

# KREIN AND KOPLIENKO TRACE FORMULAS ON NORMED IDEALS IN SEVERAL VARIABLES

ARUP CHATTOPADHYAY, SAIKAT GIRI, AND CHANDAN PRADHAN

**ABSTRACT.** The article is devoted to obtaining the first, and second-order Taylor remainder formulas corresponding to multivariable operator functions on normed ideals in  $\sigma$ -finite, semi-finite von Neumann algebra factors. This answers an open question posed by Aleksandrov, Peller, and Potapov [2, Open problems] concerning the existence of Krein spectral-shift measures for pairs of commuting tuples of self-adjoint operators. More precisely, we establish the Krein trace formulas (first-order) for a class of tuples of commuting self-adjoint operators and for a class of tuples of commuting unitary operators associated with a broad class of multivariable scalar functions, which includes both analytic and non-analytic functions. Moreover, we also establish the Koplienko trace formula (second-order) for certain pairs of tuples of commuting self-adjoint operators corresponding to multivariable rational scalar functions. Consequently, using dilation theory, we obtain the trace formulas for a class of tuples of commuting contractions and for a class of tuples of commuting maximal dissipative operators. In addition, we establish a linearization formula for a Dixmier trace applied to perturbed operator functions, which does not typically hold for normal traces. Our work continues the study of Skripka's work [43] on multivariable trace formulas and extends the trace formulas obtained by Dykema and Skripka [21] from single variable to multivariable operator functions. In particular, our work complements the work of Dykema and Skripka [21] in the context of multivariable trace formulas.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Notations and Preliminaries	6
3. Krein Trace formula for self-adjoint operators	9
4. Krein trace formula for unitary operators	21
5. Koplienko Trace Formula for Self-adjoint Operators	24
6. Trace formula for dissipative operators	35
6.1. Krein Trace formula for dissipative operator	35
6.2. Koplienko trace formula for dissipative operator	36
7. Open problem	37
Acknowledgements	39
References	39

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47B10, secondary 47A55, 47L20.

*Key words and phrases.* Dixmier trace, Spectral measures, Spectral shift.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Lifshits [28] introduced the notion of first-order trace formula and the associated spectral shift measure in his research work in physics, and later Krein [26, 27] developed the mathematical theory of it for pairs of self-adjoint operators and pairs of unitaries. The second-order trace formula with respect to the normal trace on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  (space of all bounded linear operators on the complex separable Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ ) for pairs of self-adjoint operators with Hilbert-Schmidt perturbation was first introduced by Koplienko in [25]. In [7], Azamov, Carey, and Sukochev discussed significant applications of the first-order spectral shift measures in perturbation theory of Schrödinger operators and in noncommutative geometry in the study of spectral flow. A detailed analysis regarding the absolute continuity of first-order spectral shift measures associated with normal traces can be found in [8, 10, 13, 15, 26, 27, 30, 31, 32, 38], and for the absolute continuity of second-order (higher-order) spectral shift measures, we refer to [20, 25, 34, 40, 14, 37, 39]. Recently, for various pairs of operators, Dykema and Skripka [21] extended the study of the first and second-order trace formulas and associated spectral shift measures in the settings of singular traces.

Let us consider a model  $(\mathfrak{B}, \mathcal{I}, \tau_{\mathcal{I}})$  consisting of a  $\sigma$ -finite, semi-finite von Neumann algebra factor  $\mathfrak{B}$ , a normed ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  of  $\mathfrak{B}$  with ideal norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}$  (see Definition 2.2), and a positive bounded trace  $\tau_{\mathcal{I}}$  (see Definition 2.3). In [21], authors consider certain classes of pairs of operators  $(H_0, V)$  associated with the model  $(\mathfrak{B}, \mathcal{I}, \tau_{\mathcal{I}})$ , with  $H_0$  an operator in  $\mathfrak{B}$  or an unbounded operator affiliated with  $\mathfrak{B}$  and with  $V \in \mathcal{I}$ , and provide the existence of first-order spectral shift measure  $\mu_{H_0, V}$  on an appropriate subset  $\Omega$  of the complex plane such that

$$\tau_{\mathcal{I}}(f(H_0 + V) - f(H_0)) = \int_{\Omega} f'(\lambda) \mu_{H_0, V}(d\lambda), \quad (1.1)$$

corresponding to various classes of single variable scalar functions  $f$ , namely the Wiener class  $\mathcal{W}_1(\mathbb{R}^1)$  (see (2.4)), the class  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T}^1)$  (see (2.7)) of scalar functions on the unit circle  $\mathbb{T}$ . In this direction, under the assumption that  $V \in \mathcal{I}^{1/2}$ , they also gave the existence of second-order spectral shift measure  $\nu_{H_0, V}$  on an appropriate subset  $\Omega$  of the complex plane such that

$$\tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( f(H_0 + V) - f(H_0) - \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} f(H_0 + tV) \right) = \int_{\Omega} f''(\lambda) \nu_{H_0, V}(d\lambda), \quad (1.2)$$

corresponding to the class  $\mathfrak{R}(\mathbb{R}^1)$  (see (2.5)) of one variable scalar rational functions in [21].

However, in comparison with single-variable operator functions, multivariate operator functions are much more complex to understand. Also, there has been significant progress in understanding multivariate operator functions, such as their monotonicity [1], Lipschitzness [24], convexity [23, 22], and so on. For more on this, see [2, 4, 5, 33, 36, 42]. Even if the Taylor-like approximations, that is, the first and second-order trace formulas, were fairly well investigated in the single variable case, but they have yet to be explored in the multivariate context. In this direction, Skripka [43] proved the first and second-order trace formulas for multivariate operator functions under certain assumptions. Indeed, she obtained the following results.

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  ( $n \geq 2$ ),  $\text{Com}_n$  denotes the set of  $n$ -tuples of pairwise commuting operators in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , and by  $\mathcal{C}_n$  its subset consisting of  $n$ -tuples of (nonstrict) contractions. Let  $\mathbf{H}_n =$

$(H_1, \dots, H_n)$ ,  $\mathbf{U}_n = (U_1, \dots, U_n)$ ,  $\mathbf{W}_n = (W_1, \dots, W_n) \in \mathcal{C}_n$  be such that  $H_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , are self-adjoint operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ , and  $U_i$ ,  $W_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , are unitary operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ , and  $\mathbf{V}_n = (V_1, \dots, V_n)$ , where  $V_j = V_j^* \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Assume that the tuples  $\mathbf{H}_n(s) = \mathbf{H}_n + s\mathbf{V}_n$ ,  $\mathbf{U}_n(s) = (1-s)\mathbf{U}_n + s\mathbf{W}_n$  are elements of  $\mathcal{C}_n$  for every  $s \in [0, 1]$ . Let  $r > 0$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}((-r, r)^n)$  denotes the space of all  $n$ -variables real-analytic functions on  $(-r, r)^n$ , and  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D}_r^n)$  denotes the space of all  $n$ -variables analytic functions on the poly-disk  $\mathbb{D}_r^n$  of radius  $r$  with center at the origin.

**Theorem 1.1.** [43, Theorem 3.8] *Assume the above notations. Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a normed ideal with a positive bounded trace  $\tau_{\mathcal{I}}$  and  $V_j, W_j - U_j \in \mathcal{I}$  for every  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Then the following assertions hold.*

1. *If  $\mathbf{H}_n(t) \in \mathcal{C}_n$ , then there exist finite measures  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n$  on  $[-1, 1]^n$  such that*

$$\tau_{\mathcal{I}}(f(\mathbf{H}_n(1)) - f(\mathbf{H}_n)) = \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{[-1, 1]^n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(x_1, \dots, x_n) d\mu_j(x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad (1.3)$$

*for every  $f$  in  $\mathcal{A}((-1 + \epsilon, 1 + \epsilon)^n)$ .*

2. *If  $\mathbf{U}_n(t)$  admits a commuting contractive normal dilation for every  $t \in [0, 1]$ , then there exist finite measures  $\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n$  on  $\mathbb{T}^n$  such that*

$$\tau_{\mathcal{I}}(f(\mathbf{U}_n(1)) - f(\mathbf{U}_n)) = \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j}(z_1, \dots, z_n) d\nu_j(z_1, \dots, z_n), \quad (1.4)$$

*for every functions  $f$  in  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D}_{1+\epsilon}^n)$ .*

**Theorem 1.2.** [43, Theorem 4.8] *Assume the above notations. Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a normed ideal with a positive bounded trace  $\tau_{\mathcal{I}}$  and let  $\mathcal{I}^{1/2}$  be a normed ideal with the ideal norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}^{1/2}}$  satisfying the inequality (2.3) along with  $V_j \in \mathcal{I}^{1/2}$ , for every  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . If  $\mathbf{H}_n(t) \in \mathcal{C}_n$ , then there exists finite measures  $\nu_{ij}$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ , on  $[-1, 1]^n$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( f(\mathbf{H}_n(1)) - f(\mathbf{H}_n) - \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} f(\mathbf{H}_n(s)) \right) \\ = 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(x_1, \dots, x_n) d\nu_{ij}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_j^2}(x_1, \dots, x_n) d\nu_{jj}(x_1, \dots, x_n), \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

*for every  $f$  in  $\mathcal{A}((-1 + \epsilon, 1 + \epsilon)^n)$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$ .*

It is important to note that Skripka obtained the above first and second-order trace formulas for certain pairs of tuples of contractive self-adjoint operators associated to the class  $\mathcal{A}((-1 + \epsilon, 1 + \epsilon)^n)$ . Moreover, the first-order trace formula for pairs of tuples of unitaries corresponding to the class  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D}_{1+\epsilon}^n)$ . In the context of single variable trace formulas linked with a singular trace, we observe that Dykema and Skripka [21] obtained the first and second-order trace formulas for certain pairs of bounded self-adjoint operators corresponding to the Wiener class  $\mathcal{W}_1(\mathbb{R}^1)$  and class of rational functions  $\mathfrak{R}(\mathbb{R}^1)$  respectively. In this direction, for pairs of

unitaries, authors considered  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T}^1)$  as the associated scalar class of functions for the first-order trace formula, which contains both analytic and non-analytic single-variable scalar functions.

It is important to note that in 2019, Aleksandrov, Peller, and Potapov [2] posed an open question about the multivariable Krein trace formula. More precisely, the following is the question posed by them.

**Problem 1.3.** [2, Open problems] *Assume the notations mentioned above. Let  $\mathbf{H}_n := (H_1, \dots, H_n)$ ,  $\mathbf{H}_n(1) := (H_1 + V_1, \dots, H_n + V_n)$  be two tuples of commuting self-adjoint operators in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $V_i$  are in trace class. Then does there exist finite measures  $\nu_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that*

$$\mathrm{Tr}(f(\mathbf{H}_n(1)) - f(\mathbf{H}_n)) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x_1, \dots, x_n) d\nu_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

for a nice class of smooth functions  $f$  in the Besov class  $B_{\infty,1}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

In this article, we revisit the first and second-order trace formulas linked to certain pairs of tuples of self-adjoint operators, pairs of tuples of unitary operators, and a singular trace. We obtain the first-order trace formula for certain pairs of tuples of self-adjoint operators corresponding to the multivariate Wiener class  $\mathcal{W}_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and for certain pairs of tuples of unitaries associated with the class  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ . Our results, in particular, gives an affirmative answer to the above Problem 1.3. Also, we obtain the second-order trace formula for certain pairs of tuples of self-adjoint operators (not necessarily bounded) corresponding to the class of multi-variable rational functions  $\mathfrak{R}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Moreover, using dilation theory, we obtain the first and second-order trace formulas for certain pairs of tuples of contractions and pairs of maximal dissipative operators. More precisely, the following are the major contributions of this article.

Consider the model  $(\mathfrak{B}, \mathcal{I}, \tau_{\mathcal{I}})$  linked to the above single variable trace formula (1.1). Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . Then,

- (I) for certain pairs of tuples  $(\mathbf{H}_n(1) := (H_1 + V_1, \dots, H_n + V_n), \mathbf{H}_n := (H_1, \dots, H_n))$  of commuting self-adjoint operators (not necessarily contractive), we give the existence of first-order spectral-shift measures  $\mu_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , on an appropriate subset  $\Omega$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that

$$\tau_{\mathcal{I}}(f(\mathbf{H}_n(1)) - f(\mathbf{H}_n)) = \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(x_1, \dots, x_n) d\mu_j(x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad (1.6)$$

corresponding to the Wiener class  $\mathcal{W}_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (see Theorem 3.17). Moreover, if we consider  $(\mathfrak{B}, \mathcal{I}, \tau_{\mathcal{I}}) = (\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}), \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H}), \mathrm{Tr})$ , then we can relax the boundedness condition on  $\mathbf{H}_n(1)$  and  $\mathbf{H}_n$  (see Theorem 3.20). Furthermore, using dilation theory, we show that for certain pairs of tuples  $(\mathbf{L}_n(1), \mathbf{L}_n)$  of commuting maximal dissipative operators the above trace formula 1.6 hold corresponding to the class  $\mathfrak{R}(\mathbb{R}^n)^-$  (see Theorem 6.5).

- (II) Assume the above notations, and  $\tau_{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{I}^2) = 0$ , we prove linearization of the operator function inside the trace, that is,

$$\tau_{\mathcal{I}}(f(\mathbf{H}_n(1)) - f(\mathbf{H}_n)) = \sum_{j=1}^n \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{H}_n) V_j \right), \quad (1.7)$$

corresponding to the class  $\mathcal{W}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (see Theorem 3.24).

- (III) For certain pairs of tuples  $(\mathbf{U}_n(1), \mathbf{U}_n)$  of commuting contractions, we give the existence of first-order spectral-shift measures  $\mu_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , on  $\mathbb{T}^n$  such that

$$\tau_{\mathcal{I}}(f(\mathbf{U}_n(1)) - f(\mathbf{U}_n)) = \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j}(z_1, \dots, z_n) d\mu_j(z_1, \dots, z_n), \quad (1.8)$$

corresponding to the class  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T}^n)$  (see Theorem 4.3).

- (IV) For certain pairs of tuples  $(\mathbf{H}_n(1), \mathbf{H}_n)$  of commuting self-adjoint operators (not necessarily bounded), we give the existence of second-order spectral-shift measures  $\nu_{ij}$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ , on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( f(\mathbf{H}_n(1)) - f(\mathbf{H}_n) - \left. \frac{d}{ds} \right|_{s=0} f(\mathbf{H}_n(s)) \right) \\ &= 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(x_1, \dots, x_n) d\nu_{ij}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_j^2}(x_1, \dots, x_n) d\nu_{jj}(x_1, \dots, x_n), \end{aligned} \quad (1.9)$$

for every  $f \in \mathfrak{R}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (see Theorem 5.14). Consequently, using dilation theory, we show that for certain pairs of tuples  $(\mathbf{L}_n(1), \mathbf{L}_n)$  of commuting maximal dissipative operators the above trace formula 1.9 holds corresponding to the function class  $\mathfrak{R}(\mathbb{R}^n)^-$  (see Theorem 6.7).

- (V) Assume the above notations, and  $\tau_{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{I}^{3/2}) = 0$ , we prove linearization of the operator function inside the trace, that is,

$$\tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( f(\mathbf{H}_n(1)) - f(\mathbf{H}_n) - \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} f(\mathbf{H}_n(t)) \right) = \frac{1}{2} \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( \left. \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \right|_{t=0} f(\mathbf{H}_n(t)) \right), \quad (1.10)$$

corresponding to the class  $\mathfrak{R}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (see Theorem 5.17).

**Novelty and methodology of our work:** In classical and non-commutative geometry as well as in applications to physics (see, e.g., [12, 16, 29]), singular traces play crucial roles. The trace formulas in this article are in correspondence with such important singular traces, for example, the Dixmier trace. In our case, the first-order trace formula (1.6) for certain pairs of tuples of self-adjoint operators hold correspond to both analytic and non-analytic scalar functions. In other words, for the first-order trace formula, our work extends the associated class of holomorphic functions considered by Skripka in [43] to a larger class of scalar functions, namely  $\mathcal{W}_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , and also note that the operators considered in this trace formula may not be contractive, in fact, in some particular cases, we can choose them as unbounded operators affiliated to  $\mathfrak{B}$ . This answers an open question asked by Aleksandrov, Peller, and Potapov in

[2, Open problems] (see Problem 1.3). The class of pairs of tuples  $(\mathbf{U}_n(1), \mathbf{U}_n)$  of commuting contractions considered in (III) is different from the class considered in (1.4), and also the class  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T}^n)$  of scalar functions linked to the trace formula in (III) need not be always holomorphic. We recall that Skripka obtained the second-order trace formula for certain pairs of contractive self-adjoint operators with perturbations in  $\mathcal{I}^{1/2}$  associated with the class  $\mathcal{A}((-1 - \epsilon, 1 + \epsilon)^n)$  (see Theorem 1.2). Here, corresponding to the class  $\mathfrak{R}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we obtain the second-order trace formula (1.10) for certain pairs of tuples of commuting self-adjoint operators (unbounded, affiliated to  $\mathfrak{B}$ ) with their difference being in  $\mathcal{I}^{1/2}$ , which relaxes the boundedness condition made in Theorem 1.2. In this connection, it is essential to mention that Skripka first gave the linearization formulas (see [43, Proposition 3.12, Proposition 4.10]), which does not typically hold for normal traces for certain pairs of tuples of commuting contractions associated to the class  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D}_{1+\epsilon}^n)$ . Here, we also obtain the linearization formulas linked to singular traces for pairs of commuting tuples of self-adjoint operators corresponding to some broader class of multivariable scalar functions, namely  $\mathcal{W}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , and  $\mathfrak{R}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . The first and second-order trace formulas associated with the pairs of tuples of maximal dissipative operators are new (to the best of the authors' knowledge) in the context of trace formulas for multivariate operator functions. We also wish to mention that all our results discussed above, that is, (I), (II), (III), (IV), (V), are several-variables generalizations of analogous results associated with the single-variable operator functions obtained by Dykema and Skripka in [21]. We prove our results (I) and (IV) for tuples  $(\mathbf{L}_n(1), \mathbf{L}_n)$  of commuting maximal dissipative operators that can be dilated to tuples of commuting self-adjoint operators. That is, we will consider those maximal dissipative operators  $\mathbf{L}_n \in \text{Com}_n$  affiliated to  $\mathfrak{B}$  for which there exists a  $\sigma$ -finite, semi-finite von Neumann algebra factor  $\mathcal{N}$  containing  $\mathfrak{B}$  as a corner, so that  $\mathfrak{B}$  is identified with  $P_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathcal{N}P_{\mathfrak{B}}$  for a projection  $P_{\mathfrak{B}} \in \mathcal{N}$  and

$$(zI - L_{i_1})^{-p_1} \cdots (zI - L_{i_k})^{-p_k} = P_{\mathfrak{B}} (zI - X_{i_1})^{-p_1} \cdots (zI - X_{i_k})^{-p_k} P_{\mathfrak{B}} \quad (1.11)$$

for every non empty subset  $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ , where  $p_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , and  $\mathbf{X}_n := (X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is a tuple of commuting self adjoint operators affiliated to  $\mathcal{N}$ . It is worth mentioning that the book [46] by Sz.-Nagy, Foias, Bercovici, and Kérchy is a well-known and accepted reference to the dilation theory.

The aforementioned results follow from estimates for traces of derivatives of multivariate operator functions that we establish in Theorems 3.14, 3.15, 5.9, and Equation 4.7. Our ideas are based on the fundamental theory of multiple-operator integrals and the approaches considered in [21, 43].

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces some needful notations and recalls some required preliminaries mainly from [21, 43]. Section 3 and Section 4 are devoted to studying the first-order trace formula for tuples of self-adjoint operators and unitary operators, respectively. In section 5, we obtain the second-order trace formula for tuples of self-adjoint operators. Finally, in section 6, we prove the first and second-order trace formulas for certain pairs of tuples of maximal dissipative operators.

## 2. NOTATIONS AND PRELIMINARIES

To proceed further we fix the following needful notations.

**Notations 2.1.** Let  $\mathcal{H}$  a complex separable Hilbert space, and let  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  denotes the space of all bounded linear operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{B}$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite, semi-finite von Neumann algebra factor associated with  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ .

1. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $n \geq 2$ .
2. Let  $\mathbf{H}_n := (H_1, \dots, H_n)$ ,  $\mathbf{L}_n := (L_1, \dots, L_n)$ ,  $\mathbf{V}_n := (V_1, \dots, V_n)$ ,  $\mathbf{H}_n(t) = (H_1 + tV_1, \dots, H_n + tV_n)$ , and  $\mathbf{L}_n(t) = (L_1 + tV_1, \dots, L_n + tV_n)$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ , where  $H_j, L_j$  and  $V_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , are linear operators in  $\mathcal{H}$ .
3. Let  $\mathbf{U}_n := (U_1, \dots, U_n)$ ,  $\mathbf{T}_n := (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ , and  $\mathbf{U}_n(t) = (e^{itT_1}U_1, \dots, e^{itT_n}U_n)$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ , where  $U_j$ , and  $T_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , are linear operators in  $\mathcal{H}$ .
4. We denote the coordinates  $(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)$  by  $\vec{t}$ , and the product measure  $dt_1 dt_2 \cdots dt_n$  by  $d\vec{t}$ .
5. We denote the multi-index  $(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n)$  by  $\vec{k}$ .

**Spectral theorem:** Let  $\mathbf{H}_n := (H_1, H_2, \dots, H_n)$  be a tuple of commuting normal (unbounded) operators and let  $f$  be a bounded Borel function  $f$  on the joint spectrum  $\sigma(\mathbf{H}_n)$  of the operators  $H_1, \dots, H_n$ . Let  $E_j$  be the corresponding spectral measures of  $H_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , and let  $E$  be the product of the spectral measures  $E_j$ . Then the operator  $f(\mathbf{H}_n)$  is defined by the integral

$$f(\mathbf{H}_n) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \underbrace{\int \cdots \int}_{\sigma(\mathbf{H}_n)} f(x_1, \dots, x_n) dE(x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad (2.1)$$

and it is a bounded linear operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ , where the right hand side of (2.1) converges in operator norm. Moreover,

$$\|f(\mathbf{H}_n)\| \leq \|f\|_\infty. \quad (2.2)$$

For more details about joint spectral theorem, we refer to [11, Theorem 6.5.1] and [35].

**Normed ideal:** Now we recall the notation of normed ideal as follows.

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $\mathfrak{B}$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite, semi-finite von Neumann algebra factor. An ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  of  $\mathfrak{B}$  is called a normed ideal if it is equipped with an ideal norm,  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}$  which satisfies the following,

1.  $A \in \mathfrak{B}$ ,  $B \in \mathcal{I}$ ,  $0 \leq A \leq B$  implies  $\|A\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leq \|B\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ ,
2. there is a constant  $K > 0$  such that  $\|B\| \leq K\|B\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ ,
3. for all  $A, C \in \mathfrak{B}$  and  $B \in \mathcal{I}$  we have,

$$\|ABC\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leq \|A\| \|B\|_{\mathcal{I}} \|C\|.$$

**Definition 2.3.** A trace on an ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  of  $\mathfrak{B}$  is a linear functional  $\tau_{\mathcal{I}} : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$\tau_{\mathcal{I}}(AB) = \tau_{\mathcal{I}}(BA), \quad A, B \in \mathcal{I}.$$

And the trace is said to be positive if

$$A \in \mathcal{I}, A \geq 0 \implies \tau_{\mathcal{I}}(A) \geq 0.$$

Suppose  $\mathcal{I}$  has the ideal norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ , then we say that a trace  $\tau_{\mathcal{I}}$  is  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ -bounded if there is a constant  $M > 0$  such that

$$|\tau_{\mathcal{I}}(A)| \leq M\|A\|_{\mathcal{I}}, \quad A \in \mathcal{I},$$

where infimum of such  $M$  equals  $\|\tau_{\mathcal{I}}\|_{\mathcal{I}^*}$ .

**Remark 2.4.** *It is important to note that the existence of traces on a given ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  in the discrete (i.e.,  $\mathfrak{B} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ ) and continuous (i.e.,  $\mathfrak{B} = II_{\infty}$ -factor) cases was established in [18] and [19], respectively. We refer to [45] for more on the existence of traces on a given ideal.*

The concept of ideals is described in terms of (generalized) singular numbers. For every  $\alpha > 0$  and for every ideal  $\mathcal{I}$ , we have

$$\mathcal{I}^{\alpha} = \{A \in \mathfrak{B} : |A|^{1/\alpha} \in \mathcal{I}\}$$

which is also an ideal of  $\mathfrak{B}$ . Note that a positive trace  $\tau_{\mathcal{I}}$  on  $\mathcal{I}$  preserves the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality:

$$|\tau_{\mathcal{I}}(AB)| \leq (\tau_{\mathcal{I}}(|A|^2))^{1/2} (\tau_{\mathcal{I}}(|B|^2))^{1/2}, \quad A, B \in \mathcal{I}^{1/2}.$$

A sufficient condition for  $\mathcal{I}^{1/2}$  to be a normed ideal with  $\|A\|_{\mathcal{I}^{1/2}} = \| |A|^2 \|_{\mathcal{I}}^{1/2}$  and also for the inequality

$$\|AB\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leq \|A\|_{\mathcal{I}^{1/2}} \|B\|_{\mathcal{I}^{1/2}}, \quad A, B \in \mathcal{I}^{1/2}, \quad (2.3)$$

to hold is established in [21, Proposition 2.5].

We conclude our preliminary remarks on ideals, norms, and traces with a simple result that will be used in later proofs involving dilation operators.

**Proposition 2.5.** [21, Proposition 2.3] *Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a proper, nonzero ideal of a  $\sigma$ -finite, semifinite von Neumann algebra factor  $\mathfrak{B}$  and let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a separable Hilbert space. Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite, semifinite von Neumann algebra factor containing  $\mathfrak{B}$  as a corner, so that  $\mathfrak{B}$  is identified with  $P_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathcal{N}P_{\mathfrak{B}}$  for a projection  $P_{\mathfrak{B}} \in \mathcal{N}$ .*

1. *There is an ideal  $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}$  of  $\mathcal{N}$  such that  $\tilde{\mathcal{I}} \cap \mathfrak{B} = \mathcal{I}$ .*
2. *If  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}$  is an ideal norm on  $\mathcal{I}$ , then there is an ideal norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{I}}}$  on  $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}$  whose restriction to  $\mathcal{I}$  equals  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ .*
3. *If  $\tau_{\mathcal{I}}$  is a trace on  $\mathcal{I}$ , then there is a trace  $\tau_{\tilde{\mathcal{I}}}$  on  $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}$  whose restriction to  $\mathcal{I}$  is  $\tau_{\mathcal{I}}$ ; moreover, if  $\tau_{\mathcal{I}}$  is positive, then  $\tau_{\tilde{\mathcal{I}}}$  is positive, while if the hypothesis of (2) also holds and if  $\tau_{\mathcal{I}}$  is  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ -bounded, then  $\tau_{\tilde{\mathcal{I}}}$  is  $\|\cdot\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{I}}}$ -bounded.*

**Functions spaces:** Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $C^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$  denote the space of all  $m$  times continuously differentiable complex-valued functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $C_c^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$  the subclass of  $C^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$  of compactly supported functions. Let  $C_c^m((a, b)^n)$  denote the subspace of  $C_c^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$  consisting of the functions whose closed support is contained in  $(a, b)^n$ . Let  $\mathbb{T}$  denote the unit circle, and  $\mathbb{D}_r$  denote the disk with center 0 and radius  $r$  in the complex plan  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $m, d \in \mathbb{N}$ , denote the Wiener space of scalar functions by

$$\mathcal{W}_m(\mathbb{R}^d) := \left\{ f \in C^m(\mathbb{R}^d) : \frac{\partial^k f}{\partial x_1^{i_1} \dots \partial x_d^{i_d}}, \left( \frac{\partial^k f}{\partial x_1^{i_1} \dots \partial x_d^{i_d}} \right)^{\wedge} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d), \right. \\ \left. i_1, \dots, i_d \geq 0, \sum_{l=1}^d i_l = k, \text{ for } 0 \leq k \leq m \right\}. \quad (2.4)$$

and the class of rational functions on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  by

$$\mathfrak{R}(\mathbb{R}^d) := \text{span} \{ f(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = (z - \lambda_1)^{-k_1} \dots (z - \lambda_n)^{-k_n} : \text{Im}(z) \neq 0, k_i \in \mathbb{N} \}. \quad (2.5)$$

Let

$$\mathfrak{A}(\mathbb{R}^d)^- := \text{span} \left\{ f(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = (z - \lambda_1)^{-k_1} \cdots (z - \lambda_n)^{-k_n} : \text{Im}(z) < 0, k_i \in \mathbb{N} \right\}. \quad (2.6)$$

Let

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T}^d) := \left\{ f \in C^2(\mathbb{T}^d) : \frac{\partial^k f}{\partial x_1^{i_1} \cdots \partial x_d^{i_d}} \text{ has absolute convergent Fourier series for } 1 \leq k \leq 2 \text{ and } \sum_{\substack{l=1 \\ i_l \geq 0}}^d i_l = k \right\}. \quad (2.7)$$

Let  $B_{\infty,1}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  denotes the Besov class on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For explicit definition and properties of  $B_{\infty,1}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we refer to [33].

### 3. KREIN TRACE FORMULA FOR SELF-ADJOINT OPERATORS

The aim of this section is twofold: give a proof of the existence of the spectral shift measure and the associated trace formula for a tuple of bounded, commuting, self-adjoint operators with perturbations allowed to be in the normed ideals of  $\mathfrak{B}$ . The second one is to find the same for a tuple of unbounded, commuting, self-adjoint operators with perturbations allowed to be in  $\mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H})$ . In this section we assume the following.

**Hypothesis 3.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a normed ideal of a  $\sigma$ -finite, semi-finite von Neumann algebra factor  $\mathfrak{B}$ , with the ideal norm which is denoted by  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ , and endowed with a trace  $\tau_{\mathcal{I}} : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  which is positive and  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}$  bounded.*

#### Example 3.2.

1. *Let  $\mathfrak{B} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{L}^{(1,\infty)}$  (the dual Macaeu ideal), and  $\tau_{\mathcal{I}} = \text{Tr}_{\omega}$  (Dixmier trace), satisfies Hypothesis 3.1. We refer to [21, Subsections 2.1 and 2.2] for the definitions of  $\mathcal{L}^{(1,\infty)}$ , and  $\text{Tr}_{\omega}$ . For the Dixmier trace, see [17].*
2. *Let  $\mathfrak{B} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  with usual trace  $\tau_{\mathcal{I}} = \text{Tr}$ , and the ideal  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H})$  equipped with the trace norm satisfies Hypothesis 3.1.*
3. *Let  $\mathfrak{B} = \mathcal{M}$  be a semi-finite von Neumann algebra with faithful, normal, semi-finite trace  $\tau_{\mathcal{I}} = \tau$ , and the ideal  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau) := \{A \in \mathfrak{B} : \tau(|A|) < \infty\}$  equipped with the norm  $\|A\|_{\mathcal{I}} = \max\{\|A\|, \tau(|A|)\}$ , satisfies Hypothesis 3.1.*

**Hypothesis 3.3.** *A set  $\Omega$ , and two tuples of operators  $\mathbf{H}_n$ , and  $\mathbf{V}_n$  such that  $H_i = H_i^* \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $V_i = V_i^* \in \mathcal{I}$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  satisfy  $\mathbf{H}_n(t) \in \text{Com}_n$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ , where*

$$\Omega = \text{conv}(\sigma(H_1 + V_1) \cup \sigma(H_1)) \times \cdots \times \text{conv}(\sigma(H_n + V_n) \cup \sigma(H_n)).$$

#### Example 3.4.

1. *Consider self-adjoint operators  $H_0 \in \mathfrak{B}$ , and  $V \in \mathcal{I}$ . Let  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  be a tuple of real numbers. Then  $\mathbf{H}_n(t) := (\alpha_1(H_0 + tV), \dots, \alpha_n(H_0 + tV))$  and  $\mathbf{V}_n := (V, \dots, V)$  satisfy Hypothesis 3.3.*

2. Choose two self-adjoint operators  $H_0 \in \mathfrak{B}$ , and  $V \in \mathcal{I}$  such that  $H_0V = VH_0$ . Let  $f_i \in \mathcal{W}_1(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and consider

$$H_i = f_i(H_0), \quad V_i = f_i(H_0 + V) - f_i(H_0), \quad \text{and} \quad H_i(t) = H_i + tV_i.$$

Then it follows from [21, Lemma 3.7] that  $V_i \in \mathcal{I}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Hence, by the spectral theorem and functional calculus of self-adjoint operator, the tuples  $\mathbf{H}_n$ ,  $\mathbf{V}_n$ , and  $\mathbf{H}_n(t)$  satisfy Hypothesis 3.3.

**Hypothesis 3.5.** A set  $\Omega$  (same as in Hypothesis 3.3), and a tuple of closed, densely defined operators  $\mathbf{H}_n$ , not necessarily bounded along with another tuple of operators  $\mathbf{V}_n$  such that  $H_i = H_i^*$  and  $V_i = V_i^* \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H})$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  satisfy  $\mathbf{H}_n(t) \in \text{Com}_n$ , for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

**Example 3.6.**

1. Let  $n = 2$ . Let  $\{e_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  be an orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let us define two self-adjoint operators  $H_0, V$  on the above basis by

$$H_0(e_{2k-1}) = ke_{2k-1} + e_{2k}, \quad H_0(e_{2k}) = e_{2k-1} - ke_{2k}, \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \text{and} \\ V(e_1) = e_2, \quad V(e_2) = e_1, \quad V(e_k) = 0, \quad \text{for } k \geq 3.$$

Then it is clear that  $H_0$  is an unbounded self-adjoint operator in  $\mathcal{H}$  that does not commute with  $V$ . Let  $H_1 = H_0$ ,  $H_2 = H_0^2$ ,  $V_1 = V$ ,  $V_2 = V^2$ . Now it is easy to check that  $\mathbf{H}_2, \mathbf{V}_2$  and  $\mathbf{H}_2(t)$  satisfy Hypothesis 3.5.

2. Let  $H_0$  be an unbounded self-adjoint operator in  $\mathcal{H}$  with only discrete spectrum. Classical examples of such operator include Neumann Laplacian  $\Delta_N$ , and Dirichlet Laplacian  $\Delta_D$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$  with smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$  (see [9, Subsection 5.2]). Let  $\phi_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$  are bounded measurable functions on  $\mathbb{R}$  with compact support. Let  $f_i \in \mathcal{W}_1(\mathbb{R})$ . Set

$$H_i = f_i(H_0), \quad V_i = \phi_i(H_0), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

From functional calculus and the spectral theorem, it follows that  $\mathbf{H}_n, \mathbf{V}_n$ , and  $\mathbf{H}_n(t)$  satisfy Hypothesis 3.5,

In the following lemma, [6, Lemma 5.1] is generalized to multi-variable function.

**Lemma 3.7.** Let  $\mathbf{H}_n$  be a tuple of self-adjoint (unbounded) operators in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then for  $f \in \mathcal{W}_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

$$T_f^{H_1, \dots, H_n} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{f}(\vec{t}) e^{iH_1 t_1} \dots e^{iH_n t_n} d\vec{t} \quad (3.1)$$

is a bounded linear operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ , and the integral in the right hand side is a Bochner integral and its convergence is understood in strong operator topology. Moreover, if  $\mathbf{H}_n \in \text{Com}_n$ , then  $f(\mathbf{H}_n) = T_f^{H_1, \dots, H_n}$ . Furthermore, if we assume  $\mathbf{H}_n$  is a tuple of bounded self-adjoint operators, then above integral (3.1) converges in operator norm.

*Proof.* Since  $f, \widehat{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , so by Fourier inversion we have

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{f}(\vec{t}) e^{ix_1 t_1} \dots e^{ix_n t_n} d\vec{t}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a_1(x_1, \vec{t}) \cdots a_n(x_n, \vec{t}) \widehat{f}(\vec{t}) d\vec{t} \quad (3.2)$$

where,  $a_k(x_k, \vec{t}) = e^{ix_k t_k}$ , for  $1 \leq k \leq n$  and  $d\nu(\vec{t}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \widehat{f}(\vec{t}) d\vec{t}$ . Then for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ ,

$$a_k(\cdot, \vec{t}) : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

are bounded measurable functions such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{k=1}^n \|a_k(\cdot, \vec{t})\|_{\infty} d|\nu|(\vec{t}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\widehat{f}(\vec{t})| d\vec{t} < \infty.$$

Hence by [44, Subsection 4.3],  $T_f^{H_1, \dots, H_n}$  is well defined and

$$\left\| T_f^{H_1, \dots, H_n} \right\| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{k=1}^n \|a_k(\cdot, \vec{t})\|_{\infty} d|\nu|(\vec{t}).$$

Suppose the tuple  $\mathbf{H}_n$  is not necessarily bounded operators, then the functions  $t_k \mapsto e^{iH_k t_k}$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n$  are strongly continuous. Therefore the integral (3.1) converges in strong operator topology.

Assume  $\mathbf{H}_n \in \text{Com}_n$ . Now from (2.1) and (3.2), for all  $h, k \in \mathcal{H}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f(\mathbf{H}_n)h, k \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x_1, \dots, x_n) d \langle E(x_1, \dots, x_n)h, k \rangle \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a_1(x_1, \vec{t}) \cdots a_n(x_n, \vec{t}) d\nu(\vec{t}) \right) d \langle E(x_1, \dots, x_n)h, k \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Now since  $d \langle E(x_1, \dots, x_n)h, k \rangle$  has a finite total variation, so by Fubini's theorem (3.3) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a_1(x_1, \vec{t}) \cdots a_n(x_n, \vec{t}) d \langle E(x_1, \dots, x_n)h, k \rangle \right) d\nu(\vec{t}) \\ &= \left\langle T_f^{H_1, \dots, H_n} h, k \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof for the unbounded case. Whenever, the tuple  $\mathbf{H}_n$  consists of bounded operators, then the integral can be evaluated in uniform operator topology since the functions  $t_k \mapsto e^{iH_k t_k}$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n$  are uniformly continuous.  $\square$

We now state the following lemma, which provides the expression of  $f(\mathbf{H}_n(1)) - f(\mathbf{H}_n)$  for a pair of tuple  $(\mathbf{H}_n(1), \mathbf{H}_n)$  of commuting self-adjoint operators with perturbation allowed to be in the normed ideal  $\mathcal{I}$ .

**Lemma 3.8.** *Assume Hypothesis 3.1 and 3.3, then for  $f \in \mathcal{W}_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$*

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{H}_n(1)) - f(\mathbf{H}_n) &= \frac{i}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^{t_1} \widehat{f}(\vec{t}) e^{i(t_1-s)(H_1+V_1)} V_1 e^{isH_1} e^{it_2(H_2+V_2)} \cdots e^{it_n(H_n+V_n)} ds d\vec{t} + \\ &\frac{i}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^{t_2} \widehat{f}(\vec{t}) e^{it_1 H_1} e^{i(t_2-s)(H_2+V_2)} V_2 e^{isH_2} e^{it_3(H_3+V_3)} \cdots e^{it_n(H_n+V_n)} ds d\vec{t} + \cdots + \\ &\frac{i}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^{t_n} \widehat{f}(\vec{t}) e^{it_1 H_1} \cdots e^{it_{n-1} H_{n-1}} e^{i(t_n-s)(H_n+V_n)} V_n e^{isH_n} ds d\vec{t}, \end{aligned}$$

where the Bochner integrals are evaluated in the ideal norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $f \in \mathcal{W}_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  so,

$$\begin{aligned} & f(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) - f(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \\ &= (f(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) - f(\mu_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)) + \dots + (f(\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_{n-1}, \lambda_n) - f(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)) \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{f}(\vec{t}) (e^{i\lambda_1 t_1} - e^{i\mu_1 t_1}) e^{i\lambda_2 t_2} \dots e^{i\lambda_n t_n} d\vec{t} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{f}(\vec{t}) e^{i\mu_1 t_1} (e^{i\lambda_2 t_2} - e^{i\mu_2 t_2}) \dots e^{i\lambda_n t_n} d\vec{t} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{f}(\vec{t}) e^{i\mu_1 t_1} \dots e^{i\mu_{n-1} t_{n-1}} (e^{i\lambda_n t_n} - e^{i\mu_n t_n}) d\vec{t} \right). \end{aligned}$$

As  $H_k = H_k^* \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $\lambda_k, \mu_k \in \mathbb{R}$  so by functional calculus we have

$$\|e^{i\lambda_k H_k} - e^{i\mu_k H_k}\| \leq |\lambda_k - \mu_k| \cdot \|H_k\|.$$

Hence we have Duhamel's formula [6, Lemma 5.2]

$$e^{i\lambda_k(H_k+V_k)} - e^{i\lambda_k H_k} = i \int_0^{\lambda_k} e^{i(\lambda_k-s)(H_k+V_k)} V_k e^{isH_k} ds,$$

where the integral converges in  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ . Since the function  $s \mapsto e^{i(\lambda_k-s)(H_k+V_k)} V_k e^{isH_k}$  is uniformly continuous in the ideal norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}$  the function

$$(s, \vec{t}) \mapsto e^{it_1 H_1} \dots e^{it_{k-1} H_{k-1}} e^{i(t_k-s)(H_k+V_k)} V_k e^{isH_k} e^{it_{k+1}(H_{k+1}+V_{k+1})} \dots e^{it_n(H_n+V_n)}$$

is uniformly continuous in  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}$  for all  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . Since  $f \in \mathcal{W}_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , by the spectral theorem and Fourier inversion,  $T_f^{H_1, H_2+V_2, \dots, H_n+V_n}$  is well-defined. Hence by Duhamel's formula,

$$\begin{aligned} & f(\mathbf{H}_n(1)) - T_f^{H_1, H_2+V_2, \dots, H_n+V_n} \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{f}(\vec{t}) (e^{it_1(H_1+V_1)} - e^{it_1 H_1}) e^{it_2(H_2+V_2)} \dots e^{it_n(H_n+V_n)} d\vec{t} \\ &= \frac{i}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^{t_1} \widehat{f}(\vec{t}) e^{i(t_1-s)(H_1+V_1)} V_1 e^{isH_1} e^{it_2(H_2+V_2)} \dots e^{it_n(H_n+V_n)} ds d\vec{t}, \quad (3.4) \end{aligned}$$

where this double integral converges in  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ . Since,

$$\begin{aligned} & f(\mathbf{H}_n(1)) - f(\mathbf{H}_n) = f(\mathbf{H}_n(1)) - T_f^{H_1, H_2+V_2, \dots, H_n+V_n} \\ & \quad + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \left( T_f^{H_1, \dots, H_{k-1}, H_k+V_k, \dots, H_n+V_n} - T_f^{H_1, \dots, H_k, H_{k+1}+V_{k+1}, \dots, H_n+V_n} \right) \\ & \quad + T_f^{H_1, \dots, H_{n-1}, H_n+V_n} - f(\mathbf{H}_n). \quad (3.5) \end{aligned}$$

Now employing the same technique as in (3.4) to the other differences in (3.5) we conclude the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 3.9.** *It is surprising to note that the above Lemma holds also for  $H_i = H_i^*$ , not necessarily bounded, but with an appropriate choice of von Neumann algebra factor  $\mathcal{B}$  and the ideal  $\mathcal{I}$ . Indeed, if  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H})$ , then in case of  $H_i$  unbounded, the function  $s \mapsto e^{i(\lambda_k-s)(H_k+V_k)} V_k e^{isH_k}$  is strongly continuous. So  $s \mapsto e^{i(\lambda_k-s)(H_k+V_k)} V_k e^{isH_k}$  is uniformly continuous*

in the trace class norm  $\|\cdot\|_1$ , for  $s \in [0, 1]$ . As a result, Lemma 3.8 does hold by assuming Hypothesis 3.5.

**Lemma 3.10.** *Assume Hypothesis 3.1 and 3.3. Then for  $f \in \mathcal{W}_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=t_0} f(\mathbf{H}_n(t)) \\ &= \frac{i}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^{t_1} \widehat{f}(\vec{t}) e^{i(t_1-s)(H_1+t_0V_1)} V_1 e^{is(H_1+t_0V_1)} e^{it_2(H_2+t_0V_2)} \dots e^{it_n(H_n+t_0V_n)} ds d\vec{t} \\ &+ \frac{i}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^{t_2} \widehat{f}(\vec{t}) e^{it_1(H_1+t_0V_1)} e^{i(t_2-s)(H_2+t_0V_2)} V_2 e^{is(H_2+t_0V_2)} e^{it_3(H_3+t_0V_3)} \dots e^{it_n(H_n+t_0V_n)} ds d\vec{t} \\ &+ \dots + \frac{i}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^{t_n} \widehat{f}(\vec{t}) e^{it_1(H_1+t_0V_1)} \dots e^{it_{n-1}(H_{n-1}+t_0V_{n-1})} e^{i(t_n-s)(H_n+t_0V_n)} V_n e^{is(H_n+t_0V_n)} ds d\vec{t}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* As in Lemma 3.8, by Duhamel's formula we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{f(\mathbf{H}_n(t) - f(\mathbf{H}_n(t_0)))}{t - t_0} \\ &= \frac{i}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^{t_1} \widehat{f}(\vec{t}) e^{i(t_1-s)(H_1+tV_1)} V_1 e^{is(H_1+t_0V_1)} e^{it_2(H_2+tV_2)} \dots e^{it_n(H_n+tV_n)} ds d\vec{t} \\ &+ \frac{i}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^{t_2} \widehat{f}(\vec{t}) e^{it_1(H_1+t_0V_1)} e^{i(t_2-s)(H_2+tV_2)} V_2 e^{is(H_2+t_0V_2)} e^{it_3(H_3+tV_3)} \dots e^{it_n(H_n+tV_n)} ds d\vec{t} \\ &+ \dots + \frac{i}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^{t_n} \widehat{f}(\vec{t}) e^{it_1(H_1+t_0V_1)} \dots e^{it_{n-1}(H_{n-1}+t_0V_{n-1})} e^{i(t_n-s)(H_n+tV_n)} V_n e^{is(H_n+t_0V_n)} ds d\vec{t}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Since for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ ,

$$\left\| e^{i(t_k-s)(H_k+tV_k)} - e^{i(t_k-s)(H_k+t_0V_k)} \right\| \leq |t - t_0| \cdot |t_k - s| \cdot \|V_k\|.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{t \rightarrow t_0} e^{i(t_1-s)(H_1+tV_1)} V_1 e^{is(H_1+t_0V_1)} e^{it_2(H_2+tV_2)} \dots e^{it_n(H_n+tV_n)} \\ &= e^{i(t_1-s)(H_1+t_0V_1)} V_1 e^{is(H_1+t_0V_1)} e^{it_2(H_2+t_0V_2)} \dots e^{it_n(H_n+t_0V_n)} \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

for all  $(t_1, s) \in \{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \text{sign}(\lambda_1) = \text{sign}(\lambda_2), |\lambda_2| \leq |\lambda_1|\}$ , which is evaluated in the ideal norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ . Now from symmetric property of the ideal norm we have

$$\|\widehat{f}(\vec{t}) e^{i(t_1-s)(H_1+tV_1)} V_1 e^{is(H_1+t_0V_1)} e^{it_2(H_2+tV_2)} \dots e^{it_n(H_n+tV_n)}\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leq |\widehat{f}(\vec{t})| \|V_1\|_{\mathcal{I}}.$$

We also have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^{t_1} |\widehat{f}(\vec{t})| \|V_1\|_{\mathcal{I}} ds d\vec{t} \leq \|V_1\|_{\mathcal{I}} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |t_1 \widehat{f}(\vec{t})| d\vec{t} = \|V_1\|_{\mathcal{I}} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \frac{\widehat{\partial f}}{\partial x_1} \right| d\vec{t} < \infty.$$

Hence by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem for Bochner integrals we have the desired result for the first integral in (3.6). And the same method is applied to other integrals too in (3.6). This proves our lemma.  $\square$

**Remark 3.11.** Repeating of the reasoning in the Remark 3.9, Lemma 3.10 also holds by assuming Hypothesis 3.5, when  $V_k \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H})$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ .

The following lemmas are building blocks for the proof of the existence of the spectral shift measure and the trace formula.

**Lemma 3.12.** Assume Hypothesis 3.1 and 3.3, or Hypothesis 3.5. Then for  $f \in \mathcal{W}_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

$$\tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=t_0} f(\mathbf{H}_n(t)) \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=t_0} \tau_{\mathcal{I}}(f(\mathbf{H}_n(t)) - f(\mathbf{H}_n)).$$

*Proof.* We provide a proof only by assuming Hypothesis 3.1 and 3.3. The proof by assuming Hypothesis 3.5 is completely analogous. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=t_0} \tau_{\mathcal{I}}(f(\mathbf{H}_n(t)) - f(\mathbf{H}_n)) \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow t_0} \frac{\tau_{\mathcal{I}}(f(\mathbf{H}_n(t)) - f(\mathbf{H}_n(t_0)))}{t - t_0} \\ &= \frac{i}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \lim_{t \rightarrow t_0} \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^{t_1} \widehat{f}(\vec{t}) e^{i(t_1-s)(H_1+tV_1)} V_1 e^{is(H_1+t_0V_1)} e^{it_2(H_2+tV_2)} \dots e^{it_n(H_n+tV_n)} ds d\vec{t} \right. \\ & \quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^{t_2} \widehat{f}(\vec{t}) e^{it_1(H_1+t_0V_1)} e^{i(t_2-s)(H_2+tV_2)} V_2 e^{is(H_2+t_0V_2)} e^{it_3(H_3+tV_3)} \dots e^{it_n(H_n+tV_n)} ds d\vec{t} \\ & \quad \left. + \dots + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^{t_n} \widehat{f}(\vec{t}) e^{it_1(H_1+t_0V_1)} \dots e^{it_{n-1}(H_{n-1}+t_0V_{n-1})} e^{i(t_n-s)(H_n+tV_n)} V_n e^{is(H_n+t_0V_n)} ds d\vec{t} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Since all the integrals in (3.8) are Bochner integrable and  $\tau_{\mathcal{I}}$  is a positive linear functional so (3.8) is same as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{i}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \lim_{t \rightarrow t_0} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^{t_1} \widehat{f}(\vec{t}) \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( e^{i(t_1-s)(H_1+tV_1)} V_1 e^{is(H_1+t_0V_1)} e^{it_2(H_2+tV_2)} \dots e^{it_n(H_n+tV_n)} \right) ds d\vec{t} \right. \\ & \quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^{t_2} \widehat{f}(\vec{t}) \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( e^{it_1(H_1+t_0V_1)} e^{i(t_2-s)(H_2+tV_2)} V_2 e^{is(H_2+t_0V_2)} e^{it_3(H_3+tV_3)} \dots e^{it_n(H_n+tV_n)} \right) ds d\vec{t} \\ & \quad \left. + \dots + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^{t_n} \widehat{f}(\vec{t}) \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( e^{it_1(H_1+t_0V_1)} \dots e^{it_{n-1}(H_{n-1}+t_0V_{n-1})} e^{i(t_n-s)(H_n+tV_n)} V_n e^{is(H_n+t_0V_n)} \right) ds d\vec{t} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Now  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}$  boundedness of the trace  $\tau_{\mathcal{I}}$  and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem for Bochner integral provides (3.9) as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{i}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^{t_1} \widehat{f}(\vec{t}) e^{i(t_1-s)(H_1+t_0V_1)} V_1 e^{is(H_1+t_0V_1)} e^{it_2(H_2+t_0V_2)} \dots e^{it_n(H_n+t_0V_n)} ds d\vec{t} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^{t_2} \widehat{f}(\vec{t}) e^{it_1(H_1+t_0V_1)} e^{i(t_2-s)(H_2+t_0V_2)} V_2 e^{is(H_2+t_0V_2)} e^{it_3(H_3+t_0V_3)} \dots e^{it_n(H_n+t_0V_n)} ds d\vec{t} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \cdots + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^{t_n} \widehat{f}(\vec{t}) e^{it_1(H_1+t_0V_1)} \cdots e^{it_{n-1}(H_{n-1}+t_0V_{n-1})} e^{i(t_n-s)(H_n+t_0V_n)} V_n e^{is(H_n+t_0V_n)} ds d\vec{t} \Big). \quad (3.10)$$

Now from Lemma 3.10, (3.10) reduces to

$$\tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=t_0} f(\mathbf{H}_n(t)) \right),$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.13.** [43, Lemma 3.5] *Let  $n, N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $(\delta_i)_{1 \leq i \leq N}$  be a partition of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and let  $E$  be a spectral measure on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . If  $V \in \mathcal{I}$ , then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^N |\tau_{\mathcal{I}}(E(\delta_i)V)| \leq \tau_{\mathcal{I}}(|\operatorname{Re}(V)| + |\operatorname{Im}(V)|).$$

The trace of a derivative of a multi-valued operator function can be written via partial derivatives of  $f$ .

**Theorem 3.14.** *Assume Hypothesis 3.1 and 3.3. Then for every  $f \in \mathcal{W}_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,*

$$\tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=t_0} f(\mathbf{H}_n(t)) \right) = \sum_{j=1}^n \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{H}_n(t_0)) V_j \right) \quad (3.11)$$

and

$$\left| \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{H}_n(t_0)) V_j \right) \right| \leq \tau_{\mathcal{I}}(|\operatorname{Re}(V_j)| + |\operatorname{Im}(V_j)|) \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}. \quad (3.12)$$

*Proof.* Lemma 3.8 provides

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=t_0} f(\mathbf{H}_n(t)) \right) \\ &= \frac{i}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^{t_1} \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( e^{i(t_1-s)(H_1+t_0V_1)} V_1 e^{is(H_1+t_0V_1)} e^{it_2(H_2+t_0V_2)} \cdots e^{it_n(H_n+t_0V_n)} \right) \widehat{f}(\vec{t}) ds d\vec{t} \right. \\ & \quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^{t_2} \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( e^{it_1(H_1+t_0V_1)} e^{i(t_2-s)(H_2+t_0V_2)} V_2 e^{is(H_2+t_0V_2)} e^{it_3(H_3+t_0V_3)} \cdots e^{it_n(H_n+t_0V_n)} \right) \widehat{f}(\vec{t}) ds d\vec{t} \\ & \quad \left. + \cdots + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^{t_n} \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( e^{it_1(H_1+t_0V_1)} \cdots e^{it_{n-1}(H_{n-1}+t_0V_{n-1})} e^{i(t_n-s)(H_n+t_0V_n)} V_n e^{is(H_n+t_0V_n)} \right) \widehat{f}(\vec{t}) ds d\vec{t} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Now cyclicity of trace  $\tau_{\mathcal{I}}$  and the pairwise commutativity of  $H_1(t), \dots, H_n(t)$  we obtain (3.13) as,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{i}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^{t_1} \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( e^{it_1(H_1+t_0V_1)} V_1 e^{it_2(H_2+t_0V_2)} \cdots e^{it_n(H_n+t_0V_n)} \right) \widehat{f}(\vec{t}) ds d\vec{t} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^{t_2} \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( e^{it_1(H_1+t_0V_1)} e^{it_2(H_2+t_0V_2)} V_2 e^{it_3(H_3+t_0V_3)} \cdots e^{it_n(H_n+t_0V_n)} \right) \widehat{f}(\vec{t}) ds d\vec{t} \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \cdots + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^{t_n} \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( e^{it_1(H_1+t_0V_1)} \cdots e^{it_{n-1}(H_{n-1}+t_0V_{n-1})} e^{it_n(H_n+t_0V_n)} V_n \right) \widehat{f}(\vec{t}) ds d\vec{t} \Big) \\
& = \frac{i}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^{t_j} \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( \prod_{k=1}^n (e^{it_k(H_k+t_0V_k)} V_j) \right) \widehat{f}(\vec{t}) ds d\vec{t} \right) \\
& = \frac{i}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \prod_{k=1}^n e^{it_k(H_k+t_0V_k)} \right) t_j \widehat{f}(\vec{t}) d\vec{t} V_j \right) \\
& = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \prod_{k=1}^n e^{it_k(H_k+t_0V_k)} \right) \widehat{\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}}(\vec{t}) d\vec{t} V_j \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 3.7 we obtain

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \prod_{k=1}^n e^{it_k(H_k+t_0V_k)} \right) \widehat{\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}}(\vec{t}) d\vec{t} V_j \right) = \sum_{j=1}^n \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{H}_n(t_0)) V_j \right).$$

This completes the proof of (3.11). Now we will complete the proof of (3.12).

Since  $\mathbf{H}_n(t) \in \text{Com}_n$  is tuple of self-adjoint operators then by joint spectral theorem,

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{H}_n(t)) = \int_{\sigma(\mathbf{H}_n)} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(x_1, \dots, x_n) dE_t(x_1, \dots, x_n) \quad (3.14)$$

where,  $E_t$  is the joint spectral measure. Then for every  $1 \leq k \leq n$  there exists a sequence of Borel partition  $(\delta_{m,k,\alpha_k})_{1 \leq \alpha_k \leq m}$  of  $\Omega$  and a sequence of tuple of real numbers  $(x_{m,k,\alpha_k})_{1 \leq \alpha_k \leq m}$  in  $\Omega$  such that

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{H}_n(t)) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \leq m} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(x_{m,1,\alpha_1}, \dots, x_{m,n,\alpha_n}) E_t(\delta_{m,1,\alpha_1} \times \cdots \times \delta_{m,n,\alpha_n}) \quad (3.15)$$

exists in the uniform operator topology. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{H}_n(t)) V_j \right) \\
& = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \leq m} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(x_{m,1,\alpha_1}, \dots, x_{m,n,\alpha_n}) \tau_{\mathcal{I}} (E_t(\delta_{m,1,\alpha_1} \times \cdots \times \delta_{m,n,\alpha_n}) V_j).
\end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

Note that from the above representation (3.16) along with the Lemma 3.13 we immediately have the bound

$$\left| \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{H}_n(t)) V_j \right) \right| \leq \tau_{\mathcal{I}} (|\text{Re}(V_j)| + |\text{Im}(V_j)|) \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}.$$

□

The following theorem establishes the analogous result of Theorem 3.14 by relaxing the boundedness of the tuple  $\mathbf{H}_n(t)$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

**Theorem 3.15.** *Assume Hypothesis 3.5, that is, let  $\mathbf{H}_n(t)$  be a tuple of self-adjoint, not necessarily bounded operators affiliated to  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , for all  $t \in [0, 1]$  and  $V_j = V_j^* \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H})$ , for all  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Then for  $f \in \mathcal{W}_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,*

$$\mathrm{Tr} \left( \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=t_0} f(\mathbf{H}_n(t)) \right) = \sum_{j=1}^n \mathrm{Tr} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{H}_n(t_0)) V_j \right) \quad (3.17)$$

and

$$\left| \mathrm{Tr} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{H}_n(t_0)) V_j \right) \right| \leq \mathrm{Tr} (|\mathrm{Re}(V_j)| + |\mathrm{Im}(V_j)|) \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}. \quad (3.18)$$

*Proof.* A few crucial points distinguish this proof from the proof of Theorem 3.14. Note that because of unboundedness of operators  $\mathbf{H}_n(t)$  the function  $s \mapsto e^{i(t_k-s)(H_k+t_0V_k)}$  is strongly continuous but not uniformly continuous, which creates the problem to work with an arbitrary ideal  $\mathcal{I}$ . But here  $\mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H})$  plays an significant role to resolve this issue since whenever  $V_k \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H})$  then the map  $s \mapsto e^{i(t_k-s)(H_k+t_0V_k)} V_k$  is uniformly continuous in  $\|\cdot\|_1$ . This justifies the argument (3.13). And the second one is that since  $\mathbf{H}_n(t) \in \mathrm{Com}_n$ , so by [41, Theorem VIII.13] the operators  $e^{it_k(H_k+tV_k)}$  and  $e^{it_j(H_j+tV_j)}$  also commute. With the exception of these two points, the proof is the same as the proof of Theorem 3.15.  $\square$

The following corollary represents the integral representation for the trace of the Taylor remainder.

**Corollary 3.16.** *Assume Hypothesis 3.1 and 3.3 or Hypothesis 3.5. Then for every  $f \in \mathcal{W}_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$*

$$\tau_{\mathcal{I}}(f(\mathbf{H}_n(1)) - f(\mathbf{H}_n)) = \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} \tau_{\mathcal{I}}(f(\mathbf{H}_n(t)) - f(\mathbf{H}_n)) dt = \int_0^1 \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( \frac{d}{dt} f(\mathbf{H}_n(t)) \right) dt.$$

*Proof.* We provide a proof only by assuming Hypothesis 3.1 and 3.3; the proof by assuming Hypothesis 3.5 is a verbatim repetition. We begin the proof by showing the following function

$$t \mapsto \frac{d}{dt} \tau_{\mathcal{I}}(f(\mathbf{H}_n(t)) - f(\mathbf{H}_n))$$

is continuous for any  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Consider

$$g(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \tau_{\mathcal{I}}(f(\mathbf{H}_n(t)) - f(\mathbf{H}_n)). \quad (3.19)$$

Now,

$$g(t+\epsilon) - g(t) = \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=t+\epsilon} \tau_{\mathcal{I}}(f(\mathbf{H}_n(s)) - f(\mathbf{H}_n)) - \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=t} \tau_{\mathcal{I}}(f(\mathbf{H}_n(s)) - f(\mathbf{H}_n)).$$

Upon applying Lemma 3.12 we obtain,

$$g(t+\epsilon) - g(t) = \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=t+\epsilon} f(\mathbf{H}_n(s)) - \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=t} f(\mathbf{H}_n(s)) \right). \quad (3.20)$$

By Theorem 3.14, (3.20) is reduced to

$$\tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{H}_n(t+\epsilon))V_j - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{H}_n(t))V_j \right). \quad (3.21)$$

Consider the case for  $j = 1$  in (3.21); then other cases will follow similarly. Using Lemma 3.8 we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(\mathbf{H}_n(t+\epsilon))V_1 - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(\mathbf{H}_n(t))V_1 \right) \\ &= \epsilon \cdot \frac{i}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^{t_1} \widehat{f}(\vec{t}) e^{i(t_1-s)(H_1+(t+\epsilon)V_1)} V_1 e^{is(H_1+tV_1)} e^{it_2(H_2+(t+\epsilon)V_2)} \dots e^{it_n(H_n+(t+\epsilon)V_n)} ds d\vec{t} \right. \\ & \quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^{t_2} \widehat{f}(\vec{t}) e^{it_1(H_1+tV_1)} e^{i(t_2-s)(H_2+(t+\epsilon)V_2)} V_2 e^{is(H_2+tV_2)} \dots e^{it_n(H_n+(t+\epsilon)V_n)} ds d\vec{t} \\ & \quad \left. + \dots + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^{t_n} \widehat{f}(\vec{t}) e^{it_1(H_1+tV_1)} \dots e^{it_{n-1}(H_{n-1}+tV_{n-1})} e^{i(t_n-s)(H_n+(t+\epsilon)V_n)} V_n e^{is(H_n+tV_n)} ds d\vec{t} \right) V_1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

Now the symmetric property of the normed ideal  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}$  ensures the the following estimate of (3.22),

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(\mathbf{H}_n(t+\epsilon))V_1 - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(\mathbf{H}_n(t))V_1 \right\|_{\mathcal{I}} \\ & \leq \|V_1\| \cdot \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(\mathbf{H}_n(t+\epsilon)) - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(\mathbf{H}_n(t)) \right\|_{\mathcal{I}} \\ & \leq \epsilon \|V_1\| \cdot \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \|V_k\|_{\mathcal{I}} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |t_k \widehat{f}(\vec{t})| dt \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

which proves the continuity for the case  $j = 1$  in (3.21). The similar reasoning proves the other cases for  $2 \leq j \leq n$ . Hence the continuity of the function  $g(t)$  in (3.19) is proved. Now the continuity of  $g(t)$  and Lemma 3.12 concludes the proof.  $\square$

Our main theorem in this section is the following.

**Theorem 3.17.** *Assume Hypothesis 3.1 and 3.3. Then for every  $f \in \mathcal{W}_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  there exist measures  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n$  on  $\Omega$  such that for every  $1 \leq j \leq n$ ,*

$$\|\mu_j\| \leq \tau_{\mathcal{I}}(|\operatorname{Re}(V_j)| + |\operatorname{Im}(V_j)|) \quad (3.24)$$

and

$$\tau_{\mathcal{I}}(f(\mathbf{H}_n(1)) - f(\mathbf{H}_n)) = \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(x_1, \dots, x_n) d\mu_j(x_1, \dots, x_n). \quad (3.25)$$

*Proof.* Combining the results of Corollary 3.16 and Theorem 3.14, we obtain

$$\tau_{\mathcal{I}}(f(\mathbf{H}_n(1)) - f(\mathbf{H}_n)) = \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^1 \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{H}_n(t))V_j \right) dt \quad (3.26)$$

Consider the collection of linear functionals,

$$\phi_j : \mathcal{A} = \left\{ c \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} : f \in \mathcal{W}_1(\mathbb{R}^n) \right\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \quad (3.27)$$

for all  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , defined by

$$\phi_j \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right) = \int_0^1 \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{H}_n(t)) V_j \right) dt. \quad (3.28)$$

Now Theorem 3.14 ensures the following estimate of (3.28),

$$\left| \phi_j \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right) \right| \leq \tau_{\mathcal{I}}(|\operatorname{Re}(V_j)| + |\operatorname{Im}(V_j)|) \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}. \quad (3.29)$$

for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . We have just seen that (3.29) it is bounded with respect to the supremum norm in  $C_0(\Omega)$ . By extending continuously to the closure and employing the Hahn-Banach theorem, if necessary, we get a bounded linear functional on  $C_0(\Omega)$  that extends the map (3.27). By the Riesz-representation theorem, these maps arises as an integration against a complex finite Borel measure  $\mu_j$  associated to each bounded linear functional  $\phi_j$  in (3.27) respectively such that

$$\|\phi_j\|_\infty = \|\mu_j\| \leq \tau_{\mathcal{I}}(|\operatorname{Re}(V_j)| + |\operatorname{Im}(V_j)|),$$

and

$$\phi_j \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right) = \int_\Omega \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(x_1, \dots, x_n) d\mu_j(x_1, \dots, x_n). \quad (3.30)$$

Now (3.30) along with (3.28) and (3.26) proves (3.25). This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

The following corollary provides a sufficient condition for the non-negativity of the measures  $\mu_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$  in Theorem 3.17.

**Corollary 3.18.** *Assume Hypothesis 3.1 and 3.3, and assume  $V_j \geq 0$ , for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , then for every  $f \in \mathcal{W}_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , satisfying  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \geq 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ ,*

$$\tau_{\mathcal{I}}(f(\mathbf{H}_n(1)) - f(\mathbf{H}_n)) \geq 0. \quad (3.31)$$

*Proof.* Since  $V_j \geq 0$  and  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \geq 0$  for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , from (3.16) we obtain,

$$\tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{H}_n(t)) V_j \right) \geq 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n, \quad (3.32)$$

for  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Now from (3.26) we conclude (3.31). Hence, by applying (3.25), the measures  $\mu_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$  constructed in Theorem 3.17 are non-negative.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.19.** *Assume Hypothesis 3.1 and 3.3. If  $\tau_{\mathcal{I}}(|\operatorname{Re}(V_j)| + |\operatorname{Im}(V_j)|) = 0$ , for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , then Theorem 3.17 holds with  $\mu_j = 0$ , for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ .*

*Proof.* Based on the estimate (3.24) for the total variation of measures  $\mu_j$ , this result follows immediately.  $\square$

The following theorem establishes the analogous result mentioned in Theorem 3.17 by relaxing the boundedness of the tuple  $\mathbf{H}_n(t)$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$  with Hypothesis 3.5.

**Theorem 3.20.** *Let  $\mathbf{H}_n(t)$  be a tuple of self-adjoint, commuting, (possibly unbounded) operators, for all  $t \in [0, 1]$  and  $V_j = V_j^* \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H})$ , for all  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Then for  $f \in \mathcal{W}_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , there exist measures  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n$  on  $\Omega$  such that for every  $1 \leq j \leq n$ ,*

$$\|\mu_j\| \leq \text{Tr}(|\text{Re}(V_j)| + |\text{Im}(V_j)|) \quad (3.33)$$

and

$$\text{Tr}(f(\mathbf{H}_n(1)) - f(\mathbf{H}_n)) = \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(x_1, \dots, x_n) d\mu_j(x_1, \dots, x_n). \quad (3.34)$$

*Proof.* Based on Theorem 3.15, we prove the results analogously as in Theorem 3.17.  $\square$

**Remark 3.21.** *Note that the Schwartz class  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is contained in  $\mathcal{W}_1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap B_{\infty,1}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Therefore, the above Theorem 3.20 answers Problem 1.3 of Aleksandrov, Peller, and Potapov corresponding to the class  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .*

We now prove the linearization formula (1.7), in the context of normed ideal linked with a singular trace, where the commutativity assumption on  $\mathbf{H}_n(t)$  for  $t \in (0, 1)$  is relaxed.

**Hypothesis 3.22.** *Assume Hypothesis 3.1 and also assume  $\tau_{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{I}^2) = 0$ .*

**Example 3.23.** *The model  $(\mathfrak{B} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}), \mathcal{I} = \mathcal{L}^{(1,\infty)}, \tau_{\mathcal{I}} = \text{Tr}_{\omega})$  satisfy above Hypothesis 3.22. For justification, see [21, Proposition 2.6].*

**Theorem 3.24.** *Assume Hypotheses 3.22 and 3.3. Then for  $f \in \mathcal{W}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,*

$$\tau_{\mathcal{I}}(f(\mathbf{H}_n(1)) - f(\mathbf{H}_n)) = \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} T_f^{H_1(t), \dots, H_n(t)} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^n \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{H}_n) V_j \right), \quad (3.35)$$

and there exist measures  $\mu_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$  such that (3.24) and (3.25) holds.

*Proof.* Consider  $f \in \mathcal{W}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then by Lemma 3.8, 3.10, Duhamel's formula and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem for Bochner integral, one can verify that

$$\left( f(\mathbf{H}_n(1)) - f(\mathbf{H}_n) - \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} T_f^{H_1(t), \dots, H_n(t)} \right) \in \mathcal{I}^2.$$

Now by assumption, the trace of the later equals to zero. It was already established in Theorem 3.14 that

$$\tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} T_f^{H_1(t), \dots, H_n(t)} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^n \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{H}_n) V_j \right).$$

Hence, we have

$$\tau_{\mathcal{I}}(f(\mathbf{H}_n(1)) - f(\mathbf{H}_n)) = \sum_{j=1}^n \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{H}_n) V_j \right).$$

Thus by an analogous reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 3.17 we complete the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 3.25.** *This section aims to find the most general class of functions, for which the first-order trace formula (I) holds for both bounded and unbounded self-adjoint operators. For instance, if  $\mathbf{H}_n(t)$  is a tuple of commuting bounded self-adjoint operators then the trace formula (I) holds for every  $f \in \mathcal{W}_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  in an arbitrary normed ideal  $\mathcal{I}$ . But whenever  $\mathbf{H}_n(t)$  is a tuple of commuting, not necessarily bounded, self-adjoint operators then the trace formula (I) also holds for  $\mathcal{W}_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  but not in an arbitrary normed ideal  $\mathcal{I}$ , it holds for the trace class operators  $\mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H})$  with the standard trace  $\text{Tr}$ .*

#### 4. KREIN TRACE FORMULA FOR UNITARY OPERATORS

The purpose of this section is to give a taste of the Krein trace formula for a tuple of commuting unitary operators. We continue our work with a normed ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  of a  $\sigma$ -finite, semi-finite von Neumann algebra factor  $\mathfrak{B}$ , with the ideal norm denoted  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ , and endowed with a trace  $\tau_{\mathcal{I}} : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  that is positive. In this section we assume the following.

**Hypothesis 4.1.** *Assume Hypothesis 3.1. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\mathbf{U}_n, \mathbf{T}_n$  be two tuples of operators such that  $U_i \in \mathfrak{B}, T_i = T_i^* \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $U_i$  are unitary operators for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Assume  $\mathbf{U}_n(t) \in \text{Com}_n$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ .*

**Example 4.2.** *Consider the model  $(\mathfrak{B}, \mathcal{I}, \tau_{\mathcal{I}})$  satisfying the Hypothesis 3.1.*

1. *Let  $U_0 \in \mathfrak{B}$  be an unitary, and let  $A \in \mathcal{I}$  be a self-adjoint operator such that  $U_0 e^{iA} \neq e^{iA} U_0$ . The existence of such operators is guaranteed by the factor property of  $\mathfrak{B}$ . Let  $\alpha_k \in \mathbb{T}, 1 \leq k \leq n$ . Set*

$$U_k = \alpha_k U_0, \quad T_k = A, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

*Then  $\mathbf{U}_n, \mathbf{T}_n$  and  $\mathbf{U}_n(t)$  satisfy Hypothesis 4.1.*

2. *Let  $A, B$  be to self-adjoint operators in  $\mathfrak{B}$  such that  $A \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $AB = BA$ . Suppose  $\phi_k, 1 \leq k \leq n$ , are measurable functions on  $\mathbb{T}$  of unit modulus. Let  $\alpha_k \in \mathbb{R}$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . Set*

$$U_k = \phi_k(B), \quad T_k = \alpha_k A, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

*Then  $\mathbf{U}_n, \mathbf{T}_n$  and  $\mathbf{U}_n(t)$  satisfy Hypothesis 4.1.*

Now assuming the above hypothesis we provide the following theorem, which extends the result of [21, Theorem 3.13] to multivariable operator functions.

**Theorem 4.3.** *Assume Hypothesis 4.1. Then there exist measures  $\mu_j, 1 \leq j \leq n$ , on  $\mathbb{T}^n$  such that*

$$\tau_{\mathcal{I}}(f(\mathbf{U}_n(1)) - f(\mathbf{U}_n)) = \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j}(z_1, \dots, z_n) d\mu_j(z_1, \dots, z_n), \quad (4.1)$$

*for every  $f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ , and*

$$\|\mu_j\| \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \|V_j\|_{\mathcal{I}}, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

*Proof.* As discussed in [21, Theorem 3.13] we have each  $T_k \in \mathcal{I}$  with

$$\|T_k\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \|V_k\|_{\mathcal{I}}. \quad (4.2)$$

Since  $\frac{d}{dt}U_k(t) = iT_kU_k(t)$ , and  $\frac{d}{dt}U_k^{-1}(t) = U_k^{-1}(t)(-iT_k)$ . Now by an application of telescopic sum we obtain,

$$\frac{d}{dt}f(\mathbf{U}_n(t)) = \sum_{\vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} \widehat{f}(\vec{k}) \left( \sum_{j=1}^n D_{U_j}^f(t) \right) + \sum_{\vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} -\widehat{f}(-\vec{k}) \left( \sum_{j=1}^n D_{U_j^{-1}}^f(t) \right), \quad (4.3)$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} D_{U_j}^f(t) &= \prod_{l=1}^{j-1} (U_l(t)^{k_l}) \frac{d}{dt}(U_j(t))^{k_j} \prod_{l=j+1}^n (U_l(t)^{k_l}) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{p_0^j, p_1^j \geq 0 \\ p_0^j + p_1^j = k_j - 1}} \prod_{l=1}^{j-1} (U_l(t)^{k_l}) U_j(t)^{p_0^j} i T_j U_j(t)^{p_1^j + 1} \prod_{l=j+1}^n (U_l(t)^{k_l}), \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} D_{U_j^{-1}}^f(t) &= \prod_{l=1}^{j-1} (U_l(t)^{-k_l}) \frac{d}{dt}(U_j(t))^{-k_j} \prod_{l=j+1}^n (U_l(t)^{-k_l}) \\ &= - \sum_{\substack{p_0^j, p_1^j \geq 0 \\ p_0^j + p_1^j = k_j - 1}} \prod_{l=1}^{j-1} (U_l(t)^{-k_l}) U_j(t)^{-p_0^j - 1} i T_j U_j(t)^{-p_1^j} \prod_{l=j+1}^n (U_l(t)^{-k_l}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Note that the series in (4.3) converges in  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ . Now the cyclicity of the trace and the pairwise commutativity of the operators  $H_1(t), \dots, H_n(t)$  implies,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( \frac{d}{dt}f(\mathbf{U}_n(t)) \right) &= i \sum_{\vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} \widehat{f}(\vec{k}) \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^n k_j \prod_{l=1}^n (U_l(t)^{k_l}) T_j \right) \\ &\quad + i \sum_{\vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} \widehat{f}(-\vec{k}) \left( \sum_{j=1}^n (-k_j) \prod_{l=1}^n (U_l(t)^{-k_l}) T_j \right) \\ &= \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( i \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \sum_{\vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^n} k_j \widehat{f}(\vec{k}) \prod_{\substack{l=1 \\ j \geq 2}}^{j-1} (U_l(t)^{k_l}) U_j(t)^{k_j - 1} \prod_{\substack{l=j+1 \\ j \leq n-1}}^n (U_l(t)^{k_l}) U_j(t) T_j \right) \right) \\ &= i \sum_{j=1}^n \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j}(\mathbf{U}_n(t)) U_j(t) T_j \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Now by (2.2) we have the following estimate,

$$\left| \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( \frac{d}{dt} f(\mathbf{U}_n(t)) \right) \right| \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \|\tau_{\mathcal{I}}\|_{\mathcal{I}^*} \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \|V_j\|_{\mathcal{I}} \cdot \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j} \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)} \right). \quad (4.7)$$

Duhamel's formula gives,

$$\|U_j(t)^k - U_j(t_0)^k\| \leq k |t - t_0| \cdot \|T_j\|, \quad \text{and} \quad \|U_j^{-k}(t) - U_j^{-k}(t_0)\| \leq k |t - t_0| \cdot \|T_j\|. \quad (4.8)$$

Therefore by employing (4.8) to  $\left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j}(\mathbf{U}_n(t))U_j(t) - \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j}(\mathbf{U}_n(t_0))U_j(t_0) \right)$  we obtain,

$$\left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j}(\mathbf{U}_n(t))U_j(t) - \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j}(\mathbf{U}_n(t_0))U_j(t_0) \right\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leq |t - t_0| \cdot \sum_{l=1}^n \left( \|T_l\|_{\mathcal{I}} \cdot \sum_{\vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |k_j k_l \hat{f}(\vec{k})| \right). \quad (4.9)$$

Hence for  $f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ , (4.9) implies that the function  $t \mapsto \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j}(\mathbf{U}_n(t))U_j(t)T_j$  is uniformly continuous in  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ . Hence the function,

$$t \mapsto \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( \frac{d}{dt} f(\mathbf{U}_n(t)) \right) = \sum_{j=1}^n \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j}(\mathbf{U}_n(t))U_j(t) i T_j \right)$$

is uniformly continuous. Now one can verify that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \tau_{\mathcal{I}} (f(\mathbf{U}_n(t)) - f(\mathbf{U}_n(0))) = \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( \frac{d}{dt} f(\mathbf{U}_n(t)) \right).$$

Therefore, by the fundamental theorem of calculus,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\mathcal{I}} (f(\mathbf{U}_n(1)) - f(\mathbf{U}_n)) &= \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} \tau_{\mathcal{I}} (f(\mathbf{U}_n(t)) - f(\mathbf{U}_n(0))) dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( \frac{d}{dt} f(\mathbf{U}_n(t)) \right) dt \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^1 \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j}(\mathbf{U}_n(t))U_j(t) i T_j \right) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

By the Riesz-Markov representation theorem for a bounded linear functional on the space of continuous functions on a compact set and by the Hahn-Banach theorem, we deduce from (4.10) and (4.7) existence of measures  $\mu_j$  on  $\mathbb{T}^n$  satisfying  $\|\mu_j\| \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \|V_j\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ , for  $1 \leq j \leq n$  and

$$\tau_{\mathcal{I}} (f(\mathbf{U}_n(1)) - f(\mathbf{U}_n)) = \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j}(z_1, \dots, z_n) d\mu_j(z_1, \dots, z_n).$$

□

## 5. KOPLIENKO TRACE FORMULA FOR SELF-ADJOINT OPERATORS

The goal of this section is to establish the Koplienko trace formula for a tuple of self-adjoint, commuting (possibly unbounded) operators in a normed ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  of a  $\sigma$ -finite, semi-finite von-Neumann algebra factor  $\mathfrak{B}$  for the function space  $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{R}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , which will be accomplished in Theorem 5.14. Throughout this section we assume the following hypothesis.

**Hypothesis 5.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a normed ideal of a  $\sigma$ -finite, semi-finite von Neumann algebra factor  $\mathfrak{B}$ , with the ideal norm which is denoted by  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ , and endowed with a trace  $\tau_{\mathcal{I}} : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  which is positive and  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}$  bounded. Assume that  $\mathcal{I}^{1/2}$  is a normed ideal with ideal norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}^{1/2}}$  and that inequality (2.3) holds.*

**Hypothesis 5.2.** *A tuple of densely defined operators  $\mathbf{H}_n(t) \in \text{Com}_n$ , such that the operators  $H_i = H_i^*$  are affiliated to  $\mathfrak{B}$  and consider another tuple of operators  $\mathbf{V}_n$  such that  $V_i = V_i^* \in \mathcal{I}^{1/2}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .*

**Remark 5.3.** *It is easy to check that the tuples  $\mathbf{H}_n, \mathbf{V}_n$  and  $\mathbf{H}_n(t)$  in Example 3.4 with  $V_i \in \mathcal{I}^{1/2}$  satisfy the above Hypothesis 5.2. Also the tuples in  $\mathbf{H}_n, \mathbf{V}_n$  and  $\mathbf{H}_n(t)$  in Example 3.6 satisfy the above Hypothesis 5.2*

**Lemma 5.4.** [21, Lemma 3.6] *Assume Hypothesis 5.1. For  $H = H^*$ , an operator affiliated to  $\mathfrak{B}$  and for  $V = V^* \in \mathfrak{B}$ , any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $t_0 \in [0, 1]$ , and any  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\text{Im}(z) \neq 0$  such that*

$$\sup_{t \in [0,1]} \|(zI - H(t))^{-1}\| < \infty. \quad (5.1)$$

Then we have,

$$(zI - H - V)^{-k} - (zI - H)^{-k} = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k_0, k_1 \leq k \\ k_0 + k_1 = k+1}} (zI - H - V)^{-k_0} V (zI - H)^{-k_1}, \quad (5.2)$$

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=t_0} ((zI - H(t))^{-k}) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k_0, k_1 \leq k \\ k_0 + k_1 = k+1}} (zI - H(t_0))^{-k_0} V (zI - H(t_0))^{-k_1}, \quad (5.3)$$

and

$$\left. \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \right|_{t=t_0} ((zI - H(t))^{-k}) = 2 \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k_0, k_1, k_2 \leq k \\ k_0 + k_1 + k_2 = k+2}} (zI - H(t_0))^{-k_0} V (zI - H(t_0))^{-k_1} V (zI - H(t_0))^{-k_2}, \quad (5.4)$$

where  $H(t) = H + tV$ . Note that when  $H$  and  $H + V$  are maximal dissipative operator, then (5.1) holds whenever  $\text{Im}(z) < 0$ .

**Lemma 5.5.** *Assume Hypothesis 5.1 and 5.2. Let  $f(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = (z - \lambda_1)^{-k_1} \dots (z - \lambda_n)^{-k_n}$  where,  $\text{Im}(z) \neq 0$ ,  $k_i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

1. *If  $V_i \in \mathcal{I}$ , then  $t \mapsto \left. \frac{d}{ds} \right|_{s=t} f(\mathbf{H}_n(s))$  exists in  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}$  such that*

$$\left. \frac{d}{ds} \right|_{s=t} f(\mathbf{H}_n(s)) = \sum_{j=1}^n D_{H_j}^f(t) \quad (5.5)$$

where,

$$D_{H_j}^f(t) = \prod_{l=1}^{j-1} ((zI - H_l(t))^{-k_l}) \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=t} (zI - H_j(s))^{-k_j} \prod_{l=j+1}^n ((zI - H_l(t))^{-k_l}) \quad (5.6)$$

2. If  $V_i \in \mathcal{I}^{1/2}$ , then  $t \mapsto \frac{d^2}{ds^2} \Big|_{s=t} f(\mathbf{H}_n(s))$  exists in  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}$  such that

$$\frac{d^2}{ds^2} \Big|_{s=t} f(\mathbf{H}_n(s)) = 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} D_{H_i, H_j}^f(t) + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} D_{H_j, H_j}^f(t) \quad (5.7)$$

where,

$$D_{H_i, H_j}^f(t) = \prod_{l=1}^{i-1} ((zI - H_l(t))^{-k_l}) \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=t} (zI - H_i(s))^{-k_i} \prod_{l=i+1}^{j-1} ((zI - H_l(t))^{-k_l}) \\ \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=t} (zI - H_j(s))^{-k_j} \prod_{l=j+1}^n ((zI - H_l(t))^{-k_l})$$

and

$$D_{H_j, H_j}^f(t) = \prod_{l=1}^{j-1} ((zI - H_l(t))^{-k_l}) \frac{d^2}{ds^2} \Big|_{s=t} (zI - H_j(s))^{-k_j} \prod_{l=j+1}^n ((zI - H_l(t))^{-k_l}).$$

*Proof.* We will only establish existence of  $t \mapsto \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=t} f(\mathbf{H}_n(s))$  in the ideal norm; the results for the existence of  $t \mapsto \frac{d^2}{ds^2} \Big|_{s=t} f(\mathbf{H}_n(s))$  in the ideal norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}$  can be established completely analogously. Representation (5.2) gives,

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon} \left( \prod_{l=1}^n ((zI - H_l(t + \epsilon))^{-k_l}) - \prod_{l=1}^n ((zI - H_l(t))^{-k_l}) \right) \\ = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left( ((zI - H_1(t + \epsilon))^{-k_1} - (zI - H_1(t))^{-k_1}) \prod_{l=2}^n ((zI - H_l(t + \epsilon))^{-k_l}) \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{m=2}^{n-1} \left( \prod_{l=1}^{m-1} ((zI - H_l(t))^{-k_l}) ((zI - H_m(t + \epsilon))^{-k_m} - (zI - H_m(t))^{-k_m}) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \prod_{l=m+1}^n ((zI - H_l(t + \epsilon))^{-k_l}) \right) \right) \\ \left. + \prod_{l=1}^{n-1} ((zI - H_l(t))^{-k_l}) ((zI - H_n(t + \epsilon))^{-k_n} - (zI - H_n(t))^{-k_n}) \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq p_0^1, p_1^1 \leq k_1 \\ p_0^1 + p_1^1 = k_1 + 1}} (zI - H_1(t + \epsilon))^{-p_0^1} V_1 (zI - H_1(t))^{-p_1^1} \prod_{l=2}^n ((zI - H_l(t + \epsilon))^{-k_l}) + \\
&+ \dots + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq p_0^n, p_1^n \leq k_n \\ p_0^n + p_1^n = k_n + 1}} \prod_{l=1}^{n-1} ((zI - H_l(t))^{-k_l}) (zI - H_n(t + \epsilon))^{-p_0^n} V_n (zI - H_n(t))^{-p_1^n}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

Again applying (5.3) to (5.6) we obtain,

$$D_{H_j}^f(t) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq p_0^j, p_1^j \leq k_j \\ p_0^j + p_1^j = k_j + 1}} \prod_{l=1}^{j-1} ((zI - H_l(t))^{-k_l}) (zI - H_j(t))^{-p_0^j} V_j (zI - H_j(t))^{-p_1^j} \prod_{l=j+1}^n ((zI - H_l(t))^{-k_l})$$

Consider the difference,

$$\left( \frac{\prod_{l=1}^n ((zI - H_l(t + \epsilon))^{-k_l}) - \prod_{l=1}^n ((zI - H_l(t))^{-k_l})}{\epsilon} - \sum_{j=1}^n D_{H_j}^f(t) \right). \tag{5.9}$$

From (5.8) and the triangular inequality of ideal norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ , one can see that to find the estimate of aforementioned difference (5.9), it is enough if to find the estimate of

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq p_0^1, p_1^1 \leq k_1 \\ p_0^1 + p_1^1 = k_1 + 1}} (zI - H_1(t + \epsilon))^{-p_0^1} V_1 (zI - H_1(t))^{-p_1^1} \prod_{l=2}^n ((zI - H_l(t + \epsilon))^{-k_l}) - D_{H_1}^f(t). \tag{5.10}$$

Application of the representation (5.2) to (5.10) provides

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \sum_{\substack{1 \leq p_0^1, p_1^1 \leq k_1 \\ p_0^1 + p_1^1 = k_1 + 1}} \left( (zI - H_1(t + \epsilon))^{-p_0^1} V_1 (zI - H_1(t))^{-p_1^1} \prod_{l=2}^n ((zI - H_l(t + \epsilon))^{-k_l}) \right) - D_{H_1}^f(t) \right\|_{\mathcal{I}} \\
&\leq \epsilon K \left( \sum_{i=1}^n k_i k_i \|V_i\| \cdot \|V_j\|_{\mathcal{I}} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

Thus, the Gâteaux derivative of  $f$  along the direction  $(V_1, \dots, V_n)$  at the point  $(H_1, \dots, H_n)$  exists in ideal norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ , which is given by (5.5). This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 5.6.** Note that, Lemma 5.5 holds for any function  $f \in \mathfrak{R}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , which follows from the linearity of the Gâteaux derivative.

The following lemmas are the building blocks to prove the main result of this section.

**Lemma 5.7.** Assume the Hypothesis 5.1 and 5.2. Then for  $f \in \mathfrak{R}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , the function

$$t \mapsto \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=t} f(\mathbf{H}_n(s)) - \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} f(\mathbf{H}_n(s))$$

is uniformly continuous, hence, Bochner integrable on  $[0, 1]$  with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ .

*Proof.* Linearity of Gâteaux derivative suffices to prove the result for the function  $f(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = (z - \lambda_1)^{-k_1} \dots (z - \lambda_n)^{-k_n}$ , where  $\text{Im}(z) \neq 0$ ,  $k_i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Applying (5.5) we obtain,

$$\frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=t} f(\mathbf{H}_n(s)) - \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} f(\mathbf{H}_n(s)) = \sum_{j=1}^n \left( D_{H_j}^f(t) - D_{H_j}^f(0) \right)$$

Now (5.6) and (5.2) provides the following estimate

$$\left\| \left( D_{H_j}^f(t) - D_{H_j}^f(0) \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leq t K \left( \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n k_i k_j \|V_i\|_{\mathcal{I}^{1/2}} \|V_j\|_{\mathcal{I}^{1/2}} + 2k_j^2 \|V_j\|_{\mathcal{I}^{1/2}}^2 \right),$$

which holds for all  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Therefore the triangle inequality of the ideal norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}$  proves the continuity of the function  $t \mapsto \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=t} f(\mathbf{H}_n(s)) - \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} f(\mathbf{H}_n(s))$  in  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ .  $\square$

The following lemma gives an integral representation for the trace of the Taylor remainder.

**Lemma 5.8.** *Assume Hypothesis 5.1 and 5.2. Then for every  $f \in \mathfrak{A}(\mathbb{R}^n)$*

$$\tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( f(\mathbf{H}_n(1)) - f(\mathbf{H}_n) - \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} f(\mathbf{H}_n(s)) \right) = \int_0^1 (1-t) \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( \frac{d^2}{ds^2} \Big|_{s=t} f(\mathbf{H}_n(s)) \right) dt$$

*Proof.* Consider the linear function

$$\Psi(t) = \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=t} f(\mathbf{H}_n(s)) - \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} f(\mathbf{H}_n(s)) \quad (5.12)$$

By Lemma 5.7 the above function  $\Psi(t)$  is continuous in  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ . Hence, for every bounded linear functional  $\phi$  on  $\mathfrak{B}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi \left( f(\mathbf{H}_n(1)) - f(\mathbf{H}_n) - \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} f(\mathbf{H}_n(s)) \right) \\ &= \phi(f(\mathbf{H}_n(1))) - \phi(f(\mathbf{H}_n)) - \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} \phi(f(\mathbf{H}_n(s))) \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=t} \phi(f(\mathbf{H}_n(s))) dt - \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} \phi(f(\mathbf{H}_n(s))) \\ &= \int_0^1 \phi \left( \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=t} (f(\mathbf{H}_n(s))) - \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} (f(\mathbf{H}_n(s))) \right) dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \phi(\Psi(t)) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Now by pulling  $\phi$  through the derivative in  $\frac{d}{ds}$  based on convergence in the ideal norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ , we have

$$f(\mathbf{H}_n(1)) - f(\mathbf{H}_n) - \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} f(\mathbf{H}_n(s)) = \int_0^1 \Psi(t) dt. \quad (5.13)$$

Since,  $\Psi$  is continuous in the ideal norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}$  and, along with (5.13) implies

$$\tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( f(\mathbf{H}_n(1)) - f(\mathbf{H}_n) - \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} f(\mathbf{H}_n(s)) \right) = \int_0^1 \tau_{\mathcal{I}}(\Psi(t)) dt. \quad (5.14)$$

Now one can see from (5.7) that

$$\frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=t} \tau_{\mathcal{I}}(\Psi(s)) = \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( \frac{d^2}{ds^2} \Big|_{s=t} f(\mathbf{H}_n(s)) \right)$$

Now integrating by parts in (5.14) we obtain,

$$\tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( f(\mathbf{H}_n(1)) - f(\mathbf{H}_n) - \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} f(\mathbf{H}_n(s)) \right) = \int_0^1 (1-t) \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( \frac{d^2}{ds^2} \Big|_{s=t} f(\mathbf{H}_n(s)) \right) dt,$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

Similarly to the first-order trace formula, for the second-order trace formula we need to prove the following bound for the Gâteaux derivative.

**Theorem 5.9.** *Assume Hypothesis 5.1 and 5.2. Suppose  $f \in \mathfrak{A}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then for every  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ ,*

$$\left| \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( D_{H_i, H_j}^f(t) \right) \right| \leq (\tau_{\mathcal{I}}(|V_i|^2))^{1/2} (\tau_{\mathcal{I}}(|V_j|^2))^{1/2} \left\| \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (5.15)$$

The proof is based on the lemmas below.

**Lemma 5.10.** [43, Lemma 3.5] *Let  $n_1, n_2, N_1, N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $(\delta_{i_1})_{1 \leq i_1 \leq N_1}$  and  $(\delta_{i_2})_{1 \leq i_2 \leq N_2}$  be partitions of  $\mathbb{C}^{n_1}$  and  $\mathbb{C}^{n_2}$  respectively, and let  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  be spectral measures on  $\mathbb{C}^{n_1}$  and  $\mathbb{C}^{n_2}$ , respectively. Then for  $V_1, V_2 \in \mathcal{I}^{1/2}$ ,*

$$\sum_{i_1=1}^{N_1} \sum_{i_2=1}^{N_2} |\tau_{\mathcal{I}}(E_1(\delta_{i_1}) V_1 E_2(\delta_{i_2}) V_2 E_1(\delta_{i_1}))| \leq (\tau_{\mathcal{I}}(|V_1|^2))^{1/2} (\tau_{\mathcal{I}}(|V_2|^2))^{1/2}$$

A natural object appearing in the evaluation of the directional derivative of single variable operator functions is a divided difference. In representations of derivatives of multivariate operator functions, we need some modifications of this object.

**Definition 5.11.** Let  $f$  be a function on  $\mathbb{R}$ . The divided difference of order  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  is an operation on function  $f$  defined recursively as follows:

$$f[\lambda_0] := f(\lambda_0)$$

$$f[\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_k] := \begin{cases} \frac{f[\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_{k-2}, \lambda_k] - f[\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_{k-1}]}{\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1}} & \text{if } \lambda_{k-1} \neq \lambda_k \\ \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=\lambda_k} f[\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_{k-2}, t] & \text{if } \lambda_{k-1} = \lambda_k, \end{cases} \quad (5.16)$$

where  $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_k \in \mathbb{R}$ . It is well known that  $f[\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_k]$  is a symmetric function. Let  $\phi$  be a function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We define the  $k$ -th order divided difference of  $\phi$  in the  $j$ -th coordinate by

$$\phi(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, [\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_k], x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n) = f[\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_k].$$

Now we provide formulas for the second-order divided differences of the functions in the proof of Theorem 5.9.

**Lemma 5.12.** *Consider the function  $f(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = (z - \lambda_1)^{-k_1} \dots (z - \lambda_n)^{-k_n}$ , where  $\text{Im}(z) \neq 0$ ,  $k_i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then the following assertions hold.*

1. *If  $\lambda_{i_0}$ ,  $\lambda_{i_1}$  and  $\lambda_{j_0}$ ,  $\lambda_{j_1}$  are non zero, then*

$$\begin{aligned}
& f(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{i-1}, [\lambda_{i_0}, \lambda_{i_1}], \lambda_{i+1}, \dots, \lambda_{j-1}, [\lambda_{j_0}, \lambda_{j_1}], \lambda_{j+1}, \dots, \lambda_n) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq p_0, p_1 \leq k_i \\ p_0 + p_1 = k_i + 1}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq q_0, q_1 \leq k_j \\ q_0 + q_1 = k_j + 1}} \prod_{l=1}^{i-1} ((z - \lambda_l)^{-k_l}) (z - \lambda_{i_0})^{-p_0} (z - \lambda_{i_1})^{-p_1} \prod_{l=i+1}^{j-1} ((z - \lambda_l)^{-k_l}) \\
&\quad (z - \lambda_{j_0})^{-q_0} (z - \lambda_{j_1})^{-q_1} \prod_{l=j+1}^n ((z - \lambda_l)^{-k_l}) \quad (5.17)
\end{aligned}$$

2. *If  $\lambda_{i_0}$ ,  $\lambda_{i_1}$  and  $\lambda_{i_2}$  are non zero, then*

$$\begin{aligned}
& f(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{i-1}, [\lambda_{i_0}, \lambda_{i_1}, \lambda_{i_2}], \lambda_{i+1}, \dots, \lambda_n) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq p_0, p_1, p_2 \leq k_i \\ p_0 + p_1 + p_2 = k_i + 2}} \prod_{l=1}^{i-1} ((z - \lambda_l)^{-k_l}) (z - \lambda_{i_0})^{-p_0} (z - \lambda_{i_1})^{-p_1} (z - \lambda_{i_2})^{-p_2} \prod_{l=i+1}^n ((z - \lambda_l)^{-k_l}). \quad (5.18)
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The proof follows from an application of the properties (5.16) of divided difference.  $\square$

The following fact of the divided difference is well known.

**Lemma 5.13.** [43, Lemma 4.1, 4.2] *Let  $\phi$  be a analytic function in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , with  $x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}$ , then*

1. *for  $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_k \in \mathbb{R}$  we have*

$$\sup_{x_1, \dots, x_n, \lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_k \in \mathbb{R}} |\phi(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, [\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_k], x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n)| \leq \frac{1}{k!} \left\| \frac{\partial^k \phi}{\partial x_j^k} \right\|_{\infty}. \quad (5.19)$$

2. *for  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  we have*

$$\sup_{x_1, \dots, x_n, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathbb{R}} |\phi(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, [\lambda_1, \lambda_2], x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{j-1}, [\mu_1, \mu_2], x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n)| \leq \left\| \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right\|_{\infty}. \quad (5.20)$$

Now we are in a stage to complete the first main theorem of this section.

*Proof of Theorem 5.9.* Observe that the function  $f(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = (z - \lambda_1)^{-k_1} \dots (z - \lambda_n)^{-k_n}$ , where  $\text{Im}(z) \neq 0$ ,  $k_i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$  suffices to prove the theorem.

**Case 1.** Consider the case  $i < j$ .

Now Lemma 5.5 gives,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( D_{H_i, H_j}^f(t) \right) &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq p_0, p_1 \leq k_i \\ p_0 + p_1 = k_i + 1}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq q_0, q_1 \leq k_j \\ q_0 + q_1 = k_j + 1}} \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( \prod_{l=1}^{i-1} ((zI - H_l(t))^{-k_l}) (zI - H_i(t))^{-p_0} V_i (zI - H_i(t))^{-p_1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \prod_{l=i+1}^{j-1} ((zI - H_l(t))^{-k_l}) (zI - H_j(t))^{-q_0} V_j (zI - H_j(t))^{-q_1} \prod_{l=j+1}^n ((zI - H_l(t))^{-k_l}) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.21)$$

By the spectral theorem, for every  $1 \leq l \leq n$  there are Borel partitions  $(\delta_{m,l,\alpha_l})_{1 \leq \alpha_l \leq m}$  of  $\mathbb{C}$  and a sequence of tuples of complex numbers  $(\lambda_{m,l,\alpha_l})_{1 \leq \alpha_l \leq m}$  such that,

$$\sum_{1 \leq \alpha_l \leq m} (z - \lambda_{m,l,\alpha_l})^{-k_l} E_{H_l}(\delta_{m,l,\alpha_l})$$

converges to  $(zI - H_l(t))^{-k_l}$  in the operator norm, where  $E_{H_l}(\cdot)$  is the spectral measure associated to  $H_l(t)$ .

Now the pairwise commutativity of  $H_1(t), \dots, H_n(t)$  implies the commutativity of the spectral measures  $E_{H_l}(\cdot)$ , which implies  $E(\delta_1 \times \dots \times \delta_n) = E_{H_1}(\delta_1) \times \dots \times E_{H_n}(\delta_n)$  is a spectral measure. As a result

$$\sum_{1 \leq \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \leq m} \left( \prod_{j=1}^n (z - \lambda_{m,j,\alpha_j})^{-k_j} \right) E(\delta_{m,1,\alpha_1} \times \dots \times \delta_{m,n,\alpha_n}) \quad (5.22)$$

converges to  $((zI - H_1(t))^{-k_1} \dots (zI - H_n(t))^{-k_n})$  in operator norm. Now the cyclicity of trace and the pairwise commutativity of the tuple  $\mathbf{H}_n(t)$  gives,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( D_{H_i, H_j}^f(t) \right) &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq p_0, p_1 \leq k_i \\ p_0 + p_1 = k_i + 1}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq q_0, q_1 \leq k_j \\ q_0 + q_1 = k_j + 1}} \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( \prod_{l=1}^{i-1} ((zI - H_l(t))^{-k_l}) (zI - H_i(t))^{-p_0} (zI - H_j(t))^{-q_1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \prod_{l=j+1}^n ((zI - H_l(t))^{-k_l}) V_i (zI - H_i(t))^{-p_1} \prod_{l=i+1}^{j-1} ((zI - H_l(t))^{-k_l}) (zI - H_j(t))^{-q_0} V_j \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.23)$$

Applying (5.22) to (5.23) we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( D_{H_i, H_j}^f(t) \right) &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \alpha'_i, \alpha'_j \leq m} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq p_0, p_1 \leq k_i \\ p_0 + p_1 = k_i + 1}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq q_0, q_1 \leq k_j \\ q_0 + q_1 = k_j + 1}} \left( \prod_{l=1}^{i-1} ((z - \lambda_{m,l,\alpha_l})^{-k_l}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. (z - \lambda_{m,i,\alpha_i})^{-p_0} (z - \lambda_{m,i,\alpha'_i})^{-p_1} \prod_{l=i+1}^{j-1} ((z - \lambda_{m,l,\alpha_l})^{-k_l}) (z - \lambda_{m,j,\alpha'_j})^{-q_0} (z - \lambda_{m,j,\alpha_j})^{-q_1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \prod_{l=j+1}^n ((z - \lambda_{m,l,\alpha_l})^{-k_l}) \right) \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( E_1(\delta_{m,1,\alpha_1} \times \dots \times \delta_{m,i,\alpha_i} \times \delta_{m,j,\alpha_j} \times \dots \times \delta_{m,n,\alpha_n}) V_i \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\times E_2(\delta_{m,i,\alpha'_i} \times \delta_{m,i+1,\alpha_{i+1}} \times \cdots \times \delta_{m,j-1,\alpha_{j-1}} \times \delta_{m,j,\alpha'_j})V_j \Big) \quad (5.24)$$

where,  $E_1 = E_{H_1} \times \cdots \times E_{H_i} \times E_{H_j} \times \cdots \times E_{H_n}$ , and  $E_2 = E_{H_i} \times E_{H_{i+1}} \times \cdots \times E_{H_{j-1}} \times E_{H_j}$ . Note that  $\lambda_{m,l,\alpha_l} = \lambda_{m,l,\alpha'_l}$  and  $\delta_{m,l,\alpha_l} = \delta_{m,l,\alpha'_l}$  whenever  $\alpha_l = \alpha'_l \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  for  $l \in \{i, j\}$ . The tools used in the proof of [43, Theorem 4.6] motivate us to complete the rest of the proof. We provide the details of it for readers convenience. Now from an application of (5.17) and Lemma 5.10 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( D_{H_i, H_j}^f(t) \right) \right| \\ & \leq \left| f(\lambda_{m,1,\alpha_1}, \dots, \lambda_{m,i-1,\alpha_{i-1}}, [\lambda_{m,i,\alpha_i}, \lambda_{m,i,\alpha'_i}], \dots, [\lambda_{m,j,\alpha_j}, \lambda_{m,j,\alpha'_j}], \lambda_{m,j+1,\alpha_{j+1}}, \dots, \lambda_{m,n,\alpha_n}) \right| \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \|V_i\|_{\mathcal{I}^{1/2}} \|V_j\|_{\mathcal{I}^{1/2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.25)$$

Now by (5.20) and (5.25) the above becomes,

$$\left| \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( D_{H_i, H_j}^f(t) \right) \right| \leq \|V_i\|_{\mathcal{I}^{1/2}} \cdot \|V_j\|_{\mathcal{I}^{1/2}} \cdot \left\| \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

**Case 2.** Consider  $i = j$ .

Now (5.4) and Lemma 5.5 provides,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( D_{H_i, H_i}^f(t) \right) &= 2 \sum_{\substack{1 \leq p_0, p_1, p_2 \leq k_i \\ p_0 + p_1 + p_2 = k_i + 2}} \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( \prod_{l=1}^{i-1} ((zI - H_l(t))^{-k_l}) (zI - H_i(t))^{-p_0} V_i (zI - H_i(t))^{-p_1} V_i \right. \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. (zI - H_i(t))^{-p_2} \prod_{l=i+1}^n ((zI - H_l(t))^{-k_l}) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.26)$$

Again the cyclicity property of trace and the pairwise commutativity of tuple  $H_1(t), \dots, H_n(t)$  implies,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( D_{H_i, H_i}^f(t) \right) &= 2 \sum_{\substack{1 \leq p_0, p_1, p_2 \leq k_i \\ p_0 + p_1 + p_2 = k_i + 2}} \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( \prod_{l=1}^{i-1} ((zI - H_l(t))^{-k_l}) (zI - H_i(t))^{-(p_0 + p_2)} \right. \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. \prod_{l=i+1}^n ((zI - H_l(t))^{-k_l}) V_i (zI - H_i(t))^{-p_1} V_i \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.27)$$

Similarly by the spectral theorem we have,

$$\tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( D_{H_i, H_i}^f(t) \right) = 2 \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \alpha'_i \leq m} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq p_0, p_1, p_2 \leq k_i \\ p_0 + p_1 + p_2 = k_i + 2}} \left( \prod_{l=1}^{i-1} ((z - \lambda_{m,l,\alpha_l})^{-k_l}) (z - \lambda_{m,i,\alpha_i})^{-(p_0 + p_2)} \right)$$

$$(z - \lambda_{m,i,\alpha'_i})^{-p_1} \prod_{l=i+1}^n ((z - \lambda_{m,l,\alpha_l})^{-k_l}) \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( E_1(\delta_{m,1,\alpha_1} \times \cdots \times \delta_{m,n,\alpha_n}) V_i E_2(\delta_{m,i,\alpha'_i}) V_i \right). \quad (5.28)$$

where  $E_1 = E_{H_1} \times \cdots \times E_{H_i} \times \cdots \times E_{H_n}$  and  $E_2 = E_{H_i}$  and  $\lambda_{m,i,\alpha_i} = \lambda_{m,i,\alpha'_i}$  and  $\delta_{m,i,\alpha_i} = \delta_{m,i,\alpha'_i}$  whenever  $\alpha_i = \alpha'_i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ . Now applying (5.18) and Lemma 5.10 to (5.28) we obtain,

$$\left| \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( D_{H_i, H_i}^f(t) \right) \right| \leq 2 \left| f(\lambda_{m,1,\alpha_1}, \dots, \lambda_{m,i-1,\alpha_{i-1}}, [\lambda_{m,i,\alpha_i}, \lambda_{m,i,\alpha_i}, \lambda_{m,i,\alpha'_i}], \lambda_{m,i+1,\alpha_{i+1}}, \dots, \lambda_{m,n,\alpha_n}) \right| \cdot \|V_i\|_{\mathcal{I}^{1/2}} \cdot \|V_i\|_{\mathcal{I}^{1/2}}. \quad (5.29)$$

Application of (5.19) completes the proof of (5.15), for the case  $i = j$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

The following theorem establishes the main result in this section.

**Theorem 5.14.** *Assume Hypothesis 5.1 and 5.2. Then there exist measures  $\nu_{ij}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that*

$$\|\nu_{ij}\| \leq \frac{1}{2} (\tau_{\mathcal{I}}(|V_i|^2))^{1/2} (\tau_{\mathcal{I}}(|V_j|^2))^{1/2} \quad (5.30)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( f(\mathbf{H}_n(1)) - f(\mathbf{H}_n) - \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} f(\mathbf{H}_n(s)) \right) \\ &= 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(x_1, \dots, x_n) d\nu_{ij}(x_1, \dots, x_n) + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_j^2}(x_1, \dots, x_n) d\nu_{jj}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \end{aligned} \quad (5.31)$$

for every  $f \in \mathfrak{A}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

*Proof.* Combining the results of Lemmas 5.5 and 5.8, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( f(\mathbf{H}_n(1)) - f(\mathbf{H}_n) - \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} f(\mathbf{H}_n(s)) \right) \\ &= 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \int_0^1 (1-t) \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( D_{H_i, H_j}^f(t) \right) dt + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} \int_0^1 (1-t) \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( D_{H_j, H_j}^f(t) \right) dt \end{aligned} \quad (5.32)$$

Consider the collection of linear functionals  $\chi_{ij} : \mathbf{A} = \text{span} \left\{ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} : f \in \mathfrak{A}(\mathbb{R}^n) \right\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by

$$\chi_{ij} \left( \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right) = \int_0^1 (1-t) \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( D_{H_j, H_j}^f(t) \right) dt, \quad (5.33)$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ . Upon applying Theorem 5.9 we have,

$$\left| \chi_{ij} \left( \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} (\tau_{\mathcal{I}}(|V_i|^2))^{1/2} (\tau_{\mathcal{I}}(|V_j|^2))^{1/2} \left\| \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad (5.34)$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ . Note that (5.33) is bounded with respect to the supremum norm in  $C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Now by a continuous extension to the closure and employing the Hahn-Banach theorem, if necessary, we get a bounded linear functional on  $C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$  which extends the map (5.33). By the Riesz representation theorem, these maps arises as an integration against a complex finite Borel measure  $\nu_{ij}$  associated to each bounded linear functional  $\chi_{ij}$  in (5.30) respectively such that

$$\|\chi_{ij}\|_\infty = \|\nu_{ij}\| \leq \frac{1}{2} (\tau_{\mathcal{I}}(|V_i|^2))^{1/2} (\tau_{\mathcal{I}}(|V_j|^2))^{1/2},$$

and

$$\chi_{ij} \left( \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(x_1, \dots, x_n) d\nu_{ij}(x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad (5.35)$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ . Therefore from (5.32), applying(5.35) we have,

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( f(\mathbf{H}_n(1)) - f(\mathbf{H}_n) - \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} f(\mathbf{H}_n(s)) \right) \\ &= 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(x_1, \dots, x_n) d\nu_{ij}(x_1, \dots, x_n) + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_j^2}(x_1, \dots, x_n) d\nu_{jj}(x_1, \dots, x_n). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.15.** *Assume Hypothesis 5.1 and 5.2. If  $\tau_{\mathcal{I}}(|V_i|^2) = 0$ , for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , then Theorem 5.14 holds with  $\nu_{ij} = 0$ , for all  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ .*

*Proof.* The result is an immediate consequence of the estimate (5.30) for the total variation measure  $\nu_{ij}$ .  $\square$

We have the following corollary in the sequel, which provides a sufficient condition for the nonnegativity of the spectral shift measures  $\nu_{ij}$ , arose in Theorem 5.14.

**Corollary 5.16.** *Assume Hypothesis 5.1 and 5.2. Then for every  $f \in \mathfrak{A}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , satisfying  $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \geq 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ , Theorem 5.14 holds with the measures  $\nu_{ij}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  non-negative for all  $1 \leq i = j \leq n$ , and non-negative for  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$  under an additional assumption  $V_i = V_j$ .*

*Proof.* In the course of the proof of Theorem 5.9, we have established that for  $f \in \mathfrak{A}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( D_{H_i, H_j}^f(t) \right) &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \alpha'_i, \alpha'_j \leq m} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq p_0, p_1 \leq k_i \\ p_0 + p_1 = k_i + 1}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq q_0, q_1 \leq k_j \\ q_0 + q_1 = k_j + 1}} \left( \prod_{l=1}^{i-1} ((z - \lambda_{m,l,\alpha_l})^{-k_l}) \right. \\ & \quad (z - \lambda_{m,i,\alpha_i})^{-p_0} (z - \lambda_{m,i,\alpha'_i})^{-p_1} \prod_{l=i+1}^{j-1} ((z - \lambda_{m,l,\alpha_l})^{-k_l}) (z - \lambda_{m,j,\alpha'_j})^{-q_0} (z - \lambda_{m,j,\alpha_j})^{-q_1} \\ & \quad \left. \prod_{l=j+1}^n ((z - \lambda_{m,l,\alpha_l})^{-k_l}) \right) \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( E_1(\delta_{m,1,\alpha_1} \times \dots \times \delta_{m,i,\alpha_i} \times \delta_{m,j,\alpha_j} \times \dots \times \delta_{m,n,\alpha_n}) V_i \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$E_2(\delta_{m,i,\alpha'_i} \times \delta_{m,i+1,\alpha_{i+1}} \times \dots \times \delta_{m,j-1,\alpha_{j-1}} \times \delta_{m,j,\alpha'_j})V_j \Big) \quad (5.36)$$

Now under the additional assumption we have

$$\langle E_1(\cdot)V_iE_2(\cdot)V_iE_1(\cdot)h, h \rangle = \langle E_2(\cdot)V_iE_1(\cdot)h, V_iE_1(\cdot)h \rangle \geq 0$$

for all  $h \in \mathcal{H}$ , we see that the set functions  $\tau_{\mathcal{I}}(E_1(\cdot)V_iE_2(\cdot)V_i)$  are non-negative. Hence  $\tau_{\mathcal{I}}\left(D_{H_i,H_j}^f(t)\right) \geq 0$ . Hence the measures  $\nu_{ij}$  are non-negative for  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$ .

Similarly from (5.28) we see that  $\tau_{\mathcal{I}}\left(D_{H_i,H_i}^f(t)\right) \geq 0$ . Note that this holds without the additional assumption, which implies the measures  $\nu_{ii}$  are non-negative for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Now applying to Theorem 5.14 we have

$$\tau_{\mathcal{I}}\left(f(\mathbf{H}_n(1)) - f(\mathbf{H}_n) - \frac{d}{ds}\Big|_{s=0} f(\mathbf{H}_n(s))\right) \geq 0.$$

□

We now prove the linearization formula (1.10), in the context of  $\sigma$ -finite, semi-finite von Neumann algebra factors, for the second-order remainder of the Taylor approximation, where we relax the commutativity assumptions on  $\mathbf{H}_n(t)$  for  $t \in (0, 1]$  in Theorem 5.9 for the case of singular trace  $\tau_{\mathcal{I}}$ .

**Theorem 5.17.** *Assume Hypotheses 5.1 and 5.2. Suppose  $\tau_{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{I}^{3/2}) = 0$ . Then for  $f \in \mathfrak{A}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,*

$$\tau_{\mathcal{I}}\left(f(\mathbf{H}_n(1)) - f(\mathbf{H}_n) - \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} f(\mathbf{H}_n(t))\right) = \frac{1}{2} \tau_{\mathcal{I}}\left(\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\Big|_{t=0} f(\mathbf{H}_n(t))\right). \quad (5.37)$$

and there exist measures  $\nu_{ij}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ , such that (5.30) and (5.31) hold.

*Proof.* The proof is analogous to the proof of Theorem 3.24. Firstly, one can obtain

$$\left(f(\mathbf{H}_n(1)) - f(\mathbf{H}_n) - \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} f(\mathbf{H}_n(t)) - \frac{1}{2} \tau_{\mathcal{I}}\left(\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\Big|_{t=0} f(\mathbf{H}_n(t))\right)\right) \in \mathcal{I}^{3/2}. \quad (5.38)$$

Therefore the trace of the later part vanishes by assumption. This completes the proof of (5.37). Now from (5.7) we get

$$\tau_{\mathcal{I}}\left(f(\mathbf{H}_n(1)) - f(\mathbf{H}_n) - \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} f(\mathbf{H}_n(t))\right) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \tau_{\mathcal{I}}\left(D_{H_i,H_j}^f(0)\right) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} \tau_{\mathcal{I}}\left(D_{H_j,H_j}^f(0)\right). \quad (5.39)$$

Again by repeating the similar reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 3.24 we conclude the result. □

**Remark 5.18.** *The model considered in Example 3.23 is a classical example of the tuple  $(\mathfrak{B}, \mathcal{I}, \tau_{\mathcal{I}})$  satisfying the Hypothesis 5.1 and  $\tau_{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{I}^{3/2}) = 0$ .*

## 6. TRACE FORMULA FOR DISSIPATIVE OPERATORS

Now we turn to dissipative operators. The goals of this section are to establish the trace formulas (3.25) and (5.31) corresponding to the dissipative operator as an application of our main theorems in the previous sections. The first goal will be accomplished in Theorem 6.5, which holds under the Hypothesis 6.2. To that aim we start with the following definition.

**Definition 6.1.** *A densely defined linear operator  $L$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  is called dissipative if  $\text{Im} \langle Lh, h \rangle \geq 0$ , for  $h \in \text{Dom}(L)$ . It is called maximal dissipative if  $L$  has no proper dissipative extension.*

*The Cayley transform of the maximal dissipative operator is defined by*

$$T = (i + L)(i - L)^{-1}$$

*It is well known that  $T$  is a contraction. Moreover, a contraction  $T$  is the Cayley transform of a maximal dissipative operator  $L$  if and only if 1 is not an eigenvalue of  $T$ .*

We continue our work with a normed ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  of a  $\sigma$ -finite, semi-finite von Neumann algebra factor  $\mathfrak{B}$ , with the ideal norm denoted  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ , and endowed with a trace  $\tau_{\mathcal{I}} : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  that is positive and  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}$  bounded.

**6.1. Krein Trace formula for dissipative operator.** In this section we assume the following.

**Hypothesis 6.2.** *Consider a tuple of closed, densely defined maximal dissipative operators  $\mathbf{L}_n$  (possibly unbounded) affiliated to  $\mathfrak{B}$  and another tuple of operators  $\mathbf{V}_n$  with  $V_i \in \mathcal{I}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $\mathbf{L}_n(t) \in \text{Com}_n$  are maximal dissipative operators for all  $t \in [0, 1]$  such that it admits a commuting self-adjoint dilation satisfying (1.11) (possibly unbounded).*

**Example 6.3.** *Let  $L$  be a maximal dissipative operator affiliated to  $\mathfrak{B}$  and let  $V \in \mathcal{I}$  be a self-adjoint operator such that  $L$  commutes with  $V$ . Assume  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  be a tuple of positive real numbers. Now consider,*

$$L_k = \alpha_k L, \quad V_k = V, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

*Then  $\mathbf{L}_n(t)$  and  $\mathbf{V}_n$  satisfy Hypothesis 6.2.*

**Remark 6.4.** *Note that Example 6.3 implies that for the functions  $(z - \lambda_1)^{-k_1} \dots (z - \lambda_n)^{-k_n} \in \mathfrak{R}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $(zI - L_1(t))^{-k_1} \dots (zI - L_n(t))^{-k_n}$  can not be retrieved from the functions in the function class  $\text{span}\{(z - \lambda)^{-k} : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . In other words, one can not obtain the following Theorem 6.5 directly from the known single variable result [21, Theorem 3.4].*

With the assumption of the above hypothesis we derive the Krein trace formula (I) for a tuple of maximal dissipative operators.

**Theorem 6.5.** *Assume Hypothesis 3.1 and 6.2. Then for every  $f \in \mathfrak{R}(\mathbb{R}^n)^-$ , there exist measures  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that for every  $1 \leq j \leq n$ ,*

$$\|\mu_j\| \leq \tau_{\mathcal{I}}(|\text{Re}(V_j)| + |\text{Im}(V_j)|), \quad (6.1)$$

*and*

$$\tau_{\mathcal{I}}(f(\mathbf{L}_n(1)) - f(\mathbf{L}_n)) = \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(x_1, \dots, x_n) d\mu_j(x_1, \dots, x_n). \quad (6.2)$$

*Proof.* From (5.5) it is easy to verify that

$$\tau_{\mathcal{I}}(f(\mathbf{L}_n(1)) - f(\mathbf{L}_n)) = \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^1 \tau_{\mathcal{I}}(D_{L_j}^f(t)) dt, \quad (6.3)$$

for every  $f \in \mathfrak{A}(\mathbb{R}^n)^-$ .

Let  $\mathbf{X}_n(t) := (X_1(t), \dots, X_n(t))$  be the commuting self-adjoint dilation of the tuple  $\mathbf{L}_n(t)$  with projection  $P_{\mathfrak{B}}$  such that  $P_{\mathfrak{B}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{X}_n(t)) P_{\mathfrak{B}} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{L}_n(t))$  and  $P_{\mathfrak{B}} \mathcal{N} P_{\mathfrak{B}} = \mathfrak{B}$ . Now by cyclicity of trace and Theorem 3.14 we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \tau_{\mathcal{I}}(D_{L_j}^f(t)) \right| &= \left| \tau_{\mathcal{I}}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{L}_n(t)) V_j\right) \right| = \left| \tau_{\bar{\mathcal{I}}}\left(P_{\mathfrak{B}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{X}_n(t)) P_{\mathfrak{B}} V_j P_{\mathfrak{B}}\right) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \tau_{\bar{\mathcal{I}}}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{X}_n(t)) V_j\right) \right| \\ &\leq \tau_{\bar{\mathcal{I}}}(|\operatorname{Re}(V_j)| + |\operatorname{Im}(V_j)|) \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq \tau_{\mathcal{I}}(|\operatorname{Re}(V_j)| + |\operatorname{Im}(V_j)|) \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

Therefore as in the proof of Theorem 3.17 the estimate (6.4) concludes the proof.  $\square$

## 6.2. Koplienko trace formula for dissipative operator.

For the remainder of this section we assume the following.

**Hypothesis 6.6.** *Consider a tuple of closed, densely defined maximal dissipative operators  $\mathbf{L}_n$  affiliated to  $\mathfrak{B}$ , and another tuple of operator  $\mathbf{V}_n$  such that  $V_i \in \mathcal{I}^{1/2}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $\mathbf{L}_n(t) \in \operatorname{Com}_n$  are maximal dissipative operators for all  $t \in [0, 1]$  such that it admits a commuting self-adjoint dilation satisfying (1.11) (possibly unbounded).*

The following theorem states the Koplienko trace formula (IV) for a tuple of maximal dissipative operators.

**Theorem 6.7.** *Assume Hypothesis 5.1 and 6.6. Then for  $f \in \mathfrak{A}(\mathbb{R}^n)^-$  there exist measures  $\nu_{ij}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that*

$$\|\nu_{ij}\| \leq \frac{1}{2} (\tau_{\mathcal{I}}(|V_i|^2))^{1/2} (\tau_{\mathcal{I}}(|V_j|^2))^{1/2} \quad (6.5)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\tau_{\mathcal{I}}\left(f(\mathbf{H}_n(1)) - f(\mathbf{H}_n) - \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} f(\mathbf{H}_n(s))\right) \\ &= 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(x_1, \dots, x_n) d\nu_{ij}(x_1, \dots, x_n) + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_j^2}(x_1, \dots, x_n) d\nu_{jj}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \end{aligned} \quad (6.6)$$

for every  $f \in \mathfrak{A}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $\mathbf{X}_n(t) := (X_1(t), \dots, X_n(t))$  be the commuting self-adjoint dilation of the tuple  $\mathbf{L}_n(t)$  with projection  $P_{\mathfrak{B}}$  such that  $P_{\mathfrak{B}}\mathcal{N}P_{\mathfrak{B}} = \mathfrak{B}$ . By using the cyclicity of trace and (5.23) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( D_{L_i, L_j}^f(t) \right) \right| &= \left| \sum_{\substack{1 \leq p_0, p_1 \leq k_i \\ p_0 + p_1 = k_i + 1}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq q_0, q_1 \leq k_j \\ q_0 + q_1 = k_j + 1}} \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( \prod_{l=1}^{i-1} ((zI - L_l(t))^{-k_l}) (zI - L_i(t))^{-p_0} (zI - L_j(t))^{-q_1} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \prod_{l=j+1}^n ((zI - L_l(t))^{-k_l}) V_i (zI - L_i(t))^{-p_1} \prod_{l=i+1}^{j-1} ((zI - L_l(t))^{-k_l}) (zI - L_j(t))^{-q_0} V_j \right) \left| \right. \\ &= \left| \sum_{\substack{1 \leq p_0, p_1 \leq k_i \\ p_0 + p_1 = k_i + 1}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq q_0, q_1 \leq k_j \\ q_0 + q_1 = k_j + 1}} \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( P_{\mathfrak{B}} \prod_{l=1}^{i-1} ((zI - X_l(t))^{-k_l}) (zI - X_i(t))^{-p_0} (zI - X_j(t))^{-q_1} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \prod_{l=j+1}^n ((zI - X_l(t))^{-k_l}) P_{\mathfrak{B}} V_i P_{\mathfrak{B}} (zI - X_i(t))^{-p_1} \prod_{l=i+1}^{j-1} ((zI - X_l(t))^{-k_l}) (zI - X_j(t))^{-q_0} P_{\mathfrak{B}} V_j P_{\mathfrak{B}} \right) \left| \right. \end{aligned}$$

Now by the similar manner as in the proof of Theorem 5.9, we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( D_{L_i, L_j}^f(t) \right) \right| &\leq (\tau_{\mathcal{I}}(|V_i P_{\mathfrak{B}}|^2))^{1/2} (\tau_{\mathcal{I}}(|V_j P_{\mathfrak{B}}|^2))^{1/2} \left\| \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq (\tau_{\mathcal{I}}(|V_i|^2))^{1/2} (\tau_{\mathcal{I}}(|V_j|^2))^{1/2} \left\| \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.7)$$

Similarly we derive the estimate for  $\left| \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( D_{L_i, L_i}^f(t) \right) \right|$ . This concludes the proof.  $\square$

## 7. OPEN PROBLEM

Our primary aim is to find the Koplienko trace formula for pairs of tuples  $(\mathbf{H}_n(1), \mathbf{H}_n)$  of commuting, bounded self-adjoint operators associated with the function class  $C_c^{n+3}((a, b)^n) \subseteq \mathcal{W}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . But in this direction, we are able to obtain a weaker version of the Koplienko trace formula for the pairs of above-mentioned tuples associated to the function class  $C_c^{n+3}((a, b)^n)$ , as follows.

We need the following elementary lemma in the sequel.

**Lemma 7.1.** *Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $f \in C_c^n((a, b)^n)$ . Then*

$$|f(\vec{t})| \leq (b - a)^n \left\| \frac{\partial^n f}{\partial t_1 \dots \partial t_n} \right\|_{\infty}.$$

Assume Hypotheses 5.1, and 5.2 along with  $\mathbf{H}_n(t) \in \mathfrak{B}$ , for  $t \in [0, 1]$ . By a similar kind of argument as given in Lemmas 3.8 and 3.10, we get

$$\left( f(\mathbf{H}_n(1)) - f(\mathbf{H}_n) - \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} f(\mathbf{H}_n(t)) \right) \in \mathcal{I}. \quad (7.1)$$

From Lemmas 3.8 and 3.10, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left( f(\mathbf{H}_n(1)) - f(\mathbf{H}_n) - \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} f(\mathbf{H}_n(t)) \right) \\
&= \frac{-1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^{t_k} \int_0^{t_k-s} \hat{f}(\vec{t}) \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ k \geq 2}}^{k-1} (e^{it_j H_j}) e^{i(t_k-s-p)(H_k+V_k)} V_k e^{ipH_k} V_k e^{isH_k} \\
&\quad \times \prod_{\substack{j=k+1 \\ k \leq n-1}}^n (e^{it_j(H_j+V_j)}) dp ds d\vec{t} \\
&\quad + \frac{-1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \sum_{1 \leq l < k \leq n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^{t_l} \int_0^{t_k} \hat{f}(\vec{t}) e^{i(t_l-s)H_l} V_l e^{isH_l} \\
&\quad \times \prod_{\substack{j=1, j \neq l \\ k \geq 2}}^{k-1} (e^{iH_j t_j}) e^{i(t_k-p)(H_k+V_k)} V_k e^{ipH_k} \prod_{\substack{j=k+1 \\ k \leq n-1}}^n (e^{it_j(H_j+V_j)}) dp ds d\vec{t}.
\end{aligned} \tag{7.2}$$

Now from the representation (7.2) we obtain

$$\left| \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ k \geq 2}}^{k-1} (e^{it_j H_j}) e^{i(t_k-s-p)(H_k+V_k)} V_k e^{ipH_k} V_k e^{isH_k} \prod_{\substack{j=k+1 \\ k \leq n-1}}^n (e^{it_j(H_j+V_j)}) \right) \right| \leq \|V_k\|_{\mathcal{I}^{1/2}}^2, \tag{7.3}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( e^{i(t_l-s)H_l} V_l e^{isH_l} \prod_{\substack{j=1, j \neq l \\ k \geq 1}}^{k-1} (e^{iH_j t_j}) e^{i(t_k-p)(H_k+V_k)} V_k e^{ipH_k} \prod_{\substack{j=k+1 \\ k \leq n}}^n (e^{it_j(H_j+V_j)}) \right) \right| \\
& \leq \|V_l\|_{\mathcal{I}^{1/2}} \|V_k\|_{\mathcal{I}^{1/2}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{7.4}$$

A routine calculation yields that for  $f \in C_c^{n+3}((a, b)^n)$ ,

$$\|\hat{f}\|_{L^1} \leq (\sqrt{2})^n \left( \|f\|_{L^2} + \left\| \frac{\partial^n f}{\partial x_1 \cdots \partial x_n} \right\|_{L^2} \right). \tag{7.5}$$

Therefore combining Lemma 7.1 and the estimate (7.5) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( f(\mathbf{H}_n(1)) - f(\mathbf{H}_n) - \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} f(\mathbf{H}_n(t)) \right) \right| \\
& \leq \left( \frac{C}{\pi} \right)^{n/2} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} \|V_k\|_{\mathcal{I}^{1/2}}^2 \left\| \frac{\partial^{n+2} f}{\partial x_1 \cdots \partial x_k^3 \cdots \partial x_n} \right\|_{\infty} \\
& \quad + 2 \left( \frac{C}{\pi} \right)^{n/2} \sum_{1 \leq l < k \leq n} \|V_l\|_{\mathcal{I}^{1/2}} \cdot \|V_k\|_{\mathcal{I}^{1/2}} \left\| \frac{\partial^{n+2} f}{\partial x_1 \cdots \partial x_l^2 \cdots \partial x_k^2 \cdots \partial x_n} \right\|_{\infty}, \tag{7.6}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$C = \begin{cases} (b-a)^3 & \text{if } (b-a) \geq 1 \\ (b-a) & \text{if } (b-a) \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Now using (7.6), the Riesz-Markov representation theorem and the Hahn-Banach theorem, we get the existence of measures  $\mu_{lk}$ ,  $1 \leq l \leq k \leq n$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( f(\mathbf{H}_n(1)) - f(\mathbf{H}_n) - \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} f(\mathbf{H}_n(t)) \right) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} \int_{[a,b]^n} \frac{\partial^{n+2} f}{\partial x_1 \cdots \partial x_k^3 \cdots \partial x_n} (x_1, \dots, x_n) d\mu_{kk}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ & \quad + \sum_{1 \leq l < k \leq n} \int_{[a,b]^n} \frac{\partial^{n+2} f}{\partial x_1 \cdots \partial x_l^2 \cdots \partial x_k^2 \cdots \partial x_n} (x_1, \dots, x_n) d\mu_{lk}(x_1, \dots, x_n). \end{aligned} \tag{7.7}$$

Here the formula (7.7) shows a weaker version of the Koplienko (second-order) trace formula corresponding to a tuple of self-adjoint operators.

Therefore, the natural question arises that whether the Koplienko trace formula (see (IV)) can be obtained for pairs of tuples of commuting bounded self-adjoint operators associated with the function class  $C_c^{n+3}((a, b)^n)$ . Indeed, we end this section by stating the following open question.

**Open problem 7.2.** *Assume Hypothesis 5.1 and 5.2. Then give the existence of finite measures  $\nu_{ij}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ , on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that*

$$\tau_{\mathcal{I}} \left( f(\mathbf{H}_n(1)) - f(\mathbf{H}_n) - \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} f(\mathbf{H}_n(s)) \right) = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} (x_1, \dots, x_n) d\nu_{ij}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

for every  $f \in C_c^{n+3}((a, b)^n)$ .

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

*The authors gratefully acknowledge the support provided by IIT Guwahati, Government of India.*

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Agler, J., McCarthy, J. E., Young, N. J., *Operator monotone functions and Löwner functions of several variables*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **176**, no. 3 (2012), 1783–1826.
- [2] Aleksandrov, A. B., Peller, V. V., Potapov, D. S., *On a trace formula for functions of noncommuting operators*, *Mat. Zametki*, **106**, no. 4 (2019), 483–490.
- [3] Aleksandrov, A. B., Peller, V. V., *Functions of perturbed pairs of non-commuting contractions*, *Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat.*, **84**, no. 4 (2020), 41–65.
- [4] Aleksandrov, A. B., Peller, V. V., *Functions of noncommuting operators under perturbation of class  $S_p$* , *Math. Nachr.*, **293**, no. 5 (2020), 847–860.
- [5] Aleksandrov, A. B., Peller, V. V., *Functions of perturbed commuting dissipative operators*, *Math. Nachr.*, **295**, no. 6 (2022), 1042–1062.
- [6] Azamov, N. A., Carey, A. L., Dodds, P. G., Sukochev, F. A., *Operator integrals, spectral shift, and spectral flow*, *Canad. J. Math.*, **61**, no. 2 (2009), 241–263.

- [7] Azamov, N. A., Carey, A. L., Sukochev, F. A., *The spectral shift function and spectral flow*, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **276**, no. 1 (2007), 51–91.
- [8] Azamov, N. A., Dodds, P. G., Sukochev, F. A., *The Krein spectral shift function in semi-finite von Neumann algebras*, *Integral Equations Operator Theory*, **55**, no. 3 (2006), 347–362.
- [9] Bownik, M., Jasper, J., Siudeja, B., *The Schur-Horn theorem for unbounded operators with discrete spectrum*, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, **49**, no. 1 (2017), 148–164.
- [10] Birman, M. Š., Solomjak, M. Z., *Remarks on the spectral shift function*. Boundary value problems of mathematical physics and related questions in the theory of functions, 6, *Zap. Naučn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI)*, **27** (1972), 33–46.
- [11] Birman, M. Š., Solomjak, M. Z., *Spectral theory of self-adjoint operators in Hilbert space*, In: *Mathematics and its Applications (Soviet Series)*, D. Reidel Publishing Co., Dordrecht, 1987.
- [12] Carey, A. L., Sukochev, F. A., *Dixmier traces and some applications to noncommutative geometry*, *Russian Math. Surveys*, **61**, no. 6 (2006), 1039–1099.
- [13] Carey, Richard W., Pincus, Joel D., *Mosaics, principal functions, and mean motion in von Neumann algebras*, *Acta Math.*, **138**, no. 3-4 (1977), 153–218.
- [14] Chattopadhyay, A., Sinha, K. B., *Koplienko trace formula*, *Integral Equations Operator Theory*, **73**, no. 4 (2012), 573–587.
- [15] Chattopadhyay, A., Das, S., Pradhan, C., *Koplienko-Neidhardt trace formula for unitaries - a new proof*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **505**, no. 1 (2022), 21 pp.
- [16] Connes, A., *Noncommutative geometry*, Academic Press Inc., San Diego, CA, 1994, xiv+661.
- [17] Dixmier, J., *Existence de traces non normales*, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B*, **262** (1966), A1107–A1108.
- [18] Dykema, K., Figiel, T., Weiss, G., Wodzicki, M., *Commutator structure of operator ideals*, *Adv. Math.*, **185**, no. 1 (2004), 1–79.
- [19] Dykema, K., Kalton, Nigel J., *Sums of commutators in ideals and modules of type II factors*, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, **55**, no. 3 (2005), 931–971.
- [20] Dykema, K., Skripka, A., *Higher order spectral shift*, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **257**, no. 4 (2009), 1092–1132.
- [21] Dykema, K., Skripka, A., *Perturbation formulas for traces on normed ideals*, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **325**, no. 3 (2014), 1107–1138.
- [22] Hansen, F., *Monotone trace functions of several variables*, *Internat. J. Math.*, **16**, no. 7 (2005), 777–785.
- [23] Hansen, F., Pedersen, G. K., *Jensen’s trace inequality in several variables*, *Internat. J. Math.*, **14**, no. 6 (2003), 667–681.
- [24] Kissin, E., Potapov, D., Shulman, V., Sukochev, F., *Operator smoothness in Schatten norms for functions of several variables: Lipschitz conditions, differentiability and unbounded derivations*, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **105**, no. 4 (2012), 661–702.
- [25] Koplienko, L. S., *The trace formula for perturbations of nonnuclear type*, *Siberian Math. J.*, **25** (1984), 735–743.
- [26] Kreĭn, M. G., *On the trace formula in perturbation theory (Russian)*, *Mat. Sbornik N.S.*, **33(75)** (1953), 597–626.
- [27] Kreĭn, M. G., *On perturbation determinants and a trace formula for unitary and self-adjoint operators (Russian)*, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, **144** (1962), 268–271.
- [28] Lifšic, I. M., *On a problem of the theory of perturbations connected with quantum statistics Russian*, *Uspehi Matem. Nauk (N.S.)*, **7**, no. 1(47) (1952), 171–180.
- [29] Lord, S., Sukochev, F., Zanin, D., *Singular Traces*, de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, **46**, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 2012.
- [30] Malamud, M. M., Neidhardt, H., Peller, V. V., *Absolute continuity of spectral shift*, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **276**, no. 5 (2019), 1575–1621.
- [31] Mohapatra, A. N., Sinha, K. B., *Spectral shift function and trace formula*, *Spectral and inverse spectral theory*, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci.*, **104**, no. 4 (1994), 819–853.
- [32] Mohapatra, A. N., Sinha, K. B., *Spectral shift function and trace formula for unitaries a new proof*, *Integral Equations Operator Theory*, **24**, no. 3 (1996), 285–297.

- [33] Nazarov, F. L., Peller, V. V., *Functions of perturbed  $n$ -tuples of commuting self-adjoint operators*, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **266**, no. 8 (2014), 5398–5428.
- [34] Neidhardt, H., *Spectral shift function and Hilbert-Schmidt perturbation: extensions of some work of L. S. Koplienko*, *Math. Nachr.*, **138** (1988), 7–25.
- [35] Patel, A. B., *A joint spectral theorem for unbounded normal operators*, *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A*, **34**, no. 2 (1983), 203–213.
- [36] Peller, V. V., *Functions of commuting contractions under perturbation*, *Math. Nachr.*, **292**, no. 5 (2019), 1151–1160.
- [37] Potapov, D., Skripka, A., Sukochev, F., *Spectral shift function of higher order*, *Invent. Math.*, **193**, no. 3 (2013), 501–538.
- [38] Potapov, D., and Sukochev, F., Zanin, D. *Krein’s trace theorem revisited*, *J. Spectr. Theory*, **4**, no. 2 (2014), 415–430.
- [39] Potapov, D., Skripka, A., Sukochev, F., *Higher-order spectral shift for contractions*, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **108**, no. 2 (2014), 327–349.
- [40] Potapov, D., Sukochev, F., *Koplienko spectral shift function on the unit circle*, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **309**, no. 3 (2012), 693–702.
- [41] Reed, M., Simon, B., *Methods of modern mathematical physics. I. Functional analysis*, Academic Press, New York-London, 1972.
- [42] Skripka, A., *Taylor approximations of operator functions*, preprint, available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1302.7105>.
- [43] Skripka, A., *Trace formulas for multivariate operator functions*, *Integral Equations Operator Theory*, **81**, no. 4 (2015), 559–580.
- [44] Skripka A., Tomskova A., *Multilinear operator integrals. Theory and applications*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 2250. Springer, Cham. 2019.
- [45] Sukochev, F., Zanin, D., *Traces on symmetrically normed operator ideals*, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **678** (2013), 163–200.
- [46] Sz.-Nagy, B., Foias, C., Bercovici, H., Kérchy, L., *Harmonic analysis of operators on Hilbert space*, Universitext, Revised and enlarged edition, Springer, New York, 2010.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY GUWAHATI, GUWAHATI, 781039, INDIA

*Email address:* arupchatt@iitg.ac.in, 2003arupchattopadhyay@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY GUWAHATI, GUWAHATI, 781039, INDIA

*Email address:* saikat.giri@iitg.ac.in, saikatgiri90@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY GUWAHATI, GUWAHATI, 781039, INDIA

*Email address:* chandan.math@iitg.ac.in, chandan.pradhan2108@gmail.com