

# CONVERGENCE OF HESSIAN ESTIMATOR FROM RANDOM SAMPLES ON A MANIFOLD

CHIH-WEI CHEN AND HAU-TIENG WU

**ABSTRACT.** We provide a systematic convergence analysis of the Hessian operator estimator from random samples supported on a low dimensional manifold. We show that the impact of the nonuniform sampling and the curvature on the widely applied Hessian operator estimator is asymptotically negligible.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In modern data analysis, researchers often encounter high-dimensionality and nonlinearity features. To model a dataset with these characteristics, a common approach is to assume that the point cloud is randomly sampled from a low dimensional manifold or collected from a designed sampling scheme. With this model, the goal of data analysis is to quantify the manifold structure for various missions such as inference and prediction. This note specifically focuses on estimating the Hessian operator on the manifold from random samples, which might be nonuniform.

Hessian, as a second order differential operator on the manifold, has been considered widely in many algorithms [DG03, KSH09, SGWJ18, SJWG20]. On the theoretical side, Hessian operator is intimately related to the Laplace-Beltrami operator, and it can be applied to study the distance function on manifolds [P16], the geometry of manifolds with density or metric measure spaces [M05, S06a, S06b], etc. On the application side, it has been applied in the unsupervised learning like Hessian locally linear embedding (HLL) [DG03], semi-supervised learning [KSH09], computer graphics [SGWJ18, SJWG20], etc., and proved to be useful in capturing geometric features of data. In these applications, the Hessian is usually estimated by the idea of fitting a quadratic polynomial to any function based on the Taylor expansion idea. Such polynomial fitting idea can be found in various fields in data analysis; for example, the local polynomial regression [FG96] and Savitzky-Golay filter [SG64], to name but a few. While existing Hessian estimators seem to work well empirically, however, to our knowledge, there does not exist a theoretical justification of how the Hessian estimator converges, particularly when the random samples are identically and independently (i.i.d.) sampled from a nonuniform density function supported on a low dimensional manifold with nontrivial geometry and topology. More specifically, it is natural to ask if the Hessian estimator converges to the Hessian operator asymptotically when we have more and more points, particularly when the curvature is non-trivial and the sampling is nonuniform. We shall mention that theoretical results about Hessian estimator can be found in [FG96] under the local polynomial regression framework in the Euclidean setup and [DG03] under the flat manifold setup and when the sampling is uniform; that is, the manifold  $M$  is isometric to a connected open subset in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . We shall also mention that we have had extensive understanding and theoretical supports of how to estimate the gradient, a first order differential operator, from the random samples under the manifold setup [MWZ10, WGMM10, CW13] and Euclidean setup [FG96].

In this note, we show that, when the point cloud i.i.d. sampled from a low dimensional Riemannian manifold, even nonuniformly, the naive Hessian estimator based on the tangent space

estimation by the local principal component analysis and the polynomial fitting approach asymptotically converges to the continuous Hessian on  $M$ . Specifically, the nonuniform and curvature impacts are negligible since they appear in the higher order term compared with the Hessian operator. To our knowledge, our result, stated in Theorem 3.1, is the first quantitative guarantee for Hessian estimator from random samples that is valid on non-flat data manifolds and when the sampling is nonuniform. Moreover, we provide an explicit convergence rate. Note that the analysis in [DG03] is not impacted by the nonuniform sampling and the discrepancy between the intrinsic geodesic distance and Euclidean distance caused by curvature since their manifold model is curvature-free. Our Theorem 3.1 is thus an extension of the work in [FG96, DG03].

We will systematically use the following notations. When a function  $h(t)$  satisfies  $c_1 \leq h(t)t^{-\lambda} \leq c_2$  for some  $c_1, c_2 > 0$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ , we denote  $h = \Theta(t^\lambda)$ . When  $c_1 = 0$ , we denote  $h = O(t^\lambda)$ .

The article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we demonstrate how to derive discretized Hessian matrix via quadratic fitting. In Section 3, we provide a theoretical justification of the proposed algorithm. Details and a complete proof of the main theorem can be found in the appendix. The paper is finished with a discussion and conclusion section.

## 2. QUADRATIC FITTING VIA TAYLOR'S EXPANSION

Since Hessian is characterized by quadratic approximation in Taylor's expansion, we use Taylor's expansion to construct Hessian estimator for functions defined on a data manifold  $M$ . To motivate the idea and fix notation, we start with the Euclidean case.

**2.1. Euclidean case.** Considering the Euclidean case  $M = \mathbb{R}^d$ . Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a  $C^2$  function around  $x$ . Without loss of generality, we assume  $x = 0$ . Let  $\mathbf{q}_1, \dots, \mathbf{q}_k$  be  $k$  points in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , and we write  $\mathbf{q}_j = [(\mathbf{q}_j)_1, \dots, (\mathbf{q}_j)_d]^T \in \mathbb{R}^d$  for all  $j$ . In terms of the standard basis, we denote

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{q}_1^T \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{q}_k^T \end{bmatrix}_{k \times d} = \begin{bmatrix} (\mathbf{q}_1)_1 & \cdots & (\mathbf{q}_1)_d \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ (\mathbf{q}_k)_1 & \cdots & (\mathbf{q}_k)_d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (\mathbf{y}_1)_1 & \cdots & (\mathbf{y}_d)_1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ (\mathbf{y}_1)_k & \cdots & (\mathbf{y}_d)_k \end{bmatrix} = [\mathbf{y}_1 \ \cdots \ \mathbf{y}_d]_{k \times d},$$

that is,  $\mathbf{y}_i \in \mathbb{R}^k$  records the  $i$ -th coordinates of all  $k$  vectors. When  $\mathbf{y}_j$  are all close to 0, Taylor's expansion of  $f$  at 0 gives

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} f(\mathbf{q}_1) \\ \vdots \\ f(\mathbf{q}_k) \end{bmatrix} &\approx \begin{bmatrix} f(0) + (\nabla f(0))^T \mathbf{q}_1 + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{q}_1^T H_f \mathbf{q}_1 \\ \vdots \\ f(0) + (\nabla f(0))^T \mathbf{q}_k + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{q}_k^T H_f \mathbf{q}_k \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1}_{k \times 1} & (\mathbf{y}_1 \ \cdots \ \mathbf{y}_d)_{k \times d} & (\mathbf{y}_s \circ \mathbf{y}_s)_{1 \leq s \leq d} & (\mathbf{y}_s \circ \mathbf{y}_t)_{1 \leq s < t \leq d} \end{bmatrix}_{k \times (1+d+d+\frac{d(d-1)}{2})} \\ &\quad \cdot \begin{bmatrix} f(0) & \nabla f(0)_{1 \times d} & \frac{1}{2}(h_{ss})_{1 \leq s \leq d} & (h_{st})_{1 \leq s < t \leq d} \end{bmatrix}_{1 \times (1+d+d+\frac{d(d-1)}{2})}^T, \end{aligned}$$

where  $H_f = (h_{st}) = \left( \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_s \partial x_t} \Big|_0 \right) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  is the Hessian of  $f$  at the origin, each  $\mathbf{y}_s \circ \mathbf{y}_t$  is the Hadamard product of  $\mathbf{y}_s$  and  $\mathbf{y}_t$ , namely the  $k$ -dimensional vector  $[(\mathbf{y}_s)_1(\mathbf{y}_t)_1 \ (\mathbf{y}_s)_2(\mathbf{y}_t)_2 \ \cdots \ (\mathbf{y}_s)_k(\mathbf{y}_t)_k]^T$ , and  $[(\mathbf{y}_s \circ \mathbf{y}_s)_{1 \leq s \leq d} \ (\mathbf{y}_s \circ \mathbf{y}_t)_{1 \leq s < t \leq d}]$  is a  $k \times \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$  matrix. In particular, for any  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ , we see that

$$\sum_{1 \leq s \leq d} (\mathbf{y}_s \circ \mathbf{y}_s)_j \frac{1}{2} h_{ss} + \sum_{1 \leq s < t \leq d} (\mathbf{y}_s \circ \mathbf{y}_t)_j h_{st} = \sum_{1 \leq s \leq d} (\mathbf{q}_j)_s (\mathbf{q}_j)_s \frac{1}{2} h_{ss} + \sum_{1 \leq s < t \leq d} (\mathbf{q}_j)_s (\mathbf{q}_j)_t h_{st} = \mathbf{q}_j^T H_f \mathbf{q}_j.$$

Throughout this paper, we introduce the following notations to simplify the discussion. We define the *Hessian vector* of  $f$  at 0 by

$$K_f := \left[ \frac{1}{2}h_{11} \quad \cdots \quad \frac{1}{2}h_{dd} \quad h_{12} \quad h_{13} \quad \cdots \quad h_{(d-1)(d)} \right],$$

which is essentially a lineup of entries in the Hessian matrix  $H_f$ . Moreover, we denote

$$Z = [Z_A \ Z_B \ Z_C \ Z_D] = \left[ \mathbf{1}_{k \times 1} \quad (\mathbf{y}_1 \ \cdots \ \mathbf{y}_d)_{k \times d} \quad (\mathbf{y}_s \circ \mathbf{y}_s)_{1 \leq s \leq d} \quad (\mathbf{y}_s \circ \mathbf{y}_t)_{1 \leq s < t \leq d} \right] \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times (1+d+d+\frac{d(d-1)}{2})}$$

as the *base matrix*, where

$$Z_A = \mathbf{1}_{k \times 1}, Z_B = \left[ \begin{array}{c|ccc|c} | & & & | \\ \mathbf{y}_1 & \cdots & \mathbf{y}_d & \\ | & & & | \end{array} \right] = \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} - & \mathbf{q}_1 & - \\ & \vdots & \\ - & \mathbf{q}_k & - \end{array} \right],$$

$$Z_C = \left[ \begin{array}{c|ccc|c} | & & & | \\ \mathbf{y}_1 \circ \mathbf{y}_1 & \cdots & \mathbf{y}_d \circ \mathbf{y}_d & \\ | & & & | \end{array} \right], \text{ and } Z_D = \left[ \begin{array}{c|ccc|c} | & & & | \\ \mathbf{y}_1 \circ \mathbf{y}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{y}_{d-1} \circ \mathbf{y}_d & \\ | & & & | \end{array} \right].$$

Note that, by Taylor's approximation,  $K_f$  is the vector which minimizes

$$\left\| \left[ f(\mathbf{q}_1) \quad \cdots \quad f(\mathbf{q}_k) \right]^T - Z \left[ f(0) \quad \nabla f(0)_{1 \times d} \quad K \right]^T \right\|_2$$

among all  $\frac{d(d+1)}{2}$ -vectors  $K$ . Hence, when given  $f(\mathbf{q}_1), \dots, f(\mathbf{q}_k)$ , and  $Z$ , one can solve the minimization problem

$$\min_{G \in \mathbb{R}^{(1+d+\frac{d(d+1)}{2}) \times 1}} \left\| \left[ f(\mathbf{q}_1) \quad \cdots \quad f(\mathbf{q}_k) \right]^T - ZG \right\|_2^2$$

and thus obtain an estimate of the Hessian vector  $K_f$  from the solution  $G_*$ . Therefore,  $K_f$  is our discrete Hessian estimator. It is well-known that the minimization can be solved with the solution as the normal equation

$$G_* = (Z^T Z)^{-1} Z^T \left[ f(\mathbf{q}_1) \quad \cdots \quad f(\mathbf{q}_k) \right]^T,$$

as long as  $Z^T Z$  is invertible. The convergence of this estimator can be found in [FG96, DG03].

**2.2. Manifold case.** From now on we assume that our data is stored in  $\mathbb{R}^p$  and distributes in a  $d$ -dimensional Riemannian submanifold  $M$  with  $d < p$ ; that is,  $M$  is isometrically embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^p$  via  $\iota$ . Consider a point cloud  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset \iota(M) \subset \mathbb{R}^p$  that is sampled i.i.d. from a random variable with the range  $\iota(M)$ . Consider a point  $z \in \iota(M)$  and the Euclidean ball  $B_\varepsilon(\iota(M)) \subset \mathbb{R}^p$  centered at  $\iota(M)$ . Denote  $B_\varepsilon(\iota(M)) \cap \{x_i\}_{i=1}^n = \{x_{z,j}\}_{j=1}^{k_z}$ , where  $k_z$  is the number of sample points lying in  $B_\varepsilon(\iota(z))$ . We call  $B_\varepsilon(\iota(z)) \cap \iota(M)$  the  $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood and  $x_{z,1}, \dots, x_{z,k_z}$  the  $\varepsilon$ -neighbors of  $z$ . Let  $T_z M$  be the tangent space of  $M$  at  $z$  and  $\iota_*(T_z M) \subset \mathbb{R}^p$  the embedded tangent space in  $\mathbb{R}^p$ . Denote the projection of  $x_{z,j}$  on  $\iota_*(T_z M) \subset \mathbb{R}^p$  as  $\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_j$ , where  $j = 1, \dots, k_z$ . By choosing an orthonormal basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_d, e_{d+1}, \dots, e_p\}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^p$ , where  $e_1, \dots, e_d \in \iota_*(T_z M)$  and  $e_{d+1}, \dots, e_p \in (\iota_*(T_z M))^\perp$ , we can express

$$\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_j = ((\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_j)_1, \dots, (\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_j)_d, 0, \dots, 0) \text{ and } x_{z,j} = ((\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_j)_1, \dots, (\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_j)_d, (x_{i,j})_{d+1}, \dots, (x_{i,j})_p).$$

We shall clarify the notation a bit. While we shall use the embedding map  $\iota$  to denote the submanifold as  $\iota(M) \subset \mathbb{R}^p$ , however, to alleviate the notational burden, we usually omit the notation  $\iota$  and simply denote  $\iota(M)$  by  $M$  when there is no danger of confusion. Similarly, we use  $z \in M$  and  $\iota(z) \in \mathbb{R}^p$  interchangeably, and omit the notation  $\iota_*$  when we discuss the tangent space

because we always consider the tangent space as an affine subspace embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^p$  and identify its origin to the point  $z$ .

Take a  $C^2$ -function  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . In view of the fact that locally a manifold can be well approximated by an affine subspace, motivated by the Hessian estimate in the Euclidean case we have discussed above, we could estimate the Hessian at  $z$  by the same way considered in the Euclidean setup via evaluating

$$(1) \quad \tilde{G} := (\tilde{Z}^T \tilde{Z})^{-1} \tilde{Z}^T \mathbf{f},$$

where  $\mathbf{f} := [f(x_{z,1}) \ \cdots \ f(x_{z,k_z})]^T \in \mathbb{R}^{k_z}$ , and  $\tilde{Z} = [\tilde{Z}_A \ \tilde{Z}_B \ \tilde{Z}_C \ \tilde{Z}_D] \in \mathbb{R}^{k_z \times (1+d+d+\frac{d(d-1)}{2})}$  is also called the *base matrix associated with  $z$*  with

$$(2) \quad \tilde{Z}_A = \mathbf{1}_{k_z \times 1}, \quad \tilde{Z}_B = \begin{bmatrix} | & & | \\ \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_1 & \cdots & \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_d \\ | & & | \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} - & \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_1 & - \\ & \vdots & \\ - & \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_{k_z} & - \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\tilde{Z}_C = \begin{bmatrix} | & & | \\ \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_1 \circ \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_1 & \cdots & \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_d \circ \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_d \\ | & & | \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{Z}_D = \begin{bmatrix} | & & | \\ \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_1 \circ \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_2 & \cdots & \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_{d-1} \circ \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_d \\ | & & | \end{bmatrix}.$$

However, in practice, we do not have an access to the manifold parametrization, nor the tangent space. Instead, we have only the point cloud  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$ . Thus, to apply this idea, we need to estimate  $T_z M$ , particularly an orthonormal basis of  $T_z M$ . Since the full information of the manifold continuum is unknown, we can only estimate the tangent space  $T_z M$  by using sample points near  $z$ . This can be done by the local principal component analysis (PCA) idea [SW12, KM14, AL19]. Indeed, one may perform PCA on the  $\varepsilon$ -neighbors of  $z$ ,  $\{x_{z,j}\}_{j=1}^{k_z}$ , and obtain an orthonormal basis by the first  $d$  dominant principal directions, denoted as  $\{u_l\}_{l=1}^d$ , that spans a  $d$ -dimensional vector space, denoted as  $V_z$ , as an estimate of  $T_z M$ . With this basis, we could project the samples within the  $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood of  $z$  onto  $V_z$ , and obtain an estimate of their coordinates associated with the basis. Specifically, we denote the projection of  $x_{z,j}$ 's by  $\mathbf{q}_j \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Note that the estimated coordinate  $\mathbf{q}_j$  is expected to be close to  $\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_j$  by the measurement in  $\mathbb{R}^p$  if the orthonormal basis of  $T_z M$ , denoted as  $\{e_l\}_{l=1}^d$ , is properly chosen. Indeed, it has been stated in Theorem B.1 in [SW12] that there exists an orthonormal basis  $\{e_l\}_{l=1}^d$  of  $T_z M$  so that

$$(3) \quad (\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_j)_l = \langle x_{z,j} - z, e_l \rangle = \langle x_{z,j} - z, u_l \rangle + O(\varepsilon^3) = (\mathbf{q}_j)_l + O(\varepsilon^3).$$

With the estimated coordinates of neighboring points,  $\mathbf{q}_j \in V_z$ , we consider an estimate of the base matrix at  $z$ , denoted as

$$(4) \quad Z = [Z_A \ Z_B \ Z_C \ Z_D],$$

where

$$Z_A = \mathbf{1}_{k_z}, \quad Z_B = \begin{bmatrix} - & \mathbf{q}_1 & - \\ & \vdots & \\ - & \mathbf{q}_{k_z} & - \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} | & & | \\ \mathbf{y}_1 & \cdots & \mathbf{y}_d \\ | & & | \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{k_z \times d},$$

$$Z_C = \begin{bmatrix} | & & | \\ \mathbf{y}_1 \circ \mathbf{y}_1 & \cdots & \mathbf{y}_d \circ \mathbf{y}_d \\ | & & | \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{k_z \times d}$$

and

$$Z_D = \left[ \begin{array}{c|ccc|c} & & & & \\ \mathbf{y}_1 & \circ & \mathbf{y}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{y}_{d-1} & \circ & \mathbf{y}_d \\ & & & & & & \end{array} \right] \in \mathbb{R}^{k_z \times d(d-1)/2}.$$

Note that the notation here is abused to be coincide with the Euclidean case in Section 2.1. The only difference is that  $\{\mathbf{q}_j\}_{j=1}^k$  in Section 2.1 could be any points around the center, while the specific  $\{\mathbf{q}_j\}_{j=1}^{k_z}$  here are derived from local PCA. With the base matrix estimate  $Z$ , we thus propose to estimate the Hessian vector of  $f$  at  $z$  via

$$(Z^T Z)^{-1} Z^T \mathbf{f}.$$

Specifically, we have the following definition of the Hessian estimator from random samples.

**Definition 2.1.** Suppose  $X$  is a random vector with support on  $M \subset \mathbb{R}^p$  and we i.i.d. sample  $n$  points from  $X$ , denoted as  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset M$ . Let  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a  $C^4$ -function. Consider  $z \in M$  and denote all points in  $z$ 's  $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood  $B_\varepsilon(z) \subset \mathbb{R}^p$  as  $x_{z,j}$ , where  $j = 1, \dots, k_z$  and  $k_z \in \mathbb{N}$  is the number of nearest neighbors of  $z$ . Denote the base matrix generated by local PCA as  $Z$ . Rewrite

$$(Z^T Z)^{-1} Z^T = \begin{bmatrix} E \\ \mathbf{grad} \\ \mathbf{Hess} \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $E$ ,  $\mathbf{grad}$ , and  $\mathbf{Hess}$  be the  $1 \times k_z$ ,  $d \times k_z$ , and  $\frac{d(d+1)}{2} \times k_z$  matrices, respectively. Then  $\mathbf{grad}$  is an estimator of the gradient at  $z$  and  $\mathbf{Hess}$  is an estimator of the Hessian at  $z$ .

The proposed algorithm is summarized in Algorithm 1. In the next section, we will show that under proper conditions,  $\mathbf{grad} \mathbf{f}$  converges to the vector consisting of partial derivatives  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^l} f$  with respect to the normal coordinates  $\{x^l\}_{l=1}^d$ , which fits  $\{e_l\}_{l=1}^d$  at  $z$  and  $\mathbf{Hess} \mathbf{f}$  converges to the Hessian vector of  $f$ . This result justifies the nomination.

---

### Algorithm 1 Hessian estimator

---

**Input**  $z \in \mathbb{R}^p$  and  $\{x_i\} \subset \mathbb{R}^p$ , where  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

Step 1: Find  $k$   $\varepsilon$ -neighbors of  $z$ ,  $x_{z,1}, \dots, x_{z,k}$ .

Step 2: Run **SVD**  $X_{p \times k} = [x_{z,1} - z \cdots x_{z,k} - z] = U \Lambda V^T$ .

Step 3: Take the left singular vectors corresponding to the  $d$  largest singular values,  $u_1, \dots, u_d$ .

Step 4: Construct  $U_d = [u_1 \cdots u_d]$ .

Step 5: Set  $Y_d = \left[ \begin{array}{c|ccc|c} & & & & \\ \mathbf{y}_1 & \cdots & \mathbf{y}_d & & \\ & & & & \end{array} \right] = X^T U_d$  as the first  $d$  projected coordinates of every  $x_{z,j}$ .

Step 6: Set  $Z = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c|ccc|c|c|ccc|c} & & & & & & & & & & \\ \mathbf{1}_{k_z} & \mathbf{y}_1 & \cdots & \mathbf{y}_d & \mathbf{y}_1 \circ \mathbf{y}_1 & \cdots & \mathbf{y}_d \circ \mathbf{y}_d & \mathbf{y}_1 \circ \mathbf{y}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{y}_{d-1} \circ \mathbf{y}_d \\ & & & & & & & & & & \end{array} \right].$

Step 7: Evaluate  $\left[ \begin{array}{c} E_{1 \times k_z} \\ \mathbf{grad}_{d \times k_z} \\ \mathbf{Hess}_{d(d+1)/2 \times k_z} \end{array} \right] = (Z^T Z)^{-1} Z^T.$

**Output**  $\mathbf{grad}$ ,  $\mathbf{Hess}$

---

Before closing this section, we shall mention its relationship with other existing algorithms. For example, in the Hessian eigenmap [DG03], the calculation of  $(Z^T Z)^{-1}$  in the definition of  $\mathbf{Hess}$  is

carried out by applying the Gram-Schmidt process to columns of the base matrix  $Z$  so that the resulting matrix  $\tilde{Z}$  satisfies  $\tilde{Z}^T \tilde{Z} = I$ . Thus,  $\mathbf{Hess} \mathbf{f} = (\tilde{Z}^T \tilde{Z})^{-1} \tilde{Z}^T \mathbf{f} = \tilde{Z}^T \mathbf{f}$  because  $(\tilde{Z}^T \tilde{Z})^{-1} \tilde{Z}^T$  is the projection map from  $\mathbb{R}^{k_z}$  to the column space of  $\tilde{Z}$  and the Gram-Schmidt process does not change the column space. Note that we need to assume  $k_z \geq 1 + d + \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$  to avoid the invertibility issue of  $\tilde{Z}^T \tilde{Z}$ .

### 3. CONVERGENCE OF THE HESSIAN ESTIMATOR WITH RATES

Before stating our result, we impose assumptions about the manifold and sampling scheme. Take a random vector  $X : (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$  that we will sample from.

**Assumption 3.1.** *Assume that the range  $X$  is supported on a  $d$ -dimensional compact smooth Riemannian manifold  $(M^d, g)$  that is isometrically embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^p$  via  $\iota : M^d \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$  and boundary free.*

The random vector  $X$  induces a probability measure supported on  $\iota(M^d)$ , denoted by  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_X$ .

**Assumption 3.2.** *Assume that  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_X$  is absolutely continuous with respect to the Riemannian measure on  $\iota(M)$ , denoted by  $\iota_* d\text{vol}(x)$ , which by the Radon-Nikodym theorem leads to  $d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_X(x) = \rho_X(\iota^{-1}(x)) \iota_* d\text{vol}(x)$  for a nonnegative function  $\rho_X$  defined on  $M$ .*

We call  $\rho_X$  defined above the probability density function (p.d.f.) associated with  $X$ . When  $\rho_X = 1$ , we call  $X$  uniform; otherwise nonuniform. Note that since  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_X$  is an induced probability measure, we immediately have  $\text{Vol}_{\rho_X}(M) := \int_M \rho_X(\iota^{-1}(x)) \iota_* d\text{vol}(x) = 1$ , which implies  $\text{Vol}(M) = \int_M \iota_* d\text{vol}(x) = 1$ .

**Assumption 3.3.** *Assume  $\rho_X$  satisfies  $\rho_X \in C^4(M^d)$  and  $\inf_{x \in M^d} \rho_X(x) > 0$ .*

**Assumption 3.4.** *Assume that the observed data set  $\mathcal{X} = \{x_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^p$  is i.i.d. sampled from  $X$ .*

We adopt normal coordinates  $\{x^j\}$  and the basis  $\{e_j := \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}\}$  of  $T_\xi M$  to compare  $\mathbf{Hess} \mathbf{f}$  and  $Hess(f)$ . Note that  $Hess(f)(\xi)$  depends on the Christoffel symbol of  $M$ , which can be set to 0 at  $\xi$  when the normal coordinate centered at  $\xi$  is used. On the other hand, the computation of  $\mathbf{Hess} \mathbf{f}$  involves numerical approximation, and the curvature is involved in the tangent space estimate. Hence  $\mathbf{Hess} \mathbf{f}$  and  $Hess(f)(\xi)$  might differ with the deviation term involving curvatures. The question is how large these deviations are, and if they will bias the Hessian estimator. Moreover, when the sampling distribution is nonuniform, it is expected to also play a role, and we need to know how much it impacts the estimator. We have the following theorem quantifying how well  $\mathbf{Hess} \mathbf{f}$  approximates  $Hess(f)$  at a given point asymptotically when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Theorem 3.1** (Quadratic fitting theorem). *Assume Assumptions 3.1-3.4 hold for the sample data  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$ . Let  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a  $C^4$ -function. Consider  $z \in M$  and denote the sample points in the  $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood  $B_\varepsilon(z) \subset \mathbb{R}^p$  as  $x_{z,j}$ , where  $j = 1, \dots, k_z$ . Denote the base matrix generated by local PCA as  $Z$ . Suppose  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(n)$  so that  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  and  $\frac{n\varepsilon^d}{\log(n)} \rightarrow \infty$  when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then, with the*

probability greater than  $1 - O(n^{-3})$ , we have

$$(Z^T Z)^{-1} Z^T \begin{bmatrix} f(x_{z,1}) \\ \vdots \\ f(x_{z,k_z}) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f(z) + O(\varepsilon^2) + O(\sqrt{\frac{\log(n)}{n\varepsilon^d}}) \\ \nabla_1 f|_z + O(\varepsilon^2) + O(\sqrt{\frac{\log(n)}{n\varepsilon^{d+2}}}) \\ \vdots \\ \nabla_d f|_z + O(\varepsilon^2) + O(\sqrt{\frac{\log(n)}{n\varepsilon^{d+2}}}) \\ \frac{1}{2} \nabla_1 \nabla_1 f|_z + O(\varepsilon) + O(\sqrt{\frac{\log(n)}{n\varepsilon^{d+4}}}) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{2} \nabla_d \nabla_d f|_z + O(\varepsilon) + O(\sqrt{\frac{\log(n)}{n\varepsilon^{d+4}}}) \\ \nabla_1 \nabla_2 f|_z + O(\varepsilon) + O(\sqrt{\frac{\log(n)}{n\varepsilon^{d+4}}}) \\ \vdots \\ \nabla_{d-1} \nabla_d f|_z + O(\varepsilon) + O(\sqrt{\frac{\log(n)}{n\varepsilon^{d+4}}}) \end{bmatrix}.$$

This theorem tells us that the naive Hessian estimator based on the local PCA does provide an accurate estimate of the Hessian operator even if the sampling is nonuniform and the curvature is nontrivial. An immediate corollary is that when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , *almost surely* we can recover the Hessian operator at all  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$  by a direct union bound and the Borel-Cantelli lemma. Note that when  $M$  is a flat manifold, we recover the results in [DG03], and when  $M$  is an Euclidean space, this result coincides with the bias analysis of the local quadratic regression [FG96].

We give a sketch of proof here and leave the detailed proof in the Appendix. Recall that the

first step of evaluating  $(Z^T Z)^{-1} Z^T \begin{bmatrix} f(x_{z,1}) \\ \vdots \\ f(x_{z,k_z}) \end{bmatrix}$  is finding the top principal vectors  $\{u_l\}_{l=1}^d$  at  $z$  by

using the local PCA. Then construct the base matrix  $Z$  by using  $\{u_l\}_{l=1}^d$ . Secondly, we compute the bias and variance between  $(\frac{1}{k_z} Z^T Z)^{-1}$  and its continuous counterpart which is derived from the tangent vectors  $\{e_l\}_{l=1}^d$  (see Section 5.5). We also need to compute the bias and variance

between  $\frac{1}{k_z} Z^T \begin{bmatrix} f(x_{z,1}) \\ \vdots \\ f(x_{z,k_z}) \end{bmatrix}$  and its continuous counterpart (see Lemma 5.9). Combining these,

we can see how much our Hessian estimator is biased and deviated from the Hessian operator. We shall mention that the biases of the estimates of the function and its gradient are of order  $\varepsilon^2$ , while the bias of the estimate of the Hessian is of order  $\varepsilon$ . This comes from the error originated from the tangent space estimation via local PCA. See the detailed calculation around equation (10) for details. We could improve this bias with a better tangent space estimate.

#### 4. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

We provide an asymptotic analysis of a Hessian estimator from the random samples located on a low dimensional manifold based on the systematic fitting scheme, and show that the Hessian estimator is immune to the nonuniform samples and the curvature. With the result of estimating

the Hessian on the manifold setup, one immediate future work is extending the locally linear regression on the manifold setup [CW13] to the locally quadratic regression on the manifold setup, and another interesting problem is to further study the dimension reduction by the HLLC algorithm [DG03].

Recall that the authors in [DG03] define an embedding of the dataset by minimizing the Hessian energy

$$\mathcal{H}(f) := \int_M |\text{Hess}(f)|^2 d\text{vol}.$$

They implement HLLC by using the fact that the kernel space of  $\mathcal{H}$  consists of linear functions under the assumption that the manifold  $M$  is isometric to a connected open subset in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . However, in general, the kernel space of  $\mathcal{H}$  is more complicated and the behavior of the Hessian energy  $\mathcal{H}(f)$  when  $M$  is a general manifold with non-trivial geometry and topology is unknown. It is worth mentioning that a different interpretation about Hessian Eigenmap and its validity in view of locally linear embedding can be found in [LC21].

We shall elaborate the mathematical challenge when we study HLLC. On a closed smooth Riemannian manifold, we can calculate the first variation of Hessian energy  $\mathcal{H}(f)$  and derive its Euler-Lagrange equation. Consider the variation  $\tilde{f} = f + th$ , where  $t \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$  and  $h : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a perturbation function. The variation of Hessian energy is

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \int_M |\text{Hess}(\tilde{f})|^2 d\text{vol} = \int_M 2\langle \nabla_i \nabla_j h, \nabla_i \nabla_j f \rangle d\text{vol} = \int_M 2\langle h, \nabla_j \nabla_i \nabla_i \nabla_j f \rangle d\text{vol}.$$

By using the traced second Bianchi identity, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_j \nabla_i \nabla_i \nabla_j f &= \nabla_j (\nabla_j \nabla_i \nabla_i f + \text{Ric}(e_j, \nabla f)) \\ &= \Delta^2 f + \text{div Ric}(\nabla f, \cdot) \\ &= \Delta^2 f + \langle \text{Ric}, \text{Hess}(f) \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \nabla S, \nabla f \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where  $S$  is the scalar curvature and  $\text{Ric}$  is the Ricci curvature of  $M$ . So, if the minimizing function exists, it must satisfy the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$(5) \quad \Delta^2 f + \langle \text{Ric}, \text{Hess}(f) \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \nabla S, \nabla f \rangle = 0.$$

Therefore, while we do not have the spectral convergence result for the discretized  $\mathcal{H}$  yet, we could conjecture that the dimension reduction achieved by the HLLC algorithm depends on the eigenstructure of the fourth order differential operator

$$\mathcal{L} := \Delta^2 + \text{Ric} \cdot \nabla^2 + \frac{1}{2} \nabla S \cdot \nabla.$$

Note that when  $M$  is an Euclidean space or a flat manifold,  $\mathcal{L}$  is simply the bi-Laplacian, and its kernel includes the span of the constant and linear functions. This partially explains how HLLC functions under the setup in [DG03].

In general, although the order of  $\mathcal{L}$  is high, it is linear and self-adjoint. Note that every eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $\mathcal{L}$  is nonnegative because

$$\lambda \|f\|^2 = \int_M \lambda f^2 d\text{vol} = \int_M (\mathcal{L}f) f d\text{vol} = \int_M |\nabla^2 f|^2 d\text{vol} \geq 0.$$

Moreover,

$$(6) \quad \langle\langle u, v \rangle\rangle := \int_M \langle \nabla^2 u, \nabla^2 v \rangle + \mu uv d\text{vol} = \int_M \Delta u \Delta v - \text{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla v) + \mu uv d\text{vol}$$



defines an inner product on the Sobolev space  $H^2(M)$  for any  $\mu > 0$ . Given  $\varphi \in L^2(M)$ . Recall that a weak solution of the equation  $(\mathcal{L} + \mu I)f = \varphi$  is a function  $f \in H^2(M)$  that satisfies

$$\langle\langle f, v \rangle\rangle = \int_M \langle \nabla^2 f, \nabla^2 v \rangle + \mu f v \, d\text{vol} = \int_M \varphi v \, d\text{vol}$$

for all  $v \in C_c^\infty(M)$ . Define a functional  $F_\varphi : H^2(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $F_\varphi(v) := \int_M \varphi v \, d\text{vol}$ . Since  $F_\varphi$  is bounded, using Riesz representation theorem, we know that there exists a unique  $f \in H^2(M)$  such that  $F_\varphi(v) = \langle\langle f, v \rangle\rangle$  for all  $v \in H^2(M)$ . Such  $f$  is a weak solution to the equation  $(\mathcal{L} + \mu I)f = \varphi$ . Thus the inverse operator  $(\mathcal{L} + \mu I)^{-1}$ , which sends  $\varphi$  to  $f$ , is well-defined. Analogue to the classical theory of Laplacian, the operator  $(\mathcal{L} + \mu I)^{-1}$  is compact because the embedding  $H^2(M) \hookrightarrow L^2(M)$  is compact. The compactness implies that  $\mathcal{L}$  has a discrete spectrum which diverges to infinity.

We can further discuss the eigenspace of the eigenvalue 0 by looking at the equation  $\mathcal{L}f = 0$ , i.e., equation (5). Although a fourth order equation probably has many solutions, it is challenging to solve (5) explicitly due to the curvature-involving coefficients. Let us consider special manifolds on which the equation can be simplified. For connected Einstein manifolds which have positive constant Ricci curvature  $Ric = \Lambda g$ ,  $\Lambda > 0$ , equation (5) becomes  $\Delta(\Delta f + \Lambda f) = 0$ . Note that such manifolds must be compact and there are no non-constant harmonic functions on them. From Lichnerowicz theorem (cf. [Li12, Theorem 5.1]), we know that  $-\Lambda$  is not an eigenvalue of  $\Delta$ , so the kernel of  $\Delta + \Lambda$  is trivial. Hence, using Fredholm alternative,  $\Delta f + \Lambda f = F$  has a unique solution  $f \in H^1(M)$  for any given non-zero function  $F \in L^2(M)$ . Note that such solution  $f$  must be smooth by the elliptic regularity. Thus  $\Delta(\Delta f + \Lambda f) = \Delta F = 0$  whenever  $F$  is chosen to be harmonic. Since every harmonic function  $F$  must be a constant, it is easy to see that the unique solution of  $\Delta f + \Lambda f = F$  is the constant  $f = \Lambda^{-1}F$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{L}f = 0$  only has constant solutions, i.e., the eigenvalue 0 of  $\mathcal{L}$  is simple. Note that this is an explicit example when HLLC fails. In general, HLLC may fail if we have a compact manifold without boundary, and we need more understanding of the general  $\mathcal{L}$  before making a conclusion. For manifolds with  $\Lambda < 0$ ,  $\ker(\Delta + \Lambda)$  is again trivial because  $-\Delta$  is non-negatively definite. However, such manifold may be non-compact and any non-constant harmonic function  $F$  gives us a non-trivial unique solution of equation (5).

We shall mention that if our data is modeled locally on a Ricci flat manifold, i.e.,  $\Lambda = 0$ , then the Euler-Lagrange equation becomes the well-understood *biharmonic equation*  $\Delta^2 f = 0$ . Harmonic map and biharmonic map arise naturally because they are critical points of Dirichlet energy  $E(u) := \int_M |du|^2 d\text{vol}$  and bienergy  $E_2(u) = \int_M |\Delta u|^2 d\text{vol}$ , respectively. They have very strong regularity. For instance, continuous biharmonic maps must be smooth (cf. [CWY99a, CWY99b]). Some related results about biharmonic maps can be found in [GK90, H06, MO06]. Lots of results about harmonic and biharmonic maps, to name a few among others due to our limited survey coverage, have been applied to different branches of mathematics [T05, H06], numerical computation [D91, LTZ01], theoretical physics [N11, S87], geometric processing [SGWJ18, FN19], etc. Note that the bi-Laplace also appears in the traditional locally linear embedding (LLE) algorithm [WW18]. In order to analyze the performance of these algorithms, it is very important to understand the behavior of bi-Laplace operator and biharmonic maps, and more generally the  $\mathcal{L}$  operator, and to fill in the gap between discrete operators and the continuous theory. For example, we need the associated spectral theory and the spectral convergence result to fully understand LLE and HLLC.

## REFERENCES

- [AL19] E. Aamari and C. Levrard, *Nonasymptotic rates for manifold, tangent space and curvature estimation*, Ann. Statist. **47**(1), pp. 177–204, 2019.
- [CW13] M.-Y. Cheng and H.-T. Wu, *Local linear regression on manifolds and its geometric interpretation*, J. Amer. Stat. Assoc. **108**(504), pp. 1421–1434, 2013.
- [CWY99a] S.-Y. A. Chang, L. Wang, and P. C. Yang, *Regularity of harmonic maps*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **52**(9), pp. 1099–1111, 1999.
- [CWY99b] S.-Y. A. Chang, L. Wang, and P. C. Yang, *A regularity theory of biharmonic maps*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **52**(9), pp. 1113–1137, 1999.
- [D91] A. S. Dvinsky, *Adaptive grid generation from harmonic maps on Riemannian manifolds*, J. Comput. Phys. **95**, pp. 450–476, 1991.
- [DG03] D. L. Donoho and C. Grimes, *Hessian eigenmaps: Locally linear embedding techniques for high-dimensional data*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. **100**(10), pp. 5591–5596, 2003.
- [FG96] J. Fan and I. Gijbels, *Local polynomial modelling and its applications*, Chapman & Hall, 1996.
- [FN19] L. Fang and M. K. Ng, *Image colorization by using graph bi-Laplacian*, Advances in Computational Math. **45**, pp. 1521–1549, 2019.
- [G73] A. Gray, *The volume of a small geodesic ball of a Riemannian manifold*, Michigan Math. J. **20**, pp. 329–344, 1973.
- [GK90] C. P. Gupta and Y. C. Kwong, *Biharmonic eigenvalue problems and  $L^p$  estimates*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **13**(3), pp. 469–480, 1990.
- [H06] A. Henrot, *Extremum problems for eigenvalues of elliptic operators*, Frontiers in Math., Birkhauser, Basel, 2006.
- [KM14] D.N. Kaslovsky, F.G. Meyer, *Non-asymptotic analysis of tangent space perturbation*. Information and Inference: a Journal of the IMA. **3**(2):134-87, 2014.
- [KSH09] K. Kim, F. Steinke, and M. Hein, *Semi-supervised regression using hessian energy with an application to semi-supervised dimensionality reduction*, Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems **22**, pp. 979–987, 2009.
- [Lee12] J. Lee, *Introduction to Riemannian manifolds*, 2ed. GTM **176**, Springer, 2018.
- [Li12] P. Li, *Geometric analysis*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **134**, Cambridge, 2012.
- [LTZ01] R. Li, T. Tang, and P. Zhang, *Moving mesh methods in multiple dimensions based on harmonic maps*, J. Comput. Phys. **170**, pp. 562–588, 2001.
- [LC21] L. Lin and C.-W. Chen, *A new locally linear embedding scheme in light of Hessian eigenmap*, arXiv:2112.09086, 2021.
- [M05] F. Morgan, *Manifolds with density*, Notices of the AMS **52**(8), pp. 853–858, 2005.
- [MO06] S. Montaldo and C. Oniciuc, *A short survey of biharmonic maps between Riemannian manifolds*, Rev. Un. Mat. Argentina **47**(2), pp. 1–22, 2006.
- [MWZ10] S. Mukherjee, Q. Wu, and D.-X. Zhou, *Learning gradients on manifolds*, Bernoulli **16**(1), pp. 181–207, 2010.
- [N11] L. Nguyen, *Singular harmonic maps and applications to general relativity*, Comm. Math. Phys. **301**, pp. 411–441, 2011.
- [P16] P. Petersen, *Riemannian geometry*, 3ed. GTM **171**, Springer, 2016.
- [S87] N. Sánchez, *Harmonic maps in general relativity and quantum field theory*, in *Harmonic Mappings, Twistors and Sigma - Models*, Adv. Series in Math. Phys., World Scientific Publ. Co., pp. 270–305, 1987.
- [S06a] K.-T. Sturm, *On the geometry of metric measure spaces. I*, Acta Math. **196**, pp. 65–131, 2006.
- [S06b] K.-T. Sturm, *On the geometry of metric measure spaces. II*, Acta Math. **196**, pp. 133–177, 2006.
- [SG64] A. Savitzky and M. J. E. Golay, *Smoothing and differentiation of data by simplified least squares procedures*, Analytical Chemistry **36**(8), pp. 1627–1639, 1964.
- [SGWJ18] O. Stein, E. Grinspun, M. Wardetzky, and A. Jacobson, *Natural boundary conditions for smoothing in geometry processing*, ACM Transactions on Graphics **37**(2), pp. 1–13, 2018.
- [SJWG20] O. Stein, A. Jacobson, M. Wardetzky, and E. Grinspun, *A smoothness energy without boundary distortion for curved surfaces*, ACM Transactions on Graphics **39**(3) pp. 1–17, 2020.
- [SW12] A. Singer and H.-T. Wu, *Vector diffusion maps and the connection Laplacian*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **65**(8), pp. 1067–1144, 2012.

- [T05] T. Lamm, *Biharmonic maps*, Doctoral thesis, University of Freiburg, 2005.
- [WGMM10] Q. Wu, J. Guinney, M. Maggioni, and S. Mukherjee, *Learning gradients: predictive models that infer geometry and statistical dependence*, *J. Mach. Learn. Res.* **11**, pp. 2175-98, 2010.
- [WW18] H.-T. Wu, N. Wu, *When locally linear embedding hits boundary*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1811.04423, 2018.

## 5. APPENDIX

**5.1. A summary of the Hessian operator.** We briefly recall the definition of gradient and Hessian under the manifold setup and the associated materials, and for readers with interest in a systematic treatment of the Riemannian geometry framework, we refer them to [Lee12] for details.

Recall that a  $d$ -dimensional  $C^k$ -manifold  $M$  is a collection of open domains with *local* charts, whose overlapping regions satisfy the  $C^k$  transition condition, and each domain is  $C^k$ -diffeomorphic to an open ball in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  via the chart function. The language of manifold is designed for doing local computation in each domain and integrating all quantities by gluing up all domains through overlapping regions.

Consider a point  $\xi \in U \subset M$  and a chart (or coordinate) function  $\varphi : U \rightarrow \varphi(U) \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ . For a  $C^2$ -function  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , the partial derivative of  $f$  at  $\xi$  is defined as the derivative of  $f \circ \varphi$  at  $\varphi(\xi)$ . Specifically, if we denote  $\varphi(\xi) = (x^1(\xi), \dots, x^d(\xi))$  as the coordinate function, we have  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^k}(\xi) := \frac{\partial(f \circ \varphi)}{\partial x^k}(\varphi(\xi))$ . Obviously these derivatives depend on the choice of coordinate functions. In order to define coordinate-independent derivatives, we need the concepts of *tensor* and *covariant derivative*. Given a tangent vector  $v = v^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}$  in  $T_\xi M$ , the directional derivative of  $f$  with respect to  $v$  at  $\xi$  is defined by  $vf := v^k \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^k}$ . Here and below we adopt Einstein's convention which means that repeated indices are summed over even if there is no sum symbol. The differential of  $f$  is defined as the 1-form  $df$  which satisfies  $df(v) := vf$  for all  $v \in T_\xi M$ . Moreover, the Hessian of  $f$  is defined to be the covariant derivative of  $df$ ,  $Hess(f) := Ddf$ , where  $D$  is the covariant derivative based on the Levi-Civita connection associated with the given metric.  $Hess(f)$  is an invariant 2-tensor which, using the local coordinate  $\{x^j\}_{j=1}^d$ , can be computed as

$$Ddf = D(f_j dx^j) = f_{ij} dx^i \otimes dx^j - f_j \Gamma_{ik}^j dx^i \otimes dx^k = (f_{ij} - f_k \Gamma_{ij}^k) dx^i \otimes dx^j,$$

where  $f_j := \frac{\partial(f \circ \varphi)}{\partial x^j}$ ,  $f_{ij} := \frac{\partial^2(f \circ \varphi)}{\partial x^i \partial x^j}$ , and  $\Gamma_{ij}^k$  are the Christoffel symbols of the Levi-Civita connection. To simplify the Hessian estimator on a manifold, it is desirable to get rid of the unknown  $\Gamma_{ij}^k$ . This can be achieved by using normal coordinates, i.e.,  $\varphi$  is chosen to be the inverse of the exponential map, denoted as  $\exp^{-1} : U \rightarrow T_\xi M$ . In this case,  $x^j$ 's are called normal coordinates and all  $\Gamma_{ij}^k$  vanish at  $\xi$ . Hence the Hessian operator is expressed as

$$Hess(f) = f_{ij} dx^i \otimes dx^j = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} dx^i \otimes dx^j$$

at  $\xi$  under the normal coordinates. In particular, when we compute the  $Hess(f)$  at  $\xi \in U \subset M$ , the Christoffel symbol does not play a role under the normal coordinates centered at  $\xi$ , but it is possible that  $\Gamma_{ij}^k(\zeta) \neq 0$  for some  $\zeta \in U$  that is different from  $\xi$  under the same normal coordinates. Note that to simplify the notation, usually researchers use  $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^i \partial x^j}(\xi)$  as the shorthand for  $\frac{\partial^2(f \circ \exp)}{\partial x^i \partial x^j}$  at  $\exp^{-1}(\xi) \in T_\xi M$ . Recall that a tensor is invariant under coordinate change, namely, two tensors are identically the same if their coefficients in different coordinate systems obey the transformation law.

**5.2. Preliminary: Formulas for some integrals.** Let us start by computing some integrals in the Euclidean space.

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $B_r^d$  be the ball of radius  $r$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $S^{d-1}$  be the unit sphere and  $|B^d|$  denote the volume of the unit ball. Given an orthonormal basis  $\{E_i\} \in T_0 \mathbb{R}^d = \mathbb{R}^d$ , for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\theta \in S^{d-1}$*

we denote  $x^i := \langle x, E_i \rangle$  and  $\theta^i := \langle \theta, E_i \rangle$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} II_B(r) &:= \int_{B_r^d} (x^i)^4 dx = \frac{3}{(d+2)(d+4)} |B^d| r^{d+4}, \\ JI_B(r) &:= \int_{B_r^d} (x^j)^2 (x^i)^2 dx = \frac{1}{(d+2)(d+4)} |B^d| r^{d+4}, \\ II_S &:= \int_{S^{d-1}} (\theta^i)^4 dS_\theta = \frac{3}{d+2} |B^d|, \\ JI_S &:= \int_{S^{d-1}} (\theta^j)^2 (\theta^i)^2 dS_\theta = \frac{1}{d+2} |B^d|, \\ III_S &:= \int_{S^{d-1}} (\theta^i)^6 dS_\theta = \frac{15}{(d+2)(d+4)} |B^d|, \\ JJI_S &:= \int_{S^{d-1}} (\theta^j)^4 (\theta^i)^2 dS_\theta = \frac{3}{(d+2)(d+4)} |B^d|, \\ KJI_S &:= \int_{S^{d-1}} (\theta^k)^2 (\theta^j)^2 (\theta^i)^2 dS_\theta = \frac{1}{(d+2)(d+4)} |B^d|, \\ JJI_S &:= \int_{S^{d-1}} (\theta^j)^4 (\theta^i)^4 dS_\theta = \frac{9}{(d+2)(d+4)(d+6)} |B^d|. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Using spherical coordinates, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Vol}(B_r^d) &= \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \cdots \int_0^\pi \int_0^r \rho^{d-1} \sin^{d-2} \varphi_{d-1} \sin^{d-3} \varphi_{d-2} \cdots \sin \varphi_2 d\rho d\varphi_{d-1} \cdots d\varphi_2 d\varphi_1 \\ &= \frac{r^d}{d} \cdot 2\pi \cdot \left( \int_0^\pi \sin^{d-2} \varphi d\varphi \right) \cdots \left( \int_0^\pi \sin \varphi d\varphi \right). \end{aligned}$$

By using formulas  $C_d := \int_0^{\pi/2} \sin^d t dt = \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\frac{d+1}{2})}{2\Gamma(\frac{d}{2}+1)}$  and  $\int_0^\pi \cos^{2m} \varphi \sin^{d-2} \varphi d\varphi = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{2m+1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{d-1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{2m+d}{2})}$ , one can derive

$$\int_{B_r^d} (x^i)^{2m} dx = \frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdots (2m-1)}{(d+2)(d+4) \cdots (d+2m)} |B^d| r^{d+2m}.$$

Note that  $\int_{B_1^d} (x^i)^4 dx = \int_{S^{d-1}} \int_0^1 t^4 (\theta^i)^4 t^{d-1} dt dS_\theta = \int_{S^{d-1}} (\theta^i)^4 dS_\theta \cdot \frac{1}{d+4}$ , so  $II_S = \int_{S^{d-1}} (\theta^i)^4 dS_\theta = \frac{3}{d+2} |B^d|$ . All other integrals can be derived by similar computations.  $\square$

Note that all integrals with some odd powers of  $\theta^j$  are zero because of the symmetries of  $\theta$  and  $S^{d-1}$ . For instance,  $\int_{S^{d-1}} (\theta^j) (\theta^i)^2 dS_\theta = 0$  and  $\int_{S^{d-1}} (\theta^k) (\theta^j)^2 (\theta^i)^3 dS_\theta = 0$ . We need the following two technical lemmas which concern the integral of tensors.

**Lemma 5.2.** *For any symmetric contravariant continuous 2-tensor  $T$  defined around  $x \in M^d$  and any orthonormal basis  $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^d$  of  $T_x M$ , we have*

$$\int_{S^{d-1}} T_x(\theta, \theta) dS_\theta = |B^d| \text{tr} T_x, \quad \int_{S^{d-1}} T_x(\theta, \theta) \langle \theta, e_1 \rangle^2 dS_\theta = \frac{|B^d|}{d+2} (2T_x(e_1, e_1) + \text{tr} T_x),$$

and

$$\int_{S^{d-1}} T_x(\theta, \theta) \langle \theta, e_1 \rangle \langle \theta, e_2 \rangle dS_\theta = \frac{2|B^d|}{d+2} T_x(e_1, e_2),$$

where  $S^{d-1} \subset T_x M$  is the unit sphere centered at  $0 = \exp^{-1}(x)$ . In particular,

$$\int_{S^{d-1}} \nabla_{\theta}^2 f(x) dS_{\theta} = |B^d| \Delta f(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{S^{d-1}} Ric_x(\theta, \theta) dS_{\theta} = |B^d| S(x),$$

where  $f \in C^2(M)$ ,  $Ric_x$  is the Ricci tensor at  $x$  and  $S(x)$  is the scalar curvature at  $x$ .

*Proof.* We omit the subscript  $x$  of  $T_x$ . Let  $T$  be diagonalized at  $x$  by an orthonormal basis which can be extended into a local frame  $\{E_j\}_{j=1}^d$  around  $x$ . Let  $e_j = \sum_{k=1}^d \eta_j^k E_k$  and  $\theta = \sum_{k=1}^d \theta^k E_k$ . Note that  $\sum_{k=1}^d (\eta_j^k)^2 = 1$  for any  $j$ . Then

$$\int_{S^{d-1}} T(\theta, \theta) \langle \theta, e_1 \rangle^2 dS_{\theta} = \int_{S^{d-1}} \sum_{j=1}^d T_{jj} (\theta^j)^2 \sum_{k=1}^d (\theta^k)^2 (\eta_1^k)^2 dS_{\theta} = \sum_{j,k=1}^d T_{jj} (\eta_1^k)^2 \int_{S^{d-1}} (\theta^j)^2 (\theta^k)^2 dS_{\theta}.$$

Recall that terms with odd orders of  $\theta^i$  vanish by symmetry. Lemma 5.1 says that  $\int_{S^{d-1}} (\theta^j)^2 (\theta^k)^2 dS_{\theta} = II_S$  when  $k = j$  and  $\int_{S^{d-1}} (\theta^j)^2 (\theta^k)^2 dS_{\theta} = JI_S$  when  $k \neq j$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S^{d-1}} T(\theta, \theta) \langle \theta, e_1 \rangle^2 dS_{\theta} &= \sum_{j,k=1; k \neq j}^d T_{jj} (\eta_1^k)^2 \cdot JI_S + \sum_{j=1}^d T_{jj} (\eta_1^j)^2 \cdot II_S \\ &= \sum_{k,j=1}^d T_{jj} (\eta_1^k)^2 \cdot JI_S + \sum_{j=1}^d T_{jj} (\eta_1^j)^2 \cdot (II_S - JI_S) \\ &= \text{tr} T \cdot JI_S + T(e_1, e_1) \cdot (II_S - JI_S), \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality comes from the symmetry of  $T$ . We get the claim by plugging Lemma 5.1. Other statements can be similarly derived.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.3.** *Denote the square norm of the second fundamental form  $\mathbb{I}_x$  by  $|A|^2(x)$  and the mean curvature vector by  $\mathbf{H}_x := \text{tr} \mathbb{I}_x$ . We have*

$$\int_{S^{d-1}} |\mathbb{I}_x(\theta, \theta)|^2 dS_{\theta} = \frac{|B^d|}{d+2} (2|A|^2(x) + |\mathbf{H}_x|^2),$$

$$\int_{S^{d-1}} |\mathbb{I}_x(\theta, \theta)|^2 \langle \theta, e_1 \rangle^2 dS_{\theta} = \frac{|B^d|}{(d+2)(d+4)} (12\mathbf{H}_x \cdot \mathbb{I}_x(e_1, e_1) - 8Ric_x(e_1, e_1) + 2|A|^2(x) + |\mathbf{H}_x|^2)$$

and

$$\int_{S^{d-1}} |\mathbb{I}_x(\theta, \theta)|^2 \langle \theta, e_1 \rangle \langle \theta, e_2 \rangle dS_{\theta} = \frac{|B^d|}{(d+2)(d+4)} (12\mathbf{H}_x \cdot \mathbb{I}_x(e_1, e_2) - 8Ric_x(e_1, e_2))$$

for any orthonormal basis  $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^d$  of  $T_x M$

*Proof.* Since all the tensors are evaluated at the point  $x$ , we may omit the notation  $x$  in  $Ric_x$ ,  $\mathbb{I}_x$ ,  $\mathbf{H}_x$  and  $|A|^2(x)$  in this proof. Let  $\mathbb{I}$  be diagonalized at  $x$  by an orthonormal basis  $\{E_j\}_{j=1}^d \subset T_x M$ . Extend  $\{E_j\}$  to be a local frame around  $x$ . Denote  $\theta = \sum_{j=1}^d \theta^j E_j$  and  $\mathbb{I}(E_j, E_j) = \sum_{\alpha=d+1}^p h_{jj}^{\alpha} e_{\alpha}$ , where  $\{e_j\}_{j=d+1}^p$  is an orthonormal basis of  $N_x M$ , the normal space at  $x$ . In the following, we assume the codimension  $p - d$  is 1 and denote  $\mathbb{I}(E_j, E_j)$  simply by  $h_{jj}$ . The general case can be derived in exactly the same way and has the same conclusion.

Recall that  $|A|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^d h_{jj}^2$  and  $|\mathbf{H}|^2 = \left( \sum_{i=1}^d h_{jj} \right)^2 = \sum_{j,k=1}^d h_{jj} h_{kk}$ , one can derive

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{S^{d-1}} |\mathbb{I}(\theta, \theta)|^2 dS_\theta &= \sum_{j,k=1}^d h_{jj} h_{kk} \int_{S^{d-1}} (\theta^j)^2 (\theta^k)^2 dS_\theta \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^d h_{jj} h_{jj} \int_{S^{d-1}} (\theta^j)^4 dS_\theta + \sum_{j \neq k} h_{jj} h_{kk} \int_{S^{d-1}} (\theta^j)^2 (\theta^k)^2 dS_\theta \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^d h_{jj} h_{jj} \cdot II_S + \sum_{j \neq k} h_{jj} h_{kk} \cdot JI_S \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^d h_{jj} h_{jj} \cdot II_S + \sum_{j,k=1}^d h_{jj} h_{kk} \cdot JI_S - \sum_{j=1}^d h_{jj} h_{jj} \cdot JI_S \\
&= (II_S - JI_S) \cdot \sum_{j=1}^d h_{jj} h_{jj} + JI_S \cdot \sum_{j,k=1}^d h_{jj} h_{kk} \\
&= \frac{1}{d+2} |B^d| (2|A|^2 + |\mathbf{H}|^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $e_j = \sum_{k=1}^d \eta_j^k E_k$ . Recall that Gauss-Codazzi equation for a submanifold in  $\mathbb{R}^p$  gives

$$\sum_{j=1}^d \mathbb{I}(e_s, E_j) \mathbb{I}(e_t, E_j) = \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbb{I}(e_s, e_t) - Ric(e_s, e_t)$$

for all  $s, t = 1, \dots, d$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{S^{d-1}} |\mathbb{I}(\theta, \theta)|^2 \langle \theta, e_1 \rangle^2 dS_\theta &= \sum_{j,k,l=1}^d h_{jj} h_{kk} (\eta_1^l)^2 \int_{S^{d-1}} (\theta^j)^2 (\theta^k)^2 (\theta^l)^2 dS_\theta \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^d h_{jj} h_{jj} (\eta_1^j)^2 \cdot III_S + \sum_{l \neq j; j,l=1}^d h_{jj} h_{jj} (\eta_1^l)^2 \cdot JJI_S \\
&\quad + 2 \sum_{k \neq j; j,k=1}^d h_{jj} h_{kk} (\eta_1^j)^2 \cdot JJI_S + \sum_{l \neq k \neq j; j,k,l=1}^d h_{jj} h_{kk} (\eta_1^l)^2 \cdot KJI_S \\
&= (\mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbb{I}(e_1, e_1) - Ric(e_1, e_1)) \cdot (III_S - 3JJI_S + 2KJI_S) \\
&\quad + (2\mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbb{I}(e_1, e_1) + |A|^2) \cdot (JJI_S - KJI_S) + |\mathbf{H}|^2 \cdot KJI_S \\
&= \frac{|B^d|}{(d+2)(d+4)} (12\mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbb{I}(e_1, e_1) - 8Ric(e_1, e_1) + 2|A|^2 + |\mathbf{H}|^2).
\end{aligned}$$

The last equation can be similarly derived. □

*Remark 5.1.* Taking trace of the Gauss-Codazzi equation, one can see that

$$\sum_{s=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^d \mathbb{I}(e_s, E_j) \mathbb{I}(e_s, E_j) = \sum_{s=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^d \mathbb{I} \left( \sum_{k=1}^d \eta_s^k E_k, E_j \right) \mathbb{I} \left( \sum_{k=1}^d \eta_s^k E_k, E_j \right) = \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{s=1}^d (\eta_s^j h_{jj})^2 = |A|^2$$

equals to  $\sum_{s=1}^d (\mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbb{I}(e_s, e_s) - Ric(e_s, e_s)) = |\mathbf{H}|^2 - S$ . Hence the scalar curvature  $S = |\mathbf{H}|^2 - |A|^2$ .

At last, we need the following lemma which can be derived by using the same method as previous ones.

**Lemma 5.4.** *For distinct indices  $s, l \in \{1, \dots, d\}$  and any orthonormal basis  $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^d$  of  $T_x M$ , we have*

$$\int_{S^{d-1}} \langle \mathbb{I}_x(\theta, \theta), \mathbb{I}_x(\theta, e_s) \rangle \langle \theta, e_l \rangle dS_\theta = \frac{|B^d|}{d+2} (3\mathbf{H}_x \cdot \mathbb{I}_x(e_s, e_l) - 2Ric_x(e_s, e_l)).$$

*Proof.* We omit the lower subscript  $x$  for all tensors. Using frames  $\{E_j\}$  and  $\{e_j\}$  as in the previous lemmas, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S^{d-1}} \langle \mathbb{I}(\theta, \theta), \mathbb{I}(\theta, e_s) \rangle \langle \theta, e_l \rangle dS_\theta &= \sum_{j,k,m=1}^d h_{jj} h_{kk} \int_{S^{d-1}} (\theta^j)^2 \theta^k \eta_s^k \theta^m \eta_l^m dS_\theta \\ &= \sum_{j,k=1}^d h_{jj} h_{kk} \eta_s^k \eta_l^k \int_{S^{d-1}} (\theta^j)^2 (\theta^k)^2 dS_\theta \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^d \mathbb{I}(E_j, e_s) \mathbb{I}(E_j, e_l) \frac{2|B^d|}{d+2} + \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbb{I}(e_s, e_l) \frac{|B^d|}{d+2} \\ &= \frac{|B^d|}{d+2} (3\mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbb{I}(e_s, e_l) - 2Ric(e_s, e_l)). \end{aligned}$$

□

**5.3. Integration on the data manifold.** We embed the manifold into  $\mathbb{R}^p$  via the inclusion map  $\iota$ . Consider  $x \in \iota(M) \cap B_\varepsilon^p(z)$ , where  $B_\varepsilon^p(z)$  is a Euclidean ball in  $\mathbb{R}^p$  with center  $z$  and radius  $\varepsilon$ . Choose  $\varepsilon$  small enough so that  $x$  lies in the injective region of the exponential map. Then  $x = \exp_z(t\theta)$  for some  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\theta \in S^{d-1} \subset T_z M$ . The value  $f(x)$  can be approximated by its Taylor expansion

$$(7) \quad f(x) = f(z) + f_1(\theta)t + f_2(\theta)t^2 + f_3(\theta)t^3 + O(t^4),$$

where  $f_1(\theta) = \nabla f|_z \cdot \theta$ ,  $f_2(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 f|_z(\theta, \theta)$ , etc. The position of  $x$  in  $\mathbb{R}^p$  can also be approximated by

$$\iota \circ \exp_z(t\theta) - \iota(z) = K_1(\theta)t + K_2(\theta)t^2 + K_3(\theta)t^3 + K_4(\theta)t^4 + O(t^5),$$

where  $K_1(\theta) = \iota(\theta)$ ,  $K_2(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{I}_z(\theta, \theta)$ ,  $K_3(\theta) = \frac{1}{6} \nabla_\theta \mathbb{I}_z(\theta, \theta)$ , etc. Note that  $\iota^{-1}(\iota(M) \cap B_\varepsilon^p(z))$  is not a geodesic ball in  $M$  and the radial segment from  $z$  to the boundary can be approximated by

$$\tilde{\varepsilon}(\theta) = \varepsilon + H_1(\theta)\varepsilon^3 + H_2(\theta)\varepsilon^4 + O(\varepsilon^5),$$

where  $H_1(\theta) = \frac{1}{24} |\mathbb{I}_z(\theta, \theta)|^2$ ,  $H_2(\theta) = \frac{1}{24} \nabla_\theta \mathbb{I}_z(\theta, \theta) \cdot \mathbb{I}_z(\theta, \theta)$ , etc. See [WW18, Lemma B.3] for a proof of this expansion. At last, the volume form on a local region can be approximated by

$$dvol = (t^{d-1} + R_1(\theta)t^{d+1} + R_2(\theta)t^{d+2} + O(t^{d+3})) dt dS_\theta,$$

where  $R_1(\theta) = -\frac{1}{6} Ric_z(\theta, \theta)$ ,  $R_2(\theta) = -\frac{1}{12} \nabla_\theta Ric_z(\theta, \theta)$ , etc. (cf. Corollary 2.10 in [G73]). Integrating it and deriving from Lemma 5.2 and Lemma 5.3 that

$$\int_{S^{d-1}} R_1(\theta) + (d+2)H_1(\theta) dS_\theta = |B^d| \left( -\frac{1}{6} S(z) + \frac{1}{12} |A|^2(z) + \frac{1}{24} |\mathbf{H}_z|^2 \right) =: -|B^d|(d+2)\Lambda,$$



where

$$\Lambda = \frac{1}{8(d+2)} (|\mathbf{H}_z|^2 - 2|A|^2(z)),$$

one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Vol}(\iota(M) \cap B_\varepsilon^p(z)) &= \int_{S^{d-1}} \int_0^{\tilde{\varepsilon}(\theta)} t^{d-1} + R_1(\theta)t^{d+1} + R_2(\theta)t^{d+2} + O(t^{d+3}) dt dS_\theta \\ &= \varepsilon^d |B^d| (1 - \Lambda\varepsilon^2 + O(\varepsilon^3)), \end{aligned}$$

(cf. Theorem 3.1 in [G73]).

Suppose that there is a weighted function  $\rho(x) \in C^4(M)$  on  $M$ , e.g. the probability density function (p.d.f.) associated with a random vector, we can similarly compute the weighted volume

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Vol}_\rho(\iota(M) \cap B_\varepsilon^p(z)) \\ (8) \quad &= \int_{S^{d-1}} \int_0^{\tilde{\varepsilon}(\theta)} (\rho(z) + \rho_1(\theta)t + \rho_2(\theta)t^2 + O(t^3))(t^{d-1} + R_1(\theta)t^{d+1} + O(t^{d+2})) dt dS_\theta \\ &= \varepsilon^d |B^d| \left( \rho(z) + \varepsilon^2 \left( -\Lambda\rho(z) + \frac{1}{2(d+2)}\Delta\rho(z) \right) + O(\varepsilon^4) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\frac{1}{\text{Vol}_\rho(\iota(M) \cap B_\varepsilon^p(z))} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^d |B^d|} \left( \rho^{-1} + \varepsilon^2 \rho^{-2} \left( \Lambda\rho - \frac{1}{2(d+2)}\Delta\rho \right) + O(\varepsilon^4) \right).$$

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $\alpha = \frac{\varepsilon^2}{d+2}$ ,  $\beta = \frac{\varepsilon^4}{(d+2)(d+4)}$ , and  $B_\varepsilon^p(z) \subset \mathbb{R}^p$  be the  $\varepsilon$ -ball centered at  $z$ . Let  $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^d$  be an orthonormal basis of  $T_z M$  and  $\tilde{B} := \iota(M) \cap B_\varepsilon^p(z)$ . Suppose that the sample distribution on  $M$  is govern by the density function  $\rho(x)$  and denote  $\rho(x)d\text{vol}$  by  $d\text{vol}_\rho$ . Then*

(i)

$$\int_{\tilde{B}} f(x) d\text{vol}_\rho = f(z) + \frac{1}{2}\alpha (\Delta f(z) + 2\rho^{-1}(z)\langle \nabla f, \nabla \rho \rangle(z)) + O(\varepsilon^4).$$

(ii) For all  $j = 1, \dots, d$ ,

$$\int_{\tilde{B}} \langle x - z, e_j \rangle f(x) d\text{vol}_\rho = \alpha (\nabla_j f|_z + \rho^{-1}(z)f(z)\nabla_j \rho|_z) + O(\varepsilon^4).$$

(iii) For all  $j = 1, \dots, d$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\tilde{B}} \langle x - z, e_j \rangle^2 f(x) d\text{vol}_\rho \\ &= \alpha f(z) + \beta \rho^{-1}(z) \left( \nabla_j^2 (f\rho)|_z + \frac{1}{2}\Delta(f\rho)(z) - \frac{d+4}{2(d+2)}f(z)\Delta\rho(z) \right) + \beta \mathcal{U}_{jj}f(z) + O(\varepsilon^6) \\ &= \alpha f(z) + \beta \left( \nabla_j^2 f|_z + \frac{1}{2}\Delta f(z) \right) \\ &\quad + \beta \rho^{-1}(z) \left( 2\nabla_j f \nabla_j \rho|_z + \langle \nabla f, \nabla \rho \rangle(z) + f(z)\nabla_j^2 \rho|_z - \frac{1}{d+2}f(z)\Delta\rho(z) \right) \\ &\quad + \beta \mathcal{U}_{jj}f(z) + O(\varepsilon^6), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{U}_{jj} = 2\Lambda - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{H}_z \cdot \mathbb{I}_z(e_j, e_j)$ .

(iv) For all  $s, l = 1, \dots, d$  and  $s \neq l$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\tilde{B}} \langle x - z, e_s \rangle \langle x - z, e_l \rangle f(x) d\text{vol}_\rho &= \beta \rho^{-1}(z) \nabla_s \nabla_l (f\rho)|_z + \beta \mathcal{V}_{s,l} f(z) + O(\varepsilon^6) \\ &= \beta \nabla_s \nabla_l f|_z + \beta \rho^{-1}(z) (\nabla_s f \nabla_l \rho + \nabla_l f \nabla_s \rho + f \nabla_s \nabla_l \rho)|_z \\ &\quad + \beta \mathcal{V}_{s,l} f(z) + O(\varepsilon^6), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{V}_{s,l} = -\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{H}_z \cdot \mathbb{I}_z(e_s, e_l)$ .

(v)

$$\int_{\tilde{B}} \langle x - z, e_s \rangle \langle x - z, e_l \rangle^2 d\text{vol}_\rho = \begin{cases} \beta \rho^{-1}(z) \nabla_s \rho|_z + O(\varepsilon^6), & s \neq l \\ 3\beta \rho^{-1}(z) \nabla_s \rho|_z + O(\varepsilon^6), & s = l \end{cases}.$$

$$\int_{\tilde{B}} \langle x - z, e_j \rangle \langle x - z, e_s \rangle \langle x - z, e_l \rangle d\text{vol}_\rho = O(\varepsilon^6) \text{ when } j, s, l \text{ are mutually distinct.}$$

(vi) For all  $s, l = 1, \dots, d$ ,

$$\int_{\tilde{B}} \langle x - z, e_s \rangle^2 \langle x - z, e_l \rangle^2 d\text{vol}_\rho = \begin{cases} \beta + O(\varepsilon^6), & s \neq l \\ 3\beta + O(\varepsilon^6), & s = l \end{cases}.$$

When  $s, t, l, m$  are mutually distinct,  $\int_{\tilde{B}} \langle x - z, e_s \rangle \langle x - z, e_l \rangle^3 d\text{vol}_\rho$ ,  $\int_{\tilde{B}} \langle x - z, e_s \rangle \langle x - z, e_t \rangle \langle x - z, e_l \rangle^2 d\text{vol}_\rho$  and  $\int_{\tilde{B}} \langle x - z, e_s \rangle \langle x - z, e_t \rangle \langle x - z, e_l \rangle \langle x - z, e_m \rangle d\text{vol}_\rho$  are all of  $O(\varepsilon^6)$ .

*Proof.* For the sake of simplicity, we sometimes omit the subscript  $z$  of tensors evaluated at  $z$ , namely, we denote  $\text{Ric}_z$ ,  $\mathbf{H}_z$ ,  $\mathbb{I}_z$  and  $|A|^2(z)$  simply by  $\text{Ric}$ ,  $\mathbf{H}$ ,  $\mathbb{I}$  and  $|A|^2$ . We also omit the inclusion map  $\iota$  and do not distinguish  $M$  and  $\iota(M)$ . Note that  $K_1, K_3$  and  $R_2$  are odd functions of  $\theta$ ,  $R_1$  is an even function of  $\theta$ , and  $K_2$  is the second fundamental form and thus is perpendicular to any tangent vector  $e_l$ .

(i) Since  $\int_{S^{d-1}} (f\rho)_1 dS_\theta = \int_{S^{d-1}} \langle \nabla(f\rho), \theta \rangle dS_\theta = 0$  and  $R_2$  is an odd function of  $\theta$ , by the symmetry of sphere, one has

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\tilde{B}} f(x) d\text{vol}_\rho \\ &= \frac{1}{\text{Vol}_\rho(\tilde{B})} \int_{S^{d-1}} \int_0^{\tilde{\varepsilon}} (f(z)\rho(z) + (f\rho)_1 t + (f\rho)_2 t^2 + O(t^3)) (t^{d-1} + R_1 t^{d+1} + O(t^{d+2})) dt dS_\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{\text{Vol}_\rho(\tilde{B})} \int_{S^{d-1}} \int_0^{\tilde{\varepsilon}} f(z)\rho(z) t^{d-1} + t^{d+1} ((f\rho)R_1 + (f\rho)_2) + O(t^{d+3}) dt dS_\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{\text{Vol}_\rho(\tilde{B})} \int_{S^{d-1}} \frac{\varepsilon^d}{d} f(z)\rho(z) + \frac{\varepsilon^{d+4}}{d+2} [f(z)\rho(z)(R_1 + (d+2)H_1) + (f\rho)_2] + O(\varepsilon^{d+3}) dS_\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that  $\int_{S^{d-1}} \nabla^2(f\rho)|_z dS_\theta = |B^d| \Delta(f\rho)(z)$  (cf. Lemma 5.2) and

$$\frac{\varepsilon^{d+2}}{d+2} \int_{S^{d-1}} R_1 + (d+2)H_1 dS_\theta = \frac{\varepsilon^{d+2}}{d+2} |B^d| \left( -\frac{1}{6}S + \frac{1}{12}|A|^2 + \frac{1}{24}|\mathbf{H}|^2 \right) = -\varepsilon^{d+2} |B^d| \Lambda,$$

one has

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\tilde{B}} f(x) d\text{vol}_\rho \\
 &= \left( \rho^{-1}(z) + \varepsilon^2 \rho^{-2}(z) \left( \Lambda \rho(z) - \frac{1}{2(d+2)} \Delta \rho \right) + O(\varepsilon^4) \right) f(z) \rho(z) \\
 & \quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^d |B^d|} (\rho^{-1}(z) + O(\varepsilon^2)) \left[ -\varepsilon^{d+2} |B^d| \Lambda(f(z) \rho(z)) + \frac{\varepsilon^{d+2}}{d+2} \int_{S^{d-1}} \frac{1}{2} \nabla^2(f\rho)|_z dS_\theta + O(\varepsilon^{d+4}) \right] \\
 &= f(z) + \varepsilon^2 \left[ f(z) \Lambda - \rho^{-1} \frac{1}{2(d+2)} \Delta \rho - \Lambda f(z) + \rho^{-1} \frac{1}{2(d+2)} \Delta(f\rho) \right] + O(\varepsilon^4) \\
 &= f(z) + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2(d+2)} (\Delta f(z) + 2\rho^{-1} \langle \nabla f, \nabla \rho \rangle(z)) + O(\varepsilon^4).
 \end{aligned}$$

(ii) For simplicity we omit the notation  $z$  and denote  $f(z)$  and  $\rho(z)$  by  $f$  and  $\rho$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\tilde{B}} \langle x - z, e_j \rangle f(x) d\text{vol}_\rho \\
 &= \frac{1}{\text{Vol}_\rho(\tilde{B})} \int_{S^{d-1}} \int_0^{\tilde{\varepsilon}} \langle K_1 t + K_3 t^3 + O(t^4), e_j \rangle \cdot (f\rho + (f\rho)_1 t + (f\rho)_2 t^2 + (f\rho)_3 t^3 + O(t^4)) \\
 & \quad \cdot (t^{d-1} + R_1 t^{d+1} + R_2 t^{d+2} + O(t^{d+3})) dt dS_\theta \\
 &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon^d |B^d|} \left( \rho^{-1} + \varepsilon^2 \rho^{-2} \left( \Lambda \rho - \frac{1}{2(d+2)} \Delta \rho \right) + O(\varepsilon^4) \right) \frac{\varepsilon^{d+1}}{d+1} \int_{S^{d-1}} \langle K_1, e_j \rangle f \rho dS_\theta \\
 & \quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^d |B^d|} \left( \rho^{-1} + \varepsilon^2 \rho^{-2} \left( \Lambda \rho - \frac{1}{2(d+2)} \Delta \rho \right) \right) \frac{\varepsilon^{d+2}}{d+2} \int_{S^{d-1}} \langle K_1, e_j \rangle (f\rho)_1 dS_\theta \\
 & \quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^d |B^d|} \frac{\varepsilon^{d+3}}{d+3} \rho^{-1} \int_{S^{d-1}} \langle K_1, e_j \rangle (f\rho)_2 + \langle K_3, e_j \rangle f \rho + \langle K_1, e_j \rangle f \rho (d+3) H_1 \\
 & \quad \quad \quad + \langle K_1, e_j \rangle f \rho R_1 dS_\theta \\
 & \quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^d |B^d|} \frac{\varepsilon^{d+4}}{d+4} \rho^{-1} \int_{S^{d-1}} \langle K_1, e_j \rangle (f\rho)_3 + \langle K_3, e_j \rangle (f\rho)_1 + \langle K_4, e_j \rangle f + \langle K_1, e_j \rangle f \rho (d+4) H_2 \\
 & \quad \quad \quad + \langle K_1, e_j \rangle (f\rho)_1 (d+4) H_1 + \langle K_1, e_j \rangle (f\rho)_1 R_1 + \langle K_1, e_j \rangle f \rho R_2 dS_\theta \\
 & \quad + O(\varepsilon^5) \\
 &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon^d |B^d|} \left( \rho^{-1} + \varepsilon^2 \rho^{-2} \left( \Lambda \rho - \frac{1}{2(d+2)} \Delta \rho \right) \right) \frac{\varepsilon^{d+2}}{d+2} \int_{S^{d-1}} \langle K_1, e_j \rangle (f\rho)_1 dS_\theta \\
 & \quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^d |B^d|} \frac{\varepsilon^{d+4}}{d+4} \rho^{-1} \int_{S^{d-1}} \langle K_1, e_j \rangle (f\rho)_3 + \langle K_3, e_j \rangle (f\rho)_1 + \langle K_4, e_j \rangle f + \langle K_1, e_j \rangle f \rho (d+4) H_2 \\
 & \quad \quad \quad + \langle K_1, e_j \rangle (f\rho)_1 (d+4) H_1 + \langle K_1, e_j \rangle (f\rho)_1 R_1 + \langle K_1, e_j \rangle f \rho R_2 dS_\theta \\
 & \quad + O(\varepsilon^5).
 \end{aligned}$$

Applying the divergence theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{S^{d-1}} \langle K_1, e_j \rangle (f\rho)_1 dS_\theta &= \int_{S^{d-1}} \langle \langle \theta, e_j \rangle \nabla(f\rho)|_z, \theta \rangle dS_\theta \\
&= \int_{B^d} \operatorname{div}(\langle x, e_j \rangle \nabla(f\rho)|_z) dx \\
&= \int_{B^d} \nabla_j(f\rho)|_z dx \\
&= |B^d| \cdot \nabla_j(f\rho)|_z.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{\tilde{B}} \langle x - z, e_j \rangle f(x) d\operatorname{vol}_\rho = \frac{\varepsilon^2}{d+2} (\nabla_j f|_z + \rho^{-1} f \nabla_j \rho|_z) + O(\varepsilon^4).$$

(iii) As before, we denote  $f(z)$  and  $\rho(z)$  by  $f$  and  $\rho$ . Using the fact that the second fundamental form is a normal vector, i.e.,  $\langle \mathbb{I}(\theta, \theta), e_j \rangle = 0$ , we can derive

$$\langle K_3, e_j \rangle = \frac{1}{6} \langle \nabla_\theta \mathbb{I}(\theta, \theta), e_j \rangle = -\frac{1}{6} \langle \mathbb{I}(\theta, \theta), \nabla_\theta e_j \rangle = -\frac{1}{6} \langle \mathbb{I}(\theta, \theta), \mathbb{I}(\theta, e_j) \rangle$$

and thus, by Lemma 5.4,  $\int_{S^{d-1}} \langle K_1, e_i \rangle \langle K_3, e_j \rangle dS_\theta = -\frac{|B^d|}{6(d+2)} (3\mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbb{I}(e_j, e_j) - 2\operatorname{Ric}(e_j, e_j))$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\tilde{B}} \langle x - z, e_j \rangle^2 f(x) d\operatorname{vol}_\rho \\
&= \frac{1}{\operatorname{Vol}_\rho(\tilde{B})} \int_{S^{d-1}} \int_0^{\tilde{\varepsilon}} \langle K_1 t + K_3 t^3 + O(t^4), e_j \rangle^2 \cdot (f\rho + (f\rho)_1 t + (f\rho)_2 t^2 + (f\rho)_3 t^3 + O(t^4)) \\
&\quad \cdot (t^{d-1} + R_1 t^{d+1} + R_2 t^{d+2} + R_3 t^{d+3} + O(t^{d+4})) dt dS_\theta \\
&= \frac{\varepsilon^2}{(d+2)|B^d|} \left( \rho^{-1} + \varepsilon^2 \rho^{-2} \left( \Lambda\rho - \frac{1}{2(d+2)} \Delta\rho \right) + O(\varepsilon^4) \right) \int_{S^{d-1}} \langle K_1, e_j \rangle^2 f\rho dS_\theta \\
&\quad + \frac{\varepsilon^4}{(d+4)|B^d|} \rho^{-1} \int_{S^{d-1}} 2\langle K_1, e_j \rangle \langle K_3, e_j \rangle f\rho + \langle K_1, e_j \rangle^2 ((f\rho)_2 + f\rho(d+4)H_1 + f\rho R_1) dS_\theta \\
&\quad + O(\varepsilon^6) \\
&= \frac{\varepsilon^2}{d+2} f + \varepsilon^4 \rho^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{d+2} f \left( \Lambda\rho - \frac{1}{2(d+2)} \Delta\rho \right) - \frac{1}{3(d+2)(d+4)} (3\mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbb{I}(e_j, e_j) - 2\operatorname{Ric}(e_j, e_j)) f\rho \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{(d+4)|B^d|} \int_{S^{d-1}} \langle \theta, e_j \rangle^2 ((f\rho)_2 + f\rho(d+4)H_1 + f\rho R_1) dS_\theta \right] + O(\varepsilon^6).
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that  $(f\rho)_2 = \frac{1}{2}\nabla_\theta^2(f\rho)|_z$ ,  $H_1 = \frac{1}{24}|\mathbf{II}|^2$ , and  $R_1 = -\frac{1}{6}Ric(\theta, \theta)$ . So, using Lemma 5.2 and Lemma 5.3, all  $Ric$  are coincidentally cancelled and one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\tilde{B}} \langle x - z, e_j \rangle^2 f(x) d\text{vol}_\rho \\
 &= \frac{\varepsilon^2}{d+2} f + \frac{\varepsilon^4}{d+2} f \rho^{-1} \left( \Lambda \rho - \frac{1}{2(d+2)} \Delta \rho \right) \\
 & \quad + \frac{\varepsilon^4}{(d+2)(d+4)} \left[ f \left( -\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{II}(e_j, e_j) - (d+2)\Lambda \right) + \rho^{-1} \left( \nabla_j^2(f\rho) + \frac{1}{2} \Delta(f\rho) \right) \right] + O(\varepsilon^6) \\
 &= \frac{\varepsilon^2}{d+2} f + \frac{\varepsilon^4}{(d+2)(d+4)} \left( \nabla_j^2 f + \frac{1}{2} \Delta f \right) \\
 & \quad + \frac{\varepsilon^4}{(d+2)(d+4)} \rho^{-1} \left( 2\nabla_j f \nabla_j \rho + \langle \nabla f, \nabla \rho \rangle + f \nabla_j^2 \rho - \frac{1}{d+2} f \Delta \rho \right) \\
 & \quad + \frac{\varepsilon^4}{(d+2)(d+4)} \left( 2\Lambda - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{II}(e_j, e_j) \right) f + O(\varepsilon^6).
 \end{aligned}$$

(iv)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\tilde{B}} \langle x - z, e_s \rangle \langle x - z, e_l \rangle f(x) d\text{vol}_\rho \\
 &= \frac{1}{\text{Vol}_\rho(\tilde{B})} \int_{S^{d-1}} \int_0^{\tilde{\varepsilon}(\theta)} \langle K_1 t + K_3 t^3 + O(t^4), e_s \rangle \langle K_1 t + K_3 t^3 + O(t^4), e_l \rangle \\
 & \quad \cdot (f\rho + (f\rho)_1 t + (f\rho)_2 t^2 + (f\rho)_3 t^3 + O(t^4)) \\
 & \quad \cdot (t^{d-1} + R_1 t^{d+1} + R_2 t^{d+2} + O(t^{d+3})) dt dS_\theta \\
 &= \frac{\varepsilon^2}{(d+2)|B^d|} \left( \rho^{-1} + \varepsilon^2 \rho^{-2} \left( \Lambda \rho - \frac{1}{2(d+2)} \Delta \rho \right) + O(\varepsilon^4) \right) \int_{S^{d-1}} \langle K_1, e_s \rangle \langle K_1, e_l \rangle f \rho dS_\theta \\
 & \quad + \frac{\varepsilon^3}{(d+3)|B^d|} \left( \rho^{-1} + \varepsilon^2 \rho^{-2} \left( \Lambda \rho - \frac{1}{2(d+2)} \Delta \rho \right) + O(\varepsilon^4) \right) \int_{S^{d-1}} \langle K_1, e_s \rangle \langle K_1, e_l \rangle (f\rho)_1 dS_\theta \\
 & \quad + \frac{\varepsilon^4}{(d+4)|B^d|} \rho^{-1} \int_{S^{d-1}} \langle K_1, e_s \rangle \langle K_3, e_l \rangle f \rho + \langle K_1, e_l \rangle \langle K_3, e_s \rangle f \rho \\
 & \quad \quad + \langle K_1, e_s \rangle \langle K_1, e_l \rangle ((f\rho)_2 + f\rho(d+4)H_1 + f\rho R_1) dS_\theta \\
 & \quad + O(\varepsilon^5).
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that all the terms of odd order are zero. Moreover, the first term is also zero because  $\int_{S^{d-1}} \langle \theta, e_s \rangle \langle \theta, e_l \rangle dS_\theta = \sum_{m,j=1}^d \eta_s^m \eta_l^j \int_{S^{d-1}} \theta^m \theta^j dS_\theta = \sum_{m=1}^d \eta_s^m \eta_l^m \int_{S^{d-1}} (\theta^m)^2 dS_\theta = \langle e_s, e_l \rangle |B^d| = 0$ . Indeed, it can be seen easily by using the symmetry of  $S^{d-1}$ . On the other hand, by using Lemma 5.4, we see that

$$\int_{S^{d-1}} \langle K_1, e_s \rangle \langle K_3, e_l \rangle + \langle K_1, e_l \rangle \langle K_3, e_s \rangle dS_\theta = \frac{|B^d|}{d+2} \left( \frac{2}{3} Ric(e_s, e_l) - \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{II}(e_s, e_l) \right),$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\tilde{B}} \langle x - z, e_s \rangle \langle x - z, e_l \rangle f(x) d\text{vol}_\rho \\
&= \frac{\varepsilon^4}{(d+4)(d+2)} \rho^{-1} \left( \frac{2}{3} \text{Ric}(e_s, e_l) - \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbb{I}(e_s, e_l) \right) f \rho \\
&\quad + \frac{\varepsilon^4}{(d+4)|B^d|} \rho^{-1} \int_{S^{d-1}} \langle \theta, e_s \rangle \langle \theta, e_l \rangle \left( \frac{1}{2} \nabla_\theta^2 (f\rho)|_z + \left( \frac{d+4}{24} |\mathbb{I}(\theta, \theta)|^2 - \frac{1}{6} \text{Ric}(\theta, \theta) \right) f \rho \right) dS_\theta + O(\varepsilon^6) \\
&= \frac{\varepsilon^4}{(d+4)(d+2)} \left( \frac{2}{3} \text{Ric}(e_s, e_l) - \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbb{I}(e_s, e_l) \right) f \\
&\quad + \frac{\varepsilon^4}{(d+2)(d+4)} \left( \rho^{-1} \nabla_s \nabla_l (f\rho)|_z + \left( \mathbf{H} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{I}(e_s, e_l) - \frac{2}{3} \text{Ric}(e_s, e_l) \right) f \right) + O(\varepsilon^6) \\
&= \frac{\varepsilon^4}{(d+2)(d+4)} \left( \rho^{-1} \nabla_s \nabla_l (f\rho)|_z - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbb{I}(e_s, e_l) f \right) + O(\varepsilon^6).
\end{aligned}$$

(v) When  $\rho$  is a constant, it is easier to compute and one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\tilde{B}} \langle x - z, e_j \rangle \langle x - z, e_k \rangle^2 d\text{vol} \\
&= \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(\tilde{B})} \int_{S^{d-1}} \int_0^{\tilde{\varepsilon}(\theta)} \langle K_1 t + K_3 t^3 + O(t^4), e_j \rangle \langle K_1 t + K_3 t^3 + O(t^4), e_k \rangle^2 \\
&\quad \cdot (t^{d-1} + t^{d+1} R_1 + O(t^{d+2})) dt dS_\theta \\
&= \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(\tilde{B})} \int_{S^{d-1}} \frac{\varepsilon^{d+3}}{d+3} \langle \theta, e_j \rangle \langle \theta, e_k \rangle^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^{d+5}}{24} |\mathbb{I}|^2 \langle \theta, e_j \rangle \langle \theta, e_k \rangle^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{\varepsilon^{d+5}}{d+5} \left( \langle \frac{1}{6} \nabla_\theta \mathbb{I}, e_j \rangle \langle \theta, e_k \rangle^2 + 2 \langle \theta, e_j \rangle \langle \theta, e_k \rangle \langle \frac{1}{6} \nabla_\theta \mathbb{I}, e_k \rangle + \langle \theta, e_j \rangle \langle \theta, e_k \rangle^2 \frac{-1}{6} \text{Ric}(\theta, \theta) \right) \\
&\quad + O(\varepsilon^{d+6}) dS_\theta \\
&= O(\varepsilon^6),
\end{aligned}$$

because  $\int_{S^{d-1}} \langle \theta, e_j \rangle \langle \theta, e_l \rangle^2 dS_\theta$ ,  $\int_{S^{d-1}} |\mathbb{I}|^2 \langle \theta, e_j \rangle \langle \theta, e_l \rangle^2 dS_\theta$ , and other explicit terms written in the above integrals are odd integrals and thus vanish.

In general, when the density is nonuniform, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\tilde{B}} \langle x - z, e_j \rangle \langle x - z, e_k \rangle^2 d\text{vol}_\rho \\
&= \frac{1}{\text{Vol}_\rho(\tilde{B})} \int_{S^{d-1}} \int_0^{\tilde{\varepsilon}(\theta)} \langle K_1 t + K_3 t^3 + O(t^4), e_j \rangle \langle K_1 t + K_3 t^3 + O(t^4), e_k \rangle^2 \\
&\quad \cdot (t^{d-1} + t^{d+1} R_1 + O(t^{d+2})) (\rho(z) + \rho_1 t + O(t^2)) dt dS_\theta
\end{aligned}$$

and now the term  $\rho_1 t = t \nabla_{\theta} \rho$  will produce an  $O(\varepsilon^4)$ -term

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\text{Vol}_{\rho}(\tilde{B})} \int_{S^{d-1}} \int_0^{\tilde{\varepsilon}(\theta)} \langle K_1, e_j \rangle \langle K_1, e_k \rangle^2 t^{d+3} \nabla_{\theta} \rho \, dt dS_{\theta} \\ &= \frac{1}{\text{Vol}_{\rho}(\tilde{B})} \int_{S^{d-1}} \frac{\varepsilon^{d+4}}{d+4} \langle \theta, e_j \rangle \langle \theta, e_k \rangle^2 \nabla_{\theta} \rho \, dS_{\theta} \\ &= \frac{1}{\text{Vol}_{\rho}(\tilde{B})} \int_{S^{d-1}} \frac{\varepsilon^{d+4}}{d+4} \langle \theta, e_j \rangle \langle \theta, e_k \rangle^2 \langle \nabla \rho, \theta \rangle \, dS_{\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

As in (ii), using the divergence theorem and  $\int_{B^d} x_k^2 dx = \frac{1}{d+2} |B^d|$ , one can show that this  $O(\varepsilon^4)$ -term is  $\frac{1}{\text{Vol}_{\rho}(\tilde{B})} \frac{\varepsilon^{d+4}}{d+4} \int_{B^d} (\langle x, e_k \rangle^2 + \langle x, e_j \rangle \langle x, e_k \rangle) \nabla_j \rho|_z dx = \beta \rho^{-1} \nabla_j \rho|_z$  when  $j \neq k$  and is  $\frac{1}{\text{Vol}_{\rho}(\tilde{B})} \frac{\varepsilon^{d+4}}{d+4} \int_{B^d} 3 \langle x, e_j \rangle^2 \nabla_j \rho|_z dx = 3\beta \rho^{-1} \nabla_j \rho|_z$  when  $j = k$ . The second integral can be shown easily, so we skip the proof.

(vi) The proof is similar to previous cases. For the first integral, it is easy to see that the leading term is

$$\frac{1}{\text{Vol}_{\rho}(\tilde{B})} \int_{S^{d-1}} \int_0^{\tilde{\varepsilon}(\theta)} \langle K_1, e_s \rangle^2 \langle K_1, e_l \rangle^2 t^{d+3} \rho \, dt dS_{\theta} = \begin{cases} \beta + O(\varepsilon^6), & s \neq l \\ 3\beta + O(\varepsilon^6), & s = l \end{cases}.$$

Other integrals can be similarly derived. □

**5.4. Step 1: The bias term of  $\frac{1}{k_z} Z^T Z$ .** In the following, we use dashes to indicate the lower triangular portion of a symmetric matrix.

Recall that  $\{x_{z,j}\}_{j=1}^{k_z}$  are  $k_z$  neighbors of  $z$  and  $\mathbf{q}_j$  is the projection of  $x_{z,j}$  onto the subspace  $V_z = \text{span}\{u_s\}_{s=1}^d$  that is derived from the local PCA. Thus,  $(\mathbf{q}_j)_s = \langle \iota(x_{z,j}) - \iota(z), u_s \rangle$ . Denote  $\tilde{B} = \iota(M) \cap B_{\varepsilon}^p(z)$  and  $d\text{vol}_{\rho} = \rho(x) d\text{vol}$  as before. Also, denote  $J$  to be the 1-1 map that indexing the upper triangular matrix of size  $d \times d$  by  $1, \dots, \frac{d(d-1)}{2}$ .

To study the asymptotic behavior of  $\frac{1}{k_z} Z^T Z$ , we divide it into two steps. The first step is evaluating its bias from the desired asymptotic quantity, and the second step is evaluating its large deviation from the desired quantity. First of all, under the manifold assumption and the law of large number, asymptotically when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we expect that  $\frac{1}{k_z} Z^T Z$  converges to

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & L_{AB} & L_{AC} & L_{AD} \\ - & L_{BB} & L_{BC} & L_{BD} \\ - & - & L_{CC} & L_{CD} \\ - & - & - & L_{DD} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{(1+d+d+\frac{d(d-1)}{2}) \times (1+d+d+\frac{d(d-1)}{2})},$$

where  $L_{AB}$  is a  $d$ -dim vector

$$\left[ \int_{\tilde{B}} \langle \iota(x) - \iota(z), u_1 \rangle d\text{vol}_{\rho} \quad \cdots \quad \int_{\tilde{B}} \langle \iota(x) - \iota(z), u_d \rangle d\text{vol}_{\rho} \right],$$

$L_{AC}$  is a  $d$ -dim vector

$$\left[ \int_{\tilde{B}} \langle \iota(x) - \iota(z), u_1 \rangle^2 d\text{vol}_{\rho} \quad \cdots \quad \int_{\tilde{B}} \langle \iota(x) - \iota(z), u_d \rangle^2 d\text{vol}_{\rho} \right],$$

$L_{AD}$  is a  $\frac{d(d-1)}{2}$ -vector

$$\left[ \int_{\tilde{B}} \langle \iota(x) - \iota(z), u_1 \rangle \langle \iota(x) - \iota(z), u_2 \rangle d\text{vol}_{\rho} \quad \cdots \quad \int_{\tilde{B}} \langle \iota(x) - \iota(z), u_{d-1} \rangle \langle \iota(x) - \iota(z), u_d \rangle d\text{vol}_{\rho} \right]$$

$L_{BB}$  is a  $d \times d$  diagonal matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} \int_{\tilde{B}} \langle \iota(x) - \iota(z), u_1 \rangle^2 d\text{vol}_\rho & & & 0 \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & \int_{\tilde{B}} \langle \iota(x) - \iota(z), u_d \rangle^2 d\text{vol}_\rho \end{bmatrix},$$

$L_{BC}$  is a  $d \times d$  matrix with the  $(s, t)$ -th entry,  $s, t = 1, \dots, d$ ,

$$\int_{\tilde{B}} \langle \iota(x) - \iota(z), u_s \rangle \langle \iota(x) - \iota(z), u_t \rangle^2 d\text{vol}_\rho,$$

$L_{BD}$  is a  $d \times \frac{d(d-1)}{2}$  matrix with the  $(s, J(k, l))$  entry,  $s = 1, \dots, d$ ,  $k, l = 1 \dots, d$  and  $k \neq l$ ,

$$\int_{\tilde{B}} \langle \iota(x) - \iota(z), u_s \rangle \langle \iota(x) - \iota(z), u_k \rangle \langle \iota(x) - \iota(z), u_l \rangle d\text{vol}_\rho,$$

$L_{CC}$  is the  $d \times d$  matrix with the  $(s, t)$ -th entry,  $s, t = 1, \dots, d$ ,

$$\int_{\tilde{B}} \langle \iota(x) - \iota(z), u_s \rangle^2 \langle \iota(x) - \iota(z), u_t \rangle^2 d\text{vol}_\rho,$$

$L_{CD}$  is the  $d \times \frac{d(d-1)}{2}$  matrix with the  $(s, J(k, l))$ -th entry,  $s = 1, \dots, d$ ,  $k, l = 1 \dots, d$  and  $k \neq l$ ,

$$\int_{\tilde{B}} \langle \iota(x) - \iota(z), u_s \rangle^2 \langle \iota(x) - \iota(z), u_k \rangle \langle \iota(x) - \iota(z), u_l \rangle d\text{vol}_\rho,$$

and  $L_{DD}$  is the  $\frac{d(d-1)}{2} \times \frac{d(d-1)}{2}$  matrix with the  $(J(s, t), J(k, l))$ -th entry,  $s, t = 1, \dots, d$ ,  $s \neq t$ ,  $k, l = 1 \dots, d$  and  $k \neq l$ ,

$$\int_{\tilde{B}} \langle \iota(x) - \iota(z), u_s \rangle \langle \iota(x) - \iota(z), u_t \rangle \langle \iota(x) - \iota(z), u_k \rangle \langle \iota(x) - \iota(z), u_l \rangle d\text{vol}_\rho.$$

In the following lemma, we show that this seeming complicated matrix  $L$  can be well approximated by the following simplified matrix

(9)

$$L^0 = \left[ \begin{array}{c|cccc|cccc|c} 1 & \alpha\rho^{-1}\partial_1\rho & \cdots & \cdots & \alpha\rho^{-1}\partial_d\rho & \alpha & \cdots & \cdots & \alpha & \mathbf{0} \\ \hline - & \alpha & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 3\beta\rho^{-1}\partial_1\rho & \beta\rho^{-1}\partial_1\rho & \cdots & \beta\rho^{-1}\partial_1\rho & \mathbf{0} \\ \hline - & - & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \beta\rho^{-1}\partial_2\rho & \ddots & & \vdots & \mathbf{0} \\ \hline - & - & - & \ddots & 0 & \vdots & & \ddots & \beta\rho^{-1}\partial_{d-1}\rho & \mathbf{0} \\ \hline - & - & - & - & \alpha & \beta\rho^{-1}\partial_d\rho & \cdots & \cdots & 3\beta\rho^{-1}\partial_d\rho & \mathbf{0} \\ \hline - & - & - & - & - & 3\beta & \beta & \cdots & \beta & \mathbf{0} \\ \hline - & - & - & - & - & - & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \mathbf{0} \\ \hline - & - & - & - & - & - & - & \ddots & \beta & \mathbf{0} \\ \hline - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 3\beta & \mathbf{0} \\ \hline - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & \beta I_{\frac{d(d-1)}{2}} \end{array} \right],$$

with  $\alpha = \frac{\varepsilon^2}{d+2}$ ,  $\beta = \frac{\varepsilon^4}{(d+2)(d+4)}$ ,  $\rho := \rho(z)$  and  $\partial_j\rho$  denoting partial derivative  $\nabla_j\rho|_z$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, d$ . In this manner we say that  $L$  approximates  $L^0$ , and the approximation bias will be quantified precisely.



**Lemma 5.5.** Let  $\mathcal{U}_{jj} = 2\Lambda - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbb{I}(e_j, e_j)$  and  $\mathcal{V}_{s,t} = -\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbb{I}(e_s, e_t)$ . Define  $L^0$  as in above. Then

$$X := L - L^0 = \begin{bmatrix} X_{AA} & X_{AB} & X_{AC} & X_{AD} \\ - & X_{BB} & X_{BC} & X_{BD} \\ - & - & X_{CC} & X_{CD} \\ - & - & - & X_{DD} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & O(\varepsilon^4) & X_{AC}^0 + O(\varepsilon^5) & X_{AD}^0 + O(\varepsilon^5) \\ - & O(\varepsilon^4) & O(\varepsilon^6) & X_{BD}^0 + O(\varepsilon^6) \\ - & - & O(\varepsilon^6) & O(\varepsilon^6) \\ - & - & - & O(\varepsilon^6) \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $[X_{AC}^0]_j = \beta(\rho^{-1}\nabla_j^2\rho - \frac{1}{d+2}\rho^{-1}\Delta\rho + \mathcal{U}_{jj})$ ,  $X_{AD}^0 := [[X_{AD}^0]_{11} \ [X_{AD}^0]_{12} \ \cdots \ [X_{AD}^0]_{(d-1)d}]$  is a  $1 \times \frac{d(d-1)}{2}$  matrix with  $[X_{AD}^0]_{st} = \beta(\rho^{-1}\nabla_s\nabla_t\rho + \mathcal{V}_{s,t})$ , and

$$X_{BD}^0 = \beta\rho^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \partial_2\rho & \partial_3\rho & \partial_4\rho & \cdots & \partial_d\rho & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \partial_1\rho & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & \partial_3\rho & \partial_4\rho & \cdots & \partial_d\rho & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & & & \vdots & \partial_2\rho & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \partial_4\rho & \cdots & \partial_d\rho & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & \vdots & 0 & \ddots & & \vdots & \partial_3\rho & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & \partial_{d-1}\rho & \partial_d\rho & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & 0 & \vdots & & \ddots & 0 & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \cdots & \partial_{d-2}\rho & 0 & \partial_d\rho \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & \partial_1\rho & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \partial_2\rho & 0 & \cdots & \partial_3\rho & \cdots & 0 & \partial_{d-2}\rho & \partial_{d-1}\rho \end{bmatrix}.$$

*Proof.* For the simplicity of notations, we omit the symbol  $\iota$  in the following proof. First, it is easy to see that  $X_{AA} = \int_{\tilde{B}} 1 d\text{vol}_\rho - 1 = 0$ . Now we compute  $X_{AB}$ . By Theorem 5.1(ii) with  $f = 1$ , we have  $\int_{\tilde{B}} \langle x - z, e_j \rangle d\text{vol}_\rho = \alpha\rho^{-1}\partial_j\rho + O(\varepsilon^4)$ . Recall that  $\langle x - z, e_j \rangle = \langle x - z, u_j \rangle + O(\varepsilon^3)\|x - z\|$ , so one can derive that  $X_{AB} = L_{AB} - L_{AB}^0$  is a vector of  $O(\varepsilon^4)$ .

As for  $X_{AC}$ , by using Theorem 5.1(iii) with  $f = 1$ , we have

$$\int_{\tilde{B}} \langle x - z, e_j \rangle^2 d\text{vol}_\rho = \alpha + \beta \left( \rho^{-1}\nabla_j^2\rho - \frac{1}{d+2}\rho^{-1}\Delta\rho + \mathcal{U}_{jj} \right) + O(\varepsilon^6).$$

Since  $\langle x - z, e_j \rangle^2 = \langle x - z, u_j \rangle^2 + O(\varepsilon^3)\|x - z\|^2$ , we know that entries in the vector  $X_{AC} = L_{AC} - L_{AC}^0$  are  $\int_{\tilde{B}} \langle x - z, u_j \rangle^2 d\text{vol}_\rho - \alpha = \beta \left( \rho^{-1}\nabla_j^2\rho - \frac{1}{d+2}\rho^{-1}\Delta\rho + \mathcal{U}_{jj} \right) + O(\varepsilon^5) =: [X_{AC}^0]_j + O(\varepsilon^5)$ . Similarly, using Theorem 5.1(iv), one can derive

$$(10) \quad [X_{AD}]_{st} = [X_{AD}^0]_{st} + O(\varepsilon^5) = \beta(\rho^{-1}\nabla_s\nabla_t\rho + \mathcal{V}_{s,t}) + O(\varepsilon^5).$$

To find  $X_{BB}$ ,  $X_{BC}$ , and  $X_{BD}$ , one has to compute  $\int_{\tilde{B}} \langle x - z, u_j \rangle \langle x - z, u_k \rangle d\text{vol}_\rho$ ,  $\int_{\tilde{B}} \langle x - z, u_j \rangle \langle x - z, u_k \rangle^2 d\text{vol}_\rho$ , and  $\int_{\tilde{B}} \langle x - z, u_j \rangle \langle x - z, u_s \rangle \langle x - z, u_t \rangle d\text{vol}_\rho$ , respectively. Indeed,  $X_{BB} = L_{BB} - L_{BB}^0 = \int_{\tilde{B}} \langle x - z, u_j \rangle \langle x - z, u_k \rangle d\text{vol}_\rho - \alpha I = O(\varepsilon^4)$  can be easily derived from Theorem 5.1(iii)(iv) and the deviation between  $e_j$ 's and  $u_j$ 's. Similarly,  $X_{BC} = O(\varepsilon^6)$  and the matrix  $X_{BD}$  can be derived from Theorem 5.1(v). Other terms such as  $L_{CC}$  and  $L_{DD}$  are similarly obtained by using Theorem 5.1(vi).  $\square$

**5.5. Step 2: The large deviation estimate for  $\frac{1}{k_z}Z^TZ$ .** Next, we study the large deviation of  $\frac{1}{k_z}Z^TZ$  caused by the random samples. Write

$$(11) \quad \frac{1}{k_z}Z^TZ = L + LD = L^0 + X + LD,$$

where LD indicates the large deviation. We will divide LD into blocks

$$(12) \quad \text{LD} = \begin{bmatrix} \text{LD}_{AA} & \text{LD}_{AB} & \text{LD}_{AC} & \text{LD}_{AD} \\ - & \text{LD}_{BB} & \text{LD}_{BC} & \text{LD}_{BD} \\ - & - & \text{LD}_{CC} & \text{LD}_{CD} \\ - & - & - & \text{LD}_{DD} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{(1+d+d+\frac{d(d-1)}{2}) \times (1+d+d+\frac{d(d-1)}{2})},$$

where  $\text{LD}_{AA} \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\text{LD}_{AB}, \text{LD}_{AC} \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times d}$ ,  $\text{LD}_{AD} \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times d(d-1)/2}$ ,  $\text{LD}_{BB}, \text{LD}_{BC}, \text{LD}_{CC} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ ,  $\text{LD}_{BD}, \text{LD}_{CD} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d(d-1)/2}$ , and  $\text{LD}_{DD} \in \mathbb{R}^{d(d-1)/2 \times d(d-1)/2}$ , and bound each term separately with high probability using the Bernstein's inequality.

We first provide detailed computation of  $\text{LD}_{AD} \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times d(d-1)/2}$ . Recall the notations  $Z$ ,  $\mathbf{y}$  and  $\mathbf{q}$  from (4). Fix  $s, l = 1, \dots, d$  and  $s \neq l$ , and denote

$$(13) \quad Y_m^{(s,l)} := (\mathbf{y}_s)_m (\mathbf{y}_l)_m = (\mathbf{q}_m)_s (\mathbf{q}_m)_l,$$

for  $m = 1, \dots, k_z$ , where  $\{\mathbf{y}_s\}_{s=1}^d$  are the column vectors given by local PCA and  $\{\mathbf{q}_m\}_{m=1}^{k_z}$  are row vectors of the  $(k_z \times d)$ -matrix  $[\mathbf{y}_1 \cdots \mathbf{y}_d]$ . Denote

$$(14) \quad Q^{(s,l)} := \langle X - z, e_s \rangle \langle X - z, e_l \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad Q_i^{(s,l)} = \langle x_i - z, e_s \rangle \langle x_i - z, e_l \rangle = (\mathbf{q}_i)_s (\mathbf{q}_i)_l.$$

When  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , by the law of large number we would expect that the following term is close to its mean

$$\frac{1}{k_z} \sum_{m=1}^{k_z} Y_m^{(s,l)} = \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^{k_z} Y_m^{(s,l)}}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^{k_z} 1} = \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Q_i^{(s,l)} \varepsilon^{-d} \chi_{B_\varepsilon(z)}(x_i)}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon^{-d} \chi_{B_\varepsilon(z)}(x_i)}.$$

Note that  $\varepsilon^{-d}$  in front of  $\chi_{B_\varepsilon(z)}(X_i)$  is a normalization so that  $\varepsilon^{-d} \chi_{B_\varepsilon(z)}(X_i)$  is a proper kernel function for the approximation of identity purpose. Also note that  $Q_i^{(s,l)} \varepsilon^{-d} \chi_{B_\varepsilon(z)}(X_i)$  is an i.i.d. random vector. We would apply Bernstein's inequality in the denominator and numerator separately to achieve our goal.

**Proposition 5.1** (Bernstein's inequality). *Suppose  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are i.i.d. sampled from a random variable  $X$ , where  $E(X) = 0$ ,  $|X| \leq a$  and  $\text{Var}(X) < \infty$ . Then for  $\delta > 0$  we have*

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \right| > \delta \right\} \leq 2 \exp \left( - \frac{n\delta^2}{2\text{Var}(X) + \frac{2}{3}a\delta} \right).$$

Now, denote

$$(15) \quad X_i^{(s,l)} := Q_i^{(s,l)} \varepsilon^{-d} \chi_{B_\varepsilon(z)}(X_i) - E \left( Q_i^{(s,l)} \varepsilon^{-d} \chi_{B_\varepsilon(z)}(X_i) \right),$$

where  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Note that  $E(X_i^{(s,l)}) = 0$ ,  $|X_i^{(s,l)}| \leq \varepsilon^{-d} \|\mathbf{q}\|^2 \leq a = \Theta(\varepsilon^{-d+2})$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(X_i^{(s,l)}) &= \varepsilon^{-2d} \int_{\bar{B}} \mathbf{q}_s^2 \mathbf{q}_l^2 d\text{vol}_\rho - \varepsilon^{-2d} \left( \int_{\bar{B}} \mathbf{q}_s \mathbf{q}_l d\text{vol}_\rho \right)^2 \\ &= \varepsilon^{-d} (\beta \rho(z) |B^d| + O(\varepsilon^6)) - (\beta \rho^{-1} \nabla_s \nabla_l \rho + \beta \mathcal{V}_{s,l} + O(\varepsilon^5))^2 \rho(z)^2 |B^d|^2 \\ &= \frac{\rho(z) |B^d|}{(d+2)(d+4)} \varepsilon^{-d+4} + O(\varepsilon^{-d+6}) = \Theta(\varepsilon^{-d+4}). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly,  $a$  is much larger than the variance, so we would apply Bernstein's inequality to obtain a sharp bound. Since we are interested in the Hessian, which is of order  $\varepsilon^2$ , we focus on the region  $\delta \ll \varepsilon^2$  when we apply Bernstein's inequality; that is, the deviation caused by the random

sampling should be much smaller than  $\varepsilon^2$ , and we also hope that this bound happens with a high probability. To this goal, note that we have

$$\frac{n\delta^2}{2\text{Var}(X_i^{(s,l)}) + \frac{2}{3}a\delta} \geq \frac{n\delta^2}{4\text{Var}(X_i^{(s,l)})},$$

and we set

$$\frac{n\delta^2}{4\text{Var}(X_i^{(s,l)})} = 3\log(n)$$

since we hope the probability that the large deviation happens to be controlled from above by  $n^{-3}$ . That is, we take

$$(16) \quad \delta = \Theta\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log(n)}{n\varepsilon^{d-4}}}\right),$$

and achieve the bound

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n Q_i^{(s,l)}\varepsilon^{-d}\chi_{B_\varepsilon(z)}(x_i) - \int_M Q^{(s,l)}\varepsilon^{-d}\chi_{B_\varepsilon(z)}(x)d\text{vol}_\rho\right| > \sqrt{\frac{\log(n)}{n\varepsilon^{d-4}}}\right) \leq Cn^{-3}$$

for some  $C > 0$ . For a sanity check, note that since we have assumed  $\frac{n\varepsilon^d}{\log(n)} \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\sqrt{\frac{\log(n)}{n\varepsilon^{d-4}}}$  is dominated by  $\varepsilon^2$  when  $n$  is sufficiently large. Similarly, for the denominator we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon^{-d}\chi_{B_\varepsilon(z)}(x_i) - \int_M \varepsilon^{-d}\chi_{B_\varepsilon(z)}(x)d\text{vol}_\rho\right| > \sqrt{\frac{\log(n)}{n\varepsilon^d}}\right) \leq Cn^{-3}.$$

Recall that  $\int_{\tilde{B}} \varepsilon^{-d}d\text{vol}_\rho = \rho(z)|B^d| + O(\varepsilon^2)$ . Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{k_z}\sum_{m=1}^{k_z} Y_m^{(s,l)} &= \frac{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n Q_i^{(s,l)}\varepsilon^{-d}\chi_{B_\varepsilon(z)}(x_i)}{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon^{-d}\chi_{B_\varepsilon(z)}(x_i)} \\ &= \frac{1}{\rho(z)|B^d|}\int_M Q^{(s,l)}\varepsilon^{-d}\chi_{B_\varepsilon(z)}(x)d\text{vol}_\rho + O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log(n)}{n\varepsilon^{d-4}}}\right) \\ &= (1 + O(\varepsilon^2))\int_{B_\varepsilon(z)} Q^{(s,l)}d\text{vol}_\rho + O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log(n)}{n\varepsilon^{d-4}}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

and hence a control of  $\text{LD}_{AD} = O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log(n)}{n\varepsilon^{d-4}}}\right)$  with probability higher than  $1 - Cn^{-3}$  for some  $C > 0$  when  $n$  is sufficiently large.

Besides  $Y_m^{(s,l)} = (\mathbf{q}_m)_s(\mathbf{q}_m)_l$ , we have to control terms like  $Y_m^{(s)} := (\mathbf{q}_m)_s$ ,  $Y_m^{(ss,l)} := (\mathbf{q}_m)_s^2(\mathbf{q}_m)_l$ ,  $Y_m^{(s,l,t)} := (\mathbf{q}_m)_s(\mathbf{q}_m)_l(\mathbf{q}_m)_t$ , etc. The computation is similar and can be summarized by the following lemma. Since the proof of this lemma is the same as the above, we omit details.

**Lemma 5.6.** *Suppose  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are i.i.d. sampled from a random variable  $X$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose  $E(X) = 0$ ,  $|X| \leq a = \Theta(\varepsilon^{-d+\lambda})$  and  $\text{Var}(X) = \Theta(\varepsilon^{-d+2\lambda})$ . Then we have*

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right| > \sqrt{\frac{\log(n)}{n\varepsilon^{d-2\lambda}}}\right\} \leq Cn^{-3}$$

for some  $C > 0$  when  $n$  is sufficiently larger if  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  and  $\frac{n\varepsilon^d}{\log(n)} \rightarrow \infty$  hold when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Note that the control of  $LD_{AD}$  is a special case of Lemma 5.6. Based on this Lemma, the other terms of  $LD$  can be computed similarly and we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.7.** *Denote  $\omega := \sqrt{\frac{\log(n)}{n\varepsilon^d}}$  to simplify the notation. Then*

$$LD = \begin{bmatrix} LD_{AA} & LD_{AB} & LD_{AC} & LD_{AD} \\ - & LD_{BB} & LD_{BC} & LD_{BD} \\ - & - & LD_{CC} & LD_{CD} \\ - & - & - & LD_{DD} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & O(\varepsilon\omega) & O(\varepsilon^2\omega) & O(\varepsilon^2\omega) \\ - & O(\varepsilon^2\omega) & O(\varepsilon^3\omega) & O(\varepsilon^3\omega) \\ - & - & O(\varepsilon^4\omega) & O(\varepsilon^4\omega) \\ - & - & - & O(\varepsilon^4\omega) \end{bmatrix}$$

with probability higher than  $1 - Cn^{-3}$  for some  $C > 0$  when  $n$  is sufficiently large if  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  and  $\frac{n\varepsilon^d}{\log(n)} \rightarrow \infty$  hold when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

To simplify the heavy notation, from now on we follow the same notation relationship used in (13), (14) and (15) and consider notations  $Y_m^{(s\dots s)} := (\mathbf{q}_m)_s^\alpha$  if there are  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$   $s$  in the superscript of  $Y_m$ ,  $Y_m^{(s\dots s, l\dots l)} := (\mathbf{q}_m)_s^\alpha (\mathbf{q}_m)_l^\beta$  if there are  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$   $s$  and  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$   $l$  in the superscript of  $Y_m$ ,  $Y_m^{(s\dots s, l\dots l, t\dots t)} := (\mathbf{q}_m)_s^\alpha (\mathbf{q}_m)_l^\beta (\mathbf{q}_m)_t^\gamma$  if there are  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$   $s$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$   $l$  and  $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$   $t$  in the superscript of  $Y_m$ , etc., and the associated  $Q$  and  $X$  notations with the proper superscripts.

*Proof.* It is easy to see that  $LD_{AA} = 0$ . For each of the other terms, we have to compute the crucial term  $\text{Var}(X)$  as follows.

- $L_{AB}$ : Let  $Y_m^{(l)} := (\mathbf{q}_m)_l$  and  $X_i^{(l)} := Q_i^{(l)} \varepsilon^{-d} \chi_{B_\varepsilon(z)}(X_i) - E(Q_i^{(l)} \varepsilon^{-d} \chi_{B_\varepsilon(z)}(X_i))$ . It follows from Theorem 5.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(X^{(l)}) &= \varepsilon^{-2d} \int_{\tilde{B}} \mathbf{q}_l^2 \text{vol}_\rho - \varepsilon^{-2d} \left( \int_{\tilde{B}} \mathbf{q}_l d\text{vol}_\rho \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{\rho(z)|B^d|}{d+2} \varepsilon^{-d+2} + O(\varepsilon^{-d+4}) = \Theta(\varepsilon^{-d+2}) \end{aligned}$$

and, by Lemma 5.6,  $LD_{AB} = O(\varepsilon\omega)$ .

- $L_{AC}$ : By a direct computation as that in Theorem 5.1, we consider  $Y_m^{(ll)} := (\mathbf{q}_m)_l^2$  and derive

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(X^{(ll)}) &= \varepsilon^{-2d} \int_{\tilde{B}} \mathbf{q}_l^4 d\text{vol}_\rho - \varepsilon^{-2d} \left( \int_{\tilde{B}} \mathbf{q}_l^2 d\text{vol}_\rho \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{3\rho(z)|B^d|}{(d+4)(d+2)} \varepsilon^{-d+4} - \left( \frac{\rho(z)|B^d|}{d+2} \varepsilon^2 \right)^2 + O(\varepsilon^6) \\ &= \Theta(\varepsilon^{-d+4}) \end{aligned}$$

and thus  $LD_{AC} = O(\varepsilon^2\omega)$ .

- $L_{AD}$ : We had shown that  $\text{Var}(X^{(s,l)}) = \Theta(\varepsilon^{-d+4})$  in the previous paragraph.
- $L_{BB}$ : The computation of  $L_{BB}$  involves exactly the same terms as  $L_{AC}$  and  $L_{AD}$ . So the variance is also in  $\Theta(\varepsilon^{-d+4})$ .

Similarly, it is easy to check that  $\text{Var}(X^{(s,ll)})$  and  $\text{Var}(X^{(s,l,t)})$  are of  $\Theta(\varepsilon^{-d+6})$  while  $\text{Var}(X^{(ss,ll)})$ ,  $\text{Var}(X^{(ss,l,t)})$ , and  $\text{Var}(X^{(s,l,t,r)})$  are all of  $\Theta(\varepsilon^{-d+8})$ . Therefore, using Lemma 5.6, we obtain the desired large deviations.  $\square$

With the relationship assumption of  $n$  and  $\epsilon$ , from Lemmas 5.5 and 5.7, we conclude that  $\frac{1}{k_z}Z^T Z$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{k_z}Z^T Z &= L^0 + X + LD \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} L_{AA}^0 & L_{AB}^0 & L_{AC}^0 & L_{AD}^0 \\ - & L_{BB}^0 & L_{BC}^0 & L_{BD}^0 \\ - & - & L_{CC}^0 & L_{CD}^0 \\ - & - & - & L_{DD}^0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} X_{AA} & X_{AB} & X_{AC} & X_{AD} \\ - & X_{BB} & X_{BC} & X_{BD} \\ - & - & X_{CC} & X_{CD} \\ - & - & - & X_{DD} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} LD_{AA} & LD_{AB} & LD_{AC} & LD_{AD} \\ - & LD_{BB} & LD_{BC} & LD_{BD} \\ - & - & LD_{CC} & LD_{CD} \\ - & - & - & LD_{DD} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & L_{AB}^0 & L_{AC}^0 & \mathbf{0} \\ - & L_{BB}^0 & L_{BC}^0 & \mathbf{0} \\ - & - & L_{CC}^0 & \mathbf{0} \\ - & - & - & L_{DD}^0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & O(\epsilon^4) & O(\epsilon^4) & O(\epsilon^4) \\ - & O(\epsilon^4) & O(\epsilon^6) & O(\epsilon^4) \\ - & - & O(\epsilon^6) & O(\epsilon^6) \\ - & - & - & O(\epsilon^6) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & O(\epsilon\omega) & O(\epsilon^2\omega) & O(\epsilon^2\omega) \\ - & O(\epsilon^2\omega) & O(\epsilon^3\omega) & O(\epsilon^3\omega) \\ - & - & O(\epsilon^4\omega) & O(\epsilon^4\omega) \\ - & - & - & O(\epsilon^4\omega) \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

with probability higher than  $1 - Cn^{-3}$  for some  $C > 0$  when  $n$  is sufficiently large. Recall that  $L_{AB}^0$  is the vector  $\alpha\rho^{-1}\nabla\rho$ ,  $L_{AC}^0$  is the vector  $\alpha\mathbf{1}_d^T$ ,  $L_{BB}^0 = \alpha I_d$  where  $I_d$  is the identity matrix,

$$L_{BC}^0 = \beta\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y}, \text{ where } \mathbf{X} = \rho^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \partial_1\rho & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \partial_d\rho \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{Y} = (2I_d + \mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}^T), L_{CC}^0 = \beta\mathbf{Y}, L_{DD}^0 = \beta I_{\frac{d(d-1)}{2}},$$

and  $\omega = \sqrt{\frac{\log(n)}{n\epsilon^d}}$ . It is worthwhile to mention that we put the  $O(\epsilon^4)$ -term in  $X_{BD}$ , instead of  $L_{BD}^0$ , so that it is easier to compute the inverse of  $L^0$  in the next step.

**5.6. Step 3: The inverse of  $\frac{1}{k_z}Z^T Z$ .** Recall (11) and denote

$$E := X + LD;$$

that is,  $\frac{1}{k_z}Z^T Z = L^0 + E$ . To alleviate the heavy notation burden, we denote  $\rho := \rho(z)$  and

$$\partial_j\rho := \nabla_j\rho|_z, j = 1, \dots, d. \text{ Recall } L^0 \text{ defined in (9). Let } \mathbf{X} = \rho^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \partial_1\rho & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \partial_d\rho \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{Y} =$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 1 \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}. \text{ Then the inverse of } L^0 \text{ can be computed directly as}$$

$$(17) \quad (L^0)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 & \nu\mathbf{1}^T & 0 \\ - & K_{11} & K_{12} & 0 \\ - & K_{21} & K_{22} & 0 \\ - & - & - & \frac{1}{\beta}I_{\frac{d(d-1)}{2}} \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $\lambda$  and  $\nu$  satisfy  $\begin{cases} \lambda + \nu\alpha d & = 1 \\ \lambda\alpha + \nu\beta(d+2) & = 0 \end{cases}$ ,

$$K_{11} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \left( I + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{X} \right), \quad K_{12} = K_{21}^T = -\frac{1}{\alpha} \mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{Q}, \quad K_{22} = \frac{1}{\beta} \mathbf{Q} + \frac{\nu^2}{\lambda} \mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}^T,$$

$$\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{Y}^{-1} - F^{-1} + \frac{F^{-1}\mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}^T F^{-1}}{1 + \mathbf{1}^T F^{-1} \mathbf{1}} = \mathbf{Y}^{-1} + O(\epsilon^2),$$

and  $F^{-1} = \mathbf{X}^2(2\mathbf{X}^2 - \frac{\alpha}{\beta}\rho^2 I)^{-1}$ . By a direct expansion, we have

$$F^{-1} = -\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\rho^{-2}\mathbf{X}^2 + O(\varepsilon^4)$$

and

$$\mathbf{Y}^{-1} = \frac{1}{2(d+2)} \begin{bmatrix} d+1 & -1 & \cdots & -1 \\ -1 & d+1 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & -1 \\ -1 & \cdots & -1 & d+1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2}I - \frac{1}{2(d+2)}\mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}^T.$$

To evaluate the inverse of  $\frac{1}{k_z}Z^T Z = L^0 + E$ , we consider the Taylor's expansion

$$(18) \quad (L^0 + E)^{-1} = (L^0)^{-1} - (L^0)^{-1}E(L^0)^{-1} + [(L^0)^{-1}E]^2(L^0)^{-1} - \cdots;$$

but to achieve this expansion, we need the control of the norm of  $(L^0)^{-1}E$ . A direct computation gives

$$(L^0)^{-1}E = \begin{bmatrix} \nu\mathbf{1}^T E_{CA} & \lambda E_{AB} + \nu\mathbf{1}^T E_{CB} & \lambda E_{AC} + \nu\mathbf{1}^T E_{CC} & \lambda E_{AD} + \nu\mathbf{1}^T E_{CD} \\ K_{11}E_{BA} + K_{12}E_{CA} & K_{11}E_{BB} + K_{12}E_{CB} & K_{11}E_{BC} + K_{12}E_{CC} & K_{11}E_{BD} + K_{12}E_{CD} \\ K_{21}E_{BA} + K_{22}E_{CA} & \nu\mathbf{1}E_{AB} + K_{21}E_{BB} + K_{22}E_{CB} & \nu\mathbf{1}E_{AC} + K_{21}E_{BC} + K_{22}E_{CC} & \nu\mathbf{1}E_{AD} + K_{21}E_{BD} + K_{22}E_{CD} \\ \frac{1}{\beta}E_{DA} & \frac{1}{\beta}E_{DB} & \frac{1}{\beta}E_{DC} & \frac{1}{\beta}E_{DD} \end{bmatrix},$$

where we decompose  $E$  into blocks in the same way as that in (12) and use the associated notation. Recall that

$$(L^0)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} O(1) & 0 & O(\varepsilon^{-2}) & 0 \\ - & O(\varepsilon^{-2}) & O(\varepsilon^{-2}) & 0 \\ - & - & O(\varepsilon^{-4}) & 0 \\ - & - & - & O(\varepsilon^{-4}) \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } E = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & O(\varepsilon^4) & O(\varepsilon^4) & O(\varepsilon^4) \\ - & O(\varepsilon^4) & O(\varepsilon^6) & O(\varepsilon^4) \\ - & - & O(\varepsilon^6) & O(\varepsilon^6) \\ - & - & - & O(\varepsilon^6) \end{bmatrix} + \text{LD}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$(L^0)^{-1}E = \begin{bmatrix} O(\varepsilon^2) & O(\varepsilon) & O(\varepsilon^4) & O(\varepsilon^4) \\ O(\varepsilon^2) & O(\varepsilon^2) & O(\varepsilon^4) & O(\varepsilon^2) \\ O(1) & O(\varepsilon^2) & O(\varepsilon^2) & O(\varepsilon^2) \\ O(1) & O(1) & O(\varepsilon^2) & O(\varepsilon^2) \end{bmatrix},$$

and hence

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} \Omega_1 &:= (L^0)^{-1}E(L^0)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} O(\varepsilon^2) & O(\varepsilon^2) & O(1) & O(1) \\ O(\varepsilon^2) & O(1) & O(1) & O(\varepsilon^{-2}) \\ O(1) & O(1) & O(\varepsilon^{-2}) & O(\varepsilon^{-2}) \\ O(1) & O(\varepsilon^{-2}) & O(\varepsilon^{-2}) & O(\varepsilon^{-2}) \end{bmatrix}, \\ \Omega_2 &:= [(L^0)^{-1}E]^2(L^0)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} O(\varepsilon^4) & O(\varepsilon^2) & O(\varepsilon^2) & O(\varepsilon^2) \\ O(\varepsilon^2) & O(1) & O(1) & O(1) \\ O(\varepsilon^2) & O(1) & O(1) & O(1) \\ O(\varepsilon^2) & O(1) & O(1) & O(\varepsilon^{-2}) \end{bmatrix}, \\ \Omega_3 &:= [(L^0)^{-1}E]^3(L^0)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} O(\varepsilon^6) & O(\varepsilon^4) & O(\varepsilon^4) & O(\varepsilon^2) \\ O(\varepsilon^4) & O(\varepsilon^2) & O(\varepsilon^2) & O(1) \\ O(\varepsilon^4) & O(\varepsilon^2) & O(\varepsilon^2) & O(1) \\ O(\varepsilon^2) & O(1) & O(1) & O(1) \end{bmatrix}, \text{ etc.} \end{aligned}$$

Denote

$$\Omega_m := \begin{bmatrix} (\Omega_m)_{AA} & (\Omega_m)_{AB} & (\Omega_m)_{AC} & (\Omega_m)_{AD} \\ (\Omega_m)_{BA} & (\Omega_m)_{BB} & (\Omega_m)_{BC} & (\Omega_m)_{BD} \\ (\Omega_m)_{CA} & (\Omega_m)_{CB} & (\Omega_m)_{CC} & (\Omega_m)_{CD} \\ (\Omega_m)_{DA} & (\Omega_m)_{DB} & (\Omega_m)_{DC} & (\Omega_m)_{DD} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that only  $(L^0)^{-1}$ ,  $\Omega_1$ ,  $(\Omega_2)_{AB}$ ,  $(\Omega_2)_{BA}$ ,  $(\Omega_2)_{BB}$ ,  $(\Omega_2)_{BC}$ ,  $(\Omega_2)_{CB}$ , and  $(\Omega_2)_{DD}$  contain the first and the second lowest order terms, and the remaining terms inside  $\Omega_m := [(L^0)^{-1}E]^m(L^0)^{-1}$ ,  $m \geq 2$ , are of higher order compared to  $(L^0)^{-1} - \Omega_1$ . To be precise, if we denote

$$(20) \quad G := (L^0)^{-1} - \Omega_1 + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & (\Omega_2)_{AB} & 0 & 0 \\ (\Omega_2)_{BA} & (\Omega_2)_{BB} & (\Omega_2)_{BC} & 0 \\ 0 & (\Omega_2)_{CB} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & (\Omega_2)_{DD} \end{bmatrix},$$

then the higher order terms are

$$(21) \quad (L^0 + E)^{-1} - G = \begin{bmatrix} O(\varepsilon^4) & O(\varepsilon^4) & O(\varepsilon^2) & O(\varepsilon^2) \\ O(\varepsilon^4) & O(\varepsilon^2) & O(\varepsilon^2) & O(1) \\ O(\varepsilon^2) & O(\varepsilon^2) & O(1) & O(1) \\ O(\varepsilon^2) & O(1) & O(1) & O(1) \end{bmatrix}.$$

We shall mention that although non-zero entries in  $(L^0)^{-1}$  dominate their corresponding entries in  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$ , we cannot discard terms in  $\Omega_1$  and some entries in  $\Omega_2$  because at the very last step of the proof when we put everything together, some of those dominated entries will play a critical role via canceling each other. See the proof of Theorem 5.2, particularly (22), for details. We also use Lemma 5.8(ii) for the cancellation. In the following paragraph,  $(\Omega_1)_{CA}$  and  $(\Omega_1)_{CC}$  in  $G$  are computed explicitly because they will be used later, while other terms in  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$  are kept implicit. Namely, the matrix in (20) can be expressed as

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} G_{AA} & G_{AB} & G_{AC} & G_{AD} \\ G_{BA} & G_{BB} & G_{BC} & G_{BD} \\ G_{CA} & G_{CB} & G_{CC} & G_{CD} \\ G_{DA} & G_{DB} & G_{DC} & G_{DD} \end{bmatrix},$$

where the first row is

$$\begin{aligned} G_{AA} &= \lambda - (\Omega_1)_{AA}, \\ G_{AB} &= -(\Omega_1)_{AB} + (\Omega_2)_{AB}, \\ G_{AC} &= \nu \mathbf{1}^T - (\Omega_1)_{AC}, \\ G_{AD} &= -(\Omega_1)_{AD}; \end{aligned}$$

the second row is

$$\begin{aligned} G_{BA} &= -(\Omega_1)_{BA} + (\Omega_2)_{BA}, \\ G_{BB} &= K_{11} - (\Omega_1)_{BB} + (\Omega_2)_{BB}, \\ G_{BC} &= K_{12} - (\Omega_1)_{BC} + (\Omega_2)_{BC}, \\ G_{BD} &= -(\Omega_1)_{BD}; \end{aligned}$$

the third row is

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{CA} &= \nu \mathbf{1} - (\lambda K_{21} E_{BA} + \lambda K_{22} E_{CA} + \nu \mathbf{1} E_{AC} \nu \mathbf{1} + K_{21} E_{BC} \nu \mathbf{1} + K_{22} E_{CC} \nu \mathbf{1}), \\
G_{CB} &= K_{21} - (\Omega_1)_{CB} + (\Omega_2)_{CB}, \\
G_{CC} &= K_{22} - (K_{21} E_{BA} \nu \mathbf{1}^T + K_{22} E_{CA} \nu \mathbf{1}^T) \\
&\quad - [(\nu \mathbf{1} E_{AB} + K_{21} E_{BB} + K_{22} E_{CB}) K_{12} + (\nu \mathbf{1} E_{AC} + K_{21} E_{BC} + K_{22} E_{CC}) K_{22}], \\
G_{CD} &= -(\Omega_1)_{CD};
\end{aligned}$$

and the fourth row is

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{DA} &= -\frac{1}{\beta} (\lambda E_{DA} + E_{DC} \nu \mathbf{1}), \\
G_{DB} &= -\frac{1}{\beta} (E_{DB} K_{11} + E_{DC} K_{21}), \\
G_{DC} &= -\frac{1}{\beta} (E_{DA} \nu \mathbf{1}^T + E_{DB} K_{12} + E_{DC} K_{22}), \\
G_{DD} &= \frac{1}{\beta} I - (\Omega_1)_{DD} + (\Omega_2)_{DD}.
\end{aligned}$$

In the following computation, we use  $K_{22}^0$  to denote the leading order terms of  $K_{22} = \frac{1}{\beta} \mathbf{Q} + \frac{\nu^2}{\lambda} \mathbf{1} \mathbf{1}^T = \frac{1}{\beta} \mathbf{Y}^{-1} + \frac{\nu^2}{\lambda} \mathbf{1} \mathbf{1}^T + O(\varepsilon^{-2})$ , i.e.,  $K_{22}^0 = \frac{1}{\beta} \mathbf{Y}^{-1} + \frac{\nu^2}{\lambda} \mathbf{1} \mathbf{1}^T$ . Similarly,  $K_{11}^0 = \frac{1}{\alpha} I$  and  $K_{12}^0 = K_{21}^0 = -\frac{1}{\alpha} \mathbf{X}$ . For later use, we need the following formulas which can be derived by routine computations, and we omit the details.

**Lemma 5.8.** Denote  $\lambda = \frac{(d+2)\beta}{(d+2)\beta - \alpha^2 d}$ ,  $\nu = \frac{-\alpha}{(d+2)\beta - \alpha^2 d}$ . Then we have

- (i)  $\frac{\nu}{\lambda} = \frac{-\alpha}{(d+2)\beta} = \varepsilon^{-2} \frac{d+4}{d+2}$ .
- (ii)  $\nu \mathbf{1} + \alpha K_{22}^0 \mathbf{1} = 0$ .
- (iii)  $2\beta \frac{\nu^2}{\lambda} \mathbf{1} + \alpha \nu \mathbf{1} + \beta K_{22}^0 \mathbf{1} = \frac{1}{d+2} \mathbf{1}$ .
- (iv)  $E_{DB} K_{11}^0 \alpha [\nabla_j \eta]_{d \times 1} = \beta \rho^{-1} [\nabla_s \rho \nabla_t \eta + \nabla_t \rho \nabla_s \eta]_{\frac{d(d-1)}{2} \times 1}$ , where  $\eta$  might be  $f$  or  $\rho$ .
- (v)  $E_{DB} K_{12}^0 \alpha \mathbf{1} = -\beta \rho^{-2} [\nabla_s \rho \nabla_t \rho + \nabla_t \rho \nabla_s \rho]_{\frac{d(d-1)}{2} \times 1}$ .

**5.7. Step 4: The estimate for  $(Z^T Z)^{-1} Z^T \mathbf{f}$ .** In the following, we will compute  $\frac{1}{k_z} Z^T \begin{bmatrix} f(x_{z,1}) \\ \vdots \\ f(x_{z,k_z}) \end{bmatrix}$

and express it as

$$\frac{1}{k_z} Z^T \begin{bmatrix} f(x_{z,1}) \\ \vdots \\ f(x_{z,k_z}) \end{bmatrix} = \overline{L^0} + \overline{X} + \overline{LD}.$$

By using similar computation we have done in previous steps, we obtain the following estimation.



**Lemma 5.9.** *Let  $\alpha = \frac{\varepsilon^2}{d+2}$ ,  $\beta = \frac{\varepsilon^4}{(d+2)(d+4)}$  and  $\omega = \sqrt{\frac{\log(n)}{n\varepsilon^d}}$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{k_z} Z^T \begin{bmatrix} f(x_{z,1}) \\ \vdots \\ f(x_{z,k_z}) \end{bmatrix} = (\overline{L^0} + \overline{X}) + \overline{LD} \\ & = \begin{bmatrix} f(z) + \frac{1}{2}\alpha (\Delta f(z) + 2\rho^{-1}\langle \nabla f, \nabla \rho \rangle(z)) + O(\varepsilon^4) \\ \alpha (\nabla_1 f|_z + \rho^{-1}(z)f(z)\nabla_1 \rho|_z) + O(\varepsilon^4) \\ \vdots \\ \alpha (\nabla_d f|_z + \rho^{-1}(z)f(z)\nabla_d \rho|_z) + O(\varepsilon^4) \\ \alpha f(z) + \beta \rho^{-1}(z) \left( \nabla_1^2(f\rho)|_z + \frac{1}{2}\Delta(f\rho)(z) - \frac{d+4}{2(d+2)}f(z)\Delta\rho(z) \right) + \beta \mathcal{U}_{11}f(z) + O(\varepsilon^5) \\ \vdots \\ \alpha f(z) + \beta \rho^{-1}(z) \left( \nabla_d^2(f\rho)|_z + \frac{1}{2}\Delta(f\rho)(z) - \frac{d+4}{2(d+2)}f(z)\Delta\rho(z) \right) + \beta \mathcal{U}_{dd}f(z) + O(\varepsilon^5) \\ \beta \rho^{-1}\nabla_1\nabla_2(f\rho)|_z + \beta \mathcal{V}_{1,2}f(z) + O(\varepsilon^5) \\ \vdots \\ \beta \rho^{-1}\nabla_{d-1}\nabla_d(f\rho)|_z + \beta \mathcal{V}_{d-1,d}f(z) + O(\varepsilon^5) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} O(\omega) \\ O(\varepsilon\omega) \\ \vdots \\ O(\varepsilon\omega) \\ O(\varepsilon^2\omega) \\ \vdots \\ O(\varepsilon^2\omega) \\ O(\varepsilon^2\omega) \\ \vdots \\ O(\varepsilon^2\omega) \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{U}_{jj} = 2\Lambda - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{\Pi}(e_j, e_j)$  and  $\mathcal{V}_{s,t} = -\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{\Pi}(e_s, e_t)$ , with probability higher than  $1 - Cn^{-3}$  for some  $C > 0$  if  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  and  $\frac{n\varepsilon^d}{\log(n)} \rightarrow \infty$  holds when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the above, so we sketch it without providing all details. Denote

$$\frac{1}{k_z} Z^T \begin{bmatrix} f(x_{z,1}) \\ \vdots \\ f(x_{z,k_z}) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} N_A \\ N_B \\ N_C \\ N_D \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\text{where } N_A = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{k_z} \sum_{j=1}^{k_z} f(x_{z,j}) \end{bmatrix}, N_B = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{k_z} \sum_{j=1}^{k_z} (\mathbf{q}_j)_1 f(x_{z,j}) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{k_z} \sum_{j=1}^{k_z} (\mathbf{q}_j)_d f(x_{z,j}) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$N_C = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{k_z} \sum_{j=1}^{k_z} (\mathbf{q}_j)_1^2 f(x_{z,j}) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{k_z} \sum_{j=1}^{k_z} (\mathbf{q}_j)_d^2 f(x_{z,j}) \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } N_D = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{k_z} \sum_{j=1}^{k_z} (\mathbf{q}_j)_1 (\mathbf{q}_j)_2 f(x_{z,j}) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{k_z} \sum_{j=1}^{k_z} (\mathbf{q}_j)_{d-1} (\mathbf{q}_j)_d f(x_{z,j}) \end{bmatrix}. \text{ The computation and}$$

control of  $\overline{L^0}$ ,  $\overline{X}$  and  $\overline{LD}$  follow the same steps as the above. We first approximate  $\frac{1}{k_z} \sum_{j=1}^{k_z} (\mathbf{q}_j)_l f(x_{z,j})$  by their continuous counterparts  $\overline{L^0} + \overline{X}$  via the large deviation. For instance, fix any  $l = 1, \dots, d$ ,

thanks to Theorem 5.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{k_z} \sum_{j=1}^{k_z} (\mathbf{q}_j)_l f(x_{z,j}) \\
&= \frac{1}{k_z} \sum_{j=1}^{k_z} ((\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_j)_l + O(\varepsilon^3) \|x_{z,j} - z\|) f(x_{z,j}) \\
&= \int_{\tilde{B}} \langle x - z, e_l \rangle f(x) d\text{vol}_\rho + \int_{\tilde{B}} O(\varepsilon^3) \|x - z\| f(x) d\text{vol}_\rho + \text{L.D.} \\
&= \int_{\tilde{B}} \langle x - z, e_l \rangle f(x) d\text{vol}_\rho + O(\varepsilon^3) \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(\tilde{B})} \int_{S^{d-1}} \int_0^{\tilde{\varepsilon}} (t + O(t^2))(f(z) + O(t)) dt dS_\theta + \text{L.D.} \\
&= \alpha(\nabla_l f|_z + \rho^{-1} f \nabla_l \rho) + O(\varepsilon^4) + \text{L.D.},
\end{aligned}$$

where L.D. means the large deviation of  $\frac{1}{k_z} \sum_{j=1}^{k_z} (\mathbf{q}_j)_l f(x_{z,j})$ . Other terms also follow from Theorem 5.1 immediately. Now we figure out the large deviation terms. As before, we denote  $\omega := \sqrt{\frac{\log(n)}{n\varepsilon^d}}$ .

- The first block:

Let  $\hat{X}_i := f(X_i)\varepsilon^{-d}\chi_{B_\varepsilon(z)}(X_i) - E(f(X_i)\varepsilon^{-d}\chi_{B_\varepsilon(z)}(X_i))$ . Then  $|\hat{X}_i| \leq a = \Theta(\varepsilon^{-d})$  and

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Var}(\hat{X}_i) &= \varepsilon^{-2d} \int_{\tilde{B}} f^2 d\text{vol}_\rho - \varepsilon^{-2d} \left( \int_{\tilde{B}} f d\text{vol}_\rho \right)^2 \\
&= \varepsilon^{-d} \rho(z) |B^d| \left( f^2(z) + \frac{1}{2} \alpha (\Delta f^2(z) + 2\rho^{-1}(z) \langle \nabla f^2, \nabla \rho \rangle(z)) + O(\varepsilon^4) \right) \\
&\quad - \rho(z)^2 |B^d|^2 \left( f(z) + \frac{1}{2} \alpha (\Delta f(z) + 2\rho^{-1}(z) \langle \nabla f, \nabla \rho \rangle(z)) + O(\varepsilon^4) \right)^2 \\
&= \rho(z) |B^d| f^2(z) \varepsilon^{-d} + O(\varepsilon^{-d+2}).
\end{aligned}$$

Using Corollary 5.6, we can derive

$$\mathbb{P} \left( \left| \frac{1}{k_z} \sum_{m=1}^{k_z} f(x_{z,m}) - (1 + O(\varepsilon^2)) \int_{B_\varepsilon(z)} f(x) d\text{vol}_\rho \right| > \sqrt{\frac{\log(n)}{n\varepsilon^d}} \right) \leq Cn^{-3}$$

under the relationship assumption of  $\varepsilon$  and  $n$ , which leads to

$$\left| \frac{1}{k_z} \sum_{m=1}^{k_z} f(x_{z,m}) - f(z) \right| = O(\varepsilon^2) + O(\omega)$$

with probability greater than  $1 - Cn^{-3}$  if  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  and  $\frac{n\varepsilon^d}{\log(n)} \rightarrow \infty$  when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

- The second block:

Let  $\widehat{X}_i^{(l)} := Q_i^{(l)} f(X_i) \varepsilon^{-d} \chi_{B_\varepsilon(z)}(X_i) - E \left( Q_i^{(l)} f(X_i) \varepsilon^{-d} \chi_{B_\varepsilon(z)}(X_i) \right)$ . Then  $|\widehat{X}_i^{(l)}| \leq a = \Theta(\varepsilon^{-d+1})$  and by Theorem 5.1 we can show that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(\widehat{X}_i^{(l)}) &= \varepsilon^{-2d} \int_{\widetilde{B}} \langle x - z, e_l \rangle^2 f^2 d\text{vol}_\rho - \varepsilon^{-2d} \left( \int_{\widetilde{B}} \langle x - z, e_l \rangle f d\text{vol}_\rho \right)^2 \\ &= \varepsilon^{-d} \rho(z) |B^d| \left( \frac{1}{d+2} f^2(z) \varepsilon^2 + O(\varepsilon^4) \right) - \Theta(\varepsilon^4) \\ &= \Theta(\varepsilon^{-d+2}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\left| \frac{1}{k_z} \sum_{m=1}^{k_z} (\mathbf{q}_m)_l f(x_{z,m}) - \alpha (\nabla_l f|_z + \rho^{-1}(z) f(z) \nabla_l \rho|_z) \right| = O(\varepsilon^4) + O(\varepsilon \omega)$$

with probability greater than  $1 - Cn^{-3}$  if  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  and  $\frac{n\varepsilon^d}{\log(n)} \rightarrow \infty$  when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

- The third block:

Let  $\widehat{X}_i^{(ll)} := Q_i^{(ll)} f(X_i) \varepsilon^{-d} \chi_{B_\varepsilon(z)}(X_i) - E \left( Q_i^{(ll)} f(X_i) \varepsilon^{-d} \chi_{B_\varepsilon(z)}(X_i) \right)$ . Then by the same calculation we have  $|\widehat{X}_i^{(ll)}| \leq a = \Theta(\varepsilon^{-d+2})$  and  $\text{Var}(\widehat{X}_i^{(ll)}) = \Theta(\varepsilon^{-d+4})$ , which implies

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{1}{k_z} \sum_{m=1}^{k_z} (\mathbf{q}_m)_l^2 f(x_{z,m}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \alpha f(z) + \beta \rho^{-1}(z) \left( \nabla_l^2(f\rho)|_z + \frac{1}{2} \Delta(f\rho)(z) - \frac{d+4}{2(d+2)} f(z) \Delta\rho(z) \right) + \beta \mathcal{U}_l f(z) \right| \\ &= O(\varepsilon^5) + O(\varepsilon^2 \omega) \end{aligned}$$

with probability higher than  $1 - Cn^{-3}$  if  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  and  $\frac{n\varepsilon^d}{\log(n)} \rightarrow \infty$  when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

- The fourth block:

Let  $\widehat{X}_i^{(s,t)} := Q_i^{(s,t)} f(X_i) \varepsilon^{-d} \chi_{B_\varepsilon(z)}(X_i) - E \left( Q_i^{(s,t)} f(X_i) \varepsilon^{-d} \chi_{B_\varepsilon(z)}(X_i) \right)$ . Then, by the same calculation, we have  $|\widehat{X}_i^{(s,t)}| \leq a = \Theta(\varepsilon^{-d+2})$  and  $\text{Var}(\widehat{X}_i^{(s,t)}) = \Theta(\varepsilon^{-d+4})$ . This implies that

$$\left| \frac{1}{k_z} \sum_{m=1}^{k_z} (\mathbf{q}_m)_s (\mathbf{q}_m)_t f(x_{z,m}) - (\beta \rho^{-1} \nabla_s \nabla_t (f\rho)|_z + \beta \mathcal{V}_{1,2} f(z)) \right| = O(\varepsilon^5) + O(\varepsilon^2 \omega)$$

with probability higher than  $1 - Cn^{-3}$  if  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  and  $\frac{n\varepsilon^d}{\log(n)} \rightarrow \infty$  when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

□

By putting the above calculation together, we have

$$(Z^T Z)^{-1} Z^T \begin{bmatrix} f(x_{z,1}) \\ \vdots \\ f(x_{z,k_z}) \end{bmatrix} = (G + h.o.t.) (\overline{L^0} + \overline{X} + \overline{LD}),$$

where *h.o.t.* means the higher order terms. We are finally ready to finish the proof of the desired quadratic fitting theorem, which we summarize it below for the reader's convenience.

**Theorem 5.2** (cf. Theorem 3.1). *Set  $\omega = \sqrt{\frac{\log(n)}{n\varepsilon^d}}$ . From the previous lemmas, we conclude that*

$$(Z^T Z)^{-1} Z^T \begin{bmatrix} f(x_{z,1}) \\ \vdots \\ f(x_{z,k_z}) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f(z) + O(\varepsilon^2) + O(\omega) \\ \nabla_1 f|_z + O(\varepsilon^2) + O(\varepsilon^{-1}\omega) \\ \vdots \\ \nabla_d f|_z + O(\varepsilon^2) + O(\varepsilon^{-1}\omega) \\ \frac{1}{2} \nabla_1 \nabla_1 f|_z + O(\varepsilon) + O(\varepsilon^{-2}\omega) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{2} \nabla_d \nabla_d f|_z + O(\varepsilon) + O(\varepsilon^{-2}\omega) \\ \nabla_1 \nabla_2 f|_z + O(\varepsilon) + O(\varepsilon^{-2}\omega) \\ \vdots \\ \nabla_{d-1} \nabla_d f|_z + O(\varepsilon) + O(\varepsilon^{-2}\omega) \end{bmatrix},$$

with probability higher than  $1 - Cn^{-3}$  for some  $C > 0$  if  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  and  $\frac{n\varepsilon^d}{\log(n)} \rightarrow \infty$  holds when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof.* (Finish the proof of Theorem 3.1.)

The leading terms of  $(Z^T Z)^{-1} Z^T \mathbf{f}$  can be computed separately as follows.

**The constant part:** The leading  $O(1)$ -term is  $\lambda f + \nu \mathbf{1}^T \alpha f \mathbf{1} = f$  because  $\lambda + \nu \alpha d = 1$ . The second order terms are in  $O(\varepsilon^2)$ .

**The gradient part:** We multiply the matrix in  $\frac{1}{k_z} Z^T \mathbf{f}$  by  $[G_{BA} \ G_{BB} \ G_{BC} \ G_{BD}]$ . The leading term is

$$K_{11}^0 [\alpha (\nabla_j f + \rho^{-1} f \nabla_j \rho)]_{d \times 1} + K_{12}^0 \alpha f \mathbf{1},$$

which can be simplified and becomes the solely  $[\nabla_j f]_{d \times 1}$ .

**The Hessian part:** Now we multiply the matrix in  $\frac{1}{k_z} Z^T \mathbf{f}$  by  $[G_{CA} \ G_{CB} \ G_{CC} \ G_{CD}]$ . The product contains many terms and only leading terms are presented here. Recall that  $\nu \in O(\varepsilon^{-2})$ ,  $\lambda \in O(1)$ ,  $K_{11}^0, K_{12}^0, K_{21}^0 \in O(\varepsilon^{-2})$ ,  $K_{22}^0 = \frac{1}{\beta} \mathbf{Y}^{-1} + \frac{\nu^2}{\lambda} \mathbf{1} \mathbf{1}^T \in O(\varepsilon^{-4})$ . Moreover,  $K_{22} - K_{22}^0 = \frac{1}{\alpha} \rho^{-2} \mathbf{X}^2 + O(1) \in O(\varepsilon^{-2})$ . We denote  $K_{22}^1 := \frac{1}{\alpha} \rho^{-2} \mathbf{X}^2$ .

- The  $O(\varepsilon^{-2})$ -term  $\nu \mathbf{1} f + K_{22}^0 \alpha f \mathbf{1}$  vanishes by Lemma 5.8(ii).

- The  $O(1)$ -terms of  $[G_{CA} \ G_{CB} \ G_{CC} \ G_{CD}] \cdot \frac{1}{k_z} Z^T \mathbf{f}$  can be gathered as

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \nu \mathbf{1} \alpha \frac{1}{2} \rho^{-1} [\Delta(f\rho) - f\Delta\rho] - (\lambda K_{22}^0 E_{CA} + \nu \mathbf{1} E_{AC} \nu \mathbf{1} + K_{22}^0 E_{CC} \nu \mathbf{1}) f \\
 & + K_{21}^0 \alpha \rho^{-1} [\nabla_j(f\rho)]_{d \times 1} + K_{22}^0 \beta \rho^{-1} \left[ \nabla_j^2(f\rho) + \frac{1}{2} \Delta(f\rho) - \frac{d+4}{2(d+2)} f\Delta\rho \right]_{d \times 1} \\
 & + K_{22}^0 \beta [\mathcal{U}_{jj} f]_{d \times 1} + K_{22}^1 \mathbf{1} \alpha f - (K_{22}^0 E_{CA} \nu \mathbf{1}^T + \nu \mathbf{1} E_{AC} K_{22}^0 + K_{22}^0 E_{CC} K_{22}^0) \mathbf{1} \alpha f \\
 = & \rho^{-1} \beta K_{22}^0 [\nabla_j^2(f\rho)]_{d \times 1} + \frac{1}{2} \rho^{-1} (\alpha \nu \mathbf{1} + \beta K_{22}^0 \mathbf{1}) \Delta(f\rho) - \frac{1}{2} \rho^{-1} \alpha \nu \mathbf{1} f \Delta\rho \\
 & + \rho^{-1} \alpha K_{21}^0 [\nabla_j(f\rho)]_{d \times 1} - \frac{1}{2} \rho^{-1} \frac{d+4}{d+2} \beta K_{22}^0 \mathbf{1} f \Delta\rho + f \beta K_{22}^0 [\mathcal{U}_{jj}]_{d \times 1} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \rho^{-2} X^2 \mathbf{1} \alpha f \\
 & - f (\lambda K_{22}^0 E_{CA} + \nu \mathbf{1} E_{AC} \nu \mathbf{1} + K_{22}^0 E_{CC} \nu \mathbf{1}) \\
 & - f (K_{22}^0 E_{CA} \nu \mathbf{1}^T + \nu \mathbf{1} E_{AC} K_{22}^0 + K_{22}^0 E_{CC} K_{22}^0) \mathbf{1} \alpha \\
 = & \rho^{-1} \beta \frac{1}{\beta} \mathbf{Y}^{-1} [\rho \nabla_j^2 f + 2 \nabla_j f \nabla_j \rho + f \nabla_j^2 \rho]_{d \times 1} + \rho^{-1} \beta \frac{\nu^2}{\lambda} \mathbf{1} \mathbf{1}^T [\nabla_j^2(f\rho)]_{d \times 1} \\
 & + \frac{1}{2} \rho^{-1} (\alpha \nu \mathbf{1} + \beta K_{22}^0 \mathbf{1}) \Delta(f\rho) - \frac{1}{2} \rho^{-1} (\alpha \nu \mathbf{1} + \alpha^2 K_{22}^0 \mathbf{1}) f \Delta\rho \\
 & - \frac{1}{\rho} \mathbf{X} [\nabla_j(f\rho)]_{d \times 1} + f \beta K_{22}^0 [\mathcal{U}_{jj}]_{d \times 1} + f \rho^{-2} (\nabla_j \rho)^2 \mathbf{1} \\
 & - f (K_{22}^0 E_{CA} (\lambda + \alpha \nu \mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{1}) + \nu \mathbf{1} E_{AC} (\nu \mathbf{1} + \alpha K_{22}^0 \mathbf{1}) + K_{22}^0 E_{CC} (\nu \mathbf{1} + \alpha K_{22}^0 \mathbf{1})).
 \end{aligned}$$

Recall that  $\mathbf{Y}^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} I - \frac{1}{2(d+2)} \mathbf{1} \mathbf{1}^T$ ,  $\lambda + \alpha \nu d = 1$  and, by Lemma 5.8,  $\nu \mathbf{1} + \alpha K_{22}^0 \mathbf{1} = 0$  and

$$-\frac{1}{2(d+2)} \Delta(f\rho) \mathbf{1} + \beta \frac{\nu^2}{\lambda} \Delta(f\rho) \mathbf{1} + \frac{1}{2} (\alpha \nu \mathbf{1} + \beta K_{22}^0 \mathbf{1}) \Delta(f\rho) = 0.$$

Hence this  $O(1)$ -term can be simplified as

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left[ \frac{1}{2} \nabla_j^2 f \right]_{d \times 1} + \left[ \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla_j f \nabla_j \rho \right]_{d \times 1} + \left[ \frac{1}{2\rho} f \nabla_j^2 \rho \right]_{d \times 1} - \frac{1}{2(d+2)} \rho^{-1} \mathbf{1} \mathbf{1}^T [\nabla_j^2(f\rho)]_{d \times 1} \\
 & + \rho^{-1} \beta \frac{\nu^2}{\lambda} \mathbf{1} \mathbf{1}^T [\nabla_j^2(f\rho)]_{d \times 1} + \frac{1}{2} \rho^{-1} (\alpha \nu \mathbf{1} + \beta K_{22}^0 \mathbf{1}) \Delta(f\rho) \\
 & - \frac{1}{\rho^2} [\nabla_j \rho \nabla_j(f\rho)]_{d \times 1} + f \beta K_{22}^0 [\mathcal{U}_{jj}]_{d \times 1} + f \rho^{-2} (\nabla_j \rho)^2 \mathbf{1} - f K_{22}^0 E_{CA} \\
 = & \frac{1}{2} [\nabla_j^2 f]_{d \times 1} + \frac{1}{\rho} [\nabla_j f \nabla_j \rho]_{d \times 1} + \frac{1}{2\rho} f [\nabla_j^2 \rho]_{d \times 1} \\
 & - \frac{1}{\rho^2} [\nabla_j \rho (\rho \nabla_j f + f \nabla_j \rho)]_{d \times 1} + f \rho^{-2} (\nabla_j \rho)^2 \mathbf{1} + f \beta K_{22}^0 [\mathcal{U}_{jj}]_{d \times 1} - f K_{22}^0 E_{CA} \\
 = & \frac{1}{2} [\nabla_j^2 f]_{d \times 1} + \frac{1}{2\rho} f [\nabla_j^2 \rho]_{d \times 1} + f \beta K_{22}^0 [\mathcal{U}_{jj}]_{d \times 1} - f K_{22}^0 E_{CA}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, since the leading term of  $E_{CA}$  is  $\beta [\rho^{-1}\nabla_j^2\rho - \frac{1}{d+2}\rho^{-1}\Delta\rho + \mathcal{U}_{jj}]_{d\times 1}$  and  $\beta\frac{\nu^2}{\lambda} = \frac{d+4}{4(d+2)}$ , this  $O(1)$ -term becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}[\nabla_j^2 f]_{d\times 1} + \frac{f}{2\rho}[\nabla_j^2\rho]_{d\times 1} - \rho^{-1}f \left( \mathbf{Y}^{-1} + \beta\frac{\nu^2}{\lambda}\mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}^T \right) \left[ \nabla_j^2\rho - \frac{1}{d+2}\Delta\rho \right]_{d\times 1} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}[\nabla_j^2 f]_{d\times 1} + \frac{f}{2\rho}[\nabla_j^2\rho]_{d\times 1} - \rho^{-1}f \left( \frac{1}{2}I + \left( \beta\frac{\nu^2}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{2(d+2)} \right) \mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}^T \right) \left[ \nabla_j^2\rho - \frac{1}{d+2}\Delta\rho \right]_{d\times 1} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}[\nabla_j^2 f]_{d\times 1} + \left[ -\frac{1}{2(d+2)} + \left( \beta\frac{\nu^2}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{2(d+2)} \right) \left( \frac{2}{d+2} \right) \right] \Delta\rho\mathbf{1} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}[\nabla_j^2 f]_{d\times 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we multiply the matrix in  $\frac{1}{k_z}Z^T\mathbf{f}$  by  $[G_{DA} \ G_{DB} \ G_{DC} \ G_{DD}]$ . As before, we only demonstrate the leading terms, which are of  $O(1)$ . Recall that  $E_{DA} = \beta[\rho^{-1}\nabla_s\nabla_t\rho + \mathcal{V}_{s,t}]_{\frac{d(d-1)}{2}\times 1}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{\beta}(\lambda E_{DA} + E_{DC}\nu\mathbf{1})f - \frac{1}{\beta}E_{DB}K_{11}^0(\alpha(\nabla_j f + \rho^{-1}f\nabla_j\rho)) \\ & -\frac{1}{\beta}(E_{DA}\nu\mathbf{1}^T + E_{DB}K_{12}^0 + E_{DC}K_{22}^0)\alpha f\mathbf{1} + [\rho^{-1}\nabla_s\nabla_t(f\rho) + f\mathcal{V}_{s,t}]_{\frac{d(d-1)}{2}\times 1} \\ &= -\frac{1}{\beta}f \left( \lambda E_{DA} + \alpha E_{DA}\nu\mathbf{1}^T\mathbf{1} + E_{DC}\nu\mathbf{1} - \alpha E_{DB}K_{11}^0\rho^{-1}\nabla_j\rho - \alpha E_{DB}K_{12}^0\mathbf{1}_{=0} + \alpha E_{DC}K_{22}^0\mathbf{1} \right) \\ & + [\nabla_s\nabla_t f]_{\frac{d(d-1)}{2}\times 1} - \frac{1}{\beta}E_{DB}K_{11}^0\alpha\nabla_j f + \frac{1}{\rho}[\nabla_s f\nabla_t\rho + \nabla_s\rho\nabla_t f + f\nabla_s\nabla_t\rho]_{\frac{d(d-1)}{2}\times 1} + f[\mathcal{V}_{s,t}]_{\frac{d(d-1)}{2}\times 1} \\ &= -\frac{1}{\beta}f(E_{DA}(\lambda + d\alpha\nu) + E_{DC}(\nu\mathbf{1} + \alpha K_{22}^0\mathbf{1})) + [\nabla_s\nabla_t f]_{\frac{d(d-1)}{2}\times 1} + \frac{1}{\rho}[f\nabla_s\nabla_t\rho]_{\frac{d(d-1)}{2}\times 1} + f[\mathcal{V}_{s,t}]_{\frac{d(d-1)}{2}\times 1} \\ &= [\nabla_s\nabla_t f]_{\frac{d(d-1)}{2}\times 1} + \frac{1}{\rho}[f\nabla_s\nabla_t\rho]_{\frac{d(d-1)}{2}\times 1} + f[\mathcal{V}_{s,t}]_{\frac{d(d-1)}{2}\times 1} - \frac{1}{\beta}fE_{DA} \\ &= [\nabla_s\nabla_t f]_{\frac{d(d-1)}{2}\times 1} + \frac{1}{\rho}[f\nabla_s\nabla_t\rho]_{\frac{d(d-1)}{2}\times 1} + f[\mathcal{V}_{s,t}]_{\frac{d(d-1)}{2}\times 1} - f[\rho^{-1}\nabla_s\nabla_t\rho + \mathcal{V}_{s,t}]_{\frac{d(d-1)}{2}\times 1} \\ &= [\nabla_s\nabla_t f]_{\frac{d(d-1)}{2}\times 1}. \end{aligned}$$

To finish the proof, we check the residue terms (21). By a direct calculation,  $[(L^0 + E)^{-1} - G]_{k_z}\frac{1}{k_z}Z^T\mathbf{f}$  becomes

$$(22) \quad \begin{bmatrix} O(\varepsilon^4) & O(\varepsilon^4) & O(\varepsilon^2) & O(\varepsilon^2) \\ O(\varepsilon^4) & O(\varepsilon^2) & O(\varepsilon^2) & O(1) \\ O(\varepsilon^2) & O(\varepsilon^2) & O(1) & O(1) \\ O(\varepsilon^2) & O(1) & O(1) & O(1) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} O(1) \\ O(\varepsilon^2) \\ O(\varepsilon^2) \\ O(\varepsilon^4) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} O(\varepsilon^4) \\ O(\varepsilon^4) \\ O(\varepsilon^2) \\ O(\varepsilon^2) \end{bmatrix},$$

which shows that they are all of higher orders and can be ignored asymptotically.

At last, recall  $(L^0)^{-1}$  from (17), we can figure out the large deviation terms which come from

$$(L^0)^{-1}\overline{\mathbf{LD}} = \begin{bmatrix} O(\omega) \\ O(\varepsilon^{-1}\omega) \\ O(\varepsilon^{-2}\omega) \\ O(\varepsilon^{-2}\omega) \end{bmatrix} \text{ and}$$

$$[(L^0)^{-1}\mathbf{LD}] [(L^0)^{-1}(\overline{\mathbf{L}^0})] = \begin{bmatrix} O(\omega) & O(\varepsilon\omega) & O(\varepsilon^2\omega) & O(\varepsilon^2\omega) \\ O(\varepsilon^{-1}\omega) & O(\omega) & O(\varepsilon\omega) & O(\varepsilon\omega) \\ O(\varepsilon^{-2}\omega) & O(\varepsilon^{-1}\omega) & O(\omega) & O(\omega) \\ O(\varepsilon^{-2}\omega) & O(\varepsilon^{-1}\omega) & O(\omega) & O(\omega) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} O(1) \\ O(1) \\ O(\varepsilon^{-2}) \\ O(1) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} O(\omega) \\ O(\varepsilon^{-1}\omega) \\ O(\varepsilon^{-2}\omega) \\ O(\varepsilon^{-2}\omega) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore, the large deviation terms are  $\begin{bmatrix} O(\omega) \\ O(\varepsilon^{-1}\omega) \\ O(\varepsilon^{-2}\omega) \\ O(\varepsilon^{-2}\omega) \end{bmatrix}$ , i.e., the claimed term  $\begin{bmatrix} O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log(n)}{n\varepsilon^d}}\right) \\ O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log(n)}{n\varepsilon^{d+2}}}\right) \\ O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log(n)}{n\varepsilon^{d+4}}}\right) \\ O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log(n)}{n\varepsilon^{d+4}}}\right) \end{bmatrix}$ .

We thus finish the proof. □

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL SUN YAT-SEN UNIVERSITY, TAIWAN

*Email address:* BabbageTW@gmail.com, chencw@math.nsysu.edu.tw

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICAL SCIENCE, DUKE UNIVERSITY, DURHAM, NC, USA

*Email address:* hauwu@math.duke.edu