

Convergence in distribution of the Bernstein-Durrmeyer kernel and pointwise convergence of a generalised operator for functions of bounded variation

Mohammed Taariq Mowzer^a

^a*Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics, University of Cape Town*

Abstract

We study the convergence of Bernstein type operators leading to two results. The first: The kernel K_n of the Bernstein-Durrmeyer operator at each point $x \in (0, 1)$ — that is $K_n(x, t)dt$ — once standardised converges to the normal distribution. The second result computes the pointwise limit of a generalised Bernstein-Durrmeyer operator applied to — possibly discontinuous — functions f of bounded variation.

Keywords: Positive linear operators, Bernstein type operators, Beta distribution

1. Introduction

Definition 1.1. *The Bernstein-Durrmeyer operator M_n applied to an integrable function f on $[0, 1]$ is given by*

$$M_n(f)(x) = \int_0^1 f(t)K_n(x, t) dt, \quad x \in [0, 1],$$

where K_n is a Bernstein-Durrmeyer kernel given by

$$K_n(x, t) = (n+1) \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k}(x)p_{n,k}(t), \quad x, t \in [0, 1].$$

The $p_{n,k}$ are the so called Bernstein polynomials defined as

$$p_{n,k}(t) = \binom{n}{k} t^k (1-t)^{n-k}.$$

Bernstein operators $B_n(f)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k}(x)f(k/n)$ were introduced by S.Bernstein [4] to give a constructive proof of the Weierstrass approximation theorem. The Bernstein-Durrmeyer operator above was constructed by Durrmeyer [7] and independently by Lupaş [10].

In his original proof, Bernstein used the binomial distribution and the weak law of large numbers to constructively prove the Weierstrass approximation theorem.

In this paper, we use the fact that the binomial distribution converges to the normal distribution as the number of trials increases to show that the kernel of the Bernstein-Durrmeyer operator tends to the normal distribution. To be precise:

Theorem 1.2. *For $x \in (0, 1)$ fixed*

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}K_n\left(x, \frac{t}{\sqrt{n}} + x\right) dt \text{ converges in distribution to } N(0, 2x(1-x)) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Equivalently, if X_n is a random variable with distribution $K_n(x, t)dt$ then

$$\sqrt{n}(X_n - x) \text{ converges in distribution to } N(0, 2x(1-x)) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

The Bernstein-Durrmeyer operator can be generalised to arbitrary measure. Here, we limit ourselves to arbitrary weights.

Definition 1.3. *The Bernstein-Durrmeyer operator with non-negative weight w is given by*

$$M_{n,w}(f)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k}(x) \frac{\int_0^1 f(t) p_{n,k}(t) w(t) dt}{\int_0^1 p_{n,k}(t) w(t) dt}, \quad (1)$$

where w is chosen so that the terms are well defined, $x \in [0, 1]$, and f a function on $[0, 1]$ integrable with respect to $w(t)dt$.

In the particular case when w is a Jacobi weight $w(t) = t^\alpha(1-t)^\beta$ for $\alpha, \beta > -1$, the operator $M_{n,w}$ is well known. For example, Berens and Xu (e.g. [3]) investigated the Bernstein-Durrmeyer operator with Jacobi weights, and Ditzian (e.g. [6]) studied the multidimensional Bernstein-Durrmeyer operator with Jacobi weights. The case of arbitrary measure — replace $w(t)dt$ with $d\rho(t)$ in (1) — and its multivariate analogue was, to our knowledge, for the first time studied by Berdysheva and Jetter [2]. Berysheva [1] showed that the Bernstein-Durrmeyer operator with arbitrary measure when applied to f at x converges to $f(x)$ if f is essentially bounded, continuous at x and the support of ρ contains x .

Herzog and Hill proved in [9] that for f defined on $\mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]$, f bounded and having left and right limits $f(x-)$ and $f(x+)$, for the classical Bernstein operator

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_n(f)(x) = \frac{1}{2}f(x-) + \frac{1}{2}f(x+).$$

Guo [8] showed when f is of bounded variation that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_n(f)(x) = \frac{1}{2}f(x-) + \frac{1}{2}f(x+) \quad (2)$$

holds for the Bernstein-Durrmeyer operator and gave an estimate of the rate of convergence.

In this paper we find the limit of the Bernstein-Durrmeyer operator with arbitrary weights when applied to a function f of bounded variation. To be precise, we show:

Theorem 1.4. *Let w be a non-negative function of bounded variation on $[0, 1]$. Let $x \in (0, 1)$ be fixed. Assume that either the left limit $w(x-)$ or the right limit $w(x+)$ at x is non-zero. For any function f of bounded variation on $[0, 1]$,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_{n,w}(f)(x) = (1 - \nu)f(x-) + \nu f(x+), \quad (3)$$

where

$$\nu = \int_0^1 \frac{w(x+)(1-u)}{w(x-)u + w(x+)(1-u)} du.$$

When $w(x-) = w(x+)$, ν evaluates to $\frac{1}{2}$. Otherwise,

$$\nu = \frac{r^2 - r[1 + \ln r]}{(r-1)^2}, \quad \text{for } r = \frac{w(x+)}{w(x-)} \text{ when } r \in (0, \infty) \text{ and } r \neq 1.$$

Theorem 1.4 is an extension of (2) — consider $w = 1$. In fact (2) holds even if only $w(x+) = w(x-)$, that is, w continuous at x .

2. Prerequisites and useful results

Henceforth, we denote the binomial distribution with n trials and probability p of success by $Bin(n, p)$, the normal distribution with mean μ and variance σ^2 by $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, and the beta distribution with α and β parameters by $Beta(\alpha, \beta)$. The symbol \xrightarrow{D} denotes convergence in distribution and \sim denotes having the same distribution.

The function $p_{n,k}$ is extended onto \mathbb{R} , and k is allowed on $[0, n]$ so that

$$p_{n,k}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(n-k+1)} t^k (1-t)^{n-k} & \text{if } t \in [0, 1], \\ 0 & \text{if } t \notin [0, 1]. \end{cases}$$

For a function f , $f(t-)$ and $f(t+)$ denote the left and right limit of f at t , and $\mathcal{D}(f)$ is the set of discontinuities of f . Usually x will be fixed in $(0, 1)$. It is safe to define Ψ as the cumulative distribution function (cdf) of $N(0, x(1-x))$.

Theorem 2.1. Let C_n have a $\text{Bin}(n, p)$ distribution for fixed $p \in (0, 1)$. Then

$$\sqrt{n}(C_n/n - p) \xrightarrow{D} N(0, p(1-p)), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Theorem 2.2. Let B_{r_1, r_2} have distribution $\text{Beta}(r_1, r_2)$. Then

$$\sqrt{r_1 + r_2} \left(B_{r_1, r_2} - \frac{r_1}{r_1 + r_2} \right) \xrightarrow{D} N(0, \gamma(1-\gamma)), \quad (4)$$

as $r_1, r_2 \rightarrow \infty$ and $\frac{r_1}{r_1+r_2} \rightarrow \gamma$ for $\gamma \in (0, 1)$.

Theorem 2.1 is a direct consequence of the central limit theorem and is well known. Theorem 2.2 is a generalisation of the well known approximation that the standardised $\text{Beta}(an, bn)$ distribution tends to the normal distribution as $n \rightarrow \infty$. As far as we know, Theorem 2.2 is not well known. We supply a proof in the appendix.

The Corollary below will be the most useful form of Theorem 2.2.

Corollary 2.3. Suppose $B_{n,k}$ has a $\text{Beta}(k+1, n-k+1)$ distribution, and let $x \in (0, 1)$ be fixed. Then

$$\sqrt{n}(B_{n,k} - x) \xrightarrow{D} N(\alpha, x(1-x)) \quad (5)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\sqrt{n}\left(\frac{k}{n} - x\right) \rightarrow \alpha$.

Proof. Notice $\sqrt{n}\left(\frac{k}{n} - x\right) \rightarrow \alpha$ implies $\frac{k}{n} \rightarrow x$. By Theorem 2.2,

$$\overbrace{\frac{\sqrt{n+2}}{\sqrt{n}} \left[\sqrt{n} \left(B_{n,k} - \frac{k}{n} \right) \right]}^M + \overbrace{\sqrt{n+2} \left(\frac{k}{n} - \frac{k+1}{n+2} \right)}^C = \sqrt{n+2} \left(B_{n,k} - \frac{k+1}{n+2} \right) \xrightarrow{D} N(0, x(1-x))$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\frac{k}{n} \rightarrow x$. Notice $M \rightarrow 1$ and $C \rightarrow 0$ in the limit. Therefore, by Slutsky's Theorem, $\sqrt{n}(B_{n,k} - \frac{k}{n}) \xrightarrow{D} N(0, x(1-x))$. Another application of Slutsky's Theorem gives

$$\sqrt{n}(B_{n,k} - x) = \sqrt{n} \left(B_{n,k} - \frac{k}{n} \right) + \sqrt{n} \left(\frac{k}{n} - x \right) \xrightarrow{D} N(\alpha, x(1-x))$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\sqrt{n}\left(\frac{k}{n} - x\right) \rightarrow \alpha$. □

The following gives a straightforward way to show uniform convergence on compact intervals using sequences.

Lemma 2.4. [11, Chapter 3]. Let f and (f_n) be functions defined on a compact subset K of \mathbb{R} . The following are equivalent

- i) f_n converges uniformly to f , and f is continuous on K .
- ii) For any sequence $(\alpha_n) \subset K$, if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = a$ then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(\alpha_n) = f(a)$.

Proof. See for example [11, Chapter 3] section on continuous convergence. □

The next Theorem allows us to use convergence in distribution to show convergence in expectation.

Theorem 2.5. Let (X_n) be a sequence of real-valued random variables which converge to the random variable X in distribution. Let (h_n) be a sequence of uniformly bounded measurable functions from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{R} which converge uniformly on compact intervals to a measurable function h whose set of discontinuities $\mathcal{D}(h)$ is closed and satisfies $\mathbb{P}(X \notin \mathcal{D}(h)) = 1$. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}(h_n(X_n)) = \mathbb{E}(h(X)).$$

Proof. By Skorokhod's representation theorem, see for example [5, Chapter 3.5], there exists a sequence of random variables (Y_n) such that each Y_n has the same distribution as X_n , and the Y_n converges almost surely to a random variable Y having the same distribution as X . Let Ω_0 be the almost sure set where (Y_n) converges pointwise to Y and let Ω_1 be the almost sure set $\{Y \notin \mathcal{D}(h)\}$. For ω in the almost sure set $\Omega_0 \cap \Omega_1$, $Y(\omega)$ is in the open set $\mathcal{D}(h)^c$. Hence, h is continuous in a compact neighbourhood of $Y(\omega)$. By Lemma 2.4, uniform convergence of h_n to h on the compact neighbourhood yields $h_n(Y_n(\omega)) \rightarrow h(Y(\omega))$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, $h_n(Y_n) \rightarrow h(Y)$ almost surely. Since (h_n) is uniformly bounded, the sequence $(h_n(Y_n))$ is dominated by some constant. By Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}(h_n(X_n)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}(h_n(Y_n)) = \mathbb{E}(h(Y)) = \mathbb{E}(h(X)).$$

□

3. Normality of Bernstein-Durrmeyer kernel

For the rest of this section $x \in (0, 1)$ is fixed. We construct a probabilistic representation of the Bernstein-Durrmeyer kernel $K_n(x, \cdot)$. Consider the distribution generated from the samples of the following process.

Step 1. Obtain a coin with probability x of obtaining heads.

Step 2. Flip the coin n times recording the number of heads.

Step 3. If k heads were recorded, the sample is randomly drawn from a $Beta(k + 1, n - k + 1)$ distribution.

Suppose $C_n \sim Bin(n, x)$ and $B_{n,k} \sim Beta(k + 1, n - k + 1)$ form an independency of random variables. The random variable

$$X_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \chi_{\{C_n=k\}} B_{n,k}$$

describes samples from the above process. Here, χ_F is the indicator function on a set F that is

$$\chi_F(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in F, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin F. \end{cases}$$

We show X_n has distribution $K_n(x, \cdot)dt$. First, we note the probability density function (pdf) of $B_{n,k}$ is

$$f_{B_{n,k}}(t) = \frac{\Gamma(n+2)}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(n-k+1)} t^k (1-t)^{n-k} = (n+1)p_{n,k}(t) \quad \text{for } t \in [0, 1]$$

and the probability mass function (pmf) of C_n is

$$p_{C_n}(k) = \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} = p_{n,k}(x) \quad \text{for } k \in \{0, \dots, n\}.$$

Now, for all $z \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(X_n \leq z) &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{P}(X_n \leq z \mid C_n = k)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{P}(B_{n,k} \leq z \mid C_n = k)] \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k}(x) \mathbb{P}(B_{n,k} \leq z) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k}(x) \int_0^z (n+1)p_{n,k}(t) dt \\ &= \int_0^z K_n(x, t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Independence of C_n and $B_{n,k}$ is used in the third equality.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $x \in (0, 1)$ be fixed. Suppose X_n is defined as above. Then*

$$\sqrt{n}(X_n - x) \xrightarrow{D} N(0, 2x(1-x)).$$

Proof. Let $z \in \mathbb{R}$ be fixed. Let $C_n^* = \sqrt{n}(C_n/n - x)$ and

$$h_n(\alpha) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{P}(\sqrt{n}(B_{n, xn+\alpha\sqrt{n}} - x) \leq z) & \text{if } xn + \alpha\sqrt{n} \in [0, n], \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\sqrt{n}(X_n - x) \leq z) &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{P}(\sqrt{n}(B_{n,k} - x) \leq z \mid C_n = k)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{P}(\sqrt{n}(B_{n, xn+\alpha\sqrt{n}} - x) \leq z \mid \sqrt{n}(C_n/n - x) = \alpha)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{P}(\sqrt{n}(B_{n, xn+\alpha\sqrt{n}} - x) \leq z \mid C_n^* = \alpha)] = \mathbb{E}(h_n(C_n^*)), \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where the last equality follows from the independence of C_n and $B_{n,k}$.

Let K be any non-empty compact subset of \mathbb{R} . Let $(\alpha_n) \subset K$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = \alpha$. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{n} \left(\frac{xn + \alpha_n \sqrt{n}}{n} - x \right) = \alpha$. Corollary 2.3 then gives $\sqrt{n}(B_{n, xn+\alpha_n\sqrt{n}} - x) \xrightarrow{D} N(\alpha, x(1-x))$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let A be a random variable with distribution $N(\alpha, x(1-x))$ and recall Ψ is the cdf of $N(0, x(1-x))$. Convergence in distribution yields,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n(\alpha_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(\sqrt{n}(B_{n, xn+\alpha_n\sqrt{n}} - x) \leq z) = \mathbb{P}(A \leq z) = \Psi(z - \alpha). \quad (7)$$

Lemma 2.4 gives that h_n converges uniformly to $\Psi(\cdot - \alpha)$ on K . Since K was arbitrary, uniform convergence holds on all compact intervals.

Let U and V be independent random variables with distribution $N(0, x(1-x))$. By Theorem 2.1, $C_n^* \xrightarrow{D} V$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Equations (6), (7) and Theorem 2.5 give

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(\sqrt{n}(X_n - x) \leq z) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}(h_n(C_n^*)) = \mathbb{E}(\Psi(z - V)) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{P}(U \leq z - V \mid V)) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(U \leq z - V) = \mathbb{P}(U + V \leq z). \end{aligned}$$

Since U and V are independent, the sum $U + V$ has distribution $N(0, 2x(1-x))$. Hence, the cdf of $\sqrt{n}(X_n - x)$ converges pointwise to the cdf of $N(0, 2x(1-x))$. \square

4. Limit of the generalised Bernstein-Durrmeyer operator

For the rest of this section $x \in (0, 1)$ is fixed. Recall,

$$M_{n,w}(f)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k}(x) \frac{\int_0^1 f(t) p_{n,k}(t) w(t) dt}{\int_0^1 p_{n,k}(t) w(t) dt}.$$

The following lemma evaluates the limit of the integral appearing in the equation above.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be of bounded variation. Let $k_n(\alpha) = xn + \alpha\sqrt{n}$. The limit*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n+1) \int_0^1 f(t) p_{n, k_n(\alpha)}(t) dt = \Psi(-\alpha) f(x-) + [1 - \Psi(-\alpha)] f(x+), \quad (8)$$

holds uniformly in α on all compact subsets of \mathbb{R} .

Proof. We look to apply Lemma 2.4. Let K be a non-empty compact subset of \mathbb{R} . Let $(\alpha_n) \subset K$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = \alpha$. Clearly, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{n} \left(\frac{k_n(\alpha_n)}{n} - x \right) = \alpha$. Hence, Corollary 2.3 yields

$$B_{n, k_n(\alpha_n)}^* := \sqrt{n}(B_{n, k_n(\alpha_n)} - x) \xrightarrow{D} N(\alpha, x(1-x)) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (9)$$

Notice

$$(n+1) \int_0^1 f(t) p_{n,k_n(\alpha_n)}(t) dt = \mathbb{E}(f(B_{n,k_n(\alpha_n)})) = \mathbb{E}(h_n(B_{n,k_n(\alpha_n)}^*)), \quad (10)$$

where

$$h_n(t) = f\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}} + x\right) \chi_{[0,1]}\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}} + x\right) = f\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}} + x\right) \chi_{[-x\sqrt{n},(1-x)\sqrt{n}]}(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

From the decomposition

$$\chi_{[-x\sqrt{n},(1-x)\sqrt{n}]} = \chi_{[-x\sqrt{n},0]} + \chi_{\{0\}} + \chi_{(0,(1-x)\sqrt{n}]},$$

we notice h_n limits uniformly to $h = f(x-)\chi_{(-\infty,0)} + f(x)\chi_{\{0\}} + f(x+)\chi_{(0,\infty)}$ on K . Since f is of bounded variation, (h_n) is uniformly bounded. Let A be a random variable with distribution $N(\alpha, x(1-x))$. The set of discontinuities $\mathcal{D}(h) \subset \{0\}$ is closed, and is a null set of the distribution of A . Hence, equations (10), (9) and Theorem 2.5 give

$$(n+1) \int_0^1 f(t) p_{n,k_n(\alpha_n)}(t) dt = \mathbb{E}(h_n(B_{n,k_n(\alpha_n)}^*)) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}(h(A)) = \Psi(-\alpha)f(x-) + [1 - \Psi(-\alpha)]f(x+). \quad (11)$$

Lemma 2.4 applied to (11) says that convergence of (8) is uniform in α on K . Since K was arbitrary (8) holds uniformly in α on all compact subsets of \mathbb{R} . \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Note,

$$M_{n,w}(f)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k}(x) c_n(k) = \mathbb{E}(c_n(C_n)), \quad (12)$$

where $C_n \sim \text{Bin}(n, x)$ and

$$c_n(k) = \frac{\int_0^1 f(t) p_{n,k}(t) w(t) dt}{\int_0^1 p_{n,k}(t) w(t) dt} \text{ if } k \in [0, n], \text{ otherwise } c_n(k) = 0.$$

We transform C_n and c_n as follows. Let $C_n^* = \sqrt{n} \left(\frac{C_n}{n} - x\right)$ and define c_n^* so that $c_n(C_n) = c_n^*(C_n^*)$, that is $c_n^*(\alpha) = c_n(xn + \alpha\sqrt{n})$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$M_{n,w}(f)(x) = \mathbb{E}(c_n(C_n)) = \mathbb{E}(c_n^*(C_n^*)). \quad (13)$$

We define functions t_n, b_n as follows

$$t_n(\alpha) = (n+1) \int_0^1 f(t) p_{n, xn + \alpha\sqrt{n}}(t) w(t) dt \quad \text{and} \quad b_n(\alpha) = (n+1) \int_0^1 p_{n, xn + \alpha\sqrt{n}}(t) w(t) dt$$

for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

By Lemma 4.1,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n(\alpha) = f(x-)w(x-)\Psi(-\alpha) + f(x+)w(x+)(1 - \Psi(-\alpha)) \quad (14)$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n(\alpha) = w(x-)\Psi(-\alpha) + w(x+)(1 - \Psi(-\alpha)) \quad (15)$$

uniformly in α on compact subsets of \mathbb{R} . By (14) and (15)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n^*(\alpha) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t_n(\alpha)}{b_n(\alpha)} = \frac{f(x-)w(x-)\Psi(-\alpha) + f(x+)w(x+)(1 - \Psi(-\alpha))}{w(x-)\Psi(-\alpha) + w(x+)(1 - \Psi(-\alpha))} \quad (16)$$

uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{R} . Notice $w(x-) \neq 0$ or $w(x+) \neq 0$ is used here to guarantee that for every compact subset of \mathbb{R} there exists some $m > 0$ which is a lower bound of the denominator.

Since f is of bounded variation it is bounded, so (c_n) and (c_n^*) are uniformly bounded. Let V have a $N(0, x(1-x))$ distribution. By Theorem 2.1, (C_n^*) converges in distribution to V . Equation (16) says that (c_n^*) converges uniformly on compact intervals to the right hand side of (16) which we shall call c^* . Recalling (13), an application of Theorem 2.5 gives

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_{n,w}(f)(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}(c_n^*(C_n^*)) = \mathbb{E}(c^*(V)). \quad (17)$$

Expanding out the right hand side gives

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_{n,w}(f)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f(x-)\Psi(-\alpha) + f(x+)\Psi(-\alpha)}{w(x-)\Psi(-\alpha) + w(x+)\Psi(-\alpha)} d\Psi(\alpha), \quad (18)$$

The substitution $u = \Psi(-\alpha)$ in (18) gives (3). □

5. Acknowledgements

Much thanks to Professor E.E. Berdysheva for suggesting this problem, for greatly helping in the preparation of the paper, and for providing reading materials on the history of the Bernstein-Durrmeyer operators. The author also thanks F.C. Rath for bringing to attention the work of Professor R.J. Ryder [12] who the author thanks for providing further references on the normal approximation of the beta distribution.

References

- [1] BERDYSHEVA, E. E. Bernstein–Durrmeyer operators with respect to arbitrary measure, II: Pointwise convergence. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 418, 2 (2014), 734–752.
- [2] BERDYSHEVA, E. E., AND JETTER, K. Multivariate Bernstein–Durrmeyer operators with arbitrary weight functions. *Journal of Approximation Theory* 162, 3 (2010), 576–598. Special Issue: Bommerholz Proceedings.
- [3] BERENS, H., AND XU, Y. On Bernstein–Durrmeyer polynomials with Jacobi weights. C. K. Chui, Ed., Academic Press Boston, pp. 25–46.
- [4] BERNSTEIN, S. Démonstration du théorème de weierstrass fondée sur le calcul des probabilités. *Communications de la Société mathématique de Kharkow. 2-ée série* 13 (1912), 1–2.
- [5] ÇINLAR, E. *Probability and Stochastics*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer New York, 2011.
- [6] DITZIAN, Z. Multidimensional Jacobi-type Bernstein–Durrmeyer operators. *Acta Scientiarum Mathematicarum* 60, 1 (1995), 225–244.
- [7] DURRMEYER, J. L. *Une formule d’inversion de la transformée de Laplace: Applications à la théorie des moments*. PhD thesis, Faculté des Sciences de l’Université de Paris, 1967.
- [8] GUO, S. On the rate of convergence of the Durrmeyer operator for functions of bounded variation. *Journal of Approximation Theory* 51, 2 (1987), 183–192.
- [9] HERZOG, F., AND HILL, J. D. The Bernstein polynomials for discontinuous functions. *American Journal of Mathematics* 68, 1 (1946), 109–124.
- [10] LUPAŞ, A. *Die Folge der Betaoperatoren*. PhD thesis, Universität Stuttgart, 1972.
- [11] REMMERT, R., AND BURCKEL, R. *Theory of Complex Functions*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer New York, 1991.
- [12] RYDER, R. J. The Beta(b, b) distribution converges to the normal distribution when $b \rightarrow \infty$. *Univariate Distribution Relationships*, 2013.
- [13] SCHEFFE, H. A useful convergence theorem for probability distributions. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics* 18, 3 (1947), 434–438.

Appendix A. Proof of Theorem 2.2

Proof. We follow the proof method used in [12] which showed that the $Beta(n, n)$ goes to the normal distribution as $n \rightarrow \infty$. That is for $B_{r_1, r_2} \sim Beta(r_1, r_2)$, we show that the pdf of $\sqrt{r_1 + r_2}(B_{r_1, r_2} - \frac{r_1}{r_1 + r_2})$ converges pointwise to the pdf of the $N(0, \gamma(1 - \gamma))$ distribution as $r_1, r_2 \rightarrow \infty$ and $\frac{r_1}{r_1 + r_2} \rightarrow \gamma$. By Scheffe's Theorem [13], pointwise convergence of the pdf implies convergence in distribution.

The beta distribution has pdf

$$f_{B_{r_1, r_2}}(x) = \frac{\Gamma(r_1 + r_2)}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} x^{r_1-1} (1-x)^{r_2-1} \quad \text{for } 0 < x < 1.$$

Using the transformation formula, the pdf of $Y_{r_1, r_2} = \sqrt{r_1 + r_2}(B_{r_1, r_2} - \frac{r_1}{r_1 + r_2})$ is

$$\begin{aligned} f_{Y_{r_1, r_2}}(y) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{r_1 + r_2}} f_{B_{r_1, r_2}}\left(\frac{r_1}{r_1 + r_2} + \frac{y}{\sqrt{r_1 + r_2}}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{r_1 + r_2}} \frac{\Gamma(r_1 + r_2)}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \left(\frac{r_1}{r_1 + r_2} + \frac{y}{\sqrt{r_1 + r_2}}\right)^{r_1-1} \left(\frac{r_2}{r_1 + r_2} - \frac{y}{\sqrt{r_1 + r_2}}\right)^{r_2-1}, \end{aligned}$$

for $-\frac{r_1}{\sqrt{r_1 + r_2}} < y < \frac{r_2}{\sqrt{r_1 + r_2}}$. We show pointwise convergence in the log of the pdf. Note

$$\begin{aligned} \ln(f_{Y_{r_1, r_2}}(y)) &= \underbrace{-\ln \sqrt{r_1 + r_2} + \ln(\Gamma(r_1 + r_2)) - \ln(\Gamma(r_1)) - \ln(\Gamma(r_2))}_A \\ &\quad + \underbrace{(r_1 - 1) \ln\left(\frac{r_1}{r_1 + r_2} + \frac{y}{\sqrt{r_1 + r_2}}\right) + (r_2 - 1) \ln\left(\frac{r_2}{r_1 + r_2} - \frac{y}{\sqrt{r_1 + r_2}}\right)}_B \end{aligned}$$

Stirling's approximation gives $\ln(\Gamma(n)) = n \ln n - n + \ln \sqrt{2\pi/n} + O(\frac{1}{n})$, $n \rightarrow \infty$. Approximating A ,

$$\begin{aligned} A &= -\ln \sqrt{r_1 + r_2} + (r_1 + r_2) \ln(r_1 + r_2) - (r_1 + r_2) + \ln \sqrt{2\pi/(r_1 + r_2)} + O\left(\frac{1}{r_1 + r_2}\right) \\ &\quad - \left[r_1 \ln r_1 - r_1 + \ln \sqrt{2\pi/r_1} + O\left(\frac{1}{r_1}\right) \right] - \left[r_2 \ln r_2 - r_2 + \ln \sqrt{2\pi/r_2} + O\left(\frac{1}{r_2}\right) \right] \\ &= -\ln \sqrt{2\pi} + r_1 \ln \frac{r_1 + r_2}{r_1} + r_2 \ln \frac{r_1 + r_2}{r_2} + \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{r_1}{r_1 + r_2} + \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{r_2}{r_1 + r_2} + O\left(\frac{1}{r_1} + \frac{1}{r_2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

The limit is pointwise; so fix y . Note $\frac{r_1}{r_1 + r_2} \rightarrow \gamma$, so $y \frac{\sqrt{r_1 + r_2}}{r_1}$ and $y \frac{\sqrt{r_1 + r_2}}{r_2}$ limit to 0. Hence, we can use the second order Taylor Series approximation $\ln(1 + x) = x - \frac{x^2}{2} + O(x^3)$ as $x \rightarrow 0$. Approximating B ,

$$\begin{aligned} B &= (r_1 - 1) \left[\ln \frac{r_1}{r_1 + r_2} + \ln\left(1 + y \frac{\sqrt{r_1 + r_2}}{r_1}\right) \right] \\ &\quad + (r_2 - 1) \left[\ln \frac{r_2}{r_1 + r_2} + \ln\left(1 - y \frac{\sqrt{r_1 + r_2}}{r_2}\right) \right] \\ &= r_1 \ln \frac{r_1}{r_1 + r_2} + y \sqrt{r_1 + r_2} - \frac{1}{2} y^2 \frac{r_1 + r_2}{r_1} - \ln \frac{r_1}{r_1 + r_2} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{r_1}}\right) \\ &\quad + r_2 \ln \frac{r_2}{r_1 + r_2} - y \sqrt{r_1 + r_2} - \frac{1}{2} y^2 \frac{r_1 + r_2}{r_2} - \ln \frac{r_2}{r_1 + r_2} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{r_2}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Combining approximations for A and B we get

$$\begin{aligned}
A + B &= \left[r_1 \ln \frac{r_1 + r_2}{r_1} + r_1 \ln \frac{r_1}{r_1 + r_2} \right] + \left[r_2 \ln \frac{r_1 + r_2}{r_2} + r_2 \ln \frac{r_2}{r_1 + r_2} \right] + [y\sqrt{r_1 + r_2} - y\sqrt{r_1 + r_2}] \\
&\quad + \left[\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{r_1}{r_1 + r_2} - \ln \frac{r_1}{r_1 + r_2} \right] + \left[\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{r_2}{r_1 + r_2} - \ln \frac{r_2}{r_1 + r_2} \right] \\
&\quad - \ln \sqrt{2\pi} - \frac{1}{2} y^2 \left(\frac{r_1 + r_2}{r_1} + \frac{r_1 + r_2}{r_2} \right) + O \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{r_1}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{r_2}} \right) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{r_1}{r_1 + r_2} - \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{r_2}{r_1 + r_2} - \ln \sqrt{2\pi} - \frac{1}{2} y^2 \left(\frac{r_1 + r_2}{r_1} + \frac{r_1 + r_2}{r_2} \right) + O \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{r_1}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{r_2}} \right) \\
&\rightarrow -\frac{1}{2} \ln \gamma - \frac{1}{2} \ln(1 - \gamma) - \ln \sqrt{2\pi} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{y^2}{\gamma(1 - \gamma)} = \ln \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\gamma(1 - \gamma)}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{y^2}{\gamma(1 - \gamma)}} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

as $r_1, r_2 \rightarrow \infty$ and $\frac{r_1}{r_1 + r_2} \rightarrow \gamma$. This is the natural log of the pdf of the $N(0, \gamma(1 - \gamma))$ distribution. \square