

On a problem related to "second" best approximations to a real number

Pavel Semenyuk

1 Introduction

For a given irrational number α we consider its continued fraction expansion

$$\alpha = [a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots] = a_0 + \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \dots}}, \quad a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots \quad (1)$$

and its convergents $\frac{p_n}{q_n} = [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n]$. We call two irrational numbers $\alpha = [a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots]$ and $\beta = [b_0, b_1, b_2, \dots]$ equivalent and write $\alpha \sim \beta$, if there exist positive integers m and n such that $a_{n+k} = b_{m+k}$, $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, that is the tails of continued fraction expansions for α and β coincide. Let

$$\psi_\alpha(t) = \min_{1 \leq q \leq t, q \in \mathbb{Z}} \|q\alpha\|, \quad \text{where} \quad \|x\| = \min_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |x - n|$$

be the irrationality measure function for α . By Lagrange's theorem on best approximations (see [1]) it is a piecewise constant function, namely

$$\psi_\alpha(t) = |q_\nu \alpha - p_\nu| \quad \text{for} \quad q_\nu \leq t \leq q_{\nu+1}.$$

Many classical result concerning rational approximations to a real number α can be formulated in terms of $\psi_\alpha(t)$. For example, in terms of irrationality measure function ψ_α one can define the Lagrange constant

$$\lambda(\alpha) = \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} t \cdot \psi_\alpha(t). \quad (2)$$

The set of all possible values of $\lambda(\alpha)$ is known as Lagrange spectrum

$$\mathbb{L} = \{\lambda \mid \exists \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}: \lambda = \lambda(\alpha)\}.$$

It is very well studied (see for example [2]).

In [3] Moshchevitin considered an irrationality measure function

$$\psi_\alpha^{[2]}(t) = \min_{\substack{(q,p): q,p \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq q \leq t, \\ (p,q) \neq (p_n, q_n) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}} |q\alpha - p|,$$

related to so-called "second best" approximations, the corresponding Diophantine constant

$$\mathfrak{k}(\alpha) = \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} t \cdot \psi_\alpha^{[2]}(t) \quad (3)$$

and the corresponding spectrum

$$\mathbb{L}_2 = \{\lambda \mid \exists \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}: \lambda = \mathfrak{k}(\alpha)\}.$$

In particular, he studied different properties of the function $\psi_\alpha^{[2]}(t)$ and calculated two largest elements of the spectrum \mathbb{L}_2 . In the present paper we calculate the value for the third element of the spectrum \mathbb{L}_2 .

2 Results on the spectrum \mathbb{L}_2

The following structural result about \mathbb{L}_2 was proven in [3].

Theorem 1.

1. The largest element of \mathbb{L}_2 is $\frac{4}{\sqrt{5}}$. Moreover, $\mathfrak{k}(\alpha) = \frac{4}{\sqrt{5}}$ if and only if $\alpha \sim \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} = [1; \overline{1}]$;
2. If $\alpha \not\sim \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$, then $\mathfrak{k}(\alpha) \leq \frac{4}{\sqrt{17}}$;
3. The equality $\mathfrak{k}(\alpha) = \frac{4}{\sqrt{17}}$ holds if and only if $\alpha \sim \frac{1+\sqrt{17}}{2} = [2; \overline{1, 1, 3}]$;
4. The point $\frac{4}{\sqrt{17}}$ is an isolated point of \mathbb{L}_2 .
5. The whole segment $\left[0, \frac{12}{21+\sqrt{15}}\right]$ belongs to \mathbb{L}_2 .

The main result of the present paper is as follows.

Theorem 2.

1. If α is irrational and not equivalent neither to $\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ nor to $\frac{1+\sqrt{17}}{2}$, then $\mathfrak{k}(\alpha) \leq \frac{164}{13\sqrt{173}}$.
2. Let $\alpha_0 = \frac{13\sqrt{173}+39}{82} = [2; \overline{1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 3}]$. Then $\mathfrak{k}(\alpha) = \mathfrak{k}(\alpha_0) = \frac{164}{13\sqrt{173}}$ if and only if $\alpha \sim \alpha_0$.
3. The point $\frac{164}{13\sqrt{173}}$ is an isolated point of \mathbb{L}_2 .

3 Auxiliary results and notation

Consider the tails

$$\alpha_n = [a_n; a_{n+1}, a_{n+2}, a_{n+3}, \dots], \quad \alpha_n^* = [0; a_n, a_{n-1}, a_{n-2}, \dots, a_1]$$

of continued fraction (1). In terms of these quantities it is natural to give a formula

$$\left| \alpha - \frac{p_n}{q_n} \right| = \frac{1}{q_n^2 (\alpha_{n+1} + \alpha_n^*)} \quad (4)$$

for the approximation to α by its convergent fraction. Relation (4) is known as Perron's formula. By means of (4) one can express the value of $\lambda(\alpha)$ defined in (2) as

$$\lambda(\alpha) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\alpha_{n+1} + \alpha_n^*}. \quad (5)$$

An analog of the formula (5) for the value of $\mathfrak{k}(\alpha)$ was obtained in [3]. It is as follows. Consider the quantities

$$\mathfrak{z}_n^1(\alpha) = \frac{(1 + \alpha_{n-1}^*)(\alpha_n - 1)}{\alpha_n + \alpha_{n-1}^*}, \quad \mathfrak{z}_n^2(\alpha) = \frac{(1 - \alpha_n^*)(\alpha_{n+1} + 1)}{\alpha_{n+1} + \alpha_n^*}, \quad \mathfrak{z}_n^A(\alpha) = \frac{4}{\alpha_n + \alpha_{n-1}^*}.$$

(we follow the notation from [3]). Then if $\alpha \not\sim \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ one has

$$\mathfrak{k}(\alpha) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty: a_n \geq 2} \min(\mathfrak{z}_n^1, \mathfrak{z}_n^2, \mathfrak{z}_n^A) \quad (6)$$

(see Hilfssatz 13 from [3]). We should note that it is clear that for $\alpha \sim \beta$ one has $\mathfrak{k}(\alpha) = \mathfrak{k}(\beta)$.

Besides formula (6) we need some other auxiliary statements from [3]. We formulate them as the following

Proposition 1. Let α be an irrational number not equivalent to $\frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} = [1; \overline{1}]$ and $\frac{1 + \sqrt{17}}{2} = [2; \overline{1, 1, 3}]$.

1. If for infinitely many n in continued fraction expansion (1) one has $a_n \geq 5$, then $\mathfrak{k}(\alpha) \leq \frac{4}{5}$;
2. If for all n sufficiently large in continued fraction expansion (1) one has $a_n \leq 4$ and for infinitely many n the equality $a_n = 4$ occurs, then $\mathfrak{k}(\alpha) \leq \frac{4}{3 + \sqrt{2}}$;
3. If for all n sufficiently large $a_n \leq 4$ and for infinitely many n the equality $a_n = 2$ occurs, then $\mathfrak{k}(\alpha) \leq \sqrt{2} - \frac{1}{2}$;
4. If for infinitely many n one has $a_n \in \{1, 3\}$ and
 - 4.1. for infinitely many n one has $a_{n-1} = a_n = 3$, then $\mathfrak{k}(\alpha) \leq \frac{39}{43}$;
 - 4.2. for infinitely many n one has $a_{n-1} = 3, a_n = 1, a_{n+1} = 3$, then $\mathfrak{k}(\alpha) \leq \frac{136}{145}$;
 - 4.3. for infinitely many n one has $a_{n-2} = a_{n-1} = 1, a_n = 3, a_{n+1} = a_{n+2} = a_{n+3} = 1$, then $\mathfrak{k}(\alpha) \leq \frac{180}{187}$

Remark. Although the main result on the structure of \mathbb{L}_2 from the paper [3] is correct, its proof from [3] contains a mistake: in the cases 4.2 and 4.3 in [3] instead of upper bounds $\frac{136}{145}$ and $\frac{180}{187}$ correspondingly, different incorrect bounds were given.

The bounds for $\mathfrak{k}(\alpha)$ from Proposition 1 can be written as the following table.

| Case | Conditions for partial quotients | Upper bound | Numerical value |
|------|---|--------------------|-----------------------|
| 1 | inf. many $a_n \geq 5$ | $4/5$ | 0.8 |
| 2 | almost all $a_n \leq 4$, inf. many $a_n = 4$ | $4/(3 + \sqrt{2})$ | 0.906163 ⁺ |
| 3 | almost all $a_n \leq 4$, inf. many $a_n = 2$ | $\sqrt{2} - 1/2$ | 0.914213 ⁺ |
| 4.1 | inf. many patterns 3 3 | $39/43$ | 0.906976 ⁺ |
| 4.2 | inf. many patterns 3 1 3 | $136/145$ | 0.937931 ⁺ |
| 4.3 | inf. many patterns 1 1 3 1 1 1 | $180/187$ | 0.962566 ⁺ |

4 The value of $\mathfrak{k}(\alpha_0)$

In this section we prove the following

Lemma 1. $\mathfrak{k}(\alpha_0) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty: a_n \geq 2} \varkappa_n^A = \frac{164}{13\sqrt{173}}$.

Proof. Consider blocks of digits $A = \{1, 1, 3\}$ and $B = \{1, 1, 1, 1, 3\}$. Now $\alpha_0 = [2; \overline{1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 3}]$ can be written as $\alpha_0 = [2; \overline{AB}]$. In view of (1), it suffices to prove that for all large enough n such that $a_n = 3$, the value of \varkappa_n^A is less than both \varkappa_n^1 and \varkappa_n^2 . Let us consider separately those values of n which are either $\equiv 0 \pmod{8}$ (if we take a 3 after a block of four 1's) or $\equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ (if we take a 3 after a block of two 1's). Thus, we need to consider two possible limits for each $\varkappa_n^1, \varkappa_n^2, \varkappa_n^A$. Let us calculate all six of them:

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varkappa_{8k-5}^1 = \frac{(1 + [0; \overline{AB}])([3; \overline{BA}] - 1)}{[0; \overline{AB}] + [3; \overline{BA}]} = \frac{167}{13\sqrt{173}} = 0.976674^+,$$

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varkappa_{8k}^1 &= \frac{(1 + [0; \overline{BA}])([3; \overline{AB}] - 1)}{[0; \overline{BA}] + [3; \overline{AB}]} = \frac{169}{13\sqrt{173}} = 0.988371^+, \\ \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varkappa_{8k-5}^2 &= \frac{(1 - [0; 3, \overline{AB}])([1; 1, 1, 1, 3, \overline{AB}] + 1)}{[0; 3, \overline{AB}] + [1; 1, 1, 1, 3, \overline{AB}]} = \frac{169}{13\sqrt{173}} = 0.988371^+, \\ \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varkappa_{8k}^2 &= \frac{(1 - [0; 3, \overline{BA}])([1; 1, 3, \overline{BA}] + 1)}{[0; 3, \overline{BA}] + [1; 1, 3, \overline{BA}]} = \frac{167}{13\sqrt{173}} = 0.976674^+, \\ \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varkappa_{8k-5}^4 &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varkappa_{8k}^4 = \frac{4}{[0; \overline{AB}] + [0; \overline{BA}] + 3} = \frac{164}{13\sqrt{173}} = 0.959129^+.\end{aligned}$$

We see that the last one is the smallest one. This proves Lemma 1.

5 Extremality of $\mathfrak{k}(\alpha_0)$

In this section we finalise the proof of Theorem 2.

In cases 1-3, 4.1 and 4.2 of Proposition 1 we have $\mathfrak{k}(\alpha) \leq \frac{136}{145} < \mathfrak{k}(\alpha_0) = \frac{164}{13\sqrt{173}} = 0.959129^+$, meanwhile the bound of the case 4.3 satisfies the inequality $\frac{180}{187} > \mathfrak{k}(\alpha_0)$. So we should consider in more details just the case 4.3. First of all we consider the following four subcases in the case 4.3:

4.3.1 One has infinitely many patterns $\{1, 1, \mathbf{3}, 1, 1, 1, 1\}$ (here and in other cases the bold $\mathbf{3}$ represents the n th element of the continued fraction). In that case

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{k}(\alpha) &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty: a_n \geq 2} \varkappa_n^4(\alpha) \leq \frac{4}{[0; 1, 1, \overline{3, 1}] + [3; 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, \overline{1, 3}]} = \\ &= \frac{4}{\frac{\sqrt{21}+1}{10} + \frac{\sqrt{21}+943}{262}} = 0.958087^+.\end{aligned}$$

4.3.2 One has infinitely many patterns $\{1, 1, \mathbf{3}, 1, 1, 1, 3\}$. In that case

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{k}(\alpha) &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty: a_n \geq 2} \varkappa_n^4(\alpha) \leq \frac{4}{[0; 1, 1, \overline{3, 1}] + [3; 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, \overline{3, 1}]} = \\ &= \frac{4}{\frac{\sqrt{21}+1}{10} + \frac{\sqrt{21}+4575}{1258}} = 0.952692^+.\end{aligned}$$

4.3.3 One has infinitely many patterns $\{ABB\}$ (or, in other words, infinitely many patterns $\{1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, \mathbf{3}, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1\}$). In that case

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{k}(\alpha) &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty: a_n \geq 2} \varkappa_n^4(\alpha) \leq \frac{4}{[0; 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, \overline{1, 3}] + [3; 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, \overline{1, 3}]} = \\ &= \frac{4}{\frac{3329+\sqrt{21}}{5470} + \frac{19739+\sqrt{21}}{5470}} = 0.948123^+.\end{aligned}$$

4.3.4 One has infinitely many patterns $\{AAB\}$ (or, in other words, infinitely many patterns $\{1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, \mathbf{3}, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1\}$). In that case

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{k}(\alpha) &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty: a_n \geq 2} \varkappa_n^4(\alpha) \leq \frac{4}{[0; 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, \overline{1, 3}] + [3; 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, \overline{3, 1}]} = \\ &= \frac{4}{\frac{3329+\sqrt{21}}{5470} + \frac{120383+\sqrt{21}}{33802}} = 0.959006^+.\end{aligned}$$

We see that in all the subcases 4.3.1, 4.3.2, 4.3.3, 4.3.4 the upper bound for $\mathfrak{k}(\alpha)$ obtained is strictly less than $\mathfrak{k}(\alpha_0) = \frac{164}{13\sqrt{173}}$.

Let us describe all the cases considered. Cases 1-3 cover all the continued fractions with infinitely many terms different from 1 and 3. Cases 4.1, 4.2, 4.3.1 and 4.3.2 cover all the fractions with infinitely many patterns of the form $[\dots, 3, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_k, 3, \dots]$, where $k \notin \{2, 4\}$. Therefore, the only fractions which we did not consider in the cases above, eventually consist of blocks of the form A and B . Case 4.3.3 covers all the fractions with infinitely many patterns ABB , and case 4.3.4 covers fractions with infinitely many patterns AAB . Thus, the only fractions left in consideration are eventually periodic with the period \overline{AB} , or, in other words, are equivalent to $\alpha_0 = \frac{13\sqrt{173}+39}{82}$. Together with Lemma 1 this proves Theorem 2.

References

- [1] Khinchin, A.Ya., *Continued Fractions*, University of Chicago Press, 1964.
- [2] Cusick, T.W. and Flahive, M.E., *The Markoff and Lagrange Spectra*, American Mathematical Society, 1989.
- [3] Moshchevitin N. G., *Über die Funktionen des Irrationalitätsmaßes*, Dubickas, A. (ed.) et al., Analytic and probabilistic methods in number theory. Proceedings of the sixth international conference, Palanga, Lithuania, September 11-17, 2016. Vilnius: Vilnius University Publishing House. 123-148 (2017).