

LAMBDA MODULE STRUCTURE ON HIGHER K -GROUPS

SOURAYAN BANERJEE AND VIVEK SADHU

ABSTRACT. In this article, we show that for a quasicompact scheme X and $n > 0$, the n -th K -group $K_n(X)$ is a λ -module over a λ -ring $K_0(X)$ in the sense of Hesselholt.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [4], L. Hesselholt introduced the notion of module over λ -rings, i.e., λ -module. Let us first recall the definition from [4].

Definition 1.1. *Let (R, λ_R) be a λ -ring. A (R, λ_R) -module (M, λ_M) is a R -module M and a sequence of additive maps*

$$\lambda_{M,n} : M \rightarrow M \quad (n \geq 1)$$

such that the following axioms hold:

- (1) $\lambda_{M,1} = \text{id}_M$;
- (2) $\lambda_{M,n}\lambda_{M,m} = \lambda_{M,nm}$ for all $m, n \geq 1$;
- (3) $\lambda_{M,n}(ax) = \psi^n(a)\lambda_{M,n}(x)$ for all $a \in R$ and $x \in M$. Here ψ^n is the n -th Adams operation associated to (R, λ_R) .

If we set $M = R$ and $\lambda_{M,n} = \psi^n$, where ψ^n are the Adams operations associated to (R, λ_R) , then (R, ψ^n) is a (R, λ_R) -module. For a quasicompact scheme X , $K_0(X)$ is a λ -ring with λ -operations defined by the usual exterior power on vector bundles. These exterior power operations have been extended to higher K -groups by several authors using homotopy theory (see [3], [6], [7] and [8]). Recently, a purely algebraic construction of the exterior power operations on higher K -groups of any quasicompact scheme is given in [2] using Grayson's description of higher K -groups in terms of binary complexes. In this article, we use the exterior power operations constructed in [2] to give a λ -module structure on $K_n(X)$ over $K_0(X)$ for $n > 0$ and any quasicompact scheme X . Here is our precise result:

Theorem 1.2. *For any quasicompact scheme X and $n > 0$, each $K_n(X)$ is a λ -module over $K_0(X)$.*

Date: March 22, 2023.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 14C35, 19D35, 19E08.

Key words and phrases. K -groups, Lambda rings, Lambda modules.

Acknowledgement: The authors would like to thank Lars Hesselholt for his valuable comments and suggestions.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Symmetric functions. For a ring R , let $R[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ denote the polynomial ring over R in n independent variables x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n . A polynomial $f \in R[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ is said to be symmetric function if $\pi f = f(x_{\pi(1)}, x_{\pi(2)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})$ for every permutation π on $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. For $1 \leq k \leq n$, the k -th elementary symmetric function in the variables x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n is $s_k = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_k}$. A fundamental theorem of symmetric function says that every symmetric function $f \in R[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ can be written uniquely as a polynomial with coefficients in R in the elementary symmetric functions.

Example 2.1. *The r -th power sum $x_1^r + x_2^r + \dots + x_n^r$ is a symmetric function, where $r > 0$. Thus, there exists a unique polynomial Q_r in n variables with integer coefficients such that*

$$(2.1) \quad x_1^r + x_2^r + \dots + x_n^r = Q_r(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n).$$

Let t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n be the elementary symmetric functions for another set of variables y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n . We say that a polynomial $f \in R[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n]$ is a symmetric function if

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) = f(x_{\pi(1)}, x_{\pi(2)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)}; y_{\sigma(1)}, y_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, y_{\sigma(n)})$$

for every pair of permutations π and σ on $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Every symmetric function $f \in R[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n]$ can be written uniquely as a polynomial with coefficients in R in the elementary symmetric functions s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n and t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n .

2.2. The polynomials $P_{n,m}$ and P_n . Consider the symmetric function

$$g(t) = \prod_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_m \leq nm} (1 + x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_m} t)$$

of nm variables. The coefficient of t^n in $g(t)$ is a symmetric function and it can be expressed as a polynomial with integer coefficients in the elementary symmetric functions s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{nm} . In fact, there is a universal polynomial $P_{n,m}$ with integer coefficient such that the coefficient of t^n in $g(t)$ is $P_{n,m}(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{nm})$. Similarly, there is a universal polynomial P_n with integer coefficient such that the coefficient of t^n in $h(t) = \prod_{i,j=1}^n (1 + x_i y_j t)$ is $P_n(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n; t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)$. We can view the polynomial P_n as the coefficient of t^n in

$$(2.2) \quad \tilde{h}(t) = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 + x_i t_1 t + x_i^2 t_2 t^2 + \dots + x_i^n t_n t^n).$$

As an illustration, for $n = 3$, $P_3(s_1, s_2, s_3; t_1, t_2, t_3)$ is the coefficient of t^3 in

$$(1+x_1y_1t)(1+x_1y_2t)(1+x_1y_3t)(1+x_2y_1t)(1+x_2y_2t)(1+x_2y_3t)(1+x_3y_1t)(1+x_3y_2t)(1+x_3y_3t) \\ = (1+x_1t_1t+x_1^2t_2t^2+x_1^3t_3t^3)(1+x_2t_1t+x_2^2t_2t^2+x_2^3t_3t^3)(1+x_3t_1t+x_3^2t_2t^2+x_3^3t_3t^3).$$

Both these polynomials $P_{n,m}$ and P_n will appear in the definition of λ -ring.

The reader can skip following lemma for a moment as it will be used only in Proposition 4.1.

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose that $t_i^m = 0$ and $t_i^k t_j^l = 0$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, $m > 1$ and $k, l > 0$ in (2.2). Then $P_n(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n; t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) = Q_n(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)t_n$, where the polynomial Q_n as in (2.1).*

Proof. Note that the coefficient of t^n in (2.2) is $(x_1^n + x_2^n + \dots + x_n^n)t_n$ because all other terms must contain t_i^m or $t_i^k t_j^l$. Hence the result by Example 2.1. \square

In general, it is not easy to write down the explicit formulae for $P_{n,m}$ and P_n . However, some computations for small m and n has been done in [5]. The sum of the coefficients in $P_{n,m}$ and P_n are also calculated in [5]. More explicitly,

Lemma 2.3. *The sum of the coefficients in P_n is zero for $n > 1$ and 1 for $n = 1$.*

Proof. See Theorem 2.2 of [5]. \square

Lemma 2.4. *The sum of the coefficients in $P_{n,m}$ is 1 if m is odd or $n = 1$ and 0 for m even and $n > 1$.*

Proof. See Theorem 2.3 in [5]. \square

In the following lemma, we determine the coefficient of s_{nm} in $P_{n,m}$.

Lemma 2.5. *Let c be the coefficient of s_{nm} in $P_{n,m}$. Then c is -1 for n, m both even and 1 otherwise.*

Proof. See Remark 4.2. More specifically, see proof of Proposition 4.1(2) in section 4. \square

2.3. λ -rings. A λ -ring is a commutative unital ring R together with sequence of maps

$$\lambda^n : R \rightarrow R \quad (n \geq 0),$$

called λ -operations, such that for all $x, y \in R$, the following condition satisfy:

- (1) $\lambda^0(x) = 1$,
- (2) $\lambda^1(x) = x$,
- (3) $\lambda^n(1) = 0$ for $n \geq 2$,
- (4) $\lambda^n(x + y) = \sum_{i+j=n} \lambda^i(x)\lambda^j(y)$,
- (5) $\lambda^n(xy) = P_n(\lambda^1(x), \dots, \lambda^n(x); \lambda^1(y), \dots, \lambda^n(y))$,

(6) $\lambda^n(\lambda^m(x)) = P_{n,m}(\lambda^1(x), \dots, \lambda^{nm}(x))$, where P_n and $P_{n,m}$ are the universal polynomials with integer coefficients defined in subsection 2.2.

We always mean a λ -ring R as a pair $(R, \lambda_R := \{\lambda_R^n\})$.

The following result follows from definition.

Lemma 2.6. *If R is a λ -ring then*

- (1) $\lambda^n(0) = 0$ for $n \geq 1$;
- (2) $\lambda^n(-1) = (-1)^n$ for $n \geq 0$.

2.4. Adams operations. Given any λ -ring R , one can associate sequence of functions

$$\psi^n : R \rightarrow R \quad (n \geq 1),$$

called Adams operations, satisfying the following properties:

- (1) each ψ^n is a λ -ring homomorphism (i.e., a ring homomorphism which respect the λ -operations);
- (2) $\psi^1 = \text{id}$;
- (3) for $m, n \geq 1$, we have $\psi^n \psi^m = \psi^{mn} = \psi^m \psi^n$;
- (4) for every prime number p and $a \in R$, $\psi^p(a) = a^p \pmod{pR}$.

The next lemma says that Adams operations can be expressed in terms of the λ -operations.

Lemma 2.7. *For a λ -ring R , we have*

$$\psi^n(x) = Q_n(\lambda^1(x), \lambda^2(x), \dots, \lambda^n(x))$$

for every $x \in R$ and $n \geq 1$, where the polynomial Q_n was defined in (2.1).

Proof. See Theorem 3.9 of [9]. □

We record here the Newton formula for later use.

Lemma 2.8. *For any λ -ring R , the relation*

$$\psi^k(x) - \lambda^1(x)\psi^{k-1}(x) + \dots + (-1)^{k-1}\lambda^{k-1}(x)\psi^1(x) = (-1)^{k+1}k\lambda^k(x)$$

holds for $x \in R$ and $k \geq 1$.

Proof. See Theorem 3.10 of [9]. □

3. λ -RING STRUCTURE ON $K_*(X)$

Let X be a quasicompact scheme. The tensor product induces the multiplication in the Grothendieck ring $K_0(X)$. The ring $K_0(X)$ is a λ -ring with λ -operations

$$\lambda^r : K_0(X) \rightarrow K_0(X), \quad (r \geq 0),$$

where the operations λ^r is defined by the usual exterior power operations of vector bundles over X . In [2], Harris, Kock and Taelman extend the exterior power operations to higher K -groups $K_n(X)$ for $n \geq 1$ using Grayson binary complex technique. We now recall Grayson's description of K -groups.

Grayson's K -groups. A *binary complex* in an exact category \mathcal{N} is a chain complex in \mathcal{N} with two differentials. An n -dimensional binary multicomplex is a multicomplex with pair of differentials in n -direction. For an exact category \mathcal{N} , let $(B_b^q)^n \mathcal{N}$ denote the category of n -dimensional bounded acyclic binary multicomplex in \mathcal{N} . The category $(B_b^q)^n \mathcal{N}$ is exact. Thus, we can define $K_0((B_b^q)^n \mathcal{N})$. We say that an n -dimensional binary multicomplex *diagonal* if the pair of differentials in some direction are equal. Let \mathcal{D} be a subgroup of $K_0((B_b^q)^n \mathcal{N})$ generated by the classes of the diagonal bounded acyclic binary multicomplexes. A result of Grayson says that for $n \geq 1$, $K_n(\mathcal{N})$ is isomorphic to $K_0((B_b^q)^n \mathcal{N})/\mathcal{D}$ (see Corollary 7.4 of [1]).

Let $\mathcal{P}(X)$ denote the category of vector bundles on X . In [2], Harris, Kock and Taelman inductively construct functors

$$\Lambda_n^r : (B_b^q)^n \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow (B_b^q)^n \mathcal{P}(X) \text{ for all } r, n > 0$$

from the usual exterior power endofunctors on $\mathcal{P}(X)$.

Lemma 3.1. *The functors Λ_n^r induce well-defined homomorphisms*

$$(3.1) \quad \lambda^r : K_n(X) \rightarrow K_n(X) \text{ for } r, n > 0.$$

Proof. See Theorem 6.2 of [2]. □

Remark 3.2. By construction Λ_n^1 is identity. Hence, $\lambda^1 = id$.

The graded abelian group $K_*(X) := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} K_n(X)$ is a commutative ring with a multiplication

$$(a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots) \bullet (b_0, b_1, b_2, \dots) = (a_0 b_0, a_0 b_1 + a_1 b_0, a_0 b_2 + a_2 b_0, \dots).$$

Note that the product of any two elements in $\bigoplus_{n \geq 1} K_n(X)$ is zero. Each $K_n(X)$ is a $K_0(X)$ -module via $[P] \cdot [Q_\bullet] := [P \otimes Q_\bullet]$, where P in $\mathcal{P}(X)$ and Q_\bullet in $(B_b^q)^n \mathcal{P}(X)$.

Furthermore, the exterior power operations $\lambda^r : K_*(X) \rightarrow K_*(X)$, $r \geq 0$ defined by the formula

$$(3.2) \quad \lambda^r((a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots)) = (\lambda^r(a_0), \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \lambda^i(a_0)\lambda^{r-i}(a_1), \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \lambda^i(a_0)\lambda^{r-i}(a_2), \dots).$$

Lemma 3.3. *The operations λ^r defined in (3.2) satisfy the axioms of λ -ring. In other words, $K_*(X)$ is a λ -ring.*

Proof. See Theorems 7.1 and 8.18 of [2]. □

4. λ -MODULE STRUCTURE ON HIGHER K -GROUPS

Proposition 4.1. *Let $a \in K_0(X)$ and $x \in K_n(X)$ for $n > 0$. The group homomorphisms $\lambda^r : K_n(X) \rightarrow K_n(X)$ for $r, n > 0$ defined in (3.1) satisfy the following:*

- (1) $\lambda^r(a \cdot x) = \psi^r(a)\lambda^r(x)$. Here ψ^r is the r -th Adams operation on $K_0(X)$.
- (2) $\lambda^r\lambda^s = c\lambda^{rs}$, where c is -1 for r, s both even and 1 otherwise.

Proof. (1) Let $\underline{a} = (a, 0, \dots) \in K_*(X)$ and $\underline{x} = (0, 0, \dots, x, 0, \dots) \in K_*(X)$. Since $K_*(X)$ is a λ -ring (see Lemma 3.3),

$$\lambda^r(\underline{a} \bullet \underline{x}) = P_r(\lambda^1(\underline{a}), \dots, \lambda^r(\underline{a}); \lambda^1(\underline{x}), \dots, \lambda^r(\underline{x})).$$

Write \underline{ax} for $(0, 0, \dots, ax, 0, \dots)$, $\underline{\lambda^i(a)}$ for $(\lambda^i(a), 0, \dots)$ and $\underline{\lambda^i(x)}$ for $(0, 0, \dots, \lambda^i(x), 0, \dots)$. Using the multiplication rule on $K_*(X)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (0, \dots, \lambda^r(ax), 0, \dots) &= \lambda^r(\underline{ax}) = P_r(\underline{\lambda^1(a)}, \dots, \underline{\lambda^r(a)}; \underline{\lambda^1(x)}, \dots, \underline{\lambda^r(x)}) \\ &= Q_r(\underline{\lambda^1(a)}, \dots, \underline{\lambda^r(a)})\underline{\lambda^r(x)} \text{ (by Lemma 2.2)} \\ &= (Q_r(\lambda^1(a), \dots, \lambda^r(a)), 0, \dots)\underline{\lambda^r(x)} \\ &= (0, \dots, Q_r(\lambda^1(a), \dots, \lambda^r(a))\lambda^r(x), 0, \dots). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\lambda^r(ax) = Q_r(\lambda^1(a), \dots, \lambda^r(a))\lambda^r(x)$. We get the desired assertion by Lemma 2.7.

(2) Note that $\lambda^r\lambda^s(\underline{x}) = P_{r,s}(\lambda^1(\underline{x}), \dots, \lambda^{rs}(\underline{x})) = c\lambda^{rs}(\underline{x})$ because all products appearing in the polynomial $P_{r,s}$ are trivial. By applying Newton formula (see Lemma 2.8) for the λ -ring $K_*(X)$ and Adams operations ψ^* , we get $\psi^{rs}(\underline{x}) = (-1)^{rs+1}rs\lambda^{rs}(\underline{x})$. Since each ψ^r is a ring homomorphism, we also have $\psi^r\psi^s(\underline{x}) = (-1)^{r+1}(-1)^{s+1}rs\lambda^r\lambda^s(\underline{x})$. We know $\psi^{rs} = \psi^r\psi^s$. Thus, $(-1)^{rs+1} = (-1)^{r+1}(-1)^{s+1}c$ because the characteristic of $K_*(X)$ is zero (see Proposition 1.29 of [9]). Hence the result. □

Remark 4.2. The proof of Proposition 4.1(2) basically determines the coefficient of s_{nm} in the polynomial $P_{n,m}(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{nm})$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2: For $r, n > 0$, we define $\lambda_{K_n(X),r} := (-1)^{r-1}\lambda^r : K_n(X) \rightarrow K_n(X)$, where λ^r as in (3.1). The result now follows from Remark 3.2 and Proposition 4.1. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] D. R. Grayson, *Algebraic K-theory via binary complexes*, Journal of American Mathematical Society, Vol **25**, Number **4** (2012), 1149-1167.
- [2] T. Harris, B. Kock and L. Taelman, *Exterior power operations on higher K-groups via binary complexes*, Annals of K-theory, vol.2 (**3**), (2017) 409-449.
- [3] H. L. Hiller, *λ -rings and algebraic K-theory*, J. Pure and Applied Algebra, **20**(3) (1981), 241-266.
- [4] L. Hesselholt, *The big de Rham-Witt complex*, Acta. Math., **214** (2015), 135-207.
- [5] John R. Hopkins, *Universal polynomials in lambda rings and the K-theory of the infinite loop space tmf*, Thesis (Ph.D.)-Massachusetts Institute of Technology. 2006.
- [6] C. Kratzer, *λ -structure en K-theorie algebrique*, Comment. Math. Helv. **55**(2) (1980), 233-254.
- [7] M. Levine, *Lambda-operations, K-theory and motivic cohomology*, pp. 131-184 in Algebraic K-theory (Toronto, ON, 1996) edited by V. P. Snaith, Field Inst. Commun. 16, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.
- [8] C. Soule, *Operations en K-theorie algebrique*, Canadian J. Math **37**(3) (1985), 488-550.
- [9] D. Yau, *Lambda-rings*, World Scientific, Hackensack, NJ, 2010.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH
BHOVAL, BHOVAL BYPASS ROAD, BHAURI, BHOVAL-462066, MADHYA PRADESH, INDIA

Email address: sourayan16@iiserb.ac.in, vsadhu@iiserb.ac.in, viveksadhu@gmail.com