
NOVIKOV ALGEBRAS AND THEIR PRIMITIVE IDEALS

by

Aditya Dwarkesh & Amartya Goswami

Abstract. — The aim of this paper is to study the primitive ideals of Novikov algebras. In terms of modular maximal right ideals, a characterization of the primitive ideals of a Novikov algebra has been obtained. We prove a Chevalley-Jacobson density-type theorem for primitive Novikov algebras. We obtain some equivalences between prime, simple, and primitive Novikov algebras. We describe a subalgebra of a Novikov algebra as a Novikov algebra of endomorphisms.

1. Introduction

Although the terminology ‘Novikov algebra’ has been introduced by Osborn [16], the structure was first introduced by Gel’fand & Dorfman [8], corresponding to a certain type of Hamiltonian operators. The same algebra has also been used by Balinskii & Novikov [1] in connection with Poisson brackets of the hydrodynamic type. Novikov algebras were first studied as abstract algebraic structures by Zelmanov [25], who demonstrated that simple finite-dimensional Novikov algebras of characteristic zero are one-dimensional. Further studies of finite dimensional Novikov algebras with characteristic p can be found in Cherkasin [4], Filippov [6], and in Osborn [16, 17, 19], whereas for the case of infinite-dimensional Novikov algebras, see Osborn [18]. A complete classification of finite-dimensional simple Novikov algebras and their irreducible modules over an algebraically closed field with a prime characteristic have been done in Xu [23].

It has been shown in Shestakov & Zhang [22] that solvability and right-nilpotency are equivalent in the variety of Novikov algebras. Panasenko, in [20], has shown that an ideal of a semiprime Novikov algebra is semiprime Novikov algebra, and furthermore, a minimal ideal of a Novikov algebra is either trivial, or a simple algebra.

Jacobson [10] (see also [11]) introduced the primitive ideals of noncommutative rings, and this notion plays a crucial role in determining the structure theory of rings. Primitive ideals have been demonstrated to be important in understanding structural aspects of modules (see Brešar [2] and Rowen [21]), Lie algebras (see A. A. Kuchеров, O. A. Pikhtilkova, & S. A. Pikhtilov [15]), enveloping algebras (see Dixmier [5] and Joseph [13]), PI-algebras (see Jacobson [12]), quantum groups (see Joseph [14]), skew polynomial rings (see Irving [9]), and others. Goswami [7] introduced the concept of a primitive ideal of a Novikov algebra with the goal of studying Jacobson topology on the spectrum of primitive ideals of a Novikov algebra. The purpose of the present work is to study in detail those primitive ideals of a Novikov algebra.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. — 17A30; 17D25.

Key words and phrases. — Novikov algebra; primitive ideal; simple module; division ring; density theorem.

The main results of this paper are briefly summarized as follows: In Section 2, using the notion of a module over a Novikov algebra, we define a primitive ideal of a Novikov algebra. In a Novikov algebra, we show that every maximal ideal is primitive and every primitive ideal is prime (Corollary 2.8 and Lemma 2.2). We characterize primitive ideals in terms of modular maximal right ideals (Corollary 2.13). A Chevalley-Jacobson density-type theorem (Theorem 3.2) has been formulated and proved in Section 3. We obtain a consequence (Corollary 3.3) of this density theorem, which also shows equivalences between prime, simple, and primitive Novikov algebras. Finally, we describe a subalgebra of a Novikov algebra as a Novikov algebra of endomorphisms (Theorem 3.5).

2. Primitive ideals

Recall that a (*left*) *Novikov algebra* is a vector space \mathcal{A} over a field \mathbb{k} endowed with a bilinear map such that

- (i) $(x \circ y) \circ z = (x \circ z) \circ y$;
- (ii) $(x, y, z) = (y, x, z)$,

where $(x, y, z) = (x \circ y) \circ z - x \circ (y \circ z)$ for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{A}$.

Like in rings, the notion of a primitive ideal of a Novikov algebra depends on the the notion of a module over a Novikov algebra. Osborn [19] (see also [16]) introduced a module over a Novikov algebra as a vector space endowed with two bilinear maps satisfying the conditions (i) and (ii). We explicitly list below the set of axioms of a module over a Novikov algebra.

If \mathcal{A} is a Novikov algebra over a field \mathbb{k} , then a *module over \mathcal{A}* is a vector space M (over \mathbb{k}) equipped with two maps $\mathcal{A} \times M \rightarrow M$ and $M \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow M$ satisfying the following axioms:

- (I) $(x \circ y)m = (xm)y$;
- (II) $(mx)y = (my)x$;
- (III) $x(\alpha m + \beta m') = \alpha(xm) + \beta(xm')$;
- (IV) $(\alpha x + \beta y)m = \alpha(xm) + \beta(yx)$;
- (V) $m(x \circ y) = (mx)y + x(my) - (xm)y$;
- (VI) $(x \circ y)m = x(ym) + (y \circ x)m - y(xm)$;
- (VII) $(\alpha m + \beta m')x = \alpha(mx) + \beta(mx')$;
- (VIII) $m(\alpha x + \beta y) = \alpha(mx) + \beta(my)$,

for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{k}$, $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$, and $m, m' \in M$. A nonzero \mathcal{A} -module M is said to be *simple* if M has no non-trivial proper submodules.

Remark 2.1. — Note that to define a left or a right module over a ring requires respectively a left or a right action maps, whereas to obtain a module over a Novikov algebra requires both. Since every Novikov algebra must be a module over itself, it must satisfy condition (i), and hence only one of the action map is not sufficient. For an alternative (but equivalent) formulation of an \mathcal{A} -module, see Xu [23, 24].

A vector subspace I of a Novikov algebra \mathcal{A} is called a *left (right) ideal* if $xI \subseteq I$ ($Ix \subseteq I$) for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. A Novikov algebra \mathcal{A} is called *simple* if \mathcal{A} has no non-zero proper ideals. In this paper the word “ideal” without modifiers will always mean two-sided ideal.

Lemma 2.2. — *Let M be an \mathcal{A} -module and let $\text{Ann}_{\mathcal{A}}M = \{n \in \mathcal{A} \mid nm = mn = 0, \forall m \in M\}$. Then $\text{Ann}_{\mathcal{A}}M$ is a two-sided ideal of \mathcal{A} .*

Proof. — Note that the lack of associativity in a Novikov algebra makes the proof different from rings. Let $x, y \in \text{Ann}_{\mathcal{A}}M$, $n \in \mathcal{A}$, and $m \in M$. Axiom (I) implies

$$(xn)m = (xm)n = 0n = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad (nx)m = (nm)x = 0.$$

Using Axiom (V), we obtain

$$m(xn) = (mx)n + x(mn) - (xm)n = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad m(nx) = (mx)n + n(mx) - (nm)x = 0.$$

By Axiom (IV) and Axiom (VIII), we respectively get $(x - y)m = 0$ and $m(x - y) = 0$. \square

An ideal P of a Novikov algebra \mathcal{A} is called a *primitive ideal* if there exists a simple \mathcal{A} -module M such that P is the annihilator $\text{Ann}_{\mathcal{A}}M$. We call an ideal Q of a Novikov algebra \mathcal{A} *prime* if $IJ \subseteq Q$ implies $I \subseteq Q$ or $J \subseteq Q$ for any two ideals I and J of \mathcal{A} . Like rings, we also have the following implication for a Novikov algebra.

Lemma 2.3. — *Every primitive ideal of a Novikov algebra is prime.*

Proof. — Although the argument is similar to the case of rings, the first equality in (2.1) follows from Axiom (I). To prove the claim, let P be the annihilator of some simple \mathcal{A} -module M and J is an ideal of \mathcal{A} such that $JM \neq 0$ (i.e., $J \not\subseteq P$). Since M is simple, we must have $JM = M$. Now, if I is another nonzero ideal of \mathcal{A} such that $I \not\subseteq P$, then

$$(2.1) \quad (JI)M = (JM)I = MI = M.$$

Therefore, from (2.1) it follows that $JI \not\subseteq P$. \square

We now give an example of a primitive ideal of a Novikov algebra. In the following example, the construction of the Novikov algebra is taken from Burde & De Graaf [3].

Example 2.4. — Consider the Novikov algebra \mathbb{R}^3 over the field \mathbb{R} with basis vectors $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ and the product defined as follows:

$$e_1 \circ e_1 = e_1, \quad e_1 \circ e_2 = 2e_2, \quad e_1 \circ e_3 = 2e_3, \quad e_2 \circ e_1 = e_2, \quad e_3 \circ e_1 = e_3,$$

and all other products are zero. Consider the subspace P generated by $\{e_2, e_3\}$. Then P is the annihilator of the simple \mathbb{R}^3 -module M generated by $\{e_1\}$. Since M is cyclic, M is indeed a simple \mathbb{R}^3 -module, and P annihilates M follows from the definition of the product operation of the Novikov algebra.

An \mathcal{A} -module M is called *faithful* if $\text{Ann}_{\mathcal{A}}M = 0$ and a Novikov algebra is called *primitive* if it has a faithful simple module. A Novikov algebra N is called *prime* if $IJ = 0$ implies either $I = 0$ or $J = 0$, for any two ideals I, J of \mathcal{A} . The following lemma is lifted from ring theory.

Lemma 2.5. — *Let \mathcal{A} be a Novikov algebra and I be an ideal of \mathcal{A} . Let M be an \mathcal{A}/I -module. Then, M can also be considered as an \mathcal{A} -module. Conversely, if M is an \mathcal{A} -module and $I \subseteq \text{Ann}_{\mathcal{A}}M$, then M can be considered as an \mathcal{A}/I -module.*

Similar to noncommutative primitive and prime rings, the following is a characterization of primitive and prime Novikov algebras. The proof is analogous to rings and is a consequence of Lemma 2.5.

Proposition 2.6. — *If \mathcal{A} is a Novikov algebra, then P is a primitive (prime) ideal of \mathcal{A} if and only if \mathcal{A}/P is a primitive (prime) Novikov algebra.*

In Lemma 2.2 we have seen that every primitive ideal of a Novikov algebra is prime. The following result gives a partial converse of it.

Theorem 2.7. — Let P be a prime ideal of a Novikov algebra \mathcal{A} and there exist an ideal I of \mathcal{A} satisfying the following property:

$$(2.2) \quad P \subsetneq I \text{ such that } P \subseteq J \subseteq I \implies J = I \text{ or } J = P, \text{ for all } J \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Then P is a primitive ideal of \mathcal{A} .

Proof. — Let I be an ideal of \mathcal{A} satisfying the property (2.2). Then it follows from the correspondence theorem that \mathcal{A}/P has a minimal ideal. To have our claim, it thus suffices to show that any prime Novikov algebra \mathcal{A} with a minimal ideal I is primitive. It is immediate that I is a simple \mathcal{A} -module. What remains is to show I is faithful. Note that by Axiom (I), for $j, n \in \mathcal{A}$

$$jI = 0 \implies (jn)I = (jI)n = 0.$$

This implies we have a right ideal J generated by j such that $JI = 0$. But, $JI = 0$ implies $J = 0$, since \mathcal{A} is prime and $I \neq 0$. Therefore, $j \in \text{Ann}_{\mathcal{A}}I \implies j \in \text{Ann}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{A}$. But $\text{Ann}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{A} = 0$. Therefore, I is faithful. \square

Corollary 2.8. — Every maximal ideal of a Novikov algebra is primitive.

Proof. — The proof follows by setting $I = N$ in Theorem 2.7. \square

Based on Lemma 2.2 and Corollary 2.8, we have now the following implications in a Novikov algebra:

$$\text{Maximal ideal} \implies \text{Primitive ideal} \implies \text{Prime ideal}.$$

It is therefore natural to ask for an example of a primitive ideal that is not maximal, and we provide that below. Once again, the underlying Novikov algebra is taken from Burde & De Graaf [3].

Example 2.9. — Consider \mathbb{R}^4 as a vector space over \mathbb{R} with basis vectors $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ and the bilinear map is defined as follows:

$$e_1e_1 = e_1, \quad e_1e_2 = e_2 + e_3, \quad e_1e_3 = e_3e_1 = e_3, \quad e_2e_1 = e_2,$$

and all other products are zero. Consider the subspace I generated by $\{e_4\}$. Since I is properly contained in the subspace generated by $\{e_1, e_4\} \subsetneq \mathbb{R}^4$, the ideal I is not maximal. On the other hand, $I = \text{Ann}_{\mathcal{A}}M$, where M is the simple \mathcal{A} -module generated by $\{e_1\}$.

The following lemma is lifted from ring theory.

Lemma 2.10. — Every simple Novikov algebra is primitive.

Remark 2.11. — The proof of Lemma 2.10 follows the usual procedure of showing that the algebra forms a simple faithful module over itself. However, note that for associative rings, the assumption of being unital is also required.

This is because, in general, simple rings do not form a simple module over themselves. Since modules are typically one-sided in the usual setting, and a ring being simple means they have no non-trivial two-sided ideals, the one-sided module they form over themselves could fail to be simple because the ring has, say, a non-trivial left ideal.

However, as per Remark 2.1, since a Novikov algebra module must necessarily be two-sided, this complication is avoided. Because of the same reasoning, in Corollary 2.8, we also do not require a unit element in a Novikov algebra.

Our next step is to characterize primitive ideals of a Novikov algebra in terms of maximal modular (one-sided) ideals (see Corollary 2.13). Similar to rings, we say that a right ideal I of a Novikov algebra \mathcal{A} is called *modular* if there exists an $e \in \mathcal{A}$ such that for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $a - ae \in I$. Using modular maximal left ideals, a characterization of simple \mathcal{A} -modules is given below.

Theorem 2.12. — *Let \mathcal{A} be a Novikov algebra. An \mathcal{A} -module M is a simple if and only if M is isomorphic to \mathcal{A}/J , where J is a modular maximal left ideal of \mathcal{A} .*

Proof. — Suppose M is a simple \mathcal{A} -module. If $0 \neq u \in M$, then we claim that $\mathcal{A}u = M$. To show this, it suffices to show that $\mathcal{A}u$ is an additive subgroup of M ; equality will then follow from the fact that M is non-zero and simple. Let $x = au$ and $x' = a'u$ for some $a, a' \in \mathcal{A}$. Then, by Axiom (VIII), $x - x' = (a - a')u \in \mathcal{A}u$.

Next, consider the map $\phi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}u$, defined by $\phi(a) = au$. That ϕ is a \mathcal{A} -module homomorphism follows from the bilinearity of \circ . Furthermore, $\ker \phi = \text{Ann}_{\mathcal{A}}u$. By the first isomorphism theorem, it follows that $M = \mathcal{A}u \cong \mathcal{A}/\text{Ann}_{\mathcal{A}}u$.

It remains to show that $J := \text{Ann}_{\mathcal{A}}u$ is a modular maximal left ideal. From Lemma 2.2, it follows that J is a left ideal of \mathcal{A} . Since $M = u\mathcal{A}$, there exists an $e \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $u = ue$. Then for $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $au = (au)e = (ae)u$ (by Axiom (I)) or, $(a - ae)u = 0$, which implies $a - ae \in J$, proving that J is a modular right ideal. The maximality of J now follows from Lemma 2.5, namely the correspondence between submodules of \mathcal{A}/J and ideals of \mathcal{A} containing J .

To show the converse, let $M = \mathcal{A}/J$, where J is a modular maximal right ideal of \mathcal{A} . Then it is immediate that M has no proper submodule. For if M' were a non-zero proper submodule of \mathcal{A}/J , its pre-image under the quotient map would be a proper right ideal containing J , contradicting its maximality. Thus, M is simple. \square

Corollary 2.13. — *An ideal I is primitive in a Novikov algebra \mathcal{A} if and only if*

$$I = \{x \in \mathcal{A} \mid xa, ax \in J \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{A}\}$$

for some modular maximal right ideal J of \mathcal{A} .

An ideal I of a Novikov algebra \mathcal{A} is called a *nil* ideal if for each $x \in I$, there is some positive integer n such that $x^n = 0$. The Jacobson radical of a Novikov algebra, denoted by $\text{Jac } \mathcal{A}$, is defined to be the intersection of all primitive ideals of \mathcal{A} . If \mathcal{A} has no primitive ideals, we define $\text{Jac } \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}$. Observe that $\text{Jac } \mathcal{A}$ is also a two-sided ideal of \mathcal{A} . The relation between a nil ideal and the Jacobson radical of a Novikov algebra is as follows.

Proposition 2.14. — *If I is a nil ideal of \mathcal{A} , then $I \subseteq \text{Jac } \mathcal{A}$.*

Proof. — We prove the claim by contradiction. Let I be a nil ideal of \mathcal{A} such that $I \not\subseteq \text{Jac } \mathcal{A}$. This implies there exists an $u \in I$, a simple \mathcal{A} -module M , and an $m \in M$ such that $n = mu \neq 0$. Since $\mathcal{A}n = M$, there is an $x \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $xn = m$. Set $v := xu \in I$. Then

$$vn = (xu)n = (xn)u = mu = n,$$

where the second equality follows by Axiom (I). Therefore, $v^k n = n$, for all positive integers k , contradicting the fact that v is nilpotent. \square

3. Density Theorems

Our aim in this section is to obtain a Chevalley-Jacobson density-type theorem for a primitive Novikov algebra. Once we have that, similar to rings, we obtain a series of consequences. To this end, we first construct a Novikov algebra of endomorphisms. Let \mathcal{A} be a Novikov algebra. We define a bilinear map $\circ'' : \text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}\mathcal{A} \times \text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}\mathcal{A}$ as

$$(f \circ'' g)(a) = f(a) \circ g(a),$$

where $f, g \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}\mathcal{A}$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$. We claim the following.

Lemma 3.1. — $(\text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}\mathcal{A}, \circ'')$ is a Novikov algebra.

Proof. — For $f, g, h \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}\mathcal{A}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} ((f \circ'' g) \circ'' h)(x) &= ((f \circ'' g)(x)) \circ h(x) \\ &= (f(x) \circ g(x)) \circ h(x) \\ &= (f(x) \circ h(x)) \circ g(x) = ((f \circ'' h) \circ'' g)(x), \end{aligned}$$

hence satisfies Axiom (i). For Axiom (ii),

$$\begin{aligned} (f, g, h)(a) &= ((f \circ'' g) \circ'' h)(a) - (f \circ'' (g \circ h))(a) \\ &= (f \circ'' g)(a) \circ h(a) - f(a) \circ (g \circ h)(a) \\ &= (f(a) \circ g(a)) \circ h(a) - f(a) \circ (g(a) \circ h(a)) \\ &= (g(a) \circ f(a)) \circ h(a) - g(a) \circ (f(a) \circ h(a)) \\ &= ((g \circ'' f) \circ'' h)(a) - (g \circ'' (f \circ h))(a) = (g, f, h)(a). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Let \mathcal{A} be a Novikov algebra over a division ring Δ , and let $\text{End}_{\Delta}(\mathcal{A})$ be the endomorphism algebra. A subalgebra \mathcal{E} of $\text{End}_{\Delta}(\mathcal{A})$ is called a *dense algebra of linear operators* of \mathcal{A} if between any two finite subsets U and V of \mathcal{A} of equal cardinality wherein U is linearly independent, there is an $f \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $f(u) = v$, for each $u \in U$ and $v \in V$.

Theorem 3.2 (Density Theorem). — *Let \mathcal{A} be a Novikov algebra over a division ring. Then, if \mathcal{A} is primitive, \mathcal{A} is isomorphic to a dense algebra of operators of a Novikov algebra over a division ring.*

Proof. — Suppose \mathcal{A} is a primitive Novikov algebra and M is a simple faithful \mathcal{A} -module. Observe that $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}M$ is a division ring. For addition being pointwise and composition as multiplication, $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}M$ is a ring and since M is simple, for every nonzero $f \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}M$, we have $\text{im } f = M$ and $\text{ker } f = 0$, so that f is invertible.

We impose a Novikov algebra (over the division ring $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}M$) structure on M . The vector space (over $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}M$) structure on M comes naturally from the scalar multiplication $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}M \times M \rightarrow M$ defined by $(f, m) \mapsto f(m)$. Next we endow a bilinear map $\circ' : M \times M \rightarrow M$ defined as

$$m_1 \circ' m_2 = (a \circ a')m,$$

where $m_1 = am, m_2 = a'm, a, a' \in \mathcal{A}$, for a fixed $m \in M$. Thanks to the faithfulness of M , note that such a and a' in \mathcal{A} always exist. The \mathcal{A} -module M endowed with the above-mentioned $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}M$ -Novikov algebra structure is now going to be denoted by \mathcal{M} .

By Lemma 3.1, $\text{End}_{\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{M}}\mathcal{M}$ is also a Novikov algebra. We claim that \mathcal{A} is isomorphic to a dense algebra \mathcal{E} of operators of \mathcal{M} . We first obtain such a subalgebra \mathcal{E} of \mathcal{M} . For each $a \in \mathcal{A}$, we define $f_a: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ by $f_a(m) = am$. Since

$$f_a(m) \circ' f_a(m') = (am) \circ' (am') = a(m \circ' m') = f_a(m \circ' m'),$$

we have $f_a \in \text{End}_{\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{M}}\mathcal{M}$. Take $\mathcal{E} = \{f_a \mid a \in \mathcal{A}\}$. To prove the isomorphism, consider the map $\xi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ defined by $\xi(a) = f_a$. For $m \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$f_{a \circ a'}(m) = (a \circ a')m = (am) \circ' (a'm) = (f_a \circ' f_{a'})(m),$$

that is $f_{a \circ a'} = f_a \circ' f_{a'}$. Hence ξ is a homomorphism. Faithfulness of \mathcal{M} implies ξ is injective, whereas surjectivity of ξ is obvious.

Finally, the proof is complete if we show \mathcal{E} is dense. Since the argument for the proof of denseness is identical to that of rings, we do not repeat it here. \square

Corollary 3.3. — *If \mathcal{A} is a nonzero finite dimensional Novikov algebra, then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) \mathcal{A} is prime.
- (ii) \mathcal{A} is simple.
- (iii) There exists a division ring Δ and a finite dimensional Novikov algebra \mathcal{M} over Δ such that \mathcal{A} is isomorphic to $\text{End}_{\Delta}\mathcal{M}$.
- (iv) \mathcal{A} is primitive.

We conclude this paper with a result (see Theorem 3.5) that brings a finite dimensional Novikov algebra over an algebraically closed Novikov algebra. To obtain that we first need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.4. — *Let \mathcal{F} be a unital division Novikov algebra over a field \mathbb{k} and \mathcal{A} be a Novikov algebra over \mathcal{F} . Let $\mathcal{M} = \text{End}_{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{A}$, and \mathcal{B} be a \mathbb{k} -subalgebra of \mathcal{M} . If $a\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}a = \mathcal{A}$ for every nonzero $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\text{End}_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{F}$, then \mathcal{B} is a dense algebra of linear operators of \mathcal{A} .*

Proof. — In the proof of Theorem 3.2, we have seen that \mathcal{A} has a natural \mathcal{B} -module structure; and, furthermore, that it is a faithful. The assumption $a\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}a = \mathcal{A}$ tells us that it is also simple. Thus, \mathcal{B} is a primitive Novikov algebra. Therefore, it follows from Theorem 3.2 that \mathcal{B} is isomorphic to some dense algebra of linear operators of a Novikov algebra \mathcal{A}' over a unital division Novikov algebra \mathcal{F}' . Since by hypothesis, $\text{End}_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{F}$, we must have $\mathcal{A}' = \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{F}' = \mathcal{F}$, so that \mathcal{B} itself is dense as required. \square

Theorem 3.5. — *Let \mathcal{A} be a finite dimensional Novikov algebra over an algebraically closed Novikov algebra \mathcal{F} . If \mathcal{B} is a subalgebra of $\text{End}_{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{A}$ such that \mathcal{A} is simple as a module over \mathcal{B} , then $\mathcal{B} = \text{End}_{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{A}$.*

Proof. — First, we show that a finite dimensional division Novikov algebra \mathcal{D} over an algebraically closed Novikov algebra \mathcal{F} implies $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{F}$. It will follow that $\text{End}_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{F}$ (since the left-hand side is a finite dimensional division algebra over \mathcal{F}), so that \mathcal{B} is dense (setting $\mathbb{k} = \mathcal{F}$ in Lemma 3.4).

Let $x \in \mathcal{D}$. The set of all powers of any given element is linearly dependent. Thus, there exists a polynomial $f \in \mathcal{F}[x]$ such that $f(x) = 0$, assuming without loss of generality that its leading coefficient is 1. Since \mathcal{F} is algebraically closed, f factors linearly. Thus, $(x - \alpha_1) \cdots (x - \alpha_r) = 0$. Since \mathcal{D} is a division Novikov algebra, $x - \alpha_i = 0$ for some α_i and that implies $x = \alpha_i \in \mathcal{F}$, and we are done.

Next, we show that the only dense algebra of linear operators on a finite dimensional Novikov algebra will be the entire homomorphism algebra, and this will complete the proof. Let \mathcal{A} be a finite dimensional

Novikov algebra over \mathcal{F} with basis $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$, and pick $g \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{A}$, of which \mathcal{E} is a dense algebra. Then, there exists $f \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $f(u_i) = g(u_i)$, which implies $f = g$, and hence $f \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{A}$. \square

References

- [1] A. A. Balinskii and S. P. Novikov, Poisson brackets of hydrodynamic type, Frobenius algebras and Lie algebras, *Sov. Math. Dokl.*, **32** (1985), 228–231.
- [2] M. Brešar, *Introduction to noncommutative algebra*, Springer, 2014.
- [3] D. Burde and W. de Graaf, Classification of Novikov algebras, *Appl. Algebra Engrg. Comm. Comput.*, **24** (2013), 1–15.
- [4] V. P. Cherkashin, Left-symmetric algebras with commuting right multiplications, *Moscow Univ. Math. Bull.*, **43**(5) (1988), 49–52.
- [5] J. Dixmier, *Enveloping algebras*, Amer. Math. Soc., 1996.
- [6] V. T. Filipov, A class of simple nonassociative algebras, *Mat. Zametki*, **45** (1989), 101–105.
- [7] A. Goswami, Jacobson’s structure spaces of Novikov algebras (submitted).
- [8] I. M. Gel’fand and I. Ya. Dorfman, Hamiltonian operators and algebraic structures related to them, *Funkts. Anal. Prilozhen*, **13** (1979), 13–30.
- [9] R. S. Irving, Prime Ideals of Ore extensions over commutative rings, *J. Algebra*, **56** (1979), 315–342.
- [10] N. Jacobson, *A topology for the set of primitive ideals in an arbitrary Novikov algebra*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sei. U.S.A., **31** (1945), 333–338.
- [11] ———, *Structure of Novikov algebras*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publications, vol. 37, Providence, (1956)
- [12] ———, *PI-algebras. An introduction*, Springer-Verlag, 1975.
- [13] A. JOSEPH, *Primitive ideals in enveloping algebras*, Proc. ICM (Warsaw, 1983), 403–414, Warsaw, 1984.
- [14] ———, *Quantum groups and their primitive ideals*, Springer, 1995.
- [15] A. A. Kucherov, O. A. Pikhtilkova, and S. A. Pikhtilkov, On primitive Lie algebras, *J. Math. Sci.*, **186**(4) (2012), 651–654.
- [16] J. M. Osborn, Modules for Novikov Algebras, in Second International Conference on Algebra (Barnaul, 1991), 327–338, *Contemp. Math.*, **184**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995.
- [17] ———, Novikov algebras, *Nova J. Algebra Geom.*, **1**(1) (1992), 1–13.
- [18] ———, Infinite-dimensional Novikov algebras of characteristic 0, *J. Algebra*, **167**(1) (1994), 146–167.
- [19] ———, Modules for Novikov algebras of characteristic 0, *Comm. Algebra*, **23** (1995), 3627–3640.
- [20] A. S. Panasenko, Semiprime Novikov algebras. *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.*, **32**(7) (2022), 1369–1378.
- [21] L. H. Rowen, *Ring theory*, vol. I, Academic Press, Inc., 1988.
- [22] I. Shestakov and Z. Zhang, Solvability and nilpotency of Novikov algebras, *Comm. Algebra*, **48**(12) (2020), 5412–5420.
- [23] X. Xu, On simple Novikov algebras and their irreducible modules, *J. Algebra*, **185** (1996), 905–934.
- [24] X. Xu, Classification of simple Novikov algebras and their irreducible modules of characteristic 0, *J. Algebra*, **246** (2001), 673–707.
- [25] E. I. Zel’manov, On a class of local translation invariant Lie algebras, *Sov. Math. Dokl.*, **35**(6) (1987), 216–218.

ADITYA DWARKESH, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research - Kolkata, Mohanpur, Nadia - 741246, West Bengal, India.
E-mail : ad19ms047@iiserkol.ac.in

AMARTYA GOSWAMI, [1] Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics, University of Johannesburg, P.O. Box 524, Auckland Park 2006, South Africa. [2] National Institute for Theoretical and Computational Sciences (NITheCS), South Africa. • E-mail : agoswami@uj.ac.za