

# MEAN VALUE FORMULAS ON SURFACES IN GRUSHIN SPACES

VALENTINA FRANCESCHI, ROBERTO MONTI, AND ALESSANDRO SOCIONOVO

ABSTRACT. We prove (sub)mean value formulas at the point  $0 \in \Sigma$  for (sub)harmonic functions  $a$  on a hypersurface  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  where the differentiable structure and the surface measure depend on the ambient Grushin structure.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\alpha > 0$ , we consider the vector fields on  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$

$$X_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad X_{n+1} = |x|^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial y}. \quad (1.1)$$

Here, a generic point in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  is denoted by  $\xi = (x, y) = (x_1, \dots, x_n, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . The Grushin operator (also known as Franchi-Lanconelli operator, see [7]) is the second order partial differential operator on  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  given by

$$\mathcal{L}\varphi = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} X_i^2 \varphi = \Delta_x \varphi + |x|^{2\alpha} \partial_y^2 \varphi, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $|x| = (x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2)^{1/2}$ . When  $\alpha$  is an even integer, this operator is hypoelliptic and admits a fundamental solution with pole at any point  $\xi_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  (see [1] for an explicit representation). When  $\xi_0 = 0$ , an explicit formula for this fundamental solution is in fact known for any  $\alpha > 0$  and, up to a normalization constant, it is the function  $\Gamma(\xi) = \varrho(\xi)^{1-n-\alpha}$ ,  $\xi \neq 0$ , where  $\varrho : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the gauge function

$$\varrho(\xi) = (|x|^{2(\alpha+1)} + (\alpha+1)^2 y^2)^{\frac{1}{2(\alpha+1)}}. \quad (1.3)$$

Let  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  be a hypersurface of class  $C^2$  with  $0 \in \Sigma$ . We declare the vector-fields (1.1) orthonormal and we project them onto the tangent space to  $\Sigma$ , getting tangential operators  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{n+1}$ . We fix on  $\Sigma$  the hypersurface measure  $\sigma$  associated with (1.1) according to the theory of sub-elliptic perimeters and then we define the adjoint operators  $\delta_1^*, \dots, \delta_{n+1}^*$  with respect to  $\sigma$ . The natural restriction of  $\mathcal{L}$  to  $\Sigma$  is the differential operator

$$\mathcal{L}_\Sigma = - \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \delta_i^* \delta_i.$$

In this paper, we investigate the validity of mean value formulas (sub-mean value formulas) at the point  $0 \in \Sigma$  for functions  $f \in C^2(\Sigma)$  satisfying  $\mathcal{L}_\Sigma f = 0$  ( $\mathcal{L}_\Sigma f \geq 0$ , respectively).

The operator  $\mathcal{L}$  is an example of “sub-Laplacian” or “sum of squares of vector fields” satisfying the Hörmander condition [8]. When  $\mathcal{L} = \sum_{i=1}^m X_i^2$  is such an operator in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ , the validity of mean-value formulas for  $\mathcal{L}$ -harmonic functions is established in [4, 3, 5]. Denoting by  $\Gamma(\cdot, \xi_0)$  the fundamental solution for  $\mathcal{L}$  with pole at  $\xi_0$ , if a function  $f$  satisfies  $\mathcal{L}f = 0$  then for any  $r > 0$  and  $\xi_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$

$$f(\xi_0) = \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Omega_r(\xi_0)} f(\xi) K(\xi, \xi_0) d\xi,$$

where  $\Omega_r(\xi_0) = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : \Gamma(\xi, \xi_0) > 1/r\}$  and  $K(\xi, \xi_0) = |X\Gamma(\xi, \xi_0)|^2 / \Gamma(\xi, \xi_0)^2$ , with  $|X\Gamma(\cdot, \xi_0)|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m (X_i \Gamma(\cdot, \xi_0))^2$ . The appearance of the kernel  $K$  is due to the different symmetry of Carnot-Carathéodory balls associated with the vector-fields building up  $\mathcal{L}$  and level sets of  $\Gamma(\cdot, \xi_0)$ .

In the Riemannian case, the validity of mean-value formulas on metric balls for harmonic functions leads to the notion of “harmonic manifold”. Starting probably with [9], there exists a huge literature on the problem of characterizing harmonic manifolds and it is not possible to give a full account, here. In fact, our hypersurface  $\Sigma$  embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  with the Grushin structure is not a Riemannian manifold but rather a weighted Riemannian manifold that becomes singular at the point  $0 \in \Sigma$ , see Remark 2.1.

In the Grushin space, the harmonicity at  $0 \in \Sigma$  is governed by the following structural function  $q_\Sigma : \Sigma \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$q_\Sigma(\xi) = \langle X\varrho, \nu \rangle \left[ (n + 3\alpha) \langle X \log \varrho, \nu \rangle - 2\alpha \langle \nabla_x \log |x|, \bar{\nu} \rangle + nH_\Sigma \right]. \quad (1.4)$$

Above,  $X\varrho = (X_1\varrho, \dots, X_{n+1}\varrho)$  is the  $X$ -gradient of the gauge function  $\varrho$ ,  $\nu = (\bar{\nu}, \nu_{n+1})$  is the  $\alpha$ -normal to  $\Sigma$ ,  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  are standard scalar products in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  and  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $H_\Sigma$  is the mean curvature of  $\Sigma$  associated with the Grushin structure. We say that  $\Sigma$  is  $\alpha$ -harmonic if  $q_\Sigma = 0$ . In particular, any homogeneous hypersurface,  $\langle X\varrho, \nu \rangle = 0$ , is  $\alpha$ -harmonic, as we show in Section 5.1.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  be an  $\alpha$ -harmonic hypersurface of class  $C^2$  with  $0 \in \Sigma$ . Any function  $f \in C^2(\Sigma)$  such that  $\mathcal{L}_\Sigma f = 0$  satisfies the mean-value formula at 0*

$$f(0) = \frac{C_{\Sigma, n, \alpha}}{r^{n+\alpha}} \int_{B_r \cap \Sigma} f(\xi) |\delta\varrho(\xi)|^2 d\sigma, \quad (1.5)$$

for all  $r \in (0, r_0)$  and for some  $r_0 > 0$  depending on  $\Sigma$ . The constant  $0 < C_{\Sigma, n, \alpha} < \infty$  is defined by

$$\frac{1}{C_{\Sigma, n, \alpha}} = \frac{1}{r^{n+\alpha}} \int_{B_r \cap \Sigma} |\delta\varrho(\xi)|^2 d\sigma, \quad (1.6)$$

where the right hand-side does not depend on  $r \in (0, r_0)$ .

Above, the balls are

$$B_r = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : \varrho(\xi) < r\}.$$

and  $|\delta\varrho| \leq 1$  is the length of the tangential gradient of  $\varrho$ . When  $\Sigma$  is homogeneous, the kernel is  $|\delta\varrho|^2 = |x|^{2\alpha}/\varrho^{2\alpha}$ . In the case of  $\alpha$ -subharmonic hypersurfaces,  $q_\Sigma \geq 0$ , the statement is similar.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  be an  $\alpha$ -subharmonic hypersurface of class  $C^2$  with  $0 \in \Sigma$ . Any function  $f \in C^2(\Sigma)$  such that  $\mathcal{L}_\Sigma f \geq 0$  satisfies the following sub-mean-value formula at 0*

$$f(0) \leq \frac{C_{\Sigma, n, \alpha}}{r^{n+\alpha}} \int_{B_r \cap \Sigma} f(\xi) |\delta\varrho(\xi)|^2 d\sigma,$$

for all  $r \in (0, r_0)$  and for some  $r_0 > 0$  depending on  $\Sigma$ . The constant  $0 < C_{\Sigma, n, \alpha} < \infty$  is defined by

$$\frac{1}{C_{\Sigma, n, \alpha}} = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{r^{n+\alpha}} \int_{B_r \cap \Sigma} |\delta\varrho(\xi)|^2 d\sigma. \quad (1.7)$$

Our interest in sub-mean value formulas on hypersurfaces of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  endowed with a system of Hörmander vector fields comes from the theory of minimal surfaces. One of the key tools in Bombieri-De Giorgi-Miranda's proof of the gradient estimate is the sub-mean value property for sub-harmonic functions on minimal surfaces of the Euclidean space, see [2]. In our setting, a minimal surface is defined by  $H_\Sigma = 0$ . This condition simplifies the structural function  $q_\Sigma$ , however, this is not sufficient to have  $q_\Sigma \geq 0$ .

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall the basic definitions of the measure  $\sigma$ , of the  $\alpha$ -normal  $\nu$  of  $\Sigma$ , and of mean curvature  $H_\Sigma$ . In Section 3, we introduce the various differential operators and we develop a calculus on radial functions. The explicit computations of second order derivatives of  $\varrho$  is crucial, here. In Section 4, we prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. Finally, in Section 5 we study the structural function  $q_\Sigma$ .

## 2. PERIMETER AND MEAN CURVATURE OF HYPERSURFACES

The  $\alpha$ -perimeter of a Lebesgue measurable set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  in an open set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  is

$$P_\alpha(E; A) = \sup \left\{ \int_E \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} X_i \varphi_i(\xi) d\xi : \varphi \in C_c^1(A; \mathbb{R}^{n+1}), \max_{\xi \in A} |\varphi(\xi)| \leq 1 \right\}.$$

We are using the Lebesgue measure  $d\xi = d\mathcal{L}^{n+1}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . When the boundary of  $E$  is locally the graph of a Lipschitz function, its  $\alpha$ -perimeter has the following integral

representation (see [6, Proposition 2.1])

$$P_\alpha(E; A) = \int_{\partial E \cap A} \sqrt{|\bar{N}|^2 + |x|^{2\alpha}|N'|^2} d\mathcal{H}^n, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $N(\xi) = (\bar{N}(\xi), N'(\xi)) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  is the Euclidean outer unit normal to  $\partial E$  at the point  $\xi$ , and  $\mathcal{H}^n$  is the standard  $n$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . On top of its appearance as a sub-Riemannian perimeter, the relevance of the perimeter  $P_\alpha$  is due to its relation with the Heisenberg perimeter. When  $\alpha = 1$  and  $n$  is even, then the Heisenberg perimeter of a set with cylindrical symmetry coincides with its  $\alpha$ -perimeter, see e.g., [6, Proposition 2.3].

Motivated by (2.1), when  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  is an orientable hypersurface that is locally a Lipschitz graph and  $N = (\bar{N}, N')$  is its Euclidean normal, we call the Borel measure on  $\Sigma$

$$\sigma = \sqrt{|\bar{N}|^2 + |x|^{2\alpha}|N'|^2} \mathcal{H}^n \llcorner \Sigma. \quad (2.2)$$

the  $\alpha$ -perimeter measure of  $\Sigma$ .

The regular part of  $\Sigma$  is the set  $\Sigma^* = \{\xi = (x, y) \in \Sigma : x \neq 0\}$ . At  $\mathcal{H}^n$ -a.e. point  $\xi \in \Sigma^*$  we can define the  $\alpha$ -normal of  $\Sigma$  as the vector field  $\nu = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \nu_i X_i$  with

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_i &= \frac{N_i}{\sqrt{|\bar{N}|^2 + a^2|N'|^2}}, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n, \\ \nu_{n+1} &= \frac{aN_{n+1}}{\sqrt{|\bar{N}|^2 + a^2|N'|^2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

With abuse of notation, we identify  $\nu$  with the mapping  $\nu : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  given by the vector of its coordinates  $\nu(\xi) = (\nu_1(\xi), \dots, \nu_{n+1}(\xi)) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  for  $\xi \in \Sigma^*$ .

**Remark 2.1** (Comparison with the Riemannian structure). The hypersurface measure  $\sigma$  and the  $\alpha$ -normal  $\nu$  can be interpreted in the following Riemannian terms. The tensor metric in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus \{x = 0\}$  making  $X_1, \dots, X_{n+1}$  orthonormal is

$$g_\alpha(\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} I_n & 0 \\ 0 & |x|^{-2\alpha} \end{pmatrix}, \quad x \neq 0.$$

When  $x = 0$  the metric is not defined. Then, the  $\alpha$ -normal  $\nu$  introduced in (2.3) is precisely the Riemannian unit normal to the hypersurface  $\Sigma$ .

On the other hand, the Riemannian volume associated with  $g_\alpha$  is the measure  $\mu = |x|^{-\alpha} \mathcal{L}^{n+1}$  and is singular at  $x = 0$ . The Riemannian surface area associated with  $g_\alpha$  of a hypersurface  $\Sigma$  is the measure

$$\mu_\Sigma = |x|^{-\alpha} \sqrt{|\bar{N}|^2 + |x|^{2\alpha}|N'|^2} \mathcal{H}^n \llcorner \Sigma,$$

where  $N = (\bar{N}, N')$  is the Euclidean unit normal. We deduce that Lebesgue measure and  $\alpha$ -perimeter are weighted Riemannian volume and hypersurface measures with

the same weight:

$$\mathcal{L}^{n+1} = |x|^\alpha \mu \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma = |x|^\alpha \mu_\Sigma.$$

We now focus on the case of graphs. Let  $\Sigma = \Sigma_u = \{\xi = (x, u(x)) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : x \in \Omega\}$  be the  $y$ -graph of a function  $u \in C^1(\Omega)$  for some open set  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . We shall assume that  $0 \in \Omega$  and let  $\Omega^* = \Omega \setminus \{0\}$ . The  $\alpha$ -unit normal to  $\Sigma_u$  at points in  $\Sigma_u^*$  is the mapping  $\nu = (\bar{\nu}, \nu_{n+1}) : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$

$$\bar{\nu} = \frac{-\nabla u}{\sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + |x|^{2\alpha}}}, \quad \nu_{n+1} = \frac{|x|^\alpha}{\sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + |x|^{2\alpha}}}. \quad (2.4)$$

This normal is pointing upwards. Notice that  $\nu = \nu(\xi)$  only depends on  $x$  and not on  $y = u(x)$ .

From (2.2) and from the area-formula, we deduce that the  $\sigma$ -area of  $\Sigma$  has the integral representation

$$\sigma(\Sigma_u) = \int_\Omega \sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + |x|^{2\alpha}} \, dx = \int_\Omega v(x) \, dx, \quad (2.5)$$

where  $v$  is the  $\sigma$ -area element

$$v(x) = \sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + |x|^{2\alpha}}. \quad (2.6)$$

If  $\Sigma_u$  minimizes the  $\sigma$ -area with respect to compact perturbations in  $\Omega$  and  $u \in C^2(\Omega)$ , then  $u$  satisfies the partial differential equation of the minimal surface-type

$$\operatorname{div} \left( \frac{\nabla u(x)}{\sqrt{|\nabla u(x)|^2 + |x|^{2\alpha}}} \right) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega^*.$$

This follows by a standard first variation procedure applied to (2.5). This suggests the following definition.

**Definition 2.2** ( $\alpha$ -mean curvature). Let  $\Sigma$  be the  $y$ -graph of a function  $u \in C^2(\Omega)$ . We define the  $\alpha$ -mean curvature of  $\Sigma$  at the point  $\xi = (x, u(x)) \in \Sigma^*$  as

$$H_\Sigma(\xi) = \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{div} \left( \frac{\nabla u(x)}{\sqrt{|\nabla u(x)|^2 + |x|^{2\alpha}}} \right). \quad (2.7)$$

We say that  $\Sigma$  is an  $\alpha$ -minimal hypersurface if  $H_\Sigma = 0$  on  $\Sigma^*$ .

A more geometric definition of  $\alpha$ -mean curvature will be presented in the next section.

## 3. TANGENTIAL OPERATORS AND LAPLACIANS

We introduce tangential differential operators on hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  endowed with the Grushin structure. Let  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  be an embedded hypersurface of class  $C^2$  with  $\alpha$ -normal  $\nu : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ .

The  $X$ -gradient of a function  $\varphi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$  is the vector-field  $X\varphi = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} X_i\varphi X_i$  that we identify, with abuse of notation, with the vector of its coordinates  $X\varphi = (X_1\varphi, \dots, X_{n+1}\varphi)$ . We denote the standard scalar product on  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ .

**Definition 3.1** (Tangential gradient). Let  $\nu : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  be the  $\alpha$ -normal of  $\Sigma$ . The tangential gradient on  $\Sigma$  is the mapping  $\delta : C^1(\Sigma) \rightarrow C(\Sigma^*; \mathbb{R}^{n+1})$

$$\delta\varphi = X\varphi - \langle X\varphi, \nu \rangle \nu. \quad (3.1)$$

For any  $i = 1, \dots, n+1$  we also let  $\delta_i\varphi = X_i\varphi - \langle X\varphi, \nu \rangle \nu_i$ .

In (3.1),  $\varphi$  is extended outside  $\Sigma$  in a  $C^1$  way, and the definition will be independent of this extension. We are assuming that  $\Sigma$  is oriented and we are fixing a choice of  $\alpha$ -normal. The definition does not depend on this choice. When  $\Sigma$  is an  $y$ -graph, we agree that  $\nu$  is pointing upwards. In this case, the  $\alpha$ -normal  $\nu$  can be extended outside  $\Sigma$  in a way that is independent of the variable  $y$ . In the rest of the paper the surface  $\Sigma$  will be always assumed to be an  $y$ -graph. Definition (2.7) of  $\alpha$ -mean curvature reads

$$H_\Sigma = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \nu_i. \quad (3.2)$$

The  $\alpha$ -curvature  $H_\Sigma$  can be defined in a natural way using the tangential operators  $\delta_i$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  be an  $y$ -graph of class  $C^2$ . Then on  $\Sigma^*$  we have the identity*

$$H_\Sigma = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \delta_i \nu_i.$$

*Proof.* We use the definition of  $\delta$  and observe that  $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \nu_i X_k \nu_i = X_k(|\nu|^2/2) = 0$ , and  $X_{n+1}\nu_{n+1} = 0$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \delta_i \nu_i &= \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i \nu_i + \delta_{n+1} \nu_{n+1} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \nu_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \nu_i \nu_k X_k \nu_i + X_{n+1} \nu_{n+1} - \nu_{n+1} \nu_k X_k \nu_{n+1} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \nu_i - \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \left( \nu_k \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \nu_i X_k \nu_i \right) + X_{n+1} \nu_{n+1} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \nu_i = -n H_\Sigma. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Next we introduce the adjoint operators  $\delta_i^*$ , integrating by parts with respect to the measure  $\sigma$ .

**Definition 3.3** (Adjoint tangential operators). For each  $i = 1, \dots, n+1$ , we define the *adjoint tangential operator*  $\delta_i^* : C^1(\Sigma) \rightarrow C(\Sigma^*)$  through the identity

$$\int_{\Sigma} \psi \delta_i \varphi \, d\sigma = - \int_{\Sigma} \varphi \delta_i^* \psi \, d\sigma, \quad \varphi, \psi \in C_c^1(\Sigma). \quad (3.3)$$

The explicit formula for adjoint operators is given in the next lemma.

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  be a hypersurface with  $\alpha$ -mean curvature  $H_{\Sigma}$ . For every  $\psi \in C_c^1(\Sigma)$  and  $i = 1, \dots, n+1$ , we have on  $\Sigma^*$*

$$\delta_i^* \psi = -\delta_i \psi - \psi \left[ \delta_i \log |x|^{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\nu_{n+1}} \left( \delta_{n+1} \nu_i - \delta_i \nu_{n+1} \right) + n H_{\Sigma} \nu_i \right]. \quad (3.4)$$

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi, \psi \in C_c^1(\Sigma^*)$ . Then by the area formula (2.5) with  $v$  as in (2.6)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma} \varphi \delta_i^* \psi \, d\sigma &= \int_{\Sigma} \psi \delta_i \varphi \, d\sigma = \int_{\Omega} \psi (X_i \varphi - \langle X \varphi, \nu \rangle \nu_i) v \, dx \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} \varphi \left[ X_i (v \psi) - \sum_{k=1}^n X_k (\nu_i \nu_k v \psi) \right] dx \\ &= - \int_{\Sigma} \varphi \frac{A}{v} \, d\sigma, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last identity we set  $A = X_i (v \psi) - \sum_{k=1}^n X_k (\nu_i \nu_k v \psi)$ . We are left to prove that  $A/v$  is equal to the right-hand side in (3.4).

We have

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{A}{v} &= -\frac{1}{v} \left[ \psi X_i v + v X_i \psi - v \nu_i \langle X \psi, \nu \rangle - \psi \sum_{k=1}^n X_k (\nu_i \nu_k v) \right] \\ &= -\delta_i \psi - \frac{\psi}{v} \left[ X_i v - \nu_i \langle X v, \nu \rangle - v \sum_{k=1}^n X_k (\nu_i \nu_k) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

In the term within brackets above, we easily recognize  $X_i v - \nu_i \langle X v, \nu \rangle = \delta v$ . On the other hand, using  $X_{n+1} \nu = 0$  and (3.2), we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^n X_k (\nu_i \nu_k) = -n \nu_i H_{\Sigma} - \frac{1}{\nu_{n+1}} \left[ X_{n+1} \nu_i - \nu_{n+1} \langle X \nu_i, \nu \rangle \right] = -n \nu_i H_{\Sigma} - \frac{1}{\nu_{n+1}} \delta_{n+1} \nu_i.$$

Summarizing, we have

$$\frac{A}{v} = \delta_i \psi + \psi \left[ \frac{\delta_i v}{v} + \frac{\delta_{n+1} \nu_i}{\nu_{n+1}} + n H_{\Sigma} \nu_i \right].$$

To prove our claim it then remains to check the following identity

$$\frac{\delta_i v}{v} = \frac{\delta_i a}{a} - \frac{\delta_i \nu_{n+1}}{\nu_{n+1}} = \delta_i (\log a) - \frac{\delta_i \nu_{n+1}}{\nu_{n+1}}.$$

Indeed, since  $\nu_{n+1} = a/v$  we have

$$\frac{\delta_i a}{a} - \frac{\delta_i \nu_{n+1}}{\nu_{n+1}} = \frac{\delta_i a}{a} - \frac{v \delta_i (\frac{a}{v})}{a} = \frac{\delta_i a}{a} - \frac{v}{a} \left( \frac{\delta_i a}{v} - \frac{a}{v^2} \delta_i v \right) = \frac{\delta_i v}{v}.$$

□

**Definition 3.5** (Tangential Laplacians). Let  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  be a hypersurface of class  $C^2$ . The *tangential Laplacian* of  $\Sigma$  is the operator  $\mathcal{L}_\Sigma : C^2(\Sigma) \rightarrow C(\Sigma^*)$

$$\mathcal{L}_\Sigma \varphi = - \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \delta_i^* \delta_i \varphi.$$

The relation between  $\mathcal{L}_\Sigma$  and the non-adjoint Laplacian

$$\Delta_\Sigma \varphi = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \delta_i^2 \varphi. \quad (3.5)$$

is described in the following proposition.

**Lemma 3.6.** *For any  $\varphi \in C^2(\Sigma)$  we have the identity*

$$\mathcal{L}_\Sigma \varphi = \Delta_\Sigma \varphi + \nu_{n+1}^2 \langle \delta \varphi, \delta \log a \rangle + \delta_{n+1} \varphi \delta_{n+1} \log a \quad (3.6)$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\mathcal{L}_\Sigma \varphi = - \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \delta_i^* \delta_i \varphi = \Delta_\Sigma \varphi + \langle \delta \varphi, \delta \log a \rangle - \frac{1}{\nu_{n+1}} \langle \delta \varphi, \delta \nu_{n+1} - \delta_{n+1} \nu \rangle.$$

By formula (3.4), for  $i = 1, \dots, n+1$  we have

$$\delta \nu_{n+1} - \delta_{n+1} \nu = \nu_{n+1} [\delta \log a - (\bar{0}, \delta_{n+1} \log a)] + \nu_{n+1}^2 [\nu \delta_{n+1} \log a - \nu_{n+1} \delta \log a].$$

Since  $\langle \delta(\cdot), \nu \rangle = 0$ , we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} - \frac{1}{\nu_{n+1}} \langle \delta \varphi, \delta \nu_{n+1} - \delta_{n+1} \nu \rangle &= - \langle \delta \varphi, \delta \log a \rangle + \delta_{n+1} \varphi \delta_{n+1} \log a \\ &\quad + \nu_{n+1}^2 \langle \delta \varphi, \delta \log a \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

proving the result. □

The formal Hessian of  $\varphi$  with respect to the vector-fields  $X_1, \dots, X_{n+1}$  is the  $(n+1) \times (n+1)$  matrix

$$X^2 \varphi = (X_i X_j \varphi)_{i,j=1,\dots,n+1}.$$

The non-adjoint Laplacian  $\Delta_\Sigma$  has a clear representation in terms of the Grushin operator  $\mathcal{L}$  in (1.2),  $X^2$  and  $\alpha$ -mean curvature of  $\Sigma$ .

**Lemma 3.7.** *Let  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  be a hypersurface of class  $C^2$  with  $\alpha$ -mean curvature  $H_\Sigma$ . For any  $\varphi \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$  we have the identity on  $\Sigma^*$*

$$\Delta_\Sigma \varphi = \mathcal{L} \varphi - \langle (X^2 \varphi) \nu, \nu \rangle + n H_\Sigma \langle X \varphi, \nu \rangle. \quad (3.7)$$



*Proof.* We first compute  $\delta_i^2\varphi$ , for  $i \geq 1$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_i^2\varphi &= \delta_i(X_i\varphi - \langle X\varphi, \nu \rangle \nu_i) \\ &= X_i X_i \varphi - \langle X X_i \varphi, \nu \rangle \nu_i - \langle X\varphi, \nu \rangle \delta_i \nu_i - \delta_i(\langle X\varphi, \nu \rangle) \nu_i.\end{aligned}$$

Summing over  $i$ , we obtain the identities

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \langle X X_i \varphi, \nu \rangle \nu_i &= \langle (X^2\varphi)\nu, \nu \rangle, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \delta_i \nu_i = -nH_\Sigma, \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \delta_i(\langle X\varphi, \nu \rangle) \nu_i &= \langle \delta(\langle X\varphi, \nu \rangle), \nu \rangle = 0,\end{aligned}$$

and this complete the proof.  $\square$

We specialize the previous formulas to the case when  $\varphi$  is a radial function around  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . The symmetry is governed by the gauge function  $\varrho$  in (1.3). Below, we collect the differential identities concerning first and second order derivatives of  $\varrho$ . With the notation  $\xi = (x, y)$  and  $\varrho = \varrho(\xi)$  we have

$$\nabla_x \varrho = x|x|^{2\alpha} \varrho^{-(2\alpha+1)} \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_y \varrho = (\alpha + 1)y\varrho^{-(2\alpha+1)}.$$

Then the squared norm of the  $X$ -gradient of  $\varrho$  is

$$|X\varrho|^2 = |\nabla_x \varrho|^2 + |x|^{2\alpha} |\partial_y \varrho|^2 = |x|^{2\alpha} \varrho^{-2\alpha}. \quad (3.8)$$

The second derivatives of  $\varrho$  are, with  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$  and denoting by  $\varepsilon_{ij}$  the Kronecker symbol,

$$\begin{aligned}X_i X_j \varrho &= |x|^{2\alpha} \left[ \varepsilon_{ij} + 2\alpha \frac{x_i x_j}{|x|^2} - (2\alpha + 1) \frac{x_i x_j |x|^{2\alpha}}{\varrho^{2(\alpha+1)}} \right], \\ X_i X_{n+1} \varrho &= (\alpha + 1) |x|^{2\alpha} x_i y \varrho^{-2\alpha-1} \left[ \frac{\alpha}{|x|^{\alpha+2}} - (2\alpha + 1) \frac{|x|^{2\alpha}}{\varrho^{2(\alpha+1)}} \right], \\ X_{n+1} X_j \varrho &= -(2\alpha + 1)(\alpha + 1) |x|^{3\alpha} x_j y \varrho^{-4\alpha-3}, \\ X_{n+1}^2 \varrho &= (\alpha + 1) |x|^{2\alpha} \varrho(x)^{-2\alpha-1} \left[ 1 - (2\alpha + 1)(\alpha + 1) \frac{y^2}{\varrho^{2(\alpha+1)}} \right].\end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

From (3.9), we get the following formulas for the Laplacian  $\mathcal{L}$  and for the quadratic form  $\langle (X^2\varrho)\nu, \nu \rangle$ :

$$\mathcal{L}\varrho = (n + \alpha) \frac{|X\varrho|^2}{\varrho}, \quad (3.10)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (X^2 \varrho) \nu, \nu \rangle &= |\bar{\nu}|^2 + 2\alpha \frac{\langle x, \bar{\nu} \rangle^2}{|x|^2} - (2\alpha + 1) \frac{|x|^{2\alpha} \langle x, \bar{\nu} \rangle^2}{\varrho^{2(\alpha+1)}} \\ &\quad + (\alpha + 1) \langle x, \bar{\nu} \rangle^2 \nu_{n+1} y \left( \frac{\alpha}{|x|^{\alpha+2}} - 2(2\alpha + 1) \frac{|x|^{2\alpha} \langle x, \bar{\nu} \rangle^2}{\varrho^{2(\alpha+1)}} \right) \\ &\quad + (\alpha + 1) \nu_{n+1}^2 \left( 1 - (\alpha + 1)(2\alpha + 1) \frac{y^2}{\varrho^{2(\alpha+1)}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Let  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  be a hypersurface with  $\alpha$ -normal  $\nu$  and  $\alpha$ -mean curvature  $H_\Sigma$ . The structural function  $q_\Sigma : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  introduced in (1.4) governs the harmonicity of  $\Sigma$  at  $0 \in \Sigma$  and appears in the following formula.

**Theorem 3.8** (Tangential Laplacian of radial functions). *For any  $\varphi \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$ , the function  $\psi \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\})$ ,  $\psi = \varphi \circ \varrho$ , satisfies the identity*

$$\mathcal{L}_\Sigma \psi = \left\{ \varphi''(\varrho) + \varphi'(\varrho) \frac{n + \alpha - 1}{\varrho} \right\} |\delta \varrho|^2 + q_\Sigma \varphi'(\varrho). \quad (3.12)$$

*Proof.* In a first step, we prove that formula (3.12) holds with the following expression for  $q_\Sigma$ :

$$\begin{aligned} q_\Sigma &= -\frac{n + \alpha - 1}{\varrho} |\delta \varrho|^2 + (n + \alpha) \frac{|X \varrho|^2}{\varrho} - \langle (X^2 \varrho) \nu, \nu \rangle + n H_\Sigma \langle X \varrho, \nu \rangle \\ &\quad + \alpha \nu_{n+1}^2 \langle \delta \varrho, \delta \log |x| \rangle + \alpha \delta_{n+1} \varrho \delta_{n+1} \log |x|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

The proof combines formula (3.6) of Lemma 3.6 and formula (3.7) in Lemma 3.7:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_\Sigma \psi &= \mathcal{L}(\psi \circ \varrho) - \langle X^2(\psi \circ \varrho) \nu, \nu \rangle + n H_\Sigma \langle X(\psi \circ \varrho), \nu \rangle \\ &= \varphi''(\varrho) |\delta \varrho|^2 + \varphi'(\varrho) (\mathcal{L} \varrho - \langle (X^2 \varrho) \nu, \nu \rangle + n H_\Sigma \langle X \varrho, \nu \rangle), \end{aligned}$$

where the last identity is a simple computation with the chain rule. On the other hand, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_\Sigma \psi = \Delta_\Sigma \psi + \alpha \nu_{n+1}^2 \langle \delta \psi, \delta(\log |x|) \rangle + \alpha \delta_{n+1} \psi \delta_{n+1}(\log |x|).$$

Since  $\delta \psi = \varphi'(\varrho) \delta \varrho$ , we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_\Sigma \psi &= \varphi''(\varrho) |\delta \varrho|^2 + \varphi'(\varrho) [\mathcal{L} \varrho - \langle (X^2 \varrho) \nu, \nu \rangle + n H_\Sigma \langle X \varrho, \nu \rangle \\ &\quad + \alpha \nu_{n+1}^2 \langle \delta \varrho, \delta(\log |x|) \rangle + \alpha \delta_{n+1} \varrho \delta_{n+1}(\log |x|)] \end{aligned}$$

The proof of (3.12) with  $q_\Sigma$  as in (3.13) is then concluded by adding and subtracting the quantity  $\frac{n+\alpha-1}{\varrho} |\delta \varrho|^2$  within squared brackets and using (3.10).

In the next step, we check that  $q_\Sigma$  in (3.13) is as in (1.4). We start by observing that an elementary computation gives

$$\nu_{n+1}^2 \langle \delta \varrho, \delta \log |x| \rangle + \delta_{n+1} \varrho \delta_{n+1} \log |x| = \frac{|x|^{2\alpha}}{\varrho^{2\alpha+1}} \left( \nu_{n+1}^2 - (\alpha + 1) \nu_{n+1} |x|^{-2-\alpha} \langle x, \bar{\nu} \rangle y \right),$$

and

$$-\frac{n+\alpha-1}{\varrho}|\delta\varrho|^2 + (n+\alpha)\frac{|X\varrho|^2}{\varrho} = \frac{|X\varrho|^2}{\varrho} + \frac{n+\alpha-1}{\varrho}\langle X\varrho, \nu \rangle^2.$$

Inserting formulas (3.9)–(3.11) into (3.13), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} q_\Sigma &= \frac{n+\alpha-1}{\varrho}\langle X\varrho, \nu \rangle^2 + nH_\Sigma\langle X\varrho, \nu \rangle \\ &\quad - \frac{|X\varrho|^2}{\varrho} \left[ 2\alpha\frac{\langle x, \bar{\nu} \rangle^2}{|x|^2} - (2\alpha+1)\frac{|x|^{2\alpha}}{\varrho^{2\alpha+2}}\langle x, \bar{\nu} \rangle^2 + 2\alpha(\alpha+1)\langle x, \bar{\nu} \rangle y|x|^{-2-\alpha}\nu_{n+1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2(\alpha+1)(2\alpha+1)\frac{|x|^\alpha}{\varrho^{2\alpha+2}}\langle x, \bar{\nu} \rangle y\nu_{n+1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (\alpha+1)^2(2\alpha+1)y^2\nu_{n+1}^2\frac{1}{\varrho^{2\alpha+2}} \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Now we observe that

$$\langle X\varrho, \nu \rangle^2 = \frac{|x|^{2\alpha}}{\varrho^{4\alpha+2}} (|x|^\alpha\langle x, \bar{\nu} \rangle + (\alpha+1)y\nu_{n+1})^2$$

and so, since  $|X\varrho| = (|x|/\varrho)^\alpha$ ,

$$\langle X\varrho, \nu \rangle = \frac{|X\varrho|}{\varrho^{\alpha+1}} (|x|^\alpha\langle x, \bar{\nu} \rangle + (\alpha+1)y\nu_{n+1}). \tag{3.15}$$

Replacing last identity into (3.14) and after some computations that are omitted, we obtain (1.4).  $\square$

#### 4. MEAN VALUE FORMULAS

We are ready to prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. Let  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  be a hypersurface of class  $C^2$  with  $0 \in \Sigma$ . We say that:

- i)  $\Sigma$  is  $\alpha$ -harmonic at 0 if  $q_\Sigma = 0$ ;
- ii)  $\Sigma$  is  $\alpha$ -subharmonic at 0 if  $q_\Sigma \geq 0$ ;
- iii)  $\Sigma$  is  $\alpha$ -superharmonic at 0 if  $q_\Sigma \leq 0$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* For any  $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\Sigma)$ , by the integration by parts formula (3.3) we have

$$0 = \int_\Sigma \mathcal{L}_\Sigma f \psi \, d\sigma = - \int_\Sigma \langle \delta f \delta \psi \rangle d\sigma = \int_\Sigma f \mathcal{L}_\Sigma \psi \, d\sigma. \tag{4.1}$$

We shall use this identity for functions  $\psi$  with radial structure around 0. Let  $\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$  be a function such that  $\chi(r) = 1$  for  $0 < r < 1/2$  and  $\chi(r) = 0$  for  $r > 1$ . We may also assume that  $\chi' \leq 0$ . With the notation  $m(r) = r^{n+\alpha}$ , for  $0 < s < r$  we define the function  $\vartheta_s \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$

$$\vartheta_s(\varrho) = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left( \frac{1}{m(s)} \chi \left( \frac{m(\varrho)}{m(s)} \right) \right), \quad \varrho > 0. \tag{4.2}$$

Assume there exists a solution  $\varphi_s \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$  to the differential problem

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_s''(\varrho) + \frac{m''(\varrho)}{m'(\varrho)}\varphi_s'(\varrho) = \vartheta_s(\varrho), & \varrho > 0 \\ \varphi_s(\varrho) = 0, & \varrho > s. \end{cases} \quad (4.3)$$

Then we may consider the function  $\psi_s(\xi) = \varphi_s(\varrho(\xi))$  for  $\xi \in \Sigma$ . By formula (3.12) with  $q_\Sigma = 0$  and (4.3) we have

$$\mathcal{L}_\Sigma \psi_s = \left\{ \varphi_s''(\varrho) + \varphi_s'(\varrho) \frac{n + \alpha - 1}{\varrho} \right\} |\delta\varrho|^2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left( \frac{1}{m(s)} \chi\left(\frac{m(\varrho)}{m(s)}\right) \right) |\delta\varrho|^2,$$

and from (4.1) we deduce that

$$0 = \int_\Sigma f \mathcal{L}_\Sigma \psi_s d\sigma = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \int_\Sigma f(\xi) \frac{1}{m(s)} \chi\left(\frac{m(\varrho)}{m(s)}\right) |\delta\varrho|^2 d\sigma,$$

and thus for any  $0 < s < r$

$$\frac{1}{m(s)} \int_\Sigma f(\xi) \chi\left(\frac{m(\varrho)}{m(s)}\right) |\delta\varrho|^2 d\sigma = \frac{1}{m(r)} \int_\Sigma f(\xi) \chi\left(\frac{m(\varrho)}{m(r)}\right) |\delta\varrho|^2 d\sigma.$$

We may approximate the characteristic function of the interval  $(0, 1) \subset \mathbb{R}$  by a sequence of functions  $\chi$  as above. Passing to the limit in the previous identity, we get

$$\frac{1}{m(s)} \int_{B_s \cap \Sigma} f(\xi) |\delta\varrho|^2 d\sigma = \frac{1}{m(r)} \int_{B_r \cap \Sigma} f(\xi) |\delta\varrho|^2 d\sigma.$$

This formula holds for  $f = 1$ , proving that the right hand-side of (1.6) does not depend on  $r > 0$ . By continuity of  $f$  at 0, we get (1.5) with  $C_{\Sigma, n, \alpha}$  as in (1.6).

We are left to show that problem (4.3) has a solution. A straightforward computation shows that the function  $\vartheta_s$  in (4.2) reads

$$\vartheta_s(\varrho) = -\frac{m'(s)}{m(s)^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varrho} \left( m(\varrho) \chi\left(\frac{m(\varrho)}{m(s)}\right) \right),$$

and so the differential equation in (4.3) is equivalent to

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \varrho} (m'(\varrho) \varphi_s'(\varrho)) = -\frac{m'(s)}{m(s)^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varrho} \left( m(\varrho) \chi\left(\frac{m(\varrho)}{m(s)}\right) \right).$$

Integrating with  $\varphi_s'(\varrho) = 0$  for  $\varrho > s$  we obtain:

$$\varphi_s'(\varrho) = -\frac{m'(s)m(\varrho)}{m(s)^2 m'(\varrho)} \chi\left(\frac{m(\varrho)}{m(s)}\right) = -\frac{\varrho}{s^{n+\alpha+1}} \chi\left(\frac{\varrho^{n+\alpha}}{s^{n+\alpha}}\right). \quad (4.4)$$

A final integration with  $\varphi_s(\varrho) = 0$  for  $\varrho > s$  yields

$$\varphi_s(\varrho) = \int_\varrho^\infty \frac{r}{s^{n+\alpha+1}} \chi\left(\frac{r^{n+\alpha}}{s^{n+\alpha}}\right) dr, \quad (4.5)$$

showing that we find a function satisfying as a matter of fact  $\varphi_s(\varrho) = 0$  for  $\varrho > s$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.1.** Using the technique of Theorem 1.1, with  $m(r) = r^{n+\alpha}$  replaced by  $m(r) = r^{n+\alpha+1}$ , one obtains a mean value formula for  $\mathcal{L}$ -harmonic functions at  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ , where  $|\delta\varrho|$  in (1.1) is replaced by  $|X\varrho|$ , and  $\mathcal{L}$  is the Grushin Laplacian (1.2). The same technique works when  $\mathcal{L} = \sum_{i=1}^m X_i^2$  is the sub-Laplacian of any family  $X_1, \dots, X_m$  of smooth vector fields in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  satisfying the Hörmander condition, with  $2 \leq m \leq n+1$  and admitting a global fundamental solution. The resulting mean-value formulas coincide with the formulas obtained in [5].

We explain the relation between the two approaches in the case of a Carnot group of topological dimension  $d > 2$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be the fundamental solution of the corresponding Carnot sub-Laplacian  $\mathcal{L}$  with pole at 0. For a harmonic function  $\mathcal{L}f = 0$ , the mean value formula (1.4) proved in [5] reads

$$f(0) = \frac{1}{r} \int_{\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d : \Gamma(\xi) > \frac{1}{r}\}} f(\xi) |X(\log \Gamma)|^2 \varphi\left(\frac{1}{r\Gamma(\xi)}\right) d\xi, \quad r > 0, \quad (4.6)$$

where  $\varphi$  is any continuous function on the interval  $[0, 1]$  with unit integral.

Let  $\varrho(\xi) = \Gamma(\xi)^{\frac{1}{Q-2}}$ ,  $\xi \neq 0$ , where  $Q \in \mathbb{N}$  is the homogeneous dimension of the group. The Lebesgue measure of the balls  $B_s = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d : \varrho(\xi) < s\}$  satisfies  $m(s) = \mathcal{L}^d(B_s) = Cs^Q$  for some constant  $C > 0$  depending on  $n$  and  $Q$ . Using the technique of Theorem 1.1 we get the mean value formula

$$f(0) = \frac{C_{d,Q}}{m(s)} \int_{B_s} f(\xi) |X\varrho(\xi)|^2 d\xi, \quad (4.7)$$

with  $C_{d,Q} > 0$  fixed on choosing  $f = 1$ . Formula (4.7) is precisely formula (4.6) with the choice  $\varphi(t) = \frac{Q}{Q-2} t^{\frac{2}{Q-2}}$ . In fact, in this case we have

$$|X(\log \Gamma)|^2 \varphi\left(\frac{1}{r\Gamma}\right) = Q(Q-2)r^{\frac{2}{2-Q}} |X\varrho|^2,$$

and we obtain equivalence with (4.7) by setting  $r = s^{Q-2}$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* The proof is identical to the proof of Theorem 1.1 with minor modifications that we list below. For any nonnegative  $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\Sigma)$ , we have

$$0 \leq \int_{\Sigma} f \mathcal{L}_{\Sigma} \psi d\sigma.$$

The function  $\varphi_s$  is the solution to (4.3) defined in (4.5). Notice that  $\varphi'_s \leq 0$  if  $\chi \geq 0$  in (4.4). Then we have  $q_{\Sigma}(\xi) \varphi'_s(\varrho(\xi)) \leq 0$  for  $\xi \in \Sigma^*$ . By formula (3.12), the function  $\psi_s = \varphi_s \circ \varrho$  thus satisfies

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Sigma} \psi_s \leq \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left( \frac{1}{m(s)} \chi\left(\frac{m(\varrho)}{m(s)}\right) \right) |\delta\varrho|^2,$$

and we get, for  $0 < s < r$ ,

$$\frac{1}{m(s)} \int_{B_s \cap \Sigma} f(\xi) |\delta\varrho|^2 d\sigma \leq \frac{1}{m(r)} \int_{B_r \cap \Sigma} f(\xi) |\delta\varrho|^2 d\sigma.$$

The choice  $f = 1$  shows the existence of the limit in (1.7). □

**Remark 4.2.** If  $\Sigma$  is  $\alpha$ -superharmonic,  $q_\Sigma \leq 0$ , then a function  $f$  with  $\mathcal{L}_\Sigma f \leq 0$  satisfies the super-mean-value formula at 0:

$$f(0) \geq \frac{C_{\Sigma, n, \alpha}}{r^{n+\alpha}} \int_{B_r \cap \Sigma} f(\xi) |\delta \varrho(\xi)|^2 d\sigma.$$

The proof is the same as in the sub-harmonic case.

## 5. ANALYSIS OF THE STRUCTURAL FUNCTION $q_\Sigma$

**5.1. Homogeneous hypersurfaces are harmonic.** In  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  with the Grushin structure, we introduce the anisotropic dilations  $d_\lambda : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$d_\lambda(\xi) = d_\lambda(x, y) = (\lambda x, \lambda^{\alpha+1} y), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}.$$

We say that a set  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  is  $d_\lambda$ -homogeneous if  $d_\lambda(\Sigma) = \Sigma$  for any  $\lambda > 0$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  be a hypersurface of class  $C^2$  with  $0 \in \Sigma$ . If  $\Sigma$  is  $d_\lambda$ -homogeneous then  $\Sigma$  is  $\alpha$ -harmonic at 0.*

*Proof.* We check the claim when  $\Sigma$  is a  $y$ -graph  $\Sigma = \{(x, u(x)) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$  for some function  $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfying the identity  $u(\lambda x) = \lambda^{\alpha+1} u(x)$  for any  $\lambda > 0$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Differentiating this identity at  $\lambda = 1$  we get

$$\langle \nabla u(x), x \rangle = (\alpha + 1)u(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{5.1}$$

Using formulas (2.4) for the  $\alpha$ -normal  $\nu = (\bar{\nu}, \nu_{n+1})$ , (5.1) is equivalent to

$$|x|^\alpha \langle x, \bar{\nu} \rangle + (\alpha + 1)y\nu_{n+1} = 0, \quad (x, y) \in \Sigma^*.$$

By formula (3.15) this is in turn equivalent to  $\langle X \varrho, \nu \rangle = 0$  on  $\Sigma^*$ , and this implies  $q_\Sigma = 0$ , see (1.4). □

When  $\Sigma$  is  $d_\lambda$ -homogeneous we have  $\langle X \varrho, \nu \rangle = 0$  and, using (3.8), the kernel  $|\delta \varrho|^2$  appearing in the mean value formula (1.6) reduces to

$$|\delta \varrho|^2 = |X \varrho|^2 = \frac{|x|^{2\alpha}}{\varrho^{2\alpha}}.$$

This kernel is 0-homogeneous with respect to the dilations  $d_\lambda$  and satisfies  $|\delta \varrho|^2 \leq 1$ .

**5.2. Flat case.** The hyperplane  $\Sigma = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : y = 0\}$  is  $d_\lambda$  homogeneous and it is therefore  $\alpha$ -harmonic. The  $\alpha$ -normal is constant,  $\nu = (0, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ , and it follows that  $\Sigma$  is also  $\alpha$ -minimal,  $H_\Sigma = 0$ .

The tangential gradient  $\delta$  reduces to the standard gradient  $\delta\varphi = (\nabla_x\varphi, 0)$  and the non-adjoint Laplacian  $\Delta_\Sigma$  in (3.5) reduces to the standard Laplacian  $\Delta_x$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , see (3.7). From formula (3.6) we deduce that

$$\mathcal{L}_\Sigma\varphi = \Delta_x\varphi + \alpha\langle \nabla_x \log|x|, \nabla_x\varphi \rangle = \frac{1}{|x|^\alpha} \operatorname{div}\left(|x|^\alpha \nabla_x\varphi\right).$$

Theorem 1.1 states that a function  $\varphi \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfying  $\mathcal{L}_\Sigma\varphi = 0$  has the mean value property at 0

$$\varphi(0) = \frac{n + \alpha}{n\omega_n r^{n+\alpha}} \int_{\{|x| < r\}} \varphi(x) |x|^\alpha dx,$$

with  $\omega_n = \mathcal{L}^n(\{|x| < 1\})$ .

**5.3.  $\alpha$ -subharmonic surfaces.** In this section, we look for sufficient conditions for a hypersurface  $\Sigma$  to be  $\alpha$ -subharmonic at  $0 \in \Sigma$ .

**Definition 5.2** ( $\eta$ -flatness). Let  $\eta > 0$ . We say that a hypersurface  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  is  $\eta$ -flat at  $0 \in \Sigma$  if there exists  $r > 0$  such that its  $\alpha$ -normal  $\nu = (\bar{\nu}, \nu_{n+1})$  satisfies

$$(\alpha + 1)|y||\nu_{n+1}| \leq \eta|x|^\alpha |\langle x, \bar{\nu} \rangle| \quad (5.2)$$

for all points  $\xi = (x, y) \in \Sigma^* \cap B_r$ .

When  $\Sigma$  is the  $y$ -graph of a function  $u$ , condition (5.2) reads

$$(\alpha + 1)|u| \leq \eta |\langle x, \nabla_x u \rangle| \quad (5.3)$$

holding for points a neighborhood of  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let  $u \in C^1(\{|x| \leq 1\})$  be a function satisfying (5.3). Then for any point  $|x| \leq 1$  we have*

$$|u(x)| \leq \left( \max_{|x|=1} |u| \right) |x|^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\eta}}. \quad (5.4)$$

*Proof.* Let  $|x| = 1$  be fixed. We prove the claim along the segment  $tx$ , with  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Letting  $\varphi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\varphi(t) = u(tx)$ , assumption (5.3) reads

$$(\alpha + 1)|\varphi(t)| \leq \eta t |\varphi'(t)|. \quad (5.5)$$

If  $\varphi = 0$  on  $[0, 1]$ , the claim is trivial. Then we can assume that the open set  $A = \{t \in (0, 1) : \varphi(t) \neq 0\}$  is nonempty. This set is a finite or countable disjoint union of intervals  $(a, b) \subset A$ . We always have  $\varphi(a) = 0$  and from (5.5) it follows that  $\varphi' \neq 0$  on  $(a, b)$ , say  $\varphi'(t) > 0$  for any  $t \in (a, b)$ . Then  $\varphi$  is strictly monotone increasing and thus  $\varphi(b) > 0$ , and so  $b = 1$ . It follows that  $A = (a, 1)$ , for some  $a \in [0, 1)$ , and  $\varphi = 0$  on  $[0, a]$ .

We can without loss of generality assume that  $a = 0$  and conclude the proof in the following way. We have  $\varphi > 0$  and, say,  $\varphi' > 0$  on  $(0, 1)$ . Then (5.5) reads

$$\frac{d}{dt} \log(t^{\alpha+1}) \leq \eta \frac{d}{dt} \log \varphi(t),$$

and integrating this inequality from  $t = s$  to  $t = 1$ ,  $0 < s < 1$ , we get  $\varphi(s) \leq \varphi(1)s^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\eta}}$ . This implies (5.4) and the proof is concluded.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.4.** *Let  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  be a hypersurface of class  $C^2$  with  $\alpha$ -normal  $\nu = (\bar{\nu}, \nu_{n+1})$  and  $\alpha$ -mean curvature  $H_\Sigma$ . Assume that:*

i)  $\Sigma$  is  $\eta$ -flat at  $0 \in \Sigma$  for some

$$0 < \eta < \frac{n + \alpha}{n + 3\alpha}. \quad (5.6)$$

ii) We have, with limit restricted to  $\xi = (x, y) \in \Sigma$ ,

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0} \frac{|x|^2 H_\Sigma(\xi)}{\langle \bar{\nu}(\xi), x \rangle} = 0. \quad (5.7)$$

Then there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $q_\Sigma \geq 0$  on  $\Sigma^* \cap B_\delta$ .

*Proof.* Without loss of generality we may assume that  $\Sigma = \{(x, u(x)) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : |x| \leq 1\}$  for some function  $u \in C^2(\{|x| \leq 1\})$ , and that (5.2) holds with  $r = 1$ . Letting  $C_1 = \max_{|x|=1} |u(x)|$ , points  $(x, y) \in \Sigma$  satisfy by (5.4)

$$|y| \leq C_1 |x|^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\eta}}. \quad (5.8)$$

Formula (1.4) for  $q_\Sigma$  reads

$$q_\Sigma(\xi) = \langle X_\varrho, \nu \rangle \left[ \frac{n + 3\alpha}{\varrho} \langle X_\varrho, \nu \rangle - \frac{2\alpha}{|x|^2} \langle x, \bar{\nu} \rangle + nH_\Sigma \right],$$

and the inequality  $q_\Sigma \geq 0$  is thus implied by

$$\left| \frac{2\alpha}{|x|^2} \langle x, \bar{\nu} \rangle - nH_\Sigma \right| \leq \frac{n + 3\alpha}{\varrho} |\langle X_\varrho, \nu \rangle|. \quad (5.9)$$

Inserting the identity (3.15) into (5.9) and then using (3.8), we see that  $q_\Sigma \geq 0$  is implied by

$$\left| \frac{2\alpha}{|x|^2} \langle x, \bar{\nu} \rangle - nH_\Sigma \right| \leq \frac{n + 3\alpha}{\varrho^{2\alpha+2}} |x|^\alpha \left| |x|^\alpha \langle x, \bar{\nu} \rangle + (\alpha + 1)y\nu_{n+1} \right|. \quad (5.10)$$

By the  $\eta$ -flatness condition (5.2), (5.10) is in turn implied by

$$\left| \frac{2\alpha}{|x|^2} \langle x, \bar{\nu} \rangle - nH_\Sigma \right| \leq (1 - \eta) \frac{n + 3\alpha}{\varrho^{2\alpha+2}} |x|^{2\alpha} |\langle x, \bar{\nu} \rangle|, \quad (5.11)$$

and finally, using assumption (5.7), (5.11) is implied by

$$\left( 2\alpha + \frac{n|x|^2 |H_\Sigma|}{|\langle x, \bar{\nu} \rangle|} \right) \leq (1 - \eta)(n + 3\alpha) \frac{|x|^{2\alpha+2}}{\varrho^{2\alpha+2}}. \quad (5.12)$$



Now observe that, by (5.8),

$$\frac{\varrho^{2(\alpha+1)}}{|x|^{2(\alpha+1)}} = 1 + \frac{(\alpha+1)^2 y^2}{|x|^{2(\alpha+1)}} \leq 1 + C_1(\alpha+1)^2 |x|^{2(\alpha+1)(1/\eta-1)}. \quad (5.13)$$

By (5.13) and (5.7), inequality (5.12) is satisfied for  $x = 0$  as a strict inequality, by (5.6). Our claim follows by a limiting argument.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] W. Bauer, K. Furutani, and C. Iwasaki. Fundamental solution of a higher step Grushin type operator. *Adv. Math.*, 271:188–234, 2015.
- [2] E. Bombieri, E. De Giorgi, and M. Miranda. Una maggiorazione a priori relativa alle ipersuperfici minimali non parametriche. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 32:255–267, 1969.
- [3] A. Bonfiglioli and E. Lanconelli. Subharmonic functions in sub-Riemannian settings. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 15(2):387–441, 2013.
- [4] G. Citti, N. Garofalo, and E. Lanconelli. Harnack’s inequality for sum of squares of vector fields plus a potential. *Amer. J. Math.*, 115(3):699–734, 1993.
- [5] G. Cupini and E. Lanconelli. On mean value formulas for solutions to second order linear PDEs. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)*, 22(2):777–809, 2021.
- [6] V. Franceschi and R. Monti. Isoperimetric problem in  $H$ -type groups and Grushin spaces. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.*, 32(4):1227–1258, 2016.
- [7] B. Franchi and E. Lanconelli. Une métrique associée à une classe d’opérateurs elliptiques dégénérés. Number Special Issue, pages 105–114 (1984). 1983. Conference on linear partial and pseudodifferential operators (Torino, 1982).
- [8] L. Hörmander. Hypoelliptic second order differential equations. *Acta Math.*, 119:147–171, 1967.
- [9] T. J. Willmore. Mean value theorems in harmonic Riemannian spaces. *J. London Math. Soc.*, 25:54–57, 1950.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA TULLIO LEVI CIVITA, UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA, ITALY

*Email address:* valentina.franceschi@unipd.it

*Email address:* monti@math.unipd.it

*Email address:* alesocio1994@gmail.com