

UNSTABLE PSEUDO-ISOTOPIES OF SPHERICAL 3-MANIFOLDS

TADAYUKI WATANABE

ABSTRACT. In our previous works, we constructed diffeomorphisms of compact 4-manifolds X by surgeries on theta-graphs embedded in X . In this paper, we consider the case $X = M \times I$, where M is a spherical 3-manifold. For some of such X , we compute lower bounds of the ranks of the abelian groups $\pi_0 \text{Diff}(X, \partial)$. We study the behavior of the elements constructed by theta-graph surgery under the suspension functor in stable pseudo-isotopy theory, and their triviality in the space of block diffeomorphisms.

1. Introduction

For a finite group π , let $(\pi \times \pi) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ be the semidirect product of $\pi \times \pi$ and \mathbb{Z}_2 with respect to the homomorphism $\psi: \mathbb{Z}_2 = \{1, \tau\} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\pi \times \pi); \tau \mapsto ((x, y) \mapsto (y, x))$. For a left $(\pi \times \pi) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ -module W , let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{\Theta}^{\text{even}}(W) &:= H^0((\pi \times \pi) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2; \bigwedge^3 W) = (\bigwedge^3 W)^{(\pi \times \pi) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2}, \\ \mathcal{A}_{\Theta}^{\text{odd}}(W) &:= H^0((\pi \times \pi) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2; \text{Sym}^3 W) = (\text{Sym}^3 W)^{(\pi \times \pi) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2}, \end{aligned}$$

where we take the invariant parts. One can take $W = \mathbb{C}[\pi]$, which is both a left $\pi \times \pi$ -module by $(g, h) \cdot x \mapsto gxh^{-1}$, and a \mathbb{Z}_2 -module by the involution $x \otimes y \otimes z \mapsto x^{-1} \otimes y^{-1} \otimes z^{-1}$ ($x, y, z \in \pi$). Also, one can take $W = \text{Ker } \varepsilon$ for the augmentation map $\varepsilon: \mathbb{C}[\pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, which is a $(\pi \times \pi) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ -submodule of $\mathbb{C}[\pi]$. The definitions of the \mathcal{A}_{Θ} -spaces are motivated by the space of π -decorated 2-loop graphs in [GL]. Let $\text{Diff}_0(X, \partial)$ denote the subgroup of $\text{Diff}(X, \partial)$ of diffeomorphisms homotopic to the identity. In this paper, we consider the 4-manifold $X = M \times I$, where M is a spherical (or elliptic) 3-manifold, i.e. $M = S^3/\Gamma$ for a finite subgroup $\Gamma \subset SO(4)$ acting freely on S^3 (e.g., [Sa, §1.2.1]). The following theorem is essentially given in [Wa1, Proposition 7.1 and Remark 7.2].

Theorem 1.1. *Let M be a spherical 3-manifold, $\pi = \pi_1 M$, and let $X = M \times I$. Let W be a $\mathbb{C}[(\pi \times \pi) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2]$ -module satisfying Assumption 2.2. Then a homomorphism*

$$Z_{\Theta}^{\text{even}}: \Gamma_0(X) \otimes \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\Theta}^{\text{even}}(W)$$

from a certain subgroup $\Gamma_0(X)$ of $\pi_1 B\text{Diff}_0(X, \partial)$ is defined, and if $W = \text{Ker } \varepsilon$, it is surjective. Hence we have

$$\dim \pi_0 \text{Diff}_0(X, \partial) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \geq \dim \mathcal{A}_{\Theta}^{\text{even}}(\text{Ker } \varepsilon).$$

Note that for $X = M \times I$, the group $\text{Diff}(X, \partial)$ is homotopy commutative ([ABK, 2.6.1 Lemma]) and hence $\pi_0 \text{Diff}_0(X, \partial)$ is abelian. Theorem 1.1 can be obtained by modifying a few points (§2 and §3 below) in [Wa1, Proposition 7.1 and Remark 7.2], where we defined an invariant of diffeomorphisms of X with certain structures by a method similar to [Mar, Les1, Les2] (“equivariant triple intersection” in a configuration space). The aim of this paper is to give some examples of computations of the lower bound in Theorem 1.1.

The lower bound $\dim \mathcal{A}_\Theta^{\text{even}}(\text{Ker } \varepsilon)$ of Theorem 1.1 can be computed by simple calculations. We give a few examples: the Poincaré homology sphere $\Sigma(2, 3, 5)$ and the lens spaces $L(n, q)$. It is known that $\pi_1 \Sigma(2, 3, 5)$ is isomorphic to $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$, which is also known as the binary icosahedral group, and $\pi_1 L(n, q) \cong \mathbb{Z}_n$ (e.g., [Sa, §1.1.2, §1.2.1]). The following computation using the characters for the binary icosahedral group was done with the help of Yuji Ohta.

Proposition 1.2 (Poincaré homology sphere, Proposition 4.4). *When $\pi = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$, we have the following.*

- (1) $\dim \mathcal{A}_\Theta^{\text{even}}(\text{Ker } \varepsilon) = \dim \mathcal{A}_\Theta^{\text{even}}(\mathbb{C}[\pi]) = 27$.
- (2) $\dim \mathcal{A}_\Theta^{\text{odd}}(\text{Ker } \varepsilon) = 56$, $\dim \mathcal{A}_\Theta^{\text{odd}}(\mathbb{C}[\pi]) = 65$.

The case of lens spaces can be computed by a more elementary method.

Proposition 1.3 (Lens spaces, Proposition 5.2). *Let $\pi = \mathbb{Z}_n$ ($n \geq 1$), and for an integer $m \geq 0$, let $p_3(m)$ be the number of partitions of m into at most three parts, namely, the number of integer solutions of the equation $x + y + z = m$ ($0 \leq x \leq y \leq z$). We set $p_3(m) = 0$ for $m < 0$. Then we have the following.*

- (1) $\dim \mathcal{A}_\Theta^{\text{odd}}(\mathbb{C}[\pi]) = p_3(n)$.
- (2) $\dim \mathcal{A}_\Theta^{\text{even}}(\mathbb{C}[\pi]) = p_3(n - 6)$.
- (3) $\dim \mathcal{A}_\Theta^{\text{odd}}(\text{Ker } \varepsilon) = p_3(n - 3)$.
- (4) $\dim \mathcal{A}_\Theta^{\text{even}}(\text{Ker } \varepsilon) = \dim \mathcal{A}_\Theta^{\text{even}}(\mathbb{C}[\pi]) = p_3(n - 6)$.

The following is a table of the values of the dimensions of $\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{\text{even/odd}}(\mathbb{C}[\pi])$ and $\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{\text{even/odd}}(\text{Ker } \varepsilon)$ for $n \leq 15$. It is known that $p_3(m)$ ($m \geq 0$) is the nearest integer to $\frac{(m+3)^2}{12}$ (e.g. [AK, Ch. 6]).

| n | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| $\dim \mathcal{A}_\Theta^{\text{odd}}(\mathbb{C}[\pi])$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 | 19 | 21 | 24 | 27 |
| $\dim \mathcal{A}_\Theta^{\text{even}}(\mathbb{C}[\pi])$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 12 |
| $\dim \mathcal{A}_\Theta^{\text{odd}}(\text{Ker } \varepsilon)$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 | 19 |
| $\dim \mathcal{A}_\Theta^{\text{even}}(\text{Ker } \varepsilon)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 12 |

Although the results for $\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{\text{odd}}$ are not used in this paper, we think these would be useful to study finite type invariants of 3-manifolds in [GL].

Let $C(M) = \text{Diff}(M \times I, M \times \{0\} \cup \partial M \times I)$, the group of diffeomorphisms of $M \times I$ pointwise fixing $M \times \{0\} \cup \partial M \times I$, equipped with the C^∞ -topology. An element of $C(M)$ is called a *pseudo-isotopy* or a *concordance* of M . Pseudo-isotopy theory studies the topology of $C(M)$, which is related to the diffeomorphism groups via the following fiber sequence

$$(1.1) \quad \text{Diff}(M \times I, \partial) \rightarrow C(M) \xrightarrow{r} \text{Diff}(M),$$

where r is the restriction to $M \times \{1\}$. For most 3-manifolds M , the left map in this sequence induces a map between π_0 which is close to an isomorphism, in the sense that $\pi_i \text{Diff}(M)$ is small in many cases (generalized Smale conjecture, e.g., [Hat, Ga, HKMR, BK]).

Corollary 1.4. *We have the following inequalities.*

- (1) $\dim \pi_0 C(\Sigma(2, 3, 5)) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \geq 27$.
- (2) $\dim \pi_0 C(\Sigma(2, 3, 5) \times I) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \geq 27$.
- (3) $\dim \pi_0 C(L(n, q) \times I) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \geq p_3(n - 6)$.

Proof. For (1), since $\text{Diff}(\Sigma(2, 3, 5)) \simeq \text{Isom}(\Sigma(2, 3, 5)) = SO(3)$ by [BK], and $\pi_0 SO(3) = 0$, the natural map $\pi_0 \text{Diff}(\Sigma(2, 3, 5) \times I, \partial) \rightarrow \pi_0 C(\Sigma(2, 3, 5))$ from (1.1) is surjective, and the image is abelian, too. Moreover, its kernel is the image from $\pi_1 SO(3) = \mathbb{Z}_2$. Hence we have an isomorphism $\pi_0 \text{Diff}(\Sigma(2, 3, 5) \times I, \partial) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \simeq \pi_0 C(\Sigma(2, 3, 5)) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Then the result follows by Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 4.4.

For (2) and (3), we use the fact that theta-graph surgeries that are detected by $Z_{\mathfrak{G}}^{\text{even}}$ lifts to $\pi_1 BC(M \times I)$ ([BW, Theorem 1.3]). Then the results follow by Theorem 1.1 and Propositions 4.4, 5.2. \square

We compare our nontrivial subgroup in $\pi_0 C(M \times I)$ with Hatcher–Wagoner’s stable pseudo-isotopy theory $P(M \times I) = \text{colim } C(M \times I^N)$ ([HW], see also [Ig1]), where the colimit is taken with respect to the “suspension functor” $\sigma: C(X) \rightarrow C(X \times I)$ ([HW, Ch I-§5]). In [Ig2], the following commutative diagram is considered for $\dim X = 4$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \pi_0 \mathcal{D}(X) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\theta}} & \pi_0 C(X) & & & & \\ \bar{\lambda} \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\ Wh_1^+(\pi_1 X; \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \pi_2 X) & \longrightarrow & \pi_0 P(X) & \longrightarrow & Wh_2(\pi_1 X) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

where the bottom horizontal line is exact (by [HW]) and $\mathcal{D}(X)$ is the space of “lens-space models” for pseudo-isotopies of X ([Ig2, Definition 1.4]). In recent works of K. Igusa ([Ig1, Ig2]) and O. Singh ([Si]), many nontrivial elements of $\pi_0 C(X)$ for some 4-manifolds X with $\pi_2 X \neq 0$ are found, by realizing elements of $Wh_1^+(\pi_1 X; \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \pi_2 X)$ by explicit 1-parameter families in $\mathcal{D}(X)$ of 2,3-handle pairs. Thus their nontrivial elements are also nontrivial in $\pi_0 P(X)$.

We see that our theta-graph surgery behaves differently.

Theorem 1.5. *Let M be a spherical 3-manifold.*

- (1) *Theta-graph surgery gives elements of $\pi_0 C(M \times I)$ that lift to $\pi_0 \mathcal{D}(M \times I)$.*
- (2) *$\pi_0 C(M \times I)$ includes a free abelian subgroup of rank $\dim \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{G}}^{\text{even}}(\text{Ker } \varepsilon)$ that is included in the kernel of $\pi_0 C(M \times I) \rightarrow \pi_0 P(M \times I)$.*

Proof. (1) follows from [Wa1, §8], which uses a result of [BW]. Then (2) follows since $\pi_2(M \times I) = \pi_2 M = 0$, $\pi_1(M \times I) = \pi_1 M$, and

$$Wh_1^+(\pi_1 M; \mathbb{Z}_2) = \bigoplus_{c-1} \mathbb{Z}_2,$$

where c is the number of conjugacy classes of elements of $\pi_1 M$ ([Ig2, p.3]). \square

Remark 1.6. The subgroup of Theorem 1.5 (2) is of finite index ($= 2^{c-1}$) in the free abelian subgroup of rank $\dim \mathcal{A}_{\Theta}^{\text{even}}(\text{Ker } \varepsilon)$ generated by theta-graph surgery. This restriction to the smaller subgroup would be unnecessary since Theorem 1.5 (2) could also be proved by directly evaluating the homomorphism $\bar{\lambda}: \pi_0 \mathcal{D}(X) \rightarrow \text{Wh}_1^+(\pi_1 X; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ for the 1-parameter family of the attaching 2-spheres of the 3-handles in [Wa1, §8] obtained from theta-graph surgery.

There is a similar result for the space $C^{\text{Top}}(M)$ of topological pseudo-isotopies by Kwasik and Schultz [KS, Theorem 1] giving nontrivial unstable elements of $\pi_0 C^{\text{Top}}(M)$ for certain 3-manifolds M .

Let $\widetilde{\text{Diff}}(M)$ be the space of block diffeomorphisms of M (see e.g., [HLLRW]). In [Hat3, Proposition 2.1], Hatcher constructed a spectral sequence with $E_{pq}^1 = \pi_q C(M \times I^p)$ converging to $\pi_{p+q+1}(\widetilde{\text{Diff}}(M)/\text{Diff}(M))$. In particular,

$$\pi_1(\widetilde{\text{Diff}}(M)/\text{Diff}(M)) = E_{00}^2 = E_{00}^1/\delta_*(E_{10}^1) = \pi_0 C(M)/\delta_*(\pi_0 C(M \times I)),$$

where $\delta: C(M \times I) \rightarrow C(M)$ is defined by $\delta(f) = f|_{M \times I \times \{1\}}$. Similar identity for the topological case was considered in [KS, p.874]. Since the elements of $\pi_0 C(M)$ constructed by theta-graph surgery are in the image of δ_* ([BW, Theorem 1.3]), we have the following.

Proposition 1.7. *Let M be a spherical 3-manifold. The elements of $\pi_0 C(M)$ constructed by theta-graph surgery are trivial in $\pi_1(\widetilde{\text{Diff}}(M)/\text{Diff}(M))$.*

This shows that theta-graph surgery is not like the unstable elements of $\pi_0 C^{\text{Top}}(M)$ detected in [KS], where the nontrivial elements are detected in $\pi_1(\widetilde{\text{Top}}(M)/\text{Top}(M))$.

Acknowledgments. I thank Y. Nozaki, T. Sakasai for information about software. I thank Y. Ohta for his computation in Lemma 4.2. This work was partially supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research 17K05252 and 21K03225, and by RIMS, Kyoto University.

2. Twisted homology of configuration space

In this and the next section, we check Theorem 1.1. We use a slightly generalized version of the invariant Z_{Θ}^{even} of [Wa1] for more general local coefficient system W (Lemma 2.3). To define Z_{Θ}^{even} , we need “propagators” in a family of configuration spaces of two points on $X = M \times I$. Now we check that a propagator exists for more general coefficients W .

2.1. Acyclic complex. Suppose that M is a spherical 3-manifold. Let $\pi = \pi_1 M$, and let A be a non-trivial irreducible $\mathbb{C}\pi$ -module. The homology $H_*(M; A)$ with twisted coefficient A is defined by

$$H_*(M; A) := H(S_*(\widetilde{M}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}\pi} A),$$

where \widetilde{M} is the universal cover of M , $S_*(\cdot)$ is the \mathbb{C} -complex of singular chains.

Lemma 2.1. $H_*(M; A) = 0$.

Proof. Since π is finite, $\mathbb{C}\pi$ is semisimple in the sense of [CE, §I.4] by Maschke's theorem and we have $H^1(\pi; A) = 0$ (Theorem VI.16.6 and Lemma VI.16.7 of [HS]). By the universal coefficient theorem, which is valid if the ring is hereditary, the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{C}\pi}^1(H_{i-1}(C), A) \rightarrow H^i(\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}\pi}(C, A)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}\pi}(H_i(C), A) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact for $C = S_*(S^3; \mathbb{C})$ (as a $\mathbb{C}\pi$ -module) and any $\mathbb{C}\pi$ -module A (e.g., [CE, Theorem VI.3.3]). Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} H^3(M; A) &\cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}\pi}(\mathbb{C}, A) \cong H^0(\pi; A) = A^\pi, \\ H^2(M; A) &= 0, \\ H^1(M; A) &\cong \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{C}\pi}^1(\mathbb{C}, A) = H^1(\pi; A) = 0, \\ H^0(M; A) &\cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}\pi}(\mathbb{C}, A) \cong H^0(\pi; A) = A^\pi. \end{aligned}$$

Since A is a non-trivial irreducible $\mathbb{C}\pi$ -module, we have $A^\pi = 0$. Then by Poincaré duality (e.g., [Hat2, §3.H], [Hatt, Theorem 7.17] etc.), we also have $H_*(M; A) = 0$. \square

2.2. Propagator for spherical 3-manifolds M . Let Δ_M be the diagonal of $M \times M$. The configuration space of two points of M is

$$\text{Conf}_2(M) = M \times M - \Delta_M.$$

The Fulton–MacPherson compactification of $\text{Conf}_2(M)$ is

$$\overline{\text{Conf}}_2(M) = B\ell_{\Delta_M}(M \times M).$$

We identify the boundary $\partial\overline{\text{Conf}}_2(M)$, which is the unit normal sphere bundle of $\Delta_M \subset M \times M$, with $ST(M)$, the unit tangent sphere bundle. We consider the following assumption.

Assumption 2.2. A $\pi \times \pi$ -module W satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) $H_*(M \times M; W) = 0$.
- (2) There are elements $e_W^1, \dots, e_W^r \in W$ on which $\pi \times \pi$ acts trivially such that $H_*(\Delta_M; W) \cong H_*(M; \mathbb{C})^{\oplus r}$, which is generated over \mathbb{C} by $[\ast \otimes e_W^i]$ and $[M \otimes e_W^i]$ ($i = 1, \dots, r$).

For a non-trivial irreducible π -module A , let $A \boxtimes A^*$ denote the pullback of the local coefficient system $A \boxtimes_{\mathbb{C}} A^*$ on $M \times M$ to $\overline{\text{Conf}}_2(M)$. We denote by $A \otimes A^*$ the restriction of $A \boxtimes A^*$ to $\partial\overline{\text{Conf}}_2(M)$, on which π acts diagonally.

Lemma 2.3. *The following $\pi \times \pi$ -modules W satisfy Assumption 2.2.*

- (1) $W = A \boxtimes A^*$.
- (2) $W = \bigoplus_i (A_i \boxtimes A_i^*)$, where A_i is a non-trivial irreducible π -module.
- (3) $W = \text{Ker } \varepsilon$, where $\varepsilon: \mathbb{C}\pi \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the augmentation map.

Proof. That (1) satisfies Assumption 2.2 follows from Lemma 2.1 and the Künneth formula for $\mathbb{C}\pi$ -modules. The case (2) follows from (1) and

$$\begin{aligned} H_*(M \times M; \bigoplus_i (A_i \boxtimes A_i^*)) &= \bigoplus_i H_*(M \times M; A_i \boxtimes A_i^*), \\ H_*(\Delta_M; \bigoplus_i (A_i \otimes A_i^*)) &= \bigoplus_i H_*(\Delta_M; A_i \otimes A_i^*). \end{aligned}$$

The case (3) follows from the $\pi \times \pi$ -module decomposition

$$(2.1) \quad \mathbb{C}\pi \cong \bigoplus_i \text{End}(A_i), \quad \text{Ker } \varepsilon \cong \bigoplus_{i \neq [1]} \text{End}(A_i) \cong \bigoplus_{i \neq [1]} (A_i \boxtimes A_i^*),$$

(e.g., [FH, Proposition 3.29]) where i is taken over the conjugacy classes in π , and from (2). \square

Lemma 2.4. *Let W be a $\pi \times \pi$ -module satisfying Assumption 2.2. Then we have*

$$H_k(\overline{\text{Conf}}_2(M); W) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}\{[ST(*) \otimes e_W^i] \mid i = 1, \dots, r\} & (k = 2), \\ \mathbb{C}\{[ST(M) \otimes e_W^i] \mid i = 1, \dots, r\} & (k = 5), \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}), \end{cases}$$

where for an oriented submanifold σ of M , we denote by $ST(\sigma)$ the restriction of the unit tangent 2-sphere bundle $ST(M)$ to σ .

Proof. We consider the exact sequence

$$H_{i+1}(M^{\times 2}; W) \rightarrow H_{i+1}(M^{\times 2}, \text{Conf}_2(M); W) \rightarrow H_i(\text{Conf}_2(M); W) \rightarrow H_i(M^{\times 2}; W),$$

where $H_*(M^{\times 2}; W) = 0$ by Assumption 2.2 (1). Letting $N(\Delta_M)$ be a closed tubular neighborhood of Δ_M , we have

$$H_{i+1}(M^{\times 2}, \text{Conf}_2(M); W) \cong H_{i+1}(N(\Delta_M), \partial N(\Delta_M); W)$$

by excision. Since M is parallelizable and the normal bundle of Δ_M can be canonically identified with TM , the normal bundle of Δ_M is trivial. By Assumption 2.2 (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_{i+1}(N(\Delta_M), \partial N(\Delta_M); W) &= H_3(D^3, \partial D^3; \mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} H_{i-2}(\Delta_M; W) \\ &\cong H_3(D^3, \partial D^3; \mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} H_{i-2}(M; \mathbb{C})^{\oplus r} \cong H_{i-2}(M; \mathbb{C})^{\oplus r}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, $H_{i-2}(M; \mathbb{C})$ is rank 1 for $i - 2 = 0, 3$, and its generator is $*$, M , respectively. \square

Lemma 2.5. *Let W be a $\pi \times \pi$ -module satisfying Assumption 2.2. Let $s_{\tau_0} : M \rightarrow ST(M)$ be the section given by the normalization of the first vector of a framing τ_0 of M . Then we have*

$$H_3(\partial \overline{\text{Conf}}_2(M); W) = \mathbb{C}\{[s_{\tau_0}(M) \otimes e_W^i] \mid i = 1, \dots, r\}.$$

Proof. This follows from the trivialization $\partial \overline{\text{Conf}}_2(M) \cong S^2 \times M$ induced by τ_0 , Assumption 2.2 (2), and the Künneth formula for \mathbb{C} -modules. \square

Lemma 2.6 (Propagator). *Let W be a $\pi \times \pi$ -module satisfying Assumption 2.2.*

- (1) *There exists a 4-chain ω^i of $\overline{\text{Conf}}_2(M)$ with coefficients in W that is transversal to the boundary and that satisfies*

$$\partial_W \omega^i = s_{\tau_0}(M) \otimes e_W^i.$$

- (2) *For a fixed framing τ_0 and i , the extension ω^i is unique in the sense that for two such extensions ω^i and ω'^i that agree near the boundary, there is a 5-chain η of $\text{Int } \overline{\text{Conf}}_2(M)$ with coefficients in W such that*

$$\omega'^i - \omega^i = \partial_W \eta.$$

We call the direct sum of such extensions $\omega = \sum_i \omega^i$ a propagator for τ_0 .

Proof. The assertion (1) follows immediately from the long exact sequence

$$H_4(\overline{C}; W) \rightarrow H_4(\overline{C}, \partial\overline{C}; W) \rightarrow H_3(\partial\overline{C}; W) \rightarrow H_3(\overline{C}; W),$$

where we abbreviate as $\overline{C} = \overline{\text{Conf}}_2(M)$, and $H_4(\overline{C}; W) = H_3(\overline{C}; W) = 0$ by Lemma 2.4. Here, both $[\omega^i]$ and $[s_{\tau_0}(M) \otimes e_W^i]$ restrict to the same generator of the homology of $* \times S^2 \subset SN\Delta_M$, their homology classes agree. The assertion (2) follows since the difference $\omega'^i - \omega^i$ vanishes near $\partial\overline{C}$ and represents 0 of the twisted homology $H_4(\overline{C}; W)$. \square

2.3. Twisted homologies of the configuration space of $M \times I$ and its family.

Let $\overline{\text{Conf}}_2(M \times I) = B\ell_{\Delta_{M \times I}}((M \times I) \times (M \times I))$, which is not a smooth manifold with corners, but satisfies the Poincaré–Lefschetz duality ([Wa2, C.3]). For an $(M \times I)$ -bundle $p : E \rightarrow S^1$ over S^1 with structure group $\text{Diff}(M \times I, \partial)$, we denote by

$$\overline{\text{Conf}}_2(p) : E\overline{\text{Conf}}_2(p) \rightarrow S^1$$

the associated $\overline{\text{Conf}}_2(M \times I)$ -bundle with structure group $\text{Diff}(M \times I, \partial)$.

To define the invariant $Z_{\mathfrak{G}}^{\text{even}}$ as in [Wa1, Proposition 7.1 and Remark 7.2], we need a *propagator in family*, which is a 6-chain of $E\overline{\text{Conf}}_2(p)$ with coefficients in W satisfying some boundary condition similar to Lemma 2.6, and is implicitly defined in the proof of [Wa1, Proposition 7.1]. In [Wa1], the existence of such a 6-chain was guaranteed by the lemmas [Wa1, Lemmas 7.3 and 7.4]. The analogues of the lemmas for the W in this paper are the following, whose proofs are the same except that the invariant element c_A ([Wa1, Assumption 3.6]) is replaced with $\sum_i e_W^i$.

Lemma 2.7 ([Wa1, Lemma 7.3]). *Let W be a $\pi \times \pi$ -module satisfying Assumption 2.2. Then we have*

$$H_k(\overline{\text{Conf}}_2(M \times I); W) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}\{[ST(*) \otimes e_W^i] \mid i = 1, \dots, r\} & (k = 3), \\ \mathbb{C}\{[ST(M) \otimes e_W^i] \mid i = 1, \dots, r\} & (k = 6), \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}), \end{cases}$$

where we identify M with $M \times \{\frac{1}{2}\}$ in $M \times I$, and for an oriented submanifold σ of $M \times I$, we denote by $ST(\sigma)$ the restriction of the unit tangent 3-sphere bundle $ST(M \times I)$ to σ .

Lemma 2.8 ([Wa1, Lemma 7.4]). *Let W be a $\pi \times \pi$ -module satisfying Assumption 2.2. Then we have $H_5(E\overline{\text{Conf}}_2(p); W) = 0$ and the natural map*

$$H_6(E\overline{\text{Conf}}_2(p); W) \rightarrow H_6(E\overline{\text{Conf}}_2(p), \partial E\overline{\text{Conf}}_2(p); W)$$

is zero. Thus the connecting homomorphism

$$H_6(E\overline{\text{Conf}}_2(p), \partial E\overline{\text{Conf}}_2(p); W) \rightarrow H_5(\partial E\overline{\text{Conf}}_2(p); W)$$

is an isomorphism.

Roughly, a propagator in family $E\overline{\text{Conf}}_2(p)$ is constructed as follows. The boundary of $\overline{\text{Conf}}_2(X)$ is $p_{B\ell}^{-1}(\Delta_X \cup (\partial X \times X) \cup (X \times \partial X))$, where $p_{B\ell} : \overline{\text{Conf}}_2(X) \rightarrow X \times X$ is the canonical blow-down projection.

- On the stratum of $\partial E\overline{\text{Conf}}_2(p)$ corresponding to the part $p_{B\ell}^{-1}(\Delta_X)$, we take the 5-chain $s_\tau(E) \otimes e_W^i$, where $s_\tau: E \rightarrow ST^v E$ is the section given by the normalization of the first vector of a vertical framing $\tau: T^v E = \text{Ker } dp \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{R}^4 \times E$.
- On the stratum of $\partial E\overline{\text{Conf}}_2(p)$ corresponding to the part $p_{B\ell}^{-1}((\partial X \times X) \cup (X \times \partial X))$, we take the pullbacks of the copies of ω^i of Lemma 2.6 in the subspace $p_{B\ell}^{-1}((M \times \{0\}) \times (M \times \{0\})) \cup p_{B\ell}^{-1}((M \times \{1\}) \times (M \times \{1\})) \cong \overline{\text{Conf}}_2(M) \amalg \overline{\text{Conf}}_2(M)$. This makes sense since the bundle p is trivialized over ∂X .

The sum of these 5-chains of $\partial E\overline{\text{Conf}}_2(p)$ is a cycle. Then by Lemma 2.8, it has an extension to a 6-chain $\tilde{\omega}^i$ of $E\overline{\text{Conf}}_2(p)$. We call $\tilde{\omega} = \sum_i \tilde{\omega}^i$ a propagator in family.

3. Properties of the invariant Z_Θ^{even}

Roughly, the invariant Z_Θ^{even} is defined by choosing three propagators $\tilde{\omega}_1, \tilde{\omega}_2, \tilde{\omega}_3$ in family $E\overline{\text{Conf}}_2(p)$ with some boundary conditions and then by

$$Z_\Theta^{\text{even}}(\tilde{\omega}_1, \tilde{\omega}_2, \tilde{\omega}_3) = \frac{1}{6} \text{Tr}_\Theta \langle \tilde{\omega}_1, \tilde{\omega}_2, \tilde{\omega}_3 \rangle_\Theta \in \mathcal{A}_\Theta^{\text{even}}(W),$$

where $\text{Tr}_\Theta: W^{\otimes 3} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\Theta^{\text{even}}(W)$ is the projection, $\langle -, -, - \rangle_\Theta$ is the triple intersection among chains with twisted coefficients ([Wa1, §5]). We will not repeat the detailed definition here. The only difference in the proof of the well-definedness of Z_Θ^{even} is to replace the invariant element c_A in [Wa1, Proofs of Theorem 5.3 and Proposition 7.1] with $\sum_i e_W^i$. The property we need is the following.

Proposition 3.1 ([Wa1, Theorem 6.2]). *Let X, M, π, W be as in Lemma 2.3. Then for any $g_1, g_2, g_3 \in \pi$, an element $\Psi_1(\Theta(g_1, g_2, g_3))$ of $\Omega_1^{SO}(B\text{Diff}_0(X, \partial))$ is defined by surgery on an embedded theta-graph associated to (g_1, g_2, g_3) , which belongs to the image from $\pi_1 B\text{Diff}_0(X, \partial)$, and the following identity holds.*

$$Z_\Theta^{\text{even}}(\Psi_1(\Theta(g_1, g_2, g_3))) = 2 [\rho_W(g_1) \wedge \rho_W(g_2) \wedge \rho_W(g_3)],$$

where $\rho_W: \mathbb{C}[\pi] \rightarrow W = \bigoplus_i \text{End}(A_i)$ is the representation of π .

Note that the invariant Z_Θ^{even} in [Wa1] was defined on a slightly different group* $\pi_1 \widetilde{B\text{Diff}}_{\text{deg}}(M \times I, \partial)$ than $\pi_1 B\text{Diff}_0(X, \partial)$, however, it can be shown that Z_Θ^{even} descends to a map from the image of $\pi_1 \widetilde{B\text{Diff}}_{\text{deg}}(M \times I, \partial) \rightarrow \pi_1 B\text{Diff}_0(M \times I, \partial)$ (Lemma 3.3 below). Namely, the homotopy fiber of the natural map $\widetilde{B\text{Diff}}_{\text{deg}}(M \times I, \partial) \rightarrow B\text{Diff}_0(M \times I, \partial)$ is given by

$$\text{Map}((M \times I, \partial), (SO_4, 1)) \times \text{Map}((M \times I, \partial), (M \times I, \partial))_{\text{id}},$$

where $\partial = \partial(M \times I)$, $\text{Map}((A, \partial A), (C, D))$ denotes the space of continuous maps $(A, \partial A) \rightarrow (C, D)$ with the C^0 -topology that agree with the base map on ∂A , $(-)_{\text{id}}$ denotes the component of the identity. The first factor $\text{Map}((M \times I, \partial), (SO_4, 1))$ is identified with the space of framings on $M \times I$, the second factor $\text{Map}((M \times I, \partial), (M \times I, \partial))_{\text{id}}$ is used to give a fiberwise (relative) degree one map $(E, \partial E) \rightarrow (M \times I, \partial)$ to pullback a local coefficient system on M .

*This should not be confused with the classifying space of $\widetilde{\text{Diff}}(M \times I, \partial)$.

Lemma 3.2. *For a spherical 3-manifold M ,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Map}((M \times I, \partial), (SO_4, 1)) \times \text{Map}((M \times I, \partial), (M \times I, \partial))_{\text{id}} \\ & \simeq \Omega \text{Map}(M, SO_4) \times \Omega \text{Map}(M, M)_{\text{id}}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, there is a fibration sequence:

$$\Omega^4 SO_4 \longrightarrow \Omega \text{Map}(M, SO_4) \longrightarrow \Omega SO_4.$$

Proof. We have the following homotopy equivalences:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Map}((M \times I, \partial), (SO_4, 1)) \simeq \Omega \text{Map}(M, SO_4), \\ & \text{Map}((M \times I, \partial), (M \times I, \partial))_{\text{id}} \simeq \Omega \text{Map}(M, M)_{\text{id}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the basepoints of $\text{Map}(M, SO_4)$ and $\text{Map}(M, M)_{\text{id}}$ are the constant map to 1 and id , respectively. Furthermore, we have the following fibration sequence:

$$\text{Map}_*(M, SO_4) \rightarrow \text{Map}(M, SO_4) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} SO_4,$$

where ev is the evaluation at a fixed basepoint of M , and $\text{Map}_*(-, -)$ is the subspace of $\text{Map}(-, -)$ of pointed maps. Then the result follows by the homotopy equivalence: $\Omega \text{Map}_*(M, SO_4) \simeq \text{Map}_*(S^1 \wedge M, SO_4) \simeq \text{Map}_*(S^4, SO_4)$. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\Gamma_0(M \times I)$ denote the image of the natural map $\pi_1 \widetilde{B\text{Diff}}_{\text{deg}}(M \times I, \partial) \rightarrow \pi_1 B\text{Diff}_0(M \times I)$. The homomorphism $Z_{\Theta}^{\text{even}}: \pi_1 \widetilde{B\text{Diff}}_{\text{deg}}(M \times I, \partial) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\Theta}^{\text{even}}(W)$ descends to a map $\Gamma_0(M \times I) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\Theta}^{\text{even}}(W)$.*

Proof. Since $\pi_1 \Omega SO_4 = 0$ and $\pi_1 \Omega^4 SO_4 = \pi_5 SO_4 = \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$, $\pi_1 \Omega \text{Map}(M, SO_4) = \pi_2 \text{Map}(M, SO_4)$ is a quotient of $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$. Moreover, a change of the choice of the lift of an element of $\Gamma_0(M \times I)$ to $\pi_1 \widetilde{B\text{Diff}}_{\text{deg}}(M \times I, \partial)$ within the factor $\text{Map}((M \times I, \partial), (M \times I, \partial))_{\text{id}}$ in the homotopy fiber does not affect the value of Z_{Θ}^{even} since the local coefficient system on the total space of the corresponding $(M \times I)$ -bundle $p: E \rightarrow S^1$, which is needed to define Z_{Θ}^{even} , is determined by the homotopy class of the induced map $\pi_1 E \rightarrow \pi_1 M$, which is canonically fixed since we have the canonical decomposition $\pi_1 E = \pi_1 S^1 \times \pi_1 M$ by the van Kampen theorem and the homotopical triviality of elements of $\text{Diff}_0(M \times I, \partial)$, and the map $\pi_1 E \rightarrow \pi_1 M$ is just the projection to the second factor. This completes the proof. \square

Since $\rho_W: \mathbb{C}[\pi] \rightarrow W = \bigoplus_i \text{End}(A_i)$ in Proposition 3.1 is surjective for $W = \text{Ker } \varepsilon$ (see (2.1)), we have the following.

Corollary 3.4. *The homomorphism $Z_{\Theta}^{\text{even}}: \Gamma_0(M \times I) \otimes \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\Theta}^{\text{even}}(\text{Ker } \varepsilon)$ is surjective.*

4. Example 1: Poincaré homology sphere

4.1. **The group $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$.** Let $\hat{\pi}$ denote the set of conjugacy classes of $\pi = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$. It is known that $\hat{\pi}$ has 9 elements, represented respectively by the following elements:

$$\begin{aligned} \pm I &= \begin{pmatrix} \pm 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \pm 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \alpha &= \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, & \beta &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, & \beta' &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \gamma &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \gamma' &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & -\gamma &= \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, & -\gamma' &= \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -2 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

We give a list of all elements of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$ in Appendix A.

Lemma 4.1. *For $\pi = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$, $\hat{\pi}$ is invariant under taking the inverse. Namely, for each class $[x] \in \hat{\pi}$, we have $[x^{-1}] = [x]$.*

Proof. It suffices to see that the inverse of each element x in the above list of 9 elements is conjugate to x , which can be checked by comparing the Jordan canonical forms, or from the list in Appendix A (obtained by using the Jordan canonical forms). Note that we only allow the conjugation gxg^{-1} by $g \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$. \square

4.2. **Representation of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$.** There are 9 distinct irreducible representations A_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, 9$) of the group π whose character is given as in Table 1, and any irreducible representation of π over \mathbb{C} is isomorphic to one of them. Irreducible representations of $\pi \times \pi$ are given by the external tensor product $A_i \boxtimes A_j$ (e.g., [FH, Exercise 2.36]). Since the values of the characters are real for $\pi = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$, we have

$$(4.1) \quad \mathbb{C}[\pi] \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^9 \mathrm{End}(A_i) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^9 (A_i \boxtimes A_i), \quad \mathrm{Ker} \varepsilon \cong \bigoplus_{i=2}^9 (A_i \boxtimes A_i)$$

as $\pi \times \pi$ -modules[†], where the $\pi \times \pi$ -invariant $A_1 \boxtimes A_1$ in $\mathbb{C}[\pi]$ corresponds to the subspace spanned by the element $\sum_{g \in \pi} g \in \mathbb{C}[\pi]$, and $\mathrm{Ker} \varepsilon$ is a $\pi \times \pi$ -submodule of $\mathbb{C}[\pi]$.

4.3. **Computation of the character.** To get the dimension of $\mathcal{A}_{\Theta}^{\mathrm{odd}/\mathrm{even}}(\mathbb{C}[\pi])$, we compute the dimensions of the invariants $(\bigwedge^3 W)^{(\pi \times \pi) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2}$ and $(\mathrm{Sym}^3 W)^{(\pi \times \pi) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2}$ for $W = \bigoplus_{i=1}^9 (A_i \boxtimes A_i)$. Here, recall that the semidirect product structure on $(\pi \times \pi) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ is given by the homomorphism $\psi: \mathbb{Z}_2 = \{1, \tau\} \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(\pi \times \pi)$; $\tau \mapsto ((x, y) \mapsto (y, x))$. This is suitable since we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau \cdot (x, y) \cdot \tau^{-1}(g \wedge h \wedge k) &= \tau \cdot (xg^{-1}y^{-1} \wedge xh^{-1}y^{-1} \wedge xk^{-1}y^{-1}) \\ &= ygx^{-1} \wedge yhx^{-1} \wedge ykx^{-1} = (y, x)(g \wedge h \wedge k), \end{aligned}$$

which shows that the given actions of $\pi \times \pi$ and \mathbb{Z}_2 on $\bigwedge^3 \mathbb{C}[\pi]$ agrees with that of the semidirect product, and similarly for $\mathrm{Sym}^3 \mathbb{C}[\pi]$.

Lemma 4.2 (Y. Ohta ([Oh])). *For $\pi = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$, we have the following.*

- (1) $\dim (\bigwedge^3 \mathbb{C}[\pi])^{(\pi \times \pi) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2} = 27$.
- (2) $\dim (\mathrm{Sym}^3 \mathbb{C}[\pi])^{(\pi \times \pi) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2} = 65$.

[†]If the characters are not real, we have $\mathrm{End}(A_i) \cong A_i \boxtimes A_i^*$.

| $\hat{\pi}$ | I | $-I$ | α | β | β' | γ | γ' | $-\gamma$ | $-\gamma'$ |
|-------------|-----|------|----------|---------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| size | 1 | 1 | 30 | 20 | 20 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| A_1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| A_2 | 2 | -2 | 0 | -1 | 1 | $-\phi^*$ | $-\phi$ | ϕ^* | ϕ |
| A_3 | 2 | -2 | 0 | -1 | 1 | $-\phi$ | $-\phi^*$ | ϕ | ϕ^* |
| A_4 | 3 | 3 | -1 | 0 | 0 | ϕ | ϕ^* | ϕ | ϕ^* |
| A_5 | 3 | 3 | -1 | 0 | 0 | ϕ^* | ϕ | ϕ^* | ϕ |
| A_6 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| A_7 | 4 | -4 | 0 | 1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 1 | 1 |
| A_8 | 5 | 5 | 1 | -1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A_9 | 6 | -6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 |

TABLE 1. The characters $\rho_{A_i}(g)$ for $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$. $\phi = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}$, $\phi^* = \frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2}$. The values of the characters are taken from [FH, CP]. The order of the rows and columns followed the one in [Bo], although the original table in [Bo] includes few typos in the row of A_7 (signs of the last four entries).

Proof. Recall that the dimension m of the invariant part in a representation V of a finite group G can be given by the following formula ([FH, (2.9)]):

$$m = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \mathrm{Trace}(g|_V) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_V(g).$$

We apply this formula for $G = (\pi \times \pi) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ with the formulas: $\chi_{V \oplus V'}(g) = \chi_V(g) + \chi_{V'}(g)$, $\chi_{V \boxtimes V'}(g, h) = \chi_V(g)\chi_{V'}(h)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{\wedge^3(V)}(g) &= \frac{1}{6}(\chi_V(g)^3 - 3\chi_V(g^2)\chi_V(g) + 2\chi_V(g^3)), \\ \chi_{\mathrm{Sym}^3(V)}(g) &= \frac{1}{6}(\chi_V(g)^3 + 3\chi_V(g^2)\chi_V(g) + 2\chi_V(g^3)), \end{aligned}$$

with the character table (Table 1). First, for $W = \mathbb{C}[\pi]$ we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(\wedge^3 W)^{\pi \times \pi} &= \frac{1}{6|\pi|^2} \sum_{g, h \in \pi} (\chi_W(g, h)^3 - 3\chi_W(g^2, h^2)\chi_W(g, h) + 2\chi_W(g^3, h^3)), \\ \dim(\mathrm{Sym}^3 W)^{\pi \times \pi} &= \frac{1}{6|\pi|^2} \sum_{g, h \in \pi} (\chi_W(g, h)^3 + 3\chi_W(g^2, h^2)\chi_W(g, h) + 2\chi_W(g^3, h^3)), \end{aligned}$$

where $\chi_W(g, h) = \sum_{i=1}^9 \chi_{A_i}(g)\chi_{A_i}(h)$, $\chi_W(g^2, h^2) = \sum_{i=1}^9 \chi_{A_i}(g^2)\chi_{A_i}(h^2)$, and so on. Substituting the values of the characters of Table 1 into these formulas, we get

$$\dim(\wedge^3 \mathbb{C}[\pi])^{\pi \times \pi} = 33, \quad \dim(\mathrm{Sym}^3 \mathbb{C}[\pi])^{\pi \times \pi} = 71.$$

The detail of these computations by Maxima can be found in [Oh], in which Table 2 is used to compute the characters of g^2 and g^3 .

| | | | | | | | | | |
|-------|-----|------|----------|---------|----------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|
| g | I | $-I$ | α | β | β' | γ | γ' | $-\gamma$ | $-\gamma'$ |
| g^2 | I | I | $-I$ | β | β | γ' | γ | γ' | γ |
| g^3 | I | $-I$ | α | I | $-I$ | γ' | γ | $-\gamma'$ | $-\gamma$ |

TABLE 2. The conjugacy classes of g^2 and g^3 in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$. See also Appendix A.

We need also to consider terms for the elements $\tau \cdot (g, h)$ given by the following formulas.

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{6|\pi|^2} \sum_{g,h \in \pi} (\chi_W(\tau \cdot (g, h))^3 - 3\chi_W((\tau \cdot (g, h))^2)\chi_W(\tau \cdot (g, h)) + 2\chi_W((\tau \cdot (g, h))^3), \\ & \frac{1}{6|\pi|^2} \sum_{g,h \in \pi} (\chi_W(\tau \cdot (g, h))^3 + 3\chi_W((\tau \cdot (g, h))^2)\chi_W(\tau \cdot (g, h)) + 2\chi_W((\tau \cdot (g, h))^3). \end{aligned}$$

We simplify the computation as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{g,h} \chi_W(\tau \cdot (g, h))^3 &= \sum_{g,h} \left(\sum_i \chi_{A_i \boxtimes A_i}(\tau \cdot (g, h)) \right)^3 = \sum_{g,h} \left(\sum_i \chi_{A_i}(hg) \right)^3 \\ &= \sum_h \sum_g \left(\sum_i \chi_{A_i}(hg) \right)^3 = \sum_h \sum_g \left(\sum_i \chi_{A_i}(g) \right)^3 = |\pi| \sum_g \left(\sum_i \chi_{A_i}(g) \right)^3, \\ \sum_{g,h} \chi_W((\tau \cdot (g, h))^2)\chi_W(\tau \cdot (g, h)) &= \sum_{g,h} \left(\sum_i \chi_{A_i \boxtimes A_i}(hg, gh) \right) \left(\sum_j \chi_{A_j}(hg) \right) \\ &= \sum_{g,h} \left(\sum_i \chi_{A_i}(hg)\chi_{A_i}(gh) \right) \left(\sum_j \chi_{A_j}(hg) \right) \\ &= \sum_{g,h} \left(\sum_i \chi_{A_i}(hg)^2 \right) \left(\sum_j \chi_{A_j}(hg) \right) = \sum_h \sum_g \left(\sum_i \chi_{A_i}(g)^2 \right) \left(\sum_j \chi_{A_j}(g) \right) \\ &= |\pi| \sum_g \left(\sum_i \chi_{A_i}(g)^2 \right) \left(\sum_j \chi_{A_j}(g) \right), \\ \sum_{g,h} \chi_W((\tau \cdot (g, h))^3) &= \sum_{g,h} \sum_i \chi_{A_i \boxtimes A_i}((\tau \cdot (g, h))^3) = \sum_{g,h} \sum_i \chi_{A_i}(hghghg) \\ &= |\pi| \sum_g \sum_i \chi_{A_i}(g^3). \end{aligned}$$

Here, we have the identity $\chi_{A_i \boxtimes A_i}(\tau \cdot (g, h)) = \chi_{A_i}(hg)$ since τ acts on $A_i \boxtimes A_i$ by the flip $x \boxtimes y \mapsto y \boxtimes x$. Hence (4.2) can be computed respectively by the following formulas.

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{6|\pi|} \sum_g \left\{ \left(\sum_i \chi_{A_i}(g) \right)^3 - 3 \left(\sum_i \chi_{A_i}(g)^2 \right) \left(\sum_j \chi_{A_j}(g) \right) + 2 \sum_i \chi_{A_i}(g^3) \right\}, \\ & \frac{1}{6|\pi|} \sum_g \left\{ \left(\sum_i \chi_{A_i}(g) \right)^3 + 3 \left(\sum_i \chi_{A_i}(g)^2 \right) \left(\sum_j \chi_{A_j}(g) \right) + 2 \sum_i \chi_{A_i}(g^3) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the values of the characters in Table 1 into these formulas, we get the values 21 and 59, respectively. By taking the averages $(33 + 21)/2 = 27$, $(71 + 59)/2 = 65$, we get the result. \square

For $W = \text{Ker } \varepsilon$, the following proposition holds.

Proposition 4.3. *For any finite group G , we have the following.*

- (1) $\mathcal{A}_{\Theta}^{\text{even}}(\mathbb{C}[G]) = \mathcal{A}_{\Theta}^{\text{even}}(\text{Ker } \varepsilon)$.
- (2) $\mathcal{A}_{\Theta}^{\text{odd}}(\mathbb{C}[G]) \cong \mathcal{A}_{\Theta}^{\text{odd}}(\text{Ker } \varepsilon) \oplus (\mathbb{C}\hat{G})_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ as vector spaces over \mathbb{C} , where \hat{G} is the set of conjugacy classes in G , and the \mathbb{Z}_2 -action on it is the one induced by the inversion.

Proof. Instead of the $(G \times G) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ -invariant part, we consider the submodule of coinvariants, and apply the formula $V_H \cong (V_K)_{H/K}$ (e.g., [Br, Ch.II-2 (Exercises 3)]) for a subgroup K of H and an H -module V to $K = G \times G$, $H = (G \times G) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$.

For (1), let U be a trivial 1-dimensional $G \times G$ -module and let W be a $G \times G$ -module. The formula $\Lambda^n(U \oplus W) = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} \Lambda^p U \otimes \Lambda^q W$ and $\Lambda^2 U = 0$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda^3(U \oplus W) &= \Lambda^3 U \oplus \Lambda^3 W \oplus ((\Lambda^2 U) \otimes W) \oplus (U \otimes \Lambda^2 W) \\ &\cong \Lambda^3 W \oplus \Lambda^2 W. \end{aligned}$$

If $W = \text{Ker } \varepsilon$ and if \mathbb{Z}_2 acts trivially on U , then we have $U \oplus W \cong \mathbb{C}[G]$ as both $G \times G$ -modules and \mathbb{Z}_2 -modules, and $((\Lambda^2 W)_{G \times G})^{\mathbb{Z}_2} = 0$. Indeed, we have $((\Lambda^2 \mathbb{C}[G])_{G \times G})^{\mathbb{Z}_2} = 0$, since in $(\Lambda^2 \mathbb{C}[G])_{G \times G}$, we have $[g \wedge h] = [gh^{-1} \wedge 1] = -[1 \wedge gh^{-1}] = -[g^{-1} \wedge h^{-1}]$ ($g, h \in G$) and the \mathbb{Z}_2 -invariant is generated by $[g \wedge h] + [g^{-1} \wedge h^{-1}] = 0$. Hence we have

$$((\Lambda^3(U \oplus \text{Ker } \varepsilon))_{G \times G})^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \cong ((\Lambda^3 \text{Ker } \varepsilon)_{G \times G})^{\mathbb{Z}_2}.$$

For (2), we use the formula $\text{Sym}^n(U \oplus W) = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} \text{Sym}^p U \otimes \text{Sym}^q W$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sym}^3(U \oplus W) &= \text{Sym}^3 U \oplus \text{Sym}^3 W \oplus ((\text{Sym}^2 U) \otimes W) \oplus (U \otimes \text{Sym}^2 W) \\ &\cong U \oplus \text{Sym}^3 W \oplus W \oplus \text{Sym}^2 W \cong \text{Sym}^3 W \oplus \text{Sym}^2(U \oplus W). \end{aligned}$$

Considering the case when $W = \text{Ker } \varepsilon$, it suffices to prove $((\text{Sym}^2 \mathbb{C}[G])_{G \times G})^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \cong (\mathbb{C}\hat{G})_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$. Let $\rho : \text{Sym}^2 \mathbb{C}[G] \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}\hat{G})_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ be the \mathbb{C} -linear map defined by $\rho(g \cdot h) = [gh^{-1}]$ ($g, h \in G$), which is well-defined since $\rho(h \cdot g) = [hg^{-1}] = [gh^{-1}] = \rho(g \cdot h)$. One can check that this map induces a well-defined \mathbb{C} -linear isomorphism

$$\bar{\rho} : ((\text{Sym}^2 \mathbb{C}[G])_{G \times G})^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}\hat{G})_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$$

with the inverse given by $\bar{\rho}^{-1}([x]) = \frac{1}{2}([1 \cdot x^{-1}] + [1 \cdot x])$, which is well-defined. Indeed, $\bar{\rho}(gx \cdot hx) = [gxx^{-1}h^{-1}] = \bar{\rho}(g \cdot h)$, $\bar{\rho}(xg \cdot xh) = [xgh^{-1}x^{-1}] = [gh^{-1}] = \bar{\rho}(g \cdot h)$, $\bar{\rho}(g \cdot h) = \bar{\rho}(gh^{-1} \cdot 1) = \bar{\rho}(1 \cdot gh^{-1}) = \bar{\rho}(g^{-1} \cdot h^{-1})$ etc. \square

Proposition 4.4. *When $\pi = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$, we have the following.*

- (1) $\dim \mathcal{A}_{\Theta}^{\text{even}}(\text{Ker } \varepsilon) = \dim \mathcal{A}_{\Theta}^{\text{even}}(\mathbb{C}[\pi]) = 27$.
- (2) $\dim \mathcal{A}_{\Theta}^{\text{odd}}(\text{Ker } \varepsilon) = 56$, $\dim \mathcal{A}_{\Theta}^{\text{odd}}(\mathbb{C}[\pi]) = 65$.

Proof. This follows from Lemma 4.2 and Proposition 4.3. Note that by Lemma 4.1 the action of \mathbb{Z}_2 on $\mathbb{C}\hat{\pi}$ is trivial, and hence $\dim(\mathbb{C}\hat{\pi})_{\mathbb{Z}_2} = \dim \mathbb{C}\hat{\pi} = 9$. \square

5. Example 2: Lens spaces

If π is the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_n = \{1, t, t^2, \dots, t^{n-1}\}$, the exact values of the dimensions of the spaces $\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{\text{even/odd}}(\mathbb{C}[\pi])$ and $\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{\text{even/odd}}(\text{Ker } \varepsilon)$ can be determined with the help of the \mathbb{C} -linear maps

$$W^{\text{even}}: \mathcal{A}_\Theta^{\text{even}}(\mathbb{C}[\pi]) \rightarrow \bigwedge^3 \mathbb{C}[\pi], \quad W^{\text{odd}}: \mathcal{A}_\Theta^{\text{odd}}(\mathbb{C}[\pi]) \rightarrow \text{Sym}^3 \mathbb{C}[\pi]$$

defined respectively by

$$\begin{aligned} W^{\text{even}}(t^a \wedge t^b \wedge t^c) &= t^{b-a} \wedge t^{c-b} \wedge t^{a-c} + t^{a-b} \wedge t^{b-c} \wedge t^{c-a}, \\ W^{\text{odd}}(t^a \cdot t^b \cdot t^c) &= t^{b-a} \cdot t^{c-b} \cdot t^{a-c} + t^{a-b} \cdot t^{b-c} \cdot t^{c-a}, \end{aligned}$$

instead of calculating the characters.

Lemma 5.1. *For $\pi = \mathbb{Z}_n$, the maps $W^{\text{even/odd}}$ are well-defined.*

Proof. We need only to check that $W^{\text{even/odd}}$ is alternating/symmetric and is invariant under both the actions of $\pi \times \pi$ and the involution $(g, h, k) \mapsto (g^{-1}, h^{-1}, k^{-1})$. For W^{even} , this can be checked as follows ($t^k \in \pi$):

$$\begin{aligned} W^{\text{even}}(t^b \wedge t^a \wedge t^c) &= t^{a-b} \wedge t^{c-a} \wedge t^{b-c} + t^{b-a} \wedge t^{a-c} \wedge t^{c-b} \\ &= -t^{a-b} \wedge t^{b-c} \wedge t^{c-a} - t^{b-a} \wedge t^{c-b} \wedge t^{a-c} \\ &= -W^{\text{even}}(t^a \wedge t^b \wedge t^c) \quad \text{etc.} \\ W_\Theta^{\text{even}}(t^k t^a \wedge t^k t^b \wedge t^k t^c) &= t^{b-a} \wedge t^{c-b} \wedge t^{a-c} + t^{a-b} \wedge t^{b-c} \wedge t^{c-a} \\ &= W_\Theta^{\text{even}}(t^a \wedge t^b \wedge t^c), \\ W^{\text{even}}(t^{-a} \wedge t^{-b} \wedge t^{-c}) &= t^{-b+a} \wedge t^{-c+b} \wedge t^{-a+c} + t^{-a+b} \wedge t^{-b+c} \wedge t^{-c+a} \\ &= W^{\text{even}}(t^a \wedge t^b \wedge t^c). \end{aligned}$$

The proof for W^{odd} is similar. \square

Then $W^{\text{even/odd}}$ is an embedding into a subspace isomorphic to the space spanned by $t^p \wedge t^q \wedge t^r$ or $t^p \cdot t^q \cdot t^r$ ($0 \leq p, q, r < n$, $p + q + r = 0 \pmod{n}$) quotiented by the relation $t^p \wedge t^q \wedge t^r \sim t^{-p} \wedge t^{-q} \wedge t^{-r}$ or $t^p \cdot t^q \cdot t^r \sim t^{-p} \cdot t^{-q} \cdot t^{-r}$.

Proposition 5.2. *Let $\pi = \mathbb{Z}_n$ ($n \geq 1$), and for an integer $m \geq 0$, let $p_3(m)$ be the number of partitions of m into at most three parts, namely, the number of integer solutions of the equation $x + y + z = m$ ($0 \leq x \leq y \leq z$). We set $p_3(m) = 0$ for $m < 0$. Then we have the following.*

- (1) $\dim \mathcal{A}_\Theta^{\text{odd}}(\mathbb{C}[\pi]) = p_3(n)$.
- (2) $\dim \mathcal{A}_\Theta^{\text{even}}(\mathbb{C}[\pi]) = p_3(n - 6)$.
- (3) $\dim \mathcal{A}_\Theta^{\text{odd}}(\text{Ker } \varepsilon) = p_3(n - 3)$.
- (4) $\dim \mathcal{A}_\Theta^{\text{even}}(\text{Ker } \varepsilon) = \dim \mathcal{A}_\Theta^{\text{even}}(\mathbb{C}[\pi]) = p_3(n - 6)$.

Proof. For (1), we consider the unit circle $S^1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = 1\}$ and the n points $1, \omega, \omega^2, \dots, \omega^{n-1}$ on it, where $\omega = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}/n}$. We represent the element $t^a \cdot t^b \cdot t^c$ ($0 \leq a \leq b \leq c \leq n - 1$) by the three points $\omega^a, \omega^b, \omega^c$ on S^1 , which splits S^1 into three (possibly degenerate) arcs of lengths $\frac{2\pi}{n}(b - a), \frac{2\pi}{n}(c - b), \frac{2\pi}{n}(a - c) \pmod{2\pi}$, respectively. Similarly, $\omega^{-a}, \omega^{-b}, \omega^{-c}$ splits S^1 into three arcs of lengths $\frac{2\pi}{n}(a - b), \frac{2\pi}{n}(b - c), \frac{2\pi}{n}(c - a) \pmod{2\pi}$, which are reflections of the previous triple

with respect to the real axis. In this way, the subspace spanned by the values of $W^{\text{odd}}(t^a \cdot t^b \cdot t^c)$ bijectively correspond to the space spanned by partitions of S^1 by three roots of 1 up to $\frac{2\pi k}{n}$ -rotation and reflection. The number of such classes of partitions is exactly the number $p_3(n)$.

Proof of (2) is similar. In this case partitions are slightly restricted. First, a partition should not have a zero part since such a partition comes from $t^a \wedge t^b \wedge t^c$ such that at least two of a, b, c agree. Also, a partition should have different sizes since we consider the value of the weight in the alternating product $\bigwedge^3 \mathbb{C}[\pi]$. It follows that $\dim \text{Im } W^{\text{even}}$ agrees with the number of partitions of n into three nonzero parts with different sizes. Such partitions $n = x + y + z$ ($0 < x < y < z$) correspond bijectively to the partitions $n - (1 + 2 + 3) = (x - 1) + (y - 2) + (z - 3)$ ($0 \leq x - 1 \leq y - 2 \leq z - 3$). This completes the proof.

For (3), it follows from Proposition 4.3 that $\dim \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{odd}}(\text{Ker } \varepsilon) = \dim \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{odd}}(\mathbb{C}[\pi]) - \dim(\mathbb{C}\hat{\pi})_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$, where $\dim(\mathbb{C}\hat{\pi})_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ is the number of partitions of n into at most two parts. Hence $\dim \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{odd}}(\text{Ker } \varepsilon)$ is the number of partitions of n into three parts with positive sizes. Such partitions $n = x + y + z$ ($0 < x \leq y \leq z$) correspond bijectively to partitions $n - 3 = (x - 1) + (y - 1) + (z - 1)$ ($0 \leq x - 1 \leq y - 1 \leq z - 1$).

(4) follows immediately from (2) and Proposition 4.3. \square

APPENDIX A. Elements of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$

The following is a list of all the 120 elements in $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$.

$$\underline{c_1 = [I]} : g_{21} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \underline{c_2 = [-I]} : g_{96} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\underline{c_3 = [\alpha]} :$

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 4 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, g_6 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, g_{11} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ 3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, g_{16} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 4 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, g_{29} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, \\ g_{35} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 4 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, g_{37} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, g_{43} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 2 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, g_{46} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, g_{47} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \\ g_{48} &= \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, g_{49} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 3 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, g_{50} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, g_{51} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, g_{56} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \\ g_{61} &= \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, g_{66} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, g_{71} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, g_{72} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, g_{73} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \\ g_{74} &= \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, g_{75} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 4 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, g_{76} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, g_{81} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, g_{86} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 3 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \\ g_{91} &= \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 4 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, g_{104} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, g_{110} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 2 \\ 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, g_{112} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, g_{118} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 4 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$\underline{c_4 = [\beta]} :$

$$\begin{aligned} g_5 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 4 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, g_{10} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, g_{15} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, g_{20} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 4 \\ 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, g_{28} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \\ g_{32} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, g_{40} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, g_{44} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 3 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, g_{54} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, g_{60} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 4 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \\ g_{62} &= \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, g_{68} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, g_{78} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, g_{82} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, g_{90} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 3 \\ 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ g_{94} &= \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 4 \\ 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, g_{105} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 1 \\ 4 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, g_{108} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, g_{114} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 3 \\ 3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, g_{117} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 4 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$c_5 = [\beta'] :$

$$\begin{aligned} g_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, g_7 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, g_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, g_{17} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 4 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, g_{30} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 4 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ g_{33} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, g_{39} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, g_{42} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, g_{53} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, g_{57} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, \\ g_{65} &= \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, g_{69} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, g_{79} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 3 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, g_{85} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, g_{87} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 3 \\ 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \\ g_{93} &= \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, g_{103} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, g_{107} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, g_{115} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 3 \\ 4 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, g_{119} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 4 \\ 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$c_6 = [\gamma] :$

$$\begin{aligned} g_3 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 4 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, g_{18} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, g_{22} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, g_{25} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, g_{26} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ g_{41} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, g_{55} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 4 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, g_{67} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, g_{77} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, g_{95} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, \\ g_{102} &= \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, g_{120} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 4 \\ 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$c_7 = [\gamma'] :$

$$\begin{aligned} g_8 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, g_{13} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, g_{23} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, g_{24} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, g_{31} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ g_{36} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, g_{58} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, g_{64} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, g_{84} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, g_{88} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 3 \\ 2 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, \\ g_{109} &= \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 2 \\ 3 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, g_{113} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$c_8 = [-\gamma] :$

$$\begin{aligned} g_4 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, g_{19} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 4 \\ 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, g_{27} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, g_{45} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 4 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, g_{52} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ g_{70} &= \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, g_{80} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 4 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, g_{92} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, g_{97} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, g_{100} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 4 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, \\ g_{101} &= \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 1 \\ 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, g_{116} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 4 \\ 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$c_9 = [-\gamma'] :$

$$\begin{aligned} g_9 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, g_{14} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, g_{34} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, g_{38} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, g_{59} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ g_{63} &= \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, g_{83} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, g_{89} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 3 \\ 3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, g_{98} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 2 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, g_{99} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, \\ g_{106} &= \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 2 \\ 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, g_{111} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 3 \\ 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- [AK] G.E. Andrews, K. Eriksson, *Integer Partitions* (2nd ed.), Cambridge University Press, 2004, x+141 pp.
- [ABK] P.L. Antonelli, D. Burghelea, P.J. Kahn, *The non-finite homotopy type of some diffeomorphism groups*, *Topology* **11** (1972), 1–49.
- [BK] R.H. Bamler, B. Kleiner, *Ricci flow and diffeomorphism groups of 3-manifolds*, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **36** (2023), 563–589.
- [Bo] C. Bonnafé, *Representations of $SL_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$* , *Algebra and Applications*, **13**. Springer-Verlag London, Ltd., London, 2011. xxii+186 pp.
- [BW] B. Botvinnik, T. Watanabe, *Families of diffeomorphisms and concordances detected by trivalent graphs*, *J. Topol.* **16** (2023), 207–233.
- [Br] K.S. Brown, *Cohomology of groups*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **87**. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982. x+306 pp.
- [CE] H. Cartan, S. Eilenberg, *Homological algebra*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1956. xv+390 pp.

- [CP] C.J. Cummins, J. Patera, *Polynomial icosahedral invariants*, J. Math. Phys. **29** (1988), no. 8, 1736–1745.
- [FH] W. Fulton, J. Harris, Representation theory. A first course, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **129**. Readings in Mathematics. Springer–Verlag, New York, 1991. xvi+551 pp.
- [Ga] D. Gabai, *The Smale conjecture for hyperbolic 3-manifolds: $\text{Isom}(M^3) \simeq \text{Diff}(M^3)$* , J. Diff. Geom. **58** no. 1 (2001), 113–149.
- [GL] S. Garoufalidis, J. Levine, *Homology surgery and invariants of 3-manifolds*, Geom. Topol. **5**, no. 2 (2001), 551–578.
- [Hat] A. Hatcher, *A proof of the Smale conjecture*, $\text{Diff}(S^3) \simeq O(4)$, Ann. of Math. (2) **117** (1983), no. 3, 553–607.
- [Hat2] A. Hatcher, Algebraic Topology, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002. xii+544 pp.
- [Hat3] A. Hatcher, *Concordance spaces, higher simple-homotopy theory, and applications*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. XXXII, Part 1 (1978), pp. 3–21.
- [HW] A. Hatcher, J. Wagoner, Pseudo-isotopies of compact manifolds. Astérisque, No. 6. Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1973. i+275 pp.
- [Hatt] A. Hattori, Iso kikagaku. I-III. (Japanese) [Topology. I-III] Second edition. Iwanami Shoten Kiso Sūgaku [Iwanami Lectures on Fundamental Mathematics], 19. Daisū [Algebra], ii. Iwanami Shoten, Tokyo, 1982. Vol. I: vi+132 pp.; Vol. II: pp. i–vi and 133–308; Vol. III: pp. i–viii and 309–495.
- [HLLRW] F. Hebestreit, M. Land, W. Lück, O. Randal-Williams, *A vanishing theorem for tautological classes of aspherical manifolds*, Geom. Topol. **25** (2021), no. 1, 47–110.
- [HS] P.J. Hilton, U. Stammach, A course in homological algebra. Second edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **4**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997. xii+364 pp.
- [HKMR] S. Hong, J. Kalliongis, D. McCullough, J.H. Rubinstein, Diffeomorphisms of Elliptic 3-Manifolds, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **2055** Springer, Heidelberg, 2012. x+155 pp.
- [Ig1] K. Igusa, *What happens to Hatcher and Wagoner’s formulas for $\pi_0 C(M)$ when the first Postnikov invariant of M is nontrivial?*, Algebraic K-theory, number theory, geometry and analysis (Bielefeld, 1982), 104–172, Lecture Notes in Math., **1046**, Springer, Berlin, 1984.
- [Ig2] K. Igusa, *Second obstruction to pseudoisotopy I*, arXiv:2110.09659.
- [Ig3] K. Igusa, *Second obstruction to pseudoisotopy in dimension 3*, arXiv:2112.08293.
- [KS] S. Kwasik, R. Schultz, *Unitary nilpotent groups and the stability of pseudoisotopies*, Duke Math. J. **71** (1993), no. 3, 871–887.
- [Les1] C. Lescop, *On the cube of the equivariant linking pairing for knots and 3-manifolds of rank one*, arXiv:1008.5026.
- [Les2] C. Lescop, *Invariants of knots and 3-manifolds derived from the equivariant linking pairing*, Chern–Simons gauge theory: 20 years after, AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math. **50** (2011), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 217–242.
- [Mar] J. Marché, *An equivariant Casson invariant of knots in homology spheres*, preprint, 2005.
- [Oh] Y. Ohta, *Representations of binary icosahedral group and weights of trivalent graphs*, Master thesis (2022), Shimane University.
- [Sa] N. Saveliev, Invariants for homology 3-spheres. Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, **140**, Low-Dimensional Topology, I. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002. xii+223 pp.
- [Si] O. Singh, *Pseudo-isotopies and diffeomorphisms of 4-manifolds*, arXiv:2111.15658.
- [Wa1] T. Watanabe, *Theta-graph and diffeomorphisms of some 4-manifolds*, arXiv:2005.09545.
- [Wa2] T. Watanabe, *Addendum to: Some exotic nontrivial elements of the rational homotopy groups of $\text{Diff}(S^4)$ (homological interpretation)*, arXiv:2109.01609.