

SCHOEN'S CONJECTURE FOR LIMITS OF ISOPERIMETRIC SURFACES

MICHAEL EICHMAIR AND THOMAS KOERBER

ABSTRACT. Let (M, g) be an asymptotically flat Riemannian manifold of dimension $3 \leq n \leq 7$ with non-negative scalar curvature. R. Schoen has conjectured that (M, g) is isometric to Euclidean space if it admits a non-compact area-minimizing hypersurface $\Sigma \subset M$. This has been proved by O. Chodosh and the first-named author in the case where $n = 3$. In this paper, we confirm this conjecture in the case where $3 < n \leq 7$ and Σ arises as the limit of isoperimetric surfaces. As a corollary, we obtain that large isoperimetric surfaces diverge unless (M, g) is flat. By contrast, we show that, in dimension $3 < n \leq 7$, a large part of spatial Schwarzschild is foliated by non-compact area-minimizing hypersurfaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout, we assume that (M, g) is a connected, complete Riemannian manifold.

The following conjecture of R. Schoen is related to his proof of the positive mass theorem with S.-T. Yau in [25, 26].

Conjecture 1 (Cp. [27, p. 48]). *Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold of dimension $3 \leq n \leq 7$ and asymptotically flat of rate $\tau = n - 2$ with non-negative scalar curvature. Suppose that there exists a non-compact area-minimizing boundary $\Sigma = \partial\Omega$. Then (M, g) is isometric to flat \mathbb{R}^n .*

The background on asymptotically flat manifolds, area-minimizing boundaries, and isoperimetric regions used in this paper is recalled in Appendix A and Appendix B.

Conjecture 1 has been proved in the special case where $n = 3$ by O. Chodosh and the first-named author [8, Theorem 1.6]. A natural way in which non-compact area-minimizing boundaries arise is as the limit of isoperimetric surfaces. The goal of this paper is to settle Conjecture 1 in this case.

Theorem 2. *Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold of dimension $3 < n \leq 7$ and asymptotically flat of rate $\tau > n - 3$ with non-negative scalar curvature. Suppose that there exist a non-compact area-minimizing boundary $\Sigma = \partial\Omega$ and isoperimetric regions $\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \dots \subset M$ with $\Omega_k \rightarrow \Omega$ locally smoothly. Then (M, g) is isometric to flat \mathbb{R}^n .*

Remark 3. *Note that the decay rate $\tau > n - 3$ guarantees that coordinate hyperplanes in the end of (M, g) are asymptotically flat with mass zero.*

O. Chodosh, Y. Shi, H. Yu, and the first-named author have showed that in asymptotically flat Riemannian three-manifolds with non-negative scalar curvature and positive mass, there is a unique isoperimetric region for every given sufficiently large amount of volume and that these large isoperimetric regions are close to centered coordinate balls in the chart at infinity; see [10, Theorem 1.1]. An alternative proof of this result with a different condition on the scalar curvature was

subsequently given by H. Yu; see [17, Theorem 1.6]. As a step towards the characterization of large isoperimetric regions in asymptotically flat Riemannian manifolds of dimension $3 < n \leq 7$, Corollary 4 shows that the (unique) large components of the boundaries of such regions necessarily diverge.

Corollary 4. *Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold of dimension $3 < n \leq 7$ and asymptotically flat of rate $\tau > n - 3$ with non-negative scalar curvature and positive mass. Let $K \subset M$ be a compact set that is disjoint from the boundary of M and suppose that there are isoperimetric regions $\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \dots$ in (M, g) with $|\Omega_k| \rightarrow \infty$. Then, for all k sufficiently large, either $K \subset \Omega_k$ or $K \cap \Omega_k = \emptyset$.*

Outline of our arguments. Let (M, g) be an asymptotically flat Riemannian manifold of dimension $3 \leq n \leq 7$ with non-negative scalar curvature. Suppose that $\Sigma = \partial\Omega$ is a non-compact area-minimizing boundary. In particular, for every open set $U \Subset M$ and every smooth variation $\{\Sigma(s)\}_{|s| < \epsilon}$ of $\Sigma = \Sigma(0)$ with compact support in U ,

$$\left. \frac{d}{ds} \right|_{s=0} |\Sigma(s) \cap U| = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \left. \frac{d^2}{ds^2} \right|_{s=0} |\Sigma(s) \cap U| \geq 0.$$

Equivalently, the mean curvature of Σ vanishes and the stability inequality

$$\int_{\Sigma} (|h|^2 + Ric(\nu, \nu)) f^2 d\mu \leq \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla f|^2 d\mu$$

holds for all $f \in C_c^\infty(\Sigma)$. Here, $d\mu$ is the area element, ∇ the covariant derivative, ν the outward normal, and h the second fundamental form, all with respect to Σ . Ric denotes the Ricci tensor of (M, g) . We say that Σ is stable with respect to asymptotically constant variations if, in addition,

$$(1) \quad \int_{\Sigma} (|h|^2 + Ric(\nu, \nu)) (1 + f)^2 d\mu \leq \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla f|^2 d\mu$$

for all $f \in C_c^\infty(\Sigma)$.

The proof of the positive mass theorem [26, Theorem 4.2] shows that an asymptotically flat area-minimizing boundary that has mass zero and which is stable with respect to asymptotically constant variations is isometric to flat \mathbb{R}^{n-1} and totally geodesic. Moreover, the scalar curvature of (M, g) vanishes along such a boundary; see Proposition 30. An important ingredient in the proof of Conjecture 1 in [8] in the case where $n = 3$ and specific to three dimensions is that every non-compact area-minimizing boundary is stable with respect to asymptotically constant variations; see, e.g., [25, p. 54]. Using this, O. Chodosh and the first-named author have showed that (M, g) is foliated by non-compact area-minimizing boundaries. The construction of these boundaries is based on solving Plateau problems with respect to a carefully chosen local perturbation of the metric g and inspired by the proof of a conjecture of J. Milnor due to G. Liu [20]. An adaptation of an argument by M. Anderson and L. Rodríguez [1] shows that the curvature tensor of (M, g) vanishes along each leaf of this foliation and hence on all of M .

Our next results show that the situation is markedly different in the case where $3 < n \leq 7$.

Theorem 5. *Let $3 < n \leq 7$ and (M, g) be spatial Schwarzschild of dimension n with mass $m = 2$. There exists infinitely many mutually disjoint non-compact area-minimizing hypersurfaces in (M, g) .*

The construction of the Riemannian manifold (M, g) in Theorem 6 below is based on the gluing technique developed by A. Carlotto and R. Schoen [9].

Theorem 6. *Let $3 < n \leq 7$ and $(n-2)/2 < \tau < (n-2)$. There exists a Riemannian manifold (M, g) of dimension n that is asymptotically flat of rate τ with non-negative scalar curvature and positive mass which contains infinitely many mutually disjoint non-compact area-minimizing hypersurfaces all of which are stable with respect to asymptotically constant variations.*

Remark 7. *Theorem 5 and Theorem 6 show that Conjecture 1 is not true without any further assumptions. We note that the area-minimizing hypersurfaces whose existence is asserted in Theorem 5 are not stable with respect to asymptotically constant variations; see Proposition 40. By contrast, the Riemannian manifold constructed in the proof of Theorem 6 is not asymptotic to Schwarzschild.*

Remark 8. *O. Chodosh and D. Ketover have showed in [11] that in every complete asymptotically flat Riemannian three-manifold (M, g) which does not contain closed embedded minimal surfaces, through every point, there exists a properly embedded minimal plane; see also the subsequent improvement due to L. Mazet and H. Rosenberg in [21]. Note that if the scalar curvature of (M, g) is non-negative, none of these planes are area-minimizing unless (M, g) is flat \mathbb{R}^3 .*

In the proof of [26, Theorem 4.2], R. Schoen and S.-T. Yau have showed that if (M, g) is asymptotic to Schwarzschild

$$(2) \quad g_S = \left(1 + \frac{m}{2} |x|_{\bar{g}}^{2-n}\right)^{\frac{4}{n-2}} \bar{g}$$

with negative mass $m < 0$, then (M, g) contains a non-compact area-minimizing boundary that is stable with respect to asymptotically constant variations. Here, \bar{g} is the Euclidean metric. This boundary is obtained as the limit of solutions to the Plateau problem with prudently chosen boundaries. Our starting point is the following complementary consideration. If $\Sigma = \partial\Omega$ arises as the limit of isoperimetric surfaces, then we expect Σ to be stable with respect to asymptotically constant variations.

We now describe the proof of Theorem 2. Suppose that $3 < n \leq 7$. A first difficulty not present in the case where $n = 3$ is to show that Σ is asymptotically flat with mass zero. This is complicated by the fact that Σ is not known to be stable with respect to asymptotically constant variations at this point. By contrast, this stability is an additional assumption in the work of A. Carlotto [7]. To remedy this, we prove the explicit estimate

$$(3) \quad 1 - O(r^{-\frac{\tau}{n-1}}) \leq \frac{|B_r \cap \Sigma|}{\omega_{n-1} r^{n-1}} \leq 1 + O(r^{-\tau});$$

see Lemma 16 and Lemma 17. Here, B_r , $r > 1$, is the bounded region in (M, g) whose boundary corresponds to $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x|_{\bar{g}} = r\}$ in the chart at infinity and ω_{n-1} the Euclidean area of an $(n-1)$ -dimensional unit ball. The proof of (3) is based on the monotonicity formula applied to carefully chosen, off-centered balls. We then use (3) to prove a precise asymptotic expansion for Σ ; see Proposition 9. Using that $\tau > n-3$, it follows that Σ is asymptotically flat with mass zero; see Lemma 22. We also note that these arguments work for any stable properly embedded non-compact minimal hypersurfaces with $r^{1-n} |B_r \cap \Sigma| = O(1)$ for $r > 1$.

Next, we assume that $\Sigma = \partial\Omega$ where Ω is the limit of large isoperimetric regions $\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \dots$ with $|\Omega_k| \rightarrow \infty$ and prove that Σ is stable with respect to asymptotically constant variations. To this end, we consider the second variation of area of Ω_k with respect to a suitable Euclidean translation that is

corrected to be volume-preserving. The stability with respect to asymptotically constant variations then follows by passing to the limit $k \rightarrow \infty$, using the asymptotic expansion for Σ obtained in Proposition 9, the assumption that $\tau > n - 3$, and the integration by parts formula in Lemma 63; see Proposition 28. The arguments from [26] then show that Σ is isometric to flat \mathbb{R}^{n-1} and totally geodesic; see Proposition 30.

Finally, given any point $p \in M$, we construct a new non-compact area-minimizing boundary $\Sigma_p \subset M$ that passes through p ; see Proposition 32. In view of Theorem 5 and different from the situation in [8], we need to ensure that Σ_p is again stable with respect to asymptotically constant variations. To this end, we construct suitable local perturbations of the metric g in Lemma 31 and obtain Σ_p as the limit of large isoperimetric regions with respect to these perturbations. A crucial ingredient in the construction of Σ_p is a result from [8], stated here as Lemma 61; namely: Asymptotically flat Riemannian manifolds of positive mass admit isoperimetric regions of every sufficiently large volume. Although the area-minimizing boundaries obtained in our construction do not necessarily form a foliation, we show how to adapt the techniques developed in [1, 8, 20] to conclude that the curvature tensor of (M, g) vanishes along each of these boundaries. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

Outline of related results. J. Metzger and the first-named author [12] have observed that the existence of area-minimizing boundaries in asymptotically flat manifolds is related to the positioning of large isoperimetric regions. In particular, the authors show that, in asymptotically flat Riemannian three-manifolds, the existence of large isoperimetric regions that do not diverge is not compatible with positive scalar curvature; see [12, Theorem 1.5]. In subsequent work [14, Theorem 1.1], they have showed that, if (M, g) is asymptotic to Schwarzschild of dimension $n \geq 3$, large isoperimetric regions are unique and geometrically close to centered coordinate balls. This implies, in particular, that Theorem 2 holds in all dimensions if (M, g) is assumed to be asymptotic to Schwarzschild. We also note that Conjecture 1 has been proved by A. Carlotto [7, Theorem 1 and Theorem 2] in the case where $3 \leq n \leq 7$ under the additional assumptions that (M, g) is asymptotic to Schwarzschild and that Σ is stable with respect to asymptotically constant variations. In this case, Proposition 30 below yields an immediate contradiction once Σ is showed to be asymptotically flat with mass zero. Finally, we note that the method of O. Chodosh and the first-named author in [8, p. 991] has been used by C. Li to study the polyhedron rigidity conjecture using isoperimetric regions [18, 19].

Acknowledgments. The first-named author acknowledges the support of the START-Project Y963 of the Austrian Science Fund. The second-named author acknowledges the support of the Lise-Meitner-Project M3184 of the Austrian Science Fund.

2. ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF AREA-MINIMIZING SURFACES

In this section, we assume that g is a Riemannian metric on \mathbb{R}^n such that

$$|g - \bar{g}|_{\bar{g}} + |x|_{\bar{g}} |\bar{D}g|_{\bar{g}} + |x|_{\bar{g}}^2 |\bar{D}^2g|_{\bar{g}} = O(|x|_{\bar{g}}^{-\tau}),$$

where $3 \leq n \leq 7$ and $0 < \tau \leq n - 2$. Here, \bar{g} denotes the Euclidean metric. Geometric quantities are computed with respect to g unless indicated otherwise.

Let $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a non-compact two-sided properly embedded hypersurface with $\partial\Sigma = \emptyset$. We assume that $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x|_{\bar{g}} > 1\} \cap \Sigma$ is a stable minimal surface and that

$$(4) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|B_r^n(0) \cap \Sigma|_{\bar{g}}}{\omega_{n-1} r^{n-1}} < \infty.$$

The goal of this section is to prove the following result.

Proposition 9. *There exist $r_0 > 2$, an integer $N \geq 1$, numbers $a_1, \dots, a_N \in \mathbb{R}$, functions $u_1, \dots, u_N \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$, and a rotation $S \in SO(n)$ such that*

$$S(\Sigma \setminus B_{r_0}^n(0)) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^N \{(y, u_i(y)) : y \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}\}.$$

Moreover, for all $0 < \varepsilon < \tau/2$ and $i = 1, \dots, N$,

$$(5) \quad |y|_{\bar{g}}^{-1} |u_i(y) - a_i| + |\bar{\nabla} u_i|_{\bar{g}} + |y|_{\bar{g}} |\bar{\nabla}^2 u_i|_{\bar{g}} = O(|y|_{\bar{g}}^{-\tau+\varepsilon}).$$

Remark 10. *In the case where g is asymptotic to the Schwarzschild metric (2), Proposition 9 has been proved by A. Carlotto in [7, Lemma 18] under the additional assumption that Σ is stable with respect to asymptotically constant variations in the sense of (1). There, a version of Corollary 20 is obtained using techniques developed by L. Simon [29, Theorem 5.7]; see [7, p. 10]. A version of estimate (14) is obtained as a consequence of the stability with respect to asymptotically constant variations; see [7, pp. 17-18]. Here, we provide a new proof of these results in [7] based on the monotonicity formula which does not require the assumption of stability with respect to asymptotically constant variations.*

We first reduce the proof of Proposition 9 to the case where Σ has only one end.

Lemma 11. *There exists $r_0 > 1$ and an integer $N \geq 1$ such that $\Sigma \setminus B_{r_0}^n(0)$ has N connected components $\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_N \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ each satisfying*

$$(6) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|B_r^n(0) \cap \Sigma_i|_{\bar{g}}}{\omega_{n-1} r^{n-1}} = 1.$$

Proof. By the work of R. Schoen and L. Simon [24, Theorem 3], $h = O(|x|_{\bar{g}}^{-1})$ where h is the second fundamental form of Σ with respect to a choice of unit normal. In conjunction with (4), the assumption that Σ is non-compact, and the classification of stable minimal cones in \mathbb{R}^n by J. Simons [31, §6], it follows that for each sequence $\{r_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ of numbers $r_k > 0$ with $r_k \rightarrow \infty$, $r_k^{-1} \Sigma$ converges to a hyperplane locally smoothly in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ possibly with multiplicity. In particular, Σ intersects $S_r^{n-1}(0)$ transversally for all $r > 1$ sufficiently large. It follows that there is $r_0 > 1$ such that the number of components of $\Sigma \setminus B_r^n(0)$ is finite and constant for all $r > r_0$. Let Σ_1 be a component of $\Sigma \setminus B_{r_0}^n(0)$. Since $\Sigma_1 \setminus B_r^n(0)$ is connected for all $r > r_0$, $r_k^{-1} \Sigma_1$ converges to a hyperplane locally smoothly in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ with multiplicity one for each sequence $\{r_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ of numbers $r_k > 0$ with $r_k \rightarrow \infty$. In conjunction with (4), we obtain (6). \square

In view of Lemma 11, we may and will assume that

$$(7) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|B_r^n(0) \cap \Sigma|_{\bar{g}}}{\omega_{n-1} r^{n-1}} = 1$$

in the proof of Proposition 9. We also record the following byproduct of the proof of Lemma 11.

Lemma 12. *Let $\{r_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of numbers $r_k > 1$ with $r_k \rightarrow \infty$. Then, passing to a subsequence, $r_k^{-1} \Sigma$ converges locally smoothly in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ with multiplicity one to a hyperplane through the origin.*

In Lemma 13 and Lemma 15, we collect basic properties of Σ .

Lemma 13. *There holds, as $|x|_{\bar{g}} \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$|x|_{\bar{g}} |\bar{H}| + |x|_{\bar{g}}^2 |\bar{\nabla} \bar{H}|_{\bar{g}} = O(|x|_{\bar{g}}^{-\tau})$$

and

$$|x|_{\bar{g}} |\bar{h}|_{\bar{g}} + |x|_{\bar{g}}^2 |\bar{\nabla} \bar{h}|_{\bar{g}} = o(1).$$

Proof. This follows from Lemma 64 using Lemma 12 and that $H = 0$ on $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x|_{\bar{g}} > 1\} \cap \Sigma$. \square

To proceed, we recall the monotonicity formula from [28].

Lemma 14 ([28, 17.4]). *Let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $0 < s < t$. There holds*

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} t^{1-n} |B_t^n(x_0) \cap \Sigma|_{\bar{g}} &= s^{1-n} |B_s^n(x_0) \cap \Sigma|_{\bar{g}} + \int_{(B_t^n(x_0) \setminus B_s^n(x_0)) \cap \Sigma} |x - x_0|_{\bar{g}}^{1+n} \bar{g}(x - x_0, \bar{\nu})^2 d\bar{\mu} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{n-1} \int_{(B_t^n(x_0) \setminus B_s^n(x_0)) \cap \Sigma} (t^{1-n} - |x - x_0|_{\bar{g}}^{1-n}) \bar{g}(x - x_0, \bar{\nu}) \bar{H} d\bar{\mu} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{n-1} \int_{B_s^n(x_0) \cap \Sigma} (t^{1-n} - s^{1-n}) \bar{g}(x - x_0, \bar{\nu}) \bar{H} d\bar{\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 15. *There holds*

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{r > 0} \frac{|B_r^n(x) \cap \Sigma|_{\bar{g}}}{\omega_{n-1} r^{n-1}} < \infty.$$

Proof. Suppose, for a contradiction, that there are sequences $\{r_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ of numbers $r_k > 0$ and $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ of points $x_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with

$$(9) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|B_{r_k}^n(x_k) \cap \Sigma|_{\bar{g}}}{\omega_{n-1} r_k^{n-1}} = \infty.$$

Passing to a subsequence and using that Σ is properly embedded, we may assume that either

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |x_k|_{\bar{g}} = \infty \quad \text{or} \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} r_k = \infty.$$

Note that

$$(10) \quad \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|x_k|_{\bar{g}}}{r_k} \geq 3.$$

Indeed, if not, then $B_{r_k}^n(x_k) \subset B_{4r_k}^n(0)$ for a subsequence. This is not compatible with (9) and (7).

Let $t_k = |x_k|_{\bar{g}}/2$. By Lemma 13, we have

$$(11) \quad |x_k|_{\bar{g}} \bar{H} = O(|x_k|_{\bar{g}}^{-\tau})$$

on $B_{t_k}^n(x_k) \cap \Sigma$. We choose s_k with $r_k \leq s_k \leq t_k$ such that

$$(12) \quad \frac{|B_{s_k}^n(x_k) \cap \Sigma|_{\bar{g}}}{\omega_{n-1} s_k^{n-1}} = \sup_{r_k \leq r \leq t_k} \frac{|B_r^n(x_k) \cap \Sigma|_{\bar{g}}}{\omega_{n-1} r^{n-1}}.$$

Using the monotonicity formula (8) and (11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|B_{t_k}^n(x_k) \cap \Sigma|_{\bar{g}}}{\omega_{n-1} t_k^{n-1}} &\geq \frac{|B_{s_k}^n(x_k) \cap \Sigma|_{\bar{g}}}{\omega_{n-1} s_k^{n-1}} - O(|x_k|_{\bar{g}}^{-1-\tau}) \int_{(B_{t_k}^n(x_k) \setminus B_{s_k}^n(x_k)) \cap \Sigma} |x - x_k|_{\bar{g}}^{2-n} d\bar{\mu} \\ &\quad - O(|x_k|_{\bar{g}}^{-\tau}) \frac{|B_{s_k}^n(x_k) \cap \Sigma|_{\bar{g}}}{\omega_{n-1} s_k^{n-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 65 and (12), we have

$$\int_{(B_{t_k}^n(x_k) \setminus B_{s_k}^n(x_k)) \cap \Sigma} |x - x_k|_{\bar{g}}^{2-n} d\bar{\mu} = O(|x_k|_{\bar{g}}) \frac{|B_{s_k}^n(x_k) \cap \Sigma|_{\bar{g}}}{\omega_{n-1} s_k^{n-1}}.$$

In conjunction with (9) and (12), we conclude that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|B_{t_k}^n(x_k) \cap \Sigma|_{\bar{g}}}{\omega_{n-1} t_k^{n-1}} = \infty.$$

This is not compatible with (10). □

Next, we prove refined estimates on the area growth of Σ .

Lemma 16. *As $s \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\frac{|B_s^n(0) \cap \Sigma|_{\bar{g}}}{\omega_{n-1} s^{n-1}} \leq 1 + O(s^{-\tau}).$$

Proof. Using the monotonicity formula (8) and Lemma 13, we have, for every $0 < s < t$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|B_s^n(0) \cap \Sigma|_{\bar{g}}}{\omega_{n-1} s^{n-1}} &\leq \frac{|B_t^n(0) \cap \Sigma|_{\bar{g}}}{\omega_{n-1} t^{n-1}} + O(1) \int_{(B_t^n(0) \setminus B_s^n(0)) \cap \Sigma} |x|_{\bar{g}}^{1-n-\tau} d\bar{\mu} \\ &\quad + O(1) s^{1-n} \int_{B_s^n(0) \cap \Sigma} |x|_{\bar{g}}^{-\tau} d\bar{\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 65, it follows that

$$\frac{|B_s^n(0) \cap \Sigma|_{\bar{g}}}{\omega_{n-1} s^{n-1}} \leq \frac{|B_t^n(0) \cap \Sigma|_{\bar{g}}}{\omega_{n-1} t^{n-1}} + O(t^{-\tau}) + O(s^{-\tau}).$$

Letting $t \rightarrow \infty$ and using (7), the assertion follows. □

Lemma 17. *As $t \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\frac{|B_t^n(0) \cap \Sigma|_{\bar{g}}}{\omega_{n-1} t^{n-1}} \geq 1 - O(t^{-\tau/(n-1)}).$$

Proof. For $t > 1$ large, we choose $x_t \in \Sigma$ with $|x_t|_{\bar{g}} = t^{(n-1-\tau)/(n-1)}$. We apply the monotonicity formula (8) with $x_0 = x_t$. Letting $s \rightarrow 0$, using that Σ is properly embedded and Lemma 13, we obtain

$$\frac{|B_t^n(x_t) \cap \Sigma|_{\bar{g}}}{\omega_{n-1} t^{n-1}} \geq 1 + O(1) \int_{B_t^n(x_t) \cap \Sigma} |x - x_t|_{\bar{g}}^{2-n} |x|_{\bar{g}}^{-1-\tau} d\bar{\mu}.$$

Clearly, $|x|_{\bar{g}} > |x_t|_{\bar{g}}/2$ for all $x \in B_{|x_t|_{\bar{g}}/2}^n(x_t)$. Using Lemma 65, we obtain

$$\int_{B_{|x_t|_{\bar{g}}/2}^n(x_t) \cap \Sigma} |x - x_t|_{\bar{g}}^{2-n} |x|_{\bar{g}}^{-1-\tau} d\bar{\mu} = O(|x_t|_{\bar{g}}^{-\tau}).$$

Likewise, $|x - x_t|_{\bar{g}} \geq |x_t|_{\bar{g}}/2$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{|x_t|_{\bar{g}}/2}^n(x_t)$. It follows that

$$\int_{(B_t^n(x_t) \setminus B_{|x_t|_{\bar{g}}/2}^n(x_t)) \cap \Sigma} |x - x_t|_{\bar{g}}^{2-n} |x|_{\bar{g}}^{-1-\tau} d\bar{\mu} = O(1) |x_t|_{\bar{g}}^{2-n} \int_{B_t^n(0) \cap \Sigma} |x|_{\bar{g}}^{-1-\tau} d\bar{\mu}.$$

Using Lemma 65 again, we find

$$\int_{B_t^n(0) \cap \Sigma} |x|_{\bar{g}}^{-1-\tau} d\bar{\mu} = O(t^{n-2-\tau}).$$

Since $0 < \tau \leq n - 2$,

$$-\tau \frac{n-1-\tau}{n-1} < -\frac{\tau}{n-1} = (2-n) \frac{n-1-\tau}{n-1} + (n-2-\tau).$$

We conclude that

$$\frac{|B_t^n(x_t) \cap \Sigma|_{\bar{g}}}{\omega_{n-1} t^{n-1}} \geq 1 - O(t^{-\tau/(n-1)}).$$

Note that

$$|B_t^n(x_t) \cap \Sigma|_{\bar{g}} \leq |B_{t(1+t^{-\tau/(n-1)})}^n(0) \cap \Sigma|_{\bar{g}}.$$

Using also Lemma 15,

$$\frac{|B_{t(1+t^{-\tau/(n-1)})}^n(0) \cap \Sigma|_{\bar{g}}}{\omega_{n-1} t^{n-1}} \leq \frac{|B_{t(1+t^{-\tau/(n-1)})}^n(0) \cap \Sigma|_{\bar{g}}}{\omega_{n-1} t^{n-1} (1+t^{-\tau/(n-1)})^{n-1}} + O(t^{-\tau/(n-1)}).$$

The assertion follows from these estimates. \square

Lemma 18. *As $s \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\int_{\Sigma \setminus B_s^n(0)} |x|_{\bar{g}}^{-1-n} \bar{g}(x, \bar{\nu})^2 d\bar{\mu} = O(s^{-\tau/(n-1)}).$$

Proof. We apply the monotonicity formula (8) with $x_0 = 0$. In conjunction with Lemma 16 and Lemma 17, letting $t \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma \setminus B_s^n(0)} |x|_{\bar{g}}^{-1-n} \bar{g}(x, \bar{\nu})^2 d\bar{\mu} &= O(1) \int_{\Sigma \setminus B_s^n(0)} |x|_{\bar{g}}^{1-n} \bar{g}(x, \bar{\nu}) \bar{H} d\bar{\mu} \\ &\quad + O(s^{1-n}) \int_{B_s^n(0) \cap \Sigma} \bar{g}(x, \bar{\nu}) \bar{H} d\bar{\mu} + O(s^{-\tau/(n-1)}). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 13 and Lemma 65,

$$\int_{\Sigma \setminus B_s^n(0)} |x|_{\bar{g}}^{1-n} \bar{g}(x, \bar{\nu}) \bar{H} d\bar{\mu} = O(s^{-\tau}) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{B_s^n(0) \cap \Sigma} \bar{g}(x, \bar{\nu}) \bar{H} d\bar{\mu} = O(s^{n-1-\tau}).$$

The assertion follows from these estimates. \square

Next, we show that there is only one tangent plane at infinity that can arise in the setting of Lemma 12. To this end, we apply an argument developed by B. White [32, pp. 146-147] to study the uniqueness of tangent planes at isolated singularities of area-minimizing surfaces. This argument has been adapted to study the uniqueness of tangent planes at infinity of certain minimal surfaces in \mathbb{R}^3 by P. Gallagher [15, p. 374].

Lemma 19. *Let $F : \Sigma \setminus B_1^n(0) \rightarrow S_1^{n-1}(0)$ be given by*

$$F(x) = \frac{x}{|x|_{\bar{g}}}.$$

As $s \rightarrow \infty$,

$$|F(\Sigma \setminus B_s^n(0))|_{\bar{g}} = O(s^{-\tau/(2n-2)}).$$

Proof. By the area formula,

$$|F(\Sigma \setminus B_s^n(0))|_{\bar{g}} = \int_{\Sigma \setminus B_s^n(0)} |x|_{\bar{g}}^{-n} |\bar{g}(x, \bar{\nu})| d\bar{\mu}.$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{\Sigma \setminus B_s^n(0)} |x|_{\bar{g}}^{-n} |\bar{g}(x, \bar{\nu})| d\bar{\mu} \right)^2 \\ & \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{(B_{2^{k+1}s}^n(0) \setminus B_{2^k s}^n(0)) \cap \Sigma} |x|_{\bar{g}}^{-1-n} \bar{g}(x, \bar{\nu})^2 d\bar{\mu} \int_{(B_{2^{k+1}s}^n(0) \setminus B_{2^k s}^n(0)) \cap \Sigma} |x|_{\bar{g}}^{1-n} d\bar{\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

Invoking Lemma 16 and Lemma 18, we obtain

$$\left(\int_{\Sigma \setminus B_s^n(0)} |x|_{\bar{g}}^{-n} |\bar{g}(x, \bar{\nu})| d\bar{\mu} \right)^2 \leq O(1) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (2^k s)^{-\tau/(n-1)} = O(s^{-\tau/(n-1)}).$$

□

Corollary 20. *The tangent planes in Lemma 12 all agree.*

Proof. Suppose, for a contradiction, that $\pi_1, \pi_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ are two different tangent planes at infinity that arise as in Lemma 12. Let $\lambda_2 > \lambda_1 > s > 1$ be such that $S_1^{n-1}(0) \cap \lambda_1^{-1} \Sigma$ and $S_1^{n-1}(0) \cap \lambda_2^{-1} \Sigma$ are close to $S_1^{n-1}(0) \cap \pi_1$ and $S_1^{n-1}(0) \cap \pi_2$, respectively. Note that by the intermediate value theorem, $F((B_{\lambda_2}^n(0) \setminus B_{\lambda_1}^n(0)) \cap \Sigma)$ contains at least two of the four components of $S_1^{n-1}(0) \setminus (\lambda_1^{-1} \Sigma \cup \lambda_2^{-1} \Sigma)$. Using this, it follows that

$$\liminf_{s \rightarrow \infty} |F(\Sigma \setminus B_s^n(0))|_{\bar{g}} > 0.$$

This is not compatible with Lemma 19. □

Proof of Proposition 9. Using Lemma 11, we may assume that (7) holds. Using Lemma 12 and Corollary 20, we see that, after a rotation, there are $r_0 > 1$ and $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ with

$$\Sigma \setminus B_{r_0}^n(0) \subset \{(y, u(y)) : y \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}\}.$$

Using Lemma 12, we obtain that

$$(13) \quad |y|_{\bar{g}}^{-1} |u| + |\bar{\nabla} u|_{\bar{g}} + |y|_{\bar{g}} |\bar{\nabla}^2 u|_{\bar{g}} = o(1).$$

Let $v \in C^\infty(\Sigma)$ be given by $v = \bar{g}(x, \bar{\nu})$. Using the Codazzi equation and Lemma 13, we have

$$\bar{\Delta} v + |\bar{h}|_{\bar{g}}^2 v = O(|x|_{\bar{g}}^{-1-\tau}).$$

Let $x \in \Sigma$ and $r = |x|_{\bar{g}}/4$. Using the interior L^2 -estimate [16, Theorem 9.11] and the Sobolev embedding theorem, recalling that $3 \leq n \leq 7$, we have

$$r^{-1} \left(r^{1-n} \int_{B_{2r}^n(x) \cap \Sigma} v^6 d\bar{\mu} \right)^{\frac{1}{6}} \leq O(r^{-1}) \left(r^{1-n} \int_{B_{3r}^n(x) \cap \Sigma} v^2 d\bar{\mu} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + O(r^{-\tau}).$$

By Lemma 18,

$$r^{3-n} \int_{B_{3r}^n(x) \cap \Sigma} v^2 d\bar{\mu} = O(r^{-\tau/(n-1)}).$$

It follows that

$$r^{-1} \left(r^{1-n} \int_{B_{2r}^n(x) \cap \Sigma} v^6 d\bar{\mu} \right)^{\frac{1}{6}} = O(r^{-\tau/(2n-2)}).$$

Using the interior L^6 -estimate [16, Theorem 9.11] and the Sobolev embedding theorem, we have

$$r^{-1} \left(r^{1-n} \int_{B_r^n(x) \cap \Sigma} v^7 d\bar{\mu} \right)^{\frac{1}{7}} = O(r^{-\tau/(2n-2)}).$$

Finally, using the interior L^7 -estimate [16, Theorem 9.11] and the Sobolev embedding theorem, we conclude that

$$(\bar{\nabla} v)(x) = O(|x|_{\bar{g}}^{-\tau/(2n-2)}).$$

Note that $\bar{\nabla} v = x^{\bar{\top}} \lrcorner \bar{h}$. By (13), $|x|_{\bar{g}} = O(|x^{\bar{\top}}|_{\bar{g}})$. In conjunction with Lemma 13, we conclude that

$$|x|_{\bar{g}} \bar{h} = O(|x|_{\bar{g}}^{-\tau/(2n-2)}).$$

Using (13), we obtain the improved estimate

$$(14) \quad |y|_{\bar{g}} |\bar{\nabla}^2 u|_{\bar{g}} = O(|y|_{\bar{g}}^{-\tau/(2n-2)}).$$

Let $\alpha > 0$ and suppose that $|y|_{\bar{g}} |\bar{\nabla}^2 u|_{\bar{g}} = O(|y|_{\bar{g}}^{-\alpha})$. As in [7, p. 16], accounting for the weaker decay assumptions on the Riemannian metric g here, we rewrite the minimal surface equation as

$$|y|_{\bar{g}} \bar{\Delta} u = O(|y|_{\bar{g}} |\bar{\nabla} u|_{\bar{g}}^2 |\bar{\nabla}^2 u|_{\bar{g}}) + O(|y|_{\bar{g}}^{-\tau}).$$

In particular,

$$|y|_{\bar{g}} |\bar{\Delta} u| = O(|y|_{\bar{g}}^{\max\{-3\alpha, -\tau\}}).$$

Proceeding as in [7, p. 16], we see that, given $\varepsilon > 0$, there are $u_1, u_2 \in C^\infty(\Sigma)$ such that $u = u_1 + u_2$ where u_2 satisfies

$$|y|_{\bar{g}}^{-1} |u_2| + |\bar{\nabla} u_2|_{\bar{g}} + |y|_{\bar{g}} |\bar{\nabla}^2 u_2|_{\bar{g}} = O(|y|_{\bar{g}}^{\max\{-3\alpha, -\tau\} + \varepsilon}).$$

and u_1 is harmonic with $u_1 - a = O(|y|_{\bar{g}}^{3-n})$ for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$ if $3 < n \leq 7$ and $u_1 = O(\log |y|_{\bar{g}})$ if $n = 3$, respectively. Iterating this argument, we obtain (5) from (14). \square

Corollary 21. *Suppose that $n - 3 < \tau \leq n - 2$. There holds*

$$\int_{\Sigma} |h|^2 d\mu < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\Sigma} |\text{Ric}(\nu, \nu)| d\mu < \infty.$$

Proof. This follows from Proposition 9 and Lemma 64. \square

For the next lemma, recall the definition (43) of the mass of an asymptotically flat manifold.




FIGURE 1. The hypersurfaces $\Sigma_k = \partial\Omega_k$ converge locally uniformly to $\Sigma = \partial\Omega$. Both the inward-pointing normal of Σ and the re-scaled barycenters of Ω_k asymptote to the vector e_n .

Lemma 22. *Suppose that $n-3 < \tau \leq n-2$. Each end of the Riemannian $(n-1)$ -manifold $(\Sigma, g|_\Sigma)$ is asymptotically flat with mass zero.*

Proof. Fix $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ and let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be the chart given by $\varphi(y) = (y, u_i(y))$ where $u_i \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ is as in Proposition 9. Note that

$$(\varphi^*g)(e_a, e_b) = \delta_{ab} + O(|y|^{-\tau})$$

for all $a, b \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$. Since $\tau > n-3 = (n-1) - 2$, the assertion follows. \square

3. STABILITY WITH RESPECT TO ASYMPTOTICALLY CONSTANT VARIATIONS

In this section, we assume that (M, g) is a Riemannian manifold of dimension $3 \leq n \leq 7$ which is asymptotically flat of rate τ where

$$(15) \quad n-3 < \tau \leq n-2.$$

Let $\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \dots \subset M$ be isoperimetric regions with $|\Omega_k| \rightarrow \infty$ such that Ω_k converges locally smoothly to a region $\Omega \subset M$ whose boundary $\Sigma = \partial\Omega$ is non-compact and area-minimizing.

Recall from Proposition 58 that Σ has one non-compact component and that the other components of Σ are contained in the boundary of M . Moreover, after a rotation and passing to a subsequence, there holds $\lambda(\partial\Omega_k)^{-1}(\Omega_k \setminus B_1) \rightarrow B_1^n(e_n)$ locally smoothly in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. By Proposition 9, Σ is asymptotic to a coordinate hyperplane. Note that the normal of this plane pointing towards Ω is necessarily e_n .

The goal of this section is to show that Σ is stable with respect to asymptotically constant variations, i.e., that

$$\int_{\Sigma} (|h|^2 + Ric(\nu, \nu)) (1 + f)^2 d\mu \leq \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla f|^2 d\mu$$

for all $f \in C_c^\infty(\Sigma)$. To this end, we will study the second variation of area of $\Sigma_k = \partial\Omega_k$ with respect to a Euclidean translation; see Figure 1

Let $\chi \in C^\infty(M, TM)$ be a vector field with $\chi = e_n$ in $M \setminus B_2$ and $\chi = 0$ in B_1 . Let $u_k, v_k \in C^\infty(\Sigma_k)$ be the functions

$$u_k = g(\chi, \nu) \quad \text{and} \quad v_k = -h(\chi^\top, \chi^\top).$$

We define the area radius of Σ_k to be

$$\lambda(\Sigma_k) = \left(\frac{|\Sigma_k|}{n\omega_n} \right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}.$$

Recall from (47) that $\lambda(\Sigma_k)H(\Sigma_k) = (n-1) + o(1)$.

Lemma 23. *As $k \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\lambda(\Sigma_k)^{1-n} \int_{\Sigma_k} u_k \, d\mu = O(\lambda(\Sigma_k)^{-\tau}).$$

Proof. Since Σ_k converges to Σ locally smoothly, there are closed hypersurfaces $\hat{\Sigma}_1, \hat{\Sigma}_2, \dots$ with

- $B_1^n(0) \cap \hat{\Sigma}_k = \emptyset$,
- $\hat{\Sigma}_k \setminus B_2^n(0) = \Sigma_k \setminus B_2$,
- $|B_2^n(0) \cap \hat{\Sigma}_k|_{\bar{g}} = O(1)$, and
- $h(\hat{\Sigma}_k) = O(1)$.

Note that

$$\int_{\Sigma_k} g(\chi, \nu) \, d\mu = \int_{\hat{\Sigma}_k} g(\chi, \nu) \, d\mu - \int_{B_2 \cap \hat{\Sigma}_k} g(\chi, \nu) \, d\mu + \int_{B_2 \cap \Sigma_k} g(\chi, \nu) \, d\mu$$

Clearly,

$$\int_{B_2 \cap \hat{\Sigma}_k} g(\chi, \nu) \, d\mu = O(1).$$

By Lemma 46, $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} |B_2 \cap \Sigma_k| < \infty$, so that

$$\int_{B_2 \cap \Sigma_k} g(\chi, \nu) \, d\mu = O(1).$$

Using Lemma 64, we see that

$$\int_{\hat{\Sigma}_k} g(\chi, \nu) \, d\mu = \int_{\hat{\Sigma}_k} \bar{g}(e_n, \nu) \, d\bar{\mu} + O(1) \int_{\hat{\Sigma}_k} |x|_{\bar{g}}^{-\tau} \, d\bar{\mu}.$$

By the divergence theorem,

$$\int_{\hat{\Sigma}_k} \bar{g}(e_n, \nu) \, d\bar{\mu} = 0.$$

Finally, by Lemma 46,

$$\int_{\hat{\Sigma}_k} |x|_{\bar{g}}^{-\tau} \, d\bar{\mu} = O(\lambda(\Sigma_k)^{n-1-\tau}).$$

The assertion follows from these estimates. □

Lemma 24. *There holds, as $k \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\lambda(\Sigma_k)^{2-n} \int_{\Sigma_k} v_k + H u_k^2 \, d\mu = O(\lambda(\Sigma_k)^{-\tau}).$$

Proof. We continue with the notation introduced in the proof of Lemma 23. Using the area estimate from Lemma 46 and the curvature estimates (47) and (48), we see that

$$\int_{B_2 \cap \Sigma_k} v_k + H u_k^2 \, d\mu = O(1).$$

Similarly,

$$\int_{B_2 \cap \hat{\Sigma}_k} -h(\chi^\top, \chi^\top) + H g(\chi, \nu)^2 d\mu = O(1).$$

Using also Lemma 64, we obtain

$$v_k + H u_k^2 = -\bar{h}(e_n^\top, e_n^\top) + \bar{H} \bar{g}(e_n, \bar{\nu})^2 + O(|x|_{\bar{g}}^{-1-\tau}).$$

Using Lemma 46, we get

$$\int_{\hat{\Sigma}_k} |x|_{\bar{g}}^{-1-\tau} d\bar{\mu} = O(\lambda(\Sigma_k)^{n-2-\tau}).$$

Combining these equations,

$$\int_{\Sigma_k} v_k + H u_k^2 d\mu = \int_{\hat{\Sigma}_k} -\bar{h}(e_n^\top, e_n^\top) + \bar{H} \bar{g}(e_n, \bar{\nu})^2 d\bar{\mu} + O(\lambda(\Sigma_k)^{n-2-\tau}).$$

Note that, by the first variation formula,

$$\int_{\hat{\Sigma}_k} -\bar{h}(e_n^\top, e_n^\top) + \bar{H} \bar{g}(e_n, \bar{\nu})^2 d\bar{\mu} = 0.$$

The assertion follows from these estimates. \square

Fix $\eta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with

- $\eta(t) = 0$ if $t \leq 1$,
- $\eta(t) > 0$ if $1 < t < 2$, and
- $\eta(t) = 0$ if $t \geq 2$.

We define

$$\kappa_k = \lambda(\Sigma_k)^{1-n} \int_{\Sigma_k} \eta(\lambda(\Sigma_k)^{-1} |x|_{\bar{g}}) d\mu \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa = \int_{S_1^{n-1}(e_n)} \eta(|x|_{\bar{g}}) d\bar{\mu}.$$

Note that $\kappa > 0$.

Lemma 25. *Passing to a subsequence, there holds $\kappa_k \rightarrow \kappa$.*

Proof. This follows from Proposition 58. \square

Let $f \in C_c^\infty(M)$ be a function whose support is disjoint from the boundary of M . We define

$$\tilde{u}_k(x) = \begin{cases} \alpha_k \lambda(\Sigma_k)^{-\tau} \eta(\lambda(\Sigma_k)^{-1} |x|_{\bar{g}}) & \text{if } x \notin B_1, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Here, $\alpha_k \in \mathbb{R}$ is chosen such that

$$(16) \quad \int_{\Sigma_k} u_k + f + \tilde{u}_k d\mu = 0.$$

Using Lemma 23 and Lemma 25, we see that $\alpha_k = O(1)$. Next, we define

$$\tilde{v}_k(x) = \begin{cases} \beta_k \lambda(\Sigma_k)^{-1-\tau} \eta(\lambda(\Sigma_k)^{-1} |x|_{\bar{g}}) & \text{if } x \notin B_1, \\ 0 & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$

where $\beta_k \in \mathbb{R}$ is chosen such that

$$(17) \quad \int_{\Sigma_k} v_k + \tilde{v}_k + H(u_k + f + \tilde{u}_k)^2 d\mu = 0.$$

Using Lemma 24, Lemma 25, and (47), we see that $\beta_k = O(1)$.

Note that there is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that, for all $s \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$,

$$\Sigma_k(s) = \{\exp_x(U_k(x, s) \nu(\Sigma_k)(x)) : x \in \Sigma_k\}$$

where

$$U_k(x, s) = s(u_k + f + \tilde{u}_k)(x) + \frac{1}{2} s^2 (v_k + \tilde{v}_k)(x)$$

is an embedded hypersurface in M that bounds a region $\Omega_k(s)$.

Lemma 26. *There holds*

$$\frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} |\Omega_k(s)| = \frac{d^2}{ds^2} \Big|_{s=0} |\Omega_k(s)| = 0.$$

Proof. This follows from Lemma 62 using (16) and (17). \square

By Proposition 9, ∂B_r intersects Σ transversally for all $r > 1$ sufficiently large. Increasing $r > 1$ if necessary, we may arrange that

$$(18) \quad \text{spt}(f) \subset B_r.$$

For Proposition 27 below, let $u \in C^\infty(\Sigma)$ be the function given by $u = g(\chi, \nu)$.

Proposition 27. *There holds*

$$\int_{B_r \cap \Sigma} (|h|^2 + Ric(\nu, \nu)) (u + f)^2 d\mu \leq \int_{B_r \cap \Sigma} |\nabla(u + f)|^2 d\mu + O(r^{n-3-\tau}).$$

Proof. By Lemma 26, the variation $\{\Sigma_k(s)\}_{|s| < \varepsilon}$ is volume-preserving up to second order. Since Ω_k is isoperimetric, it follows that

$$\frac{d^2}{ds^2} \Big|_{s=0} |\Sigma_k(s)|_g \geq 0.$$

By Lemma 62,

$$\frac{d^2}{ds^2} \Big|_{s=0} |\Sigma_k(s)|_g = \int_{\Sigma_k} H \ddot{U}_k + H^2 \dot{U}_k^2 + |\nabla \dot{U}_k|^2 - (|h|^2 + Ric(\nu, \nu)) \dot{U}_k^2 d\mu.$$

Note that $\tilde{v}_k(x) = \tilde{u}_k(x) = 0$ if $|x|_{\bar{g}} \leq \lambda(\Sigma_k)$. Using also the curvature estimates (47) and (48), that is, $|x|_{\bar{g}} h = O(1)$, we check that

- $H \tilde{v}_k = O(\lambda(\Sigma_k)^{-2-\tau})$,
- $H^2 \tilde{u}_k (u_k + f + \tilde{u}_k) = O(\lambda(\Sigma_k)^{-2-\tau})$,
- $g(\nabla \tilde{u}_k, \nabla(u_k + f + \tilde{u}_k)) = O(\lambda(\Sigma_k)^{-2-\tau})$, and
- $(|h|^2 + Ric(\nu, \nu)) \tilde{u}_k (u_k + f + \tilde{u}_k) = O(\lambda(\Sigma_k)^{-2-\tau})$.

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2}{ds^2} \Big|_{s=0} |\Sigma_k(s)|_g &= \int_{\Sigma_k} H v_k + H^2 (u_k + f)^2 + |\nabla(u_k + f)|^2 - (|h|^2 + Ric(\nu, \nu)) (u_k + f)^2 d\mu \\ &\quad + O(\lambda(\Sigma_k)^{n-3-\tau}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $f = 0$ on $\Sigma_k \setminus B_r$. Using Lemma 46, we have

$$\int_{\Sigma_k \setminus B_r} Ric(\nu, \nu) u_k^2 d\mu = O(1) \int_{\Sigma_k \setminus B_r} |x|_{\bar{g}}^{-2-\tau} d\bar{\mu} = O(\lambda(\Sigma_k)^{n-3-\tau}) + O(r^{n-3-\tau}).$$

Similarly, using also Lemma 64, the curvature estimates (47) and (48), and (18), we check that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Sigma_k \setminus B_r} H v_k + H^2 u_k^2 + |\nabla u_k|^2 - |h|^2 u_k^2 d\mu \\ &= \int_{\Sigma_k \setminus B_r} \bar{H} \bar{v}_k + \bar{H}^2 \bar{u}_k^2 + |\bar{\nabla} \bar{u}_k|_{\bar{g}}^2 - |\bar{h}|_{\bar{g}}^2 \bar{u}_k^2 d\bar{\mu} + O(\lambda(\Sigma_k)^{n-3-\tau}) + O(r^{n-3-\tau}) \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{u}_k, \bar{v}_k \in C^\infty(\Sigma_k)$ are given by $\bar{u}_k = \bar{g}(e_n, \bar{\nu})$ and $\bar{v}_k = -\bar{h}(e_n^\top, e_n^\top)$. For instance,

$$H v_k = \bar{H} \bar{v}_k + O(|x|_{\bar{g}}^{-2-\tau})$$

and

$$\int_{\Sigma_k \setminus B_r} |x|_{\bar{g}}^{-2-\tau} d\bar{\mu} = O(\lambda(\Sigma_k)^{n-3-\tau}) + O(r^{n-3-\tau}).$$

Since $\Sigma_k \rightarrow \Sigma$ locally smoothly, we obtain, using also the integration by parts formula from Lemma 63,

$$\int_{\Sigma_k \setminus B_r} \bar{H} \bar{v}_k + \bar{H}^2 \bar{u}_k^2 + |\bar{\nabla} \bar{u}_k|_{\bar{g}}^2 - |\bar{h}|_{\bar{g}}^2 \bar{u}_k^2 d\bar{\mu} = O(1) \int_{\partial B_r \cap \Sigma} |\bar{h}(\Sigma)|_{\bar{g}} |e_n^\top|_{\bar{g}} d\bar{\ell}.$$

By Proposition 9, $|\bar{h}(\Sigma)|_{\bar{g}} = O(|y|_{\bar{g}}^{-1-\tau/2})$, $e_n^\top = O(|y|_{\bar{g}}^{-\tau/2})$, and $|\partial B_r \cap \Sigma|_{\bar{g}} = O(r^{n-2})$. Thus,

$$\int_{\partial B_r \cap \Sigma} |\bar{h}(\Sigma)|_{\bar{g}} |e_n^\top|_{\bar{g}} d\bar{\ell} = O(r^{n-3-\tau}).$$

The assertion follows from the above estimates using (15), (47), and that $\Sigma_k \rightarrow \Sigma$ locally smoothly. \square

Proposition 28. *Let $f \in C_c^\infty(\Sigma)$. There holds*

$$\int_{\Sigma} (|h|^2 + Ric(\nu, \nu)) (1 + f)^2 d\mu \leq \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla f|^2 d\mu.$$

Proof. We may assume that the support of f is disjoint from the boundary of M , which is stable. Letting $r \rightarrow \infty$ in Proposition 27 and using Corollary 21 and the dominated convergence theorem, we conclude that

$$(19) \quad \int_{\Sigma} (|h|^2 + Ric(\nu, \nu)) (u + f)^2 d\mu \leq \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla(u + f)|^2 d\mu$$

for all $f \in C_c^\infty(\Sigma)$. Fix a function $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with $\psi(t) = 1$ if $t \leq 1$. Let $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be the sequence of functions $f_k \in C_c^\infty(\Sigma)$ given by

$$f_k(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - u(x) + f(x) & \text{if } x \in B_1, \\ \psi(k^{-1}|x|_{\bar{g}}) (1 - u(x)) + f(x) & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Note that, on $\Sigma \setminus B_1$,

$$(20) \quad |\nabla f_k|^2 \leq O(1) (|x|_{\bar{g}}^{-2} |u - 1|^2 + |\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla f|^2).$$

By Proposition 9,

$$|u - 1| + |x|_{\bar{g}} |\nabla u| = O(|x|_{\bar{g}}^{-\tau/2}).$$

It follows that the right-hand side of (20) is integrable. The assertion now follows from applying (19) with f_k in place of f , letting $k \rightarrow \infty$, and using the dominated convergence theorem. \square

Remark 29. *Proposition 28 holds for all $f \in H_{loc}^1(\Sigma)$ for which there are $f_1, f_2, \dots \in C_c^\infty(\Sigma)$ with $\nabla f_k \rightarrow \nabla f$ in $L^2(\Sigma)$, as can be seen using the Sobolev inequality.*

The following Proposition is a consequence of Proposition 28 using arguments of R. Schoen and S.-T. Yau [25] and of A. Carlotto [7].

Proposition 30. *Suppose that $R \geq 0$ along the non-compact component of Σ . Then the non-compact component of Σ is totally geodesic and isometric to flat \mathbb{R}^{n-1} . Moreover, there holds $R = Ric(\nu, \nu) = 0$ along the non-compact component of Σ .*

Proof. Let Σ^0 be the non-compact component of Σ . Arguing as in the proof of [7, Theorem 2] using Lemma 22 and the stability with respect to asymptotically constant variations asserted in Proposition 28, we see that the scalar curvature of $(\Sigma^0, g|_{\Sigma^0})$ vanishes. By the rigidity statement of the positive mass theorem [23, Lemma 3 and Proposition 2], $(\Sigma^0, g|_{\Sigma^0})$ is isometric to flat \mathbb{R}^{n-1} .

As explained in [26, p. 35], Proposition 28 implies that

$$\int_{\Sigma^0} |h|^2 + Ric(\nu, \nu) d\mu \leq 0.$$

Using the Gauss equation and that Σ^0 is scalar flat, we have

$$|h|^2 + Ric(\nu, \nu) = \frac{1}{2} (|h|^2 + R).$$

In conjunction with $R \geq 0$, we obtain that $h = 0$ and that $R = Ric(\nu, \nu) = 0$ along Σ^0 . \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

In this section, (M, g) is a Riemannian manifold of dimension $3 < n \leq 7$ that is asymptotically flat of rate $\tau > n - 3$ and which has non-negative scalar curvature and positive mass.

We defer the proof of the following lemma to the end of this section.

Lemma 31. *Let p_1, p_2 be points in the interior of M . There exists U open and compactly contained in the interior of M with $p_1, p_2 \in U$ such that the following holds. For all $r > 0$ sufficiently small, there exist $W \subset U$ open with $p_1, p_2 \in W$ and a family $\{g_t\}_{t \in (0,1)}$ of Riemannian metrics g_t on M such that*

- (21) $\circ \quad g_t \rightarrow g$ smoothly as $t \rightarrow 0$,
- (22) $\circ \quad g_t = g$ in $M \setminus W$,
- (23) $\circ \quad g_t < g$ in W , and
- (24) $\circ \quad R(g_t) > 0$ in $\{x \in W : \text{dist}_g(x, p_2) > r\}$.

Let $\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \dots \subset M$ be isoperimetric regions with $|\Omega_k| \rightarrow \infty$ and such that Ω_k converges locally smoothly to a region $\Omega \subset M$ whose boundary $\Sigma = \partial\Omega$ is non-compact and area-minimizing.

Proposition 32. *Let p be a point in the interior of M . There exist U open and compactly contained in the interior of M , Riemannian metrics $\tilde{g}_1, \tilde{g}_2, \dots$ on M , and regions $\tilde{\Omega}_1, \tilde{\Omega}_2, \dots$, and $\Omega_p \subset M$ with the following properties.*

- $\text{spt}(\tilde{g}_k - g) \subset U$ for all k and $\tilde{g}_k \rightarrow g$ smoothly.
- $\tilde{\Omega}_k$ is an isoperimetric region in (M, \tilde{g}_k) and $|\tilde{\Omega}_k|_{\tilde{g}_k} \rightarrow \infty$.
- $\tilde{\Omega}_k \rightarrow \Omega_p$ locally smoothly.
- $\partial\Omega_p$ is a non-compact area-minimizing boundary in (M, g) that is stable with respect to asymptotically constant variations and $p \in \partial\Omega_p$.

Proof. We first assume that the boundary of M is empty.

We choose $p_1 \in \Sigma$ and let $p_2 = p$. Let $U \subset M$ be the open set from Lemma 31 and $r > 0$ be small enough so that the conclusion of Lemma 31 holds. According to Lemma 31, there is $W \subset U$ open and a family $\{g_t\}_{t \in (0,1)}$ of Riemannian metrics g_t on M satisfying (21), (22), (23), and (24).

Let $t \in (0, 1)$. Recall the isoperimetric profile A_g defined in (49). Let $c(t) = |W|_g - |W|_{g_t}$. Note that

- $c(t) > 0$ by (23) and
- $c(t) = o(1)$ as $t \rightarrow 0$ by (21).

Since $\partial\Omega_k \rightarrow \Sigma$ locally smoothly and $\Sigma \cap W \neq \emptyset$, it follows from (23) that there is $\varepsilon(t) > 0$ such that, for all k sufficiently large,

$$(25) \quad |\partial\Omega_k|_{g_t} + \varepsilon(t) < |\partial\Omega_k|_g.$$

Using Lemma 60, we see that

$$(26) \quad |\partial\Omega_k|_g = A_g(|\Omega_k|_g) \leq A_g(V) + o(1)$$

for any amount of volume V such that $|\Omega_k|_g - c(t) \leq V \leq |\Omega_k|_g + c(t)$. By Lemma 61, for every k sufficiently large, there exists an isoperimetric region $\Omega_k(t) \subset M$ with respect to g_t such that $|\Omega_k(t)|_{g_t} = |\Omega_k|_{g_t}$.

We claim that there is $\tilde{W} \Subset W$ open such that, for all k sufficiently large,

$$(27) \quad \tilde{W} \cap \partial\Omega_k(t) \neq \emptyset.$$

To see this, assume the contrary. Passing to a subsequence and using (22) and Lemma 46, we see that

$$(28) \quad |\partial\Omega_k(t)|_{g_t} = |\partial\Omega_k(t)|_g + o(1).$$

Using (23), we have

$$|\Omega_k(t)|_g \leq |\Omega_k(t)|_{g_t} + c(t) = |\Omega_k|_{g_t} + c(t) \leq |\Omega_k|_g + c(t).$$

Similarly, $|\Omega_k(t)|_g \geq |\Omega_k|_g - c(t)$. Using (26) with $V = |\Omega_k(t)|_g$, we conclude that

$$|\partial\Omega_k(t)|_g \geq |\partial\Omega_k|_g - o(1).$$

This is not compatible with (25) and (28).

By Proposition 58, $\Omega_k(t)$ converges locally smoothly to a region $\Omega(t)$ with non-compact boundary

$\Sigma(t)$ that is area-minimizing with respect to g_t . Using (27), we see that $\Sigma(t)$ intersects the closure of \tilde{W} . Using Proposition 30 and (24), it follows that, in fact, $\{x \in M : \text{dist}(x, p) < r\} \cap \Sigma(t) \neq \emptyset$.

Passing to a subsequence, we see that, as $t \rightarrow 0$, $\Omega(t)$ converges locally smoothly to a region $\Omega_p(r) \subset M$ with non-compact boundary $\Sigma_p(r)$ that is area-minimizing with respect to g such that $\{x \in M : \text{dist}(x, p) \leq r\} \cap \Sigma_p(r) \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, passing to a subsequence, we see that, as $r \rightarrow 0$, $\Omega_p(r)$ converges locally smoothly to a region Ω_p with non-compact boundary Σ_p that is area-minimizing with respect to g and such that $p \in \Sigma_p$.

By a diagonal argument, we see that there are Riemannian metric $\tilde{g}_1, \tilde{g}_2, \dots$ on M and regions $\tilde{\Omega}_1, \tilde{\Omega}_2, \dots \subset M$ with the asserted properties. Repeating the argument that led to Proposition 28, we see that Σ_p is stable with respect to asymptotically constant variations in the sense of Proposition 28. This completes the proof in the case where the boundary of M is empty. \square

In the case where the boundary of M is an outermost minimal surface, we note that the boundary of M is also an outermost minimal surface with respect to g_t for all sufficiently small $t > 0$. The rest of the proof only requires formal modifications. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. Let (M, g) be asymptotically flat of rate $\tau > n - 3$ with non-negative scalar curvature. Suppose that there are isoperimetric regions $\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \dots$ in (M, g) with $|\Omega_k| \rightarrow \infty$ such that Ω_k converges locally smoothly to a region $\Omega \subset M$ whose boundary $\Sigma = \partial\Omega$ is non-compact and area-minimizing.

Suppose for a contradiction, that (M, g) has positive mass m .

We first assume that the boundary of M is empty.

Our goal is to show that the curvature tensor Rm vanishes everywhere.

Let $p \in M$. We first assume that $p \in \Sigma$. Using Proposition 32, Proposition 30, and standard convergence results from geometric measure theory, we see that there are mutually distinct connected non-compact area-minimizing boundaries $\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2, \dots \subset M$ such that

- Σ_k is isometric to flat \mathbb{R}^{n-1} for all k ,
- Σ_k is totally geodesic for all k , and
- $\Sigma_k \rightarrow \Sigma$ locally smoothly.

Let W, X, Y, Z be tangent fields along Σ . Note that

$$(29) \quad Rm(W, X, Y, Z) = 0.$$

Indeed, this follows from the Gauss equation, using that Σ is isometric to flat \mathbb{R}^{n-1} and totally geodesic. Similarly, using the Codazzi equation, we obtain

$$(30) \quad Rm(W, X, Y, \nu) = 0.$$

By the Hopf maximum principle, Σ and Σ_k are either disjoint or intersect transversally. In the latter case, $Rm = 0$ along the intersection. We may therefore assume that there is a neighborhood U of p such that $U \cap \Sigma \cap \Sigma_k = \emptyset$ for all $k \geq 1$.

Since Σ and Σ_k are totally geodesic, the components of $\Sigma \cap \Sigma_k$ are totally geodesic and therefore hyperplanes of Σ . Since Σ and Σ_k are embedded, the components of $\Sigma \cap \Sigma_k$ must be parallel. It follows that, passing to a subsequence, there are three cases.

- For every k , $\Sigma \cap \Sigma_k = \emptyset$.

- For every k , $\Sigma \cap \Sigma_k$ consists of a single hyperplane in Σ .
- For every k , $\Sigma \cap \Sigma_k$ consists of at least two parallel hyperplanes in Σ .

In the second and the third case, let Σ_k^0 be the closure of the component of $\Sigma \setminus \Sigma_k$ that contains p . Note that Σ_k^0 is isometric to a half-space in the second case and isometric to a slab in the third case. Since $U \cap \Sigma \cap \Sigma_k = \emptyset$, it follows that $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(p, \partial \Sigma_k^0) > 0$.

In the first case, we may argue exactly as in [8, p. 993]. Specifically, we may represent Σ_k as the graph of a positive function u_k over larger and larger domains, exhausting Σ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. By the Harnack inequality [16, §8.8], u_k is bounded by a multiple of $u_k(p)$ locally in Σ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Using the first variation of the second fundamental form and proceeding as in [30, p. 333], we obtain a positive function $f \in C^\infty(\Sigma)$ such that

$$(31) \quad \nabla_{X,Y}^2 f + Rm(X, \nu, \nu, Y) f = 0$$

for all tangent fields X, Y of Σ . Tracing (31) and using that $Ric(\nu, \nu) = 0$ along Σ , we see that f is harmonic. By the Liouville theorem, f is equal to a constant. It follows that $Rm(X, \nu, \nu, Y) = 0$. In conjunction with (29) and (30), we conclude that $Rm = 0$ along Σ and in particular at p .

In the second case, if $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(p, \partial \Sigma_k^0) = \infty$, we may argue as in the first case. If $\text{dist}(p, \partial \Sigma_k^0) = O(1)$, then, passing to a subsequence, we may assume that Σ_k^0 converges locally smoothly to a half-space $\Sigma^0 \subset \Sigma$. As before, we may represent Σ_k^0 as the graph of a smooth function u_k over larger and larger domains, exhausting Σ^0 as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Arguing as in the first case, using also the Boundary Harnack inequality (see, e.g., [6, Theorem 11.5]), we obtain a harmonic function $f \in C^\infty(\Sigma^0)$ that satisfies (31) in Σ^0 , $f = 0$ on $\partial \Sigma^0$, and $f > 0$ away from $\partial \Sigma^0$. By [5, Theorem I], f is a linear function. As before, it follows that $Rm = 0$ along Σ and in particular at p .

Finally, suppose for a contradiction that the third case arises. We may assume that, passing to a subsequence, Σ_k^0 converges locally to a slab $\Sigma^0 \subset \Sigma$. As in the previous case, we obtain a harmonic function $f \in C^\infty(\Sigma^0)$ that satisfies (31) in Σ^0 , $f = 0$ on $\partial \Sigma^0$, and $f > 0$ away from $\partial \Sigma^0$. Using (31), we see that $|\nabla f|$ is bounded from above by a positive constant on $\partial \Sigma^0$. This is not compatible with Lemma 66.

Now, let $p \in M \setminus \Sigma$. By Proposition 32, there exists a non-compact area-minimizing boundary Σ_p with $p \in \Sigma_p$ that is stable with respect to asymptotically constant variations. Repeating the argument above with Σ_p in place of Σ , we see that $Rm = 0$ along Σ_p . Since (M, g) is asymptotically flat, it follows that (M, g) is isometric to flat \mathbb{R}^n . This is not compatible with $m > 0$. This completes the proof in the case where the boundary of M is empty.

The case where the boundary of M is an outermost minimal surface only requires formal modifications. □

Proof of Corollary 4. Let (M, g) be asymptotically flat of rate $\tau > n - 3$ with non-negative scalar curvature and positive mass m . Suppose, for a contradiction, that there are isoperimetric regions $\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \dots$ in (M, g) with $|\Omega_k| \rightarrow \infty$ and a compact set $K \subset M$ disjoint from the boundary of M such that $K \cap \partial \Omega_k \neq \emptyset$ for all k .

By Proposition 58, Ω_k converges locally smoothly to a region $\Omega \subset M$ whose boundary $\Sigma = \partial \Omega$ is non-compact and area-minimizing. By Theorem 2, (M, g) is isometric to flat \mathbb{R}^n . This is not compatible with $m > 0$. □




FIGURE 2. An illustration of the construction in Lemma 31. The open set W is bounded by the solid black line. The function v is super-harmonic outside of the hatched region.

Proof of Lemma 31. Arguing as in [18, p. 21], we see that there is $r_0 > 0$ depending only on (M, g) with the following property. Given $0 < r < r_0$ and $q \in M$, there exists a function $v_{r,q} \in C^\infty(M)$ satisfying

$$(32) \quad \circ \quad v_{r,q} = 0 \text{ in } \{x \in M : \text{dist}_g(x, q) \geq 6r\},$$

$$(33) \quad \circ \quad v_{r,q} < 0 \text{ in } \{x \in M : \text{dist}_g(x, q) < 6r\}, \text{ and}$$

$$(34) \quad \circ \quad \Delta v_{r,q} < 0 \text{ in } \{x \in M : r < \text{dist}_g(x, q) < 6r\}.$$

Decreasing $r > 0$ if necessary, we may choose points $q_1, \dots, q_N \in M$ with $q_1 = p_1$ and $q_N = p_2$ such that

$$(35) \quad \{x \in M : \text{dist}(x, q_i) \leq r\} \subset \{x \in M : 3r \leq \text{dist}(x, q_{i+1}) \leq 5r\} \text{ for all } i \leq N-1 \text{ and} \\ \{x \in M : \text{dist}(x, q_i) \leq 6r\} \text{ is disjoint from the boundary of } M \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq N;$$

see Figure 2. Define a_1, \dots, a_N recursively by $a_1 = 1$ and, for $i = 2, \dots, N$,

$$a_i = 1 + \frac{\sup\{\Delta v_{r,q_{i-1}}(x) : \text{dist}(x, q_{i-1}) < r\}}{|\inf\{\Delta v_{r,q_i}(x) : 3r \leq \text{dist}(x, q_i) \leq 5r\}|} a_{i-1}.$$

Let

$$W = \bigcup_{i=1}^N \{x \in M : \text{dist}(x, q_i) < 6r\} \quad \text{and} \quad v = \sum_{i=1}^N a_i v_{r,q_i}.$$

Note that

- $v = 0$ in $M \setminus W$ by (32),
- $v < 0$ in W by (33), and
- $\Delta v < 0$ in $\{x \in W : \text{dist}(x, p_2) \geq r\}$ by (34) and (35).

For all $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small, the Riemannian metrics

$$g_t = (1 + t \delta v)^{\frac{4}{n-2}} g$$

where $t \in (0, 1)$ satisfy the properties asserted in the lemma. □

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 5

Let $3 < n \leq 7$ and (M, g) be spatial Schwarzschild with mass $m = 2$, i.e.,

$$M = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x|_{\bar{g}} \geq 1\} \quad \text{and} \quad g = \phi^{\frac{4}{n-2}} \bar{g}$$

where $\phi \in C^\infty(M)$ is given by

$$\phi(x) = 1 + |x|_{\bar{g}}^{2-n}.$$

The goal of this section is to show that there are infinitely many mutually disjoint non-compact area-minimizing hypersurfaces in (M, g) .

Let $t, s > 0$. For the next lemma, we choose the following orientations.

- $M \cap (\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \{t\})$ is oriented by the normal in direction of $-e_n$.
- $M \cap (S_s^{n-2}(0) \times \mathbb{R})$ is oriented by the normal pointing away from the vertical axis.

Lemma 33. *Let $t, s > 0$. The following hold.*

- $M \cap (\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \{t\})$ is strictly mean convex.
- $M \cap (S_s^{n-2}(0) \times \mathbb{R})$ is strictly mean convex.
- $S_1^{n-1}(0)$ is minimal.

Let $r > 2$, $z > 0$, and $\Sigma_{r,z}$ be the least area hypersurface in (M, g) with

$$\partial \Sigma_{r,z} = S_r^{n-2}(0) \times \{z\}.$$

By the convex hull property and Lemma 33, $\Sigma_{r,z}$ is a vertical graph with finite slope near $\partial \Sigma_{r,z}$.

Lemma 34. *$\Sigma_{r,z}$ is axially symmetric with respect to e_n .*

Proof. Let $\pi \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a hyperplane through the origin with $e_n \in \pi$. Let $\Pi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be the reflection through π , \mathbb{R}_\pm^n be the components of $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \pi$, and $\Sigma_{r,z}^\pm = \mathbb{R}_\pm^n \cap \Sigma_{r,z}$. Note that $M \cap \pi$ is minimal in (M, g) . By the Hopf maximum principle, it follows that $\Sigma_{r,z}$ and π intersect transversally. In particular,

$$(36) \quad |\Sigma_{r,z}^+| + |\Sigma_{r,z}^-| = |\Sigma_{r,z}|.$$

Moreover, $\tilde{\Sigma}_{r,z} = \Sigma_{r,z}^+ \cup \Pi(\Sigma_{r,z}^+) \cup (\Sigma_{r,z} \cap \pi)$ is a Lipschitz surface with $\partial \tilde{\Sigma}_{r,z} = \partial \Sigma_{r,z}$. Using that g is rotationally symmetric, the area-minimizing property of $\Sigma_{r,z}$, and (36), we conclude that $2|\Sigma_{r,z}^+| = 2|\Sigma_{r,z}^-| = |\Sigma_{r,z}|$. It follows that $|\tilde{\Sigma}_{r,z}| = |\Sigma_{r,z}|$. Using again that $\Sigma_{r,z}$ is area-minimizing, we see that $\tilde{\Sigma}_{r,z}$ intersects π orthogonally. In particular, $\tilde{\Sigma}_{r,z}$ is smooth. By unique continuation [3, p. 235], $\tilde{\Sigma}_{r,z} = \Sigma_{r,z}$. The assertion follows. □

Lemma 35. $\Sigma_{r,z} \setminus \partial\Sigma_{r,z}$ is contained in the cylinder $B_r^{n-1}(0) \times (z, \infty)$.

Proof. This follows from Lemma 33 and the maximum principle. \square

Lemma 36. $\Sigma_{r,z}$ is a vertical graph over $\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} : |y|_{\bar{g}} \leq r\}$.

Proof. Suppose, for a contradiction, that $\Sigma_{r,z} \setminus \partial\Sigma_{r,z}$ is not a graph over $B_r^{n-1}(0)$. Using Lemma 34 and Lemma 35, it follows that $\Sigma_{r,z}$ touches $S_s^{n-2}(0) \times \mathbb{R}$ from the inside for some $s \in (0, r)$. This is not compatible with Lemma 33 and the maximum principle. \square

By Lemma 34 and Lemma 36, there is $f_{r,z} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with $f_{r,z}(r) = z$ and $f'_{r,z}(0) = 0$ such that

$$\Sigma_{r,z} = \{(y, f_{r,z}(|y|_{\bar{g}})) : y \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \text{ with } |y|_{\bar{g}} \leq r\}.$$

Lemma 37. *There holds, for all $t \in (0, r)$,*

$$\left(t^{n-2} \frac{f'_{r,z}(t)}{\sqrt{1 + f'_{r,z}(t)^2}} \right)' = - \frac{2(n-1)}{1 + (t^2 + f_{r,z}(t)^2)^{-\frac{n-2}{2}}} \frac{t^{n-2}}{(t^2 + f_{r,z}(t)^2)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \frac{f_{r,z}(t) - f'_{r,z}(t)t}{\sqrt{1 + f'_{r,z}(t)^2}}.$$

Proof. This follows from the conformal transformation formula of the mean curvature,

$$(37) \quad \phi^{\frac{2}{n-2}} H = \bar{H} + \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2} \phi^{-1} \bar{D}_{\bar{\nu}} \phi,$$

using that $\Sigma_{r,z}$ is minimal. Here, $\bar{\nu}$ is the Euclidean unit normal of $\Sigma_{r,z}$ pointing in direction of e_n . \square

Lemma 38. *There holds*

$$0 \leq \sup_{z>0} \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} [f_{r,z}(4(n-1)) - z] < \infty.$$

Moreover, as $z \rightarrow 0$,

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} f_{r,z}(z^{-2}) = z + o(z).$$

Proof. Using Lemma 33 and the maximum principle, we see that $f'_{r,z}(t) \leq 0$ for all $t \in (0, r)$. Using Lemma 37 and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have, for all $t \in (0, r)$,

$$\left(t^{n-2} \frac{f'_{r,z}(t)}{\sqrt{1 + f'_{r,z}(t)^2}} \right)' \geq -2(n-1) \frac{t^{n-2}}{(t^2 + f_{r,z}(t)^2)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}.$$

Integrating, we obtain

$$(38) \quad \frac{f'_{r,z}(t)}{\sqrt{1 + f'_{r,z}(t)^2}} \geq -2(n-1)t^{2-n} \int_0^t \frac{s^{n-2}}{(s^2 + f_{r,z}(s)^2)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} ds.$$

Note that $s^2 + f_{r,z}(s)^2 \geq 1$. Consequently, for all $t \geq 1$,

$$(39) \quad \int_0^t \frac{s^{n-2}}{(s^2 + f_{r,z}(s)^2)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} ds \leq \int_0^1 ds + \int_1^t s^{-1} ds = 1 + \log(t).$$

It follows that

$$2(n-1)t^{2-n} \int_0^t \frac{s^{n-2}}{(s^2 + f_{r,z}(s)^2)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} ds \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

provided that $t \geq 4(n-1)$. Note that

$$\frac{1}{2} f'_{r,z}(t) \geq \frac{f'_{r,z}(t)}{\sqrt{1 + f'_{r,z}(t)^2}} \quad \text{provided that} \quad \frac{f'_{r,z}(t)}{\sqrt{1 + f'_{r,z}(t)^2}} \geq -\frac{1}{2}.$$

In conjunction with (38) and (39), we obtain

$$f'_{r,z}(t) \geq -4(n-1)t^{2-n}(\log(t) + 1)$$

provided that $t \geq 4(n-1)$. Since $f_{r,z}(r) = z$, we conclude that

$$z \leq f_{r,z}(4(n-1)) \leq z + 4(n-1) \int_{4(n-1)}^r t^{2-n}(\log(t) + 1) dt$$

for all $r \geq 4(n-1)$. Note that, since $n \geq 4$,

$$\int_{4(n-1)}^r t^{2-n}(\log(t) + 1) dt \leq \int_{4(n-1)}^{\infty} t^{2-n}(\log(t) + 1) dt < \infty.$$

Likewise, we obtain, as $z \rightarrow 0$,

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} f_{r,z}(z^{-2}) \leq z + 4(n-1) \int_{z^{-2}}^{\infty} t^{2-n}(\log(t) + 1) dt = z + o(z).$$

The assertion follows. \square

Lemma 39. *Let $z > 0$. There exists a sequence $\{r_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ with $r_k \rightarrow \infty$ such that Σ_{z,r_k} converges locally smoothly to a non-compact area-minimizing hypersurface $\Sigma_z \subset M$ that is axially symmetric with respect to e_n and satisfies*

$$(40) \quad \inf\{x^n : x \in \Sigma_z\} = z.$$

Proof. This follows from Lemma 35, Lemma 38, and standard compactness results from geometric measure theory. \square

Proposition 40. *The following hold.*

- As $z \rightarrow 0$, Σ_z converges locally smoothly to a non-compact area-minimizing hypersurface $\Sigma_0 \subset M$ with $\inf\{x^n : x \in \Sigma_0\} = 0$.
- As $z \rightarrow \infty$, $-z + \Sigma_z$ converges locally smoothly to a Euclidean plane.
- The family $\{\Sigma_z\}_{z>0}$ forms a smooth foliation of the component of $M \setminus \Sigma_0$ that lies above Σ_0 .
- Σ_z is not stable with respect to asymptotically constant perturbations for any $z \geq 0$.

Proof. Let $z_1, z_2 > 0$ with $z_1 \neq z_2$. Since Σ_{z_1} and Σ_{z_2} are area-minimizing and axially symmetric with respect to e_n , Σ_{z_1} and Σ_{z_2} are disjoint. Using also (40), we see that, as $z \rightarrow 0$, Σ_z converges locally smoothly to a non-compact area-minimizing hypersurface $\Sigma_0 \subset M$ with $\inf\{x^n : x \in \Sigma_0\} = 0$. Moreover, using Lemma 38, we see that, as $z \rightarrow \infty$, $-z + \Sigma_z$ converges locally smoothly to a non-compact hypersurface Σ that is area-minimizing with respect to \bar{g} . By Lemma 48, Σ is a Euclidean plane.

Let $z > 0$. By (37), $t + \Sigma_z$ is strictly mean-convex for every $t > 0$ when oriented by the unit normal in direction of e_n . In conjunction with (40) and the maximum principle, it follows that $\Sigma_{z'} \rightarrow \Sigma_z$ locally smoothly as $z' \searrow z$. Let $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of numbers $0 < z_i < z$ with




FIGURE 3. An illustration of the foliation $\{\Sigma_z\}_{z \geq 0}$ whose leaves are depicted by the solid black lines. The horizon is depicted by the gray line. Σ_0 asymptotes to the plane $\{x^n = 0 : x \in M\}$ depicted by the dashed black line.

$z_i \rightarrow z$. Passing to a subsequence and using (40), we see that Σ_{z_i} converges locally smoothly to a non-compact area-minimizing hypersurface Σ'_z that lies below Σ_z , is axially symmetric with respect to e_n , and satisfies $\inf\{x^n : x \in \Sigma'_z\} = z$. Since both $\Sigma_{r,z}$, $r \geq 2$, and Σ'_z are area-minimizing and axially symmetric with respect to e_n , $\Sigma_{r,z}$ lies below Σ'_z for every $r \geq 2$. It follows that $\Sigma'_z = \Sigma_z$. Consequently, $\{\Sigma_z\}_{z > 0}$ forms a smooth foliation; see Figure 3.

Finally, suppose for a contradiction, that Σ_z is stable with respect to asymptotically constant perturbations for some $z \geq 0$. By Proposition 30, Σ_z is totally geodesic. It follows that $\Sigma_z = S_1^n(0)$. This is not compatible with Σ_z being non-compact.

The assertion follows. \square

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 6

Let $3 < n \leq 7$ and $(n-2)/2 < \tau < n-2$. In this section, we use the gluing technique developed by A. Carlotto and R. Schoen [9] to construct a Riemannian manifold of dimension n which is asymptotically flat of rate τ with non-negative scalar curvature and positive mass and which admits a non-compact area-minimizing boundary that is stable with respect to asymptotically constant variations (1).

Lemma 41. *There exists a Riemannian metric g on \mathbb{R}^n which is asymptotically flat of rate τ with non-negative scalar curvature and positive mass such that*

$$g = \bar{g} \text{ on } \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x^n \leq 2 + |x - x^n e_n|_{\bar{g}}\}.$$

Proof. The Riemannian metric

$$\hat{g} = \left[1 + (1 + |x|_{\bar{g}}^{2n-4})^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right]^{\frac{4}{n-2}} \bar{g}$$

is asymptotically flat of rate $n-2$ with non-negative scalar and mass 2. The assertion follows from localizing (\mathbb{R}^n, \hat{g}) to the cone $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x^n > 2 + |x - x^n e_n|_{\bar{g}}\}$ using [9, Theorem 2.3]. \square

Lemma 42. *Let $(n-2)/2 < \tilde{\tau} < \tau$ and g be as in Lemma 41. There exists a conformally flat Riemannian metric \tilde{g} on \mathbb{R}^n that is asymptotically flat of rate $\tilde{\tau}$ with the following properties:*

- $\tilde{g} \leq g$.
- $\tilde{g} < g$ on $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x^n \geq 2 + |x - x^n e_n|_{\bar{g}}\}$.
- $\tilde{g} = \bar{g}$ on $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x^n \leq 0\}$.

- \tilde{g} is axially symmetric with respect to e_n .
- There holds, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x^i \tilde{g}(\bar{D}_{e_i} \tilde{g}, \tilde{g}) \geq 0.$$

Proof. Using that g is asymptotically flat of rate τ , we see that, provided $\delta > 0$ is sufficiently small,

$$g(x) > \left[1 - (1 - \delta) (1 + \delta |x|_{\tilde{g}}^2)^{-\tilde{\tau}/2}\right] \tilde{g}$$

for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $\eta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with

- $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$,
- $\eta(t) = 0$ if $t \leq 1/2$,
- $\eta(t) = 1$ if $t \geq 1$, and
- $\eta'(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

The metric

$$\tilde{g} = \left[1 - (1 - \delta) \eta\left((1 + |x - x^n e_n|_{\tilde{g}}^2)^{-1/2} x^n\right) (1 + \delta |x|_{\tilde{g}}^2)^{-\tilde{\tau}/2}\right] \tilde{g}$$

has the asserted properties. □

Let $r > 2$, $z < 0$, and $\tilde{\Sigma}_{r,z}$ be the least area hypersurface in $(\mathbb{R}^n, \tilde{g})$ with

$$\partial \tilde{\Sigma}_{r,z} = S_r^{n-2}(0) \times \{z\}.$$

Repeating the proofs of Lemma 34, Lemma 35, and Lemma 36, we see that there is $\tilde{f}_{r,z} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with $\tilde{f}_{r,z}(r) = z$ and $\tilde{f}'_{r,z}(0) = 0$ such that

$$\tilde{\Sigma}_{r,z} = \{(y, \tilde{f}_{r,z}(|y|_{\tilde{g}})) : y \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \text{ with } |y|_{\tilde{g}} \leq r\}.$$

Lemma 43. *There are t_0 and $c_0 > 1$ depending only on n such that, provided that $r > 2$ is sufficiently large,*

$$z \leq \tilde{f}_{r,z}(t) \leq c_0 + z$$

for all $t \in (t_0, r)$ and $z < 0$.

Proof. Repeating the proof of Lemma 37, we see that there is $c > 1$ depending only on n such that

$$\left(t^{n-2} \frac{\tilde{f}'_{r,z}(t)}{\sqrt{1 + \tilde{f}'_{r,z}(t)^2}}\right)' \geq -c \frac{t^{n-2}}{1 + (t^2 + \tilde{f}_{r,z}(t)^2)^{(\tilde{\tau}+1)/2}}.$$

The assertion now follows as in the proof of Lemma 38, using that $\tilde{\tau} > (n-2)/2 \geq 1$. □

Lemma 44. *There holds*

$$\tilde{\Sigma}_{r,z} = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} : |y|_{\tilde{g}} \leq r\} \times \{z\}$$

provided that $r > 2$ is sufficiently large and that

$$(41) \quad z < -c_0 - t_0^{1/(n-2)} \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{s^{2n-4} - 1}} ds.$$

Proof. By Lemma 43 and (41), there is a least $t_{r,z} \in [0, t_0)$ such that $\tilde{f}_{r,z}(t) < 0$ for all $t \in (t_{r,z}, r)$ provided that $r > 2$ is sufficiently large. Consequently,

$$\left(t^{n-2} \frac{\tilde{f}'_{r,z}(t)}{\sqrt{1 + \tilde{f}'_{r,z}(t)^2}} \right)' = 0$$

for all $t \in (t_{r,z}, r)$. Let

$$a_{r,z} = -t_{r,z}^{n-2} \frac{\tilde{f}'_{r,z}(t_{r,z})}{\sqrt{1 + \tilde{f}'_{r,z}(t_{r,z})^2}}$$

and note that $a_{r,z} < t_{r,z}^{n-2} < t_0^{n-2}$. Integrating, we obtain

$$\tilde{f}(t) = \tilde{f}_{r,z}(t_{r,z}) - \int_{t_{r,z}}^t \frac{a_{r,z}}{\sqrt{s^{2n-4} - a_{r,z}^2}} ds.$$

Consequently, using (41),

$$\tilde{f}_{r,z}(t_{r,z}) = -z + \int_{t_{r,z}}^r \frac{a_{r,z}}{\sqrt{s^{2n-4} - a_{r,z}^2}} ds \leq -z + t(n)^{1/(n-2)} \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{s^{2n-4} - 1}} ds < 0.$$

It follows that $t_{r,z} = 0$ so that $\tilde{\Sigma}_{r,z} \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x^n \leq 0\}$. Using that $\tilde{g} = \bar{g}$ in $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x^n \leq 0\}$, the assertion follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 6. Let

$$z < -c_0 - t_0^{1/(n-2)} \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{s^{2n-4} - 1}} ds$$

and $r > 2$ be sufficiently large such that the conclusion of Lemma 44 applies. Let $\Sigma_{r,z}$ be the least area hypersurface in (\mathbb{R}^n, g) with

$$\partial \Sigma_{r,z} = S_r^{n-2}(0) \times \{z\}.$$

Using that $\tilde{g} \leq g$ with strict inequality where $g \neq \bar{g}$ and Lemma 44, it follows that $\Sigma_{r,z} = \tilde{\Sigma}_{r,z}$. Passing to a limit as $r \rightarrow \infty$, we see that $\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \{z\}$ is area-minimizing in (\mathbb{R}^n, g) . Since $g = \bar{g}$ near $\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \{z\}$, it follows that $\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \{z\}$ is stable with respect to asymptotically constant variations. \square

A. ASYMPTOTICALLY FLAT MANIFOLDS

In this section, we recall background on asymptotically flat manifolds.

Let (M, g) be a connected, complete Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$.

We say that (M, g) is asymptotically flat of rate $\tau > (n-2)/2$ if there is a non-empty compact set $K \subset M$ and a diffeomorphism

$$(42) \quad \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x|_{\bar{g}} > 1/2\} \rightarrow M \setminus K$$

such that, in the corresponding coordinate system,

$$|g - \bar{g}|_{\bar{g}} + |x|_{\bar{g}} |\bar{D}g|_{\bar{g}} + |x|_{\bar{g}}^2 |\bar{D}^2g|_{\bar{g}} = O(|x|_{\bar{g}}^{-\tau}).$$

Here, \bar{g} is the Euclidean metric on \mathbb{R}^n and a bar indicates that a geometric quantity is computed with respect to \bar{g} . In addition, we require the scalar curvature of (M, g) to be integrable. If the boundary of M is non-empty, we require that the boundary is a minimal surface and that every

closed minimal hypersurface in (M, g) is contained in the boundary.

The particular choice of diffeomorphism (42) is usually fixed and referred to as the chart at infinity of (M, g) . Given $r \geq 1$, we use B_r to denote the open bounded set whose boundary corresponds to $S_r^{n-1}(0) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x|_{\bar{g}} = r\}$ in this chart.

If (M, g) is asymptotically flat, the quantity

$$(43) \quad m = \frac{1}{2(n-1)n\omega_n} \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^{-1} \int_{S_\lambda^{n-1}(0)} \sum_{i,j=1}^n x^i [(\bar{D}_{e_j}g)(e_i, e_j) - (\bar{D}_{e_i}g)(e_j, e_j)] d\bar{\mu}$$

is called the mass of (M, g) ; see [2]. Here, e_1, \dots, e_n is the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^n . The existence of the limit in (43) follows from the integrability of the scalar curvature and the decay assumptions on g . It is independent of the particular choice of chart at infinity; see [4, Theorem 4.2]. Note that the mass vanishes if $\tau > n - 2$.

B. ISOPERIMETRIC REGIONS AND THEIR LIMITS

In this section, we collect results on large isoperimetric regions.

Let (M, g) be a connected, complete Riemannian manifold of dimension $3 \leq n \leq 7$ that is asymptotically flat.

Recall that the boundary of M is either empty or an outermost minimal surface. Let \hat{M} be an open manifold in which M is embedded.

A subset $\Omega \subset M$ is called a region if

$$\hat{\Omega} = \Omega \cup (\hat{M} \setminus M)$$

is a properly embedded n -dimensional submanifold of \hat{M} . Note that the boundary of $\hat{\Omega}$ is a properly embedded hypersurface of M . It does not depend on the choice of extension \hat{M} and will be denoted by $\partial\Omega$.

Let $\Omega \subset M$ be a region. The second fundamental form h and the mean curvature scalar H of $\partial\Omega$ are computed with respect to the normal pointing out of Ω .

We are interested in three special types of regions in this paper.

- A region $\Omega \subset M$ is isoperimetric if it is compact and

$$|\partial\Omega| \leq |\partial\tilde{\Omega}|$$

for every compact region $\tilde{\Omega} \subset M$ with $|\tilde{\Omega}| = |\Omega|$.

- A region $\Omega \subset M$ has area-minimizing boundary if, for every $U \Subset M$ open, there holds

$$|U \cap \partial\Omega| \leq |U \cap \partial\tilde{\Omega}|$$

for every region $\tilde{\Omega} \subset M$ with $\tilde{\Omega} \triangle \Omega \Subset U$.

- A region $\Omega \subset M$ is locally isoperimetric if, for every $U \Subset M$ open, there holds

$$|U \cap \partial\Omega| \leq |U \cap \partial\tilde{\Omega}|$$

for every region $\tilde{\Omega} \subset M$ with $\Omega \triangle \tilde{\Omega} \Subset U$ and $|U \cap \tilde{\Omega}| = |U \cap \Omega|$.

Remark 45. *Alternatively, we could introduce these notions using sets with locally finite perimeter and their reduced boundaries instead of properly embedded n -dimensional submanifolds and their*

boundaries. Standard regularity theory shows that the reduced boundary of a locally isoperimetric such set is smooth; see, e.g., the survey of results in [13, Section 4].

Lemma 46. *There holds*

$$(44) \quad \sup_{k \geq 1} \sup_{r > 1} r^{1-n} |B_r \cap \partial\Omega_k| < \infty.$$

Moreover, for every $\alpha > 0$, there is $c > 1$ such that

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{1 < s < t} (t^{n-1-\alpha} + s^{n-1-\alpha})^{-1} \int_{(B_t \setminus B_s) \cap \partial\Omega_k} |x|_{\bar{g}}^{-\alpha} d\bar{\mu} < \infty.$$

Proof. To prove (44), for $r > 1$ sufficiently large and such that ∂B_r and $\partial\Omega_k$ intersect transversely, we may obtain comparison regions $\tilde{\Omega}_k$ by cutting $B_r \cap \Omega_k$ from Ω_k and pasting in a ball of the removed amount of volume. Using also Lemma 65, the assertion follows. \square

Lemma 47. *Let $\Omega \subset M$ be a locally isoperimetric region of (M, g) with $\partial\Omega \neq \emptyset$. Then $\partial\Omega$ has constant mean curvature. The mean curvature is zero when the boundary is area-minimizing.*

Lemma 48. *Assume that $\tilde{\Omega} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a locally isoperimetric region with $\partial\tilde{\Omega} \neq \emptyset$. Then $\partial\tilde{\Omega}$ is either a hyperplane or a coordinate sphere.*

Proof. This is [22, Proposition 1]. \square

Remark 49. *The same characterization holds for sets of locally finite perimeter that are locally isoperimetric in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. The potential singularity in the reduced boundary of such a set at 0 is removable; cp. Remark 45.*

Let $\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \dots \subset M$ be locally isoperimetric regions of (M, g) with $\partial\Omega_k \neq \emptyset$.

Lemma 50. *Assume that $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} |H(\partial\Omega_k)| < \infty$. Then $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} |h(\partial\Omega_k)| < \infty$. Moreover, there exists a locally isoperimetric region $\Omega \subset M$ such that, passing to a subsequence, $\Omega_k \rightarrow \Omega$ locally smoothly.*

Proof. This is a standard result from geometric measure theory; see, e.g., the survey in [13, Section 4]. \square

Lemma 51. *Let $\Omega \subset M$ be a locally isoperimetric region with non-compact boundary. Then Ω has area-minimizing boundary. All components of $\partial\Omega$ except for one are components of the boundary of M .*

Proof. Suppose, for a contradiction, that $H(\partial\Omega) \neq 0$. Let $\{x_\ell\}_{\ell=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of points $x_\ell \in \partial\Omega \setminus B_1$ with $|x_\ell|_{\bar{g}} \rightarrow \infty$. It follows from Lemma 50 that, passing to a subsequence,

$$-x_\ell + \Omega \setminus B_1 \rightarrow \tilde{\Omega} \text{ locally smoothly in } \mathbb{R}^n$$

where $\tilde{\Omega} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a locally isoperimetric region with $\partial\tilde{\Omega} \neq \emptyset$ and $\bar{H}(\partial\tilde{\Omega}) = H(\partial\Omega)$. By Lemma 48, $\partial\tilde{\Omega}$ is a coordinate sphere of radius $(n-1)|H(\partial\Omega)|^{-1}$. It follows that Ω has infinitely many bounded components, each one close to a coordinate ball of radius $(n-1)|H(\partial\Omega)|$. Such a configuration contradicts the Euclidean isoperimetric inequality. Thus $H(\partial\Omega) = 0$.

Let $\{s_\ell\}_{\ell=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of numbers $s_\ell > 1$ with $s_\ell \rightarrow \infty$. By Lemma 50, passing to a subsequence,

$$s_\ell^{-1}(\Omega \setminus B_1) \rightarrow \check{\Omega} \text{ locally smoothly in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$$

where $\check{\Omega} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a locally isoperimetric region whose boundary is non-empty with mean curvature zero. By Lemma 48, $\check{\Omega}$ is a half-space. It follows that $\partial\Omega$ has only one unbounded component. To see that the boundary of Ω is area-minimizing, observe that there are constants $\delta, c > 0$ such that, for every ℓ sufficiently large and all $v \in (-\delta, \delta)$, there is a region $\tilde{\Omega} \subset M$ with $\tilde{\Omega} \triangle \Omega \Subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : s_\ell < |x|_{\check{g}} < 2s_\ell\} = U_\ell$ and such that

$$s_\ell^{-n} \left(|U_\ell \cap \Omega| - |U_\ell \cap \tilde{\Omega}| \right) = v \quad \text{and} \quad s_\ell^{1-n} \left| |U_\ell \cap \partial\Omega| - |U_\ell \cap \partial\tilde{\Omega}| \right| \leq cv^2.$$

Thus, we can add and subtract an amount of volume V from Ω at the cost of changing the boundary area by an amount of order $s_\ell^{-1}V^2$. Since $s_\ell^{-1}V^2 = o(1)$, it follows that Ω has area-minimizing boundary. See [13, Appendix C] for additional details on this argument in the case where $n = 3$.

The preceding argument also shows that, in the case where the boundary of M is empty, $\partial\Omega$ has no bounded components, since deleting such components and compensating for the loss of volume far out decreases area. In the case where the boundary of M is non-empty, bounded components of $\partial\Omega$, being closed minimal surfaces, are contained in the boundary of M . \square

We now assume that $\Omega_k \subset M$ are isoperimetric regions with $|\Omega_k| \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 52. *There holds*

$$(45) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(\partial\Omega_k)^{-n} |\Omega_k| = \omega_n$$

Proof. By comparison with coordinate balls far out in the asymptotically flat end, we see that

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(\partial\Omega_k)^{-n} |\Omega_k| \geq \omega_n.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $r > 1$ be large such that ∂B_r and $\partial\Omega_k$ intersect transversally for all k sufficiently large. Let $\Omega_{k,r} = \Omega_k \setminus B_r$. Note that

$$(46) \quad |\Omega_{k,r}| \geq |\Omega_k| - |B_r| \quad \text{and} \quad |\partial\Omega_k| \geq |\partial\Omega_{k,r}| - |\partial B_r|.$$

By the Euclidean isoperimetric inequality,

$$\bar{\lambda}(\partial\Omega_{k,r})^{-n} |\Omega_{k,r}|_{\check{g}} \leq \omega_n.$$

Increasing $r > 1$, if necessary, we obtain

$$\lambda(\partial\Omega_{k,r})^{-n} |\Omega_{k,r}| \leq \omega_n + \varepsilon$$

for all k sufficiently large. Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ and using (46), we conclude that

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(\partial\Omega_k)^{-n} |\Omega_k| \leq \omega_n + \varepsilon.$$

The assertion follows. \square

It follows from Lemma 52 that $\lambda(\partial\Omega_k) \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 53. *If the boundary of M is non-empty, then $H(\partial\Omega_k) > 0$ for all k . If the boundary of M is empty, then $H(\partial\Omega_k) > 0$ for all sufficiently large k .*

We now assume that $H(\partial\Omega_k) > 0$ for all k .

Lemma 54. *There holds $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(\partial\Omega_k) H(\partial\Omega_k) < \infty$.*

Proof. Suppose, for a contradiction, that $\lambda(\partial\Omega_k) H(\partial\Omega_k) \rightarrow \infty$. Let $x_k \in \partial\Omega_k$ be such that $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(\partial\Omega_k)^{-1} |x_k|_{\bar{g}} > 0$ and $r_k = (n-1) H(\partial\Omega_k)^{-1}$. Passing to a subsequence,

$$r_k^{-1} (-x_k + \Omega_k \setminus B_1) \rightarrow \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x|_{\bar{g}} \leq 1\} \text{ locally smoothly in } \mathbb{R}^n.$$

It follows that the component of Ω_k which contains x_k is close to a coordinate ball of radius $r_k = o(\lambda(\partial\Omega_k))$. Using Lemma 52, we see that Ω_k contains a second such component. By the usual cut-and-paste argument, see, e.g., the proof of Lemma 51, this contradicts the assumption that Ω_k is isoperimetric. \square

Lemma 55. *Assume that there is a sequence $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of points $x_k \in \partial\Omega_k \setminus B_1$ with $\lambda(\partial\Omega_k)^{-1} |x_k|_{\bar{g}} \rightarrow \infty$. The component of Ω_k which contains x_k is close to a coordinate ball of radius $(n-1) H(\partial\Omega_k)^{-1}$.*

It follows from Lemma 55 that Ω_k has at most one component that is, on the scale of $\lambda(\partial\Omega_k)$, far from B_1 .

Lemma 56. *Assume that there are $x_k \in \partial\Omega_k \setminus B_1$ with $0 < \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(\partial\Omega_k)^{-1} |x_k|_{\bar{g}} < \infty$. There is $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that, passing to a subsequence,*

$$\lambda(\partial\Omega_k)^{-1} (\Omega_k \setminus B_1) \rightarrow \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x - \xi|_{\bar{g}} \leq 1\} \text{ locally smoothly in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}.$$

Proof. The assumption implies locally smooth convergence of a subsequence to a non-trivial locally isoperimetric region in \mathbb{R}^n , the area of whose boundary is at most $n\omega_n$. Such a region is a ball of radius $0 < r \leq 1$. Note that $(n-1)/r = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(\partial\Omega_k) H(\partial\Omega_k)$. Suppose, for a contradiction, that $r < 1$. Using Lemma 55, we see that Ω_k contains at least one additional large component that lies far out. By the usual cut-and-paste argument, this contradicts the assumption that Ω_k is isoperimetric. \square

Corollary 57. *There holds*

$$(47) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(\partial\Omega_k) H(\partial\Omega_k) = n - 1.$$

Now, we assume in addition that there is $K \subset M$ compact and disjoint from the boundary of M such that, for all k ,

$$K \cap \partial\Omega_k \neq \emptyset.$$

Proposition 58. *There is a region $\Omega \subset M$ with non-compact area-minimizing boundary such that, passing to a subsequence, $\Omega_k \rightarrow \Omega$ locally smoothly. There is $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|\xi|_{\bar{g}} = 1$ such that, passing to a subsequence, $\lambda(\partial\Omega_k)^{-1} (\Omega_k \setminus B_1) \rightarrow \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x - \xi|_{\bar{g}} \leq 1\}$ locally smoothly in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$.*

Proof. This follows from Lemma 50, Lemma 51, and Lemma 56. \square

In the following lemma, \mathring{h} denotes the traceless second fundamental form.

Lemma 59. *Let $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of points $x_k \in \partial\Omega_k \setminus B_1$ with $|x_k|_{\bar{g}} \rightarrow \infty$. Then*

$$(48) \quad \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} |x_k|_{\bar{g}} |\mathring{h}(\partial\Omega_k)(x_k)| = 0.$$

Proof. Suppose first that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(\partial\Omega_k)^{-1}|x_k|_{\bar{g}} = 0$. By Lemma 48 and 50, passing to a subsequence, $|x_k|_{\bar{g}}^{-1}(\Omega_k \setminus B_1)$ converges to a half-space locally smoothly in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. Suppose second that $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(\partial\Omega_k)^{-1}|x_k|_{\bar{g}} > 0$. By Proposition 58, passing to a subsequence, $|x_k|_{\bar{g}}^{-1}(\Omega_k \setminus B_1)$ converges to a ball locally smoothly in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. Either way, the assertion follows. \square

The isoperimetric profile of (M, g) is the function $A : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ given by

$$(49) \quad A(V) = \inf\{|\partial\Omega| : \Omega \subset M \text{ is a compact region with } |\Omega| = V\}.$$

Lemma 60. *The isoperimetric profile of (M, g) is absolutely continuous. As $V \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$(\omega_n^{-1} V)^{\frac{1-n}{n}} A(V) = n\omega_n + o(1)$$

and, almost everywhere,

$$(\omega_n^{-1} V)^{\frac{1}{n}} A'(V) = (n-1) + o(1).$$

If the boundary of M is non-empty, then A is a strictly increasing function.

Proof. See, e.g., [10, Appendix A] and [13, Proposition 4]. \square

Lemma 61 ([8, Theorem 1.12]). *Assume that (M, g) has positive mass. For every sufficiently large amount of volume $V > 0$, there exists an isoperimetric region $\Omega \subset M$ with $|\Omega| = V$.*

C. VARIATION OF AREA AND VOLUME

In this section, we recall the first and second variational formulae for area and volume and the definition of a stable constant mean curvature surface; see, e.g., [8, Appendix H].

Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold without boundary of dimension $n \geq 3$. Let $\Sigma \subset M$ be a closed hypersurface bounding a compact region Ω . We denote by $d\mu$ the area element, by ν the outward pointing unit normal, and by h and H the second fundamental form and mean curvature, respectively, computed with respect to ν .

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $U \in C^\infty(\Sigma \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon))$ with $U(x, 0) = 0$ for all $x \in \Sigma$. Decreasing ε if necessary, we obtain a smooth family $\{\Sigma(s) : s \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)\}$ of hypersurfaces $\Sigma(s) \subset M$ where

$$\Sigma(s) = \{\exp_x(U(x, s)\nu(x)) : x \in \Sigma\}.$$

We define the initial velocity $u \in C^\infty(\Sigma)$ and the initial acceleration $v \in C^\infty(\Sigma)$ by

$$u(x) = \dot{U}(x, 0) \quad \text{and} \quad v = \ddot{U}(x, 0).$$

Let $\Omega(s)$ be the compact region bounded by $\Sigma(s)$.

Lemma 62. *There holds*

$$(50) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} |\Sigma(s)| &= \int_{\Sigma} H u \, d\mu, \\ \frac{d^2}{ds^2} \Big|_{s=0} |\Sigma(s)| &= \int_{\Sigma} H v + H^2 u^2 + |\nabla u|^2 - (|h|^2 + Ric(\nu, \nu)) u^2 \, d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} |\Omega(s)| &= \int_{\Sigma} u \, d\mu, \\ \frac{d^2}{ds^2} \Big|_{s=0} |\Omega(s)| &= \int_{\Sigma} v + H u^2 \, d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Assume that for every such variation satisfying also

$$\frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} |\Omega(s)| = \frac{d^2}{ds^2} \Big|_{s=0} |\Omega(s)| = 0,$$

there holds

$$\frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} |\Sigma(s)| = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d^2}{ds^2} \Big|_{s=0} |\Sigma(s)| \geq 0.$$

Note that the mean curvature H of Σ is constant in this case. We say that Σ is a stable constant mean curvature surface

Note that each component of the boundary of an isoperimetric region $\Omega \subset M$ is a stable constant mean curvature surface with the same constant mean curvature.

We record the following integration by parts formula for the second variation of area formula (50) with respect to a Euclidean translation.

Lemma 63. *Let $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a closed oriented hypersurface with boundary $\partial\Sigma$. Let $\bar{\nu}$ be a unit normal of Σ and $\bar{\omega}$ the outward-pointing conormal of $\partial\Sigma$. Let $\bar{u}, \bar{v} : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by*

$$\bar{u} = \bar{g}(e_n, \bar{\nu}) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{v} = -\bar{h}(e_n^\top, e_n^\top).$$

There holds

$$\int_{\Sigma} \bar{H} \bar{v} + \bar{H}^2 \bar{u}^2 + |\bar{\nabla} \bar{u}|_{\bar{g}}^2 - |\bar{h}|_{\bar{g}}^2 \bar{u}^2 \, d\bar{\mu} = \int_{\partial\Sigma} \bar{h}(\bar{\omega}, e_n^\top) \bar{u} \, d\bar{\ell} - \int_{\partial\Sigma} \bar{g}(e_n^\top, \bar{\omega}) \bar{H} \bar{u} \, d\bar{\ell}.$$

Proof. Using that $\bar{\Delta} \bar{u} + |\bar{h}|_{\bar{g}}^2 \bar{u} = (\bar{\nabla}_{e_n^\top} \bar{H}) \bar{u}$, we obtain

$$\int_{\Sigma} |\bar{\nabla} \bar{u}|_{\bar{g}}^2 \, d\bar{\mu} = \int_{\partial\Sigma} \bar{h}(\bar{\omega}, e_n^\top) \bar{u} \, d\bar{\ell} + \int_{\Sigma} |\bar{h}|_{\bar{g}}^2 \bar{u}^2 - (\bar{\nabla}_{e_n^\top} \bar{H}) \bar{u} \, d\bar{\mu}.$$

Using the Codazzi equation $\bar{\nabla}_{e_n^\top} \bar{H} = (\operatorname{div} \bar{h})(e_n^\top)$ and integrating by parts again, we have

$$\int_{\Sigma} (\bar{\nabla}_{e_n^\top} \bar{H}) \bar{u} \, d\bar{\mu} = \int_{\partial\Sigma} \bar{g}(e_n^\top, \bar{\omega}) \bar{H} \bar{u} \, d\bar{\ell} + \int_{\Sigma} \bar{H}^2 \bar{u}^2 + \bar{H} \bar{v} \, d\bar{\mu}.$$

□

D. HYPERSURFACE GEOMETRY IN AN ASYMPTOTICALLY FLAT END

In this section, we assume that g is a Riemannian metric on \mathbb{R}^n where $n \geq 3$ and that, for some $\tau > 0$,

$$|g - \bar{g}| + |x|_{\bar{g}} |\bar{D}g|_{\bar{g}} = O(|x|_{\bar{g}}^{-\tau}).$$

Let $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a two-sided hypersurface with area element $d\mu$, designated normal ν , and second fundamental form h and mean curvature H with respect to ν . The corresponding Euclidean quantities are denoted with a bar.

Lemma 64. *As $x \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\begin{aligned}\nu &= \bar{\nu} + O(|x|_{\bar{g}}^{-\tau}), \\ d\mu &= (1 + O(|x|_{\bar{g}}^{-\tau})) d\bar{\mu}, \\ |x|_{\bar{g}} h &= |x|_{\bar{g}} \bar{h} + O(|x|_{\bar{g}}^{-\tau}) + O(|x|_{\bar{g}}^{1-\tau} |\bar{h}|_{\bar{g}}), \text{ and} \\ |x|_{\bar{g}} H &= |x|_{\bar{g}} \bar{H} + O(|x|_{\bar{g}}^{-\tau}) + O(|x|_{\bar{g}}^{1-\tau} |\bar{h}|_{\bar{g}}).\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 65. *Suppose that $\partial\Sigma = \emptyset$. Let $\alpha > 0$, $0 < s < t$, and suppose that, for some $c \geq 1$,*

$$r^{1-n} |B_r^n(0) \cap \Sigma|_{\bar{g}} \leq c$$

for all $s < r < t$. There holds

$$\int_{(B_t^n(0) \setminus B_s^n(0)) \cap \Sigma} |x|_{\bar{g}}^{-\alpha} d\bar{\mu} \leq c t^{-\alpha} + \frac{c\alpha}{n-1-\alpha} (t^{n-1-\alpha} + s^{n-1-\alpha}).$$

E. A LIOUVILLE THEOREM ON THE SLAB

In this section, we prove a Liouville theorem for harmonic functions on a slab.

Lemma 66. *Let $n \geq 2$. Let $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times [0, 2])$ be a non-negative harmonic function with $f(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \{0, 2\}$. Assume that*

$$(51) \quad \sup\{|\langle \bar{D}f \rangle(x)|_{\bar{g}} : x \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \{0\}\} < \infty.$$

Then $f = 0$.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \{1\}$ and $v \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times [0, 2])$ be given by $v(x) = f(x_0) x^n$. Note that v is harmonic. By the Boundary Harnack comparison principle, see, e.g., [6, Theorem 11.6], there is a constant $c > 0$ depending only on n such that $v \leq c f$ on $B_1^n(x_0 - e_n) \cap (\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times [0, 2])$. In particular,

$$f(x_0) = (\partial_{e_n} v)(x_0 - e_n) \leq c (\partial_{e_n} f)(x_0 - e_n).$$

In conjunction with (51), we see that f is bounded on $\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \{1\}$. By the Boundary Harnack inequality, see, e.g., [6, Theorem 11.5], it follows that f is bounded in all of $\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times [0, 2]$. We extend f to a bounded periodic harmonic function $\tilde{f} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By the Liouville theorem, \tilde{f} is constant. \square

Remark 67. *The function $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times [0, 2])$ given by $f(x) = \exp(x^1/(2\pi)) \sin(x^n/(2\pi))$ is non-negative, harmonic, satisfies $f(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \{0, 2\}$, but violates (51).*

REFERENCES

- [1] Michael T. Anderson and Lucio Rodríguez, Minimal surfaces and 3-manifolds of nonnegative Ricci curvature, *Math. Ann.* (1989), no. 284, 461–475
- [2] Richard Arnowitt, Stanley Deser, and Charles Misner, Coordinate invariance and energy expressions in general relativity, *Phys. Rev. (2)* (1961), no. 122, 997–1006
- [3] Nachman Aronszajn, A unique continuation theorem for solutions of elliptic partial differential equations or inequalities of second order, *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)* (1957), no. 36, 235–249
- [4] Robert Bartnik, The mass of an asymptotically flat manifold, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* (1986), no. 39, 661–693

- [5] Harold P. Boas and Ralph P. Boas, Short proofs of three theorems on harmonic functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* (1988), no. 102, 906–908
- [6] Luis Caffarelli and Sandro Salsa. A geometric approach to free boundary problems, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 68. *American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI*, ISBN?0-8218-3784-2
- [7] Alessandro Carlotto, Rigidity of stable minimal hypersurfaces in asymptotically flat spaces, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* (2016), no. 55, Art. 54, 20 pp.
- [8] Alessandro Carlotto, Otis Chodosh, and Michael Eichmair, Effective versions of the positive mass theorem, *Invent. Math.* (2016), no. 206, 975–1016
- [9] Alessandro Carlotto and Richard Schoen, Localizing solutions of the Einstein constraint equations, *Invent. Math.* (2016), no. 205, 559–615
- [10] Otis Chodosh, Michael Eichmair, Yuguang Shi, and Haobin Yu, Isoperimetry, scalar curvature, and mass in asymptotically flat Riemannian 3-manifolds, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* (2021), no. 74, 865–905
- [11] Otis Chodosh and Daniel Ketover, Asymptotically flat three-manifolds contain minimal planes, *Adv. Math.* (2018), no. 337, 171–192
- [12] Michael Eichmair and Jan Metzger, On large volume preserving stable CMC surfaces in initial data sets, *J. Differential Geom.* (2012), no. 91, 81–102
- [13] ———, Large isoperimetric surfaces in initial data sets, *J. Differential Geom.* (2013), no. 94, 159–186
- [14] ———, Unique isoperimetric foliations of asymptotically flat manifolds in all dimensions, *Invent. Math.* (2013), no. 194, 591–630
- [15] Paul Gallagher, A criterion for uniqueness of tangent cones at infinity for minimal surfaces, *J. Geom. Anal.* (2019), no. 29, 370–377
- [16] David Gilbarg and Neil S. Trudinger. Elliptic partial differential equations of second order, Classics in Mathematics. *Springer-Verlag, Berlin*, ISBN?3-540-41160-7
- [17] Yu Haobin, Isoperimetry for asymptotically flat 3-manifolds with positive ADM mass, *Mathematische Annalen* (2022), 18 pp.
- [18] Chao Li, The dihedral rigidity conjecture for n-prisms, *arXiv preprint arXiv:1907.03855* (2019), to appear in *J. Differential Geom.*
- [19] ———, Dihedral rigidity of parabolic polyhedrons in hyperbolic spaces, *SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.* (2020), no. 16, Paper No. 099, 8 pp.
- [20] Gang Liu, 3-manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature, *Invent. Math.* (2013), no. 193, 367–375
- [21] Laurent Mazet and Harold Rosenberg, Minimal planes in asymptotically flat three-manifolds, *J. Differential Geom.* (2022), no. 120, 533–556
- [22] Frank Morgan and Antonio Ros, Stable constant-mean-curvature hypersurfaces are area minimizing in small L^1 neighborhoods, *Interfaces Free Bound.* (2010), no. 12, 151–155
- [23] Richard Schoen, Conformal deformation of a Riemannian metric to constant scalar curvature, *J. Differential Geom.* (1984), no. 20, 479–495
- [24] Richard Schoen and Leon Simon, Regularity of stable minimal hypersurfaces, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* (1981), no. 34, 741–797
- [25] Richard Schoen and Shing Tung Yau, On the proof of the positive mass conjecture in general relativity, *Comm. Math. Phys.* (1979), no. 65, 45–76
- [26] Richard M. Schoen, Variational theory for the total scalar curvature functional for Riemannian metrics and related topics, *Topics in calculus of variations (Montecatini Terme, 1987)*, pp. 120–154, Lecture Notes in Math., 1365, *Springer, Berlin*, 1989
- [27] ———, Geometric questions in general relativity, *Chen-Jung Hsu Lecture 2, Academia Sinica, ROC*, (December 3 2013), no. 88, slides available at <http://w3.math.sinica.edu.tw/HSU/page/2013/Dec.3.pdf>
- [28] Leon Simon. Lectures on geometric measure theory, Proceedings of the Centre for Mathematical Analysis, Australian National University, vol. 3. *Australian National University, Centre for Mathematical Analysis, Canberra*, ISBN?0-86784-429-9

- [29] ———, Isolated singularities of extrema of geometric variational problems, *Harmonic mappings and minimal immersions (Montecatini, 1984)*, pp. 206–277, Lecture Notes in Math., 1161, Springer, Berlin, 1985
- [30] ———, A strict maximum principle for area minimizing hypersurfaces, *J. Differential Geom.* (1987), no. 26, 327–335
- [31] James Simons, Minimal varieties in Riemannian manifolds, *Ann. of Math. (2)* (1968), no. 88, 62–105
- [32] Brian White, Tangent cones to two-dimensional area-minimizing integral currents are unique, *Duke Math. J.* (1983), no. 50, 143–160

Michael Eichmair
University of Vienna
Faculty of Mathematics
Oskar-Morgenstern-Platz 1
1090 Vienna, Austria
<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7993-9536>
michael.eichmair@univie.ac.at

Thomas Koerber
University of Vienna
Faculty of Mathematics
Oskar-Morgenstern-Platz 1
1090 Vienna, Austria
<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1676-0824>
thomas.koerber@univie.ac.at