

On Properties and Enumerations of m -part Sum Systems

Ambrose D. Law, Matthew C. Lettington, Karl Michael Schmidt

March 22, 2023

Abstract

Sum systems are finite collections of finite component sets of non-negative integers, of prescribed cardinalities, such that their set sum generates consecutive integers without repetitions. In this present work we consider centred sum systems which generate either consecutive integers or half-integers centred around the origin, detailing some invariant properties of the component set sums and sums of squares for a fixed target set. Using a recently established bijection between sum systems and joint ordered factorisations of their component set cardinalities, we prove a formula expressing the number of different m -part sum systems in terms of associated divisor functions and Stirling numbers of the second kind. A sum over divisors relation is also established generalising and clarifying the known two-dimensional results.

1 Introduction

An m -dimensional additive system for a given target set of integers, T , is a collection of m sets of integers, A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m , each with cardinality $|A_j|$, for $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, such that their Minkowski sum satisfies

$$T = \sum_{j=1}^m A_j = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^m a_j \mid a_j \in A_j \right\}.$$

The cardinality of these sets satisfy the equation $|T| = |A_1||A_2|\dots|A_m|$ if and only if each element $t \in T$ is represented by a unique sum in this set sum, and we then call the additive system a *basis* for T .

The study of additive systems dates back to de Bruijn's paper [2] on (possibly infinite) sets of non-negative integers A_k , with $|A_k| \neq 0$ and $0 \in A_k$, for $T = \mathbb{N}_0$. He referred to such an additive system as a *number system*, and paid particular interest to the *awkward* British Imperial number systems historically employed in weights and measures.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 11B65 (primary) 11B13, 11E25, 11B25, 11B30, 11A51.

Key words and phrases: icentred sum systems; sums of squares invariances; joint ordered factorisations; divisor functions; additive combinatorics.

^{1,2,3}*School of Mathematics, Cardiff University, Abacus 23 Senghennydd Road, Cardiff, CF24 4AG, UK, LettingtonMC@cardiff.ac.uk, SchmidtKM@cardiff.ac.uk*

Subsequently such systems became known as complementing set systems [4, 5], the latter paper focusing on uniquely represents the first N consecutive integers, $T = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, N - 1\}$, and enumerating the systems when $m = 2$. More recently an exhaustive construction of complementing set systems, called *sum systems*, based on integer factorisations of the cardinalities $|A_1|, \dots, |A_m|$, with general $m \in \mathbb{N}$, was given by [10], and the number of sum systems was enumerated in the general case [11].

A question posed by de Bruijn in the closing remarks of [2] refers to an earlier publication of his [1], concerning the analogous problem for number systems representing uniquely all integers in $T = \mathbb{Z}$. Of this de Bruijn says “That problem is much more difficult than the one dealt with above, and it is still far from a complete solution.”

It is this more general consideration of de Bruijn that motivates the present work, building on the related concepts of *sum-and-distance systems*, established in [8, 9, 10].

The authors of [10] classify sum-and-distance systems into two types; *inclusive* and *non-inclusive*, where inclusive sum-and-distance systems generate central-symmetric sets around zero of consecutive integers, and non-inclusive systems generated a central-symmetric set around zero of consecutive odd integers. The terminology of *non-inclusive* or *inclusive*, corresponds respectively to whether the target set is generated solely by the sums and differences of elements between the different sets or whether the elements of the individual sets themselves are also required.

In what follows we define $\langle a \rangle = \{0, 1, \dots, a - 1\}$ for any $a \in \mathbb{N}$, so the arithmetic progression with start value r , step size s and a terms can be expressed as $s\langle a \rangle + r$ ($= \{r, r + s, r + 2s, \dots, r + (a - 1)s\}$). Then *sum systems*, *sum-and-distance systems* and *centred sum systems* are defined as follows.

Definition 1. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $A_j \subset \mathbb{N}_0$ ($j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$), with cardinality $|A_j| = n_j$, and $\prod_{j=1}^m |A_j| = N = n_1 n_2 \dots n_m$. Then we call A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m an *m-part sum system* if

$$\sum_{j=1}^m A_j = \langle N \rangle. \quad (1)$$

Moreover, for a given *m-part sum system*, we define the *centred sum system* C_1, C_2, \dots, C_m , such that

$$C_j = A_j - \frac{(\max A_j)}{2}.$$

Finally, consider the collection of m sets $B_1, \dots, B_m \subset \{\mathbb{N} \cup \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}\}$ with a parity partition on the indices j satisfying $J_e = \{j \mid |A_j| \text{ even}\} \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$ with $|A_j| = 2|B_j|$, and $J_o = \{j \mid |A_j| \text{ odd}\} \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$ with $|A_j| = 2|B_j| + 1$, and $|J_e| + |J_o| = m$. Then we call B_1, \dots, B_m an *m-part (consecutive) sum-and-distance system* if

$$\sum_{j \in J_e} (B_j \cup (-B_j)) + \sum_{j \in J_o} (B_j \cup \{0\} \cup (-B_j)) = \langle N \rangle - \frac{N - 1}{2}. \quad (2)$$

Lemma 1.1. *The consecutive sum system component sets and the sum-and-distance system component sets satisfy the relations*

$$C_j = (B_j \cup (-B_j)), \quad \text{when } j \in J_e,$$

and

$$C_j = \left(B_j \cup \{0\} \cup (-B_j) \right), \quad \text{when } j \in J_o,$$

so that

$$B_j = \{a : a \in C_j \text{ and } a > 0\}, \quad \sum_{j=0}^m C_j = \langle N \rangle - \frac{N-1}{2}.$$

Proof. For the first two displays we recall that a bijection between sum systems and sum-and-distance systems was established in [10] (see Theorems 3.4 and 3.5), that shows the discrepancy between inclusive and non-inclusive sum-and-distance systems resolves into the simple dichotomy between odd and even cardinality of the related sum system component. However, there is no reason to require the components have all odd or all even cardinalities, and a sum system with mixed parity component set cardinalities will correspond to a hybrid inclusive/non-inclusive sum-and-distance system.

Theorems 3.4 and 3.5 in [10] differ in the relation between sums systems and sum-and-distance systems by a factor of 2, where the former relates to A_j with $j \in J_e$ and the latter with $j \in J_o$. By omitting this factor in our definition we bypass the need to distinguish between inclusive and non-inclusive systems and can work with the single concept of centred sum systems. The only difference is that the set generated by the centred sum system component sets consists of consecutive half odd integers rather than odd integers, if one of the component sets has even cardinality.

From Theorem 3.2 of [10], every sum set component is palindromic, satisfying $A_j = (\max A_j) - A_j$. As sum set components contain non-negative integers and always include 0, it follows from the above definition that each centred sum system component set is centred about the origin, has cardinality $|C_j| = |A_j|$, with $\max C_j = (\max A_j)/2$, and so we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^m \max C_j = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^m \max A_j = \frac{N-1}{2}.$$

The final display then follows.

□

Example. Let $N = n_1 n_2 n_3 = 9 \times 5 \times 6 = 270$, and consider the corresponding 3-part sum system for 270 given by

$$A_1 = \{0, 1, 2, 9, 10, 11, 18, 19, 20\}, \quad A_2 = \{0, 54, 108, 162, 216\},$$

and $A_3 = \{0, 3, 6, 27, 30, 33\}$, so that $|A_1| = 9$, $|A_2| = 5$, and $|A_3| = 6$, and $A_1 + A_2 + A_3 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, 270 - 1\}$, where $269 = (9 \times 5 \times 6) - 1$. The corresponding centred sum system components are therefore

$$C_1 = \{-10, -9, -8, -1, 0, 1, 8, 9, 10\}, \quad C_2 = \{-108, -54, 0, 54, 108\},$$

and $C_3 = \frac{1}{2}\{-33, -27, -21, 21, 27, 33\}$, so that

$$B_1 = \{1, 8, 9, 10\}, \quad B_2 = \{54, 108\}, \quad B_3 = \frac{1}{2}\{21, 27, 33\},$$

where, in the terminology of [8, 9, 10], B_1 and B_2 are deemed to be *inclusive* sum-and-distance system components (as $|B_j| = 2|A_j| + 1$) and B_3 a *non-inclusive* sum-and-distance system component (as $|B_3| = 2|A_3|$).

Hence we have

$$2(C_1 + C_2 + C_3) = \{-269, -267, \dots, -1, 1, \dots, 267, 269\}.$$

The first $N = 270$ odd integers centred about the origin.

Remark 1. The definitions of inclusive and non-inclusive sum-and-distance systems found in [10] can be retrieved if $J_e = \phi$ and $J_o = \phi$ respectively, that is, if n_j is odd or even for all $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. In the former case N is odd, so the sum-and-distance component sets under addition generate consecutive integers centred about the origin, whereas in the latter case they generate consecutive half (odd) integers.

This gives a partial answer to de Bruijn's question of how to extend complementing set systems to generate negative integers also.

With much of the notation now introduced we are in a position to state our main findings. To give an overview of the structure of what follows, in Section 2 we establish invariant properties for the sums of the component set elements of sum systems and the sums of squares of the component set elements of centred sum systems. In Section 3 we enumerate all m -part sum systems for a fixed integer N regardless of the cardinality of the target vector entries (n_1, \dots, n_m) . Sums over divisor relations are then established for the m -part sum system counting function, generalising and clarifying the two-dimensional results of Long [5]. Finally we summarise our findings in Section 5 and consider future areas for exploration.

2 Invariant properties of sum systems and centred sum systems

We begin with a theorem

Theorem 2.1. *Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ with corresponding m -tuple (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m) , and let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m be a an m -part sum system for N , so that $|A_j| = n_j$, and $\sum_{j=1}^m A_j = N$. Furthermore let C_1, C_2, \dots, C_m be the centred sum system derived from the A_j by subtracting $\frac{1}{2} \max(A_j)$ from each component set A_j . Finally, for the sum systems and centred sum systems as given above, define the respective sums of elements $\sigma_A(N)$, and sums of squares of elements $\tau_C(N)$, such that*

$$\sigma_A(N) = \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{N}{n_j} \sum_{a \in A_j} a, \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_C(N) = \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{N}{n_j} \sum_{c \in C_j} c^2. \quad (3)$$

Then we have

$$\sigma_A(N) = \frac{N(N-1)}{2} = \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} k = \binom{N}{2}, \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_C(N) = \frac{N(N^2-1)}{12} = \frac{1}{2} \binom{N+1}{3}.$$

Corollary 2.1. *The set of elements taken from all component sets of a centred sum system can therefore be thought of as (half) integer lattice points on an ellipsoid in N -dimensional Euclidean*

space. Here the axis normalisation factors N/n_j in Theorem 2.1 transform the (half) integer lattice points on the ellipsoid into (half) integer lattice points on an N -dimensional sphere with radius $\sqrt{\tau_C(N)}$.

Example. For the 3-part sum system given in Example 1, we have that $N = 270 = 9 \times 5 \times 6$, and we find that

$$\sigma_A(270) = 36\,315 = \frac{270 \times 269}{2}, \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_C(270) = \frac{3\,280\,455}{2} = \frac{271 \times 270 \times 269}{12},$$

as predicted by the formula. Similarly, for $N = 270$ and $(n_1, n_2, n_3) = (9, 5, 6)$, but using the distinct centred sum system given by

$$C_1 = \{-46, -45, -44, -1, 0, 1, 44, 45, 46\}, \quad C_2 = \{-6, -3, 0, 3, 6\}$$

and $C_3 = \frac{1}{2}\{-165, -135, -105, 105, 135, 165\}$, we again find that

$$\tau_C(270) = \frac{3\,280\,455}{2},$$

although the sums of squares over the individual component sets are different.

To prove Theorem 2.1 we first require a lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Let A and B be two disjoint sets with elements a and b respectively. Furthermore let B be symmetric around the origin. Then we have*

$$\sum_{c \in (A+B)} c = |B| \sum_{a \in A} a, \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{c \in (A+B)} c^2 = |B| \sum_{a \in A} a^2 + |A| \sum_{b \in B} b^2.$$

Proof. We have $A + B = \{a_1 + B, a_2 + B, \dots, a_{|A|} + B\}$, and taking the sum over all elements in $A + B$, in conjunction with $\sum_{b \in B} b = 0$, gives us

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{c \in (A+B)} c &= (a_1 + B) + \dots + (a_{|A|} + B) = \sum_{i=1}^{|A|} \sum_{j=1}^{|B|} (a_i + b_j) \\ &= |B| \sum_{i=1}^{|A|} a_i + |A| \sum_{i=1}^{|B|} b_i = |B| \sum_{a \in A} a, \end{aligned}$$

and for the sum of elements squared we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{c \in (A+B)} c^2 &= (a_1 + B)^2 + \dots + (a_{|A|} + B)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{|A|} \sum_{j=1}^{|B|} (a_i^2 + a_i b_j + b_j^2) \\ &= |B| \sum_{i=1}^{|A|} a_i^2 + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{|A|} a_i \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{|B|} b_j \right) + |A| \sum_{i=1}^{|B|} b_i^2 = |B| \sum_{a \in A} a^2 + |A| \sum_{b \in B} b^2, \end{aligned}$$

as the sum of the cross-terms is zero, and hence the result. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Regarding $\sigma_A(N)$, we know from Theorem 3.2 of [10] that each component set A_j of a sum system is palindromic, centred about $(\max A_j)/2$, so on average each element is of this magnitude. The sum of the elements of each component set is therefore given by

$$\sum_{a \in A_j} a = \frac{|A_j|(\max A_j)}{2} = \frac{n_j(\max A_j)}{2}.$$

Hence

$$\frac{1}{n_j} \sum_{a \in A_j} a = \frac{(\max A_j)}{2},$$

and taking the sum over all component sets of the sum system we obtain the desired relation

$$N \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{n_j} \sum_{a \in A_j} a = \frac{N}{2} \sum_{j=1}^m (\max A_j) = \frac{N(N-1)}{2}.$$

For the sum of squares function $\tau_C(N)$, by repeated application of Lemma 2.1 we obtain

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{c \in C_j} c^2 = \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^m |C_k| \right) \sum_{c \in C_j} c^2 = \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{N}{n_j} \sum_{c \in C_j} c^2.$$

When at least one of the centred sum component sets has even cardinality, the sum of squares on the left-hand-side is simply twice the sum of squares of all the consecutive half-integers between $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{N-1}{2}$, and so we have

$$2 \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{N+1}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{2}(2j-1) \right)^2 = \frac{1}{12} (N^3 + 3N^2 + 2N) = \frac{1}{12} (N-1)N(N+1).$$

If all of the centred sum component sets have odd cardinality then $N = n_1 n_2 \dots n_m$ is odd and the sum of squares on the left-hand-side is simply twice the sum of squares of all the integers between 1 and $(N-1)/2$, and we again find that

$$2 \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{N-1}{2}} j^2 = \frac{1}{12} (N-1)N(N+1),$$

as required □

To facilitate constructions of sum systems and centred sum systems, we now introduce the concept of a joint ordered factorisation. The two-dimensional case was considered in terms of divisor paths by Ollerenshaw and Brée in [7], enabling the enumeration of all $n \times n$ reversible square matrices. Unknown to them, the concepts discussed here of sum-and-distance systems underpinned the structure of their reversible square matrices, so one could in fact establish a bijection between these combinatorial objects and matrix classes as an alternative approach. Earlier occurrences of joint ordered factorisations are attributed to MacMahon [6].

In [8, 10] concise notation was established for expressing joint ordered factorisations, which we introduce below, in the context of the notation used in this study. Note that we mean $\mathbb{N} + 1 = \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}$.

Definition 2. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $n = (n_1, \dots, n_m) \in (\mathbb{N} + 1)^m$. Then we call

$$\left((j_1, f_1), (j_2, f_2), \dots, (j_L, f_L) \right) \in (\{1, 2, \dots, m\} \times (\mathbb{N} + 1))^L,$$

where $L \in \mathbb{N}$, a *joint ordered factorisation of n* if $j_\ell \neq j_{\ell-1}$ ($\ell \in \{2, \dots, L\}$) and

$$\prod_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_j} f_\ell = n_j, \quad \text{for all } (j \in \{1, \dots, m\}).$$

Here $\mathcal{L}_j := \{\ell \mid j_\ell = j\} = \{\ell_1^{(j)}, \dots, \ell_{k_j}^{(j)}\}$, with suitable $k_j \in \mathbb{N}$, so that the ℓ index the positions of the tuples in the joint ordered factorisation that correspond to factors of n_j .

Furthermore we define the partial products of all factors less than ℓ in the joint ordered factorisation, as

$$F(\ell) = \prod_{s=1}^{\ell-1} f_s, \quad \text{so that } N = \prod_{j=1}^m n_j = F(L + 1).$$

A joint ordered factorisation of an m -tuple of natural numbers (n_1, \dots, n_m) arises therefore from writing each of these numbers as a product of factors ≥ 2 , and then arranging all factors in a linear chain such that no two adjacent factors arise from the factorisation of the same number.

An explicit construction method was proven in [10, Theorem 6.7], thus establishing a bijection between the set of joint ordered factorisations for an m -tuple of natural numbers (n_1, \dots, n_m) , and the set of all sum systems for the corresponding m -part sum system, as stated below in Proposition 2.1.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose the sets $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m \subset \mathbb{N}_0$ form a sum system. Let $n_j := |A_j|$ ($j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$).*

Then there is a joint ordered factorisation $((j_1, f_1), \dots, (j_L, f_L))$ of (n_1, \dots, n_m) such that

$$A_j = \sum_{\ell=j} \left(\prod_{s=1}^{\ell-1} f_s \right) \langle f_\ell \rangle = \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_j} F(\ell) \langle f_\ell \rangle \quad (j \in \{1, \dots, m\}). \quad (4)$$

Conversely, given any joint ordered factorisation of $n \in \mathbb{N}^m$, (4) generates an m -part sum system.

Corollary 2.2. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose the sets $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_m \subset \mathbb{Z}$ form a centred sum system. Let $n_j := |C_j|$ ($j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$).*

Then there is a joint ordered factorisation $((j_1, f_1), \dots, (j_L, f_L))$ of (n_1, \dots, n_m) such that for $(j \in \{1, \dots, m\})$ we have

$$C_j = \sum_{\ell=j} \left(\prod_{s=1}^{\ell-1} f_s \right) \left(\langle f_\ell \rangle - \frac{(f_\ell - 1)}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_j} F(\ell) (2 \langle f_\ell \rangle - (f_\ell - 1)). \quad (5)$$

Conversely, given any joint ordered factorisation of $n \in \mathbb{N}^m$, (5) generates an m -part centred sum system.

Example. For the centred sum system given in Example 1, where $(n_1, n_2, n_3) = (9, 6, 5)$ and $N = 270$, we have the corresponding joint ordered factorisation

$$((1, 3), (3, 3), (1, 3), (3, 2), (2, 5)),$$

whereas for the centred sum system given in Example 2 we have the rearranged joint ordered factorisation

$$((1, 3), (2, 5), (3, 3), (1, 3), (3, 2)).$$

3 Divisor functions and the number of m -part (centred) sum systems

In this section we enumerate all m -part joint ordered factorisations for a given natural number $N = n_1 \times \dots \times n_m$, and hence all m -part (centred) sum systems corresponding to N . To simplify our calculations it is convenient to work in the commutative Dirichlet convolution algebra of arithmetic functions, where the convolution of arithmetic functions f_1, f_2, \dots, f_j is given by

$$(f_1 * f_2 * \dots * f_j)(n) = \sum_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_j = n} f_1(n_1) f_2(n_2) \dots f_j(n_j), \quad (6)$$

summing over all ordered factorisations of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ into j factors. We denote the j th convolution power as follows, $f^{*j} := f * f * \dots * f$, where the right-hand side has j repetitions of f ; by the usual convention, $f^{*0} = e$. The function $e(n) = \delta_{n,1}$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) is the neutral element of the Dirichlet convolution product, and the convolution inverse of the constant function 1 is the well-known Möbius function μ . In order to state our results we first need to introduce some arithmetic divisor functions.

The j th convolution of the constant function 1 is more generally known as the classical j th divisor function $d_j = 1^{*j}$ (cf. [13, p. 9]), which counts the ordered factorisations of its argument into j positive integer factors. It can be shown (see §2 of [8]) that d_j satisfies the sum-over-divisors recurrence relation

$$d_{j+1}(n) = (d_j * 1)(n) = \sum_{m|n} d_j(m) = 1^{*j+1}(n) \quad (n, j \in \mathbb{N}) \quad (7)$$

and has the Dirichlet series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_j(n)}{n^s} = \zeta(s)^j, \quad \text{where} \quad \zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}, \quad \Re s > 1.$$

In contrast, the j th non-trivial divisor function c_j , only counts ordered factorisations in which all factors are greater than 1. It can be expressed as the j -fold Dirichlet convolution $c_j = (1 - e)^{*j}$, and so satisfies the slightly different sum-over-divisors recurrence relation

$$c_{j+1}(n) = (c_j * (1 - e))(n) = \sum_{m|n} c_j(m) (1 - e)\left(\frac{n}{m}\right) = \sum_{m|n, m < n} c_j(m) \quad (n, j \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (8)$$

As the Dirichlet series for $1 - e$ is $\zeta(s) - 1$, the non-trivial divisor function c_j has the Dirichlet series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_j(n)}{n^s} = (\zeta(s) - 1)^j.$$

These formulae extend to $j = 0$ when we set $c_0 = e = d_0$. It is important to note that c_j , unlike d_j , is *not* a multiplicative arithmetic function.

Combining these two functions yields the *associated (j, r) -divisor function*, defined for non-negative integers r, j as $c_j^{(r)} = (1 - e)^{*j} * 1^{*r}$. It was demonstrated in [11] that this arithmetic function counts the ordered factorisations of its argument into $j + r$ factors, of which the first j must be non-trivial.

Moreover, as the constant function 1 has a convolution inverse, this definition extends naturally to negative upper indices, giving the associated $(j, -r)$ -divisor function $c_j^{(-r)} = (1 - e)^{*j} * \mu^{*r}$. Here μ is the well known Möbius function, returning +1 or -1 when n is square-free with respectively an even or odd number of prime factors, and 0 otherwise. (We note that $(1 - e)$ does not have a convolution inverse, as $(1 - e)(1) = 0$, so there is no analogous extension to negative lower indices.) Popovici [12] studied the functions $c_0^{(-r)} = \mu^{*r}$. In the associated $(j, -r)$ -divisor functions, for the *modified Möbius function* we have that

$$(\mu - e)(n) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{\Omega(n)} & \text{if } n \text{ is square-free} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise (including the case } n = 1) \end{cases} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}),$$

where $\Omega(n)$ is the number of prime factors of n , appears naturally. It was also shown in [11] that if $j \geq r$, then

$$c_j^{(-r)} = (1 - e)^{*j-r} * ((1 - e) * \mu)^{*r} = (-1)^r (1 - e)^{*j-r} * (\mu - e)^{*r};$$

if $j < r$, then

$$c_j^{(-r)} = ((1 - e) * \mu)^{*j} * \mu^{*r-j} = (-1)^j (\mu - e)^{*j} * \mu^{*r-j},$$

so that $c_j^{(r)}(n)$ involves factorisation of n into $\max\{j, -r\}$ factors if $r < 0$, of which at least j must be non-trivial. Also for $r < 0$, at least $-r$ factors must be square-free, and for $r \geq 0$ it follows that $c_j^{(r)}(n) = 0$ if $j > \Omega(n)$.

The special case $j = -r$,

$$c_j^{(-j)}(n) = (-1)^j \sum_{n_1 n_2 \cdots n_j = n} (\mu - e)(n_1) (\mu - e)(n_2) \cdots (\mu - e)(n_j) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}), \quad (9)$$

turns out to be particularly interesting and can be interpreted as $(-1)^{\Omega(n)+j}$ times the number of ordered factorisations of n into j non-trivial, square-free factors.

In Theorem 4 of [11], the second two authors proved the following result, stated below in Proposition 3.1.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and $n = (n_1, \dots, n_m) \in (\mathbb{N} + 1)^m$. Then the number of different joint ordered factorisations (and hence centred sum systems) of n is given by*

$$N_m(n) = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}^m} \prod_{j=1}^m c_{\ell_j}^{(-\ell_j)}(n_j).$$

We are now in a position to state our main result of this section which gives an explicit formula for the number of m part (centred) sum systems in terms of the modified Möbius function and the Stirling numbers of the second kind.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $m, N \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the number of m -part sum systems (and so centred sum systems) generating the integers $0, \dots, N - 1$ is equal to*

$$\mathcal{N}_m(N) = \sum_{L=0}^{\infty} m! S(L, m) (e - \mu)^{*L}(N) = \sum_{L=0}^{\infty} m! S(L, m) c_L^{(-L)}(N),$$

where $S(L, m)$ are the Stirling numbers of the second kind, and $c_L^{(-L)}(N)$ is the associated divisor function.

Corollary 3.1. *We have that*

$$\mathcal{N}_2(N) = 2 \sum_{L=2}^{\infty} c_L(N), \quad (10)$$

where, in the notation of Titchmarsh (see [14] page 7) the function

$$f(n) = \sum_{L=1}^{\infty} c_L(N),$$

counts the number of representations of n as a product of ordered factors greater than unity, with the convention that $f(1) = 1$.

To aide us in the proof of Theorem 3.1 we first require a lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $(a_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ and $(b_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be number sequences. If*

$$a_j = \sum_{i=0}^j \binom{j}{i} b_i \quad (j \in \mathbb{N}_0),$$

then

$$b_j = \sum_{i=0}^j (-1)^{j-i} \binom{j}{i} a_i \quad (j \in \mathbb{N}_0),$$

and vice versa.

Proof. For any $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^j (-1)^{j-i} \binom{j}{i} \sum_{\ell=0}^i \binom{i}{\ell} b_{\ell} &= \sum_{\ell=0}^j \left(\sum_{i=\ell}^j (-1)^{j-i} \binom{j}{i} \binom{i}{\ell} \right) b_{\ell} \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^j \left(\sum_{k=0}^{j-\ell} (-1)^k \binom{j}{j-k} \binom{j-k}{\ell} \right) b_{\ell} \end{aligned}$$

after the change of variables $k = j - i$. The claimed formula now follows by observing that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{j-\ell} (-1)^k \binom{j}{j-k} \binom{j-k}{\ell} = \sum_{k=0}^{j-\ell} (-1)^k \frac{j! (j-k)!}{k! (j-k)! \ell! (j-k-\ell)!}$$

$$= \binom{j}{\ell} \sum_{k=0}^{j-\ell} (-1)^k \binom{j-\ell}{k} = \binom{j}{\ell} (1-1)^{j-\ell} = \delta_{j,\ell}.$$

The converse follows by an almost identical calculation. \square

Example. As a sample application, using the above lemma on Lemma 4 of [8], which states that

$$c_j^{(r)} = \sum_{i=0}^r \binom{r}{i} c_{j+i} \quad (r \in \mathbb{N}_0; j \in \mathbb{N}),$$

we immediately obtain the following expression of the non-trivial divisor function in terms of associated divisor functions, such that for $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$c_{j+i} = \sum_{r=0}^i (-i)^{i-r} \binom{i}{r} c_j^{(r)} \quad (i \in \mathbb{N}_0).$$

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Bearing in mind the definition of Dirichlet convolution, summing over all possible m -tuples, and then applying Proposition 3.1, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_m(N) &= \sum_{a \in (\mathbb{N}+1)^m, \prod a = N} N_m(a) = \sum_{a \in (\mathbb{N}+1)^m, \prod a = N} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}^m} \binom{|\ell|}{\ell} \prod_{j=1}^m (e - \mu)^{* \ell_j}(a_j) \\ &= \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}^m} \binom{|\ell|}{\ell} \sum_{a \in (\mathbb{N}+1)^m, \prod a = N} \prod_{j=1}^m (e - \mu)^{* \ell_j}(a_j) = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}^m} \binom{|\ell|}{\ell} \left(\begin{matrix} m \\ j=1 \end{matrix} (e - \mu)^{* \ell_j} \right) (N) \\ &= \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}^m} \binom{|\ell|}{\ell} (e - \mu)^{* |\ell|} (N). \end{aligned}$$

For the fixed integer N , we consider the sequence $(\mathcal{N}_m(N))_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$. Also define

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_m(N) &= \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0^m} \binom{|\ell|}{\ell} (e - \mu)^{* |\ell|} (N) = \sum_{L=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0^m, |\ell|=L} \binom{L}{\ell} 1^\ell \right) (e - \mu)^{* L} (N) \\ &= \sum_{L=0}^{\infty} m^L (e - \mu)^{* L} (N), \end{aligned}$$

and consider the sequence $(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_m(N))_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$, where we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_m(N) &= \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}^m} \binom{|\ell|}{\ell} (e - \mu)^{* |\ell|} (N) + \binom{m}{1} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}^{m-1}} \binom{|\ell|}{\ell} (e - \mu)^{* |\ell|} (N) \\ &\quad + \binom{m}{2} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}^{m-2}} \binom{|\ell|}{\ell} (e - \mu)^{* |\ell|} (N) + \cdots + \binom{m}{m} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}^0} \binom{|\ell|}{\ell} (e - \mu)^{* |\ell|} (N) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{|\ell|}{\ell} \mathcal{N}_k(N). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we apply Lemma 3.1 to obtain

$$\mathcal{N}_m(N) = \sum_{k=0}^M (-1)^{m-k} \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_k(N) = \sum_{L=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^{m-k} k^L \right) (e - \mu)^{*L}(N),$$

giving the claimed identity (cf. [3] Theorem 5.1.A).

To see the first display of the Corollary we note that in dimension 2 the joint ordered factorisation must alternate between the two axes and so there is no choice for ordering the factors apart from the initial choice of starting axis for which there are two options.

Once the starting axis is chosen then the number of ordered factorisations conceptually reduces to the number of ways of writing a number n as $n = n_1 \times n_2$, and as $n = n_1 \times n_2 \times n_3$, up to $n = n_1 \times n_2 \times \dots \times n_j$, where $j = \Omega(N)$, the number of prime factors of N including repeats, with each $n_i \geq 2$, for $1 \leq i \leq j$. This number is obtained exactly by summing over the j th non-trivial divisor function $c_j(N)$, for values of j between 2 and $\Omega(N)$. Hence including the initial choice of axis we have that

$$\mathcal{N}_2(n) = 2 \sum_{j=2}^{\Omega(n)} c_j(n),$$

as required. □

We conclude this section with some tables of numerical values for $\mathcal{N}_m(N)$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots, 32$.

Example. The first values of $\mathcal{N}_m(N)$ for $N = 1, 2, \dots, 32$, with $1 \leq m \leq 4$, are given by

N	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
$\mathcal{N}_1(N)$	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
$\mathcal{N}_2(N)$	0	0	0	2	0	4	0	6	2	4	0	14	0	4	4	14
$\mathcal{N}_3(N)$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	18	0	0	0	36
$\mathcal{N}_4(N)$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24
N	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32
$\mathcal{N}_1(N)$	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
$\mathcal{N}_2(N)$	0	14	0	14	4	4	0	38	2	4	6	14	0	24	0	30
$\mathcal{N}_3(N)$	0	18	0	18	0	0	0	126	0	0	6	18	0	36	0	150
$\mathcal{N}_4(N)$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	96	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	240

from which it can be seen that when $N = p$, a prime number, there exists only one sum system of dimension 1 corresponding to the component set $\{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, p - 1\}$, with joint ordered factorisation $(1, p)$. When $N = p^2$, with $m = 2$, so $(n_1, n_2) = (p, p)$, we find that there exist two joint ordered factorisations $(1, p)(2, p)$ and $(2, p)(1, p)$ and no others.

However when N has a greater number of prime factors there are a greater number of possible ordered factorisations. For example, when $N = 12 = n_1 \times n_2$, so again $m = 2$, we find that we have the fourteen 2-part (centred) sum systems corresponding to the 7 joint ordered

factorisations and (n_1, n_2) tuples

Ordered Factorisation	$(\mathbf{n}_1, \mathbf{n}_2)$
$((1, 2)(2, 6))$	$(2, 6)$
$((1, 6)(2, 2))$	$(6, 2)$
$((1, 3)(2, 4))$	$(3, 4)$
$((1, 4)(2, 3))$	$(4, 3)$
$((1, 2)(2, 3)(1, 2))$	$(4, 3)$
$((1, 3)(2, 2)(1, 2))$	$(6, 2)$
$((1, 2)(2, 2)(1, 3))$	$(6, 2)$

where the remaining 7 possibilities are obtained by starting the joint ordered factorisations from the second axis rather than the first axis.

In [11] the number of two-dimensional joint ordered factorisation $M_{(n,n)}$, corresponding to the tuple (n, n) , where in our notation $N = n^2$, was calculated to be

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{N}_2(N) = M_{(n,n)} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (c_j(n)c_j(n) + c_j(n)c_{j+1}(n)) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j^{(1)}(n)c_j^{(0)}(n). \quad (11)$$

Unlike the case $m = 1$, when $N = n^2$ and $m = 2$ there always exists at least one joint ordered factorisation, ignoring permutations of axes.

In the next section we derive sums over divisor relations for our counting function $\mathcal{N}_2(N)$.

4 Sums over divisors relations for $\mathcal{N}_m(N)$.

In [5] the complementing set system

$$C = A + B = \{x | x = a + b, a \in A, B \in B\} \quad (12)$$

was considered for all complementing subsets of $\langle N \rangle = \{0, 1, 2 \dots, N - 1\}$. In Theorem 2 they state that the number $C(N)$ of complementing subsets of $\langle N \rangle$, is given by

$$C(N) = \sum_{\substack{d|N \\ d < N}} C(d).$$

Remark 2. The paper [5] would have benefitted from some examples to demonstrate how this sum over divisors relates to the above tables given in Example 3. To help clarify this matter we now develop the sum over divisors relation for our counting function $\mathcal{N}_m(N)$, as stated below in Theorem 4.1

Theorem 4.1. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $N \in (\mathbb{N} + 1)$ with $\mathcal{N}_m(N)$ our counting function introduced in Theorem 3.1 for the number of m -part (centred) sum systems, and so joint ordered factorisations. Then $\mathcal{N}_m(N)$ obeys the sum over divisors relations*

$$\mathcal{N}_m(N) = \sum_{\substack{d|N \\ d < N}} ((m - 1)\mathcal{N}_m(d) + m\mathcal{N}_{m-1}(d)) \quad (13)$$

$$= -m \sum_{\substack{d|N \\ d < N}} \mu \left(\frac{N}{d} \right) (\mathcal{N}_m(d) + \mathcal{N}_{m-1}(d)). \quad (14)$$

Setting $\mathcal{M}_m(N) = \frac{1}{m!} \mathcal{N}_m(N)$, so that $\mathcal{M}_m(N)$ counts the number of m -part (centred) sum systems, excluding the $m!$ ways of permuting the m -parts between themselves, we obtain the simpler sum over divisors relation

$$\mathcal{M}_m(N) = \sum_{\substack{d|N \\ d < N}} ((m-1)\mathcal{M}_m(d) + \mathcal{M}_{m-1}(d)) \quad (15)$$

and

$$= - \sum_{\substack{d|N \\ d < N}} \mu \left(\frac{N}{d} \right) (m\mathcal{M}_m(d) + \mathcal{M}_{m-1}(d)). \quad (16)$$

Corollary 4.1. When $m = 2$ the above formulae for $\mathcal{N}_2(N)$ yields

$$\mathcal{N}_2(N) = \sum_{\substack{d|N \\ d < N}} (\mathcal{N}_2(d) + 2\mathcal{N}_1(d)) = 2d_2(N) - 4 + \sum_{\substack{d|N \\ d < N}} \mathcal{N}_2(d), \quad (17)$$

so that

$$\mathcal{M}_2(N) = \sum_{\substack{d|N \\ d < N}} (\mathcal{M}_2(d) + \mathcal{M}_1(d)) = c_2(N) + \sum_{\substack{d|N \\ d < N}} \mathcal{M}_2(d). \quad (18)$$

Here we have used $\mathcal{N}_1(d) = 1$ for $d > 1$, with $d_2(N)$ the well known divisor function, which counts the number of ways of writing $N = n_1 \times n_2$, with $n_1, n_2 \geq 1$. Removing the two factors containing the number 1 we obtain the non-trivial divisor function $c_2(n) = d_2(n) - 2$, which naturally occurs in (18).

Proof. We begin with Theorem 1(a) of [11] which gives a three term recurrence relation for the associated divisor function, stated here as

$$c_{k+1}^{(r)} = c_k^{(r+1)} - c_k^{(r)}.$$

Setting $r = -j$ and $k = j - 1$, we obtain as operators

$$c_j^{(-j)} = c_{j-1}^{(-(j-1))} - c_{j-1}^{(-j)} = c_{j-1}^{(-(j-1))} - \sum_{d|N} c_{j-1}^{(-(j-1))},$$

and substituting with $j = L$ for $c_L^{(-L)}$ in the formula for $\mathcal{N}_m(N)$ given in Theorem 3.1 we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_m(N) &= \sum_{L=0}^{\infty} m! S(L, m) c_L^{(-L)}(N) \\ &= \sum_{L=0}^{\infty} m! S(L, m) \left(c_{L-1}^{(-(L-1))}(N) - \sum_{d|N} c_L^{(-L)}(d) \right). \end{aligned}$$

We now substitute for the well known three-term recurrence relation for the Stirling numbers of the second kind

$$S(L, m) = m S(L - 1, m) + S(L - 1, m - 1),$$

along with the fact that $S(0, m) = 0$ for $m \geq 1$ to obtain

$$\mathcal{N}_m(N) = \sum_{L=1}^{\infty} m! (m S(L - 1, m) + S(L - 1, m - 1)) \left(c_{L-1}^{(-(L-1))}(N) - \sum_{d|N} c_L^{(-L)}(d) \right),$$

and shifting the indices of summation down one we have

$$\mathcal{N}_m(N) = \sum_{L=0}^{\infty} m! (m S(L, m) + S(L, m - 1)) \left(c_L^{(-L)}(N) - \sum_{d|N} c_L^{(-L)}(d) \right).$$

Expanding out the two brackets, rearranging and simplifying we obtain (13)

$$\mathcal{N}_m(N) = \sum_{\substack{d|N \\ d < N}} ((m - 1)\mathcal{N}_m(d) + m\mathcal{N}_{m-1}(d)),$$

as required. For the second display in (14), we either use Möbius inversion on the above display or alternatively we can begin with the relation

$$c_j^{(-j)} = - \sum_{\substack{d|N \\ d < N}} \mu\left(\frac{N}{d}\right) c_{j-1}^{(-(j-1))}.$$

The relations for $\mathcal{M}_m(N)$, then immediately follow by division of $m!$.

The corollary then follows from setting $m = 2$ in Theorem 4.1. \square

Remark 3. For $\mathcal{N}_m(n)$ we will get the sum $m! \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} a_j(n) c_j(n)$, where the a_j count the number of ways of reordering the factors in the joint ordered factorisations, whilst maintaining non-consecutive factors from the same part.

Example. When $N = 12$, we find that $\mathcal{N}_2(12) = 14$, whereas $2d_2(12) - 4 = 8$, and

$$\sum_{\substack{d|12 \\ d < 12}} \mathcal{N}_2(12) = 0 + 0 + 0 + 2 + 4 = 6,$$

so that as predicted by the formula $14 = 8 + 6$.

For $N = p$ a prime number, we have that $2d_2(p) - 4 = 4 - 4 = 0 = \mathcal{N}_2(p) = \mathcal{N}_2(1)$, and both sides equate to zero.

Remark 4. We note that the formula given in Corollary 4.1 has the extra term of $2d_2(N) - 4$ compared with (12) as stated in [5].

5 Conclusion and further areas for consideration

Regarding the results contained in this paper we have demonstrated that for a fixed target integer N , the sum system components exhibit an invariance in the sum of their elements, and for the centralised sum systems this translates into a sum of squares invariance. We have also managed to construct and enumerate the number of m -part (centralised) sum systems using associated divisor functions and Stirling numbers of the second kind, demonstrating that these counting functions obey a sum over divisors relation, thereby generalising and clarifying a result of Long's detailed in [5].

In consideration of de Bruijn's question regarding complementing set systems (sum systems) for \mathbb{Z} rather than \mathbb{N} , the results detailed in this paper, combined with those of [8, 10, 11] imply that if the target set is centro-symmetric about the origin, then the component sets of the sum system must be palindromic, and can be constructed via joint ordered factorisations. For target sets which are not symmetric about the origin this is a much harder question and could form the focus of future investigations.

6 Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interests was reported by the authors.

Acknowledgements 1. A. Law's research was supported by an EPSRC DTP grant EP/R513003/1.

References

- [1] de Bruijn, N.G., On bases for set of integers, *Publicationes Mathematicae, Debrecen I*, 232-242 (1950).
- [2] de Bruijn, N.G., On number systems, *Nieuw Arch. Wisk.* **4**, 15-17 (1956).
- [3] Comtet, L. *Advanced Combinatorics*, Reidel, Dordrecht 1974.
- [4] Vaidya, A.M., On complementing sets of nonnegative integers, *Mathematics Magazine*, **39**(1), 43-44
- [5] Long, C.T., Addition Theorems for sets of Integers, *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, **23**(1) (1967).
- [6] McMahan, P.A., *Memoir on the Composition of Numbers*, *Phil. Trans. R. S. (A)* (1893).
- [7] Ollerenshaw, K. and Brée, D., *Most-perfect pandiagonal magic squares*, IMA (1998).
- [8] Hill, S.L., Huxley, M.N., Lettington, M.C. and Schmidt, K.M. Some properties and applications of non-trivial divisor functions, *Ramanujan J* **51**, 611–628 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11139-018-0093-9>
- [9] Hill, S.L., PhD Thesis: *Problems Related to Number Theory; Sum-and-Distance Systems, Reversible Square Matrices and Divisor Functions*, Cardiff University (2018).

- [10] Huxley, M.N., Lettington, M.C. and Schmidt, K.M. On the structure of additive systems of integers, *Period Math Hung* **78**, 178–199 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10998-018-00275-w>
- [11] Lettington, M.C. and Schmidt K.M. Divisor functions and the number of sum systems, *Integers* (20) **A61**, 1–13 (2020).
- [12] Popovici, C.P. O generalizare a funcției lui Möebius, *Acad. R. P. Romîne Stud. Cerc. Mat.* **14** (1963), 493–499.
- [13] Schwarz, W. and Spilker, J. *Arithmetical Functions*, LMS Lecture Note Series **184**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (1994).
- [14] Titchmarsh, E. C., *The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-Function*, Oxford University Press, Oxford (1951).