

Lorentzian connections with parallel twistor-free torsion

Igor Ernst and Anton S. Galaev

ABSTRACT. We describe Lorentzian manifolds that admit metric connections with parallel torsion having zero twistorial component and non-zero vectorial component. We also describe Lorentzian manifolds admitting metric connections with closed parallel skew-symmetric torsion.

Keywords: Lorentzian manifold; parallel torsion; twistor-free torsion; skew-symmetric torsion; holonomy.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification 2020: 53B30; 53C29.

1. Introduction

Metric connection with torsion appear both in the context of differential geometry and mathematical physics. Under the action of the pseudo-orthogonal group, the torsion of a metric connection on a pseudo-Riemannian manifold decomposes into three components: vectorial, twistorial, and skew-symmetric. Riemannian connections with skew-symmetric torsion have been studied in many works, e.g., [1, 2, 8]. Connections with skew-symmetric torsion appear in certain supergravity theories, see, e.g., [10, 11, 15, 19]. We studied Lorentzian metric connections with parallel skew-symmetric torsion in [12].

Recently Moroianu and Pilca [18] classified complete simply connected Riemannian manifolds that admit metric connections with parallel torsion having zero twistorial component and non-zero vectorial component. It is shown that each such manifold is a warped product of the line and a complete Riemannian manifold admitting a parallel 3-form τ satisfying the condition $\tau(X) \cdot \tau = 0$ for all vector fields X , see Theorem 1 below. Then complete Riemannian manifolds carrying such 3-forms were classified, see Theorem 2 below. *In the present paper we consider the corresponding problem in the Lorentzian signature.* The first result (Theorem 3) states that complete Lorentzian manifolds do not admit metric connections with parallel torsion having zero twistorial component and non-zero vectorial component. Then we omit the requirement of the completeness. We distinguish two cases depending on the vector field ξ defining the vectorial component of the torsion: ξ is isotropic and ξ is not isotropic. If ξ is non-isotropic and complete, then Theorem 4 provides a result similar to Theorem 1. Next, Theorem 5 describes Lorentzian manifolds admitting parallel 3-forms τ satisfying the above condition. *In fact, Theorem 5 describes Lorentzian manifolds admitting metric connections ∇ with closed ∇ -parallel skew-symmetric torsion.* Next, we assume that the vector field ξ is isotropic. If the norm of the skew-symmetric component S of the torsion is zero, then the manifold under consideration may be described as a manifold (M_0, g_0) admitting a parallel isotropic vector field and a parallel 2-form on the corresponding screen bundle (Theorem 6). Suppose that the norm of S is non-zero. If the dimension of the manifold is 3, then we prove in Theorem 7 that the manifold is a Kundt space of a special form. If the dimension is different from 3, then the manifold under consideration is a locally warped product of the manifold (M_0, g_0) and a Riemannian manifold again admitting a 3-form with the above properties.

¹Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Masaryk University, Faculty of Science, Kotlářská 2, 611 37 Brno, Czech Republic.

²University of Hradec Králové, Faculty of Science, Rokitanského 62, 500 03 Hradec Králové, Czech Republic
E-mail: anton.galaev(at)uhk.cz.

A large class of metric connections with torsion appear as homogeneous structures. A homogeneous structure on a pseudo-Riemannian manifold is a metric connection ∇ with ∇ -parallel curvature and torsion. By the Ambrose-Singer Theorem, a complete pseudo-Riemannian manifold is reductive homogeneous if and only if it admits a homogeneous structure [7, 20]. Let us mention several results about homogeneous structures related to the results of the present paper. In [13] it is shown that Lorentzian space forms do not admit homogeneous structures of vectorial type. Next, a homogeneous Lorentzian space admitting a homogeneous structure of isotropic vectorial type is a singular homogeneous plane wave [16, 5]. The paper [14] provides a classification of Lorentzian homogeneous structures with the torsion having zero twistorial component and non-zero vectorial component. These structures are exhausted by locally symmetric spaces and singular homogeneous plane waves. We thus generalize these results to general connections with parallel twistor-free torsion havin non-zero vectorial component.

2. Preliminaries

Let (M, g) be a pseudo-Riemannian manifold. Denote by ∇^g the Levi-Civita connection on (M, g) . A connection ∇ on (M, g) is called metric if $\nabla g = 0$. Using the metric g we identify the tangent and cotangent bundles, and we use the obvious identifications for tensors. In particular, where identify a field of bivectors $X \wedge Y$ with the field of endomorphism

$$(X \wedge Y)Z = g(X, Z)Y - g(Y, Z)X,$$

and for a 3-tensor B by abuse of notation we will write

$$B(X, Y, Z) = g(B(X, Y), Z) = g(B(X)Y, Z).$$

Let $A \in \Gamma(TM) \otimes (\wedge^2 \Gamma(TM))$. Then the equality

$$\nabla_X Y = \nabla_X^g Y + A(X, Y), \quad X, Y \in \Gamma(TM),$$

defines the metric connection ∇ with the torsion

$$T(X, Y, Z) = A(X, Y, Z) - A(Y, X, Z),$$

see, e.g, [2]. Let $\xi \in \Gamma(TM)$ and $S \in \Omega^3(M)$, then the tensor

$$A(X) = X \wedge \xi + \frac{1}{2}S(X)$$

defines the metric connection

$$(1) \quad \nabla_X = \nabla_X^g + X \wedge \xi + \frac{1}{2}S(X),$$

with the torsion

$$T(X, Y) = (X \wedge Y)\xi + S(X, Y).$$

The torsion T has vectorial component ξ , skew-symmetric component S and zero twistorial component. In particular, a 3-form τ defines the metric connection

$$(2) \quad \nabla_X = \nabla_X^g + \frac{1}{2}\tau(X)$$

with skew-symmetric torsion τ .

Let ∇ be given by (1). Suppose that $\nabla T = 0$. It is clear that this is equivalent to the conditions $\nabla \xi = 0$ and $\nabla S = 0$. Consider the first Bianchi identity

$$\mathfrak{S}_{XYZ} R(X, Y)Z = \mathfrak{S}_{XYZ} \{T(T(X, Y), Z) + (\nabla_X T)(Y, Z)\},$$

where \mathfrak{S}_{XYZ} denotes the cyclic sum with respect to X, Y, Z . It is easy to check that the identity may be rewritten in the form

$$(3) \quad \mathfrak{S}_{XYZ} R(X, Y)Z = \mathfrak{S}_{XYZ} S(S(X, Y), Z) + \mathfrak{S}_{XYZ} g(X, \xi)S(Y, Z) + \mathfrak{S}_{XYZ} S(\xi, Y, Z)X.$$

For a 3-form τ define the 4-form σ_τ by the equality

$$\sigma_\tau(X, Y, Z) = \mathfrak{S}_{XYZ} \tau(\tau(X, Y), Z).$$

It holds that

$$(4) \quad (\tau(X) \cdot \tau)(Y, Z, V) = -\sigma_\tau(X, Y, Z, V).$$

Consider the connection (2). It holds

$$(5) \quad d\tau(X, Y, Z, V) = \mathfrak{S}_{XYZ} \{(\nabla_X \tau)(Y, Z, V)\} - (\nabla_V \tau)(X, Y, Z) + 2\sigma_\tau(X, Y, Z, V),$$

see, e.g., [11]. From (2) it follows that

$$(6) \quad \nabla \tau = \nabla^g \tau - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_\tau.$$

If $\nabla \tau = 0$, then the first Bianchi identity for the connection ∇ may be written in the form

$$(7) \quad \mathfrak{S}_{XYZ} R(X, Y)Z = \sigma_\tau(X, Y, Z).$$

Note that by (4), the condition $\sigma_\tau = 0$ is equivalent to the condition $\tau(X) \cdot \tau = 0$ for all $X \in \Gamma(TM)$. From (5) and (6) easily follows

LEMMA 1. *Let (M, g) be a pseudo-Riemannian manifold and ∇ a metric connection with skew-symmetric torsion τ on it. Then the following conditions are equivalent*

1. $\nabla \tau = 0$ and $d\tau = 0$;
2. $\nabla \tau = 0$ and $\sigma_\tau = 0$;
3. $\nabla^g \tau = 0$ and $\sigma_\tau = 0$.

The following theorem from [18] describes complete simply connected Riemannian manifolds with parallel twistor-free torsion.

THEOREM 1. *A complete simply connected Riemannian manifold (M, g_M) carries a metric connection with parallel twistor-free torsion if and only if (M, g_M) is homothetic to a warped product $(N \times \mathbb{R}, e^{2t} g_N + dt^2)$, where (N, g_N) is a complete simply connected Riemannian manifold carrying a parallel 3-form $\tau \in \Omega^3(N)$ such that $\sigma_\tau = 0$.*

In [3] it is shown that an irreducible complete simply connected Riemannian manifold of dimension greater than 4 with parallel skew torsion τ satisfying $\sigma_\tau = 0$ is a simple compact Lie group with bi-invariant metric or its dual noncompact symmetric space. The following is a more general theorem from [18].

THEOREM 2. *Let (N, g) be a complete simply connected Riemannian manifold carrying a metric connection with parallel skew-symmetric torsion τ which satisfies $\sigma_\tau = 0$. Then (N, g) is one of the following:*

1. (N, g) is an oriented 3-dimensional Riemannian manifold and τ is a constant multiple of its Riemannian volume form;
2. (N, g) is a simple Lie algebra with an ad-invariant metric g and τ is a constant multiple of its canonical 3-form;
3. (N, g) is an irreducible symmetric space of type II or type IV and τ is a constant multiple of its canonical 3-form;
4. (N, g) is a Riemannian manifold and $\tau = 0$;
5. (N, g) is a product of some of the manifolds as in 1–4.

Now we recall the definition of Kundt and Walker spaces.

A Kundt space is a Lorentzian manifold (M, g) admitting an isotropic vector field p satisfying the following conditions:

$$(8) \quad \nabla_p^g p = 0, \quad \text{tr } \nabla^g p = 0, \quad \|(\nabla^g p)^{sym}\|_g = 0, \quad \|(\nabla^g p)^{alt}\|_g = 0,$$

i.e., the vector field p is geodesic, expansion-free, shear-free and twist-free, see, e.g., [9]. Locally there exist coordinates v, x^1, \dots, x^n, u such that g takes the form

$$(9) \quad g = 2dvdu + h + 2Adu + H(du)^2,$$

where $h = \sum_{i,j=1}^n h_{ij}(x^1, \dots, x^n, u) dx^i dx^j$ is a u -family of local Riemannian metrics, $A = \sum_{i=1}^n A_i(v, x^1, \dots, x^n, u) dx^i$ is a 1-form, and $H = H(v, x^1, \dots, x^n, u)$ is a local function. The vector field p is proportional to ∂_v .

A Walker manifold (see, e.g., [6]) is a Lorentzian manifold (M, g) admitting a ∇^g -parallel distribution of isotropic lines ℓ . Locally g is given by (9) with the functions A_i independent of v . If moreover the induced connection in ℓ is flat, i.e., (M, g) admits (local) ∇^g -parallel isotropic vector field p , then in the function H may be chosen to be independent of v .

Let ∇ be a metric connection on a Lorentzian manifold (M, g) and suppose that p is a ∇ -parallel isotropic vector field on M . Since the metric g is ∇ -parallel, the distribution p^\perp is ∇ -parallel. The bundle $E = p^\perp / \langle p \rangle$ is called *the screen bundle*. There is the obvious projection $p^\perp \rightarrow E$. The connection ∇ induces a connection on E (which we denote by the same symbol): if X is a vector field on M and Y is a section of E , then $\nabla_X Y$ is the projection to E of the vector field $\nabla_X \tilde{Y}$, where \tilde{Y} is an arbitrary section of p^\perp such that its projection to E is Y .

We will consider a Witt basis p, e_1, \dots, e_n, q of the Minkowski space $\mathbb{R}^{1,n+1}$. The non-zero values of the Minkowski metric with respect to such basis are $g(p, q) = g(q, p) = g(e_i, e_i) = 1$. We will denote by \mathbb{R}^n the Euclidean subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{1,n+1}$ spanned by the vectors e_1, \dots, e_n . With respect to the basis p, e_1, \dots, e_n, q , the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{so}(1, n+1)$ preserving the isotropic line $\mathbb{R}p$ has the following matrix form:

$$\mathfrak{so}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{R}p} = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} a & -X^t & 0 & a \in \mathbb{R} \\ 0 & A & X & A \in \mathfrak{so}(n) \\ 0 & 0 & -a & X \in \mathbb{R}^n \end{array} \right) \right\}.$$

The above matrix is identified with the bivector

$$-ap \wedge q + A + p \wedge X,$$

and we get the decomposition

$$\mathfrak{so}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{R}p} = (\mathbb{R}p \wedge q \oplus \mathfrak{so}(n)) \ltimes p \wedge \mathbb{R}^n.$$

For the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{so}(1, n+1)$ annihilating the isotropic vector we have

$$\mathfrak{so}(1, n+1)_p = \mathfrak{so}(n) \ltimes p \wedge \mathbb{R}^n.$$

3. Main Results

We prove that the statement of Theorem 1 cannot be extended to complete Lorentzian manifolds.

THEOREM 3. *Let (M, g) be a complete Lorentzian manifold. Then (M, g) does not admit any metric connection with parallel twistor-free torsion and non-zero vectorial component.*

Theorem 3 generalizes the following two results. Lorentzian space forms do not admit homogeneous structures of vectorial type [13]. A homogeneous Lorentzian space admitting a homogeneous structure of isotropic vectorial type is a singular homogeneous plane wave [16, 5].

We prove an analogue of Theorem 1 for Lorentzian manifolds if we do not require the completeness of the metric, but require the completeness of the vectorial component of the torsion; we assume here also that the vectorial component is non-isotropic.

THEOREM 4. *Let (M, g) be a simply connected Lorentzian manifold. Then (M, g) admits a metric connection with parallel twistor-free torsion and vectorial component given by a non-isotropic complete vector field ξ if and only if (M, g) is homothetic to the warped product*

$$(10) \quad (\mathbb{R} \times N, \varepsilon(dt)^2 + e^{2\varepsilon t} g_N),$$

where $\varepsilon = \pm 1$, (N, g_N) is a Riemannian (if $\varepsilon = -1$) or a Lorentzian (if $\varepsilon = 1$) manifold carrying a parallel 3-form $\tau \in \Omega^3(N)$ that satisfies $\sigma_\tau = 0$.

EXAMPLE 1. *The flat slicing coordinates on an open subset of the de Sitter space dS^{n+2} allow one to write the de Sitter metric in the form*

$$g = -(dt)^2 + e^{-2t} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (dy^i)^2.$$

The vector field $\xi = \partial_t$ defines a metric connection ∇ with vectorial torsion. This connection is a homogeneous structure on an open subset of dS^{n+2} and, according to [13], ∇ cannot be extended to the the entire dS^{n+2} .

Then we prove an analogue of Theorem 2 for the Lorentzian signature.

THEOREM 5. *Let (N, g) be a Lorentzian manifold carrying a parallel 3-form τ with $\sigma_\tau = 0$. Then (N, g) is locally isometric to one of the following manifolds:*

1. $\dim N = 3$, (N, g) is an oriented 3-dimensional Lorentzian manifold and τ is a constant multiple of its Lorentzian volume form;
2. $\dim N \geq 4$, (N, g) is a Lorentzian manifold carrying a parallel isotropic vector field p , and $\tau = p^\flat \wedge \omega$, where ω is a parallel 2-form on the screen bundle $p^\perp / \langle p \rangle$.
3. (N, g) is a Lorentzian manifold and $\tau = 0$;
4. (N, g) locally is a product of a Lorentzian manifold from one of the cases 1–3 with a Riemannian manifold from Theorem 2.

From Lemma 1 it follows that Theorem 5 describes Lorentzian manifolds admitting metric connections ∇ with closed ∇ -parallel skew-symmetric torsion.

Then we consider the case when the vectorial part of the torsion is isotropic. In that case the g -norm of the torsion coincides with the g -norm $\|S\|_g$ of the skew-symmetric component S . We say that the component S is degenerate if $\|S\|_g = 0$, otherwise we say that S is non-degenerate. The first theorem deals with the case of degenerate skew-symmetric component S .

THEOREM 6. *Let (M_0, g_0) be a Lorentzian manifold with a ∇^{g_0} -parallel isotropic vector field p_0 and a ∇^{g_0} -parallel form $\tau_0 = p_0^\flat \wedge \omega$, where ω is a ∇^{g_0} -parallel 2-form on the screen bundle $p_0^\perp / \langle p_0 \rangle$. Suppose that there exists a function φ on M_0 such that $p_0^\flat = d\varphi$. Let $\xi = e^{-\varphi} p_0$ and $S = \tau_0$. Then the connection ∇ on M_0 given by (1) has parallel twistor-free torsion with isotropic vectorial component ξ and the skew-symmetric component S .*

Conversely, each simply connected Lorentzian manifold admitting a metric connection with parallel twistor-free torsion having isotropic vectorial component and degenerate skew-symmetric component is globally equivalent to a just constructed one.

REMARK 1. *Let (M_0, g_0) be a Lorentzian manifold with a ∇^{g_0} -parallel isotropic vector field p_0 . Then $dp_0^\flat = 0$, and there exists a function f such that $p_0^\flat = df$. Such a function is defined up to a constant. The condition in the above construction is satisfied whenever each such function is bounded from below. For example, let (B, b) be a Riemannian manifold. Consider the Walker manifold*

$$(M_0 = \mathbb{R} \times B \times \mathbb{R}_+, \quad g_0 = 2dvdu + b + H(du)^2),$$

where v and u are the coordinates on \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{R}_+ , respectively, and H is any function on $B \times \mathbb{R}_+$. Then $p_0 = \partial_v$ is a parallel isotropic vector, and $p_0^\flat = du = d\varphi$, $\varphi = \ln u$. The condition on p_0^\flat from the above construction is satisfied.

EXAMPLE 2. *Consider the pp-wave metric*

$$g = 2dvdu + \sum_{i=1}^n (dx^i)^2 + H(du)^2.$$

Let

$$\xi = \partial_v, \quad S = du \wedge \sum_{i < j} F_{ij} dx^i \wedge dx^j,$$

where $F = (F_{ij})$ is a constant skew-symmetric matrix. Then the connection ∇ given by (1) is a metric connection with parallel twistor-free torsion having isotropic vectorial component ξ

and skew-symmetric component S . The connection ∇ is a homogeneous structure if and only if $\nabla R = 0$. According to [5, 14, 16], this is the case if and only if

$$H = A(e^{-uF}x, e^{-uF}x),$$

i.e., g is a singular homogeneous plane-wave metric. Here A is a constant symmetric bilinear form.

The following two theorems give a complete solution for non-degenerate S .

THEOREM 7. *Let (M, g) be a 3-dimensional Lorentzian manifold. Then (M, g) admits a metric connection with parallel twistor-free torsion having isotropic vectorial component p and non-degenerate skew-symmetric component S if and only if M is oriented and admits an isotropic vector field p such that*

$$(11) \quad \nabla_X^g p = -g(X, p)p - \frac{1}{2}S(X, p), \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(TM),$$

where

$$S = a\text{Vol}_g, \quad a \in \mathbb{R}, \quad a \neq 0.$$

In particular, such (M, g) is a Kundt space, and locally g may be written as

$$g = 2dvdu + 2avdxdu + (dx)^2 + (-2ve^{-ax} + C(x, u))(du)^2,$$

where $C(x, u)$ is an arbitrary function, $p = e^{-ax}\partial_v$.

THEOREM 8. *Let $(M_0, g_0, p_0, \tau_0, \varphi)$ be a Lorentzian manifold as in Theorem 6. Let (N, g_N) be a Riemannian manifold with a non-zero ∇^{g_N} -parallel 3-form τ_N satisfying $\sigma_{\tau_N} = 0$. Consider the manifold*

$$M = M_0 \times N$$

with the Lorentzian metric

$$g = g_0 + e^{2\varphi}g_N.$$

Let

$$\xi = e^{-\varphi}p_0, \quad S = \tau_0 + e^{3\varphi}\tau_N.$$

Then the connection ∇ on M given by (1) has parallel twistor-free torsion with isotropic vectorial component ξ and non-degenerate skew-symmetric component S .

Conversely, each Lorentzian manifold of dimension different from 3 admitting a metric connection with parallel twistor-free torsion having isotropic vectorial component and non-degenerate skew-symmetric component is locally equivalent to a just constructed one.

4. Proof of Theorem 4

Let (M, g) be a simply connected Lorentzian manifold. Suppose that (M, g) admits a metric connection ∇ with parallel twistor-free torsion having vectorial component given by a non-isotropic complete vector field ξ . The connection ∇ is given by (1). For the proof we use the ideas from [18], and we apply [17, Prop. 8] to obtain the global decomposition of M .

Consider the 1-form η given by

$$\eta(X) = \varepsilon g(\xi, X).$$

It is clear that $\eta(\xi) = 1$. As in [18] it can be shown that

$$d\eta = S(\xi) = 0.$$

Proposition 8 from [17] implies that all leaves of the foliation on M tangent to the distribution $\ker \eta$ are pairwise diffeomorphic, and the manifold M is diffeomorphic to the product

$$M \cong \mathbb{R} \times N,$$

where N is a leaf of the foliation. Under this diffeomorphism, the vector field ξ corresponds to ∂_t . From the definition of η it follows that the vectors tangent to the leaves of the foliation are orthogonal to ∂_t . Consequently, the metric g may be written in the form

$$g = \varepsilon(dt)^2 + h,$$

where h is a t -family of metrics on N . Consider the metric

$$\tilde{g} = e^{-2\epsilon t}g.$$

Applying the formula for the Levi-Civita connection under the conformal change, (1), and the fact that $S(\xi) = 0$, we obtain

$$\nabla_X^{\tilde{g}}\xi = -\epsilon(Xt)\xi.$$

This shows that the distribution generated by ξ and the distribution $\ker \eta$ are $\nabla^{\tilde{g}}$ -parallel. The Wu Theorem implies that

$$g_N = e^{-2\epsilon t}h$$

is a metric on N independent of t . Thus,

$$g = \epsilon(dt)^2 + e^{2\epsilon t}g_N.$$

The equality $S(\xi) = 0$ shows that S is a t -family of 3-forms on the manifold N . As in [18] it can be shown that the condition $\nabla S = 0$ is equivalent to the conditions

$$S = e^{3\epsilon t}\tau_N, \quad \tau_N \in \Omega^3(N), \quad \nabla^g\tau_N = 0, \quad \sigma_{\tau_N} = 0.$$

This concludes the proof of the theorem. \square

5. Proof of Theorem 5

Let (N, g) be a Lorentzian manifold carrying a non-zero parallel 3-form τ such that $\sigma_\tau = 0$. Consider the metric connection

$$\nabla = \nabla^g + \frac{1}{2}\tau$$

with skew-symmetric torsion τ . From Lemma 1 it follows that τ is ∇ -parallel. In [12] we described holonomy, curvature and torsion of Lorentzian connections with parallel skew-symmetric torsion. We have now to consider the additional condition $\sigma_\tau = 0$. We assume that $\dim N = n + 2 \geq 3$ and denote by $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(1, n + 1)$ the holonomy algebra of the connection ∇ . We fix a point $x \in N$. The tangent space $T_x N$ may be identified with the Minkowski space $\mathbb{R}^{1, n+1}$.

Recall that a subalgebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(1, n + 1)$ is called weakly irreducible if it does not preserve any proper non-degenerate subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{1, n+1}$. The geometry (N, g, ∇) is called *reducible* if the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(1, n + 1)$ of the connection ∇ is *not* weakly irreducible, i.e., \mathfrak{g} preserves a proper non-degenerate subspace of the tangent space. In this case there exists a non-trivial \mathfrak{g} -invariant orthogonal decomposition of the tangent space

$$(12) \quad T_x M = L \oplus E.$$

The geometry (N, g, ∇) is called *decomposable* if the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(1, n + 1)$ preserves an orthogonal decomposition (12) such that it holds

$$\tau_x \in \wedge^3 L \oplus \wedge^3 E.$$

Otherwise we say that the geometry is *indecomposable*. If the geometry is decomposable, then locally it is a product of two other geometries, i.e., (N, g) is as in the case 4 from the statement of the theorem. Thus we may assume that the geometry is indecomposable.

In Section 3 from [12] we proved that if ∇ is a metric connection on a Lorentzian manifold (N, g) with parallel skew-symmetric torsion τ and weakly irreducible holonomy algebra, then τ automatically satisfies the condition $\sigma_\tau(X) = 0$, moreover, (N, g) is as in the case 1 or 2 from the statement of the theorem.

Now we assume that the geometry (N, g, ∇) is reducible and indecomposable. Then the holonomy algebra \mathfrak{g} preserves a decomposition (12). We may assume that the induced representation of \mathfrak{g} in L is weakly irreducible. We consider several cases depending on the dimension of L and use the description of τ from [12]. We will denote by τ also the value of the field τ at the point x . Since the field τ is ∇ -parallel, it is enough to check that its value at the point x satisfies the condition

$$(13) \quad \tau(X) \cdot \tau = 0 \quad \text{for all } X \in T_x N.$$

If $\dim L \geq 2$, we denote by p, e_1, \dots, e_k, q a Witt basis in L .

Let $\dim L = 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} T_x M &= \mathbb{R}e_- \oplus E, \\ \tau &= e_- \wedge \theta + \omega_E, \end{aligned}$$

where $g(e_-, e_-) = -1$, $\theta \in \wedge^2 E$ and $\omega_E \in \wedge^3 E$ are annihilated by \mathfrak{g} and it holds $\theta \cdot \omega_E = 0$. The last condition may be written in the form

$$\mathfrak{S}_{XYZ} \omega_E(\theta(X), Y, Z) = 0 \quad \text{for all } X, Y, Z \in E.$$

Let $X \in E$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(X) &= \theta(X) \wedge e_- + \omega_E(X), \\ \tau(X) \cdot \tau &= \theta(X) \wedge \theta + \omega_E(X) \cdot \omega_E + e_- \wedge (\omega_E(X) \cdot \theta) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This is equivalent to

$$(14) \quad \theta(X) \wedge \theta + \omega_E(X) \cdot \omega_E = 0,$$

$$(15) \quad \omega_E(X) \cdot \theta = 0.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \omega_E(X) \cdot \theta(Y, Z) = -\theta(\omega_E(X, Y), Z) - \theta(Y, \omega_E(X, Z)) = \\ &= \omega_E(X, Y, \theta(Z)) - \omega_E(X, Z, \theta(Y)) = \omega_E(\theta(Z), X, Y) + \omega_E(\theta(Y), Z, X) = \\ &= -\omega_E(\theta(X), Y, Z), \end{aligned}$$

which means that $\theta \in \wedge^2(\ker \omega_E)$. Since the geometry is indecomposable, this implies that $\omega_E = 0$. Equation (14) now reads as

$$\theta(X) \wedge \theta = 0,$$

for all $X \in E$. This is possible only if $\text{rk } \theta \leq 2$. Thus the indecomposability implies that the dimension of N is 3.

Let $\dim L = 2$. In this case τ has the form

$$\tau = p \wedge q \wedge v + \theta \wedge v + \omega_{E_1},$$

where $v \in E$ is a non-zero vector, $E = \mathbb{R}v \oplus E_1$ is an orthogonal decomposition, $\mathfrak{g}v = 0$, $\omega_{E_1} \in \wedge^3 E_1$, $\theta \in \wedge^2 E_1$, $\mathfrak{g} \cdot \omega_{E_1} = 0$, $\mathfrak{g} \cdot \theta = 0$. Consider the condition (13). It holds

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(p) &= -p \wedge v, \\ \tau(p) \cdot \tau &= g(v, v)\theta \wedge v = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\theta = 0$. The indecomposability again implies that the dimension of N is 3.

Assume that $\dim L = 3$. Then, given an arbitrary Witt basis p, e_1, q of L , the torsion τ has the form

$$\tau = p \wedge (\alpha e_1 \wedge q + e_1 \wedge v + \lambda) + \theta \wedge v + \omega_{E_1},$$

where $v \in E$ is a vector, E_1 is the orthogonal complement of v in E , $\mathfrak{g}v = 0$, $\omega_{E_1} \in \wedge^3 E_1$, $\theta \in \wedge^2 E_1$ and $\lambda \in \wedge^2 E$ are annihilated by \mathfrak{g} . It holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(e_1) &= -\alpha p \wedge q - p \wedge v, \\ \tau(e_1) \cdot \tau &= p \wedge (\alpha \lambda + g(v, v)\theta) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\alpha \lambda + g(v, v)\theta = 0.$$

First suppose that $\alpha \neq 0$. Let us set

$$\tilde{v} = v - \frac{g(v, v)}{\alpha} p, \quad \tilde{q} = q + \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(v - \frac{1}{2\alpha} g(v, v)p \right), \quad \tilde{E} = \mathbb{R}\tilde{v} \oplus E, \quad \tilde{L} = \langle p, e_1, \tilde{q} \rangle.$$

Then p, e_1, \tilde{q} is a Witt basis in \tilde{L} and $T_x M = \tilde{L} \oplus \tilde{E}$ is a new \mathfrak{g} -invariant orthogonal decomposition. The torsion τ now may be written as

$$\tau = \alpha p \wedge e_1 \wedge \tilde{q} + \theta \wedge \tilde{v} + \omega_{E_1}$$

and we see that the geometry is decomposable.

Suppose now that $\alpha = 0$. The condition (13) may be rewritten in the following way:

$$\theta \wedge v = 0, \quad \lambda(v) = 0, \quad \lambda \cdot \omega_{E_1} = 0, \quad \omega_{E_1}(X) \cdot \omega_{E_1} = 0, \quad \forall X \in E_1.$$

The expressions for the curvature tensor from [12, Theorem 5] imply that

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{R}p \wedge e_1 \oplus \mathfrak{b},$$

where \mathfrak{b} is the projection of \mathfrak{g} onto $\mathfrak{so}(E_1)$. The above equalities show that ω_{E_1} defines a Lie algebra structure on E_1 , and λ is a derivation of the Lie algebra E_1 . Let $E_0 = \ker \omega_{E_1} \subset E_1$ and let E'_1 be the orthogonal complement to E_0 in E_1 . Then E_0 is a commutative Lie algebra, and E'_1 is either the trivial or a semisimple Lie algebra. Therefore,

$$\lambda = \omega_{E_1}(U_0) + \lambda_0,$$

where $U_0 \in E'_1$ and $\lambda_0 \in \wedge^2 E_0$. Since \mathfrak{g} annihilates λ and ω_{E_1} , it annihilates the vector U_0 .

Let

$$\tilde{E} = \{\tilde{U} = U + g(U, U_0)p \mid U \in E\}, \quad \tilde{q} = q - U_0 - \frac{1}{2}g(U_0, U_0)p, \quad \tilde{L} = \langle p, e_1, \tilde{q} \rangle.$$

Then, $T_x M = \tilde{L} \oplus \tilde{E}$ is a \mathfrak{g} -invariant orthogonal decomposition. Now,

$$\tau = p \wedge (e_1 \wedge \tilde{v} + \tilde{\lambda}_0) + \omega_{\tilde{E}_1},$$

where in the new notation $\tilde{E} = \mathbb{R}\tilde{v} + \tilde{E}_1$, $\tilde{E}_1 = \tilde{E}_0 \oplus \tilde{E}'_1$, $\tilde{\lambda}_0 \in \wedge^2 \tilde{E}_0$, $\omega_{\tilde{E}_1} \in \wedge^3 \tilde{E}'_1$. The indecomposability implies that $\tilde{E}'_1 = 0$. Thus, (N, g) is as in the case 1 or 2 from the statement of the theorem.

Finally let us assume that $\dim L \geq 4$. Then the torsion τ has the form

$$(16) \quad \tau = p \wedge (\omega + \sum_{i=1}^k e_i \wedge \mu_i + \lambda) + \omega_E,$$

where $\mu_i \in E$, $\omega_E \in \wedge^3 E$, $\omega \in \wedge^2 \mathbb{R}^k$, $\lambda \in \wedge^2 E$, and it holds that $\lambda \cdot \omega_E = 0$. Let us consider the condition (13):

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(e_i) &= -p \wedge \mu_i - p \wedge \omega(e_i), \\ \tau(e_i) \cdot \tau &= -p \wedge \omega_E(\mu_i) = 0, \\ \tau(U) \cdot \tau &= \omega_E(U) \cdot \omega_E = 0, \quad U \in E. \end{aligned}$$

The condition $\tau(q) \cdot \tau = 0$ is equivalent to $\lambda \cdot \omega_E = 0$. From these equalities we get $\omega_E(\mu_i) = 0$. Again, ω_E defines a Lie algebra structure on E , and as in the previous case, the indecomposability of the geometry implies that $\omega_E = 0$, and (N, g) is as in the case 1 or 2 from the statement of the theorem.

The theorem is proved. \square

6. Proof of Theorem 7

Let (M, g) be a 3-dimensional Lorentzian manifold. Suppose that (M, g) admits a metric connection ∇ with parallel twistor-free torsion having isotropic vectorial component ξ and non-zero skew-symmetric component S .

The connection ∇ is given by (1). Since S is parallel, it is non-vanishing, and, consequently, M is orientable. Fix an orientation of M , and let Vol_g be the volume form defined by g . The torsion is ∇ -parallel if and only if $\nabla p = 0$ and $\nabla S = 0$.

It holds

$$0 = \nabla S = \nabla^g S,$$

i.e., S is proportional to the volume form,

$$S = a \text{Vol}_g, \quad a \in \mathbb{R}, \quad a \neq 0.$$

Now, the torsion is parallel if and only if $\nabla p = 0$. By (1), the last condition is equivalent to (11). It is obvious that the vector field satisfies the conditions (8), i.e., (M, g) is a Kundt space. Consequently, the metric g may be locally written in the form

$$g = 2dvdu + 2A(v, x, u)dxdu + (dx)^2 + H(v, x, u)(du)^2.$$

The vector field p satisfies $p = \varphi \partial_v$, for a function $\varphi = \varphi(x, u)$. Consider the local field of Witt frames

$$p = \varphi \partial_v, \quad e = \partial_x, \quad q = \frac{1}{\varphi} \left(\partial_u - A \partial_x - \frac{1}{2} H \partial_v \right).$$

The condition (11) is equivalent to

$$\nabla_p^g p = 0, \quad \nabla_e^g p = -\frac{1}{2} a p, \quad \nabla_q^g p = -p + \frac{1}{2} a e.$$

It is easy to check that

$$\nabla_e^g p = \left(\partial_x \varphi + \frac{1}{2} \varphi \partial_v A \right) \partial_v.$$

This implies that

$$\frac{\partial_x \varphi}{\varphi} + \frac{1}{2} \partial_v A = -\frac{1}{2} a.$$

Next,

$$\nabla_q^g p = \left(\frac{1}{\varphi} (\partial_u \varphi - A \partial_x \varphi) + \frac{1}{2} \partial_v H - A \partial_v A \right) \partial_v + \frac{1}{2} \partial_v A \partial_x.$$

We conclude that $\partial_v A = a$, i.e., $A = av + B(x, u)$, and $\partial_x \varphi = -\varphi$, i.e., $\varphi = c(u)e^{-ax}$. A simple coordinate transformation allows to assume that $B(x, u) = 0$ and $c(u) = 1$. Finally we get that $H = -2e^{-ax}v + C(x, u)$. This proves the theorem. \square

7. Proof of Theorems 6 and 8

Let (M, g) be a Lorentzian manifold admitting a metric connection ∇ with parallel twistor-free torsion having isotropic vectorial component p and skew-symmetric component S . If $\dim M = 3$, then thanks to Theorem 7 *we will assume that* $\|S\|_g = 0$; since in dimension 3 each 3-form is proportional to the (local) volume form, this implies that $S = 0$. Denote by $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(1, n+1)$ the holonomy algebra of the connection ∇ at a point $x \in M$. By abuse of notation, we denote by p, S, R the values of the corresponding tensor fields at the point x . Then \mathfrak{g} preserves the vector $p \in \mathbb{R}^{1, n+1} = T_x M$, i.e., $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(1, n+1)_p$.

LEMMA 2. [12, Lemma 1] *If $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(1, n+1)_p$ is a weakly irreducible subalgebra, then each $S \in \wedge^3 \mathbb{R}^{1, n+1}$ annihilated by \mathfrak{g} is of the form $S = p \wedge \omega$ for a bivector ω on $p^\perp / \langle p \rangle$.*

LEMMA 3. *If the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(1, n+1)$ of the connection ∇ is not weakly irreducible, then \mathfrak{g} preserves an orthogonal decomposition*

$$\mathbb{R}^{1, n+1} = L \oplus E,$$

where

$$L = \mathbb{R}p \oplus \mathbb{R}^k \oplus \mathbb{R}q, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n,$$

such that

$$S = p \wedge \omega + \omega_E,$$

where $\omega \in \wedge^2 \mathbb{R}^k$ and $\omega_E \in \wedge^3 E$. Moreover, $\sigma_{\omega_E} = 0$ and $\ker \omega_E = 0$.

Note that the statement of the lemma includes the case $E = 0$.

Proof of Lemma 3. If $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(1, n+1)$ is not weakly irreducible, then it is clear that \mathfrak{g} preserves an orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathbb{R}^{1, n+1} = L \oplus E, \quad \dim L \geq 1$$

such that the induced representation of \mathfrak{g} in L is weakly irreducible.

First assume that $\dim L \geq 4$. By [12, Lemma 7],

$$S = p \wedge \omega + \omega_E,$$

where

$$\omega = \omega_{\mathbb{R}^k} + \mu + \lambda,$$

here $\omega_{\mathbb{R}^k} \in \wedge^2 \mathbb{R}^k$, $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^k \wedge E$, $\lambda \in \wedge^2 E$. The Bianchi identity (3) written for the vectors $U, V \in E$ and q takes the form

$$R(U, V)q + R(V, q)U + R(q, U)V = -(\omega \cdot \omega_E)(U, V) + \lambda(U, V)p + \omega_E(U, V).$$

Since E is holonomy-invariant, $R(V, q)U, R(q, U)V \in E$. Hence, multiplying the above equality scalarly by q , we get

$$\lambda = 0.$$

This implies that $\omega \cdot \omega_E = 0$, and

$$R(V, q)U + R(q, U)V = \omega_E(U, V).$$

Since the first prolongation of $\mathfrak{so}(E)$ is trivial, the equation $R(V, q)U + R(q, U)V = 0$, for all $U, V \in E$, has only trivial solution. This shows that

$$R(q, U)V = \frac{1}{2}\omega_E(U, V), \quad \forall U, V \in E.$$

Since \mathfrak{g} annihilates S , and R takes values in \mathfrak{g} , we get

$$(R(q, U) \cdot S)(U_1, U_2, U_3) = 0, \quad \forall U, U_1, U_2, U_3 \in E.$$

This implies that

$$\omega_E(U) \cdot \omega_E = 0, \quad \forall U \in E,$$

i.e.,

$$\sigma_{\omega_E} = 0.$$

We conclude that the equality

$$g([U_1, U_2], U_3) = \omega_E(U_1, U_2, U_3), \quad \forall U_1, U_2, U_3 \in E$$

defines a Lie bracket on the vector space E . Let $E_0 = \ker \omega_E$, and let E' be the orthogonal complement to E_0 in E . Then E_0 and E' are commutative and semisimple ideals in E , respectively.

The Bianchi identity written for the vectors $X \in \mathbb{R}^k$, $U \in E$ and q easily implies that $g(R(q, U)X, Y) = 0$ for all $Y \in \mathbb{R}^k$. Hence, $R(q, U)X \in \mathbb{R}p$. Let us write the bivector μ in the form $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^k e_i \wedge \mu_i$, where $\mu_i \in E$. Now, \mathfrak{g} annihilates the tensor $p \wedge \mu$, hence,

$$0 = R(q, U) \cdot (p \wedge \mu) = \sum_{i=1}^k p \wedge e_i \wedge R(q, U) \cdot \mu = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^k p \wedge e_i \wedge [U, \mu_i].$$

This implies that $[U, \mu_i] = 0$ for all $U \in E$. Consequently, $\mu_i \in E_0$. Denoting now $L \oplus E_0$ by L and E' by E , we see that S is just as in the statement of the lemma.

Assume now again that the representation of \mathfrak{g} in L is weakly irreducible.

Suppose that $\dim L = 3$. According to [12], S has the form

$$S = p \wedge \omega + \omega_E + \alpha p \wedge e_1 \wedge q,$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and all other elements are as above. Using the Bianchi identity for the vectors $U \in E$, e_1 and q , it is easy to shown that $\alpha = 0$, and further considerations are just as in the previous case.

Since \mathfrak{g} annihilates the isotropic vector p , it is not possible that the representation of \mathfrak{g} in L is weakly irreducible and $\dim L = 2$. Finally, if $\dim L = 1$, then since \mathfrak{g} annihilates the isotropic vector p , it annihilates a two-dimensional Lorentzian subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{1, n+1}$. Again, it is not hard to show that the statement of the lemma holds true. The lemma is proved. \square

COROLLARY 1. *Under the current assumptions it holds $S(p) = 0$ unless $\dim M = 3$ and (M, g) is as in Theorem 7.*

Let us suppose that the manifold M is simply connected. Consider the dual 1-form η to the vector field p ,

$$\eta(X) = g(p, X), \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(TM).$$

It holds

$$\begin{aligned} d\eta(X, Y) &= Xg(p, Y) - Yg(p, X) - g(p, [X, Y]) \\ &= g(\nabla_X^g p, Y) + g(p, \nabla_X^g Y) - g(\nabla_Y^g p, X) - g(p, \nabla_Y^g X) - g(p, \nabla_X^g Y - \nabla_Y^g X) \\ &= g(\nabla_X^g p, Y) - g(\nabla_Y^g p, X) = g\left(-X \wedge p - \frac{1}{2}S(X, p), Y\right) - g\left(-Y \wedge p - \frac{1}{2}S(Y, p), X\right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then there exists a function φ such that $\eta = d\varphi$.

Proof of Theorem 6. Suppose now that S is degenerate. From the above lemmata it follows that $S = p \wedge \omega$.

From (1) it follows that

$$\nabla_X^g p = -g(X, p)p = -\eta(X)p.$$

Consequently the vector field

$$p_0 = e^\varphi p$$

is ∇^g -parallel. Its dual 1-form p_0^\flat is closed, and there exists a function u on M such that $du = p_0^\flat$. We conclude that

$$d\varphi = e^{-\varphi} du,$$

i.e.,

$$de^\varphi = du.$$

Since the both functions φ and u are defined up to a constant, we may assume that

$$u = e^\varphi,$$

i.e., the function u is positive. By (1), $\nabla^g S = 0$. Theorem 6 is proved. \square

Proof of Theorem 8. Suppose that the manifold M is simply connected. Suppose that $\|S\|_g \neq 0$ and $\dim M \neq 3$. Let L and E be as in Lemma 3. Since the subspaces L and E of $T_x M$ are \mathfrak{g} -invariant, they define ∇ -parallel distributions \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{E} on M . Moreover,

$$S = S_1 + S_2, \quad S_1 \in \wedge^3 \Gamma(\mathcal{L}), \quad S_2 \in \wedge^3 \Gamma(\mathcal{E}), \quad \nabla S_1 = \nabla S_2 = 0, \quad \sigma_{S_1} = \sigma_{S_2} = 0.$$

Consider the new metric

$$h = e^{-2\varphi} g,$$

where the function φ is as above.

By the standard formula, for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ it holds

$$\nabla_X^h Y = \nabla_X^g Y - g(p, X)Y - g(p, Y)X + g(X, Y)p.$$

Combining this equality with (1), we get

$$(17) \quad \nabla_X^h Y = \nabla_X Y - g(p, X)Y - \frac{1}{2}S(X, Y),$$

or just

$$(18) \quad \nabla_X^h = \nabla_X - g(p, X) \text{id} - \frac{1}{2}S(X).$$

This implies that the distributions \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{E} are ∇^h -parallel. By the Wu Theorem, (M, h) is locally a product of a Lorentzian manifold (M_0, h_0) and a Riemannian manifold (N, g_N) , where M_0 and N are integral submanifolds of the distributions \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{E} , respectively. In particular, locally it holds

$$h = h_0 + g_N.$$

The vector field p is tangent to the distribution \mathcal{L} , i.e., p is a family of vector fields on the manifold M_0 depending on the local coordinates on the manifold N . If $U \in \Gamma(TN)$, then $\nabla_U^h p = 0$. Thus, p is a vector field on M_0 . If $X \in \Gamma(TM_0)$, then

$$\nabla_X^{h_0} p = \nabla_X^h p = -g(X, p)p = -\eta(X)p = -d\varphi p.$$

This shows that φ is a function on the manifold M_0 . Consequently,

$$g_0 = e^{2\varphi} h_0$$

is a Lorentzian metric on M_0 and it coincides with g restricted to M_0 . We get that

$$g = g_0 + e^{2\varphi}g_N.$$

If $U \in \Gamma(TN)$, then by (18),

$$\nabla_U^h S_1 = \nabla_U S_1 = 0,$$

i.e., S_1 is a 3-form on M_0 . Next, if $X \in \Gamma(TM_0)$, then, by (1),

$$\nabla_X^{g_0} S_1 = \nabla_X^g S_1 = \nabla_X S_1 = 0.$$

We conclude that the data

$$(M_0, g_0, p_0 = e^\varphi p, \tau_0 = S_1, \varphi)$$

is as in Theorem 6.

For $U \in \Gamma(TN)$ it holds

$$\nabla_U^{g_N} S_2 = \nabla_U^h S_2 = \nabla_U S_2 = 0.$$

If $X \in \Gamma(TM_0)$, then

$$\nabla_X^h S_2 = -g(p, X) \text{id} \cdot S_2 = 3g(p, X)S_2 = 3d\varphi(X)S_2.$$

Consequently,

$$\nabla_X^h \tau_N = 0,$$

where $\tau_N = e^{-3\varphi}S_2$. Thus,

$$S = \tau_0 + e^{3\varphi}\tau_N, \quad \nabla^{g_N} \tau_N = 0, \quad \sigma_{\tau_N} = 0.$$

This proves the theorem. \square

8. Proof of Theorem 3

Let (M, g) be a complete Lorentzian manifold. Assume that (M, g) admits a metric connection ∇ with parallel twistor-free torsion having non-zero vectorial component ξ . Passing to the universal covering, we may assume that the manifold is simply connected.

Suppose that $g(\xi, \xi) \neq 0$. We may assume that $g(\xi, \xi) = \pm 1$. As in the proof of Theorem (4), it can be shown that $S(\xi) = 0$. This and (1) imply that $\nabla_\xi^g \xi = 0$, i.e., ξ is a geodesic vector field. Thus, ξ is a complete vector field. Consequently, the metric g is given by (10). This metric is not complete [4], and we get a contradiction.

Let (M, g) be as in Theorem 7. Let $\gamma(t)$ be a geodesic such that $g(\dot{\gamma}(0), p) \neq 0$. Consider the function $\alpha(t) = g(\dot{\gamma}(t), p)$ defined along the geodesic $\gamma(t)$. It holds

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\alpha}(t) &= \frac{d}{dt}\alpha(t) = \dot{\gamma}(t)\alpha(t) = \dot{\gamma}(t)g(\dot{\gamma}(t), p) = g(\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(t)}^g \dot{\gamma}(t), p) + g(\dot{\gamma}(t), \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(t)}^g p) \\ &= g\left(\dot{\gamma}(t), -g(\dot{\gamma}(t), p)p - \frac{1}{2}S(\dot{\gamma}(t), p)\right) = -g(\dot{\gamma}(t), p)^2 - \frac{1}{2}S(\dot{\gamma}(t), p, \dot{\gamma}(t)) = -\alpha^2(t). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\dot{\alpha}(t) + \alpha^2(t) = 0.$$

This shows that

$$\alpha(t) = \frac{1}{t+c}, \quad c \in \mathbb{R},$$

and we get a contradiction.

Suppose that $g(\xi, \xi) = 0$. If $\dim M = 3$, then we assume that $\|S\|_g$ is zero. Let $p = \xi$, φ , $p_0 = e^\varphi p$ be as in Section 7. Then $\nabla^{g_0} p_0 = 0$. Fix a point $x \in M$. Let $\gamma(t)$ be the geodesic such that $\gamma(0) = x$, $g_x(\dot{\gamma}(0), p_0) = 1$. Since p_0 is ∇^{g_0} -parallel, for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$ it holds $g_{\gamma(t)}(\dot{\gamma}(t), (p_0)_{\gamma(t)}) = 1$. Let $\alpha(t) = \varphi(\gamma(t))$. The function $\alpha(t)$ is defined for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. It holds

$$\frac{d}{dt}\alpha(t) = \dot{\gamma}(t)\varphi = d\varphi(\dot{\gamma}(t)) = g(\dot{\gamma}(t), p) = e^{-\alpha(t)}g(\dot{\gamma}(t), p_0) = e^{-\alpha(t)}.$$

We conclude that

$$e^{\alpha(t)} = t+c, \quad c \in \mathbb{R},$$

and we see that $\alpha(t)$ cannot be defined for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ contradicting our initial assumption.

The theorem is proved. □

Acknowledgements. The authors are thankful to Andrei Moroianu and Eivind Schneider for useful discussions. I.E. was supported by grant MUNI/A/1099/2022 of Masaryk University. A.G. acknowledges institutional support of University of Hradec Králové.

References

- [1] I. Agricola, Th. Friedrich, On the holonomy of connections with skew-symmetric torsion. *Math. Annalen* 328 (2004), no. 4, 711–748.
- [2] I. Agricola, Non-integrable geometries, torsion, and holonomy. in *Handbook of pseudo-Riemannian Geometry and Supersymmetry*, IRMA, EMS, 2010, 277–346.
- [3] I. Agricola, C. Ferreira, Th. Friedrich, The classification of naturally reductive homogeneous spaces in dimensions $n \leq 6$. *Diff. Geom. Appl.* 39 (2015), 59–92.
- [4] D. Alekseevsky, V. Cortes, A. Galaev, T. Leistner, Cones over pseudo-Riemannian manifolds and their holonomy. *Journal für die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik* 635 (2009), 23–69.
- [5] M. Blau, M. O’Loughlin, Homogeneous plane waves. *Nuclear Phys. B*, 654 (2003), no. 1-2, 135–176.
- [6] M. Brozos-Vázquez, E. García-Río, P. Gilkey, S. Nikčević, R. Vázquez-Lorenzo, *The geometry of Walker manifolds*, Synth. Lect. Math. Stat., 5, Morgan & Claypool Publishers, Williston, VT, 2009.
- [7] G. Calvaruso, M.C. López, *Pseudo-Riemannian Homogeneous Structures*. Springer 2019.
- [8] R. Cleyton, A. Moroianu, U. Semmelmann, Metric connections with parallel skew-symmetric torsion. *Adv. Math.* 378 (2021) 107519.
- [9] A. Coley, S. Hervik, G. Papadopoulos, and N. Pelavas, Kundt spacetimes. *Class. Quantum Grav.* 26 (2009), no. 10, arc. num. 105016.
- [10] J. Figueroa-O’Farrill, S. Philip, and P. Meessen, Homogeneity and plane-wave limits. *J. High Energy Phys.* 05(05) (2005).
- [11] Th. Friedrich, S. Ivanov, Parallel spinors and connections with skew-symmetric torsion in string theory. *Asian J. Math.* 6 (2002), no. 2, 303–335.
- [12] A.S. Galaev, I. Ernst, On Lorentzian connections with parallel skew torsion. *Documenta Mathematica* 27 (2022), 2333–2383.
- [13] P.M. Gadea, J.A. Oubiña, Reductive homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifolds. *Monatsh. Math.* 124 (1997), 17–34.
- [14] P. Meessen, Homogeneous Lorentzian spaces admitting a homogeneous structure of type $T_1 \oplus T_3$. *J. Geom. Phys.* 56 (2006) 754–761.
- [15] Á. Murcia, C.S. Shahbazi, Contact metric three manifolds and Lorentzian geometry with torsion in six-dimensional supergravity. *J. Geom. Phys.* 158 (2020), 103868.
- [16] A. Montesinos Amilibia, Degenerate homogeneous structures of type S_1 on pseudo-Riemannian manifolds. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* 31 (2001), 561–579.
- [17] T. Leistner, D. Schliebner, Completeness of compact Lorentzian manifolds with abelian holonomy. *Math. Annalen* 364 (2016), 1469–1503.
- [18] A. Moroianu, M. Pilca, Metric connections with parallel twistor-free torsion. *Int. J. Math.* 32 (2021), arc. num. 2140011.
- [19] A. Strominger, Superstrings with torsion. *Nucl. Phys. B* 274 (1986), 253–284.
- [20] F. Tricerri and L. Vanhecke. *Homogeneous structures on Riemannian manifolds*, volume 83 of London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1983.