

Arithmetic graphs and the products of finite groups*

Viachaslau I. Murashka^{†‡}

Abstract

The Hawkes graph $\Gamma_H(G)$ of G is the directed graph whose vertex set coincides with $\pi(G)$ and it has the edge (p, q) whenever $q \in \pi(G/O_{p',p}(G))$. The Sylow graph $\Gamma_s(G)$ of G is the directed graph with vertex set $\pi(G)$ and (p, q) is an edge of $\Gamma_s(G)$ whenever $q \in \pi(N_G(P)/PC_G(P))$ for some Sylow p -subgroup P of G . The N -critical graph $\Gamma_{Nc}(G)$ of a group G the directed graph whose vertex set coincides with $\pi(G)$ such that (p, q) is an edge of $\Gamma_{Nc}(G)$ whenever G contains a Schmidt (p, q) -subgroup, i.e. a Schmidt $\{p, q\}$ -subgroup with a normal Sylow p -subgroup. In the paper the Hawkes, the Sylow and the N -critical graphs of the products of totally permutable, mutually permutable and \mathfrak{N} -connected subgroups are studied.

Keywords: finite group; Hawkes graph; Sylow graph; N -critical graph; totally permutable product; mutually permutable product; \mathfrak{N} -connected subgroups.

MSC2020: 20D40.

1 Introduction

All groups considered are **finite**, G always denotes a group and $\pi(G)$ is the set of all prime divisors of $|G|$. If a graph has no isolated vertices, then we will define it just by its edges.

There were many papers in which with every group a certain graph is assigned and the connection of the geometry of the graph with the properties of the group is studied since 1878 (for example, see [1, 7, 11, 12, 13, 14, 23, 24] and etc.). Among such graphs there is an interesting family of arithmetic graphs, i.e. graphs whose vertices are prime divisors of the group's order.

In 1968 Hawkes [11] introduced the directed graph $\Gamma_H(G)$ of G whose vertex set coincides with $\pi(G)$ and it has the edge (p, q) whenever $q \in \pi(G/O_{p',p}(G))$. This graph has many interesting properties [11, 21, 23]. For example [11], if it does not have a loop (p, p) , then the p -length of G is at most 1.

Recall [8, 12] that the Sylow graph $\Gamma_s(G)$ of G is the directed graph with vertex set $\pi(G)$ and (p, q) is an edge of $\Gamma_s(G)$ whenever $q \in \pi(N_G(P)/PC_G(P))$ for some Sylow p -subgroup P of G . For applications and properties of this graph see [8, 12, 17, 23]. In particular [17], every connected component of $\Gamma_s(G)$ corresponds to a normal Hall subgroup of G .

Recall that a Schmidt (p, q) -group is a Schmidt group (i.e. non-nilpotent group, all whose proper subgroups are nilpotent) G with $\pi(G) = \{p, q\}$ and a normal Sylow p -subgroup. The N -critical [23] graph $\Gamma_{Nc}(G)$ of a group G is the directed graph whose vertex set coincides with $\pi(G)$ such that (p, q) is an edge of $\Gamma_{Nc}(G)$ whenever G contains a Schmidt (p, q) -subgroup. For its properties and applications see [16, 18, 23].

Recall that \mathfrak{N} denotes the class of all nilpotent groups. Following Carocca [6] subgroups H and K are called \mathfrak{N} -connected if $\langle x, y \rangle \in \mathfrak{N}$ for every $x \in H$ and $y \in K$. Products of \mathfrak{N} -connected subgroups were studied in [6, 9, 10] and other. Here we prove

*This work is supported by BFFR $\Phi 23PH\Phi$ -237

[†]e-mail: mvimath@yandex.by

[‡]Faculty of Mathematics and Technologies of Programming, Francisk Skorina Gomel State University, Sovetskaya 104, Gomel, 246028, Belarus

Theorem 1. *Let a group G be the product of pairwise permutable and \mathfrak{N} -connected subgroups G_1, \dots, G_n . Then*

$$\Gamma_s(G) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \Gamma_s(G_i), \Gamma_H(G) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \Gamma_H(G_i), \Gamma_{Nc}(G) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \Gamma_{Nc}(G_i).$$

Recall that $G = AB$ is called a totally permutable product of subgroups A and B if every subgroup of A permutes with every subgroup of B . Asaad and Shaalan [4] proved that a totally permutable product of two supersoluble groups is also supersoluble. This result started a study of totally permutable products in connection with the theory of group's classes (for example, see [3, Chapter 4]).

Theorem 2. *Let a group G be the product of pairwise totally permutable subgroups G_1, \dots, G_n and $\Gamma(G) = \{(p, q) \mid p, q \in \pi(G), q \in \pi(p-1)\}$. Then*

$$\Gamma_s(G) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n \Gamma_s(G_i) \cup \Gamma(G), \Gamma_H(G) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n \Gamma_H(G_i) \cup \Gamma(G), \Gamma_{Nc}(G) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n \Gamma_{Nc}(G_i) \cup \Gamma(G).$$

Example 1. The symmetric group S_3 of degree 3 is a totally permutable product of cyclic groups Z_3 and Z_2 of orders 3 and 2 respectively. Note that $(3, 2)$ is the unique edge of the Sylow graph, the Hawkes graph and the N -critical graph of S_3 and the Sylow graphs, the Hawkes graphs and the N -critical graphs of Z_3 and Z_2 have no edges. Thus $\Gamma(S_3) \not\subseteq \Gamma(Z_3) \cup \Gamma(Z_2)$ for $\Gamma \in \{\Gamma_s, \Gamma_H, \Gamma_{Nc}\}$.

Remark 1. Theorems 1 and 2 follow from a more general result (see Theorem 5).

Recall [3, Definition 4.1.1] that a group G is called a mutually permutable product of its subgroups A and B if $G = AB$, A permutes with every subgroup of B and B permutes with every subgroup of A . The products of mutually permutable subgroups are widely studied (see [3, Chapter 4]).

Theorem 3. *Let $G = AB$ be a mutually permutable product of its subgroups A and B and $\Gamma(A, B) = \{(p, q) \mid p \in \pi(A), q \in \pi(B) \cap \pi(p-1) \text{ or } p \in \pi(B), q \in \pi(A) \cap \pi(p-1)\}$. Then*

$$\Gamma_{Nc}(A) \cup \Gamma_{Nc}(B) \subseteq \Gamma_{Nc}(G) \subseteq \Gamma_{Nc}(A) \cup \Gamma_{Nc}(B) \cup \Gamma(A, B) \text{ and}$$

$$\Gamma_H(A) \cup \Gamma_H(B) \subseteq \Gamma_H(G) \subseteq \Gamma_H(A) \cup \Gamma_H(B) \cup \Gamma(A, B) \cup \{(p, p) \mid p \in \pi(G)\}.$$

Example 2. Note that the symmetric group S_4 of degree 4 is a mutually permutable product of its Sylow 2-subgroup P and the alternating group A_4 of degree 4. Now $E(\Gamma_H(P)) = \emptyset$, $E(\Gamma_H(A_4)) = \{(2, 3)\}$, $E(\Gamma(P, A_4)) = \{(3, 2)\}$ and $E(\Gamma_H(S_4)) = \{(2, 2), (2, 3), (3, 2)\}$. Hence $\Gamma_H(S_4) \not\subseteq \Gamma_H(P) \cup \Gamma_H(A_4) \cup \Gamma(P, A_4)$.

Recall [20] that a formation \mathfrak{F} has the Shemetkov property if every s -critical for \mathfrak{F} group is a Schmidt group or a group of prime order. For various properties and applications of such formations see [4, Chapter 6.4].

Corollary 3.1. *A hereditary formation \mathfrak{F} with the Shemetkov property is closed under taking products of mutually permutable \mathfrak{F} -subgroups if and only if it contains all supersoluble Schmidt $\pi(\mathfrak{F})$ -groups.*

Corollary 3.2 ([5, Theorem 2]). *Let p be a prime and π be a p -special set of primes (i.e. $q \notin \pi$ whenever p divides $q(q-1)$). If G is the mutually permutable product of two subgroups A and B which are normal extensions of p -groups by π -groups, the same is true for G .*

Theorem 4. *Let a group G be a product of pairwise mutually permutable soluble subgroups G_1, \dots, G_n and $\Gamma(G_i, G_j)$ be defined the same way as in Theorem 3. Then*

$$\Gamma_H(G) \subseteq \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq n} \Gamma_H(G_i) \cup \bigcup_{1 \leq i, j \leq n, i \neq j} \Gamma(G_i, G_j) \cup \{(p, p) \mid p \in \pi(G)\} \text{ and}$$

$$\Gamma_{Nc}(G) \subseteq \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq n} \Gamma_{Nc}(G_i) \cup \bigcup_{1 \leq i, j \leq n, i \neq j} \Gamma(G_i, G_j).$$

The following result for $n = 2$ was proved in [22, Corollary 7].

Corollary 4.1. *Let a group G be a product of pairwise mutually permutable subgroups G_1, \dots, G_n . If every Schmidt subgroup of G_1, \dots, G_n is supersoluble, then every Schmidt subgroup of G is supersoluble.*

2 Preliminaries

Here $\pi(n)$ is the set of prime divisors of n ; $\pi(\mathfrak{F}) = \cup_{G \in \mathfrak{F}} \pi(G)$; S_n and A_n are the symmetric and the alternating group of degree n respectively; Z_n is the cyclic group of order n ; $\Phi(G)$ is the Frattini subgroup of G ; $O_\pi(G)$ is the greatest normal π -subgroup of a group G for a set of primes π . If $\pi = \{p\}$, then $O_\pi(G)$ is denoted by $O_p(G)$. If $\pi = \mathbb{P} \setminus \{p\}$, then $O_\pi(G)$ is denoted by $O_{p'}(G)$; $O_{p',p}(G)$ is the greatest normal p -nilpotent subgroup of a group G . It can be defined by $O_{p',p}(G)/O_{p'}(G) = O_p(G/O_{p'}(G))$.

Recall that here a (directed) graph Γ is a pair of sets $V(\Gamma)$ and $E(\Gamma)$ where $V(\Gamma)$ is a set of vertices of Γ and $E(\Gamma)$ is a set of edges of Γ , i.e. the set of ordered pairs of elements from $V(\Gamma)$. An edge (v, v) is called a loop. Two graphs Γ_1 and Γ_2 are called equal (denoted by $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_2$) if $V(\Gamma_1) = V(\Gamma_2)$ and $E(\Gamma_1) = E(\Gamma_2)$. Graph Γ_1 is called subgraph of Γ_2 (denoted by $\Gamma_1 \subseteq \Gamma_2$) if $V(\Gamma_1) \subseteq V(\Gamma_2)$ and $E(\Gamma_1) \subseteq E(\Gamma_2)$. Graph Γ is called a union of graphs Γ_1 and Γ_2 (denoted by $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$) if $V(\Gamma) = V(\Gamma_1) \cup V(\Gamma_2)$ and $E(\Gamma) = E(\Gamma_1) \cup E(\Gamma_2)$.

Let $\Gamma \in \{\Gamma_s, \Gamma_H, \Gamma_{Nc}\}$ and \mathfrak{X} be a class of groups. Recall [23, Definition 3.1] that

$$\Gamma(\mathfrak{X}) = \bigcup_{G \in \mathfrak{X}} \Gamma(G).$$

Lemma 1 ([23, Theorem 2.7]). *Let G be a group. Then*

1. *If $\Gamma \in \{\Gamma_H, \Gamma_{Nc}\}$, then $\Gamma(H) \subseteq \Gamma(G)$ for any $H \leq G$.*
2. *If $\Gamma \in \{\Gamma_s, \Gamma_H, \Gamma_{Nc}\}$, then $\Gamma(G/N) \subseteq \Gamma(G)$ for any $N \trianglelefteq G$.*
3. *If $\Gamma \in \{\Gamma_s, \Gamma_H, \Gamma_{Nc}\}$, then $\Gamma(G/N_1) \cup \Gamma(G/N_2) = \Gamma(G)$ for any $N_1, N_2 \trianglelefteq G$ with $N_1 \cap N_2 = 1$.*
4. *If $\Gamma \in \{\Gamma_H, \Gamma_{Nc}\}$, then $\Gamma(N_1) \cup \Gamma(N_2) = \Gamma(G)$ for any $N_1, N_2 \trianglelefteq G$.*
5. *If $\Gamma \in \{\Gamma_s, \Gamma_H, \Gamma_{Nc}\}$, then $\Gamma(G_1 \times \dots \times G_n) = \Gamma(G_1) \cup \dots \cup \Gamma(G_n)$ for any groups G_1, \dots, G_n .*

Let \mathfrak{X} be a class of groups. Recall that a chief factor H/K of G is called \mathfrak{X} -central (see [19, p. 127–128]) in G , for a class of groups \mathfrak{X} , provided that the semidirect product $(H/K) \rtimes (G/C_G(H/K))$ of H/K with $G/C_G(H/K)$ corresponding to the action by conjugation of G on H/K belongs \mathfrak{X} . The \mathfrak{X} -hypercenter $Z_{\mathfrak{X}}(G)$ of G is the greatest normal subgroup of G such that every chief factor of G below it is \mathfrak{X} -central (it exists according to [19, Lemma 14.1]). If $\mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{N}$ is the class of all nilpotent groups, then $Z_{\mathfrak{N}}(G)$ is the hypercenter $Z_\infty(G)$ of G .

3 The proof of Theorems 1 and 2

Recall [10, Proposition 1(8)] that if $G = G_1 \dots G_n$ is the product of pairwise permutable and \mathfrak{N} -connected subgroups, then $[G_i, \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^n G_j] \leq Z_\infty(G)$ for any $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. According to [3, Lemma 4.2.12] if $G = G_1 \dots G_n$ is the product of totally permutable subgroups, then $[G_i, \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^n G_j] \leq Z_\mathfrak{U}(G)$ for any $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ where \mathfrak{U} stands for the class of all supersoluble groups. These observations lead us to the following definition.

Definition 1. We say that G is the product of subgroups G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n with \mathfrak{F} -hypercentral condition for commutators if $G = G_1 \dots G_n$, $G_i G_j$ is a subgroup of G for every $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $[G_i, \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^n G_j] \leq Z_\mathfrak{F}(G)$ for any $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

The main property of products with \mathfrak{F} -hypercentral condition for commutators is

Lemma 2. *Let \mathfrak{F} be a hereditary formation with $\mathfrak{N} \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$. If a group G is the product of subgroups G_1, \dots, G_n with \mathfrak{F} -hypercentral condition for commutators, then*

$$G/Z_\mathfrak{F}(G) \simeq G_1/Z_\mathfrak{F}(G_1) \times \dots \times G_n/Z_\mathfrak{F}(G_n).$$

Proof. (a) $\overline{H}_i = G_i Z_\mathfrak{F}(G)/Z_\mathfrak{F}(G) \cap (\prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^n G_j) Z_\mathfrak{F}(G)/Z_\mathfrak{F}(G) \simeq 1$ for any $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Since G satisfies \mathfrak{F} -hypercentral condition for commutators, we see that every element of \overline{H}_i commutes with every element of $G_i Z_\mathfrak{F}(G)/Z_\mathfrak{F}(G)$ and $(\prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^n G_j) Z_\mathfrak{F}(G)/Z_\mathfrak{F}(G)$. Hence it commutes with every element of $G/Z_\mathfrak{F}(G)$. Therefore $\overline{H}_i \leq Z(G/Z_\mathfrak{F}(G))$. From $\mathfrak{N} \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$ it follows that $Z(G/Z_\mathfrak{F}(G)) \leq Z_\mathfrak{F}(G/Z_\mathfrak{F}(G)) \simeq 1$. Thus $\overline{H}_i \simeq 1$.

(b) $G_i Z_\mathfrak{F}(G)/Z_\mathfrak{F}(G) \trianglelefteq G/Z_\mathfrak{F}(G)$ for any $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Since G satisfies \mathfrak{F} -hypercentral condition for commutators, we see that every element of $G_i Z_\mathfrak{F}(G)/Z_\mathfrak{F}(G)$ commutes with every element of $(\prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^n G_j) Z_\mathfrak{F}(G)/Z_\mathfrak{F}(G)$. Now from $G = G_1 \dots G_n$ it follows that $G_i Z_\mathfrak{F}(G)/Z_\mathfrak{F}(G) \trianglelefteq G/Z_\mathfrak{F}(G)$.

(c) $G/Z_\mathfrak{F}(G) \simeq G_1/Z_\mathfrak{F}(G_1) \times \dots \times G_n/Z_\mathfrak{F}(G_n)$.

Now from (a) and (b) it follows that

$$G/Z_\mathfrak{F}(G) = G_1 Z_\mathfrak{F}(G)/Z_\mathfrak{F}(G) \times \dots \times G_n Z_\mathfrak{F}(G)/Z_\mathfrak{F}(G).$$

Note that every \mathfrak{F} -central chief factor of $G_i Z_\mathfrak{F}(G)/Z_\mathfrak{F}(G)$ is an \mathfrak{F} -central chief factor of $G/Z_\mathfrak{F}(G)$. From $Z_\mathfrak{F}(G/Z_\mathfrak{F}(G)) \simeq 1$ it follows that

$$Z_\mathfrak{F}(G_i Z_\mathfrak{F}(G)/Z_\mathfrak{F}(G)) \simeq Z_\mathfrak{F}(G_i/(G_i \cap Z_\mathfrak{F}(G))) \simeq 1.$$

Since \mathfrak{F} is hereditary, we see that $G_i \cap Z_\mathfrak{F}(G) \leq Z_\mathfrak{F}(G_i)$ by [2, Lemma 2.4(iii)]. Now from $Z_\mathfrak{F}(G_i/(G_i \cap Z_\mathfrak{F}(G))) \simeq 1$ it follows that $G_i \cap Z_\mathfrak{F}(G) = Z_\mathfrak{F}(G_i)$. Thus

$$G/Z_\mathfrak{F}(G) \simeq G_1/(G_1 \cap Z_\mathfrak{F}(G)) \times \dots \times G_n/(G_n \cap Z_\mathfrak{F}(G_n)) \simeq G_1/Z_\mathfrak{F}(G_1) \times \dots \times G_n/Z_\mathfrak{F}(G_n).$$

Lemma is proved. □

Denote by $\Gamma(\mathfrak{F})|_G$ the induced subgraph of $\Gamma(\mathfrak{F})$ on $\pi(G)$.

Lemma 3. *Let \mathfrak{F} be a hereditary formation with $\mathfrak{N} \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$, $\Gamma \in \{\Gamma_s, \Gamma_{Nc}, \Gamma_H\}$ and G be a group. Then*

$$\Gamma(G/Z_\mathfrak{F}(G)) \subseteq \Gamma(G) \subseteq \Gamma(G/Z_\mathfrak{F}(G)) \cup \Gamma(\mathfrak{F})|_G.$$

Proof. From 2 of Lemma 1 it follows that $\Gamma(G/Z_\mathfrak{F}(G)) \subseteq \Gamma(G)$. Assume that there is a group G with $\Gamma(G) \not\subseteq \Gamma(G/Z_\mathfrak{F}(G)) \cup \Gamma(\mathfrak{F})|_G$. Note that $V(\Gamma(G)) = V(\Gamma(G/Z_\mathfrak{F}(G)) \cup \Gamma(\mathfrak{F})|_G)$. Hence there is $(p, q) \in E(\Gamma(G)) \setminus E(\Gamma(G/Z_\mathfrak{F}(G)) \cup \Gamma(\mathfrak{F})|_G)$.

Let $\Gamma = \Gamma_{Nc}$. It means there is a Schmidt (p, q) -subgroup H of G with $H \notin \mathfrak{F}$. From $\Gamma_{Nc}(G/Z_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)) \subseteq \Gamma_{Nc}(G)$ it follows that $HZ_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)/Z_{\mathfrak{F}}(G) \simeq H/H \cap Z_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$ is nilpotent. Since \mathfrak{F} is hereditary, $H \cap Z_{\mathfrak{F}}(G) \leq Z_{\mathfrak{F}}(H)$ by [2, Lemma 2.4(iii)]. From $\mathfrak{N} \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$ it follows that $HZ_{\mathfrak{F}}(G) \in \mathfrak{F}$. Therefore $H \in \mathfrak{F}$, a contradiction. Thus $\Gamma_{Nc}(G) \subseteq \Gamma_{Nc}(G/Z_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)) \cup \Gamma_{Nc}(\mathfrak{F})|_G$.

Let $\Gamma = \Gamma_s$. Then there is an element x of G which induces an automorphisms of order q^α on a Sylow p -subgroup P of G . WLOG we may assume that x is a q -element of G . Note that $xZ_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$ acts trivially on a Sylow p -subgroup $PZ_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)/Z_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$ of $G/Z_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$. Hence $P\langle x \rangle Z_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)/Z_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$ is a nilpotent group. By analogy with the previous paragraph, $P\langle x \rangle Z_{\mathfrak{F}}(G) \in \mathfrak{F}$. Hence $(p, q) \in \Gamma_s(\mathfrak{F})$, a contradiction.

Let $\Gamma = \Gamma_H$. From [23, Proposition 2.3(1)] it follows that there is a chief factor H/K of G below $Z_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$ with $p \in \pi(H/K)$ and $q \in \pi(G/C_G(H/K))$. From $(H/K) \rtimes G/C_G(H/K) \in \mathfrak{F}$ it follows that $(p, q) \in \Gamma_H(\mathfrak{F})$, a contradiction. Thus $\Gamma_H(G) \subseteq \Gamma_H(G/Z_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)) \cup \Gamma_H(\mathfrak{F})|_G$. \square

The main result of this section is

Theorem 5. *Let \mathfrak{F} be a hereditary formation with $\mathfrak{N} \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$ and $\Gamma \in \{\Gamma_s, \Gamma_{Nc}, \Gamma_H\}$. If a group G is the product of subgroups G_1, \dots, G_n with \mathfrak{F} -hypercentral condition for commutators, then*

$$\Gamma(G) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n \Gamma(G_i) \cup \Gamma(\mathfrak{F})|_G.$$

Proof. From Lemma 2 it follows that

$$G/Z_{\mathfrak{F}}(G) \simeq G_1/Z_{\mathfrak{F}}(G_1) \times \cdots \times G_n/Z_{\mathfrak{F}}(G_n).$$

Now $\Gamma(G/Z_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)) = \cup_{i=1}^n \Gamma(G_i/Z_{\mathfrak{F}}(G_i))$ by 5 of Lemma 1. Note that $\Gamma(\mathfrak{F})|_{G_i} \subseteq \Gamma(\mathfrak{F})|_G$. Therefore by Lemma 3

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(G) \cup \Gamma(\mathfrak{F})|_G &= \Gamma(G/Z_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)) \cup \Gamma(\mathfrak{F})|_G = \\ &= \bigcup_{i=1}^n \Gamma(G_i/Z_{\mathfrak{F}}(G_i)) \cup \Gamma(\mathfrak{F})|_G = \bigcup_{i=1}^n (\Gamma(G_i/Z_{\mathfrak{F}}(G_i)) \cup \Gamma(\mathfrak{F})|_{G_i}) \cup \Gamma(\mathfrak{F})|_G \\ &= \bigcup_{i=1}^n (\Gamma(G_i) \cup \Gamma(\mathfrak{F})|_{G_i}) \cup \Gamma(\mathfrak{F})|_G = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \Gamma(G_i) \cup \Gamma(\mathfrak{F})|_G \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\Gamma(G) \subseteq \cup_{i=1}^n \Gamma(G_i) \cup \Gamma(\mathfrak{F})|_G$. \square

Lemma 4. *If a group G has a Sylow tower, then $\Gamma_s(G) = \Gamma_{Nc}(G) = \Gamma_H(G)$.*

Proof. According to [23, Proposition 2.4] $\Gamma_s(G) \subseteq \Gamma_{Nc}(G) \subseteq \Gamma_H(G)$ for any group G . Hence we need to prove only that $\Gamma_s(G) = \Gamma_H(G)$ for a Sylow tower group G . Assume the contrary, let a Sylow tower group G be a minimal order counterexample. Since $V(\Gamma_H(G)) = V(\Gamma_s(G)) = \pi(G)$, we see that there is $(p, q) \in E(\Gamma_H(G)) \setminus E(\Gamma_s(G))$. Since G has a Sylow tower, we see that $O_{p',p}(G)$ contains all Sylow p -subgroups of G . Therefore $p \neq q$.

Let N be a minimal normal subgroup of G . Recall that the class of Sylow tower groups is closed under taking epimorphic images. Therefore G/N is a Sylow tower group. From $|G/N| < |G|$ and our assumption it follows that $\Gamma_s(G/N) = \Gamma_H(G/N)$, in particular $(p, q) \notin E(\Gamma_H(G))$. If G has two minimal normal subgroups N_1 and N_2 , then $\Gamma_s(G) = \Gamma_s(G/N_1) \cup \Gamma_s(G/N_2) = \Gamma_H(G/N_1) \cup \Gamma_H(G/N_2) = \Gamma_H(G)$ by Lemma 1, a contradiction. Thus G has the unique minimal normal subgroup N . Since G is soluble, N is an r -group for some prime r . If $r \neq p$, then $O_{p',p}(G/N) = O_{p',p}(G)/N$. Hence $(p, q) \in E(\Gamma_H(G/N))$, a contradiction. Now $r = p$. Since G is a Sylow tower group with the unique minimal normal subgroup N , we see that a Sylow

p -subgroup P of G is normal in G . Let Q be a Sylow q -subgroup of G . Then $Q \leq N_G(P)$. From $(p, q) \notin E(\Gamma_s(G))$ it follows that $Q \leq C_G(P)$. Now $Q \leq C_G(H/K)$ where H/K is a chief p -factor of G . Recall that $O_{p',p}(G)$ is the intersection of centralizers of all chief p -factors of G . So $Q \leq O_{p',p}(G)$. Thus $(p, q) \notin E(\Gamma_H(G))$, the final contradiction. \square

Proof of Theorem 1. From Lemma 4 it follows that $\Gamma_s(\mathfrak{N}) = \Gamma_{Nc}(\mathfrak{N}) = \Gamma_H(\mathfrak{N})$. Note that $V(\Gamma_H(\mathfrak{N})) = \mathbb{P}$ and $E(\Gamma_H(\mathfrak{N})) = \emptyset$. Let $\Gamma \in \{\Gamma_s, \Gamma_{Nc}, \Gamma_H\}$. Now from the proof of Theorem 5 it follows that

$$\Gamma(G) = \Gamma(G) \cup \Gamma(\mathfrak{N})|_G = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \Gamma(G_i) \cup \Gamma(\mathfrak{N})|_G = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \Gamma(G_i).$$

Theorem 1 is proved. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. From Lemma 4 it follows that $\Gamma_s(\mathfrak{U}) = \Gamma_{Nc}(\mathfrak{U}) = \Gamma_H(\mathfrak{U})$. Note that $V(\Gamma_H(\mathfrak{U})) = \mathbb{P}$. It is well known that a group G is supersoluble iff $G/O_{p',p}(G)$ is abelian of exponent dividing $p - 1$. Hence $E(\Gamma_H(\mathfrak{U})) \subseteq \{(p, q) \mid q \in \pi(p - 1)\}$. On the other hand if $q \in \pi(p - 1)$, then a cyclic group Z_p of order p has a power automorphism of order q . Now $H = Z_p \rtimes Z_q$ is supersoluble and $q \in \pi(H/O_{p',p}(H))$. Thus $E(\Gamma_H(\mathfrak{U})) = \{(p, q) \mid q \in \pi(p - 1)\}$. Now Theorem 2 directly follows from Theorem 5. \square

4 The proof of Theorems 3 and 4

We need the following lemma in the proof of Theorem 3.

Lemma 5. *Let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of a Schmidt (p, q) -subgroup S of a group G . If A is a subgroup of G with $P \leq A$ and $G = AC_G(P)$, then A contains a Schmidt (p, q) -subgroup.*

Proof. Let Q be a Sylow q -subgroup of S , then $Q = \langle x \rangle$ is cyclic. Since $G = AC_G(P) = C_G(P)A$, there exist $y \in A$ and $z \in C_G(P)$ with $x = zy$. Now $P = P^x = P^y$. It means that $P \trianglelefteq P\langle y \rangle \leq A$. Assume that A does not contain a Schmidt (p, q) -group. Now $PO_q(\langle y \rangle)$ is a p -closed $\{p, q\}$ -group without Schmidt (p, q) -subgroups. It means that $PO_q(\langle y \rangle)$ is nilpotent. Hence $\langle y_1 \rangle = O_q(\langle y \rangle) \leq C_G(P)$. Let $y_2 = O_{q'}(\langle y \rangle)$. So $y = y_1 y_2$. It is well known that $C_G(P) \trianglelefteq N_G(P)$. Note that $x, y \in N_G(P)$. Now $\langle x \rangle C_G(P)/C_G(P)$ is a non-trivial q -group. From the other hand $\langle x \rangle C_G(P)/C_G(P) = \langle z y_1 y_2 \rangle C_G(P)/C_G(P) = \langle y_2 \rangle C_G(P)/C_G(P)$ is a q' -group, a contradiction. \square

Proof of Theorem 3. Let prove that $\Gamma_{Nc}(G) \subseteq \Gamma_{Nc}(A) \cup \Gamma_{Nc}(B) \cup \Gamma(A, B)$. Note that $\Gamma_{Nc}(A) \cup \Gamma_{Nc}(B) \subseteq \Gamma_{Nc}(G)$ by Lemma 1.

Assume that $\Gamma_{Nc}(G) \subseteq \Gamma_{Nc}(A) \cup \Gamma_{Nc}(B) \cup \Gamma(A, B)$ is false. Let chose a minimal order group G such that G is a mutually permutable product of subgroups A and B and $\Gamma_{Nc}(G) \not\subseteq \Gamma_{Nc}(A) \cup \Gamma_{Nc}(B) \cup \Gamma(A, B)$. It means that there is $(p, q) \notin E(\Gamma_{Nc}(A) \cup \Gamma_{Nc}(B) \cup \Gamma(A, B))$ such that $(p, q) \in E(\Gamma_{Nc}(G))$. Therefore G has a Schmidt (p, q) -subgroup S .

Since $A_G B_G \neq 1$ by [3, Theorem 4.3.11], WLOG we may assume that A_G contains a minimal normal subgroup N of G .

Now $G/N = (A/N)(BN/N)$ is a mutually permutable product of subgroups A/N and BN/N by [3, Lemma 4.1.10]. Hence $\Gamma_{Nc}(G/N) \subseteq \Gamma_{Nc}(A/N) \cup \Gamma_{Nc}(BN/N) \cup \Gamma(A/N, BN/N)$. Note that $BN/N \simeq B/(B \cap N)$. It means that $\Gamma_{Nc}(A/N) \subseteq \Gamma_{Nc}(A)$ and $\Gamma_{Nc}(BN/N) = \Gamma_{Nc}(B/(B \cap N)) \subseteq \Gamma_{Nc}(B)$ by Lemma 1. By the definition of $\Gamma(A, B)$ we see that

$$\Gamma(A/N, BN/N) = \Gamma(A/N, B/(B \cap N)) \subseteq \Gamma(A, B).$$

Now $\Gamma_{Nc}(G/N) \subseteq \Gamma_{Nc}(A) \cup \Gamma_{Nc}(B) \cup \Gamma(A, B)$. So $(p, q) \notin E(\Gamma_{Nc}(G/N))$. Hence SN/N is not a Schmidt group. Therefore $S \cap N$ contains a Sylow p -subgroup P_0 of S . Denote a Sylow q -subgroup of S by Q_0 .

Assume that $N \leq A \cap B$. There exist Sylow q -subgroups Q, Q_1 and Q_2 of G, A and B respectively such that $Q = Q_1 Q_2$. Note that there is $x \in G$ with $Q_0 \leq Q^x$. Now $S \leq NQ^x = (NQ_1)^x(NQ_2)^x$. Let $T = NQ^x$, $H = (NQ_1)^x$ and $K = (NQ_2)^x$. From $\Gamma_{Nc}(H) = \Gamma_{Nc}(NQ_1) \subseteq \Gamma_{Nc}(A)$ and $\Gamma_{Nc}(K) = \Gamma_{Nc}(NQ_2) \subseteq \Gamma_{Nc}(B)$ it follows that $(p, q) \notin E(\Gamma_{Nc}(H) \cup \Gamma_{Nc}(K))$. Let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of N with $P_0 \leq P$. By Frattini's Argument $H = NN_H(P)$. So there is a q -subgroup Q_3 of $N_H(P)$ with $H = NQ_3$. Note that PQ_3 is a p -closed $\{p, q\}$ -group without Schmidt (p, q) -subgroups. It means that PQ_3 is nilpotent. Hence $Q_3 \leq C_H(P)$. Therefore $H = NC_H(P)$. Similar arguments show that $K = NC_K(P)$. So $T = NC_T(P)$. Now N contains a Schmidt (p, q) -group by Lemma 5, a contradiction.

Assume now that $N \not\leq A \cap B$. It means that $N \cap B = 1$ by [3, Lemma 4.3.3(4)]. Suppose that $B \leq C_G(N)$. Now A has a Schmidt (p, q) -group by Lemma 5, a contradiction. Thus $B \not\leq C_G(N)$. In this case N is cyclic and $A \leq C_G(N)$ by [3, Lemma 4.3.3(5)]. Since $G = AB = C_G(N)(NB)$, we see that NB contains a Schmidt (p, q) -group by Lemma 5. Hence $q \in \pi(NB/C_{NB}(N)) \subseteq \pi(B)$. Since N is a cyclic p -group, we see that $NB/C_{NB}(N) \simeq G/C_G(N)$ is abelian of exponent dividing $p - 1$. Therefore $(p, q) \in E(\Gamma(A, B))$, the final contradiction.

Let prove that $\Gamma_H(G) \subseteq \Gamma_H(A) \cup \Gamma_H(B) \cup \Gamma(A, B) \cup \{(p, p) \mid p \in \pi(G)\}$. Note that $\Gamma_H(A) \cup \Gamma_H(B) \subseteq \Gamma_H(G)$ by Lemma 1.

Assume that $\Gamma_H(G) \subseteq \Gamma_H(A) \cup \Gamma_H(B) \cup \Gamma(A, B) \cup \{(p, p) \mid p \in \pi(G)\}$ is false. Let chose a minimal order group G such that G is a mutually permutable product of subgroups A and B and $\Gamma_H(G) \not\subseteq \Gamma_{Nc}(A) \cup \Gamma_{Nc}(B) \cup \Gamma(A, B) \cup \{(p, p) \mid p \in \pi(G)\}$. It means that there is $(p, q) \notin E(\Gamma_H(A) \cup \Gamma_H(B) \cup \Gamma(A, B) \cup \{(p, p) \mid p \in \pi(G)\})$ such that $(p, q) \in E(\Gamma_H(G))$. In particular, $p \neq q$.

WLOG we may assume that A contains a minimal normal subgroup N of G by [3, Theorem 4.3.11]. Now $G/N = (A/N)(BN/N)$ is a mutually permutable product of subgroups A/N and BN/N by [3, Lemma 4.1.10]. Hence $\Gamma_H(G/N) \subseteq \Gamma_H(A/N) \cup \Gamma_H(BN/N) \cup \Gamma(A/N, BN/N) \cup \{(p, p) \mid p \in \pi(G/N)\}$. Note that $\Gamma_H(A/N) \subseteq \Gamma_H(A)$, $\Gamma_H(BN/N) \subseteq \Gamma_H(B)$ by Lemma 1, and $\Gamma(A/N, BN/N) \subseteq \Gamma(A, B)$. Now $\Gamma_H(G/N) \subseteq \Gamma_H(A) \cup \Gamma_H(B) \cup \Gamma(A, B) \cup \{(p, p) \mid p \in \pi(G)\}$. So $(p, q) \notin E(\Gamma_H(G/N))$. From 3 of Lemma 1 and our assumption it follows that N must be the unique minimal normal subgroup of G . If $\Phi(G) \neq 1$, then similar arguments show that $(p, q) \notin E(\Gamma_H(G/\Phi(G)))$. Note that $\Gamma_H(G/\Phi(G)) = \Gamma_H(G)$ by [23, Theorem 2.7], a contradiction. So $\Phi(G) = 1$. Thus G is a primitive group with $C_G(N) \leq N$.

If N is a p' -group, then $O_{p',p}(G/N) = O_{p',p}(G)/N$ and $G/O_{p',p}(G) \simeq (G/N)/O_{p',p}(G/N)$. Hence $(p, q) \in E(\Gamma_H(G/N))$, a contradiction. Now $p \in \pi(N)$. Therefore $O_{p'}(G) = 1$. Assume that $N \leq A \cap B$. Hence $O_{p'}(A) = O_{p'}(B) = 1$. Now $(\pi(A) \setminus \{p\}) \subseteq \pi(A/O_{p',p}(A))$ and $(\pi(B) \setminus \{p\}) \subseteq \pi(B/O_{p',p}(B))$. It means that $(p, q) \in E(\Gamma_H(A) \cup \Gamma_H(B))$, a contradiction. Therefore $N \not\leq A \cap B$. It means that $N \cap B = 1$ by [3, Lemma 4.3.3(4)].

Now either $A \leq C_G(N)$ or $B \leq C_G(N)$ by [3, Lemma 4.3.3(5)]. If $B \leq C_G(N)$, then from $C_G(N) \leq N \leq A$ it follows that $A = G$, and $(p, q) \in E(\Gamma_H(A))$, a contradiction. Thus $B \not\leq C_G(N)$. In this case N is cyclic and $A \leq C_G(N)$ by [3, Lemma 4.3.3(5)]. Hence $N \leq A \leq C_G(N) \leq N$. Thus $N = C_G(N) = A$ is a cyclic group of order p . In this case G/N is an abelian group of exponent dividing $p - 1$. From $O_{p'}(G) = 1$ it follows that $O_{p',p}(G) = N$. Therefore $\pi(G/O_{p',p}(G)) \subseteq \pi(p - 1)$. Hence $q \in \pi(p - 1)$. Thus $(p, q) \in E(\Gamma(A, B))$, the final contradiction. \square

Proof of Corollary 3.1. Let \mathfrak{F} be a hereditary formation with the Shemetkov property. Then $\mathfrak{F} = (G \mid \Gamma_{Nc}(G) \subseteq \Gamma_{Nc}(\mathfrak{F}))$ by [23, Theorem 4.4]. Assume that \mathfrak{F} is closed under taking mutually permutable products. Let G be a supersoluble Schmidt $\pi(\mathfrak{F})$ -group. Then $G/\Phi(G)$ is a mutually permutable product of groups Z_p and Z_q of orders p and q for some $p, q \in \pi(\mathfrak{F})$ with $q \in \pi(p - 1)$. Hence $G/\Phi(G) \in \mathfrak{F}$. Since the class of soluble \mathfrak{F} -groups is saturated [4, Corollary 6.4.5], $G \in \mathfrak{F}$. Thus \mathfrak{F} contains every supersoluble Schmidt $\pi(\mathfrak{F})$ -group.

Assume now that \mathfrak{F} contains every supersoluble Schmidt $\pi(\mathfrak{F})$ -group. Hence $(p, q) \in E(\Gamma_{Nc}(\mathfrak{F}))$ for every $p, q \in \pi(\mathfrak{F})$ with $q \in \pi(p-1)$. Now if $G = AB$ is a mutually permutable product of \mathfrak{F} -subgroups A and B , then $\Gamma_{Nc}(G) \subseteq \Gamma_{Nc}(\mathfrak{F})$ by Theorem 3. Hence $G \in \mathfrak{F}$. Thus G is closed under taking mutually permutable products. \square

Proof of Corollary 3.2. Let π be a p -special set of primes and \mathfrak{F} be the class of normal extensions of p -groups by π -groups. Then $p \notin \pi$. Hence \mathfrak{F} is the formation of all p -closed $\pi(\mathfrak{F})$ -groups. Recall that an s -critical group for the class of all p -closed groups is a Schmidt (q, p) -group for some prime q . Hence \mathfrak{F} is the formation with the Shemetkov property. Note that \mathfrak{F} contains every supersoluble $\pi(\mathfrak{F})$ -group. Thus \mathfrak{F} is closed under taking mutually permutable products by Corollary 3.1. \square

We need the following Lemma in the prove of Theorem 4.

Lemma 6. *Let G be a soluble group and $n \geq 3$. If $G = G_1 \dots G_n$ is a product of pairwise permutable subgroups G_1, \dots, G_n , then*

$$\Gamma_{Nc}(G) = \bigcup_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \Gamma_{Nc}(G_i G_j).$$

Proof. Assume that $n = 3$, then $G = (G_1 G_2)(G_1 G_3) = (G_1 G_2)(G_2 G_3) = (G_1 G_3)(G_2 G_3)$. Now $\Gamma_{Nc}(G) = \Gamma_{Nc}(G_1 G_2) \cup \Gamma_{Nc}(G_1 G_3) \cup \Gamma_{Nc}(G_2 G_3)$ by [23, Theorem 7.1(1)]. Assume that we prove Lemma 6 for all n with $3 \leq n \leq k$, let prove it for $n = k + 1$. Let $H_l = \prod_{j=1, j \neq l}^n G_j$. Then by our assumption $\Gamma_{Nc}(H_l) = \bigcup_{1 \leq i < j \leq n, i, j \neq l} \Gamma_{Nc}(G_i G_j)$. From $G = H_1 H_2 = H_1 H_3 = H_2 H_3$ and [23, Theorem 7.1(1)] it follows that

$$\Gamma_{Nc}(G) = \Gamma_{Nc}(H_1) \cup \Gamma_{Nc}(H_2) \cup \Gamma_{Nc}(H_3) = \bigcup_{1 \leq i < j \leq k+1} \Gamma_{Nc}(G_i G_j).$$

Now Lemma 6 follows from the mathematical induction principle. \square

Proof of Theorem 4. Let a group G be a product of pairwise mutually permutable soluble subgroups G_1, \dots, G_n . From [3, Theorem 4.1.14] it follows that G is soluble. Now

$$\Gamma_{Nc}(G) = \bigcup_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \Gamma_{Nc}(G_i G_j).$$

According to Theorem 3 $\Gamma_{Nc}(G_i G_j) \subseteq \Gamma_{Nc}(G_i) \cup \Gamma_{Nc}(G_j) \cup \Gamma(G_i, G_j)$. Thus

$$\Gamma_{Nc}(G) \subseteq \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq n} \Gamma_{Nc}(G_i) \cup \bigcup_{1 \leq i, j \leq n, i \neq j} \Gamma(G_i, G_j).$$

From $\Gamma_{Nc}(G) \subseteq \Gamma_H(G)$ for every group G and [15, Lemma 3] it follows that if $(p, q) \in E(\Gamma_H(G)) \setminus E(\Gamma_{Nc}(G))$ for a soluble group G , then $p = q$. Thus

$$\Gamma_H(G) \subseteq \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq n} \Gamma_H(G_i) \cup \bigcup_{1 \leq i, j \leq n, i \neq j} \Gamma(G_i, G_j) \cup \{(p, p) \mid p \in \pi(G)\}.$$

Theorem 4 is proved. \square

Proof of Corollary 4.1. It is clear that the class \mathfrak{F} of all groups whose Schmidt subgroups are supersoluble is a hereditary formation with the Shemetkov property and $\Gamma_{Nc}(\mathfrak{F}) = \Gamma_{Nc}(\mathfrak{U}) = \{(p, q) \mid q \in \pi(p-1)\}$. Note that $\Gamma_{Nc}(\mathfrak{F})$ does not have cycles. Hence every \mathfrak{F} -group has a Sylow tower by [23, Theorem 6.2(b)]. In particular, G_1, \dots, G_n are soluble. Now $G = G_1 \dots G_n$ is a product of mutually permutable soluble \mathfrak{F} -subgroups G_1, \dots, G_n . Therefore $\Gamma_{Nc}(G) \subseteq \Gamma_{Nc}(\mathfrak{F})$ by Theorem 4. Thus $G \in \mathfrak{F}$ by [23, Theorem 4.4]. \square

5 Final remarks and open questions

From 4 of Lemma 1 it follows that if a group $G = AB$ is the product of its normal subgroups A and B , then $\Gamma(G) = \Gamma(A) \cup \Gamma(B)$ where $\Gamma \in \{\Gamma_H, \Gamma_{Nc}\}$. Note that S_4 is the product of its normal subgroups S_4 and A_4 and $\Gamma_s(S_4) \cup \Gamma_s(A_4) \neq \Gamma_s(S_4)$. Nevertheless the following question seems interesting.

Question 1. If a group $G = AB$ is the product of its normal subgroups A and B . Is $\Gamma_s(G) \subseteq \Gamma_s(A) \cup \Gamma_s(B)$?

Through $\bar{\Gamma}$ here we denote an undirected graph on the same vertex set as Γ in which two vertices are connected by the edge if they are connected in Γ . In the proves of [8, 12] the Sylow graph was considered as a directed one but in [12] it was defined as an undirected one. Therefore the graph $\bar{\Gamma}_s$ seems interesting. Moreover

Proposition 1. *If a soluble group $G = AB$ is the product of its normal subgroups A and B , then $\bar{\Gamma}_s(G) = \bar{\Gamma}_s(A) \cup \bar{\Gamma}_s(B)$.*

Proof. From [16, Theorem 4.2(2)] it follows that $\bar{\Gamma}_s(H) = \bar{\Gamma}_{Nc}(H)$ for any soluble group H . Now $\bar{\Gamma}_s(G) = \bar{\Gamma}_s(A) \cup \bar{\Gamma}_s(B)$ follows from 4 of Lemma 1. \square

Note [16, Proof of Theorem 4.2] that there are groups H with $\bar{\Gamma}_s(H) \neq \bar{\Gamma}_{Nc}(H)$. That is why we ask

Question 2. If a group $G = AB$ is the product of its normal subgroups A and B . Is $\bar{\Gamma}_s(G) = \bar{\Gamma}_s(A) \cup \bar{\Gamma}_s(B)$?

In Theorem 3 only N -critical and Hawkes graph of mutually permutable product was described. What can be said about the Sylow graph of mutually permutable product? For example

Question 3. If a group $G = AB$ is the product of mutually permutable subgroups A and B . Is $\Gamma_s(G) \subseteq \Gamma_s(A) \cup \Gamma_s(B) \cup \Gamma(A, B)$?

The proof of Theorem 3 is based on the properties of mutually permutable products of 2 subgroups. The analogues of these properties for products of more than 2 subgroups are not known now. That is why we use some properties of N -critical graph of a soluble group (see Lemma 6) to prove Theorem 4. Hence we have the following 2 questions.

Question 4. Does the conclusion of Theorem 4 hold for the product of pairwise mutually permutable subgroups G_1, \dots, G_n ?

Question 5. Let G be a group and $n \geq 3$. If $G = G_1 \dots G_n$ is the product of pairwise permutable subgroups G_1, \dots, G_n , then is

$$\Gamma_{Nc}(G) = \bigcup_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \Gamma_{Nc}(G_i G_j)?$$

References

- [1] S. Abe and N. Iiyori. A generalization of prime graphs of finite groups. *Hokkaido Math. J.*, 29:391–407, 2000.
- [2] S. Aivazidis, I. N. Safonova, and A. N. Skiba. Subnormality and residuals for saturated formations: A generalization of Schenkman’s theorem. *J. Group Theory*, 24(4):807–818, 2021.

- [3] A. Ballester-Bolinches, R. Esteban-Romero, and M. Asaad. *Products of Finite Groups*. De Gruyter, 2010.
- [4] Adolfo Ballester-Bolinches and Luis M. Ezquerro. *Classes of Finite Groups*, volume 584 of *Math. Appl.* Springer Netherlands, 2006.
- [5] J. C. Beidleman and H. Heineken. Mutually permutable subgroups and group classes. *Arch. Math.*, 85(1):18–30, 2005.
- [6] A. Carocca. A note on the product of F-subgroups in a finite group. *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.*, 39(1):37–42, 1996.
- [7] A. Cayley. Desiderata and suggestions. 2. the theory of groups: graphical representation. *Amer. J. Math.*, 1(2):174–176, 1878.
- [8] A. D’Aniello, C. De Vivo, and G. Giordano. Lattice Formations and Sylow Normalizers: A Conjecture. *Atti Semin. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena.*, 55:107–112, 2007.
- [9] G. Francalanci. Nilpotence relations in products of groups. *J. Group Theory*, 24(3):467–480, 2021.
- [10] P. Hauck, A. Martínez-Pastor, and M. D. Pérez-Ramos. Products of \mathcal{N} -connected groups. *Illinois J. Math.*, 47(4):1033–1045, 2003.
- [11] T. Hawkes. On the class of Sylow tower groups. *Math. Z.*, 105(5):393–398, 1968.
- [12] L. S. Kazarin, A. Martínez-Pastor, and M. D. Pérez-Ramos. On the Sylow graph of a group and Sylow normalizers. *Israel J. Math.*, 186(1):251–271, 2011.
- [13] A. S. Kondrat’ev. Prime graph components of finite simple groups. *Mathematics of the USSR-Sbornik*, 67(1):235–247, 1990.
- [14] A. Lucchini and A. Maróti. On the clique number of the generating graph of a finite group. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 137:3207–3217, 2009.
- [15] V. I. Murashka. Groups with Prescribed Systems of Schmidt Subgroups. *Sib. Math. J.*, 60(2):334–342, 2019.
- [16] V. I. Murashka. N -critical graph of finite groups. *Asian-European J. Math.*, 15(09):2250163, 2021.
- [17] V. I. Murashka. On the connected components of the prime and Sylow graphs of a finite group. *Arch. Math.*, 118(3):225–229, 2022.
- [18] V. I. Murashka and A. F. Vasil’ev. New characterizations of σ -nilpotent finite groups. *Ricerche mat*, 2021.
- [19] L. A. Shemetkov and A. N. Skiba. *Formations of algebraic systems*. Nauka, Moscow, 1989. In Russian.
- [20] A. F. Vasil’ev. On the problem of the enumeration of local formations with a given property. *Questions in Algebra*, (3):3–11, 1987. In Russian.
- [21] A. F. Vasil’ev, V. I. Murashka, and A. K. Furs. On the Hawkes Graphs of Finite Groups. *Sib. Math. J.*, 63(5):849–861, 2022.
- [22] A. F. Vasil’ev, T. I. Vasil’eva, and D. N. Simonenko. On MP-closed saturated formations of finite groups. *Russian Math.*, 61(6):6–12, 2017.
- [23] A. F. Vasilyev and V. I. Murashka. Arithmetic Graphs and Classes of Finite Groups. *Sib. Math. J.*, 60(1):41–55, 2019.
- [24] J. S. Williams. Prime graph components of finite groups. *J. Algebra*, 69(2):487–513, 1981.