J_2|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{B}_2(I_2) \\ & \lesssim \tilde{F}_1(I_1, J_1) \frac{\text{rsize}(I_1, J_1)^{\frac{n_1}{2}}}{\text{irdist}(I_1, J_1)^{\delta_1}} \tilde{F}_2(I_2) |I_2|^{\frac{1}{2}} |J_2|^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.59)$$

To proceed, write

$$\mathbf{K}_2(x_1, y_1) := K_{\tilde{h}_{I_2}, \mathbf{1}_{I_2}}(x_1, y_1) - K_{\tilde{h}_{I_2}, \mathbf{1}_{I_2}}(x_1, c_{I_1}).$$

By the partial kernel representation, the cancellation of h_{I_1} , the Hölder condition, and (4.51),

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}), (h_{J_1} \mathbf{1}_{J_1 \setminus 3I_1}) \otimes (h_{J_2} \mathbf{1}_{I_2}) \rangle| \\ & = |I_2|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |\langle h_{J_2} \rangle_{I_2}| |\langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes \tilde{h}_{I_2}), (h_{J_1} \mathbf{1}_{J_1 \setminus 3I_1}) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I_2} \rangle| \\ & = |I_2|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |\langle h_{J_2} \rangle_{I_2}| \left| \int_{I_1} \int_{J_1 \setminus 3I_1} \mathbf{K}_2(x_1, y_1) h_{I_1}(y_1) h_{J_1}(x_1) dx_1 dy_1 \right| \\ & \lesssim |I_1|^{\frac{1}{2}} |J_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{Q}_1^2(I_1, J_1) |I_2|^{\frac{1}{2}} |J_2|^{-\frac{1}{2}} C(\tilde{h}_{I_2}, \mathbf{1}_{I_2}) \\ & \lesssim \tilde{F}_1(I_1, J_1) \frac{\text{rsize}(I_1, J_1)^{\frac{n_1}{2}}}{\text{irdist}(I_1, J_1)^{\delta_1}} F_2(I_2) \text{rsize}(I_2, J_2)^{\frac{n_2}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.60)$$

Next, let

$$\mathbf{K}_3(x, y) := K(x, y) - K(x, (c_{I_1}, y_2)).$$

Along with (4.16) and (4.51), the full kernel representation and the mixed size and Hölder condition imply that

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}), (h_{J_1} \mathbf{1}_{J_1 \setminus 3I_1}) \otimes (h_{J_2} \mathbf{1}_{3I_2 \setminus I_2}) \rangle| \\ & = \left| \int_{I_1 \times I_2} \int_{(J_1 \setminus 3I_1) \times (3I_2 \setminus I_2)} \mathbf{K}_3(x, y) h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}(y) h_{J_1} \otimes h_{J_2}(x) dx dy \right| \\ & \lesssim |I_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |J_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{Q}_1^2(I_1, J_1) |I_2|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |J_2|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{Q}_2^1(I_2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\lesssim \tilde{F}_1(I_1, J_1) \frac{\text{rsize}(I_1, J_1)^{\frac{n_1}{2}}}{\text{irdist}(I_1, J_1)^{\delta_1}} \tilde{F}_2(I_2) \text{rsize}(I_2, J_2)^{\frac{n_2}{2}}. \quad (4.61)$$

Finally, in order to bound the last term, we write

$$\mathbf{K}_4(x, y) := K(x, y) - K(x, (y_1, c_{I_2})) - K(x, (c_{I_1}, y_2)) + K(x, (c_{I_1}, c_{I_2})).$$

It follows from the full kernel representation, the Hölder condition, (4.34), and (4.51) that

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}), (h_{J_1} \mathbf{1}_{J_1 \setminus 3I_1}) \otimes (h_{J_2} \mathbf{1}_{(3I_2)^c}) \rangle| \\ &= \left| \int_{I_1 \times I_2} \int_{(J_1 \setminus 3I_1) \times (3I_2)^c} \mathbf{K}_4(x, y) h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}(y) h_{J_1} \otimes h_{J_2}(x) dx dy \right| \\ &\lesssim |I_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |J_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{Q}_1^2(I_1, J_1) |I_2|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |J_2|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{R}_2(I_2) \\ &\lesssim \tilde{F}_1(I_1, J_1) \frac{\text{rsize}(I_1, J_1)^{\frac{n_1}{2}}}{\text{irdist}(I_1, J_1)^{\delta_1}} \tilde{F}_2(I_2) \text{rsize}(I_2, J_2)^{\frac{n_2}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.62)$$

The desired conclusion (4.55) is a consequence of (4.56)–(4.62).

To treat the case $I_2 = J_2$, we rewrite

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}), h_{J_1} \otimes h_{I_2} \rangle \\ &= \langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}), (h_{J_1} \mathbf{1}_{3I_1 \setminus I_1}) \otimes h_{I_2} \rangle + \langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}), (h_{J_1} \mathbf{1}_{J_1 \setminus 3I_1}) \otimes h_{I_2} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{I_2', I_2'' \in \text{ch}(I_2)} \langle h_{I_2} \rangle_{I_2'} \langle h_{I_2} \rangle_{I_2''} [\langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I_2'}), (h_{J_1} \mathbf{1}_{3I_1 \setminus I_1}) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I_2''} \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I_2'}), (h_{J_1} \mathbf{1}_{J_1 \setminus 3I_1}) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I_2''} \rangle]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.63)$$

Let us first handle the case $I_2' = I_2''$. By the partial kernel representation, the size condition, and (4.48),

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I_2'}), (h_{J_1} \mathbf{1}_{3I_1 \setminus I_1}) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I_2'} \rangle| \\ &= \left| \int_{I_1} \int_{3I_1 \setminus I_1} K_{\mathbf{1}_{I_2'}, \mathbf{1}_{I_2'}}(x_1, y_1) h_{I_1}(y_1) h_{J_1}(x_1) dx_1 dy_1 \right| \\ &\lesssim |I_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |J_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{Q}_1^1(I_1) C(\mathbf{1}_{I_2'}, \mathbf{1}_{I_2'}) \\ &\lesssim \tilde{F}_1(I_1, J_1) \frac{\text{rsize}(I_1, J_1)^{\frac{n_1}{2}}}{\text{irdist}(I_1, J_1)^{\delta_1}} F_2(I_2) |I_2|, \end{aligned} \quad (4.64)$$

where we have used that $\text{irdist}(I_1, J_1) \leq 2$, otherwise $J_1 \cap 3I_1 = \emptyset$. Letting

$$\mathbf{K}_5(x_1, y_1) := K_{\mathbf{1}_{I_2'}, \mathbf{1}_{I_2'}}(x_1, y_1) - K_{\mathbf{1}_{I_2'}, \mathbf{1}_{I_2'}}(x_1, c_{I_1}),$$

and applying the partial kernel representation and the Hölder condition, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I_2'}), (h_{J_1} \mathbf{1}_{(3I_1)^c}) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I_2'} \rangle| \\ &= \left| \int_{I_1} \int_{J_1 \setminus 3I_1} \mathbf{K}_5(x_1, y_1) h_{I_1}(y_1) h_{J_1}(x_1) dx_1 dy_1 \right| \\ &\lesssim |I_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |J_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{Q}_1^2(I_1, J_1) C(\mathbf{1}_{I_2'}, \mathbf{1}_{I_2'}) \\ &\lesssim \tilde{F}_1(I_1, J_1) \frac{\text{rsize}(I_1, J_1)^{\frac{n_1}{2}}}{\text{irdist}(I_1, J_1)^{\delta_1}} F_2(I_2) |I_2|, \end{aligned} \quad (4.65)$$

where the last inequality follows from (4.51).

Next, we turn to the case $I_2' \neq I_2''$. Note that $I_2'' \subset 3I_2' \setminus I_2'$. By the full kernel representation, the size condition, (4.16), and (4.48),

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I_2'}), (h_{J_1} \mathbf{1}_{3I_1 \setminus I_1}) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I_2''} \rangle | \\
& \lesssim |I_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |J_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{Q}_1^1(I_1) \mathcal{Q}_2^1(I_2') \\
& \lesssim \tilde{F}_1(I_1, J_1) |I_1|^{\frac{1}{2}} |J_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{F}_2(I_2') |I_2'| \\
& \lesssim \tilde{F}_1(I_1, J_1) \frac{\text{rsize}(I_1, J_1)^{\frac{n_1}{2}}}{\text{irdist}(I_1, J_1)^{\delta_1}} \tilde{F}_2(I_2) |I_2|, \tag{4.66}
\end{aligned}$$

because $\text{irdist}(I_1, J_1) \leq 2$, otherwise $J_1 \cap 3I_1 = \emptyset$. Write

$$\mathbf{K}_6(x, y) := K(x, y) - K(x, (c_{I_1}, y_2)).$$

As above, the full kernel representation and the mixed size and Hölder condition yield

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I_2'}), (h_{J_1} \mathbf{1}_{J_1 \setminus 3I_1}) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I_2''} \rangle | \\
& = \left| \int_{I_1 \times I_2'} \int_{(J_1 \setminus 3I_1) \times I_2''} \mathbf{K}_6(x, y) h_{I_1}(y_1) h_{J_1}(x_1) dx dy \right| \\
& \lesssim |I_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |J_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{Q}_1^2(I_1, J_1) \mathcal{Q}_2^1(I_2') \\
& \lesssim \tilde{F}_1(I_1, J_1) \frac{\text{rsize}(I_1, J_1)^{\frac{n_1}{2}}}{\text{irdist}(I_1, J_1)^{\delta_1}} \tilde{F}_2(I_2) |I_2|, \tag{4.67}
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used (4.16) and (4.51). Thus, (4.55) follows from (4.63)–(4.67). \square

In view of Lemmas 4.26, 4.42, 4.54, and the estimate (4.43), we have that for any $N > N_0$ large enough,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_N^5 &= \sum_{\substack{J_1 \notin \mathcal{D}_1(2N) \\ \text{or } J_2 \notin \mathcal{D}_2(2N)}} \sum_{\substack{I_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ \ell(I_1) \leq \ell(J_1) \\ \text{rdist}(I_1, J_1) < 1 \\ I_1 \cap J_1 = \emptyset}} \sum_{\substack{I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2 \\ I_2 \subset J_2}} |\mathcal{G}_{J_1 J_2}^{I_1 I_2}| |f_{I_1 I_2}| |g_{J_1 J_2}| \\
&= \sum_{\substack{k_1 \geq 0 \\ 1 \leq m_1 \leq 2^{k_1}}} \sum_{k_2 \geq 0} \sum_{\substack{J_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1(2N) \\ \text{or } I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2 \text{ with } I_2^{(k_2)} \notin \mathcal{D}_2(2N)}} \sum_{I_1 \in J_1(k_1, 0, m_1)} |\mathcal{G}_{J_1 I_2^{(k_2)}}^{I_1 I_2}| |f_{I_1 I_2}| |g_{J_1 I_2^{(k_2)}}| \\
&\lesssim \mathcal{H}_N^{5,1} + \mathcal{H}_N^{5,2} + \mathcal{H}_N^{5,3},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}_N^{5,1} := \varepsilon \sum_{\substack{k_1 \geq 0 \\ 1 \leq m_1 \leq 2^{k_1}}} \sum_{k_2 \geq 0} \sum_{\substack{J_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} \sum_{I_1 \in J_1(k_1, 0, m_1)} 2^{-k_1 \frac{n_1}{2}} 2^{-k_2 \frac{n_2}{2}} m_1^{-\delta_1} |f_{I_1 I_2}| |g_{J_1 I_2^{(k_2)}}|,$$

$$\mathcal{H}_N^{5,2} := \sum_{\substack{k_1 \geq N \\ 1 \leq m_1 \leq 2^{k_1}}} \sum_{k_2 \geq 0} \sum_{\substack{J_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} \sum_{I_1 \in J_1(k_1, 0, m_1)} 2^{-k_1 \frac{n_1}{2}} 2^{-k_2 \frac{n_2}{2}} m_1^{-\delta_1} |f_{I_1 I_2}| |g_{J_1 I_2^{(k_2)}}|,$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_N^{5,3} := \sum_{\substack{k_1 \geq 0 \\ 1 \leq m_1 \leq 2^{k_1}}} \sum_{k_2 \geq N} \sum_{\substack{J_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} \sum_{I_1 \in J_1(k_1, 0, m_1)} 2^{-k_1 \frac{n_1}{2}} 2^{-k_2 \frac{n_2}{2}} m_1^{-\delta_1} |f_{I_1 I_2}| |g_{J_1 I_2^{(k_2)}}|.$$

Invoking the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality and (4.53), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_N^{5,1} &\leq \varepsilon \sum_{k_1, k_2 \geq 0} 2^{-k_1 \frac{n_1}{2}} 2^{-k_2 \frac{n_2}{2}} \left(\sum_{1 \leq m_1 \leq 2^{k_1}} \sum_{\substack{J_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} \sum_{I_1 \in J_1(k_1, 0, m_1)} |f_{I_1 I_2}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad \times \left(\sum_{1 \leq m_1 \leq 2^{k_1}} m_1^{-2\delta_1} \sum_{\substack{J_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} \sum_{I_1 \in J_1(k_1, 0, m_1)} |g_{J_1 I_2^{(k_2)}}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq \varepsilon \sum_{k_1, k_2 \geq 0} 2^{-k_1 \frac{n_1}{2}} 2^{-k_2 \frac{n_2}{2}} \left(\sum_{\substack{I_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m_1 \leq 2^{k_1} \\ J_1 \in \mathcal{I}_1(k_1, 0, m_1)}} |f_{I_1 I_2}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad \times \left(\sum_{1 \leq m_1 \leq 2^{k_1}} m_1^{-2\delta_1} \sum_{\substack{J_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} \sum_{I_1 \in J_1(k_1, 0, m_1)} |g_{J_1 I_2^{(k_2)}}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\lesssim \varepsilon \sum_{k_1 \geq 0} 2^{-k_1/2} \left(\sum_{1 \leq m_1 \leq 2^{k_1}} m_1^{-2\delta_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{\substack{I_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} |f_{I_1 I_2}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{k_2 \geq 0} 2^{-k_2 \frac{n_2}{2}} \left(\sum_{\substack{J_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} |g_{J_1 I_2^{(k_2)}}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\lesssim \varepsilon \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, (4.28) implies that for all $N > N_0$ large enough,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_N^{5,2} &\lesssim \sum_{k_1 \geq N} 2^{-k_1/2} \left(\sum_{1 \leq m_1 \leq 2^{k_1}} m_1^{-2\delta_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{\substack{I_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} |f_{I_1 I_2}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{k_2 \geq 0} 2^{-k_2 \frac{n_2}{2}} \left(\sum_{\substack{J_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} |g_{J_1 I_2^{(k_2)}}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\lesssim [2^{-N(1-\theta)/2} + 2^{-N\delta_1}] \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \\
&\lesssim \varepsilon \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_N^{5,3} &\lesssim \sum_{k_1 \geq 0} 2^{-k_1/2} \left(\sum_{1 \leq m_1 \leq 2^{k_1}} m_1^{-2\delta_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{\substack{I_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} |f_{I_1 I_2}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{k_2 \geq N} 2^{-k_2 \frac{n_2}{2}} \left(\sum_{\substack{J_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} |g_{J_1 I_2^{(k_2)}}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\lesssim 2^{-Nn_2/2} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \\
&\lesssim \varepsilon \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we collect the estimates above to deduce

$$\mathcal{H}_N^5 \lesssim \mathcal{H}_N^{5,1} + \mathcal{H}_N^{5,2} + \mathcal{H}_N^{5,3} \lesssim \varepsilon \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})}.$$

4.6. $I_1 \subset J_1$ and $I_2 \subset J_2$.

Lemma 4.68. *Let $I_1, J_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1$ with $I_1 \subset J_1$, and let $I_2, J_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2$ with $I_2 \subset J_2$. Then*

$$|\mathcal{G}_{J_1 J_2}^{I_1 I_2}| \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^2 \widehat{F}_i(I_i) \text{rsize}(I_i, J_i)^{\frac{n_i}{2}}. \quad (4.69)$$

Proof. First, we consider the case $I_1 \subsetneq J_1$ and $I_2 \subsetneq J_2$. In this scenario, there holds

$$h_{J_i} = \langle h_{J_i} \rangle_{I_i} \mathbf{1}_{I_i} + h_{J_i} \mathbf{1}_{3I_i \setminus I_i} + h_{J_i} \mathbf{1}_{(3I_i)^c}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

This enables us to split

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}), h_{J_1} \otimes h_{J_2} \rangle &= \langle h_{J_1} \rangle_{I_1} \langle h_{J_2} \rangle_{I_2} \langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}), \mathbf{1}_{I_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I_2} \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle h_{J_1} \rangle_{I_1} \langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}), \mathbf{1}_{I_1} \otimes (h_{J_2} \mathbf{1}_{3I_2 \setminus I_2}) \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle h_{J_1} \rangle_{I_1} \langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}), \mathbf{1}_{I_1} \otimes (h_{J_2} \mathbf{1}_{(3I_2)^c}) \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle h_{J_2} \rangle_{I_2} \langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}), (h_{J_1} \mathbf{1}_{3I_1 \setminus I_1}) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I_2} \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}), (h_{J_1} \mathbf{1}_{3I_1 \setminus I_1}) \otimes (h_{J_2} \mathbf{1}_{3I_2 \setminus I_2}) \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}), (h_{J_1} \mathbf{1}_{3I_1 \setminus I_1}) \otimes (h_{J_2} \mathbf{1}_{(3I_2)^c}) \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle h_{J_2} \rangle_{I_2} \langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}), (h_{J_1} \mathbf{1}_{(3I_1)^c}) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I_2} \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}), (h_{J_1} \mathbf{1}_{(3I_1)^c}) \otimes (h_{J_2} \mathbf{1}_{3I_2 \setminus I_2}) \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}), (h_{J_1} \mathbf{1}_{(3I_1)^c}) \otimes (h_{J_2} \mathbf{1}_{(3I_2)^c}) \rangle \\ &=: \sum_{i=1}^9 \mathcal{S}_i. \end{aligned}$$

To estimate \mathcal{S}_1 , we rewrite

$$\mathcal{S}_1 = \langle h_{J_1} \rangle_{I_1} \langle h_{J_2} \rangle_{I_2} \sum_{\substack{I'_1 \in \text{ch}(I_1) \\ I'_2 \in \text{ch}(I_2)}} \langle h_{I_1} \rangle_{I'_1} \langle h_{I_2} \rangle_{I'_2} \langle T(\mathbf{1}_{I'_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I'_2}), \mathbf{1}_{I_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I_2} \rangle, \quad (4.70)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle T(\mathbf{1}_{I'_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I'_2}), \mathbf{1}_{I_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I_2} \rangle \\ &= \langle T(\mathbf{1}_{I'_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I'_2}), \mathbf{1}_{I'_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I'_2} \rangle + \langle T(\mathbf{1}_{I'_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I'_2}), \mathbf{1}_{I'_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I_2 \setminus I'_2} \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle T(\mathbf{1}_{I'_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I'_2}), \mathbf{1}_{I_1 \setminus I'_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I'_2} \rangle + \langle T(\mathbf{1}_{I'_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I'_2}), \mathbf{1}_{I_1 \setminus I'_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I_2 \setminus I'_2} \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (4.71)$$

The weak compactness property gives

$$|\langle T(\mathbf{1}_{I'_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I'_2}), \mathbf{1}_{I'_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I'_2} \rangle| \leq F_1(I'_1) |I'_1| F_2(I'_2) |I'_2| \lesssim F_1(I_1) |I_1| F_2(I_2) |I_2|. \quad (4.72)$$

By the partial kernel representation and the size condition,

$$\begin{aligned} &|\langle T(\mathbf{1}_{I'_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I'_2}), \mathbf{1}_{I'_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I_2 \setminus I'_2} \rangle| \\ &= \left| \int_{I'_2} \int_{I_2 \setminus I'_2} K_{\mathbf{1}_{I'_1}, \mathbf{1}_{I'_1}}(x_2, y_2) dx_2 dy_2 \right| \\ &\lesssim C(\mathbf{1}_{I'_1}, \mathbf{1}_{I'_1}) \mathcal{Q}_2^1(I'_2) \lesssim F_1(I'_1) |I'_1| \widetilde{F}_2(I'_2) |I'_2| \\ &\lesssim F_1(I_1) |I_1| \widetilde{F}_2(I_2) |I_2|, \end{aligned} \quad (4.73)$$

where we have used (4.16) and that $I_2 \setminus I'_2 \subset 3I'_2 \setminus I'_2$. Symmetrically,

$$|\langle T(\mathbf{1}_{I'_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I'_2}), \mathbf{1}_{I_1 \setminus I'_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I_2} \rangle| \lesssim \tilde{F}_1(I_1) |I_1| F_2(I_2) |I_2|. \quad (4.74)$$

Moreover, the full kernel representation, the size condition, (4.16), and (4.48) imply

$$|\langle T(\mathbf{1}_{I'_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I'_2}), \mathbf{1}_{I_1 \setminus I'_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I_2 \setminus I'_2} \rangle| \lesssim \mathcal{Q}_1^1(I'_1) \mathcal{Q}_2^1(I'_2) \lesssim \tilde{F}_1(I_1) |I_1| \tilde{F}_2(I_2) |I_2|. \quad (4.75)$$

Collecting the estimates (4.70)–(4.75), we have

$$|\mathcal{S}_1| \lesssim \hat{F}_1(I_1) |I_1|^{\frac{1}{2}} |J_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \hat{F}_2(I_2) |I_2|^{\frac{1}{2}} |J_2|^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (4.76)$$

To proceed, we denote $\tilde{h}_{I_1} = |I_1|^{\frac{1}{2}} h_{I_1}$. By the partial kernel representation and the size condition,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{S}_2| &= |I_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |\langle h_{J_1} \rangle_{I_1}| |\langle T(\tilde{h}_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}), \mathbf{1}_{I_1} \otimes (h_{J_2} \mathbf{1}_{3I_2 \setminus I_2}) \rangle| \\ &\lesssim |I_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |J_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} C(\tilde{h}_{I_1}, \mathbf{1}_{I_1}) |I_2|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |J_2|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{Q}_2^1(I_2) \\ &\lesssim F_1(I_1) |I_1|^{\frac{1}{2}} |J_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{F}_2(I_2) |I_2|^{\frac{1}{2}} |J_2|^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.77)$$

By symmetry, there holds

$$|\mathcal{S}_4| \lesssim \tilde{F}_1(I_1) |I_1|^{\frac{1}{2}} |J_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} F_2(I_2) |I_2|^{\frac{1}{2}} |J_2|^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (4.78)$$

Letting

$$\mathbf{K}_1(x_2, y_2) := K_{\tilde{h}_{I_1}, \mathbf{1}_{I_1}}(x_2, y_2) - K_{\tilde{h}_{I_1}, \mathbf{1}_{I_1}}(x_2, c_{I_2}),$$

we apply the partial kernel representation, the Hölder condition, and (4.34) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{S}_3| &= |I_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |\langle h_{J_1} \rangle_{I_1}| \left| \int_{I_2} \int_{(3I_2)^c} \mathbf{K}_1(x_2, y_2) h_{I_2}(y_2) h_{J_2}(x_2) dx_2 dy_2 \right| \\ &\lesssim |I_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |J_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} C(\tilde{h}_{I_1}, \mathbf{1}_{I_1}) |I_2|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |J_2|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{R}_2(I_2) \\ &\lesssim F_1(I_1) |I_1|^{\frac{1}{2}} |J_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{F}_2(I_2) |I_2|^{\frac{1}{2}} |J_2|^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.79)$$

By symmetry, we have

$$|\mathcal{S}_7| \lesssim \tilde{F}_1(I_1) |I_1|^{\frac{1}{2}} |J_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} F_2(I_2) |I_2|^{\frac{1}{2}} |J_2|^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (4.80)$$

Using the full kernel representation, the size condition, (4.16), and (4.48), we obtain

$$|\mathcal{S}_5| \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^2 |I_i|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |J_i|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{Q}_i^1(I_i) \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^2 \tilde{F}_i(I_i) |I_i|^{\frac{1}{2}} |J_i|^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (4.81)$$

Furthermore, letting

$$\mathbf{K}_2(x, y) := K(x, y) - K(x, (y_1, c_{I_2})),$$

we utilize the full kernel representation and the mixed size and Hölder condition to arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{S}_6| &= \left| \int_{I_1 \times I_2} \int_{(3I_1 \setminus I_1) \times (3I_2)^c} \mathbf{K}_2(x, y) h_{I_1} \otimes h_{J_1}(y) h_{I_2} \otimes h_{J_2}(x) dx dy \right| \\ &\lesssim |I_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |J_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{Q}_1^1(I_1) |I_2|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |J_2|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{R}_2(I_2) \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^2 \tilde{F}_i(I_i) |I_i|^{\frac{1}{2}} |J_i|^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.82)$$

where (4.34) and (4.48) have been used in the last inequality. By symmetry, one has

$$|\mathcal{S}_8| \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^2 \tilde{F}_i(I_i) |I_i|^{\frac{1}{2}} |J_i|^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (4.83)$$

To control \mathcal{S}_9 , we let

$$\mathbf{K}_3(x, y) := K(x, y) - K(x, (y_1, c_{I_1})) - K(x, (c_{I_1}, y_2)) + K(x, (c_{I_1}, c_{I_2})).$$

As shown as in (4.34), there holds

$$\mathcal{R}_1(I_1) := \int_{I_1} \int_{(3I_1)^c} F_1(x_1, y_1) \frac{|y_1 - c_{I_1}|^{\delta_1}}{|x_1 - y_1|^{n_1 + \delta_1}} dx_1 dy_1 \lesssim \tilde{F}_1(I_1) |I_1|. \quad (4.84)$$

Then in view of (4.34) and (4.84), the full kernel representation and the Hölder condition imply

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{S}_9| &= \left| \int_{I_1 \times I_2} \int_{(3I_1)^c \times (3I_2)^c} \mathbf{K}_3(x, y) h_{I_1} \otimes h_{J_1}(y) h_{I_2} \otimes h_{J_2}(x) dx dy \right| \\ &\lesssim \prod_{i=1}^2 |I_i|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |J_i|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{R}_i(I_i) \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^2 \tilde{F}_i(I_i) |I_i|^{\frac{1}{2}} |J_i|^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.85)$$

Hence, (4.69) follows from (4.76)–(4.85).

Next, we treat the case $I_1 \subsetneq J_1$ and $I_2 = J_2$. We decompose

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}), h_{J_1} \otimes h_{I_2} \rangle \\ &= \langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}), (h_{J_1} \mathbf{1}_{I_1}) \otimes h_{I_2} \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}), (h_{J_1} \mathbf{1}_{3I_1 \setminus I_1}) \otimes h_{I_2} \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}), (h_{J_1} \mathbf{1}_{(3I_1)^c}) \otimes h_{I_2} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{I'_2, I''_2 \in \text{ch}(I_2)} \langle h_{J_1} \rangle_{I_1} \langle h_{I_2} \rangle_{I'_2} \langle h_{I_2} \rangle_{I''_2} \langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I'_2}), \mathbf{1}_{I_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I''_2} \rangle \\ &\quad + \sum_{I'_2, I''_2 \in \text{ch}(I_2)} \langle h_{I_2} \rangle_{I'_2} \langle h_{I_2} \rangle_{I''_2} \langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I'_2}), (h_{J_1} \mathbf{1}_{3I_1 \setminus I_1}) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I''_2} \rangle \\ &\quad + \sum_{I'_2, I''_2 \in \text{ch}(I_2)} \langle h_{I_2} \rangle_{I'_2} \langle h_{I_2} \rangle_{I''_2} \langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I'_2}), (h_{J_1} \mathbf{1}_{(3I_1)^c}) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I''_2} \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (4.86)$$

We begin with the case $I'_2 = I''_2$. Let $\tilde{h}_{I_1} := |I_1|^{\frac{1}{2}} h_{I_1}$. The diagonal CMO condition gives

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I'_2}), \mathbf{1}_{I_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I'_2} \rangle| &= |I_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |\langle T(\tilde{h}_{I_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I'_2}), \mathbf{1}_{I_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I'_2} \rangle| \\ &\lesssim F_1(I_1) |I_1|^{\frac{1}{2}} F_2(I'_2) |I'_2| \lesssim F_1(I_1) |I_1|^{\frac{1}{2}} F_2(I_2) |I_2|. \end{aligned} \quad (4.87)$$

From the partial kernel representation, the size condition, and (4.48), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &|\langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I'_2}), (h_{J_1} \mathbf{1}_{3I_1 \setminus I_1}) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I'_2} \rangle| \\ &= \left| \int_{I_1} \int_{3I_1 \setminus J_{I_1}} K_{\mathbf{1}_{I'_2}, \mathbf{1}_{I'_2}}(x_1, y_1) h_{I_1}(y_1) h_{J_1}(x_1) dx_1 dy_1 \right| \\ &\lesssim |I_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |J_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{Q}_1^1(I_1) C(\mathbf{1}_{I'_2}, \mathbf{1}_{I'_2}) \\ &\lesssim \tilde{F}_1(I_1) |I_1|^{\frac{1}{2}} |J_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} F_2(I'_2) |I'_2| \\ &\lesssim \tilde{F}_1(I_1) |I_1|^{\frac{1}{2}} |J_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} F_2(I_2) |I_2|. \end{aligned} \quad (4.88)$$

Writing

$$\mathbf{K}_4(x_1, y_1) := K_{\mathbf{1}_{I'_2}, \mathbf{1}_{I'_2}}(x_1, y_1) - K_{\mathbf{1}_{I'_2}, \mathbf{1}_{I'_2}}(x_1, c_{I_1}),$$

we use the partial kernel representation, the Hölder condition, and (4.84) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I'_2}), (h_{J_1} \mathbf{1}_{(3I_1)^c}) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I'_2} \rangle| \\ &= \left| \int_{I_1} \int_{(3I_1)^c} \mathbf{K}_4(x_1, y_1) h_{I_1}(y_1) h_{J_1}(x_1) dx_1 dy_1 \right| \\ &\lesssim |I_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |J_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{R}_1(I_1) C(\mathbf{1}_{I'_2}, \mathbf{1}_{I'_2}) \\ &\lesssim \tilde{F}_1(I_1) |I_1|^{\frac{1}{2}} |J_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} F_2(I'_2) |I'_2| \\ &\lesssim \tilde{F}_1(I_1) |I_1|^{\frac{1}{2}} |J_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} F_2(I_2) |I_2|. \end{aligned} \quad (4.89)$$

Let us turn to the case $I'_2 \neq I''_2$. By the partial kernel representation, the size condition, and (4.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I'_2}), \mathbf{1}_{I_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I''_2} \rangle| \\ &= |I_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left| \int_{I'_2} \int_{I''_2} K_{\tilde{h}_{I_1}, \mathbf{1}_{I_1}}(x_2, y_2) dx_2 dy_2 \right| \\ &\lesssim |I_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} C(\tilde{h}_{I_1}, \mathbf{1}_{I_1}) \mathcal{Q}_2^1(I'_2) \\ &\lesssim F_1(I_1) |I_1|^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{F}_2(I'_2) |I'_2| \\ &\lesssim F_1(I_1) |I_1|^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{F}_2(I_2) |I_2|. \end{aligned} \quad (4.90)$$

The full kernel representation and the size condition imply

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I'_2}), (h_{J_1} \mathbf{1}_{3I_1 \setminus I_1}) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I''_2} \rangle| \\ &\lesssim |I_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |J_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{Q}_1^1(I_1) \mathcal{Q}_2^1(I'_2) \\ &\lesssim \tilde{F}_1(I_1) |I_1|^{\frac{1}{2}} |J_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{F}_2(I'_2) |I'_2| \\ &\lesssim \tilde{F}_1(I_1) |I_1|^{\frac{1}{2}} |J_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{F}_2(I_2) |I_2|, \end{aligned} \quad (4.91)$$

where (4.16) and (4.48) were used in the second inequality. Additionally, along with (4.16) and (4.84), the full kernel representation and the mixed size and Hölder condition give

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I'_2}), (h_{J_1} \mathbf{1}_{(3I_1)^c}) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I''_2} \rangle| \\ &= \left| \int_{I_1 \times I'_2} \int_{(3I_1)^c \times I''_2} (K(x, y) - K(x, (c_{I_1}, y_2))) h_{I_1}(y_1) h_{J_1}(x_1) dx dy \right| \\ &\lesssim |I_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |J_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{R}_1(I_1) \mathcal{Q}_2^1(I'_2) \lesssim \tilde{F}_1(I_1) |I_1|^{\frac{1}{2}} |J_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{F}_2(I_2) |I_2|. \end{aligned} \quad (4.92)$$

Thus, we conclude (4.69) from (4.86)–(4.92). Much as in the same way, we can show (4.69) in the case $I_1 = J_1$ and $I_2 \subsetneq J_2$.

Finally, let us analyze the case $I_1 = J_1$ and $I_2 = J_2$. Write

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle T(h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2}), h_{I_1} \otimes h_{I_2} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{I'_1, I''_1 \in \text{ch}(I_1)} \sum_{I'_2, I''_2 \in \text{ch}(I_2)} \langle h_{I_1} \rangle_{I'_1} \langle h_{I_2} \rangle_{I'_2} \langle h_{I_1} \rangle_{I''_1} \langle h_{I_2} \rangle_{I''_2} \langle T(\mathbf{1}_{I'_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I'_2}), \mathbf{1}_{I''_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I''_2} \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (4.93)$$

The weak compactness property gives

$$|\langle T(\mathbf{1}_{I'_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I'_2}), \mathbf{1}_{I'_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I'_2} \rangle| \leq F_1(I_1)|I_1| F_2(I_2)|I_2|. \quad (4.94)$$

If $I'_1 = I''_1$ and $I'_2 \neq I''_2$, we use the partial kernel representation, the size condition, and (4.16) to arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle T(\mathbf{1}_{I'_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I'_2}), \mathbf{1}_{I'_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I''_2} \rangle| \\ &= \left| \int_{I'_2} \int_{I''_2} K_{\mathbf{1}_{I'_1}, \mathbf{1}_{I'_1}}(x_2, y_2) dx_2 dy_2 \right| \\ &\lesssim C(\mathbf{1}_{I'_1}, \mathbf{1}_{I'_1}) \mathcal{Q}_2^1(I'_2) \lesssim F_1(I'_1)|I'_1| \tilde{F}_2(I_2)|I_2| \\ &\lesssim F_1(I_1)|I_1| \tilde{F}_2(I_2)|I_2|. \end{aligned} \quad (4.95)$$

Similarly, if $I'_1 \neq I''_1$ and $I'_2 = I''_2$, we have

$$|\langle T(\mathbf{1}_{I'_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I'_2}), \mathbf{1}_{I''_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I'_2} \rangle| \lesssim \tilde{F}_1(I_1)|I_1| F_2(I_2)|I_2|. \quad (4.96)$$

If $I'_1 \neq I'_2$ and $I''_1 \neq I''_2$, then in light of (4.16) and (4.48), the full kernel representation and the size condition imply

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle T(\mathbf{1}_{I'_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I'_2}), \mathbf{1}_{I''_1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{I''_2} \rangle| \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{Q}_1^1(I'_1) \mathcal{Q}_2^1(I'_2) \lesssim \tilde{F}_1(I'_1)|I'_1| \tilde{F}_2(I'_2)|I'_2| \lesssim \tilde{F}_1(I_1)|I_1| \tilde{F}_2(I_2)|I_2|. \end{aligned} \quad (4.97)$$

Therefore, (4.69) is a consequence of (4.93)–(4.97). \square

It follows from Lemma 4.42, (4.43), and Lemma 4.68 that for all $N > N_0$ large enough,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_N^6 &= \sum_{\substack{J_1 \notin \mathcal{D}_1(2N) \\ \text{or } J_2 \notin \mathcal{D}_2(2N)}} \sum_{\substack{I_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ I_1 \subset J_1}} \sum_{\substack{I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2 \\ I_2 \subset J_2}} |\mathcal{G}_{J_1 J_2}^{I_1 I_2}| |f_{I_1 I_2}| |g_{J_1 J_2}| \\ &= \sum_{k_1, k_2 \geq 0} \sum_{\substack{I_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \text{ with } I_1^{(k_1)} \notin \mathcal{D}_1(2N) \\ \text{or } I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2 \text{ with } I_2^{(k_2)} \notin \mathcal{D}_2(2N)}} |\mathcal{G}_{I_1^{(k_1)} I_2^{(k_2)}}^{I_1 I_2}| |f_{I_1 I_2}| |g_{I_1^{(k_1)} I_2^{(k_2)}}| \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{H}_N^{6,1} + \mathcal{H}_N^{6,2} + \mathcal{H}_N^{6,3}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_N^{6,1} &:= \varepsilon \sum_{k_1, k_2 \geq 0} \sum_{\substack{I_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} 2^{-k_1 n_1/2} 2^{-k_2 n_2/2} |f_{I_1 I_2}| |g_{I_1^{(k_1)} I_2^{(k_2)}}|, \\ \mathcal{H}_N^{6,2} &:= \sum_{k_1 \geq N, k_2 \geq 0} \sum_{\substack{I_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} 2^{-k_1 n_1/2} 2^{-k_2 n_2/2} |f_{I_1 I_2}| |g_{I_1^{(k_1)} I_2^{(k_2)}}|, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_N^{6,3} := \sum_{k_1 \geq 0, k_2 \geq N} \sum_{\substack{I_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} 2^{-k_1 n_1/2} 2^{-k_2 n_2/2} |f_{I_1 I_2}| |g_{I_1^{(k_1)} I_2^{(k_2)}}|.$$

By the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_N^{6,1} &\leq \varepsilon \sum_{k_1, k_2 \geq 0} 2^{-k_1 n_1/2} 2^{-k_2 n_2/2} \left(\sum_{\substack{I_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} |f_{I_1 I_2}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{\substack{I_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} |g_{I_1^{(k_1)} I_2^{(k_2)}}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for any $N > 1$ large enough,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_N^{6,2} &\leq \sum_{k_1 \geq N, k_2 \geq 0} 2^{-k_1 n_1/2} 2^{-k_2 n_2/2} \left(\sum_{\substack{I_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} |f_{I_1 I_2}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{\substack{I_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} |g_{I_1^{(k_1)} I_2^{(k_2)}}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim 2^{-N n_1/2} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \lesssim \varepsilon \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})}. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_N^{6,3} &\leq \sum_{k_1 \geq 0, k_2 \geq N} 2^{-k_1 n_1/2} 2^{-k_2 n_2/2} \left(\sum_{\substack{I_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} |f_{I_1 I_2}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{\substack{I_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1 \\ I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2}} |g_{I_1^{(k_1)} I_2^{(k_2)}}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim 2^{-N n_2/2} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \lesssim \varepsilon \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})}. \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, we obtain for all $N > N_0$ large enough,

$$\mathcal{H}_N^6 \lesssim \mathcal{H}_N^{6,1} + \mathcal{H}_N^{6,2} + \mathcal{H}_N^{6,3} \lesssim \varepsilon \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})}.$$

Therefore, we have already shown (3.7).

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Cao, H. Liu, Z. Si, and K. Yabuta, *A compact bilinear T1 theorem*, in preparation.
- [2] M. Cao, A. Olivo, and K. Yabuta, *Extrapolation for multilinear compact operators and applications*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **375** (2022), 5011–5070.
- [3] M. Cao and K. Yabuta, *A compact bilinear T1 theorem on product spaces*, in preparation.
- [4] S.Y.A. Chang and R. Fefferman, *A continuous version of duality of H^1 with BMO on the bidisc*, Ann. of Math. (2) **112** (1980), 179–201.
- [5] S.Y.A. Chang and R. Fefferman, *Some recent developments in Fourier analysis and H^p theory on product domains*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **12** (1985), 1–43.
- [6] M. Cwikel and N.J. Kalton, *Interpolation of compact operators by the methods of Calderón and Gustavsson-Peetre*, Proc. Edin. Math. Soc. **38** (1995), 261–276.
- [7] G. David and J.-L. Journé, *A boundedness criterion for generalized Calderón–Zygmund operators*, Ann. of Math. (2) **120** (1984), 371–397.
- [8] E. Fabes, M. Jodeit, and N. Riviere, *Potential techniques for boundary value problems on C^1 -domains*, Acta Math. **141** (1978), 165–186.
- [9] M. Fabian, P. Habala, P. Hájek, V. Montesinos, and V. Zizler, *Banach Space Theory. The Basis for Linear and Nonlinear Analysis*, CMS Books in Mathematics, Springer, New York, 2011.
- [10] R. Fefferman, *Harmonic analysis on product spaces*, Ann. of Math. (2) **126** (1987), 109–130.
- [11] R. Fefferman, *A_p weights and singular integrals*, Amer. J. Math. **110** (1988), 975–987.
- [12] R. Fefferman and E. Stein, *Singular integrals on product spaces*, Adv. Math. **45** (1982), 117–143.
- [13] S. Ferguson and M. Lacey, *A characterization of product BMO by commutators*, Acta Math. **189** (2002), 143–160.
- [14] J. García-Cuerva and J.L. Rubio de Francia, *Weighted Norm Inequalities and Related Topics*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, vol. 116, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1985, Notas de Matemática [Mathematical Notes], 104.
- [15] A. Grau de la Herrán, *Comparison of T1 conditions for multi-parameter operators*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **144** (2016), 2437–2443.
- [16] S. Hofmann, M. Mitrea, and M. Taylor, *Singular integrals and elliptic boundary problems on regular Semmes-Kenig-Toro domains*, Int. Math. Res. Not. (2010), 2567–2865.
- [17] K. Li, H. Martikainen, and E. Vuorinen, *Genuinely multilinear weighted estimates for singular integrals in product spaces*, Adv. Math. **393** (2021), No. 108099.
- [18] H. Martikainen, *Representation of bi-parameter singular integrals by dyadic operators*, Adv. Math. **229** (2012), 1734–1761.
- [19] J.-L. Journé, *Calderón–Zygmund operators on product spaces*, Rev. Mat. Iberoam. **1** (1985), 55–91.
- [20] C. Muscalu, J. Pipher, T. Tao, and C. Thiele, *Bi-parameter paraproducts*, Acta Math. **193** (2004), 269–296.
- [21] S. Pott and P. Villarroya, *A T(1) theorem on product spaces*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1105.2516>.

- [22] P. Villarroya, *A characterization of compactness for singular integrals*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **104** (2015), 485–532.

MINGMING CAO, INSTITUTO DE CIENCIAS MATEMÁTICAS CSIC-UAM-UC3M-UCM, CONSEJO SUPERIOR DE INVESTIGACIONES CIENTÍFICAS, C/ NICOLÁS CABRERA, 13-15, E-28049 MADRID, SPAIN

Email address: `mingming.cao@icmat.es`

KÔZÔ YABUTA, RESEARCH CENTER FOR MATHEMATICS AND DATA SCIENCE, KWANSEI GAKUIN UNIVERSITY, GAKUEN 2-1, SANDA 669-1337, JAPAN

Email address: `kyabuta3@kwansei.ac.jp`

DACHUN YANG, LABORATORY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPLEX SYSTEMS (MINISTRY OF EDUCATION OF CHINA), SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, BEIJING NORMAL UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100875, THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

Email address: `dcyang@bnu.edu.cn`