

Non-degeneracy conditions for plane branches

Beata Gryszka and Janusz Gwoździewicz

March 21, 2023

Abstract

Let $x = t^n$, $y = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i t^i$ be a parametrisation of the germ of a complex plane analytic curve Γ at the origin. Then Γ has the implicit equation $f(x, y) = 0$ in the neighbourhood of the origin, where $f = \sum c_{ij} x^i y^j$ is a Weierstrass polynomial in $\mathbb{C}[[x]][[y]]$ of degree n . Every polynomial depending on coefficients c_{ij} can be expressed as a polynomial depending on the coefficients a_i . We show a partial converse of this property.

1 Introduction

Let $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i T^i \in \mathbb{C}[[T]]$ be a formal power series. By the *support* of α we mean the set $\text{supp}(\alpha) = \{i \in \mathbb{N} : a_i \neq 0\}$. We will call a pair $(T^n, \alpha(T))$ a *Puiseux parametrisation* if $\gcd(\{n\} \cup \text{supp}(\alpha)) = 1$. The *characteristic* of a Puiseux parametrisation $(T^n, \alpha(T))$ is the unique sequence (b_0, b_1, \dots, b_m) of positive integers satisfying:

- (i) $b_0 = n$,
- (ii) $b_{k+1} = \min(\text{supp}(\alpha) \setminus \gcd(b_0, \dots, b_k)\mathbb{N})$
- (iii) $\gcd(b_0, \dots, b_m) = 1$.

We also set by convention $b_{m+1} := +\infty$. We put $e_k := \gcd(b_0, \dots, b_k)$ for $k = 0, \dots, m$ and $n_k := \frac{e_{k-1}}{e_k}$ for $k = 1, \dots, m$.

Observe that $e_0 > e_1 > \dots > e_m = 1$. For every positive integer k we define

$$U_k := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : z^k = 1\}.$$

Then

$$U_{e_m} \subset U_{e_{m-1}} \subset \dots \subset U_{e_1} \subset U_{e_0}.$$

Let $f \in \mathbb{C}[[X, Y]]$ be an irreducible formal power series which is a Weierstrass polynomial of degree n , i.e.

$$f(X, Y) = Y^n + c_1(X)Y^{n-1} + \dots + c_n(X)$$

where $c_i(X) \in \mathbb{C}[[X]]$ are power series without constant terms. Then by the Puiseux theorem there exists a Puiseux parametrisation $(T^n, \alpha(T))$ such

that $f(T^n, \alpha(T)) = 0$. We call $(T^n, \alpha(T))$ a *Puiseux parametrisation of a curve* $f(x, y) = 0$.

Conversely, let $(T^n, \alpha(T))$ be a Puiseux parametrisation. Then $\{(T^n, \alpha(\varepsilon T)) : \varepsilon \in U_n\}$ is the set of Puiseux parametrisations of the curve $f(x, y) = 0$, where the irreducible Weierstrass polynomial $f \in \mathbb{C}[[X, Y]]$ of degree n is given by

$$f(T^n, Y) = \prod_{\varepsilon \in U_n} (Y - \alpha(\varepsilon T)). \quad (1)$$

Equation (1) establishes an n -to-one correspondence between Puiseux parametrisations $(T^n, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i T^i)$ and irreducible Weierstrass polynomials of degree n . The characteristic of an irreducible Weierstrass polynomial f is by definition the characteristic of any Puiseux parametrisation of $f(x, y) = 0$. The set of all irreducible Weierstrass polynomials in $\mathbb{C}[[X, Y]]$ that have the characteristic (b_0, b_1, \dots, b_m) will be denoted by $\mathcal{F}(b_0, b_1, \dots, b_m)$.

Assume that $f = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} X^i Y^j \in \mathbb{C}[[X, Y]]$ is an irreducible power series such that there exists $n > 0$ with the property $c_{0j} = 0$ for $j < n$ and $c_{0n} \neq 0$. By the Weierstrass Preparation Theorem (see e.g. [3]) there exist unique $u_f, w_f \in \mathbb{C}[[X, Y]]$ such that $f = u_f w_f$, u_f is invertible and w_f is a Weierstrass polynomial of degree n . We denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}(n, b_1, \dots, b_m)$ the set of all irreducible power series $f \in \mathbb{C}[[X, Y]]$ such that the Weierstrass polynomial w_f of f exists and belongs to $\mathcal{F}(n, b_1, \dots, b_m)$. Since f and w_f define the same curve, we can say about a Puiseux parametrisation of a curve $f(x, y) = 0$.

Recall the classical Zariski result (see e.g. [6, p. 995], [7, Theorem 3.3], [4]). Suppose that irreducible power series $f_1, f_2 \in \mathbb{C}[[X, Y]]$ are convergent in the neighbourhood of the origin. If f_1, f_2 have the same characteristic, then the germs of analytic curves $\gamma_i = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : f_i(x, y) = 0\}$ at the origin are equisingular, i.e. there exists a homeomorphism $\Phi : U \rightarrow V$ of neighbourhoods U, V of $(0, 0)$ in \mathbb{C}^2 such that $\Phi(\gamma_1 \cap U) = \gamma_2 \cap V$. Conversely, if the germs of analytic curves $x f_1(x, y) = 0, x f_2(x, y) = 0$ are equisingular then f_1 and f_2 have the same characteristic.

In view of Zariski result the set $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}(b_0, b_1, \dots, b_m)$ can be seen as a given equisingularity class of irreducible power series. The main objective of this article is to relate polynomials depending on coefficients of a Weierstrass polynomial in $\mathcal{F}(n, b_1, \dots, b_m)$ with polynomials depending on coefficients of its Puiseux parametrisation.

Let us start with the following example.

Example 1. Consider a Puiseux parametrisation $(T^3, aT^4 + bT^7 + cT^{10})$, $a \neq 0$ of the curve $f(x, y) = 0$. Then the Weierstrass polynomial $f \in \mathcal{F}(3, 4)$ equals

$$Y^3 - (c_4 X^4 + c_5 X^5 + c_6 X^6 + c_7 X^7 + c_8 X^8 + c_9 X^9 + c_{10} X^{10}),$$

where $c_4 = a^3$, $c_5 = 3a^2b$, $c_6 = 3ab^2 + 3a^2c$, $c_7 = b^3 + 6abc$, $c_8 = 3b^2c + 3ac^2$, $c_9 = 3bc^2$, and $c_{10} = c^3$.

Every polynomial depending on the coefficients of f can be expressed as a polynomial from the ring $\mathbb{C}[a, b, c]$. Since c_4, \dots, c_{10} are homogeneous polynomials of degree three, every such polynomial Q satisfies the condition:

$Q(\varepsilon^4 a, \varepsilon^7 b, \varepsilon^{10} c) = Q(a, b, c)$ for $\varepsilon \in U_3$. This condition will be called U_3 -invariance of Q . The natural question is whether every polynomial from $\mathbb{C}[a, b, c]$ that satisfies the above condition can be represented as a polynomial in c_4, \dots, c_{10} .

Consider $Q = ab^2$. One can check using a symbolic algebra system (we used *SINGULAR*) that Q does not belong to the ideal generated by c_4, \dots, c_{10} in the ring $\mathbb{C}[a, b, c]$ and thus $Q \notin \mathbb{C}[c_4, \dots, c_{10}]$. However

$$Q = \frac{(3a^2b)^2}{9a^3} = \frac{c_5^2}{9c_4}$$

is a rational function of c_4 and c_5 .

Our main results: Theorem 1, Corollary 2, and Theorem 3 explain and generalize the effect observed in Example 1.

The organization of the paper is as follows:

In Section 2 we consider a factorization $f = gh$ of a Weierstrass polynomial f to a product of Weierstrass polynomials g, h . We prove that if for some weight vector ω the initial weighted polynomials in ${}_\omega h$ and in ${}_\omega g$ are coprime Weierstrass polynomials, then the coefficients of g and h depend polynomially on the reciprocal of the resultant $\text{Res}(\text{in}_\omega g, \text{in}_\omega h)$ and coefficients of: in ${}_\omega h$, in ${}_\omega g$, and f . This result is used in the proof of Lemma 7.

In Section 3 we characterise the Puiseux parametrisation of a plane branch curve from a given equisingularity class. Then we introduce a class of polynomials depending on the coefficients of a Puiseux parametrisation that have the same value for equivalent parametrisations. We call this class U_n -invariant polynomials.

In the next section we prove that every U_n -invariant polynomial dependent on the coefficients of a Puiseux parametrisation of a plane branch can be expressed as a rational function of the coefficients of the Weierstrass polynomial, which defines this branch.

In Section 5 we reformulate the above result for a power series that defines a given plane branch.

In the last section we show that some non-degeneracy condition formulated by a polynomial of coefficients of a Puiseux parametrisation of a plane branch can be expressed as a polynomial condition dependent on the coefficients of a power series associated with this curve.

2 The effective Hensel's lemma

Consider a non-zero vector $\omega = (a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2$. For a monomial $x^i y^j$ we define the *weight* of this monomial as the positive integer $\omega(x^i y^j) := ai + bj$. We also say that ω is a *weight* in the ring $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$. Then

$$\mathbb{C}[x, y] = \bigoplus_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} R_t$$

is a graded ring, where R_t is spanned by all monomials of weight t .

Below we verify that a division with remainder of quasi-homogeneous polynomials leads to quasi-homogeneous polynomials.

Lemma 1. *Let E be an integer domain and let $f \in R_s$, $g \in R_t$ be quasi-homogeneous polynomials in $E[x, y]$. Assume that g is monic with respect to y . Then there exist $q, r \in E[x, y]$ such that $qg, r \in R_s$ and*

$$f = qg + r, \quad \deg_y r < \deg_y g.$$

Proof. If $\deg_y f < \deg_y g$ then there is nothing to prove since in this case $r = f$ and $q = 0$. In what follows assume $m := \deg_y g \leq \deg_y f$ and apply the standard polynomial division algorithm to $f_0 := f$ and g ;

$$f_i := f_{i-1} - \frac{\text{lt}(f_{i-1})}{y^m} g, \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, j$$

performed while $\deg_y f_{i-1} \geq \deg_y g$, where $\text{lt}(f_{i-1})$ denotes the leading term of a polynomial f_{i-1} with respect to y . At every step of this algorithm $f_i \in R_s$. Hence $r := f_j$ and $q := \text{lt}(f_0)/y^m + \dots + \text{lt}(f_{j-1})/y^m$ satisfy the statement of the lemma. \square

Let $g, h \in E[y]$, $g(y) = g_0 + g_1y + \dots + g_my^m$, $h(y) = h_0 + h_1y + \dots + h_ny^n$ be two polynomials with coefficient in an integral domain E . Recall that the determinant of their Sylvester matrix

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} g_m & g_{m-1} & \cdots & g_0 & & & \\ & g_m & g_{m-1} & \cdots & g_0 & & \\ & & \ddots & & & & \\ & & & g_m & g_{m-1} & \cdots & g_0 \\ h_n & h_{n-1} & \cdots & h_0 & & & \\ & h_n & h_{n-1} & \cdots & h_0 & & \\ & & \ddots & & & & \\ & & & h_n & h_{n-1} & \cdots & h_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is called the resultant $\text{Res}(g, h)$ of g and h . The Sylvester matrix has the property that for any polynomials $a(y) = a_0 + a_1y + \dots + a_{n-1}y^{n-1}$, $b(y) = b_0 + b_1y + \dots + b_{m-1}y^{m-1}$, and $f(y) = f_0 + f_1y + \dots + f_{m+n-1}y^{m+n-1}$ with coefficients in E

$$f = ga + hb \Leftrightarrow (f_{m+n-1}, \dots, f_0) = (a_{n-1}, \dots, a_0, b_{m-1}, \dots, b_0) \cdot S.$$

Multiplying the above equation by the adjugate $\text{adj}(S)$ of S , and using Cramer's rule $S \cdot \text{adj}(S) = \det(S)I$ we get

$$(f_{m+n-1}, \dots, f_0) \cdot \text{adj}(S) = \det(S)(a_{n-1}, \dots, a_0, b_{m-1}, \dots, b_0).$$

In consequence we have the following property

Lemma 2. Let $g(y) = g_0 + \dots + g_m y^m$, $h(y) = h_0 + \dots + h_n y^n$, $f(y) = f_0 + \dots + f_{m+n-1} y^{m+n-1}$ be polynomials in variable y with coefficients treated as indeterminants. Then there exist unique polynomials $A_0, \dots, A_{n-1}, B_0, \dots, B_{m-1}$ in the ring $\mathbb{Z}[g_0, \dots, g_m, h_0, \dots, h_n, f_0, \dots, f_{m+n-1}]$ such that for $a(y) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} A_i y^i$, $b(y) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} B_i y^i$ we have

$$ga + hb = \text{Res}(g, h)f.$$

Lemma 3. Let $g \in R_s$, $h \in R_t$ be polynomials coprime in the ring $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$ and monic with respect to y . Then for every non-negative integer i and for every $f \in R_{s+t+i}$ such that $\deg_y f < \deg_y gh$ there exist unique polynomials $\phi \in R_{t+i}$ and $\psi \in R_{s+i}$ such that

$$f = g\phi + h\psi, \quad \deg_y \psi < \deg_y g, \quad \deg_y \phi < \deg_y h. \quad (2)$$

Moreover the coefficients of ϕ and ψ are of the form $[\phi]_{ij} = R^{-1}\Phi_{ij}$, $[\psi]_{ij} = R^{-1}\Psi_{ij}$ where $R := \text{Res}_y(g, h)|_{x=1}$ and Φ_{ij}, Ψ_{ij} are polynomials depending on: coefficients of f , coefficients of g , and coefficients of h .

Proof. By [1, Lemma 2.5] there exist polynomials $a \in R_{t+i}$ and $b \in R_{s+i}$ such that $f = ga + hb$. Let us perform a polynomial division $a = qh + r$ and let $\phi = r$, $\psi = qg + b$. Then $\deg_y \phi < \deg_y h$ and

$$f = ga + hb = g(qh + r) + hb = gr + h(qg + b) = g\phi + h\psi.$$

By Lemma 1 we have $\phi \in R_{t+i}$, hence $h\psi = f - g\phi \in R_{s+t+i}$ which implies that $\psi \in R_{s+i}$. Moreover $\deg_y h\psi \leq \max(\deg_y f, \deg_y g\phi) < \deg_y gh$ which gives $\deg_y \psi < \deg_y g$.

Now we will prove uniqueness. Suppose that $\phi_1 \in R_{t+i}$, $\psi_1 \in R_{s+i}$ are polynomials such that $f = g\phi_1 + h\psi_1$, $\deg_y \psi_1 < \deg_y g$, $\deg_y \phi_1 < \deg_y h$. Then $g(\phi_1 - \phi) = h(\psi_1 - \psi)$. Since g and h are coprime, g divides $\psi_1 - \psi$ which together with inequality $\deg_y(\psi_1 - \psi) < \deg_y g$ gives $\psi_1 - \psi = 0$. In the same way we can show that $\phi_1 = \phi$.

Let $F = f(1, y)$, $G = g(1, y)$, $H = h(1, y)$, $\Phi = \phi(1, y)$, $\Psi = \psi(1, y)$. Then

$$G\Phi + H\Psi = F.$$

By the assumptions that g, h are coprime in $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$ we get $\text{Res}_y(g, h) \neq 0$. By weighted homogeneous property of resultants $\text{Res}_y(g, h)$ is a monomial. Hence $\text{Res}(G, H) = \text{Res}_y(g, h)|_{x=1}$ is nonzero. Hence, by Lemma 2 polynomials Φ and Ψ and so ϕ and ψ have coefficients described in the second part of the lemma. \square

Lemma 4. Let $f \in \mathbb{C}[[x]][y]$ be a Weierstrass polynomial and let ω be a weight vector such that in ${}_\omega f$ and f have the same degree with respect to y . Assume that in ${}_\omega f = GH$, where G, H are Weierstrass polynomials coprime in $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$. Then there are unique Weierstrass polynomials $g, h \in \mathbb{C}[[x]][y]$ such that $f = gh$, in ${}_\omega g = G$, and in ${}_\omega h = H$.

Moreover if $f = f_{s+t} + f_{s+t+1} + \dots$, $g = g_s + g_{s+1} + \dots$, $h = h_t + h_{t+1} + \dots$ are representations of f , g , h as sums of quasi-homogeneous polynomials and $R := \text{Res}(G, H)|_{x=1}$, then the coefficients of $R^{2i-1}g_{s+i}$ and $R^{2i-1}h_{t+i}$ are polynomials with integer coefficients depending on: coefficients of f_{s+t+k} for $1 \leq k \leq i$, coefficients of g_s , and coefficients of h_t for $i = 1, 2, \dots$.

Proof. By assumptions $g_s = G$, $h_t = H$ and $f_{s+t} = g_s h_t$. Writing the left hand side of $(g_s + g_{s+1} + \dots)(h_t + h_{t+1} + \dots) = f_{s+t} + f_{s+t+1} + \dots$ as a sum of quasi-homogeneous polynomials we see that the equality $gh = f$ is equivalent with the system of equations

$$\sum_{k+l=i} g_{s+k} h_{t+l} = f_{s+t+i} \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots$$

Using these equations and Lemma 3 we can compute recursively polynomials g_{s+i} , h_{t+i} .

Assume that polynomials g_{s+j} , h_{t+j} are already computed for $1 \leq j < i$ and satisfy the statement of the lemma. Then

$$g_s h_{t+i} + g_{s+i} h_t = f_{s+t+i} - \sum_{\substack{k+l=i, \\ k, l < i}} g_{s+k} h_{t+l}.$$

Multiplying the above equation by R^{2i-2} we get that

$$g_s \cdot R^{2i-2} h_{t+i} + h_t \cdot R^{2i-2} g_{s+i} = R^{2i-2} f_{s+t+i} - \sum_{\substack{k+l=i, \\ k, l < i}} R^{2k-1} g_{s+k} \cdot R^{2l-1} h_{t+l}$$

is a quasi-homogeneous polynomial of weight $s + t + i$, degree $< \deg_y g_s h_t$ and coefficients depending polynomially on coefficients of f_{s+t} , \dots , f_{s+t+i} , g_s , and h_t . Thus by Lemma 3 the coefficients of $R^{2i-1}g_{s+i}$, $R^{2i-1}h_{t+i}$ are polynomials depending on: coefficients of: f_{s+t+k} for $1 \leq k \leq i$, coefficients of g_s , and coefficients of h_t . \square

3 Coefficients of a Puiseux parametrisaton

The Puiseux parametrisations of curves from a given equisingularity class are characterized by the following lemma.

Lemma 5. *Let (b_0, b_1, \dots, b_m) be a sequence of positive integers such that $b_0 = n$ and $b_1 < b_2 < \dots < b_m$. Set $e_k := \gcd(b_0, b_1, \dots, b_k)$. Assume that $e_0 > e_1 > \dots > e_m = 1$. Then a Puiseux parametrisation $(T^n, \alpha(T))$ has characteristic (b_0, b_1, \dots, b_m) if and only if*

$$\{b_1, \dots, b_m\} \subset \text{supp}(\alpha) \subset I,$$

where

$$I = e_0 \mathbb{N}_+ \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^m e_i \mathbb{N} \cap [b_i, +\infty). \quad (3)$$

Proof. Assume that (b_0, b_1, \dots, b_m) is the characteristic of $(T^n, \alpha(T))$. Then for every $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ we have $b_j \in \text{supp}(\alpha)$ and consequently $\{b_1, \dots, b_m\} \subset \text{supp}(\alpha)$. Take an arbitrary $i \in \text{supp}(\alpha)$. If $i < b_1$, then $e_0 | i$ and thus $i \in e_0 \mathbb{N}_+ \subset I$. Assume that $b_j \leq i < b_{j+1}$ for some $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Then $i \in e_j \mathbb{N}$. This implies that $i \in e_j \mathbb{N} \cap [b_j, \infty) \subset I$.

Assume now that

$$\{b_1, \dots, b_m\} \subset \text{supp}(\alpha) \subset I$$

and a sequence $(b'_0, b'_1, \dots, b'_m)$ is the characteristic of $(T^n, \alpha(T))$.

We will prove by induction on k for $k \in \{0, \dots, m\}$ that

$$(b'_0, \dots, b'_k) = (b_0, \dots, b_k).$$

Clearly $b'_0 = b_0 = n$. By inductive assumption $(b'_0, \dots, b'_k) = (b_0, \dots, b_k)$. Then $b'_{k+1} = \min(\text{supp}(\alpha) \setminus e_k \mathbb{N}) = b_{k+1}$. We proved that $(b'_0, \dots, b'_m) = (b_0, \dots, b_m)$. Since $\gcd(b'_0, \dots, b'_m) = 1$, we get the lemma. \square

Consider a Puiseux parametrisation $(T^n, \alpha(T))$ and the increasing sequence $i_1 < i_2 < \dots$ of all indices from the set (3). Let $A := (A_i)_{i \in I}$ and $C := (C_{ij})_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2}$ be sets of new variables. Then we say that $Q \in \mathbb{C}[C, A]$ is U_n -invariant if

$$Q(\varepsilon^{i_1} A_{i_1}, \varepsilon^{i_2} A_{i_2}, \dots) = Q(A_{i_1}, A_{i_2}, \dots)$$

for every $\varepsilon \in U_n$.

4 Irreducible Weierstrass polynomials

Let us start with the following lemma concerning complex roots of unity.

Lemma 6. *Let n, i be integers, $n > 0$ and let $d = n / \gcd(n, i)$. Then*

$$\{\varepsilon^i : \varepsilon \in U_n\} = U_d, \tag{4}$$

$$\sum_{\varepsilon \in U_n} \varepsilon^i = \begin{cases} n & \text{if } i \equiv 0 \pmod{n}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

Proof. The set $\{\varepsilon^i : \varepsilon \in U_n\}$ is a cyclic subgroup of a multiplicative group \mathbb{C}^* generated by ε_0^i where ε_0 is a primitive n th complex root of unity. Since the rank of ε_0^i in \mathbb{C}^* equals d , we get (4).

It follows from (4) that $U_n \ni \varepsilon \rightarrow \varepsilon^i \in U_d$ is a group epimorphism. Thus

$$\sum_{\varepsilon \in U_n} \varepsilon^i = \gcd(n, i) \sum_{\omega \in U_d} \omega.$$

This gives (5). \square

In this section we deal with irreducible Weierstrass polynomials in a given equisingularity class $\mathcal{F}(b_0, b_1, \dots, b_m)$. Let $f = \sum_{ij} c_{ij} X^i Y^j \in \mathbb{C}[[X]][[Y]]$ be an irreducible Weierstrass polynomial from $\mathcal{F}(b_0, b_1, \dots, b_m)$ and $(T^n, \alpha(T))$, where $\alpha = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} a_i T^i$, be a Puiseux parametrisation of $f(x, y) = 0$.

Recall that the support of α is contained in the set I given in (3). In the sequel we treat coefficients of α and f as indeterminants and we introduce $\alpha^* := \sum_{i \in I} A_i T^i$ and $f^* = \sum C_{ij} X^i Y^j$, where $A := (A_i)_{i \in I}$ and $C := (C_{ij})_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2}$ are sets of new variables. We also denote by $A' := (A_i)_{i \in I, i < b_m}$.

Let ε be the n th primitive root of unity. Using Vieta's formula and the equality (1) for $j = 0, \dots, n-1$ we obtain

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_{ij} T^{ni} = (-1)^{n-j} s_{n-j}(\alpha(T), \alpha(\varepsilon T), \dots, \alpha(\varepsilon^{n-1} T)),$$

where $s_j \in \mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ denotes the j th elementary symmetric polynomial. This implies that for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $j \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ there exists a polynomial $F_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}[A]$ such that

$$c_{ij} = F_{ij}(a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \dots),$$

where $i_1 < i_2 < \dots$ is the increasing sequence of all indices from I . Take any $i, t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $j \in \{0, \dots, n\}$. Then $F_{ij}(\varepsilon^{ti_1} a_{i_1}, \varepsilon^{ti_2} a_{i_2}, \dots)$ corresponds to $(-1)^{n-j} s_{n-j}(\alpha(\varepsilon^t T), \alpha(\varepsilon^{t+1} T), \dots, \alpha(\varepsilon^{t+n-1} T))$. Since s_j is symmetric, we deduce that F_{ij} is a U_n -invariant polynomial. Thus we introduce the following notation:

$$F := (F_{ij})_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2}.$$

Remark 1. Let W be a polynomial from $\mathbb{C}[C]$. Then after the substitution $C = F$ the polynomial W becomes U_n -invariant.

Lemma 7. (i) There exist a polynomial $M \in \mathbb{C}[C, A']$ and a monomial N in variables $A_{b_1}, \dots, A_{b_{m-1}}$ such that

$$A_{b_m}^{n_m} = \frac{M(F, A')}{N}. \quad (6)$$

(ii) For every $i \geq b_m$ there exist $W_i \in \mathbb{C}[C, A', \frac{1}{A_{b_1}}, \dots, \frac{1}{A_{b_{m-1}}}, \frac{1}{A_{b_m}^{n_m}}]$ such that after substituting $C = F$ we have

$$A_i = W_i A_{b_m}^{j_i}$$

where j_i is the unique integer such that $0 \leq j_i < n_m$ and $b_m j_i \equiv i \pmod{n_m}$.

Proof. Let us substitute $C = F$. Consider $\lambda := \sum_{i \in I, i < b_m} A_i T^i$ and

$$\widehat{f} := f^*(T^n, Y + \lambda(T)) = \prod_{\varepsilon \in U_n} \left(Y + \lambda(T) - \sum_{i \in I} A_i \varepsilon^i T^i \right).$$

Then

$$\widehat{f} = \widehat{f}_1 \cdots \widehat{f}_m,$$

where

$$\widehat{f}_j = \prod_{\varepsilon \in U_{e_{j-1}} \setminus U_{e_j}} \left(Y + \lambda(T) - \sum_{i \in I} A_i \varepsilon^i T^i \right) \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, m-1,$$

$$\widehat{f}_m = \prod_{\varepsilon \in U_{e_{m-1}}} \left(Y - \sum_{i=b_m}^{\infty} A_i \varepsilon^i T^i \right).$$

Computing the weighted initial polynomials we get

$$\text{in}_{(1, b_j)} \widehat{f}_j = Y^{-e_j} ((Y + A_{b_j} T^{b_j})^{n_j} - A_{b_j}^{n_j} T^{b_j n_j})^{e_j} \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, m-1,$$

$$\text{in}_{(1, b_m)} \widehat{f}_m = Y^{n_m} - A_{b_m}^{n_m} T^{n_m b_m}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{f}(T, 0) &= \prod_{j=1}^m \widehat{f}_j(T, 0) = -A_{b_m}^{n_m} T^{n_m b_m} \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} n_j^{e_j} A_{b_j}^{e_{j-1} - e_j} T^{(e_{j-1} - e_j) b_j} + \text{h.o.t.} \\ &= \left(-A_{b_m}^{n_m} \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} n_j^{e_j} A_{b_j}^{e_{j-1} - e_j} \right) T^{\bar{b}_m} + \text{h.o.t.} \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{b}_m = e_{m-1} b_m + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (e_{j-1} - e_j) b_j$. On the other hand it follows from $\widehat{f}(T, 0) = f^*(T^n, \lambda(T))$ that the coefficient of $\widehat{f}(T, 0)$ at $T^{\bar{b}_m}$ is a polynomial depending on C and A' . Thus

$$A_{b_m}^{n_m} = \frac{M}{N} \quad (7)$$

where M is a polynomial depending on C , A' and N is a monomial in variables $A_{b_1}, \dots, A_{b_{m-1}}$.

Claim 1. For every integer i such that $1 \leq i \leq m$ the coefficients of $\widehat{f}_i \cdots \widehat{f}_m$ are polynomials in $C, A', \frac{1}{A_{b_1}}, \dots, \frac{1}{A_{b_{i-1}}}$.

Proof. We will prove this claim by induction on i .

For $m = 1$ we have $\widehat{f} = \widehat{f}_1$ and there is nothing to prove. Thus assume that $m \geq 2$ and consider a weight $\omega := (1, b_i)$. For $1 \leq i < m$ we have $\widehat{f}_i \cdots \widehat{f}_m = \widehat{f}_i \cdot (\widehat{f}_{i+1} \cdots \widehat{f}_m)$, $\text{in}_{\omega} \widehat{f}_i = Y^{-e_i} ((Y + A_{b_i} T^{b_i})^{n_i} - A_{b_i}^{n_i} T^{b_i n_i})^{e_i}$, $\text{in}_{\omega} (\widehat{f}_{i+1} \cdots \widehat{f}_m) = Y^{e_i}$, and $\text{Res}(\text{in}_{\omega} \widehat{f}_i, Y^{e_i})|_{T=1} = (n_i^{e_i} A_{b_i}^{e_{i-1} - e_i})^{e_i}$.

If $i = 1$, then using Lemma 4 we obtain that coefficients of $\widehat{f}_2 \cdots \widehat{f}_m$ are polynomials in $C, A', \frac{1}{A_{b_1}}$.

Take an arbitrary $i \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$ and suppose that $\widehat{f}_i \cdots \widehat{f}_m$ has coefficients in $C, A', \frac{1}{A_{b_1}}, \dots, \frac{1}{A_{b_{i-1}}}$. Since $\widehat{f}_i \cdots \widehat{f}_m = \widehat{f}_i \cdot (\widehat{f}_{i+1} \cdots \widehat{f}_m)$, by inductive assumption and by Lemma 4 we have that coefficients of $\widehat{f}_{i+1} \cdots \widehat{f}_m$ are in $\mathbb{C}[C, A', \frac{1}{A_{b_1}}, \dots, \frac{1}{A_{b_i}}]$ and thus the claim is proven. \square

Let $\omega := (1, b_m)$ and $G := Y - \hat{A}_{b_m} T^{b_m}$ be a linear factor of $Y^{n_m} - A_{b_m}^{n_m} T^{n_m b_m}$. Then

$$\text{in}_\omega \hat{f}_m = GH$$

where

$$H = Y^{n_m-1} + \hat{A}_{b_m} Y^{n_m-2} T^{b_m} + \dots + \hat{A}_{b_m}^{n_m-1} T^{(n_m-1)b_m}.$$

Clearly $\text{Res}(G, H) = n_m \hat{A}_{b_m}^{n_m-1} T^{(n_m-1)b_m}$. It follows from Claim 1 that coefficients of \hat{f}_m are polynomials in $C, A', \frac{1}{A_{b_1}}, \dots, \frac{1}{A_{b_{m-1}}}$. By Lemma 4 we have $\hat{f}_m = gh$, where $\text{in}_\omega g = G$, $\text{in}_\omega h = H$ and coefficients \hat{A}_i of

$$g = Y - \sum_{i=b_m}^{\infty} \hat{A}_i T^i$$

are polynomials in $C, A', \frac{1}{A_{b_1}}, \dots, \frac{1}{A_{b_{m-1}}}, \frac{1}{A_{b_m}}, \hat{A}_{b_m}$.

Using (6) and the identity $\hat{A}_{b_m}^{n_m} = A_{b_m}^{n_m}$ we can rewrite \hat{A}_i as the sum

$$\hat{A}_i = \sum_{j=0}^{n_m-1} M_{i,j} \hat{A}_{b_m}^j, \quad (8)$$

where $M_{i,j}$ are polynomials in $C, A', \frac{1}{A_{b_1}}, \dots, \frac{1}{A_{b_{m-1}}}, \frac{1}{A_{b_m}}$.

For every $\varepsilon \in U_{e_{m-1}} = U_{n_m}$, the polynomial $Y - \sum_{i=b_m}^{\infty} \varepsilon^i A_i T^i$ is a factor of \hat{f}_m . Hence substituting in (8) $\hat{A}_{b_m} := \varepsilon^{b_m} A_{b_m}$ we get by $\hat{A}_i = \varepsilon^i A_i$ identities

$$\varepsilon^i A_i = \sum_{j=0}^{n_m-1} M_{i,j} \cdot (\varepsilon^{b_m} A_{b_m})^j.$$

Writing the above formulas in the form

$$A_i = \sum_{j=0}^{n_m-1} \varepsilon^{b_m j - i} M_{i,j} A_{b_m}^j$$

and taking their sum over all $\varepsilon \in U_{n_m}$ we get

$$n_m A_i = \sum_{j=0}^{n_m-1} \sum_{\varepsilon \in U_{n_m}} \varepsilon^{b_m j - i} M_{i,j} A_{b_m}^j$$

Thus by Lemma 6 we have $A_i = W_i A_{b_m}^{j_i}$, where $W_i = M_{i,j_i}$ and $0 \leq j_i < n_m$, $b_m j_i \equiv i \pmod{n_m}$. □

Corollary 1. *Let $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$.*

- (i) There exist a polynomial M depending on C and A_i for $i < b_k$ and a monomial N in variables $A_{b_1}, \dots, A_{b_{k-1}}$ such that after substituting $C = F$ we have

$$A_{b_k}^{n_k} = \frac{M}{N}. \quad (9)$$

Moreover, for every $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ there exists an integer $r > l$ such that M^r and N^r are U_n -invariant.

- (ii) For every integer i such that $b_k \leq i < b_{k+1}$ there exist a polynomial $W_i \in \mathbb{C}[C, \frac{1}{A_{b_1}}, \dots, \frac{1}{A_{b_{k-1}}}, \frac{1}{A_{b_k}}][A]$ depending on variables A_j for $j < b_k$ such that after substituting $C = F$ we have

$$A_i = W_i A_{b_k}^{j_i} \quad (10)$$

where j_i is the unique integer such that $0 \leq j_i < n_k$ and $b_k j_i \equiv i \pmod{e_{k-1}}$.

Proof. For $k = m$ the statement follows directly from Lemma 7. Take an arbitrary $k \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$. Let $p := \sqrt[e_k]{f}$ be the e_k th approximate root of f . Then $p \in \mathcal{F}(b'_0, b'_1, \dots, b'_k)$, where

$$b'_j = b_j / e_k \quad \text{for } 0 \leq j \leq k$$

and the curve $p(x, y) = 0$ has a Puiseux parametrisation $(T^{n/e_k}, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} d_i T^i)$ such that $d_i = a_{ie_k}$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, b_{k+1} - 1\}$. Note that for the sequence $e'_0 > e'_1 > \dots > e'_k$ associated with the characteristic of p we have $e'_j := n_{j+1} \cdots n_k$ for $j = 0, \dots, k-1$ and $e'_k = 1$.

Let $I = \{i_1, i_2, \dots\}$, where $i_1 < i_2 < \dots$. If $I' = \{i'_1, i'_2, \dots\}$ is the corresponding set of indices for p with the property $i'_1 < i'_2 < \dots$, then

$$\{i'_1, \dots, i'_s\} = \frac{1}{e_k} \{i_1, \dots, i_s\},$$

where $i_{s+1} = b_{k+1}$. Consider the sequence of variables $D := (D_i)_{i \in I'}$ that corresponds to the sequence of coefficients of the above Puiseux parametrisation of p . We can identify

$$A_j = D_{j/e_k} \quad \text{for } j < b_{k+1}$$

and equivalently we can write

$$D_j = A_{j e_k} \quad \text{for } j < b'_{k+1}.$$

In particular

$$A_{b_j} = D_{b'_j} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq j \leq k.$$

Let C' be the set of variables that corresponds to the coefficients of p and let F' be the set of polynomials defined similarly as F for f . Consider substitutions $C = F$ and $C' = F'$. Using Lemma 7 (i) to p we have the equality

$$A_{b_k}^{n_k} = D_{b'_k}^{n_k} = \frac{M}{N}$$

for some polynomial M depending on C' and $D_j = A_{je_k}$, where $je_k < b'_k e_k = b_k$, and for some monomial N in $D_{b'_1} = A_{b_1}, \dots, D_{b'_{k-1}} = A_{b_{k-1}}$. By Lemma 7 (ii) we obtain

$$A_i = D_{i/e_k} = W_i D_{b'_k}^{j'_i} = W_i A_{b_k}^{j'_i}$$

for some polynomial $W_i \in \mathbb{C}[C', \frac{1}{A_{b_1}}, \dots, \frac{1}{A_{b_{k-1}}}, \frac{1}{A_{b_k}}][A]$ depending on variables $D_j = A_{je_k}$ such that $je_k < b_k$ and for the unique $j'_i \in \{0, \dots, n_k - 1\}$ satisfying the condition $b_k j'_i \equiv i/e_k \pmod{e'_{k-1}}$. Since $e'_{k-1} = n_k$, the last congruence implies that $e_{k-1} | b'_k j'_i e_k - i = b_k j'_i - i$. Thus $j'_i = j_i$. Since coefficients of p depends polynomially on C , we obtain (9) and (10).

Take any $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Let r be an arbitrary multiple of n that is greater than l . Then $A_{b_k}^{n_k r} = \frac{M^r}{N^r}$ and N^r are U_n -invariant and thus M^r is also U_n -invariant. \square

Lemma 8. *Let k be an integer such that $1 \leq k \leq m$. Assume that $Q \in \mathbb{C}[C, A]$ is a U_n -invariant polynomial depending on A_i for $i < b_{k+1}$. Then there exist U_n -invariant polynomials $V, W \in \mathbb{C}[C, A]$ depending on A_i for $i < b_k$ such that $Q(F, A)V(F, A) = W(F, A)$. Moreover if $A_{b_1}, \dots, A_{b_m} \neq 0$, then $V(F, A) \neq 0$.*

Proof. Fix k ($1 \leq k \leq m$). Let $Q \in \mathbb{C}[C, A]$ be a U_n -invariant polynomial depending on A_i for $i < b_{k+1}$ and write Q as a polynomial in $\mathbb{C}[C, A'']^{[A_i, \dots, A_{i+r}]}$, where A'' are variables A_j for $j < b_k$ and $b_k \leq i < b_{k+1}$. Let $\tilde{Q}(A_{b_k}) := Q(W_i A_{b_k}^{j_i}, \dots, W_{i+r} A_{b_k}^{j_{i+r}})$. Then for every $\varepsilon \in U_{e_{k-1}}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Q}(\varepsilon^{b_k} A_{b_k}) &= Q(W_i \cdot (\varepsilon^{b_k} A_{b_k})^{j_i}, \dots, W_{i+r} \cdot (\varepsilon^{b_k} A_{b_k})^{j_{i+r}}) \\ &= Q(\varepsilon^i W_i A_{b_k}^{j_i}, \dots, \varepsilon^{i+r} W_{i+r} A_{b_k}^{j_{i+r}}) \\ &= Q(W_i A_{b_k}^{j_i}, \dots, W_{i+r} A_{b_k}^{j_{i+r}}) = \tilde{Q}(A_{b_k}). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 6 we have $\{\varepsilon^{b_k} : \varepsilon \in U_{e_{k-1}}\} = U_{n_k}$. Hence

$$\tilde{Q}(\varepsilon A_{b_k}) = \tilde{Q}(A_{b_k}) \tag{11}$$

for every $\varepsilon \in U_{n_k}$.

Let us substitute $C = F$. Using Corollary 1 we can write $\tilde{Q}(A_{b_k})$ as a Laurent polynomial

$$\tilde{Q}(A_{b_k}) = \sum Q_i A_{b_k}^i$$

with coefficients Q_i in the ring $\mathbb{C}[C, A'', \frac{1}{A_{b_1}}, \dots, \frac{1}{A_{b_{k-1}}}]$.

By the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 7 we obtain

$$\tilde{Q}(A_{b_k}) = \sum Q_{n_k i} A_{b_k}^{n_k i}.$$

It follows that Q can be expressed as a polynomial in $C, A'', \frac{1}{A_{b_1}}, \dots, \frac{1}{A_{b_{k-1}}}, \frac{1}{A_{b_k}^{n_k}}, A_{b_k}^{n_k}$. Using Corollary 1 and converting everything to a common denominator, we obtain a polynomial M_1 in variables C, A'' , a monomial N_1 in variables

$A_{b_1}, \dots, A_{b_{k-1}}$ and a non-negative integer l such that

$$QN_1M^l = M_1.$$

There exist a monomial N_2 in variables $A_{b_1}, \dots, A_{b_{k-1}}$ and $r > l$ such that N_1N_2 and M^r are U_n -invariant polynomials. Hence the polynomials $V = N_1N_2M^r$ and $W = M_1N_2M^{r-l}$ with coefficients in A', C are U_n -invariant, $QV = W$, and $V \neq 0$ provided $A_{b_1}, \dots, A_{b_m} \neq 0$. \square

Theorem 1. *Let $Q \in \mathbb{C}[A]$ be U_n -invariant. Then there exist polynomials $V, W \in \mathbb{C}[C]$ such that*

$$Q = \frac{W(F)}{V(F)}$$

and $V(F)$ is a monomial in variables A_{b_1}, \dots, A_{b_m} .

Proof. Consider the substitution $C = F$. We will prove by induction on $k \in \{1, \dots, m+1\}$ the following statement:

(T_k) for every U_n -invariant polynomial $Q \in \mathbb{C}[C, A]$ that depends on A_i for $i < b_k$ there exist polynomials $M, N \in \mathbb{C}[C]$ such that $Q = N/M$ and $M \neq 0$ provided $A_{b_1}, \dots, A_{b_m} \neq 0$.

Proof of (T_1). For indices $i \in I$ such that $i < b_1$ we have $i \equiv 0 \pmod n$. Hence the series $\sum_{\varepsilon \in U_n} \alpha(\varepsilon T)$ and $n\alpha$ are equal up to order b_1 . By (1) the first of these series is equal to minus coefficient of the polynomial $f(T^n, Y)$ at Y^{n-1} . Thus for $i < b_1$ we have $A_i = -\frac{1}{n}C_{i/n, n-1}$. Hence Q can be expressed as a polynomial in C .

Proof of (T_k) \Rightarrow (T_{k+1}). Let Q be a U_n -invariant complex polynomial that depends on A_i for $i < b_{k+1}$. By Lemma 8 there exist U_n -invariant polynomials $V, W \in \mathbb{C}[C, A]$ depending on A_i for $i < b_k$ such that $QV = W$. Moreover if $A_{b_1}, \dots, A_{b_m} \neq 0$, then $V \neq 0$.

By inductive assumption there are polynomials $M_1, N_1, M_2, N_2 \in \mathbb{C}[C]$ such that $V = N_1/M_1$, $W = N_2/M_2$ and $M_1, M_2 \neq 0$ provided $A_{b_1}, \dots, A_{b_m} \neq 0$. Then $Q = \frac{W}{V} = \frac{N_2/M_2}{N_1/M_1} = \frac{N_2M_1}{N_1M_2}$. Since $N_1 = VM_1$, we get $N_1M_2 \neq 0$ provided $A_{b_1}, \dots, A_{b_m} \neq 0$.

We proved by induction the first part of the theorem. The denominator V has the property: if $A_{b_1}, \dots, A_{b_m} \neq 0$, then $V(F) \neq 0$. Hence by Hilbert Nullstellensatz $V(F)$ divides $(A_{b_1} \cdots A_{b_m})^l$ for some positive integer l . \square

5 Irreducible power series

Let us consider the following variation of the Weierstrass theorem.

Theorem 2 (Weierstrass). *Assume that $f = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} X^i Y^j \in \mathbb{C}[[X, Y]]$ and there exists $m > 0$ such that $c_{0j} = 0$ for $j < m$ and $c_{0m} = 1$. Then there exist unique $u_f, w_f \in \mathbb{C}[[X, Y]]$ such that $f = u_f w_f$, $u_f(0, 0) = 1 \neq 0$ and w_f is a Weierstrass polynomial with respect to Y . Moreover, the coefficients of w_f and u_f depends polynomially on the coefficients of f .*

Proof. It is enough to apply the proof of [3, Theorem 2.3] with $r = 2$, $X_1 = X, X_2 = Y, G = Y^m, Q = u_f^{-1}, F = f$ and $R = Y^m - w_f$. Then $R_{-1} = 0, c = a_m(0) = 1, H = H_m = G = Y^m, H_{m+i} = 0$ for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, q_0 = 1$ and $P = Y^m + (\text{terms in } Y \text{ of degree } < m)$. Since $P \in \mathbb{C}[X][Y]$ is monic and on every step of construction we divide polynomial $-q_0 F_{m+k} - q_1 F_{m+k-1} - \dots - q_{k-1} F_{m+1}$ by P , the constructed polynomials q_i, R_i depends polynomially on the coefficients of f . This implies that coefficients of $w_f = Y^m - R = Y^m - (R_0 + R_1 + \dots)$ and $Q = q_0 + q_1 + \dots$ depend polynomially on the coefficients of f . Using the formulas from the proof of [3, Proposition 1.1] and the fact that $q_0 = 1$, we obtain that the coefficients of $u_f = Q^{-1}$ also depend polynomially on the coefficients of f . \square

Using Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 we directly obtain the following fact.

Corollary 2. *Let $f \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}(b_0, b_1, \dots, b_m)$. Assume that Q is a U_n -invariant polynomial of the coefficients of a Puiseux parametrisation $(T^n, \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i T^i)$ of the curve $f(x, y) = 0$. Then Q is a rational function of the coefficients of f such that the denominator is a monomial in a_{b_1}, \dots, a_{b_m} .*

6 Non-degeneracy conditions

Consider $f = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} X^i Y^j$ which belongs to the equisingularity class $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}(n, b_1, \dots, b_m)$ and a Puiseux parametrisation $(T^n, \sum_{i \in I} a_i T^i)$ of the curve $f(x, y) = 0$.

Theorem 3. *Let $\{i_1, \dots, i_s\}$ be a finite subset of I . Then for every polynomial $Q \in \mathbb{C}[A_{i_1}, \dots, A_{i_s}]$ such that $Q(A_{i_1}, \dots, A_{i_s}) = 0 \iff Q(\varepsilon^{i_1} A_{i_1}, \dots, \varepsilon^{i_s} A_{i_s}) = 0$ for $\varepsilon \in U_n$ there exists a finite subset $\{\gamma_i, \dots, \gamma_t\}$ of \mathbb{N}^2 and a polynomial $W \in \mathbb{C}[C_{\gamma_1}, \dots, C_{\gamma_t}]$ such that $Q(a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_s}) = 0 \iff W(c_{\gamma_1}, \dots, c_{\gamma_t}) = 0$.*

Proof. Replacing Q by the product $\prod_{\varepsilon \in U_n} Q(\varepsilon^{i_1} A_{i_1}, \dots, \varepsilon^{i_s} A_{i_s})$ we may assume without loss of generality that Q is U_n -invariant. Let $f' = (1/c_{0n})f$. The power series f' satisfies assumptions of Corollary 2. Hence there exists a finite subset $\{\gamma_i, \dots, \gamma_t\}$ of \mathbb{N}^2 and polynomials $W, V \in \mathbb{C}[C_{\gamma_1}, \dots, C_{\gamma_t}]$ such that $Q(a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_s}) = W(c_{\gamma_1}/c_{0n}, \dots, c_{\gamma_t}/c_{0n})/V(c_{\gamma_1}/c_{0n}, \dots, c_{\gamma_t}/c_{0n})$. Moreover $V(c_{\gamma_1}/c_{0n}, \dots, c_{\gamma_t}/c_{0n}) \neq 0$. Since for some positive integer k , the product $c_{0n}^k \cdot W(c_{\gamma_1}/c_{0n}, \dots, c_{\gamma_t}/c_{0n})$ is a polynomial in $c_{0n}, c_{\gamma_1}, \dots, c_{\gamma_t}$, we get the theorem. \square

For a polynomial Q from the above theorem, the condition $Q(a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_s}) \neq 0$ is called a *non-degeneracy condition* for the Puiseux parametrisation of f . Theorem 3 shows that such a condition can be expressed by a polynomial depending on the coefficients of the power series f .

References

- [1] B. Gryska, J. Gwoździewicz, B. Schober, *Loose edges and factorization theorems*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 149 (2021), 2265-2278.
- [2] J. Gwoździewicz, A. Płoski, *On the approximate roots of polynomials*, Ann. Polon. Math. 60 (1995), no. 3, 199–210.
- [3] A. Hefez, *Irreducible plane curve singularities in Real and complex singularities*, 1–120, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., 232, Dekker, New York, 2003.
- [4] A. Hefez, M. E. Hernandez, *The analytic classification of plane branches*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 43 (2011), no. 2, 289–298.
- [5] R. J. Walker, *Algebraic Curves.*, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 13. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1950.
- [6] O. Zariski, *Studies in equisingularity. III. Saturation of local rings and equisingularity*, Amer. J. Math. 90 (1968), 961–1023.
- [7] O. Zariski, *The moduli problem for plane branches. With an appendix by Bernard Teissier. Translated from the 1973 French original by Ben Lichtin.*, University Lecture Series, 39. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006.

Beata Gryska
Institute of Mathematics
Pedagogical University of Cracow
Podchorążych 2
PL-30-084 Cracow, Poland
e-mail: bhejmej1f@gmail.com

Janusz Gwoździewicz
Institute of Mathematics
Pedagogical University of Cracow
Podchorążych 2
PL-30-084 Cracow, Poland
e-mail: janusz.gwozdzieicz@up.krakow.pl