

# REAL MATRICES WHOSE COLUMNS HAVE EQUAL MODULUS COORDINATES

SARA BOTELHO-ANDRADE, PETER G. CASAZZA, DESAI CHENG, TIN TRAN,  
AND JANET TREMAIN

ABSTRACT. We study  $m \times n$  matrices whose columns are of the form

$$\{(a_{1j}, \dots, a_{nj}) : a_{1j} = \lambda_j, a_{ij} = \pm\lambda_j, \lambda_j > 0, j = 1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

We explicitly construct for all  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_{\frac{m(m-1)}{2}}) \in \mathbb{R}^{\frac{m(m-1)}{2}}$  a matrix of the above form whose rows have pairwise dot product equal to  $a$ . Using Hadamard matrices constructed by Sylvester we classify all matrices of the above form whose rows have pairwise dot product equal to  $a$ . We also use our results to reformulate the Hadamard conjecture.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Hadamard matrices are named after a J. Hadamard 1893 paper [1].

**Definition 1.1.** *A Hadamard matrix is a matrix of the form  $H_m = [\epsilon_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^m$  where  $\epsilon_{ij} = \pm 1$  for all  $1 \leq i, j \leq m$  and the rows are orthogonal.*

It follows that  $H_m H_m^T = mI_m$ . It is known that Hadamard matrices do not exist unless  $m = 2, 4n$  for  $n \geq 1$ . Also note that if we multiply any row or column of  $H_m$  by  $-1$ , it is still a Hadamard matrix. This gives rise to the Hadamard Conjecture:

**Hadamard Conjecture 1.2.** *For every  $4n$  a Hadamard matrix exists in  $\mathbb{R}^{4n}$ .*

The first examples of Hadamard matrices were given by J. J. Sylvester in 1867 [1].

$$H_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$H_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$H_{2^m} = \begin{bmatrix} H_{2^{m-1}} & H_{2^{m-1}} \\ H_{2^{m-1}} & -H_{2^{m-1}} \end{bmatrix}$$

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 42C15.

When building a block matrix, we typically use the standard notation where we leave off the brackets of the block, resulting in the standard form of a matrix. However, it is also possible to consider block matrices from a different perspective.

**Definition 1.3.** *If  $A$  is an  $m \times n$  matrix and  $B$  is a  $p \times q$  matrix, the **Kronecker product**  $A \otimes B$  is the  $pm \times qn$  block matrix:*

$$A \otimes B = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}B & \cdots & a_{1n}B \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1}B & \cdots & a_{mn}B \end{bmatrix}$$

It follows that  $H_2 \otimes H_2 = H_4$ , and in general,  $H_{2^m} = H_2 \otimes H_{2^{m-1}}$ , leading to the following proposition.

**Proposition 1.4.** *For all  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $H_{2^m} = H_2^{\otimes m}$  is a Hadamard matrix.*

Recall that a partial Hadamard matrix is a matrix whose entries are of the form  $\pm 1$ , and its rows are pairwise orthogonal.

Motivated by our quest to find Hadamard matrices, we extend the notion of (partial) Hadamard matrices to (non-square) matrices of the form

$$A_{mn} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & \cdots & a_{mn} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{ij}| = |a_{i'j}| = \lambda_j & \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i, i' \leq m \text{ and} \\ a_{1j} = \lambda_j & \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq j \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

We will study matrices of this form and find relationships between them and Hadamard matrices. These relationships give insight into the combinatorial structure of such matrices. We then show that finding a Hadamard matrix of order  $m$  is equivalent to finding a lattice point in  $\mathbb{R}^{2^{m-1}}$  with  $m$  ones and  $2^{m-1} - m$  zeros.

In the next section, we introduce the **Column Pairwise Product** of vectors and apply this to the columns of the truth table. We show that the rows of the resulting matrix are a subset of the rows of a Hadamard matrix. To further explore this relationship, we define the concept of  **$m$ -Hadamardesque** matrices, and develop their properties. We also demonstrate that the classification of Hadamard matrices can be achieved through the use of  $m$ -Hadamardesque matrices.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

In this section for every  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  we consider all vectors of the form  $(1, \pm 1, \dots, \pm 1) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . We see that there are  $2^{m-1}$  vectors of this form and for each one we calculate its contribution to the dot product of the rows. In Definition 2.15, for each column we associate a coordinate in  $\mathbb{R}^{2^{m-1}}$  which will measure the amount of “weight” to give to that column vector.

### 2.1. Analysis of the Column Pairwise Product.

**Definition 2.1.** For a vector  $v = (v_1, \dots, v_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$  define its **Column Pairwise Product** to be the vector

$$v' = (v_1v_2, v_1v_3, v_2v_3, v_1v_4, v_2v_4, v_3v_4, \dots, v_1v_m, \dots, v_{m-1}v_m) \in \mathbb{R}^{(m(m-1))/2}.$$

Given  $A = [a_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^m$  the pairwise dot product of its rows is

$$\begin{aligned} R &= (\langle r_1, r_2 \rangle, \langle r_1, r_3 \rangle, \langle r_2, r_3 \rangle, \langle r_1, r_4 \rangle, \langle r_2, r_4 \rangle, \langle r_3, r_4 \rangle, \dots, \langle r_1, r_m \rangle, \dots, \langle r_{m-1}, r_m \rangle) \\ &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^m a_{1i}a_{2i}, \sum_{i=1}^m a_{1i}a_{3i}, \sum_{i=1}^m a_{2i}a_{3i}, \sum_{i=1}^m a_{1i}a_{4i}, \dots \right). \end{aligned}$$

Also, the matrix of Column Pairwise Products of the columns of  $A$  is

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}a_{21} & a_{12}a_{22} & a_{13}a_{23} & a_{14}a_{24} & \cdots \\ a_{11}a_{31} & a_{12}a_{32} & a_{13}a_{33} & a_{14}a_{34} & \cdots \\ a_{21}a_{31} & a_{22}a_{32} & a_{23}a_{33} & a_{24}a_{34} & \cdots \\ a_{11}a_{41} & a_{12}a_{42} & a_{13}a_{43} & a_{14}a_{44} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{bmatrix}$$

Note that the sum of the column vectors of the matrix  $C$  is a column vector whose transpose is the row vector  $R$ . So we have:

**Proposition 2.2.** The pairwise dot product of a matrix's rows is an element of  $\mathbb{R}^{(m(m-1))/2}$  and is equal to the transpose of the sum of the Column Pairwise Products of its columns.

*Remark 2.3.* The Column Pairwise Product of  $v$  and  $-v$  are the same so we may assume the first row of our matrix has no negative entries.

Let us now consider the Column Pairwise Product of each of the  $2^{m-1}$  possible columns of the form  $(1, \pm 1, \dots, \pm 1) \in \mathbb{R}^m$  (i.e., the truth table). So

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &= [1] & T_2 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} & T_3 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \\ T_4 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Note that the rows of  $T_3$  are the  $1, 2^0 + 1, 2^1 + 1$  rows of  $H_4$  and the rows of  $T_4$  are the  $1, 2^0 + 1, 2^1 + 1, 2^2 + 1$  rows of  $H_8$ . Now we need to formalize this.

**Lemma 2.4.** If for dimension  $m$  the set of possible columns of the form  $(1, \pm 1, \dots, \pm 1) \in \mathbb{R}^m$  are the columns of the  $m \times 2^{m-1}$  matrix

$$T_m = [c_1 \quad \cdots \quad c_{2^{m-1}}]$$

then for dimension  $m + 1$  the possible columns are

$$T_{m+1} = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 & \cdots & c_{2^{m-1}} & c_1 & \cdots & c_{2^{m-1}} \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 & -1 & \cdots & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Moreover, in this case all the rows are orthogonal.

We will list out the Column Pairwise Products as columns of a matrix called  $CT_m$  where the  $i^{\text{th}}$  column corresponds to the Column Pairwise Product of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  column from  $T_m$ . So this is a  $\frac{m(m-1)}{2} \times 2^{m-1}$  matrix. This convention will help in higher dimensions.

*Example 2.5.* The two possible columns in dimension 2 are given by the columns of the matrix

$$T_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The matrix where the  $i^{\text{th}}$  column corresponds to the Column Pairwise Product of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  column above is

$$CT_2 = [1 \quad -1]$$

Notice  $T_2$  from above is the Hardamard matrix  $H_2$  and  $CT_2$  is the second row of  $H_2$ .

*Example 2.6.* For  $m = 3$ ,

$$T_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The four possible columns in  $CT_3$  are

$$CT_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Also, given  $T_4$  above we have

$$CT_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

**Proposition 2.7.** *The rows of  $T_m$  are the rows  $\{1, 2^0 + 1, 2^1 + 1, \dots, 2^{m-2} + 1\}$  of  $H_{2^{m-1}}$ .*

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on  $m$ . Clearly for  $m = 2$ , this is true as the rows of  $T_2$  are the first and second rows of  $H_2$ . Now assume it is true for  $m$ . Note that

$$H_{2^m} = \begin{bmatrix} H_{2^{m-1}} & H_{2^{m-1}} \\ H_{2^{m-1}} & -H_{2^{m-1}} \end{bmatrix}$$

so by Lemma 2.4 the first  $m$  rows of  $T_{m+1}$  are the rows  $\{1, 2^0 + 1, 2^1 + 1, \dots, 2^{m-2} + 1\}$  of  $H_{2^m}$ . The last row is  $(1, \dots, 1, -1, \dots, -1) \in \mathbb{R}^{2^m}$  where the first  $2^{m-1}$  coordinates are 1 and the last  $2^{m-1}$  coordinates are  $-1$ . This corresponds to the  $2^{m-1} + 1$  row of  $H_{2^m}$ .  $\square$

We now compute  $CT_m$  by induction as well. We show that its rows are also rows of the Hadarmard matrix. We have already computed  $CT_2, CT_3, CT_4$  in Example 2.5.

**Proposition 2.8.** *For  $m > 2$ , let*

$$CT_{m-1} = \begin{bmatrix} r_1 \\ \vdots \\ r_{\frac{(m-1)(m-2)}{2}} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$T_{m-1} = \begin{bmatrix} r'_1 \\ \vdots \\ r'_{m-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

then

$$CT_m = \begin{bmatrix} (r_1, r_1) \\ \vdots \\ (r_{\frac{(m-1)(m-2)}{2}}, r_{\frac{(m-1)(m-2)}{2}}) \\ (r'_1, -r'_1) \\ \vdots \\ (r'_{m-1}, -r'_{m-1}) \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $(v, w)$  denotes the concatenation of  $v$  and  $w$ .

*Proof.* Looking at the construction of  $T_m$  in Lemma 2.4, we see the first  $m - 1$  rows are simply the rows of  $T_{m-1}$  doubled. This means the first  $m - 1$  coordinates of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  and  $i + 2^{m-2}$  columns of  $T_m$  are the same for  $1 \leq i \leq 2^{m-2}$ . Therefore the first  $\frac{(m-1)(m-2)}{2}$  rows of  $CT_m$  are the rows of  $CT_{m-1}$  doubled as stated in the above proposition.

To derive the remaining  $m - 1$  rows of  $CT_m$ , we can simply note that the last row of  $T_m$  consists of a vector containing  $2^{m-2}$  ones and  $2^{m-2}$  negative ones. Hence if  $r'_i$  is the  $i^{\text{th}}$  row of  $T_{m-1}$  then  $(r'_i, -r'_i)$  would be the  $(\frac{(m-1)(m-2)}{2} + i)^{\text{th}}$  row of  $CT_m$ .  $\square$

From the definitions of  $T_m$  and  $CT_m$  we have:

**Lemma 2.9.** *Let  $1 \leq r_i < r_j \leq m$ . The*

$$\left( \frac{(r_j - 1)(r_j - 2)}{2} + r_i \right)^{\text{th}} \text{ row in } CT_m,$$

*corresponds to the coordinate wise product of the  $r_i^{\text{th}}$  and  $r_j^{\text{th}}$  row of  $T_m$ .*

Now we can compare the rows of  $CT_m$  with the rows of  $H_{2^{m-1}}$ ,  $m \geq 2$ .

**Proposition 2.10.** *The rows of  $CT_m$  are the rows of  $H_{2^{m-1}}$ ,  $m \geq 2$ .*

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on  $m$ . From Example 2.5 this is clearly true for  $m = 2$ . This is our base case.

Now assume that the rows of  $CT_{m-1}$  are the rows of  $H_{2^{m-2}}$ . By Proposition 2.7, Proposition 2.8, and the construction of  $H_{2^{m-1}}$ , it follows that the rows of  $CT_m$  are the rows of  $H_{2^{m-1}}$ .  $\square$

*Remark 2.11.* If we permute the columns of  $T_m$  then we can also permute the columns of  $H_{2^{m-1}}$  in the same manner.

**Definition 2.12.** *For each  $m \geq 2$ , call  $R_m$  the rows of  $CT_m$ . Let  $RC_m$  be the set of rows in  $H_{2^{m-1}}$  which are not in  $R_m$*

*Remark 2.13.* Since the rows of  $H_{2^{m-1}}$  form an equal norm orthogonal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^{2^{m-1}}$ , so do  $R_m \cup RC_m$ . The rows in  $R_m$  and  $RC_m$  are mutually orthogonal.

**2.2. Classifying all matrices whose columns have equal modulus coordinates.** In this subsection we study all matrices whose columns are a positive multiple of some column in  $T_m$  for each  $m \geq 2$ . We analyze the pairwise dot product between their rows and relate the results obtained in the previous section to classify all matrices of this form.

**Definition 2.14.** *Call a  $m \times n$  matrix  $M$   $m$ -Hadamardesque if for every column  $c \in M$ ,  $c = pc'$  for some column  $c' \in T_m$  and  $p > 0$ .*

Note that we do not require every column of  $T_m$  to have a multiple that shows up in  $M$ , and it is also acceptable for a column from  $T_m$  to have multiples that appear more than once in  $M$ .

Now we want to classify  $m$ -Hadamardesque matrices.

**Definition 2.15.** *Let  $M$  be a  $m \times n$   $m$ -Hadamardesque matrix. By definition, the  $j^{\text{th}}$  column of  $M$  is of the form  $p_j c_i$  for some  $p_j > 0$  and some column  $c_i$  in  $T_m$ . We define the **Column Representation Vector** of  $M$  to be the vector  $v \in \mathbb{R}^{2^{m-1}}$  whose the coordinate  $v_i$  is equal to  $\sum_j p_j^2$  if  $p_j c_i$  is a column in  $M$  (and 0 otherwise).*

*Example 2.16.* Let

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & \sqrt{3} & 1 & \sqrt{5} & 3 \\ 2 & -1 & \sqrt{3} & -1 & \sqrt{5} & -3 \\ 2 & 1 & \sqrt{3} & -1 & \sqrt{5} & 3 \\ 2 & -1 & \sqrt{3} & -1 & -\sqrt{5} & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

and let  $v$  be its Column Representation Vector.

Since  $M$  has 4 rows, its column vectors come from

$$T_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Let  $c_i$  be the  $i^{\text{th}}$  column of  $T_4$ .

The first and third columns of  $M$  are  $2c_1$  and  $\sqrt{3}c_1$  respectively. As such  $v_1 = 4 + 3 = 7$ .

The second column of  $M$  is  $c_6$ . As such  $v_6 = 1$ .

The fourth column of  $M$  is  $c_8$ . As such  $v_8 = 1$ .

The fifth column of  $M$  is  $\sqrt{5}c_5$ . As such  $v_5 = 5$ .

The sixth column of  $M$  is  $3c_2$ . As such  $v_2 = 9$ .

The columns  $c_3, c_4, c_7$  do not appear in  $M$  and so  $v_3 = v_4 = v_7 = 0$ . Hence  $v = (7, 9, 0, 0, 5, 1, 0, 1)$ .

**Proposition 2.17.** *Let  $1 \leq r_i < r_j \leq m$ . Then the dot product of the  $r_i^{\text{th}}$  and  $r_j^{\text{th}}$  rows in a  $m$ -Hadamardesque matrix is equal to the dot product of its Column Representation Vector and the  $(\frac{(r_j-1)(r_j-2)}{2} + r_i)^{\text{th}}$  row in  $CT_m$ .*

*Proof.* This follows directly from the definitions of  $m$ -Hadamardesque matrices and the Column Representation Vector.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.18.** *A  $m$ -Hadamardesque matrix has pairwise orthogonal rows iff its Column Representation Vector is in the span of  $RC_m$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $R_m$  is the set of rows of  $CT_m$ , and  $RC_m$  spans the orthogonal complement of  $R_m$ , we see this follows immediately from Proposition 2.17.  $\square$

This result immediately classifies  $m \times m$  Hadamard matrices in terms of  $m$ -Hadamardesque matrices.

**Theorem 2.19.** *Let  $M$  be a  $m \times m$  matrix. The following are equivalent.*

- (1)  $M$  is a Hadamard matrix of order  $m$ .
- (2)  $M$  is a (square)  $m$ -Hadamardesque matrix with entries  $\pm 1$  and the Column Representation Vector  $v$  is in the span of  $RC_m$ .
- (3)  $M$  is a (square)  $m$ -Hadamardesque matrix with the Column Representation Vector  $v$  having  $m$  ones and  $2^{m-1} - m$  zeros, and  $v$  is in the span of  $RC_m$ .

*Proof.* (1  $\Rightarrow$  2) : This is immediate from Theorem 2.18.

(2  $\Rightarrow$  3) : Since  $M$  has entries  $\pm 1$  and is a square matrix of order  $m$ , there is exactly  $m$  columns of  $T_m$ , each shows up one time in  $M$ . The conclusion follows.

(3  $\Rightarrow$  1) : By definition, since the Representation Vector  $v$  has  $m$  ones and  $2^{m-1} - m$  zeros, there are exactly  $m$  columns of  $T_m$  that appear in  $M$ . Moreover, since  $M$  is a square matrix, these columns show up once in  $M$ . Theorem 2.18 guarantees that the rows of  $M$  are orthogonal, so  $M$  is a Hadamard matrix.  $\square$

*Remark 2.20.* There is non-square  $m$ -Hadamardesque matrix with the Column Representation Vector  $v$  having  $m$  ones and  $2^{m-1} - m$  zeros, and  $v$  is

in the span of  $RC_m$ . For example, let

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then  $v = (1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0)$ .

These results also have a number of other important corollaries.

**Corollary 2.21.** *Let  $M$  be a  $m \times m$  matrix with entries of the form  $\pm 1$ . Then  $M$  is a partial Hadamard matrix if and only if  $M$  is an  $m$ -Hadamardesque matrix with Column Representation Vector  $v$  having  $n$  ones and  $2^{m-1} - n$  zeros, and  $v$  is in the span of  $RC_m$ .*

**Corollary 2.22.** *Given two  $m$ -Hadamardesque matrices  $M$  and  $M'$  with Column Representation Vector  $v$  and  $v'$  respectively.  $M$  and  $M'$  have the same pairwise row dot product iff  $v - v'$  is in the span of  $RC_m$ .*

We will continue illustrate the power of our approach by proving a few more theorems.

**Theorem 2.23.** *For every  $a \in \mathbb{R}^{\frac{m(m-1)}{2}}$  there exists a  $m$ -Hadamardesque matrix  $M$  such that  $\langle r_i, r_j \rangle = a_{\frac{(r_j-1)(r_j-2)}{2} + r_i}$  where  $r_i$  and  $r_j$  are the  $i^{\text{th}}$  and  $j^{\text{th}}$  rows of  $M$  and  $j > i$ .*

*Proof.* If  $a = 0$ , then we can let  $M = T_m$ . Now consider  $a \neq 0$ . To construct the matrix  $M$ , it is enough to construct its Column Representation Vector  $v$ . Define

$$v' = \frac{1}{2^{m-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{m(m-1)}{2}} a_i R_i,$$

where  $R_i$  is the  $i^{\text{th}}$  row of  $CT_m$ . Now let  $v = v' + s\mathbf{1}$ , where  $\mathbf{1}$  is the all 1's vector in  $RC_m$  and  $s$  is a number so that all coordinates of  $v$  are non-negative. Let  $M$  be a  $m$ -Hadamardesque matrix whose the Column Representation Vector is  $v$ . Let  $r_i, r_j$  be any two rows of  $M$ , ( $i < j$ ) and  $R_{ij}$  be the  $(\frac{(r_j-1)(r_j-2)}{2} + r_i)^{\text{th}}$  row in  $CT_m$ . By Proposition 2.17, we have that

$$\langle r_i, r_j \rangle = \langle v, R_{ij} \rangle = \langle v' + a\mathbf{1}, R_{ij} \rangle = \langle v', R_{ij} \rangle = s_{\frac{(r_j-1)(r_j-2)}{2} + r_i}.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

*Remark 2.24.* The matrix  $M$  in Theorem 2.23 is not unique since two different  $m$ -Hadamardesque matrices might have the same Column Representation Vector.

**Theorem 2.25.** *If  $a$  in Theorem 2.23 is also in  $\mathbb{Q}^{\frac{m(m-1)}{2}}$ , then the  $m$ -Hadamardesque matrix  $M$  can be taken to have entries only of the form  $\pm r$  for some  $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ .*



*Proof.* We first show that if  $a \in \mathbb{Q}^{\frac{m(m-1)}{2}}$ , then the  $m$ -Hadamardesque matrix  $M$  can be taken to have entries in  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $v'$  be the vector constructed as in the proof of Theorem 2.23. Adding any rational multiple of the all 1's vector to  $v'$  so that its coordinates are non-negative would give a vector  $v$  with non-negative rational coordinates. To construct the matrix  $M$ , for each  $v_i \neq 0$ , if  $v_i = \frac{p}{q}$ , we may simply take  $pq$  copies of  $\frac{1}{q}c_i$  where  $c_i$  is the  $i^{\text{th}}$  column of  $T_m$ .

Now we will construct the matrix  $M'$  with entries of the form  $\pm r$  for some  $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $M$  be the matrix we have just constructed above. Then each column  $c_i$  in  $M$  is of the form  $(\frac{1}{d_i}, \pm \frac{1}{d_i}, \dots, \pm \frac{1}{d_i}) \in \mathbb{Q}^m$ . Let  $d$  be the least common multiple of all the  $d_i$ 's. For each column  $c_i$  in  $M$ , we let  $c'_i$  to be  $c_i$  but replacing the denominator  $d_i$  with  $d$ . We then replace  $c_i$  with  $(\frac{d}{d_i})^2$  copies of  $c'_i$ . The resulting matrix  $M'$  only contains entries of the form  $\pm \frac{1}{d}$ , and it has the same pairwise dot product as the original matrix  $M$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.26.** *If  $a$  in Theorem 2.23 is in  $\mathbb{Q}^{\frac{m(m-1)}{2}}$ , then the  $m$ -Hadamardesque matrix  $M$  can be taken to have entries only of the form  $\pm r$  for some  $r \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ .*

*Proof.* We simply take the matrix in Theorem 2.25 and take two copies of each column. Then we divide each column by  $\sqrt{2}$ .  $\square$

*Remark 2.27.* Theorem 2.25 and Corollary 2.26 are not true for some  $a \notin \mathbb{Q}^{\frac{m(m-1)}{2}}$ . For instance, let  $a$  be the vector containing both non-zero rational and irrational coordinates. Let  $M$  be any matrix with entries of the form  $\pm r$  for some  $r \in \mathbb{Q}$  (or  $r \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ ). The conclusion follows by observing that the dot product of any two rows of  $M$  is of the form  $sr^2$  for some integer  $s$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] T. Banica, *Invitation to Hadamard matrices*, hal- 02317067v5 (2022).  
*Email address:* sandrade102087@gmail.com, casazzapeter40@gmail.com  
*Email address:* chengdesai@yahoo.com, tinmizzou@gmail.com  
*Email address:* tremainjc@missouri.edu