

NO-REGRET AND LOW-REGRET CONTROLS OF SPACE-TIME FRACTIONAL PARABOLIC STURM-LIOUVILLE EQUATIONS IN A STAR GRAPH

GISÈLE MOPHOU, MARYSE MOUTAMAL, AND MAHAMADI WARMA

ABSTRACT. We are concerned with a space-time fractional parabolic initial-boundary value problem of Sturm–Liouville type in a general star graph with mixed Dirichlet and Neumann boundary controls. We first give several existence, uniqueness and regularity results of weak and very-weak solutions. Using the notion of no-regret control introduced by Lions, we prove the existence, uniqueness, and characterize the low regret control of a quadratic boundary optimal control problem, then we prove that this low regret control converges to the no-regret control and we provide the associated optimality systems and conditions that characterize that no-regret control.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PROBLEM SETTING

The main concern of the present paper is to study the following optimal control problem:

$$\min_{v \in (L^2(0,T))^{N-1}} J(v, y^0) := \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{Q_i} |y^i(v, y^0) - y_d^i|^2 \, dxdt + \zeta \sum_{i=2}^N \int_0^T |v^i|^2 \, dt, \quad \forall y^0 \in \mathbb{L}^2, \quad (1.1)$$

subject to the constraints that $y(v, y^0)$ solves the space-time fractional system on a general star graph (see Figure 1):

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}_t^\gamma y^i + \mathcal{D}_{b_i^-}^\alpha (\beta^i \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha y^i) + q^i y^i & = f^i & \text{in } (0, T) \times (a, b_i) =: Q_i, \, i = 1, \dots, N, \\ I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} y^i(\cdot, a^+) - I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} y^j(\cdot, a^+) & = 0 & \text{in } (0, T), \, i \neq j = 1, \dots, N, \\ \sum_{i=1}^N \beta^i(a) \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha y^i(\cdot, a^+) & = 0 & \text{in } (0, T), \\ I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} y^1(\cdot, b_1^-) & = 0 & \text{in } (0, T), \\ I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} y^i(\cdot, b_i^-) & = v^i & \text{in } (0, T), \, i = 2, \dots, m \\ \beta^i(b_i) \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha y^i(\cdot, b_i^-) & = v^i & \text{in } (0, T), \, i = m+1, \dots, N, \\ y^i(0, \cdot) & = y^{0,i} & \text{in } (a, b_i), \, i = 1, \dots, N. \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

Here, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < \gamma, \alpha < 1$, $\zeta > 0$, the functions $f = (f^i)_i \in L^2((0, T); \mathbb{L}^2)$, $y_d = (y_d^i)_i \in L^2((0, T); \mathbb{L}^2)$ are given whereas the initial condition $y^0 = (y^{0,i})_i \in \mathbb{L}^2$ is unknown. The control functions $v^i \in L^2(0, T)$, $i = 2, \dots, N$ and

$$\mathbb{L}^2 := \prod_{i=1}^N L^2(a, b_i).$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35J20, 49J45, 49J20.

Key words and phrases. Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative, Caputo fractional derivative, low regret control, no-regret control, Sturm-Liouville equations, optimality system.

The second author is supported by the Deutscher Akademischer Austausch Dienst/German Academic Exchange Service (DAAD). The work of the third author is partially supported by the US Army Research Office (ARO) under Award NO: W911NF-20-1-0115.

In (1.2) \mathcal{D}_t^γ and \mathbb{D}^α denote the Caputo and the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives of order γ and α , respectively, and I^α is the Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of order α .

Since \mathbb{L}^2 is an infinite dimensional space, the minimization problem (1.1) does not always make sense. One idea is to consider instead the following optimization problem:

$$\min_{v \in (L^2(0,T))^{N-1}} \left[\sup_{y^0 \in \mathbb{L}^2} J(v, y^0) \right]. \quad (1.3)$$

But proceeding in that way, it may happen that $\sup_{y^0 \in \mathbb{L}^2} J(v, y^0) = +\infty$. This difficulty led J.L Lions [11] to think about looking for a control v such that

$$J(v, y^0) \leq J(0, y^0), \forall y^0 \in \mathbb{L}^2.$$

Fractional derivatives provide an excellent tool for the description of memory and hereditary effects of various materials and processes [23, 7]. Time-fractional diffusion equations can describe anomalous diffusion on fractals see [20, 18, 7] and references therein. Existence results of initial and boundary value problems for such equations have been studied widely. We refer, for instance, to [4, 18] and references therein.

The implementation of differential equations to the network domains, in particular in biology, engineering, cosmology, leads Lumer [13] to the notion of evolution problems on ramified spaces. Ever since, work on ordinary and partial differential equations on metric graphs has greatly evolved (see e.g [21, 9] and the references therein). The survey paper by Dàger and Zuazua [3] is an excellent reference where several 1-D optimal control problems on graphs have been studied. As an application, in [8], the authors study the control of a gas network which is represented by a nonlinear model derived from a semi-linear approximation of the fully nonlinear isothermal Euler gas equation.

Fractional optimal control problems have attracted several authors in the last two decades. Agrawal [1] detains the first record as he proposed a general formulation and a numerical method to solve such problems. The concepts of no-regret control and low regret control were developed by Lions [11, 12] in order to control systems modeled by partial differential equations with integer time derivatives and uncertainties (unknown perturbations). Since then, many authors have used these concepts to control system with partial information or with missing data. For instance in [14], Mophou investigated an optimal control of a time-fractional diffusion equation with a missing boundary condition. Using the notion of no-regret control and low regret control, she proved that the low regret control problem associated with the boundary fractional diffusion equation has a unique solution which converges to the no-regret control. In [6], Kenne and al. studied a model of population dynamics with age dependence and spatial structure but unknown birth rate. Using the notion of low-regret control, they proved that the state of the system can reach a desired state by acting on the system via a localized distributed control; then, using an appropriate Hilbert space, they proved that the family of low-regret controls tends to a so-called no-regret control. Nakoulima et al. [16, 17] applied these notions to control distributed nonlinear systems with incomplete data. In all these papers, the authors proved the uniqueness of the low-regret control and its convergence to the no-regret control. Then, they provided the optimality system that characterizes the no-regret control.

In this paper, we study the optimal control of a space-time fractional diffusion equation involving the Caputo time-fractional derivative and the space-fractional Sturm-Liouville operator, where the source is missing or incomplete. We first prove the existence and uniqueness of the solution to the diffusion equation, then using the no-regret control and the low regret control notions, we first prove the uniqueness of the low regret control and we show that this control converges to the no-regret control that we characterize by a singular optimality system.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give some preliminary results that will be used for the proofs of the existence of solutions to fractional diffusion equations involving Sturm-Liouville derivatives and for the study of the optimal control problem. In Section 3, we consider the one edge case Sturm-Liouville equation where we show existence, regularity and an explicit representation of solutions. In Section 4, we study the existence, uniqueness, regularity and explicit representation of solutions of the Sturm-Liouville problem in a general star graph. In section 5, firstly, we show the existence and uniqueness of the low-regret control and characterize the associated optimality systems and conditions. Secondly, we show the existence and uniqueness of the no-regret control and characterize the associated optimality systems and conditions. We finish the paper with some remarks in Section 6.




FIGURE 1. A sketch of a star graph with n edges

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we introduce some notations, give the function spaces needed to study our problems, recall some known results and prove some intermediate results that are needed in the proofs of our main results. We start with fractional integrals and derivatives. Let $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$, $a \geq 0$ and $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a given function.

Definition 2.1. The left, and right Riemann–Liouville fractional integrals of order $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ of f , are defined, respectively, by:

$$(I_{a^+}^\alpha f)(x) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^x (x-t)^{\alpha-1} f(t) dt, \quad (x > a)$$

$$(I_{b^-}^\alpha f)(x) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_x^b (t-x)^{\alpha-1} f(t) dt, \quad (x < b),$$

provided that the integrals exist, and Γ denotes the usual Euler-Gamma function.

Definition 2.2. [7] The left, and right Riemann–Liouville fractional derivatives of order $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ of f , are defined, respectively, by

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbb{D}_{a+}^{\alpha} f)(x) &:= D(I_{a+}^{1-\alpha} f)(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \frac{d}{dx} \int_a^x (x-t)^{-\alpha} f(t) dt, \quad (x > a) \\ (\mathbb{D}_{b-}^{\alpha} f)(x) &:= -D(I_{b-}^{1-\alpha} f)(x) = \frac{-1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \frac{d}{dx} \int_x^b (t-x)^{-\alpha} f(t) dt, \quad (x < b), \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

provided that the integrals exist.

Definition 2.3. [7] The left, and right-sided Caputo fractional derivatives of order $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ of f , are defined respectively, by:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{D}_{a+}^{\alpha} f)(x) &:= (I_{a+}^{1-\alpha} Df)(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_a^x (x-t)^{-\alpha} f'(t) dt, \quad (x > a) \\ (\mathcal{D}_{b-}^{\alpha} f)(x) &:= -(I_{b-}^{1-\alpha} Df)(x) = \frac{-1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_x^b (t-x)^{-\alpha} f'(t) dt \quad (x < b) \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

provided that the integrals exist.

Definition 2.4. [19, 7] Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) > 0$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\beta) > 0$. The classical Mittag-Leffer function of two parameters denoted by $E_{\alpha, \beta}$ is given by

$$E_{\alpha, \beta}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{\Gamma(\alpha n + \beta)} \quad z \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (2.3)$$

Lemma 2.5. [19] Let $0 < \alpha < 2$, $\beta > 0$ and let μ to be such that

$$\frac{\pi\alpha}{2} < \mu < \min(\pi, \pi\alpha).$$

Then, there is a constant $C = C(\alpha, \beta, \mu) > 0$ such that

$$|E_{\alpha, \beta}(z)| \leq \frac{C}{1 + |z|} \quad \mu \leq \arg(z) \leq \pi. \quad (2.4)$$

We have the following result. We refer to [7, 20] for the proof.

Lemma 2.6. Let $0 < \alpha < 1$, $1 < p < 1/\alpha$, $q = p/(1 - \alpha p)$, and $\rho \in L^p(a, b)$. Then, there is a constant $C = C(\alpha, p, q, a, b) > 0$ such that for every $f \in L^p(a, b)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_{a+}^{\alpha} \rho\|_{L^q(a, b)} &\leq C \|\rho\|_{L^p(a, b)}, \\ \|I_{b-}^{\alpha} \rho\|_{L^q(a, b)} &\leq C \|\rho\|_{L^p(a, b)}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.7. Using the Young convolution inequality we obtain that for every $0 < \alpha < 1$ there is a constant $C > 0$ such that for every $\rho \in L^2(a, b)$,

$$\|I_{a+}^{\alpha} \rho\|_{L^2(a, b)} \leq C \|\rho\|_{L^2(a, b)}, \quad (2.5)$$

$$\|I_{b-}^{\alpha} \rho\|_{L^2(a, b)} \leq C \|\rho\|_{L^2(a, b)}. \quad (2.6)$$

Next, let $c_0, d_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ have the representation

$$f(x) = \frac{c_0}{\Gamma(\alpha)} (x-a)^{\alpha-1} + I_{a+}^{\alpha} \varphi(x) \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in [a, b], \quad (2.7)$$

and let also $g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ have the representation

$$g(x) = \frac{d_0}{\Gamma(\alpha)} (b-x)^{\alpha-1} + I_{b-}^{\alpha} \psi(x) \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in [a, b], \quad (2.8)$$

where φ and ψ belong to $L^2(a, b)$. We shall denote by $AC_{a^+}^{\alpha,2}$ and $AC_{b^-}^{\alpha,2}$ the spaces of all functions f and g having the representations (2.7) and (2.8), respectively, with $\varphi, \psi \in L^2(a, b)$.

Remark 2.8. We observe the following:

$$\mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha f \in L^2(a, b) \iff f \in AC_{a^+}^{\alpha,2}, \quad (2.9a)$$

$$\mathbb{D}_{b^-}^\alpha f \in L^2(a, b) \iff f \in AC_{b^-}^{\alpha,2}. \quad (2.9b)$$

For more details on these spaces and the proof of (2.9a)-(2.9b), we refer to [5].

We set

$$H_{a^+}^\alpha(a, b) = AC_{a^+}^{\alpha,2} \cap L^2(a, b) \quad (2.10a)$$

$$H_{b^-}^\alpha(a, b) = AC_{b^-}^{\alpha,2} \cap L^2(a, b). \quad (2.10b)$$

It follows from the definitions of $AC_{a^+}^{\alpha,2}$ and $AC_{b^-}^{\alpha,2}$ that,

$$\rho \in H_{a^+}^\alpha(a, b) \iff \rho \in L^2(a, b) \text{ and } \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha \rho \in L^2(a, b), \quad (2.11a)$$

$$\rho \in H_{b^-}^\alpha(a, b) \iff \rho \in L^2(a, b) \text{ and } \mathbb{D}_{b^-}^\alpha \rho \in L^2(a, b). \quad (2.11b)$$

We endow $H_{a^+}^\alpha(a, b)$ with the inner product

$$(\varphi, \psi)_{H_{a^+}^\alpha(a, b)} = \int_a^b \varphi \psi dx + \int_a^b \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha \varphi \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha \psi dx. \quad (2.12)$$

Then, $H_{a^+}^\alpha(a, b)$ endowed with the norm given by

$$\|\varphi\|_{H_{a^+}^\alpha(a, b)}^2 = \|\varphi\|_{L^2(a, b)}^2 + \|\mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha \varphi\|_{L^2(a, b)}^2 \quad (2.13)$$

is a Hilbert space (see e.g. [5]). Moreover, the norm on $H_{a^+}^\alpha(a, b)$ given by (2.13) is equivalent to

$$\|\varphi\|_{H_{a^+}^\alpha(a, b)}^2 = |I^{1-\alpha} \varphi(a^+)|^2 + \|\mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha \varphi\|_{L^2(a, b)}^2. \quad (2.14)$$

Lemma 2.9. [5, Corollary 32] *Let $1/2 < \alpha \leq 1$. Then, the following continuous embedding is compact*

$$H_{a^+}^\alpha(a, b) \hookrightarrow L^2(a, b). \quad (2.15)$$

We also introduce the space \mathcal{V} given by

$$\mathcal{V} = \left\{ y \in H_{a^+}^\alpha(a, b) : \mathcal{D}_{b^-}^\alpha(\beta \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha y) \in H_{b^-}^{1-\alpha}(a, b) \right\}, \quad (2.16)$$

where $\beta \in C[a, b]$ and $H_{b^-}^{1-\alpha}(a, b)$ is defined in (2.10b). We have the following trace result that will be useful for some calculations in the upcoming sections.

Lemma 2.10. [9] *Let $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. Then, the following assertions hold.*

(a) *Let $T > 0$ and $\rho \in L^2((0, T); H_{a^+}^\alpha(a, b))$. Then, for every $x_0 \in [a, b]$, the function $I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} \rho(\cdot, x_0)$ exists and belongs to $L^2(0, T)$. Moreover, there is a constant $C = C(a, b, \alpha) > 0$ such that*

$$\|I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha}(\rho)(\cdot, x_0)\|_{L^2(0, T)}^2 \leq C \|\rho\|_{L^2((0, T); H_{a^+}^\alpha(a, b))}^2. \quad (2.17)$$

(b) *Let \mathcal{V} be the space defined in (2.16). Then, \mathcal{V} endowed with the norm*

$$\begin{aligned} \|y\|_{\mathcal{V}} &:= \left(\|y\|_{H_{a^+}^\alpha(a, b)}^2 + \|\mathcal{D}_{b^-}^\alpha(\beta \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha y)\|_{H_{b^-}^{1-\alpha}(a, b)}^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left(\|y\|_{H_{a^+}^\alpha(a, b)}^2 + \|I_{b^-}^{1-\alpha}(\beta \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha y)'\|_{L^2(a, b)}^2 + \|(\beta \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha y)'\|_{L^2(a, b)}^2 \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

and the associated scalar product

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi, \psi)_{\mathcal{V}} := & \int_a^b \phi \psi \, dx + \int_a^b \mathbb{D}_{a+}^{\alpha} \phi \mathbb{D}_{a+}^{\alpha} \psi \, dx + \int_a^b I_{b-}^{1-\alpha} (\beta \mathbb{D}_{a+}^{\alpha} \phi)' I_{b-}^{1-\alpha} (\beta \mathbb{D}_{a+}^{\alpha} \psi)' \, dx \\ & + \int_a^b (\beta \mathbb{D}_{a+}^{\alpha} \phi)' (\beta \mathbb{D}_{a+}^{\alpha} \psi)' \, dx, \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

is a Hilbert space.

(c) Let $\rho \in \mathcal{V}$. Then, $\mathbb{D}_{a+}^{\alpha} \rho \in C[a, b]$ and there is a constant $C = C(a, b, \alpha) > 0$ such that

$$\|\mathbb{D}_{a+}^{\alpha} \rho\|_{C[a, b]} \leq C \|\rho\|_{\mathcal{V}}. \quad (2.20)$$

Remark 2.11. Let $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. Notice that if $\rho \in L^2((0, T); H_{a+}^{\alpha}(a, b))$, then from Lemma 2.10 we have that the traces $(I_{a+}^{1-\alpha} \rho)(\cdot, a)$ and $(I_{a+}^{1-\alpha} \rho)(\cdot, b)$ exist for a.e. $t \in (0, T)$, and belong to $L^2(0, T)$. Also if $\rho \in \mathcal{V}$, then $(\beta \mathbb{D}_{a+}^{\alpha} \rho)(\cdot, a)$ and $(\beta \mathbb{D}_{a+}^{\alpha} \rho)(\cdot, b)$ exist and are finite.

Next, we introduce some integration by parts formulas. We refer to [1] for the proof.

Lemma 2.12. Let $y, \phi \in \mathcal{V}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b y \mathbb{D}_{b-}^{\alpha} \phi \, dx + \int_a^b \phi \mathcal{D}_{a+}^{\alpha} y \, dx &= [y(s) I_{b-}^{1-\alpha} \phi(x)]_{x=a}^{x=b}, \\ \int_a^b y \mathbb{D}_{a+}^{\alpha} \phi \, dx &= \int_a^b \phi (\mathcal{D}_{b-}^{\alpha} y) \, dx + [(I_{a+}^{1-\alpha} \phi)(x) y(x)]_{x=a}^{x=b}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

More generally, we have the following.

Lemma 2.13. Let $\beta \in C[a, b]$ and $y, \phi \in \mathcal{V}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b \phi(s) \mathcal{D}_{b-}^{\alpha} (\beta \mathbb{D}_{a+}^{\alpha} y)(s) \, ds &= \int_a^b (\beta \mathbb{D}_{a+}^{\alpha} y)(s) \mathbb{D}_{a+}^{\alpha} (\phi)(s) \, ds - [(\beta \mathbb{D}_{a+}^{\alpha} y)(s) I_{a+}^{1-\alpha} (\phi)(s)]_{s=a}^{s=b} \\ &= \int_a^b y(s) \mathcal{D}_{b-}^{\alpha} (\beta \mathbb{D}_{a+}^{\alpha} (\phi))(s) \, ds \\ &\quad - [(\beta \mathbb{D}_{a+}^{\alpha} y)(s) I_{a+}^{1-\alpha} (\phi)(s)]_{s=a}^{s=b} + [I_{a+}^{1-\alpha} (y)(s) \beta(s) \mathbb{D}_{a+}^{\alpha} (\phi)(s)]_{s=a}^{s=b}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

Remark 2.14. Note that as for (2.21), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \phi \mathcal{D}_t^{\gamma} y \, dt &= \int_0^T y \mathbb{D}_{T-}^{\gamma} \phi \, dt + [y(t) I_{T-}^{1-\gamma} \phi(t)]_{t=0}^{t=T}, \\ \int_0^T y \mathbb{D}_t^{\gamma} \phi \, dt &= \int_0^T \phi (\mathcal{D}_{T-}^{\gamma} y) \, dt + [(I_{0+}^{1-\gamma} \phi)(t) y(t)]_{t=0}^{t=T}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

provided that all the terms involved in the computation make sense

Throughout this work without any mention, we will assume the following.

Assumption 2.15. We assume that $\beta \in C[a, b]$, $q \in L^{\infty}(a, b)$, and there are two constants $\beta_0 > 0$ and $q_0 > 0$ such that

$$\beta(x) \geq \beta_0 > 0 \text{ for all } x \in [a, b], \quad \text{and } q(x) \geq q_0 > 0 \text{ for a.e. } x \in [a, b].$$

We have the following result.

Lemma 2.16. *Let $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and*

$$D(\mathcal{E}) := \{u \in H_{a^+}^\alpha(a, b) : I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha}(a) = 0\} \quad (2.24)$$

be the closed subspace of $H_{a^+}^\alpha(a, b)$. For any $y, z \in D(\mathcal{E})$. We define $\mathcal{E} : D(\mathcal{E}) \times D(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\mathcal{E}(y, z) = \int_a^b \beta(x) \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha y(x) \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha z(x) dx + \int_a^b q(x) y(x) z(x) dx. \quad (2.25)$$

Then, \mathcal{E} is continuous, closed, and coercive.

As a direct consequence of Lemma 4.3 we have the following observation.

Remark 2.17. Let $0 < \alpha \leq 1$.

(a) Let A be the self-adjoint operator in $L^2(a, b)$ associated with the form \mathcal{E} in the sense that

$$\begin{cases} D(A) = \left\{ u \in D(\mathcal{E}) : \exists f \in L^2(a, b) : \mathcal{E}(u, v) = (f, v)_{L^2(a, b)} \forall v \in D(\mathcal{E}) \right\} \\ Au = f. \end{cases} \quad (2.26)$$

More precisely, using the integration by parts formula (2.22) we obtain that

$$\begin{cases} D(A) = \left\{ u \in D(\mathcal{E}) : \mathcal{D}_b^\alpha(\beta \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha u) \in L^2(a, b) : (\beta \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha u)(b) = 0 \right\} \\ Au = \mathcal{D}_b^\alpha(\beta \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha u) + qu. \end{cases} \quad (2.27)$$

(b) Let $D(\mathcal{E})^*$ be the dual of $D(\mathcal{E})$ with respect to the pivot space $L^2(a, b)$ so that we have the continuous embedding $D(\mathcal{E}) \hookrightarrow L^2(a, b) \hookrightarrow D(\mathcal{E})^*$. Then, the operator A can also be defined as a bounded operator from $D(\mathcal{E})$ into $D(\mathcal{E})^*$ by

$$\langle Au, v \rangle_{D(\mathcal{E})^*, D(\mathcal{E})} = \mathcal{E}(u, v), \quad u, v \in D(\mathcal{E}).$$

(c) If $1/2 < \alpha < 1$, then it follows from the embedding (2.15) that the operator A has a compact resolvent. Let $(\mu_n)_n$ be the eigenvalues of A with associated eigenfunctions (ϕ_n) . It follows from the coercivity and the nonnegativity of \mathcal{E} that

$$0 < \mu_1 \leq \mu_2 \leq \dots \leq \mu_n \leq \dots \quad \text{with} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n = +\infty.$$

(d) We notice that we can ensure that A has a compact resolvent only in the case $1/2 < \alpha \leq 1$. We do not know if this is the case when $0 < \alpha \leq 1/2$, but this is not relevant in the present paper.

Throughout the following, if H is a Hilbert space we denote by $(\cdot, \cdot)_H$ the associated scalar product, and if \mathbb{X} is a Banach space with dual \mathbb{X}^* , we let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{X}^*, \mathbb{X}}$ be their duality pairing.

Lemma 2.18. *Let \mathbb{V} be a Hilbert space and \mathbb{V}^* its dual. Then, the space*

$$\mathbb{K} := \left\{ \varphi \in L^2((0, T); \mathbb{V}) : \mathbb{D}_T^\gamma \varphi \in L^2((0, T); \mathbb{V}^*) \right\} \quad (2.28)$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|\varphi\|_{\mathbb{K}} = \left(\|\varphi\|_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{V})}^2 + \|\mathbb{D}_T^\gamma \varphi\|_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{V}^*)}^2 \right)^{1/2} \quad (2.29)$$

is a Hilbert space.

Proof. Let (ρ_n) be a Cauchy sequence in \mathbb{K} . Then (ρ_n) and $(\mathbb{D}_T^\gamma(\rho_n))$ are respectively Cauchy sequence in the Hilbert spaces $L^2((0, T); \mathbb{V})$ and $L^2((0, T); \mathbb{V}^*)$, respectively. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_n &\rightarrow \rho && \text{strongly in } L^2((0, T); \mathbb{V}), \\ \mathbb{D}_T^\gamma(\rho_n) &\rightarrow \zeta && \text{strongly in } L^2((0, T); \mathbb{V}^*). \end{aligned} \quad (2.30)$$

To conclude, we need to prove that $\mathbb{D}_T^\gamma(\rho) = \zeta$ in $L^2((0, T); \mathbb{V}^*)$. Indeed, let ϕ be a test function. Then using the integration by parts formula (2.21) we have that,

$$\int_0^T \langle \mathbb{D}_T^\gamma(\rho_n)(t), \phi(t) \rangle_{\mathbb{V}^*, \mathbb{V}} dt = \int_0^T \langle \rho_n(t), \mathcal{D}_t^\gamma \phi(t) \rangle_{\mathbb{V}, \mathbb{V}^*} dt.$$

Passing to the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in this latter identity while using (2.30), we obtain that

$$\int_0^T \langle \zeta(t), \phi(t) \rangle_{\mathbb{V}^*, \mathbb{V}} dt = \int_0^T \langle \rho(t), \mathcal{D}_t^\gamma \phi(t) \rangle_{\mathbb{V}, \mathbb{V}^*} dt.$$

Using again the integration by parts formula (2.21) yields

$$\int_0^T \langle \zeta(t), \phi(t) \rangle_{\mathbb{V}^*, \mathbb{V}} dt = \int_0^T \langle \mathbb{D}_T^\gamma(\rho)(t), \phi(t) \rangle_{\mathbb{V}^*, \mathbb{V}} dt.$$

Thus, $\mathbb{D}_T^\gamma(\rho) = \zeta$ in $L^2((0, T); \mathbb{V}^*)$ and the proof is finished. \square

Remark 2.19. We observe the following,

- (a) Note that if $\rho \in \mathbb{K}$, then from Remark 2.7, we obtain that $I_T^{1-\gamma}(\rho) \in L^2((0, T); \mathbb{V})$. Therefore, using the fact that $\mathbb{D}_T^\gamma \phi = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} I_T^{1-\gamma} \phi \in L^2((0, T); \mathbb{V}^*)$, we can deduce that $I_T^{1-\gamma}(\rho) \in \mathbb{W}((0, T); \mathbb{V})$, where

$$\mathbb{W}((0, T); \mathbb{V}) := \left\{ \zeta \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{V}) : \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t} \in L^2((0, T); \mathbb{V}^*) \right\}. \quad (2.31)$$

- (b) If \mathbb{Y} is a Hilbert space that can be identified with its dual \mathbb{Y}^* and we have the continuous embeddings $\mathbb{V} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Y} = \mathbb{Y}^* \hookrightarrow \mathbb{V}^*$, then using [10] we have the continuous embedding

$$W(0, T; \mathbb{V}) \hookrightarrow C([0, T]; \mathbb{Y}).$$

This implies that

$$I_T^{1-\gamma}(\rho) \in C([0, T]; \mathbb{Y}). \quad (2.32)$$

3. THE STATE EQUATION IN A SINGLE EDGE

In this section, we are concerned with the following Sturm-Liouville problem in a single edge:

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}_t^\gamma y + \mathcal{D}_{b^-}^\alpha (\beta \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha y) + qy & = f & \text{in } Q := (0, T) \times (a, b), \\ (I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} y)(\cdot, a^+) & = 0 & \text{in } (0, T), \\ (\beta \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha y)(\cdot, b^-) & = v & \text{in } (0, T), \\ y(0, \cdot) & = y^0 & \text{in } (a, b), \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

where $f \in L^2(Q)$, $v \in L^2(0, T)$ and $y^0 \in L^2(a, b)$.

Throughout the following, without any mention $D(\mathcal{E})$ is the space introduced in (2.24) and \mathcal{E} is the bilinear form given in (2.25).

3.1. The homogeneous case in a single edge. In this section, we are concerned with the existence and regularity results of homogeneous fractional Sturm-Liouville parabolic equations of the following type:

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}_t^\gamma y + \mathcal{D}_{b^-}^\alpha (\beta \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha y) + qy & = f & \text{in } Q, \\ (I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} y)(\cdot, a^+) & = 0 & \text{in } (0, T), \\ (\beta \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha y)(\cdot, b^-) & = 0 & \text{in } (0, T), \\ y(0, \cdot) & = y^0 & \text{in } (a, b). \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

It follows from the characterization (2.27) of the operator A that (3.2) can be rewritten as the following abstract Cauchy problem:

$$\mathcal{D}_t^\gamma y + Ay = f \quad \text{in } Q, \quad y(0, \cdot) = y^0 \quad \text{in } (a, b). \quad (3.3)$$

Definition 3.1. A function y is said to be a weak solution of (3.2) if the following assertions hold:

- Regularity: $y \in C([0, T]; L^2(a, b)) \cap L^2((0, T); D(\mathcal{E}))$ and $\mathcal{D}_t^\gamma y \in L^2((0, T); D(\mathcal{E})^*)$.
- Initial condition: $y(0, \cdot) = y^0$ a.e. in (a, b) .
- Variational identity: for every $\varphi \in D(\mathcal{E})$ and a.e. $t \in (0, T)$,

$$\langle \mathcal{D}_t^\gamma y, \varphi \rangle_{D(\mathcal{E})^*, D(\mathcal{E})} + \mathcal{E}(y(t, \cdot), \varphi) = \langle f(t, \cdot), \varphi \rangle_{D(\mathcal{E})^*, D(\mathcal{E})}.$$

We have the following result.

Theorem 3.2. Let $0 < \gamma, \alpha \leq 1$. Then, for every $f \in L^2((0, T); D(\mathcal{E})^*)$ and $y^0 \in L^2(a, b)$, the system (3.2) (hence, (3.3)) has a unique weak solution y and there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|y\|_{C([0, T]; L^2(a, b))} + \|y\|_{L^2((0, T); D(\mathcal{E}))} \leq C \left[\|y^0\|_{L^2(a, b)} + \|f\|_{L^2((0, T); D(\mathcal{E})^*)} \right]. \quad (3.4)$$

If $1/2 < \alpha < 1$, then y has the representation

$$\begin{aligned} y(t, \cdot) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (y^0, \phi_n)_{L^2(a, b)} E_{\gamma, 1}(-\mu_n t^\gamma) \phi_n \\ &+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\int_0^t \langle f(\tau, \cdot), \phi_n \rangle_{D(\mathcal{E})^*, D(\mathcal{E})} (t - \tau)^{\gamma-1} E_{\gamma, \gamma}(-\mu_n (t - \tau)^\gamma) d\tau \right) \phi_n, \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where $E_{\gamma, 1}$ and $E_{\gamma, \gamma}$ are the Mittag-Leffler functions given in (2.3), and (ϕ_n) denote the eigenfunctions of A with associated eigenvalues (μ_n) .

Proof. The proof is based on the so called subordination principle and the representation (3.3). We refer to [2, 4, 15, 22] and their references for more details. \square

3.2. The non-homogeneous case in a single edge. We first consider the following auxiliary evolution equation:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{D}_t^\gamma p + \mathcal{D}_{b^-}^\alpha (\beta \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha p) + qp &= g & \text{in } Q, \\ (I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} p)(\cdot, a^+) &= 0 & \text{in } (0, T), \\ (\beta \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha p)(\cdot, b^-) &= 0 & \text{in } (0, T), \\ I_t^{1-\gamma} p(0, \cdot) &= p^0 & \text{in } (a, b). \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

It also follows from (2.27) that (3.6) can be rewritten as the following abstract Cauchy problem:

$$\mathbb{D}_t^\gamma p + Ap = g \quad \text{in } Q, \quad I_t^{1-\alpha} p(0, \cdot) = p^0 \quad \text{in } (a, b). \quad (3.7)$$

Definition 3.3. Let $g \in L^2((0, T); D(\mathcal{E})^*)$ and $p^0 \in L^2(a, b)$. A function p is said to be a weak solution of (3.6) if the following assertions hold:

- Regularity: $p \in L^2((0, T); D(\mathcal{E}))$, $\mathbb{D}_t^\gamma p \in L^2((0, T); D(\mathcal{E})^*)$ and $I_t^{1-\gamma} p \in C([0, T]; L^2(a, b))$.
- Initial condition: $I_t^{1-\gamma} p(0, \cdot) = p^0$ a.e. in (a, b) .
- Variational identity: for every $\varphi \in D(\mathcal{E})$ and a.e. $t \in (0, T)$,

$$\langle \mathbb{D}_t^\gamma p(t, \cdot), \varphi \rangle_{D(\mathcal{E})^*, D(\mathcal{E})} + \mathcal{E}(p(t, \cdot), \varphi) = \langle g(t, \cdot), \varphi \rangle_{D(\mathcal{E})^*, D(\mathcal{E})}.$$

We have the following existence result.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $0 < \gamma, \alpha \leq 1$. Then, for every $g \in L^2((0, T); D(\mathcal{E})^*)$ and $p^0 \in L^2(a, b)$, the system (3.6) (hence, (3.7)) has a unique weak solution p and there is a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|p\|_{L^2((0, T); D(\mathcal{E}))} + \|\mathbb{D}_t^\gamma p\|_{L^2((0, T); D(\mathcal{E})^*)} + \left\| I_t^{1-\gamma} p \right\|_{C([0, T]; L^2(a, b))} \\ & \leq C \left(\|g\|_{L^2(0, T; D(\mathcal{E})^*)} + \|p^0\|_{L^2(a, b)} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

If $1/2 < \alpha \leq 1$, then p has the representation

$$\begin{aligned} p(t, \cdot) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (p^0, \phi_n)_{L^2(a, b)} E_{\gamma, \gamma}(-\mu_n t^\gamma) \phi_n \\ &+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\int_0^t \langle g(\tau, \cdot), \phi_n \rangle_{D(\mathcal{E})^*, D(\mathcal{E})} (t-\tau)^{\gamma-1} E_{\gamma, \gamma}(-\mu_n (t-\tau)^\gamma) d\tau \right) \phi_n. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since an abstract version of this result is contained in [2, 22] and the references therein, we just give the main ideas of the proof. Also we only consider the case $1/2 < \alpha \leq 1$. The case $0 < \alpha \leq 1/2$ follows with a similar abstract representation.

Let (ϕ_n) be the orthonormal basis defined in (2.27). Taking the duality map between (3.7) and ϕ_n , setting $p_n(t) := (p(t), \phi_n)_{L^2(a, b)}$, $g_n(t) := \langle g(t), \phi_n \rangle_{D(\mathcal{E})^*, D(\mathcal{E})}$ and $p_n^0 := (p^0, \phi_n)_{L^2(a, b)}$, we obtain that p_n is a solution of the following fractional ODE:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{D}_t^\gamma p_n(t) + \mu_n p_n(t) &= g_n(t) & \text{for } t \in (0, T), \\ I_t^{1-\gamma} p_n(0) &= p_n^0. \end{cases} \quad (3.9)$$

Using Laplace transform we get that (3.9) has a unique solution whose Laplace transform is given by

$$\hat{p}_n(s) = \frac{p_n^0}{s^\gamma + \mu_n} + \frac{\hat{g}_n(s)}{s^\gamma + \mu_n}.$$

Inverting the Laplace transform, we obtain that

$$p_n(t) = t^{\gamma-1} E_{\gamma, \gamma}(-\mu_n t^\gamma) p_n^0 + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\gamma-1} E_{\gamma, \gamma}(-\mu_n (t-s)^\gamma) g_n(s) ds. \quad (3.10)$$

Let

$$p_m(t, \cdot) = \sum_{n=1}^m \left\{ t^{\gamma-1} E_{\gamma, \gamma}(-\mu_n t^\gamma) p_n^0 + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\gamma-1} E_{\gamma, \gamma}(-\mu_n (t-s)^\gamma) g_n(s) ds \right\} \varphi_n \quad (3.11)$$

and

$$p(t, \cdot) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n(t) \phi_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ t^{\gamma-1} E_{\gamma, \gamma}(-\mu_n t^\gamma) p_n^0 + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\gamma-1} E_{\gamma, \gamma}(-\mu_n (t-s)^\gamma) f_n(s) ds \right\} \phi_n.$$

Using classical results on series, one shows that, as $m \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} p_m &\rightarrow p \text{ strongly in } L^2((0, T); D(\mathcal{E})) \\ \mathbb{D}_t^\gamma p_m &\rightarrow p \text{ weakly in } L^2((0, T); D(\mathcal{E})^*) \\ I_t^{1-\gamma} p_m &\rightarrow I_t^{1-\gamma} p \text{ strongly in } C([0, T]; L^2(a, b)) \\ \mathcal{E}(p_m, \varphi) &\rightarrow \mathcal{E}(p, \varphi) \text{ for every } \varphi \in D(\mathcal{E}). \end{aligned}$$

Combining all these facts we obtain that p also satisfies the variational equality. In addition, as $m \rightarrow \infty$,

$$I_t^{1-\gamma} p_m(0, \cdot) = \sum_{i=1}^m p_i^0 \varphi_i \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p_i^0 \varphi_i = p^0.$$

We can deduce that p is the unique weak solution of (3.6). The estimate (3.8) is easy to establish by using the estimate (2.4). The proof is finished. \square

Now, we consider the non-homogeneous problem given in (3.1).

Definition 3.5. Let $0 < \gamma, \alpha \leq 1$, $f \in L^2(Q)$, $y^0 \in L^2(\Omega)$, and $v \in L^2(0, T)$. A function $y \in L^2(Q)$ is said to be a very-weak solution of (3.1) (or a solution by transposition) if the following identity holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_Q y (\mathbb{D}_T^\gamma \phi + \mathcal{D}_b^\alpha (\beta \mathbb{D}_a^\alpha \phi) + q\phi) \, dxdt \\ &= \int_Q f \phi \, dxdt + \int_a^b y^0 I_T^{1-\gamma} \phi(0, x) dx + \int_0^T v(t) I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} \phi(t, b^-) dt, \end{aligned}$$

for all $\phi \in \Psi$, where

$$\Psi := \left\{ \phi \in L^2((0, T); D(A)) : \mathbb{D}_T^\gamma \phi \in L^2(Q), I_{a^+}^{1-\gamma} \phi \in C([0, T]; L^2(a, b)), I_{a^+}^{1-\gamma} \phi(T, \cdot) = 0 \right\}. \quad (3.12)$$

We have the following result.

Theorem 3.6. Let $0 < \gamma, \alpha \leq 1$, $f \in L^2(Q)$, $y^0 \in L^2(\Omega)$, and $v \in L^2(0, T)$. Then, there exists a unique very-weak solution $y \in L^2(Q)$ of (3.1) in sense of Definition 3.5. Moreover, there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|y\|_{L^2(Q)} \leq C \left(\|f\|_{L^2(Q)} + \|y^0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|v\|_{L^2(0, T)} \right).$$

This result will be proved for the general case of the system (1.2) in Section 4.2.

4. THE STATE EQUATION ON GENERAL STAR GRAPHS

Here, we are concerned with the existence and regularity of solutions to the state equation (1.2). It is clear that the first $m - 1$ controls v^i are the Dirichlet controls, while the $v^i, i = m + 1, \dots, N$, are the Neumann controls.

We set

$$\mathbb{H}_a^\alpha := \prod_{i=1}^N H_{a^+}^\alpha(a, b_i).$$

We endow \mathbb{L}^2 and \mathbb{H}_a^α with the norms given, respectively, by

$$\|\rho\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^N \|\rho^i\|_{L^2(a, b_i)}^2, \quad \rho = (\rho^i)_i \in \mathbb{L}^2,$$

and

$$\|\rho\|_{\mathbb{H}_a^\alpha}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^N \|\rho^i\|_{H_{a^+}^\alpha(a, b_i)}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\|\rho^i\|_{L^2(a, b_i)}^2 + \|\mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha \rho^i\|_{L^2(a, b_i)}^2 \right), \quad \rho = (\rho^i)_i \in \mathbb{H}_a^\alpha.$$

Remark 4.1. It follows from (2.15) that if $1/2 < \alpha \leq 1$, then the embedding $\mathbb{H}_a^\alpha \hookrightarrow \mathbb{L}^2$ is compact.

Throughout the following without any mention we assume that the coefficients satisfy the following.

Assumption 4.2. We assume that for $i = 1, \dots, N$, $\beta^i \in C([a, b_i])$, $q^i \in L^\infty(a, b_i)$, and there are constants $\beta_0^i > 0$ and $q_0^i > 0$ such that

$$\beta^i(x) \geq \beta_0^i > 0 \text{ for all } x \in [a, b_i], \quad \text{and } q^i(x) \geq q_0^i > 0 \text{ for a.e. } x \in [a, b_i].$$

From now on, we set

$$\underline{q}^0 := \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} q^i, \quad \underline{\beta}^0 := \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \beta^i, \quad (4.1a)$$

$$\bar{q} := \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \|q^i\|_\infty, \quad \bar{\beta} := \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \|\beta^i\|_\infty, \quad (4.1b)$$

where $\|\beta^i\|_\infty := \max_{x \in [a, b_i]} |\beta^i(x)|$ and $\|q^i\|_\infty := \sup_{x \in (a, b_i)} |q^i(x)|$.

As for the case of a single edge studied in the previous section, we need some existence and regularity results for the homogeneous case.

4.1. The homogeneous case in a general star graph. We consider the following fractional Sturm-Liouville boundary value problem on a general star graph:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{D}_t^\gamma \rho^i + \mathcal{D}_{b_i^-}^\alpha (\beta^i \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha \rho^i) + q^i \rho^i & = f^i \quad \text{in } Q_i, i = 1, \dots, N, \\ I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} \rho^i(\cdot, a^+) - I_{\cdot, a^+}^{1-\alpha} \rho^j(\cdot, a^+) & = 0 \quad \text{in } (0, T), i \neq j = 1, \dots, N, \\ \sum_{i=1}^N \beta^i(a) \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha \rho^i(\cdot, a^+) & = 0 \quad \text{in } (0, T), \\ I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} \rho^i(\cdot, b_i^-) & = 0 \quad \text{in } (0, T), i = 1, \dots, m \\ \beta^i(b_i) \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha \rho^i(\cdot, b_i^-) & = 0 \quad \text{in } (0, T), i = m+1, \dots, N, \\ \rho^i(0, \cdot) & = \rho^{0,i} \quad \text{in } (a, b_i), i = 1, \dots, N, \end{array} \right. \quad (4.2)$$

where $f = (f^i)_i \in L^2((0, T); \mathbb{L}^2)$ and $\rho^0 = (\rho^{0,i})_i \in \mathbb{L}^2$.

Remark 4.3. Let $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and let

$$\mathbb{V} := \left\{ \rho := (\rho^i)_i \in \mathbb{H}_a^\alpha : (I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} \rho^i)(a) - (I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} \rho^j)(a) = 0, i \neq j, i, j = 1, \dots, N, \right. \\ \left. \text{and } (I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} \rho^i)(b_i^-) = 0, i = 1, \dots, m \right\}, \quad (4.3)$$

be endowed with the norm given by

$$\|\rho\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2 := \sum_{i=1}^N \|\rho^i\|_{H_{a^+}^\alpha(a, b_i)}^2 = \|\rho\|_{\mathbb{H}_a^\alpha}^2 \quad (4.4)$$

which is a closed subspace of \mathbb{H}_a^α . We let \mathbb{V}^* denote the dual of \mathbb{V} with respect to the pivot space \mathbb{L}^2 so that we have the continuous and dense embeddings: $\mathbb{V} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{L}^2 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{V}^*$. We define $\mathbb{F} : \mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\mathbb{F}(\rho, \phi) := \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{Q_i} (\beta^i(x) \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha \phi^i)(x, t) \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha (\rho^i)(x, t) dx dt \\ + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{Q_i} q^i(x) \phi^i(x, t) \rho^i(x, t) dx dt.$$

- (a) Then, \mathbb{F} is continuous, closed and coercive. Let \mathcal{A} be the selfadjoint operator in \mathbb{L}^2 associated with \mathbb{F} in the sense that

$$\begin{cases} D(\mathcal{A}) = \{U \in \mathbb{V} : \exists F \in \mathbb{L}^2 : \mathbb{F}(U, V) = (F, V)_{\mathbb{L}^2} \quad \forall V \in \mathbb{V}\} \\ \mathcal{A}U = F. \end{cases} \quad (4.5)$$

For $\rho = (\rho^i)_i \in D(\mathcal{A})$, we let

$$\mathcal{A}\rho = ((\mathcal{A}\rho)^i)_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, N. \quad (4.6)$$

Each component is given by

$$(\mathcal{A}\rho)^i = \mathcal{D}_{b_i^-}^\alpha (\beta^i \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha \rho^i) + q^i \rho^i, \quad i = 1, \dots, N. \quad (4.7)$$

- (b) If $1/2 < \alpha \leq 1$, then it follows from Remark 4.1 that the operator \mathcal{A} has a compact resolvent. Let $(\lambda_k)_k$ be the eigenvalues of \mathcal{A} with associated eigenfunctions $(\varphi_k) = (\varphi_k^i)_{1 \leq i \leq N}$. It follows from the coercivity and the nonnegativity of \mathbb{F} that

$$0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_k \leq \dots \quad \text{with} \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_k = +\infty.$$

Using Remark 4.3, the system (4.2) can be rewritten as the abstract Cauchy problem

$$\mathcal{D}_t^\gamma \rho + \mathcal{A}\rho = f \quad \text{in} \quad (0, T), \quad \rho(0) = \rho^0. \quad (4.8)$$

Definition 4.4. A function ρ is said to be a weak solution of (4.2) if the following assertions hold:

- Regularity: $\rho \in C([0, T]; \mathbb{L}^2) \cap L^2((0, T); \mathbb{V})$ and $\mathcal{D}_t^\gamma \rho \in L^2((0, T); \mathbb{V}^*)$.
- Initial condition: $\rho^i(0, \cdot) = \rho^{i,0}$ in (a, b_i) , $i = 1, \dots, N$.
- Variational identity: for every $\varphi \in \mathbb{V}$ and a.e. $t \in (0, T)$,

$$\langle \mathcal{D}_t^\gamma \rho, \varphi \rangle_{\mathbb{V}^*, \mathbb{V}} + \mathbb{F}(\rho(t, \cdot), \varphi) = \langle f(t, \cdot), \varphi \rangle_{\mathbb{V}^*, \mathbb{V}}.$$

We have the following existence result which is also a direct consequence of the abstract results contained in [2, 4], the subordination principle, and the representation (4.8).

Theorem 4.5. Let $0 < \gamma, \alpha \leq 1$. Then, for every $f \in L^2((0, T); \mathbb{V}^*)$ and $\rho^0 \in \mathbb{L}^2$, the system (4.2) (hence, the Cauchy problem (4.8)) has a unique weak solution ρ and there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|\rho\|_{C([0, T]; \mathbb{L}^2)} + \|\rho\|_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{V})} \leq C \left[\|y^0\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \|f\|_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{V}^*)} \right].$$

If $1/2 < \alpha \leq 1$, then ρ has the representation

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^i(t, \cdot) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\rho^{i,0}, \varphi_k^i)_{L^2(a, b_i)} E_{\gamma, 1}(-\lambda_k t^\gamma) \varphi_k^i \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\int_0^t \langle f^i(\tau, \cdot), \varphi_k^i \rangle_{\mathbb{V}_i^*, \mathbb{V}_i} (t - \tau)^{\gamma-1} E_{\gamma, \gamma}(-\lambda_k (t - \tau)^\gamma) d\tau \right) \varphi_k^i. \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

4.2. The non-Homogeneous case in a general star graph. We first consider the following dual problem:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{D}_t^\gamma y^i + \mathcal{D}_{b_i^-}^\alpha (\beta^i \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha y^i) + q^i y^i &= g^i & \text{in} & Q_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \\ I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} y^i(\cdot, a^+) - I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} y^j(\cdot, a^+) &= 0 & \text{in} & (0, T), \quad i \neq j = 1, \dots, N, \\ \sum_{i=1}^N \beta^i(a) \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha y^i(\cdot, a^+) &= 0 & \text{in} & (0, T), \\ I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} y^i(\cdot, b_i^-) &= 0 & \text{in} & (0, T), \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \\ \beta^i(b_i) \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha y^i(\cdot, b_i^-) &= 0 & \text{in} & (0, T), \quad i = m+1, \dots, N, \\ I_t^{1-\gamma} y^i(0, \cdot) &= y^{0,i} & \text{in} & (a, b_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, N. \end{cases} \quad (4.10)$$

The system (4.10) can be also rewritten as the abstract Cauchy problem

$$\mathbb{D}_t^\gamma y + \mathcal{A}y = g \quad \text{in} \quad (0, T), \quad I_t^{1-\alpha} y(0) = y^0. \quad (4.11)$$

Definition 4.6. A function y is said to be a weak solution of (4.10) if the following assertions hold:

- Regularity: $I_t^{1-\gamma} y \in C([0, T]; \mathbb{L}^2)$, $y \in L^2((0, T); \mathbb{V})$ and $\mathbb{D}_t^\gamma y \in L^2((0, T); \mathbb{V}^*)$.
- Initial condition: $I_t^{1-\lambda} y(0^+, \cdot) = y^0$.

- Variational identity: for every $\varphi \in \mathbb{V}$ and a.e. $t \in (0, T)$,

$$\langle \mathbb{D}_t^\gamma y(t, \cdot), \varphi \rangle_{\mathbb{V}^*, \mathbb{V}} + \mathbb{F}(y(t, \cdot), \varphi) = \langle g(t, \cdot), \varphi \rangle_{\mathbb{V}^*, \mathbb{V}}.$$

We have the following existence result.

Theorem 4.7. *Let $0 < \gamma, \alpha \leq 1$. For every $g \in L^2((0, T); \mathbb{V}^*)$ and $y^0 \in \mathbb{L}^2$, the system (4.10) (hence, (4.11)) has a unique weak solution y . Moreover, there is a constant $C \geq 0$ such that*

$$\|y\|_{L^2(0, T; \mathbb{V})} + \left\| I_t^{1-\gamma} y \right\|_{C([0, T]; \mathbb{L}^2)} + \|\mathbb{D}_t^\gamma y\|_{L^2(0, T; \mathbb{V}^*)} \leq C \left(\|g\|_{L^2(0, T; \mathbb{V}^*)} + \|y_0\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \right). \quad (4.12)$$

If $1/2 < \alpha \leq 1$, then y has the representation (where $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$)

$$\begin{aligned} y^i(t, \cdot) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (y^{0,i}, \varphi_k^i)_{L^2(a, b_i)} E_{\gamma, \gamma}(-\lambda_k t^\gamma) \varphi_k^i \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\int_0^t \langle g^i(\tau, \cdot), \varphi_k^i \rangle_{\mathbb{V}_i^*, \mathbb{V}_i} (t-\tau)^{\gamma-1} E_{\gamma, \gamma}(-\lambda_k (t-\tau)^\gamma) d\tau \right) \varphi_k^i. \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

Proof. The proof of this theorem follows the lines of the case of a single edge given in Theorem 3.4. We omit the details. \square

Remark 4.8. We observe that in (4.10) or (4.11) if $g \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{L}^2)$ and $y^0 \in D(\mathcal{A})$, then the weak solution y also enjoys the following additional regularity:

$$y \in C([0, T]; D(\mathcal{A})) \text{ and } \mathbb{D}_t^\gamma y^i \in L^2(Q_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

Next, for every $g = (g^i)_i \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{L}^2)$ and $\phi^T = (\phi^{T,i})_i \in \mathbb{L}^2$, we consider the associated dual system given by

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathbb{D}_T^\gamma \phi^i + \mathcal{D}_{b_i^-}^\alpha (\beta^i \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha \phi^i) + q^i \phi^i &= g^i \quad \text{in } Q_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \\ I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} \phi^i(\cdot, a^+) - I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} \phi^j(\cdot, a^+) &= 0 \quad \text{in } (0, T), \quad i \neq j = 1, \dots, N, \\ \sum_{i=1}^N \beta^i(a) \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha \phi^i(\cdot, a^+) &= 0 \quad \text{in } (0, T), \\ I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} \phi^i(\cdot, b_i^-) &= 0 \quad \text{in } (0, T), \quad i = 1, \dots, m \\ \beta^i(b_i) \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha \phi^i(\cdot, b_i^-) &= 0 \quad \text{in } (0, T), \quad i = m+1, \dots, N, \\ I_T^{1-\gamma} \phi^i(T, \cdot) &= \phi^{T,i} \quad \text{in } (a, b_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, N. \end{array} \right. \quad (4.14)$$

Definition 4.9. A function ϕ is said to be a weak solution of (4.14) if the following assertions hold:

- Regularity: $I_T^{1-\gamma} \phi \in C([0, T]; \mathbb{L}^2)$, $\phi \in L^2((0, T); \mathbb{V})$ and $\mathbb{D}_T^\gamma \phi \in L^2((0, T); \mathbb{V}^*)$.
- Initial condition: $I_T^{1-\alpha} \phi(T^-, \cdot) = \phi^T$.
- Variational identity: for every $\varphi \in \mathbb{V}$ and a.e. $t \in (0, T)$,

$$\langle \mathbb{D}_T^\gamma \phi(t, \cdot), \varphi \rangle_{\mathbb{V}^*, \mathbb{V}} + \mathbb{F}(\phi(t, \cdot), \varphi) = \langle g(t, \cdot), \varphi \rangle_{\mathbb{V}^*, \mathbb{V}}.$$

Corollary 4.10. *Let $0 < \gamma, \alpha \leq 1$, $\phi^T = (\phi^{T,i})_i \in \mathbb{L}^2$ and $g = (g^i)_i \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{L}^2)$. Then, there exists a unique weak solution ϕ of (4.14). Moreover, there is a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|\mathbb{D}_T^\gamma \phi\|_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{V}^*)} + \|\phi\|_{L^2(0, T; \mathbb{V})} + \left\| I_T^{1-\gamma} \phi \right\|_{C([0, T]; \mathbb{L}^2)} \leq C \left(\|g\|_{L^2(0, T; \mathbb{L}^2)} + \|\phi^T\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \right). \quad (4.15)$$

Proof. Let $\rho(x, T-t) := \phi(x, t)$. Then, for every $t \in (0, T)$ we have that

$$I_T^{1-\gamma} \phi(t) = I_t^{1-\gamma} \rho(T-t) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{D}_T^\gamma \phi(t) = \mathbb{D}_t^\gamma \rho(T-t),$$

and ρ satisfies the following system:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathbb{D}_t^\gamma \rho^i + \mathcal{D}_{b_i^-}^\alpha (\beta^i \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha \rho^i) + q^i \rho^i & = g^i \quad \text{in } Q_i, i = 1, \dots, N, \\ I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} \rho^i(\cdot, a^+) = I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} \rho^j(\cdot, a^+) & = 0 \quad \text{in } (0, T), i \neq j = 1, \dots, N, \\ \sum_{i=1}^N \beta^i(a) \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha \rho^i(\cdot, a^+) & = 0 \quad \text{in } (0, T), \\ I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} \rho^i(\cdot, b_i^-) & = 0 \quad \text{in } (0, T), i = 1, \dots, m \\ \beta^i(b_i) \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha \rho^i(\cdot, b_i^-) & = 0 \quad \text{in } (0, T), i = m+1, \dots, N, \\ I_t^{1-\gamma} \rho^i(0, \cdot) & = \phi^{T,i} \quad \text{in } (a, b_i), i = 1, \dots, N. \end{array} \right. \quad (4.16)$$

In view of Theorem 4.7, there exists a unique $\rho \in L^2((0, T); \mathbb{V})$ solution of (4.16). Moreover, from (4.12), we have that there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|\mathbb{D}_t^\gamma \rho\|_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{V}^*)} + \|\rho\|_{L^2(0, T; \mathbb{V})} + \|I_T^{1-\gamma} \rho\|_{C([0, T]; \mathbb{L}^2)} \leq C \left(\|g\|_{L^2(0, T; \mathbb{L}^2)} + \|\phi^T\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \right). \quad (4.17)$$

We can then deduce that (4.14) has a unique solution $\phi \in L^2((0, T); \mathbb{V})$ satisfying the estimate (4.15). \square

Next, we consider the state equation (1.2).

Definition 4.11. Let $0 < \gamma, \alpha \leq 1$, $f \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{L}^2)$, $y^0 \in \mathbb{L}^2$, and $v \in (L^2(0, T))^{N-1}$. A function $y \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{L}^2)$ is said to be a very-weak solution of (1.2) if the identity

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{Q_i} y^i \left(\mathbb{D}_T^\gamma \phi^i + \mathcal{D}_{b_i^-}^\alpha (\beta^i \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha \phi^i) + q^i \phi^i \right) dx dt \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{Q_i} f^i \phi^i dx dt + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_a^{b_i} y^{i,0} I_T^{1-\gamma} \phi^i(x, 0) dx \\ & - \sum_{i=2}^m \int_0^T v^i \beta^i(b_i) \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha \phi^i(b_i^-) dt + \sum_{i=m+1}^N \int_0^T v^i(t) I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} \phi^i(b_i^-, t) dt, \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

holds for all $\phi = (\phi^i)_{i=1, \dots, N} \in \Phi$, where

$$\Phi = \left\{ \phi \in L^2((0, T), D(\mathcal{A})) : \mathbb{D}_T^\gamma \phi^i \in L^2(Q_i), I_T^{1-\gamma} \phi \in C([0, T]; \mathbb{L}^2) \text{ and } I_T^{1-\gamma} \phi(\cdot, T) = 0 \right\}. \quad (4.19)$$

We have the following existence result.

Theorem 4.12. Let $0 < \gamma, \alpha \leq 1$, $f \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{L}^2)$, $y^0 \in \mathbb{L}^2$, and $v \in (L^2(0, T))^{N-1}$. Then, there exists a unique very-weak solution $y \in L^2((0, T); \mathbb{L}^2)$ of (1.2) in the sense of Definition 4.11. Moreover, there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|y\|_{L^2(0, T; \mathbb{L}^2)} \leq C \left(\|f\|_{L^2(0, T; \mathbb{L}^2)} + \|y^0\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \|v\|_{(L^2(0, T))^{N-1}} \right). \quad (4.20)$$

Proof. For every $g \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{L}^2)$, we consider the associated dual system given by (4.14). In view of Corollary 4.10, we have that $I_T^{1-\gamma} \phi \in C([0, T]; \mathbb{L}^2)$, $\phi \in L^2((0, T); \mathbb{V})$ and $\mathbb{D}_T^\gamma \phi \in L^2((0, T); \mathbb{V}^*)$. Moreover, there is a constant $C > 0$ such that (4.15) holds. In addition, using Remark 4.8, and the fact that $I_T^{1-\gamma} \phi(\cdot, T) = 0$ in Ω , we have that $\phi \in \Phi$, where Φ is the space given in (4.19). The rest of the proof is done in several steps.

Step 1: We claim that there is a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \|\beta^i(b_i) \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha \phi^i(\cdot, b_i^-)\|_{L^2(0, T)}^2 \leq C_1 \|g\|_{L^2(0, T; \mathbb{L}^2)}^2.$$

Indeed, first of all observe that

$$I_{a^+}^\alpha \mathcal{D}_{b_i^-}^\alpha (\beta^i \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha \phi^i)(\cdot, x) = \beta^i (b^i) \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha \phi^i(\cdot, b_i^-) - (\beta^i \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha \phi^i)(\cdot, x).$$

Applying $I_{a^+}^\alpha$ to the first equation in (4.14), we have that

$$I_{a^+}^\alpha \mathbb{D}_T^\gamma \phi^i + I_{a^+}^\alpha \mathcal{D}_{b_i^-}^\alpha (\beta^i \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha \phi^i) + I_{a^+}^\alpha q^i \phi^i = I_{a^+}^\alpha g^i.$$

This implies that

$$\beta^i (b^i) \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha \phi^i(\cdot, b_i^-) = (\beta^i \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha \phi^i) - I_{a^+}^\alpha \mathbb{D}_T^\gamma \phi^i - I_{a^+}^\alpha q^i \phi^i + I_{a^+}^\alpha g^i.$$

Taking the norm and using Lemma 2.6 gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \left\| \beta^i (b^i) \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha \phi^i(\cdot, b_i^-) \right\|_{L^2(0,T)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq \bar{\beta} \left\| \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha \phi \right\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)} + \left\| I_{a^+}^\alpha \mathbb{D}_T^\gamma \phi \right\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)} + \left\| I_{a^+}^\alpha q \phi \right\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)} + \left\| I_{a^+}^\alpha g \right\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)} \\ & \leq \bar{\beta} \left\| \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha \phi \right\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)} + C \left\| \mathbb{D}_T^\gamma \phi \right\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)} + C \left\| q \phi \right\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)} + C \left\| g \right\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the estimate (4.12), we get that there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \left\| \beta^i (b^i) \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha \phi^i(\cdot, b_i^-) \right\|_{L^2(0,T)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq \bar{\beta} \left\| \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha \phi \right\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)} + C \left\| g \right\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)} + C \bar{q} \left\| \phi \right\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)} + C \left\| g \right\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)} \\ & \leq \left(\bar{\beta}^2 + C^2 \bar{q}^2 \right)^{1/2} \left\| \phi \right\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{V})} + C \left\| g \right\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)} \\ & \leq \left(\bar{\beta}^2 + C^2 \bar{q}^2 \right)^{1/2} \left\| g \right\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)} + C \left\| g \right\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)} \\ & \leq C_1 \left\| g \right\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}, \end{aligned}$$

and we have shown the claim.

Step 3: Next, we endow Φ with the norm given by

$$\left\| \phi \right\|_{\Phi}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^N \left\| \mathbb{D}_T^\gamma \phi^i \right\|_{L^2(Q_i)}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N \left\| \beta^i (b^i) \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha \phi^i(\cdot, b_i^-) \right\|_{L^2(0,T)}^2 + \left\| \phi \right\|_{L^2((0,T),D(\mathcal{A}))}^2. \quad (4.21)$$

We consider the map

$$\mathcal{L} : \Phi \rightarrow L^2(0, T; \mathbb{L}^2), \quad \phi \mapsto \mathcal{L}\phi = \mathbb{D}_T^\gamma \phi + \mathcal{A}\phi.$$

It follows from Step 1 that \mathcal{L} is an isomorphism.

We consider the linear functional $\mathcal{M} : \Phi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(\phi) = & \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{Q_i} f^i \phi^i dx dt + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_a^{b_i} y^{i,0} I_T^{1-\gamma} \phi^i(0, x) dx \\ & - \sum_{i=2}^m \int_0^T v^i \beta^i (b_i) \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha \phi^i(t, b_i^-) dt + \sum_{i=m+1}^N \int_0^T v^i(t) I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} \phi^i(t, b_i^-) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Using Step 2, Lemmas 2.6, 2.10 and Remark 2.11, we have that there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{M}(\phi)| &\leq \|f\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)} \|\phi\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)} + \|y^0\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \left\| I_T^{1-\gamma} \phi(0, \cdot) \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&+ \|v\|_{(L^2(0,T))^{N-1}} \left(\sum_{i=m+1}^N \|\mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha \phi(\cdot, b_i^-)\|_{L^2(0,T)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|v\|_{(L^2(0,T))^{N-1}} \left(\sum_{i=m+1}^N \|I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} \phi(\cdot, b_i^-)\|_{L^2(0,T)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq \left(\|f\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 + \|y^0\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|v\|_{(L^2(0,T))^{N-1}}^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
&\times \left(\|\phi\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 + \left\| I_T^{1-\gamma} \phi(0, \cdot) \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \sum_{i=m+1}^N \|\mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha \phi(\cdot, b_i^-)\|_{L^2(0,T)}^2 + \sum_{i=m+1}^N \|I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} \phi(\cdot, b_i^-)\|_{L^2(0,T)}^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq \left(\|f\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 + \|y^0\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|v\|_{(L^2(0,T))^{N-1}}^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
&\times \left((1+C) \|\phi\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{V})}^2 + \left\| I_T^{1-\gamma} \phi \right\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{V})}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N \|\beta^i(b^i) \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha \phi^i(\cdot, b_i^-)\|_{L^2(0,T)}^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq C \left(\|f\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 + \|y^0\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|v\|_{(L^2(0,T))^{N-1}}^2 \right)^{1/2} \|\phi\|_{\Phi}. \tag{4.22}
\end{aligned}$$

We have shown that \mathcal{M} is a continuous linear functional on Φ . Since \mathcal{L} is an isomorphism, we can deduce from [10, Chapter III Section 9.2] that there exists a unique $y \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{L}^2)$ such that for all $\phi \in \Phi$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{Q_i} y^i (\mathbb{D}_T^\gamma \phi^i + (\mathcal{A}\phi)^i) \, dxdt = \mathcal{M}(\phi).$$

That is, y is the unique very-weak solution of (1.2) in the sense of Definition 4.11.

Step 4: Now, taking $y = g$ in (4.14), using (4.18) and (4.22), we get

$$\|y\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 \leq C \left(\|f\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 + \|y^0\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|v\|_{(L^2(0,T))^{N-1}}^2 \right)^{1/2} \|\phi\|_{\Phi}. \tag{4.23}$$

Combining (4.12)-(4.23), we obtain that

$$\|y\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 \leq C \left(\|f\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}^2 + \|y^0\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|v\|_{L^2(0,T)}^2 \right)^{1/2} \|y\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)},$$

and we have shown (4.20). The proof is finished. \square

5. EXISTENCE OF MINIMIZERS AND OPTIMALITY CONDITIONS IN A GENERAL STAR GRAPH

In this section we are concerned with the existence of minimizers of the optimal control problem (1.1)-(1.2) where recall that the functional J is given by

$$J(v, y^0) = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{Q_i} |y^i(v, y^0) - y_d^i|^2 \, dxdt + \zeta \sum_{i=2}^N \int_0^T |v^i|^2 \, dt, \tag{5.1}$$

where $y(v, y^0) = (y^i(v, y^0))_i$ is the weak solution of (1.2), $y_d = (y_d^i)_i \in \mathbb{L}^2$, and \mathcal{U}_{ad} is a closed and convex subset of $(L^2(0, T))^{N-1}$.

Definition 5.1. We say that $v = (v^i)_i$ is a no-regret control of (1.1)-(1.2) if v is a solution of

$$\inf_{v \in (L^2(0,T))^{N-1}} \left[\sup_{y^0 \in \mathbb{L}^2} J(v, y^0) - J(0, y^0) \right]. \tag{5.2}$$

Next, we observe that

$$y(v, y^0) = y(v, 0) + y(0, y^0) - y(0, 0),$$

where $y(v, 0) = (y^i(v, 0))_i$, $y(0, y^0) = (y^i(0, y^0))_i$ and $y(0, 0) = (y^i(0, 0))_i$ satisfy respectively, the following systems:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{D}_t^\gamma y^i(v, 0) + \mathcal{D}_{b_i^-}^\alpha (\beta^i \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha y^i(v, 0)) + q^i y^i(v, 0) & = f^i \quad \text{in } Q_i, i = 1, \dots, N, \\ I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} y^i(a^+; v, 0) - I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} y^j(a^+; v, 0) & = 0 \quad \text{in } (0, T), i \neq j = 1, \dots, N, \\ \sum_{i=1}^N \beta^i(a) \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha y^i(a^+; v, 0) & = 0 \quad \text{in } (0, T), \\ I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} y^1(b_1^-; v, 0) & = 0 \quad \text{in } (0, T), \\ I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} y^i(b_i^-; v, 0) & = v^i \quad \text{in } (0, T), i = 2, \dots, m \\ \beta^i(b_i) \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha y^i(b_i^-; v, 0) & = v^i \quad \text{in } (0, T), i = m+1, \dots, N, \\ y^i(0, \cdot; v, 0) & = 0 \quad \text{in } (a, b_i), i = 1, \dots, N, \end{array} \right. \quad (5.3)$$

and

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{D}_t^\gamma y^i(0, y^0) + \mathcal{D}_{b_i^-}^\alpha (\beta^i \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha y^i(0, y^0)) + q^i y^i(0, y^0) & = f^i \quad \text{in } Q_i, i = 1, \dots, N, \\ I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} y^i(a^+; 0, y^0) - I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} y^j(a^+; 0, y^0) & = 0 \quad \text{in } (0, T), i \neq j = 1, \dots, N, \\ \sum_{i=1}^N \beta^i(a) \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha y^i(a^+; 0, y^0) & = 0 \quad \text{in } (0, T), \\ I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} y^i(b_i^-; 0, y^0) & = 0 \quad \text{in } (0, T), i = 1, \dots, m \\ \beta^i(b_i) \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha y^i(b_i^-; 0, y^0) & = 0 \quad \text{in } (0, T), i = m+1, \dots, N, \\ y^i(0, \cdot; 0, y^0) & = y^{0,i} \quad \text{in } (a, b_i), i = 1, \dots, N, \end{array} \right. \quad (5.4)$$

and

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{D}_t^\gamma y^i(0, 0) + \mathcal{D}_{b_i^-}^\alpha (\beta^i \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha y^i(0, 0)) + q^i y^i(0, 0) & = f^i \quad \text{in } Q_i, i = 1, \dots, N, \\ I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} y^i(a^+; 0, 0) - I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} y^j(a^+; 0, 0) & = 0 \quad \text{in } (0, T), i \neq j = 1, \dots, N, \\ \sum_{i=1}^N \beta^i(a) \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha y^i(a^+; 0, 0) & = 0 \quad \text{in } (0, T), \\ I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} y^i(b_i^-; 0, 0) & = 0 \quad \text{in } (0, T), i = 1, \dots, m \\ \beta^i(b_i) \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha y^i(b_i^-; 0, 0) & = 0 \quad \text{in } (0, T), i = m+1, \dots, N, \\ y^i(0, \cdot; 0, 0) & = 0 \quad \text{in } (a, b_i), i = 1, \dots, N. \end{array} \right. \quad (5.5)$$

Since $f = (f^i)_i \in L^2((0, T); \mathbb{L}^2)$, $y^0 = (y^{0,i})_i \in \mathbb{L}^2$ and $v \in (L^2(0, T))^{N-1}$, in view of Theorem 4.12 and Theorem 4.5 we have that $y(v, 0) \in L^2((0, T); \mathbb{L}^2)$ and $y(0, y^0), y(0, 0) \in L^2((0, T); \mathbb{V}) \cap C([0, T]; \mathbb{L}^2)$.

Lemma 5.2. *For any $v \in (L^2(0, T))^{N-1}$ and $y^0 \in \mathbb{L}^2$, the functional J satisfies*

$$J(v, y^0) - J(0, y^0) = J(v, 0) - J(0, 0) + 2\langle y(v, 0) - y(0, 0), y(0, y^0) - y(0, 0) \rangle_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{L}^2)}, \quad (5.6)$$

where $y(v, 0)$, $y(0, y^0)$ and $y(0, 0)$ are solutions of (5.3), (5.4) and (5.5), respectively.

Proof. Observing on the one hand that $y(v, y^0) = y(v, 0) + y(0, y^0) - y(0, 0)$, and on the other hand that

$$J(v, 0) = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{Q_i} |y^i(v, 0) - y_d^i|^2 \, dxdt + \zeta \sum_{i=2}^N \int_0^T |v^i|^2 \, dt,$$

$$J(0, y^0) = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{Q_i} |y^i(0, y^0) - y_d^i|^2 \, dxdt,$$

and

$$J(0, 0) = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{Q_i} |y^i(0, 0) - y_d^i|^2 \, dx dt,$$

we can write

$$\begin{aligned} J(v, y^0) &= \|y(v, y^0) - y_d\|_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{L}^2)}^2 + \zeta \|v\|_{(L^2(0, T))^{N-1}}^2 \\ &= \|y(v, 0) + y(0, y^0) - y(0, 0) - y_d\|_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{L}^2)}^2 + \zeta \|v\|_{(L^2(0, T))^{N-1}}^2 \\ &= \|y(v, 0) - y(0, 0)\|_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{L}^2)}^2 + \|y(0, y^0) - y_d\|_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{L}^2)}^2 \\ &\quad + 2\langle y(v, 0) - y(0, 0), y(0, y^0) - y_d \rangle_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{L}^2)} + \zeta \|v\|_{(L^2(0, T))^{N-1}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} J(v, y^0) - J(0, y^0) &= \|y(v, 0) - y(0, 0)\|_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{L}^2)}^2 \\ &\quad + 2\langle y(v, 0) - y(0, 0), y(0, y^0) - y_d \rangle_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{L}^2)} + \zeta \|v\|_{(L^2(0, T))^{N-1}}^2 \\ &= \|y(v, 0) - y_d\|_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{L}^2)}^2 + \|y(0, 0) - y_d\|_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{L}^2)}^2 \\ &\quad - 2\langle y(v, 0) - y_d, y(0, 0) - y_d \rangle_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{L}^2)} \\ &\quad + 2\langle y(v, 0) - y(0, 0), y(0, y^0) - y_d \rangle_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{L}^2)} + \zeta \|v\|_{(L^2(0, T))^{N-1}}^2 \\ &= J(v, 0) - J(0, 0) \\ &\quad - 2\langle y(v, 0) - y(0, 0), y(0, 0) - y_d \rangle_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{L}^2)} \\ &\quad + 2\langle y(v, 0) - y(0, 0), y(0, y^0) - y_d \rangle_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{L}^2)} \\ &= J(v, 0) - J(0, 0) \\ &\quad + 2\langle y(v, 0) - y(0, 0), y(0, y^0) - y(0, 0) \rangle_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{L}^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is finished. \square

We have the following result.

Lemma 5.3. *For every $v \in (L^2(0, T))^{N-1}$ and $y^0 \in \mathbb{L}^2$, we have that*

$$J(v, f) - J(0, f) = J(v, 0) - J(0, 0) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^N \int_a^{b_i} I_T^{1-\gamma} \phi^i(0, \cdot; v) y^{0,i} \, dx, \quad (5.7)$$

where $\phi(v) := (\phi^i(v))_i = (\phi^i(x, t; v))_i$ is the weak solution of

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathbb{D}_T^\gamma \phi^i(v) + \mathcal{D}_{b_i^-}^\alpha (\beta^i \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha \phi^i(v) + q^i \phi^i(v)) &= y^i(v, 0) - y^i(0, 0) \quad \text{in } Q_i, \, i = 1, \dots, N, \\ I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} \phi^i(a^+; v) - I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} \phi^j(a^+; v) &= 0 \quad \text{in } (0, T), \, i \neq j = 1, \dots, N, \\ \sum_{i=1}^N \beta^i(a) \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha \phi^i(a^+; v) &= 0 \quad \text{in } (0, T), \\ I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} \phi^i(b_i^-; v) &= 0 \quad \text{in } (0, T), \, i = 1, \dots, m \\ \beta^i(b_i) \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha \phi^i(b_i^-; v) &= 0 \quad \text{in } (0, T), \, i = m+1, \dots, N, \\ I_T^{1-\gamma} \phi^i(T; v) &= 0 \quad \text{in } (a, b_i), \, i = 1, \dots, N. \end{array} \right. \quad (5.8)$$

Proof. Since $(y^i(v, 0) - y^i(0, 0)) \in L^2((0, T); L^2(a, b_i))$, it follows from Corollary 4.10 that (5.8) has a unique weak solution ϕ satisfying $I_T^{1-\gamma} \phi \in C([0, T]; \mathbb{L}^2)$, $\phi \in L^2((0, T); \mathbb{V})$ and $\mathbb{D}_T^\gamma \phi \in L^2((0, T); \mathbb{V}^*)$.

Moreover, there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|\mathbb{D}_T^\gamma \phi\|_{L^2((0,T);V^*)} + \|\phi\|_{L^2(0,T;V)} + \left\| I_T^{1-\gamma} \phi \right\|_{C([0,T];\mathbb{L}^2)} \leq C \|y^\tau(v, 0) - y(0, 0)\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{L}^2)}. \quad (5.9)$$

Now, multiplying the first equation in (5.8) by $(y^i(0, y^0) - y^i(0, 0))$ and using the integration by parts formula given in Lemma 2.12, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{Q_i} (y^i(v, 0) - y^i(0, 0))(y^i(0, y^0) - y^i(0, 0)) \, dxdt \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{Q_i} \left(\mathbb{D}_T^\gamma \phi^i(v) + \mathcal{D}_{b_i^-}^\alpha (\beta_i \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha \phi^i(v)) + q^i \phi^i(v) \right) ((y^i(0, y^0) - y^i(0, 0))) \, dxdt \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{Q_i} \left[\mathcal{D}_t^\gamma (y^i(0, y^0) - y^i(0, 0)) + \mathcal{D}_{b_i^-}^\alpha (\beta_i \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha (y^i(0, y^0) - y^i(0, 0))) \right] \phi^i(v) \, dxdt \\ & \quad + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{Q_i} q^i (y^i(0, y^0) - y^i(0, 0)) \phi^i(v) \, dxdt + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_a^{b_i} I_T^{1-\gamma} \phi^i(0, \cdot; v) y^{0,i} \, dx \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \int_a^{b_i} I_T^{1-\gamma} \phi^i(0, \cdot; v) y^{0,i} \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the latter identity with (5.6), we get (5.7). The proof is finished. \square

Remark 5.4. We observe the following.

- (a) It follows from Definition 5.1 that v is a no-regret control of (1.1)-(1.2) if v is a solution of

$$\inf_{v \in (L^2(0,T))^{N-1}} \left[J(v, 0) - J(0, 0) + \sup_{y^0 \in \mathbb{L}^2} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_a^{b_i} I_T^{1-\gamma} \phi^i(0, \cdot; v) y^{0,i} \, dx \right]. \quad (5.10)$$

Since

$$\sup_{y^0 \in \mathbb{L}^2} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_a^{b_i} I_T^{1-\gamma} \phi^i(0, \cdot; v) y^{0,i} \, dx = 0 \quad (5.11)$$

or

$$\sup_{y^0 \in \mathbb{L}^2} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_a^{b_i} I_T^{1-\gamma} \phi^i(0, \cdot; v) y^{0,i} \, dx = +\infty, \quad (5.12)$$

it follows that a no-regret control v will exist only in the case (5.11). This means that a no-regret control belongs to the set

$$\mathcal{U} = \left\{ v \in (L^2(0, T))^{N-1} : I_T^{1-\gamma} \phi^i(0, \cdot; v) \in (\mathbb{L}^2)^\perp \right\}. \quad (5.13)$$

- (b) The main difficulty arising in the characterization of the no-regret control is that we do not know the structure of the set \mathcal{U} . Therefore, we relax the functional in (5.2). More precisely, for every $\tau > 0$, we consider the following minimization problem:

$$\inf_{v \in (L^2(0,T))^{N-1}} \left[\sup_{y^0 \in \mathbb{L}^2} \left(J(v, y^0) - J(0, y^0) - \tau \|y^0\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \right) \right], \quad (5.14)$$

which in view of (5.7) is equivalent to

$$\inf_{v \in (L^2(0,T))^{N-1}} \left[J(v, 0) - J(0, 0) + 2 \sup_{y^0 \in \mathbb{L}^2} \left(\langle y^0, I_T^{1-\gamma} \phi^i(0, \cdot; v) \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} - \frac{\tau}{2} \|y^0\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \right) \right]. \quad (5.15)$$

Using the Legendre-Fenchel transform, we obtain that the minimization problem (5.14) (or equivalently (5.15)) can be stated as follow: For every $\tau > 0$, find $u^\tau \in (L^2(0, T))^{N-1}$, such that

$$J_\tau(u^\tau) = \inf_{v \in (L^2(0,T))^{N-1}} J_\tau(v) := J(v, 0) - J(0, 0) + \frac{1}{\tau} \left\| I_T^{1-\gamma} \phi^i(0, \cdot; v) \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2. \quad (5.16)$$

Definition 5.5. The solution of the minimization problem (5.14) or equivalently (5.16) is called the low-regret control.

5.1. Existence and uniqueness of the low regret control. We have the following existence and uniqueness result of a low-regret control which is the main result of this section.

Theorem 5.6. For every $\tau > 0$, there exists a unique $u_\tau \in (L^2(0, T))^{N-1}$ solution of the minimization problem (5.16).

Proof. We proceed in several steps.

Step 1. First of all, using the fact that $J(v, y^0) \geq 0$ for any $v \in (L^2(0, T))^{N-1}$ and $y^0 \in \mathbb{L}^2$, we can deduce that

$$J_\tau(v) = J(v, 0) - J(0, 0) + \frac{1}{\tau} \left\| I_T^{1-\gamma} \phi^i(0, \cdot; v) \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \geq -J(0, 0).$$

Thus, $\inf_{v \in (L^2(0,T))^{N-1}} J_\tau(v)$ exists. Let $v_n^\tau = (v_n^{\tau,i})_i$ be a minimizing sequence of J_τ , that is,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_\tau(v_n^\tau) = \inf_{v \in (L^2(0,T))^{N-1}} J_\tau(v). \quad (5.17)$$

Then, $y_n^\tau := y(v_n^\tau, 0)$ is the very-weak solution of

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}_t^\gamma y_n^{\tau,i} + \mathcal{D}_{b_i^-}^\alpha (\beta^i \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha y_n^{\tau,i}) + q^i y_n^{\tau,i} = f^i & \text{in } Q_i, i = 1, \dots, N, \\ I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} y_n^{\tau,i}(\cdot, a^+) - I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} y_n^{\tau,j}(\cdot, a^+) = 0 & \text{in } (0, T), i \neq j = 1, \dots, N, \\ \sum_{i=1}^N \beta^i(a) \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha y_n^{\tau,i}(\cdot, a^+) = 0 & \text{in } (0, T), \\ I_{b_1^-}^{1-\alpha} y_n^{\tau,1}(\cdot, b_1^-) = 0 & \text{in } (0, T), \\ I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} y_n^{\tau,i}(\cdot, b_i^-) = v_n^{\tau,i} & \text{in } (0, T), i = 2, \dots, m \\ \beta^i(b_i) \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha y_n^{\tau,i}(\cdot, b_i^-) = v_n^{\tau,i} & \text{in } (0, T), i = m+1, \dots, N, \\ y_n^{\tau,i}(0, \cdot) = 0 & \text{in } (a, b_i), i = 1, \dots, N. \end{cases} \quad (5.18)$$

Since $v_n^{\tau,i} \in L^2(0, T)$, $i = 2, \dots, N$ and $f^i \in L^2(Q_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, N$, it follows from Theorem 4.12 that $y_n^\tau \in L^2((0, T; \mathbb{L}^2))$. Moreover, there is a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$\|y_n^\tau\|_{L^2((0,T); \mathbb{L}^2)} \leq C_1 \left(\|f\|_{L^2((0,T); \mathbb{L}^2)} + \|v_n^\tau\|_{(L^2(0,T))^{N-1}} \right). \quad (5.19)$$

Taking into account (5.17), it follows that there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$-J(0, 0) \leq J_\tau(v_n^\tau) \leq C.$$

Thus,

$$0 \leq J(v_n^\tau, 0) + \frac{1}{\tau} \left\| I_T^{1-\gamma} \phi^i(0, \cdot; v_n) \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \leq C + J(0, 0) \leq C.$$

Using the form of $J(v_n^\tau, 0)$ we obtain that there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|v_n^\tau\|_{(L^2(0,T))^{N-1}} \leq C \quad (5.20a)$$

$$\left\| I_T^{1-\gamma} \phi(0, \cdot; v_n) \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \leq C\sqrt{\tau}. \quad (5.20b)$$

From (5.19), (5.20a) and (5.18), we obtain that

$$\|y_n^\tau\|_{L^2((0,T);\mathbb{L}^2)} \leq C. \quad (5.21)$$

Thus, after subsequences if necessary, we can deduce that, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$v_n^\tau \rightharpoonup u^\tau \text{ weakly in } (L^2(0,T))^{N-1} \quad (5.22a)$$

$$y_n^\tau \rightharpoonup y^\tau \text{ weakly in } L^2((0,T);\mathbb{L}^2). \quad (5.22b)$$

Since y_n^τ is the very-weak solution of (5.18), according to Definition 4.11, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{Q_i} y_n^{\tau,i} \left(\mathbb{D}_T^\gamma \phi^i + \mathcal{D}_{b_i^-}^\alpha (\beta^i \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha \phi^i) + q^i \phi^i \right) dxdt \\ = & \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{Q_i} f^i \phi^i dxdt - \sum_{i=2}^m \int_0^T v_n^{\tau,i} \beta^i(b_i) \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha \phi^i(t, b_i^-) dt \\ & + \sum_{i=m+1}^N \int_0^T v_n^{\tau,i}(t) I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} \phi^i(t, b_i^-) dt, \end{aligned} \quad (5.23)$$

for all $\phi = (\phi^i)_i \in \Phi$, where the space Φ is given in (4.19).

Taking the limit of (5.23), as $n \rightarrow \infty$, while using (5.22a) -(5.22b), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{Q_i} y^{i,\tau} \left(\mathbb{D}_T^\gamma \phi^i + \mathcal{D}_{b_i^-}^\alpha (\beta^i \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha \phi^i) + q^i \phi^i \right) dxdt \\ = & \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{Q_i} f^i \phi^i dxdt - \sum_{i=2}^m \int_0^T u^{i,\tau} \beta^i(b_i) \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha \phi^i(t, b_i^-) dt \\ & + \sum_{i=m+1}^N \int_0^T u^{i,\tau}(t) I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} \phi^i(t, b_i^-) dt. \end{aligned}$$

According to Definition 4.11, this implies that $y^\tau = y(u^\tau)$ is the very-weak solution of

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{D}_i^\gamma y^{i,\tau} + \mathcal{D}_{b_i^-}^\alpha (\beta^i \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha y^{i,\tau}) + q^i y^{i,\tau} & = f^i \quad \text{in } Q_i, i = 1, \dots, N, \\ I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} y^{i,\tau}(\cdot, a^+) = I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} y^{j,\tau}(\cdot, a^+) & = 0 \quad \text{in } (0, T), i \neq j = 1, \dots, N, \\ \sum_{i=1}^N \beta^i(a) \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha y^{i,\tau}(a^+) & = 0 \quad \text{in } (0, T), \\ I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} y^1(\cdot, b_1^-) & = 0 \quad \text{in } (0, T), \\ I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} y^{i,\tau}(\cdot, b_i^-) & = u^{i,\tau} \quad \text{in } (0, T), i = 2, \dots, m \\ \beta^i(b_i) \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha y^{i,\tau}(\cdot, b_i^-) & = u^{i,\tau} \quad \text{in } (0, T), i = m+1, \dots, N, \\ y^{i,\tau}(0, \cdot; u^\tau) & = 0 \quad \text{in } (a, b_i), i = 1, \dots, N. \end{array} \right. \quad (5.24)$$

Step 2. Since $\phi(v_n^\tau)$ is the weak solution of

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathbb{D}_T^\gamma \phi^i(v_n^\tau) + \mathcal{D}_{b_i^-}^\alpha (\beta^i \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha \phi^i(v_n^\tau) + q^i \phi^i(v_n^\tau)) & = y^{\tau,i}(v_n^\tau, 0) - y^i(0, 0) \quad \text{in } Q_i, i = 1, \dots, N, \\ I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} \phi^i(a^+; v_n^\tau) - I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} \phi^j(a^+; v_n^\tau) & = 0 \quad \text{in } (0, T), i \neq j = 1, \dots, N, \\ \sum_{i=1}^N \beta^i(a) \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha \phi^i(a^+; v_n^\tau) & = 0 \quad \text{in } (0, T), \\ I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} \phi^i(b_i^-; v_n^\tau) & = 0 \quad \text{in } (0, T), i = 1, \dots, m \\ \beta^i(b_i) \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha \phi^i(b_i^-; v_n^\tau) & = 0 \quad \text{in } (0, T), i = m+1, \dots, N, \\ I_T^{1-\gamma} \phi^i(T, \cdot; v_n^\tau) & = 0 \quad \text{in } (a, b_i), i = 1, \dots, N, \end{array} \right. \quad (5.25)$$

with $y^{\tau,i}(v_n^\tau, 0) - y^i(0, 0) \in L^2(Q_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, N$, it follows from Corollary 4.10 that $I_T^{1-\gamma} \phi \in C([0, T]; \mathbb{L}^2)$, $\phi \in L^2((0, T); \mathbb{V})$ and $\mathbb{D}_T^\gamma \phi \in L^2((0, T); \mathbb{V}^*)$. Moreover, using (5.21) and (4.15), we have that there is a constant $C > 0$ independent of n such that

$$\|\phi(v_n^\tau)\|_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{V})} \leq C, \quad (5.26a)$$

$$\left\| I_T^{1-\gamma}(\phi(v_n^\tau)) \right\|_{C([0, T]; \mathbb{L}^2)} \leq C, \quad (5.26b)$$

$$\|\mathbb{D}_T^\gamma \phi^i(v_n^\tau)\|_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{V}^*)} \leq C. \quad (5.26c)$$

From (5.26a), (5.26c) and Lemma 2.18, we can deduce that

$$\|\phi(v_n^\tau)\|_{\mathbb{K}} \leq C, \quad (5.27)$$

for some $C > 0$ independent of n , where \mathbb{K} is the Hilbert space given by (2.28). Hence, there exists $\phi^\tau \in \mathbb{K}$ such that as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\phi(v_n^\tau) \rightharpoonup \phi^\tau \text{ weakly in } L^2((0, T); \mathbb{V}) \quad (5.28a)$$

$$D_T^\gamma \phi(v_n^\tau) \rightharpoonup D_T^\gamma \phi^\tau \text{ weakly in } L^2((0, T); \mathbb{V}^*). \quad (5.28b)$$

Moreover, using Remark 2.19 and the fact that $\mathbb{V} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{L}^2 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{V}^*$, it follows from (2.32) that

$$I_T^{1-\gamma} \phi^\tau \in C([0, T], \mathbb{L}^2), \quad (5.29)$$

and from the last equation in (5.25) we have that

$$I_T^{1-\gamma} \phi^\tau(T, \cdot) = 0. \quad (5.30)$$

On the other hand, $\phi(v_n^\tau) \in L^2((0, T); \mathbb{V})$ being the weak solution of (5.25), we have from Definition 4.9 that for every $\varphi \in \mathbb{V}$ and a.e. $t \in (0, T)$,

$$\langle \mathbb{D}_T^\gamma \phi(v_n^\tau)(t, \cdot), \varphi \rangle_{\mathbb{V}^*, \mathbb{V}} + \mathbb{F}(\phi(v_n^\tau)(t, \cdot), \varphi) = \langle y^\tau(v_n^\tau, 0)(t, \cdot) - y(0, 0)(t, \cdot), \varphi \rangle_{\mathbb{V}^*, \mathbb{V}}.$$

Passing to the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in this latter identity while using (5.22b) and (5.28a), we obtain that for every $\varphi \in \mathbb{V}$ and a.e. $t \in (0, T)$,

$$\langle \mathbb{D}_T^\gamma \phi^\tau(t, \cdot), \varphi \rangle_{\mathbb{V}^*, \mathbb{V}} + \mathbb{F}(\phi^\tau(t, \cdot), \varphi) = \langle y^\tau(t, \cdot) - y(0, 0)(t, \cdot), \varphi \rangle_{\mathbb{V}^*, \mathbb{V}}. \quad (5.31)$$

From (5.29), (5.28a), (5.28b), (5.30) and (5.31), we have that $\phi^\tau = \phi(u^\tau)$ is a weak solution of (5.8) with $v = u^\tau$. Thus $\phi^\tau = \phi(u^\tau)$ satisfies

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathbb{D}_T^\gamma \phi^{i,\tau} + \mathcal{D}_{b_i^-}^\alpha (\beta^i \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha \phi^{i,\tau}) + q \phi^{i,\tau} & = y^{i,\tau}(u^\tau, 0) - y^i(0, 0) & \text{in } Q_i, i = 1, \dots, N \\ (I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} \phi^{i,\tau})(\cdot, a^+) - (I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} \phi^{j,\tau})(\cdot, a^+) & = 0 & \text{in } (0, T), i, j = 1, \dots, N \\ \sum_{i=1}^N \beta^i(a) \mathbb{D}_a^\alpha \phi^{i,\tau}(\cdot, a^+) & = 0 & \text{in } (0, T), \\ I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} \phi^{i,\tau}(\cdot, b_i^-) & = 0 & \text{in } (0, T), i = 1, \dots, m \\ (\beta^i \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha \phi^{i,\tau})(\cdot, b_i^-) & = 0 & \text{in } (0, T), i = m+1, \dots, N \\ I_T^{1-\gamma} \phi^{i,\tau}(T, \cdot; u^\tau) & = 0 & \text{in } (a, b_i), i = 1, \dots, N. \end{array} \right. \quad (5.32)$$

Using again (5.29) and (5.20b) we get that as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$I_T^{1-\gamma} \phi^i(0, \cdot; v_n) \rightharpoonup I_T^{1-\gamma} \phi^{i,\tau}(0, \cdot; u^\tau) \text{ weakly in } \mathbb{L}^2. \quad (5.33)$$

Step 3: Since the functional $v \mapsto J_\tau(v)$ is continuous, it follows that it is lower semi-continuous. Thus, using (5.22a), (5.22b) and (5.33), we deduce that

$$J_\tau(u_\tau) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} J_\tau(v_n),$$

which combining with (5.17) implies that

$$J_\tau(u^\tau) = \inf_{v \in (L^2(0,T))^{N-1}} J_\tau(v).$$

The uniqueness of the low-regret control u^τ follows from the strict convexity of the functional J_τ . The proof is finished. \square

5.2. Characterization of the low-regret control. In this section we give a complete characterization (optimality systems and optimality conditions) of the low-regret control. The following is the main result of this section.

Theorem 5.7. *Let $u^\tau \in (L^2(0,T))^{N-1}$ be the low-regret control constructed in Theorem 5.6. Then, there exist $h^\tau \in L^2((0,T); \mathbb{V})$, $p^\tau \in L^2((0,T); \mathbb{V})$ such that $(u^\tau, y^\tau, \phi^\tau, h^\tau, p^\tau)$ satisfies the following. We have that $y^\tau \in L^2((0,T); \mathbb{L}^2)$ is the very-weak solution of (5.24), $\phi^\tau \in L^2((0,T); \mathbb{V})$ is the weak solution of (5.32), h^τ is the weak solution of*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{D}_t^\gamma h^{i,\tau} + \mathcal{D}_{b_i^-}^\alpha (\beta^i \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha h^{i,\tau}) + q^i h^{i,\tau} & = 0 & \text{in } Q_i, i = 1, \dots, N, \\ I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} h^{i,\tau}(\cdot, a^+) - I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} h^{j,\tau}(\cdot, a^+) & = 0 & \text{in } (0, T), i \neq j = 1, \dots, N, \\ \sum_{i=1}^N \beta^i(a) \mathbb{D}_a^\alpha h^{i,\tau}(\cdot, a^+) & = 0 & \text{in } (0, T), \\ I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} h^{i,\tau}(\cdot, b_i^-) & = 0 & \text{in } (0, T), i = 1, \dots, m \\ \beta^i(b_i) \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha h^{i,\tau}(\cdot, b_i^-) & = 0 & \text{in } (0, T), i = m+1, \dots, N, \\ h^{i,\tau}(0, \cdot) & = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}} I_T^{1-\gamma} \phi^{i,\tau}(0, \cdot; u^\tau) & \text{in } (a, b_i), i = 1, \dots, N, \end{array} \right. \quad (5.34)$$

and p^τ is the weak solution of

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathbb{D}_T^\gamma p^{i,\tau} + \mathcal{D}_{b_i^-}^\alpha (\beta^i \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha p^{i,\tau}) + q^i p^{i,\tau} & = y^{i,\tau} - y_a^i + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}} h^{i,\tau} \quad \text{in } Q_i, i = 1, \dots, N, \\ I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} p^{i,\tau}(\cdot, a^+) - I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} p^{j,\tau}(\cdot, a^+) & = 0 \quad \text{in } (0, T), i \neq j = 1, \dots, N, \\ \sum_{i=1}^N \beta^i(a) \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha p^{i,\tau}(\cdot, a^+) & = 0 \quad \text{in } (0, T), \\ I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} p^{i,\tau}(\cdot, b_i^-) & = 0 \quad \text{in } (0, T), i = 1, \dots, m \\ \beta^i(b_i) \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha p^{i,\tau}(\cdot, b_i^-) & = 0 \quad \text{in } (0, T), i = m+1, \dots, N, \\ I_T^{1-\gamma} p^{i,\tau}(T, \cdot) & = 0 \quad \text{in } (a, b_i), i = 1, \dots, N. \end{array} \right. \quad (5.35)$$

In addition, we have that for all $v \in (L^2(0, T))^{N-1}$,

$$\sum_{i=2}^N \int_0^T \left(\zeta u^{i,\tau} - \beta^i(b_i) \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha p^{i,\tau}(\cdot, b_i^-) + I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} p^{i,\tau}(\cdot, b_i^-) \right) (v^i - u^{i,\tau}) dt = 0. \quad (5.36)$$

Proof. We have already shown that y^τ satisfies (5.24). Writing the Euler-Lagrange first order optimality conditions for the low-regret control u^τ , we get for all $v \in (L^2(0, T))^{N-1}$,

$$\lim_{\mu \downarrow 0} \frac{J_\tau(u^\tau + \mu(v - u^\tau)) - J_\tau(u^\tau)}{\mu} = 0. \quad (5.37)$$

After some computations, (5.37) gives for all $v \in (L^2(0, T))^{N-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{Q_i} z^i(v - u^\tau)(y^i(u^\tau, 0) - y_a^i) dx dt + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_a^{b_i} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}} I_T^{1-\gamma} \phi_z^i(0, \cdot; v - u^\tau) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}} I_T^{1-\gamma} \phi^i(0, \cdot; u^\tau) dx \\ + \zeta \sum_{i=2}^N \int_0^T u^{i,\tau} (v^i - u^{i,\tau}) dt = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (5.38)$$

where ϕ^τ is the weak solution of (5.32), $z^i(v - u^\tau) := \frac{y^i(u^\tau + \mu(v - u^\tau), 0) - y^i(u^\tau, 0)}{\mu} \in L^2((0, T); \mathbb{L}^2)$ is the very-weak solution of

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{D}_t^\gamma z^i(v - u^\tau) + \mathcal{D}_{b_i^-}^\alpha (\beta^i \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha z^i(v - u^\tau)) + q^i z^i(v - u^\tau) & = 0 \quad \text{in } Q_i, i = 1, \dots, N, \\ I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} z^i(a^+; v - u^\tau) - I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} z^j(a^+; v - u^\tau) & = 0 \quad \text{in } (0, T), i \neq j = 1, \dots, N, \\ \sum_{i=1}^N \beta^i(a) \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha z^i(a^+; v - u^\tau) & = 0 \quad \text{in } (0, T), \\ I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} z^1(b_1^-; v - u^\tau) & = 0 \quad \text{in } (0, T), \\ I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} z^i(b_i^-; v - u^\tau) & = v^i - u^{i,\tau} \quad \text{in } (0, T), i = 2, \dots, m \\ \beta^i(b_i) \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha z^i(b_i^-; v - u^\tau) & = v^i - u^{i,\tau} \quad \text{in } (0, T), i = m+1, \dots, N, \\ z^i(0; v - u^\tau) & = 0 \quad \text{in } (a, b_i), i = 1, \dots, N \end{array} \right. \quad (5.39)$$

and $\phi_z(v - u^\tau) := \frac{\phi(u^\tau + \mu(v - u^\tau)) - \phi(u^\tau)}{\mu} \in L^2((0, T); \mathbb{V})$ is the weak solution of

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathbb{D}_T^\gamma \phi_z^i(v - u^\tau) + \mathcal{D}_{b_i^-}^\alpha (\beta^i \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha \phi_z^i(v - u^\tau)) + q^i \phi_z^i(v - u^\tau) & = z(v - u^\tau) \quad \text{in } Q_i, i = 1, \dots, N, \\ I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} \phi_z^i(a^+; v - u^\tau) - I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} \phi_z^j(a^+; v - u^\tau) & = 0 \quad \text{in } (0, T), i \neq j = 1, \dots, N, \\ \sum_{i=1}^N \beta^i(a) \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha \phi_z^i(a^+; v - u^\tau) & = 0 \quad \text{in } (0, T), \\ I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} \phi_z^i(b_i^-; v - u^\tau) & = 0 \quad \text{in } (0, T), i = 1, \dots, m \\ \beta^i(b_i) \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha \phi_z^i(b_i^-; v - u^\tau) & = 0 \quad \text{in } (0, T), i = m + 1, \dots, N, \\ I_T^{1-\gamma} \phi_z^i(T; v - u^\tau) & = 0 \quad \text{in } (a, b_i), i = 1, \dots, N. \end{array} \right. \quad (5.40)$$

In view of (5.33), $I_T^{1-\gamma} \phi^\tau(0, \cdot, u^\tau) \in \mathbb{L}^2$. Thus, from Theorem 4.7 we have that $h^\tau \in L^2((0, T); \mathbb{V})$ is the unique weak solution of (5.34). Moreover, there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|h^\tau\|_{L^2(0, T; \mathbb{V})} + \left\| I_t^{1-\gamma} h^\tau \right\|_{C([0, T]; \mathbb{L}^2)} + \|\mathbb{D}_t^\gamma h^\tau\|_{L^2(0, T; \mathbb{V}^*)} \leq C \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}} \left\| I_T^{1-\gamma} \phi^{i, \tau}(0, \cdot, u^\tau) \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}. \quad (5.41)$$

Thus, if we multiply the first equation in (5.40) by $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}} h^{i, \tau}$ and integrate by parts over Q_i we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{Q_i} z^i(v - u^\tau) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}} h^{i, \tau} dx dt = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_a^{b_i} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}} I_T^{1-\gamma} \phi_z^i(0, \cdot, v - u^\tau) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}} I_T^{1-\gamma} \phi^{i, \tau}(0, \cdot, u^\tau) dx. \quad (5.42)$$

Combining (5.38)-(5.42) gives for all $v \in (L^2(0, T))^{N-1}$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \int_Q z^i(v - u^\tau) \left[y^i(u^\tau, 0) - y_d^i + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}} h^{i, \tau} \right] dx dt + \sum_{i=2}^N \int_0^T \zeta u^{i, \tau} (v^i - u^{i, \tau}) dt = 0. \quad (5.43)$$

Since $y(u^\tau, 0) - y_d + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}} h^\tau \in L^2((0, T); \mathbb{L}^2)$, then according to Corollary 4.10, the weak solution p^τ of (5.35) satisfies $p^\tau \in L^2((0, T); \mathbb{V})$. Moreover, there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|p^\tau\|_{L^2(0, T; \mathbb{V})} + \left\| I_T^{1-\gamma} p^\tau \right\|_{C([0, T]; \mathbb{L}^2)} + \|\mathbb{D}_T^\gamma p^\tau\|_{L^2(0, T; \mathbb{V}^*)} \leq C \left\| y^\tau - y_d + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}} h^\tau \right\|_{L^2(0, T; \mathbb{L}^2)}. \quad (5.44)$$

It follows from (2.17) and (2.20) that $I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} p^{i, \tau}(\cdot, b_i^-) \in L^2(0, T)$ and $\mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha p^{i, \tau}(\cdot, b_i^-) \in L^2(0, T)$, $i = 1, \dots, N$. Thus, multiplying (5.39) by p^τ and integrating by parts, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{Q_i} z^i(v - u^\tau) \left[y^i(u^\tau, 0) - y_d^i + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}} h^{i, \tau} \right] dx dt \\ &= - \sum_{i=2}^m \int_0^T \beta^i(b_i) \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha p^{i, \tau}(\cdot, b_i^-) (v^i - u^{i, \tau}) dt + \sum_{i=m+1}^N \int_0^T (v^i - u^{i, \tau}) I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} p^{i, \tau}(t, b_i^-) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (5.45)$$

Combining (5.43)-(5.45), we get for all $v \in (L^2(0, T))^{N-1}$,

$$\sum_{i=2}^N \int_0^T (\zeta u^{i, \tau} - \beta^i(b_i) \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha p^{i, \tau}(\cdot, b_i^-) + I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} p^{i, \tau}(\cdot, b_i^-)) (v^i - u^{i, \tau}) dt = 0,$$

from which we can deduce (5.36). The proof is finished. \square

5.3. Existence and characterization of the no-regret control. In this section we prove the existence, uniqueness and we characterize the no-regret control. We start with the existence and uniqueness result.

Proposition 5.8. *The low-regret control u^τ constructed in Theorem 5.6 converges, as $\tau \downarrow 0$, to a no-regret control u which is the solution of the minimization problem (5.2).*

Proof. Since u^τ is the low-regret control, we can deduce that $J_\tau(u^\tau) \leq J_\tau(v)$ for any $v \in (L^2(0, T))^{N-1}$. Consequently,

$$J_\tau(u^\tau) \leq J_\tau(0).$$

Therefore, using the definition of J_τ given by (5.16), we have that

$$J(u^\tau, 0) - J(0, 0) + \frac{1}{\tau} \left\| I_T^{1-\gamma} \phi^i(0, \cdot, u^\tau) \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \leq \frac{1}{\tau} \left\| I_T^{1-\gamma} \phi^i(0, \cdot, 0) \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2. \quad (5.46)$$

Observing that $\phi := \phi(v)$ is the weak solution of (5.8) with $v = 0$, we obtain from (5.9) that $\phi^i(0) = 0$ on Q_i , $1 \leq i \leq N$ and (5.46) becomes

$$J(u^\tau, 0) - J(0, 0) + \frac{1}{\tau} \left\| I_T^{1-\gamma} \phi^i(0, \cdot, u^\tau) \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \leq 0,$$

which in view of the expression of J given in (5.1) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|y(u^\tau, 0) - y_d\|_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{L}^2)}^2 + \zeta \|u^\tau\|_{(L^2(0, T))^{N-1}}^2 + \frac{1}{\tau} \left\| I_T^{1-\gamma} \phi^i(0, \cdot, u^\tau) \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\ \leq \|y(0, 0) - y_d\|_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{L}^2)}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (5.47)$$

Consequently,

$$\|y(u^\tau, 0)\|_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{L}^2)} \leq \|y(0, 0)\|_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{L}^2)} + \|y_d\|_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{L}^2)}, \quad (5.48a)$$

$$\|u^\tau\|_{(L^2(0, T))^{N-1}} \leq \|y(0, 0) - y_d\|_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{L}^2)}, \quad (5.48b)$$

$$\left\| I_T^{1-\gamma} \phi^i(0, \cdot, u^\tau) \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \leq \sqrt{\tau} \|y(0, 0) - y_d\|_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{L}^2)}. \quad (5.48c)$$

Since $\phi^\tau := \phi(u^\tau)$ is the weak solution of (5.8) with $v = u^\tau$, using (5.48a), we get from (5.9) that there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|\mathbb{D}_T^\gamma \phi^\tau\|_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{V}^*)} \leq C \left(\|y(0, 0)\|_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{L}^2)} + \|y_d\|_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{L}^2)} \right), \quad (5.49a)$$

$$\|\phi^\tau\|_{L^2(0, T; \mathbb{V})} \leq C \left(\|y(0, 0)\|_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{L}^2)} + \|y_d\|_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{L}^2)} \right), \quad (5.49b)$$

$$\left\| I_T^{1-\gamma} \phi \right\|_{C([0, T]; \mathbb{L}^2)} \leq C \left(\|y(0, 0)\|_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{L}^2)} + \|y_d\|_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{L}^2)} \right). \quad (5.49c)$$

From (5.33), we have that $I_T^{1-\gamma} \phi^\tau(0, \cdot, u^\tau) \in \mathbb{L}^2$. It then follows from Theorem 4.7 that there exists a unique $h^\tau \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{V})$ weak solution of (5.34). Moreover, there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|h^\tau\|_{L^2(0, T; \mathbb{V})} &\leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{\tau}} \left\| I_T^{1-\gamma} \phi^{i, \tau}(0, \cdot, u^\tau) \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}, \\ \left\| I_t^{1-\gamma} h^\tau \right\|_{C([0, T]; \mathbb{L}^2)} &\leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{\tau}} \left\| I_T^{1-\gamma} \phi^{i, \tau}(0, \cdot, u^\tau) \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ \|\mathbb{D}_t^\gamma h^\tau\|_{L^2(0, T; \mathbb{V}^*)} &\leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{\tau}} \left\| I_T^{1-\gamma} \phi^{i, \tau}(0, \cdot, u^\tau) \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}, \end{aligned}$$

which according to (5.48c) implies that

$$\|h^\tau\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{V})} \leq C \left(\|y(0,0)\|_{L^2((0,T);\mathbb{L}^2)} + \|y_d\|_{L^2((0,T);\mathbb{L}^2)} \right), \quad (5.50a)$$

$$\left\| I_t^{1-\gamma} h^\tau \right\|_{C([0,T];\mathbb{L}^2)} \leq C \left(\|y(0,0)\|_{L^2((0,T);\mathbb{L}^2)} + \|y_d\|_{L^2((0,T);\mathbb{L}^2)} \right), \quad (5.50b)$$

$$\|\mathbb{D}_t^\gamma h^\tau\|_{L^2(0,T;\mathbb{V}^*)} \leq C \left(\|y(0,0)\|_{L^2((0,T);\mathbb{L}^2)} + \|y_d\|_{L^2((0,T);\mathbb{L}^2)} \right), \quad (5.50c)$$

for some constant $C > 0$ independent of τ .

From (5.48b), (5.48a) and (5.49b), we can deduce that there exist $u \in (L^2(0,T))^{N-1}$, $y \in L^2((0,T);\mathbb{L}^2)$ and $\phi \in L^2(0,T;\mathbb{V})$ such that as $\tau \rightarrow 0$,

$$u^\tau \rightharpoonup u \text{ weakly in } (L^2(0,T))^{N-1}, \quad (5.51a)$$

$$y(u^\tau, 0) \rightharpoonup y \text{ weakly in } L^2((0,T);\mathbb{L}^2), \quad (5.51b)$$

$$\phi(u^\tau) \rightharpoonup \phi \text{ weakly in } L^2((0,T);\mathbb{V}). \quad (5.51c)$$

Therefore, proceeding as for y_n^τ and $\phi(v_n^\tau)$ in Section 5.1 while using (5.49) and (5.51), we obtain that $y = y(u, 0) \in L^2((0,T);\mathbb{L}^2)$ and $\phi = \phi(u) \in L^2((0,T);\mathbb{V})$ are respectively solutions of

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}_t^\gamma y^i + \mathcal{D}_{b_i^-}^\alpha (\beta^i \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha y^i) + q^i y^i &= f^i & \text{in } Q_i, i = 1, \dots, N, \\ I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} y^i(\cdot, a^+) - I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} y^j(\cdot, a^+) &= 0 & \text{in } (0, T), i \neq j = 1, \dots, N, \\ \sum_{i=1}^N \beta^i(a) \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha y^i(\cdot, a^+) &= 0 & \text{in } (0, T), \\ I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} y^1(\cdot, b_1^-) &= 0 & \text{in } (0, T), \\ I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} y^i(\cdot, b_i^-) &= u^i & \text{in } (0, T), i = 2, \dots, m \\ \beta^i(b_i) \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha y^i(\cdot, b_i^-) &= u^i & \text{in } (0, T), i = m+1, \dots, N, \\ y^i(0, \cdot) &= 0 & \text{in } (a, b_i), i = 1, \dots, N, \end{cases} \quad (5.52)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{D}_T^\gamma \phi^i(u) + \mathcal{D}_{b_i^-}^\alpha (\beta^i \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha \phi^i(u)) + q^i \phi^i(u) &= y^i(u, 0) - y^i(0, 0) & \text{in } Q_i, i = 1, \dots, N, \\ I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} \phi^i(u)(\cdot, a^+) - I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} \phi^j(u)(\cdot, a^+) &= 0 & \text{in } (0, T), i \neq j = 1, \dots, N, \\ \sum_{i=1}^N \beta^i(a) \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha \phi^i(u)(\cdot, a^+) &= 0 & \text{in } (0, T), \\ I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} \phi^i(u)(\cdot, b_i^-) &= 0 & \text{in } (0, T), i = 1, \dots, m \\ \beta^i(b_i) \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha \phi^i(u)(\cdot, b_i^-) &= 0 & \text{in } (0, T), i = m+1, \dots, N, \\ I_T^{1-\gamma} \phi^i(u)(T, \cdot) &= 0 & \text{in } (a, b_i), i = 1, \dots, N. \end{cases} \quad (5.53)$$

Since $y^i(u, 0) - y^i(0, 0) \in L^2(Q_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, N$, it follows from Corollary 4.10 that $\phi(u) \in L^2((0,T);\mathbb{V})$ and $I_T^{1-\gamma}(\phi(u)) \in C([0,T];L^2(\Omega))$. Thus, $I_T^{1-\gamma}(\phi(0, \cdot; u)) \in L^2(\Omega)$ and in view of (5.48c), we can deduce that

$$I_T^{1-\gamma}(\phi(0, \cdot; u^\tau)) \rightarrow I_T^{1-\gamma}(\phi(0, \cdot; u)) = 0 \text{ strongly in } \mathbb{L}^2 \text{ as } \tau \rightarrow 0. \quad (5.54)$$

Consequently, $(y^0, I_T^{1-\gamma}(\phi(0, \cdot; u)))_{\mathbb{L}^2} = 0$, which means that u belongs to the set \mathcal{U} defined in (5.13). In other words $u \in \mathcal{U}$ is the unique solution of the no-regret control problem (5.2). The proof is finished. \square

Next, we characterize the no-regret control constructed in Proposition 5.8.

Theorem 5.9. *Let \mathcal{U} be defined as in (5.13) and $u \in \mathcal{U}$ be the no-regret control associated to the state $y = y(u, 0)$. Then, there exist $h \in L^2((0, T); \mathbb{V})$, $p \in L^2((0, T); \mathbb{V})$, $\zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in L^2((0, T); \mathbb{L}^2)$ such that $y \in L^2((0, T); \mathbb{L}^2)$ is the very-weak solution of (5.52), h is the weak solution of*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{D}_T^\gamma h^i + \mathcal{D}_{b_i^-}^\alpha (\beta^i \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha h^i) + q^i h^i & = 0 \quad \text{in } Q_i, i = 1, \dots, N, \\ I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} h^i(\cdot, a^+) - I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} h^j(\cdot, a^+) & = 0 \quad \text{in } (0, T), i \neq j = 1, \dots, N, \\ \sum_{i=1}^N \beta^i(a) \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha h^i(\cdot, a^+) & = 0 \quad \text{in } (0, T), \\ I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} h^i(\cdot, b_i^-) & = 0 \quad \text{in } (0, T), i = 1, \dots, m \\ \beta^i(b_i) \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha h^i(\cdot, b_i^-) & = 0 \quad \text{in } (0, T), i = m+1, \dots, N, \\ h^i(0, \cdot) & = \zeta_1^i \quad \text{in } (a, b_i), i = 1, \dots, N, \end{array} \right. \quad (5.55)$$

and p is the weak solution of

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathbb{D}_T^\gamma p^{i,\tau} + \mathcal{D}_{b_i^-}^\alpha (\beta^i \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha p^i) + q^i p^i & = y^i - y_d^i + \zeta_2^i \quad \text{in } Q_i, i = 1, \dots, N, \\ I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} p^i(\cdot, a^+) - I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} p^j(\cdot, a^+) & = 0 \quad \text{in } (0, T), i \neq j = 1, \dots, N, \\ \sum_{i=1}^N \beta^i(a) \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha p^i(\cdot, a^+) & = 0 \quad \text{in } (0, T), \\ I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} p^i(\cdot, b_i^-) & = 0 \quad \text{in } (0, T), i = 1, \dots, m \\ \beta^i(b_i) \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha p^i(\cdot, b_i^-) & = 0 \quad \text{in } (0, T), i = m+1, \dots, N, \\ I_T^{1-\gamma} p^i(T, \cdot) & = 0 \quad \text{in } (a, b_i), i = 1, \dots, N. \end{array} \right. \quad (5.56)$$

In addition, we have that for all $v \in (L^2(0, T))^{N-1}$,

$$\sum_{i=2}^N \int_0^T (v^i - u^i) [u^i + I_{a^+}^{1-\alpha} p^i(t, b_i^-) - \beta^i(b_i) \mathbb{D}_{a^+}^\alpha p^i(\cdot, b_i^-)] dt = 0. \quad (5.57)$$

Proof. We have already shown that y is the very-weak solution of (5.52). From (5.48c), we obtain that there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}} \phi(u^\tau) \right\|_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{L}^2)} \leq C.$$

Therefore, it follows from (4.12) that

$$\|h^\tau\|_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{V})} \leq C_1 \left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}} \phi(u^\tau) \right\|_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{L}^2)} \leq C.$$

Using (5.43), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(z(v - u^\tau), \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}} h^\tau \right)_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{L}^2)} \\ & = - (z(v - u^\tau), y(u^\tau, 0) - y_d)_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{L}^2)} - (u^\tau, v - u^\tau)_{L^2(0, T)} \\ & \leq \left(\|z(v - u^\tau)\|_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{L}^2)}^2 + \|v - u^\tau\|_{(L^2(0, T))^{N-1}}^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\|u^\tau\|_{(L^2(0, T))^{N-1}}^2 + \|y(u^\tau, 0) - y_d\|_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{L}^2)}^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

We can deduce from (5.48a) and (5.48b) that

$$\left(z(v - u^\tau), \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}} h^\tau \right)_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{L}^2)} \leq C \left(\|z(v - u^\tau)\|_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{L}^2)}^2 + \|v - u^\tau\|_{(L^2(0, T))^{N-1}}^2 \right)^{1/2}. \quad (5.58)$$

Set

$$\mathcal{G} := \left\{ z(v) : v \in (L^2(0, T))^{N-1} \right\}.$$

Then, $\mathcal{G} \subset L^2((0, T); \mathbb{L}^2)$. We define on $\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}$ the inner product

$$\langle z(v), z(w) \rangle_{\mathcal{G}} = (z(v), z(w))_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{L}^2)} + (v, w)_{L^2(0, T)}.$$

Therefore, \mathcal{G} endowed with the norm given by

$$\|z(v)\|_{\mathcal{G}}^2 = \|z(v)\|_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{L}^2)}^2 + \|v\|_{(L^2(0, T))^{N-1}}^2 \quad \forall z(v) \in \mathcal{G},$$

is a Hilbert space. In view of (5.58), we have that for every $v \in (L^2(0, T))^{N-1}$,

$$(z(v - u^\tau), \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}} h^\tau)_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{L}^2)} \leq C \|z(v - u^\tau)\|_{\mathcal{G}},$$

which implies that

$$\left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}} h^\tau \right\|_{\mathcal{G}^*} \leq C.$$

In particular, we have that

$$\left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}} h^\tau \right\|_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{L}^2)} \leq C. \quad (5.59)$$

Moreover, from (5.59), (5.47) and (5.35), we can deduce that

$$\|p^\tau\|_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{V})} \leq C.$$

Consequently, there exist $\zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in L^2((0, T); \mathbb{L}^2)$ such that, as $\tau \rightarrow 0$,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}} \phi(u^\tau) \rightharpoonup \zeta_1 \text{ weakly in } L^2((0, T); \mathbb{L}^2), \quad (5.60a)$$

$$h^\tau \rightharpoonup h \text{ weakly in } L^2((0, T); \mathbb{V}), \quad (5.60b)$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}} h^\tau \rightharpoonup \zeta_2 \text{ weakly in } L^2((0, T); \mathbb{L}^2), \quad (5.60c)$$

$$p^\tau \rightharpoonup p \text{ weakly in } L^2((0, T); \mathbb{V}). \quad (5.60d)$$

Proceeding as for y^τ while using (5.60a)-(5.60d), we get (5.55) and (5.56). Thus, we can deduce the identity (5.57) while using (5.51a), (5.36) and (5.60d). The proof is finished. \square

6. CONCLUDING REMARKS

We investigated an optimal control problem of a fractional parabolic partial differential equation involving a fractional Sturm-Liouville operator combined with the Caputo time-fractional derivative in a space interval and in a general star graph; where the Sturm-Liouville operator is obtained as a composition of a left fractional Caputo derivative, and a right fractional Riemann-Liouville derivative, and where the sources were missing. Using the no-regret control and the low regret control notions, we prove that the considered fractional optimal control admits a unique low regret control and we show that this control converges to the no-regret control that we characterize by a singular optimality system. Note that we can use the no-regret control theory for this kind of equation in the case where the boundary is missing, and we can as well use this technique to recover the missing information, this will be the object of a future investigation.

REFERENCES

- [1] O. P. Agrawal. A general formulation and solution scheme for fractional optimal control problems. *Nonlinear Dynam.*, 38(1-4):323–337, 2004.
- [2] E. Bajlekova. *Fractional evolution equations in Banach spaces*. Technische Universiteit Eindhoven Eindhoven, 2001.
- [3] R. Dáger. Observation and control of vibrations in tree-shaped networks of strings. *SIAM Journal of Control and Optimization*, 43(-):590–623, 2004.
- [4] C. G. Gal and M. Warma. *Fractional-in-time semilinear parabolic equations and applications*, volume 84 of *Mathématiques & Applications (Berlin) [Mathematics & Applications]*. Springer, Cham, [2020] ©2020.
- [5] D. Idczak and S. Walczak. Fractional Sobolev spaces via Riemann-Liouville derivatives. *J. Funct. Spaces Appl.*, pages Art. ID 128043, 15, 2013.
- [6] C. Kenne, G. Leugering, and G. Mophou. Optimal control of a population dynamics model with missing birth rate. *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, 58(3):1289–1313, 2020.
- [7] A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava, and J. J. Trujillo. *Theory and applications of fractional differential equations*, volume 204 of *North-Holland Mathematics Studies*. Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam, 2006.
- [8] G. Leugering and G. Mophou. Instantaneous optimal control of friction dominated flow in a gas-network. In *Shape optimization, homogenization and optimal control*, volume 169 of *Internat. Ser. Numer. Math.*, pages 75–88. Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2018.
- [9] G. Leugering, G. Mophou, M. Moutamal, and M. Warma. Optimal control problems of parabolic fractional Sturm-Liouville equations in a star graph. *Math. Control Relat. Fields*, 13(2):771–807, 2023.
- [10] J.-L. Lions. *Optimal control of systems governed by partial differential equations*. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 170. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1971. Translated from the French by S. K. Mitter.
- [11] J.-L. Lions. Contrôle à moindres regrets des systèmes distribués. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 315(12):1253–1257, 1992.
- [12] J.-L. Lions. Least regret control, virtual control and decomposition methods. volume 34, pages 409–418. 2000. Special issue for R. Temam’s 60th birthday.
- [13] G. Lumer. Connecting of local operators and evolution equations on networks. In *Potential theory, Copenhagen 1979 (Proc. Colloq., Copenhagen, 1979)*, volume 787 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 219–234. Springer, Berlin, 1980.
- [14] G. Mophou. Optimal control for fractional diffusion equations with incomplete data. *J. Optim. Theory Appl.*, 174(1):176–196, 2017.
- [15] J. Nakagawa, K. Sakamoto, and M. Yamamoto. Overview to mathematical analysis for fractional diffusion equations—new mathematical aspects motivated by industrial collaboration. *J. Math-for-Ind.*, 2A:99–108, 2010.
- [16] O. Nakoulima, A. Omrane, and J. Velin. No-regret control for nonlinear distributed systems with incomplete data. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 81(11):1161–1189, 2002.
- [17] O. Nakoulima, A. Omrane, and J. Velin. On the Pareto control and no-regret control for distributed systems with incomplete data. *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, 42(4):1167–1184, 2003.
- [18] K. B. Oldham and J. Spanier. *The fractional calculus*. Academic Press [A subsidiary of Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1974. Theory and applications of differentiation and integration to arbitrary order, With an annotated chronological bibliography by Bertram Ross, Mathematics in Science and Engineering, Vol. 111.
- [19] I. Podlubny. *Fractional differential equations*, volume 198 of *Mathematics in Science and Engineering*. Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, CA, 1999. An introduction to fractional derivatives, fractional differential equations, to methods of their solution and some of their applications.
- [20] S. G. Samko, A. A. Kilbas, and O. I. Marichev. *Fractional integrals and derivatives*. Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Yverdon, 1993. Theory and applications, Edited and with a foreword by S. M. Nikolskiĭ, Translated from the 1987 Russian original, Revised by the authors.
- [21] J. Von Below. Sturm-Liouville eigenvalue problems on networks. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 10(-):383–395, 1988.
- [22] M. Warma. Approximate controllability from the exterior of space-time fractional diffusive equations. *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, 57(3):2037–2063, 2019.
- [23] A. Zettl. *Sturm-Liouville theory*, volume 121 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005.

GISÈLE MOPHOU, LABORATOIRE L.A.M.I.A., DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES ET INFORMATIQUE, UNIVERSITÉ DES ANTILLES, CAMPUS FOUILLOLE, 97159 POINTE-À-PITRE, (FWI), GUADELOUPE - LABORATOIRE MAINEGE, UNIVERSITÉ OUAGA 3S, 06 BY 10347 OUAGADOUGOU 06, BURKINA FASO.

Email address, Mophou: gisele.mophou@univ-antilles.fr

MARYSE MOUTAMAL, UNIVERSITY OF BUEA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BUEA, CAMEROON.

Email address: maryse.moutamal@aims-cameroon.org

MAHAMADI WARMA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES AND THE CENTER FOR MATHEMATICS AND ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE (CMAI), GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY, FAIRFAX, VA 22030, USA.

Email address: mwarma@gmu.edu