

# Hirota type Bazhanov–Stroganov maps

S. Konstantinou-Rizos\*

Centre of Integrable Systems, P.G. Demidov Yaroslavl State University, Yaroslavl, Russia

## Abstract

Bazhanov–Stroganov maps are set theoretical solutions to the 4-simplex equation, namely the fourth member of the family of  $n$ -simplex equations, which are fundamental equations of mathematical physics. In this letter, we develop a method for constructing Bazhanov–Stroganov 4-simplex maps as extensions of solutions to the Zamolodchikov tetrahedron equation. We employ this method to construct birational, noninvolutive 4-simplex maps which boil down to the famous Hirota tetrahedron map at a certain limit.

**PACS numbers:** 02.30.Ik, 02.90.+p, 03.65.Fd.

**Mathematics Subject Classification 2020:** 16T25, 81R12.

**Keywords:** Local tetrahedron equation, 4-simplex maps, Bazhanov–Stroganov equation, Zamolodchikov tetrahedron maps, Hirota type 4-simplex map, Lax representations.

## 1 Introduction

The  $n$ -simplex equations are generalisations of the famous Yang–Baxter equation and are fundamental equations of Mathematical Physics. Their popularity is due to the fact that they appear in a wide range of applications in Mathematics and Physics, and they are strictly related to integrable systems of differential and difference equations. The most celebrated members of the family of  $n$ -simplex equations are the Yang–Baxter (2-simplex) equation, the Zamolodchikov tetrahedron (3-simplex) equation [12] and the Bazhanov–Stroganov (4-simplex) equation [1].

There are several methods that associate  $n$ -simplex maps with integrable systems. We indicatively refer to the relation between  $n$ -simplex maps and integrable lattice equations via symmetries [10, 3] as well as integrable nonlinear PDEs via Darboux and Bäcklund transformations [7, 8]. Thus, the development of methods for constructing interesting  $n$ -simplex maps is a significant task which may give rise to important integrable models.

This letter is concerned with the development of a simple scheme for construction of Bazhanov–Stroganov 4-simplex maps as extensions of Zamolodchikov tetrahedron maps. The proposed scheme is a generalisation of the method presented in [5] for constructing 4-simplex maps, and its methodology involves working with simple matrix refactorisation problems and makes use of straightforward computational algebra. The advantage of the proposed generalised scheme versus the method presented in [5] is that it derives more interesting 4-simplex maps which are noninvolutive extensions of involutive 3-simplex maps. This is a quite interesting phenomenon, since involutive maps possess trivial dynamics.

---

\*skonstantin84@gmail.com

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we give the definitions of tetrahedron and Bazhanov–Stroganov maps, and we explain how to derive such maps using matrix refactorisation problems.

### 2.1 Local Yang–Baxter equation and tetrahedron maps

A map  $T \in \text{End}(\mathcal{X}^3)$ , namely  $T : (x, y, z) \mapsto (u(x, y, z), v(x, y, z), w(x, y, z))$ , is called a *tetrahedron map* if it satisfies the *functional tetrahedron* (or Zamolodchikov’s tetrahedron) equation [12]

$$T^{123} \circ T^{145} \circ T^{246} \circ T^{356} = T^{356} \circ T^{246} \circ T^{145} \circ T^{123}. \quad (1)$$

Functions  $T^{ijk} \in \text{End}(\mathcal{X}^6)$ ,  $i, j = 1, \dots, 6$ ,  $i < j < k$ , in (1) are maps that act as map  $T$  on the  $ijk$  terms of the Cartesian product  $\mathcal{X}^6$  and trivially on the others. For instance,  $T^{356}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6) = (x_1, x_2, u(x_3, x_5, x_6), x_4, v(x_3, x_5, x_6), w(x_3, x_5, x_6))$ .

Now, let  $L = L(x)$  be a matrix depending on a variable  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  of the form  $L(x) = \begin{pmatrix} a(x) & b(x) \\ c(x) & d(x) \end{pmatrix}$ , where its entries  $a, b, c$  and  $d$  are scalar functions of  $x$ . Let  $L_{ij}^3$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2, 3$ ,  $i \neq j$ , be the  $3 \times 3$  extensions of  $L(x)$ , defined by  $L_{12}^3(x) = \begin{pmatrix} a(x) & b(x) & 0 \\ c(x) & d(x) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $L_{13}^3(x) = \begin{pmatrix} a(x) & 0 & b(x) \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ c(x) & 0 & d(x) \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $L_{23}^3(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a(x) & b(x) \\ 0 & c(x) & d(x) \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $L_{ij}^3 = L_{ij}^3(x)$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2, 3$ . The following matrix trifactorisation problem

$$L_{12}^3(u)L_{13}^3(v)L_{23}^3(w) = L_{23}^3(z)L_{13}^3(y)L_{12}^3(x), \quad (2)$$

is the Maillet–Nijhoff equation [9] in Korepanov’s form, which appears in the literature as the *local Yang–Baxter* equation. If a map of  $T \in \text{End}(\mathcal{X}^3)$  satisfies the local Yang–Baxter equation (2), then this map is a possible tetrahedron map, and equation (2) is called its *Lax representation* [4].

### 2.2 Local tetrahedron equation and 4-simplex maps

A map  $S \in \text{End}(\mathcal{X}^4)$ , namely  $S : (x, y, z, t) \mapsto (u(x, y, z, t), v(x, y, z, t), w(x, y, z, t), r(x, y, z, t))$ , is called a *4-simplex map* or *Bazhanov–Stroganov* map if it satisfies the *set-theoretical 4-simplex* equation [1]

$$S^{1234} \circ S^{1567} \circ S^{2589} \circ S^{368,10} \circ S^{479,10} = S^{479,10} \circ S^{368,10} \circ S^{2589} \circ S^{1567} \circ S^{1234}. \quad (3)$$

Functions  $S^{ijkl} \in \text{End}(\mathcal{X}^{10})$ ,  $i, j, k, l = 1, \dots, 10$ ,  $i < j < k < l$ , in (3) are maps that act as map  $S$  on the  $ijkl$  terms of the Cartesian product  $\mathcal{X}^{10}$  and trivially on the others. For instance,

$$S^{1567}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{10}) = (u(x_1, x_5, x_6, x_7), x_2, x_3, x_4, v(x_1, x_5, x_6, x_7), w(x_1, x_5, x_6, x_7), r(x_1, x_5, x_6, x_7), x_8, x_9, x_{10}).$$

Now, let  $L = L(x)$  be a  $3 \times 3$  square matrix depending on a variable  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  of the form  $L(x) = \begin{pmatrix} a(x) & b(x) & c(x) \\ d(x) & e(x) & f(x) \\ k(x) & l(x) & m(x) \end{pmatrix}$ , where its entries are scalar functions of  $x$ . Let  $L_{ijk}^6(x)$ ,  $i, j, k = 1, \dots, 6$ ,  $i < j < k$ , be

the  $6 \times 6$  extensions of matrix  $L(x)$ , defined by

$$L_{123}^6(x) = \begin{pmatrix} a(x) & b(x) & c(x) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ d(x) & e(x) & f(x) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ k(x) & l(x) & m(x) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad L_{145}^6(x) = \begin{pmatrix} a(x) & 0 & 0 & b(x) & c(x) & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ d(x) & 0 & 0 & e(x) & f(x) & 0 \\ k(x) & 0 & 0 & l(x) & m(x) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4a)$$

$$L_{246}^6(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a(x) & 0 & b(x) & 0 & c(x) \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d(x) & 0 & e(x) & 0 & f(x) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & k(x) & 0 & l(x) & 0 & m(x) \end{pmatrix}, \quad L_{356}^6(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a(x) & 0 & b(x) & c(x) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & d(x) & 0 & e(x) & f(x) \\ 0 & 0 & k(x) & 0 & l(x) & m(x) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4b)$$

We call the following matrix four-factorisation problem

$$L_{123}^6(u)L_{145}^6(v)L_{246}^6(w)L_{356}^6(r) = L_{356}^6(t)L_{246}^6(z)L_{145}^6(y)L_{123}^6(x) \quad (5)$$

*local tetrahedron equation.* The local tetrahedron equation is a generator of potential solutions to the 4-simplex equation. If map  $S \in \text{End}(\mathcal{X}^4)$  satisfies equation (5), then this map is a possible 4-simplex map, and the matrix refactorisation problem (5) is called a *Lax representation* for map  $S$  [4].

### 3 4-simplex extension scheme

Let  $T \in \text{End}(\mathcal{X}^3)$  be a tetrahedron map with Lax representation (2) for some matrix  $L(x)$ . If we consider the  $3 \times 3$  extension of  $L(x)$ , namely matrix  $M(x) \equiv L_{12}^3(x) = \begin{pmatrix} a(x) & b(x) & 0 \\ c(x) & d(x) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , and substitute it to the local tetrahedron equation (5), we will obtain a trivial extension of map  $T$  as a solution to the 4-simplex equation [5]. In order to derive a nontrivial 4-simplex map, we follow the following steps:

**Step I:** Introduce an auxiliary variable  $x_2$ , namely we consider the following matrix

$$K(x_1, x_2) = \begin{pmatrix} a(x_1, x_2) & b(x_1, x_2) & 0 \\ c(x_1, x_2) & d(x_1, x_2) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6)$$

such that for  $x_2 \rightarrow 1$ , we have  $K(x_1, x_2) \rightarrow M(x_1)$ .

**Step II:** Substitute  $K(x_1, x_2)$  to the local tetrahedron equation

$$K_{123}^6(u_1, u_2)K_{145}^6(v_1, v_2)K_{246}^6(w_1, w_2)K_{356}^6(r_1, r_2) = K_{356}^6(t_1, t_2; \delta)K_{246}^6(z_1, z_2)K_{145}^6(y_1, y_2)K_{123}^6(x_1, x_2). \quad (7)$$

**Step III:** Solve (7) for  $u_i, v_i, w_i$  and  $r_i, i = 1, 2$ ; we aim to obtain a 4-simplex map or a correspondence which, for particular values of the free variables, will define 4-simplex maps.

**Remark 3.1.** This extends the method presented in [5] by allowing the elements  $a, b, c$  and  $d$  of matrix  $K(x_1, x_2)$  in (6) to depend on the auxiliary variable  $x_2$ . We will demonstrate that the latter assumption implies more interesting 4-simplex maps with more interesting dynamics than the maps derived via the method in [5].

## 4 Hirota type Bazhanov–Stroganov map

### 4.1 Hirota 4-simplex map

The famous Hirota tetrahedron map reads [2]

$$T : (x, y, z) \rightarrow \left( \frac{xy}{x+z}, x+z, \frac{yz}{x+z} \right), \quad (8)$$

and it possesses a Lax representation (2) for  $L(x) = \begin{pmatrix} x & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{C}$ .

In order to construct a 4-simplex extension of Hirota map (8), we consider the  $3 \times 3$  extension of  $L(x)$ :

$$M(x) \equiv L_{12}^3(x) = \begin{pmatrix} x & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (9)$$

Then, we introduce a generalisation of matrix  $M(x)$ , namely matrix  $K(x_1, x_2) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{x_1}{x_2} & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_2 \end{pmatrix}$ , such that for  $x_2 \rightarrow 1$ ,  $K(x_1, x_2) \rightarrow M(x_1)$ . We consider the  $6 \times 6$  extensions of matrix  $K(x_1, x_2)$ , namely the following

$$\begin{aligned} K_{123}^6(x_1, x_2) &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{x_1}{x_2} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & K_{145}^6(x_1, x_2) &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{x_1}{x_2} & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ K_{246}^6(x_1, x_2) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{x_1}{x_2} & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x_2 \end{pmatrix}, & K_{356}^6(x_1, x_2) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{x_1}{x_2} & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x_2 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

and substitute them to the local tetrahedron equation

$$K_{123}^6(u_1, u_2)K_{145}^6(v_1, v_2)K_{246}^6(w_1, w_2)K_{356}^6(r_1, r_2) = K_{356}^6(t_1, t_2)K_{246}^6(z_1, z_2)K_{145}^6(y_1, y_2)K_{123}^6(x_1, x_2).$$

The above is equivalent to the following correspondence between  $\mathbb{C}^8$  and  $\mathbb{C}^8$ :

$$u_1 = \frac{x_1 y_1 z_2}{x_2 z_1 + x_1 z_2}, \quad u_2 = y_2, \quad v_1 = \frac{x_2 z_1 + x_1 z_2}{z_2}, \quad v_2 = x_2, \quad w_1 = \frac{w_2 x_2 y_1 z_1}{y_2 (x_2 z_1 + x_1 z_2)}, \quad r_1 = \frac{t_1 x_2 z_2}{w_2 y_2}, \quad r_2 = \frac{t_2 z_2}{w_2}. \quad (10)$$

For the choices of the free variable  $w_2 = t_2$  and  $w_2 = z_2$  the above correspondence defines 4-simplex maps. In particular, we have the following.

**Proposition 4.1.** *The following maps*

$$S_1 : (x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2, t_1, t_2) \rightarrow \left( \frac{x_1 y_1 z_2}{x_2 z_1 + x_1 z_2}, y_2, \frac{x_2 z_1 + x_1 z_2}{z_2}, x_2, \frac{t_2 x_2 y_1 z_1}{y_2 (x_2 z_1 + x_1 z_2)}, t_2, \frac{t_1 x_2 z_2}{t_2 y_2}, z_2 \right), \quad (11)$$

$$S_2 : (x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2, t_1, t_2) \rightarrow \left( \frac{x_1 y_1 z_2}{x_2 z_1 + x_1 z_2}, y_2, \frac{x_2 z_1 + x_1 z_2}{z_2}, x_2, \frac{x_2 y_1 z_1 z_2}{y_2 (x_2 z_1 + x_1 z_2)}, z_2, \frac{t_1 x_2}{y_2}, t_2 \right), \quad (12)$$

are eight-dimensional, birational 4-simplex maps which share the same functionally independent invariants  $I_1 = x_1 y_1$ ,  $I_2 = x_2 y_2$ ,  $I_3 = x_2 + y_2$ ,  $I_4 = z_2 t_2$  and  $I_5 = z_2 + t_2$ . Moreover, map  $S_1$  admits the invariant  $I_6 = x_2 z_2 t_1$ , whereas  $S_2$  admits the invariant  $I_7 = x_2 t_1$ .

*Proof.* Maps (11) and (12) follow after substitution of  $w_2 = t_2$  and  $w_2 = z_2$ , respectively, to (10). The 4-simplex property can be verified with straightforward substitution to the 4-simplex equation (3). The invariants are obvious.

Finally, the inverse of maps (11) and (12) are given by:

$$S_1^{-1}(u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2, w_1, w_2, r_1, r_2) \rightarrow \left( \frac{u_1 v_1 w_2}{u_2 w_1 + u_1 w_2}, v_2, \frac{u_2 w_1 + u_1 w_2}{w_2}, u_2, \frac{r_2 u_2 v_1 w_1}{v_2 (u_2 w_1 + u_1 w_2)}, r_2, \frac{r_1 u_2 w_2}{r_2 v_2}, w_2 \right),$$

$$S_2^{-1}(u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2, w_1, w_2, r_1, r_2) \rightarrow \left( \frac{u_1 v_1 w_2}{u_2 w_1 + u_1 w_2}, v_2, \frac{u_2 w_1 + u_1 w_2}{w_2}, u_2, \frac{u_2 v_1 w_1 w_2}{v_2 (u_2 w_1 + u_1 w_2)}, w_2, \frac{r_1 u_2}{v_2}, r_2 \right),$$

therefore maps (11) and (12) are birational. Note that maps  $S_1^{-1}$  and  $S_2^{-1}$  are also 4-simplex maps.  $\square$

**Remark 4.2.** At the limit  $(x_2, y_2, z_2, t_2) \rightarrow (1, 1, 1, 1)$  maps (11) and (12) tend to

$$S : (x, y, z, t) \rightarrow \left( \frac{xy}{x+z}, x+z, \frac{yz}{x+z}, t \right), \quad (13)$$

which is a trivial 4-simplex extension of the Hirota map (8). Thus, they can be regarded as 4-simplex extensions of the Hirota map (8).

## 4.2 Noninvolutive Hirota type 4-simplex map

Maps  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  of Proposition 4.1 are involutive, i.e.  $S_i \circ S_i = \text{id}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . In order to derive a noninvolutive 4-simplex generalisation of the Hirota map (8), we consider another generalisation of the generator (9), namely matrix

$$K(x_1, x_2) = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{x_2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_2 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ such that } x_2 \rightarrow 1, K(x_1, x_2) \rightarrow M(x_1), \text{ and also } \det(K(x_1, x_2)) = \det(M(x_1)) = -1.$$

We substitute matrix  $K(x_1, x_2)$  to (7), and the latter is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 v_1 = x_1 y_1, \quad w_1 + \frac{u_1}{w_2} = y_1, \quad \frac{v_1}{u_2} = \frac{x_1}{y_2} + \frac{z_1}{x_2}, \quad \frac{1}{u_2 w_2} = \frac{1}{y_2}, \\ r_1 u_2 = t_1 x_2, \quad u_2 = y_2, \quad \frac{1}{v_2} = \frac{1}{x_2 z_2}, \quad \frac{v_2}{r_2} = \frac{x_2}{t_2}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

**Theorem 4.3** (Bazhanov–Stroganov Hirota type map). *The following map*

$$\hat{S} : (x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2, t_1, t_2) \rightarrow \left( \frac{x_1 x_2 y_1}{x_1 x_2 + y_2 z_1}, y_2, \frac{x_1 x_2 + y_2 z_1}{x_2}, x_2 z_2, \frac{y_1 y_2 z_1}{x_1 x_2 + y_2 z_1}, 1, \frac{t_1 x_2}{y_2}, t_2 z_2 \right), \quad (15)$$

is an eight-dimensional noninvolutive 4-simplex map with functionally independent invariants  $I_1 = x_1 y_1$ ,  $I_2 = y_2$ ,  $I_3 = x_2 t_1$  and  $I_4 = z_2 t_2$ . Moreover, map (15) admits Lax representation (7), where  $K(x_1, x_2) = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{x_2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_2 \end{pmatrix}$ .

Finally, at the limit  $(x_2, y_2, z_2, t_2) \rightarrow (1, 1, 1, 1)$ , map (15) can be restricted to the Hirota map (15).

*Proof.* System (14) has a unique solution represented by map (15); thus, map (15) admits Lax representation (7). The 4-simplex property can be readily verified by straightforward substitution to the 4-simplex equation (3). Moreover, we have, for instance,  $u_1 \circ \hat{S}(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2, t_1, t_2) = \frac{x_1(x_1 x_2 + y_2 z_1)}{x_2(x_1 + z_1 z_2)} \neq \text{id}$ . Therefore, map (15) is noninvolutive. Finally, regarding the invariants, we have that, in view of (15),  $u_1 v_1 = x_1 y_1$ ,  $v_2 = y_2$ ,  $u_2 r_1 = x_2 t_1$  and  $w_2 r_2 = z_2 t_2$ . Clearly, these invariants are functional independent. Finally, at the limit  $(x_2, y_2, z_2, t_2) \rightarrow (1, 1, 1, 1)$ , map (15) can be restricted to the Hirota map (15).  $\square$

## 5 Conclusions

In this letter, we presented a scheme for constructing interesting 4-simplex extensions of Zamolodchikov tetrahedron maps; this scheme generalises the method presented in [5]. Moreover, we employed this scheme for the construction of novel 4-simplex maps of Hirota type, namely Bazhanov–Stroganov maps which are reduced to the famous Hirota map (8) at a certain limit.

In particular, we constructed novel eight-dimensional 4-simplex maps, namely maps (11), (12) and (15). All these maps can be reduced to map (8) at the limit  $(x_2, y_2, z_2, t_2) \rightarrow (1, 1, 1, 1)$ . It is worth noting that, even though map (8) is involutive (thus it possesses trivial dynamics), its 4-simplex extension (15) is noninvolutive.

The results of this paper can be extended in the following ways.

- All maps presented in this paper admit enough functionally independent first integrals which indicates their integrability. Their Liouville integrability is an open problem.
- We derived maps using particular  $3 \times 3$  matrices. One could study all the possible  $3 \times 3$  matrices that generate 4-simplex maps via the local tetrahedron equation. Certain classification results on the solutions to the local tetrahedron equation which derive 4-simplex maps will appear in our future publication.
- Since the Hirota map (8) is related to Desargues lattices [2], one could study the relation of the latter to the 4-simplex generalisations of the Hirota map (11), (12) and (15).
- Find which additional matrix conditions must be satisfied so that the solutions to the local tetrahedron equation define 4-simplex maps (see [6] for similar results for tetrahedron maps).
- Revisit Sergeev’s list of maps [11]. Employing the extension scheme of Section 3, one may construct more interesting 4-simplex extensions of Sergeev’s maps than the ones presented in [5]. For example, map (27) in [5]

were generated by  $K(x_1, x_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & 0 \\ \frac{k}{x_1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_2 \end{pmatrix}$ . Considering, instead, matrix  $K(x_1, x_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{x_1}{x_2} & 0 \\ \frac{k}{x_1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_2 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\det(K(x_1, x_2)) = -k$ , one may construct the following novel Bazhanov–Stroganov 4-simplex map

$$S : (x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2, t_1, t_2) \rightarrow \left( \frac{x_1 y_1 z_2}{x_1 z_1 + y_1 z_2}, x_2, \frac{x_1 z_1}{k}, \frac{y_2 z_2}{t_2}, \frac{x_2 t_2 (x_1 z_1 + y_1 z_2)}{x_1 y_2 z_2}, t_2, \frac{t_1 y_2 z_2}{x_2 t_2}, z_2 \right),$$

which is more interesting than (27) in [5]. This can be done for all maps in [5].

## Acknowledgements

This work is funded by the Russian Science Foundation (Grant No. 21-71-30011), <https://rscf.ru/en/project/21-71-30011/>. I would like to thank S. Igonin for various useful discussions.

## References

- [1] V.V. Bazhanov and Yu.G. Stroganov, Conditions of commutativity of transfer matrices on a multidimensional lattice, *Theor. Math. Phys.* 52 (1982) 685–691.
- [2] A. Doliwa and R.M. Kashaev, Non-commutative bi-rational maps satisfying Zamolodchikov equation equation, and Desargues lattices, *J. Math. Phys.* 61 (2020) 092704.
- [3] P. Kassotakis, M. Nieszporski, V. Papageorgiou, A. Tongas, Tetrahedron maps and symmetries of three dimensional integrable discrete equations, *J. Math. Phys.* 60 (2019) 123503.
- [4] A. Dimakis, F. Müller-Hoissen, Simplex and polygon equations, *Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications (SIGMA)* 11 (2015) 042.

- [5] S. Konstantinou-Rizos. Birational solutions to the set-theoretical 4-simplex equation. *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena* **448** (2023), 133696.
- [6] S. Konstantinou-Rizos. Noncommutative solutions to Zamolodchikov's tetrahedron equation and matrix six-factorisation problems. *Physica D* **440** (2022) 133466.
- [7] S. Konstantinou-Rizos and A.V. Mikhailov. Darboux transformations, finite reduction groups and related Yang–Baxter maps. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **46** (2013) 425201.
- [8] S. Konstantinou-Rizos, G. Papamikos, Entwining Yang–Baxter maps related to NLS type equations, *Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **52** (2019) 485201.
- [9] J.M. Maillet, F. Nijhoff, The tetrahedron equation and the four-simplex equation, *Phys. Lett. A* **134** (1989) 221–228.
- [10] V.G. Papageorgiou, A.G. Tongas, Yang–Baxter maps associated to elliptic curves, (2009) arXiv:0906.3258v1.
- [11] S.M. Sergeev. Solutions of the Functional Tetrahedron Equation Connected with the Local Yang–Baxter Equation for the Ferro-Electric Condition. *Lett. Math. Phys.* **45** (1998), 113–119.
- [12] A.B. Zamolodchikov, Tetrahedron equations and the relativistic S matrix of straight strings in (2+1)-dimensions, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **79** (1981) 489–505.