

The families of Hamiltonians sharing common symmetry structure

C. Gonera ^{*1}, J. Gonera ^{†1}, A. Jasiński ¹, and P. Kosiński ^{‡1}

¹Faculty of Physics and Applied Informatics, University of Lodz, Pomorska 149/153, 90-236 Łódź, Poland.

Abstract

We describe a general procedure which allows to construct, starting from a given Hamiltonian, the whole family of new ones sharing the same set of unparameterized trajectories in phase space. The symmetry structure of this family can be completely characterized provided the symmetries of initial Hamiltonian are known. Our approach covers numerous examples considered in literature. It provides a simple proof of Darboux theorem and Hietarinta et al. coupling-constant metamorphosis method.

I Introduction

Hamiltonian dynamical systems play an important role both in physics and mathematics. In the literature one encounters many examples which are interesting due to their relevance to physics and/or intriguing mathematical properties. It happens quite often that the systems defined by different Hamiltonians share the same unparameterized trajectories in phase space. They are, however, related in rather nontrivial way since the relevant time reparameterization varies, in general, from trajectory to trajectory, i.e. is a

*e-mail: cezary.gonera@uni.lodz.pl

†e-mail: joanna.gonera@uni.lodz.pl

‡e-mail: piotr.kosinski@uni.lodz.pl

function of canonical variables. The Hamiltonians sharing unparameterized phase space trajectories admit the same set of integrals of motion which do not depend explicitly on time. This implies that they are to the same extent integrable. In particular, they are (or not) simultaneously (super)integrable. The classical example of such systems is provided by Darboux theorem [1], [2]. Other very interesting examples have been constructed by the related method proposed by Hietarinta et.al. [3] under the name of coupling-constant metamorphosis. It allowed, for example, to relate the Henon-Heiles [4] and Holt [5, 6] Hamiltonians.

In the present paper we propose a fairly general method of constructing, starting from a given Hamiltonian, a whole family of related Hamiltonians sharing the same set of unparameterized phase space trajectories. It provides also an explicit relation between the Poisson algebras of integrals of motion. One can say that our approach yields a complete description of the symmetry structure of the resulting Hamiltonians provided the symmetry of the initial one is known. The disadvantage of the method is that, in general, the final Hamiltonian doesn't have the natural form even though we start with the natural one (the natural Hamiltonian is a sum of a non-degenerate quadratic form in momenta plus a function of generalized coordinates only). However, in many cases one obtains the Hamiltonian corresponding to the metric in configuration space conformally equivalent to that of the initial Hamiltonian. The method proposed generalizes the Darboux and Hietarinta et al. approaches as well as it allows to describe and generalize many examples of dynamical systems encountered in the literature.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section II the general formalism is presented while Section III is devoted to some examples discussed recently in the literature [7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14]. Darboux and Hietarinta approaches are considered in Sec. IV. Finally, Sec. V is devoted to some conclusions.

II General scheme

Assume we have a Hamiltonian system defined in $2N$ -dimensional phase space. The relevant Hamiltonian reads:

$$H = H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \tag{1}$$

where $\mathbf{q} \equiv (q_1, \dots, q_N)$, $\mathbf{p} \equiv (p_1, \dots, p_N)$ are canonical variables while $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \equiv$

$(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_M)$ - the set of parameters (masses, coupling constants, frequencies etc.) characterizing our system. One defines the new Hamiltonian $\tilde{H}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$ as follows. Let \tilde{H} be a new variable; replace the parameters λ_α by the functions

$$\lambda_\alpha = \lambda_\alpha(\tilde{H}). \quad (2)$$

Assume that they are chosen in such a way that the equation

$$\tilde{H}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) = H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}(\tilde{H}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}))) \quad (3)$$

has the unique solution $\tilde{H}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$. In particular,

$$\Omega(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) \equiv 1 - \frac{\partial H}{\partial \lambda_\alpha} \frac{\partial \lambda_\alpha}{\partial \tilde{H}} \neq 0 \quad (4)$$

$\tilde{H}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$ defines new dynamics on our phase space. Obviously, we may assume that the functions λ_α , eq.(2), still depend on some parameters, $\lambda_\alpha = \lambda_\alpha(\tilde{H}, \mu)$. Both Hamiltonian dynamics, generated by H and \tilde{H} , are closely related. One finds:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i} &= \Omega(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) \frac{\partial \tilde{H}}{\partial q_i} \\ \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} &= \Omega(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) \frac{\partial \tilde{H}}{\partial p_i} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dq_i}{dt} &= \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} = \Omega(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) \frac{\partial \tilde{H}}{\partial p_i} = \Omega(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) \frac{dq_i}{d\tilde{t}} \\ \frac{dp_i}{dt} &= -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i} = -\Omega(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) \frac{\partial \tilde{H}}{\partial q_i} = \Omega(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) \frac{dp_i}{d\tilde{t}}; \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

here $t(\tilde{t})$ is the time parameter for the dynamics generated by $H(\tilde{H})$. Let $\mathbf{q} = \tilde{\mathbf{q}}(\tilde{t})$, $\mathbf{p} = \tilde{\mathbf{p}}(\tilde{t})$ be any particular solution to the Hamiltonian equations for \tilde{H} , corresponding to some initial data (in particular, energy \tilde{E}). Then

Ω becomes a function of time \tilde{t} only, $\Omega = \Omega(\tilde{t})$. Let us relate t to \tilde{t} by the equation

$$\frac{d\tilde{t}}{dt} = \Omega. \quad (7)$$

By comparing eqs.(6) and(7) we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} q_i &= \tilde{q}_i(\tilde{t}(t)) \\ p_i &= \tilde{p}_i(\tilde{t}(t)), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

are the solutions to the Hamiltonian equations for the Hamiltonian

$$H = H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}(\tilde{E})) \quad (9)$$

Obviously, this works in the reverse direction as well.

It follows then that the set of all trajectories in phase space generated by $\tilde{H}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$ coincides with that for $H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}(\tilde{E}))$; they differ only by time parameterization (this difference varies from trajectory to trajectory). Therefore, the (super)integrability of H implies the (super)integrability of \tilde{H} and reverse.

Moreover, one can easily relate the algebras of integrals of motion for both dynamical systems. For any function on phase space,

$$F \equiv F(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}; \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \quad (10)$$

define

$$\tilde{F} \equiv F(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}; \boldsymbol{\lambda}(\tilde{H}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}))). \quad (11)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \tilde{F}}{\partial q_i} &= \frac{\partial F}{\partial q_i} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial \lambda_\alpha} \frac{\partial \lambda_\alpha}{\partial \tilde{H}} \frac{\partial \tilde{H}}{\partial q_i} \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{F}}{\partial p_i} &= \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_i} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial \lambda_\alpha} \frac{\partial \lambda_\alpha}{\partial \tilde{H}} \frac{\partial \tilde{H}}{\partial p_i}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

In particular, let

$$C = C(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \quad (13)$$

be any integral of motion of the dynamics generated by H . Then one easily finds

$$\{\tilde{C}, \tilde{H}\} = 0 \quad (14)$$

implying that \tilde{C} is also an integral of motion; this follows also directly from the coincidence of trajectories for both Hamiltonians.

Moreover, for any two integrals of motion we have

$$\{\tilde{C}_a, \tilde{C}_b\} = \widetilde{\{C_a, C_b\}} \quad (15)$$

If $\{C_a\}$ is the set of all functionally independent integrals of motion for H , then

$$\{C_a, C_b\} = F_{ab}(\mathbf{C}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \quad (16)$$

with $F_{a,b}$ being some functions. Equation (15) implies

$$\{\tilde{C}_a, \tilde{C}_b\} = F_{ab}(\tilde{\mathbf{C}}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(\tilde{H})) \quad (17)$$

Concluding, the algebras of symmetries for both systems are closely related.

III Examples

Consider the three-dimensional isotropic harmonic oscillator

$$H = \frac{1}{2} (\vec{p}^2 + \omega^2 \vec{q}^2). \quad (18)$$

It is superintegrable. To reveal the structure of the algebra of integrals of motion define the classical counterparts of creation/annihilation operators:

$$a_i \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega}} (p_i - i\omega q_i), \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \quad (19)$$

Then

$$\{a_i, \bar{a}_j\} = -i\delta_{ij}. \quad (20)$$

Further, let Λ_a , $a = 1, 2, \dots, 8$ be the Gell-Mann matrices obeying $SU(3)$ Lie algebra commutation rules

$$[\Lambda_a, \Lambda_b] = i d_{ab}{}^c \Lambda_c \quad (21)$$

Then the functions

$$C_a \equiv \frac{1}{2} a_i (\Lambda_a)_{ij} \bar{a}_j \quad (22)$$

are real integrals of motion obeying

$$\{C_a, C_b\} = d_{ab}{}^c C_c. \quad (23)$$

Let us now modify the parameter ω^2 :

$$\omega^2(\tilde{H}) \equiv \omega^2 - 2\mu\tilde{H}, \quad \mu \in R \quad (24)$$

Following the prescription of the previous section we find the Hamiltonian [7, 8]

$$\tilde{H} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\vec{p}^2 + \omega^2 \vec{q}^2}{1 + \mu \vec{q}^2} \quad (25)$$

For $\mu \geq 0$ \tilde{H} is non-singular everywhere; for $\mu < 0$ some care must be exercised. The function $\Omega(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$, eq.(4), takes the form

$$\Omega(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) = 1 + \mu \vec{q}^2 \quad (26)$$

For simplicity consider the case $\mu \geq 0$. Assuming $\tilde{E} < \frac{\omega^2}{2\mu}$ we have $\omega^2(\tilde{H}) > 0$ (bounded motion). Eqs.(19), (21) are replaced by

$$\tilde{a}_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega(\tilde{H})}} \left(p_i - i\omega(\tilde{H})q_i \right), \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \quad (27)$$

$$\tilde{C}_a = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{a}_i (\Lambda_a)_{ij} \tilde{a}_j \quad (28)$$

According to the discussion of Section III \tilde{C}_a are integrals of motion obeying $SU(3)$ algebra

$$\{\tilde{C}_a, \tilde{C}_b\} = d_{ab}{}^c \tilde{C}_c. \quad (29)$$

Let us note that eq.(20) is no longer valid.

For $\tilde{E} = \frac{\omega^2}{2\mu}$ ($\tilde{E} > \frac{\omega^2}{2\mu}$) the relevant symmetry algebra gets modified appropriately.

Let us now add the linear term to harmonic potential,

$$H = \frac{1}{2} (\vec{p}^2 + \omega^2 \vec{q}^2) + \vec{k} \cdot \vec{q} \quad (30)$$

with \vec{k} being a constant vector.

By making the canonical transformation

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{Q} &= \vec{q} + \frac{\vec{k}}{\omega^2} \\ \vec{P} &= \vec{p} \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

H reduces to harmonic oscillator:

$$H = \frac{1}{2} (\vec{P}^2 + \omega^2 \vec{Q}^2) + \text{const} \quad (32)$$

Therefore, the superintegrability is preserved together with the structure of

the symmetry algebra.

Making the substitution (24) one obtains the Hamiltonian studied in [9]

$$\tilde{H} = \frac{1}{1 + \mu \vec{q}^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} (\vec{p}^2 + \omega^2 \vec{q}^2) + \vec{k} \cdot \vec{q} \right) \quad (33)$$

Again, assuming $\tilde{E} < \frac{\omega^2}{2\mu}$ and defining

$$a_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega(\tilde{H})}} \left(p_i - \omega(\tilde{H})q_i - \frac{ik_i}{\omega(\tilde{H})} \right), \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \quad (34)$$

we conclude that the integrals \tilde{C}_a , eq.(28), obey $SU(3)$ algebra. The price one has to pay for having $SU(3)$ algebra as the symmetry algebra is that the integrals \tilde{C}_a are not polynomial in momenta. However, when multiplied by $\omega^3(\tilde{H})$ they become polynomials; the modified integrals of motion obey then the Poisson commutation rules with \tilde{H} -dependent structure constants.

As the next example consider the generalization of Smorodinsky-Winternitz system[15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 10, 11]. The relevant Hamiltonian reads

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \left(\vec{p}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^3 \omega_i^2 q_i^2 \right) + \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{k_i}{q_i^2} \quad (35)$$

with $k_i > 0$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. H is obviously integrable being separable in Cartesian coordinates. It is straightforward to find the action variables I_k , $k = 1, 2, 3$; in terms of them the Hamiltonian takes the form

$$H = 2 \sum_{k=1}^3 \omega_k I_k + \sum_{k=1}^3 \sqrt{2k} \omega_k \quad (36)$$

Assuming all ratios $\frac{\omega_i}{\omega_j}$ rational we conclude that it is maximally superintegrable [22]. Putting $\omega_k = n_k \omega$, with n_k natural, one can write

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \left(\vec{p}^2 + \omega^2 \sum_{k=1}^3 n_k^2 q_k^2 \right) + \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{k_i}{q_i^2} \quad (37)$$

The integrals of motion can be chosen to obey Lie algebra commutation rules

with respect to Poisson brackets [23]; it is again $SU(3)$ algebra. Let us now replace $\omega^2 \rightarrow \omega^2 - 2\mu\tilde{H}$. Then

$$\tilde{H} = \frac{1}{\left(1 + \mu \sum_{k=1}^3 n_k^2 q_k^2\right)} \left(\frac{1}{2} \vec{p}^2 + \frac{\omega^2}{2} \sum_{k=1}^3 n_k^2 q_k^2 + \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{k_i}{q_i^2} \right) \quad (38)$$

For regularity we assume $\mu > 0$. According to the discussion of the previous section \tilde{H} is superintegrable. Assuming $\omega^2 - 2\mu\tilde{E} > 0$ we find also that the integrals of motion can be chosen in such a way as to obtain $SU(3)$ algebra. The particular case of \tilde{H} , $n_1 = n_2 = 1$, $n_3 = 2$ has been considered in [9].

It is instructive to analyze in more detail the integrals of motion for the Hamiltonian (37) (and, consequently, the Hamiltonian(38)). The angle variables for the dynamics (37) read

$$\begin{aligned} \cos \varphi_i &= \frac{E_i - n_i^2 \omega^2 q_i^2}{\sqrt{E_i^2 - 2k_i n_i^2 \omega^2}} \\ \sin \varphi_i &= \frac{E_i - n_i^2 \omega^2 p_i^2}{\sqrt{E_i^2 - 2k_i n_i^2 \omega^2}} \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

with $E_i = 2\omega n_i I_i + \sqrt{2k_i} \omega n_i$ being partial energies. It is easy to write out the general form of the integral of motion [14]

$$C = \sum_{\{m\}} F_{\{m\}}(\mathbf{I}) \prod_{k=1}^3 (e^{i\varphi_k})^{m_k} \quad (40)$$

with $\{m\} \equiv \{m_1, m_2, m_3\}$ being arbitrary triples of integers obeying

$$m_1 n_1 + m_2 n_2 + m_3 n_3 = 0 \quad (41)$$

and $F_{\{m\}}(\mathbf{I})$ - arbitrary functions. Obviously, only 5 of these integrals can be chosen as functionally independent. It is also clearly seen from eqs. (39),(40) that one can choose the integrals as polynomials in momenta. For example, for $n_1 = n_2 = 1$, $n_3 = 2$, the quadratic integral K_4 in [9] may be written as

$$\omega^2 K_4 = E_1 \cdot E_2 - \sqrt{E_1^2 - 2k_1 \omega^2} \sqrt{E_2^2 - 2k_2 \omega^2} \cos(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2) \quad (42)$$

Now, for $\omega^2 - 2\mu\tilde{E} > 0$ the relevant integrals \tilde{C} for Hamiltonian \tilde{H} can be obtained along the lines sketched in previous section.

As a next example consider Kepler-related Hamiltonians. The initial Hamiltonian for the textbook Kepler problem reads

$$H = \frac{1}{2}\vec{p}^2 + \frac{\alpha}{|\vec{q}^2|}, \quad \alpha < 0 \quad (43)$$

We make the replacement $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha + \beta\tilde{H}$ which yields

$$\tilde{H} = \left(\frac{|\vec{q}|}{|\vec{q}| - \beta} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2}\vec{p}^2 + \frac{\alpha}{|\vec{q}|} \right) \quad (44)$$

For regularity we assume $\beta < 0$. \tilde{H} is superintegrable. Except energy and angular momentum it admits further integrals of motion in the form of generalized Runge-Lenz vector

$$\tilde{\vec{A}} = \vec{A} - \frac{m\beta\tilde{H}\vec{q}}{|\vec{q}|^2} \quad (45)$$

where \vec{A} is a standard Runge-Lenz vector.

The Kepler dynamics can be generalized in a way still preserving superintegrability [10],[11],[12]:

$$H = \frac{1}{2}\vec{p}^2 + \frac{\alpha}{|\vec{q}|} + \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{k_i}{q_i^2} \quad (46)$$

Under the same substitution $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha + \beta\tilde{H}$, one finds

$$\tilde{H} = \left(\frac{|\vec{q}|}{|\vec{q}| - \beta} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2}\vec{p}^2 + \frac{\alpha}{|\vec{q}|} + \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{k_i}{q_i^2} \right) \quad (47)$$

It defines, according to our findings, the superintegrable dynamics. For a more detailed discussion of its properties we refer the reader to [9]. The integrals of motion described there can be derived using the technique introduced in the present paper.

Both harmonic oscillator and Kepler system with additional term $\sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{k_i}{q_i^2}$ (eqs. (37) and (46)) can be generalized to the case of configuration space of constant curvature [13]. Our procedure works also in this case. For example, the curvature-dependent version of Smorodinsky-Winternitz system leads to the following Hamiltonian

$$\tilde{H} = \frac{1}{1 - \mu T_\kappa^2(r)} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(p_r^2 + \frac{1}{s_\kappa^2(r)} \left(p_\theta^2 + \frac{p_\varphi^2}{\sin^2(\theta)} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 T_\kappa^2(r) + \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{k_i}{q_{\kappa_i}^2} \right) \quad (48)$$

where

$$s_\kappa(r) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa}} \sin(\sqrt{\kappa}r), & \kappa > 0 \\ r, & \kappa = 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\kappa}} \sinh(\sqrt{-\kappa}r), & \kappa < 0 \end{cases} \quad (49)$$

$$T_\kappa(r) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa}} \tan(\sqrt{\kappa}r), & \kappa > 0 \\ r, & \kappa = 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\kappa}} \tanh(\sqrt{-\kappa}r), & \kappa < 0 \end{cases} \quad (50)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} q_{\kappa_1} &= s_\kappa(r) \sin \theta \cos \varphi \\ q_{\kappa_2} &= s_\kappa(r) \sin \theta \sin \varphi \\ q_{\kappa_3} &= s_\kappa(r) \cos \theta \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

These systems are discussed in detailed in [13]. It follows immediately that they are superintegrable and their integrals of motion can be obtained following the procedure described in the present paper.

Let us come back to the Hamiltonian (30). Adding a constant h to the Hamiltonian and making the substitutions $\omega^2 \rightarrow \omega^2 - 2\mu\tilde{H}$, $\vec{k} \rightarrow \vec{k} - \tilde{H}\vec{l}$, $h \rightarrow h - h_0\tilde{H}$ we find

$$\tilde{H} = \frac{1}{\mu\vec{q}^2 + \vec{l} \cdot \vec{q} + h_0 + 1} \left(\frac{\vec{p}^2}{2} + \frac{\omega^2}{2}\vec{q}^2 + \vec{k} \cdot \vec{q} + h \right) \quad (52)$$

Considering two dimensions and putting $l_i = \delta_{i1}$, $k_i = \delta_{i2}$, $\mu = 0$, $h_0 = -1$ we arrive at the one of the Hamiltonians considered in [14] (eq.(64) therein). Further, let us start with the two-dimensional Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{1}{2}\vec{p}^2 + \frac{\omega^2}{2}(4q_1^2 + q_2^2) + k_1q_1 + \frac{k_2}{q_2} + h \quad (53)$$

which is superintegrable. We put $h \rightarrow h_0 + \tilde{H}$, $k_1 \rightarrow -\tilde{H}$; then

$$\tilde{H} = \frac{\vec{p}^2}{2q_1} + \frac{\omega^2(4q_1^2 + q_2^2)}{2q_1} + \frac{h_0}{q_1} + \frac{k_2}{q_1q_2} \quad (54)$$

which is the Hamiltonian (59) from [14].

IV Darboux and Hietarinta methods

In the eighties Hietarinta et al. introduced a very nice method, known as coupling-constant metamorphosis, of constructing new Hamiltonians out of the given ones. It consists in exchanging the roles of a coupling constant and energy while preserving the form of unparameterized trajectories in phase space. This method is somehow related to the theorem proven by Darboux in 1889 [1] (see also [2]). Both Darboux theorem and Hietarinta method nicely fit into general framework described in Section II.

Let us start with the natural Hamiltonian of the form

$$H = \frac{1}{2}g^{ij}(q)p_i p_j - \lambda U(q); \quad (55)$$

here λ is an arbitrary coupling constant and we follow the nonstandard convention denoting by $-\lambda U$ the potential energy. Adding to the right hand side the constant $\lambda - 1$ and making the replacement $\lambda \rightarrow \tilde{H}$ we arrive at the new Hamiltonian (cf. eq.(3))

$$\tilde{H} = \frac{1}{U} \left(\frac{1}{2}g^{ij}p_i p_j - 1 \right) \quad (56)$$

Let

$$q^i = \tilde{q}^i(\tilde{t}, \tilde{E}), \quad p_i = \tilde{p}_i(\tilde{t}, \tilde{E}) \quad (57)$$

where

$$\tilde{E} = \frac{1}{U} \left(\frac{1}{2} g^{ij} p_i p_j - 1 \right), \quad (58)$$

denote the solutions to the canonical equations for the Hamiltonian (56). Then the solutions to the canonical equations for H can be written in the form (cf. eqs.(4), (6), (7), (8))

$$q^i = q^i(t; \lambda = \tilde{E}) = \tilde{q}^i(\tilde{t}(t), \tilde{E}), \quad p_i = p_i(t; \lambda = \tilde{E}) = \tilde{p}_i(\tilde{t}(t), \tilde{E}) \quad (59)$$

where

$$\frac{d\tilde{t}}{dt} \equiv \Omega = U. \quad (60)$$

The Lagrangians corresponding to H and \tilde{H} read, respectively (up to irrelevant additive constants)

$$L = \frac{1}{2} g_{ij} \dot{q}^i \dot{q}^j + \lambda U \quad (61)$$

$$\tilde{L} = \frac{U}{2} g_{ij} \dot{q}^i \dot{q}^j + \frac{1}{U} \quad (62)$$

Now, according to the Darboux theorem [1]: the unparametrized trajectories in configuration space for the Lagrangians \tilde{L} (cf. eq.(62)) and L' defined by the formula

$$L' = \frac{1}{2} g_{ij} \dot{q}^i \dot{q}^j + U \quad (63)$$

coincide and the energies \tilde{E} and E' corresponding to \tilde{L} and L' , respectively satisfy the relation

$$E' \cdot \tilde{E} = 1. \quad (64)$$

Both conclusions follow from our approach. In fact, the solution $q'^i = q'^i(t)$ to the Lagrange equations for L' can be written in terms of the solutions $q^i = q^i(t; \lambda)$ to the Lagrange equations for L as follows

$$q'^i(t) = q^i\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}t; \lambda\right) \quad (65)$$

Putting $\lambda = \tilde{E}$ and taking into account the first of equations (59) we conclude

$$q'^i(t) = \tilde{q}^i\left(\tilde{t}\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{\tilde{E}}}\right), \tilde{E}\right) \quad (66)$$

The energy E' corresponding to L'

$$E' = \frac{1}{2}g_{ij} \frac{dq'^i}{dt} \frac{dq'^j}{dt} - U \quad (67)$$

when expressed in term of \tilde{q}^i (cf. eq.(60)) reads

$$E' = \frac{U^2}{\tilde{E}} \frac{1}{2}g_{ij} \frac{d\tilde{q}^i}{d\tilde{t}} \frac{d\tilde{q}^j}{d\tilde{t}} - U \quad (68)$$

By comparing eq.(68) with the energy corresponding to \tilde{L}

$$\tilde{E} = \frac{U}{2}g_{ij} \frac{d\tilde{q}^i}{d\tilde{t}} \frac{d\tilde{q}^j}{d\tilde{t}} - \frac{1}{U} \quad (69)$$

we find the relation (64).

As far as the Hietarinta et al. method is concerned one rewrites the Hamiltonian (1) from Ref.[3] by adding the constant

$$H = H_0 - gF + (g - h) \quad (70)$$

Letting $g = \tilde{H} \equiv G$ one arrives at the formula (2) from [3]

$$G = \frac{H_0}{F} - \frac{h}{F} \quad (71)$$

V Conclusions

We proposed a general framework for constructing, for a given Hamiltonian, the whole family of new Hamiltonians sharing the same set of unparameterized trajectories in phase space. Once the symmetry of initial Hamiltonian is known our approach provides the complete characterization of the symmetry structure of new Hamiltonian systems. In fact, eqs.(11) and (14)-(17) imply that the integrals of motion for the latter can be immediately constructed provided those corresponding to the initial Hamiltonian are known.

We have shown that our approach covers many examples considered recently in the literature. We presented only some of them. It is interesting to note that further, more complicated, examples can be obtained by applying the algorithm iteratively.

Our method provides also an alternative proof of Darboux theorem as well as Hietarinta et al. coupling-constant metamorphosis method.

References

- [1] Darboux, G. *Comptes Rendus Acad. Sci. Paris* **108**, 449–450 (1889).
- [2] Albouy, A. and Zhao, L. *Regular and Chaotic Dynamics* **27**(3), 253–280 (2022).
- [3] Hietarinta, J., Grammaticos, B., Dorizzi, B., and Ramani, A. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **53**, 1707–1710 Oct (1984).
- [4] Henon, M. and Heiles, C. *Astronomical Journal* **69**(1), 73–& (1964).
- [5] Grammaticos, B., Dorizzi, B., and Ramani, A. *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **25**(12), 3470–3473 (1984).
- [6] Holt, C. R. *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **23**(6), 1037–1046 (1982).

- [7] Anco, S. C., Ballesteros, A., and Gandarias, M. L. *Physics Letters A* **383**(9), 801–807 (2019).
- [8] Gonera, J., Jasiński, A., and Kosiński, P. *Annals of Physics* **427**, 168428 (2021).
- [9] Cariñena, J. F., Rañada, M. F., and Santander, M. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* **54**(36), 365201 aug (2021).
- [10] Rodríguez, M. A., Tempesta, P., and Winternitz, P. *Physical Review E* **78**(4), 046608 (2008).
- [11] Rodríguez, M., Tempesta, P., and Winternitz, P. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, volume 175, 012013. IOP Publishing, (2009).
- [12] Verrier, P. and Evans, N. *Journal of mathematical physics* **49**(2), 022902 (2008).
- [13] Cariñena, J. F., Rañada, M. F., and Santander, M. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* **54**(36), 365201 (2021).
- [14] Kalnins, E. G., Kress, J. M., and Winternitz, P. *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **43**(2), 970–983 (2002).
- [15] Friš, J., Mandrosov, V., Smorodinsky, Y., Uhlř, M., and Winternitz, P. *Physics Letters* **16**(3), 354–356 (1965).
- [16] Evans, N. *Journal of mathematical physics* **32**(12), 3369–3375 (1991).
- [17] Evans, N. W. and Verrier, P. E. *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **49**(9), 092902 (2008).
- [18] Kalnins, E. G., Miller Jr, W., et al. *SIGMA. Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications* **8**, 034 (2012).
- [19] Miller, W., Post, S., and Winternitz, P. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* **46**(42), 423001 (2013).
- [20] Chanu, C., Degiovanni, L., Rastelli, G., et al. *SIGMA. Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications* **7**, 038 (2011).
- [21] Gubbiotti, G. and Latini, D. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* **51**(28), 285201 (2018).

- [22] Landau, L. and Lifshitz. *Mechanics: Volume 1*. Course of theoretical physics. Elsevier Science, (1976).
- [23] Gonera, C. and Majewski, M. *Acta Physica Polonica. Series B* **32**(4), 1167–1171 (2001).