

SYMPLECTIC COMPLEXITY OF REDUCTIVE GROUP ACTIONS

AVRAHAM AIZENBUD AND DMITRY GOUREVITCH

ABSTRACT. Let a complex algebraic reductive group \mathbf{G} act on a complex algebraic manifold \mathbf{X} . For a \mathbf{G} -invariant subvariety Ξ of the nilpotent cone $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$ we define a notion of Ξ -symplectic complexity of \mathbf{X} . This notion generalizes the notion of complexity defined in [Vin86]. We prove several properties of this notion, and relate it to the notion of Ξ -complexity defined in [AG] motivated by its relation with representation theory.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathbf{G} be a complex algebraic reductive group and \mathbf{X} be a complex algebraic \mathbf{G} -manifold. In [Vin86], Vinberg defined a notion of complexity of \mathbf{X} that measures how far \mathbf{X} is from being spherical¹. For a \mathbf{G} -invariant subvariety Ξ of the nilpotent cone $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$, we defined in [AG] the a notion of Ξ -spherical varieties, and Ξ -complexity of \mathbf{X} , denoted $c_{\Xi}(\mathbf{X})$, that measures how far \mathbf{X} is from being Ξ -spherical.

In this paper we define a variant of this notion that we call Ξ -symplectic complexity, and denote $sc_{\Xi}(\mathbf{X})$. This notion seems to be more coarse, but better behaved, and equally good for applications in representation theory. In particular, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem A (§4). *Let $\Xi \subset \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ be a \mathbf{G} -invariant (locally closed) subvariety.*

- (i) $sc_{\Xi}(\mathbf{X}) \geq \max(c_{\Xi}(\mathbf{X}), 0)$
- (ii) *Let $\{\mathbf{Y}_i\}_{i=1}^k$ be smooth \mathbf{G} -invariant (locally closed) subvarieties of \mathbf{X} such that $\mathbf{X} = \bigcup_i \mathbf{Y}_i$, and $\{\Xi_i\}_{i=1}^l$ be smooth \mathbf{G} -invariant (locally closed) subvarieties of Ξ such that $\Xi = \bigcup_j \Xi_j$. Then $sc_{\Xi}(\mathbf{X}) = \max_{i,j} sc_{\Xi_j}(\mathbf{Y}_i)$.*
- (iii) *For any parabolic subgroup $\mathbf{P} \subset \mathbf{G}$, $sc_{\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{P}}}(\mathbf{X})$ equals the \mathbf{P} -modality² of \mathbf{X} , where $\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{P}}$ denotes the Richardson orbit defined by \mathbf{P} .*
- (iv) $sc_{\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g}^*)}(\mathbf{X}) = c_{\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g}^*)}(\mathbf{X}) = c(\mathbf{X})$.

We are mainly interested in the case when \mathbf{G} has finitely many orbits on \mathbf{X} . By Theorem A(ii) the computation of $sc_{\Xi}(\mathbf{X})$ for such \mathbf{X} reduces to the case when both \mathbf{X} and Ξ are \mathbf{G} -transitive. In this case we give a simpler description for $sc_{\Xi}(\mathbf{X})$ in Proposition 4.0.9 below.

The equality $c_{\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g}^*)}(\mathbf{X}) = c(\mathbf{X})$ was proven in [AG]. For this reason, the varieties with $c_{\Xi}(\mathbf{X}) \leq 0$ are called Ξ -spherical. The study of this notion is motivated by [AG, Theorem D], that implies that for closed Ξ and Ξ -spherical \mathbf{X} , the Casselman-Wallach representations of

Date: March 21, 2023.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 20G07, 14L30, 53D20, 53D12, 43A85 20G05, 14L24.

Key words and phrases. Algebraic group, nilpotent orbit, homogeneous space, spherical space, spherical space, non-commutative harmonic analysis, isotropic subvariety.

¹In fact, [Vin86] does not assume that \mathbf{X} is smooth.

²See §3.1 below.

$\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{C})$ from a certain category defined by Ξ appear in the space of Schwartz functions on $\mathbf{X}(\mathbb{C})$ with finite multiplicities³.

Theorem A, together with [AG, Theorem B], implies the following corollary.

Corollary B (§4). *For any parabolic subgroup $\mathbf{P} \subset \mathbf{G}$, the following are equivalent.*

- (a) $sc_{\overline{\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{P}}}}(\mathbf{X}) = 0$.
- (b) \mathbf{X} is $\overline{\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{P}}}$ -spherical.
- (c) \mathbf{P} has finitely many orbits on \mathbf{X} .

If $0 \notin \Xi$ then $c_{\Xi}(\mathbf{X})$ may be negative. However, we do not have an example in which $c_{\Xi}(\mathbf{X})$ is non-negative but different from $sc(\mathbf{X})$. This leads to the following question.

Question C.

- (i) Do we have $sc_{\Xi}(X) = \max(c_{\Xi}(\mathbf{X}), 0)$? In particular, does Ξ -spherical imply $sc_{\Xi}(\mathbf{X}) = 0$?
- (ii) For a parabolic subgroup $\mathbf{P} \subset \mathbf{G}$, does $c_{\overline{\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{P}}}}(\mathbf{X})$ equal $sc_{\overline{\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{P}}}}(\mathbf{X})$?
- (iii) Let $\mathbf{H} \subset \mathbf{G}$ be an algebraic subgroup, let \mathfrak{h} denote the Lie algebra of \mathbf{H} and $\mathfrak{h}^{\perp} \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$ denote its annihilator. Let $\mathbf{O} \subset \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ be a nilpotent orbit that intersects \mathfrak{h}^{\perp} . Do we have $sc_{\mathbf{O}}(\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H}) = sc_{\overline{\mathbf{O}}}(\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H})$?

1.1. Motivation. While the notion of Ξ -complexity $c_{\Xi}(\mathbf{X})$ is useful in representation theory, it seems not to be robust enough. For example, even its definition in the case when Ξ is not a single orbit is indirect and has to take maximum over all orbits in Ξ . In addition, we do not know whether the analogs of Theorem A(ii),(iii) hold for it. The notion of symplectic complexity solves these issues, keeping the relation with representation theory.

1.2. Main idea. Our notion of symplectic complexity is based a notion of symplectic dimension that we define in §2. The symplectic dimension can be used in order to measure how far a subvariety of a symplectic variety is from being isotropic. We define the Ξ -symplectic complexity of \mathbf{X} to be the symplectic dimension of a certain subvariety of $T^*(\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{B})$ attached to Ξ , where \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{B} denotes the flag variety of \mathbf{G} .

1.3. Conventions. All the algebraic varieties and groups that we consider are defined over \mathbb{C} . We will identify them with their complex points. By a subvariety of an algebraic variety we will always mean a locally closed subvariety.

1.4. Acknowledgements. We thank Edward Bierstone, Dmitry Kerner, and Michael Temkin for a fruitful e-mail correspondence.

Both authors were partially supported by ISF grant 249/17. A.A. was also supported by a Minerva Foundation grant.

2. SYMPLECTIC DIMENSION

Definition 2.0.1. *For a complex vector space W and an anti-symmetric bilinear form ω on W , we define the symplectic dimension of W by $sdim_{\omega}(W) := (\dim W - \text{Ker } \omega)/2$. We will drop the subindex ω if the form is understood.*

³If \mathbf{G} and \mathbf{X} are defined over \mathbb{R} then the same holds for their real points.

Definition 2.0.2. For an algebraic variety \mathbf{Y} and a 2-form ω on \mathbf{Y} , we define the symplectic dimension $\text{sdim}_\omega \mathbf{Y}$ of \mathbf{Y} as the maximum of the symplectic dimension of the tangent spaces to irreducible components at their generic points. By convention, we define the symplectic dimension of an empty variety to be zero.

Proposition 2.0.3 (Appendix A). For any algebraic variety \mathbf{Z} , a 2-form ω on \mathbf{Z} , and a (locally closed) subvariety $\mathbf{Y} \subset \mathbf{Z}$, we have $\text{sdim } \mathbf{Y} \leq \text{sdim } \mathbf{Z}$.

Corollary 2.0.4. Let \mathbf{Z} be an algebraic variety and ω be a 2-form on \mathbf{Z} . Let $\mathbf{Z}_1, \mathbf{Z}_2$ be (locally closed) subvarieties of \mathbf{Z} such that $\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{Z}_1 \cup \mathbf{Z}_2$. Then $\text{sdim } \mathbf{Z} = \max(\text{sdim } \mathbf{Z}_1, \text{sdim } \mathbf{Z}_2)$.

Lemma 2.0.5. For a smooth symplectic algebraic variety \mathbf{M} , and a (locally closed) subvariety $\mathbf{Y} \subset \mathbf{M}$, we have $\text{sdim } \mathbf{Y} \geq \dim \mathbf{Y} - \dim \mathbf{M}/2$. Furthermore, equality holds if and only if \mathbf{Y} is coisotropic.

Proof. It is enough to prove the corresponding statements for linear spaces $V \subset W$, and a non-degenerate anti-symmetric form ω . Let V^\perp denote the space orthogonal to V with respect to ω . Then $\dim V^\perp = \dim W - \dim V$, and $\text{Ker}(\omega|_V) = V \cap V^\perp \subset V^\perp$, and the equality $\text{Ker}(\omega|_V) = V^\perp$ holds if and only if V is coisotropic, i.e. $V \supset V^\perp$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sdim}_{\omega|_V}(V) &= (\dim V - \dim \text{Ker } \omega|_V)/2 \geq (\dim V - \dim V^\perp)/2 = \\ &= (\dim V - (\dim W - \dim V))/2 = \dim V - \dim W/2, \end{aligned}$$

and equality holds if and only if V is coisotropic. \square

Proposition 2.0.6. Let $\phi : \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be a morphism of algebraic varieties. Let ω be a 2-form on \mathbf{Y} and $\phi^*\omega$ be its pullback to \mathbf{Z} . Then

- (i) $\text{sdim}_{\phi^*\omega}(\mathbf{Z}) \leq \text{sdim}_\omega(\mathbf{Y})$.
- (ii) If ϕ is dominant then $\text{sdim}_{\phi^*\omega}(\mathbf{Z}) = \text{sdim}_\omega(\mathbf{Y})$.

Proof. Without loss of generality we assume that \mathbf{Z} is smooth.

Step 1. Statement (ii) in the case \mathbf{Y} and \mathbf{Z} are linear spaces, and ϕ is a linear operator.

This is straightforward from the definitions.

Step 2. Statement (ii) in the case \mathbf{Y} is smooth and the map ϕ is smooth.

This step immediately follows from the previous one.

Step 3. Statement (i) in the case \mathbf{Y} is smooth and the map ϕ is smooth.

This step immediately follows from the previous one.

Step 4. Statement (i) in the general case.

We prove by Noetherian induction. Let $\mathbf{Y}_1 \subset \mathbf{Y}$ be the singular locus of ϕ (which includes the singular locus of \mathbf{Y}). Let $\mathbf{Y}_2 := \mathbf{Y} \setminus \mathbf{Y}_1$ denote the complement. Denote their preimages by $\mathbf{Z}_1 := \phi^{-1}(\mathbf{Y}_1)$ and $\mathbf{Z}_2 := \phi^{-1}(\mathbf{Y}_2)$. By the induction hypothesis, $\text{sdim } \mathbf{Z}_1 \leq \text{sdim } \mathbf{Y}_1$. By the previous step, $\text{sdim } \mathbf{Z}_2 \leq \text{sdim } \mathbf{Y}_2$. By Corollary 2.0.4, we have

$$\text{sdim } \mathbf{Z} = \max(\text{sdim } \mathbf{Z}_1, \text{sdim } \mathbf{Z}_2) \leq \max(\text{sdim } \mathbf{Y}_1, \text{sdim } \mathbf{Y}_2) = \text{sdim } \mathbf{Y}$$

Step 5. Statement (ii) for general dominant ϕ .

By Step (4), it is enough to show that $\text{sdim}_{\phi^*\omega}(\mathbf{Z}) \geq \text{sdim}_\omega(\mathbf{Y})$.

Let $\mathbf{Y}_1, \mathbf{Y}_2, \mathbf{Z}_1, \mathbf{Z}_2$ be as in the previous step. By Step (2), $\text{sdim } \mathbf{Z}_2 = \text{sdim } \mathbf{Y}_2$. Since \mathbf{Z}_2 is open in \mathbf{Z} , we have $\text{sdim } \mathbf{Z} \geq \text{sdim } \mathbf{Z}_2$. Since \mathbf{Y}_2 is open and dense in \mathbf{Y} , we have $\text{sdim } \mathbf{Y} = \text{sdim } \mathbf{Y}_2$. Summarizing we have

$$\text{sdim } \mathbf{Z} \geq \text{sdim } \mathbf{Z}_2 = \text{sdim } \mathbf{Y}_2 = \text{sdim } \mathbf{Y}$$

□

3. PRELIMINARIES ON MODALITY AND COMPLEXITY

3.1. Modality. Let us recall the notions of modality and generic modality from [Vin86] and [Tim11, §6]. Let \mathbf{H} be an algebraic group and \mathbf{X} be a smooth \mathbf{H} -variety. By Rosenlicht's theorem [Ros56], a non-empty open subset $\mathbf{U} \subset \mathbf{X}$ has a geometric quotient by \mathbf{H} . In particular, all the orbits in \mathbf{U} have the same dimension. This theorem implies the following equality.

$$\text{tr. deg } \mathbb{C}(\mathbf{X})^{\mathbf{H}} = \min_{x \in \mathbf{X}(\mathbb{C})} \text{codim}_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{H}x$$

This number is called the *generic modality* of \mathbf{X} , and denoted $d_{\mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{X})$.

Let $lcl(\mathbf{X})$ denote the set of all irreducible \mathbf{H} -stable locally closed subvarieties, and $cl(\mathbf{X}) \subset lcl(\mathbf{X})$ denote the subset consisting of closed subvarieties. Rosenlicht's theorem implies

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\mathbf{Y} \in lcl(\mathbf{X})} d_{\mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{Y}) &= \max_{\mathbf{Y} \in cl(\mathbf{X})} d_{\mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{Y}) \\ &= \dim\{(g, x) \in \mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{X} \mid gx = c\} - \dim \mathbf{H} \\ &= \dim\{(x, \xi) \in T^*\mathbf{X} \mid \forall \alpha \in \text{Lie}(\mathbf{H}), \langle \alpha x, \xi \rangle = 0\} - \dim \mathbf{X} \end{aligned}$$

This number is called the *modality* of \mathbf{X} . We will denote it by $m_{\mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{X})$.

3.2. Complexity. Let \mathbf{G} be a reductive algebraic group and \mathbf{X} be a smooth \mathbf{G} -variety. Let $\mathbf{B} \subset \mathbf{G}$ be a Borel subgroup of \mathbf{G} . By [Vin86, Theorem 2] (cf. [Bri86]) we have

$$m_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{X}) = d_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{X})$$

This number is called the *complexity* of \mathbf{X} and denoted by $c(\mathbf{X})$.

Let \mathfrak{g} denote the Lie algebra of \mathbf{G} , \mathfrak{g}^* denote the dual space, and $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$ denote the nilpotent cone. For any point $x \in \mathbf{X}$, let $a_x : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ denote the action map, and $da_x : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow T_x\mathbf{X}$ denote its differential. We will denote by $\mu_{\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{X}}$ the moment map $T^*\mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ defined by

$$\mu_{\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{X}}(x, \xi)(\alpha) := \xi(da_x(\alpha))$$

For a \mathbf{G} -invariant (locally closed) subvariety $\Xi \subset \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$, we defined in [AG, §2.2] the Ξ -complexity $c_{\Xi}(\mathbf{X})$ by

$$c_{\Xi}(\mathbf{X}) := \max_{\text{orbit } \mathbf{O} \subset \Xi} (\dim \mu^{-1}(\mathbf{O}) - \dim \mathbf{O}/2) - \dim \mathbf{X}$$

and proved that $c_{\mathcal{N}}(\mathbf{X}) = c(\mathbf{X})$.

4. SYMPLECTIC COMPLEXITY AND THE PROOF OF THEOREM A

Let \mathbf{G} be a reductive algebraic group and \mathbf{X} be an algebraic \mathbf{G} -manifold. Let $\mathbf{B} \subset \mathbf{G}$ be a Borel subgroup, and let

$$\kappa := \kappa_{\mathbf{X}} := \mu_{\Delta \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{X} \times (\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{B})} : T^*(\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{B}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$$

denote the moment map under the diagonal action of \mathbf{G} .

Notation 4.0.1. Let $\nu := \nu_{\mathbf{X}} : T^*(\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{B}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ be the composition of the projection $T^*(\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{B}) \twoheadrightarrow T^*(\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{B})$ with the Springer resolution $\mu_{\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{B}} : T^*(\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{B}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$.

Definition 4.0.2. For a \mathbf{G} -invariant (locally closed) subvariety $\Xi \subset \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$, we define

$$\mathbf{T}_{\Xi} := \kappa^{-1}(0) \cap \nu^{-1}(\Xi) \subset T^*(\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{B})$$

and define the Ξ -symplectic complexity of \mathbf{X} by

$$sc_{\Xi}(X) = \text{sdim}(\mathbf{T}_{\Xi}).$$

Let us record the following immediate corollary of Corollary 2.0.4.

Corollary 4.0.3. For any \mathbf{G} -invariant (locally closed) subvariety $\Xi \subset \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$, we have

$$sc_{\Xi}(X) = \max_{\text{orbit } \mathbf{O} \subset \Xi} sc_{\mathbf{O}}(\mathbf{X}).$$

Proposition 4.0.4. We have $sc_{\mathcal{N}}(\mathbf{X}) = c(\mathbf{X})$.

Proof. We have

$$c(\mathbf{X}) = m_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{X}) = m_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{B}) = \dim \kappa^{-1}(\{0\}) - \dim \mathbf{X} - \dim \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{B}$$

Since $\kappa^{-1}(0)$ is the union of conormal bundles to orbits, it is coisotropic. Thus Lemma 2.0.5 implies

$$\text{sdim} \kappa^{-1}(0) = \dim \kappa^{-1}(0) - \dim T^*(\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{B})/2 = \dim \kappa^{-1}(0) - \dim \mathbf{X} - \dim \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{B}.$$

Since the image of ν lies in \mathcal{N} , we have $\nu^{-1}(\mathcal{N}) = T^*(\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{P})$. Altogether we have

$$sc_{\mathcal{N}}(\mathbf{X}) = \text{sdim}(\kappa^{-1}(0) \cap \nu^{-1}(\mathcal{N})) = \text{sdim} \kappa^{-1}(0) = \dim \kappa^{-1}(0) - \dim \mathbf{X} - \dim \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{B} = c(\mathbf{X}).$$

□

Notation 4.0.5. For any nilpotent orbit \mathbf{O} denote by $\Gamma_{\mathbf{O}} \subset T^*(\mathbf{X}) \times \mathbf{O}$ the image of $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{O}}$ under

$$\text{Id} \times \nu : T^*(\mathbf{X}) \times T^*(\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{B}) \rightarrow T^*(\mathbf{X}) \times \mathfrak{g}^*.$$

Note that $\Gamma_{\mathbf{O}}$ is the intersection of the graph of $-\mu_{\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{X}}$ with the preimage of \mathbf{O} under the projection $T^*(\mathbf{X}) \times \mathfrak{g}^* \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$.

Definition 4.0.6. Let $\mathbf{O} \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$ be a coadjoint orbit, and let $a \in \mathbf{O}$. The Kirillov-Kostant-Souriau symplectic form on \mathbf{O} is given at a by

$$\omega_{\mathbf{O}}(\text{ad}^*(x)(a), \text{ad}^*(y)(a)) = \langle a, [x, y] \rangle.$$

Together with the standard symplectic form on $T^*\mathbf{X}$ this defines a symplectic form on $T^*\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{O}$, and thus a 2-form on $\Gamma_{\mathbf{O}}$.

Lemma 4.0.7. For any nilpotent orbit \mathbf{O} we have $\text{sdim}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{O}}) = sc_{\mathbf{O}}(\mathbf{X})$.

Proof. By [AG, Proposition 2.2.4(ii)], the pullback under $\text{Id} \times \nu$ of the form on $\Gamma_{\mathbf{O}}$ coincides with the form on $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{O}}$. Thus, by Proposition 2.0.6(ii), we have $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{O}} = \text{sdim } \Gamma_{\mathbf{O}}$. \square

For a parabolic subgroup $\mathbf{P} \subset \mathbf{G}$, denote $\nu_{\mathbf{P}} := \mu_{\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{P}} : T^*(\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{P}) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{P}}} \subset \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ and

$$\mu_{\mathbf{P}} := \mu_{\Delta \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{X} \times (\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{P})} : T^*(\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{P}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$$

Similar reasoning to the proof of Lemma 4.0.7 gives the following lemma.

Lemma 4.0.8. *Let $\mathbf{P} \subset \mathbf{G}$ be a parabolic subgroup, and $\mathbf{O} \subset \overline{\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{P}}}$ be a nilpotent orbit. Then*

$$\text{sdim}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{O}}) = \text{sdim}(\mu_{\mathbf{P}}^{-1}(0) \cap \nu_{\mathbf{P}}^{-1}(\mathbf{O}))$$

Proof of Theorem A.

(i) By Corollary 4.0.3, it is enough to prove for the case when Ξ consists of a single orbit \mathbf{O} . Since $\Gamma_{\mathbf{O}}$ is the graph of $-\mu_{\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{X}}$ intersected with the preimage of \mathbf{O} under the projection to \mathfrak{g}^* , we have

$$\dim \Gamma_{\mathbf{O}} = \dim \mu_{\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{X}}^{-1}(\mathbf{O}) = \dim \mathbf{X} + \dim \mathbf{O}/2 + c_{\mathbf{O}}(\mathbf{X}) = \dim(T^*\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{O})/2 + c_{\mathbf{O}}(\mathbf{X}).$$

By Lemmas 4.0.7 and 2.0.5 we have

$$sc_{\mathbf{O}}(\mathbf{X}) = \text{sdim } \Gamma_{\mathbf{O}} \geq \dim \Gamma_{\mathbf{O}} - \dim(T^*\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{O})/2 = c_{\mathbf{O}}(\mathbf{X})$$

(ii) By Corollary 4.0.3, we can assume $l = 1$ and thus $\Xi = \Xi_1$. Let $\mathbf{T} := \mathbf{T}_{\Xi} = \kappa^{-1}(0) \cap \nu^{-1}(\Xi)$ and $\mathbf{T}_i := \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{Y}_i, \Xi} = \kappa_{\mathbf{Y}_i}^{-1}(0) \cap \nu_{\mathbf{Y}_i}^{-1}(\Xi) \subset T^*(\mathbf{Y}_i \times \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{B})$. We have

$$T^*\mathbf{X} \times T^*(\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{B}) = \bigcup_i T^*\mathbf{X}|_{\mathbf{Y}_i} \times T^*(\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{B})$$

Thus, by Corollary 2.0.4,

$$sc(\mathbf{X}) = \text{sdim } \mathbf{T} = \max_i \text{sdim}(\mathbf{T} \cap T^*\mathbf{X}|_{\mathbf{Y}_i} \times T^*(\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{B}))$$

We have a projection $p_i : T^*\mathbf{X}|_{\mathbf{Y}_i} \rightarrow T^*\mathbf{Y}_i$. It is easy to see that the pullback under p_i of the symplectic form on $T^*\mathbf{Y}_i$ is the restriction of the symplectic form on $T^*\mathbf{X}$. By definition, $(p_i \times \text{Id}_{T^*(\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{B})})^{-1}(\mathbf{T}_i) = \mathbf{T} \cap T^*\mathbf{X}|_{\mathbf{Y}_i}$ and thus $p_i \times \text{Id}_{T^*(\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{B})}(\mathbf{T} \cap T^*\mathbf{X}|_{\mathbf{Y}_i}) = \mathbf{T}_i$. By Proposition 2.0.6(ii), this implies that $\text{sdim } \mathbf{T} \cap T^*\mathbf{X}|_{\mathbf{Y}_i} = \text{sdim } \mathbf{T}_i$. Thus

$$sc_{\Xi}(\mathbf{X}) = \text{sdim } \mathbf{T} = \max \text{sdim}(\mathbf{T} \cap T^*\mathbf{X}|_{\mathbf{Y}_i}) = \max \text{sdim } \mathbf{T}_i = \max sc_{\Xi}(\mathbf{Y}_i)$$

(iii) By Corollary 4.0.3, and Lemmas 4.0.7 and 4.0.8 we have

$$sc_{\overline{\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{P}}}}(\mathbf{X}) = \max_{\mathbf{O} \subset \overline{\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{P}}}} sc_{\mathbf{O}}(\mathbf{X}) = \max_{\mathbf{O} \subset \overline{\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{P}}}} \text{sdim } \Gamma_{\mathbf{O}} = \max_{\mathbf{O} \subset \overline{\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{P}}}} \text{sdim}(\mu_{\mathbf{P}}^{-1}(0) \cap \nu_{\mathbf{P}}^{-1}(\mathbf{O})) = \text{sdim}(\mu_{\mathbf{P}}^{-1}(0))$$

(iv) By Proposition 4.0.4 we have $sc_{\mathcal{N}}(\mathbf{X}) = c(\mathbf{X})$. By [AG, Proposition 2.2.8] we have $c_{\mathcal{N}}(\mathbf{X}) = c(\mathbf{X})$. \square

Proof of Corollary B. By Theorem A(iii), (a) is equivalent to (c). By [AG, Theorem B], (c) is equivalent to (b). \square

Theorem A(ii) motivates us to consider the case when \mathbf{X} is a homogeneous space, that is $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H}$ for some algebraic subgroup $\mathbf{H} \subset \mathbf{G}$. Let us give a formula for symplectic complexity in this case. Let \mathfrak{h} denote the Lie algebra of \mathbf{H} , and let $\mathfrak{h}^{\perp} \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$ denote the space of functionals that vanish on \mathfrak{h} .

Proposition 4.0.9. *For any nilpotent orbit $\mathbf{O} \subset \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ we have $sc_{\mathbf{O}}(\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H}) = \text{sdim}(\mathbf{O} \cap \mathfrak{h}^{\perp})$.*

Proof. Let $\Gamma_{\mathbf{O}} \subset T^*(\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H}) \times \mathbf{O}$ be as in Notation 4.0.5. By Lemma 4.0.7, we have $sc_{\mathbf{O}}(\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H}) = \text{sdim}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{O}})$. Let $p : \Gamma_{\mathbf{O}} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H}$ be the natural projection, and let $\mathbf{Y} := p^{-1}([1])$. Then \mathbf{Y} is naturally isomorphic to $\mathbf{O} \cap \mathfrak{h}^{\perp}$. Let $a : \mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mathbf{O}}$ denote the action map. Then by Proposition 2.0.6(ii), $\text{sdim} \Gamma_{\mathbf{O}} = \text{sdim}_{a^*\omega}(\mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{Y})$, where ω denotes the symplectic form on $\Gamma_{\mathbf{O}}$.

It is left to show that $\text{sdim}_{a^*\omega}(\mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{Y}) = \text{sdim}(\mathbf{O} \cap \mathfrak{h}^{\perp})$. For that purpose it is enough to show that for any smooth point $y \in \mathbf{Y}$, $\text{sdim} T_{(1,y)} \mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{Y} = \text{sdim} T_y \mathbf{Y}$. For this it is enough to show that \mathfrak{g} lies in the radical of the form $a^*\omega_{(1,y)}$, or equivalently, that $\mathfrak{g} \cdot y$ lies in the radical of ω . Since the action of \mathbf{G} on $\Gamma_{\mathbf{O}}$ preserves ω , the space $\mathfrak{g} \cdot y \subset T_y \Gamma_{\mathbf{O}}$ is isotropic. On the other hand, $T_y \Gamma_{\mathbf{O}} = \mathfrak{g} \cdot y + T_y \mathbf{Y}$. Thus it is enough to show that $\omega(\mathfrak{g} \cdot y, T_y \mathbf{Y}) = 0$.

Let $\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $\xi \in T_y \mathbf{Y}$. Let $p_{\mathbf{O}}$ and q be the projections from $\Gamma_{\mathbf{O}}$ to \mathbf{O} and to $T^*(\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H})$ respectively. Let ω' and $\omega_{\mathbf{O}}$ denote the symplectic forms on $T^*(\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H})$ and $T^*\mathbf{O}$.

Let us first show that $\omega'(\alpha \cdot q(y), d_y q(\xi)) = -\langle d_y p_{\mathbf{O}}(\xi), \alpha \rangle$. Indeed, since $p(\mathbf{Y}) = \{[1]\}$, the vector $d_y q(\xi)$ is “vertical”, *i.e.* lies in $T_{q(y)} T_{[1]}^*(\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H}) \cong \mathfrak{h}^{\perp} \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$. From the definition of $\Gamma_{\mathbf{O}}$ we obtain that $d_y q(\xi) = -d_y p_{\mathbf{O}}(\xi)$ as an element of \mathfrak{g}^* . From the definition of ω' (see *e.g.* [AG, Example 2.1.6]) we have $\omega'(\alpha \cdot q(y), d_y q(\xi)) = \langle d_y q(\xi), \alpha \rangle$. Altogether we have

$$\omega'(\alpha \cdot q(y), d_y q(\xi)) = \langle d_y q(\xi), \alpha \rangle = -\langle d_y p_{\mathbf{O}}(\xi), \alpha \rangle.$$

Let $\beta \in \mathfrak{g}$ be such that $\beta \cdot y = \xi$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(\alpha \cdot y, \xi) &= \omega'(d_y q(\alpha \cdot y), d_y q(\xi)) + \omega_{\mathbf{O}}(d_y p_{\mathbf{O}}(\alpha \cdot y), d_y p_{\mathbf{O}}(\xi)) = \\ &= \omega'(\alpha \cdot q(y), d_y q(\xi)) + \omega_{\mathbf{O}}(\alpha \cdot p_{\mathbf{O}}(y), \beta \cdot p_{\mathbf{O}}(y)) = -\langle d_y p_{\mathbf{O}}(\xi), \alpha \rangle + \langle p_{\mathbf{O}}(y), [\alpha, \beta] \rangle = \\ &= -\langle \beta \cdot p_{\mathbf{O}}(y), \alpha \rangle + \langle p_{\mathbf{O}}(y), [\alpha, \beta] \rangle = \langle p_{\mathbf{O}}(y), [\beta, \alpha] \rangle + \langle p_{\mathbf{O}}(y), [\alpha, \beta] \rangle = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\omega(\mathfrak{g} \cdot y, T_y \mathbf{Y}) = 0$ and thus $\text{sdim}_{a^*\omega}(\mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{Y}) = \text{sdim}(\mathbf{O} \cap \mathfrak{h}^{\perp})$. Summarizing we have

$$sc_{\mathbf{O}}(\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H}) = \text{sdim}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{O}}) = \text{sdim}_{a^*\omega}(\mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{Y}) = \text{sdim}(\mathbf{O} \cap \mathfrak{h}^{\perp})$$

□

APPENDIX A. NASH BLOWING UP AND THE PROOF OF PROPOSITION 2.0.3

Let M be a smooth variety.

Notation A.0.1. For any vector space V we denote by $Gr(V)$ the variety of all vector subspaces (of all dimensions) of V . This is a disconnected union of all Grassmannians on V .

For any vector bundle E on M let $Gr(E)$ denote the Grassmanian bundle

$$Gr(E) := \{(x, L) \mid L \subset E_x \text{ a linear subspace}\}.$$

Definition A.0.2 (Nash blowing up). Let $Z \subset M$ be a locally closed subvariety, and $U \subset Z$ be an open subvariety of the smooth locus of Z . Let $p : Gr(TM) \rightarrow M$ denote the projection. Define the Nash blow up of Z (inside M) by

$$\tau_U(Z, M) := \overline{\{(x, T_x U) \mid x \in U\}} \cap p^{-1}(Z) \subset Gr(TM).$$

Remark A.0.3.

- (i) It is easy to see the variety $\tau_U(Z, M)$ does not depend on the choice of U . We will thus denote it by $\tau(Z, M)$.
- (ii) One can show that $\tau_U(Z, M)$ does not depend on the ambient manifold M up to a canonical isomorphism, see [Nob75].

The proof of Proposition 2.0.3 is based on the following theorem.

Theorem A.0.4. *Let $Z_1 \subset Z \subset M$ be locally closed subvarieties, and let $z \in Z_1$ be a smooth point. Then there exists L such that $(z, L) \in \tau(Z, M)$ and $T_z Z_1 \subset L$.*

For the proof we will need the following ad-hoc definition and lemmas.

Definition A.0.5. *We call a triple $Z_1 \subset Z \subset M$ of algebraic varieties as in Theorem A.0.4 good if it satisfies the conclusion of the theorem.*

Lemma A.0.6. *If $Z_1 \subset Z \subset M$ is a good triple, then for any $(z, L) \in \tau(Z_1, M)$ there exists L' such that $(z, L') \in \tau(Z, M)$ and $L \subset L'$.*

Proof. Consider the closed subvariety

$$C := \{(z, L, L') \in Gr(M) \times_M Gr(M) \mid (z, L) \in \tau(Z_1, M), (z, L') \in \tau(Z, M) \text{ and } L \subset L'\}$$

Denote by $p : Gr(M) \times_M Gr(M) \rightarrow Gr(M)$ the projection on the first coordinate. We have to show that $p(C) \supset \tau(Z_1, M)$. The image $p(C)$ is closed since C is closed and p is proper. Thus it is enough to show that $p(C)$ includes a dense subset of $\tau(Z_1, M)$. Let Z_1^0 be the smooth locus of Z_1 . The assumption that the triple $Z_1 \subset Z \subset M$ is good implies that $p(C)$ includes $\tau(Z_1^0, M)$. \square

Corollary A.0.7. *Let $Z_2 \subset Z_1 \subset Z \subset M$ be closed subvarieties. Suppose that the triples $Z_1 \subset Z \subset M$ and $Z_2 \subset Z_1 \subset M$ are good. Then the triple $Z_2 \subset Z \subset M$ is good.*

Proof. Let $z \in Z_2$ be a smooth point. By the assumption that the triple $Z_2 \subset Z_1 \subset M$ is good, there exists L such that $(z, L) \in \tau(Z_1, M)$ and $T_z Z_2 \subset L$. By the assumption that the triple $Z_1 \subset Z \subset M$ is good, Lemma A.0.6 implies that there exists L' such that $(z, L') \in \tau(Z, M)$ and $L \subset L'$. Thus $T_z Z_2 \subset L'$ as required. \square

Lemma A.0.8. *Let $Z_1 \subset Z \subset M$ be closed subvarieties. If for any irreducible component $Z'_1 \subset Z_1$, the triple $Z'_1 \subset Z \subset M$ is good then so is the triple $Z_1 \subset Z \subset M$.*

Proof. This follows from the fact that the regular locus of Z_1 lies inside the union of the regular loci of Z'_1 , where Z'_1 ranges over all irreducible components of Z_1 . \square

The following lemma is obvious.

Lemma A.0.9. *Let $Z_1 \subset Z \subset M$ be as in Theorem A.0.4. Suppose that one of the following conditions holds.*

(i) *Z is smooth.*

(ii) *There exists an open dense subset $U \subset Z_1$ such that the triple $U \subset Z \subset M$ is good.*

Then the triple $Z_1 \subset Z \subset M$ is good.

Proof of Theorem A.0.4. By Lemma A.0.8 we can assume without loss of generality that Z_1 is irreducible. By [Whit65a, Whit65b], Z has a Whitney stratification $Z = \bigcup S_i$. Let i_0 be such that $S_{i_0} \cap Z_1$ is open and dense in Z_1 . By the definition of Whitney stratification the triple $S_{i_0} \subset Z \subset M$ is good. By Lemma A.0.9(i), the triple $S_{i_0} \cap Z_1 \subset S_{i_0} \subset M$ is good. Thus, by Corollary A.0.7, the triple $S_{i_0} \cap Z_1 \subset Z \subset M$ is good. Thus, by Lemma A.0.9(ii), the triple $Z_1 \subset Z \subset M$ is good. \square

A.1. Deduction of Proposition 2.0.3. The following lemma is straightforward.

Lemma A.1.1. *Let W be a linear space, and let $\Omega^2(W)$ denote the linear space of anti-symmetric bilinear forms on W . Then the function $\nu : Gr(W) \times \Omega^2(W) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ given by $\nu(\omega, L) := \text{sdim}(L, \omega|_L)$ is*

- (i) lower semicontinuous
- (ii) weakly increasing with respect to inclusion in $Gr(W)$.

The following lemma well known.

Lemma A.1.2. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{A}^n$ be a closed subvariety. Then any k -form on X is the restriction of a k -form on \mathbb{A}^n .*

Proof. It is enough to prove for the case $k = 1$, as a k -form is the wedge of 1-forms. This case follows from the “second exact sequence”, see *e.g.* [Har77, §Proposition II.8.4A] or [Mat70, Theorem 58]. \square

Proof of Proposition 2.0.3. The statement is local, thus we can assume that \mathbf{Z} is affine and embedded as a closed subvariety into an affine space \mathbf{M} . By Lemma A.1.2 we can extend the form ω to \mathbf{M} . Identify the tangent space to \mathbf{M} at all points and call it W . The form ω on M gives a map $M \rightarrow \Omega^2(W)$.

Let $z \in \mathbf{Y}$ be a smooth point. By Theorem A.0.4 there exists L such that $(z, L) \in \tau(Z, M)$ and $T_z \mathbf{Y} \subset L$. By Lemma A.1.1(ii) we have $\text{sdim } T_z \mathbf{Y} \leq \text{sdim } L$. By Lemma A.1.1(i) we have $\text{sdim } L \leq \text{sdim } \mathbf{Z}$. \square

REFERENCES

- [AG] A. Aizenbud, D. Gourevitch: *Finite multiplicities beyond spherical pairs*, arXiv:2109.00204.
- [Bri86] M. Brion: *Quelques propriétés des espaces homogènes sphériques*, Manuscripta Math. **55**, no. 2, 191-198 (1986).
- [Har77] R. Hartshorne: *Algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg (1977). xvi+496 pp.
- [Mat70] H. Matsumura: *Commutative algebra*, W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York 1970 xii+262 pp.
- [Nob75] A. Nobile: “Some properties of the Nash blowing-up”, Pacific Journal of Mathematics, **60** (1): 297-305 (1975), doi:10.2140/pjm.1975.60.297
- [Ros56] M. Rosenlicht: “Some basic theorems on algebraic groups,” Am. J. Math., **78**, No. 2, 401-443 (1956).
- [Tim11] D.A. Timashev: *Homogeneous spaces and equivariant embeddings*. Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, **138**. Invariant Theory and Algebraic Transformation Groups, 8. Springer, Heidelberg, (2011). xxii+253 pp. ISBN: 978-3-642-18398-0.
- [Vin86] E.B. Vinberg: *Complexity of actions of reductive groups*. (Russian). Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **20**, no. 1, 1-13, 96 (1986).
- [Whit65a] Whitney, Hassler: *Local properties of analytic varieties. Differential and Combinatorial Topology (A Symposium in Honor of Marston Morse)*, 205-244, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J. (1965).
- [Whit65b] Whitney, Hassler: *Tangents to an analytic variety*, Annals of Mathematics **81**, no. 3, 496-549 (1965).

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, WEIZMANN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE, POB 26,
REHOVOT 76100, ISRAEL

Email address: `aizenr@gmail.com`

URL: `http://www.aizenbud.org`

Email address: `dimagur@weizmann.ac.il`

URL: `http://www.wisdom.weizmann.ac.il/~dimagur`