

FIRST MOMENT OF CENTRAL VALUES OF QUADRATIC DIRICHLET L -FUNCTIONS

PENG GAO AND LIANGYI ZHAO

ABSTRACT. We evaluate the first moment of central values of the family of quadratic Dirichlet L -functions using the method of double Dirichlet series. Under the generalized Riemann hypothesis, we prove an asymptotic formula with an error term of size that is the fourth root of that of the primary main term.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 11M06, 11M41

Keywords: quadratic Dirichlet L -functions, first moment, double Dirichlet series

1. INTRODUCTION

Moments of central values of families of L -functions have been widely studied in the literature as they have many important applications. In this paper, we are interested in the first moment of central values of the family of quadratic Dirichlet L -functions. For this family, an asymptotic formula for the first moment was initially obtained by M. Jutila [9] with the main term of size $X \log X$ and an error term of size $O(X^{3/4+\varepsilon})$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$. An error term of the same size was later given by A. I. Vinogradov and L. A. Takhtadzhyan in [13]. Using the method of double Dirichlet series, D. Goldfeld and J. Hoffstein [6] improved the error term to $O(X^{19/32+\varepsilon})$. It is also implicit in [6] that one may obtain an error term of size $O(X^{1/2+\varepsilon})$ for the smoothed first moment, a result that is achieved via a different approach by M. P. Young [14] who utilized a recursive argument. The optimal error term is conjectured to be $O(X^{1/4+\varepsilon})$ in [6] and this been observed in a numerical study conducted by M. W. Alderson and M. O. Rubinstein in [1].

The method of multiple Dirichlet series is a powerful tool to employ when studying moments of L -functions. The success of such method relies heavily on the analytic properties of these series. In [6], Goldfeld and Hoffstein treated the variables separately of the double Dirichlet series under their consideration and applied the theory of Eisenstein series of metaplectic type to obtain analytic continuation of the series in one variable. It was later pointed out by A. Diaconu, D. Goldfeld and J. Hoffstein in [5] that there are many advantages in viewing multiple Dirichlet series as functions of several complex variables. From this point of view, much progress has been made towards understanding analytic properties of various multiple Dirichlet series in [5], including a result on third moment of central values of the family of quadratic Dirichlet L -functions.

For any integer $m \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$, let $\chi^{(m)} = \left(\frac{\cdot}{m}\right)$ be the Kronecker symbol defined on [8, p. 52]. As usual, $\zeta(s)$ is the Riemann zeta function. For any L -function, we write $L^{(c)}$ (resp. $L_{(c)}$) for the function given by the Euler product defining L but omitting those primes dividing (resp. not dividing) c . We reserve the letter p for a prime throughout the paper and we write L_p for $L_{(p)}$ for simplicity. In [2], V. Blomer obtained meromorphic continuation to the whole complex plane for the double Dirichlet series given by

$$\zeta^{(2)}(2s + 2w - 1) \sum_{(d,2)=1} \frac{L(s, \chi^{(4d)\psi})\psi'(d)}{d^w}.$$

The primary goal of [2] is to establish a subconvexity bound of the above double series. Its analytic properties actually also allow one to evaluate the smoothed first moment of $L(1/2, \chi^{(4d)})$ given by

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{(d,2)=1} L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \chi^{(4d)}\right) w \left(\frac{d}{X}\right),$$

where $w(t)$ is non-negative Schwartz function. Under the generalized Riemann hypothesis (GRH) and arguing in a manner similar to (3.40) below, one is able to obtain an asymptotical formula for the expression above with the error term being the conjectured size $O(X^{1/4+\varepsilon})$.

In [4], M. Čech studied the L -functions ratios conjecture for the case of quadratic Dirichlet L -functions using multiple Dirichlet series. The advantage of Čech's method is that instead of pursuing meromorphic continuation to the

entire complex plane for the multiple Dirichlet series involved as done in other works, one makes a crucial use of the functional equation of a general (not necessarily primitive) quadratic Dirichlet L -function [4, Proposition 2.3] to extend the multiple Dirichlet series under consideration to a suitable large region for the purpose of the investigation.

Motivated by the above work, we adapt its approach to evaluate asymptotically the first moment of central values of a family of quadratic Dirichlet L -functions. To state our result, we denote χ_n for the quadratic character $\left(\frac{\cdot}{n}\right)$ for an odd, positive integer n . By the quadratic reciprocity law, $L^{(2)}(s, \chi_n) = L(s, \chi^{(4n)})$ (resp. $L(s, \chi^{(-4n)})$) if $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ (resp. $n \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$). Notice that one can factor every such m uniquely into $m = dl^2$ so that d is a fundamental discriminant, i.e. d is either square-free and $d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ or $d = 4n$ with $n \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}$ and square-free. It is known (see [10, Theorem 9.13]) that every the primitive quadratic Dirichlet character is of the form $\chi^{(d)}$ for some fundamental discriminant d . For such d , it follows from [8, Theorem 4.15] that the function $L(s, \chi^{(d)})$ has an analytic continuation to the entirety of \mathbb{C} . Thus the same can be said of $L^{(2)}(s, \chi_n)$.

We evaluate in this paper asymptotically the family of quadratic Dirichlet L -functions $L^{(2)}(s, \chi_n)$ averaged over all odd, positive n . Our main result is as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Under the notation as above and the truth of GRH, suppose that $w(t)$ is a non-negative Schwartz function and $\widehat{w}(s)$ is its Mellin transform. For $1/2 > \Re(\alpha) > 0$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have*

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{(n,2)=1} L^{(2)}\left(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha, \chi_n\right) w\left(\frac{n}{X}\right) = X \widehat{w}(1) \frac{\zeta(1+2\alpha)}{\zeta(2+2\alpha)} \frac{1-2^{-1-2\alpha}}{2(1-2^{-2-2\alpha})} + X^{1-\alpha} \widehat{w}(1-\alpha) \frac{\pi^\alpha \Gamma(1/2-\alpha) \Gamma(\frac{\alpha}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1-\alpha}{2}) \Gamma(\alpha)} \cdot \frac{\zeta(1-2\alpha)}{\zeta(2)} \cdot \frac{2^{2\alpha}}{6} + O\left((1+|\alpha|)^{2+\varepsilon} X^{1/4+\varepsilon}\right).$$

Notice that the error term in (1.2) is uniform for α , we can therefore take the limit $\alpha \rightarrow 0^+$ to deduce the following asymptotic formula for the smoothed first moment of central values of the family of quadratic Dirichlet L -functions under consideration.

Corollary 1.2. *With the notation as above and assuming the truth of GRH, we have, for any $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$(1.3) \quad \sum_{(n,2)=1} L^{(2)}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \chi_n\right) w\left(\frac{n}{X}\right) = XQ(\log X) + O\left(X^{1/4+\varepsilon}\right).$$

where Q is a linear polynomial whose coefficients depend only on the absolute constants and $\widehat{w}(1)$ and $\widehat{w}'(1)$.

Note that our error term above is consistent with the conjecture size given in [6]. The explicit expression of Q is omitted here as our main focus is the error term. The proof of Theorem 1.1 requires one to obtain meromorphic continuation of certain double Dirichlet series, which we get by making a crucial use of the functional equation of a general quadratic Dirichlet L -function in [4, Proposition 2.3] to convert the original double Dirichlet series to its dual series which we carefully analyze using the ideas used by K. Soundararajan and M. P. Young in [12].

We remark here that our proof of Theorem 1.1 implies that (1.3) holds with the error term $O(X^{1/2+\varepsilon})$ unconditionally. It may also be applied to study the first moment of the family of quadratic Dirichlet L -functions given in (1.1).

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Gauss sums. We write $\psi_j = \chi^{(4j)}$ for $j = \pm 1, \pm 2$ where we recall that $\chi^{(d)} = \left(\frac{d}{\cdot}\right)$ is the Kronecker symbol for integers $d \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$. Note that each ψ_j is a primitive character modulo $4j$. Let ψ_0 stand for the primitive principal character.

Given any Dirichlet character χ modulo n and any integer q , the Gauss sum $\tau(\chi, q)$ is defined to be

$$\tau(\chi, q) = \sum_{j \pmod{n}} \chi(j) e\left(\frac{jq}{n}\right), \quad \text{where } e(z) = \exp(2\pi iz).$$

For the evaluation of $\tau(\chi, q)$, we cite the following result from [4, Lemma 2.2].

Lemma 2.2. (1) If $l \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then

$$\tau\left(\chi^{(4l)}, q\right) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } (q, 2) = 1, \\ -2\tau(\chi_l, q), & \text{if } q \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \\ 2\tau(\chi_l, q), & \text{if } q \equiv 0 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

(2) If $l \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, then

$$\tau\left(\chi^{(4l)}, q\right) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } 2|q, \\ -2i\tau(\chi_l, q), & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ 2i\tau(\chi_l, q), & \text{if } q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Recall that for an odd positive integer n , we define $\chi_n = \left(\frac{\cdot}{n}\right)$. We then define an associated Gauss sum $G(\chi_n, q)$ by

$$G(\chi_n, q) = \left(\frac{1-i}{2} + \left(\frac{-1}{n}\right) \frac{1+i}{2}\right) \tau(\chi_n, q) = \begin{cases} \tau(\chi_n, q), & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ -i\tau(\chi_n, q), & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

The advantage of $G(\chi_n, q)$ over $\tau(\chi_n, q)$ is that $G(\chi_n, q)$ is now a multiplicative function of n . In fact, upon denoting $\varphi(m)$ for the Euler totient function of m , we have the following result from [11, Lemma 2.3] that evaluates $G(\chi_n, q)$.

Lemma 2.3. If $(m, n) = 1$ then $G(\chi_{mn}, q) = G(\chi_m, q)G(\chi_n, q)$. Suppose that p^a is the largest power of p dividing q (put $a = \infty$ if $m = 0$). Then for $k \geq 0$ we have

$$G(\chi_{p^k}, q) = \begin{cases} \varphi(p^k), & \text{if } k \leq a, k \text{ even}, \\ 0, & \text{if } k \leq a, k \text{ odd}, \\ -p^a, & \text{if } k = a + 1, k \text{ even}, \\ \left(\frac{qp^{-a}}{p}\right) p^a \sqrt{p}, & \text{if } k = a + 1, k \text{ odd}, \\ 0, & \text{if } k \geq a + 2. \end{cases}$$

2.4. Functional equations for Dirichlet L -functions. We quote the following functional equation from [4, Proposition 2.3] concerning all Dirichlet characters χ modulo n , which plays a key role in our proof of Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 2.5. Let χ be any Dirichlet character modulo $n \neq \square$ such that $\chi(-1) = 1$. Then we have

$$(2.1) \quad L(s, \chi) = \frac{\pi^{s-1/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\right)}{n^s \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)} K(1-s, \chi), \quad \text{where } K(s, \chi) = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tau(\chi, q)}{q^s}.$$

2.6. Bounding L -functions. For a fixed quadratic character ψ modulo n , let $\widehat{\psi}$ be the primitive character that induces ψ so that we have $\widehat{\psi} = \chi^{(d)}$ for some fundamental discriminant $d|n$ (see [10, Theorem 9.13]). We gather in this section certain estimations on $L(s, \psi)$ that are necessary in the proof of Theorem 1.1. Most of the estimations here are unconditional, except for the following one, which asserts that when $\Re(s) \geq 1/2 + \varepsilon$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have by [8, Theorem 5.19] that under GRH,

$$(2.2) \quad |L(s, \widehat{\psi})|^{-1} \ll |sn|^\varepsilon.$$

Write $n = n_1 n_2$ uniquely such that $(n_1, d) = 1$ and that $p|n_2 \Rightarrow p|d$. The above notations imply that for any integer q ,

$$(2.3) \quad L^{(q)}(s, \psi) = L(s, \widehat{\psi}) \prod_{p|qn_1} \left(1 - \frac{\widehat{\psi}(p)}{p^s}\right).$$

Observe that

$$\left|1 - \frac{\widehat{\psi}(p)}{p^s}\right| \leq 2p^{\max(0, -\Re(s))}.$$

We then deduce that

$$(2.4) \quad \prod_{p|qn_1} \left(1 - \frac{\widehat{\psi}(p)}{p^s}\right) \ll 2^{\omega(qn_1)} (qn_1)^{\max(0, -\Re(s))} \ll (qn_1)^{\max(0, -\Re(s)) + \varepsilon},$$

where $\omega(n)$ denotes the number of distinct prime factors of n and the last estimation above follows from the well-known bound (see [10, Theorem 2.10])

$$\omega(h) \ll \frac{\log h}{\log \log h}, \quad \text{for } h \geq 3.$$

When d is a fundamental discriminant, we recall the convexity bound for $L(s, \chi^{(d)})$ (see [8, Exercise 3, p. 100]) asserts that

$$(2.5) \quad L(s, \chi^{(d)}) \ll \begin{cases} (|d|(1+|s|))^{(1-\Re(s))/2+\varepsilon}, & 0 \leq \Re(s) \leq 1, \\ 1, & \Re(s) > 1. \end{cases}$$

To estimate $L(s, \chi^{(d)})$ for $\Re(s) < 0$, we note the following functional equation (see [11, p. 456]).

$$(2.6) \quad \Lambda(s, \chi^{(d)}) =: \left(\frac{|d|}{\pi}\right)^{s/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) L(s, \chi^{(d)}) = \Lambda(1-s, \chi^{(d)}).$$

Moreover, Stirling's formula ([8, (5.113)]) implies that, for constants a_0, b_0 ,

$$(2.7) \quad \frac{\Gamma(a_0(1-s) + b_0)}{\Gamma(a_0s + b_0)} \ll (1+|s|)^{a_0(1-2\Re(s))}.$$

It follows from (2.6) and (2.7) that when $\Re(s) < 1/2$, we have

$$(2.8) \quad L(s, \chi^{(d)}) \ll (|d|(1+|s|))^{(1-\Re(s))/2+\varepsilon} L(1-s, \chi^{(d)}).$$

Moreover, we conclude from (2.5)-(2.7) that

$$(2.9) \quad L(s, \chi^{(d)}) \ll \begin{cases} 1 & \Re(s) > 1, \\ (|d|(1+|s|))^{(1-\Re(s))/2+\varepsilon} & 0 \leq \Re(s) < 1, \\ (|d|(1+|s|))^{1/2-\Re(s)+\varepsilon} & \Re(s) < 0. \end{cases}$$

From (2.3), (2.4) and (2.9), we deduce that for all complex number s ,

$$(2.10) \quad L^{(q)}(s, \psi) \ll (qn_1)^{\max(0, -\Re(s))+\varepsilon} (n(1+|s|))^{\max\{1/2-\Re(s), (1-\Re(s))/2, 0\}+\varepsilon}.$$

We conclude this section by including the following large sieve result for quadratic Dirichlet L -functions, which is a consequence of [7, Theorem 2].

Lemma 2.7. *With the notation as above, let $S(X)$ denote the set of real, primitive characters χ with conductor not exceeding X . Then we have, for any complex number s with $\Re(s) \geq 1/2$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$(2.11) \quad \sum_{\chi \in S(X)} |L(s, \chi)| \ll X^{1+\varepsilon} |s|^{1/4+\varepsilon}.$$

Proof. From [7, Theorem 2], we get

$$\sum_{\chi \in S(X)} |L(s, \chi)|^4 \ll (X|s|)^{1+\varepsilon}.$$

The lemma now follows from the above and Hölder's inequality. \square

2.8. Some results on multivariable complex functions. We gather here some results from multivariable complex analysis. We begin with the notation of a tube domain.

Definition 2.9. *An open set $T \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is a tube if there is an open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $T = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : \Re(z) \in U\}$.*

For a set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we define $T(U) = U + i\mathbb{R}^n \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. We quote the following Bochner's Tube Theorem [3].

Theorem 2.10. *Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a connected open set and $f(z)$ a function holomorphic on $T(U)$. Then $f(z)$ has a holomorphic continuation to the convex hull of $T(U)$.*

We denote the convex hull of an open set $T \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ by \widehat{T} . Our next result is [4, Proposition C.5] on the modulus of holomorphic continuations of multivariable complex functions.

Proposition 2.11. *Assume that $T \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is a tube domain, $g, h : T \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are holomorphic functions, and let \tilde{g}, \tilde{h} be their holomorphic continuations to \widehat{T} . If $|g(z)| \leq |h(z)|$ for all $z \in T$ and $h(z)$ is nonzero in T , then also $|\tilde{g}(z)| \leq |\tilde{h}(z)|$ for all $z \in \widehat{T}$.*

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

For $\Re(s)$, $\Re(w)$ sufficiently large, define

$$(3.1) \quad A(s, w) = \sum_{(n,2)=1} \frac{L^{(2)}(w, \chi_n)}{n^s} = \sum_{(nm,2)=1} \frac{\chi_n(m)}{m^w n^s} = \sum_{(m,2)=1} \frac{L(s, \chi^{(4m)})}{m^w}.$$

We shall develop some analytic properties of $A(s, w)$, necessary in establishing Theorem 1.1.

3.1. First region of absolute convergence of $A(s, w)$. Using the first equality in the definition for $A(s, w)$ in (3.1) and arguing similar to (2.4),

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} A(s, w) &= \sum_{(n,2)=1} \frac{L^{(2)}(w, \chi_n)}{n^s} = \sum_{(h,2)=1} \frac{1}{h^{2s}} \sum_{(n,2)=1}^* \frac{L^{(2)}(w, \chi_n) \prod_{p|h} (1 - \chi_n(p) p^{-w})}{n^s} \\ &\ll \sum_{(h,2)=1} \frac{h^{\max(0, -\Re(w)) + \varepsilon}}{h^{2s}} \sum_{(n,2)=1}^* \left| \frac{L^{(2)}(w, \chi_n)}{n^s} \right|, \end{aligned}$$

where \sum^* henceforth denotes the sum over square-free integers.

Write $\tilde{\chi}_n$ for the primitive Dirichlet character that induces $\chi^{(n)}$ (resp. $\chi^{(-n)}$) for $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ (resp. for $n \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$). Recall that we have $L^{(2)}(w, \chi_n) = L(w, \chi^{\pm 4n})$ for $n \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{4}$. For a square-free integer n , we see that $\tilde{\chi}_n = \chi^{(n)}$ (resp. $\tilde{\chi}_n = \chi^{(4n)}$) is a primitive character modulo n for $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ (resp. $n \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$). In either case, for square-free integers n ,

$$|L^{(2)}(w, \chi_n)| = |(1 - \tilde{\chi}_n(2) 2^{-w}) L(w, \tilde{\chi}_n)| \ll |L(w, \tilde{\chi}_n)|.$$

It follows from (3.2) and the above estimation that

$$(3.3) \quad A(s, w) \ll \sum_{(h,2)=1} \frac{h^{\max(0, -\Re(w)) + \varepsilon}}{h^{2s}} \sum_{(n,2)=1}^* \frac{|L(w, \tilde{\chi}_n)|}{|n^s|}.$$

Now (2.11) and partial summation implies that, except for a simple pole at $w = 1$, both sums of the right-hand side expression in (3.3) are convergent for $\Re(s) > 1$, $\Re(w) \geq 1/2$ as well as for $\Re(2s) > 1$, $\Re(2s + w) > 1$, $\Re(s + w) > 3/2$, $\Re(w) < 1/2$. Hence, $A(s, w)$ converges absolutely in the region

$$S_0 = \{(s, w) : \Re(s) > 1, \Re(2s + w) > 1, \Re(s + w) > 3/2\}.$$

As the condition $\Re(2s + w) > 1$ is contained in the other conditions, the description of S_0 simplifies to

$$S_0 = \{(s, w) : \Re(s) > 1, \Re(s + w) > 3/2\}.$$

Next, upon writing $m = m_0 m_1^2$ with m_0 odd and square-free, we recast the last expression of (3.1) as

$$(3.4) \quad A(s, w) = \sum_{(m,2)=1} \frac{L(s, \chi^{(4m)})}{m^w} = \sum_{(m_1,2)=1} \frac{1}{m_1^{2w}} \sum_{(m_0,2)=1}^* \frac{L(s, \chi^{(4m_0)}) \prod_{p|m_1} (1 - \chi^{(4m_0)}(p) p^{-s})}{m_0^w}.$$

Note that $\chi^{(4m_0)}$ is a primitive character modulo $4m_0$ for $m_0 \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$. Arguing as above by making use of (2.11) and partial summation again, we see that except for a simple pole at $s = 1$ arising from the summands with $m = \square$, the sum over m such that $m_0 \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ in (3.4) converges absolutely in the region

$$S_1 = \{(s, w) : \Re(w) > 1, \Re(s) \geq \frac{1}{2}\} \cup \{(s, w) : 0 \leq \Re(s) < \frac{1}{2}, \Re(s + w) > \frac{3}{2}\} \cup \{(s, w) : \Re(s) < 0, \Re(2s + w) > \frac{3}{2}\}.$$

Similarly, the sum over m with $m_0 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ in (3.4) also converges absolutely. In this case, $\chi^{(m_0)}$ is a primitive character modulo m_0 . This allows us to deduce that the function $A(s, w)$ converges absolutely in the region S_1 , except for a simple pole at $s = 1$ arising from the summands with $m = \square$.

Notice that the convex hull of S_0 and S_1 is

$$(3.5) \quad S_2 = \{(s, w) : \Re(s + w) > \frac{3}{2}, \Re(2s + w) > \frac{3}{2}\}.$$

Hence, Theorem 2.10 implies that $(s - 1)(w - 1)A(s, w)$ converges absolutely in the region S_2 .

3.2. Residue of $A(s, w)$ at $s = 1$. We see that $A(s, w)$ has a pole at $s = 1$ arising from the terms with $m = \square$ from (3.4). In order to compute the corresponding residue and for later use, we define the sum

$$A_1(s, w) =: \sum_{\substack{(m,2)=1 \\ m=\square}} \frac{L(s, \chi^{(4m)})}{m^w} = \sum_{\substack{(m,2)=1 \\ m=\square}} \frac{\zeta(s) \prod_{p|2m} (1 - p^{-s})}{m^w}.$$

For any $t \in \mathbb{C}$, let $a_t(n)$ be the multiplicative function such that $a_t(p^k) = 1 - 1/p^t$ for any prime p . This notation renders

$$A_1(s, w) = \zeta^{(2)}(s) \sum_{\substack{(m,2)=1 \\ m=\square}} \frac{a_s(m)}{m^w}.$$

Recasting the last sum above as an Euler product,

$$\begin{aligned} (3.6) \quad A_1(s, w) &= \zeta^{(2)}(s) \prod_{p>2} \sum_{\substack{m \geq 0 \\ m \text{ even}}} \frac{a_s(p^m)}{p^{mw}} = \zeta^{(2)}(s) \prod_{p>2} \left(1 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s} \right) \frac{1}{p^{2w}} (1 - p^{-2w})^{-1} \right) \\ &= \zeta^{(2)}(s) \zeta^{(2)}(2w) \prod_{p>2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{s+2w}} \right) =: \zeta(s) \zeta(2w) P(s, w), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(3.7) \quad P(s, w) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^s} \right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{2w}} \right) \prod_{p>2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{s+2w}} \right).$$

It follows from (3.6) and (3.7) that except for a simple pole at $s = 1$, the functions $P(s, w)$ and $A_1(s, w)$ are holomorphic in the region

$$(3.8) \quad S_3 = \left\{ (s, w) : \Re(s + 2w) > 1 \right\}.$$

As the residue of $\zeta(s)$ at $s = 1$ equals 1, we deduce that

$$(3.9) \quad \text{Res}_{s=1} A(s, \frac{1}{2} + \alpha) = \text{Res}_{s=1} A_1(s, \frac{1}{2} + \alpha) = \zeta(1 + 2\alpha) P(1, \frac{1}{2} + \alpha).$$

3.3. Second region of absolute convergence of $A(s, w)$. We infer from (3.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} (3.10) \quad A(s, w) &= \sum_{\substack{(m,2)=1 \\ m=\square}} \frac{L(s, \chi^{(4m)})}{m^w} + \sum_{\substack{(m,2)=1 \\ m \neq \square}} \frac{L(s, \chi^{(4m)})}{m^w} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{(m,2)=1 \\ m=\square}} \frac{\zeta(s) \prod_{p|2m} (1 - p^{-s})}{m^w} + \sum_{\substack{(m,2)=1 \\ m \neq \square}} \frac{L(s, \chi^{(4m)})}{m^w} =: A_1(s, w) + A_2(s, w). \end{aligned}$$

Here we recall from our discussions in the previous section that $A_1(s, w)$ is holomorphic in the region S_3 , except for a simple pole at $s = 1$.

Next, observe that $\chi^{(4m)}$ is a Dirichlet character modulo $4m$ for any $m \geq 1$ such that $\chi^{(4m)}(-1) = 1$. We thus apply the functional equation (2.1) given in Lemma 2.5 for $L(s, \chi^{(4m)})$ in the case $m \neq \square$, arriving at

$$(3.11) \quad A_2(s, w) = \frac{\pi^{s-1/2} \Gamma(\frac{1-s}{2})}{4^s \Gamma(\frac{s}{2})} C(1-s, s+w),$$

where $C(s, w)$ is given by the double Dirichlet series

$$C(s, w) = \sum_{\substack{q, m \\ (m,2)=1 \\ m \neq \square}} \frac{\tau(\chi^{(4m)}, q)}{q^s m^w} = \sum_{\substack{q, m \\ (m,2)=1}} \frac{\tau(\chi^{(4m)}, q)}{q^s m^w} - \sum_{\substack{q, m \\ (m,2)=1 \\ m=\square}} \frac{\tau(\chi^{(4m)}, q)}{q^s m^w}.$$

Note that $C(s, w)$ is initially convergent for $\Re(s), \Re(w)$ large enough by (3.5), (3.8) and the functional equation (3.11). To extend this region, we recast $C(s, w)$ as

$$(3.12) \quad C(s, w) = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q^s} \sum_{(m,2)=1} \frac{\tau(\chi^{(4m)}, q)}{m^w} - \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q^s} \sum_{\substack{(m,2)=1 \\ m=\square}} \frac{\tau(\chi^{(4m)}, q)}{m^w} =: C_1(s, w) - C_2(s, w).$$

For two Dirichlet characters ψ, ψ' whose conductors dividing 8, we define

$$(3.13) \quad C_1(s, w; \psi, \psi') =: \sum_{l, q \geq 1} \frac{G(\chi_l, q) \psi(l) \psi'(q)}{l^w q^s} \quad \text{and} \quad C_2(s, w; \psi, \psi') =: \sum_{l, q \geq 1} \frac{G(\chi_{l^2}, q) \psi(l) \psi'(q)}{l^{2w} q^s}.$$

We follow the arguments contained in [4, §6.4] and apply Lemma 2.2 to obtain that

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} C_1(s, w) &= -2^{-s} (C_1(s, w; \psi_2, \psi_1) + C_1(s, w; \psi_{-2}, \psi_1)) + 4^{-s} (C_1(s, w; \psi_1, \psi_0) + C_1(s, w; \psi_{-1}, \psi_0)) \\ &\quad + C_1(s, w; \psi_1, \psi_{-1}) - C_1(s, w; \psi_{-1}, \psi_{-1}), \\ C_2(s, w) &= -2^{1-s} C_2(s, w; \psi_1, \psi_1) + 2^{1-2s} C_2(s, w; \psi_1, \psi_0). \end{aligned}$$

We now follow the approach by K. Soundararajan and M. P. Young in [12, §3.3] to write every integer $q \geq 1$ uniquely as $q = q_1 q_2^2$ with q_1 square-free to derive that

$$(3.15) \quad C_i(s, w; \psi, \psi') = \sum_{q_1}^* \frac{\psi'(q_1)}{q_1^s} \cdot D_i(s, w; q_1, \psi, \psi'), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

where

$$(3.16) \quad D_1(s, w; q_1, \psi, \psi') = \sum_{l, q_2 \geq 1} \frac{G(\chi_l, q_1 q_2^2) \psi(l) \psi'(q_2^2)}{l^w q_2^{2s}} \quad \text{and} \quad D_2(s, w; q_1, \psi, \psi') = \sum_{l, q_2 \geq 1} \frac{G(\chi_{l^2}, q_1 q_2^2) \psi(l) \psi'(q_2^2)}{l^{2w} q_2^{2s}}.$$

The following result develops the required analytic properties of $D_i(s, w; q_1, \psi, \psi')$.

Lemma 3.4. *With the notation as above and assuming the truth of GRH, for $\psi \neq \psi_0$, the functions $D_i(s, w; q_1, \psi, \psi')$, with $i = 1, 2$ have meromorphic continuations to the region*

$$(3.17) \quad \{(s, w) : \Re(s) > 1, \Re(w) > \frac{3}{4}\}.$$

Moreover, the only pole in this region occurs when $q_1 = 1, \psi = \psi_1$ at $w = 3/2$ and the pole is simple. For $\Re(s) \geq 1 + \varepsilon, \Re(w) \geq 3/4 + \varepsilon$, away from the possible poles, we have

$$(3.18) \quad |D_i(s, w; q_1, \psi, \psi')| \ll (q_1(1 + |w|))^{\max\{(3/2 - \Re(w))/2, 0\} + \varepsilon}.$$

Proof. We focus on $D_1(s, w; q_1, \psi, \psi')$ here since the proof for the other case is similar. By Lemma 2.3, in the double sum in (3.16) defining $D_1(s, w; q_1, \psi, \psi')$, the summands there are jointly multiplicative functions of l, q_2 . Moreover, we may assume that l is odd in (3.16) since $\psi \neq \psi_0$. These observations enable us to recast $D_1(s, w; q_1, \psi, \psi')$ as an Euler product such that

$$(3.19) \quad D_1(s, w; q_1, \psi, \psi') = \prod_p D_{1,p}(s, w; q_1, \psi, \psi'),$$

where

$$(3.20) \quad D_{1,p}(s, w; q_1, \psi, \psi') = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\psi'(2^{2k})}{2^{2ks}}, & p = 2, \\ \sum_{l, k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\psi(p^l) \psi'(p^{2k}) G(\chi_{p^l}, q_1 p^{2k})}{p^{lw+2ks}}, & p > 2. \end{cases}$$

Now, for any fixed $p > 2$,

$$(3.21) \quad \sum_{l, k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\psi(p^l) \psi'(p^{2k}) G(\chi_{p^l}, q_1 p^{2k})}{p^{lw+2ks}} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{\psi(p^l) G(\chi_{p^l}, q_1)}{p^{lw}} + \sum_{l \geq 0, k \geq 1} \frac{\psi(p^l) \psi'(p^{2k}) G(\chi_{p^l}, q_1 p^{2k})}{p^{lw+2ks}}.$$

Remembering that q_1 is square-free, we deduce from Lemma 2.3 that

$$|G(\chi_{p^l}, q_1 p^{2k})| \ll p^l, \quad G(\chi_{p^l}, q_1 p^{2k}) = 0, \quad l \geq 2k + 3.$$

The above estimations allow us to see that when $\Re(s) > 1$, $\Re(w) > 3/4$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.22) \quad \sum_{l \geq 0, k \geq 1} \frac{\psi(p^l) \psi'(p^{2k}) G(\chi_{p^l}, q_1 p^{2k})}{p^{lw+2ks}} &= \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{\psi'(p^{2k}) G(\chi_1, q_1 p^{2k})}{p^{2ks}} + \sum_{l, k \geq 1} \frac{\psi(p^l) \psi'(p^{2k}) G(\chi_{p^l}, q_1 p^{2k})}{p^{lw+2ks}} \\
&\ll p^{-2\Re(s)} + \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{1 \leq l \leq 2k+2} \frac{1}{p^{l(w-1)+2ks}} \right| \\
&\ll p^{-2\Re(s)} + \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2k+2}{p^{2ks}} \left(\frac{1}{p^{w-1}} + \frac{1}{p^{(2k+2)(w-1)}} \right) \right| \\
&\ll p^{-2\Re(s)} + p^{-2\Re(s)-\Re(w)+1} + p^{-2\Re(s)-4\Re(w)+4}.
\end{aligned}$$

Further applying Lemma 2.3 yields that if $p \nmid 2q_1$ and $\Re(w) > 3/4$,

$$(3.23) \quad \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{\psi(p^l) G(\chi_{p^l}, q_1)}{p^{lw}} = 1 + \frac{\psi(p) \chi^{(q_1)}(p)}{p^{w-1/2}} = L_p \left(w - \frac{1}{2}, \chi^{(q_1)} \psi \right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{2w-1}} \right) = \frac{L_p \left(w - \frac{1}{2}, \chi^{(q_1)} \psi \right)}{\zeta_p(2w-1)}.$$

We derive from (3.20)–(3.23) that for $p \nmid 2q_1$, $\Re(s) > 1$, $\Re(w) > 3/4$,

$$(3.24) \quad D_{1,p}(s, w; q_1, \psi, \psi') = \frac{L_p \left(w - \frac{1}{2}, \chi^{(q_1)} \psi \right)}{\zeta_p(2w-1)} \left(1 + O \left(p^{-2\Re(s)} + p^{-2\Re(s)-\Re(w)+1} + p^{-2\Re(s)-4\Re(w)+4} \right) \right).$$

Now, we deduce the first assertion of the lemma from (3.19), (3.20) and the above. We also see this way that the only pole that is simple in the region given in (3.17) is at $w = 3/2$ and this occurs when $q_1 = 1$, $\psi = \psi_1$.

We further note that Lemma 2.3 implies that when $p|q_1, p \neq 2$,

$$(3.25) \quad \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{\psi(p^l) G(\chi_{p^l}, q_1)}{p^{lw}} = 1 - \frac{\psi(p^2)}{p^{2w-1}} = 1 + O(p^{-2\Re(w)+1}).$$

It follows from (3.20), (3.22) and (3.25) that for $p|q_1, p \neq 2$, $\Re(s) > 1$, $\Re(w) > 3/4$,

$$(3.26) \quad D_{1,p}(s, w; q_1, \psi, \psi') = 1 + O \left(p^{-2\Re(w)+1} + p^{-2\Re(s)} + p^{-2\Re(s)-\Re(w)+1} + p^{-2\Re(s)-4\Re(w)+4} \right).$$

We conclude from (3.19), (3.20), (3.24) and (3.26) that for $\Re(s) \geq 1 + \varepsilon$ and $\Re(w) \geq 3/4 + \varepsilon$,

$$D_1(s, w; q_1, \psi, \psi') \ll |q_1|^\varepsilon \left| \frac{L^{(2q_1)} \left(w - \frac{1}{2}, \chi^{(q_1)} \psi \right)}{\zeta^{(2q_1)}(2w-1)} \right| \ll |q_1|^\varepsilon \left| \frac{L \left(w - \frac{1}{2}, \chi^{(q_1)} \psi \right)}{\zeta(2w-1)} \right| \ll (q_1(1+|w|))^{\max\{(3/2-\Re(w))/2, 0\} + \varepsilon},$$

where the last bound follows from (2.2) (by taking $\widehat{\psi} = \psi_0$ to be the primitive principal character) and (2.10). This leads to the estimate in (3.18) and completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Now applying Lemma 3.4 with (3.14) and (3.15), we that $(w - 3/2)C(s, w)$ is defined in the region

$$\{(s, w) : \Re(s) > 1, \Re(w) > 3/4, \Re(s + w/2) > 7/4\}.$$

The above together with (3.8) and (3.10) now implies that $(s - 1)(w - 1)(s + w - 3/2)A(s, w)$ can be extended to the region

$$S_4 = \{(s, w) : \Re(s + 2w) > 1, \Re(s + w) > 3/4, \Re(w - s) > 3/2, \Re(s) < 0\}.$$

The condition $\Re(s + 2w) > 1$ is redundant. So

$$S_4 = \{(s, w) : \Re(s + w) > 3/4, \Re(w - s) > 3/2, \Re(s) < 0\}.$$

It is then easily observed that the convex hull of S_2 and S_4 equals

$$S_5 = \{(s, w) : \Re(s + w) > 3/4\}.$$

Now Theorem 2.10 renders that $(s - 1)(w - 1)(s + w - 3/2)A(s, w)$ converges absolutely in the region S_5 .

3.5. Residue of $A(s, w)$ at $s = 3/2 - w$. We keep the notation from the proof of Lemma 3.4. We deduce from (3.12), (3.14), (3.15) and Lemma 3.4, $C(s, w)$ has a pole at $w = 3/2$ and

$$(3.27) \quad \text{Res}_{w=3/2} C(s, w) = 4^{-s} \text{Res}_{w=3/2} D_1(s, w; 1, \psi_1, \psi_0) + \text{Res}_{w=3/2} D_1(s, w; 1, \psi_1, \psi_{-1}).$$

We apply Lemma 2.3 to see that for $p \neq 2$,

$$(3.28) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{l \geq 0, k \geq 1} \frac{\psi'(p^k) G(\chi_{p^l}, p^{2k})}{p^{3l/2+2ks}} &= \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{G(\chi_1, p^{2k})}{p^{2ks}} + \sum_{l, k \geq 1} \frac{G(\chi_{p^l}, p^{2k})}{p^{3l/2+2ks}} \\ &= p^{-2s} (1 - p^{-2s})^{-1} + \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{p^{2ks}} \left(\sum_{l=1}^k \frac{\varphi(p^{2l})}{p^{3l}} + \frac{p^{2k} \sqrt{p}}{p^{3(2k+1)/2}} \right) \\ &= p^{-2s} (1 - p^{-2s})^{-1} + \frac{1}{p} \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{p^{2ks}} = \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right) p^{-2s} (1 - p^{-2s})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

We derive from (3.20), (3.21), (3.23) and (3.28) that for $p \neq 2$,

$$(3.29) \quad D_{1,p}(s, w; 1, \psi_1, \psi_0) = D_{1,p}(s, w; 1, \psi_1, \psi_{-1}) = 1 + \frac{1}{p^{w-1/2}} + \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right) p^{-2s} (1 - p^{-2s})^{-1} = \zeta_p(w - 1/2) Q_p(s, w),$$

where

$$(3.30) \quad Q_p(s, w) \Big|_{w=3/2} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^2}\right) (1 - p^{-2s})^{-1}.$$

It follows from (3.19), (3.20), (3.29) and (3.30) that we have

$$(3.31) \quad D_1(s, w; 1, \psi_1, \psi_0) = \zeta(w - 1/2) Q(s, w), \quad D_1(s, w; 1, \psi_1, \psi_{-1}) = (1 - p^{-2s}) \zeta(w - 1/2) Q(s, w),$$

with

$$(3.32) \quad Q(s, w) \Big|_{w=3/2} = \frac{2\zeta(2s)}{3\zeta(2)}.$$

As the residue of $\zeta(s)$ at $s = 1$ equals 1, we deduce from (3.27), (3.31) and (3.32) that

$$\text{Res}_{w=3/2} C(s, w) = \frac{2}{3} \frac{\zeta(2s)}{\zeta(2)}.$$

Now (3.10), the functional equation (3.11) and the above lead to

$$\text{Res}_{s=3/2-w} A(s, w) = \text{Res}_{s=3/2-w} A_2(s, w) = \frac{2 \cdot \pi^{1-w} \Gamma(\frac{w-1/2}{2}) \zeta(2w-1)}{3 \cdot 4^{3/2-w} \Gamma(\frac{3/2-w}{2}) \zeta(2)}.$$

Setting $w = 1/2 + \alpha$ in the above gives

$$(3.33) \quad \text{Res}_{s=1-\alpha} A(s, \frac{1}{2} + \alpha) = \frac{2^{2\alpha-1} \pi^{1/2-\alpha} \Gamma(\frac{\alpha}{2}) \zeta(2\alpha)}{3 \Gamma(\frac{1-\alpha}{2}) \zeta(2)}.$$

Note that the functional equation (2.6) for $d = 1$ implies that

$$\zeta(2\alpha) = \pi^{2\alpha-1/2} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \zeta(1-2\alpha).$$

The above allows us to recast the expression in (3.33) as

$$(3.34) \quad \text{Res}_{s=1-\alpha} A(s, \frac{1}{2} + \alpha) = \frac{\pi^\alpha \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-\alpha) \Gamma(\frac{\alpha}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1-\alpha}{2}) \Gamma(\alpha)} \cdot \frac{\zeta(1-2\alpha)}{\zeta(2)} \cdot \frac{2^{2\alpha}}{6}.$$

3.6. Bounding $A(s, w)$ in vertical strips. We shall estimate $|A(s, w)|$ in vertical strips, which is necessary in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

For previously defined regions S_j , we set

$$\tilde{S}_j = S_{j,\delta} \cap \{(s, w) : \Re(s) \geq -5/2, \Re(w) \geq 1/2 - \delta\},$$

where δ is a fixed number with $0 < \delta < 1/1000$ and where $S_{j,\delta} = \{(s, w) + \delta(1, 1) : (s, w) \in S_j\}$. We further Set

$$p(s, w) = (s-1)(w-1)(s+w-3/2), \quad \tilde{p}(s, w) = 1 + |p(s, w)|.$$

Observe that $p(s, w)A(s, w)$ is analytic in the regions under our consideration.

We apply (2.11) and partial summation to bound the expression for $A(s, w)$ given in (3.3) when $\Re(w) \geq 1/2$. We further apply (2.8) to convert the case $\Re(w) < 1/2$ back to the case $\Re(w) > 1/2$. This gives that in the region \tilde{S}_0 ,

$$|p(s, w)A(s, w)| \ll \tilde{p}(s, w)(1 + |w|)^{\max\{1/2 - \Re(w), 0\} + \varepsilon}.$$

Similarly, we bound the expression for $A(s, w)$ given in (3.4) to see that in the region \tilde{S}_1 ,

$$|p(s, w)A(s, w)| \ll \tilde{p}(s, w)(1 + |s|)^{\max\{1/2 - \Re(s), 0\} + \varepsilon}.$$

From the above estimations, we apply Proposition 2.11 to deduce that in the convex hull \tilde{S}_2 of \tilde{S}_0 and \tilde{S}_1 ,

$$(3.35) \quad |p(s, w)A(s, w)| \ll \tilde{p}(s, w)(1 + |w|)^{\max\{1/2 - \Re(w), 0\} + \varepsilon}(1 + |s|)^{3 + \varepsilon}.$$

Moreover, using the estimations given in (2.10) for $\zeta(s), \zeta(2w)$ (corresponding to the case with $\psi = \psi_0$ being the primitive principal character) to bound $A_1(s, w)$ given in (3.6), we see that in the region \tilde{S}_3 ,

$$(3.36) \quad |A_1(s, w)| \ll (1 + |w|)^{\max\{1/2 - \Re(2w), (1 - \Re(2w))/2, 0\} + \varepsilon}(1 + |s|)^{\max\{1/2 - \Re(s), 0\} + \varepsilon}.$$

Also, we deduce from (3.12)–(3.16) and Lemma 3.4 that, under GRH,

$$(3.37) \quad |C(s, w)| \ll (1 + |w|)^{\max\{(3/2 - \Re(w))/2, 0\} + \varepsilon}$$

in the region

$$\{(s, w) : \Re(s) \geq 1 + \varepsilon, \Re(w) \geq 3/4 + \varepsilon\}.$$

Now applying (3.10), the functional equation (3.11) together with (2.7) to bound the ratio of the gamma functions appearing there, as well as the estimations given in (3.36) and (3.37), we obtain that in the region \tilde{S}_4 , under GRH,

$$(3.38) \quad \begin{aligned} |p(s, w)A(s, w)| &\ll \tilde{p}(s, w)(1 + |s + w|)^{\max\{(3/2 - \Re(s+w))/2, 0\} + \varepsilon}(1 + |s|)^{3 + \varepsilon} \\ &\ll \tilde{p}(s, w)(1 + |w|)^{2 + \varepsilon}(1 + |s|)^{5 + \varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we conclude from (3.35), (3.38) and Proposition 2.11 that in the convex hull \tilde{S}_5 of \tilde{S}_2 and \tilde{S}_4 , under GRH,

$$(3.39) \quad |p(s, w)A(s, w)| \ll \tilde{p}(s, w)(1 + |w|)^{2 + \varepsilon}(1 + |s|)^{5 + \varepsilon}.$$

3.7. Completing the proof. Using the Mellin inversion, we see that for the function $A(s, w)$ defined in (3.1),

$$(3.40) \quad \sum_{(n,2)=1} L^{(2)}(\tfrac{1}{2} + \alpha, \chi_n)w \left(\frac{n}{X}\right) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(2)} A(s, \tfrac{1}{2} + \alpha) X^s \hat{w}(s) ds,$$

where \hat{w} is the Mellin transform of w given by

$$\hat{w}(s) = \int_0^\infty w(t)t^s \frac{dt}{t}.$$

Integration by parts renders that for any integer $E \geq 0$,

$$(3.41) \quad \hat{w}(s) \ll \frac{1}{(1 + |s|)^E}.$$

We shift the line of integration in (3.40) to $\Re(s) = 1/4 + \varepsilon$. The integral on the new line can be absorbed into the O -term in (1.2) upon using (3.39) and (3.41). We also encounter two simple poles at $s = 1$ and $s = 1 - \alpha$ in the move with the corresponding residues given in (3.9) and (3.34), respectively. Direct computations now lead to the main terms given in (1.2). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Acknowledgments. P. G. is supported in part by NSFC grant 11871082 and L. Z. by the FRG Grant PS43707 at the University of New South Wales.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. W. Alderson and M. O. Rubinstein, *Conjectures and experiments concerning the moments of $L(1/2, \chi_d)$* , Exp. Math. **21** (2012), no. 3, 307–328.
- [2] V. Blomer, *Subconvexity for a double Dirichlet series*, Compos. Math. **147** (2011), no. 2, 355–374.
- [3] S. Bochner, *A theorem on analytic continuation of functions in several variables*, Ann. of Math. (2) **39** (1938), no. 1, 14–19.
- [4] M. Čech, *The Ratios conjecture for real Dirichlet characters and multiple Dirichlet series* (Preprint). arXiv:2110.04409.
- [5] A. Diaconu, D. Goldfeld, and J. Hoffstein, *Multiple Dirichlet series and moments of zeta and L -functions*, Compositio Math. **139** (2003), no. 3, 297–360.
- [6] D. Goldfeld and J. Hoffstein, *Eisenstein series of $\frac{1}{2}$ -integral weight and the mean value of real Dirichlet L -series*, Invent. Math. **80** (1985), no. 2, 185–208.
- [7] D. R. Heath-Brown, *A mean value estimate for real character sums*, Acta Arith. **72** (1995), no. 3, 235–275.
- [8] H. Iwaniec and E. Kowalski, *Analytic Number Theory*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, vol. 53, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2004.
- [9] M. Jutila, *On the mean value of $L(1/2, \chi)$ for real characters*, Analysis **1** (1981), no. 2, 149–161.
- [10] H. L. Montgomery and R. C. Vaughan, *Multiplicative number theory. I. Classical theory*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 97, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.
- [11] K. Soundararajan, *Nonvanishing of quadratic Dirichlet L -functions at $s = \frac{1}{2}$* , Ann. of Math. (2) **152** (2000), no. 2, 447–488.
- [12] K. Soundararajan and M. P. Young, *The second moment of quadratic twists of modular L -functions*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **12** (2010), no. 5, 1097–1116.
- [13] A. I. Vinogradov and L. A. Takhtadzhyan, *Analogues of the Vinogradov-Gauss formula on the critical line*, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI) **109** (1981), 41–82, 180–181, 182–183.
- [14] M. P. Young, *The first moment of quadratic Dirichlet L -functions*, Acta Arith. **138** (2009), no. 1, 73–99.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, BEIHANG UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100191, CHINA

Email address: penggao@buaa.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF NEW SOUTH WALES, SYDNEY NSW 2052, AUSTRALIA

Email address: l.zhao@unsw.edu.au