

SUPER TOTAL LOCAL ANTIMAGIC COLORING OF GRAPHS

RAVINDRA PAWAR AND TARKESHWAR SINGH

ABSTRACT. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a finite simple undirected graph without isolated vertices. A bijective map $f : V \cup E \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, |V| + |E|\}$ is called total local antimagic labeling if for each edge $uv \in E, w(u) \neq w(v)$, where $w(v)$ is a weight of a vertex v defined by $w(v) = \sum_{x \in NT(v)} f(x)$, where $NT(v) = N(v) \cup \{uv : uv \in E\}$ is the total open neighborhood of a vertex v . Further, f is called *super vertex total local antimagic labeling* or *super edge total local antimagic labeling* if $f(V) = \{1, 2, \dots, |V|\}$ or $f(E) = \{1, 2, \dots, |E|\}$, respectively. The labeling f induces a proper vertex coloring of G . The *super vertex (edge) total local antimagic chromatic number* of a graph G is the minimum number of colors used over all colorings of G induced by super vertex (edge) total local antimagic labeling of G . In this paper, we discuss the super vertex (edge) total local antimagic chromatic number of some families of graphs.

Keywords: Antimagic Graph, Local Antimagic Graph, Local Antimagic Chromatic Number, Super Vertex (Edge) Total Labeling.

AMS Subject Classifications: 05C 78.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout the paper, we assume that $G = (V, E)$ is a simple finite undirected graph without isolated vertices. By a *labeling* of a graph, we mean a one-to-one correspondence between the labeling set and the elements of a graph (vertices or edges or both). Accordingly, there are many variants of graph labelings viz vertex labeling, edge labeling, super vertex/edge labeling, etc. We refer West [8] for graph theoretic terminology and notations.

Hartsfield and Ringel [5] introduced the concept of *antimagic labeling* of a graph G : let $f : E \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, |E|\}$ be a bijective map. The weight of a vertex v is defined by $w(v) := \sum_{uv \in E} f(uv)$ and for given any pair of vertices u, v their weights are distinct, i.e. $w(u) \neq w(v)$. They conjectured that “any graph G other than K_2 is antimagic,” which is open till date.

Arumugam et al. [1] and Bensmail et al. [2] independently introduced a local version of antimagic labeling of a graph G . The edge labeling f is said to be local antimagic labeling if $w(u) \neq w(v)$, whenever uv is an edge in G .

Once we treat vertex weights as colors, the local antimagic labeling naturally induces a proper vertex colouring. The *local antimagic chromatic number* of a graph

Ravindra Pawar: p20200020@goa.bits-pilani.ac.in.
Tarkeshwar Singh: tksingh@goa.bits-pilani.ac.in.

G , denoted by $\chi_{la}(G)$ is the minimum number of colors used over all colorings of G induced by local antimagic labeling of G .

A bijective map $f : V \cup E \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, |V| + |E|\}$ is called total labeling. Recently researchers extended the notion of local antimagic chromatic number by calculating weights of vertices induced by local antimagic total labelings of graphs, where $w(v) = f(v) + \sum_{uv \in E} f(uv)$ (see, [3, 7, 6]).

Motivated by the above, we define the following:

Definition 1.1. The *total open neighborhood* of a vertex $u \in V$ is denoted by $NT(u)$ and is given by $NT(u) = N(u) \cup \{uv : uv \in E\}$, where $N(u)$ is an open neighborhood of a vertex u in a graph G or in other words the total open neighborhood of a vertex u in a graph is the collection of all vertices (except u) adjacent to u together with all the edges incident to u .

The total closed neighborhood of a vertex u , denoted by $NT[u]$ is obtained by adding u to $NT(u)$, i.e. $NT[u] = NT(u) \cup \{u\}$.

Definition 1.2. Given a graph $G = (V, E)$. A bijective map $f : V \cup E \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, |V| + |E|\}$ is called total local antimagic labeling if for each edge $uv \in E$, $w(u) \neq w(v)$, where $w(v)$ is a weight of v given by $w(v) = \sum_{x \in NT(v)} f(x)$. Such a function f is called a super vertex total local antimagic labeling if $f(V) = \{1, 2, \dots, |V|\}$ and it is called super edge total local antimagic labeling if $f(E) = \{1, 2, \dots, |E|\}$.

A super vertex (edge) total local antimagic labeling induces a proper vertex coloring of G by considering the vertex weights as colours. Hence, we define the super vertex (edge) total local antimagic chromatic number as follows :

The *super vertex total local antimagic chromatic number* of a graph G , denoted by $\chi_{svtla}(G)$, is the minimum number of colors used over all colorings of G induced by super vertex total local antimagic labeling of G .

The *super edge total local antimagic chromatic number* of a graph G , denoted by $\chi_{setla}(G)$, is the minimum number of colors used over all colorings of G induced by super edge total local antimagic labeling of G . By definition we have $\chi(G) \leq \chi_{svtla}(G)$ and $\chi(G) \leq \chi_{setla}(G)$, respectively.

We abbreviate ‘‘super vertex total local antimagic’’ as ‘‘svtla’’ and ‘‘super edge total local antimagic’’ as ‘‘setla’’. In this paper, we discuss the super vertex (edge) total local antimagic chromatic number for a graph and study it for some graph families. This notion is a natural extension of the existing local antimagic chromatic number of graphs.

Definition 1.3. A magic rectangle $MR(m, n)$ of size $m \times n$ is a rectangular arrangement of first mn natural numbers such that the sum of all entries in each row is the same and the sum of all entries in each column is the same.

Harmuth gave the following theorem [4], which gives the necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a magic rectangle of a given order.

Theorem 1.4. [4] *A magic rectangle $MR(a, b)$ exists if and only if $a, b > 1$, $ab > 4$, and $a \equiv b \pmod{2}$.*

If G admit a svtla labeling f , then the sum $\sum_{x \in V} w(x)$ counts the label of each vertex v exactly $\deg(v)$ times. Also, the label of an edge $e = uv$ is counted only in $w(u)$ and $w(v)$, i.e. exactly twice. Then we have the following observations.

Observation 1. If G admits svtla labeling f then $\sum_{x \in V} w(x) = \sum_{v \in V} \deg(v)f(v) + 2 \sum_{e \in E} f(e)$.

We have a similar observation for setla graph.

Observation 2. If G admits a setla labeling f then $\sum_{x \in V \cup E} w(x) = \sum_{v \in V} \deg(v)f(v) + 2 \sum_{e \in E} f(e)$.

In Section 2, we study the super vertex total local antimagic labeling of graphs and in Section 3, we study super edge total local antimagic labeling of graphs.

2. SUPER VERTEX TOTAL LOCAL ANTIMAGIC LABELING

Proposition 2.1. *For any svtla graph G with a vertex v having the largest number of pendent vertices l , $\chi_{svtla}(G) \geq l + 1$.*

Proof. Let G be a graph on n vertices and v_1, v_2, \dots, v_l be the pendent vertices adjacent to v . Let f be any svtla labeling of G . Then the weights of pendent vertices $w(v_i) = f(v) + f(vv_i)$ are all distinct and $w(v) \neq w(v_i)$ for each i , $1 \leq i \leq l$. Hence, f induces a proper $l + 1$ vertex coloring of G . This proves the proposition. \square

The following corollary is evident by Proposition 2.1.

Corollary 2.2. *For star $\chi_{svtla}(K_{1,n}) = n + 1$.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.1, $\chi_{svtla}(K_{1,n}) \geq n + 1$. We define super vertex total local antimagic labeling of $K_{1,n}$ as $f(c) = n + 1$ and $f(v_i) = i$ and $f(cv_i) = n + 1 + i$ for each i , $1 \leq i \leq n$ as shown in Figure 1, therefore $\chi_{svtla}(K_{1,n}) \leq n + 1$. This proves that $\chi_{svtla}(K_{1,n}) = n + 1$. \square

Corollary 2.3. *If a tree T with the largest number of pendent vertices equals l at a vertex, $\chi_{svtla}(T) \geq l + 1$.*

Theorem 2.4. *For a path P_n with $n \geq 3$, $3 \leq \chi_{svtla}(P_n) \leq 5$.*

Proof. It is easy to see that $\chi_{svtla}(P_2) = 2$ and $\chi_{svtla}(P_3) = 3$ as shown in Figure 2. Let $n \geq 4$ and P_n be path on n vertices with the vertex set $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ and $e_i = v_i v_{i+1}$ be edges. First, we will prove the lower bound. Let f be svtla labeling of P_n . Then $w(v_1) = f(e_1) + f(v_2)$ and $w(v_3) = f(v_2) + f(v_4) + f(e_2) + f(e_3)$. If $w(v_1) = w(v_3)$ then we get $f(e_1) = f(e_2) + f(e_3) + f(v_4)$. Now the value of $f(e_1)$ is at most $2n - 1$ and if we assign minimum labels to e_2, e_3, v_4 we get $f(e_2) + f(e_3) + f(v_4) = 2n + 4 > 2n - 1 = f(e_1)$. This contradiction proves that $w(v_1) \neq w(v_3)$. Also, $w(v_1) \neq w(v_2)$ and $w(v_2) \neq w(v_3)$ since $v_1 v_2, v_2 v_3 \in E(P_n)$ and f is svtla labeling. Hence, for $n \geq 4$,

$$(1) \quad \chi_{svtla}(P_n) \geq 3.$$




FIGURE 1. svtla labeling of a star.

Now for the upper bound, we have the following two cases:

Case-1: When $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, then we define super vertex total labeling f by

$$f(v_i) = \begin{cases} i-1 & \text{if } i \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \\ i & \text{if } i \equiv 1 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{4} \\ i+1 & \text{if } i \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \text{ and } i \neq n \\ n & \text{if } i = n \end{cases}$$

and $f(e_i) = 2n - i$. Therefore, $w(v_1) = f(v_2) + f(e_1) = 2 + (2n - 1) = 2n + 1$, $w(v_{n-1}) = f(v_{n-2}) + f(v_n) + f(e_{n-2}) + f(e_{n-1}) = (n-2) + n + (2n - n + 2) + (2n - n + 1) = 4n + 1$, and $w(v_n) = f(v_{n-1}) + f(e_{n-1}) = (n-1) + (2n - n + 1) = 2n$. Now for each i , $2 \leq i \leq n-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} w(v_i) &= f(v_{i-1}) + f(v_{i+1}) + f(e_{i-1}) + f(e_i) \\ &= \begin{cases} i + (i+1) + (2n - (i-1)) + (2n - i) & \text{if } i \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \\ (i-2) + (i+1) + (2n - (i-1)) + (2n - i) & \text{if } i \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ (i-1) + (i+2) + (2n - (i-1)) + (2n - i) & \text{if } i \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \\ (i-1) + i + (2n - (i-1)) + (2n - i) & \text{if } i \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 4n & \text{if } i \text{ is odd} \\ 4n + 2 & \text{if } i \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, weights of adjacent vertices are distinct, i.e. f is svtla labeling of P_n , and it induces proper 5-coloring of P_n .

Case-2: When $n \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, then we define super vertex total labeling f by

$$f(v_i) = \begin{cases} i-1 & \text{if } i \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \text{ and} \\ i & \text{if } i \equiv 1 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{4} \text{ and} \\ i+1 & \text{if } i \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

and $f(e_i) = 2n - i$. Therefore, $w(v_1) = f(v_2) + f(e_1) = 2 + (2n - 1) = 2n + 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} w(v_n) &= f(v_{n-1}) + f(e_{n-1}) \\ &= \begin{cases} n + (2n - (n - 1)) & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \\ (n - 2) + (2n - (n - 1)) & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ (n - 1) + (2n - (n - 1)) & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \text{ or } 3 \pmod{4} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 2n + 1 & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \\ 2n - 1 & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ 2n & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \text{ or } 3 \pmod{4}, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and for each i , $2 \leq i \leq n - 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} w(v_i) &= f(v_{i-1}) + f(v_{i+1}) + f(e_{i-1}) + f(e_i) \\ &= f(v_{i-1}) + f(v_{i+1}) + (2n - (i - 1)) + (2n - i) \\ &= (4n - 2i + 1) + f(v_{i-1}) + f(v_{i+1}) \\ &= \begin{cases} (4n - 2i + 1) + i + (i + 1) & \text{if } i \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \\ (4n - 2i + 1) + (i - 2) + (i + 1) & \text{if } i \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ (4n - 2i + 1) + (i - 1) + (i + 2) & \text{if } i \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \\ (4n - 2i + 1) + (i - 1) + i & \text{if } i \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 4n & \text{if } i \text{ is odd} \\ 4n + 2 & \text{if } i \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Consider the following two sub-cases:

Subcase-(i): When $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, $w(v_1) = w(v_n) = 2n + 1$, and for each i , $2 \leq i \leq n - 1$, $w(v_i) = 4n$ or $4n + 2$. Hence, $\chi_{svtla}(P_n) \leq 3$. Also, by Equation 1, $\chi_{svtla}(P_n) \geq 3$. Therefore, $\chi_{svtla}(P_n) = 3$.

Subcase-(ii): When $n \equiv 1$ or $2 \pmod{4}$, $w(v_1) = 2n + 1$, $w(v_n) = 2n - 1$ and for each i , $2 \leq i \leq n - 1$, $w(v_i) = 4n$ or $4n + 2$. Therefore $\chi_{svtla}(P_n) \leq 4$.


Hence, $\chi_{svtla}(P_n) = 3$, when $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and

$$\chi_{svtla}(P_n) \leq \begin{cases} 4 & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{4} \\ 5 & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 2.5. For a cycle C_n with $n \geq 3$, $\chi_{svtla}(C_n) \leq 4$.

Proof. Let C_n be cycle on $n \geq 3$ with vertex set $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ and $e_i = v_i v_{i+1}$ be edges, where subscripts are taken modulo n .

FIGURE 2. svtla of P_2, P_3 and P_5 .

Now we have the following two cases:

Case 1: When $n \equiv 3(\pmod{4})$, then we define super vertex total labeling f by

$$f(v_i) = \begin{cases} i-1 & \text{if } i \equiv 0(\pmod{4}) \\ i & \text{if } i \equiv 1 \text{ or } 2(\pmod{4}) \\ i+1 & \text{if } i \equiv 3(\pmod{4}), i \neq n \\ n, & \text{if } i = n \end{cases}$$

and

$$f(e_i) = \begin{cases} 2n-i+1 & \text{if } i \neq n \\ n+1 & \text{if } i = n. \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} w(v_1) &= f(v_2) + f(v_n) + f(e_1) + f(e_n) \\ &= 2 + n + 2n + (n+1) \\ &= 4n + 3 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} w(v_n) &= f(v_1) + f(v_{n-1}) + f(e_{n-1}) + f(e_n) \\ &= 1 + (n-1) + (n+2) + (n+1) \\ &= 3n + 3. \end{aligned}$$

Now for each i , $2 \leq i \leq n-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} w(v_i) &= f(v_{i-1}) + f(v_{i+1}) + f(e_{i-1}) + f(e_i) \\ &= (4n-2i+3) + f(v_{i-1}) + f(v_{i+1}) \\ &= \begin{cases} (4n-2i+3) + i + (i+1) & \text{if } i \equiv 0(\pmod{4}) \\ (4n-2i+3) + (i-2) + (i+1) & \text{if } i \equiv 1(\pmod{4}) \\ (4n-2i+3) + (i-1) + (i+2) & \text{if } i \equiv 2(\pmod{4}) \\ (4n-2i+3) + (i-1) + i & \text{if } i \equiv 3(\pmod{4}) \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 4n+4 & \text{if } i \text{ is even} \\ 4n+2 & \text{if } i \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that f is svtla labeling of C_n and it induces 4 proper vertex coloring of C_n .

Case 2: When $n \not\equiv 3(\pmod{4})$, then we define super vertex total labeling f by

$$f(v_i) = \begin{cases} i-1 & \text{if } i \equiv 0(\pmod{4}) \\ i & \text{if } i \equiv 1 \text{ or } 2(\pmod{4}) \\ i+1 & \text{if } i \equiv 3(\pmod{4}) \end{cases}$$

and

$$f(e_i) = \begin{cases} 2n - i & \text{if } i \neq n \\ 2n & \text{if } i = n. \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} w(v_1) &= f(v_2) + f(v_n) + f(e_1) + f(e_n) \\ &= 2 + f(v_n) + (2n - 1) + 2n \\ &= 4n + 1 + f(v_n) \\ &= \begin{cases} 4n + 1 + (n - 1) & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \\ 4n + 1 + n & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{4} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 5n & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \\ 5n + 1 & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{4} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} w(v_n) &= f(v_1) + f(v_{n-1}) + f(e_{n-1}) + f(e_n) \\ &= 1 + f(v_{n-1}) + (n + 1) + 2n \\ &= (3n + 2) + f(v_{n-1}) \\ &= \begin{cases} (3n + 2) + n & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \\ (3n + 2) + (n - 2) & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ (3n + 2) + (n - 1) & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 4n + 2 & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \\ 4n & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ 4n + 1 & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Now for each i , $2 \leq i \leq n - 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} w(v_i) &= f(v_{i-1}) + f(v_{i+1}) + f(e_{i-1}) + f(e_i) \\ &= f(v_{i-1}) + f(v_{i+1}) + (2n - i + 1) + (2n - i) \\ &= (4n - 2i + 1) + f(v_{i-1}) + f(v_{i+1}) \\ &= \begin{cases} (4n - 2i + 1) + i + (i + 1) & \text{if } i \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \\ (4n - 2i + 1) + (i - 2) + (i + 1) & \text{if } i \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ (4n - 2i + 1) + (i - 1) + (i + 2) & \text{if } i \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \\ (4n - 2i + 1) + (i - 1) + i & \text{if } i \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 4n + 2 & \text{if } i \text{ is even} \\ 4n & \text{if } i \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

This proves that f is svvla labeling. Now, we have the following subcases:

Subcase (i): When $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, $w(v_1) = 5n$, $w(v_n) = 4n + 2$ and for each i , $2 \leq i \leq n - 1$, $w(v_i) = 4n$ or $4n + 2$. Hence, $\chi_{svvla}(C_n) \leq 3$.

Subcase (ii): When $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, $w(v_1) = 5n + 1$, $w(v_n) = 4n$ and for each i , $2 \leq i \leq n - 1$, $w(v_i) = 4n$ or $4n + 2$. Hence, $\chi_{svtla}(C_n) \leq 3$. Also, $3 = \chi(C_n) \leq \chi_{svtla}(C_n) \leq 3$. This proves $\chi_{svtla}(C_n) = 3$.

Subcase (iii): When $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $w(v_1) = 5n + 1$, $w(v_n) = 4n + 1$ and for each i , $2 \leq i \leq n - 1$, $w(v_i) = 4n$ or $4n + 2$. Hence, $\chi_{svtla}(C_n) \leq 4$.

This completes the proof. \square




FIGURE 3. svtla labeling of C_5 .

As observed in the Theorem 2.4, for $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, $\chi_{svtla}(P_n) = \chi(P_n) + 1$ and also in the Theorem 2.5, for $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, $\chi_{svtla}(C_n) = \chi(C_n)$. Then the following question arises.

Problem 1. Characterise graphs G for which $\chi_{svtla}(G) = \chi(G)$.

Theorem 2.6. For $n \geq 2$, $\chi_{svtla}(K_n) = n$.

Proof. We will prove the result by induction on n . By Theorem 2.4, we know that $\chi_{svtla}(K_2) = 2$ and by Theorem 2.5, $\chi_{svtla}(K_2 + K_1) = \chi_{svtla}(K_3) \equiv \chi_{svtla}(C_3) = 3$. Hence, the result is true for $n = 2$. Assume that for a given $n \geq 3$, $\chi_{svtla}(K_n) = n$ with svtla labeling f . Without loss of generality we may assume that v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n are the vertices of K_n such that $w_f(v_1) < w_f(v_2) < \dots < w_f(v_n)$. Let e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m be the edges of K_n , where $m = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$. Let $V(K_1) = v_0$. We define super vertex total labeling g of $K_n + K_1$ by

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = v_0 \\ f(v_i) + 1 & \text{if } x = v_i, 1 \leq i \leq n \\ f(e_i) + 1 & \text{if } x = e_i, 1 \leq i \leq m \\ m + n + 1 + i & \text{if } x = v_0v_i, 1 \leq i \leq n. \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} w_g(v_0) &= \sum_{v \in V(G)} f(v) + \sum_{i=1}^n f(v_0v_i) \\ &= (2 + 3 + \cdots + (n + 1)) + \sum_{i=1}^n (m + n + 1 + i) \\ &= \frac{n^3 + 4n^2 + 7n}{2} \end{aligned}$$

and for $1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$\begin{aligned} w_g(v_i) &= \sum_{y \in NT_{K_n}(v_i)} f(y) + m + n + 1 + i \\ &= w_f(v_i) + 2(n - 1) + (m + n + 1 + i). \end{aligned}$$

From the expression of $w_g(v_i)$, it is clear that $w_g(v_1) < w_g(v_2) < \cdots < w_g(v_n)$. Now we show that $w_g(v_0) > w(v_i)$ for each i , $1 \leq i \leq n$. Consider any vertex v_i . If we assign largest labels from the set $\{1, 2, \dots, \frac{n(n+1)}{2}\}$ to the elements in $NT(v_i)$ then we obtain

$$w_g(v_i) \leq \left(\frac{n^2 + n}{2} - (2n - 3) \right) + \left(\frac{n^2 + n}{2} - (2n - 2) \right) + \cdots + \frac{n^2 + n}{2} = n^3 - 2n^2 + 4n - 3.$$

Now for $n \geq 3$, $w_g(v_0) = \frac{n^3 + 4n^2 + 7n}{2} > n^3 - 2n^2 + 4n - 3 \geq w_g(v_i)$ where $1 \leq i \leq n$. Hence, all the vertex weights in $K_n + K_1$ are distinct. This proves the theorem. \square

Now we calculate the super vertex total local antimagic chromatic number of a complete bipartite graph $K_{m,n}$.

Theorem 2.7. $\chi_{svtla}(K_{m,n}) = 2$ if and only if $m, n > 1, mn > 4$ and $m \equiv n \pmod{2}$.

Proof. Suppose $m, n > 1, mn > 4$ and $m \equiv n \pmod{2}$. Then by Theorem 1.4, there exists a magic rectangle $MR(m, n)$ with row sum $\frac{n(mn+1)}{2}$, column sum $\frac{m(mn+1)}{2}$. Let $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}$ and $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ be bipartition of the vertex set of $K_{m,n}$ with $m \leq n$. Adding $(m + n)$ to the each entry of $MR(m, n)$ we obtain a new rectangle say $R = [r_{i,j}]_{m \times n}$ in which row sum is $\rho = n(m + n) + \frac{n(mn+1)}{2}$ and column sum is $\sigma = m(m + n) + \frac{m(mn+1)}{2}$. Define the super vertex total labeling f by

$$f(a) = \begin{cases} i & \text{if } a = x_i \\ m + i & \text{if } a = y_i \\ r_{i,j} & \text{if } a = x_iy_j \end{cases}$$

Then for any i , $1 \leq i \leq m$ and for any j , $1 \leq j \leq n$,

$$\begin{aligned}
w(x_i) &= \sum_{j=1}^n f(y_j) + \rho \\
&= \left(mn + \frac{n(n+1)}{2} \right) + \left(mn + n^2 + \frac{mn^2 + n}{2} \right) \\
&= \frac{(2+m)n^2 + (2+4m)n}{2} \\
w(y_j) &= \sum_{i=1}^m f(x_i) + \sigma \\
&= \frac{m(m+1)}{2} + \left(m^2 + mn + \frac{m^2n + m}{2} \right) \\
&= \frac{(n+3)m^2 + (2n+2)m}{2}
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to observe that weights of vertices in independent sets are the same and $w(x_i) < w(y_j)$. Therefore f is svvla labeling, and it induces 2 colors. Hence, $\chi_{svvla}(K_{m,n}) \leq 2$. We know, $2 = \chi(K_{m,n}) \leq \chi_{svvla}(K_{m,n})$. Therefore, $\chi_{svvla}(K_{m,n}) = 2$.

Conversely, suppose that $\chi_{svvla}(K_{m,n}) = 2$ with svvla labeling f . We must have $w(x_i) \neq w(y_j)$ for any i , $1 \leq i \leq m$ and for any j , $1 \leq j \leq n$ and weights of vertices in the independent sets must be same. We can form a magic rectangle $MR(m, n)$ with (i, j) th entry as $f(x_i y_j) - (m+n)$ so that row sum is equal to $w(y_j) - n(m+n)$ (where $w(y_j)$ is same for each j , $1 \leq j \leq n$) and the column sum is equal to $w(x_i) - m(m+n)$ (where $w(x_i)$ is same for each i , $1 \leq i \leq m$). Hence, by Theorem 1.4, m and n satisfy the required conditions. This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 2.8. *For any $n \geq 1$,*

$$\chi_{svvla}(K_{2,n}) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } n \geq 4 \text{ and } n \text{ is even} \\ 3 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd or } n = 2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We know that $\chi_{svvla}(K_{2,1}) = \chi_{svvla}(K_{2,2}) = 3$. Let $n \geq 3$. If n is even by Theorem 2.7, $\chi_{svvla}(K_{2,n}) = 2$. Let n be odd. Again by Theorem 2.7, $\chi_{svvla}(K_{2,n}) \geq 3$. We will show that $\chi_{svvla}(K_{2,n}) \leq 3$. Let $\{x, y\}$ and $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$ be bipartition of $K_{2,n}$. By Corollary 2.2, $\chi_{svvla}(K_{2,1}) = \chi_{svvla}(P_3) = 3$ and by Theorem 2.5, $\chi_{svvla}(K_{2,2}) = \chi_{svvla}(C_4) \leq 3$. For $n \geq 3$, define super vertex total labeling of $K_{2,n}$ by $f(x) = 1, f(y) = 2$, for each i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, $f(u_i) = 2 + i$, and

$$f(au_i) = \begin{cases} n + 2 + i & \text{if } a = xu_i \\ 3n + 3 - i & \text{if } a = yu_i \end{cases}$$

Now we calculate the vertex weights: For each i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, $w(u_i) = 4n + 8$, $w(x) = 2n^2 + 5n$, $w(y) = 3n^2 + 5n$. Observe that, $w(x) < w(u_i) < w(y)$. Hence, f is svvla labeling and induces 3 colors. Therefore, $\chi_{svvla}(K_{2,n}) \leq 3$. Hence, $\chi_{svvla}(K_{2,n}) = 3$. \square

Let $S_{n,t}$ be a graph obtained by replacing each edge of a star S_n by a path of length $t + 1$ (see Figure 4). We calculate the svvla chromatic number of $S_{n,t}$ for $t = 1, 2$. Let vv_i be replaced by path $vv_{i,1}, v_{i,2}, \dots, v_{i,t+2}$.

Theorem 2.9. $\chi_{svvla}(S_{n,t}) \leq n + t + 1$, for $t = 1, 2$.

Proof. We define the super vertex total labeling of $S_{n,t}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} f(v) &= nt + n + 1, \\ f(v_{i,j}) &= i + n(j - 1) && \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq t + 1, \\ f(vv_{i,1}) &= n(2t + 1) + 1 + i && \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq n, \\ f(v_{i,j-1}v_{i,j}) &= n(2t + 3 - j) - i + 2 && \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq n, 2 \leq j \leq t + 1. \end{aligned}$$

The weights of vertices induced by f are:

$$\begin{aligned} w(x) &= \sum_{i=1}^n f(v_{i,1}) + \sum_{i=1}^n f(v_{i,1}v_{i,2}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n i + \sum_{i=1}^n (2nt + n + 1 + i) \\ &= 2n^2(1 + t) + 2n \end{aligned}$$

and for each i , $1 \leq i \leq n$,


$$\begin{aligned} w(v_{i,1}) &= f(v) + f(v_{i,2}) + f(vv_{i,1}) + f(v_{i,2}v_{i,1}) \\ &= (nt + n + 1) + (n + i) + (2nt + n + 1 + i) + (2nt + n + 2 - i) \\ &= n(5t + 4) + 4 + i, \\ w(v_{i,2}) &= f(v_{i,1}) + f(v_{i,3}) + f(v_{i,2}v_{i,1}) + f(v_{i,2}v_{i,3}) \\ &= (i) + (i + 2n) + (2nt + n + 2 - i) + (2nt + 2 - i) \\ &= 4nt + 3n + 4, \\ w(v_{i,3}) &= f(v_{i,2}) + f(v_{i,3}v_{i,2}) \\ &= (n + i) + (2nt + 2 - i) \\ &= 2nt + n + 2. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, f is a svvla labeling of $S_{n,t}$, and f induces $n + t + 1$ distinct colors. Hence, $\chi_{svvla}(S_{n,t}) \leq n + t + 1$. \square

Let $B_{m,n}$ be the bi-star with vertex set $\{x, y, x_i, y_j, : 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ with centers x and y and edge set $\{xy, xx_i, yy_j : 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$. We calculate the super vertex total local antimagic chromatic number $\chi_{svvla}(B_{m,n})$.

Theorem 2.10. For the bi-star $B_{m,n}$, $\chi_{svvla}(B_{m,n}) = n + 2$.

Proof. Let $m \leq n$. By the Theorem 2.1, $n + 1 \leq \chi_{svvla}(B_{m,n})$. First we show that $\chi_{svvla}(B_{m,n}) > n + 1$. Let f be any svvla labeling of $B_{m,n}$. We know that weights of all pendent vertices y_i are distinct and $w(y) \neq w(y_i)$ for any i , $1 \leq i \leq n$. If $w(x) = w(y_i)$ then we obtain $f(x) + f(xx_i) = f(x) + f(xy) + \sum_{i=1}^n (f(y_i) + f(yy_i))$. This implies, $f(xx_i) = f(xy) + \sum_{i=1}^n (f(y_i) + f(yy_i))$ which is not possible. Therefore, $\chi_{svvla}(B_{m,n}) \geq n + 2$. For the upper bound, we define super vertex total labeling

FIGURE 4. svtla labeling of $S_{5,2}$.

f of $B_{m,n}$ as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(x) &= m + n + 2 \\
 f(y) &= n + 2 \\
 f(xy) &= 2m + 2n + 3 \\
 f(x_i) &= i & 1 \leq i \leq m \\
 f(xx_i) &= m + n + 2 + i & 1 \leq i \leq m \\
 f(yy_i) &= 2m + n + 2 + i & 1 \leq i \leq n
 \end{aligned}$$

and we label the vertices y_i by $\{m + 1, m + 2, \dots, m + n, m + n + 1\} - \{n + 2\}$ in any manner. The sum of these y_i labels is $\frac{n^2 + 2mn + 2m + n - 2}{2}$. Now we calculate the weights:

$$\begin{aligned}
 w(x) &= f(y) + f(xy) + \sum_{i=1}^m (f(x_i) + f(xx_i)) \\
 &= (n + 2) + (2m + 2n + 3) + \sum_{i=1}^m (m + n + 2 + 2i) \\
 &= 2m^2 + mn + 5m + 3n + 5, \\
 w(y) &= f(x) + f(xy) + \sum_{i=1}^n f(y_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n f(yy_i) \\
 &= (m + n + 2) + (2m + 2n + 3) + \frac{n^2 + 2mn + 2m + n - 2}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^n (2m + n + 2 + i) \\
 &= 2n^2 + 3mn + 4m + 6n + 4.
 \end{aligned}$$

The weights of pendent vertices $w(x_i) = f(x) + f(xx_i) = m + n + 4 + i$ for each i , $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $w(y_j) = f(y) + f(yy_j) = 2m + 2n + 4 + j$ for each j , $1 \leq j \leq n$. Thus f is a required svtla labeling which induces $n + 2$ colors. Hence, $\chi_{svtla}(B_{m,n}) = n + 2$. \square




FIGURE 5. svtla labeling of bi-star.


Theorem 2.11. *If G is an r -regular graph then $\chi_{svtla}(G \circ K_1) \leq \chi_{svtla}(G) + 1$.*

Proof. Let G be an r -regular graph with $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ and m edges. Let f be svtla labeling of G . Without loss of generality, we may assume that $f(v_i) = i$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ be newly added vertices to obtain $H = G \circ K_1$ such that there is an edge $e_i = v_i x_i$ for each i , $1 \leq i \leq n$. Define super vertex total labeling g of $G \circ K_1$ by

$$\begin{aligned} g(v_i) &= f(v_i) = i & 1 \leq i \leq n \\ g(x_i) &= n + i & 1 \leq i \leq n \\ g(e_i) &= 2n + m - i + 1 & 1 \leq i \leq m \\ g(e) &= 2n + f(e) & \text{where } e \in E(G). \end{aligned}$$

Now we calculate the weight of each vertex in $G \circ K_1$ induced by super vertex total labeling g . For each i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, $w_g(x_i) = g(v_i) + g(e_i) = 2n + m + 1$ and $w_g(v_i) = g(v_i) + g(e_i) = 2n + m + 1$. Now for any vertex $v_i \in V(G)$,

$$\begin{aligned} w_g(v_i) &= \sum_{x \in NT_H(v_i)} g(x) \\ &= g(x_i) + g(e_i) + \sum_{x \in NT_G(v_i)} g(x) \\ &= (3n + m + 1) + \sum_{x \in NT_G(v_i)} g(x) \\ &= (3n + m + 1) + \sum_{u \in N_G(v_i)} g(u) + \sum_{uv_i \in E(G)} g(uv_i) \\ &= (3n + m + 1) + \sum_{u \in N_G(v_i)} f(u) + \sum_{uv_i \in E(G)} (2n + f(uv_i)) \\ &= (3n + m + 1) + 2nr + \sum_{u \in N_G(v_i)} f(u) + \sum_{uv_i \in E(G)} f(uv_i) \\ &= (3n + m + 1) + 2nr + w_f(v_i). \end{aligned}$$

FIGURE 6. svtla labeling of $(K_4 - e) \circ K_1$ and $K_4 - e$.

Which is independent of i . Hence, g is a svtla labeling of $G \circ K_1$ and $\chi_{svtla}(G \circ K_1) \leq \chi_{svtla}(G) + 1$. \square

Also, in addition to regular graphs, some non-regular graphs follow the inequality obtained in Theorem 2.11. For example: $\chi_{svtla}(K_4 - e) = 3$ and $\chi_{svtla}((K_4 - e) \circ K_1) = 4 \leq \chi_{svtla}(K_4 - e) + 1$ (see Figure 6). The following question arises naturally.

Problem 2. Characterise the graphs G for which $\chi_{svtla}(G \circ K_1) = \chi_{svtla}(G) + 1$.

Problem 3. Let G and H be super vertex total local antimagic graphs. Determine the $\chi_{svtla}(G \circ H)$.

3. SUPER EDGE TOTAL LOCAL ANTIMAGIC LABELING

Proposition 3.1. For any graph G with a vertex v having the largest number of pendent vertices l , $\chi_{setla}(G) \geq l + 1$.

Proof. Let G be a graph on n vertices and v_1, v_2, \dots, v_l be pendent vertices at v . Let f be setla labeling. Then the l weights $w(v_i) = f(v) + f(vv_i)$ are all distinct and $w(v) > w(v_i)$ where $1 \leq i \leq l$. Hence, f induces $l + 1$ colors. This proves the theorem. \square

The proof of the following corollary is evident from Proposition 2.1.

Corollary 3.2. For a star $\chi_{setla}(K_{1,n}) = n + 1$.

Corollary 3.3. If a tree T with the largest number of pendent vertices equals l at a vertex, then $\chi_{setla}(T) \geq l + 1$.

Theorem 3.4. For a path P_n , $3 \leq \chi_{setla}(P_n) \leq 5$.

Proof. It is easy to see that $\chi_{setla}(P_2) = 2$ and $\chi_{setla}(P_3) = 3$ (see Figure 8). Let P_n be a path with vertex set $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ and $e_i = v_i v_{i+1}$ be edges, where $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$, where $n \geq 4$. First, we establish the lower bound. Let f setla labeling of P_n . Then, $w(v_1) = f(v_2) + f(e_1)$ and $w(v_3) = f(v_2) + f(v_4) + f(e_2) + f(e_3)$. If $w(v_1) = w(v_3)$ then we obtain $f(e_1) = f(v_4) + f(e_2) + f(e_3)$. Which is impossible since $f(e_1) \leq n - 1$ and $f(v_4) + f(e_2) + f(e_3) \geq n$. Therefore, $w(v_1) \neq w(v_3)$. Also $w(v_1) \neq w(v_2)$ and $w(v_2) \neq w(v_3)$ since f is setla labeling and $v_1 v_2, v_2 v_3 \in E(P_n)$. This proves that

$$(2) \quad \chi_{setla}(P_n) \geq 3.$$




FIGURE 7. setla labeling of a star.

To prove the upper bound, we consider the following two cases:

Case 1: When $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, define super edge total labeling f by

$$f(e_i) = \begin{cases} i-1 & \text{if } i \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \\ i & \text{if } i \equiv 1 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{4} \text{ and } i \neq n-2 \\ i+1 & \text{if } i \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \text{ and } i \neq n-1 \\ n-1 & \text{if } i = n-2 \\ n-2 & \text{if } i = n-1 \end{cases}$$

and $f(v_i) = 2n - i$. Therefore, $w(v_1) = f(v_2) + f(e_1) = 1 + (2n - 2) = 2n - 1$,

$w(v_n) = f(v_{n-1}) + f(e_{n-1}) = (n+1) + (n-2) = 2n - 1$,

$w(v_{n-1}) = f(v_{n-2}) + f(v_n) + f(e_{n-2}) + f(e_{n-1}) = (n+2) + n + (n-1) + (n-2) = 4n - 1$,

$w(v_{n-2}) = f(v_{n-3}) + f(v_{n-1}) + f(e_{n-3}) + f(e_{n-2}) = (n+3) + (n+1) + (n-2) + (n-1) = 4n$.

Now for each i , $2 \leq i \leq n-3$,

$$\begin{aligned} w(v_i) &= f(v_{i-1}) + f(v_{i+1}) + f(e_{i-1}) + f(e_i) \\ &= 2n - (i-1) + 2n - (i+1) + f(e_{i-1}) + f(e_i) \\ &= 4n - 2i + f(e_{i-1}) + f(e_i) \\ &= 4n - 2i + \begin{cases} i + (i-1) & \text{if } i \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \\ (i-2) + i & \text{if } i \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ (i-1) + i & \text{if } i \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \\ (i-1) + (i+1) & \text{if } i \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \text{ and } i \neq n \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 4n - 1 & \text{if } i \text{ is even} \\ 4n - 2 & \text{if } i \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ 4n & \text{if } i \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Observe that f is setla labeling and induces 4 colors.

Case 2: When $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, define super edge total labeling f by

$$f(e_i) = \begin{cases} i-1 & \text{if } i \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \\ i & \text{if } i \equiv 1 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{4} \\ i+1 & \text{if } i \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

and $f(v_i) = 2n - i$. Therefore, $w(v_1) = f(v_2) + f(e_1) = (2n - 2) + 1 = 2n - 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} w(v_n) &= f(v_{n-1}) + f(e_{n-1}) \\ &= n + 1 + \begin{cases} n-2 & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ n-1 & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \text{ or } 3 \pmod{4} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 2n-1 & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ 2n & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \text{ or } 3 \pmod{4} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and for $2 \leq i \leq n-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} w(v_i) &= f(v_{i-1}) + f(v_{i+1}) + f(e_{i-1}) + f(e_i) \\ &= [2n - (i-1)] + [2n - (i+1)] + f(e_{i-1}) + f(e_i) \\ &= (4n - 2i) + f(e_{i-1}) + f(e_i) \\ &= (4n - 2i) + \begin{cases} i + (i-1) & \text{if } i \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \\ (i-2) + i & \text{if } i \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ (i-1) + i & \text{if } i \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \\ (i-1) + (i+1) & \text{if } i \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 4n-1 & \text{if } i \text{ is even} \\ 4n-2 & \text{if } i \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ 4n & \text{if } i \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Subcase (i): When $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, $w(v_1) = w(v_n) = 2n - 1$ and for each i , $2 \leq i \leq n-1$, $w(v_i)$ is $4n-1$ or $4n-2$ or $4n$. Therefore, $\chi_{setla}(P_n) \leq 4$.

Subcase (ii): When $n \equiv 2$ or $3 \pmod{4}$, $w(v_1) = 2n - 1$, $w(v_n) = 2n$ and for each i , $2 \leq i \leq n-1$, $w(v_i)$ is $4n-1$ or $4n-2$ or $4n$. Therefore, $\chi_{setla}(P_n) \leq 5$. Hence, $3 \leq \chi_{setla}(P_n) \leq 5$. This completes the proof. \square




FIGURE 8. setla labeling of P_2 , P_3 and P_5 .

Proposition 3.5. $\chi_{setla}(C_4) = 3$.

Proof. Consider a setla cycle C_4 on vertices v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 and with setla labeling f . Since, f is setla and $v_1v_2, v_2v_3 \in E(C_4)$, we have $w(v_1) \neq w(v_2)$ and $w(v_2) \neq w(v_3)$. We will show that $\chi_{setla}(C_4) = 3$. On the contrary, suppose $\chi_{setla}(C_4) = 2$. We must have, $w(v_1) = w(v_3)$, which implies $f(e_1) + f(e_4) = f(e_2) + f(e_3)$ and

$w(v_2) = w(v_4)$, which implies $f(e_1) + f(e_2) = f(e_3) + f(e_4)$. We obtain a contradiction $f(e_1) = f(e_3)$ from the last two equalities. Hence, $\chi_{setla}(C_4) \geq 3$. Also from Figure 9, $\chi_{setla}(C_4) \leq 3$. Therefore, $\chi_{setla}(C_4) = 3$. \square

Theorem 3.6. *For the cycle C_n , $n \geq 3$, $3 \leq \chi_{setla}(C_n) \leq 5$.*

Proof. It is easy to observe that $\chi_{setla}(C_3) = 3$. By Proposition 3.5, $\chi_{setla}(C_4) = 3$. Now consider the cycle C_n with vertex set $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ and $e_i = v_i v_{i+1}$ be edges for $n \geq 5$, where subscripts are taken modulo n . We consider the following two cases:

Case 1: When $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, define super edge total labeling f by

$$f(e_i) = \begin{cases} i-1 & \text{if } i \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \\ i & \text{if } i \equiv 1 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{4} \\ i+1 & \text{if } i \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, i \neq n \\ n, & \text{if } i = n \end{cases}$$

$$f(v_i) = \begin{cases} 2n-i+1 & \text{if } i \neq 1, 2 \\ 2n-1 & \text{if } i = 1 \\ 2n & \text{if } i = 2. \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} w(v_1) &= f(v_2) + f(v_n) + f(e_1) + f(e_n) \\ &= 2n + (n+1) + 1 + n \\ &= 4n + 2, \\ w(v_2) &= f(v_1) + f(v_3) + f(e_1) + f(e_2) \\ &= (2n-1) + (2n-2) + 1 + 2 \\ &= 4n, \\ w(v_3) &= f(v_2) + f(v_4) + f(e_2) + f(e_3) \\ &= 2n + (2n-3) + 2 + 4 \\ &= 4n + 3, \\ w(v_n) &= f(v_1) + f(v_{n-1}) + f(e_{n-1}) + f(e_n) \\ &= (2n-1) + (n+2) + (n-1) + n \\ &= 5n. \end{aligned}$$

Now for each i , $4 \leq i \leq n-1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
w(v_i) &= f(v_{i-1}) + f(v_{i+1}) + f(e_{i-1}) + f(e_i) \\
&= [2n - (i-1) + 1] + [2n - (i+1) + 1] + f(e_{i-1}) + f(e_i) \\
&= 4n + 2 - 2i + f(e_{i-1}) + f(e_i) \\
&= 4n + 2 - 2i + \begin{cases} i + (i-1) & \text{if } i \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \\ (i-2) + i & \text{if } i \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ (i-1) + i & \text{if } i \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \\ (i-1) + (i+1) & \text{if } i \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} 4n + 1 & \text{if } i \text{ is even} \\ 4n & \text{if } i \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ 4n + 2 & \text{if } i \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

This proves that f is setla labeling and induces 5 colors. Hence, $\chi_{setla}(C_n) \leq 5$.

Case 2: When $n \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, define super edge total labeling f by

$$f(e_i) = \begin{cases} i-1 & \text{if } i \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \\ i & \text{if } i \equiv 1 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{4} \\ i+1 & \text{if } i \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

and

$$f(v_i) = \begin{cases} 2n - i + 1 & \text{if } i \neq 1, 2 \\ 2n - 1 & \text{if } i = 1 \\ 2n & \text{if } i = 2. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, $w(v_2) = f(v_1) + f(v_3) + f(e_1) + f(e_2) = (2n-1) + (2n-2) + 1 + 2 = 4n$,
 $w(v_3) = f(v_2) + f(v_4) + f(e_2) + f(e_3) = 2n + (2n-3) + 2 + 4 = 4n + 3$,

$$\begin{aligned}
w(v_1) &= f(v_2) + f(v_n) + f(e_1) + f(e_n) \\
&= 2n + (n+1) + 1 + \begin{cases} n & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{4} \text{ and } n \neq 1 \\ n-1 & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} 4n + 2 & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{4} \\ 4n + 1 & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \end{cases} \\
w(v_n) &= f(v_1) + f(v_{n-1}) + f(e_{n-1}) + f(e_n) \\
&= (2n-1) + (n+2) + f(e_{n-1}) + f(e_n) \\
&= (3n+1) + \begin{cases} 2n-1 & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \\ 2n-2 & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ 2n-1 & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} 5n & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{4} \\ 5n-1 & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Now for each i , $2 \leq i \leq n - 1$,

$$w(v_i) = (4n - 2i + 2) + \begin{cases} 2i - 1 & \text{if } i \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \\ 2i - 2 & \text{if } i \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ 2i - 1 & \text{if } i \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \\ 2i & \text{if } i \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 4n & \text{if } i \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ 4n + 1 & \text{if } i \equiv 0 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{4} \\ 4n + 2 & \text{if } i \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Observe that f is setla labeling and induces 4 colors. Hence, $\chi_{setla}(C_n) \leq 4$. This completes the proof. \square




FIGURE 9. setla labeling of C_3, C_4 and C_5 .

Now we calculate the setla labeling for a complete bipartite graph $K_{m,n}$ for a few cases. When $m = n = 2$, then $K_{2,2} \cong C_4$ and by Proposition 3.5, $\chi_{setla}(C_4) = 3$ and for $m = 2$ and $n \geq 3$ we have the following result.

Theorem 3.7. $\chi_{setla}(K_{m,n}) = 2$ if and only if $m, n > 1, mn > 4$ and $m \equiv n \pmod{2}$.

Proof. Suppose $m, n > 1, mn > 4$ and $m \equiv n \pmod{2}$. Then by Theorem 1.4, there exists a magic rectangle $MR(m, n) = [r_{i,j}]_{m \times n}$ with row sum $\rho = \frac{n(mn+1)}{2}$, column sum $\sigma = \frac{m(mn+1)}{2}$. Let $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}$ and $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ be bipartition of the vertex set of $K_{m,n}$ with $m \leq n$. Define the super edge total labeling f by

$$f(a) = \begin{cases} mn + i & \text{if } a = x_i \\ mn + m + i & \text{if } a = y_i \\ r_{i,j} & \text{if } a = x_i y_j \end{cases}$$

Then for any $1 \leq i \leq m$ and for any $1 \leq j \leq n$,

$$w(x_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n f(y_j) + \rho$$

$$= n(mn + m) + \frac{n(n + 1)}{2} + \frac{n(mn + 1)}{2}$$

$$= (3m + 1)n^2 + (2m + 2)n,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
w(y_j) &= \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i) + \sigma \\
&= m(mn) + \frac{m(m+1)}{2} + \frac{m(mn+1)}{2} \\
&= (3n+1)m^2 + 2m.
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify that $w(x_i) > w(y_j)$ for any i , $1 \leq i \leq m$ and for any j , $1 \leq j \leq n$. Therefore, f is setla labeling and induces 2 colors. Hence, $\chi_{setla}(K_{m,n}) \leq 2$. We know, $\chi(K_{m,n}) = 2$. Therefore, $\chi_{setla}(K_{m,n}) \geq \chi(K_{m,n}) = 2$.

Conversely, suppose that $\chi_{setla}(K_{m,n}) = 2$ with setla labeling f . We must have $w(x_i) \neq w(y_j)$ for any i , $1 \leq i \leq m$ and for any j , $1 \leq j \leq n$ and weights of vertices in independent sets must be same. We can form a magic rectangle $MR(m, n)$ with (i, j) th entry as $f(x_i y_j)$ so that row sum is equal to $w(y_j)$ and the column sum is equal to $w(x_i)$. Then again, by Theorem 1.4, m and n satisfies the required conditions. This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 3.8. *For any $n \geq 1$,*

$$\chi_{setla}(K_{2,n}) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } n \geq 4 \text{ and } m \text{ is even} \\ 3 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd or } n = 2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We know that $\chi_{setla}(K_{2,1}) = \chi_{setla}(K_{2,2}) = 3$. Let $n \geq 3$. If n is even by Theorem 3.7, $\chi_{setla}(K_{2,n}) = 2$. Let n be odd. Again by Theorem 3.7, $\chi_{setla}(K_{2,n}) \geq 3$. We will show that $\chi_{setla}(K_{2,n}) \leq 3$. Let $\{x, y\}$ and $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$ be bipartition of $K_{2,n}$, where $n \geq 3$. Define super edge total labeling of $K_{2,n}$ by $f(x) = 3n + 1$, $f(y) = 3n + 2$, for each i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, $f(u_i) = 2n + i$, and

$$f(au_i) = \begin{cases} i & \text{if } a = x \\ 2n + 1 - i & \text{if } a = y \end{cases}$$

Now we calculate the weights: For each i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, $w(u_i) = 8n + 4$, $w(x) = 3n^2 + n$, $w(y) = 4n^2 + n$. It is easy verify that $w(u_i) < w(x) < w(y)$ for all i , $1 \leq i \leq n$. Hence, f is setla labeling and induces 3 colors. Therefore, $\chi_{setla}(K_{2,n}) \leq 3$. Hence, $\chi_{setla}(K_{2,n}) = 3$. \square

Then we have the following question:

Problem 4. Determine the super edge total local antimagic chromatic number of the complete bipartite graph $K_{m,n}$, where $m \not\equiv n \pmod{2}$.

Theorem 3.9. *For a bi-star $\chi_{setla}(B_{m,n}) = n + 2$.*

Proof. Suppose $m \leq n$. By the Theorem 3.1, $n + 1 \leq \chi_{setla}(B_{m,n})$. First we show that $\chi_{setla}(B_{m,n}) > n + 1$. Let f be any setla labeling of $B_{m,n}$. We know that weights of all pendent vertices y_i are distinct and $w(y) \neq w(y_i)$ for any i , $1 \leq i \leq n$. If $w(x) = w(y_i)$ for any i , then we obtain $f(x) + f(xx_i) = f(x) + f(xy) + \sum_{i=1}^n (f(y_i) + f(yy_i))$. This implies, $f(xx_i) = f(xy) + \sum_{i=1}^n (f(y_i) + f(yy_i))$ which is not possible. Therefore, $\chi_{setla}(B_{m,n}) \geq n + 2$. This proves the lower bound. For

an upper bound, we define super edge total labeling f of $B_{m,n}$ as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(x) &= 2m + 2n + 3 \\
 f(y) &= m + 2n + 3 \\
 f(xy) &= m + n + 1 \\
 f(x_i) &= m + n + 1 + i && \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq m \\
 f(xx_i) &= i && \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq m \\
 f(yy_i) &= m + i && \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq n
 \end{aligned}$$

and we label the vertices y_i by $\{2m+n+2, 2m+n+3, \dots, 2m+2n+2\} - \{m+2n+3\}$ in any manner. The sum of these y_i labels is $\frac{3n^2 + 4mn + 2m + 3n - 2}{2}$. Now we calculate the weights:

$$\begin{aligned}
 w(x) &= f(y) + f(xy) + \sum_{i=1}^m (f(x_i) + f(xx_i)) \\
 &= (m + 2n + 3) + (m + n + 1) + \sum_{i=1}^m (m + n + 1 + 2i) \\
 &= 2m^2 + mn + 4m + 3n + 4. \\
 w(y) &= f(x) + f(xy) + \sum_{i=1}^n f(y_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n f(yy_i) \\
 &= (2m + 2n + 3) + (m + n + 1) + \frac{3n^2 + 4mn + 2m + 3n - 2}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^n (m + i) \\
 &= 2n^2 + 3mn + 4m + 5n + 3.
 \end{aligned}$$

The weights of pendent vertices: $w(x_i) = f(x) + f(xx_i) = 2m + 2n + 3 + i$ and $w(y_i) = f(y) + f(yy_i) = 2m + 2n + 3 + i$. Thus f is a required setla which induces $n + 2$ colors. Hence, $\chi_{setla}(B_{m,n}) = n + 2$. \square




FIGURE 10. setla labeling of bi-star.

Theorem 3.10. *If G is r -regular graph then $\chi_{setla}(G \circ K_1) \leq \chi_{setla}(G) + 1$.*

Proof. Let G be an r -regular graph with $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ and m edges. Let f be setla labeling of G . Without loss of generality, we assume that $f(v_i) = m + i$, where $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ be newly added vertices to obtain $H = G \circ K_1$ such that there is an edge $e_i = v_i x_i$ for each i , $1 \leq i \leq n$. We define super edge total labeling g of H as follow:

$$\begin{aligned} g(v_i) &= f(v_i) + n = m + n + i & (1 \leq i \leq n) \\ g(x_i) &= m + 2n + i & (1 \leq i \leq n) \\ g(e_i) &= m + n + 1 - i & (1 \leq i \leq m) \\ g(e) &= f(e) & \forall e \in E(G). \end{aligned}$$

Now we calculate the weight of each vertex in $G \circ K_1$ induced by g . For each i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, $w_g(x_i) = g(v_i) + g(e_i) = 2m + 2n + 1$ and $g(x_i) + g(e_i) = 2m + 3n + 1$. For any vertex $v_i \in V(G)$,

$$\begin{aligned} w_g(v_i) &= \sum_{x \in NT_H(v_i)} g(x) \\ &= g(x_i) + g(e_i) + \sum_{x \in NT_G(v_i)} g(x) \\ &= 2m + 3n + 1 + \sum_{x \in NT_G(v_i)} g(x) \\ &= 2m + 3n + 1 + \sum_{u \in N_G(v_i)} g(u) + \sum_{uv_i \in E(G)} g(uv_i) \\ &= 2m + 3n + 1 + \sum_{u \in N_G(v_i)} (n + f(u)) + \sum_{uv_i \in E(G)} f(uv_i) \\ &= 2m + 3n + 1 + nr + \sum_{u \in N_G(v_i)} f(u) + \sum_{uv_i \in E(G)} f(uv_i) \\ &= 2m + 3n + 1 + nr + w_f(v_i) \end{aligned}$$

is independent of i . This proves that for any $i \neq j$, $w_g(v_i) \neq w_g(v_j)$ if and only if $w_f(v_i) \neq w_f(v_j)$. Hence, g is a setla labeling of $G \circ K_1$ and $\chi_{setla}(G \circ K_1) \leq \chi_{setla}(G) + 1$. \square

We pose the following problems:

Problem 5. Characterize graphs whose $\chi_{setla}(G) = \chi(G)$.

Problem 6. Characterize graphs whose $\chi_{setla}(G \circ K_1) = \chi_{setla}(G) + 1$.

Problem 7. Let G and H be super edge total local antimagic graphs. Determine the $\chi_{setla}(G \circ H)$.

Conjecture 1. Every graph without isolated vertices admits super vertex total local antimagic labeling.

Conjecture 2. Every graph without isolated vertices admits super edge total local antimagic labeling.

4. CONCLUSION

We studied and calculated the super vertex (edge) total local antimagic chromatic numbers for a few families of graphs like path, cycle, bipartite graphs etc.

REFERENCES

1. S. Arumugam, K. Premalatha, Martin Bača, and Andrea Semaničová-Feňovčíková, *Local antimagic vertex coloring of a graph*, *Graphs Combin.* **33** (2017), no. 2, 275–285. MR 3612192
2. Julien Bensmail, Mohammed Senhaji, and Kasper Szabo Lyngsie, *On a combination of the 1-2-3 conjecture and the antimagic labelling conjecture*, *Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci.* **19** (2017), no. 1, Paper No. 21. MR 3684642
3. Hadiputra., F. Fawwaz, K.A. Sugeng, D.R. Silaban, T.K. Maryati, and D. Froncek, *Chromatic number of super vertex local antimagic total labelings of graphs*, *Electronic Journal of Graph Theory and Applications* **9** (2021), no. 2, 485–498.
4. T. Harmuth, *Ueber magische quadrate und ähnliche zahlenfiguren*, *Arch. Math. Phys.* **66** (1881), 286–313.
5. Nora Hartsfield and Gerhard Ringel, *Pearls in graph theory: a comprehensive introduction*, Courier Corporation, 2013.
6. D.F. Putri, Dafik, Agustin I.H., and Alfarisi R., *On the local vertex antimagic total coloring of some families tree*, vol. 1008, IOP Publishing, 2018, *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, p. 012035.
7. Slamain, Nelly Oktavia Adiwijaya, Muhammad Ali Hasan, Dafik, and Kristiana Wijaya, *Local super antimagic total labeling for vertex coloring of graphs*, *Symmetry* **12** (2020), no. 11.
8. Douglas B. West, *Introduction to graph theory*, 2nd ed., Prentice Hall, September 2000.