

On certain kernel functions and shifted convolution sums of the Fourier coefficients

By K. Venkatasubbareddy and A. Sankaranarayanan

Abstract. We study the behavior of the shifted convolution sum involving fourth power of the Fourier coefficients of holomorphic cusp forms with a weight function to be the k -full kernel function for any fixed integer $k \geq 2$.

1. Introduction

Let $k \geq 2$ be any fixed integer. Then any integer $n \geq 1$ can be uniquely decomposed as $n = q(n)k(n)$, $(q(n), k(n)) = 1$ where $q(n)$ is k -free and $k(n)$ is k -full ($k(n)$ is k -full if $p^k \mid k(n)$ whenever $p \mid k(n)$). By Ivić and Tenenbaum [15], a non negative integer valued function $a(n)$ is called k -full kernel function if $a(n) = a(k(n))$ for all $n \geq 1$ and $a(n) \ll n^\epsilon$ for any $\epsilon > 0$. It is noted to be that k -full kernel functions are not necessarily multiplicative.

For an even integer $\kappa \geq 2$, we denote H_κ be the set of all normalized primitive Hecke eigencusp forms $f(z)$ for the full modular group $\Gamma = SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$. It is known that $f(z)$ has the Fourier expansion at the cusp ∞

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_f(n) n^{\frac{\kappa-1}{2}} e^{2\pi i n z} \text{ for } \Im(z) > 0,$$

where $\lambda_f(n)$ is real and satisfies the multiplicative condition

$$\lambda_f(m)\lambda_f(n) = \sum_{d|(m, n)} \lambda_f\left(\frac{mn}{d^2}\right)$$

Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 11F30, 11F66.

Key words and phrases: Fourier coefficients of automorphic forms, Dirichlet series, Perron's formula, Dirichlet character.

for all $m, n \geq 1$. By Deligne [5], [6], there exists two complex numbers $\alpha_f(p)$ and $\beta_f(p)$ such that

$$\lambda_f(p) = \alpha_f(p) + \beta_f(p) \text{ and } \alpha_f(p)\beta_f(p) = |\alpha_f(p)| = |\beta_f(p)| = 1 \quad (1.1)$$

and also for holomorphic cusp forms, Deligne [5] proved the Ramanujan- Petersson conjecture

$$|\lambda_f(n)| \leq d(n).$$

In the history, many authors investigated about shifted convolution sums with $GL(2)$ Fourier coefficients (see [2], [11] and [17]), Erdős and Ivić [7] studied convolution sums with divisor function $d(n)$ and $\omega(n)$, obtained the following asymptotic relations

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \leq x} a(n)d(n+1) &= C_1 x \log x + C_2 x + O\left(x^{\frac{8}{9}+\epsilon}\right) \\ \sum_{n \leq x} a(n)\omega(n+1) &= D_1 x \log \log x + D_2 x + O\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $C_1, D_1 > 0$ and C_2, D_2 are constants can be evaluated explicitly.

In the paper [9], Guangshi Lü and Dan Wang investigated the shifted convolution sums of squares of Fourier coefficients with square full kernel function $a^*(n)$ and obtained an asymptotic formula for the sum

$$\sum_{n \leq x} a^*(n)\lambda_f^2(n+1).$$

In this paper we are interested in the shifted convolution sum of the fourth power of the Fourier coefficients with k -full kernel function $a(n)$ for any fixed integer $k \geq 2$. More precisely we study the sum $\sum_{n \leq x} a(n)\lambda_f^4(n+1)$.

We prove:

Theorem 1. *Let $f \in H_\kappa$ and $q \geq 100$ be any integer. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$ and $q \ll x^{\frac{23}{181}-\epsilon}$, we have*

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq x+1 \\ n \equiv 1(q)}} \lambda_f^4(n) = c_1 x \log x \frac{\phi(q)}{q^2} + O\left(\frac{x^{\frac{158}{181}+\epsilon} q^{1+\epsilon}}{\phi(q)}\right)$$

uniformly.

Theorem 2. Let $f \in H_\kappa$ and $q \geq 100$ be prime. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$ and $q \ll x^{\frac{3}{23}-\epsilon}$, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq x+1 \\ n \equiv 1(q)}} \lambda_f^4(n) = c_1 x \log x \frac{\phi(q)}{q^2} + O\left(\frac{x^{\frac{20}{23}+\epsilon} q^{1+\epsilon}}{\phi(q)}\right)$$

uniformly.

In both the theorems 1 and 2, c_1 is the same constant that can be evaluated explicitly. As an application of theorem 1 we obtain:

Theorem 3. For any integer $k \geq 2$, let $a(n)$ be the k -full kernel function and $f \in H_\kappa$. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$, we have

$$\sum_{n \leq x} a(n) \lambda_f^4(n+1) = c_2 x \log x + O\left(x^{\frac{520k+23}{543k}+\epsilon}\right). \quad \square$$

where c_2 is a constant can be evaluated explicitly.

Remark 1. Throughout this paper ϵ is any small positive constant and all the implied constants might depend upon k and ϵ .

2. Preliminaries and lemmas

For $f \in H_\kappa$, the Hecke L -function attached to f is defined as

$$L(s, f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_f(n)}{n^s} = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_f(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{\beta_f(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1}$$

which converges absolutely for $\Re(s) > 1$, where $\alpha_f(p)$ and $\beta_f(p)$ satisfies (1.1). The 2^{nd} symmetric power L -function attached to f defined as

$$\begin{aligned} L(s, \text{sym}^2 f) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{\text{sym}^2 f}(n)}{n^s} \\ &= \prod_p \prod_{j=0}^2 \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_f^{2-j}(p) \beta_f^j(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

which converges absolutely for $\Re(s) > 1$.

For a Dirichlet character modulo q the twisted 2^{nd} power L -function attached to f is

defined as

$$\begin{aligned} L(s, \text{sym}^2 f \otimes \chi) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{\text{sym}^2 f}(n)\chi(n)}{n^s} \\ &= \prod_p \prod_{0 \leq j \leq 2} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_f^{2-2j}(p)\chi(p)}{p^s} \right)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

for $\Re(s) > 1$ and $L(s, \text{sym}^2 f \otimes \chi)$ is of degree 3, similarly the twisted 4th power L -function attached to f is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} L(s, \text{sym}^4 f \otimes \chi) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{\text{sym}^4 f}(n)\chi(n)}{n^s} \\ &= \prod_p \prod_{0 \leq j \leq 4} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_f^{4-2j}(p)\chi(p)}{p^s} \right)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

for $\Re(s) > 1$ and $L(s, \text{sym}^4 f \otimes \chi)$ is of degree 5.

Now we define

$$F_f(s, \chi) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_f^4(n)\chi(n)}{n^s}$$

for $\Re(s) > 1$ then by the multiplicative property of $\lambda_f(n)$ and $\chi(n)$, we have

$$F_f(s, \chi) = \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{\lambda_f^4(p)\chi(p)}{p^s} + \frac{\lambda_f^4(p^2)\chi(p^2)}{p^{2s}} + \dots \right).$$

Corresponding to each Dirichlet character χ modulo q there exists a conductor q_1 , the smallest divisor of q such that $\chi = \chi_0 \chi^*$, where χ_0 is principal character modulo q and χ^* is a Dirichlet character modulo q_1 . For some characters we have $q_1 = q$, such characters are called primitive characters.

Lemma 2.1. For $\Re(s) > 1$, define

$$F_f(s, \chi) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_f^4(n)\chi(n)}{n^s}$$

where χ is a Dirichlet character modulo q . Then we have

$$F_f(s, \chi) = L^2(s, \chi)L^3(s, \text{sym}^2 f \otimes \chi)L(s, \text{sym}^4 f \otimes \chi)U(s) \quad (2.1)$$

where $U(s)$ is some Dirichlet series which converges absolutely in $\Re(s) \geq \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon$.

PROOF. From (1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lambda_f^4(p) &= (\alpha_f(p) + \beta_f(p))^4 \\
 &= \alpha_f^4(p) + \beta_f^4(p) + 4\alpha_f^3(p)\beta_f(p) + 4\alpha_f(p)\beta_f^3(p) + 6\alpha_f^2(p)\beta_f^2(p) \\
 &= \alpha_f^4(p) + \beta_f^4(p) + 4\alpha_f^2(p) + 4\beta_f^2(p) + 6 \\
 &= (\alpha_f^4(p) + \beta_f^4(p) + \alpha_f^2(p) + \beta_f^2(p) + 1) + 3(\alpha_f^2(p) + \beta_f^2(p) + 1) + 2.
 \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lambda_f^4(p)\chi(p) &= (\alpha_f^4(p) + \beta_f^4(p) + \alpha_f^2(p) + \beta_f^2(p) + 1)\chi(p) \\
 &\quad + 3(\alpha_f^2(p) + \beta_f^2(p) + 1)\chi(p) + 2\chi(p).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lambda_f^4(n)$ and $\chi(n)$ are multiplicative functions, by standard arguments the above relation leads us to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_f(s, \chi) &= \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{\lambda_f^4(p)\chi(p)}{p^s} + \frac{\lambda_f^4(p^2)\chi(p^2)}{p^{2s}} + \dots \right) \\
 &= L^2(s, \chi)L^3(s, \text{sym}^2 f \otimes \chi)L(s, \text{sym}^4 f \otimes \chi)U(s),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $U(s)$ is some Dirichlet series which converges absolutely in $\Re(s) \geq \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon$. \square

Lemma 2.2. *Let χ_0 be a principal character modulo q . Then we have*

$$L(s, \chi_0) = \zeta(s) \prod_{p|q} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s} \right), \quad (2.2)$$

$$L(s, \text{sym}^2 f \otimes \chi_0) = L(s, \text{sym}^2 f) \prod_{p|q} \prod_{0 \leq j \leq 2} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_f^{2-2j}(p)}{p^s} \right), \quad (2.3)$$

$$L(s, \text{sym}^4 f \otimes \chi_0) = L(s, \text{sym}^4 f) \prod_{p|q} \prod_{0 \leq j \leq 4} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_f^{4-2j}(p)}{p^s} \right). \quad (2.4)$$

PROOF. For the principal character χ_0 modulo q , we have by definition

$$\begin{aligned}
L(s, \chi_0) &= \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\chi_0(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1} \\
&= \prod_{p \nmid q} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^{-1} \\
&= \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^{-1} \prod_{p \mid q} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right) \\
&= \zeta(s) \prod_{p \mid q} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
L(s, \text{sym}^2 f \otimes \chi_0) &= \prod_p \prod_{0 \leq j \leq 2} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_f^{2-2j}(p) \chi_0(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1} \\
&= \prod_{p \nmid q} \prod_{0 \leq j \leq 2} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_f^{2-2j}(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1} \\
&= \prod_p \prod_{0 \leq j \leq 2} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_f^{2-2j}(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1} \prod_{p \mid q} \prod_{0 \leq j \leq 2} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_f^{2-2j}(p)}{p^s}\right) \\
&= L(s, \text{sym}^2 f) \prod_{p \mid q} \prod_{0 \leq j \leq 2} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_f^{2-2j}(p)}{p^s}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

The other equality follows in a similar manner. \square

Lemma 2.3. *Let χ be a non-primitive character modulo q and χ^* be primitive character modulo $q_1 (\neq q)$, induced by χ . Then we have*

$$L(s, \chi) = L(s, \chi^*) \prod_{\substack{p \mid q \\ p \nmid q_1}} \left(1 - \frac{\chi^*(p)}{p^s}\right), \quad (2.5)$$

$$L(s, \text{sym}^2 f \otimes \chi) = L(s, \text{sym}^2 f \otimes \chi^*) \prod_{\substack{p \mid q \\ p \nmid q_1 \\ 0 \leq j \leq 2}} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_p^{2-2j} \chi^*(p)}{p^s}\right), \quad (2.6)$$

$$L(s, \text{sym}^4 f \otimes \chi) = L(s, \text{sym}^4 f \otimes \chi^*) \prod_{\substack{p \mid q \\ p \nmid q_1 \\ 0 \leq j \leq 4}} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_p^{4-2j} \chi^*(p)}{p^s}\right), \quad (2.7)$$

$$\prod_{\substack{p|q \\ p \nmid q_1}} \left(1 - \frac{\chi^*(p)}{p^s}\right) \ll q^\epsilon \text{ for } \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon < \Re(s) < 1 + \epsilon, \quad (2.8)$$

$$\prod_{\substack{p|q \\ p \nmid q_1 \\ 0 \leq j \leq 2}} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_p^{2-2j} \chi^*(p)}{p^s}\right) \ll q^\epsilon \text{ for } \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon < \Re(s) < 1 + \epsilon, \quad (2.9)$$

$$\text{and } \prod_{\substack{p|q \\ p \nmid q_1 \\ 0 \leq j \leq 4}} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_p^{4-2j} \chi^*(p)}{p^s}\right) \ll q^\epsilon \text{ for } \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon < \Re(s) < 1 + \epsilon. \quad (2.10)$$

PROOF. We have χ^* is induced by χ ,

i.e., $\chi = \chi_0 \chi^*$, where χ_0 is principal character modulo q .

The first 3 equalities follow from the above observation and the bounds in (2.8), (2.9) and (2.10) are trivial. \square

Lemma 2.4 (KR+AS). *For $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq 2$, T -sufficiently large, there exist a $T^* \in [T, T + T^{\frac{1}{3}}]$ such that the bound*

$$\log \zeta(\sigma + iT^*) \ll (\log \log T^*)^2 \ll (\log \log T)^2$$

holds uniformly for $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq 2$ and thus we have

$$|\zeta(\sigma + iT^*)| \ll \exp((\log \log T^*)^2) \ll_\epsilon T^\epsilon \quad (2.11)$$

on the horizontal line with $t = T^*$ uniformly for $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq 2$.

PROOF. See, Lemma 1 of [20]. \square

Lemma 2.5. *For $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq 2$ and $T \geq 2$, we have*

$$\int_1^T |\zeta^2(\sigma + it)|^2 dt \ll T(\log T)^4 \quad (2.12)$$

holds uniformly.

PROOF. see [22, pp. 148]. \square

Lemma 2.6. *Let χ be a primitive character modulo q . Then for $q \ll T^2$, we have*

$$L(\sigma + iT, \chi) \ll (q(1 + |T|))^{\max\{\frac{1}{3}(1-\sigma), 0\} + \epsilon} \quad (2.13)$$

holds uniformly for $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq 2$ and $|T| \geq 1$;

$$\int_1^T |L^2(\sigma + it, \chi)|^2 dt \ll (qT)^{2(1-\sigma)+\epsilon} \quad (2.14)$$

uniformly for $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq 1 + \epsilon$ and $T \geq 1$;

More over if q is a prime, for $q \ll T^2$, we have

$$\int_1^T |L(\sigma + it, \chi)|^{12} dt \ll q^{4(1-\sigma)} T^{3-2\sigma+\epsilon} \quad (2.15)$$

for $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq 2$ and $|T| \geq 1$.

PROOF. The results (2.13) and (2.14) follows from D. R. Heath-Brown [10] and Perelli [19] respectively, the result (2.15) follows from the Phragmén-Lindelöf principle and Motohashi [18]. \square

Lemma 2.7. Let $f \in H_\kappa$ and χ be a primitive character modulo q . Then for $q \ll T^2$, we have

$$L(\sigma + iT, \text{sym}^2 f) \ll (1 + |T|)^{\max\{\frac{27}{20}(1-\sigma), 0\}+\epsilon} \quad (2.16)$$

and

$$L(\sigma + iT, \text{sym}^2 f \otimes \chi) \ll (q(1 + |T|))^{\max\{\frac{67}{46}(1-\sigma), 0\}+\epsilon} \quad (2.17)$$

uniformly for $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq 2$ and $|T| \geq 1$;

$$\int_1^T |L(\sigma + it, \text{sym}^2 f \otimes \chi)|^4 dt \ll (qT)^{6(1-\sigma)+\epsilon} \quad (2.18)$$

uniformly for $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq 1 + \epsilon$ and $T \geq 1$.

PROOF. Here one can observe that the L -function $L(\sigma + it, \text{sym}^2 f \otimes \chi)$ is of degree 3. Now (2.16) and (2.17) follows from the Phragmén-Lindelöf principle and the work of Aggarwal [1] and Huang [13] respectively, and the result (2.18) follows from Perelli [19]. \square

Lemma 2.8. Suppose that $\mathfrak{L}(s)$ is a general L -function of degree m . Then, for any $\epsilon > 0$, we have

$$\int_T^{2T} |\mathfrak{L}(\sigma + it)|^2 \ll T^{\max\{m(1-\sigma), 1\}+\epsilon} \quad (2.19)$$

uniformly for $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq 1$ and $T > 1$; and

$$\mathfrak{L}(\sigma + it) \ll (|t| + 1)^{(m/2)(1-\sigma)+\epsilon} \quad (2.20)$$

uniformly for $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq 1 + \epsilon$ and $|t| > 1$.

PROOF. The result (2.19) is due to Perelli [19] and (2.20) follows from Maximum modulus principle. \square

Lemma 2.9. *Let $f \in H_\kappa$ and χ be a primitive character modulo q . Then for any $\epsilon > 0$, we have*

$$L(\sigma + iT, \text{sym}^4 f \otimes \chi) \ll (qT)^{\max\{\frac{5}{2}(1-\sigma), 0\} + \epsilon} \quad (2.21)$$

uniformly for $-\epsilon \leq \sigma \leq 1 + \epsilon$,

$$\int_1^T |L(\sigma + it, \text{sym}^4 f \otimes \chi)|^2 dt \ll (qT)^{5(1-\sigma) + \epsilon} \quad (2.22)$$

uniformly for $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq 1 + \epsilon$ and $T \geq 1$.

PROOF. One can observe that the L -function $L(s, \text{sym}^4 f \otimes \chi)$ is of degree 5. Now the results (2.21) and (2.22) follows from Perelli [19]. \square

Lemma 2.10. *For any $x > 1$, we have*

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p} < \log \log x + B + \frac{1}{\log^2 x}$$

for some $B > 0$.

PROOF. The inequality holds with $B = 0.261497212847643$, see (3.20) of [21]. \square

Lemma 2.11. *Let $q > 100$ be any integer. Then we have*

$$\frac{q}{\phi(q)} \leq e^{A+1} \log \log q$$

for some effective $A > 0$.

PROOF. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{q}{\phi(q)} &= \prod_{p|q} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1} \\ &= \exp \left\{ - \sum_{p|q} \log \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \right\} \\ &\leq \exp \left\{ \sum_{p|q} \left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{\frac{1}{p^2}}{1 - \frac{1}{p}} \right) \right\} \\ &\leq \exp \left\{ \sum_{p|q} \frac{1}{p} + 1 \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

Now using above lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{p|q} \frac{1}{p} &= \sum_{\substack{p|q \\ p \leq \log q}} \frac{1}{p} + \sum_{\substack{p|q \\ p > \log q}} \frac{1}{p} \\
&\leq \log \log \log q + B + \frac{1}{\log^2 q} + \frac{1}{\log q} \omega(q) \\
&\leq \log \log \log q + B + \frac{1}{\log^2 q} + \frac{\log q}{(\log 2) \log q} \\
&\leq \log \log \log q + A
\end{aligned}$$

for some effective $A > 0$ and $\omega(q)$ is the number of distinct prime factors of q . Hence from (2.23), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{q}{\phi(q)} &\leq \exp \{ \log \log \log q + A + 1 \} \\
&\leq e^{A+1} \log \log q,
\end{aligned}$$

for some effective $A > 0$.

From this one can see

$$\frac{q}{\phi(q)} \ll \log \log q. \tag{2.24}$$

□

Lemma 2.12. *Let k denote the k -full numbers and x be large. Then we have*

$$\sum_{k \leq x} 1 \sim Kx^{\frac{1}{k}} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k > x} \frac{1}{k} \ll x^{\frac{1}{k}-1} \tag{2.25}$$

for some constant K as x tends infinity.

PROOF. For the first approximation see [14, pp. 33] and the bound in $\sum_{k > x} \frac{1}{k}$ follows by Riemann-Steiltjes integration. □

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. For any Dirichlet character χ modulo q and by orthogonality we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{\substack{n \leq x+1 \\ n \equiv 1(q)}} \lambda_f^4(n) &= \frac{1}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\chi(q)} \sum_{n \leq x+1} \lambda_f^4(n) \chi(n) \\
 &= \frac{1}{\phi(q)} \sum_{n \leq x+1} \lambda_f^4(n) \chi_0(n) + \frac{1}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\substack{n \leq x+1, \\ \chi \neq \chi_0, \\ \chi \text{ is primitive}}} \lambda_f^4(n) \chi(n) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\substack{n \leq x+1, \\ \chi \neq \chi_0, \\ \chi \text{ is non-primitive}}} \lambda_f^4(n) \chi(n) \\
 &:= \sum_1 + \sum_2 + \sum_3. \tag{2.26}
 \end{aligned}$$

By using (2.1) and Perron's formula for $F_f(s, \chi_0)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n \leq x+1} \lambda_f^4(n) \chi_0(n) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{1+\epsilon-iT}^{1+\epsilon+iT} F_f(s, \chi_0) \frac{(x+1)^s}{s} ds + O\left(\frac{x^{1+\epsilon}}{T}\right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{1+\epsilon-iT}^{1+\epsilon+iT} \zeta^2(s) \prod_{p|q} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^2 L^3(s, \text{sym}^2 f \otimes \chi) \times \\
 &\quad L(s, \text{sym}^4 f \otimes \chi) U(s) \frac{(x+1)^s}{s} ds + O\left(\frac{x^{1+\epsilon}}{T}\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

where $1 \leq T \leq x$ is a parameter to be chosen later.

Now we make the special choice $T = T^*$ of Lemma 2.4 (KR+AS) satisfying (2.11) and by moving the line of integration to $\Re(s) = \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon$, we have by Cauchy theorem

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{n \leq x+1} \lambda_f^4(n) \chi_0(n) \\
 &= x \log x \prod_{p|q} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^2 L^3(1, \text{sym}^2 f \otimes \chi_0) L(1, \text{sym}^4 f \otimes \chi_0) U(1) \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_1} F_f(s, \chi_0) \frac{(x+1)^s}{s} ds + O\left(\frac{x^{1+\epsilon}}{T}\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

where the main term is coming from the pole at $s = 1$ of order 2 of $\zeta(s)$ and C_1 is the curve connecting by the points $1 + \epsilon + iT$, $\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon + iT$, $\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon - iT$ and $1 + \epsilon - iT$ with

straight line segments.

Now by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1 &:= \int_{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon-iT}^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon+iT} F_f(s, \chi_0) \frac{(x+1)^s}{s} ds \\
&= \int_{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon-iT}^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon+iT} \zeta^2(s) \prod_{p|q} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^2 \times \\
&\quad L^3(s, \text{sym}^2 f \otimes \chi_0) L(s, \text{sym}^4 f \otimes \chi_0) U(s) \frac{(x+1)^s}{s} ds \\
&\ll \int_{-T}^T |\zeta^2(1/2 + \epsilon + it) L^3(1/2 + \epsilon + it, \text{sym}^2 f) \times \\
&\quad L(1/2 + \epsilon + it, \text{sym}^4 f)| \frac{x^{1/2+\epsilon}}{|1/2 + \epsilon + it|} dt \\
&\ll x^{1/2+\epsilon} + \sup_{1 \leq T_1 \leq T} x^{1/2+\epsilon} T_1^{-1} I_1^{1/2} I_2^{1/2}, \tag{2.27}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= \int_{T_1}^{2T_1} |\zeta^2(1/2 + \epsilon + it)|^2 dt, \\
I_2 &= \int_{T_1}^{2T_1} |L^3(1/2 + \epsilon + it, \text{sym}^2 f) L(1/2 + \epsilon + it, \text{sym}^4 f)|^2 dt.
\end{aligned}$$

By (2.12), (2.16) and (2.19), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &\ll T_1 (\log T_1)^4 \\
I_2 &\ll \left(\max_{T_1 \leq t \leq 2T_1} |L^3(\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon + it, \text{sym}^2 f)|^2 \right) \times \\
&\quad \left(\int_{T_1}^{2T_1} |L(\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon + it, \text{sym}^4 f)|^2 dt \right) \\
&\ll T_1^{6 \times \frac{27}{20} \times \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon + \frac{5}{2} + \epsilon} \\
&\ll T_1^{\frac{131}{20} + 2\epsilon}.
\end{aligned}$$

By (2.27), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1 &= \int_{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon-iT}^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon+iT} F_f(s, \chi_0) \frac{(x+1)^s}{s} ds \\
&\ll x^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} (T(\log T)^4)^{\frac{1}{2}} T^{\frac{131}{20}} \times \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon - 1 \\
&\ll x^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} T^{\frac{141}{40} + 3\epsilon}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now for horizontal portions by (2.11), (2.16) and (2.20), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_2 &:= \int_{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon-iT}^{1+\epsilon+iT} F_f(s, \chi_0) \frac{(x+1)^s}{s} ds \\
 &\ll \int_{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}^{1+\epsilon} T^{2\epsilon+3\times\frac{27}{20}(1-\sigma)+\frac{5}{2}(1-\sigma)+\epsilon} \frac{x^\sigma}{T} d\sigma \\
 &\ll T^{\frac{411}{20}+2\epsilon} \int_{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}^{1+\epsilon} \left(\frac{x}{T^{\frac{131}{20}}} \right)^\sigma d\sigma \\
 &\ll \max_{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon \leq \sigma \leq 1+\epsilon} \left(\frac{x}{T^{\frac{131}{20}}} \right)^\sigma T^{\frac{411}{20}+2\epsilon} \\
 &\ll \frac{x^{1+\epsilon}}{T} + x^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} T^{\frac{91}{40}+10\epsilon}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{n \leq x+1} \lambda_f^4(n) \chi_0(n) \\
 &= x \log x \prod_{p|q} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^2 L^3(1, \text{sym}^2 f \otimes \chi_0) \times \\
 &\quad L(1, \text{sym}^4 f \otimes \chi_0) U(1) + O\left(\frac{x^{1+\epsilon}}{T} \right) + O\left(x^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} T^{\frac{411}{40}+\epsilon} \right).
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

Now for \sum_2 , using Perron's formula we have

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq x+1 \\ \chi \neq \chi_0 \\ \chi \text{ is primitive}}} \lambda_f^4(n) \chi(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{1+\epsilon-iT}^{1+\epsilon+iT} F_f(s, \chi) \frac{(x+1)^s}{s} ds + O\left(\frac{x^{1+\epsilon}}{T} \right),$$

where $1 \leq T \leq x$ is a parameter to be chosen later.

By moving the line of integration to $\Re(s) = \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon$, we have by Cauchy's theorem

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq x+1, \\ \chi \neq \chi_0, \\ \chi \text{ is primitive}}} \lambda_f^4(n) \chi(n) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_2} F_f(s, \chi) \frac{(x+1)^s}{s} ds + O\left(\frac{x^{1+\epsilon}}{T} \right)$$

where C_2 is the curve joining the points $1 + \epsilon + iT$, $\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon + iT$, $\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon - iT$ and $1 + \epsilon - iT$ through straight line segments. For vertical portions using (2.1) and Cauchy-Schwarz

inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
J_3 &:= \int_{1/2+\epsilon-iT}^{1/2+\epsilon+iT} F_f(s, \chi) \frac{(x+1)^s}{s} ds \\
&= \int_{1/2+\epsilon-iT}^{1/2+\epsilon+iT} L^2(s, \chi) L^3(s, \text{sym}^2 f \otimes \chi) \times \\
&\quad L(s, \text{sym}^4 f \otimes \chi) U(s) \frac{(x+1)^s}{s} ds \\
&\ll \int_{-T}^T |L^2(\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon + it, \chi) L^3(\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon + it, \text{sym}^2 f \otimes \chi) \times \\
&\quad L(\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon + it, \text{sym}^4 f \otimes \chi)| \frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}{|1/2 + \epsilon + it|} dt \\
&\ll x^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} + \sup_{1 \leq T_1 \leq T} x^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} T_1^{-1} I_1^{\frac{1}{2}} I_2^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{2.29}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= \int_{T_1}^{2T_1} |L^2(1/2 + \epsilon + it, \chi)|^2 dt, \\
I_2 &= \int_{T_1}^{2T_1} |L^3(1/2 + \epsilon + it, \text{sym}^2 f \otimes \chi) L(1/2 + \epsilon + it, \text{sym}^4 f \otimes \chi)|^2 dt.
\end{aligned}$$

By (2.14), (2.17) and (2.22), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &\ll (qT_1)^{2(1-\frac{1}{2})+\epsilon} \ll (qT_1)^{1+\epsilon}, \\
I_2 &\ll \left(\max_{T_1 \leq t \leq 2T_1} |L^3(1/2 + \epsilon + it, \text{sym}^2 f \otimes \chi)|^2 \right) \times \\
&\quad \left(\int_{T_1}^{2T_1} |L(1/2 + \epsilon + it, \text{sym}^4 f \otimes \chi)|^2 dt \right) \\
&\ll (qT_1)^{6 \times \frac{87}{46} \times \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} (qT_1)^{\frac{5}{2} + \epsilon} \\
&\ll (qT_1)^{\frac{316}{46} + \epsilon}.
\end{aligned}$$

By (2.29), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
J_3 &= \int_{1/2+\epsilon-iT}^{1/2+\epsilon+iT} F_f(s, \chi) \frac{(x+1)^s}{s} ds \\
&\ll x^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} (qT)^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{316}{92} + \epsilon} T^{-1} \\
&\ll x^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} q^{\frac{362}{92} + \epsilon} T^{\frac{270}{92} + \epsilon}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now for horizontal portions, we have by (2.13), (2.17) and (2.21)

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_4 &:= \int_{1/2+\epsilon-iT}^{1+\epsilon+iT} F_f(s, \chi) \frac{(x+1)^s}{s} ds \\
 &\ll \int_{1/2+\epsilon}^{1+\epsilon} (qT)^{\frac{1}{3} \times 2(1-\sigma) + \frac{67}{48}(1-\sigma) \times 3 + \frac{5}{2}(1-\sigma)} \frac{x^\sigma}{T} d\sigma \\
 &\ll \frac{(qT)^{\frac{1040}{138}}}{T} \int_{1/2+\epsilon}^{1+\epsilon} \left(\frac{x}{(qT)^{\frac{1040}{138}}} \right)^\sigma d\sigma \\
 &\ll \max_{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon \leq \sigma \leq 1+\epsilon} \frac{(qT)^{\frac{1040}{138}}}{T} \left(\frac{x}{(qT)^{\frac{1040}{138}}} \right)^\sigma \\
 &\ll \frac{x^{1+\epsilon}}{T} + x^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} q^{\frac{1040}{276}+\epsilon} T^{\frac{764}{276}+\epsilon}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq x+1 \\ \chi \neq \chi_0 \\ \chi \text{ is primitive}}} \lambda_f^4(n) \chi(n) \ll \frac{x^{1+\epsilon}}{T} + x^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} q^{\frac{362}{92}+\epsilon} T^{\frac{270}{92}+\epsilon}. \quad (2.30)$$

For \sum_3 , when χ is non-primitive character modulo q there exists a conductor q_1 the smallest divisor of q such that $\chi = \chi_0 \chi^*$, where χ^* is primitive character modulo q_1 and χ_0 is principal character modulo q . By lemma 2.3 and by the same kind of arguments as in \sum_2 , we have

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq x+1 \\ \chi \neq \chi_0 \\ \chi \text{ is non-primitive}}} \lambda_f^4(n) \chi(n) \ll \frac{x^{1+\epsilon}}{T} + x^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} q_1^{\frac{362}{92}+\epsilon} T^{\frac{270}{92}+\epsilon}. \quad (2.31)$$

Now by writing $L^3(1, \text{sym}^2 f \otimes \chi_0) L(1, \text{sym}^4 f \otimes \chi_0) U(1) = c_1$, from (2.26), (2.28), (2.30) and (2.31), we have

$$\sum_{n \leq x+1} \lambda_f^4(n) \chi(n) = c_1 x \log x \frac{\phi(q)}{q^2} + O\left(\frac{x^{1+\epsilon}}{T \phi(q)}\right) + O\left(\frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} q^{\frac{362}{92}+\epsilon} T^{\frac{270}{92}+\epsilon}}{\phi(q)}\right).$$

Choosing $T = \frac{x^{\frac{23}{181}}}{q}$, we have

$$\sum_{n \leq x+1} \lambda_f^4(n) \chi(n) = c_1 x \log x \frac{\phi(q)}{q^2} + O\left(\frac{x^{\frac{158}{181}+\epsilon} q^{1+\epsilon}}{\phi(q)}\right),$$

for $q \ll x^{\frac{23}{181}-\epsilon}$, note that $\frac{x^{\frac{158}{181}} q}{\phi(q)} = o\left(x \log x \frac{\phi(q)}{q^2}\right)$ by lemma 2.11 for exceptionally large x , so that the main term dominates.

This proves theorem 1. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. Observe that when q is a prime, χ_0 is the principle character modulo q and rest of them are primitive and non-principle and there are $\phi(q)$ in characters.

Now for any Dirichlet character modulo q , where q is prime, by orthogonality and by above observation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{n \leq x+1 \\ n \equiv 1(q)}} \lambda_f^4(n) &= \frac{1}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\chi(q)} \sum_{n \leq x+1} \lambda_f^4(n) \chi(n) \\
&= \frac{1}{\phi(q)} \sum_{n \leq x+1} \lambda_f^4(n) \chi_0(n) + \frac{1}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\substack{n \leq x+1, \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} \lambda_f^4(n) \chi(n) \\
&:= \sum_1 + \sum_2.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.32}$$

Now for \sum_1 and \sum_2 by the same arguments in the proof of theorem 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n \leq x+1} \lambda_f^4(n) \chi_0(n) &= x \log x \prod_{p|q} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^2 L^3(1, \text{sym}^2 f \otimes \chi_0) \times \\
&L(1, \text{sym}^4 f \otimes \chi_0) U(1) + O\left(\frac{x^{1+\epsilon}}{T}\right) + O\left(x^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} T^{\frac{111}{40}+\epsilon}\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{2.33}$$

and

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq x+1, \\ \chi \neq \chi_0, \\ \chi \text{ is primitive}}} \lambda_f^4(n) \chi(n) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_2} F_f(s, \chi) \frac{(x+1)^s}{s} ds + O\left(\frac{x^{1+\epsilon}}{T}\right)$$

where C_2 is the curve joining the points $1 + \epsilon + iT$, $\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon + iT$, $\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon - iT$ and $1 + \epsilon - iT$ through straight line segments, $1 \leq T \leq x$ is a parameter to be chosen later.

Now for vertical portion using (2.1) and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_5 &:= \int_{1/2+\epsilon-iT}^{1/2+\epsilon+iT} F_f(s, \chi) \frac{(x+1)^s}{s} ds \\
 &= \int_{1/2+\epsilon-iT}^{1/2+\epsilon+iT} L^2(s, \chi) L^3(s, \text{sym}^2 f \otimes \chi) \times \\
 &\quad L(s, \text{sym}^4 f \otimes \chi) U(s) \frac{(x+1)^s}{s} ds \\
 &\ll \int_{-T}^T |L^2(\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon + it, \chi) L^3(\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon + it, \text{sym}^2 f \otimes \chi) \times \\
 &\quad L(\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon + it, \text{sym}^4 f \otimes \chi)| \frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}{|1/2 + \epsilon + it|} dt \\
 &\ll x^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} + \sup_{1 \leq T_1 \leq T} x^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} T_1^{-1} I_1^{\frac{1}{6}} I_2^{\frac{3}{4}} I_3^{\frac{1}{12}}, \tag{2.34}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_1 &= \int_{T_1}^{2T_1} |L(1/2 + \epsilon + it, \chi)|^{12} dt, \\
 I_2 &= \int_{T_1}^{2T_1} |L(1/2 + \epsilon + it, \text{sym}^2 f \otimes \chi)|^4 dt, \\
 I_3 &= \int_{T_1}^{2T_1} |L(1/2 + \epsilon + it, \text{sym}^4 f \otimes \chi)|^{12} dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

For I_1 and I_2 using (2.15) and (2.18) respectively, and for I_3 using a similar kind of bound as in (2.22), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_1 &\ll q^{4(1-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon)} T_1^{3-2(1-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon)+\epsilon} \ll q^{2+4\epsilon} T_1^{2+\epsilon} \\
 I_2 &\ll (qT_1)^{6(1-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon)} \ll (qT_1)^{3+6\epsilon} \\
 I_3 &\ll (qT_1)^{30(1-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon)} \ll (qT_1)^{15+30\epsilon}.
 \end{aligned}$$

From (2.34), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{1/2+\epsilon-iT}^{1/2+\epsilon+iT} F_f(s, \chi) \frac{(x+1)^s}{s} ds &\ll x^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} q^{\frac{1}{3}+\epsilon} T^{\frac{1}{3}-1+\epsilon} (qT)^{\frac{9}{4}+\frac{15}{12}+2\epsilon} \\
 &\ll x^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} q^{\frac{23}{6}+\epsilon} T^{\frac{17}{6}+\epsilon}. \tag{2.35}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now for horizontal portions from theorem 1, we have

$$\int_{1/2+\epsilon-iT}^{1+\epsilon+iT} F_f(s, \chi) \frac{(x+1)^s}{s} ds \ll \frac{x^{1+\epsilon}}{T} + x^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} q^{\frac{1040}{276}+\epsilon} T^{\frac{764}{276}+\epsilon}. \quad (2.36)$$

From (2.32), (2.35) and (2.36) we have

$$\sum_{n \leq x+1} \lambda_f^4(n) \chi(n) = c_1 x \log x \frac{\phi(q)}{q^2} + O\left(\frac{x^{1+\epsilon}}{T \phi(q)}\right) + O\left(\frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} q^{\frac{23}{6}+\epsilon} T^{\frac{17}{6}+\epsilon}}{\phi(q)}\right).$$

Choosing $T = \frac{x^{\frac{3}{23}}}{q}$, we have

$$\sum_{n \leq x+1} \lambda_f^4(n) \chi(n) = c_1 x \log x \frac{\phi(q)}{q^2} + O\left(\frac{x^{\frac{20}{23}+\epsilon} q^{1+\epsilon}}{\phi(q)}\right),$$

for $q \ll x^{\frac{3}{23}-\epsilon}$, note that $\frac{x^{\frac{20}{23}} q}{\phi(q)} = o\left(x \log x \frac{\phi(q)}{q^2}\right)$ by lemma 2.11 for exceptionally large x , so that the main term dominates.

This proves theorem 2. \square

Remark 2. For general $q \geq 100$, in theorem 1, uniformity in q gives upto $q \ll x^{\frac{23}{181}-\epsilon}$. However when $q \geq 100$ and q is a prime integer, theorem 2 allows us the prime q -uniformity up to $q \ll x^{\frac{3}{23}-\epsilon}$ which is comparatively of larger range. We note that $\frac{3}{23} > \frac{23}{181}$.

PROOF OF THEOREM 3. By the definition of k -full kernel function $a(n)$, when we decompose $n \geq 1$ uniquely as $n = q(n)k(n)$, $(k(n), q(n)) = 1$ where $k(n)$ is k -full and $q(n)$ is k -free, we have

$$a(n) = a(k(n)) \ll n^\epsilon, \quad \lambda_f^4(n) \ll n^\epsilon.$$

Let $1 \leq H \leq x^{\frac{23}{543}}$ is a parameter to be chosen later. By (2.25), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n \leq x} a(n) \lambda_f^4(n+1) &= \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ k(n) \leq H}} a(n) \lambda_f^4(n+1) + \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ k(n) > H}} a(n) \lambda_f^4(n+1) \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ k(n) \leq H}} a(n) \lambda_f^4(n+1) \\
 &\quad + O \left(\sum_{H < k(n) \leq x} a(k(n)) \sum_{\substack{q(n) \leq \frac{x}{k(n)} \\ (q(n), k(n))=1}} \lambda_f^4(k(n)q(n)+1) \right) \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ k(n) \leq H}} a(n) \lambda_f^4(n+1) \\
 &\quad + O \left(\sum_{H < k(n) \leq x} k(n)^{2\epsilon} \sum_{\substack{q(n) \leq \frac{x}{k(n)} \\ (q(n), k(n))=1}} q(n)^\epsilon \right) \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ k(n) \leq H}} a(n) \lambda_f^4(n+1) + O \left(x^{1+\epsilon} \sum_{H < k(n) \leq x} \frac{1}{k(n)} \right) \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ k(n) \leq H}} a(n) \lambda_f^4(n+1) + O \left(x^{1+\epsilon} H^{\frac{1}{k}-1} \right). \tag{2.37}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, if we define $g(l) = \sum_{md^k=l} \mu(d)$ then notice that $g(q(n)) = 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ k(n) \leq H}} a(n) \lambda_f^4(n+1) &= \sum_{k(n) \leq H} a(k(n)) \sum_{\substack{q(n) \leq \frac{x}{k(n)} \\ (q(n), k(n))=1}} \lambda_f^4(k(n)q(n)+1) \\
&= \sum_{k(n) \leq H} a(k(n)) \sum_{\substack{q(n) \leq \frac{x}{k(n)} \\ (q(n), k(n))=1}} g(q(n)) \lambda_f^4(k(n)q(n)+1) \\
&= \sum_{k(n) \leq H} a(k(n)) \sum_{\substack{q(n) \leq \frac{x}{k(n)} \\ (q(n), k(n))=1}} \left(\sum_{m(n)d^k(n)=q(n)} \mu(d(n)) \right) \times \\
&\quad \lambda_f^4(k(n)m(n)d^k(n)+1) \\
&= \sum_{k(n) \leq H} a(k(n)) \sum_{\substack{d(n) \leq \left(\frac{x}{k(n)}\right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \\ (d(n), k(n))=1}} \mu(d(n)) \times \\
&\quad \sum_{\substack{m(n) \leq \frac{x}{k(n)d^k(n)} \\ (m(n), k(n))=1}} \lambda_f^4(k(n)m(n)d^k(n)+1) \\
&:= \sum_1^* + \sum_2^*, \tag{2.38}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_1^* &= \sum_{k(n) \leq H} a(k(n)) \sum_{\substack{d(n) \leq H^{\frac{1}{k}} \\ (d(n), k(n))=1}} \mu(d(n)) \times \\
&\quad \sum_{\substack{m(n) \leq \frac{x}{k(n)d^k(n)} \\ (m(n), k(n))=1}} \lambda_f^4(k(n)m(n)d^k(n)+1) \\
\sum_2^* &= \sum_{k(n) \leq H} a(k(n)) \sum_{\substack{H^{\frac{1}{k}} < d(n) \leq \left(\frac{x}{k(n)}\right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \\ (d(n), k(n))=1}} \mu(d(n)) \times \\
&\quad \sum_{\substack{m(n) \leq \frac{x}{k(n)d^k(n)} \\ (m(n), k(n))=1}} \lambda_f^4(k(n)m(n)d^k(n)+1).
\end{aligned}$$

Now for \sum_2^* , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_2^* &\ll x^{1+\epsilon} \sum_{k(n) \leq H} \frac{1}{k(n)} \sum_{d(n) \geq H^{\frac{1}{k}}} \frac{1}{d^k(n)} \\ &\ll x^{1+\epsilon} H^{\frac{1}{k}-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Now for \sum_1^* by using $\sum_{\delta(n)/(m(n), k(n))} \mu(\delta(n)) = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_1^* &= \sum_{k(n) \leq H} a(k(n)) \sum_{\substack{d(n) \leq H^{\frac{1}{k}} \\ (d(n), k(n))=1}} \mu(d(n)) \sum_{\delta(n)/k(n)} \mu(\delta(n)) \times \\ &\quad \sum_{m_1(n)\delta(n)k(n)d^k(n) \leq x} \lambda_f^4 (m_1(n)\delta(n)k(n)d^k(n) + 1).\end{aligned}$$

Since we can write

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{m_1(n)\delta(n)k(n)d^k(n) \leq x} \lambda_f^4 (m_1(n)\delta(n)k(n)d^k(n) + 1) \\ = \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \equiv 1 \pmod{(\delta(n)k(n)d^k(n)}}}} \lambda_f^4 (n + 1),\end{aligned}$$

by theorem 1, we have $\sum_1^* = \sum_1' + \sum_1''$, where

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_1' &= \sum_{k(n) \leq H} a(k(n)) \sum_{\substack{d(n) \leq H^{\frac{1}{k}} \\ (d(n), k(n))=1}} \mu(d(n)) \sum_{\delta(n)/k(n)} \mu(\delta(n)) \times \\ &\quad c_1 x \log x \frac{\phi(\delta(n)k(n)d^k(n))}{(\delta(n)k(n)d^k(n))^2}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_1'' &= O\left(\sum_{k(n) \leq H} a(k(n)) \sum_{\substack{d(n) \leq H^{\frac{1}{k}} \\ (d(n), k(n))=1}} \mu(d(n)) \sum_{\delta(n)/k(n)} \mu(\delta(n)) \times \right. \\ &\quad \left. x^{\frac{158}{181} + \epsilon} \frac{(\delta(n)k(n)d^k(n))^{1+\epsilon}}{\phi(\delta(n)k(n)d^k(n))} \right).\end{aligned}$$

For \sum_1'' by (2.24), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_1'' &= O\left(\sum_{k(n) \leq H} a(k(n)) \sum_{\substack{d(n) \leq H^{\frac{1}{k}} \\ (d(n), k(n))=1}} \mu(d(n)) \sum_{\delta(n)/k(n)} \mu(\delta(n)) \times \right. \\
&\quad \left. x^{\frac{158}{181} + \epsilon} \frac{(\delta(n)k(n))^{1+\epsilon} (d^k(n))^{1+\epsilon}}{\phi(\delta(n)k(n)) \phi(d^k(n))} \right) \\
&\ll x^{\frac{158}{181} + 2\epsilon} \sum_{k(n) \leq H} \sum_{\substack{d(n) \leq H^{\frac{1}{k}} \\ (d(n), k(n))=1}} \sum_{\delta(n)/k(n)} \log \log (\delta(n)k(n)) \log \log (d^k(n)) \\
&\ll x^{\frac{158}{181} + 2\epsilon} \log \log H^2 \sum_{k(n) \leq H} \sum_{\substack{d(n) \leq H^{\frac{1}{k}} \\ (d(n), k(n))=1}} \sum_{\delta(n)/k(n)} 1 \\
&\ll x^{\frac{158}{181} + 10\epsilon} H^{\frac{1}{k}} H^{\frac{1}{k}} \\
&\ll x^{\frac{158}{181} + \epsilon} H^{\frac{2}{k}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.39}$$

Now for \sum_1' , by (2.25) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_1' &= \sum_{k(n) \leq H} a(k(n)) \sum_{\substack{d(n) \leq H^{\frac{1}{k}} \\ (d(n), k(n))=1}} \mu(d(n)) \sum_{\delta(n)/k(n)} \mu(\delta(n)) \times \\
 &\quad c_1 x \log x \frac{\phi(\delta(n)k(n)d^k(n))}{(\delta(n)k(n)d^k(n))^2} \\
 &= c_1 x \log x \sum_{k(n) \leq H} \frac{a(k(n))}{k(n)} \sum_{\substack{d(n) \leq H^{\frac{1}{k}} \\ (d(n), k(n))=1}} \frac{\mu(d(n))}{d(n)} \sum_{\delta(n)/k(n)} \frac{\mu(\delta(n))}{\delta(n)} \times \\
 &\quad \frac{\phi(\delta(n)k(n)d^k(n))}{\delta(n)k(n)d^k(n)} \\
 &= c_1 x \log x \sum_{k(n)=1}^{\infty} \frac{a(k(n))}{k(n)} \sum_{\delta(n)/k(n)} \frac{\mu(\delta(n))}{\delta(n)} \sum_{\substack{d(n) \leq H^{\frac{1}{k}} \\ (d(n), k(n))=1}} \frac{\mu(d(n))}{d(n)} \times \\
 &\quad \frac{\phi(\delta(n)k(n)d^k(n))}{\delta(n)k(n)d^k(n)} \\
 &\quad + O \left(c_1 x \log x \sum_{k(n) > H} \frac{a(k(n))}{k(n)} \sum_{\delta(n)/k(n)} \frac{\mu(\delta(n))}{\delta(n)} \sum_{\substack{d(n) \leq H^{\frac{1}{k}} \\ (d(n), k(n))=1}} \frac{\mu(d(n))}{d(n)} \right) \\
 &= c_1 x \log x \sum_{k(n)=1}^{\infty} \frac{a(k(n))}{k(n)} \sum_{\delta(n)/k(n)} \frac{\mu(\delta(n))}{\delta(n)} \sum_{\substack{d(n)=1 \\ (d(n), k(n))=1}}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(d(n))}{d(n)} \times \\
 &\quad \frac{\phi(\delta(n)k(n)d^k(n))}{\delta(n)k(n)d^k(n)} \\
 &\quad + O \left(c_1 x \log x \sum_{k(n)=1}^{\infty} \frac{a(k(n))}{k(n)} \sum_{\delta(n)/k(n)} \frac{\mu(\delta(n))}{\delta(n)} \sum_{d(n) > H^{\frac{1}{k}}} \frac{\mu(d(n))}{d(n)} \right) \\
 &\quad + O \left(c_1 x \log x \sum_{k(n) > H} \frac{a(k(n))}{k(n)} \sum_{\delta(n)/k(n)} \frac{\mu(\delta(n))}{\delta(n)} \sum_{\substack{d(n) \leq H^{\frac{1}{k}} \\ (d(n), k(n))=1}} \frac{\mu(d(n))}{d(n)} \right) \\
 &= c_2 x \log x + O \left(x^{1+\epsilon} H^{\frac{1}{k}-1} \right). \tag{2.40}
 \end{aligned}$$

From (2.37), (2.38), (2.39) and (2.40), we have

$$\sum_{n \leq x} a(n) \lambda_f^4(n+1) = c_2 x \log x + O\left(x^{1+\epsilon} H^{\frac{1}{k}-1}\right) + O\left(x^{\frac{158}{181}+\epsilon} H^{\frac{2}{k}}\right).$$

Note that by theorem 1, we have $q = \delta(n)k(n)d^k(n)$, and $\delta(n) \leq H$, $k(n) \leq H$ and $d^k(n) \leq H$. So we have $q \leq H^3$, but from theorem 1, q has to satisfy the condition $q \ll x^{\frac{23}{181}-10\epsilon}$. This forces us to choose H to be the optimal possible value satisfying $q \leq H^3$ and $q \ll x^{\frac{23}{181}-\epsilon}$. Thus we choose $H = x^{\frac{23}{543}-100\epsilon}$ and we have

$$\sum_{n \leq x} a(n) \lambda_f^4(n+1) = c_2 x \log x + O\left(x^{\frac{520k+23}{543k}+\epsilon}\right).$$

This proves theorem 3. □

Acknowledgements: The first author wishes to express his gratitude to the Funding Agency "Ministry of Human Resource Development (MHRD), Govt. of India" for the fellowship PMRF, ID:3701831 for it's financial support. The authors are thankful to Prof. Saurabh kumar singh for drawing their attention to the paper [9].

References

- [1] K. AGGARWAL, A new subconvex bound for $GL(3)$ L -functions in the t -aspect, *Int. J. Number Theory* **17** (2021), 1111–1138.
- [2] V. BLOMER, Shifted convolution sums and subconvexity bounds for automorphic L -functions, *Int. Math. Res. Not* **73** (2004.), 3905–3926.
- [3] V. BLOMER AND G. HARCOS, The spectral decomposition of shifted convolution sums, *Duke Math. J* **144** (2008), 321–339.
- [4] J. BOURGAIN, Decoupling, exponential sums and the Riemann zeta function, *J. Amer. Math. Soc* **30** (2017), 205–224.
- [5] P. DELIGNE, La conjecture de Weil, I, *Publications Mathématiques de l'Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques* **43** ((1974)), 273–308.
- [6] P. DELIGNE, La conjecture de Weil, II, *Publications Mathématiques de l'Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques* **52** ((1980)), 137–252.
- [7] P. ERDOS AND A. IVIC, The distribution of values of a certain class of arithmetic functions at consecutive integers. Number theory, Vol. I (Budapest, 1987), 45–91, Vol. 51, Colloq. Math. Soc. Jnos Bolyai.
- [8] S. GELBART AND H. JACQUET, A relation between automorphic representations of $GL(2)$ and $GL(3)$, *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér* **11**, no. 4 (1978), 471–542.
- [9] GUANGSHI LÜ AND DAN WANG, Shifted convolution sums of Fourier coefficients with squarefull kernel function, *Lithuanian Mathematical Journal* **60**, no. 1 (2020), 51–63.
- [10] D. R. HEATH-BROWN, Hybrid bounds for L -functions, *Invert. Math* **47** (1978), 149–170.
- [11] R. HOLOWINSKY, A sieve method for shifted convolution sums, *Duke Math. J* **146** (2009), 401–448.

- [12] R. HOLOWINSKY AND R. MUNSHI, Level aspect subconvexity for Rankin-Selberg L -functions, in *Automorphic Representations and L -functions*, *Tata Inst. Fund. Res. Stud. Math., Tata Inst. Fund. Res, Mumbai* **22** (2003), 311-334.
 - [13] B. R. HUANG, Hybrid subconvexity bounds for twisted L -functions on $GL(3)$, *sci. china Math* **64**, no. 3 (2021), 443–378.
 - [14] A. IVIĆ, *The Riemann zeta-function: theory and applications*, *Courier Corporation*, 2012.
 - [15] A. IVIĆ AND G. TENENBAUM, Local densities over integers free of large prime factors, *Q. J. Math., Oxf. II. Ser* **37**, no. 4 (1986), 401-417.
 - [16] X. LI, Bounds for $GL(3) \times GL(2)$ L -functions, *Ann. Math* **173** (2011), 301–336.
 - [17] P. MICHEL, The subconvexity problem for Rankin-Selberg L -functions and equidistribution of Heegner points, *Ann. Math* **160** (2004), 185–236.
 - [18] Y. MOTOHASHI, A note on the mean value of the zeta and L -functions III, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A. Math. Sci* **62**, no. 4 (1986), 152–154.
 - [19] A. PERELLI, General L -functions, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl* **130**, no. 4 (1982), 287-306.
 - [20] K. RAMACHANDRA AND A. SANKARANARAYANAN, Notes on the Riemann zeta-function, *Journal of Indian Math. soc* **57** (1991), 67–77.
 - [21] ROSSER, J. BARKLEY AND LOWELL SCHOENFELD, Approximate formulas for some functions of prime numbers, *Illinois Journal of Mathematics* **6**, no. 1 (1962), 64–94.
second edition, , (1986). *The theory of the Riemann zeta-function*
 - [22] E. C. TITCHMARSH AND D. R. HEATH-BROWN, *The theory of the Riemann zeta-function*, second edition, *Clarendon press, Oxford*, 1986.
- Current address:* School of Mathematics and Statistics
University of Hyderabad
Hyderabad
India-500046
E-mail: 20mmp02@uohyd.ac.in
- Current address:* School of Mathematics and Statistics
University of Hyderabad
Hyderabad
India-500046
E-mail: sank@uohyd.ac.in