

ON BOUNDARY HÖLDER CONTINUITY OF MAPPINGS WITH THE POLETSKY CONDITION

EVGENY SEVOST'YANOV

May 19, 2023

Abstract

The article is devoted to the study of mappings that distort the modulus of families of paths by the Poletsky inequality type. At boundary points of a domain, we have obtained the Hölder inequality for such mappings, provided that their characteristic has finite integral averages over infinitesimal balls. In the manuscript, we have separately considered the cases of homeomorphisms and mappings with branching. Also, we have separately considered good boundaries and domains with prime ends.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 30C65; Secondary 31A15, 31B25

1 Introduction

According to the classical theory of quasiconformal mappings, any quasiconformal (quasiregular) mapping is Hölder continuous inside a domain with some exponent. In particular, the following result holds (see, e.g., [MRV₁, Theorem 3.2]).

Theorem (Martio, Rickman, Väisälä, 1970). *Suppose that f is bounded and quasiregular in a domain $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and F is a compact subset of G . Let $K_I(f)$ be the smallest constant K for which the inequality $J(x, f) \leq K \cdot (l(f'(x)))^n$ holds for almost all $x \in G$, $J(x, f) = \det f'(x)$ and $l(f'(x)) = \min_{|h|=1} |f'(x)h|$. Then there is some constant λ_n depending only on n such that the relation*

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq C|x - y|^\alpha$$

holds for $x \in F, y \in G$, where $\alpha = (K_I(f))^{-\frac{1}{1-n}}$ and $C = \lambda_n(d(f, \partial G))^{-\alpha}d(fG)$.

The main goal of this article is to establish a similar result for more general classes of mappings on the boundary of a domain. At the moment, not so much has been done in this direction. We should, first of all, mention the research on the connection between Hölder continuity on the boundary and within the domain, see [AMN], [MN], and [NP₁]–[NP₂]. Separately, we will point to the publication [NP₁], where the results on global Hölder continuity of quasiconformal mappings were obtained. Here, as a rule, either a definition or a mapped domain is assumed to be the unit ball. Some progress on Hölder continuity at boundary points was also made in relatively recent publications, see [AM], [MSS] and [RSS]. At the same time, as far as we know, the most general case, when the mapping has an unbounded characteristic and acts between two domains of a more general nature, has not been studied before.

Below we consider four cases, in each of which we prove the Hölder continuity for some class of maps. These cases are as follows: 1) homeomorphisms whose definition domain is good; 2) mappings with branching, the definition domain of which is good; 3) homeomorphisms whose definition domain has a bad boundary; 4) mappings with branching, the definition domain of which has a bad boundary. All four cases use the same analytical condition, namely, we assume that the characteristic of mappings has finite averages over infinitesimal balls. Such conditions have already used in some of our papers, see, e.g., [MRSY, section 7.5], [MSS] and [RSS]. As for the proofs of the main results related to each of the cases, they are all similar in their "analytical part", however, they are somewhat different both from the point of view of the presence/absence of branching, and different geometry of the domains under consideration.

In what follows,

$$B(x_0, r) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x - x_0| < r\}, \quad \mathbb{B}^n = B(0, 1),$$

$$S(x_0, r) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x - x_0| = r\}, \quad \mathbb{S}^{n-1} = S(0, 1),$$

$$\Omega_n = m(\mathbb{B}^n), \quad \omega_{n-1} = \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}),$$

m is a Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{R}^n , \mathcal{H}^{n-1} is a $(n - 1)$ -measured Hausdorff measure,

$$A(x_0, r_1, r_2) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : r_1 < |x - x_0| < r_2\}, \quad (1.1)$$

and $M(\Gamma)$ denotes the *conformal modulus of the family of paths* Γ (see [Va]). Let $Q : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be a Lebesgue-measurable function equal to zero outside D . Consider the following concept, see [MRSY, section 7.6]. We say that a mapping $f : D \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$ is a *ring Q -mapping at a point* $x_0 \in \overline{D}$, $x_0 \neq \infty$, if the condition

$$M(f(\Gamma(S(x_0, r_1), S(x_0, r_2), D))) \leq \int_A Q(x) \cdot \eta^n(|x - x_0|) dm(x), \quad (1.2)$$

is fulfilled for some $r_0 = r(x_0) > 0$ and arbitrary $0 < r_1 < r_2 < r_0$, where $\eta : (r_1, r_2) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is a arbitrary nonnegative Lebesgue measurable function satisfying the inequality

$$\int_{r_1}^{r_2} \eta(r) dr \geq 1. \quad (1.3)$$

A domain G in \mathbb{R}^n is called a *quasiextremal distance domain* (short. *QED-domain*), if there is a number $A_0 \geq 1$, such that the inequality

$$M(\Gamma(E, F, \mathbb{R}^n)) \leq A_0 \cdot M(\Gamma(E, F, G)) \quad (1.4)$$

holds for any continua $E, F \subset G$.

Given sets $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we put

$$\text{diam } A = \sup_{x, y \in A} |x - y|, \quad \text{dist}(A, B) = \inf_{x \in A, y \in B} |x - y|.$$

Sometimes instead of $\text{diam } A$ and $\text{dist}(A, B)$ we also write $d(A)$ and $d(A, B)$, respectively.

Given numbers $A_0 > 0$, $R_0 > 0$ and $\delta > 0$, a domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$, a point $x_0 \in \partial D$, $x_0 \neq \infty$, a path connected continuum $A \subset D$ and a function $Q : D \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ denoted by $\mathfrak{F}_{Q, A, \delta}^{A_0, R_0}(D, x_0)$ a family of all homeomorphisms $f : D \rightarrow B(0, R_0)$ satisfying the relations (1.2)–(1.3) at x_0 such that $\text{diam}(f(A)) \geq \delta$ and the domain $D'_f = f(D)$ satisfies the condition (1.4) with $G \mapsto D'_f$. The following statement holds.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that, the following conditions hold: 1) there is $r'_0 = r'_0(x_0) > 0$ such that, the set $B(x_0, r) \cap D$ is connected for any $0 < r < r'_0$; 2) there is $0 < C = C(x_0) < \infty$, such that*

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\Omega_n \cdot \varepsilon^n} \int_{B(x_0, \varepsilon) \cap D} Q(x) dm(x) \leq C. \quad (1.5)$$

Then there is $\widetilde{\varepsilon}_0 = \widetilde{\varepsilon}_0(x_0) > 0$ and a number $\alpha = \alpha(n, \delta, C, R_0, x_0) > 0$ such that the relation

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \alpha \cdot \max\{|x - x_0|^{\beta_n}, |y - x_0|^{\beta_n}\} \quad (1.6)$$

holds for any $x, y \in B(x_0, \widetilde{\varepsilon}(x_0)) \cap D$ and any $f \in \mathfrak{F}_{Q, A, \delta}^{A_0, R_0}(D, x_0)$, where $\beta_n = \left(\frac{n \log 2}{A_0 C 2^{n+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$.

Remark 1.1. In particular, condition 1) of Theorem 1.1 is fulfilled if D is a convex domain.

In the case of mappings with branching, some statement similar to Theorem 1.1 is also holds, see below. Recall that, a mapping $f : D \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$ between domains $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $D' \subset \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$ is called *closed* if $C(f, \partial D) \subset \partial D'$, where, as usual, $C(f, \partial D)$ is the cluster set of the mapping f on ∂D .

Later, in the extended space $\overline{\mathbb{R}^n} = \mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\}$ we use the *spherical (chordal) metric* $h(x, y) = |\pi(x) - \pi(y)|$, where π is a stereographic projection of $\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$ onto the sphere $S^n(\frac{1}{2}e_{n+1}, \frac{1}{2})$ in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , namely,

$$h(x, \infty) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |x|^2}},$$

$$h(x, y) = \frac{|x - y|}{\sqrt{1 + |x|^2} \sqrt{1 + |y|^2}}, \quad x \neq \infty \neq y \quad (1.7)$$

(see, e.g., [Va, Definition 12.1]). Further, for the sets $A, B \subset \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$ we set

$$h(E) := \sup_{x, y \in E} h(x, y). \quad (1.8)$$

Given numbers $A_0 > 0$, $R_0 > 0$ and $\delta > 0$, a domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$, a point $x_0 \in \partial D$, $x_0 \neq \infty$, and a given function $Q : D \rightarrow [0, \infty]$, we denote $\mathfrak{R}_{Q, \delta}^{A_0, R_0}(D, x_0)$ the family of all open, discrete and closed ring Q -mappings $f : D \rightarrow B(0, R_0)$ at x_0 such that the domain $D'_f = f(D)$ satisfies the condition (1.4) with $G = D'_f$, and, in addition, there exists a path connected continuum $K_f \subset D'_f$ such that $\text{diam}(K_f) \geq \delta$ and $h(f^{-1}(K_f), \partial D) \geq \delta > 0$. The following theorem holds.

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that, the following conditions hold: 1) there is $r'_0 = r'_0(x_0) > 0$ such that, the set $B(x_0, r) \cap D$ is connected for any $0 < r < r'_0$; 2) there is $0 < C = C(x_0) < \infty$, such that*

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\Omega_n \cdot \varepsilon^n} \int_{B(x_0, \varepsilon) \cap D} Q(x) dm(x) \leq C. \quad (1.9)$$

Then there is $\tilde{\varepsilon}_0 = \widetilde{\varepsilon}_0(x_0) > 0$ and a number $\alpha = \alpha(n, \delta, C, R_0, x_0) > 0$ such that the relation

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \alpha \cdot \max\{|x - x_0|^{\beta_n}, |y - x_0|^{\beta_n}\}, \quad (1.10)$$

holds for any $x, y \in B(x_0, \widetilde{\varepsilon}_0(x_0)) \cap D$ and all $f \in \mathfrak{R}_{Q, \delta}^{A_0, R_0}(D, x_0)$, where $\beta_n = \left(\frac{n \log 2}{A_0 C 2^{n+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$.

Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 have corresponding analogs for domains with bad boundaries. The definition of a prime end used below may be found in [ISS], cf. [KR] and [Na₂]. Let ω be an open set in \mathbb{R}^k , $k = 1, \dots, n-1$. A continuous mapping $\sigma : \omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is called a *k-dimensional surface* in \mathbb{R}^n . A *surface* is an arbitrary $(n-1)$ -dimensional surface σ in \mathbb{R}^n . A surface σ is called a *Jordan surface*, if $\sigma(x) \neq \sigma(y)$ for $x \neq y$. In the following, we will use σ instead of $\sigma(\omega) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $\bar{\sigma}$ instead of $\overline{\sigma(\omega)}$ and $\partial\sigma$ instead of $\overline{\sigma(\omega)} \setminus \sigma(\omega)$. A Jordan surface $\sigma : \omega \rightarrow D$ is called a *cut* of D , if σ separates D , that is $D \setminus \sigma$ has more than one component, $\partial\sigma \cap D = \emptyset$ and $\partial\sigma \cap \partial D \neq \emptyset$.

A sequence of cuts $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_m, \dots$ in D is called a *chain*, if:

(i) the set σ_{m+1} is contained in exactly one component d_m of the set $D \setminus \sigma_m$, wherein $\sigma_{m-1} \subset D \setminus (\sigma_m \cup d_m)$; (ii) $\bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} d_m = \emptyset$.

Two chains of cuts $\{\sigma_m\}$ and $\{\sigma'_k\}$ are called *equivalent*, if for each $m = 1, 2, \dots$ the domain d_m contains all the domains d'_k , except for a finite number, and for each $k = 1, 2, \dots$ the domain d'_k also contains all domains d_m , except for a finite number.

The *end* of the domain D is the class of equivalent chains of cuts in D . Let K be the end of D in \mathbb{R}^n , then the set

$$I(K) = \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \overline{d_m}$$

is called *the impression of the end* K . One may to prove that, $I(K) \subset \partial D$ (see, e.g., [KR, Proposition 1]).

Following [Na₂], we say that the end K is a *prime end*, if K contains a chain of cuts $\{\sigma_m\}$ such that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} M(\Gamma(C, \sigma_m, D)) = 0 \quad (1.11)$$

for some continuum C in D . In the following, the following notation is used: the set of prime ends corresponding to the domain D , is denoted by E_D , and the completion of the domain D by its prime ends is denoted \overline{D}_P . Consider the following definition, which goes back to Näkki [Na₂], see also [KR]. We say that the boundary of the domain D in \mathbb{R}^n is *locally quasiconformal*, if each point $x_0 \in \partial D$ has a neighborhood U in \mathbb{R}^n , which can be mapped by a quasiconformal mapping φ onto the unit ball $\mathbb{B}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ so that $\varphi(\partial D \cap U)$ is the intersection of \mathbb{B}^n with the coordinate hyperplane.

The sequence of cuts σ_m , $m = 1, 2, \dots$, is called *regular*, if $\overline{\sigma_m} \cap \overline{\sigma_{m+1}} = \emptyset$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and, in addition, $d(\sigma_m) \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. If the end K contains at least one regular chain, then K will be called *regular*. We say that a bounded domain D in \mathbb{R}^n is *regular*, if D can be quasiconformally mapped to a domain with a locally quasiconformal boundary whose closure is a compact in \mathbb{R}^n , and, besides that, every prime end in D is regular. Note that space $\overline{D}_P = D \cup E_D$ is metric, which can be demonstrated as follows. If $g : D_0 \rightarrow D$ is a quasiconformal mapping of a domain D_0 with a locally quasiconformal boundary onto some domain D , then for $x, y \in \overline{D}_P$ we put:

$$\rho(x, y) := |g^{-1}(x) - g^{-1}(y)|, \quad (1.12)$$

where the element $g^{-1}(x)$, $x \in E_D$, is to be understood as some (single) boundary point of the domain D_0 . It is easy to verify that ρ in (1.12) is a metric on \overline{D}_P , and that the topology on \overline{D}_P , defined by such a method, does not depend on the choice of the map g with the indicated property. In what follows,

$$B_\rho(P_0, \varepsilon) = \{x \in \overline{D}_P : \rho(x, P_0) < \varepsilon\},$$

where ρ is a metric defined in (1.12). Note that this notation is explicitly used in the statements of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4, see below.

We say that a sequence $x_m \in D$, $m = 1, 2, \dots$, converges to a prime end of $P \in E_D$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, if for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ all elements x_m belong to d_k except for a finite number. Here d_k

denotes a sequence of nested domains corresponding to the definition of the prime end P . Note that for a homeomorphism of a domain D onto D' , the end of the domain D uniquely corresponds to some sequence of nested domains in the image under the mapping.

Given numbers $A_0 > 0$, $R_0 > 0$ and $\delta > 0$, a domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$, a point $P_0 \in E_D$, a path connected continuum $A \subset D$ and a function $Q : D \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ denoted by $\mathfrak{F}_{Q,A,\delta}^{A_0,R_0}(D, P_0)$ a family of all homeomorphisms $f : D \rightarrow B(0, R_0)$ satisfying the relations (1.2)–(1.3) for any $x_0 \in I(P_0)$ (where $I(P_0)$ denotes the impression of P_0) such that $\text{diam}(f(A)) \geq \delta$ and the domain $D'_f = f(D)$ satisfies the condition (1.4) with $G \mapsto D'_f$. The following statement holds.

Theorem 1.3. *Assume that the domain D is regular and the following conditions are fulfilled: 1) for each $y_0 \in \partial D$ there exists $r'_0 = r'_0(y_0) > 0$ such that the set $B(x_0, r) \cap D$ is finitely connected for all $0 < r < r'_0$, and, for each component K of the set $B(y_0, r) \cap D$ the following condition is fulfilled: any $x, y \in K$ may be joined by a path $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $|\gamma| \in K \cap \overline{B(x_0, \max\{|x - x_0|, |y - x_0|\})}$,*

$$|\gamma| = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \exists t \in [a, b] : \gamma(t) = x\};$$

2) for each $x_0 \in I(P_0)$ there is $0 < C = C(x_0) < \infty$ such that

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\Omega_n \cdot \varepsilon^n} \int_{B(x_0, \varepsilon) \cap D} Q(x) dm(x) \leq C. \quad (1.13)$$

Then for each $P \in E_D$ there exists $y_0 \in \partial D$ such that $I(P_0) = y_0$, where $I(P)$ denotes the impression of P . In addition, there exists $\rho_0 = \rho_0(P_0) > 0$ and a number $\alpha = \alpha(n, \delta, C, R_0, P_0) > 0$ such that the inequality

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \alpha \cdot \max\{|x - x_0|^{\beta_n}, |y - x_0|^{\beta_n}\}, \quad (1.14)$$

holds for all $x, y \in B_\rho(P_0, \rho_0) \cap D$ and all $f \in \mathfrak{F}_{Q,A,\delta}^{A_0,R_0}(D, P_0)$, where $\{x_0\} = I(P_0)$ and $\beta_n = \left(\frac{n \log 2}{A_0 C 2^{n+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$.

Remark 1.2. In particular, condition 1) of Theorem 1.3 is fulfilled if, for any $y_0 \in \partial D$ there exists $r'_0 = r'_0(y_0) > 0$ such that the set $B(y_0, r) \cap D$ is finitely connected for all $0 < r < r'_0$, and, for any component K of $B(y_0, r) \cap D$ is convex. Indeed, let $x, y \in K$. Let us join x and y by a segment γ inside K (this is possible because the connected open set K is also path connected, see, e.g., [MRSY, Corollary 13.1]). Let us assume that $|x - y_0| \geq |y - y_0|$. Due to the fact that the ball $\overline{B(x, |x - y_0|)}$ is convex, the entire segment γ belongs to $B(x, |x - y_0|)$. Then γ is the desired path.

Given numbers $A_0 > 0$, $R_0 > 0$ and $\delta > 0$, a domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$, a point $P_0 \in E_D$, and a given function $Q : D \rightarrow [0, \infty]$, we denote $\mathfrak{R}_{Q,\delta}^{A_0,R_0}(D, P_0)$ the family of all open, discrete and closed mappings $f : D \rightarrow B(0, R_0)$ satisfying the relations (1.2)–(1.3) for any $x_0 \in I(P_0)$

(where $I(P_0)$ denotes the impression of P_0) such that the domain $D'_f = f(D)$ satisfies the condition (1.4) with $G = D'_f$, and, in addition, there exists a path connected continuum $K_f \subset D'_f$ such that $\text{diam}(K_f) \geq \delta$ and $h(f^{-1}(K_f), \partial D) \geq \delta > 0$. The following theorem holds.

Theorem 1.4. *Assume that the domain D is regular and the following conditions are fulfilled: 1) for each $y_0 \in \partial D$ there exists $r'_0 = r'_0(y_0) > 0$ such that the set $B(y_0, r) \cap D$ is finitely connected for all $0 < r < r'_0$, and, for each component K of the set $B(y_0, r) \cap D$ the following condition is fulfilled: any $x, y \in K$ may be joined by a path $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $|\gamma| \in K \cap \overline{B(y_0, \max\{|x - y_0|, |y - y_0|\})}$,*

$$|\gamma| = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \exists t \in [a, b] : \gamma(t) = x\};$$

2) for each $x_0 \in I(P_0)$ there is $0 < C = C(x_0) < \infty$ such that

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\Omega_n \cdot \varepsilon^n} \int_{B(x_0, \varepsilon) \cap D} Q(x) dm(x) \leq C. \quad (1.15)$$

Then, for any $P \in E_D$ there is $y_0 \in \partial D$ such that $I(P) = \{y_0\}$, where $I(P)$ denotes the impression of P . In addition, there is $\rho_0 = \rho_0(P_0) > 0$ and a number $\alpha = \alpha(n, \delta, C, R_0, x_0) > 0$ such that the relation

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \alpha \cdot \max\{|x - x_0|^{\beta_n}, |y - x_0|^{\beta_n}\}, \quad (1.16)$$

holds for any $x, y \in B_\rho(P_0, \varepsilon_0) \cap D$ and all $\mathfrak{R}_{Q, \delta}^{A_0, R_0}(D, P_0)$, where $\{x_0\} = I(P_0)$ and $\beta_n = \left(\frac{n \log 2}{A_0 C 2^{n+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$.

2 Preliminaries

Let $a > 0$ and let $\varphi : [a, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a nondecreasing function such that, for some constants $\gamma > 0$, $T > 0$ and all $t \geq T$, the inequality

$$\varphi(2t) \leq \gamma \cdot \varphi(t) \quad (2.1)$$

is fulfilled. We will call such functions *functions that satisfy the doubling condition*.

Let $\varphi : [a, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function with the doubling condition, then the function $\tilde{\varphi}(t) := \varphi(1/t)$ does not increase and is defined on a half-interval $(0, 1/a]$. The following statement is proved in [RSS, Lemma 3.1].

Proposition 2.1. *Let $a > 0$, let $\varphi : [a, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a nondecreasing function with a doubling condition (2.1), let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$, and let $Q : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be a Lebesgue measurable function for which there exists $0 < C < \infty$ such that*

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi(1/\varepsilon)}{\Omega_n \cdot \varepsilon^n} \int_{B(x_0, \varepsilon)} Q(x) dm(x) \leq C. \quad (2.2)$$

Then there exists $\varepsilon'_0 > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\varepsilon < |x-x_0| < \varepsilon'_0} \frac{\varphi(1/|x-x_0|)Q(x) dm(x)}{|x-x_0|^n} \leq C_1 \cdot \left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right), \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \quad (2.3)$$

where $C_1 := \frac{\gamma C \Omega_n 2^n}{\log 2}$.

A domain R in $\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$, $n \geq 2$, is called a *ring*, if $\overline{\mathbb{R}^n} \setminus R$ consists of exactly two components E and F . In this case, we write: $R = R(E, F)$. The following statement is true, see [MRSY, ratio (7.29)].

Proposition 2.2. *If $R = R(E, F)$ is a ring, then*

$$M(\Gamma(E, F, \overline{\mathbb{R}^n})) \geq \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{\left(\log \frac{2\lambda_n^2}{h(E)h(F)} \right)^{n-1}},$$

where $\lambda_n \in [4, 2e^{n-1})$, $\lambda_2 = 4$ and $\lambda_n^{1/n} \rightarrow e$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and $h(E)$ denotes the chordal diameter of the set E defined in (1.8).

Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open discrete mapping, let $\beta : [a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a path and let $x \in f^{-1}(\beta(a))$. A path $\alpha : [a, c) \rightarrow D$ is called a *maximal f -lifting* of β with the origin at the point x , if (1) $\alpha(a) = x$; (2) $f \circ \alpha = \beta|_{[a, c)}$; (3) for every $c < c' \leq b$, there is no a path $\alpha' : [a, c') \rightarrow D$ such that $\alpha = \alpha'|_{[a, c)}$ and $f \circ \alpha' = \beta|_{[a, c')}$. The following statement is true, see [MRV₂, lemma 3.12], cf. [Vu, lemma 3.7].

Proposition 2.3. *Let $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$, be open discrete mapping, let $x_0 \in D$, and let $\beta : [a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a path such that $\beta(a) = f(x_0)$ and either $\lim_{t \rightarrow b} \beta(t)$ exists, or $\beta(t) \rightarrow \partial f(D)$ as $t \rightarrow b$. Then β has a maximal f -lifting of $\alpha : [a, c) \rightarrow D$ starting at the point x_0 . If $\alpha(t) \rightarrow x_1 \in D$ as $t \rightarrow c$, then $c = b$ and $f(x_1) = \lim_{t \rightarrow b} \beta(t)$. Otherwise, $\alpha(t) \rightarrow \partial D$ as $t \rightarrow c$.*

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let

$$\varepsilon_0 = \min\{\varepsilon'_0(x_0), r'_0, \text{dist}(x_0, A), 1\},$$

where $\varepsilon'_0 > 0$ is a number from Proposition 2.1 with $\varphi \equiv 1$, besides that r'_0 is a number from conditions of the theorem, and A is a continuum from the definition of $\mathfrak{F}_{Q, A, \delta}^{A_0, R_0}(D, x_0)$. Let $x, y \in B(x_0, \varepsilon_0^2)$ and let $f \in \mathfrak{F}_{Q, A, \delta}^{A_0, R_0}(D, x_0)$. Now, $x, y \in B(x_0, \varepsilon_0)$. Without loss of a generalization, we may assume that $|x - x_0| \geq |y - x_0|$. By the definition of ε_0 ,

$$A \subset D \setminus B(x_0, \varepsilon_0). \quad (3.1)$$

Since the points $S(x_0, r) \cap D$, $0 < r < r'_0$, are accessible from the domain D by means of some path γ , and the set $B(x_0, r) \cap D$ is connected for all $0 < r < r'_0$, we may join points x

and y by a path K , which completely belongs to the ball $\overline{B(x_0, |x - x_0|)}$ and belongs to D . We may consider that, K is a Jordan path. Let $z, w \in f(A) \subset D'_f$ and $u, v \in A$ be such that

$$\text{diam } f(A) = |z - w| = |f(u) - f(v)| \geq \delta. \quad (3.2)$$

Since the continuum A is path connected, one can join the points u, v by a path K' inside A . Due to (3.2), we obtain that

$$\text{diam } f(|K'|) \geq |f(u) - f(v)| \geq \delta. \quad (3.3)$$

We also may consider that K' is Jordan.

Note that, $f(K)$ and $f(K')$ are also Jordan paths, and they do not split \mathbb{R}^n . Indeed, for $n \geq 3$ the set $f(|K|) \cup f(|K'|)$ has a topological dimension 1 as the union of two closed sets of topological dimension 1 (see [HW, Theorem III 2.3]). Then $f(|K|) \cup f(|K'|)$ does not split \mathbb{R}^n (see [HW, Corollary 1.5.IV]). Now, let $n = 2$. According to Antoine's theorem on the absence of wild arcs (see [Keld, Theorem II.4.3]), there exists a homeomorphism $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$, which maps $f(|K|)$ onto some segment I . It follows that, any points $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus f(|K|)$ may be joined by a path γ in $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus f(|K|)$. Reasoning similarly, it can be shown that, any points $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus (f(|K|) \cup f(|K'|))$ may be joined by a path γ in $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus (f(|K|) \cup f(|K'|))$.

Therefore, $R = R(f(|K|), f(|K'|))$ is a ring domain. In this case, let us to set $\Gamma = \Gamma(f(|K|), f(|K'|), \mathbb{R}^n)$. Then, by Proposition 2.2

$$M(\Gamma(f(|K|), f(|K'|), \overline{\mathbb{R}^n})) \geq \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{\left(\log \frac{2\lambda_n^2}{h(f(|K|))h(f(|K'|))}\right)^{n-1}}. \quad (3.4)$$

By (3.3) and (3.4), and by the definition of K , we obtain that

$$M(\Gamma(f(|K|), f(|K'|), \overline{\mathbb{R}^n})) \geq \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{\left(\log \frac{2\lambda_n^2}{\delta \cdot h(f(x), f(y))}\right)^{n-1}}. \quad (3.5)$$

Since D'_f is a QED-domain with a constant A_0 in (1.4), by the condition (3.5) we obtain that

$$M(\Gamma(f(|K|), f(|K'|), D'_f)) \geq \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{A_0 \left(\log \frac{2\lambda_n^2}{\delta \cdot h(f(x), f(y))}\right)^{n-1}}. \quad (3.6)$$

Note that

$$\Gamma(|K|, |K'|, D) > \Gamma(S(x_0, |x - x_0|), S(x_0, \varepsilon_0), D). \quad (3.7)$$

Indeed, let $\gamma \in \Gamma(|K|, |K'|, D)$, $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow D$, $\gamma(0) \in |K|$ and $\gamma(1) \in |K'|$. Since $|K| \subset B(x_0, |x - x_0|)$, and $|K'| \subset A$, due to (3.1), we obtain that $A \subset D \setminus B(x_0, |x - x_0|)$. Then $\gamma \cap B(x_0, |x - x_0|) \neq \emptyset \neq \gamma \cap (D \setminus B(x_0, |x - x_0|))$. By [Ku, Theorem 1.1.5.46] there exists $t_1 \in (0, 1)$ such that $\gamma(t_1) \in S(x_0, |x - x_0|)$. Consider a path $\gamma_1 := \gamma|_{[t_1, 1]}$. Since $|K| \subset B(x_0, \varepsilon_0)$ and $|K'| \subset A$, due to (3.1), $A \subset D \setminus B(x_0, \varepsilon_0)$. Then $\gamma_1 \cap B(x_0, \varepsilon_0) \neq \emptyset \neq \gamma_1 \cap (D \setminus B(x_0, \varepsilon_0))$. By [Ku, Theorem 1.1.5.46], there exists $t_2 \in (0, t_1)$ such that $\gamma_1(t_2) = \gamma(t_2) \in S(x_0, \varepsilon_0)$.

Put $\gamma_2 := \gamma|_{[t_1, t_2]}$. Then γ_2 is a subpath of γ and $\gamma_2 \in \Gamma(S(x_0, |x - x_0|), S(x_0, \varepsilon_0), D)$. This proves (3.7). In this case, by the minorization of a modulus of families of paths (see, e.g., [Va, Theorem 6.4]), by (3.7) and (1.2) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} M(\Gamma(f(|K|), f(|K'|), D'_f)) &= M(f(\Gamma(|K|, |K'|, D))) \leq \\ &\leq M(f(\Gamma(S(x_0, |x - x_0|), S(x_0, \varepsilon_0), D))) \leq \int_A Q(x) \cdot \eta^n(|x - x_0|) dm(x), \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

where η is an arbitrary Lebesgue measurable function satisfying the condition (1.3) for $r_1 = |x - x_0|$, $r_2 = \varepsilon_0$. Set

$$\eta(t) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\left(\log \frac{\varepsilon_0}{|x-x_0|}\right)^t}, & t \in (|x - x_0|, \varepsilon_0), \\ 0, & t \notin (|x - x_0|, \varepsilon_0). \end{cases}$$

Observe that, the function η satisfies the condition (1.3) for $r_1 = |x - x_0|$ and $r_2 = \varepsilon_0$. Now, by (3.8) we obtain that

$$M(\Gamma(f(|K|), f(|K'|), D'_f)) \leq \frac{1}{\log^n \frac{\varepsilon_0}{|x-x_0|}} \int_{A(x_0, |x-x_0|, \varepsilon_0)} \frac{Q(x)}{|x - x_0|^n} dm(x). \quad (3.9)$$

Since $|x - x_0| < \varepsilon_0^2$,

$$\log \frac{1}{|x - x_0|} < 2 \log \frac{\varepsilon_0}{|x - x_0|}. \quad (3.10)$$

By the choice of ε_0 , by Proposition 2.1 and by (3.9) we obtain that

$$M(\Gamma(f(|K|), f(|K'|), D'_f)) \leq \frac{2}{\log^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon_0}{|x-x_0|}} \frac{C\Omega_n 2^n}{\log 2}. \quad (3.11)$$

Combining (3.6) and (3.11), we obtain that

$$\frac{\omega_{n-1}}{A_0 \left(\log \frac{2\lambda_n^2}{\delta \cdot h(f(x), f(y))}\right)^{n-1}} \leq \frac{2}{\log^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon_0}{|x-x_0|}} \frac{C\Omega_n 2^n}{\log 2}. \quad (3.12)$$

Since $\omega_{n-1} = n\Omega_n$, the latter relation may be rewritten as

$$\frac{1}{\log^{n-1} \frac{2\lambda_n^2}{\delta \cdot h(f(x), f(y))}} \leq \frac{1}{\log^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon_0}{|x-x_0|}} \frac{A_0 C 2^{n+1}}{n \log 2}. \quad (3.13)$$

By (3.13) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \log \frac{2\lambda_n^2}{\delta \cdot h(f(x), f(y))} &\geq \log \frac{\varepsilon_0}{|x - x_0|} \cdot \left(\frac{n \log 2}{A_0 C 2^{n+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}, \\ \frac{2\lambda_n^2}{\delta \cdot h(f(x), f(y))} &\geq \left(\frac{\varepsilon_0}{|x - x_0|}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \left(\frac{n \log 2}{A_0 C 2^{n+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

$$h(f(x), f(y)) \leq \frac{2\lambda_n^2}{\delta_{\varepsilon_0} \left(\frac{n \log 2}{A_0 C 2^{n+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}} |x - x_0| \left(\frac{n \log 2}{A_0 C 2^{n+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}. \quad (3.14)$$

By the definition of the chordal distance in (1.7) and due to the condition $f(D) = D'_f \subset B(0, R_0)$, we obtain that

$$h(f(x), f(y)) \geq \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{1 + R_0^2}.$$

Then, by (3.14), we have that

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \frac{2(1 + R_0^2)\lambda_n^2}{\delta_{\varepsilon_0} \left(\frac{n \log 2}{A_0 C 2^{n+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}} |x - x_0| \left(\frac{n \log 2}{A_0 C 2^{n+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}, \quad (3.15)$$

or

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \frac{2(1 + R_0^2)\lambda_n^2}{\delta_{\varepsilon_0} \beta_n} |x - x_0|^{\beta_n}, \quad (3.16)$$

because $\beta_n = \left(\frac{n \log 2}{A_0 C 2^{n+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$. We set now $\tilde{\varepsilon}_0 := \varepsilon_0^2$. The relation (3.16) completes the proof. \square

Corollary 3.1. *Under the conditions of Theorem 1.1, any $f \in \mathfrak{F}_{Q,A,\delta}^{A_0,R_0}(D, x_0)$ has a continuous extension at x_0 and, in addition,*

$$|f(x) - f(x_0)| \leq \alpha \cdot |x - x_0|^{\beta_n}. \quad (3.17)$$

Proof. If the mapping f did not have a limit as $x \rightarrow x_0$, then we would construct at least two sequences $x_m \rightarrow x_0$ and $y_m \rightarrow x_0$, $m \rightarrow \infty$, such that $|f(x_m) - f(y_m)| \geq \delta > 0$ for some positive $\delta > 0$ and all $m = 1, 2, \dots$. But this contradicts the inequality (1.6). Therefore, the limit of f as $x \rightarrow x_0$ exists. To prove the inequality (3.17), it remains to pass in the relation (1.6) to the limit as $y \rightarrow x_0$. \square

4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Let

$$\varepsilon_0 = \min\{\varepsilon'_0(x_0), r'_0, \delta, 1\},$$

where $\varepsilon'_0 > 0$ is a number from Proposition 2.1 with $\varphi \equiv 1$, besides that r'_0 is a number from conditions of the theorem, and δ is a number from the definition of $\mathfrak{N}_{Q,\delta}^{A_0,R_0}(D, x_0)$. We set $\tilde{\varepsilon}_0 := \varepsilon_0^2$. Let $x, y \in B(x_0, \tilde{\varepsilon}_0)$ and let $f \in \mathfrak{N}_{Q,\delta}^{A_0,R_0}(D, x_0)$. Now, $x, y \in B(x_0, \varepsilon_0)$. Without loss of a generalization, we may assume that $|x - x_0| \geq |y - x_0|$.

Let $K_f \subset D'_f$ be a path connected continuum such that $\text{diam}(K_f) \geq \delta$ and, in addition, $h(f^{-1}(K_f), \partial D) \geq \delta > 0$ (such a continuum exists by the definition of the class $\mathfrak{N}_{Q,\delta}^{A_0,R_0}(D, x_0)$). By the definition of ε_0 ,

$$f^{-1}(K_f) \subset D \setminus B(x_0, \varepsilon_0). \quad (4.1)$$

Since the points $S(x_0, r) \cap D$, $0 < r < r'_0$, are accessible from the domain D by means of some path γ , and the set $B(x_0, r) \cap D$ is connected for all $0 < r < r'_0$, the points x and y may be joined by a path K , which belongs entirely to the ball $\overline{B(x_0, |x - x_0|)}$ and belongs to D . We may consider that K is a Jordan path. Let $z, w \in K_f \subset D'_f$ be such that

$$\text{diam } K_f = |z - w| \geq \delta. \quad (4.2)$$

Since K_f is path connected, we may join points z, w by a Jordan path K' inside K_f . Due to (4.2) we obtain that

$$\text{diam } |K'| \geq |z - w| \geq \delta. \quad (4.3)$$

If the path $f(|K|)$ is not Jordan, we discard from $f(|K|)$ no more than a finite number of its loops. Let $|D| \subset f(|K|)$ be a locus of the Jordan path D , which is obtained by such rejection. Just as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, it may be shown that $R = R(|D|, |K'|)$ is a ring in \mathbb{R}^n .

In this case, we denote $\Gamma = \Gamma(|D|, |K'|, \mathbb{R}^n)$. Now, by Proposition 2.2

$$M(\Gamma(|D|, |K'|, \mathbb{R}^n)) \geq \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{\left(\log \frac{2\lambda_n^2}{h(|K'|)h(|D|)}\right)^{n-1}}. \quad (4.4)$$

Due to (4.3) and (4.4), and by the definition of the path K' , we obtain that

$$M(\Gamma(|D|, |K'|, \mathbb{R}^n)) \geq \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{\left(\log \frac{2\lambda_n^2}{\delta \cdot h(f(x), f(y))}\right)^{n-1}}. \quad (4.5)$$

Since D'_f is a *QED*-domain with a constant A_0 in (1.4), by (4.5) we obtain that

$$M(\Gamma(|D|, |K'|, D'_f)) \geq \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{A_0 \left(\log \frac{2\lambda_n^2}{\delta \cdot h(f(x), f(y))}\right)^{n-1}}. \quad (4.6)$$

Let Γ^* be a family $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow D$ of maximal f -liftings of paths $\gamma' : [0, 1] \rightarrow D'_f$ from $\Gamma = \Gamma(|D|, |K'|, D'_f)$ starting at $|K|$. Such liftings exist by Proposition 2.3. By the same Proposition, due to the closeness of f , we obtain that each path $\gamma \in \Gamma^*$ has an extension $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow D$ to the point $b = 1$. Then $\gamma(1) \in f^{-1}(K_f)$, that is, $\Gamma^* \subset \Gamma(|K|, f^{-1}(K_f), D)$.

Reasoning similar to the proof of Theorem 1.1, we may show that

$$\Gamma(|K|, f^{-1}(K_f), D) > \Gamma(S(x_0, |x - x_0|), S(x_0, \varepsilon_0), D). \quad (4.7)$$

Observe that, $f(\Gamma^*) = \Gamma = \Gamma(|D|, |K'|, D'_f)$. In this case, by the minorization of the modulus (see., e.g., [Va, Theorem 6.4]), by (4.7) and (1.2) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} M(f(\Gamma^*)) &= M(\Gamma(|D|, |K'|, D'_f)) \leq \\ &\leq M(f(\Gamma(S(x_0, |x - x_0|), S(x_0, \varepsilon_0), D))) \leq \int_A Q(x) \cdot \eta^n(|x - x_0|) \, dm(x), \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

where η is an arbitrary nonnegative Lebesgue measurable function satisfying the relation (1.3) for $r_1 = |x - x_0|$, $r_2 = \varepsilon_0$. Put

$$\eta(t) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\left(\log \frac{\varepsilon_0}{|x-x_0|}\right)t}, & t \in (|x - x_0|, \varepsilon_0), \\ 0, & t \notin (|x - x_0|, \varepsilon_0). \end{cases}$$

Observe that, the function η satisfies the condition (1.3) for $r_1 = |x - x_0|$, $r_2 = \varepsilon_0$. Now, by the relation (3.8) we obtain that

$$M(\Gamma(|D|, |K'|, D'_f)) \leq \frac{1}{\log^n \frac{\varepsilon_0}{|x-x_0|}} \int_{A(x_0, |x-x_0|, \varepsilon_0)} \frac{Q(x)}{|x - x_0|^n} dm(x). \quad (4.9)$$

Observe that, the inequality (3.10) holds by the choice of x and ε_0 . Then, by (3.9) and by Proposition 2.1, we obtain that

$$M(\Gamma(|D|, |K'|, D'_f)) \leq \frac{2}{\log^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon_0}{|x-x_0|}} \frac{C\Omega_n 2^n}{\log 2}. \quad (4.10)$$

Combining (4.6) and (4.10), we obtain that

$$\frac{\omega_{n-1}}{A_0 \left(\log \frac{2\lambda_n^2}{\delta \cdot h(f(x), f(y))}\right)^{n-1}} \leq \frac{2}{\log^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon_0}{|x-x_0|}} \frac{C\Omega_n 2^n}{\log 2}. \quad (4.11)$$

Since $\omega_{n-1} = n\Omega_n$, the latter relation may be rewritten in the following form:

$$\frac{1}{\log^{n-1} \frac{2\lambda_n^2}{\delta \cdot h(f(x), f(y))}} \leq \frac{1}{\log^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon_0}{|x-x_0|}} \frac{A_0 C 2^{n+1}}{n \log 2}. \quad (4.12)$$

By (4.12), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \log \frac{2\lambda_n^2}{\delta \cdot h(f(x), f(y))} &\geq \log \frac{\varepsilon_0}{|x - x_0|} \cdot \left(\frac{n \log 2}{A_0 C 2^{n+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}, \\ \frac{2\lambda_n^2}{\delta \cdot h(f(x), f(y))} &\geq \left(\frac{\varepsilon_0}{|x - x_0|}\right)^{\left(\frac{n \log 2}{A_0 C 2^{n+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}}, \\ h(f(x), f(y)) &\leq \frac{2\lambda_n^2}{\delta \varepsilon_0 \left(\frac{n \log 2}{A_0 C 2^{n+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}} |x - x_0|^{\left(\frac{n \log 2}{A_0 C 2^{n+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

By the definition of the chordal metric in (1.7), since $f(D) = D'_f \subset B(0, R_0)$ it follows that

$$h(f(x), f(y)) \geq \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{1 + R_0^2}.$$

Thus, by (4.13) we obtain that

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \frac{2(1 + R_0^2)\lambda_n^2}{\delta \varepsilon_0 \left(\frac{n \log 2}{A_0 C 2^{n+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}} |x - x_0|^{\left(\frac{n \log 2}{A_0 C 2^{n+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}}, \quad (4.14)$$

or, equivalently,

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \frac{2(1 + R_0^2)\lambda_n^2}{\delta\varepsilon_0^{\beta_n}} |x - x_0|^{\beta_n}, \quad (4.15)$$

because $\beta_n = \left(\frac{n \log 2}{A_0 C^{2n+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$. It finishes the proof. \square

Corollary 4.1. *Under conditions of Theorem 1.2, the mapping f has a continuous extension at x_0 and*

$$|f(x) - f(x_0)| \leq \alpha \cdot |x - x_0|^{\beta_n}.$$

Proof of Corollary 4.1 is completely similar to the proof of Corollary 3.1. \square

5 Proof of Theorem 1.3

Since the set $B(y_0, r) \cap D$ is finitely connected for all $0 < r < r'_0$, the domain D is finitely connected on its boundary. Therefore, the domain D is uniform (see [Na₁, Theorem 3.2]). In other words, for every $r > 0$ there exists a number $\delta > 0$ such that the inequality

$$M(\Gamma(F^*, F, D)) \geq \delta \quad (5.1)$$

holds for all continua $F, F^* \subset D$ such that $h(F) \geq r$ and $h(F^*) \geq r$.

Let us to prove that, for any $P \in E_D$ there exists $y_0 \in \partial D$ such that $I(P) = \{y_0\}$. We prove this statement from the opposite, namely, suppose that there is a $P \in E_D$, which contains two points $x, y \in \partial D$, $x \neq y$. In this case, there are at least two sequences $x_m, y_m \in d_m$, $m = 1, 2, \dots$, which converge to x and y as $m \rightarrow \infty$, respectively (here d_m denotes the decreasing sequence of domains formed by some sequence of cuts σ_m , $m = 1, 2, \dots$, corresponding to the prime end of P). Let us join the points x_m and y_m with the path γ_m in the domain d_m . Since $x \neq y$, there exists $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $h(\gamma_m) \geq d(x, y)/2$, $m > m_0$. Choose any nondegenerate continuum $C \subset D \setminus d_1$. Then, due to the uniformity of the domain D

$$M(\Gamma(|\gamma_m|, C, D)) \geq \delta_0 > 0 \quad (5.2)$$

for some $\delta_0 > 0$ and all $m > m_0$. The relation (5.2) contradicts with the definition of the prime end P . Indeed, by the definition of a cut σ_m , we obtain that $\Gamma(|\gamma_m|, C, D) > \Gamma(\sigma_m, C, D)$. Now, due to (1.11), we have that

$$M(\Gamma(|\gamma_m|, C, D)) \leq M(\Gamma(\sigma_m, C, D)) \rightarrow 0$$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$. The latter relation contradicts with (5.2). Thus, $I(P) = \{y_0\}$ for some $y_0 \in \partial D$.

It remains to prove the relation (1.14). Let

$$\varepsilon_0 = \min\{\varepsilon'_0(x_0), r'_0, \text{dist}(x_0, A), 1\},$$

where $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ is a number from Proposition 2.1 with $\varphi \equiv 1$, besides that r'_0 is a number from conditions of the theorem, and A is a continuum from the definition of $\mathfrak{F}_{Q,A,\delta}^{A_0,R_0}(D, P_0)$. By the proving above, there is $x_0 \in \partial D$ such that $I(P_0) = x_0$. It follows that, there is $\rho_0 = \rho_0(x_0) > 0$ such that $B_\rho(P_0, \rho_0) \subset B(x_0, \tilde{\varepsilon}_0)$, $\tilde{\varepsilon}_0 = \varepsilon_0^2$. It follows from the definition of a regular domain that, $B_\rho(P_0, \rho)$ is connected for sufficiently small ρ . Thus, we may consider that $B_\rho(P_0, \rho_0)$ is connected. Let $x, y \in B_\rho(P_0, \rho_0)$ and let $f \in \mathfrak{F}_{Q,A,\delta}^{A_0,R_0}(D, P_0)$. We may consider that $|x - x_0| \geq |y - x_0|$. By the definition of r'_0 , the points x and y may be joined by the path K , which is contained in the ball $\overline{B(x_0, |x - x_0|)}$. The further course of the proof is very similar to the proof of Theorem 1.1. We may assume that, the path K is Jordan. Let also $z, w \in f(A) \subset D'_f$ and $u, v \in A$ be such that

$$\text{diam } f(A) = |z - w| = |f(u) - f(v)| \geq \delta. \quad (5.3)$$

Since A is path connected, it is possible to join the points u, v by the path K' inside A . Due to (5.3), we will to have that

$$\text{diam } f(|K'|) \geq |f(u) - f(v)| \geq \delta. \quad (5.4)$$

We may assume that the K' is also Jordan.

Note that, $f(K)$ and $f(K')$ are also Jordan ones, and they do not split the space \mathbb{R}^n (see the proof of this fact in the course of proving Theorem 1.1). Therefore, $R = R(f(|K|), f(|K'|))$ is a ring domain. Let us denote $\Gamma = \Gamma(f(|K|), f(|K'|), \mathbb{R}^n)$. Then, by Proposition 2.2

$$M(\Gamma(f(|K|), f(|K'|), \overline{\mathbb{R}^n})) \geq \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{\left(\log \frac{2\lambda_n^2}{h(f(|K|))h(f(|K'|))}\right)^{n-1}}. \quad (5.5)$$

By (5.4) and (5.5), by the definition of K ,

$$M(\Gamma(f(|K|), f(|K'|), \overline{\mathbb{R}^n})) \geq \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{\left(\log \frac{2\lambda_n^2}{\delta \cdot h(f(x), f(y))}\right)^{n-1}}. \quad (5.6)$$

Since D'_f is a *QED*-domain with a constant A_0 in (1.4), by the condition (5.6), we obtain that

$$M(\Gamma(f(|K|), f(|K'|), D'_f)) \geq \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{A_0 \left(\log \frac{2\lambda_n^2}{\delta \cdot h(f(x), f(y))}\right)^{n-1}}. \quad (5.7)$$

Observe that,

$$\Gamma(|K|, |K'|, D) > \Gamma(S(x_0, |x - x_0|), S(x_0, \varepsilon_0), D). \quad (5.8)$$

The relation (5.8) may be proved in the same way as the relation (3.7) under the proof of Theorem 1.1. In this case, by the minorizing property of the modulus (see, e.g., [Va, Theorem 6.4]), by (3.7) and (1.2), we have that

$$M(\Gamma(f(|K|), f(|K'|), D'_f)) = M(f(\Gamma(|K|, |K'|, D))) \leq$$

$$\leq M(f(\Gamma(S(x_0, |x - x_0|), S(x_0, \varepsilon_0), D))) \leq \int_A Q(x) \cdot \eta^n(|x - x_0|) dm(x), \quad (5.9)$$

where η is any nonnegative Lebesgue measurable function satisfying the relation (1.3) for $r_1 = |x - x_0|$, $r_2 = \varepsilon_0$. Put

$$\eta(t) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\left(\log \frac{\varepsilon_0}{|x-x_0|}\right)^t}, & t \in (|x - x_0|, \varepsilon_0), \\ 0, & t \notin (|x - x_0|, \varepsilon_0). \end{cases}$$

Observe that, the function η satisfies the relation (1.3) for $r_1 = |x - x_0|$, $r_2 = \varepsilon_0$. Then, by the condition (5.9) we obtain that

$$M(\Gamma(f(|K|), f(|K'|), D'_f)) \leq \frac{1}{\log^n \frac{\varepsilon_0}{|x-x_0|}} \int_{A(x_0, |x-x_0|, \varepsilon_0)} \frac{Q(x)}{|x - x_0|^n} dm(x). \quad (5.10)$$

Since $|x - x_0| < \varepsilon_0^2$, the relation (3.10) holds. Now, by (5.10) and due to Proposition 2.1, we have that

$$M(\Gamma(f(|K|), f(|K'|), D'_f)) \leq \frac{2}{\log^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon_0}{|x-x_0|}} \frac{C\Omega_n 2^n}{\log 2}. \quad (5.11)$$

Combining (5.7) and (5.11), we conclude that

$$\frac{\omega_{n-1}}{A_0 \left(\log \frac{2\lambda_n^2}{\delta \cdot h(f(x), f(y))}\right)^{n-1}} \leq \frac{2}{\log^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon_0}{|x-x_0|}} \frac{C\Omega_n 2^n}{\log 2}. \quad (5.12)$$

Next, we reason verbatim in the same way as when proving the Theorem 1.1 after the relation (3.12). As a result we come to inequality

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \frac{2(1 + R_0^2)\lambda_n^2}{\delta \varepsilon_0^{\beta_n}} |x - x_0|^{\beta_n}, \quad (5.13)$$

because $\beta_n = \left(\frac{n \log 2}{A_0 C 2^{n+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$. The relation (5.13) completes the proof. \square

Corollary 5.1. *Under conditions of Theorem 1.3, f has a continuous extension to $P_0 \in E_D$, wherein*

$$|f(x) - f(P_0)| \leq \alpha \cdot |x - x_0|^{\beta_n}, \quad (5.14)$$

where $\beta_n = \left(\frac{n \log 2}{A_0 C 2^{n+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$.

Proof. By Theorem 1.3, $I(P) = x_0$. From this it follows that, if $x \rightarrow P_0$ and $y \rightarrow P_0$, then $x \rightarrow x_0$ and $y \rightarrow x_0$, as well. If the mapping f did not have a limit as $x \rightarrow P_0$, then we may construct at least two sequences $x_m \rightarrow P_0$ and $y_m \rightarrow P_0$, $m \rightarrow \infty$, such that $|f(x_m) - f(y_m)| \geq \delta > 0$ for some positive $\delta > 0$ and all $m = 1, 2, \dots$. But this contradicts the inequality (1.14). Therefore, the limit of f as $x \rightarrow P_0$ exists. To prove (3.17) it remains to go in (1.6) to the limit as $y \rightarrow P_0$. \square

6 Proof of Theorem 1.4

Due to the fact that the proof of this theorem is very similar to all the previous ones, we will limit ourselves only to the scheme of the proof. The fact that, for each $P \in E_D$ there exists $y_0 \in \partial D$ such that $I(P) = \{y_0\}$, may be established in the same way as under proofs of Theorem 1.3. Let us to establish the relation (1.16). Let $\{x_0\} = I(P_0)$ and let

$$\varepsilon_0 = \min\{\varepsilon'_0(x_0), r'_0, \text{dist}(x_0, A), 1\},$$

where $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ is a number from Proposition 2.1 with $\varphi \equiv 1$, besides that, r'_0 is a number from conditions of the theorem, and A is a continuum from the definition of $\mathfrak{F}_{Q,A,\delta}^{A_0,R_0}(D, P_0)$. It follows that, there is $\rho_0 = \rho_0(x_0) > 0$ such that $B_\rho(P_0, \rho_0) \subset B(x_0, \tilde{\varepsilon}_0)$, $\tilde{\varepsilon}_0 = \varepsilon_0^2$. It follows from the definition of a regular domain that, $B_\rho(P_0, \rho)$ is connected for sufficiently small ρ . Let $x, y \in B_\rho(P_0, \rho_0)$ and let $f \in \mathfrak{R}_{Q,\delta}^{A_0,R_0}(D, P_0)$. We may consider that $|x - x_0| \geq |y - x_0|$. By the definition of r'_0 , the points x and y may be joined by the path K , which is contained in the ball $\overline{B(x_0, |x - x_0|)}$.

Let $K_f \subset D'_f$ be a path connected continuum such that $\text{diam}(K_f) \geq \delta$ and such that $h(f^{-1}(K_f), \partial D) \geq \delta > 0$ (such a continuum exists by the definition of the class $\mathfrak{R}_{Q,\delta}^{A_0,R_0}(D, P_0)$). Let also $z, w \in K_f \subset D'_f$ be such that

$$\text{diam } K_f = |z - w| \geq \delta. \quad (6.1)$$

Since K_f is path connected, we may join the points z, w by a Jordan path K' inside K_f . Due to (6.1), we obtain that

$$\text{diam } f(|K'|) \geq |f(u) - f(v)| \geq \delta.$$

Next, we reason similarly to the proof of Theorem 1.4 after the relation (4.3). We obtain that

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \frac{2(1 + R_0^2)\lambda_n^2}{\delta\varepsilon_0^{\beta_n}} |x - x_0|^{\beta_n}, \quad (6.2)$$

because $\beta_n = \left(\frac{n \log 2}{A_0 C 2^{n+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$. The relation (6.2) contradicts completes the proof. \square

Corollary 6.1. *Under conditions of Theorem 1.4, the mapping f has a continuous extension to $P_0 \in E_D$, wherein*

$$|f(x) - f(P_0)| \leq \alpha \cdot |x - x_0|^{\beta_n}, \quad (6.3)$$

where $\beta_n = \left(\frac{n \log 2}{A_0 C 2^{n+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$.

Proof is completely similar to proof of Corollary 5.1. \square

References

- [AMN] *Arsenović M., Manojlović V., Näkki R.* Boundary modulus of continuity and quasiconformal mappings // *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* – 2012. – **37**, no. 1. – P. 107–118.
- [AM] *Arsenović M., Mateljević M.* On the Hölder continuity of ring Q -homeomorphisms // *Georgian Math. J.* – 2022. – V. **29**, no. 6. – P. 805–811.
- [HW] *Hurewicz W. and Wallman H.* *Dimension Theory.* – Princeton: Princeton Univ. Press, 1948.
- [ISS] *Ilkevych N.S., Sevost'yanov E.A., Skvortsov S.A.* On the global behavior of inverse mappings in terms of prime ends // *Annales Fennici Mathematici.* – 2021. – V. **46**. – P. 371–388.
- [Keld] *Keldysh L.V.* Topological imbeddings in Euclidean space // *Trudy MIAN SSSR.* – 1966. – V. **81**. – P. 3–184 (in Russian); English transl. in *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* – 1966. – V. **81**. – P. 1–203.
- [KR] *Kovtonyuk D.A., Ryazanov V.I.* On the theory of prime ends for space mappings // *Ukrainian Math. J.* – 2015. – **67**, no. 4. – P. 528–541.
- [Ku] *Kuratowski K.* *Topology*, v. 2. – New York–London: Academic Press, 1968.
- [MN] *Martio O., Näkki R.* Boundary Hölder continuity and quasiconformal mappings // *J. London Math. Soc.* (2). – 1991. – **44**. – P. 339–350.
- [MRV₁] *Martio O., Rickman S., Väisälä J.* Distortion and singularities of quasiregular mappings // *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A1.* – 1970. – **465**. – P. 1–13.
- [MRV₂] *Martio O., Rickman S. and Väisälä J.* Topological and metric properties of quasiregular mappings // *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A1.* – 1971. – V. **488**. – P. 1–31.
- [MRSY] *Martio O., Ryazanov V., Srebro U. and Yakubov E.* *Moduli in Modern Mapping Theory.* – New York: Springer Science + Business Media, LLC, 2009.
- [MSS] *Mateljević M., Salimov R., Sevost'yanov E.* Hölder and Lipschitz Continuity in Orlicz-Sobolev Classes, Distortion and Harmonic Mappings // *Filomat.* – 2022. – V. **36**, no. 16. – P. 5359–5390.
- [Na₁] *Näkki R.* Continuous boundary extension of quasiconformal mappings // *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I. Math.* – 1972. – **511**. – P. 1–10.
- [Na₂] *Näkki R.* Prime ends and quasiconformal mappings // *J. Anal. Math.* – 1979. – V. **35**. – P. 13–40.

- [NP₁] *Näkki R., Palka B.* Lipschitz conditions and quasiconformal mappings // Indiana Univ. Math. J. – 1982. – **31**, no. 3. – P. 377–401.
- [NP₂] *Näkki R., Palka B.* Asymptotic values and Hölder continuity of quasiconformal mappings // J. Analyse Math. – 1987. – **48**. – P. 167–178.
- [RSS] *Ryazanov V.I., Salimov R.R. and Sevost'yanov E.A.* On the Hölder property of mappings in domains and on boundaries // J. Math. Sci. – 2020. – V. **246**, no. 1. – P. 60–74.
- [Va] *Väisälä J.* Lectures on n -Dimensional Quasiconformal Mappings. – Lecture Notes in Math. 229, Berlin etc.: Springer–Verlag, 1971.
- [Vu] *Vuorinen M.* Exceptional sets and boundary behavior of quasiregular mappings in n -space // Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A 1. Math. Dissertationes. – 1976. – **11**. – P. 1–44.

Evgeny Sevost'yanov

1. Zhytomyr Ivan Franko State University,
40 Bol'shaya Berdichevskaya Str., 10 008 Zhytomyr, UKRAINE
2. Institute of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics
of NAS of Ukraine,
1 Dobrovol'skogo Str., 84 100 Slavyansk, UKRAINE
esevostyanov2009@gmail.com