

Polynomial correspondences expressible as maps of d -tuples

SHRIHARI SRIDHARAN*, SUBITH, G.† ATMA RAM TIWARI‡

March 27, 2023

Abstract

In this paper, we consider polynomial correspondences $f(x, y)$ in $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$ of degree $d \geq 2$ in both the variables and obtain necessary and sufficient conditions in order that the equation $f(x, y) = 0$ can be expressed as $\phi(x) = \psi(y)$, where ϕ and ψ are fractional degree d rational maps in the Riemann sphere. In the absence of involutions that played a vital role towards characterising quadratic correspondences ($d = 2$), we employ certain elementary ideas from theory of equations and matrices to achieve our results. We further explore certain symmetry conditions on the matrix of coefficients of correspondences that satisfy the above factorisation. We conclude this short note with a few examples.

Keywords : Polynomial correspondences,
Maps of d -tuples,
Equivalence classes in the Riemann sphere.

AMS Subject Classifications : 30D05, 30C10, 37F05, 37F10.

*Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Thiruvananthapuram (IISER-TVM), India. shrihari@iisertvm.ac.in

†Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Thiruvananthapuram (IISER-TVM), India. subith21@iisertvm.ac.in

‡Rashtriya Postgraduate College, Veer Bahadur Singh Purvanchal University, Jaunpur, India. art15iiser@gmail.com

1 Introduction

The investigation of dynamics of polynomial correspondences that we carry out in this paper, primarily began with the works of Bullett in [4]. Thereafter, Bullett wrote a series of articles with several co-authors, in which the dynamics that arose out of iterating such correspondences were investigated. Various authors have also generalised the study of iteration of various types of correspondences, say, polynomial, rational, holomorphic, meromorphic correspondence *etc.* that are defined on the Riemann sphere or appropriately on certain manifolds. Some notable works among these include Bullett *et al* in [5, 6, 7, 8, 9], Hinkkanen and Martin in [13, 14], Boyd in [3], Sumi in [15, 16, 17], Dinh in [10, 11], Dinh and Sibony in [12], Bharali and Sridharan in [1, 2]. However, all these works focussed on iterating the graph of the correspondence, by viewing it as a relation on the underlying space and allowing the dynamics to grow exponentially along each of the directions of the variables.

The object of study in [4] is the dynamical system that arises by iterating a certain relation on $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$, the Riemann sphere meaning the complex plane along with the point at infinity. This relation is the zero set of a polynomial $f \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ satisfying the following conditions:

1. $f(x, \cdot)$ and $f(\cdot, y)$ are generically quadratic;
2. Suppose for notational convenience and purposes of being explicit, we denote the domains of the first and the second variable in $f(x, y)$ by $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}_x$ and $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}_y$ respectively. Let Γ_f denote the biprojective completion of the set $\{f = 0\}$ in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}_x \times \widehat{\mathbb{C}}_y$. Then no irreducible component of Γ_f is of the form $\{a\} \times \widehat{\mathbb{C}}_y$ or $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}_x \times \{a\}$ for any $a \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$.

Observe that this can be well explained by considering a quartic correspondence in homogeneous coordinates $x, y, \zeta \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$, where we use ζ to homogenise each of the monomials, given by:

$$\begin{aligned} F(x, y, \zeta) := & A_{(2,2)}x^2y^2 + A_{(2,1)}x^2y\zeta + A_{(1,2)}xy^2\zeta + A_{(2,0)}x^2\zeta^2 + A_{(0,2)}y^2\zeta^2 \\ & + A_{(1,1)}xy\zeta^2 + A_{(1,0)}x\zeta^3 + A_{(0,1)}y\zeta^3 + A_{(0,0)}\zeta^4, \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

where $A_{(i,j)} \in \mathbb{C}$. Then, $F(x, y, 1)$ yields the polynomial correspondence $f \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ that satisfies the above-mentioned two conditions. Suppose Π_x and Π_y are the projection maps of Γ_f onto $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}_x$ and $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}_y$ respectively, then the conditions written above only imply that

$$\widehat{\mathbb{C}}_x \ni x_0 \longmapsto \Pi_y(\Pi_x^{-1}\{x_0\} \cap \Gamma_f) \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\mathbb{C}}_y \ni y_0 \longmapsto \Pi_x(\Pi_y^{-1}\{y_0\} \cap \Gamma_f),$$

are set-valued maps and have cardinality two for generic points $x_0 \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}_x$ and $y_0 \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}_y$. For such correspondences, Bullett, in [4], defined the following.

Definition 1.1 *Let $x_1 \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}_x$. Then, $\Pi_y(\Pi_x^{-1}\{x_1\} \cap \Gamma_f) = \{y_1, y_2\}$, say. Further, $\Pi_x(\Pi_y^{-1}\{y_j\} \cap \Gamma_f) = \{x_1, x_2(j)\}$. Suppose $x_2(1) \equiv x_2(2)$ and the same is true for all initial points $x_1 \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}_x$. Moreover, we have an analogous situation even when we start with any $y_1 \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}_y$, then we call f to be a map of pairs.*

Our aim in this paper is to consider a polynomial correspondence in $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$ of degree $d \geq 2$, along both the directions of the correspondence and investigate for characterising results for what one may call a map of d -tuples. A precise definition of this term, that is the central object of this paper, is given in Section (2). We also state the main theorems of this paper, namely Theorems (2.2), (2.3), (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6), in the same section. In Section (3), we focus on the first two main theorems and prove the same. After going through two lemmas in Section (4) that is useful in the sequel, we focus our attention on proving the remaining three theorems in Section (5). We conclude the paper with a few examples that illustrate the various theorems of this paper, in Section (6).

2 Maps of d -tuples and the Main results

We begin this section by defining a degree d polynomial correspondence denoted by $f_d \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ that satisfies the following conditions:

1. $f_d(x, \cdot)$ and $f_d(\cdot, y)$ are generically d -valued;
2. The biprojective completion of the set $\{f_d = 0\}$ in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}_x \times \widehat{\mathbb{C}}_y$ has no irreducible component of the form $\{a\} \times \widehat{\mathbb{C}}_y$ or $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}_x \times \{a\}$ for any $a \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$.

Observe that such a polynomial correspondence is then given by

$$\begin{aligned}
f_d(x, y) := & A_{(d,d)}x^d y^d + A_{(d,d-1)}x^d y^{d-1} + \cdots + A_{(d,1)}x^d y + A_{(d,0)}x^d \\
& + A_{(d-1,d)}x^{d-1} y^d + \cdots + A_{(d-1,0)}x^{d-1} \\
& + \cdots \\
& + A_{(1,d)}x y^d + \cdots + A_{(1,0)}x \\
& + A_{(0,d)}y^d + \cdots + A_{(0,0)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Observe that for any generic $x_0 \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}_x$ and $y_0 \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}_y$, $f_d \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ satisfies the following:

$$\widehat{\mathbb{C}}_x \ni x_0 \longmapsto \Pi_y (\Pi_x^{-1} \{x_0\} \cap \Gamma_{f_d}) \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\mathbb{C}}_y \ni y_0 \longmapsto \Pi_x (\Pi_y^{-1} \{y_0\} \cap \Gamma_{f_d})$$

are set-valued maps with sets of cardinality d . Analogous as earlier, Γ_{f_d} represents the biprojective completion of the set $\{f_d = 0\}$ in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}_x \times \widehat{\mathbb{C}}_y$.

Definition 2.1 To define a map of d -tuples, we start by considering an arbitrary point $x_1 \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}_x$ and look for the solution set of the equation $f_d(x_1, y) = 0$ in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}_y$. This set contains d elements (counting multiplicity), say, $\{y_1(x_1), y_2(x_1), \dots, y_d(x_1)\}$. Now fixing $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$, we look for the solution set of the equation $f_d(x, y_j(x_1)) = 0$ in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}_x$. It is then clear that we obtain x_1 as one of the solutions for all $1 \leq j \leq d$. We denote the remainder of the solutions (counting multiplicity) of the equation $f_d(x, y_j(x_1)) = 0$ in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}_x$ by

$$\{x_2(y_j(x_1)), x_3(y_j(x_1)), \dots, x_d(y_j(x_1))\}.$$

The above-mentioned set is obviously dependent on our choice of $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$. However, suppose the set remains the same for all $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$ and the same situation is true when we start with a point $y_1 \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}_y$ and work out the details mutatis mutandis, i.e., the set

$$\{y_2(x_i(y_1)), y_3(x_i(y_1)), \dots, y_d(x_i(y_1))\},$$

remains the same for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$, we then call f_d as a map of d -tuples and use the following notation to represent the idea mentioned in this definition of a map of d -tuples.

$$\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d\}_{\widehat{\mathbb{C}}_x} \xrightleftharpoons{f_d} \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_d\}_{\widehat{\mathbb{C}}_y}. \tag{2.2}$$

Note that the notation involves a repetition of a certain value x_i or y_j , according to its multiplicity, to convey the idea of being a d -tuple in the appropriate space.

We now state the main theorems of this paper.

Theorem 2.2 The correspondence f_d , as written in Equation (2.1) is a map of d -tuples if and only if the variables x and y can be separated in the equation $f_d(x, y) = 0$, i.e., the equation $f_d(x, y) = 0$ can be rewritten as $\phi(x) = \psi(y)$, where ϕ and ψ are fractional degree d functions, that look like

$$\phi(x) = \frac{\kappa_d x^d + \cdots + \kappa_1 x + \kappa_0}{\lambda_d x^d + \cdots + \lambda_1 x + \lambda_0} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(y) = \frac{\mu_d y^d + \cdots + \mu_1 y + \mu_0}{\nu_d y^d + \cdots + \nu_1 y + \nu_0}, \tag{2.3}$$

with complex coefficients.

Theorem 2.3 The correspondence f_d , as written in Equation (2.1) is a map of d -tuples on the Riemann sphere if and only if one of the following conditions is true:

1. $f_d(x, y)$ is of the form $f_d(x, y) = [g_k(x, y)]^{\frac{d}{k}}$ where $k|d$ and $k \neq d$ with $g_k \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ being a map of k -tuples.
2. The matrix of coefficients of f_d has rank 2, i.e.,

$$\text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} A_{(d,d)} & A_{(d,d-1)} & \cdots & A_{(d,0)} \\ A_{(d-1,d)} & A_{(d-1,d-1)} & \cdots & A_{(d-1,0)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{(0,d)} & A_{(0,d-1)} & \cdots & A_{(0,0)} \end{pmatrix}_{(d+1) \times (d+1)} = 2. \quad (2.4)$$

Theorem 2.4 Let $f_d = 0$ be a map of d -tuples. Then the following statements are equivalent.

1. $f_d(x, y) = 0$ iff $f_d(y, x) = 0$.
2. There exists a factorisation of $f_d = 0$, as mentioned in Equation (2.3) where the complex coefficients $\kappa_i, \lambda_i, \mu_i$ and ν_i ; $0 \leq i \leq d$ satisfy $\kappa_i \nu_j + \lambda_j \mu_i = \kappa_j \nu_i + \lambda_i \mu_j$.
3. The matrix of coefficients of f_d is symmetric.

Theorem 2.5 Let $f_d = 0$ be a map of d -tuples. Then the following statements are equivalent.

1. $f_d(x, y) = 0$ iff $f_d(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = 0$.
2. There exists a factorisation of $f_d = 0$, as mentioned in Equation (2.3) where the coefficients $\kappa_i, \lambda_i, \mu_i$ and ν_i ; $0 \leq i \leq d$ are real.
3. The matrix of coefficients of f_d is real (upto multiplication by a constant).

Theorem 2.6 Let $f_d = 0$ be a map of d -tuples. Then the following statements are equivalent.

1. $f_d(x, y) = 0$ iff $f_d(\bar{y}, \bar{x}) = 0$.
2. There exists a factorisation of $f_d = 0$, as mentioned in Equation (2.3) where the coefficients $\kappa_i, \lambda_i, \mu_i$ and ν_i ; $0 \leq i \leq d$ satisfy $\kappa_i = \bar{\mu}_i$ and $\lambda_i = \bar{\nu}_i$.
3. The matrix of coefficients of f_d is skew-Hermitian (upto multiplication by a constant).

We conclude this section with the following remarks.

Remark 2.7 Upon taking $d = 2$ in Theorems (2.2), (2.3), (2.5) and (2.6), we obtain Bullett's results found in [4], as mere corollaries to the respective statements of our theorems.

Remark 2.8 In the case of a map of pairs, as explained in Definition (1.1), we urge the readers to observe the presence of involutions $I_x : \hat{\mathbb{C}}_x \hookrightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}}_x$ and $I_y : \hat{\mathbb{C}}_y \hookrightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}}_y$ that satisfies $I_x(x_1) = x_2$ and $I_y(y_1) = y_2$. Bullett, in [4] makes an extensive use of these involutions to prove his results. However when $d > 2$ (the case that we deal with), we do not have the luxury of such involutions. Thus, we employ alternate methods to prove our theorems.

3 Proofs of Theorems (2.2) and (2.3)

In this section of the manuscript, we prove the first two theorems. We first prove Theorem (2.2).

Proof: (of theorem (2.2)) We start by supposing f_d to be a map of d -tuples. Then, for any x_1 and x_2 in $\hat{\mathbb{C}}_x$, we say $x_1 \sim x_2$ iff the sets $\Pi_y (\Pi_x^{-1}\{x_1\} \cap \Gamma_{f_d})$ and $\Pi_y (\Pi_x^{-1}\{x_2\} \cap \Gamma_{f_d})$ are equal. It is easy to verify that \sim is an equivalence relation. Then by $[x_1]$, we denote the equivalence class of elements in $\hat{\mathbb{C}}_x$ that are related to x_1 . Further, we can also write the Riemann sphere $\hat{\mathbb{C}}_x$ as the disjoint union of its equivalence classes; $\hat{\mathbb{C}}_x = \bigcup_{x_1 \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}} [x_1]$. Observe that every equivalence class in $\hat{\mathbb{C}}_x$ and $\hat{\mathbb{C}}_y$ contains d points, counting multiplicity.

Let ω_x and ω_y denote the maps that takes a point p in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ to its equivalence class $[p]$ in the appropriate space, *i.e.*,

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_x : \widehat{\mathbb{C}}_x &\longrightarrow \bigcup_{x_1 \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}_x} [x_1] \quad \text{defined by} \quad \omega_x(p) = [p], \quad \text{whereas} \\ \omega_y : \widehat{\mathbb{C}}_y &\longrightarrow \bigcup_{y_1 \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}_y} [y_1] \quad \text{defined by} \quad \omega_y(q) = [q].\end{aligned}$$

Further, the map, say M between the equivalence classes of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}_x$ and $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}_y$ is an analytic linear bijection. Thus, we have decomposed f_d as:

$$\widehat{\mathbb{C}}_x \xrightarrow{\omega_x} \bigcup_{x_1 \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}_x} [x_1] \xrightarrow{M} \bigcup_{y_1 \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}_y} [y_1] \xleftarrow{\omega_y} \widehat{\mathbb{C}}_y.$$

Thus, $M \circ \omega_x(x) - \omega_y(y) = 0$. Defining $\phi := M \circ \omega_x$ on $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}_x$ and $\psi := \omega_y$ on $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}_y$ and observing that there exists d inverse branches for the map ω_x and ω_y for generic equivalence classes $[x]$ and $[y]$ in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}_x$ and $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}_y$ respectively, we conclude that ϕ and ψ must look like fractional degree d functions as follows:

$$\phi(x) = \frac{\kappa_d x^d + \cdots + \kappa_1 x + \kappa_0}{\lambda_d x^d + \cdots + \lambda_1 x + \lambda_0} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(y) = \frac{\mu_d y^d + \cdots + \mu_1 y + \mu_0}{\nu_d y^d + \cdots + \nu_1 y + \nu_0},$$

where $\kappa_i, \lambda_i, \mu_i, \nu_i \in \mathbb{C}$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$.

Conversely, suppose the equation $f_d(x, y) = 0$ can be re-written by separating the variables as $\phi(x) = \psi(y)$ where ϕ and ψ are fractional degree d functions. In order to prove that f_d is a map of d -tuples, we observe that for any complex value $z_0 \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$, both the equations $\phi(x) = z_0$ and $\psi(y) = z_0$ have solution sets, each of cardinality d counting multiplicity, thus making f_d , a map of d -tuples. •

As one may observe, the factorisation of $f_d(x, y) = 0$ as $\phi(x) = \psi(y)$ is unique only upto composition with a Möbius map. We now prove the first statement in Theorem (2.3).

Proof: (of theorem (2.3) (1)) Consider the correspondence $f_d \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ to be a map of d -tuples. We first prove that f_d can not be factorised as $g_k h_{d-k}$, where g_k and h_{d-k} are maps of k -tuples and $(d-k)$ -tuples respectively. In order to do so, we assume to the contrary. Since g_k and h_{d-k} are maps of k -tuples and $(d-k)$ -tuples, one may write

$$\begin{aligned}\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\}_{\widehat{\mathbb{C}}_x} &\xrightarrow{g_k} \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k\}_{\widehat{\mathbb{C}}_y} \quad \text{and} \\ \{x_{k+1}, x_{k+2}, \dots, x_d\}_{\widehat{\mathbb{C}}_x} &\xrightarrow{h_{d-k}} \{y_{k+1}, y_{k+2}, \dots, y_d\}_{\widehat{\mathbb{C}}_y}.\end{aligned}$$

However, this does not result in

$$\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d\}_{\widehat{\mathbb{C}}_x} \xrightarrow{f_d} \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_d\}_{\widehat{\mathbb{C}}_y},$$

implying, f_d can not be factorised as $g_k h_{d-k}$. This argument further leads us to conclude that any possible factorisation of f_d can not involve more than one factor, meaning $f_d = [g_k]^{\frac{d}{k}}$, where $k|d$. Conversely, for any divisor k of d with $k \neq d$, $[g_k]^{\frac{d}{k}}$ is a map of d -tuples, where in every equivalence class $[x]$, the number of occurrences of each element is a multiple of d/k . •

In order to prove the second statement of Theorem (2.3), we make use of Theorem (2.2)

Proof: (of Theorem (2.3) (2)) Let f_d be a map of d -tuples. We employ Theorem (2.2) that states that $f_d(x, y) = 0$ can be rewritten as $\phi(x) = \psi(y)$, where

$$\phi(x) = \frac{\kappa_d x^d + \cdots + \kappa_1 x + \kappa_0}{\lambda_d x^d + \cdots + \lambda_1 x + \lambda_0} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(y) = \frac{\mu_d y^d + \cdots + \mu_1 y + \mu_0}{\nu_d y^d + \cdots + \nu_1 y + \nu_0},$$

with $\kappa_i, \lambda_i, \mu_i, \nu_i \in \mathbb{C}$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. Thus, in this case the correspondence can be re-written as

$$(\kappa_d \nu_d - \lambda_d \mu_d) x^d y^d + (\kappa_d \nu_{d-1} - \lambda_d \mu_{d-1}) x^d y^{d-1} + \cdots + (\kappa_0 \nu_0 - \lambda_0 \mu_0) = 0.$$

Thus, the appropriate matrix of coefficients is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \kappa_d \nu_d - \lambda_d \mu_d & \kappa_d \nu_{d-1} - \lambda_d \mu_{d-1} & \cdots & \kappa_d \nu_0 - \lambda_d \mu_0 \\ \kappa_{d-1} \nu_d - \lambda_{d-1} \mu_d & \kappa_{d-1} \nu_{d-1} - \lambda_{d-1} \mu_{d-1} & \cdots & \kappa_{d-1} \nu_0 - \lambda_{d-1} \mu_0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \kappa_0 \nu_d - \lambda_0 \mu_d & \kappa_0 \nu_{d-1} - \lambda_0 \mu_{d-1} & \cdots & \kappa_0 \nu_0 - \lambda_0 \mu_0, \end{pmatrix}$$

that can be factorised as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \kappa_d & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \lambda_d \\ \kappa_{d-1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \lambda_{d-1} \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots \\ \kappa_0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \lambda_0 \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} \nu_d & \nu_{d-1} & \cdots & \nu_0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -\mu_d & -\mu_{d-1} & \cdots & -\mu_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since both the matrices in the factorisation have their ranks to be two, the product matrix can have rank utmost two. We now prove that the rank of the product matrix is not equal to one, by the method of contradiction.

Consider the matrix of coefficients given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_{(d,d)} & A_{(d,d-1)} & \cdots & A_{(d,0)} \\ A_{(d-1,d)} & A_{(d-1,d-1)} & \cdots & A_{(d-1,0)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{(0,d)} & A_{(0,d-1)} & \cdots & A_{(0,0)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since, by assumption, this matrix has rank 1, we write the latter $(d-1)$ columns, (without loss of generality) as a constant multiple of the first column. Then, the equation of the correspondence $f_d(x, y) = 0$ can be factorised as $P(x)Q(y) = 0$, where P and Q are polynomials of degree d with complex coefficients. This violates the second condition in the definition of the degree d polynomial correspondence.

We now suppose that the rank of the coefficient matrix is 2 and prove that f_d is a map of d -tuples. Denote the rows of the coefficient matrix by R_1, R_2, \dots, R_{d+1} . Without loss of generality, we assume that the rows R_j for $1 < j < d+1$ are spanned by the first row R_1 and the last one R_{d+1} , i.e., $R_j = \sigma_j R_1 + \tau_j R_{d+1}$. Thus, one can write $f_d(x, y) = 0$ as

$$x^d R_1 Y + x^{d-1} R_2 Y + \cdots + x R_d Y + R_{d+1} Y = 0, \quad \text{where } Y^t = (y^d \ y^{d-1} \ \cdots \ y \ 1).$$

This can be simplified as

$$[(x^d + \sigma_2 x^{d-1} + \cdots + \sigma_d x) R_1 + (\tau_2 x^{d-1} + \cdots + \tau_d x + 1) R_{d+1}] Y = 0.$$

It is then obvious that the above equation can be expressed as $\phi(x) = \psi(y)$, where ϕ and ψ are fractional degree d maps. Thus, an appeal to Theorem (2.2) completes the proof. \bullet

4 Two easy Lemmas

We begin this section with the statement of a lemma from complex numbers, that will come in handy in the proofs of the theorems, later. The proof of the following lemma is elementary.

Lemma 4.1 *Let $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $z\bar{w} = w\bar{z}$. Then, $\operatorname{Re}(z)\operatorname{Im}(w) = \operatorname{Re}(w)\operatorname{Im}(z)$.*

We now state and prove a Lemma, that will be useful in the proof of Theorem (2.4).

Lemma 4.2 *Let $f_d(x, y) = 0$ be a map of d -tuples that can be rewritten as $\phi(x) = \psi(y)$. Suppose there exists a distinct pair of d -tuples namely $\{x_1, \dots, x_d\}$ and $\{y_1, \dots, y_d\}$ in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $f_d(x_i, y_j) = 0$ iff $f_d(y_j, x_i) = 0$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq d$, then, $f_d(x, y) = 0$ can also be rewritten as $\phi(y) = \psi(x)$.*

Proof: In order to prove the Lemma, we merely prove that the coefficient of $x^d y^{d-1}$ in the expression of f_d agrees with the coefficient of $x^{d-1} y^d$. Using analogous techniques, we can then prove that the coefficient of $x^i y^j$ in the expression of f_d is the same as the coefficient of $x^j y^i$, that completes the proof.

Since $f_d(x, y) = 0$ is given to be a map of d -tuples, we know by Theorem (2.2) that the equation can be rewritten as $\phi(x) = \psi(y)$, where ϕ and ψ are fractional degree d functions, that look like

$$\phi(x) = \frac{\kappa_d x^d + \cdots + \kappa_1 x + \kappa_0}{\lambda_d x^d + \cdots + \lambda_1 x + \lambda_0} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(y) = \frac{\mu_d y^d + \cdots + \mu_1 y + \mu_0}{\nu_d y^d + \cdots + \nu_1 y + \nu_0},$$

with complex coefficients.

The finite case: In this case, we consider all x_i 's, y_j 's and z_k 's to be finite, as explained below. Given that

$$\begin{aligned} \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d\}_{\hat{\mathbb{C}}_x} &\xleftrightarrow{f_d} \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_d\}_{\hat{\mathbb{C}}_y} \quad \text{and} \\ \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_d\}_{\hat{\mathbb{C}}_y} &\xleftrightarrow{f_d} \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d\}_{\hat{\mathbb{C}}_x}, \end{aligned}$$

we consider distinct values z_1 and z_2 in \mathbb{C} such that

$$\phi(x_i) = z_1 = \psi(y_j) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(y_j) = z_2 = \psi(x_i) \quad \forall 1 \leq i, j \leq d.$$

In other words, $\{x_1, \dots, x_d\}$ is the solution set of the algebraic equations $\phi(x) - z_1 = 0$ and $\psi(x) - z_2 = 0$. Employing the expressions that we have for ϕ and ψ , as written above and considering the sum of these roots from these algebraic equations, we obtain

$$\frac{\kappa_{d-1} - z_1 \lambda_{d-1}}{\kappa_d - z_1 \lambda_d} = \frac{\mu_{d-1} - z_2 \nu_{d-1}}{\mu_d - z_2 \nu_d}.$$

Similarly, concentrating on the solution set, namely $\{y_1, \dots, y_d\}$ of the algebraic equations $\psi(y) - z_1 = 0$ and $\phi(y) - z_2 = 0$, we obtain the sum of these roots as

$$\frac{\kappa_{d-1} - z_2 \lambda_{d-1}}{\kappa_d - z_2 \lambda_d} = \frac{\mu_{d-1} - z_1 \nu_{d-1}}{\mu_d - z_1 \nu_d}.$$

From the above two equations, we get,

$$z_1 (\lambda_d \mu_{d-1} - \lambda_{d-1} \mu_d) + z_2 (\kappa_d \nu_{d-1} - \kappa_{d-1} \nu_d) = z_1 (\kappa_d \nu_{d-1} - \kappa_{d-1} \nu_d) + z_2 (\lambda_d \mu_{d-1} - \lambda_{d-1} \mu_d),$$

that gives

$$z_1 (\kappa_d \nu_{d-1} - \kappa_{d-1} \nu_d - \lambda_d \mu_{d-1} + \lambda_{d-1} \mu_d) = z_2 (\kappa_d \nu_{d-1} - \kappa_{d-1} \nu_d - \lambda_d \mu_{d-1} + \lambda_{d-1} \mu_d).$$

Since $z_1 \neq z_2$, we have

$$\kappa_d \nu_{d-1} - \lambda_d \mu_{d-1} = \kappa_{d-1} \nu_d - \lambda_{d-1} \mu_d,$$

which proves that the coefficients of $x^d y^{d-1}$ and $x^{d-1} y^d$ are equal in the equation $f_d(x, y) = 0$.

The infinite case: This has various subcases, one or several of x_i 's or y_j 's can be infinite (depending upon the multiplicity with which ∞ appears as a zero of the appropriate equation) or one of the z_k 's is infinite. However, note that ∞ can not appear in the enlisting of both x_i 's and y_j 's simultaneously. Owing to symmetric reasonings, we will only discuss x_i 's being infinite, here.

Subcase - 1: When x_i 's and y_j 's are all finite, $z_1 < \infty$ but $z_2 = \infty$. In this case, we consider the equations

$$\phi(x_i) = z_1 = \psi(y_j) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(y_j) = \infty = \psi(x_i) \quad \forall 1 \leq i, j \leq d.$$

Thus, $\{x_1, \dots, x_d\}$ is the solution set of the algebraic equations $\sum_{i=0}^d (\kappa_i - z_1 \lambda_i) x^i = 0$ and $\sum_{i=0}^d \nu_i x^i = 0$.

Focussing again on the sum of all these x_i 's yields

$$z_1 = \frac{\kappa_d \nu_{d-1} - \kappa_{d-1} \nu_d}{\lambda_d \nu_{d-1} - \lambda_{d-1} \nu_d}.$$

When we do an analogous exercise with $\{y_1, \dots, y_d\}$ being the solution set of the algebraic equations $\sum_{i=0}^d (\mu_i - z_1 \nu_i) y^i = 0$ and $\sum_{i=0}^d \lambda_i y^i = 0$, we obtain

$$z_1 = \frac{\lambda_d \mu_{d-1} - \lambda_{d-1} \mu_d}{\lambda_d \nu_{d-1} - \lambda_{d-1} \nu_d}.$$

Since the denominators in the expressions of z_1 are equal, their numerators should also be equal that results in

$$\kappa_d \nu_{d-1} - \lambda_d \mu_{d-1} = \kappa_{d-1} \nu_d - \lambda_{d-1} \mu_d.$$

Subcase - 2: When only $(d - m)$ of the x_i 's are finite, the remainder of the x_i 's being ∞ while all of the y_j 's and z_k 's are finite. Owing to m many infinities in the collection of x_i 's and the equations $\phi(x_i) - z_1 = 0$ and $\psi(x_i) - z_2 = 0$, we get $z_1 = \frac{\kappa_i}{\lambda_i}$ and $z_2 = \frac{\mu_i}{\nu_i}$ for $i = d, d-1, \dots, d-m$. Using the sum of the roots of the algebraic equations $\psi(y) - z_1 = 0$ and $\phi(y) - z_2 = 0$ and plugging the values of z_1 and z_2 , as obtained above, we get

$$\kappa_d \nu_{d-1} - \lambda_d \mu_{d-1} = \kappa_{d-1} \nu_d - \lambda_{d-1} \mu_d.$$

Subcase - 3: When only $(d - m)$ of the x_i 's are finite, the remainder of the x_i 's being ∞ while all of the y_j 's are finite, $z_1 < \infty$ and $z_2 = \infty$. We reach the same conclusion in this final and exhaustive case too, working analogously. •

5 Proof of Theorems (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6)

In this section, we prove the Theorems (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6).

Proof: (of Theorem (2.4)) The proof of Theorem (2.4) rests entirely on Lemma (4.2). It is quite obvious from the proof of Lemma (4.2) that the matrix of coefficients is symmetric and that the coefficients, as mentioned in the equation $\phi(x) = \psi(y)$ satisfy

$$\kappa_i \nu_j + \lambda_j \mu_i = \kappa_j \nu_i + \lambda_i \mu_j, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i, j \leq d.$$

We now prove Theorem (2.5). •

Proof: (of Theorem (2.5)) We start by proving $1 \implies 3$. Since f_d is a map of d -tuples, we have

$$\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d\}_{\hat{\mathbb{C}}_x} \xrightarrow{f_d} \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_d\}_{\hat{\mathbb{C}}_y},$$

as the solution set for $f_d(x, y) = 0$. Owing to our assumption, we further have $f_d(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = 0$, i.e.,

$$\{\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \dots, \bar{x}_d\}_{\hat{\mathbb{C}}_x} \xrightarrow{f_d} \{\bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2, \dots, \bar{y}_d\}_{\hat{\mathbb{C}}_y}.$$

Taking the complex conjugate of the latter equation, we obtain

$$\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d\}_{\hat{\mathbb{C}}_x} \xrightarrow{\bar{f}_d} \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_d\}_{\hat{\mathbb{C}}_y},$$

where \bar{f}_d denotes the correspondence mentioned in Equation (2.1), with every coefficient $A_{i,j}$ replaced with $\bar{A}_{i,j}$. Thus, $f_d(x, y) = 0$ and $\bar{f}_d(x, y) = 0$ represent the same polynomial correspondence, meaning one is a constant multiple of the other. By comparing the coefficients, we obtain that $A_{i,j} = M \bar{A}_{i,j}$ for every $0 \leq i, j \leq d$, where $M \in \mathbb{C}$. Thus, using Lemma (4.1), we have $\text{Re}(A_{i,j}) = \rho \text{Im}(A_{i,j})$ for every $0 \leq i, j \leq d$ for

some $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence, writing $A_{i,j} = \rho a_{i,j} + i a_{i,j}$, we obtain an alternate representation of the correspondence given by

$$\begin{aligned} f_d(x, y) = (\rho + i) & [a_{(d,d)}x^d y^d + a_{(d,d-1)}x^d y^{d-1} + \cdots + a_{(d,1)}x^d y + a_{(d,0)}x^d \\ & + a_{(d-1,d)}x^{d-1} y^d + \cdots + a_{(d-1,0)}x^{d-1} \\ & + \cdots \\ & + a_{(0,d)}y^d + \cdots + a_{(0,0)}], \end{aligned}$$

where every coefficient $a_{i,j} \in \mathbb{R}$, proving statement (3).

Since $3 \implies 2$ is trivial, we now write a short proof of $2 \implies 1$. Consider the correspondence $\phi(x) - \psi(y) = 0$. Then, conjugating the whole equation, we obtain statement (1). \bullet

We now prove Theorem (2.6).

Proof: (of Theorem (2.6)) Here, we start by proving $(1) \implies (2)$. Since f_d is a map of d -tuples, by Theorem (2.2) we obtain a factorisation of $f_d(x, y) = 0$ as $\phi(x) = \psi(y)$. Then, making use of the hypothesis, we obtain a factorisation of $f_d(\bar{y}, \bar{x}) = 0$ as $\phi(\bar{y}) = \psi(\bar{x})$. Taking the complex conjugate of the last equation gives us $\overline{\phi(\bar{y})} = \overline{\psi(\bar{x})}$, which is alternate representation of the original correspondence $f_d(x, y) = 0$. Thus, this only means that there exists a Möbius map (of determinant 1, without loss of generality) $M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$ such that $\bar{\psi}(x) = M \circ \phi(x)$. Here, $\bar{\psi}$ represents the fractional degree d rational map, as written in Equation (2.3) where every coefficient in the expression of ψ is complex conjugated. Thus,

$$\bar{\psi}(x) = M \circ \psi(y). \quad (5.1)$$

Owing to our hypothesis as in statement (1), we also have $\bar{\psi}(\bar{y}) = M \circ \psi(\bar{x})$. Taking the complex conjugate of the above equation, we obtain $\psi(y) = \bar{M} \circ \bar{\psi}(x)$. Thus,

$$\bar{\psi}(x) = (\bar{M})^{-1} \circ \psi(y). \quad (5.2)$$

From Equations (5.1) and (5.2), we obtain $M = (\bar{M})^{-1}$, that results in the matrix entries B and C being purely imaginary and $A = \bar{D}$. Such a matrix M can be expressed as $M = (\bar{N})^{-1} N$ for some matrix N with complex entries. Plugging in this expression for M in Equation (5.1), we get

$$N \circ \psi(y) = \bar{N} \circ \bar{\psi}(x),$$

establishing (2). The remainder of the proof is a simple exercise. \bullet

6 Examples

In this concluding section, we provide examples illustrating the main theorems of this paper.

Example 6.1 Consider

$$\begin{aligned} & 5x^5y^5 + 5x^5y^4 + 10x^5y^3 + 7x^5y^2 + (1+6i)x^5y + 11x^5 \\ + & 7x^4y^5 + 4x^4y^4 + 8x^4y^3 + 11x^4y^2 + (2+3i)x^4y + 7x^4 \\ + & (9-i)x^3y^5 + (3-2i)x^3y^4 + (6-4i)x^3y^3 + (15-i)x^3y^2 + 6x^3y + (3-5i)x^3 \\ + & 15x^2y^5 + 10x^2y^4 + 20x^2y^3 + 23x^2y^2 + (4+9i)x^2y + 19x^2 \\ + & 16xy^5 + 7xy^4 + 14xy^3 + 26xy^2 + (5+3i)xy + 10x \\ + & 7y^5 + 9y^4 + 18y^3 + 9y^2 + (1+12i)y + 21 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This correspondence can be factorised as

$$\frac{x^5 + 2x^4 + 3x^3 + 4x^2 + 5x + 1}{2x^5 + x^4 - ix^3 + 3x^2 + x + 4} = -\frac{y^5 + 2y^4 + 4y^3 + y^2 + 3iy + 5}{3y^5 + y^4 + 2y^3 + 5y^2 + y + 1}.$$

Thus, by Theorem (2.2), this is a map of 5-tuples. Further, the matrix of coefficients has rank 2, i.e.,

$$\text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 5 & 10 & 7 & 1+6i & 11 \\ 7 & 4 & 8 & 11 & 2+3i & 7 \\ 9-i & 3-2i & 6-4i & 15-i & 6 & 3-5i \\ 15 & 10 & 20 & 23 & 4+9i & 19 \\ 16 & 7 & 14 & 26 & 5+3i & 10 \\ 7 & 9 & 18 & 9 & 1+12i & 21 \end{pmatrix} = 2.$$

Example 6.2 (a) The correspondence

$$x^3y^3 + 3x^3y^2 + 3x^3y + x^3 + 3x^2y^3 + 12x^2y^2 + 15x^2y + 6x^2 \\ + 3xy^3 + 15xy^2 + 24xy + 12x + y^3 + 6y^2 + 12y + 8 = 0,$$

can be written as $(xy + x + y + 2)^3 = 0$, making this correspondence a map of 3-tuples.

(b) The correspondence

$$x^4y^4 + 2x^4y^2 + x^4 + 2x^3y^4 + 4x^3y^2 + 2x^3 + 3x^2y^4 + 8x^2y^2 \\ + 5x^2 + 2xy^4 + 6xy^2 + 4x + y^4 + 4y^2 + 4 = 0,$$

can be written as $(x^2y^2 + x^2 + xy^2 + x + y^2 + 2)^2 = 0$, making this correspondence a map of 4-tuples; however with the multiplicity of each of the roots of the quadratic correspondence inside the bracket, being doubled for the quartic correspondence.

Example 6.3 Consider the map of 3-tuples given by

$$\frac{x^3 - 6x^2 + 11x - 6}{-x^3 - 8x^2 + 31x + 10} = \frac{2y^3 + 2y^2 - 20y - 16}{y^3 + 8y^2 - 31y - 10},$$

that corresponds between the triples $(1, 2, 3)$ and $(-1, -2, 4)$ via $z_1 = 0$ and $z_2 = 1$, where we follow the notations for z_k 's, as in the proof of Lemma (4.2). Observe that this correspondence can also be expressed as

$$3x^3y^3 + 10x^3y^2 - 51x^3y - 26x^3 + 10x^2y^3 - 32x^2y^2 + 26x^2y - 68x^2 \\ - 51xy^3 + 26xy^2 + 279xy + 386x - 26y^3 - 68y^2 + 386y + 220 = 0,$$

wherein the coefficient of $x^i y^j$ is the same as the coefficient of $x^j y^i$, for all $0 \leq i, j \leq 3$, leading to a symmetric matrix of coefficients, as required in Theorem (2.4).

Example 6.4 Consider the map of 4-tuples given by

$$\frac{2x^4 + 3x^3 + 10x^2 + x + 7}{x^4 + 5x^3 + x^2 + 4x + 1} = -\frac{y^4 + 2y^3 + 5y^2 + 6y + 4}{y^4 + 7y^3 + 2y^2 + y + 8},$$

where all the coefficients in the above mentioned fractional quartic representation are real. Note that the correspondence can also be written as

$$3x^4y^4 + 16x^4y^3 + 9x^4y^2 + 8x^4y + 20x^4 + 8x^3y^4 + 31x^3y^3 + 31x^3y^2 + 33x^3y + 44x^3 \\ + 11x^2y^4 + 72x^2y^3 + 25x^2y^2 + 16x^2y + 84x^2 + 5xy^4 + 15xy^3 + 22xy^2 + 25xy + 24x \\ + 8y^4 + 51y^3 + 19y^2 + 13y + 60 = 0,$$

where all the coefficients $A_{i,j}$ are real. Further, taking the complex conjugate in the last Equation, we observe that this correspondence also satisfies condition (1) in Theorem (2.5).

Example 6.5 Consider the following rank 2, skew-Hermitian matrix of coefficients of a cubic correspondence given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 28i & 6i - 25 & 19i - 7 & -31 \\ 25 + 6i & 12i & 17 + 5i & -15 + 4i \\ 7 + 19i & -17 + 5i & 12i & -2i - 28 \\ 31 & 15 + 4i & 28 - 2i & -20i \end{pmatrix}$$

The correspondence f_3 formed from the above matrix of coefficients is a map of 3-tuples, that satisfies $f_3(x, y) = 0$ iff $f_3(\bar{y}, \bar{x}) = 0$. Then, $f_3(x, y) = 0$ can also be rewritten as

$$\frac{2ix^3 + 3x^2 + (1+i)x + 5}{7x^3 + (3-2i)x^2 + 6x + 2i} = \frac{-2iy^3 + 3y^2 + (1-i)y + 5}{7y^3 + (3+2i)y^2 + 6y - 2i},$$

illustrating Theorem (2.6).

References

- [1] Bharali, G. and Sridharan, S., “The dynamics of holomorphic correspondences of \mathbb{P}^1 : invariant measures and the normality set”, *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.*, **61** (2016), (1587 - 1613).
- [2] Bharali, G. and Sridharan, S., “Holomorphic correspondences related to finitely generated rational semigroups”, *Internat. J. Math.*, **28** (2017), (1750108 - pp25).
- [3] Boyd, D., “An invariant measure for finitely generated rational semigroups”, *Complex Variables Theory Appl.*, **39** (1999), (229 - 254).
- [4] Bullett, S., “Dynamics of quadratic correspondences”, *Nonlinearity*, **1** (1988), (27 - 50).
- [5] Bullett, S., “Dynamics of the arithmetic-geometric mean”, *Topology*, **30** (1991), (171 - 190).
- [6] Bullett, S. and Freiburger, M., “Holomorphic correspondences mating Chebyshev-like maps with Hecke groups”, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Syst.*, **25** (2005), (1057 - 1090).
- [7] Bullett, S., Osbaldestin, A. H. and Percival, I. C., “An iterated implicit complex map”, *Phys. D*, **19** (1986), (290 - 300).
- [8] Bullett, S. and Penrose, C., “A gallery of iterated correspondences”, *Exp. Math.*, **3** (1994), (85 - 105).
- [9] Bullett, S. and Penrose, C., “Regular and limit sets for holomorphic correspondences”, *Fund. Math.*, **167** (2001), (111 - 171).
- [10] Dinh, T.-C., “Distribution des préimages et des points périodiques d’une correspondance polynomiale”, [Distribution of preimages and periodic points of a polynomial correspondence], *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, **133** (2005), (363 - 394).
- [11] Dinh, T.-C., “Suites d’applications méromorphes multivaluées et courants laminaires”, *J. Geom. Anal.*, **15** (2005), (207 - 227).
- [12] Dinh, T.-C. and Sibony, N., “Distribution des valeurs de transformations méromorphes et applications”, *Comment. Math. Helv.*, **81** (2006), (221 - 225).
- [13] Hinkkanen, A. and Martin, G. J., “The dynamics of semigroups of rational functions I”, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **73** (1996), (358 - 384).
- [14] Hinkkanen, A. and Martin, G. J., “Julia sets of rational semigroups”, *Math. Z.*, **222** (1996), (161 - 169).
- [15] Sumi, H., “Skew product maps related to finitely generated rational semigroups”, *Non-linearity*, **13** (2000), (995 - 1019).
- [16] Sumi, H., “Dynamics of sub-hyperbolic and semi-hyperbolic rational semigroups and skew products”, *Ergodic Theory Dyn. Syst.*, **21** (2001), (563 - 603).
- [17] Sumi, H., “Dimensions of Julia sets of expanding rational semigroups”, *Kodai Math. J.*, **28** (2005), (390 - 422).

SRIDHARAN, SHRIHARI

Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Thiruvananthapuram (IISER-TVM)

Maruthamala P.O., Vithura, Thiruvananthapuram, INDIA. PIN 695 551.
shrihari@iisertvm.ac.in

SUBITH, G.
Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Thiruvananthapuram (IISER-TVM)
Maruthamala P.O., Vithura, Thiruvananthapuram, INDIA. PIN 695 551.
subith21@iisertvm.ac.in

TIWARI, ATMA RAM
Rashtriya Postgraduate College, Veer Bahadur Singh Purvanchal University,
Jaunpur, INDIA PIN222 001.
art15iiser@gmail.com