

FINDING NON-DISTANCE MAGIC GRAPHS USING NEIGHBOURHOOD CHAINS

V. VILFRED KAMALAPPAN AND SAJIDHA P

ABSTRACT. Let G be a graph of order n and $N = \{N(u_i)\}_{i=1}^k$ be a sequence of neighbourhood(nbh)s in G where $N(u) = \{v \in V(G) : uv \in E(G)\}$. *Nbh sequence graph* H of N in G is defined as the union of all induced subgraphs of closed nbh $N[u_i]$ in G , $1 \leq i \leq k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. A labeling $f : V(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is called a *Distance Magic Labeling (DML)* of G if $\sum_{v \in N(u)} f(v)$ is a constant for every $u \in V(G)$. G is called a *Distance Magic graph (DMG)* if it has a DML, otherwise it is called a *Non-Distance Magic (NDM)* graph. In this paper, we define nbh walk, nbh trial, nbh path or nbh chain, nbh cycle, nbh sequence graph and nbh chains of Type-1 (NC-T1) and Type-2 (NC-T2). NC-T2 is formed on two NC-T1 of same length. We prove that (i) for $k \geq 2$ and $n \geq 3$, cylindrical grid graph $P_k \square C_n$ contains NC-T2, $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$; (ii) graph containing NC-T1 of even length is NDM and (iii) partially settle a conjecture that graphs $P_m \square C_n$ are NDM when n is even, $m \geq 2$, $n \geq 3$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1987, Vilfred [8] defined *Sigma labeling*, *Sigma partition* and *Sigma labeled graphs* and developed its theory [9]. The same labeling was independently defined in 2003 [5] as *1-distance magic vertex labeling* and the term ‘Distance Magic Labeling’ was used in a 2009 article [7]. Hereafter, we use the term ‘Distance Magic Labeling’ since the motivation to define Sigma labeling of graphs is the construction of Magic Squares (See pages 1, 97-99 of [9].).

In 1996, while studying sigma labeling of graphs, Vilfred proved that cylindrical grid graphs $P_2 \square C_n$ for $n \geq 3$, and $P_k \square C_n$ for $n = 3, 4$ and $k \geq 2$ are Non-Distance Magic (NDM) and proposed the following conjecture in [9] (pages 11-13 in [9]).

Conjecture 1. [9] For $m \geq 2$, $n \geq 3$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, cylindrical grid graphs $P_m \square C_n$ are Non-Distance Magic (NDM).

In this paper, we define neighbourhood(nbh) walk, nbh trial, nbh path or nbh chain, nbh cycle similar to the definition of walk, trial, path, cycle in graphs and also nbh sequence graphs. These are used to develop the theory in this paper. We also define nbh chains of Type-1 (NC-T1) and Type-2 (NC-T2). NC-T2 is formed on two NC-T1 of same length. We prove that (i) for $k \geq 2$ and $n \geq 3$, cylindrical grid graph $P_k \square C_n$ contains NC-T2, $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$; (ii) graph containing NC-T1 of even length is NDM and (iii) for $m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 3$, $P_{2m} \square C_n$ are NDM and thereby, we partially settle Conjecture 1, $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Effort to settle Conjecture 1 is the motivation to do this research work.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05C78, 05C75.

Key words and phrases. Distance magic labeling, sigma labeling, 1-distance magic labeling, distance magic graph, nbh chain, nbh sequence graph, cylindrical grid graph.

Through out this paper, we consider only finite undirected simple graphs and for all basic ideas in graph theory, we follow [1]. We present here a few definitions and results which are needed in the subsequent sections.

Definition 1.1. [8] *Let G be a simple graph of order n . Then a bijective mapping $f : V(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is called a Distance Magic Labeling (DML) or Σ -labeling (Sigma labeling) of G if $\sum_{v \in N(u)} f(v) = S$ is a constant for all $u \in V(G)$ where $N(u) = \{v \in V(G) : uv \in E(G)\}$. Graph G is called a Distance Magic graph (DMG) if it has a DML, otherwise it is called a Non-Distance Magic (NDM) graph and we call S as Distance Magic constant.*

Definition 1.2. [1] *A path is a simple graph whose vertices can be ordered so that two vertices are adjacent if and only if they are consecutive in the list. The (unlabeled) path with n vertices is denoted by P_n .*

Definition 1.3. [1] *A closed path on n vertices is called a cycle and is denoted by C_n , $n \geq 3$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Definition 1.4. [1] *The cartesian product of graphs G and H , written $G \square H$, is the graph with vertex set $V(G) \times V(H)$ specified by putting (u, v) adjacent to (u', v') if and only if (i) $u = u'$ and $vv' \in E(H)$, or (ii) $v = v'$ and $uu' \in E(G)$.*

Definition 1.5. [1] *The union of two disjoint graphs G and H , written $G \cup H$, is the graph with vertex set $V(G) \cup V(H)$ and edge set $E(G) \cup E(H)$.*

Theorem 1.6. [Theorem 2.6 in [9]] *For $m \geq 2$, graphs $P_m \square C_3$ and $P_m \square C_4$ are NDM, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. \square*

In [5], the following condition is given to identify NDM graphs.

Theorem 1.7. [5] *If a simple graph G contains two distinct vertices u and $v \ni |N(u) \cap N(v)| = \deg(v) - 1 = \deg(u) - 1$, then G is NDM. \square*

While trying to prove Conjecture 1, we could notice that for $m \geq 2$, $n \geq 3$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, the cylindrical grid graph $P_m \square C_n$ satisfies a particular condition which is a more general case of condition given in Theorem 1.7 and is used here as a tool to identify a large families of graphs as NDM graphs.

2. NBH WALK, NBH TRIAL, NBH CHAIN, NBH CYCLE, NBH SEQUENCE GRAPHS

Here, we define neighbourhood(nbh) walk, nbh trial, nbh path or nbh chain, nbh cycle similar to the definition of walk, trial, path, cycle in graphs and also nbh sequence graphs. These are used to develop the theory in this paper.

A *nbh sequence* in a graph G is a sequence of nbhs in G . That is a nbh sequence is a sequence in which each element/term is a nbh of a vertex in G .

Remark 2.1. *In general, in any nbh sequence $\{N(u_i)\}_{i=1}^k$ in a graph G , we consider $k \geq 2$ and $|N[u_i]| > 1$, $\forall i$, unless stated otherwise, $u_i \in V(G)$, $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Definition 2.2. *A nbh sequence $\{N(u_i)\}_{i=1}^k$ in a graph G is called a nbh walk if consecutive terms (nbhs) are having common point(s), $u_i \in V(G)$, $1 \leq i \leq k$. We denote the nbh walk $\{N(u_i)\}_{i=1}^k$ as $N_1 N_2 \dots N_k$ where $N_i = N(u_i)$, $u_i \in V(G)$, $k \geq 2$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Definition 2.3. Let $N = \{N(u_i)\}_{i=1}^k$ be a nbh sequence in a graph G . Then the nbh sequence graph of N in G denoted by $NSG[N]_G$ or simply $NSG[N]$ and is defined as the union of all induced subgraphs of closed nbh $N[u_i]$ in G , $i = 1$ to k . i.e., $NSG[N] = \bigcup_{i=1}^k \langle N[u_i] \rangle$ which is a subgraph of G , $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Example 1. Consider grid graph $G = P_5 \square P_4$ whose vertices are labeled as given in Figure 1. See Figure 1. In G ,

$$\begin{aligned} N[u_1^{(2)}] &= \{u_1^{(2)}, u_1^{(1)}, u_1^{(3)}, u_2^{(2)}\}, \\ N[u_2^{(3)}] &= \{u_2^{(3)}, u_1^{(3)}, u_2^{(2)}, u_2^{(4)}, u_3^{(3)}\}, \\ N[u_3^{(4)}] &= \{u_3^{(4)}, u_2^{(4)}, u_3^{(3)}, u_4^{(4)}\}, \\ N[u_4^{(2)}] &= \{u_4^{(2)}, u_3^{(2)}, u_4^{(1)}, u_4^{(3)}\}, u_5^{(2)}, \\ N[u_5^{(3)}] &= \{u_5^{(3)}, u_4^{(3)}, u_5^{(2)}, u_5^{(4)}\}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Let } NSG[N]_1 = \langle N[u_1^{(2)}] \rangle \cup \langle N[u_2^{(3)}] \rangle \cup \langle N[u_3^{(4)}] \rangle,$$

$$NSG[N]_2 = \langle N[u_4^{(2)}] \rangle \cup \langle N[u_5^{(3)}] \rangle \text{ and}$$

$$NSG[N]_3 = \langle N[u_1^{(2)}] \rangle \cup \langle N[u_2^{(3)}] \rangle$$

$$\cup \langle N[u_3^{(4)}] \rangle \cup \langle N[u_4^{(2)}] \rangle \cup \langle N[u_5^{(3)}] \rangle = NSG[N]_1 \cup NSG[N]_2.$$

Here, $NSG[N]_1$, $NSG[N]_2$ and $NSG[N]_3$ are nbh sequence graphs and these induced subgraphs can be identified with respective vertices with yellow and blue colors and edges with green color in G and are given in Figure 1. Clearly, $NSG[N]_1$ and $NSG[N]_2$ are connected subgraphs of G whereas $NSG[N]_3$ is a disconnected subgraph of G .




Figure 1. Grid graph $G = P_5 \square P_4$

Definition 2.4. A nbh walk $N_1 N_2 \cdots N_k$ is called a nbh trail if every pair of non-consecutive terms (nbhs) of the nbh sequence has at the most one common vertex, except the first and the last terms. That is $|N_i \cap N_j| \leq 1$ for every $i, j \ni 1 < |i - j| < k - 1$, $1 \leq i, j \leq k$.

Thus, in a nbh trail $N_1 N_2 \cdots N_k$, there is no restriction on the elements of N_1 and N_k whereas $|N_i \cap N_j| \leq 1$ for every $i, j \ni 1 < |i - j| < k - 1$, $1 \leq i, j \leq k$.

Definition 2.5. A nbh trial $N_1N_2\cdots N_k$ is called a nbh path or a nbh chain if non-consecutive terms(nbhs) of the nbh sequence have no common vertex, except the first and the last terms.

Nbh chain $N_1N_2\cdots N_k$ is said to be of length k , $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 2.6. A nbh chain $N_1N_2\cdots N_k$ is called a closed nbh chain or closed nbh path or nbh cycle if $N_1 \cap N_k \neq \emptyset$ and $N_1 \neq N_k$ when $k = 2$ and $N_1 \cap N_k \neq \emptyset$ when $k \geq 3$. Otherwise, it is called an open nbh chain.

Definition 2.7. A nbh sequence $\{N(u_i^{(j)})\}_{j=1}^k$ in a graph G is called a connected nbh sequence if between any two distinct nbhs(terms) of the nbh sequence, there exists at least one nbh path, $u_i^{(j)} \in V(G)$, $k \geq 2$, $1 \leq j \leq k$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Otherwise, it is called a disconnected nbh sequence in G .

Thus, in graph G as given in Example 1, $NSG[N]_1$ and $NSG[N]_2$ are connected nbh sequences whereas $NSG[N]_3$ is a disconnected nbh sequence.

Example 2. Let G be a graph and $N_i = N(u_i)$, $u_i \in V(G)$ and $1 \leq i \leq 11$. In Figure 2, each colored region represents a nbh N_i , $1 \leq i \leq 11$; any two regions are overlapping if and only if their corresponding nbhs have common element(s)(vertex or vertices). In each of the figures, Figures 2, 2(a), 2(b) and 3, each region shown corresponds to a given nbh in the graph G and not its corresponding subgraph or induced subgraph in G . To understand more about these definitions on nbh sequences, we present these examples.




Figure 2. Nbh sequence graph of $N_1N_2\dots N_{11}$

Consider the following sequence of neighbourhoods of vertices in G . Let them be

$$NS1 = N_1N_2N_3N_4N_5N_6N_7N_8N_9,$$

$$NS2 = N_1N_2N_8N_7N_6N_5N_4N_{10},$$

$$NS3 = N_1N_2N_3N_4N_5N_6N_7N_8N_3N_4N_{10},$$

$$NS4 = N_1N_2N_3N_4N_5N_6N_7N_8N_2N_3N_4N_{10},$$

$$NS5 = N_1N_2N_3N_4N_5N_6N_5N_4N_{10},$$

$$NS6 = N_1N_2N_3N_2N_1N_2N_9,$$

$$NS7 = N_9N_8N_2N_1N_2N_9,$$

$$NS8 = N_9N_8N_3N_{11}N_9 = N_9N_8N_3N_{11},$$

$$NS9 = N_8N_3N_4N_5N_6N_7N_8 = N_8N_3N_4N_5N_6N_7,$$

$$NS10 = N_2N_3N_4N_5N_6N_7N_2 = N_2N_3N_4N_5N_6N_7,$$

$$NS11 = N_2N_3N_4N_5N_6N_7N_8,$$

$$NS12 = N_1N_2N_3N_4N_5N_6N_7N_8N_9N_{11}N_3N_4N_3N_8N_2N_1, \text{ see Figure 2(a),}$$

$NS13 = N_8N_9N_{11}N_3N_4N_5N_6N_7$, see Figure 2(b),

$NS14 = N_8N_9N_{11}N_3$

$NS15 = N_2N_3N_4N_5N_6N_7N_9$,

$NS16 = N_1N_8N_3$,

$NS17 = N_9N_8N_6N_5$,

$NS18 = N_8N_9N_{10}N_{11}N_3N_4N_{10}$.

Now, let us check the above sequences of nbhs for nbh walk, nbh trail, nbh path/chain, nbh cycle.

(i) **Nbh Walks:**

$NS1$ to $NS14$ are nbh walks.

$NS15$ to $NS18$ are not nbh walks since

(a) in $NS15$, consecutive terms(nbhs) N_7 and N_9 are disjoint;

(b) in $NS16$, consecutive terms(nbhs) N_1 and N_8 are disjoint;

(c) in $NS17$, consecutive terms(nbhs) N_8 and N_6 are disjoint;

(d) in $NS18$, consecutive pair of terms(nbhs) N_9 and N_{10}

(as well as N_{10} and N_{11}) are disjoint.

(ii) **Nbh Trails:** Among the nbh walks,

$NS8$, $NS9$, $NS10$, $NS13$, $NS14$ are nbh chains/paths;

$NS1$ is a nbh trail

if $|N_7 \cap N_2| = 1$, $|N_8 \cap N_2| = 1$, $|N_8 \cap N_3| = 1$ and $|N_9 \cap N_2| = 1$;

$NS1$ is not a nbh trail

if $|N_7 \cap N_2| > 1$ or $|N_8 \cap N_2| > 1$ or $|N_8 \cap N_3| > 1$ or $|N_9 \cap N_2| > 1$;

$NS2$ is a nbh trail if $|N_7 \cap N_2| = 1$ and is not a nbh trail if $|N_7 \cap N_2| > 1$;

$NS3$ is not a nbh trail since N_3 (and N_4) occurs twice in the nbh sequence;

$NS4$ is not a nbh trail since N_3 (as well as N_4) occurs twice in $NS4$;

$NS5$ is not a nbh trail since N_4 (as well as N_5) occurs twice in $NS5$;

$NS6$ is not a nbh trail

since N_1 (as well as N_2) occurs more than once in $NS6$;

$NS7$ is not a nbh trail since N_2 occurs more than once in $NS7$;

$NS11$ is a nbh trail if $|N_7 \cap N_2| = 1$ and $|N_8 \cap N_3| = 1$;

$NS11$ is not a nbh trail if $|N_7 \cap N_2| > 1$ or $|N_8 \cap N_3| > 1$;

$NS12$ is not a nbh trail since N_2 (and N_3 , N_8) occurs more than once in

$NS12$ and is (are) not an end term/nbh of $NS12$ (See Figure 2(a)).

(iii) **Nbh Paths:**

Among the nbh trails,

$NS2$, $NS8$, $NS9$, $NS10$, $NS13$, $NS14$ are nbh chains/paths;

$NS1$ is not a nbh path since

$|N_7 \cap N_2| \neq \emptyset$ (and $|N_8 \cap N_2| \neq \emptyset$, $|N_8 \cap N_3| \neq \emptyset$, $|N_9 \cap N_2| \neq \emptyset$);

$NS3$ is not a nbh path since $|N_8 \cap N_2| \neq \emptyset$;

$NS4$ is not a nbh path since N_3 (as well as N_4)

is not an end term/nbh in $NS4$ and occurs twice in $NS4$;

$NS5$ is not a nbh path since N_4 (as well as N_5)

is not an end term/nbh in $NS5$ and occurs twice in $NS5$;

$NS6$ is not a nbh path since N_1 (as well as N_2) occurs

more than once in $NS6$ and is not an end term/nbh of $NS7$;

$NS7$ is not a nbh path since N_2 occurs

more than once and is not an end term/nbh of $NS7$;

$NS11$ is not a nbh path since N_2 and N_7

are non-adjacent terms/nbhs but $N_2 \cap N_7 \neq \emptyset$;
 NS12 is not a nbh path since N_3 occurs more than once in NS12
 and is not an end term/nbh of NS12 (See Figure 2(a).);
 NS13 is a nbh trail and also a nbh path since
 $N_8N_9N_{11}N_3N_4N_5N_6$ is a nbh path and N_7 is adjacent only to
 nonadjacent terms N_6 and N_8 in NS13 (See Figure 2(b).).

(iv) **Nbh Cycles:**

NS1 is not a nbh cycle since $N_1 \cap N_9 = \emptyset$ but it contains the nbh cycle
 $N_3N_4N_5N_6N_7N_8$.

Among the nbh paths NS2, NS8, NS9, NS10, NS13 and NS14,

NS8, NS9, NS10 and NS14 are nbh cycles whereas

NS2 and NS13 are not nbh cycles since

(a) in NS2, $N_1 \cap N_{10} = \emptyset$;

(b) NS13 contains nbh cycles $N_8N_9N_{11}N_3$ and $N_8N_3N_4N_5N_6N_7$
 (more than one cycle in NS13).




Figure 2(a). NS12




Figure 2(b). NS13

Example 3. Let G be a graph and $N_i = N(u_i)$, $u_i \in V(G)$ and $12 \leq i \leq 22$. In Figure 3, each colored region represents a nbh N_i , $12 \leq i \leq 22$; any two regions are overlapping if and only if their corresponding nbhs have common element(s) (vertex or vertices). Consider the following nbh sequences. Let

$$NS19 = N_{12}N_{13}N_{14}N_{15}N_{16}N_{17}N_{18}N_{19}N_{20}N_{21};$$

$$NS20 = N_{13}N_{14}N_{15}N_{16}N_{17}N_{18}N_{19};$$

$$NS21 = N_{12}N_{13}N_{14}N_{15}N_{16}N_{17}N_{18}N_{19} \text{ and}$$

$$NS22 = N_{13}N_{14}N_{15}N_{16}N_{17}N_{18}N_{19}N_{13}N_{20}N_{21}.$$

By checking these nbh sequences, we identify them as follows.

(a) $NS19$ is not a nbh walk since $N_{19} \cap N_{20} = \emptyset$ eventhough

N_{19} and N_{20} are consecutive terms/nbhs in $NS19$.

(b) $NS20$ is a nbh cycle since $NS20$ is a nbh chain and $N_{13} \cap N_{19} \neq \emptyset$.

(c) $NS21$ is a nbh chain but not nbh cycles since $N_{12} \cap N_{19} = \emptyset$.

(d) $NS22$ is a nbh chain but not nbh cycles since $N_{13} \cap N_{21} = \emptyset$.




Figure 3. Nbh sequence graph of $N_{12}N_{13}\dots N_{22}$

3. NBH CHAINS OF TYPE-1 (NC-T1) AND TYPE-2 (NC-T2) ON NDM GRAPHS

In this section, we define nbh chains of Type-1 (NC-T1) and Type-2 (NC-T2) and prove that (i) for $k \geq 2$ and $n \geq 3$, graph $P_k \square C_n$ contains NC-T2, $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$; (ii) graph containing NC-T1 of even length is NDM and (iii) for $m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 3$, cylindrical grid graphs $P_{2m} \square C_n$ are NDM, $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 3.1. [Neighbourhood Chain of Type 1 (NC-T1)]

Let $n \geq 2$, $m \geq n + 1$ and G be a graph, $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$ and $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m\}$ be two disjoint subsets of $V(G)$, $u_1 \neq u_n$ and $N_i = N(u_i) = \{v_j \in V(G) : u_i v_j \in E(G), 1 \leq j \leq m\} \neq \emptyset$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Let $NSG = N_1 N_2 \dots N_n$ be a neighbourhood chain in G . Then the nbh chain NSG is said to be of Type 1 (NC-T1) if it satisfies the following properties:

- (i) $N_1 \setminus N_2 = \{v_1\}$ and $N_n \setminus N_{n-1} = \{v_n\}$;
- (ii) $N_{i+1} \setminus N_i \subset N_{i+2}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 2$ and $|N_i \setminus N_{i+1}|, |N_{i+1} \setminus N_i| \geq 1$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$.

Note 3.2. The conditions given in the above definition of NC-T1 are a more generalization of conditions given in Theorem 1.7.

Definition 3.3. [Type 2 Neighbourhood Chains (NC-T2)]

Let G be a graph and $N_1 N_2 \dots N_n$ and $N_{n+1} N_{n+2} \dots N_{2n}$ be two nbh chains of Type-1 (NC-T1) in G , $n \geq 2$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the two nbh chains of Type-1 are called as a Type 2 Neighbourhood Chains (NC-T2) if they satisfy the following properties.

- (i) $N_1 \cap N_2 \cap N_{n+1} = N_{n+1} \setminus N_{n+2} \neq \emptyset$ and $N_n \cap N_{2n} \cap N_{2n-1} = N_n \setminus N_{n-1} \neq \emptyset$;
- (ii) $N_i \cap N_{i+1} \cap N_{n+i} \cap N_{n+i-1} \neq \emptyset$ for $i = 2, 3, \dots, n - 1$.

Our next theorem is to prove that graph $P_k \square C_n$ contains a Type-2 nbh chains for $k \geq 2$, $n \geq 3$ and $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Before proving this theorem, we present two examples to illustrate this result.

Example 4. In $P_4 \square C_3$, the nbh chain $N_1^{(1)}N_2^{(2)}N_3^{(3)}N_4^{(1)}$, as given in Figure 4, is of Type 1 where $N_i^{(j)} = N(u_i^{(j)})$, $1 \leq i \leq 4$ and $1 \leq j \leq 3$. See Figure 4.

In $P_4 \square C_3$, vertices are labeled as given in Figure 4. Let $u_1 = u_1^{(1)}$, $u_2 = u_2^{(2)}$, $u_3 = u_3^{(3)}$, $u_4 = u_4^{(1)}$, $v_1 = u_1^{(3)}$, $v_2 = u_2^{(1)}$, $v_3 = u_3^{(2)}$, $v_4 = u_4^{(2)}$, $v_5 = u_4^{(3)}$, $v_6 = u_1^{(2)}$, $v_7 = u_2^{(3)}$, $v_8 = u_3^{(1)}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} N_1^{(1)} &= N(u_1^{(1)}) = N(u_1) = \{v_1, v_2, v_6\}, \\ N_2^{(2)} &= N(u_2^{(2)}) = N(u_2) = \{v_2, v_6, v_3, v_7\}, \\ N_3^{(3)} &= N(u_3^{(3)}) = N(u_3) = \{v_3, v_7, v_5, v_8\}, \\ N_4^{(1)} &= N(u_4^{(1)}) = N(u_4) = \{v_4, v_5, v_8\}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} N_1^{(1)} \cap N_2^{(2)} &= \{v_2, v_6\} \neq \emptyset, \\ N_2^{(2)} \cap N_3^{(3)} &= \{v_3, v_7\} \neq \emptyset, \\ N_3^{(3)} \cap N_4^{(1)} &= \{v_5, v_8\} \neq \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$




Figure 4. $P_4 \square C_3$

Thus, $N_1^{(1)}N_2^{(2)}N_3^{(3)}N_4^{(1)}$ is a nbh chain in $P_4 \square C_3$. Also,

$$N_1^{(1)} \setminus N_2^{(2)} = \{v_1\} \ \& \ N_4^{(1)} \setminus N_3^{(3)} = \{v_4\}; \quad (1)$$


$$N_2^{(2)} \setminus N_1^{(1)} = \{v_3, v_7\} \subset \{v_3, v_7, v_5, v_8\} = N_3^{(3)}; \quad (2)$$

$$N_3^{(3)} \setminus N_2^{(2)} = \{v_5, v_8\} \subset \{v_4, v_5, v_8\} = N_4^{(1)}; \quad (3)$$

$$|N_2^{(2)} \setminus N_1^{(1)}| = 2 > 1; \quad |N_3^{(3)} \setminus N_2^{(2)}| = 2 > 1. \quad (4)$$

This implies that $N_1^{(1)}N_2^{(2)}N_3^{(3)}N_4^{(1)}$ is a nbh chain of Type-1 in $P_4 \square C_3$. \square

In Figure 5, we emphasis nbh chain $N_1^{(1)}N_2^{(2)}N_3^{(3)}N_4^{(1)}$ of Type-1 contained in $P_4 \square C_3$. And for more clarity, we draw edges of $P_4 \square C_3$ as continuous lines as well as dotted lines. Edges joining a point and its adjacent points in each nbh of the nbh chain $N_1^{(1)}N_2^{(2)}N_3^{(3)}N_4^{(1)}$ of Type-1 are drawn as continuous lines and all other edges of $P_4 \square C_3$ are represented by dotted lines. \square



 Figure 5. $P_4 \square C_3$

Example 5. Graph $P_3 \square C_5$ contains nbh chains of Type 2. See Figure 6.

In $P_3 \square C_5$, vertices are labeled as given in Figure 6. Let

$u_1 = u_1^{(1)}$, $u_2 = u_2^{(2)}$, $u_3 = u_3^{(3)}$, $u_4 = u_1^{(3)}$, $u_5 = u_2^{(4)}$, $u_6 = u_3^{(5)}$, $v_1 = u_1^{(5)}$,
 $v_2 = u_2^{(1)}$, $v_3 = u_3^{(4)}$, $v_4 = u_3^{(2)}$, $v_5 = u_1^{(2)}$, $v_6 = u_3^{(1)}$, $v_7 = u_2^{(3)}$, $v_8 = u_1^{(4)}$, $v_9 = u_2^{(5)}$.

At first, we show that $N_1^{(1)}N_2^{(2)}N_3^{(3)}$ and $N_1^{(3)}N_2^{(4)}N_3^{(5)}$ are nbh chains of Type 1 in $P_3 \square C_5$ where $N_i^{(j)} = N(u_i^{(j)})$, $1 \leq i \leq 3$ and $1 \leq j \leq 5$. See Figure 6.


 Figure 6. $P_3 \square C_5$

In $P_3 \square C_5$,

$$N_1^{(1)} = N(u_1^{(1)}) = N(u_1) = \{v_1, v_2, v_5\},$$

$$N_2^{(2)} = N(u_2^{(2)}) = N(u_2) = \{v_2, v_5, v_4, v_7\},$$

$$N_3^{(3)} = N(u_3^{(3)}) = N(u_3) = \{v_4, v_7, v_3\},$$

$$N_1^{(3)} = N(u_1^{(3)}) = N(u_4) = \{v_5, v_8, v_7\},$$

$$N_2^{(4)} = N(u_2^{(4)}) = N(u_5) = \{v_8, v_7, v_9, v_3\},$$

$$N_3^{(5)} = N(u_3^{(5)}) = N(u_6) = \{v_9, v_3, v_6\}.$$

$$N_1^{(1)} \cap N_2^{(2)} = \{v_2, v_5\} \neq \emptyset, \quad N_2^{(2)} \cap N_3^{(3)} = \{v_4, v_7\} \neq \emptyset.$$

$$N_1^{(3)} \cap N_2^{(4)} = \{v_7, v_8\} \neq \emptyset, \quad N_2^{(4)} \cap N_3^{(5)} = \{v_3, v_9\} \neq \emptyset.$$

$\Rightarrow N_1^{(1)}N_2^{(2)}N_3^{(3)}$ & $N_1^{(3)}N_2^{(4)}N_3^{(5)}$ are nbh chains in $P_3 \times C_5$. Also,

$$N_1^{(1)} \setminus N_2^{(2)} = \{v_1\} \text{ \& } N_3^{(3)} \setminus N_2^{(2)} = \{v_3\}; \quad (5)$$

$$N_1^{(3)} \setminus N_2^{(4)} = \{v_5\} \text{ \& } N_3^{(5)} \setminus N_2^{(4)} = \{v_6\}; \quad (6)$$

$$N_2^{(2)} \setminus N_1^{(1)} = \{v_4, v_7\} \subset \{v_4, v_7, v_3\} = N_3^{(3)}; \quad (7)$$

$$N_2^{(4)} \setminus N_1^{(3)} = \{v_3, v_9\} \subset \{v_3, v_9, v_6\} = N_3^{(5)}; \quad (8)$$

$$|N_2^{(2)} \setminus N_1^{(1)}| = 2 > 1 \text{ \& } |N_2^{(4)} \setminus N_1^{(3)}| = 2 > 1. \quad (9)$$

Equations (5) to (9) implies, $N_1^{(1)}N_2^{(2)}N_3^{(3)}$ & $N_1^{(3)}N_2^{(4)}N_3^{(5)}$ are Type-1 nbh chains in $P_3 \square C_5$.

To complete the proof, we have to show that the above two nbh chains satisfy conditions of NC-T2. Consider the following.

$$N_1^{(1)} \cap N_2^{(2)} \cap N_1^{(3)} = \{v_5\} = N_1^{(3)} \setminus N_2^{(4)} \neq \emptyset; \quad (10)$$

$$N_3^{(3)} \cap N_3^{(5)} \cap N_2^{(4)} = \{v_3\} = N_3^{(3)} \setminus N_2^{(2)} \neq \emptyset; \quad (11)$$

$$N_2^{(2)} \cap N_3^{(3)} \cap N_2^{(4)} \cap N_1^{(3)} = \{v_7\} \neq \emptyset. \quad (12)$$




Figure 7. $P_3 \square C_5$

\Rightarrow Nbh chains $N_1^{(1)}N_2^{(2)}N_3^{(3)}$ & $N_1^{(3)}N_2^{(4)}N_3^{(5)}$ are Type-1 and they form a Type 2 nbh chains in $P_3 \square C_5$.

In Figure 7, we emphasis nbh chains of Type-1 $N_1^{(1)}N_2^{(2)}N_3^{(3)}$ & $N_1^{(3)}N_2^{(4)}N_3^{(5)}$ which form NC-T2 in $P_3 \square C_5$. For more clarity, similar to Figure 5, we draw Figure 7 where the two nbh chains are $N_1^{(1)}N_2^{(2)}N_3^{(3)}$ & $N_1^{(3)}N_2^{(4)}N_3^{(5)}$ which form NC-T2 in $P_3 \square C_5$. See Figure 7. \square

Theorem 3.4. For $k \geq 2$, $n \geq 3$, graph $P_k \square C_n$ contains NC-T2, $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Let $G = P_k \square C_n$, $k \geq 2$ and $n \geq 3$. G contains n copies of P_k and k copies of C_n . Let $P_k^{(j)} = u_1^{(j)} u_2^{(j)} \dots u_k^{(j)}$ be the j^{th} copy of P_k and $C_n^{(i)} = (u_i^{(1)} u_i^{(2)} \dots u_i^{(n)})$ be the i^{th} copy of C_n in G , $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$, $u_i^{(j)} \in V(G)$, i is under arithmetic modulo k and j is under arithmetic modulo n .

Let $N_1^{(j)} = N(u_1^{(j)}) = \{u_1^{(j-1)}, u_1^{(j+1)}, u_2^{(j)}\}$, $N_k^{(j)} = N(u_k^{(j)}) = \{u_{k-1}^{(j)}, u_k^{(j-1)}, u_k^{(j+1)}\}$

and $N_i^{(j)} = N(u_i^{(j)}) = \{u_{i-1}^{(j)}, u_{i+1}^{(j)}, u_i^{(j-1)}, u_i^{(j+1)}\}$, $1 < i < k$, $1 \leq j \leq n$.

$\Rightarrow N_i^{(j)} \cap N_{i+1}^{(j+1)} = \{u_i^{(j+1)}, u_{i+1}^{(j)}\} \neq \emptyset$ for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ & $1 \leq j \leq n$.

$\Rightarrow N_1^{(j)} N_2^{(j+1)} \dots N_k^{(j+(k-1))}$ is a nbh chain contained in G , $1 \leq j \leq n$.

Also, $N_{i+1}^{(j+1)} \setminus N_i^{(j)} \subset N_{i+2}^{(j+2)}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k-2$, $1 \leq j \leq n$ and

$|N_i^{(j)} \setminus N_{i+1}^{(j+1)}|, |N_{i+1}^{(j+1)} \setminus N_i^{(j)}| \geq 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, $1 \leq j \leq n$.

Thus the nbh chain $N_1^{(j)} N_2^{(j+1)} \dots N_k^{(j+(k-1))}$ satisfies condition (ii) of NC-T1 for $1 \leq j \leq n$.

Consider the two nbh chains $N_1^{(1)} N_2^{(2)} \dots N_k^{(k)}$ and $N_1^{(3)} N_2^{(4)} \dots N_k^{(k+2)}$ in G .

We have, $N_1^{(1)} \setminus N_2^{(2)} = \{u_1^{(n)}\}$ and $N_k^{(k)} \setminus N_{k-1}^{(k-1)} = \{u_k^{(k+1)}\}$;

$N_1^{(3)} \setminus N_2^{(4)} = \{u_1^{(2)}\}$ and $N_k^{(k+2)} \setminus N_{k-1}^{(k+1)} = \{u_k^{(k+3)}\}$.

$\Rightarrow N_1^{(1)} N_2^{(2)} \dots N_k^{(k)}$ and $N_1^{(3)} N_2^{(4)} \dots N_k^{(k+2)}$ satisfy condition (i) of NC-T1.

$\Rightarrow N_1^{(1)} N_2^{(2)} \dots N_k^{(k)}$ and $N_1^{(3)} N_2^{(4)} \dots N_k^{(k+2)}$ are Type-1 nbh chains in G .

To complete the proof, we have to show that the above two nbh chains satisfy conditions of NC-T2. Consider the following.

(i) $N_1^{(1)} \cap N_2^{(2)} \cap N_1^{(3)} = \{u_1^{(2)}\}$ & $N_1^{(3)} \setminus N_2^{(4)} = \{u_1^{(2)}\}$.

$\Rightarrow N_1^{(1)} \cap N_2^{(2)} \cap N_1^{(3)} = N_1^{(3)} \setminus N_2^{(4)} \neq \emptyset$.

Also, $N_k^{(k)} \cap N_k^{(k+2)} \cap N_{k-1}^{(k+1)} = \{u_k^{(k+1)}\}$ & $N_k^{(k)} \setminus N_{k-1}^{(k-1)} = \{u_k^{(k+1)}\}$.

$\Rightarrow N_k^{(k)} \cap N_k^{(k+2)} \cap N_{k-1}^{(k+1)} = N_k^{(k)} \setminus N_{k-1}^{(k-1)} \neq \emptyset$.

(ii) $N_i^{(i)} \cap N_{i+1}^{(i+1)} \cap N_i^{(i+2)} \cap N_{i-1}^{(i+1)} = \{u_i^{(i-1)}, u_i^{(i+1)}, u_{i-1}^{(i)}, u_{i+1}^{(i)}\}$
 $\cap \{u_{i+1}^{(i)}, u_{i+1}^{(i+2)}, u_i^{(i+1)}, u_{i+2}^{(i+1)}\} \cap \{u_i^{(i+1)}, u_i^{(i+3)}, u_{i-1}^{(i+2)}, u_{i+1}^{(i+2)}\}$
 $\cap \{u_{i-1}^{(i)}, u_{i-1}^{(i+2)}, u_{i-2}^{(i+1)}, u_i^{(i+1)}\} = \{u_i^{(i+1)}\} \neq \emptyset$, $1 < i < k$.

Thus, the two nbh chains $N_1^{(1)} N_2^{(2)} \dots N_k^{(k)}$ and $N_1^{(3)} N_2^{(4)} \dots N_k^{(k+2)}$ form a Type 2 nbh chains in G . Hence the result. \square

Corollary 3.5. For $k \geq 2$ and $n \geq 3$, graph $P_k \square C_n$ contains two NC-T1, each of length k and the two form NC-T2, $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

The following theorem establishes a certain family of graphs as NDM by the presence of NC-T1 in these graphs.

Theorem 3.6. Let G be a graph containing Type-1 nbh chain of length $2n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then G is NDM.

Proof. Let $N_1 N_2 \dots N_{2n}$ be a nbh chain of Type-1 in G . We prove the theorem by the method of contradiction.

If possible, let G be a DM graph. Let f be a DML of G with DM constant S . For sets N_1 and N_2 , we get,

$$N_1 = (N_1 \setminus N_2) \cup (N_1 \cap N_2), \quad (13)$$

$$N_2 = (N_1 \cap N_2) \cup (N_2 \setminus N_1). \quad (14)$$

Using the definition of DML, we get,

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \sum_{v_j \in N_1} f(v_j) = \sum_{v_j \in N_2} f(v_j) = \cdots = \sum_{v_j \in N_i} f(v_j) \\ &= \cdots = \sum_{v_j \in N_{2n-1}} f(v_j) = \sum_{v_j \in N_{2n}} f(v_j). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (13), (14) \text{ \& } (15) &\implies \sum_{v_j \in (N_1 \setminus N_2) \cup (N_1 \cap N_2)} f(v_j) = \sum_{v_j \in (N_1 \cap N_2) \cup (N_2 \setminus N_1)} f(v_j). \\ &\implies \sum_{v_j \in N_1 \setminus N_2} f(v_j) + \sum_{v_j \in N_1 \cap N_2} f(v_j) = \sum_{v_j \in N_1 \cap N_2} f(v_j) + \sum_{v_j \in N_2 \setminus N_1} f(v_j). \\ &\implies \sum_{v_j \in N_1 \setminus N_2} f(v_j) = \sum_{v_j \in N_2 \setminus N_1} f(v_j). \\ &\implies \sum_{v_j \in N_1 \setminus N_2} f(v_j) = f(v_1) = \sum_{v_j \in N_2 \setminus N_1} f(v_j) \text{ using property of NC-T1.} \end{aligned}$$




Figure 8

In Figure 8, closed curves with colors blue, green and red represent nbhs N_i , N_{i+1} , N_{i+2} , respectively. And property (ii) of NC-T1, $(N_{i+1} \setminus N_i) \subset N_{i+2}$, is presented in the figure. See Figure 8.

For $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n - 2$,

$$(N_{i+1} \setminus N_i) \subset N_{i+2} \implies N_{i+1} \setminus N_i = N_{i+1} \cap N_{i+2}. \quad (16)$$

Also, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n - 1$, we have,

$$N_i = (N_i \setminus N_{i+1}) \cup (N_i \cap N_{i+1}) \text{ and} \quad (17)$$

$$N_{i+1} = (N_{i+1} \setminus N_i) \cup (N_i \cap N_{i+1}). \quad (18)$$

This implies, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n - 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} N_{i+2} &= (N_{i+2} \setminus N_{i+1}) \cup (N_{i+1} \cap N_{i+2}) \\ &= (N_{i+2} \setminus N_{i+1}) \cup (N_{i+1} \setminus N_i) \text{ using (16)}. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

$$\implies N_{i+3} = (N_{i+3} \setminus N_{i+2}) \cup (N_{i+2} \setminus N_{i+1}) \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n - 3. \quad (20)$$

Using (17) & (18) in (15), for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n - 1$, we get,

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \sum_{u \in N_i \setminus N_{i+1}} f(u) + \sum_{u \in N_i \cap N_{i+1}} f(u) = \sum_{u \in N_{i+1} \setminus N_i} f(u) + \sum_{u \in N_i \cap N_{i+1}} f(u). \\ \Rightarrow \sum_{u \in N_i \setminus N_{i+1}} f(u) &= \sum_{u \in N_{i+1} \setminus N_i} f(u) \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n - 1. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Similarly, using (19) & (20) in (15), for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n - 3$, we get,

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \sum_{u \in N_{i+2} \setminus N_{i+1}} f(u) + \sum_{u \in N_{i+1} \setminus N_i} f(u) \\ &= \sum_{u \in N_{i+3} \setminus N_{i+2}} f(u) + \sum_{u \in N_{i+2} \setminus N_{i+1}} f(u). \\ \Rightarrow \sum_{u \in N_{i+1} \setminus N_i} f(u) &= \sum_{u \in N_{i+3} \setminus N_{i+2}} f(u) \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n - 3. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{u \in N_2 \setminus N_1} f(u) = \sum_{u \in N_4 \setminus N_3} f(u) = \dots = \sum_{u \in N_{2n} \setminus N_{2n-1}} f(u). \quad (23)$$

Using the definition of NC-T1 in (21), we get,

$$\sum_{u \in N_1 \setminus N_2} f(u) = \sum_{u \in N_2 \setminus N_1} f(u) = f(v_1) \text{ and} \quad (a)$$

$$\sum_{u \in N_{2n-1} \setminus N_{2n}} f(u) = \sum_{u \in N_{2n} \setminus N_{2n-1}} f(u) = f(v_{2n}). \quad (b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (23), (a), (b) \Rightarrow f(v_1) &= \sum_{u \in N_1 \setminus N_2} f(u) = \sum_{u \in N_2 \setminus N_1} f(u) = \sum_{u \in N_4 \setminus N_3} f(u) \\ &= \dots = \sum_{u \in N_{2n} \setminus N_{2n-1}} f(u) = f(v_{2n}). \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow f(v_1) = f(v_{2n})$ which is a contradiction to DML.

Hence the result. \square

Corollary 3.7. For $n \geq 3$ and $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$, graph $P_{2k} \square C_n$ is NDM.

Proof. Proof follows from Corollary 3.5 and Theorem 3.6. \square

Thus, we are left with the following conjecture instead of Conjecture 1.

Conjecture 2. For $n \geq 3$ and $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$, graph $P_{2k+1} \square C_n$ is NDM. \square

4. FAMILIES OF NDM GRAPHS BY EXISTENCE OF NC-T1

Using Theorem 3.6, we present some families of graphs which are NDM. At first, let us start with a few definitions which are required in this section.

Definition 4.1. [10] For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \geq 2$, let $\{G_i\}_{i=1}^k$ be a collection of graphs with $u_i \in V(G_i)$ as a fixed vertex, $1 \leq i \leq k$. The vertex amalgamation, denoted by $Amal(G_i, \{u_i\}, k)$, is a graph formed by taking all the G_i 's and identifying u_i 's.

Definition 4.2. [2] A two terminal graph is a graph with two distinguished vertices, s and t called source and sink, respectively.

Definition 4.3. [2] The series composition of 2 two terminal graphs X and Y is a two terminal graph created from the disjoint union of graphs X and Y by merging the sink of X with the source of Y . The source of X becomes the source of series composition and the sink of Y becomes the sink of series composition.

Definition 4.4. [2] The parallel composition of two terminal graphs X and Y is a two terminal graph created from the disjoint union of graphs X and Y by merging the sources of X and Y to create the source of parallel composition and merging the sinks of X and Y to create the sink of parallel composition.

Definition 4.5. [4] Let G be a graph of order $n(G)$ and H be a graph with root vertex v . Then, the rooted product graph of G and H is defined as the graph obtained from G and H by taking one copy of G and $n(G)$ copies of H and identifying the i^{th} vertex of G with the root vertex v in the i^{th} copy of H for every $i \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n(G)\}$.

The following families of graphs are NDM by the existence of NC-T1 of even length in each of these graphs.

- (1) Let G_1, G_2, \dots, G_k be mutually disjoint graphs such that at least one of them contain a NC-T1 of even length. Then $\bigcup_{i=1}^k G_i$ is NDM.
- (2) Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \geq 2$ and $\{G_i\}_{i=1}^k$ be a collection of mutually disjoint graphs with $u_i \in V(G_i)$ as a fixed vertex such that at least one of them contain an NC-T1 of even length. Let $H = Amal(G_i, \{u_i\}, k)$, the vertex amalgamation of G_1, G_2, \dots, G_k by taking all the G_i 's and identifying u_i 's without disturbing the structure of at least one NC-T1 of even length in H , $1 \leq i \leq k$. Then H is NDM.
- (3) Let G be a simple graph having a NC-T1 of even length, say NSG_1 and H be a super graph of G without disturbing the structure of NSG_1 in H and NSG_1 is also an NC-T1 in H . Then H is NDM.
- (4) Let G be a simple graph containing an NC-T1 of even length, say NSG_1 . Then any subgraph H of G containing NSG_1 as a NC-T1 of even length is also NDM.
- (5) Let G be a two terminal simple graph and H be a graph having NC-T1 of even length. Then the series composition of G and H is NDM, follows from the structure of the combined graph.
- (6) Let G be a two terminal simple graph and H be a graph having NC-T1 of even length. Then the parallel composition of G and H is NDM, follows from the structure of the combined graph.
- (7) Let G be any simple graph and H be a graph having NC-T1 of even length. Then the rooted product of G and H is NDM.

Conclusion. In this work, we obtained families of non-distance magic graphs by the existence of NC-T1 of even length in these graphs and partially settled the conjecture on NDM on cylindrical graphs $P_{2m} \square C_n$ for $n \geq 3$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Recently, the authors completed the other part of the conjecture and submitted the work for publication. And the authors feel that a lot of scope is there to utilise the concepts of nbh chains and nbh sequence graphs in graphs when their order is large while dealing with graphs corresponding to large data and their network problems. Also, nbh sequence, nbh walk, nbh trail, nbh path/chain concepts can be used in the theory of hypergraphs.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgement

We express our sincere thanks to the Central University of Kerala, Kasaragod - 671 316, Kerala, India for providing facilities to carry out this research work.

REFERENCES

- [1] Douglas B. West, *Introduction to Graph Theory*, Second Edition, Pearson Education Inc., Singapore, 2001.
- [2] D. Eppstein, *Parallel recognition of series-parallel graphs*, Information & Computation **98** (1992), 41-55.
- [3] J. A. Gallian, *A dynamic survey of graph labeling*, Electron. J. Combin. **25** (Dec. 2022), DS6.
- [4] C. D. Godsil and B. D. McKay, *A new graph product and its spectrum*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **18** (1978), 21-28.
- [5] M. Miller, C. Rodger and R. Simanjuntak, *Distance magic labelings of graphs*, Australas. J. Combin. **28** (2003), 305-315.
- [6] J. Sedlacek, *Problem 27. In: Theory of Graphs and Its Applications*, Proc. Symposium Smolenice, (1963), 163-167.
- [7] K. A. Sugeng, D. Froncek, M. Miller, J. Ryan and J. Walker, *On distance magic labeling of graphs*, J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput. **71** (2009), 39-48.
- [8] V. Vilfred, *Perfectly regular graphs or cyclic regular graphs and Σ labeling and partition*, Sreenivasa Ramanujan Centenary Celebration-International Conference on Mathematics, Anna University, India (Dec. 1987).
- [9] V. Vilfred, *Σ -labelled Graphs and Circulant Graphs*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala, India (1996). (V. Vilfred, *Sigma Labeling and Circulant Graphs*, Lambert Academic Publishing, 2020. ISBN-13: 978-620-2-52901-3.)
- [10] Y. Xiong, H. Wang, M. Habib, M. A. Umar and B. Rehman Ali, *Amalgamations and Cycle-Antimagicness*, IEEE Access **7** (2019). DOI:10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2936844.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CENTRAL UNIVERSITY OF KERALA, KASARAGOD, INDIA.
 Email address: vilfredkamalv@cukerala.ac.in
 Email address: sajinada555@gamil.com