

Kellogg's eigenvalue inequality for P and P_0 matrices

Devon N. Munger^a, Pietro Paparella^{b,*}

^a*University of Washington Bothell, 18115 Campus Way
NE, Bothell, 98011-8246, WA, U.S.A.*

^b*Division of Engineering & Mathematics, University of Washington
Bothell, Bothell, 98011-8246, WA, U.S.A.*

Abstract

In this work, the converse of the Cowling–Thron–Obrechhoff theorem is established. In addition to its obvious theoretical interest, the result fills a gap in the proof of Kellogg's celebrated eigenvalue inequality for matrices whose principal minors are positive or nonnegative.

Keywords: eigenvalue inequality, P matrix, P_0 matrix
2020 MSC: 15A42, 26C10

1. Introduction

An n -by- n matrix A with complex entries is called a P matrix (respectively, P_0 matrix) if each of its principal minors is positive (respectively, nonnegative). This class of matrices was introduced by Fiedler and Pták [2] as a common generalization of the class of M matrices and the class of positive definite matrices.

In 1972, Kellogg [4, Corollary 1] offered the following result.

Theorem 1.1 (Kellogg). *If $\lambda = r(\cos \theta + \sin \theta i) \in \mathbb{C}$, with $\theta \in (-\pi, \pi]$, then λ is an eigenvalue of a P matrix if and only if*

$$|\theta - \pi| > \pi/n. \tag{1}$$

*Corresponding author.

Email addresses: mungerd@uw.edu (Devon N. Munger), pietrop@uw.edu (Pietro Paparella)

URL: <http://faculty.washington.edu/pietrop/> (Pietro Paparella)

A nonzero λ is an eigenvalue of an n -by- n P_0 matrix if and only if (1) holds with equality allowed.

However, as will be explained in the sequel, Kellogg's proof is incomplete (see Remark following Theorem 2.2) and requires the converse of the following theorem, which was established by Cowling and Thron in 1954 [1, Theorem 4.1]; is a consequence of a more general theorem established by Obrechhoff in 1923 [7]; and was recently rediscovered by Melman [5, 6].

Theorem 1.2 (Cowling–Thron–Obrechhoff). *If q is a polynomial of degree n with nonnegative coefficients such that $q(0) \neq 0$ and $\lambda = r(\cos \theta + \sin \theta i)$ is a zero of q , then $|\theta| > \pi/n$, unless q is of the form $q(t) = a_0 + a_n t^n$, in which case it has a zero satisfying $|\theta| = \pi/n$ (if $n > 1$, then $q(t) = a_0 + a_n t^n$ has a conjugate pair of zeros satisfying $|\theta| = \pi/n$).*

If $\lambda = r(\cos \theta + \sin \theta i)$ is a nonzero eigenvalue of a P or P_0 matrix, with $\theta \in (-\pi, \pi]$, then it can be shown that $-\lambda = r(\cos(\theta - \pi) + \sin(\theta - \pi)i)$ is a zero of a polynomial with nonnegative coefficients (see Section 2). Hence, by Theorem 1.2, $|\theta - \pi| \geq \pi/n$.

Reversing the steps in the preceding argument requires the converse of Theorem 1.2, which is noticeably absent in Kellogg's proof of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.3. *If $\lambda = r(\cos \theta + \sin \theta i) \in \mathbb{C}$ and $|\theta| \geq \pi/n$, then there is a polynomial q of degree n with nonnegative coefficients such that $q(\lambda) = 0$ and $q(0) \neq 0$.*

The purpose of this work is to establish Theorem 1.3 and to simplify other demonstrations given by Kellogg [4]. To the best of our knowledge, Theorem 1.3, which is of interest to a general mathematical audience, is novel and has not appeared in the literature.

2. Preliminary Results

Suppose that A is an n -by- n matrix with complex entries and eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ (repetitions included). Recall that if $E_k(A)$ denotes the sum of the $\binom{n}{k}$ principal minors of size k [3, p. 17] and p_A denotes the characteristic polynomial of A , then

$$p_A(t) := \det(tI - A) = \prod_{k=1}^n (t - \lambda_k) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-k} E_{n-k}(A) t^k + t^n$$

(see, e.g., Horn and Johnson [3, p. 53]). If

$$q_A(t) := (-1)^n p_A(-t) = \prod_{k=1}^n (t + \lambda_k) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} E_{n-k}(A) t^k + t^n, \quad (2)$$

then $p_A(\lambda) = 0$ if and only if $q_A(-\lambda) = 0$.

The following result is immediate.

Theorem 2.1. *If A is an n -by- n matrix with complex entries, then A is a P matrix (respectively, P_0 matrix) if and only if the polynomial q_A as defined in (2) has positive (respectively, nonnegative) coefficients.*

Kellogg [4, Theorem 4] gave a necessary and sufficient condition on a multiset of complex numbers to be the spectrum of a P matrix or P_0 matrix. We utilize the companion matrix to give a simpler proof.

Theorem 2.2. *If $\Lambda = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ is a multiset of complex numbers, then Λ is the spectrum of a P matrix (respectively, P_0 matrix) if and only if the polynomial*

$$q(t) := \prod_{k=1}^n (t + \lambda_k) = \sum_{k=0}^n c_k t^k \quad (3)$$

has positive (respectively, nonnegative) coefficients.

Proof. Necessity is established above.

For sufficiency, suppose that $\Lambda = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ is a multiset of complex numbers and that the polynomial q defined in (3) has positive (respectively, nonnegative) coefficients. If $p(t) := (-1)^n q(-t)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} p(t) &= (-1)^n \sum_{k=0}^n c_k (-t)^k \\ &= (-1)^n \sum_{k=0}^n c_k (-1)^k t^k \\ &= (-1)^n \sum_{k=0}^n c_k (-1)^{-k} t^k \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n c_k (-1)^{n-k} t^k, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., p is a monic polynomial. If C is the *companion matrix* [3, pp. 194–195] of p , then $p_C = p$ and $q_C = q$. By Theorem 2.1, C is a P matrix (respectively, P_0 matrix) with the desired spectrum. \square

Remark 2.3. Kellogg [4, p. 174] asserts that the converse of Theorem 1.1 follows from Theorem 2.2 alone. However, if λ satisfies (1), it is not clear how to generate a multiset Λ such that (3) holds. This observation motivates and necessitates Theorem 1.3.

3. Main Results

In this section, we establish Theorems 1.1 and 1.3. To this end, we require several ancillary results.

Lemma 3.1. *If $n \geq 2$ and $\theta \in [\frac{\pi}{n}, \frac{\pi}{n-1})$, then $\sin n\theta \leq 0$ and $\sin(n-1)\theta > 0$.*

Proof. If $\theta \in [\frac{\pi}{n}, \frac{\pi}{n-1})$, then $n\theta \in [\pi, \frac{n\pi}{n-1})$ and because $\frac{n\pi}{n-1} \leq 2\pi$, it follows that $n\theta \in [\pi, 2\pi)$. Thus, $\sin n\theta \leq 0$.

Similarly, $(n-1)\theta \in [\frac{(n-1)\pi}{n}, \pi)$ and because $\frac{(n-1)\pi}{n} > 0$, it follows that $(n-1)\theta \in (0, \pi)$. Thus, $\sin(n-1)\theta > 0$. \square

In order to motivate the next result, if $\lambda = r(\cos \theta + i \sin \theta)$, with $\theta \in [\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi)$, then $\sin \theta \neq 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (t - \lambda)(t - \bar{\lambda}) &= t^2 - 2 \operatorname{Re} \lambda t + \lambda \bar{\lambda} \\ &= t^2 - 2r \cos \theta t + r^2 \\ &= t^2 - \frac{\sin 2\theta}{\sin \theta} r t + r^2 \frac{\sin \theta}{\sin \theta}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sin \theta > 0$ and $\cos \theta \leq 0$, the polynomial $(t - \lambda)(t - \bar{\lambda})$ has nonnegative coefficients. This observation generalizes as follows.

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose that $\lambda = r(\cos \theta + i \sin \theta)$, with $\theta \in [\frac{\pi}{n}, \frac{\pi}{n-1})$ and $n \geq 2$. If*

$$q_n(t) := t^n - \frac{\sin n\theta}{\sin \theta} r^{n-1} t + \frac{\sin(n-1)\theta}{\sin \theta} r^n, \quad (4)$$

then q_n has nonnegative coefficients, $q_n(0) \neq 0$, and $q_n(\lambda) = 0$.

Proof. First, notice that $\sin \theta > 0$ because $\theta \in [\frac{\pi}{n}, \frac{\pi}{n-1})$ and $n \geq 2$. By Lemma 3.1, $\sin(n\theta) \leq 0$ and $\sin(n-1)\theta > 0$. Thus, q_n has nonnegative coefficients and $q_n(0) \neq 0$.

Finally, notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
& q_n(\lambda)/r^n \\
&= \cos n\theta + \sin n\theta i - \frac{\sin n\theta(\cos \theta + \sin \theta i)}{\sin \theta} + \frac{\sin(n-1)\theta}{\sin \theta} \\
&= \frac{\sin \theta \cos n\theta + \sin \theta \sin n\theta i - \cos \theta \sin n\theta - \sin \theta \sin n\theta i + \sin(n-1)\theta}{\sin \theta} \\
&= \frac{\sin \theta \cos n\theta - \cos \theta \sin n\theta + \sin(n-1)\theta}{\sin \theta} \\
&= \frac{\sin(\theta - n\theta) + \sin(n-1)\theta}{\sin \theta} \\
&= \frac{\sin(-(n-1)\theta) + \sin(n-1)\theta}{\sin \theta} \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

i.e., $q_n(\lambda) = 0$. □

Remark 3.3. It is worth noting that if q_n is the polynomial defined in (4), then

$$q_n(t) = t^n - U_{n-1}(\cos \theta)r^{n-1}t + U_{n-2}(\cos \theta)r^n,$$

where U_k denotes the *Chebyshev polynomial of the second kind of degree k* .

We are now ready to prove Theorems 1.3 and Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. It is sufficient to show that λ is a zero of a polynomial of degree k , $1 \leq k \leq n$, with the desired properties, since we can multiply it by $t^{n-k} + 1$ to obtain a polynomial of degree n having the desired properties.

If $n = 1$ and $|\theta| \geq \pi$, then $\theta = \pi$, $\lambda < 0$, and the desired polynomial is $t - \lambda$. Otherwise, assume that $n > 1$ and $|\theta| \geq \pi/n$. Again, if $\theta = \pi$, then $t - \lambda$ is the desired polynomial. Otherwise, it may be assumed, without loss of generality, that $\pi/n \leq \theta < \pi$ since zeros of polynomials with real coefficients appear in conjugate pairs. Notice that $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}$, with $2 \leq k \leq n$, such that $\theta \in [\frac{\pi}{k}, \frac{\pi}{k-1})$. By Theorem 3.2, the polynomial q_k defined in (4) has the desired properties. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Suppose that λ is an eigenvalue of an n -by- n P matrix (P_0 matrix) A . For contradiction, if $|\theta - \pi| < \pi/n$, then $q_A(-\lambda) = 0$. By Theorem 2.1, q_A has nonnegative coefficients. By Theorem 1.2, $|\theta - \pi| \geq \pi/n$, a contradiction.

The converse follows from Theorems 1.3 and 2.2. □

References

- [1] V. F. Cowling and W. J. Thron. Zero-free regions of polynomials. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 61:682–687, 1954.
- [2] M. Fiedler and V. Pták. Some generalizations of positive definiteness and monotonicity. *Numer. Math.*, 9:163–172, 1966.
- [3] R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson. *Matrix analysis*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 2013.
- [4] R. B. Kellogg. On complex eigenvalues of M and P matrices. *Numer. Math.*, 19:170–175, 1972.
- [5] A. Melman. Zero exclusion sectors for some polynomials with structured coefficients. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 128(4):322–336, 2021.
- [6] A. Melman. An exclusion sector for zeros of polynomials with nonnegative coefficients. *College Math. J.*, 53(4):312–314, 2022.
- [7] M. N. Obrechhoff. Sur un problème de laguerre. *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 177:102–104, 1923.