

ON SETS WITH FINITE DISTRIBUTIONAL FRACTIONAL PERIMETER

GIOVANNI E. COMI AND GIORGIO STEFANI

ABSTRACT. We continue the study of the fine properties of sets having locally finite distributional fractional perimeter. We refine the characterization of their blow-ups and prove a Leibniz rule for the intersection of sets with locally finite distributional fractional perimeter with sets with finite fractional perimeter. As a byproduct, we provide a description of non-local boundaries associated with the distributional fractional perimeter.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **The distributional fractional perimeter.** Given $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, we let

$$\nabla^\alpha f(x) = \mu_{n,\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(f(y) - f(x))(y - x)}{|y - x|^{n+\alpha+1}} dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (1.1)$$

be the *fractional α -gradient* of $f \in \text{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and, analogously,

$$\text{div}^\alpha \varphi(x) = \mu_{n,\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(\varphi(y) - \varphi(x)) \cdot (y - x)}{|y - x|^{n+\alpha+1}} dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (1.2)$$

be the *fractional α -divergence* of $\varphi \in \text{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$, where

$$\mu_{n,\alpha} = 2^\alpha \pi^{-\frac{n}{2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n+\alpha+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{2}\right)} > 0$$

is renormalization constant. The operators (1.1) and (1.2) are *dual*, in the sense that they satisfy the fractional integration-by-parts formula

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \text{div}^\alpha \varphi dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi \cdot \nabla^\alpha f dx. \quad (1.3)$$

Date: March 21, 2023.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 26B30. Secondary 28A75.

Key words and phrases. Fractional gradient, fractional perimeter, Blow-up Theorem, Leibniz rule, non-local boundary.

Acknowledgments. The authors are members of the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (INdAM), Gruppo Nazionale per l'Analisi Matematica, la Probabilità e le loro Applicazioni (GNAMPA). The first-named author is partially supported by the INdAM–GNAMPA 2022 Project *Alcuni problemi associati a funzionali integrali: riscoperta strumenti classici e nuovi sviluppi*, codice CUP_E55F22000270001, and has received funding from the MIUR PRIN 2017 Project “Gradient Flows, Optimal Transport and Metric Measure Structures”. The second-named author is partially supported by the INdAM–GNAMPA 2022 Project *Analisi geometrica in strutture subriemanniane*, codice CUP_E55F22000270001, and has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (grant agreement No. 945655).

For a more detailed account on the operators in (1.1) and (1.2) and on the formula (1.3), we refer the reader to [14]. In our previous papers [1–7], starting from formula (1.3), we developed a new theory of distributional fractional Sobolev and BV spaces.

In the present note, we continue the study of fractional BV functions. Let us introduce the following definition (see [2, Sec. 3.1] for example). Given $p \in [1, +\infty]$ and an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we say that $f \in BV_{\text{loc}}^{\alpha,p}(\Omega)$ if $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$\sup \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi \, dx : \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n), \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 1, \operatorname{supp} \varphi \subset A \right\} < +\infty$$

for any open set $A \Subset \Omega$. A simple application of Riesz's Representation Theorem (see [2, Th. 3] for instance) allows to infer that $f \in BV_{\text{loc}}^{\alpha,p}(\Omega)$ if and only if there is a vector-valued Radon measure $D^\alpha f \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$, called *fractional α -variation measure* of f , such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi \, dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi \cdot dD^\alpha f \quad (1.4)$$

for all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\operatorname{supp} \varphi \subset \Omega$, with

$$|D^\alpha f|(A) = \sup \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi \, dx : \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n), \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 1, \operatorname{supp} \varphi \subset A \right\}$$

for any open set $A \Subset \Omega$. If $|D^\alpha f|(\Omega) < +\infty$, then we write $f \in BV^{\alpha,p}(\Omega)$. We warn the reader that the subscript 'loc' in $BV_{\text{loc}}^{\alpha,p}$ always refers to the local finiteness of the fractional variation measure only, as $BV_{\text{loc}}^{\alpha,p}$ functions are in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by default.

If $\chi_E \in BV_{\text{loc}}^{\alpha,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then the measure $|D^\alpha \chi_E| \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is called the *distributional fractional (Caccioppoli) α -perimeter* of $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ (see [3, Def. 4.1]). We recall that $W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset BV^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with strict inclusion, see [3, Ths. 3.18 and 3.31], motivating the fact that $|D^\alpha \chi_E|$ must not be confused with the *fractional α -perimeter* $P_\alpha(E; \cdot)$ relative to $W^{\alpha,1}$ sets (see [8, 10] for an account). In fact, if $P_\alpha(E; \Omega) < +\infty$, then $\chi_E \in BV^{\alpha,\infty}(\Omega)$ with $D^\alpha \chi_E = \nabla^\alpha \chi_E \mathcal{L}^n$ and $\|\nabla^\alpha \chi_E\|_{L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \mu_{n,\alpha} P_\alpha(E; \Omega)$, see [3, Prop. 4.8], but currently we do not know if there exists $\chi_E \in BV^{\alpha,\infty}(\Omega)$ such that $P_\alpha(E; \Omega) = +\infty$.

Mimicking the classical theory (see [3, Sec. 4.5]), given $\chi_E \in BV_{\text{loc}}^{\alpha,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we say that $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ belongs to the *fractional reduced boundary* of E , and we write $x \in \mathcal{F}^\alpha E$, if

$$x \in \operatorname{supp}(|D^\alpha \chi_E|) \quad \text{and} \quad \exists \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{D^\alpha \chi_E(B_r(x))}{|D^\alpha \chi_E|(B_r(x))} \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}.$$

Consequently, we let $\nu_E^\alpha: \mathcal{F}^\alpha E \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$,

$$\nu_E^\alpha(x) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{D^\alpha \chi_E(B_r(x))}{|D^\alpha \chi_E|(B_r(x))}, \quad x \in \mathcal{F}^\alpha E,$$

be the (*measure theoretic*) *inner unit fractional normal* of E . Hence, (1.4) implies

$$\int_E \operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi \, dx = - \int_{\mathcal{F}^\alpha E} \varphi \cdot \nu_E^\alpha \, d|D^\alpha \chi_E| \quad (1.5)$$

for all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$.

1.2. Leibniz rules. A large part of our preceding works [1–7] is dedicated to the study of fractional Leibniz rules involving the operators (1.1) and (1.2). For $f, g \in \operatorname{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\varphi \in \operatorname{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$\nabla^\alpha(fg) = f \nabla^\alpha g + g \nabla^\alpha f + \nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g) \quad (1.6)$$

and, analogously,

$$\operatorname{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f\varphi) = f \operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi + \varphi \cdot \nabla^\alpha f + \operatorname{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, \varphi), \quad (1.7)$$

where

$$\nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g)(x) = \mu_{n,\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(f(y) - f(x))(g(y) - g(x))(y - x)}{|y - x|^{n+\alpha+1}} dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (1.8)$$

and

$$\operatorname{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, \varphi)(x) = \mu_{n,\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(f(y) - f(x))(\varphi(y) - \varphi(x)) \cdot (y - x)}{|y - x|^{n+\alpha+1}} dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (1.9)$$

are the *non-local fractional α -gradient* and the *non-local fractional α -divergence*, respectively. The fractional Leibniz rules (1.6) and (1.7), as well as the operators (1.8) and (1.9), can be extended to less regular functions and vector fields in several ways, see [1–7].

The first main aim of the present note is to achieve some new fractional Leibniz rules. On the one side, we provide the following product rule for the intersection of $BV_{\text{loc}}^{\alpha,\infty}$ sets with $W^{\alpha,1}$ sets, generalizing [5, Th. 1.1]. In fact, the locality property (1.15) was inspired by an observation recently made in [13, Rem. 3.4]. Here and in the following, $D_s^\alpha f$ denotes the singular part of the fractional variation measure $D^\alpha f$ of $f \in BV_{\text{loc}}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We also let

$$u^*(x) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{B_r(x)} u(y) dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

be the *precise representative* of $u \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, whenever the limit exists. Note that, if $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is Lebesgue point of u , then

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{B_r(x)} |u(y) - u^*(x)| dy = 0,$$

so that, if $u = \chi_E$, then $\chi_E^*(x) = \chi_{E^1}(x)$, where, for $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$E^t = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \exists \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{|E \cap B_r(x)|}{|B_r(x)|} = t \right\}.$$

Theorem 1.1 (Intersection with $W^{\alpha,1}$ set). *If $\chi_E \in BV_{\text{loc}}^{\alpha,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $P_\alpha(F) < +\infty$, then $\chi_{E \cap F} \in BV_{\text{loc}}^{\alpha,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, with*

$$D^\alpha \chi_{E \cap F} = \chi_{F^1} D^\alpha \chi_E + \chi_E \nabla^\alpha \chi_F \mathcal{L}^n + \nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(\chi_E, \chi_F) \mathcal{L}^n \quad \text{in } \mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n), \quad (1.10)$$

$$\max \left\{ \|\chi_E \nabla^\alpha \chi_F\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)}, \|\nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(\chi_E, \chi_F)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \right\} \leq c_{n,\alpha} P_\alpha(F) \quad (1.11)$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(\chi_E, \chi_F) dx = 0. \quad (1.12)$$

Consequently, we have

$$D^\alpha \chi_{E \cap F} - \chi_{F^1} D^\alpha \chi_E \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n), \quad (1.13)$$

$$|D^\alpha \chi_{E \cap F} - \chi_{F^1} D^\alpha \chi_E|(\mathbb{R}^n) \leq c_{n,\alpha} P_\alpha(F) \quad (1.14)$$

and

$$D_s^\alpha \chi_{E \cap F} = \chi_{F^1} D_s^\alpha \chi_E \quad \text{in } \mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n). \quad (1.15)$$

In addition, if F is also bounded, then $\chi_{E \cap F} \in BV^{\alpha,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$\int_{F^1} dD^\alpha \chi_E = - \int_E \nabla^\alpha \chi_F dx. \quad (1.16)$$

We expect that Theorem 1.1 may be extended to $BV_{\text{loc}}^{\alpha,\infty}$ (and even $BV_{\text{loc}}^{\alpha,p}$) functions, but we do not pursue this direction here and leave it to future works.

On the other side, we generalize [5, Rem. 4.6], see Theorem 1.3 below. To state our result, we need to introduce some notation. In analogy with [5, Def. 4.5], we exploit [5, Lem. 2.9] to define the measure version of the non-local fractional gradient (1.8) (actually, we already used this object in [5, Th. 5.1] without providing its explicit definition).

Definition 1.2 (Non-local fractional α -gradient measure). Let $p, q \in [1, +\infty]$ be such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} \leq 1$. Let $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $g \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We say that $D_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g) \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ is a *non-local fractional α -gradient measure* of the pair (f, g) if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \operatorname{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(g, \varphi) \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi \cdot dD_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g) \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n).$$

Arguing as in [5, Sec. 4.4], we can exploit [5, Cor. 2.7 and Lems. 2.9 and 2.10] to infer that Definition 1.2 is well posed and that $D_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g)$, if it exists, is unique and symmetric, and extends the operator (1.8).

Our second result on the Leibniz rule for $BV_{\text{loc}}^{\alpha,\infty}$ functions can be stated as follows.

Theorem 1.3 (Conditional Leibniz rule in $BV_{\text{loc}}^{\alpha,\infty}$). *If $f, g \in BV_{\text{loc}}^{\alpha,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then*

$$f g \in BV_{\text{loc}}^{\alpha,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \iff \exists D_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g) \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n), \quad (1.17)$$

and there exist $\bar{f} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, |D^\alpha g|)$ and $\bar{g} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, |D^\alpha f|)$, with

$$\|\bar{f}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, |D^\alpha g|)} \leq \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\bar{g}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, |D^\alpha f|)} \leq \|g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad (1.18)$$

$$\bar{f} = f^* |D^\alpha g| \text{-a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^n \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{g} = g^* |D^\alpha f| \text{-a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (1.19)$$

wherever f^* and g^* are well defined, such that, provided that $f g \in BV_{\text{loc}}^{\alpha,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$D^\alpha(f g) = \bar{f} D^\alpha g + \bar{g} D^\alpha f + D_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n). \quad (1.20)$$

At the present moment, we do not know if the measure $D_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, g)$ is well defined even in the case $f, g \in BV_{\text{loc}}^{\alpha,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $|D^\alpha f|, |D^\alpha g| \ll \mathcal{L}^n$, see [5, Rem. 4.6]. However, in the simplest case $f = g = \chi_E \in BV_{\text{loc}}^{\alpha,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have the following result.

Corollary 1.4 (The measure $D_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(\chi_E, \chi_E)$). *If $\chi_E \in BV_{\text{loc}}^{\alpha,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $D_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(\chi_E, \chi_E) \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ is well defined and satisfies*

$$D_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(\chi_E, \chi_E) = (1 - 2\overline{\chi_E}) D^\alpha \chi_E \quad \text{in } \mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n), \quad (1.21)$$

where $\overline{\chi_E} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, |D^\alpha \chi_E|)$, with

$$0 \leq \overline{\chi_E} \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\chi_E} = \chi_E^* |D^\alpha \chi_E| \text{-a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (1.22)$$

wherever χ_E^* is well defined. In addition, if $\chi_E \in BV^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then

$$D_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(\chi_E, \chi_E)(\mathbb{R}^n) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \overline{\chi_E} \, dD^\alpha \chi_E = 0. \quad (1.23)$$

In the limiting case $\alpha = 1$, the non-local gradient disappears, hence (1.21) reduces to $(1 - 2\overline{\chi_E}) D\chi_E = 0$, coherently with the fact that $\overline{\chi_E} = \chi_E^* = \frac{1}{2} |D\chi_E|$ -a.e. in \mathbb{R}^n .

1.3. Analysis of blow-ups. The main result of our first paper, see [3, Th. 5.8 and Prop. 5.9], provides the following fractional counterpart of De Giorgi's Blow-up Theorem for sets with locally finite perimeter (see [11, Part Two] for a detailed exposition).

Theorem 1.5 (Existence and rigidity of blow-ups). *If $\chi_E \in BV_{\text{loc}}^{\alpha, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $x \in \mathcal{F}^\alpha E$, then $\text{Tan}(E, x) \neq \emptyset$ and any $F \in \text{Tan}(E, x)$ is such that $\chi_F \in BV_{\text{loc}}^{\alpha, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\nu_F^\alpha(y) = \nu_E^\alpha(x)$ for $|D^\alpha \chi_F|$ -a.e. $y \in \mathcal{F}^\alpha F$.*

The second main aim of this note is to refine Theorem 1.5. On the one hand, we provide the following convergence result, which was somehow implicit in the proof of [3, Prop. 5.9].

Theorem 1.6 (Refined convergence). *Let $\chi_E \in BV_{\text{loc}}^{\alpha, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $x \in \mathcal{F}^\alpha E$. If $F \in \text{Tan}(E, x)$ with $\chi_{\frac{E-x}{r_k}} \rightarrow \chi_F$ in $L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $r_k \rightarrow 0^+$, then, up to extracting a subsequence:*

- (i) $D^\alpha \chi_{\frac{E-x}{r_k}} \rightarrow D^\alpha \chi_F$ in $\mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ as $r_k \rightarrow 0^+$;
- (ii) $|D^\alpha \chi_{\frac{E-x}{r_k}}| \rightarrow |D^\alpha \chi_F|$ in $\mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $r_k \rightarrow 0^+$;
- (iii) $D^\alpha \chi_{\frac{E-x}{r_k}}(B_R) \rightarrow D^\alpha \chi_F(B_R)$ and $|D^\alpha \chi_{\frac{E-x}{r_k}}|(B_R) \rightarrow |D^\alpha \chi_F|(B_R)$ for all $R > 0$ such that $|D^\alpha \chi_F|(\partial B_R) = 0$ (in particular, for a.e. $R > 0$).

As a consequence, if $\text{Tan}(E, x) = \{F\}$ with $F \neq \emptyset, \mathbb{R}^n$, then

$$\nu_F^\alpha(y) = \frac{D^\alpha \chi_F(B_1)}{|D^\alpha \chi_F|(B_1)} \quad \text{for all } y \in \mathcal{F}^\alpha F. \quad (1.24)$$

On the other hand, we provide the following characterization of blow-ups, which can be seen as a first step towards a fractional counterpart of De Giorgi's Structure Theorem for sets with locally finite perimeter, see [11, Part 2] for instance. Here and in the following, we let $\partial^\alpha = D_{\mathbb{R}}^\alpha$ denote the fractional variation measure in dimension $n = 1$ and we let P be the standard De Giorgi's perimeter.

Theorem 1.7 (Characterization of blow-ups). *Let $\chi_E \in BV_{\text{loc}}^{\alpha, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $x \in \mathcal{F}^\alpha E$ and $\nu_E^\alpha(x) = e_n$. If $F \in \text{Tan}(E, x)$, then $F = \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times M$ with $M \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that:*

- (i) $\chi_M \in BV_{\text{loc}}^{\alpha, \infty}(\mathbb{R})$ with $\partial^\alpha \chi_M \geq 0$;
- (ii) $|M|, |M^c| \in \{0, +\infty\}$;
- (iii) if $|M| = +\infty$, then $\text{ess sup } M = +\infty$;
- (iv) if $M \neq \emptyset, \mathbb{R}$ is such that $P(M) < +\infty$, then $M = (m, +\infty)$ for some $m \in \mathbb{R}$.

Roughly speaking, Theorem 1.7 shows that fractional blow-up sets look like half-spaces. A simple but quite surprising consequence of Theorem 1.7 is that a cone cannot be a blow-up set, unless it is a half-space or \mathbb{R}^n . Such an unexpected rigidity of blow-ups for example implies that no vertex of the *Koch snowflake* belongs to its fractional reduced boundary.

Theorem 1.7 is a consequence of the following two results, which may be interesting on their own. The first one characterizes $BV_{\text{loc}}^{\alpha, \infty}$ functions with zero fractional derivative.

Proposition 1.8 (Null derivative). *Let $f \in BV_{\text{loc}}^{\alpha, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and let $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then, $D_i^\alpha f = 0$ if and only if $D_i f = 0$.*

The second result allows to factorize the fractional variation measure of a $BV_{\text{loc}}^{\alpha, \infty}$ function which does not depend on certain coordinates.

Proposition 1.9 (Splitting). *Let $f \in BV_{\text{loc}}^{\alpha, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If $D_1 f = 0$, then there exists $g \in BV_{\text{loc}}^{\alpha, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ such that $f((t, x)) = g(x)$ for a.e. $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and*

$$(D_{\mathbb{R}^n}^\alpha)_i f = \mathcal{L}^1 \otimes (D_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}^\alpha)_i g \quad \text{in } \mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

for all $i = 2, \dots, n$.

Propositions 1.8 and 1.9 may hold for $BV_{\text{loc}}^{\alpha, p}$ functions as well, but we leave this line of research for forthcoming works, being out of the scopes of the present note.

1.4. Analysis of non-local boundaries. The third and last main aim of this note is to exploit the above results on blow-ups and Leibniz rules for $BV_{\text{loc}}^{\alpha, \infty}$ sets to infer some properties of non-local boundaries linked with the distributional fractional perimeter.

On the one side, given $\chi_E \in BV_{\text{loc}}^{\alpha, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we may decompose the fractional variation measure of E as $D^\alpha \chi_E = D_{\text{ac}}^\alpha \chi_E + D_s^\alpha \chi_E$, where $|D_{\text{ac}}^\alpha \chi_E| \ll \mathcal{L}^n$ and $D_s^\alpha \chi_E \perp \mathcal{L}^n$. In virtue of (1.15) in Theorem 1.1, $D_s^\alpha \chi_E$ has a local nature, in contrast with the non-local and thus ‘diffuse’ behavior of the measure $D_{\text{ac}}^\alpha \chi_E$. The following result, which is a simple consequence of Theorem 1.1, gives an idea of the size of the support of the measure $D_s^\alpha \chi_E$. Here and in the following, we let

$$\partial^- E = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : 0 < |E \cap B_r(x)| < |B_r(x)| \text{ for all } r > 0\}.$$

Theorem 1.10 (Support of $D_s^\alpha \chi_E$). *If $\chi_E \in BV_{\text{loc}}^{\alpha, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then*

$$|D_s^\alpha \chi_E|(F^1) = 0 \text{ whenever } \chi_F \in W^{\alpha, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ with either } |E \cap F| = 0 \text{ or } |E^c \cap F| = 0.$$

In particular, $\text{supp}(|D_s^\alpha \chi_E|) \subset \partial^- E$.

Theorem 1.10 has several analogies with classical results. Indeed, it is well-known that, if $\chi_E \in BV_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $\text{supp}(|D\chi_E|) = \partial^- E$ (see [11, Prop. 12.19] for instance), while, if E has locally finite fractional perimeter, then

$$\partial^- E = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : P_\alpha^L(E; B_r(x)) > 0 \text{ for all } r > 0 \right\},$$

where

$$P_\alpha^L(E; A) = \int_{E \cap A} \int_{A \setminus E} \frac{dx dy}{|x - y|^{n+\alpha}}, \quad A \subset \mathbb{R}^n,$$

is the *local part* of the fractional perimeter $P_\alpha(E; \cdot)$, see [10, Lem. 3.1]. Furthermore, Theorem 1.10 can be combined with [3, Cor. 5.4] to get the estimate

$$|D_s^\alpha \chi_E| \leq c_{n, \alpha} \mathcal{H}^{n-\alpha} \llcorner (\mathcal{F}^\alpha E \cap \partial^- E).$$

In particular, if $\mathcal{H}^{n-\alpha}(\mathcal{F}^\alpha E \cap \partial^- E) = 0$, then $|D_s^\alpha \chi_E| \ll \mathcal{L}^n$. However, we warn the reader that $\partial^- E$ may have positive Lebesgue measure, even for a set with finite perimeter (see [11, Exam. 12.25] for instance).

On the other side, in view of Theorems 1.5 and 1.7, we wish to study the set of points $x \in \mathcal{F}^\alpha E$ for which fractional blow-ups are non-trivial, i.e., such that $\text{Tan}(E, x) \neq \{\emptyset\}$ and $\text{Tan}(E, x) \neq \{\mathbb{R}^n\}$. As well-known, for any $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ measurable and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$\text{Tan}(E, x) = \{\emptyset\} \iff x \in E^0, \quad \text{Tan}(E, x) = \{\mathbb{R}^n\} \iff x \in E^1, \quad (1.25)$$

where E^0 and E^1 are the *measure-theoretic exterior* and *interior* of E , respectively, and $\partial^* E = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus (E^0 \cup E^1)$ is hence the *measure-theoretic boundary* of E . The equivalences in (1.25) thus motivate the following definition.

Definition 1.11 (Effective fractional reduced boundary). Given $\chi_E \in BV_{\text{loc}}^{\alpha, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we let $\mathcal{F}_e^\alpha E = \mathcal{F}^\alpha E \cap \partial^* E$ be the *effective fractional reduced boundary* of E .

We recall that $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{F}^\alpha E) \geq n - \alpha$, see [3, Prop. 5.5], possibly with strict inequality. In fact, from (1.5), we immediately deduce that, if $|D^\alpha \chi_E| \ll \mathcal{L}^n$ and $|D^\alpha \chi_E|(\Omega) > 0$, then $|\Omega \cap \mathcal{F}^\alpha E| > 0$ as well. Indeed, in this case, we have

$$\int_E \operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi \, dx = \int_{\Omega \cap \mathcal{F}^\alpha E} \varphi \cdot dD_{\text{ac}}^\alpha \chi_E \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n),$$

so that $|\Omega \cap \mathcal{F}^\alpha E| = 0$ implies $|D^\alpha \chi_E|(\Omega) = 0$. In particular, this applies to the case $P_\alpha(E, \Omega) < +\infty$, see [3, Rem. 4.9]. Our last result refines such statement for the effective fractional reduced boundary. Here and below, given $\nu \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we let

$$H_\nu^+(x_0) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : (y - x_0) \cdot \nu \geq 0\}, \quad H_\nu(x_0) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : (y - x_0) \cdot \nu = 0\},$$

with the shorthands $H_\nu^+ = H_\nu^+(0)$ and $H_\nu = H_\nu(0)$.

Theorem 1.12 (Properties of $\mathcal{F}_e^\alpha E$).

- (i) If $\chi_E \in BV_{\text{loc}}^{\alpha, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $x \in \mathcal{F}_e^\alpha E$ and $\operatorname{Tan}(E, x) = H_\nu^+$ for $\nu \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, then $\nu_E^\alpha(x) = \nu$.
- (ii) If $\chi_E \in W_{\text{loc}}^{\alpha, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $\mathcal{H}^{n-\alpha}(\mathcal{F}_e^\alpha E) = 0$.
- (iii) If $\chi_E \in BV_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $\mathcal{F}E \subset \mathcal{F}_e^\alpha E$, $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\mathcal{F}_e^\alpha E \setminus \mathcal{F}E) = 0$ and $\nu_E^\alpha = \nu_E$ on $\mathcal{F}E$.

In the proof of Theorem 1.12 we exploit the following result, which can be easily deduced from [6, Prop. 1.8] and in fact provides a notable example for point (iii) in Theorem 1.12.

Proposition 1.13 (Half-space). *If $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\nu \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, then*

$$\nabla^\alpha \chi_{H_\nu^+(x_0)}(x) = \frac{\mu_{1, \alpha}}{\alpha} \frac{\nu}{|(x - x_0) \cdot \nu|^\alpha} \quad \text{for all } x \notin H_\nu(x_0),$$

with $\mathcal{F}^\alpha H_\nu^+(x_0) = \mathbb{R}^n$, $\mathcal{F}_e^\alpha H_\nu^+(x_0) = H_\nu(x_0)$ and $\nu_{H_\nu^+(x_0)}^\alpha = \nu$ on \mathbb{R}^n .

We conclude our paper with the following result, which is a simple consequence of [3, Th. 3.18] and provides another important example for point (iii) in Theorem 1.12.

Proposition 1.14 (Ball). *If $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r > 0$, then*

$$\nabla^\alpha \chi_{B_r(x_0)}(x) = -\frac{\mu_{n, \alpha}}{n + \alpha - 1} \int_{\partial B_r(x_0)} \frac{y}{|x - y|^{n+\alpha-1}} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(y) \quad \text{for all } x \notin \partial B_r(x_0),$$

with $\mathcal{F}^\alpha B_r(x_0) = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x_0\}$, $\mathcal{F}_e^\alpha B_r(x_0) = \partial B_r(x_0)$ and $\nu_{B_r(x_0)}^\alpha(x) = -\frac{x-x_0}{|x-x_0|}$ for all $x \neq x_0$.

2. PROOFS OF THE RESULTS

The rest of the paper is devoted to the proofs of our results. Throughout this section, we let $(\varrho_\varepsilon) \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a family of standard mollifiers as in [3, Sec. 3.3] and, for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we let $\hat{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ be defined as

$$\hat{x}_i = \begin{cases} (x_2, \dots, x_n) & \text{if } i = 1, \\ (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) & \text{if } i \in \{2, \dots, n-1\}, \\ (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) & \text{if } i = n. \end{cases}$$

2.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1. Given $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$, since $P_\alpha(F) < +\infty$, arguing as in the proof of [5, Lem. 3.2], we can write

$$\operatorname{div}^\alpha(\varphi\chi_F) = \chi_F \operatorname{div}^\alpha\varphi + \varphi \cdot \nabla^\alpha\chi_F + \operatorname{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(\chi_F, \varphi) \quad \text{in } L^1(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (2.1)$$

Let us observe that

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_E \varphi \cdot \nabla^\alpha\chi_F \, dx \right| \leq \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \|\nabla^\alpha\chi_F\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Moreover, by [5, Lem. 2.9], we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_E \operatorname{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(\chi_F, \varphi) \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi \cdot \nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(\chi_E, \chi_F) \, dx, \quad (2.2)$$

so that

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_E \operatorname{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(\chi_F, \varphi) \, dx \right| \leq \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \|\nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(\chi_E, \chi_F)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Note that, in virtue of [5, Cors. 2.3 and 2.7], we can estimate

$$\max\left\{ \|\nabla^\alpha\chi_F\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)}, \|\nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(\chi_E, \chi_F)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \right\} \leq c_{n,\alpha} P_\alpha(F),$$

in particular proving (1.11). A straightforward application of [5, Lem. 2.9] gives (1.12). Now, integrating (2.1) and exploiting (2.2), we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_{E \cap F} \operatorname{div}^\alpha\varphi \, dx = \int_E \operatorname{div}^\alpha(\varphi\chi_F) \, dx - \int_E \varphi \cdot \nabla^\alpha\chi_F \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi \cdot \nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(\chi_E, \chi_F) \, dx$$

Now let $\psi_\varepsilon = \varrho_\varepsilon * (\varphi\chi_F)$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we can compute

$$\int_E \operatorname{div}^\alpha\psi_\varepsilon \, dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi_\varepsilon \cdot \operatorname{d}D^\alpha\chi_E$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $\psi_\varepsilon \rightarrow \varphi\chi_F$ in $W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ (see [3, App. A]), we have $\operatorname{div}^\alpha\psi_\varepsilon \rightarrow \operatorname{div}^\alpha(\varphi\chi_F)$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ and thus

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_E \operatorname{div}^\alpha\psi_\varepsilon \, dx = \int_E \operatorname{div}^\alpha(\varphi\chi_F) \, dx.$$

Since $\psi_\varepsilon \rightarrow \varphi\chi_{F^1}$ $\mathcal{H}^{n-\alpha}$ -a.e. in \mathbb{R}^n as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ by [12, Prop. 3.1] and $|D^\alpha\chi_E| \ll \mathcal{H}^{n-\alpha} \llcorner \mathcal{F}^\alpha E$ by [3, Cor. 5.4], we also get that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi_\varepsilon \cdot \operatorname{d}D^\alpha\chi_E = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_{F^1}\varphi \cdot \operatorname{d}D^\alpha\chi_E.$$

We hence get that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_{E \cap F} \operatorname{div}^\alpha\varphi \, dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_{F^1}\varphi \cdot \operatorname{d}D^\alpha\chi_E - \int_E \varphi \cdot \nabla^\alpha\chi_F \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi \cdot \nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(\chi_E, \chi_F) \, dx$$

whenever $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$, which easily implies (1.10) via a standard approximation argument. The validity of (1.13), (1.14) and (1.15) is an easy consequences of (1.10). Finally, if F is bounded, then $\chi_{E \cap F} \in BV^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, so that [5, Lem. 2.5] implies $D^\alpha\chi_{E \cap F}(\mathbb{R}^n) = 0$, and so (1.16) follows by integrating (1.10) over \mathbb{R}^n and exploiting (1.12). \square

2.2. Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ and set $g_\varepsilon = \varrho_\varepsilon * g$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $g_\varepsilon \in \text{Lip}_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$, by [4, Lem. 2.4] we can write

$$\text{div}^\alpha(g_\varepsilon\varphi) = g_\varepsilon \text{div}^\alpha\varphi + \varphi \cdot \nabla^\alpha g_\varepsilon + \text{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(g_\varepsilon, \varphi) \quad \text{in } L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Hence, in virtue of [5, Lem. 2.10], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f g_\varepsilon \text{div}^\alpha\varphi \, dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \text{div}^\alpha(g_\varepsilon\varphi) \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \varphi \cdot \nabla^\alpha g_\varepsilon \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \text{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(g_\varepsilon, \varphi) \, dx \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g_\varepsilon \varphi \cdot \, dD^\alpha f - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varrho_\varepsilon * (f\varphi) \cdot \, dD^\alpha g - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g_\varepsilon \text{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, \varphi) \, dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Since $\text{div}^\alpha\varphi, \text{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, \varphi) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, by the Dominated Convergence Theorem we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f g_\varepsilon \text{div}^\alpha\varphi \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f g \text{div}^\alpha\varphi \, dx, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g_\varepsilon \text{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, \varphi) \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g \text{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, \varphi) \, dx. \quad (2.5)$$

Setting $f_\varepsilon = \varrho_\varepsilon * f$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and letting $R > 0$ be such that $\text{supp } \varphi \subset B_R$, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varrho_\varepsilon * (f\varphi) \cdot \, dD^\alpha g - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_\varepsilon \varphi \cdot \, dD^\alpha g \right| &\leq \int_{B_{R+1}} |\varrho_\varepsilon * (f\varphi) - f_\varepsilon \varphi| \, d|D^\alpha g| \\ &= \int_{B_{R+1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varrho_\varepsilon(x-y) |f(y)| |\varphi(y) - \varphi(x)| \, dy \, d|D^\alpha g|(x) \\ &\leq \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \int_{B_{R+1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varrho_\varepsilon(x-y) |\varphi(y) - \varphi(x)| \, dy \, d|D^\alpha g|(x) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. Since φ is uniformly continuous on \mathbb{R}^n , we thus get that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varrho_\varepsilon * (f\varphi) \cdot \, dD^\alpha g = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_\varepsilon \varphi \cdot \, dD^\alpha g. \quad (2.6)$$

Now set $\mu_\varepsilon = f_\varepsilon D^\alpha g \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\nu_\varepsilon = g_\varepsilon D^\alpha f \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$. By well-known compactness of Radon measures, we can find a sequence $(\varepsilon_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, with $\varepsilon_k \rightarrow 0^+$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$, and two measures $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$, such that $\mu_{\varepsilon_k} \rightarrow \mu$ and $\nu_{\varepsilon_k} \rightarrow \nu$ in $\mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$. Since $|\mu_\varepsilon| \leq \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} |D^\alpha g|$ and $|\nu_\varepsilon| \leq \|g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} |D^\alpha f|$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$, we also have that $|\mu| \leq \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} |D^\alpha g|$ and $|\nu| \leq \|g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} |D^\alpha f|$. Hence there exist $\bar{f} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, |D^\alpha g|)$ and $\bar{g} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, |D^\alpha f|)$ such that $\mu = \bar{f} D^\alpha g$ and $\nu = \bar{g} D^\alpha f$, in particular proving (1.18). The validity of (1.19) follows from well-known properties of convolutions. Finally, passing to the limit in (2.3) along the sequence $(\varepsilon_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and recalling (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6), we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f g \text{div}^\alpha\varphi \, dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \bar{g} \varphi \cdot \, dD^\alpha f - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \bar{f} \varphi \cdot \, dD^\alpha g - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g \text{div}_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(f, \varphi) \, dx$$

whenever $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$, readily yielding the conclusion, thanks to Definition 1.2. \square

2.3. Proof of Corollary 1.4. The validity of (1.21) immediately follows from (1.20) in Theorem 1.3, since $\chi_E \chi_E = \chi_E \in BV_{\text{loc}}^{\alpha, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In particular, $\overline{\chi_E} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, |D^\alpha \chi_E|)$ given by Theorem 1.3 is uniquely determined by (1.21). The validity of (1.22) follows from (1.19) and by the construction in the proof of Theorem 1.3. Finally, if $\chi_E \in BV^{\alpha, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then (1.21) easily implies that $D_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(\chi_E, \chi_E) \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$. We let $\eta \in C_c^\infty(B_2)$ be such that $\eta \equiv 1$ on B_1 and set $\eta_k(x) = \eta\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. By Definition 1.2 with $\varphi_k = \eta_k e_j$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and [5, Cor. 2.7], we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \eta_k e_j \cdot dD_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(\chi_E, \chi_E) \right| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_E e_j \cdot \nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(\chi_E, \eta_k) dx \right| \\ &\leq 2\mu_{n, \alpha} |E| \|\nabla_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(\chi_E, \eta_k)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq 2\mu_{n, \alpha} |E| \|\eta_k\|_{B_{\infty, 1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &= 2\mu_{n, \alpha} |E| \|\eta\|_{B_{\infty, 1}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)} k^{-\alpha} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by the Dominated Convergence Theorem, we obtain

$$e_j \cdot D_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(\chi_E, \chi_E)(\mathbb{R}^n) = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \eta_k e_j \cdot dD_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(\chi_E, \chi_E) = 0 \quad \text{for all } j \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

which implies $D_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(\chi_E, \chi_E)(\mathbb{R}^n) = 0$. Consequently, since $D^\alpha \chi_E(\mathbb{R}^n) = 0$ by [5, Lem. 2.5], we can integrate (1.21) over \mathbb{R}^n to get

$$0 = D_{\text{NL}}^\alpha(\chi_E, \chi_E)(\mathbb{R}^n) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 - 2\overline{\chi_E}) dD^\alpha \chi_E = -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \overline{\chi_E} dD^\alpha \chi_E,$$

proving (1.23) and ending the proof. \square

2.4. Proof of Theorem 1.6. Let us first prove (i), (ii) and (iii) separately.

Proof of (i). Up to extracting a subsequence, we can also assume that $\chi_{\frac{E-x}{r_k}} \rightarrow \chi_F$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^n as $r_k \rightarrow 0^+$. Hence, by the Dominated Convergence Theorem, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_{\frac{E-x}{r_k}} \operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_F \operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi dx \quad \text{as } r_k \rightarrow +\infty$$

for any $\varphi \in \operatorname{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$, since $\operatorname{div}^\alpha \varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by [3, Cor. 2.3]. Thanks to the density of $\operatorname{Lip}_c(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ into $C_c(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ with respect to the uniform convergence, we infer (i).

Proof of (ii). Given $\varphi \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi d|D^\alpha \chi_{\frac{E-x}{r_k}}| - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi d|D^\alpha \chi_F| \right| &\leq r_k^{\alpha-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \varphi\left(\frac{y-x}{r_k}\right) \right| |\nu_E^\alpha(y) - \nu_E^\alpha(x)| d|D^\alpha \chi_E|(y) \\ &\quad + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi (\nu_E^\alpha(x) \cdot dD^\alpha \chi_{\frac{E-x}{r_k}} - \nu_F^\alpha \cdot dD^\alpha \chi_F) \right| \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

On the one side, since $x \in \mathcal{F}^\alpha E$, by [3, Th. 5.3] there are $A_{n, \alpha} > 0$ and $r_x > 0$ such that

$$|D^\alpha \chi_E|(B_r(x)) \leq A_{n, \alpha} r^{n-\alpha} \quad \text{for all } r \in (0, r_x). \quad (2.8)$$

Hence, letting $R > 0$ be such that $\text{supp } \varphi \subset B_R$, we can exploit (2.8) to estimate the first term in the right-hand side of (2.7) as

$$\begin{aligned}
& r_k^{\alpha-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \varphi \left(\frac{y-x}{r_k} \right) \right| |\nu_E^\alpha(y) - \nu_E^\alpha(x)| \, d|D^\alpha \chi_E|(y) \\
& \leq C_{n,\alpha,R} \int_{B_{r_k R}(x)} \left| \varphi \left(\frac{y-x}{r_k} \right) \right| |\nu_E^\alpha(y) - \nu_E^\alpha(x)| \, d|D^\alpha \chi_E|(y) \\
& \leq \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \left(\int_{B_{r_k R}(x)} |\nu_E^\alpha(y) - \nu_E^\alpha(x)|^2 \, d|D^\alpha \chi_E|(y) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& = \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \left(\int_{B_{r_k R}(x)} 2(1 - \nu_E^\alpha(y) \cdot \nu_E^\alpha(x)) \, d|D^\alpha \chi_E|(y) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

for all $r_k > 0$ sufficiently small by Jensen's inequality, where $C_{n,\alpha,R} > 0$ does not depend on k . Again since $x \in \mathcal{F}^\alpha E$, we have

$$\nu_E^\alpha(x) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{B_r(x)} \nu_E^\alpha(y) \, d|D^\alpha \chi_E|(y). \tag{2.10}$$

Therefore, by combining (2.9) with (2.10), we conclude that

$$r_k^{\alpha-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \varphi \left(\frac{y-x}{r_k} \right) \right| |\nu_E^\alpha(y) - \nu_E^\alpha(x)| \, d|D^\alpha \chi_E|(y) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } r_k \rightarrow 0^+. \tag{2.11}$$

On the other side, by [3, Prop. 5.9], we have $\nu_F^\alpha = \nu_E^\alpha(x) |D^\alpha \chi_F|$ -a.e. in \mathbb{R}^n . Hence, in virtue of (i), the second term in the right-hand side of (2.7) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(y) \left(\nu_E^\alpha(x) \cdot dD^\alpha \chi_{\frac{E-x}{r_k}}(y) - \nu_F^\alpha(y) \cdot dD^\alpha \chi_F(y) \right) \right| \\
& = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi \nu_E^\alpha(x) \cdot \left(dD^\alpha \chi_{\frac{E-x}{r_k}} - dD^\alpha \chi_F \right) \right| \rightarrow 0^+ \quad \text{as } r_k \rightarrow 0^+
\end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

possibly passing to a further subsequence. Thus (ii) follows from (2.7), (2.11) and (2.12).

Proof of (iii). Points (i) and (ii) implies (iii) for all $R > 0$ such that $|D^\alpha \chi_F|(\partial B_R) = 0$. Since $|D^\alpha \chi_F|(\partial B_R) = 0$ for a.e. $R > 0$, the validity of (iii) immediately follows.

We can now prove (1.24). Letting $\delta_\lambda(x) = \lambda x$ for $\lambda > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have $D^\alpha \chi_{\lambda F} = \lambda^{n-\alpha} (\delta_\lambda)_\# D^\alpha \chi_F$ by [3, Sec. 4.5]. Since $\text{Tan}(E, x) = \{F\}$, we have $\lambda F = F$ for any $\lambda > 0$ (see [9, Prop. 2.1]), so that

$$(\delta_\lambda)_\# D^\alpha \chi_F = \lambda^{\alpha-n} D^\alpha \chi_F. \tag{2.13}$$

Evaluating (2.13) on B_λ , we get

$$|D^\alpha \chi_F|(B_1) = \lambda^{\alpha-n} |D^\alpha \chi_F|(B_\lambda), \tag{2.14}$$

and so $|D^\alpha \chi_F|(B_1) \neq 0$, since, otherwise, $D^\alpha \chi_F = 0$, and so $F \in \{\emptyset, \mathbb{R}^n\}$ by Proposition 1.8, in contradiction with our assumptions. We now claim that, in addition,

$$|D^\alpha \chi_F|(\partial B_\lambda) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \lambda > 0. \tag{2.15}$$

Indeed, since $|D^\alpha \chi_F| \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we know that $|D^\alpha \chi_F|(\partial B_\lambda) = 0$ for a.e. $\lambda > 0$. However, again by (2.13), we have

$$|D^\alpha \chi_F|(\partial B_\lambda) = \lambda^{n-\alpha} |D^\alpha \chi_F|(\partial B_1).$$

Hence $|D^\alpha \chi_F|(\partial B_1) = 0$, proving the claimed (2.15). By (iii), we thus get

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{D^\alpha \chi_E(B_r(x))}{|D^\alpha \chi_E|(B_r(x))} = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{D^\alpha \chi_{\frac{E-x}{r}}(B_1)}{|D^\alpha \chi_{\frac{E-x}{r}}|(B_1)} = \frac{D^\alpha \chi_F(B_1)}{|D^\alpha \chi_F|(B_1)}.$$

The validity of (1.24) hence follows from Theorem 1.5, concluding the proof. \square

2.5. Proof of Proposition 1.8. Assume $D_i^\alpha f = 0$. Let $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and set $\psi = (-\Delta)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} \varphi$. By [3, Lem. 3.28(ii)], we have $\psi \in S^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (i.e., $\psi \in BV^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $|D^\alpha \psi| \ll \mathcal{L}^n$, see [3, Sec. 3.9] for an account) with $\nabla^\alpha \psi = \nabla \varphi$. Hence, by [3, Th. 3.23], we can find $(\psi_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\psi_k \rightarrow \psi$ in $S^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$. Thus, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \partial_i \varphi \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \partial_i^\alpha \psi \, dx = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \partial_i^\alpha \psi_k \, dx = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi_k \, dD_i^\alpha f = 0,$$

from which we readily get $D_i f = 0$. Viceversa, assume $D_i f = 0$ and suppose $f \in \text{Lip}_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$ at first. Then we can write $f(x) = g(\hat{x}_i)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ for some $g \in \text{Lip}_b(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$. Thus, by [4, Lem. 2.3], we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_i^\alpha f(x) &= \mu_{n,\alpha} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\{|y| > \varepsilon\}} \frac{y_i f(x+y)}{|y|^{n+\alpha+1}} \, dy \\ &= \mu_{n,\alpha} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} g(\hat{x}_i + \hat{y}_i) \int_{\{|y_i|^2 > (\varepsilon^2 - |\hat{y}_i|^2)^+\}} \frac{y_i}{(y_i^2 + |\hat{y}_i|^2)^{\frac{n+\alpha+1}{2}}} \, dy_i \, d\hat{y}_i = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, so that $D_i^\alpha f = 0$. If now $f \in BV_{\text{loc}}^{\alpha,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $f_\varepsilon = \varrho_\varepsilon * f \in \text{Lip}_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $D_i f = 0$ by assumption, also $D_i f_\varepsilon = \varrho_\varepsilon * D_i f = 0$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$, and thus

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi \, dD_i^\alpha f = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varrho_\varepsilon * \varphi \, dD_i^\alpha f = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi \, dD_i^\alpha f_\varepsilon = 0$$

by the Dominated Convergence Theorem for all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, so that $D_i^\alpha f = 0$. \square

2.6. Proof of Proposition 1.9. Since $D_1 f = 0$, there exists $g \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ such that $f((t, x)) = g(x)$ for a.e. $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. Now let us assume that $f \in \text{Lip}_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$ at first, so that also $g \in \text{Lip}_b(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$. By [4, Lem. 2.3], we can write

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}^\alpha)_i g(x) &= \mu_{n-1,\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \frac{(g(z) - g(x))(z_i - x_i)}{|z - x|^{n+\alpha}} \, dz \\ &= \mu_{n,\alpha} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n+\alpha}{2}\right) \sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n+\alpha+1}{2}\right)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \frac{(g(z) - g(x))(z_i - x_i)}{|z - x|^{n+\alpha}} \, dz \\ &= \mu_{n,\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} (g(z) - g(x))(z_i - x_i) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{(t^2 + |z - x|^2)^{\frac{n+\alpha+1}{2}}} \, dt \, dz \\ &= \mu_{n,\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(f((t+s, z)) - f((s, x)))(z_i - x_i)}{(t^2 + |z - x|^2)^{\frac{n+\alpha+1}{2}}} \, dt \, dz \\ &= \mu_{n,\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(f(y) - f((s, x)))(y_i - (s, x)_i)}{|y - (s, x)|^{n+\alpha+1}} \, dy = (\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^n}^\alpha)_i f((s, x)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $i = 2, \dots, n$, where $\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^m}^\alpha$ denotes the operator (1.1) taken in the ambient space \mathbb{R}^m , $m \in \mathbb{N}$. By Proposition 1.8, $D_{1,\mathbb{R}^n}^\alpha f = 0$, and so $D_1 \nabla_{\mathbb{R}^n}^\alpha f = 0$. Now let $f \in BV_{\text{loc}}^{\alpha,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and set $f_\varepsilon = \varrho_\varepsilon * f$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$. Then $f_\varepsilon \in \text{Lip}_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with

$\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^n}^\alpha f_\varepsilon = \varrho_\varepsilon * D_{\mathbb{R}^n}^\alpha f$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $D_1 f_\varepsilon = 0$, there is $g_\varepsilon \in \text{Lip}_b(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ such that $f_\varepsilon((t, x)) = g_\varepsilon(x)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and $g_\varepsilon \rightarrow g$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$. Thus

$$(\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}^\alpha)_i g_\varepsilon(x) = (\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^n}^\alpha)_i f_\varepsilon((s, x))$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Note that $D_1 D_{\mathbb{R}^n}^\alpha f = 0$. Indeed, since $D_1 \nabla_{\mathbb{R}^n}^\alpha f_\varepsilon = 0$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$0 = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D_1 \psi \nabla_{\mathbb{R}^n}^\alpha f_\varepsilon \, dx = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varrho_\varepsilon * D_1 \psi \, dD_{\mathbb{R}^n}^\alpha f = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D_1 \psi \, dD_{\mathbb{R}^n}^\alpha f$$

for all $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by the Dominated Convergence Theorem. Now, given $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ and $\sigma \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, setting $\psi(y) = \sigma(y_1) \varphi(\hat{y}_1)$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and so

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma \, dt \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \varphi (\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}^\alpha)_i g_\varepsilon \, dx &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma \, dt \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \varphi(x) (\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^n}^\alpha)_i f_\varepsilon((0, x)) \, dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(t) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \varphi(x) (\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^n}^\alpha)_i f_\varepsilon((0, x)) \, dx \, dt \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(t) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \varphi(x) (\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^n}^\alpha)_i f_\varepsilon((t, x)) \, dx \, dt \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sigma(y_1) \varphi(\hat{y}_1) (\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^n}^\alpha)_i f_\varepsilon(y) \, dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi (\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^n}^\alpha)_i f_\varepsilon \, dy \end{aligned}$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$. By the Dominated Convergence Theorem, we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \varphi (\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}^\alpha)_i g_\varepsilon \, dx = - \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} g_\varepsilon (\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}^\alpha)_i \varphi \, dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} g (\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}^\alpha)_i \varphi \, dx$$

and, similarly,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi (\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^n}^\alpha)_i f_\varepsilon \, dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi \, d(D_{\mathbb{R}^n}^\alpha)_i f.$$

We thus conclude that

$$- \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma \, dt \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} g (\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}^\alpha)_i \varphi \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sigma(y_1) \varphi(\hat{y}_1) \, d(D_{\mathbb{R}^n}^\alpha)_i f(y)$$

for all $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$, $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ and $\sigma \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Hence $g \in BV_{\text{loc}}^{\alpha, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$, with

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sigma(y_1) \varphi(\hat{y}_1) \, d(D_{\mathbb{R}^n}^\alpha)_i f(y) = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma \, dt \right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \varphi \, d(D_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}^\alpha)_i g \right)$$

for all $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$, $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ and $\sigma \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, yielding the conclusion. \square

2.7. Proof of Theorem 1.7. By [3, Prop. 5.9], we have $\chi_F \in BV_{\text{loc}}^{\alpha, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\nu_F^\alpha = e_n$ $|D^\alpha \chi_F|$ -a.e. in \mathbb{R}^n . Hence $D_i^\alpha \chi_F = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ and $D_n^\alpha \chi_F \geq 0$. By Proposition 1.8, we infer that also $D_i \chi_F = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. Consequently, $F = \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times M$ for some measurable $M \subset \mathbb{R}$. We now prove the properties of the set M .

Proof of (i). By repeatedly applying Proposition 1.9, we get $\chi_M \in BV_{\text{loc}}^{\alpha, \infty}(\mathbb{R})$, with

$$(D_{\mathbb{R}^n}^\alpha)_n \chi_F = \mathcal{L}^{n-1} \otimes D_{\mathbb{R}}^\alpha \chi_M = \mathcal{L}^{n-1} \otimes \partial^\alpha \chi_M \quad \text{in } \mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

from which we readily deduce that $\partial^\alpha \chi_M \geq 0$.

Proof of (ii). If $|M| \in (0, +\infty)$ by contradiction, then $\chi_M \in BV_{\text{loc}}^{\alpha, 1}(\mathbb{R})$ and thus $u = I_{1-\alpha} \chi_M \in BV_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$, with $\partial u = \partial^\alpha \chi_M$ exactly as in [3, Lem. 3.28(i)]. Hence $\partial u \geq 0$ and thus u is a non-negative and non-decreasing function. Moreover, since $|M| < +\infty$,

we have $u \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ for all $p \in \left(\frac{1}{\alpha}, +\infty\right)$, which immediately yields $u \equiv 0$, so that $|M| = 0$, a contradiction. Hence $|M| \in \{0, +\infty\}$ and, since $F^c \in \text{Tan}(E^c, x)$, we also get that $|M^c| \in \{0, +\infty\}$ by a symmetrical argument.

Proof of (iii). Let $|M| = +\infty$ and assume $b = \text{ess sup } M < +\infty$ by contradiction. Let $I_b = (b, b+1)$. By (i) and (1.16), we can compute

$$0 \leq \partial^\alpha \chi_M(I_b) = - \int_M \nabla^\alpha \chi_{I_b} dt.$$

By [3, Exam. 4.11], $\nabla^\alpha \chi_{I_b}(t) > 0$ for all $t < b$, forcing $|M| = 0$, which is a contradiction.

Proof of (iv). Let $M \neq \emptyset, \mathbb{R}$ be such that $P(M) < +\infty$. Then, up to negligible sets, $M = \cup_{k=1}^N I_k$ for $N \in \mathbb{N}$ closed intervals $I_k \subset \mathbb{R}$ with $a_k = \inf I_k < \sup I_k = b_k$, $a_k, b_k \in [-\infty, +\infty]$ and $\sup I_k < \inf I_{k+1}$ for all $k = 1, \dots, N-1$. Let us assume that $N \geq 2$. Since $|M| = +\infty$, by (iii) we must have $b_N = +\infty$. Since also $|M^c| = +\infty$ by (ii), we must have $a_1 > -\infty$. In particular, I_k is a compact interval for all $k = 1, \dots, N-1$. By linearity and in virtue of [3, Exam. 4.11], we have $\partial^\alpha \chi_M = \nabla^\alpha \chi_M \mathcal{L}^1$, with

$$\nabla^\alpha \chi_M(t) = \sum_{k=1}^N \nabla^\alpha \chi_{I_k} = c_\alpha \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \left(|t - a_k|^{-\alpha} - |t - b_k|^{-\alpha} \right) + c_\alpha |t - a_N|^{-\alpha}$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $t \neq a_1, b_1, \dots, a_{N-1}, b_{N-1}, a_N$, where $c_\alpha > 0$ depends on α only. Since $N \geq 2$, we have $\nabla^\alpha \chi_M(t) < 0$ in an open neighborhood of b_1 , contradicting (i). We thus must have $N = 1$ and so $M = (a_1, +\infty)$ for some $a_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, concluding the proof. \square

2.8. Proof of Theorem 1.10. Let $\chi_F \in W^{\alpha,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By Theorem 1.1, we have $\chi_{E \cap F} \in BV_{\text{loc}}^{\alpha,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $D_s^\alpha \chi_{E \cap F} = \chi_{F^1} D_s^\alpha \chi_E$ in $\mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$. If $|E \cap F| = |F|$, then $\chi_{E \cap F} = \chi_F$ and so $\chi_{F^1} |D_s^\alpha \chi_E| = |D_s^\alpha \chi_{E \cap F}| = |D_s^\alpha \chi_F| = 0$. If instead $|E \cap F| = 0$, then $\chi_{E \cap F} = 0$ and so again $\chi_{F^1} |D_s^\alpha \chi_E| = |D_s^\alpha \chi_{E \cap F}| = 0$. Therefore $|D_s^\alpha \chi_E|(F^1) = 0$ whenever $|E^c \cap F| = 0$ or $|E \cap F| = 0$. Taking $F = B_r(x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r > 0$, we get $\text{supp}(|D_s^\alpha \chi_E|) \subset \partial^- E$. \square

2.9. Proof of Theorem 1.12. We prove each statement separately.

Proof of (i). By Theorem 1.5, formula (1.24) and Proposition 1.13, we get that

$$\nu_E^\alpha(x) = \frac{\int_{B_1} \nabla^\alpha \chi_{H_\nu^+} dy}{\int_{B_1} |\nabla^\alpha \chi_{H_\nu^+}| dy} = \nu.$$

Proof of (ii). By [12, Prop. 3.1], we know that $\mathcal{H}^{n-\alpha}(\partial^* E) = 0$. Since $\mathcal{F}_e^\alpha E \subset \partial^* E$ by Definition 1.11, we thus get that $\mathcal{H}^{n-\alpha}(\mathcal{F}_e^\alpha E) = 0$ as well.

Proof of (iii). Let $x \in \mathcal{F}E$. By (iii) in Theorem 1.6 and by Proposition 1.13, we have

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{|D^\alpha \chi_E|(B_{rR}(x))}{(rR)^{n-\alpha}} = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} |D^\alpha \chi_{\frac{E-x}{r}}|(B_R) = |D^\alpha \chi_{H_{\nu_E^+}}|(B_R) > 0$$

for all $R > 0$. Hence, there exists $r_x > 0$ such that

$$|D^\alpha \chi_E|(B_\varrho(x)) > 0 \quad \text{for any } \varrho \in (0, r_x). \quad (2.16)$$

Moreover, by (i) and (ii) in Theorem 1.6 and by Proposition 1.13, we have

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{D^\alpha \chi_E(B_r(x))}{|D^\alpha \chi_E|(B_r(x))} = \frac{\int_{B_1} \nabla^\alpha \chi_{H_{\nu_E^+}} dy}{\int_{B_1} |\nabla^\alpha \chi_{H_{\nu_E^+}}| dy} = \nu_E(x). \quad (2.17)$$

The limits in (2.16) and (2.17) thus imply that $x \in \mathcal{F}^\alpha E$ with $\nu_E^\alpha = \nu_E$ on $\mathcal{F}E$. Since $\mathcal{F}E \subset \partial^* E$, we get $\mathcal{F}E \subset \mathcal{F}_e^\alpha E$. The conclusion thus follows by recalling that $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\partial^* E) < +\infty$ and $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\partial^* E \setminus \mathcal{F}E) = 0$, see [11, Th. 16.2] for instance. \square

2.10. Proof of Proposition 1.14. Without loss of generality, we can assume $x_0 = 0$ and $r = 1$. By [3, Th. 3.18], we have

$$\nabla^\alpha \chi_{B_1}(x) = -\frac{\mu_{n,\alpha}}{n + \alpha - 1} \int_{\partial B_1} \frac{y}{|x - y|^{n+\alpha-1}} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(y)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \partial B_1$. Changing variables, we easily get that

$$\int_{\partial B_1} \frac{y}{|x - y|^{n+\alpha-1}} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(y) = \frac{x}{|x|} \int_{\partial B_1} \frac{y_1}{\||x|e_1 - y|^{n+\alpha-1}} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(y)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|x| \neq 0, 1$, since $\int_{\partial B_1} \frac{y_i}{\||x|e_1 - y|^{n+\alpha-1}} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(y) = 0$ for $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ by symmetry. We also notice that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\partial B_1} \frac{y_1}{\||x|e_1 - y|^{n+\alpha-1}} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(y) \\ &= \int_{\partial B_1 \cap \{y_1 > 0\}} y_1 \left(\frac{1}{((|x|-y_1)^2 + |\hat{y}_1|^2)^{\frac{n+\alpha-1}{2}}} - \frac{1}{((|x|+y_1)^2 + |\hat{y}_1|^2)^{\frac{n+\alpha-1}{2}}} \right) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(y) > 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|x| \neq 0, 1$. Hence, we can write

$$\nabla^\alpha \chi_{B_1}(x) = -\frac{\mu_{n,\alpha}}{n + \alpha - 1} g_{n,\alpha}(|x|) \frac{x}{|x|} \quad (2.18)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|x| \neq 0, 1$, where

$$g_{n,\alpha}(t) = \int_{\partial B_1} \frac{y_1}{|te_1 - y|^{n+\alpha-1}} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(y) > 0$$

for all $t \geq 0$. We now claim that

$$\nu_{B_1}^\alpha(x) = -\frac{x}{|x|} \quad \text{for all } x \neq 0. \quad (2.19)$$

Indeed, since

$$\left| \frac{\int_{B_r(x)} \left(\frac{y}{|y|} - \frac{x}{|x|} \right) g_{n,\alpha}(|y|) dy}{\int_{B_r(x)} g_{n,\alpha}(|y|) dy} \right| \leq \sup_{y \in B_r(x)} \left| \frac{y}{|y|} - \frac{x}{|x|} \right|,$$

by (2.18) we have

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\int_{B_r(x)} \nabla^\alpha \chi_{B_1}(y) dy}{\int_{B_r(x)} |\nabla^\alpha \chi_{B_1}(y)| dy} = -\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\int_{B_r(x)} \frac{y}{|y|} g_{n,\alpha}(|y|) dy}{\int_{B_r(x)} g_{n,\alpha}(|y|) dy} = -\frac{x}{|x|},$$

proving (2.19). If $x = 0$, then $\int_{B_r} \frac{y}{|y|} g_{n,\alpha}(|y|) \, dy = 0$ by symmetry, so that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\int_{B_r} \nabla^\alpha \chi_{B_1}(y) \, dy}{\int_{B_r} |\nabla^\alpha \chi_{B_1}(y)| \, dy} = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\int_{B_r} \frac{y}{|y|} g_{n,\alpha}(|y|) \, dy}{\int_{B_r} g_{n,\alpha}(|y|) \, dy} = 0.$$

Consequently, $\mathcal{F}^\alpha B_1 = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, $\mathcal{F}_e^\alpha B_1 = \partial B_1 = \mathcal{F} B_1$ and $\nu_{B_1}^\alpha = \nu_{B_1}$ on ∂B_1 . \square

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Bruè, M. Calzi, G. E. Comi, and G. Stefani, *A distributional approach to fractional Sobolev spaces and fractional variation: asymptotics II*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **360** (2022), 589–626.
- [2] G. E. Comi, D. Spector, and G. Stefani, *The fractional variation and the precise representative of $BV^{\alpha,p}$ functions*, Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal. **25** (2022), no. 2, 520–558.
- [3] G. E. Comi and G. Stefani, *A distributional approach to fractional Sobolev spaces and fractional variation: Existence of blow-up*, J. Funct. Anal. **277** (2019), no. 10, 3373–3435.
- [4] ———, *A distributional approach to fractional Sobolev spaces and fractional variation: asymptotics I*, Rev. Mat. Complut. (2022).
- [5] ———, *Leibniz rules and Gauss-Green formulas in distributional fractional spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **514** (2022), no. 2, Paper No. 126312, 41.
- [6] ———, *Failure of the local chain rule for the fractional variation*, Port. Math. (2023).
- [7] ———, *Fractional divergence-measure fields, Leibniz rule and Gauss-Green formula* (2023). Preprint, available at arXiv:2303.00834.
- [8] E. Di Nezza, G. Palatucci, and E. Valdinoci, *Hitchhiker’s guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces*, Bull. Sci. Math. **136** (2012), no. 5, 521–573.
- [9] G. P. Leonardi, *Blow-up of oriented boundaries*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova **103** (2000), 211–232.
- [10] L. Lombardini, *Fractional perimeters from a fractal perspective*, Adv. Nonlinear Stud. **19** (2019), no. 1, 165–196.
- [11] F. Maggi, *Sets of finite perimeter and geometric variational problems*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 135, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012.
- [12] A. C. Ponce and D. Spector, *A boxing inequality for the fractional perimeter*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) **20** (2020), no. 1, 107–141.
- [13] H. Schönberger, *Extending linear growth functionals to functions of bounded fractional variation*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A (2023), 1–24.
- [14] M. Šilhavý, *Fractional vector analysis based on invariance requirements (critique of coordinate approaches)*, Contin. Mech. Thermodyn. **32** (2020), no. 1, 207–228.

(G. E. Comi) DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI BOLOGNA, PIAZZA DI PORTA SAN DONATO 5, 40126 BOLOGNA (BO), ITALY

Email address: giovannieugenio.comi@unibo.it

(G. Stefani) SCUOLA INTERNAZIONALE SUPERIORE DI STUDI AVANZATI (SISSA), VIA BONOMEA 265, 34136 TRIESTE (TS), ITALY

Email address: giorgio.stefani.math@gmail.com or gstefani@sissa.it