

JORDAN ALGEBRAS OVER ICOSAHEDRAL CUT-AND-PROJECT QUASICRYSTALS

DANIELE CORRADETTI, DAVID CHESTER, RAYMOND ASCHHEIM, AND KLEE IRWIN

ABSTRACT. In this paper present a general setting for aperiodic Jordan algebras arising from icosahedral quasicrystals that are obtainable as model sets of a cut-and-project scheme with a convex acceptance window. In these hypothesis, we show the existence of an aperiodic Jordan algebra structure whose generators are in one-to-one correspondence with elements of the quasicrystal. Moreover, if the acceptance window enjoys a non-crystallographic symmetry arising from H_2, H_3 or H_4 then the resulting Jordan algebra enjoys the same H_2, H_3 or H_4 symmetry. Finally, we present as special cases some examples of Jordan algebras over a Fibonacci-chain quasicrystal, a Penrose tiling, and the Elser-Sloane quasicrystal.

MSC2020: 52C23, 17B65, 17C50

1. INTRODUCTION AND MOTIVATION

Crystallographic Coxeter groups are an indispensable tool in classification of simple Lie algebras and thus play a prominent role in mathematical physics. The Chevalley-Serre theorem [Se, Kac] notoriously prevents the existence of finite-dimensional Lie algebras arising from non-crystallographic groups such as H_2, H_3 and H_4 . Therefore, even though numerous attempts have been made to encounter algebraic structures (e.g. [PT02, PPT98, Tw99a, DBT12]) and integrable systems (e.g. [Shc, FrKo, Tw99a, Tw00]) based non-crystallographic groups, those are far less used and known in their physical and algebraic applications. Nevertheless, the interest on non-crystallographic groups has incredibly grown since Setchman in 1982 found a metallic alloy with icosahedral point group symmetry [ShBGC], that was later defined by Levine and Steinhard [LeSt] as *quasicrystal*, in the sense of a crystal with quasi-periodic translational symmetry. Indeed, a very general mathematical set-up for the modelization of such type of quasicrystals was introduced by Moody and Patera in [MP93], making use of an interesting embedding of the non crystallographic group H_4 into E_8 noticed by Elser and Sloane in [ES] and then developed noticed by Shcherbak in [Shc]. In their work, Moody and Patera showed that a large class of icosahedral quasicrystals are obtainable as a cut and project scheme on the lattice of icosians or, equivalently, over an E_8 -lattice. Here, we will have their cut-and-project scheme as a starting point, focusing on a special class of quasicrystals arising from an acceptance window that must be a convex set. In this framework, we show in a constructive way the existence of a Jordan algebra structure whose generator are in one-to-one correspondence with elements of the quasicrystal. These Jordan algebras thus fits into the class of *aperiodic algebras* that were first introduced by Patera et al. in [PPT98] and later on studied by Twarock[Tw00] and Mazochurck [Ma02], even though their analysis was limited to aperiodic Lie algebras and never extended to Jordan algebras. Moreover, due to the H_4 -symmetry of icosians, if the acceptance window enjoys an $H_1 \cong A_1, H_2, H_3$ or H_4 symmetry, then the resulting Jordan algebra enjoys the same $H_1 \cong A_1, H_2, H_3$ or H_4 symmetry. In fact, this class of Jordan algebras are an algebraic structure naturally endowed with these non-crystallographic symmetries.

The present work is organized as follows. In section 2 we review basic definitions in order to fix notations and present crystallographic groups H_2, H_3 and H_4 . In section 3 we present a reformulation of the cut-and-project scheme in [MP93, ES] for both an icosian lattice and an E_8 -lattice. Section 4 is entirely devoted to a binary operation, called *quasiaddition*, first introduced in [BM94] and which will be crucial in the definition of the aperiodic Jordan algebra. Section 5 deals with aperiodic algebras, reviewing some known aperiodic algebras from [PPT98, Tw00, Ma02], then we present the definition of the aperiodic Jordan algebra $\mathfrak{J}(\Xi)$ defined for every quasicrystal Ξ from convex cut-and-project schemes, and we investigate basic properties of such class of algebras. Finally, to show that the selected class of quasicrystals is not only broad, but also highly significant, in section 6 we present some well-known

examples of icosahedral quasicrystals, and thus of aperiodic Jordan algebras, that fall in this category: the *Fibonacci-chain quasicrystal*, a *Penrose tiling*, a \mathbb{Z}_6 -*quasicrystal* and the *Elser-Sloane quasicrystal*.

In the whole paper symbol τ indicates the *golden mean*, i.e. $\tau = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \sqrt{5}) \approx 1.618$ and while, to avoid any ambiguity, the other root of the equation $x^2 + x + 1$ is referred as $1 - \tau$ or $-\tau^{-1}$, i.e. $1 - \tau = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \sqrt{5}) \approx -0.618$.

2. ICOSIANS AND NON-CRYSTALLOGRAPHIC ROOT SYSTEMS

The cut-and-project scheme that we will use in later section is a reformulation of that proposed by Moody and Patera in [MP93] and that makes use of icosians developing Elser-Sloane construction in [ES]. The goal of this section is to review all concepts needed to fully express such mathematical set-up. *Icosians* are a special set of quaternions that are vertices of a convex regular 4-polytope $\{3, 3, 5\}$, i.e. a 600-cell, which forms a group under quaternionic multiplication and, also, a non-crystallographic root system of type H_4 with the Euclidean norm. In fact, it can be easily shown [De21] that the group arising from icosians is the binary icosahedral group $2I$, the order-120 dicyclic group, which is double cover of the rotational symmetry group of the icosahedron. The H_4 -symmetry of the icosian group allows the Jordan algebras that we will define in sec. 5 to be H_4 -symmetric, and it is of paramount importance in order to model icosahedral quasicrystals.

2.1. EUCLIDEAN SPACES AND ROOT SYSTEMS. Let \mathbb{E}^n be an Euclidean space, i.e. a vector space over the real numbers \mathbb{R} endowed with a positive defined symmetric bilinear form $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ called *inner product*. Then the *length* of a vector $v \in \mathbb{E}^n$ is given by $\|v\| = \sqrt{\langle v | v \rangle}$, and the *angle* $\theta(v, w)$ of two vectors $v, w \in \mathbb{E}^n$ is defined as

$$(2.1) \quad \cos \theta(v, w) = \frac{\langle v | w \rangle}{\|v\| \|w\|}.$$

A *reflection* in the Euclidean space \mathbb{E}^n is a linear transformation r_α that fixes all vectors orthogonal to the vector α , i.e.

$$(2.2) \quad r_\alpha(v) = v - \frac{2\langle v | \alpha \rangle}{\langle \alpha | \alpha \rangle} \alpha,$$

for every $\alpha, v \in \mathbb{E}^n$. Obviously, the composition of two reflections is still a reflection. Moreover, the inverse of any reflection is the reflection itself, i.e. $r_\alpha^{-1} = r_\alpha$, and, finally, identity itself is a reflection once we set $\alpha = 0$. As a consequence, the reflections of an Euclidean space form a group. Even more, a straightforward computation shows that $\langle r_\alpha(v) | r_\alpha(w) \rangle = \langle v | w \rangle$ for every $v, w \in \mathbb{E}^n$, so that every reflection r_α is an isometry. A group generated by reflections (2.2) is called a *reflection group*.

Let \mathbb{E}^n be an Euclidean space. Then a subset Φ of vectors in \mathbb{E}^n is called a *root system*, if it contains the opposite of every elements and it is invariant to every reflection generated by its elements, i.e.

$$(2.3) \quad \pm \alpha \in \Phi \quad \text{and} \quad r_\alpha(\Phi) = \Phi,$$

for every $\alpha \in \Phi$. If the subset Φ is a root system, then all vectors α belonging to Φ are called *roots* and the dimension n of the embedding space n is called the *rank* of the system. Finally, for every root system Φ , we call the *root lattice* of Φ in \mathbb{E}^n as the \mathbb{Z} -span of Φ , i.e.

$$(2.4) \quad \Lambda(\Phi) = \{\beta \in \mathbb{E}^n : \beta = n\alpha, \alpha \in \Phi, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

It is important to stress out that, by definition (2.3), root systems and reflection groups are linked one another, so that any root system give rise to the reflection group that leave its roots invariant and the converse is also true. Finally, all root systems are notoriously classified in the following families

- four one-parameter families of type A_n, B_n, C_n, D_n of rank $n \geq 1$;
- a one-parameter family of type $I_2(m)$ of rank 2 with $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Special cases $I_2(3), I_2(4), I_2(5)$ and $I_2(6)$ are historically labeled as A_2, B_2, H_2 and G_2 respectively;
- and six exceptional systems of type E_6, E_7, E_8, F_4, H_3 and H_4 .

A special class of root systems, called crystallographic systems, found a major role in Lie theory. Indeed, let us consider the quantities generated by $\langle \beta | \alpha \rangle$ and $\langle \alpha | \alpha \rangle$ where α, β are roots of the same system Φ . If the ratio between the two roots is always an integer, i.e.

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{\langle \beta | \alpha \rangle}{\langle \alpha | \alpha \rangle} \in \mathbb{Z},$$

for every $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$, then the root system is called *crystallographic*, while is called *non crystallographic* otherwise. As famously proved by Serre [Se], any crystallographic system allows the definition of a finite dimensional Lie algebra with Cartan decomposition related to the root system. On the other hand, non-crystallographic root system do not satisfy Serre relations and thus do not give rise to finite dimensional Lie algebra [Kac].

2.2. NON-CRYSTALLOGRAPHIC ROOT SYSTEMS. A concrete example of a type- H_4 root system is $\Delta_4 \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ given by the following 120 vertices of a 600-cell C_{600} , i.e.

$$(2.6) \quad \Delta_4 = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (\pm 1, 0, 0, 0), \frac{1}{2}(\pm 1, \pm 1, \pm 1, \pm 1) & \text{and all permutation} \\ \frac{1}{2}(0, \pm 1, \pm \frac{1}{\tau}, \pm \tau) & \text{and all even permutation} \end{array} \right\}.$$

A subsystem of $\Delta_4 \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ is given by $\Delta_3 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, which is a root system of type H_3 , defined by the following 30 vertices of an icosahedron, i.e.

$$(2.7) \quad \Delta_3 = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (\pm 1, 0, 0) & \text{and all permutation} \\ \frac{1}{2}(\pm 1, \pm \frac{1}{\tau}, \pm \tau) & \text{and all even permutation} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Finally, $\Delta_3 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ contains a type- H_2 root system $\Delta_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ given by the 10 vertices of a decagon, i.e.

$$(2.8) \quad \Delta_2 = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (\pm 1, 0) \\ \frac{1}{2}(\pm 1, \pm \tau) & \text{and all permutation} \end{array} \right\},$$

so that we have the following chain of root systems $\Delta_2 \subset \Delta_3 \subset \Delta_4$ that are of type H_2, H_3 and H_4 respectively.

2.3. NON-CRYSTALLOGRAPHIC COXETER GROUPS. In order to study reflection groups and root systems in an efficient way, it is a common practice to define Coxeter groups which are abstract presentations of reflection groups. Let a *Coxeter matrix* M be a symmetric matrix of finite dimension n with integer off diagonal entries ≥ 2 and diagonal entries equal to 1, i.e. $m_{ij} \in \mathbb{N}$, $m_{ij} = m_{ji}$, $m_{ii} = 1$ and $m_{ij} \geq 2$ if $i \neq j$. Then a *Coxeter group* is an abstract group with presentation

$$(2.9) \quad \langle R_1, \dots, R_n \mid (R_i R_j)^{m_{ij}} = 1 \rangle.$$

As Coxeter himself proved in [Co34], every reflection group is a Coxeter group and, even more, every finite Coxeter group admits a faithful representation as a finite reflection group of some Euclidean space. In case of the non-crystallographic root systems of type H_2, H_3 and H_4 , we have a presentation of the respective Coxeter groups given by $\langle R_1, \dots, R_n \mid (R_i R_j)^{m_{ij}} = 1 \rangle$, with n equal to 2, 3 and 4 respectively, and with coefficients m_{ij} of the Coxeter matrices given by the following

$$(2.10) \quad (H_2)_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 5 \\ 5 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, (H_3)_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 3 & 1 & 5 \\ 2 & 5 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, (H_4)_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 & 2 & 2 \\ 3 & 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 & 5 \\ 2 & 2 & 5 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

To give some concrete realisations of such groups, the Coxeter group H_2 of order 10 can be realised as the symmetry group of a decagon, i.e. the reflection group that leaves invariant the roots of the system Δ_2 ; the group H_3 of order 120 can be realised as the full symmetry group of an icosahedron, i.e. the reflection group that leaves invariant the roots of the system Δ_3 ; finally, the group H_4 of order 14400 can be realised as the symmetry group of a 600-cell, i.e. the reflection group that leaves invariant the roots of the system Δ_4 .

Root of Δ_4	Icosian in \mathbb{H}	Permutation in A_5	Isometry of \mathbb{R}^3
$\pm(0, 1, 0, 0)$	$\pm i$	$(2, 3)(4, 5)$	$\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & \frac{1}{\tau} & -\tau \\ \frac{1}{\tau} & -\tau & -1 \\ -\tau & -1 & \frac{1}{\tau} \end{pmatrix}$
$\pm(0, 0, 1, 0)$	$\pm j$	$(2, 4)(5, 3)$	$\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -\tau & 1 & -\frac{1}{\tau} \\ 1 & -\frac{1}{\tau} & \tau \\ -\frac{1}{\tau} & \tau & -1 \end{pmatrix}$
$\pm(0, 0, 0, 1)$	$\pm k$	$(2, 5)(3, 4)$	$\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{\tau} & -\tau & 1 \\ -\tau & -1 & -\frac{1}{\tau} \\ 1 & -\frac{1}{\tau} & -\tau \end{pmatrix}$
$\pm\frac{1}{2}(-1, 1, 1, 1)$	$\pm\frac{1}{2}(1 + i + j + k)$	$(3, 4, 5)$	$\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & \frac{1}{\tau} & \tau \\ -\frac{1}{\tau} & \tau & -1 \\ -\tau & -1 & -\frac{1}{\tau} \end{pmatrix}$
$\pm\frac{1}{2}(0, 1, -\frac{1}{\tau}, \tau)$	$\pm\frac{1}{2}(i - \frac{1}{\tau}j + \tau k)$	$(1, 3)(4, 5)$	$\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -\frac{1}{\tau} & \tau \\ -\frac{1}{\tau} & -\tau & -1 \\ \tau & -1 & \frac{1}{\tau} \end{pmatrix}$

TABLE 1. Correspondence between root of the system Δ_4 of type H_4 , element of the icosian group \mathcal{I} , elements of the alternating group A_5 and the icosahedral symmetries in \mathbb{R}^3 of which the icosian group \mathcal{I} is a double cover.

2.4. THE ICOSIAN RING. In section 2.2 we showed concrete examples of root systems of type of type H_2, H_3 and H_4 . Here, we show a notable quaternionic realisation of the root system Δ_4 of type H_4 , and thus of its subsystems Δ_3 and Δ_2 of type H_3 and H_2 respectively. With this in mind we identify \mathbb{R}^4 with quaternions \mathbb{H} through the canonical identification ι from \mathbb{R}^4 to \mathbb{H} given by

$$(2.11) \quad \iota(a, b, c, d) \longrightarrow a + bi + cj + dk,$$

and define the subset of *icosians* $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathbb{H}$ as the quaternions that are image of $\iota(\Delta_4)$, where elements of $\Delta_4 \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ are explicitly defined in (2.6). Obviously \mathcal{I} is root system of type H_4 . Notice that the quaternionic units $1, i, j, k$ belong to $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathbb{H}$ since they are image of even permutations of $(1, 0, 0, 0)$ that belong to Δ_4 .

Moreover, it is easy to see that the 120 elements of \mathcal{I} form a group under quaternionic multiplication. This group is known as the *icosian group* [CoSl] and is isomorphic to the binary icosahedral group. The reason behind the name it is due to Hamilton [Ha] and lies in its relationship with the icosahedral rotational group A_5 of order 60 of which \mathcal{I} is the double cover. Indeed, the homomorphism from $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathbb{H}$ to A_5 given by

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} (0, 1, 0, 0) &\longrightarrow (2, 3)(4, 5), \\ (0, 0, 1, 0) &\longrightarrow (2, 4)(5, 3), \\ (0, 0, 0, 1) &\longrightarrow (2, 5)(3, 4), \\ \frac{1}{2}(-1, 1, 1, 1) &\longrightarrow (3, 4, 5), \\ \frac{1}{2}(0, 1, -\frac{1}{\tau}, \tau) &\longrightarrow (1, 3)(4, 5), \end{aligned}$$

it is well defined and has kernel $\{1, -1\}$. Table 1 shows the correspondence between roots of Δ_4 , elements of the icosians group \mathcal{I} , elements of the alternating group A_5 and, elements of the icosahedral group as isometries in \mathbb{R}^3 .

From the icosian group, we define the *icosian ring* \mathbb{I} as the \mathbb{Z} -span, i.e. all finite sums of the icosian group \mathcal{I} . Obviously, the icosian ring \mathbb{I} is a subset of the algebra of quaternions \mathbb{H} , and from such algebra inherits a conjugation and a norm, that we will call *quaternionic norm* n , given by

$$(2.13) \quad n(x) = \langle x, x \rangle = x\bar{x},$$

for every $x \in \mathbb{I}$. It is worth noting that elements of the icosians group \mathcal{I} are icosians with unitary norm, i.e.

$$(2.14) \quad \mathcal{I} = \{x \in \mathbb{I} : n(x) = 1\},$$

so that icosians \mathbb{I} of unitary quaternionic norm form a root system of type H_4 . In a next section we will see that this is not the only norm we set over the ring of icosians and that ring of icosians \mathbb{I} endowed with the *Euclidean norm* $n_{\mathbb{E}}$ that we will later define is isomorphic to an E_8 -lattice [CoSI].

Now, consider the map $*$ on the Dirichlet ring $\mathbb{Z}[\tau]$ that sends τ in $1 - \tau$, i.e. defined by

$$(2.15) \quad (a + \tau b)^* = (a + b) - \tau b,$$

with $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Clearly, the map $*$ can be extended to the whole icosian ring \mathbb{I} and it will be of paramount importance in defining icosahedral quasicrystals in the next section.

Finally, an useful way of working with icosians is through their \mathbb{Z}^8 decomposition, which is the following

$$(2.16) \quad x = (a + \tau b) + (c + \tau d)i + (e + \tau f)j + (g + \tau h)k,$$

where $a, b, c, d, e, f, g \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, from now on we will use ambivalently what we will call *integral coordinates* of a generic icosian $x \in \mathbb{I}$, i.e. $x = (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h)$, or the quaternionic notation depending on the convenience. Moreover, it is straightforward to note that

$$(2.17) \quad x^* = (a + b, -b, c + d, -d, e + f, -f, g + h, -h),$$

which gives us an explicit formula for the star map in integral coordinates.

3. ICOSAHEDRAL QUASICRYSTALS AS MODEL SETS

It is well-known that a general mathematical setup for cut-and-project quasicrystals is given by *model sets* that arise from cut-and-project schemes [Mo00]. More specifically, a broad class of icosahedral quasicrystals, which is the one of our interest, is linked to cut-and-project schemes over an icosian lattice (e.g. see [MP93, Mo00]). In this section we present the notion of *convex cut-and-project scheme*, introducing a scheme inspired by [Mo00, sec. 4.1] and that make use of an icosian lattice. Moreover, we present an equivalent scheme that make use of an E_8 -lattice. Since for the definition of the aperiodic Jordan algebra the acceptance window of the scheme must be convex, we will restrict ourself to this condition. It is worth noting that the setting of the cut-and-project scheme through the use of the “icosian lattice” or its equivalent E_8 -lattice is endowed with an H_4 symmetry that will be useful for Theorem 5.7 on the non-crystallographic symmetries of the Jordan algebra.

3.1. MODEL SETS AND CUT-AND-PROJECT SCHEMES. A *cut-and-project scheme* is a lattice Λ in an *embedding space* $\mathbb{R}^{d_1} \times G$, where \mathbb{R}^{d_1} is a real euclidean space called the *physical space* and, G is a locally compact abelian group that, for our purposes, will simply be \mathbb{R}^{d_2} and that is called the *inner space*. Along with the previous embedding, a cut-and-project scheme is endowed with two projections π_1 and π_2 that have image into \mathbb{R}^{d_1} and \mathbb{R}^{d_2} respectively. In brief, a cut-and-project scheme is given by the following collection of spaces and mappings

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \Xi & & \Lambda & & \Omega \\ \cap & & \cap & & \cap \\ \mathbb{R}^{d_1} & \xleftarrow{\pi_1} & \mathbb{R}^{d_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_2} & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & \mathbb{R}^{d_2} \end{array}$$

such that the restriction $\pi_1|_{\Lambda}$ is injective in \mathbb{R}^{d_1} and the image $\pi_2(\Lambda)$ is dense in \mathbb{R}^{d_2} . Given a cut-and-project scheme and a set Ω called *acceptance window*, such that the closure of the interior $\overline{\text{int}(\Omega)}$ is compact, then a *model set* Ξ is defined as the points in physical space that are image of points that once are projected in the inner space belong to the window Ω , i.e.

$$(3.2) \quad \Xi = \{ \pi_1(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1} : x \in \Lambda, \pi_2(x) \in \Omega \}.$$

In practice, it is often useful to define the model set Ξ through a *star map* $*$ that goes directly from \mathbb{R}^{d_1} to \mathbb{R}^{d_2} , i.e.

$$(3.3) \quad x^* = \pi_2(\pi_1|_{\Lambda}^{-1}(x)),$$

so that the model set is then given by points in the physical space that are sent by the star map into the acceptance window, i.e. $\Xi = \{x \in \pi_1(\Lambda) : x^* \in \Omega\}$. In accordance with a common practice, we will call the model set Ξ a *cut-and-project quasicrystal*; moreover, we will add the adjective *convex* if the window Ω is a convex subset of \mathbb{R}^{d_2} .

3.2. ICOSAHEDRAL QUASICRYSTALS FROM ICOSIANS. Consider the cut-and-project scheme obtained embedding a lattice $\tilde{\mathbb{I}}$ made by two copies of the ring of icosians \mathbb{I} , i.e. $\tilde{\mathbb{I}} \cong \mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{I}$, in the embedding space $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H} \cong \mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{R}^4$ through the map $x \mapsto (x, x^*)$ where $*$ is the star map defined in (2.15). We then have the following cut-and-project scheme

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \Xi & & \tilde{\mathbb{I}} & & \Omega \\ \cap & & \cap & & \cap \\ \mathbb{H} & \xleftarrow{\pi_{\parallel}} & \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H} & \xrightarrow{\pi_{\perp}} & \mathbb{H} \end{array}$$

where π_{\parallel} and π_{\perp} are the trivial projections and Ω is the acceptance window which, in this case, is a subset whose closure is compact. The model set Ξ is then given by

$$(3.5) \quad \Xi = \{x \in \mathbb{I} : x^* \in P\}.$$

This cut-and-project scheme essentially encompasses the generic situation for icosahedral symmetry in model sets. In fact, almost all known icosahedral quasicrystals, obtainable from cut-and-project methods, arise from this mathematical set up [Mo00, sec. 4.1]. Nevertheless, in order to build an aperiodic Jordan algebra over the model set, we will restrict ourself to the special cases where Ω is a convex set.

3.3. ICOSAHEDRAL QUASICRYSTALS FROM AN E_8 LATTICE. The ring of icosians enjoys a deep relation with the E_8 lattice, which can be made explicit with the aid of a different norm over icosians (see [CoSl, MP93]). Indeed, in a previous section we have seen that icosian ring \mathbb{I} inherits, from its natural embedding in \mathbb{H} , a *quaternionic norm* n . We now notice that the norm of a generic element $x \in \mathbb{I}$, i.e. $n(x)$, is a real number of the form $p + q\sqrt{5}$ with p, q being rational numbers. Thus, we can define a new *Euclidean norm* $n_{\mathbb{E}}$, given by

$$(3.6) \quad n_{\mathbb{E}}(x) = p + q.$$

To be more explicit, in integral coordinates the quaternionic norm $n(x)$ of an icosian $x = (a_0, b_0, a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2, a_3, b_3)$ is

$$(3.7) \quad n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^3 \left(a_k + \sqrt{5}b_k \right)^2 \in \mathbb{Z}[\tau],$$

while the Euclidean norm $n_{\mathbb{E}}(x)$ is

$$(3.8) \quad n_{\mathbb{E}}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^3 a_k^2 + 5b_k^2 + 2a_k b_k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

It can be shown that the icosian ring, with the Euclidean norm, is isomorphic to an E_8 lattice $\Lambda(E_8)$ (see [CoSl, Ch. 8]). As a consequence of this isomorphism, icosahedral quasicrystals, that are obtainable as model set of a cut-and-project scheme over icosians, can also be modeled through a cut-and-project scheme over an E_8 lattice.

Indeed, following [Pa95] let $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \in \mathbb{R}^4$ be

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} a_1 &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\tau}, -\tau, 0, -1 \right), & a_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \left(0, \frac{1}{\tau}, -\tau, 1 \right), \\ a_3 &= \frac{1}{2} \left(0, 1, \frac{1}{\tau}, -\tau \right), & a_4 &= \frac{1}{2} \left(0, -1, \frac{1}{\tau}, \tau \right). \end{aligned}$$

A cut-and-project scheme equivalent to (3.4) is obtained embedding the E_8 -lattice $\Lambda(E_8)$ in $\mathbb{R}^8 \cong \mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{R}^4$, with the following maps, i.e.

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \Xi & & \Lambda(E_8) & & \Omega \\ \cap & & \cap & & \cap \\ \mathbb{R}^4 & \xleftarrow{\pi_{\parallel}} & \mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{R}^4 & \xrightarrow{\pi_{\perp}} & \mathbb{R}^4 \end{array}$$

where Ω is the acceptance window and the projections π_{\parallel} and π_{\perp} are defined as follows. Given an element $X \in \Lambda(E_8)$, i.e.

$$(3.11) \quad X = c_1\alpha_1 + \dots + c_8\alpha_8,$$

with $c_1, \dots, c_8 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_8$ simple roots of E_8 , we define

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \pi_{\parallel}(X) &= (c_1 + \tau c_7) a_1 + (c_2 + \tau c_6) a_2 + (c_3 + \tau c_5) a_3 + (c_8 + \tau c_4) a_4, \\ \pi_{\perp}(X) &= (c_1 - \frac{1}{\tau} c_7) a_1^* + (c_2 - \frac{1}{\tau} c_6) a_2^* + (c_3 - \frac{1}{\tau} c_5) a_3^* + (c_8 - \frac{1}{\tau} c_4) a_4^*, \end{aligned}$$

where a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 are the elements defined in (3.9) and $a_1^*, a_2^*, a_3^*, a_4^*$ are their respective images through the star map in (2.15). Notice that through the canonical identification between \mathbb{R}^4 and \mathbb{H} in (2.11), we have that

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \iota(a_1) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\tau} - \tau i - k \right), & \iota(a_2) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\tau} i - \tau j + k \right), \\ \iota(a_3) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(i + \frac{1}{\tau} j - \tau k \right), & \iota(a_4) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(-i + \frac{1}{\tau} j + \tau k \right), \end{aligned}$$

are all icosians, therefore the image of the E_8 -lattice $\Lambda(E_8)$ is the icosian ring \mathbb{I} , thus making the model set Ξ an aperiodic subset of the icosian ring.

4. QUASIADDITION

All convex cut-and-project quasicrystals enjoy a symmetry that it can be easily expressed by a binary operation called *quasiaddition* [BM94, Pa95, CCAI] as contraction of “quasicrystal addition” and that can be defined for the icosian ring \mathbb{I} , i.e.

$$(4.1) \quad x \vdash y = \tau^2 x - \tau y,$$

for every $x, y \in \mathbb{I}$. Such binary operation is not commutative, nor associative, and does not have a unit. Nevertheless is power-associative and flexible [CCAI2]. The major properties of quasiaddition are summarized in the following

Proposition 4.1. *Let $x, y \in \mathbb{I}$. Then*

$$(4.2) \quad x \vdash (x \vdash (x \vdash (\dots \vdash x))) = x,$$

$$(4.3) \quad x \vdash (y \vdash x) = (x \vdash y) \vdash x,$$

$$(4.4) \quad x \vdash (y \vdash y) = (x \vdash x) \vdash y = x \vdash y,$$

$$(4.5) \quad x \vdash (x \vdash y) = y \vdash x.$$

Proof. The first property is just the plain result of τ being solution of $\tau^2 - \tau - 1 = 0$. Indeed,

$$x \vdash x = (1 + \tau) x - \tau x = x,$$

and thus the (4.2) follows. As corollary, quasiaddition is *power-associative*. *Flexibility* is expressed by (4.3) which is a straightforward calculation. Since is flexible and not associative, then obviously $x \vdash (x \vdash y) \neq (x \vdash x) \vdash y$. But, since $x \vdash (x \vdash y) = (1 + \tau) y - \tau x$, then, in a rather interesting way, we have

$$(4.6) \quad x \vdash (x \vdash y) = y \vdash x,$$

$$(4.7) \quad (x \vdash x) \vdash y = x \vdash y,$$

from which (4.4) and (4.5) follow. □

An useful technical Lemma, whose straightforward proof we omit but can found in [CCAI2], is the following

Lemma 4.2. *Let $x, y \in \mathbb{I}$. Then*

$$(4.8) \quad (((((y \vdash x) \vdash x) \vdash x) \vdash \dots) \vdash x) = (1 + \tau)^k (y - x) + x,$$

where k is the number of times the element x is quasiadded to y .

Another interesting property of quasiaddition, which is again the result of a straightforward calculation, is called the *translational invariance* [BM94], i.e.

$$(4.9) \quad (x + u) \vdash (y + u) = (x \vdash y) + u,$$

for every $x, y, u \in \mathbb{I}$. This property is useful when working with model sets resulting from a cut-and-project scheme. Indeed, if quasiaddition acts over quasicrystals as special kind of inflation of the quasicrystal.

Thus, the translational invariance in (4.9) ensures that the inflation of a translated quasicrystal matches the translation of the already inflated quasicrystal.

Finally, the most important feature of quasiaddition is expressed by the following

Theorem 4.3. *If x, y belong to a convex cut-and-project icosahedral quasicrystal Ξ then also $x \vdash y$ belongs to it, i.e. $x, y \in \Xi$ implies*

$$(4.10) \quad x \vdash y \in \Xi.$$

Proof. Let $x, y \in \Xi$ where Ξ is the model set of a cut-and-project scheme as in (3.4) with the acceptance window Ω that is a convex set, i.e. $(1-t)x - ty \in \Omega$ for every $x, y \in \Omega$ and $t \in [0, 1]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (x \vdash y)^* &= (1-\tau)^2 x - (1-\tau)y \\ &= (1-t)x + ty, \end{aligned}$$

where $t = 1 - \tau \approx 0.618\dots$ Since Ω is a convex, then $(x \vdash y)^* \in \Omega$, thus $x \vdash y \in \Xi$. \square

Now, an useful Lemma is the one that shows how quasiaddition behaves on the integral coordinates of two icosians as defined in (2.16).

Lemma 4.4. *Let $x, y \in \mathbb{I}$ with $x = (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h)$ and $y = (a', b', c', d', e', f', g', h')$. Then*

$$(4.11) \quad x \vdash y = \begin{pmatrix} (a - a') + (b - b') + a' \\ (a - a') + (b - b') + b \\ (c - c') + (d - d') + c' \\ (c - c') + (d - d') + d \\ (e - e') + (f - f') + e' \\ (e - e') + (f - f') + f \\ (g - g') + (h - h') + g' \\ (g - g') + (h - h') + h \end{pmatrix}^t.$$

Proof. The proof is a straightforward once we notice that for an Dirichlet number $z = (a, b) = a + \tau b \in \mathbb{Z}[\tau]$, then $\tau z = \tau a + \tau^2 b$ and $\tau^2 z = (1 + \tau)(a + \tau b)$ so that multiplication by τ and τ^2 act on integral coordinates as

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \tau : (a, b) &\longrightarrow (b, a + b), \\ \tau^2 : (a, b) &\longrightarrow (a + b, a + 2b). \end{aligned}$$

In case of $x, y \in \mathbb{I}$, as in the hypothesis of the Lemma, we have

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \tau^2 : x &\longrightarrow (a + b, a + 2b, c + d, c + 2d, e + f, e + 2f, g + h, g + 2h), \\ \tau : y &\longrightarrow (b', a' + b', c', c' + d', e', e' + f', g', g' + h'), \end{aligned}$$

from which follows the Lemma. \square

An immediate Corollary of the previous Lemma, which will be useful in the next section is the following

Corollary 4.5. *Let $x, y \in \mathbb{I}$. Then $x \vdash y = x$ if and only if $y = x$.*

5. APERIODIC JORDAN ALGEBRAS FROM ICOSAHEDRAL QUASICRYSTALS

In this section we briefly review some important aperiodic algebras studied by Patera and Twarock in [PPT98, Tw00, MT03]. Then we show how to define an aperiodic Jordan algebra for convex cut-and-project icosahedral quasicrystals. Even though specific quasicrystals give rise to different features of the algebra, all Jordan algebras arising from this process enjoy some common properties that we present here. Finally, to give concreteness to the definition we analyze a three aperiodic Jordan algebra: one defined over the palindromic Fibonacci-chain quasicrystal; one for the Penrose tiling in (6.6); and, finally, one for the Elser-Sloane quasicrystal.

5.1. APERIODIC ALGEBRAS. Aperiodic algebras were introduced by Patera, Pelantova and Twarock in [PPT98] with a specific class of aperiodic algebras named Quasicrystal Lie algebras whose generators are in one to one correspondence with a one-dimensional quasicrystal which was a modification of a Fibonacci-chain quasicrystal. Such quasicrystal Lie algebras were inspired by the usual Witt algebra, but they did not possess a Virasoro extension, which was an interesting feature that the authors were aiming for. Later on Twarock defined an aperiodic Witt algebra which indeed had a Virasoro extension [Tw00] and thus allowing some interesting physical applications in the study of the breaking of Virasoro symmetry and in the realisation of a class of integrable systems [Tw99a, TW00b]. A similar algebra, related to the Penrose tiling we reviewed in (6.6), was then studied by Twarock and Mazorchuk in [MT03].

Unfortunately, Twarock and Mazochurck's work on Penrose Tiling cannot be extended to the quaternions and, thus, to icosahedral quasicrystal arising from a cut-and-project system of the kind in (3.4). Indeed we have the following

Theorem 5.1. *Let \mathbb{I} be the ring of icosians and $\{L_x\}_{x \in \mathbb{I}}$ be a basis for the infinite-dimensional algebra \mathfrak{W} with bilinear product defined by for every $x, y \in \mathbb{I}$*

$$(5.1) \quad [L_x, L_y] = f(x - y) L_{x+y}.$$

The only f that makes \mathfrak{W} a Lie algebra is the zero function and in that case \mathfrak{W} is an abelian Lie algebra.

Proof. To proof the theorem we notice that for \mathfrak{W} to be a Lie algebra the product must be antisymmetric and thus $f(-x) = -f(x)$. On the other hand, to be satisfied the Jacobi identity we need

$$(5.2) \quad [L_x, [L_y, L_z]] + [L_y, [L_z, L_x]] + [L_z, [L_x, L_y]] = 0,$$

and since

$$(5.3) \quad [L_x, [L_y, L_z]] = f(z - y) f(y + z - x) L_{x+y+z},$$

$$(5.4) \quad [L_y, [L_z, L_x]] = f(x - z) f(z + x - y) L_{x+y+z},$$

$$(5.5) \quad [L_z, [L_x, L_y]] = f(y - x) f(x + y + z) L_{x+y+z},$$

this means that $f(xy) = f(x) \cdot f(y)$. Unfortunately this cannot happen over the quaternions. Indeed let i, j be two imaginary unit, since f is real and the reals are abelians, we have $f(i) f(j) = f(j) f(i)$, but on the other hand $ij = -ji$ we have

$$(5.6) \quad f(ij) = f(i) f(j) = f(j) f(i) = f(ji) = -f(ij),$$

and thus $f \equiv 0$. Then for any quaternion we have $f(q) = f(ij(-jiq)) = f(ij) f(-jiq) = 0$. \square

Having reviewed why Twarock and Mazochurck approach cannot be extended to icosians or quaternions in general, we will now switch from Lie algebras to Jordan algebras showing how aperiodic Jordan algebras arise quite naturally in this context.

5.2. JORDAN ALGEBRAS FROM QUASICRYSTALS. The previous theorem prevents the extension of aperiodic Witt algebras to icosahedral quasicrystals arising from cut-and-project schemes such a (3.4). On the other hand, the definition of an aperiodic Jordan algebra is possible for every model set arising from the cut-and-project scheme (3.4) with a convex window Ω . Indeed, let Ξ be the convex cut-and-project quasicrystal arising from a convex window Ω and let $\mathfrak{J}(\Xi)$ be the real vector space spanned by $\{L_x\}_{x \in \Xi}$ equipped with the bilinear product given by

$$(5.7) \quad L_x \circ L_y = \frac{1}{2} (L_{x+y} + L_{y+x}),$$

for every $x, y \in \Xi$. Since, by Theorem 4.3 we have that $x \vdash y \in \Xi$, then the product is well defined. Moreover, we have the following

Theorem 5.2. *The algebra $\mathfrak{J}(\Xi)$ is a Jordan algebra.*

Proof. The product in (5.7) is bilinear by definition and is clearly commutative, i.e.

$$(5.8) \quad L_x \circ L_y = L_y \circ L_x.$$

Therefore, it is sufficient to show that the Jordan identity is valid. Indeed, since (4.2) and the commutativity of the product, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (L_x \circ L_y) \circ (L_x \circ L_x) &= \frac{1}{2} (L_x \circ L_y) \circ (L_{x \vdash x} + L_{x \dashv x}) \\ &= (L_x \circ L_y) \circ L_x \\ &= L_x \circ (L_y \circ L_x) \\ &= L_x \circ (L_y \circ (L_x \circ L_x)), \end{aligned}$$

thus fulfilling the Jordan identity. \square

It is well-known that Jordan algebras have an abundance of idempotent elements. This algebra is no exception since (4.2) implies that all elements of the basis used for its definition are idempotents, i.e.

$$(5.9) \quad (L_x \circ L_x) = L_x,$$

for every $x \in \Xi$.

Clearly there are specific features of the Jordan algebra $\mathfrak{J}(\Xi)$ that depends on the choice of the quasicrystal Ξ from which is originated. Nevertheless there are few basic properties that are common to all Jordan algebras arising from (5.7). Indeed, an interesting feature is expressed by the the following

Lemma 5.3. *Let $x, x', y, y' \in \Xi$. If*

$$(5.10) \quad L_x \circ L_y = \frac{1}{2} (L_{x'} + L_{y'}),$$

then $x + y = x' + y'$.

Proof. Is a straightforward implication from Lemma 4.4, but it can be seen directly since, by definition, $x' = x \vdash y$ and $y' = y \vdash x$. Thus $x' + y' = (1 + \tau)x - \tau y + (1 + \tau)y - \tau x = x + y$. \square

From now on, we will suppose the Jordan algebra $\mathfrak{J}(\Xi)$ to be infinite dimensional, since the only case when this does not happen is in the trivial case of the acceptance window Ω being a point, for which the resulting algebra can hardly be considered ‘‘aperiodic’’ being a one-dimensional algebra.

Beside being infinite dimensional, the algebras $\mathfrak{J}(\Xi)$ are not unital. This is a consequence of Corollary 4.5 for which $x \vdash y = x$ if and only if $y = x$, as shown by the following

Proposition 5.4. *The Jordan algebras $\mathfrak{J}(\Xi)$ are not unital.*

Proof. The proof is given by contraddiction. Let $I \in \mathfrak{J}(\Xi)$ be the identity, i.e. $I \circ X = X$ for every $X \in \mathfrak{J}(\Xi)$, and let $I = \sum \xi_x L_x$, with $\xi_x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in \Xi$, be a decomposition of I . Then, let us consider the product $I \circ L_0 = L_0$. For the bilinearity we have

$$(5.11) \quad I \circ L_0 = \sum_{x \in \Xi} \xi_x L_x \circ L_0 = L_0,$$

but, for Corollary 4.5 we have that $L_x \circ L_0 = L_0$ if and only if $x = 0$, therefore the L_0 component ξ_0 is equal to 1 and I is of the form $I = L_0 + \sum_{x \neq 0} \xi_x L_x$. Repeating the same argument for all $x \in \Xi$ we then have that all $\xi_x = 1$ and I must be of the form

$$(5.12) \quad I = \sum_{x \in \Xi} L_x.$$

But, let us consider again the product $I \circ L_0$ that we now know to be of the form

$$(5.13) \quad I \circ L_0 = L_0 \circ L_0 + \sum_{x \neq 0} L_x \circ L_0 = L_0,$$

this means that the remaining $\sum L_x \circ L_0$ must be equal to 0. Nevertheless, this is impossible since the terms $L_x \circ L_0$ give rise only to terms of the form $\frac{1}{2}L_{x \vdash 0}$ and $\frac{1}{2}L_{0 \vdash x}$ thus with positive coefficients for every $x \in \Xi$. \square

Remark 5.5. Even though the algebra $\mathfrak{J}(\Xi)$ is not unital, it can be extended to an unital algebra with a one-dimensional extension. Indeed, let $\mathfrak{J}(\Xi)_{\text{ext}}$ be the vector space $\mathfrak{J}(\Xi) \oplus \mathbb{K}$ endowed with the bilinear product defined by

$$(5.14) \quad (L_x + \alpha) * (L_y + \beta) = L_x \circ L_y + \alpha v + \beta u + \alpha \beta,$$

where $L_x, L_y \in \mathfrak{J}(\Xi)$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{K}$. It is easy to show that this is a Jordan algebra with $1 \in \mathbb{K}$ as unit element and, that $\mathfrak{J}(\Xi)_{\text{ext}}$ contains a copy of the original algebra $\mathfrak{J}(\Xi)$. Nevertheless, we will not pursue the study of such algebra and we hold to the the original aperiodic Jordan algebra $\mathfrak{J}(\Xi)$.

An interesting feature of these algebras is the lack of finite dimensional sub-algebras that are not the one-dimensional ones generated by an element L_x . Indeed, we have the following

Theorem 5.6. *The algebras $\mathfrak{J}(\Xi)$ do not have finite dimensional subalgebras with dimension greater than 1.*

Proof. Let \mathfrak{A} be a subalgebra in $\mathfrak{J}(\Xi)$. If $\dim \mathfrak{A} = 1$ then \mathfrak{A} is just the algebra generated by L_x with $x \in \Xi$. If $\dim \mathfrak{A} > 1$ then it exist $x, y \in \Xi$ such that L_x and L_y belong to \mathfrak{A} . If L_x and L_y are in \mathfrak{A} , then also all elements of the form

$$(5.15) \quad L_{x \vdash y}, L_{(x \vdash y) \vdash y}, \dots, L_{((x \vdash y) \vdash y) \dots \vdash y}, \dots$$

belong to \mathfrak{A} . By Lemma 4.2 the series is infinite since

$$(5.16) \quad (1 + \tau)^{k_1} (x - y) + y = (1 + \tau)^{k_2} (x - y) + y$$

if and only if $k_1 = k_2$, therefore implying that \mathfrak{A} is infinite dimensional and thus proving the theorem. \square

As for the simplicity, or the non-simplicity, of the algebra $\mathfrak{J}(\Xi)$, at the moment no model set Ξ led to the construction of a simple aperiodic Jordan algebra $\mathfrak{J}(\Xi)$ (see [CCAI, CCAI2]). In fact, this is no surprise, since simple infinite-dimensional Jordan algebras are all classified by Zel'manov in [Ze]. To have a simple aperiodic Jordan algebra of the type in (5.7), would mean to have a quasicrystal Ξ arising from a convex window Ω that would give rise to an algebra $\mathfrak{J}(\Xi)$ of the Clifford type or of the Hermitian type, since those are the only allowed types from Zel'manov classification theorem. In both cases that would be astonishing and if possible that would be the result of a very special *ad hoc* construction which would not be relevant for our practical purposes.

As a final note, even though the definition of an aperiodic Jordan Algebra $\mathfrak{J}(\Xi)$ is always possible for a convex cut-and-project icosahedral quasicrystal, the H_n -symmetry of the quasicrystal, and thus of the Jordan algebra, is the result of the joint properties of the lattice and the acceptance window Ω . In fact we have the following

Theorem 5.7. *Let $\mathfrak{J}(\Xi)$ be an aperiodic Jordan algebra, where the model set Ξ the set-up defined in (3.4) with a convex window Ω . Then $\mathfrak{J}(\Xi)$ has an $H_1 \cong A_1, H_2, H_3$ or H_4 if and only if the acceptance window Ω enjoys an $H_1 \cong A_1, H_2, H_3$ or H_4 respectively.*

Proof. Consider a quasicrystal resulting from the set-up defined in (3.4) such that

$$(5.17) \quad \Xi = \{x \in \mathbb{L} : x^* \in \Omega\}.$$

Now, let ρ be a reflection of the Coxeter group of H_n with $n \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Since the icosians \mathbb{L} enjoy the H_4 symmetry, then $\rho(\mathbb{L}) = \mathbb{L}$. Thus, if we also have that the acceptance window is invariant through this reflection, i.e. $\rho(\Omega) = \Omega$, then also the quasicrystal is invariant, i.e. $\rho(\Xi) = \Xi$. Then, from the definition of a reflection in (2.2) follows that

$$(5.18) \quad \rho(x \vdash y) = \rho(x) \vdash \rho(y),$$

for every $x, y \in \Xi$. The Jordan algebra $\mathfrak{J}(\Xi)$ it is thus invariant under ρ since canonically isomorphic to the algebra spanned by $\{L_{\rho(x)}\}_{\rho(x) \in \Xi}$ equipped with the bilinear product given by

$$(5.19) \quad L_{\rho(x)} \circ L_{\rho(y)} = \frac{1}{2} (L_{\rho(x) \vdash \rho(y)} + L_{\rho(y) \vdash \rho(x)}),$$

for every $\rho(x), \rho(y) \in \Xi$. \square

n	\dots	-4	-3	-2	-1	0	1	2	3	4	\dots
$\mathcal{F}_{\text{pal}}(n)$	\dots	$-2 - 4\tau$	$-2 - 3\tau$	$-1 - 2\tau$	$-1 - \tau$	0	$1 + \tau$	$1 + 2\tau$	$2 + 3\tau$	$2 + 4\tau$	\dots

TABLE 2. Elements of the Fibonacci-chain Quasicrystal $\mathcal{F}_{\text{pal}}(n)$.

6. A FEW EXAMPLES

In the previous section we showed some common properties of aperiodic Jordan Algebra $\mathfrak{J}(\Xi)$. In this section we want to give a hint of what these algebras are. Thus, making use of cut-and-project schemes defined in section 3 we define here four aperiodic Jordan algebras related to four well-known quasicrystal: the palindromic *Fibonacci-chain* quasicrystal; a *Penrose tiling*; a \mathbb{Z}^6 -quasicrystal; the *Elser-Sloane* quasicrystal. It is worth noting that the Fibonacci-chain quasicrystal enjoy a reflection symmetry, i.e. an $H_1 \cong A_1$ symmetry; the Penrose tiling a fivefold symmetry which is a subgroup of H_2 ; the specific \mathbb{Z}^6 -quasicrystal enjoys an H_3 -symmetry; while the Elser-Slone quasicrystal, and thus the Jordan algebra defined over it, enjoys an H_4 -symmetry.

6.1. Fibonacci-chain quasicrystal. Let us start from the cut-and-project scheme in (3.4) and set the acceptance window Ω as $(0, 1]$. Then, since the star map $*$ leaves $1, i, j, k$ invariant, then the resulting model set

$$(6.1) \quad \Xi = \{x \in \mathbb{L} : x^* \in \Omega\},$$

is equivalent to

$$(6.2) \quad \Xi \cong \mathcal{F} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}[\tau] : x^* \in (0, 1]\},$$

which is the definition of the Fibonacci-chain quasicrystal as model set (e.g. see [PPT98, CCAI]). While the acceptance window $\Omega = (0, 1]$ corresponds to the most known Fibonacci-chain quasicrystal, we are interested here on its symmetric version, i.e. the palindromic Fibonacci-chain quasicrystal \mathcal{F}_{pal} which can be obtained setting $\Omega = [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$. A sample of element of the palindromic Fibonacci-chain quasicrystal can be found in Tab. 2. Since both the icosians \mathbb{L} and the acceptance window $\Omega = [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ enjoy the 180-degree symmetry given by $\rho(x) = -x$, then also the quasicrystal enjoys such symmetry, i.e. $\rho(\Xi) = \Xi$, and more specifically, the palindromic Fibonacci-chain quasicrystal is such that

$$(6.3) \quad \mathcal{F}_{\text{pal}}(-n) = -\mathcal{F}_{\text{pal}}(n).$$

The Jordan algebra $\mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{F}_{\text{pal}})$, is defined as the real vector space spanned by $\{L_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{pal}}}$ equipped with the bilinear product given by

$$(6.4) \quad L_x \circ L_y = \frac{1}{2}(L_{x+y} + L_{y+x}).$$

An equivalent definition of the Fibonacci-chain Jordan algebra $\mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{F}_{\text{pal}})$ can be obtained on a vector space indexed by integral numbers, i.e. spanned by $\{L_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, with bilinear product defined as

$$(6.5) \quad L_n \circ L_m = \frac{1}{2}(L_{n'-m'+2n-m} + L_{m'-n'+2m-n}),$$

where $n', m' \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n' = \mathcal{F}_{\text{pal}}(n) - \tau n$ and $m' = \mathcal{F}_{\text{pal}}(m) - \tau m$. We will call algebra the integral formulation of the Jordan algebra $\mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{F}_{\text{pal}})$. An analysis of Tab. 3, along with few computations allows to see that $\mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{F}_{\text{pal}})$ is not simple since $L_0 \mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{F}_{\text{pal}}) = \{L_0 \circ x : x \in \mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{F}_{\text{pal}})\}$ is a proper ideal of the algebra. Similar results holds for all the examples presented and, as already discussed before, we doubt the existence of a simple Jordan algebra from this set-up.

6.2. A Penrose tiling. Penrose tilings are aperiodic tilings of the plane, introduced by Roger Penrose in [Pen], making use of four different prototiles: two different rhombi and two different tiles called kites and darts. In this context, different cut-and-project schemes were proposed by Baake [BaHu, Ba98] and recently refined [ShuMa], to give rise to different Penrose tilings. For our purposes it is interesting the

$L_n \circ L_m$	L_{-2}	L_{-1}	L_0	L_1	L_2
L_{-4}	$\frac{1}{2}(L_1 + L_{-7})$	$\frac{1}{2}(L_{-8} + L_3)$	$\frac{1}{2}(L_6 + L_{-10})$	$\frac{1}{2}(L_9 + L_{-12})$	$\frac{1}{2}(L_{11} + L_{-13})$
L_{-3}	$\frac{1}{2}(L_0 + L_{-5})$	$\frac{1}{2}(L_{-6} + L_2)$	$\frac{1}{2}(L_5 + L_{-8})$	$\frac{1}{2}(L_8 + L_{-10})$	$\frac{1}{2}(L_{10} + L_{-11})$
L_{-2}	L_{-2}	$\frac{1}{2}(L_{-3} + L_0)$	$\frac{1}{2}(L_3 + L_{-5})$	$\frac{1}{2}(L_6 + L_{-7})$	$\frac{1}{2}(L_8 + L_{-10})$
L_{-1}	$\frac{1}{2}(L_{-3} + L_0)$	L_{-1}	$\frac{1}{2}(L_2 + L_{-3})$	$\frac{1}{2}(L_5 + L_{-5})$	$\frac{1}{2}(L_7 + L_{-6})$
L_0	$\frac{1}{2}(L_{-5} + L_3)$	$\frac{1}{2}(L_2 + L_{-3})$	L_0	$\frac{1}{2}(L_3 + L_{-2})$	$\frac{1}{2}(L_5 + L_{-3})$
L_1	$\frac{1}{2}(L_{-7} + L_6)$	$\frac{1}{2}(L_5 + L_{-5})$	$\frac{1}{2}(L_{-2} + L_3)$	L_1	$\frac{1}{2}(L_3 + L_0)$
L_2	$\frac{1}{2}(L_{-8} + L_8)$	$\frac{1}{2}(L_{-6} + L_7)$	$\frac{1}{2}(L_{-3} + L_5)$	$\frac{1}{2}(L_0 + L_3)$	L_2
L_3	$\frac{1}{2}(L_{-10} + L_{11})$	$\frac{1}{2}(L_{-8} + L_{10})$	$\frac{1}{2}(L_{-5} + L_8)$	$\frac{1}{2}(L_{-2} + L_6)$	$\frac{1}{2}(L_0 + L_5)$
L_4	$\frac{1}{2}(L_{-11} + L_{13})$	$\frac{1}{2}(L_{-9} + L_{12})$	$\frac{1}{2}(L_{-6} + L_{10})$	$\frac{1}{2}(L_{-3} + L_8)$	$\frac{1}{2}(L_{-1} + L_7)$

 TABLE 3. Multiplication table for a sample of generators of the aperiodic Jordan algebra $\mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{F}_{\text{pal}})$.

specific tiling presented in [MT03] that is a special case of the general setting proposed by Baake. Indeed, the vertices of such tilings are given by the aperiodic set

$$(6.6) \quad \Xi = \{z \in \mathbb{Z}[\tau] \Delta \subset \mathbb{C} : z^* \in \Omega\},$$

where the acceptance window Ω is the convex pentagon with vertices $\{1, \xi, \xi^2, \xi^3, \xi^4\}$, with $\xi = \exp(2\pi i/5)$, and Δ is the root system of type H_2 given by

$$(6.7) \quad \Delta = \{\pm 1, \pm \xi^2, \pm(1 + \tau\xi^2), \pm(\tau + \xi^2), \pm(\tau + \tau\xi^2)\}.$$

The aperiodic set Ξ is a model set of the following cut-and-project scheme

$$(6.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Xi & \Lambda & \Omega \\ \cap & \cap & \cap \\ \mathbb{C} & \xleftarrow{\pi_1} \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} \mathbb{C} \end{array}$$

where the lattice Λ is given by $(\mathbb{Z} + i\mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{Z} + i\mathbb{Z})$ and

$$(6.9) \quad \pi_1(x, y, z, w) = x + y\tau + (z + w\tau)\xi^2,$$

$$(6.10) \quad \pi_2(x, y, z, w) = x + y(1 - \tau) + (z + w(1 - \tau))\xi^4,$$

so that the star-map $*$ sends

$$(6.11) \quad \begin{cases} \xi^* \longrightarrow \xi^2, \\ \tau^* \longrightarrow 1 - \tau. \end{cases}$$

Such cut-and-project scheme allows the labeling of elements of the quasicrystal Ξ through the use of quadruples (a, b, c, d) of \mathbb{Z}^4 , i.e.

$$(6.12) \quad (a, b, c, d) \longrightarrow z = a + b\tau + (c + d\tau)\xi^2,$$

where $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ which are also called the *integer coordinates* of z . A sample of the quasicrystal in its integer coordinates is given in Tab. 4.

The aperiodic Jordan algebra $\mathfrak{J}(\Xi)$ is defined to be the real vector space spanned by $\{L_z\}_{z \in \Xi}$ equipped with the bilinear product given by

$$(6.13) \quad L_z \circ L_w = \frac{1}{2}(L_{z+w} + L_{w+z}),$$

for every $z, w \in \Xi$. An integral formulation of the same algebra, i.e. an isomorphic algebra with generators $\{L_{(a,b,c,d)}\}_{(a,b,c,d) \in \mathbb{Z}^4}$, can be obtained from (4.4) as

$$(6.14) \quad L_{(a,b,c,d)} \circ L_{(a',b',c',d')} = \frac{1}{2} \left(L_{(a'+(a-a')+(b-b'), b+(a-a')+(b-b'), c'+(c-c')+(d-d'), d+(c-c')+(d-d'))} + \right.$$

$$(6.15) \quad \left. + L_{(a+(a-a')+(b-b'), b'+(a-a')+(b-b'), c+(c-c')+(d-d'), d'+(c-c')+(d-d'))} \right).$$

Ξ	$z_2(n_2)$	-3	-2	-1	0	1	2
$z_1(n_1)$		\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots
-5	...	(-2, -2, -2 - 4)	(-2, -2, -1, -2)	(-2, -2, 0, 1)	(-2, -2, 0, 0)	(-2, -2, 1, 1)	(-2, -2, 2, 3)
-4	...	(-1, -3, -4, -6)	(-1, -3, -3, -5)	(-1, -3, -2, -3)	(-1, -3, 0, 0)	(-1, -3, 1, 2)	(-1, -3, 2, 3)
-3	...	(-1, -2, -1, -2)	(-1, -2, -1, -1)	(-1, -2, 0, -1)	(-1, -2, 0, 0)	(-1, -2, 0, 1)	(-1, -2, 1, 1)
-2	...	(-1, -1, -1, -2)	(-1, -1, -1, -1)	(-1, -1, 0, -1)	(-1, -1, 0, 0)	(-1, -1, 0, 1)	(-1, -1, 1, 1)
-1	...	(0, -1, -2, -2)	(0, -1, -1, -2)	(0, -1, -1, -1)	(0, -1, 0, 0)	(0, -1, 0, 1)	(0, -1, 1, 1)
0	...	(0, 0, -1, -2)	(0, 0, -1, -1)	(0, 0, 0, -1)	0	(0, 0, 0, 1)	(0, 0, 1, 0)
1	...	(0, 1, -1, -2)	(0, 1, -1, -1)	(0, 1, 0, -1)	(0, 1, 0, 0)	(0, 1, 1, 1)	(0, 1, 1, 2)
2	...	(1, 1, -1, -2)	(1, 1, -1, -1)	(1, 1, 0, -1)	(1, 1, 0, 0)	(1, 1, 0, 1)	(1, 1, 1, 1)
3	...	(1, 2, -1, -2)	(1, 2, -1, -1)	(1, 2, 0, -1)	(1, 2, 0, 0)	(1, 2, 0, 1)	(1, 2, 1, 1)
4	...	(1, 3, -4, -7)	(1, 3, -2, -4)	(1, 3, -1, -2)	(1, 3, 0, -1)	(1, 3, 1, 1)	(1, 3, 3, 4)
5	...	(2, 2, -2, -3)	(2, 2, -1, -2)	(2, 2, -1, -1)	(2, 2, 0, 0)	(2, 2, 1, 2)	(2, 2, 2, 3)

TABLE 4. A sample of elements z in the quasicrystal. Every element z is given by $z(n, m) = z_1(n) + z_2(m)\xi^2$, with $z_1(n), z_2(m) \in \mathbb{Z}[\tau]$. Moreover, we intended $(a, b, c, d) \in \mathbb{Z}^4$ as a short notation that corresponds to $z = a + b\tau + (c + d\tau)\xi^2$.

6.3. **A \mathbb{Z}^6 -quasicrystal.** Three-dimensional icosahedral quasicrystals can be obtained by projection from three different lattices in a six-dimensional embedding space \mathbb{R}^6 . These lattices are the primitive cubic lattice P , i.e. \mathbb{Z}^6 lattice, the face-centred cubic lattice $2F$, i.e. the root lattice D_6 , and the body-centred cubic lattice I (reciprocal to $2F$), i.e. the weight lattice D_6 , respectively [PKK97]. Here we consider a cut and project scheme analogous to that of the \mathbb{Z}^6 -quasicrystal obtained in [KD86], where the embedding space is \mathbb{R}^6 and the lattice is given by the integral lattice \mathbb{Z}^6 , i.e.

$$(6.16) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \Xi & & \mathbb{Z}^6 & & \Omega_3 \\ & & \cap & & \cap \\ \mathbb{R}^3 & \xleftarrow{\pi_1} & \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & \mathbb{R}^3 \end{array}$$

where the maps π_1 and π_2 are given by

$$(6.17) \quad \pi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \tau & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \tau & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \tau \end{pmatrix}, \pi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & (1-\tau) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & (1-\tau) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & (1-\tau) \end{pmatrix},$$

and the acceptance window Ω_3 is given by the rhombic triacontahedron, i.e. the convex hull obtained from the following 32 vertices

$$(6.18) \quad \begin{array}{lll} (0, \pm 1, \pm \tau), & (\pm \tau, 0, \pm 1), & (\pm 1, \pm \tau, 0), \\ & (\pm 1, \pm 1, \pm 1), & \\ (0, \pm \tau, \pm \tau^{-1}), & (\pm \tau^{-1}, 0, \pm \tau), & (\pm \tau, \pm \tau^{-1}, 0). \end{array}$$

We thus have that the \mathbb{Z}^6 -quasicrystal is given by the model set

$$(6.19) \quad \Xi = \{ \pi_1(x) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x \in \mathbb{Z}^6, \pi_2(x) \in \Omega_3 \}.$$

An equivalent definition of Ξ can be achieved in a straightforward way through the aid of an icosian cut and project set-up as in (3.4), considering the embedding of \mathbb{R}^3 in the pure imaginary quaternions $\text{Pu}[\mathbb{H}]$ given by

$$(6.20) \quad \mathbb{R}^3 \ni (x, y, z) \longrightarrow xi + yj + zk \in \text{Pu}[\mathbb{H}].$$

We thus obtain the icosian formulation of the model set of Ξ as

$$(6.21) \quad \Xi = \{ x \in \text{Pu}[\mathbb{H}] : x^* \in \Omega_3 \},$$

where the star-map is the usual one defined in (2.15).

6.4. The Elser-Sloane quasicrystal. The Elser-Sloane quasicrystal \mathcal{C} is a famous quasicrystal with an H_4 symmetry that was introduced by Elser and Sloane in [ES] obtained as a projection of the eight dimensional lattice $\Lambda(E_8)$. In order to follow Elser and Sloane construction in [ES], we use for this quasicrystal the cut-and-project scheme over the E_8 lattice as in (3.10), which is equivalent to that in (3.4) over the icosians lattice $\tilde{\mathbb{I}}$. Therefore, let $c^* = 1/\sqrt{(4+2\tau)}$ and $\kappa = \tau c^*$. Moreover, let the acceptance window Ω_{ES} be the convex hull of the following 720 vertices, i.e.

$$(6.22) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}\kappa(\pm 2, 0, 0, 0), & \frac{1}{2}\kappa(\pm 1, \pm 1, \pm 1, \pm 1), & \frac{1}{2}\kappa(0, \pm 1, \pm \tau, \pm \tau^{-1}), \\ & \frac{1}{3}\kappa(\pm \tau^2, \pm \tau^{-2}, \pm 1, 0), & \frac{1}{3}\kappa(\pm \tau^2, \pm \tau^{-1}, \pm \tau^{-1}, \pm \tau^{-1}), & \frac{1}{2}\kappa(0, \pm 1, \pm \tau, \pm \frac{1}{\tau}), \\ & \frac{1}{3}\kappa(\pm(2\tau - 1), \pm \tau^{-1}, \pm \tau, 0), & \frac{1}{3}\kappa(\pm(2\tau - 1), \pm 1, \pm 1, \pm 1), & \frac{1}{3}\kappa(\pm \tau, \pm \tau, \pm \tau, \pm \tau^{-2}), \\ & \frac{1}{3}\kappa(\pm 2, \pm 2, 0, 0), & \frac{1}{3}\kappa(\pm 2, \pm 1, \pm \tau, \pm \tau^{-1}), & \end{aligned}$$

considered with all choices of signs and any even permutation of the coordinates. Then, the Elser-Sloane quasicrystal \mathcal{C} is the model set from the cut-and-project scheme in (3.10) given by

$$(6.23) \quad \Xi \cong \mathcal{C} = \{x \in \pi_{\parallel}(X) : X \in \Lambda(E_8), \pi_{\perp}(X) \in \Omega_{ES}\},$$

for a complete treatment reference see [ES, sec. 4].

Even though from an historical point of view the Elser-Sloane quasicrystal was defined as (6.23), an equally valid quaternionic realisation of the quasicrystal that make use of the cut-and-project scheme in (3.4) is

$$(6.24) \quad \mathcal{C} \cong \Xi = \{x \in \mathbb{I} : x^* \in \iota(\Omega_{ES})\},$$

where the star map $*$ is that in (2.15) and $\iota(\Omega_{ES})$ is the image of the acceptance window Ω_{ES} through the canonical identification (2.11) between \mathbb{R}^4 and \mathbb{H} .

7. CONCLUSIONS AND FURTHER DEVELOPMENTS

In this work we presented a Jordan structure naturally linked to a class of icosahedral quasicrystal arising from a cut-and-project setup with convex acceptance window. The resulting Jordan algebras are infinite dimensional and fits into the class of aperiodic algebras introduced by Patera et al. in [PPT98]. Aperiodic Jordan algebras were firstly defined by the authors in [CCAI] for a Fibonacci chain quasicrystal and later on extended to a Penrose tiling in [CCAI2], but never fully studied in a general setting as this one. In this work we showed some common features of such algebras, such as the lack of the unit element (even though they can obviously be extended to a unital Jordan algebra) and the lack of finite dimensional subalgebras with dimension greater than 1. We also showed that such algebras enjoy a non-crystallographic symmetry when the acceptance window does. As for the physical applications and future works, aperiodic algebras found applications in integrable systems [TW00b] and in theoretical frameworks [Tw99a]. On the other hand, it is well known that Jordan algebras are relevant in exactly solvable models involving propagation of solitons. Indeed, it is well known a one-to-one correspondence between finite dimensional Jordan algebra and multifield Korteweg-de Vries equations[Sv91]. Similar results hold for the modified KdV equation [Sv93], for the Sine-Gordon equation and for generalisations of the non-linear Schrodinger equation [Sv92]. We thus expect that propagation of certain kind of excitations in quasicrystal structures might be related with the Jordan algebras presented here.

8. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was funded by the Quantum Gravity Research institute. Authors would like to thank Fang Fang, Marcelo Amaral, Dugan Hammock, and Richard Clawson for insightful discussions and suggestions.

REFERENCES

[AC03] E.L. Albuquerque and M.G. Cottam *Theory of elementary excitations in quasiperiodic structures* Physics Reports Volume 376, Issues 4–5, March 2003, Pages 225-337

[Ba98] Baake M., *A guide to mathematical quasicrystals* in: *Quasicrystals*, eds. J. B. Luck, M. Schreiber, P. Haussler, Springer, (1998).

[Ba13] Baake M. and Grimm U. *Aperiodic Order*. Cambrage University Press, 2013.

[BaHu] Baake, M. & Huck, C. *Discrete tomography of Penrose model sets* Philosophical Magazine, **87** (2007) 2839-2846

[BM94] Berman S. & Moody R. *The algebraic theory of quasicrystals with icosahedral symmetries*, Journal of Physics A 27 (1994) 115-129

- [CoSl] Conway J.H. & Sloane N.J.A., *Sphere Packings, Lattices and Groups*, Springer New York, 1993.
- [CCAI] Corradetti D., Chester D., Aschheim R., Irwin K., *Three Fibonacci-chain Aperiodic Algebras*, Quaest. Math. 46,1 (2023) 1-13.
- [CCAI2] Corradetti D., Chester D., Aschheim R., Irwin K., *An aperiodic Jordan algebra over a Penrose tiling*, arXiv (2022).
- [Co34] Coxeter, H. S. M. *Discrete groups generated by reflections*, Annals of Mathematics, (1934) 35 (3): 588–621
- [Co73] Coxeter, H. S. M. (1973). *Regular Complex Polytopes*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
- [DBT12] Dechant, P. P., Boehm, C. & Twarock, R. (2012). *Novel Kac–Moody-type affine extensions of non-crystallographic Coxeter groups* J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 45 (28), 285202.
- [De21] Dechant P., *Clifford Spinors and Root System Induction: H_4 and the Grand Antiprism*, Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebras (2021) 31:57
- [ES] Elser V. and Sloane N. J., *A highly symmetric quasicrystal*, J. Phys. A: Math.Gen., 20 (1987) 6161.
- [FK15] Fang F. and Klee I. *An Icosahedral Quasicrystal as a Golden Modification of the Icosagrid and its Connection to the E_8 Lattice* Acta Crystallogr. A: Found. Adv. (2015) 71(a1).
- [FrKo] Fring A. and Korff C., *Affine Toda field theories related to Coxeter groups of non-crystallographic type*, Nucl. Phys. B729 (2005), 361–386.
- [Ha] Hamilton W.R., *Memorandum respecting a new system of roots of unity*. The London, Edinburgh, and Dublin Philosophical Magazine and Journal of Science: 12 (1856), p. 446.
- [HMSK] J. Hielscher, M. Martinsons, M. Schmiedeberg, and S. C. Kapfer. *Detection of phonon and phason modes in intrinsic colloidal quasicrystals by reconstructing their structure in hyperspace*. J. Phys. Condens. Matter, 29: 094002, 2017.
- [Kac] Kac V., *Infinite dimensional Lie algebras*, Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [Koc89] Koca M., *Icosians versus octonions as descriptions of the E_8 lattice*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 22 (1989) 1949
- [KD86] Katz, A.; Duneau, M. *Quasiperiodic patterns and icosahedral symmetry*. J. Phys. France 1986, 47, 181–196.
- [MP93] Moody R. V. and Patera J. *Quasicrystals and icosians*. Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General , 26(12):2829, 1993.
- [LeSt] D. Levine and P. J. Steinhardt. *Quasicrystals: A New Class of Ordered Structures*. Phys. Rev. Lett., 53:2477, 1984.
- [Ma] Macia E., *The role of aperiodic order in science and technology* 2006 Rep. Prog. Phys. 69 397
- [Ma02] V. Mazorchuk, *On quasicrystal lie algebras*. Journal of Mathematical Physics, 43.5 (2002):2791.
- [MT03] V. Mazorchuk and R. Twarock, *Virasoro-type algebras associated with a penrose tiling*, Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General, **36.15** (2003):4363-4373.
- [MW94] Moody R. V. & Weiss A. *On Shelling E_8 Quasicrystals*. Journal of Number Theory, 47(3) (1994) 405–412.
- [Mo00] Moody R.V., *Model Sets: A Survey*. In: Axel F., Donoyer F., Gazeau, JP. (eds) *From Quasicrystals to More Complex Systems*. Centre de Physique des Houches, vol 13. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2000.
- [PKK97] Papadopolos Z., Klitzing R., and Kramer P. *Quasiperiodic icosahedral tilings from the six-dimensional bcc lattice*. Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General, **30** 6 (1997) L143–L147.
- [Pa95] Patera J., *The pentacrystals*. In: Axel, F., Gratias, D. (eds) *Beyond Quasicrystals*. Centre de Physique des Houches, vol 3. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1995.
- [PPT98] Patera J. , E. Pelantova, and R. Twarock, *Quasicrystal Lie Algebras* Phys Lett 246A (1998) 209-213.
- [PT02] Patera J. and Twarock R., *Affine extension of noncrystallographic Coxeter groups and quasicrystals* J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 35 (2002) 1551
- [Pen] Penrose R., *The role of aesthetics in pure and applied mathematical research*, Bulletin of the Institute of Mathematics and Its Applications, **10** (1974) 266-271.
- [Se95] M. Senechal, *Quasicrystals and Geometry* Cambridge University Press (1995).
- [Se] Serre J.P., *Algebres de Lie semi-simples complexes*. W.A. Benjamin, 1966.
- [Shc] O. P. Shcherbak, *Wavefronts and reflection groups*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, 1988, 43,3 (261), 125– 160
- [ShBGC] D. Shechtman, I. Blech, D. Gratias, and J. W. Cahn, *Metallic Phase with Long-Range Orientational Order and No Translational Symmetry*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 53 (1984) 1951.
- [ShuMa] Shutov A.V., Maleev A.V. , *Penrose tilings as model sets*. Crystallogr. Rep. **60** (2015) 797–804.
- [Sv91] Svinolupov S.I., *Jordan algebras and generalized Korteweg-de Vries equations*. Theor Math Phys **87** (1991) 611–620.
- [Sv92] Svinolupov S.I., *Generalized Schrodinger equations and Jordan pairs*. Commun.Math. Phys. **143** (1992) 559–575.
- [Sv93] Svinolupov S.I., *Jordan algebras and integrable systems* Funct. Anal. its Appl. **27** (1993) 257–265
- [Tw00] R. Twarock, *Aperiodic Virasoro algebra*, J.Math Phys 41 (2000) 5088- 5106.
- [TW00b] R. Twarock, *An exactly solvable Calogero model for a non-integrally laced group*. Physics Letters A, **275.3** (2000):169172.
- [Tw99a] R. Twarock, *Breaking Virasoro symmetry in Quantum Theory and Symmetries* ed H-D Doebner, V K Dobrev, J-D Hennig and W Lucke, Singapore: World Scientific, 1999, pp 530–4.
- [Ze] Zel'manov E.I., *On prime Jordan algebras*, Alg. i Logika 18 (1979), 162-175.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMATICA, UNIVERSIDADE DO ALGARVE, CAMPUS DE GAMBELAS, FARO, PT
Email address: d.corradetti@gmail.com

QUANTUM GRAVITY RESEARCH, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, CA 90290, USA,
Email address: DavidC@QuantumGravityResearch.org

QUANTUM GRAVITY RESEARCH, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, CA 90290, USA,
Email address: Raymond@QuantumGravityResearch.org

QUANTUM GRAVITY RESEARCH, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, CA 90290, USA,
Email address: Klee@QuantumGravityResearch.org