

Growth rates for anti-parallel vortex tube Euler flows in three and higher dimensions

Stephen Gustafson¹, Evan Miller^{1,2}, and Tai-Peng Tsai¹

¹University of British Columbia, Department of Mathematics

¹gustaf@math.ubc.ca, emiller@math.ubc.ca, ttsai@math.ubc.ca

²emiller@msri.org

Abstract

We consider axisymmetric, swirl-free solutions of the Euler equations in three and higher dimensions, of generalized anti-parallel-vortex-tube-pair-type: the initial scalar vorticity has a sign in the half-space, is odd under reflection across the plane, is bounded and decays sufficiently rapidly at the axis and at spatial infinity. We prove lower bounds on the growth of such solutions in all dimensions, improving a lower bound proved by Choi and Jeong [4] in three dimensions.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Axisymmetric, swirl-free Euler flows	2
1.2	Smooth solutions	3
1.3	Anti-parallel vortex tubes	3
1.4	Results	4
1.5	Organization	5
1.6	Notation	6
2	Velocity components, moments, and upper bounds	6
2.1	Biot-Savart law	6
2.2	Radial moments and the proof of Theorem 1.3	7
3	Lower bounds on vorticity stretching	8
3.1	Monotonicity of the first vertical moment	8
3.2	Monotonicity of the $d - 1$ radial moment	10
3.3	An upper bound for the kinetic energy	12
3.4	Lower bounds for the radial moment and the proof of Theorem 1.4	13
4	Appendix: a calculus lemma	18
	References	18

1 Introduction

The incompressible Euler equations

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla)u + \nabla p &= 0 \\ \nabla \cdot u &= 0 \\ u|_{t=0} &= u^0\end{aligned}\tag{1.1}$$

are one of the fundamental models in fluid dynamics. Here we take the spatial domain to be \mathbb{R}^d , so $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times [0, T)$ for some $T \in (0, \infty]$, $u(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is the fluid velocity, $p(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}$ is the pressure, and the initial velocity u^0 is a divergence-free vector field on \mathbb{R}^d .

1.1 Axisymmetric, swirl-free Euler flows

In this paper, we will study axisymmetric, swirl-free solutions of the Euler equations in three dimensions, and their generalizations to higher dimensions. That is, for $d \geq 3$, we consider solutions of the form

$$u(x, t) = u_r(r, z, t)e_r + u_z(r, z, t)e_z,$$

where

$$r = \sqrt{x_1^2 + \dots + x_{d-1}^2}, \quad z = x_d, \quad e_r = \frac{(x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}, 0)}{r}, \quad e_z = e_d,$$

a class which is preserved by the dynamics of the Euler equations (1.1). The divergence-free condition in (1.1) becomes

$$\partial_r(r^{d-2}u_r) + \partial_z(r^{d-2}u_z) = 0,\tag{1.2}$$

and so the velocity components may be recovered from a stream function $\psi(r, z, t)$ as

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_r \\ u_z \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{r^{d-2}} \begin{bmatrix} -\partial_z \\ \partial_r \end{bmatrix} \psi.\tag{1.3}$$

The scalar vorticity¹

$$\omega(r, z, t) = \partial_r u_z - \partial_z u_r\tag{1.4}$$

then satisfies the evolution equation

$$\partial_t \omega + (u \cdot \nabla)\omega - (d-2)\frac{u_r}{r}\omega = 0, \quad \omega|_{t=0} = \omega^0 := \partial_r(u_z^0) - \partial_z(u_r^0)$$

which implies that the quantity $\frac{\omega}{r^{d-2}}$ is transported by the flow:

$$(\partial_t + u \cdot \nabla) \left[\frac{\omega}{r^{d-2}} \right] = 0.\tag{1.5}$$

This equation may also be written in the useful form

$$\partial_t \omega + \tilde{\nabla} \cdot (u\omega) = 0, \quad \tilde{\nabla} := e_r \partial_r + e_z \partial_z,\tag{1.6}$$

¹Our sign convention for ω is opposite to that of [4]. It is convenient as we will take $\omega \geq 0$ when $z > 0$ in (1.11). If we denote $\text{curl}(u_r e_r + u_z e_z) = \omega^\theta e_\theta$ in \mathbb{R}^3 as in [1], then $\omega = -\omega^\theta$.

by using (1.2).

The transport equation (1.5) is central to our analysis. Of course, to close the system, the velocity u in (1.5) must be recovered from the vorticity via a non-local relation which realizes (1.2) and (1.4) – see Section 2.1 for the details. One frequently used consequence of the transport (1.5) is the preservation of Lebesgue norms:

$$\left\| \frac{\omega(\cdot, t)}{r^{d-2}} \right\|_p \equiv \left\| \frac{\omega^0}{r^{d-2}} \right\|_p \quad (1.7)$$

for all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ (assuming initial finiteness).

The other feature of the Euler system (1.1) we exploit is the conservation of energy:

$$E(t) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u(x, t)|^2 dx \equiv E(0) \quad (1.8)$$

(assuming $E(0) < \infty$) for as long as the solution remains sufficiently smooth.

1.2 Smooth solutions

For context and background on axisymmetric, swirl-free Euler flows in $d = 3$, and their extensions to $d \geq 4$, we refer the reader to [11]. Here we will merely state results on the existence of smooth solutions which suit our present purposes. The existence of global smooth solutions in $d = 3$ is classical [6, 7, 12, 15, 16, 18], while the $d \geq 4$ statements are established in [11], using standard methods. There, the existence of global smooth solutions is extended to $d = 4$, while for $d \geq 5$ only blow-up criteria are obtained. We summarize:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $d \geq 3$. For initial data $u^0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $s > 2 + \frac{d}{2}$ which is axisymmetric, swirl-free and divergence-free, and for which $\frac{\omega^0}{r^{d-2}} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, there exists a unique solution of the Euler equations (1.1) $u \in C([0, T_{max}), H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap C^1([0, T_{max}), H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}^d))$, on a maximal time interval $[0, T_{max})$, which is axisymmetric, swirl-free and divergence-free, and which conserves energy (1.8). Moreover:*

- if $d = 3$ or $d = 4$, then $T_{max} = \infty$;
- if $d \geq 5$ and $T_{max} < \infty$, then $\|\omega(\cdot, t)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \geq C_{\omega^0} (T_{max} - t)^{-\frac{2(d-2)}{d-4}}$.

1.3 Anti-parallel vortex tubes

In this paper, we consider initial scalar vorticity which is non-negative for positive z ,

$$\omega^0(r, z) \geq 0 \quad (\omega^0 \not\equiv 0) \quad \text{for } z \geq 0, \quad (1.9)$$

and odd in z ,

$$\omega^0(r, -z) = -\omega^0(r, z), \quad (1.10)$$

a setting which in $d = 3$ includes pairs of *anti-parallel vortex tubes*. One motivation for considering these configurations is an extensive literature of numerical and experimental work (e.g. [3–5, 10, 13, 14, 17] and references therein) indicating that such rings should collide, stretch horizontally, and produce rapid vorticity growth.

Remark 1.2. It is shown in [11, Appendix A] that the oddness condition (1.10) is preserved by the Euler flow (1.5). In particular, the boundary conditions

$$\omega(r, 0, t) \equiv 0, \quad u_z(r, 0, t) \equiv 0$$

hold, and the transport equation (1.5) preserves the positivity condition (1.9) as well. That is,

$$\omega(r, z, t) \geq 0 \quad (\omega \not\equiv 0) \quad \text{for } z \geq 0 \quad (1.11)$$

and

$$\omega(r, -z, t) = -\omega(r, z, t) \quad (1.12)$$

for $t \in [0, T_{max})$. Moreover, the upper half-space $\{z > 0\}$ is invariant under the flow of such velocity fields u , and so the norm preservation (1.7) remains true when restricted to $\{z > 0\}$.

We further assume: $d \geq 3$, and $u^0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $s > 2 + \frac{d}{2}$ is axisymmetric, swirl-free and divergence-free, with

$$\frac{\omega^0}{r^{d-2}} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \quad \text{and} \quad r\omega^0, \quad z\frac{\omega^0}{r^{d-2}} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d). \quad (1.13)$$

In particular, Theorem 1.1 applies, producing a solution satisfying (1.11)–(1.12), for which the conservation of energy (1.8) holds.

1.4 Results

We formulate our results in terms of the radial moment

$$R(t) := \iint_{[0, \infty)^2} r^{d-1} \omega(r, z, t) \, dr dz = \frac{1}{C_d} \int_{z \geq 0} r^{d-1} \left[\frac{\omega}{r^{d-2}} \right] dx = \frac{1}{C_d} \int_{z \geq 0} r \omega \, dx,$$

where $C_d := \mathcal{H}^{d-2}(\mathbb{S}_1^{d-2})$. Note that $0 < R(0) < \infty$ by (1.9) and (1.13).

The first result provides upper bounds for $R(t)$:

Theorem 1.3. *Let $u(t)$ be a solution of (1.1) as in Theorem 1.1 under the same assumptions on initial data u^0 which further satisfies (1.9), (1.10), and (1.13). For $d = 3$: there is $C = C(\omega^0)$ such that*

$$R(t) \leq C(1 + t)^4.$$

For $d = 4$, there is $C = C(\omega^0)$ such that

$$R(t) \leq R(0)e^{Ct}.$$

For $d \geq 5$, there are $C = C(\omega^0)$ and $T = T(\omega^0) > 0$ so that for $0 \leq t < \min(T, T_{max})$,

$$R(t) \leq C(T - t)^{-\frac{2(d-1)}{d-4}}.$$

These upper bounds are straightforward consequences of the estimate

$$\|u_r\|_{L^\infty}^2 \lesssim \left\| \frac{\omega}{r^{d-2}} \right\|_{L^\infty} \|\omega\|_{L^1}, \quad (1.14)$$

which is proved in [9] for $d = 3$; and extended to $d \geq 4$ in [11, Section 4].

Our main result provides lower bounds for $R(t)$, which improve one from [4] for $d = 3$, and generalize it to higher dimensions:

Theorem 1.4. *Let $u(t)$ be a solution of (1.1) as in Theorem 1.1 under the same assumptions on initial data u^0 which further satisfies (1.9), (1.10), and (1.13). Let $\epsilon > 0$. For $d = 3$: there is $C = C(\omega^0, \epsilon) > 0$ such that*

$$R(t) \geq C(1+t)^{\frac{3}{4}-\epsilon}.$$

For $d = 4$, there is $C = C(\omega^0, \epsilon)$ such that

$$R(t) \geq C(1+t)^{\frac{2}{3}-\epsilon}.$$

For $d \geq 5$, there are $C = C(\omega^0, \epsilon, d)$ such that for $t \in [0, T_{max})$,

$$R(t) \geq C(1+t)^{\frac{d}{d^2-2d-2}-\epsilon}.$$

Remark 1.5. The main inspiration for this paper is the recent work of Choi-Jeong [4], which gave (among other things) a lower bound $R(t) \geq C(1+t)^{\frac{2}{15}-\epsilon}$ in dimension $d = 3$. In broad outline, our argument closely follows theirs, though key estimates are done in a different way.

Remark 1.6. We give here a growth rate lower bound for the moment $R(t)$. For certain initial data this implies a corresponding a lower bound for certain Lebesgue norms of the vorticity, $\|\omega(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p}$. This is clear for pure vortex patches, $\frac{\omega}{r^{d-2}} = \chi_{\Omega(t)}$ in $z > 0$, but, as shown in [4, Corollary 1.2], also carries over to certain smoother vorticities.

Remark 1.7. We are considering here relatively smooth solutions of the Euler equations, which in particular are quite smooth at the axis $r = 0$. Rougher (on the axis) flows may form singularities in finite time in $d = 3$ ([8]). Moreover, boundary effects may also produce finite time singularities ([2]), whereas there is no boundary in our setting. The mechanism for vorticity growth here and in [4] – vortex stretching toward spatial infinity – is quite different from either of those works.

1.5 Organization

Theorem 1.3 is proved, in a slightly generalized form for a range of radial moments, in Section 2. Parallel to the argument in [4], the proof of Theorem 1.4 combines several ingredients: monotonicity of the first vertical moment is proved in Section 3.1; a lower bound for the radial moment $R(t)$ is derived in Section 3.2; and Section 3.3 contains an upper bound for the kinetic energy. The proof is completed in Section 3.4.

1.6 Notation

Throughout,

$$3 \leq d = \text{the spatial dimension.}$$

We denote

$$\Pi = \{(r, z) \mid r > 0, z \in \mathbb{R}\}, \quad \Pi_+ = \{(r, z) \mid r > 0, z \geq 0\}.$$

Lebesgue norms are taken with respect to the usual Lebesgue measure dx on \mathbb{R}^d , and normalized so that for an axisymmetric function $f(r, z)$,

$$\|f\|_p^p = \iint_{\Pi} |f(r, z)|^p r^{d-2} dr dz = \frac{1}{C_d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f|^p dx.$$

As usual, we write

$$A \lesssim B \quad (\text{respectively } A \gtrsim B)$$

to indicate there is a constant C (independent of any other relevant parameters) such that

$$A \leq CB \quad (\text{respectively } A \geq CB),$$

and in case the implied constant depends on some relevant parameters σ , we write

$$A \lesssim_{\sigma} B \quad (\text{respectively } A \gtrsim_{\sigma} B).$$

Finally,

$$A \sim B \quad \text{means} \quad A \lesssim B \text{ and } A \gtrsim B.$$

2 Velocity components, moments, and upper bounds

This section concerns upper bounds for the radial moments of the vorticity, and contains the proof of Theorem 1.3.

2.1 Biot-Savart law

The estimates in this paper originate from the following expression for the stream function in terms of the scalar vorticity (a detailed derivation can be found in [11, Section 4]):

$$\psi(r, z, t) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \iint_{\Pi} \mathcal{F}(S) (r\bar{r})^{\frac{d}{2}-1} \bar{\omega}, \quad (2.1)$$

where here (and subsequently) we use the shorthand

$$\bar{\omega} = \omega(\bar{r}, \bar{z}, t) d\bar{r} d\bar{z}.$$

Here

$$S = \frac{(r - \bar{r})^2 + (z - \bar{z})^2}{r\bar{r}},$$

and for $s > 0$,

$$\mathcal{F}(s) = \int_0^\pi \frac{\sin^{d-3}(\theta) \cos(\theta) d\theta}{[2(1 - \cos \theta) + s]^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}. \quad (2.2)$$

The velocity components are recovered from the stream function via (1.3), resulting in the expressions

$$u_r(r, z, t) = \frac{1}{\pi r^{d-2}} \iint_{\Pi} \mathcal{F}'(S)(z - \bar{z})(r\bar{r})^{\frac{d}{2}-2} \bar{\omega} \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$u_z(r, z, t) = -\frac{1}{2\pi r^{d-2}} \iint_{\Pi} \left(\mathcal{F}'(S) \partial_r S + \frac{d-2}{2r} \mathcal{F}(S) \right) (r\bar{r})^{\frac{d}{2}-1} \bar{\omega}. \quad (2.4)$$

2.2 Radial moments and the proof of Theorem 1.3

By the transport equation (1.5) and (1.11) (see Remark 1.2),

$$\omega := \omega(r, z, t) dr dz = \frac{\omega(r, z, t)}{r^{d-2}} r^{d-2} dr dz \quad (2.5)$$

is a (non-negative) measure on Π_+ of fixed mass

$$0 < \iint_{\Pi_+} \omega = \iint_{\Pi_+} \omega^0 \lesssim_{\omega^0} 1. \quad (2.6)$$

Generalizing slightly, we define the j -th moment of r with respect to this measure,

$$R_j(t) = \iint_{\Pi_+} r^j \omega \quad \left(= \frac{1}{2} \|r^{j-(d-2)} \omega\|_1 \right)$$

(using the symmetry (1.12) for the last equality) if it is finite, so that $R(t) = R_{d-1}(t)$ and by (2.6),

$$R_0(t) \equiv R_0(0) = \iint_{\Pi_+} \omega^0 \lesssim_{\omega^0} 1. \quad (2.7)$$

Our assumptions (1.9) and (1.13) imply $0 < R_j(0) < \infty$ for $0 \leq j \leq d-1$.

Compute, using (1.6),

$$\dot{R}_j = - \iint_{\Pi_+} r^j [\partial_r(u_r \omega) + \partial_z(u_z \omega)] dr dz = \iint_{\Pi_+} j r^{j-1} u_r \omega dr dz, \quad (2.8)$$

integrating by parts and using the boundary conditions of ω on $\partial\Pi_+$. Thus by (1.14),

$$|\dot{R}_j| \lesssim \|u_r\|_\infty R_{j-1} \lesssim \left\| \frac{\omega}{r^{d-2}} \right\|_\infty^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\omega\|_1^{\frac{1}{2}} R_{j-1}.$$

Suppose $j > d - 2$. Let $b = \frac{j-(d-2)}{2j} \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ and $q = \frac{(d-2)b}{\frac{1}{2}-b} = j - (d - 2)$. By Hölder, we have

$$\|\omega\|_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left\| \frac{\omega}{r^{d-2}} \right\|_1^b \cdot \|r^q \omega\|_1^{\frac{1}{2}-b} = \left\| \frac{\omega}{r^{d-2}} \right\|_1^b \cdot R_j^{\frac{d-2}{2j}} \lesssim_{\omega^0} R_j^{\frac{d-2}{2j}}$$

by (1.7), and

$$R_{j-1} \leq R_0^{\frac{1}{j}} \cdot R_j^{\frac{j-1}{j}} = R_0(0)^{\frac{1}{j}} \cdot R_j^{\frac{j-1}{j}} \lesssim_{\omega^0} R_j^{\frac{j-1}{j}}$$

by (2.7). Combining the above, using (1.7) again, yields

$$|\dot{R}_j| \lesssim_{\omega^0} R_j^{\frac{d-2}{2j}} \cdot R_j^{\frac{j-1}{j}} = R_j^{1+\frac{d-4}{2j}}. \quad (2.9)$$

Integrating the differential inequality (2.9) immediately yields:

Proposition 2.1. *Suppose $d - 2 < j < \infty$ and $R_j(0) < \infty$. Then*

1. $d = 3$: $R_j(t) \leq C(1+t)^{2j}$ for $C = C(\omega^0, j)$;
2. $d = 4$: $R_j(t) \leq R_j(0)e^{Ct}$ for $C = C(\omega^0, j)$;
3. $d \geq 5$: there are $C = C(\omega^0, j)$ and $T = T(\omega^0, j) > 0$ so that for $t < \min(T, T_{max})$, $R_j(t) \leq C(T-t)^{-\frac{2j}{d-4}}$.

The case $j = d - 1$ establishes Theorem 1.3. \square

3 Lower bounds on vorticity stretching

This section contains the proof of Theorem 1.4.

3.1 Monotonicity of the first vertical moment

Following [4], we introduce the first vertical moment

$$Z(t) = \iint_{\Pi_+} z \omega, \quad \omega := \omega(r, z, t) dr dz,$$

noting that $0 < Z(0) < \infty$ by assumptions (1.9) and (1.13), and prove:

Proposition 3.1. *For all $d \geq 3$,*

$$\dot{Z}(t) < 0$$

(for $t \in [0, T_{max})$ when $d \geq 5$).

Proof. Compute, using (1.6), integration by parts, and the boundary conditions on $\partial\Pi_+$,

$$\dot{Z} = \iint_{\Pi_+} z \partial_t \omega(r, z, t) dr dz = \iint_{\Pi_+} u_z \omega. \quad (3.1)$$

By (2.4),

$$u_z(r, z, t) = -\frac{1}{2\pi r^{d-2}} \iint_{\Pi} K(r, z; \bar{r}, \bar{z}) (r\bar{r})^{\frac{d-2}{2}} \bar{\omega}, \quad \bar{\omega} := \omega(\bar{r}, \bar{z}, t) d\bar{r} d\bar{z},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} K(r, z; \bar{r}, \bar{z}) &= \mathcal{F}'(S) \partial_r S + \frac{d-2}{2r} \mathcal{F}(S) = \left(\frac{2(r-\bar{r})}{r\bar{r}} - \frac{1}{r} S \right) \mathcal{F}'(S) + \frac{d-2}{2r} \mathcal{F}(S) \\ &= \frac{1}{r\bar{r}} [2(r-\bar{r})\mathcal{F}'(S) + \bar{r}\mathcal{F}^*(S)], \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}^*(s) := \frac{d-2}{2} \mathcal{F}(s) - s\mathcal{F}'(s).$$

Thus from (3.1),

$$-\dot{Z} = \iint_{\Pi_+} \frac{1}{2\pi r^{d-2}} \iint_{\Pi} K(r, z; \bar{r}, \bar{z}) (r\bar{r})^{\frac{d-2}{2}} \bar{\omega} \omega.$$

Changing the domain of the inner integral from Π to Π_+ , using the oddness $\omega(\bar{r}, -\bar{z}) = -\omega(\bar{r}, \bar{z})$, yields

$$-\dot{Z} = \iiint_{(\Pi_+)^2} \bar{r}^{d-2} [K(r, z; \bar{r}, \bar{z}) - K(r, z; \bar{r}, -\bar{z})] \frac{\omega \bar{\omega}}{2\pi (r\bar{r})^{\frac{d-2}{2}}}.$$

Symmetrizing in $(r, z) \leftrightarrow (\bar{r}, \bar{z})$, noting that both

$$S = \frac{(r-\bar{r})^2 + (z-\bar{z})^2}{r\bar{r}} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{S} = \frac{(r-\bar{r})^2 + (z+\bar{z})^2}{r\bar{r}} \quad (3.2)$$

are unchanged, we arrive at

$$-\dot{Z} = \iiint_{(\Pi_+)^2} \tilde{K}(r, z; \bar{r}, \bar{z}) \frac{\omega \bar{\omega}}{4\pi (r\bar{r})^{\frac{d}{2}}}, \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{K} &= \bar{r}^{d-2} \{2(r-\bar{r})\mathcal{F}'(S) + \bar{r}\mathcal{F}^*(S) - 2(r-\bar{r})\mathcal{F}'(\bar{S}) - \bar{r}\mathcal{F}^*(\bar{S})\} \\ &\quad + r^{d-2} \{-2(r-\bar{r})\mathcal{F}'(S) + r\mathcal{F}^*(S) + 2(r-\bar{r})\mathcal{F}'(\bar{S}) - r\mathcal{F}^*(\bar{S})\} \\ &= \mathcal{H}(r, \bar{r}, S) - \mathcal{H}(r, \bar{r}, \bar{S}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(r, \bar{r}, s) &= 2\bar{r}^{d-2}(r-\bar{r})\mathcal{F}'(s) + \bar{r}^{d-1}\mathcal{F}^*(s) - 2r^{d-2}(r-\bar{r})\mathcal{F}'(s) + r^{d-1}\mathcal{F}^*(s) \\ &= -2(r^{d-2} - \bar{r}^{d-2})(r-\bar{r})\mathcal{F}'(s) + (r^{d-1} + \bar{r}^{d-1})\mathcal{F}^*(s). \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

To prove Proposition 3.1, by (3.3), it suffices to show $\tilde{K} > 0$. Since $S < \bar{S}$, by (3.4), it suffices to show both $-\mathcal{F}'(s)$ and $\mathcal{F}^*(s)$ are decreasing in s for all $s > 0$. Indeed, we have:

Lemma 3.2. *For all $d \geq 3$ and $s > 0$,*

$$\mathcal{F}''(s) > 0 \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$(\mathcal{F}^*)'(s) < 0. \quad (3.6)$$

Proposition 3.1 follows, subject to the proof of Lemma 3.2. \square

Proof. Using (2.2), we compute

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}'(s) &= -\frac{(d-2)}{2} \int_0^\pi \frac{(\sin \theta)^{d-3} \cos \theta}{[2(1-\cos \theta) + s]^{\frac{d}{2}}} d\theta \\ &= -\frac{(d-2)}{2} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} (\sin \theta)^{d-3} \cos \theta \left[\frac{1}{[2(1-\cos \theta) + s]^{\frac{d}{2}}} - \frac{1}{[2(1+\cos \theta) + s]^{\frac{d}{2}}} \right] d\theta < 0\end{aligned}\quad (3.7)$$

by changing variable $\theta \mapsto \pi - \theta$ on $[\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi]$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}''(s) &= \frac{d(d-2)}{4} \int_0^\pi \frac{(\sin \theta)^{d-3} \cos \theta}{[2(1-\cos \theta) + s]^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} d\theta \\ &= \frac{d(d-2)}{4} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} (\sin \theta)^{d-3} \cos \theta \left[\frac{1}{[2(1-\cos \theta) + s]^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} - \frac{1}{[2(1+\cos \theta) + s]^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \right] d\theta > 0,\end{aligned}\quad (3.8)$$

which establishes (3.5). Next,

$$(\mathcal{F}^*)'(s) = \frac{d}{ds} \left(\frac{d-2}{2} \mathcal{F}(s) - s \mathcal{F}'(s) \right) = \frac{(d-4)}{2} \mathcal{F}'(s) - s \mathcal{F}''(s),$$

so (3.6) for $d \geq 4$ follows from (3.7) and (3.8). The case $d = 3$ of (3.6) is proved in [4, Lemma 3.3], using some elliptic integral relations. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.2. \square

As an immediate consequence of Proposition 3.1 and (1.13), we have

$$0 < Z(t) < Z(0) \lesssim_{\omega^0} 1. \quad (3.9)$$

3.2 Monotonicity of the $d - 1$ radial moment

By (2.8) with $j = d - 1$,

$$\dot{R}(t) = (d-1) \iint_{\Pi_+} r^{d-2} u_r \omega. \quad (3.10)$$

Changing variables $\bar{z} \mapsto -\bar{z}$ for $\bar{z} < 0$ in expression (2.3), and using (1.12), we have

$$u_r(r, z, t) = \frac{1}{\pi r^{d-2}} \iint_{\Pi_+} [\mathcal{F}'(S)(z - \bar{z}) - \mathcal{F}'(\bar{S})(z + \bar{z})] (r\bar{r})^{\frac{d}{2}-2} \bar{\omega}. \quad (3.11)$$

Substituting (3.11) in (3.10), we get

$$\dot{R}(t) = \frac{d-1}{\pi} \iiint_{(\Pi_+)^2} [\mathcal{F}'(S)(z - \bar{z}) - \mathcal{F}'(\bar{S})(z + \bar{z})] (r\bar{r})^{\frac{d}{2}-2} \omega \bar{\omega}. \quad (3.12)$$

Noting the anti-symmetry of the factor $\mathcal{F}'(S)(z - \bar{z})$ in $z \leftrightarrow \bar{z}$, its integration in (3.12) vanishes, and so

$$\dot{R}(t) = \frac{d-1}{\pi} \iiint_{(\Pi_+)^2} [-\mathcal{F}'(\bar{S})] (z + \bar{z}) (r\bar{r})^{\frac{d}{2}-2} \omega\bar{\omega}. \quad (3.13)$$

As an immediate consequence of this expression and (3.7), we have the monotonicity of R :

Proposition 3.3. *For all $d \geq 3$,*

$$\dot{R}(t) > 0,$$

(for $t \in [0, T_{max})$ when $d \geq 5$).

To get a more precise lower bound for \dot{R} , we first need an elementary estimate for the behaviour of $-\mathcal{F}'(s)$:

Lemma 3.4. *For all $s > 0$,*

$$-\mathcal{F}'(s) \sim \frac{1}{s(1+s)^{\frac{d}{2}}}. \quad (3.14)$$

Proof. From (3.7),

$$-\mathcal{F}'(s) \sim \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} (\sin \theta)^{d-3} \cos \theta \left(A^{-\frac{d}{2}} - B^{-\frac{d}{2}} \right) d\theta,$$

where

$$A = 2(1 - \cos \theta) + s, \quad B = 2(1 + \cos \theta) + s.$$

By a simple calculus Lemma 4.1, for $0 < A < B$ and $\alpha > 0$,

$$A^{-\alpha} - B^{-\alpha} \sim \frac{B - A}{A^\alpha B}.$$

Thus

$$-\mathcal{F}'(s) \sim \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{(\sin \theta)^{d-3} \cos^2 \theta}{[2(1 - \cos \theta) + s]^{\frac{d}{2}} [2(1 + \cos \theta) + s]} d\theta.$$

From this expression,

$$s \gtrsim 1 \implies -\mathcal{F}'(s) \sim s^{-\frac{d}{2}-1},$$

while

$$\begin{aligned} s \ll 1 \implies -\mathcal{F}'(s) &\sim \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{4}} \frac{\theta^{d-3}}{(\theta^2 + s)^{\frac{d}{2}}} d\theta + \int_{\frac{\pi}{4}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \cos^2 \theta d\theta \\ &\sim \int_0^{\sqrt{s}} \frac{\theta^{d-3}}{s^{\frac{d}{2}}} d\theta + \int_{\sqrt{s}}^{\frac{\pi}{4}} \frac{\theta^{d-3}}{(\theta^2)^{\frac{d}{2}}} d\theta + 1 \sim \frac{1}{s}, \end{aligned}$$

which together give (3.14). □

Returning to (3.13), and observing from (3.2) that

$$1 + \bar{S} \sim 4 + \bar{S} = \frac{1}{r\bar{r}} [(r + \bar{r})^2 + (z + \bar{z})^2],$$

we find

$$\dot{R}(t) \sim \iiint_{(\Pi_+)^2} \frac{(r\bar{r})^{d-1}(z + \bar{z}) \omega \bar{\omega}}{[(r - \bar{r})^2 + (z + \bar{z})^2][(r + \bar{r})^2 + (z + \bar{z})^2]^{\frac{d}{2}}}. \quad (3.15)$$

3.3 An upper bound for the kinetic energy

Again following the strategy of [4], we prove an upper bound for the kinetic energy (1.8)

$$E = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u(x, t)|^2 dx = \frac{C_d}{2} \iint_{\Pi} [(u_r)^2 + (u_z)^2] r^{d-2} dr dz.$$

Lemma 3.5.

$$E \lesssim \iiint_{(\Pi_+)^2} \frac{z\bar{z} (r\bar{r})^{d-1} \log \left(2 + r\bar{r} [(r - \bar{r})^2 + (z - \bar{z})^2]^{-1} \right)}{[(r - \bar{r})^2 + (z + \bar{z})^2][(r + \bar{r})^2 + (z - \bar{z})^2]^{\frac{d}{2}}} \omega \bar{\omega}. \quad (3.16)$$

Proof. By (1.3), and integrating by parts using $\psi|_{r=0} = \psi|_{z=0} = 0$,

$$E = c \iint_{\Pi} [(-\partial_z \psi) u_r + (\partial_r \psi) u_z] dr dz = c \iint_{\Pi} \psi [\partial_z u_r - \partial_r u_z] dr dz = -c \iint_{\Pi} \psi \omega$$

with $c > 0$. Using (2.1) here yields,

$$E = \frac{c}{2\pi} \iiint_{\Pi^2} \mathcal{F}(S) (r\bar{r})^{\frac{d}{2}-1} \omega \bar{\omega}.$$

Using the oddness of ω and $\bar{\omega}$ in z and \bar{z} ,

$$E = \frac{c}{\pi} \iiint_{(\Pi_+)^2} J_E (r\bar{r})^{\frac{d}{2}-1} \omega \bar{\omega}, \quad J_E = \mathcal{F}(S) - \mathcal{F}(\bar{S}). \quad (3.17)$$

By changing $\theta \mapsto \pi - \theta$ for $\theta \in [\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi]$,

$$\begin{aligned} J_E &= \int_0^\pi \frac{(\sin \theta)^{d-3} \cos \theta}{[2(1 - \cos \theta) + S]^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} - \frac{(\sin \theta)^{d-3} \cos \theta}{[2(1 - \cos \theta) + \bar{S}]^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} d\theta \\ &= \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \left\{ \frac{1}{[2(1 - \cos \theta) + S]^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} - \frac{1}{[2(1 - \cos \theta) + \bar{S}]^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{[2(1 + \cos \theta) + S]^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} + \frac{1}{[2(1 + \cos \theta) + \bar{S}]^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} \right\} (\sin \theta)^{d-3} \cos \theta d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

By calculus Lemma 4.1, and $\bar{S} - S = 4\frac{z\bar{z}}{r\bar{r}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} J_E &\sim \frac{z\bar{z}}{r\bar{r}} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{(\sin \theta)^{d-3} \cos^2 \theta d\theta}{[2(1 - \cos \theta) + S]^{\frac{d}{2}-1} [2(1 - \cos \theta) + \bar{S}] [2(1 + \cos \theta) + S]} \\ &\sim \frac{z\bar{z}}{r\bar{r}(1+S)} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{(\sin \theta)^{d-3} \cos^2 \theta d\theta}{[2(1 - \cos \theta) + S]^{\frac{d}{2}-1} [2(1 - \cos \theta) + \bar{S}]}. \end{aligned}$$

From here we have an upper bound

$$J_E \lesssim \frac{z\bar{z}}{r\bar{r}\bar{S}(1+S)} J_1(S), \quad J_1(s) = \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{(\sin \theta)^{d-3} \cos^2 \theta d\theta}{[2(1 - \cos \theta) + s]^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}. \quad (3.18)$$

We have

$$s \gtrsim 1 \implies J_1(s) \lesssim \frac{1}{s^{\frac{d}{2}-1}},$$

while

$$\begin{aligned} s \ll 1 \implies J_1(s) &\sim \int_0^{\sqrt{s}} \frac{\theta^{d-3}}{s^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} d\theta + \int_{\sqrt{s}}^{\frac{\pi}{4}} \frac{\theta^{d-3}}{(\theta^2)^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} d\theta + \int_{\frac{\pi}{4}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \cos^2 \theta d\theta \\ &\sim 1 + \log \frac{1}{s} + 1 \sim \log\left(2 + \frac{1}{s}\right), \end{aligned}$$

so

$$J_1 \lesssim \frac{1}{(1+S)^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} \log\left(2 + \frac{1}{S}\right),$$

and returning to (3.18),

$$J_E \lesssim \frac{(z\bar{z}) \log(2 + S^{-1})}{(r\bar{r})\bar{S}(1+S)^{\frac{d}{2}}}.$$

Using this in (3.17), noting

$$(r\bar{r})\bar{S} = (r - \bar{r})^2 + (z + \bar{z})^2, \quad 1 + S \sim \frac{(r + \bar{r})^2 + (z - \bar{z})^2}{r\bar{r}},$$

yields (3.16). \square

3.4 Lower bounds for the radial moment and the proof of Theorem 1.4

For any $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$, split

$$(\Pi_+)^2 = \Sigma_\epsilon \cup \Sigma_\epsilon^c,$$

where

$$\Sigma_\epsilon = \{(r, z, \bar{r}, \bar{z}) \in (\Pi_+)^2 \mid (r + \bar{r})^2 + (z - \bar{z})^2 \leq \epsilon^2 [(r + \bar{r})^2 + (z + \bar{z})^2]\}. \quad (3.19)$$

Then from (1.8) and (3.16), we have

$$1 \sim_{\omega^0} E \lesssim E_\epsilon(t) + E_\epsilon^c(t), \quad E_\epsilon = \iiint_{\Sigma_\epsilon} e \omega \bar{\omega}, \quad E_\epsilon^c = \iiint_{\Sigma_\epsilon^c} e \omega \bar{\omega},$$

where

$$e = \frac{z\bar{z} (r\bar{r})^{d-1} \log(2 + S^{-1})}{[(r - \bar{r})^2 + (z + \bar{z})^2] [(r + \bar{r})^2 + (z - \bar{z})^2]^{\frac{d}{2}}}.$$

3.4.1 Estimate in Σ_ϵ

Since

$$(r - \bar{r})^2 + (z + \bar{z})^2 \geq (z + \bar{z})^2 \gtrsim z\bar{z}, \quad (r + \bar{r})^2 + (z - \bar{z})^2 \geq (r + \bar{r})^2 \gtrsim r\bar{r},$$

we have

$$e \lesssim (r\bar{r})^{\frac{d}{2}-1} \log(2 + S^{-1}).$$

For $(r, z, \bar{r}, \bar{z}) \in \Sigma_\epsilon$,

$$z \sim \bar{z} \quad \text{and} \quad r + \bar{r} + |z - \bar{z}| \lesssim \epsilon z,$$

so for any $0 \leq \mu \leq \frac{d}{2} - 1$,

$$e \lesssim (r\bar{r})^\mu (\epsilon^2 z\bar{z})^{\frac{d}{2}-1-\mu} \log(2 + S^{-1}). \quad (3.20)$$

Fix $0 < \delta \ll 1$, and take

$$\mu = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } d = 3 \\ \frac{d-1}{d-2}(\frac{d}{2} - 2 + \delta) = \frac{d}{2} - 1 - \frac{d-2(d-1)\delta}{2(d-2)} & \text{if } d \geq 4 \end{cases}. \quad (3.21)$$

Using (3.20), the Hölder inequality with measure $\omega\bar{\omega}$ (recalling (2.6)), noting that

$$\frac{\mu}{d-1} + \frac{d}{2} - 1 - \mu + \delta \leq 1$$

(with equality when $d \geq 4$), and (3.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned} E_\epsilon &\lesssim \epsilon^{2(\frac{d}{2}-1-\mu)} \left(\int_{\Pi_+^2} (r\bar{r})^{d-1} \omega\bar{\omega} \right)^{\frac{\mu}{d-1}} \left(\int_{\Pi_+^2} z\bar{z} \omega\bar{\omega} \right)^{\frac{d}{2}-1-\mu} \|\log(2 + S^{-1})\|_{L^{\frac{1}{\delta}}(\omega\bar{\omega})} \\ &\lesssim \epsilon^a R^{\frac{2\mu}{d-1}} \|\log(2 + S^{-1})\|_{L^{\frac{1}{\delta}}(\omega\bar{\omega})}, \quad a = \begin{cases} 1 & d = 3 \\ \frac{d-2(d-1)\delta}{d-2} & d \geq 4 \end{cases}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

To estimate the log factor, we have:

Lemma 3.6. For $1 \leq p < \infty$,

$$\|\log(2 + S^{-1})\|_{L^p(\omega\bar{\omega})} \lesssim_{p, \omega^0} \log(2 + R).$$

Proof. First, by (2.6),

$$\int_{S \gtrsim 1} \log^p(2 + S^{-1}) \omega\bar{\omega} \lesssim \int \omega\bar{\omega} \lesssim_{\omega^0} 1.$$

Next, where $S \ll 1$, we have

$$\bar{r} \sim r, \quad (r - \bar{r})^2 + (z - \bar{z})^2 \ll r^2, \quad \log(2 + S^{-1}) \lesssim \log\left(\frac{r^2}{(r - \bar{r})^2 + (z - \bar{z})^2}\right).$$

Divide the region $S \ll 1$ to two subregions depending on the sizes of r^2 and $\frac{1}{(r-\bar{r})^2+(z-\bar{z})^2}$. Using Jensen's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{S \ll 1, r^2 \geq \frac{1}{(r-\bar{r})^2+(z-\bar{z})^2}} \log^p(2+S^{-1})\omega\bar{\omega} &\lesssim \int_{(\Pi_+)^2, r \geq 2} \log^p(r^2) \omega\bar{\omega} \lesssim_{\omega^0} \int_{\Pi_+} \log^p(2+r^{d-1}) \omega \\
&\leq c \int_{\Pi_+} \log^p(2+r^{d-1}) \frac{\omega}{c}, \quad c = \int_{\Pi_+} \omega \\
&\leq c \log^p \int_{\Pi_+} (2+r^{d-1}) \frac{\omega}{c} \lesssim_{\omega^0} \log^p(2+R).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, by (1.7) and (1.13), we have

$$\frac{\omega(\bar{r}, \bar{z}, t)}{\bar{r}^{d-2}} \leq \left\| \frac{\omega^0}{r^{d-2}} \right\|_{L^\infty} \implies \omega(\bar{r}, \bar{z}, t) \lesssim_{\omega^0} \bar{r}^{d-2} \lesssim r^{d-2}.$$

So for $0 < \rho \leq \rho_0 = 0.01$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{S \ll 1, r^2 \leq \frac{1}{(r-\bar{r})^2+(z-\bar{z})^2}} \log^p(2+S^{-1}) \omega\bar{\omega} \\
&\lesssim \int_{(\Pi_+)^2, (r-\bar{r})^2+(z-\bar{z})^2 \leq \rho^2} \log^p \left(\frac{1}{(r-\bar{r})^2+(z-\bar{z})^2} \right) \omega\bar{\omega} + \log^p \left(\frac{1}{\rho^2} \right) \int_{(\Pi_+)^2} \omega\bar{\omega} \\
&\lesssim_{\omega^0} \iint_{\Pi_+} r^{d-2} \omega \iint_{(r-\bar{r})^2+(z-\bar{z})^2 \leq \rho^2} \log^p \left(\frac{1}{(r-\bar{r})^2+(z-\bar{z})^2} \right) d\bar{r}d\bar{z} + \log^p \left(\frac{1}{\rho^2} \right) \\
&\lesssim_p \rho^2 \log^p \left(\frac{1}{\rho^2} \right) \iint_{\Pi_+} r^{d-2} \omega + \log^p \left(\frac{1}{\rho^2} \right) \lesssim_{\omega^0} \left(\rho^2 R^{\frac{d-2}{d-1}} + 1 \right) \log^p \left(\frac{1}{\rho^2} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

where we used Hölder and (2.6) to get $\int_{\Pi_+} r^{d-2} \omega \lesssim_{\omega^0} R^{\frac{d-2}{d-1}}$. Choose $\rho = \min(\rho_0, R^{-\frac{d-2}{2(d-1)}})$, and add together the three contributions above to finish the proof. \square

Return now to (3.22) and use Lemma 3.6:

$$E_\epsilon \lesssim_{\delta, \omega^0} \epsilon^a R^{\frac{2\mu}{d-1}} \log(2+R).$$

So we may choose $\epsilon = \epsilon(t)$ small enough so that

$$\epsilon^a \sim_{\delta, \omega^0} \frac{1}{R^{\frac{2\mu}{d-1}} \log(2+R)} \implies E_\epsilon(t) \leq \frac{1}{2} E \implies E \lesssim E_\epsilon^c(t). \quad (3.23)$$

3.4.2 Estimates in Σ_ϵ^c , $d = 3$

In Σ_ϵ^c , by (3.19),

$$\epsilon^d e \lesssim \frac{z\bar{z}(r\bar{r})^{d-1} \log(2+S^{-1})}{[(r-\bar{r})^2+(z+\bar{z})^2][(r+\bar{r})^2+(z+\bar{z})^2]^{\frac{d}{2}}}. \quad (3.24)$$

Now specialize to $d = 3$ (we treat $d \geq 4$ in the next subsection). Denote the integrand of the upper bound of \dot{R} in (3.15) by

$$K = \frac{(z + \bar{z})(r\bar{r})^2}{[(r - \bar{r})^2 + (z + \bar{z})^2][(r + \bar{r})^2 + (z + \bar{z})^2]^{\frac{3}{2}}}.$$

Using

$$z\bar{z} \leq (z + \bar{z})^2 \leq (r - \bar{r})^2 + (z + \bar{z})^2 \quad \text{and} \quad z\bar{z} + r\bar{r} \lesssim (z + \bar{z})^2 + (r + \bar{r})^2$$

in (3.24), we find, for any $0 < \nu < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon^3 e &\lesssim (z\bar{z})^{\frac{\nu}{2}} \left[\frac{(r\bar{r})^2}{[(z + \bar{z})^2 + (r + \bar{r})^2]^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right]^{1-\nu} K^\nu \log(2 + S^{-1}) \\ &\lesssim (r\bar{r})^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\nu}{2} + m} (z\bar{z})^{\frac{\nu}{2} - m} K^\nu \log(2 + S^{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

for any

$$0 \leq m \leq \frac{3}{2}(1 - \nu), \quad m < \frac{\nu}{2} \quad (3.25)$$

(the last condition ensures a positive exponent). Then by Hölder and (3.15),

$$\epsilon^3 E_\epsilon^c \lesssim \left(\int_{\Pi_+^2} (r\bar{r})^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\nu}{2} + m} \omega\bar{\omega} \right)^q \left(\int_{\Pi_+^2} (z\bar{z})^{\frac{\nu}{2} - m} \omega\bar{\omega} \right)^p (\dot{R})^\nu \|\log(2 + S^{-1})\|_{L^{\frac{1}{\delta}}(\omega\bar{\omega})}$$

where $q + p + \nu + \delta = 1$. Now we make specific exponent choices:

$$q = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\nu}{2} + m \right), \quad p = \frac{\nu}{2} - m, \quad m = \frac{5}{2}\nu - \frac{3}{2} + 2\delta, \quad (3.26)$$

yielding

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon^3 E_\epsilon^c &\lesssim \left(\int_{\Pi_+^2} (r\bar{r})^2 \omega\bar{\omega} \right)^q \left(\int_{\Pi_+^2} (z\bar{z}) \omega\bar{\omega} \right)^p (\dot{R})^\nu \|\log(2 + S^{-1})\|_{L^{\frac{1}{\delta}}(\omega\bar{\omega})} \\ &\lesssim_{\delta, \omega^0} R^{2q} Z^{2p} (\dot{R})^\nu \log(2 + R) \lesssim_{\omega^0} R^{2q} (\dot{R})^\nu \log(2 + R) \end{aligned}$$

using Lemma 3.6, and (3.9). Then from (1.8) and (3.23),

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\lesssim_{\omega^0} E \lesssim E_\epsilon^c \lesssim_{\delta, \omega^0} \epsilon^{-3} R^{2q} (\dot{R})^\nu \log(2 + R) \\ &\lesssim_{\delta, \omega^0} R^{2q} \log^4(2 + R) (\dot{R})^\nu = \left[R^{\frac{2q}{\nu}} \log^{\frac{4}{\nu}}(2 + R) \dot{R} \right]^\nu. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that for any $\eta > \frac{2q}{\nu}$,

$$1 \lesssim_{\eta, \omega^0} R^\eta \dot{R} \sim \frac{d}{dt} (R^{\eta+1}).$$

Integrating this differential inequality yields

$$R(t) \gtrsim_{b, \omega^0} (1 + t)^b, \quad \text{for any } b^{-1} > \frac{2q}{\nu} + 1.$$

To minimize $\frac{2q}{\nu} = \frac{1+2m}{2\nu} - \frac{1}{2}$, subject to (3.25) and (3.26), we choose

$$\nu = \frac{3}{5}, \quad 0 < m = 2\delta \ll 1, \quad q = \frac{1}{10} + \delta, \quad p = \frac{3}{10} - 2\delta, \quad \frac{2q}{\nu} + 1 = \frac{4}{3} + 2\delta.$$

By taking $\delta > 0$ arbitrarily small, we get $R(t) \gtrsim_{b, \omega^0} (1 + t)^b$ for any $b^{-1} > \frac{4}{3}$. which completes the proof of the $d = 3$ case of Theorem 1.4.

3.4.3 Estimates in Σ_ϵ^c , $d \geq 4$

Now assume $d \geq 4$. Using

$$z\bar{z} \leq (z + \bar{z})^2 \leq (r - \bar{r})^2 + (z + \bar{z})^2 \quad \text{and} \quad r\bar{r}, (z + \bar{z})^2 \leq (z + \bar{z})^2 + (r + \bar{r})^2$$

in (3.24), we find, for any $0 \leq \nu \leq \frac{d}{d+1}$,

$$\epsilon^d e \lesssim (r\bar{r})^{\frac{1}{2}(d-2-(d-3)\nu)} \left[\frac{(z + \bar{z})(r\bar{r})^{(d-1)}}{[(r - \bar{r})^2 + (z + \bar{z})^2][(r + \bar{r})^2 + (z + \bar{z})^2]^{\frac{d}{2}}} \right]^\nu \log(2 + S^{-1})$$

We choose

$$\nu = \frac{d - 2(d-1)\delta}{d+1} \quad \text{and} \quad q = 1 - \nu - \delta = \frac{1 + (d-3)\delta}{d+1},$$

so that by Hölder's inequality,

$$\epsilon^d E_\epsilon^c \lesssim \left(\int_{\Pi_+^2} (r\bar{r})^{d-1} \omega \bar{\omega} \right)^q \left(\int_{\Pi_+^2} \frac{(z + \bar{z})(r\bar{r})^{(d-1)} \omega \bar{\omega}}{[(r - \bar{r})^2 + (z + \bar{z})^2][(r + \bar{r})^2 + (z + \bar{z})^2]^{\frac{d}{2}}} \right)^\nu \cdot \|\log(2 + S^{-1})\|_{L^{\frac{1}{\delta}}(\omega \bar{\omega})},$$

and then by (3.15) and Lemma 3.6

$$E_\epsilon^c \lesssim_\delta \epsilon^{-d} R^{\frac{2+2(d-3)\delta}{d+1}} (\dot{R})^\nu \log(2 + R) \lesssim \left[\epsilon^{-\frac{d}{\nu}} R^\gamma \log^{\frac{1}{\nu}}(2 + R) \dot{R} \right]^\nu$$

with

$$\gamma = \frac{2 + 2(d-3)\delta}{d - 2(d-1)\delta} = \frac{2}{d} + O(\delta).$$

Then from (1.8) and (3.23),

$$1 \lesssim_{\omega^0} E_\epsilon^{\frac{1}{\nu}} \lesssim (E_\epsilon^c)^{\frac{1}{\nu}} \lesssim_\delta \epsilon^{-\frac{d}{\nu}} R^\gamma \log^{\frac{1}{\nu}}(2 + R) \dot{R} \lesssim_{\delta, \omega^0} R^\eta \log^{\frac{a+d}{a\nu}}(2 + R) \dot{R}$$

with

$$\eta = \gamma + \frac{2d\mu}{(d-1)a\nu} = \frac{2 + (d-4)(d+1)}{d} + O(\delta).$$

It follows that

$$\text{for any } \tilde{\eta} > \frac{2 + (d-4)(d+1)}{d}, \quad 1 \lesssim_{\tilde{\eta}, \omega^0} R^{\tilde{\eta}} \dot{R} \sim \frac{d}{dt} (R^{\tilde{\eta}+1}).$$

Integrating this differential inequality yields

$$R(t) \gtrsim_{b, \omega^0} (1+t)^b, \quad \text{for any } b < \frac{d}{d^2 - 2d - 2},$$

which completes the proof of the $d \geq 4$ cases of Theorem 1.4. \square

4 Appendix: a calculus lemma

Lemma 4.1. *Let $f(x) = x^{-\alpha}$, $\alpha > 0$. For $x, y, z > 0$, we have*

$$f(x) - f(x + y) \sim f(x) \frac{y}{x + y}, \quad (4.1)$$

$$f(x) - f(x + y) - f(x + z) + f(x + y + z) \sim f(x) \frac{y}{x + y} \frac{z}{x + z}. \quad (4.2)$$

Proof. Fix $L > 0$ such that $f(x + y) < \frac{1}{4}f(x)$ if $y > Lx$, e.g., $L = 4^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} - 1$. If $y > Lx$, then

$$f(x) > f(x) - f(x + y) > \frac{3}{4}f(x)$$

while $\frac{y}{x+y} \sim 1$. If $x < y < Lx$, then for some $\theta \in [0, 1]$,

$$f(x) - f(x + y) = -f'(x + \theta y)y \sim f(x) \frac{y}{x + y}.$$

The above shows (4.1). For (4.2), denote its left side as $g(x, y, z)$. If $x < y < Lx$, then for some $\theta \in [0, 1]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(x, y, z) &= g(x, y, z) - g(x, 0, z) = y \partial_y g(x, \theta y, z) \\ &= y[-f'(x + \theta y) + f'(x + \theta y + z)]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $f'(x) = -\alpha x^{-\alpha-1}$ has a similar form as $f(x)$, by (4.1),

$$g(x, y, z) \sim y|f'(x + \theta y)| \frac{z}{x + \theta y + z} \sim y \frac{f(x)}{x + y} \frac{z}{x + z}$$

If $x < z < Lx$, we have the same estimate by symmetry. Finally, if both $y, z > Lx$, then

$$f(x) + \frac{1}{4}f(x) > g(x, y, z) \geq f(x) - \frac{1}{4}f(x) - \frac{1}{4}f(x)$$

while $\frac{y}{x+y} \frac{z}{x+z} \sim 1$. The above shows (4.2). \square

Acknowledgements

The authors were partially supported by NSERC under the grants RGPIN-2018-03847 and RGPIN-2018-04137. EM was also supported by the Pacific Institute for the Mathematical Sciences as a PIMS postdoctoral fellow.

References

- [1] Chiun-Chuan Chen, Robert M. Strain, Horng-Tzer Yau, and Tai-Peng Tsai, *Lower bound on the blow-up rate of the axisymmetric Navier-Stokes equations*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **9** (2008), Art. ID rnn016, 31. MR2429247
- [2] Jiajie Chen and Thomas Hou, *Stable nearly self-similar blowup of the 2d boussinesq and 3d euler equations with smooth data* (2022). arXiv:2210.07191.

- [3] M. Cheng, J. Lou, and T.T. Lim, *Numerical simulation of head-on collision of two coaxial vortex rings*, Fluid Dyn. Res. **50** (2018), no. 24, 065513.
- [4] Kyudong Choi and In-Jee Jeong, *On vortex stretching for anti-parallel axisymmetric flows* (2021). arXiv:2110.09079.
- [5] C. Chu, C. Wang, C Chang, R Chang, and W Chang, *Head-on collision of two coaxial vortex rings: Experiment and computation*, J. Fluid Mech. **296** (1995), 39–71.
- [6] Raphaël Danchin, *Axisymmetric incompressible flows with bounded vorticity*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **62** (2007), no. 3(375), 73–94. MR2355419
- [7] ———, *On perfect fluids with bounded vorticity*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **345** (2007), no. 7, 391–394. MR2361504
- [8] Tarek Elgindi, *Finite-time singularity formation for $C^{1,\alpha}$ solutions to the incompressible Euler equations on \mathbb{R}^3* , Ann. of Math. (2) **194** (2021), no. 3, 647–727. MR4334974
- [9] Hao Feng and Vladimír Šverák, *On the Cauchy problem for axi-symmetric vortex rings*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **215** (2015), no. 1, 89–123. MR3296145
- [10] Hui Guan, Zhi-Jun Wei, Elizabeth Rumenova Rasolkova, and Chui-Jie Wu, *Numerical simulations of two coaxial vortex rings head-on collision*, Adv. Appl. Math. Mech **8** (2016), no. 4, 616–647.
- [11] Stephen Gustafson, Evan Miller, and Tai-Peng Tsai, *Regularity of axisymmetric, swirl-free Euler flows in higher dimensions*, preprint (2023).
- [12] O. A. Ladyženskaya, *Unique global solvability of the three-dimensional Cauchy problem for the Navier-Stokes equations in the presence of axial symmetry*, Zap. Naučn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI) **7** (1968), 155–177. MR0241833
- [13] T.T. Lim and T.B. Nickels, *Instability and reconnection in the head-on collision of two vortex rings*, Nature **357** (1992), 225–227.
- [14] Y. Oshima, *Head-on collision of two vortex rings*, J. Phys. Soc. Japan **44** (1978), no. 44, 328–331.
- [15] X. Saint Raymond, *Remarks on axisymmetric solutions of the incompressible Euler system*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **19** (1994), no. 1-2, 321–334. MR1257007
- [16] Philippe Serfati, *Régularité stratifiée et équation d’Euler 3D à temps grand*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **318** (1994), no. 10, 925–928. MR1278153
- [17] K. Shariff and A Leonard, *Vortex rings*, Ann. Rev. Fluid Mech. **24** (1992), no. 24, 235–279.
- [18] M. R. Ukhovskii and V. I. Yudovich, *Axially symmetric flows of ideal and viscous fluids filling the whole space*, J. Appl. Math. Mech. **32** (1968), 52–61. MR0239293