

# BASE SIZES OF PRIMITIVE GROUPS OF DIAGONAL TYPE

HONG YI HUANG

ABSTRACT. Let  $G$  be a permutation group on a finite set  $\Omega$ . The base size of  $G$  is the minimal size of a subset of  $\Omega$  with trivial pointwise stabiliser in  $G$ . In this paper, we extend earlier work of Fawcett by determining the precise base size of every primitive permutation group of diagonal type. In particular, this is the first family of primitive groups arising in the O’Nan-Scott theorem for which the exact base size has been computed in all cases. Our methods also allow us to determine all the primitive groups of diagonal type with a unique regular suborbit. As an application, our results can be used to study the abundance of digraphical regular representations of non-abelian finite simple groups with fixed valency.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$  be a permutation group on a finite set  $\Omega$  of size  $n$ . A subset of  $\Omega$  is called a *base* for  $G$  if its pointwise stabiliser in  $G$  is trivial. The minimal size of a base, denoted  $b(G)$ , is called the *base size* of  $G$ . Equivalently, if  $G$  is transitive with point stabiliser  $H$ , then  $b(G)$  is the smallest number  $b$  such that the intersection of some  $b$  conjugates of  $H$  in  $G$  is trivial. This classical concept has been studied since the early years of permutation group theory in the nineteenth century, finding natural connections to other areas of algebra and combinatorics. For example, see [3] for details of the relationship between the metric dimension of a finite graph and the base size of its automorphism group, and [48, Section 4] for details on the key role played by bases in the computational study of finite groups. We refer the reader to survey articles [9, Section 5] and [41] for further connections.

In general, determining  $b(G)$  is a difficult problem and there are no efficient algorithms for computing  $b(G)$ , or constructing a base of minimal size. Blaha [4] proves that determining whether  $G$  has a base of size a given constant is an NP-complete problem. Historically, there has been an intense focus on studying the base sizes of finite primitive groups (recall that a transitive permutation group is *primitive* if its point stabiliser is a maximal subgroup), which can be viewed as the basic building blocks of all finite permutation groups. The trivial lower bound is  $b(G) \geq \log_n |G|$  and it turns out that all primitive groups admit small bases in the sense that there is an absolute constant  $c$  such that  $b(G) \leq c \log_n |G|$  for every primitive group  $G$ . This was originally conjectured by Pyber [47] in the 1990s and the proof was completed by Duvan et al. in [22]. It was subsequently extended by Halasi et al. [33], who show that

$$b(G) \leq 2 \log_n |G| + 24$$

and the multiplicative constant 2 is best possible. In fact, one can prove stronger bounds in special cases. For example, Seress [50] proves that  $b(G) \leq 4$  if  $G$  is soluble, and this result was recently extended by Burness [8] who shows that  $b(G) \leq 5$  if  $G$  has a soluble point stabiliser (both bounds in [8] and [50] are best possible).

The O’Nan-Scott theorem divides the finite primitive groups into several families, in terms of the structure and action of the socle of the group (recall that the *socle* of a group is the product of its minimal normal subgroups). Following [39], these families are: affine, almost simple, diagonal type, product type, and twisted wreath products. There are

partial results on base sizes when  $G$  is affine, product type or a twisted wreath product. For example, if  $G = VH \leq \text{AGL}(V)$  is affine, then Halasi and Podoski [34] show that  $b(G) \leq 3$  if  $(|V|, |H|) = 1$ , and we refer the reader to [15, 23] for some results on base sizes of product type groups and twisted wreath products. In recent years, base sizes of almost simple primitive groups have been intensively studied (recall that  $G$  is called *almost simple* if there exists a non-abelian simple group  $G_0$  such that  $G_0 \triangleleft G \leq \text{Aut}(G_0)$ ). Roughly speaking, such a group is said to be *standard* if  $G_0 = A_m$  and  $\Omega$  is a set of subsets or partitions of  $\{1, \dots, m\}$ , or  $G_0$  is a classical group and  $\Omega$  is a set of subspaces of the natural module for  $G_0$ , otherwise  $G$  is *non-standard* (see [11, Definition 1] for the formal definition). A conjecture of Cameron [20, p. 122] asserts that  $b(G) \leq 7$  if  $G$  is non-standard, with equality if and only if  $G = M_{24}$  in its natural action of degree 24. This conjecture was proved in a sequence of papers of Burness et al. [11, 14, 17, 19]. In addition, the precise base sizes of all non-standard groups with alternating or sporadic socle are computed in [14] and [19, 46], respectively.

In this paper, we focus on bases for primitive diagonal type groups. Here  $G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$  has socle  $T^k$ , where  $T$  is a non-abelian simple group and  $k \geq 2$  is an integer. More precisely, we have  $|\Omega| = |T|^{k-1}$  and

$$T^k \triangleleft G \leq T^k \cdot (\text{Out}(T) \times S_k).$$

The primitivity of  $G$  implies that the subgroup  $P \leq S_k$  induced by the conjugation action of  $G$  on the set of factors of  $T^k$  is either primitive, or  $k = 2$  and  $P = A_2 = 1$ . The group  $P$  is called the *top group* of  $G$  and we note that

$$T^k \triangleleft G \leq T^k \cdot (\text{Out}(T) \times P). \quad (1)$$

The first systematic study of bases for diagonal type groups was initiated by Fawcett in [24]. In particular, she shows that  $b(G) = 2$  if  $P \notin \{A_k, S_k\}$ , and in the general setting she determines the exact base size of  $G$  up to one of two possibilities (see Theorem 2.3). One of the key ingredients in [24] is a theorem of Seress [49], which asserts that if  $k > 32$  and  $P \notin \{A_k, S_k\}$ , then there exists a subset of  $\{1, \dots, k\}$  with trivial setwise stabiliser in  $P$ . However, this does not hold if  $P \in \{A_k, S_k\}$ , and hence a different approach is required. In this paper, we extend Fawcett's work by determining the exact base size in all cases.

In recent years, there has been significant interest in studying the base-two primitive groups (we say  $G$  is *base-two* if  $b(G) = 2$ ). Indeed, a project with the ambitious aim of classifying the primitive groups of this form was initiated by Jan Saxl in the 1990s and it continues to be actively pursued, with many interesting applications and open problems. For example, Burness and Giudici [12] define the *Saxl graph* of a base-two group  $G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$  to be the graph with vertex set  $\Omega$ , with two vertices adjacent if they form a base for  $G$ . It is easy to see that the Saxl graph of a base-two primitive group is connected and an intriguing conjecture asserts that its diameter is at most 2 (see [12, Conjecture 4.5]). This has been verified in several special cases (for example, see [15, 16, 21, 37]), but it remains an open problem.

Returning to a diagonal type group  $G$  as in (1), recall that Fawcett [24] has proved that  $b(G) = 2$  if  $P \notin \{A_k, S_k\}$ . Our first result resolves the base-two problem for diagonal type groups in full generality.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $G$  be a diagonal type primitive group with socle  $T^k$  and top group  $P \leq S_k$ . Then  $b(G) = 2$  if and only if one of the following holds:*

- (i)  $P \notin \{A_k, S_k\}$ .
- (ii)  $3 \leq k \leq |T| - 3$ .
- (iii)  $k \in \{|T| - 2, |T| - 1\}$  and  $G$  does not contain  $S_k$ .

Note that  $b(G) \leq 2$  if and only if  $G$  has a regular suborbit, and there is a particular interest in classifying the finite primitive groups with a unique regular suborbit. For example, notice that  $G$  has a unique regular suborbit if and only if the Saxl graph of

$G$  is  $G$ -arc-transitive. In this direction, we refer the reader to [16, Theorem 1.6] for a classification of the relevant almost simple groups with soluble point stabilisers, and [15, Corollary 5] for partial results on product type groups. Here we resolve this problem for diagonal type groups.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $G$  be a diagonal type primitive group with socle  $T^k$  and top group  $P \leq S_k$ . Then  $G$  has a unique regular suborbit if and only if  $T = A_5$ ,  $k \in \{3, 57\}$  and  $G = T^k \cdot (\text{Out}(T) \times S_k)$ .*

We now present our main result, which determines the precise base size of every primitive group of diagonal type. This is the first family of primitive groups arising in the O’Nan-Scott theorem for which the exact base sizes are known.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $G$  be a diagonal type primitive group with socle  $T^k$  and top group  $P \leq S_k$ .*

- (i) *If  $P \notin \{A_k, S_k\}$ , then  $b(G) = 2$ .*
- (ii) *If  $k = 2$ , then  $b(G) \in \{3, 4\}$ , with  $b(G) = 4$  if and only if  $T \in \{A_5, A_6\}$  and  $G = T^2 \cdot (\text{Out}(T) \times S_2)$ .*
- (iii) *If  $k \geq 3$ ,  $P \in \{A_k, S_k\}$  and  $|T|^{\ell-1} < k \leq |T|^\ell$  with  $\ell \geq 1$ , then  $b(G) \in \{\ell+1, \ell+2\}$ . Moreover,  $b(G) = \ell+2$  if and only if one of the following holds:*
  - (a)  $k = |T|$ .
  - (b)  $k \in \{|T| - 2, |T|^\ell - 1, |T|^\ell\}$  and  $S_k \leq G$ .
  - (c)  $k = |T|^2 - 2$ ,  $T \in \{A_5, A_6\}$  and  $G = T^k \cdot (\text{Out}(T) \times S_k)$ .

Let us briefly discuss the methods we will use to establish our main theorems. First, let us focus on Theorem 1. Recall that the *holomorph* of a non-abelian finite simple group  $T$  is the group

$$\text{Hol}(T) = T:\text{Aut}(T) = T^2 \cdot \text{Out}(T),$$

which can be viewed as a primitive diagonal type group (with top group  $P = 1$ ) in terms of its natural action on  $T$ . We write  $\text{Hol}(T, S)$  for the setwise stabiliser of  $S \subseteq T$  in  $\text{Hol}(T)$ . A key observation is Lemma 2.14, which implies that

$$b(G) = 2 \text{ if there exists } S \subseteq T \text{ such that } |S| = k \text{ and } \text{Hol}(T, S) = 1.$$

This essentially reduces the proof of Theorem 1 to the cases where  $3 \leq k \leq |T|/2$ . However, it is rather difficult to directly construct a subset  $S$  of  $T$  such that  $\text{Hol}(T, S) = 1$ .

To overcome this difficulty, we adopt a probabilistic approach when  $k \geq 5$  (see Section 3 for more details). More specifically, we estimate the probability that a random  $k$ -subset  $S$  of  $T$  satisfies  $\text{Hol}(T, S) = 1$ , and the probability that a random pair in  $\Omega$  is a base for  $G$ . The former is a new idea, which involves computing

$$\max\{|C_T(x)| : 1 \neq x \in \text{Aut}(T)\}$$

in Theorem 2.9, while the latter is a widely used technique in the study of base sizes introduced by Liebeck and Shalev [42]. The cases where  $k = 3$  or  $4$  will be treated separately in Section 4.1. Here we use the fact that  $T$  is invariably generated by two elements (which is proved in [32] and [35], independently), and a theorem of Gow [30] on the products of regular semisimple classes in groups of Lie type. We will use a very similar approach to establish Theorem 2.

The proof of Theorem 3 will be completed in Section 5, and the main step involves constructing a base of size  $\ell + 1$  when  $|T|^{\ell-1} < k \leq |T|^\ell - 3$  for some  $\ell \geq 2$ . Once again, our construction requires the existence of a suitable subset  $S$  of  $T$  such that  $\text{Hol}(T, S) = 1$ . We will treat the case where  $k = 2$  separately, working with a theorem of Leemans and Liebeck [38] on the existence of a generating pair of  $T$  with a certain property.

As described above, a key ingredient in our study of bases for diagonal type groups is the following result, which may be of independent interest.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $T$  be a non-abelian finite simple group and suppose  $3 \leq m \leq |T| - 3$ . Then there exists  $S \subseteq T$  such that  $|S| = m$  and  $\text{Hol}(T, S) = 1$ .*

Similarly, let  $\text{Aut}(T, S)$  be the setwise stabiliser of  $S \subseteq T^\#$  in  $\text{Aut}(T)$ , where  $T^\# = T \setminus \{1\}$ . Note that  $\text{Aut}(T, S) = \text{Aut}(T, T^\# \setminus S)$ . By Theorem 4 and the transitivity of  $\text{Hol}(T)$ , if  $3 \leq m \leq |T| - 3$ , then there exists  $S \subseteq T$  containing 1 such that  $|S| = m$  and  $\text{Hol}(T, S) = 1$ . This implies that  $\text{Aut}(T, S \setminus \{1\}) = 1$  and we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 5.** *Let  $T$  be a non-abelian finite simple group and suppose  $2 \leq m \leq |T| - 3$ . Then there exists  $S \subseteq T^\#$  such that  $|S| = m$  and  $\text{Aut}(T, S) = 1$ .*

To conclude this section, we highlight a connection to some interesting problems in algebraic combinatorics. A digraph  $\Gamma$  is said to be a *digraphical regular representation (DRR)* of a group  $X$  if  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma) \cong X$  acts regularly on the vertex set of  $\Gamma$ . In particular, if  $\Gamma$  is a DRR of  $X$ , then  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to a Cayley digraph  $\text{Cay}(X, S)$  for some  $S$  with  $\text{Aut}(X, S) = 1$ . A classic result of Babai [1] shows that a finite group  $X$  admits a DRR if and only if  $X$  is not a quaternion group or one of four elementary abelian groups. Moreover, it was conjectured by Babai and Godsil [2, 28] that if  $X$  is a group of order  $n$ , then the proportion of subsets  $S \subseteq X^\#$  such that  $\text{Cay}(X, S)$  is a DRR tends to 1 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This conjecture has been proved recently by Morris and Spiga [45].

Given a finite group  $X$ , it is natural to consider the existence of a DRR with a prescribed valency, noting that the valency of  $\text{Cay}(X, S)$  is  $|S|$ . Recently, there are some results concerning this problem in relation to finite simple groups (for example, see [54, 56] for the existence of some families of DRRs with a fixed valency  $k \leq 3$ ). However, there appear to be no asymptotic results in the literature concerning the proportion of DRRs of a fixed valency of a given finite group. With this problem in mind, let  $\mathbb{P}_k(X)$  be the probability that a random  $k$ -subset of  $X^\#$  has a non-trivial setwise stabiliser in  $\text{Aut}(X)$ . That is,

$$\mathbb{P}_k(X) = \frac{|\{R \in \mathcal{S}_k : \text{Aut}(X, R) \neq 1\}|}{|\mathcal{S}_k|},$$

where  $\mathcal{S}_k$  is the set of  $k$ -subsets of  $X^\#$ . In Section 6, we will prove the following results.

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $k \geq 4$  be an integer and  $(T_n)$  be a sequence of non-abelian finite simple groups such that  $|T_n| \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then  $\mathbb{P}_k(T_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $T$  be a non-abelian finite simple group and let  $k$  be an integer such that  $5 \log_2 |T| < k < |T| - 5 \log_2 |T|$ . Then  $\mathbb{P}_k(T) < 1/|T|$ .*

We remark that these two results will be useful in studying the abundance of fixed-valent DRRs of non-abelian finite simple groups. For instance, it was pointed out by Pablo Spiga (personal communication) that Theorems 6 and 7 can be used to study the abundance of  $k$ -subsets  $S \subseteq T^\#$  such that  $\text{Cay}(T, S)$  is a DRR.

**Notation.** If  $k$  is a positive integer, then we write  $[k]$  for the set  $\{1, \dots, k\}$ . Let  $G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$  be a permutation group and  $\Delta \subseteq \Omega$ . Then the pointwise and setwise stabilisers of  $\Delta$  in  $G$  are sometimes denoted  $G_{(\Delta)}$  and  $G_{\{\Delta\}}$ , respectively. We adopt the standard notation for simple groups of Lie type from [36]. All logarithms, if not specified, are in base 2.

**Acknowledgments.** The author thanks the China Scholarship Council for supporting his doctoral studies at the University of Bristol. He wishes to thank his supervisor Professor Tim Burness for his supervision and support throughout.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. Diagonal type groups.** Here we adopt the notation in [24]. Let  $k \geq 2$  be an integer and let  $T$  be a non-abelian finite simple group. Define

$$\begin{aligned} W(k, T) &:= \{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)\pi \in \text{Aut}(T) \wr_k S_k : \alpha_i \text{Inn}(T) = \alpha_j \text{Inn}(T) \text{ for all } i, j\}, \\ D(k, T) &:= \{(\alpha, \dots, \alpha)\pi \in \text{Aut}(T) \wr_k S_k\}, \\ \Omega(k, T) &:= [W(k, T) : D(k, T)]. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $|\Omega(k, T)| = |T|^{k-1}$  and  $W(k, T) = T^k \cdot (\text{Out}(T) \times S_k)$  acts faithfully on  $\Omega(k, T)$ . We say that  $G$  is a group of *diagonal type* if there exist  $k$  and  $T$  as above such that

$$T^k \trianglelefteq G \leq T^k \cdot (\text{Out}(T) \times S_k).$$

Let  $P_G$  denote the subgroup of  $S_k$  induced by the conjugation action of  $G$  on the set of factors of  $T^k$ . That is,

$$P_G = \{\pi \in S_k : (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)\pi \in G \text{ for some } \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in \text{Aut}(T)\}.$$

Then naturally we have  $G \leq T^k \cdot (\text{Out}(T) \times P_G)$  as in (1). Moreover,  $G$  is primitive if and only if either  $P_G$  is primitive on  $[k] = \{1, \dots, k\}$ , or  $k = 2$  and  $P_G = 1$ . From now on, if  $G$  is clear from the context, we denote  $P = P_G$  and

$$\begin{aligned} W &:= T^k \cdot (\text{Out}(T) \times P), \\ D &:= \{(\alpha, \dots, \alpha)\pi : \alpha \in \text{Aut}(T), \pi \in P\}, \\ \Omega &:= \Omega(k, T) = [W : D]. \end{aligned}$$

We write  $\varphi_t \in \text{Inn}(T)$  for the inner automorphism such that  $x^{\varphi_t} = t^{-1}xt$  for any  $x \in T$ . Thus,

$$\Omega = \{D(\varphi_{t_1}, \dots, \varphi_{t_k}) : t_1, \dots, t_k \in T\}.$$

The action of  $G$  on  $\Omega$  is given by

$$D(\varphi_{t_1}, \dots, \varphi_{t_k})^{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)\pi} = D(\varphi_{t_1^{\pi^{-1}} \alpha_1^{\pi^{-1}}}, \dots, \varphi_{t_k^{\pi^{-1}} \alpha_k^{\pi^{-1}}}),$$

and the stabiliser of  $D \in \Omega$  in  $W$  is  $D \leq W$ . In particular, for any element  $(\alpha, \dots, \alpha)\pi \in D$ , we have

$$D(\varphi_{t_1}, \dots, \varphi_{t_k})^{(\alpha, \dots, \alpha)\pi} = D(\varphi_{t_1^{\alpha} \pi^{-1}}, \dots, \varphi_{t_k^{\alpha} \pi^{-1}}),$$

noting that  $\alpha^{-1}\varphi_t\alpha = \varphi_{t^\alpha}$  for all  $t \in T$ .

Now we record some preliminary results on bases for diagonal type groups from [24]. We start with [24, Lemma 3.4].

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $t_1, \dots, t_k$  be elements of  $T$  such that*

- (i) *at least two of the  $t_i$  are trivial;*
- (ii) *at least one of the  $t_i$  is non-trivial;*
- (iii) *if  $t_i$  and  $t_j$  are non-trivial and  $i \neq j$  then  $t_i \neq t_j$ .*

*Then if  $(\alpha, \dots, \alpha)\pi \in G$  fixes  $D(\varphi_{t_1}, \dots, \varphi_{t_k})$ , then  $t_i^\alpha = t_i^\pi$  for all  $i$ .*

For any  $\mathbf{x} = (\varphi_{t_1}, \dots, \varphi_{t_k}) \in \text{Inn}(T)^k$ , we define an associated partition  $\mathcal{P}_\mathbf{x} = \{\mathcal{P}_t : t \in T\}$  of  $[k]$  such that  $i \in \mathcal{P}_t$  if  $t_i = t$ . Note that some parts  $\mathcal{P}_t$  in  $\mathcal{P}_\mathbf{x}$  might be empty. The following lemma is an extension of Lemma 2.1, which will be useful later in Section 5.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $\mathbf{x} = (\varphi_{t_1}, \dots, \varphi_{t_k}) \in \text{Inn}(T)^k$ ,  $\omega = D\mathbf{x}$  and let  $\mathcal{P}_\mathbf{x} = \{\mathcal{P}_t : t \in T\}$  be the associated partition of  $[k]$  as above. Suppose  $(\alpha, \dots, \alpha)\pi \in G_\omega$ .*

- (i)  $\pi \in P_{\{\mathcal{P}_\mathbf{x}\}}$ .
- (ii) *If  $0 < |\mathcal{P}_1| \neq |\mathcal{P}_t|$  for all  $t \neq 1$ , then  $t_i^\alpha = t_i^\pi$  for all  $i$ .*

*Proof.* As  $(\alpha, \dots, \alpha)\pi$  fixes  $\omega = D(\varphi_{t_1}, \dots, \varphi_{t_k})$ , there exists a unique  $t_0 \in T$  such that  $t_i^\alpha = t_0 t_{i\pi}$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ . Suppose  $t_i = t_j$  for some  $i \neq j$  (so  $i$  and  $j$  are in the same part of  $\mathcal{P}_x$ ). Then  $t_{i\pi} = t_0^{-1} t_i^\alpha = t_0^{-1} t_j^\alpha = t_{j\pi}$ . This gives part (i).

For part (ii), it suffices to show that  $t_0 = 1$ . Indeed, if  $t_i = 1$ , then  $t_{i\pi} = t_0^{-1}$ , and  $t_{j\pi} = t_j^\alpha t_0^{-1} \neq t_0^{-1}$  if  $t_j \neq 1$ . This implies that  $|\mathcal{P}_{t_0^{-1}}| = |\mathcal{P}_1|$ , so  $t_0 = 1$  by our assumption.  $\square$

We record the main theorems on base sizes of diagonal type groups in [24].

**Theorem 2.3.** *Suppose  $G \leq T^k \cdot (\text{Out}(T) \times P)$  is a diagonal type primitive group with top group  $P$ .*

- (i)  $b(G) = 2$  if  $P \notin \{A_k, S_k\}$ .
- (ii) If  $P = A_2 = 1$ , then  $b(G) = 3$ , and if  $P = S_2$ , then  $b(G) \in \{3, 4\}$ .
- (iii) If  $k \geq 3$ ,  $P \in \{A_k, S_k\}$  and  $|T|^{\ell-1} < k \leq |T|^\ell$  for  $\ell \geq 1$ , then  $b(G) \in \{\ell + 1, \ell + 2\}$ .  
Moreover, if either  $k = |T|$ , or  $k \in \{|T|^\ell - 1, |T|^\ell\}$  and  $S_k \leq G$ , then  $b(G) = \ell + 2$ .

**Corollary 2.4.** *If  $P \in \{A_k, S_k\}$  and  $b(G) = 2$ , then  $2 < k < |T|$ .*

The following is [24, Lemma 3.11].

**Lemma 2.5.** *Suppose that  $P \in \{A_k, S_k\}$ . If there exists an odd integer  $s$  with  $1 < s \leq k$  such that  $s$  is relatively prime to the order of every element of  $\text{Out}(T)$ , then  $T^k : A_k \leq G$ .*

**Corollary 2.6.** *If  $P \in \{A_k, S_k\}$  and  $k \geq |T| - 3$ , then  $T^k : A_k \leq G$ .*

*Proof.* We have  $|\text{Out}(T)| < |T|^{1/3}$  by [24, Lemma 4.8]. In particular,  $|\text{Out}(T)| < |T|/3$ , so there exists a prime  $s$  such that  $|\text{Out}(T)| < s < k$  (Bertrand's postulate). Now apply Lemma 2.5.  $\square$

In fact, from the proof, one can observe that Corollary 2.6 holds for a much smaller lower bound, but we will see that the bound in the statement is sufficient for proving the later results.

The following extends [24, Proposition 3.3], which asserts that  $b(G) = 2$  if  $k > 32$  and  $P \notin \{A_k, S_k\}$ . Here  $r(G)$  is the number of regular suborbits of  $G$ , noting that  $r(G) \geq 1$  if and only if  $b(G) \leq 2$ .

**Proposition 2.7.** *If  $k > 32$  and  $P \notin \{A_k, S_k\}$ , then  $r(G) \geq 2$ .*

*Proof.* We use the same construction in the proof of [24, Proposition 3.3]. By [49, Theorem 1], there exists a partition  $\{\Pi_1, \Pi_2, \Pi_3\}$  of  $[k]$  such that each  $\Pi_i$  is non-empty,  $|\Pi_1|$ ,  $|\Pi_2|$  and  $|\Pi_3|$  are distinct, and

$$\bigcap_{m=1}^3 G_{\{\Pi_m\}} = 1. \quad (2)$$

Let  $x_1, x_2 \in T$  be of distinct orders. Note that there exist  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  in  $T$  such that  $\langle x_i, y_i \rangle = 1$  (see [31, Section 1]). Let  $\Delta_i = \{D, D(\varphi_{t_{i,1}}, \dots, \varphi_{t_{i,k}})\}$  for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , where  $t_{i,j} = 1$  if  $j \in \Pi_1$ ,  $t_{i,j} = x_i$  if  $j \in \Pi_2$ , and  $t_{i,j} = y_i$  if  $j \in \Pi_3$ . As can be seen in the proof of [24, Proposition 3.3], both  $\Delta_1$  and  $\Delta_2$  are bases for  $G$ . Suppose  $\Delta_1^{(\alpha, \dots, \alpha)\pi} = \Delta_2$ . Then  $\pi \in G_{\{\Pi_m\}}$  for each  $m \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , as  $|\Pi_1|$ ,  $|\Pi_2|$  and  $|\Pi_3|$  are distinct, which implies  $\pi = 1$  by (2). Hence,  $x_1^\alpha = x_2$ , which is incompatible with  $|x_1| \neq |x_2|$ .  $\square$

**2.2. Simple groups.** Let  $T$  be a non-abelian finite simple group. Throughout this paper, we will assume  $n \geq 3$  if  $T = \text{U}_n(q)$ ,  $n \geq 4$  is even if  $T = \text{PSp}_n(q)$ , and  $n \geq 7$  if  $T = \text{P}\Omega_n^\epsilon(q)$ . In the following isomorphisms of finite simple groups, we will consider  $T$  as the first group

if not specified:

$$\begin{aligned}
A_5 &\cong L_2(4) \cong L_2(5); \\
A_6 &\cong L_2(9) \cong \mathrm{PSp}_4(2)'; \\
A_8 &\cong L_4(2); \\
L_2(7) &\cong L_3(2); \\
L_2(8) &\cong {}^2G_2(3)'; \\
U_3(3) &\cong G_2(2)'; \\
\mathrm{PSp}_4(3) &\cong U_4(2).
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

In addition, if  $T$  is Lie type defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , then we always write  $p$  for the characteristic of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and  $\mathrm{Inndiag}(T)$  for the group of inner-diagonal automorphisms of  $T$ .

The following is [24, Lemma 4.8].

**Lemma 2.8.** *We have  $|\mathrm{Out}(T)| < |T|^{1/3}$  for any non-abelian finite simple group  $T$ .*

As discussed in Section 1, in order to adopt the probabilistic approach in Section 3, we will need to compute

$$h(T) := \max\{|C_T(x)| : 1 \neq x \in \mathrm{Aut}(T)\}$$

for every non-abelian finite simple group  $T$ .

**Theorem 2.9.** *Let  $T$  be a non-abelian finite simple group. Then  $h(T)$  is listed in Table 1.*

**Remark 2.10.** Let us record some additional comments on Table 1.

- (i) In the third column we record an element  $x \in \mathrm{Aut}(T)$  such that  $|C_T(x)| = h(T)$ . We adopt the notation in [55] for the cases where  $T$  is a sporadic group. If  $T$  is Lie type, then we write  $u_\alpha$  for a long root element.
- (ii) When  $T = L_n(q)$ , we write  $\phi$  for a field automorphism of order  $f = \log_p q$ .
- (iii) If  $T = L_2(q)$ , then let  $H \leq \mathrm{PGL}_2(q)$  be the normaliser of a non-split maximal torus, so  $H \cong D_{2(q+1)}$ . Here we set  $s \in H$  to be the central involution if  $q$  is odd, and an element of odd prime order if  $q$  is even.
- (iv) We adopt other notation in [13] for the elements of classical groups. For example, if  $T = \mathrm{P}\Omega_n^\varepsilon(q)$ , where  $n$  is even and  $q$  is odd, then a pre-image of the element of type  $\gamma_1$  in  $\mathrm{O}_n^\varepsilon(q)$  is an involution with parabolic  $(-1)$ -eigenspace of dimension 1 (see [13, Section 3.5.2.14]).

*Proof of Theorem 2.9.* Note that we only need to consider the prime order elements in  $\mathrm{Aut}(T)$ , since  $C_T(x) \leq C_T(x^m)$  for any integer  $m$  and  $x \in \mathrm{Aut}(T)$ . First assume  $T = A_n$  is an alternating group. If  $n = 5$  or  $6$ , then the result can be checked using MAGMA [5]. Now we assume  $n \geq 7$  and hence  $\mathrm{Aut}(T) = S_n$ . It is easy to see that  $|C_T(x)|$  is maximal when  $x$  is a transposition, in which case  $C_{S_n}(x) \cong S_2 \times S_{n-2}$  and thus  $|C_T(x)| = (n-2)!$ . Hence,  $h(T) = (n-2)!$ .

Next, assume  $T$  is a sporadic simple group. Then for any  $x \in \mathrm{Aut}(T)$ ,  $|C_T(x)|$  can be read off from the character table of  $T$ , which can be accessed computationally via GAP Character Table Library [6].

Assume  $T$  is an exceptional group of Lie type. Here we assume  $T \neq {}^2G_2(3)' \cong L_2(8)$  and  $T \neq G_2(2)' \cong U_3(3)$  as noted in (3). By [18, Proposition 2.11],  $|C_T(x)|$  is maximal when  $x \in T$  is a long root element. If  $T$  is not  ${}^3D_4(q)$  or  ${}^2B_2(q)$ , then  $|C_T(x)|$  can be read off from the tables in [40, Chapter 22]. Note that the tables give  $|C_{\mathrm{Inndiag}(T)}(x)|$ , and the description of the splitting of  $x^{\mathrm{Inndiag}(T)}$  into distinct  $T$ -classes can be found in [40, Corollary 17.10]. For example, if  $T = E_6^\varepsilon(q)$  then [40, Table 22.2.3] gives  $|C_{\mathrm{Inndiag}(T)}(x)| = q^{21} |\mathrm{SL}_6^\varepsilon(q)|$ , and

$T$	$h(T)$	$x$	Conditions
$A_n$	$(n-2)!$	$(1, 2)$	
$M_{11}$	48	2A	
$M_{12}$	240	2A	
$M_{22}$	1344	2B	
$M_{23}$	2688	2A	
$M_{24}$	21504	2A	
$J_1$	120	2A	
$J_2$	1920	2A	
$J_3$	2448	2B	
$J_4$	21799895040	2A	
HS	40320	2C	
McL	40320	2A	
Suz	9797760	3A	
He	161280	2A	
HN	177408000	2A	
Ru	245760	2A	
Ly	2694384000	3A	
$Co_1$	1345036492800	3A	
$Co_2$	743178240	2A	
$Co_3$	2903040	2A	
Th	92897280	2A	
O'N	175560	2B	
$Fi_{22}$	18393661440	2A	
$Fi_{23}$	129123503308800	2A	
$Fi'_{24}$	4089470473293004800	2C	
$\mathbb{B}$	306129918735099415756800	2A	
$\mathbb{M}$	8309562962452852382355161088000000	2A	
$E_8(q)$	$q^{57} E_7(q) (2, q-1)$	$u_\alpha$	
$E_7(q)$	$q^{33} O_{12}^+(q) /2$	$u_\alpha$	
$E_6^\varepsilon(q)$	$q^{21} SL_6^\varepsilon(q) (3, q-\varepsilon)$	$u_\alpha$	
$F_4(q)$	$q^{15} Sp_6(q) $	$u_\alpha$	
$G_2(q)$	$q^5 SL_2(q) $	$u_\alpha$	
${}^3D_4(q)$	$q^{12}(q^6-1)$	$u_\alpha$	
${}^2F_4(q)$	$q^{10} {}^2B_2(q) $	$u_\alpha$	$q > 2$
${}^2F_4(2)'$	10240	$u_\alpha$	
${}^2G_2(q)$	$q^3$	$u_\alpha$	
${}^2B_2(q)$	$q^2$	$u_\alpha$	
$L_n^\varepsilon(q)$	$ PGL_2(q^{1/2}) $	$\phi^{f/2}$	$n = 2, f$ is even
	$q+1$	$s$	$n = 2, f$ is odd
	$ PGL_3(q^{1/2}) $	$\phi^{f/2}$	$n = 3, \varepsilon = +, f$ is even, $3 \mid q^{1/2} + 1$
	$ PGU_3(q^{1/2}) $	$\phi^{f/2}\gamma$	$n = 3, \varepsilon = +, f$ is even, $3 \nmid q^{1/2} + 1$
	$(2, q-\varepsilon) PGSp_4(q) (4, q-\varepsilon)$	$\gamma_1$	$n = 4$
	$ GU_{n-1}(q) (n, q+1)$	$[\omega I_1, I_{n-1}]$	$n \geq 6$ is even, $\varepsilon = -$
	$q^{2n-3} GL_{n-2}^\varepsilon(q) (n, q-\varepsilon)$	$u_\alpha$	otherwise
$PSp_n(q)$	$ Sp_2(q^2) $	$t_1$	$n = 4, q$ is odd
	$q^{n-1} Sp_{n-2}(q) $	$u_\alpha$	otherwise
$P\Omega_n^\varepsilon(q)$	$ SO_{n-1}^-(q) $	$t'_1$	$n$ is odd
	$ Sp_{n-2}(q) $	$b_1$	$q$ is even
	$ \Omega_{n-1}(q) $	$\gamma_1$	$n$ is even, $q$ is odd

TABLE 1.  $h(T)$  in Theorem 2.9



[40, Corollary 17.10] implies that  $x^{\text{Inndiag}(T)} = x^T$ , so  $|C_T(x)| = q^{21}|\text{SL}_6^\varepsilon(q)|/(3, q - \varepsilon)$ . If  $T = {}^3D_4(q)$  or  ${}^2B_2(q)$  then we can find  $|C_T(x)|$  in [51] and [53], respectively.

For the remainder of the proof, we assume  $T$  is a classical group defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Let  $V$  be the natural module of  $T$  and write  $\overline{V} = V \otimes K$ , where  $K$  is the algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . For  $x \in \text{PGL}(V)$ , let  $\hat{x}$  be a pre-image of  $x$  in  $\text{GL}(V)$ . Following [10, Definition 3.16], we define

$$\nu(x) = \min\{\dim[\overline{V}, \lambda\hat{x}] : \lambda \in K^*\},$$

where  $[\overline{V}, \lambda\hat{x}] = \{v - \lambda\hat{x}v : v \in \overline{V}\}$ . That is,  $\nu(x)$  is the codimension of the largest eigenspace of  $\hat{x}$  on  $\overline{V}$ , noting that  $\nu(x)$  is independent of the choice of the pre-image  $\hat{x}$ . Upper and lower bounds on  $|x^T|$  in terms of  $n$ ,  $q$  and  $\nu(x)$  are given in [10, Section 3]. Similarly, if  $x$  is a field, graph or graph-field automorphism, then lower bounds for  $|x^T|$  can be read off from [10, Table 3.11]. In addition,  $|C_{\text{Inndiag}(T)}(x)|$ , and a description of the splitting of  $x^{\text{Inndiag}(T)}$  into distinct  $T$ -classes, can be found in [13, Chapter 3]. In particular, if  $x \in \text{Inndiag}(T)$  is a semisimple element, then  $x^{\text{Inndiag}(T)} = x^T$  (see [29, Theorem 4.2.2(j)], also recorded as [13, Theorem 3.1.12]).

We start with the case where  $T = \text{L}_2(q)$ . Let  $H \leq \text{PGL}_2(q)$  be the normaliser of a non-split maximal torus, so  $H \cong D_{2(q+1)}$ . If  $q$  is odd, then we let  $x$  be the central involution in  $H$ , and if  $q$  is even, let  $x \in H$  be an element of odd prime order. Then  $|C_T(x)| = q + 1$ , so  $h(T) \geq q + 1$ . Let  $y \in \text{Aut}(T)$  be an element of prime order. Note that if  $y$  is unipotent then  $|C_T(y)| = q$ , and  $|C_T(y)|$  divides  $q + 1$  or  $q - 1$  if  $y$  is semisimple. Thus, we only need to consider field automorphisms, noting that  $|C_{\text{PGL}_2(q)}(y)| = |\text{PGL}_2(q^{1/r})|$  if  $y$  is a field automorphism of prime order  $r$ . It follows that  $|C_{\text{PGL}_2(q)}(y)| > q + 1$  only if  $r = 2$  (so  $f$  is even). Indeed,

$$|C_T(y)| = |C_{\text{PGL}_2(q)}(y)| = |\text{PGL}_2(q^{1/2})| > q + 1$$

if  $y$  is an involutory field automorphism, and so we conclude that  $h(T) = |\text{PGL}_2(q^{1/2})|$  if  $f$  is even, and  $h(T) = q + 1$  if  $f$  is odd.

To complete the proof for linear and unitary groups, we assume  $T = \text{L}_n^\varepsilon(q)$  with  $n \geq 3$ . Let  $x \in T$  be a unipotent element with Jordan form  $[J_2, J_1^{n-2}]$  on the natural module. Then  $|C_{\text{PGL}_n^\varepsilon(q)}(x)|$  can be read off from [13, Tables B.3 and B.4], and we have  $x^{\text{PGL}_n^\varepsilon(q)} = x^T$  by [13, Propositions 3.2.7 and 3.3.10]. More specifically,

$$|C_T(x)| = (n, q - \varepsilon)^{-1} q^{2n-3} |\text{GL}_{n-2}^\varepsilon(q)|$$

and

$$|x^T| = |x^{\text{PGL}_n^\varepsilon(q)}| = \frac{|\text{PGL}_n^\varepsilon(q)|}{q^{2n-3} |\text{GL}_{n-2}^\varepsilon(q)|} < \frac{2q^{2n-1}}{q-1}.$$

Assume  $T = \text{L}_3^\varepsilon(q)$ , so  $|C_T(x)| = (3, q - \varepsilon)^{-1} q^3(q - \varepsilon)$ , and let  $y$  be an element in  $\text{Aut}(T)$  of prime order. If  $y$  is unipotent or semisimple and  $\nu(y) = 2$ , then either  $y$  has Jordan form  $[J_3]$  or  $|y|$  is odd, so by [10, Propositions 3.22 and 3.36],

$$|y^T| > \frac{1}{2(3, q - \varepsilon)} \left( \frac{q}{q+1} \right) q^6 > (q^2 - 1)(q^2 + \varepsilon q + 1) = |x^T|.$$

If  $\nu(y) = 1$  and  $y$  is semisimple, then a pre-image  $\hat{y}$  of  $y$  in  $\text{GL}(V)$  is  $[\omega I_1, I_{n-1}]$ , so  $|C_T(y)| = (3, q - \varepsilon)^{-1} |\text{GL}_2^\varepsilon(q)|$ . It is easy to see that  $|C_T(y)| < |C_T(x)|$ . If  $y$  is a graph automorphism, then  $|C_{\text{PGL}_3^\varepsilon(q)}(y)| = |\text{SL}_2(q)|$ , so  $|C_T(y)| < |C_T(x)|$  evidently. If  $y$  is a field automorphism of odd prime order  $r$ , then by [13, Propositions 3.2.9 and 3.3.12],

$$|C_{\text{PGL}_3^\varepsilon(q)}(y)| = |\text{PGL}_3^\varepsilon(q^{1/r})| \leq q(q^{2/3} - 1)(q - \varepsilon),$$

so  $|C_T(y)| \leq |C_{\text{PGL}_3^\varepsilon(q)}(y)| < |C_T(x)|$ . Thus, we only need to consider involutory field or graph-field automorphism, so now assume  $\varepsilon = +$  and  $f$  is even. Let  $y_1$  be an involutory

field automorphism. Then by [13, Proposition 3.2.9],

$$|C_T(y_1)| = \frac{(3, q^{1/2} + 1)}{(3, q - 1)} |\mathrm{PGL}_3(q^{1/2})|.$$

Similarly, if  $y_2$  is a graph-field automorphism, then

$$|C_T(y_2)| = \frac{(3, q^{1/2} - 1)}{(3, q - 1)} |\mathrm{PGU}_3(q^{1/2})|$$

by [13, Proposition 3.2.15]. Note that

$$|\mathrm{PGL}_3(q^{1/2})| < q^3(q - 1) < |\mathrm{PGU}_3(q^{1/2})| < 3|\mathrm{PGL}_3(q^{1/2})|.$$

Therefore,  $h(T) = |C_T(x)|$  if  $f$  is odd or  $\varepsilon = -$ ,  $h(T) = |C_T(y_1)|$  if  $\varepsilon = +$ ,  $f$  is even and  $3 \mid q^{1/2} + 1$ , otherwise  $h(T) = |C_T(y_2)|$ .

Next, assume  $T = \mathrm{L}_4^\varepsilon(q)$  and let  $z$  be a graph automorphism of type  $\gamma_1$  (see [13, Sections 3.2.5 and 3.3.5]), so by [13, Propositions 3.2.14 and 3.3.17], we have

$$|C_T(z)| = \frac{(2, q - \varepsilon)}{(4, q - \varepsilon)} |\mathrm{PGSp}_4(q)| > \frac{1}{(4, q - \varepsilon)} q^6(q^2 - 1)(q - \varepsilon) = |C_T(x)|$$

and we claim that  $h(T) = |C_T(z)|$ . Note that

$$|z^T| = \frac{q^2(q^3 - \varepsilon)}{(2, q - \varepsilon)}.$$

By [10, Propositions 3.22, 3.36, 3.37 and 3.48], we have

$$|y^T| > \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{q}{q+1} \right) q^6$$

for any unipotent, semisimple, field or graph-field element  $y \in \mathrm{Aut}(T)$  of prime order. Hence,  $|y^T| > |z^T|$  if  $q \geq 4$ , and for  $q \in \{2, 3\}$  we can check that  $|y^T| > |z^T|$  using MAGMA. If  $y$  is a graph automorphism, then  $|y^T| \geq |z^T|$  by inspecting [13, Tables B.3 and B.4].

Finally, assume  $T = \mathrm{L}_n^\varepsilon(q)$  and  $n \geq 5$ . Then by applying the bounds in [10, Table 3.11],

$$|y^T| > \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{q}{q+1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}(1-\varepsilon)} q^{\frac{1}{2}(n^2-n-4)} > \frac{2q^{2n-1}}{q-1} > |x^T|$$

if  $y$  is a field, graph or graph-field automorphism, unless  $(n, q) = (5, 2)$  or  $(6, 2)$ , in which cases one can check that  $|y^T| > |x^T|$  with the aid of MAGMA. If  $y$  is a unipotent or semisimple element with  $\nu(y) \geq 2$ , then

$$|y^T| > \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{q}{q+1} \right) q^{4n-8} > \frac{2q^{2n-1}}{q-1} > |x^T|$$

by [10, Proposition 3.36]. Thus, we only need to consider the cases where  $\nu(y) = 1$  and  $y$  is not  $\mathrm{Aut}(T)$ -conjugate to  $x$ . In this setting,  $y$  is semisimple, and a pre-image  $\hat{y}$  of  $y$  in  $\mathrm{GL}(V)$  is  $[\omega I_1, I_{n-1}]$ , where  $\omega$  is a non-trivial  $r$ -th root of unity in  $\mathbb{F}_q$  if  $\varepsilon = +$ , or  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  if  $\varepsilon = -$ , for some prime  $r$ . It follows that

$$|C_T(y)| = (n, q - \varepsilon)^{-1} |\mathrm{GL}_{n-1}^\varepsilon(q)|.$$

Note that  $|C_T(y)| > |C_T(x)|$  if and only if  $\varepsilon = -$  and  $n$  is even. This implies that

$$h(T) = (n, q - \varepsilon)^{-1} |\mathrm{GL}_{n-1}^\varepsilon(q)|$$

if  $\varepsilon = -$  and  $n$  is even, otherwise  $h(T) = |C_T(x)|$ .

Therefore, the proof of Theorem 2.9 for the case where  $T = \mathrm{L}_n^\varepsilon(q)$  is complete. For symplectic groups and orthogonal groups, one can prove the result using very similar approach, and we omit the details. Here we remark that if  $T = \mathrm{PSp}_n(q)$  is a symplectic group, then  $|C_T(x)|$  is maximal when  $x$  is a long root element, unless  $n = 4$  and  $q$  is odd, where an involution of type  $t_1$  gives the maximal centraliser. If  $T = \mathrm{P}\Omega_n^\varepsilon(q)$ , where

$n$  is odd or  $q$  is even, then  $|C_T(x)|$  is maximal when  $x$  is an involution of type  $t'_1$  or  $b_1$ , respectively. Finally, if  $T = \text{P}\Omega_n^\epsilon(q)$  with  $n$  even and  $q$  odd, then a graph automorphism of type  $\gamma_1$  has the maximal centraliser. The definitions and centralisers of all these elements above can be found in [13].  $\square$

An immediate corollary is the following, which will be useful in Section 3.

**Corollary 2.11.** *We have  $h(T) \leq |T|/10$  for any non-abelian finite simple group  $T$ .*

Let  $T$  be a finite simple group of Lie type defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , where  $q = p^f$  and  $p$  is a prime. Then we may write  $T = O^{p'}(Y_\sigma)$ , where  $Y$  is a simple algebraic group over the algebraic closure  $K$  of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and  $\sigma$  is an appropriate Steinberg endomorphism. Note that  $Y_\sigma = \text{Inndiag}(T)$ . The following is [10, Proposition 3.9(i)].

**Lemma 2.12.** *We have  $\frac{1}{2}q^d < |\text{Inndiag}(T)| < q^d$ , where  $d = \dim Y$ .*

Recall that a semisimple element  $x \in T$  is *regular* if the connected component of  $C_Y(x)$  is a maximal torus of  $Y$ . In particular, if  $T$  is a classical group with natural module  $V$ , then a semisimple element  $x \in T$  is regular if a pre-image  $\hat{x} \in \text{GL}(\overline{V})$  has distinct eigenvalues on  $\overline{V} = V \otimes K$ . And if  $T$  is an orthogonal group, then  $x$  is also regular if  $\hat{x}$  has a 2-dimensional  $(\pm 1)$ -eigenspace and all the other eigenvalues are distinct.

We say a subset  $\{t_1, \dots, t_m\}$  of  $T$  is an *invariable* generating set if  $\langle t_1^{g_1}, \dots, t_m^{g_m} \rangle = T$  for any  $g_1, \dots, g_m \in T$ . It has been proved in [32] and [35], independently, that every non-abelian finite simple group is invariably generated by 2 elements.

**Theorem 2.13.** *Suppose  $T \notin \{\text{L}_2(5), \text{L}_2(7)\}$  is a finite simple group of Lie type. Then there exist regular semisimple elements  $x$  and  $y$  of distinct orders such that  $T$  is invariably generated by  $\{x, y\}$ .*

*Proof.* If  $T$  is an exceptional group, then we take  $x$  and  $y$  to be  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  in [35, Table 2], respectively, noting that  $t_1$  is a generator of  $T_1$  in that table. It is evident that  $|t_1| \neq |t_2|$  in each case, and  $\{t_1, t_2\}$  invariably generates  $T$  by [35]. Moreover, observe that  $\langle t_i \rangle$  is a maximal torus in each case, which implies that each  $t_i$  is regular semisimple.

To complete the proof, we may assume  $T$  is a classical group. Here we will consider the corresponding quasisimple Lie type group  $Q$ . More specifically, if  $Q$  is invariably generated by  $\{t_1, t_2\}$ , with  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  regular semisimple of distinct orders, then  $T$  is invariably generated by  $\{x, y\}$ , where  $x$  and  $y$  are images of  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  in  $T$ , respectively (so  $x$  and  $y$  are also regular semisimple of distinct orders).

First assume  $Q \notin \{\text{SL}_2(q), \Omega_8^+(q)\}$ . Here we use the same  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  as presented in [35, Table 1]. Once again, observe that  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  are clearly semisimple elements of distinct orders, and  $\{t_1, t_2\}$  invariably generates  $Q$  by [35, Lemma 5.3]. Thus, it suffices to show that  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  are regular in every case. Indeed, with the criteria on regular semisimple elements as noted above, one can see that each  $t_i$  is regular semisimple. Here we note that the element  $t_2$  in the cases where  $Q \in \{\text{Sp}_{2m}(q), \Omega_{4m}^+(q)\}$  may need an additional attention. We take  $Q = \Omega_{4m}^+(q)$  as an example, since the other case is very similar. In this setting, a pre-image of  $t_2$  in  $\text{GL}(V)$  is

$$\hat{t}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} A & \\ & B \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $A \in \text{O}_{4m-4}^-(q)$  has order  $q^{2m-2} + 1$  and  $B \in \text{O}_4^-(q)$  has order  $q^2 + 1$ . Then the eigenvalues of  $A$  over the algebraic closure  $K$  of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  are

$$\lambda, \lambda^q, \dots, \lambda^{q^{4m-3}}$$

for some  $\lambda \in K$  of order  $q^{2m-2} + 1$ . Similarly, the set of eigenvalues of  $B$  over  $K$  is  $\{\mu, \mu^q, \mu^{q^2}, \mu^{q^3}\}$  for some  $\mu \in K$  of order  $q^2 + 1$ . If  $\mu = \lambda^{q^i}$  for some  $i \in \{0, \dots, 4m-3\}$ , then  $\lambda^{q^i(q^2+1)} = 1$  and so  $q^{2m-2} + 1$  divides  $q^i(q^2 + 1)$ , which implies that  $q^{2m-2} + 1$  divides

$q^2 + 1$  since  $(q^{2m-2} + 1, q^i) = 1$ . However, since  $m \geq 3$ , this is impossible. It follows that the eigenvalues of  $\widehat{t}_2$  over  $K$  are distinct, and so  $t_2$  is a regular semisimple element.

Finally, let us handle the two excluded cases above. If  $Q = \mathrm{SL}_2(q)$  with  $q \notin \{4, 5, 7, 9\}$ , then we take the same  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  in [35, Table 1], as noted in the proof of [35, Lemma 5.3]. The group  $L_2(4)$  is invariably generated by an element of order 3 and an element of order 5, and if  $q = 9$  then we take  $x$  and  $y$  to be of order 4 and 5, respectively. If  $Q = \Omega_8^+(q)$  with  $q \notin \{2, 3\}$ , then we take  $t_1$  as in [35, Table 1], and  $t_2$  an element of order  $(q^3 - 1)/(2, q - 1)$  as described in the proof of [35, Lemma 5.4], where it is denoted  $t_3$ . If  $T = \mathrm{P}\Omega_8^+(q)$  for  $q \in \{2, 3\}$ , then we take  $x$  to be the image of  $t_1$  in  $T$  as recorded in [35, Table 1], and  $y$  the element denoted  $t_3^3$  in the proof of [35, Lemma 5.4].  $\square$

It is worth noting that the excluded groups  $L_2(5)$  and  $L_2(7)$  in Theorem 2.13 are not invariably generated by any pair of semisimple elements. This is easy to check using MAGMA.

**2.3. Holomorph of simple groups.** Recall that  $\mathrm{Hol}(T) = T:\mathrm{Aut}(T)$  is the *holomorph* of  $T$ , which acts faithfully and primitively on  $T$  (in fact,  $\mathrm{Hol}(T) = T^2.\mathrm{Out}(T)$  is a diagonal type primitive group). Note that every element in  $\mathrm{Hol}(T)$  can be uniquely written as  $g\alpha$ , where  $g \in T$  acts on  $T$  by left translation and  $\alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}(T)$  acts naturally on  $T$ . That is,

$$t^{g\alpha} = (g^{-1}t)^\alpha$$

for every  $t \in T$ . Let  $\mathrm{Hol}(T, S)$  be the setwise stabiliser of  $S \subseteq T$  in  $\mathrm{Hol}(T)$ . Throughout this section, we assume  $P = S_k$ , so  $W = T^k.(\mathrm{Out}(T) \times S_k)$ .

**Lemma 2.14.** *The following statements are equivalent.*

- (i)  $\{D, D(\varphi_{t_1}, \dots, \varphi_{t_k})\}$  is a base for  $W$ ;
- (ii)  $t_1, \dots, t_k$  are distinct and  $\mathrm{Hol}(T, \{t_1, \dots, t_k\}) = 1$ .

*Proof.* First assume (i) holds. If  $t_i = t_j$  for some  $i \neq j$ , then  $(i, j) \in W$  stabilises  $D$  and  $D(\varphi_{t_1}, \dots, \varphi_{t_k})$ , which is incompatible with (i). Thus,  $t_1, \dots, t_k$  are distinct. Suppose  $g\alpha \in \mathrm{Hol}(T, \{t_1, \dots, t_k\})$ . Then for any  $i$  we have

$$t_j = t_i^{g\alpha} = (g^{-1}t_i)^\alpha = (g^{-1})^\alpha t_i^\alpha \quad (4)$$

for some  $j$ . That is,  $g\alpha$  induces a permutation  $\pi \in S_k$  by  $(g^{-1})^\alpha t_i^\alpha = t_{i\pi}$ . Now it is easy to see that  $(\alpha, \dots, \alpha)\pi$  fixes  $D(\varphi_{t_1}, \dots, \varphi_{t_k})$ . Hence,  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\pi = 1$ , which implies  $g = 1$  by (4), noting that  $i = j$  since  $\pi = 1$ .

Conversely, suppose (ii) holds and  $(\alpha, \dots, \alpha)\pi$  fixes  $D$  and  $D(\varphi_{t_1}, \dots, \varphi_{t_k})$ . Then there exists  $g \in T$  such that  $t_{i\pi} = g^{-1}t_i^\alpha$  for all  $i$ . It follows that  $g^{\alpha^{-1}}\alpha \in \mathrm{Hol}(T, \{t_1, \dots, t_k\})$ , which implies  $g = 1$  and  $\alpha = 1$ . As  $t_1, \dots, t_k$  are distinct, this gives  $\pi = 1$  and so (i) holds.  $\square$

Let  $\mathcal{P}_k(T)$  (or just  $\mathcal{P}_k$  if  $T$  is clear from the context) be the set of  $k$ -subsets of  $T$ . Recall that  $r(G)$  is the number of regular suborbits of  $G$ .

**Lemma 2.15.** *The number of regular orbits of  $\mathrm{Hol}(T)$  on  $\mathcal{P}_k$  (or on  $\mathcal{P}_{|T|-k}$ ) is  $r(W)$ . In particular,  $b(W) = 2$  if and only if  $\mathrm{Hol}(T)$  has a regular orbit on  $\mathcal{P}_k$  or  $\mathcal{P}_{|T|-k}$ .*

*Proof.* This follows directly from Lemma 2.14, noting that  $\mathrm{Hol}(T, S) = \mathrm{Hol}(T, T \setminus S)$ .  $\square$

Generally, it is hard to determine  $\mathrm{Hol}(T, S)$  or to construct a subset  $S \subseteq T$  such that  $\mathrm{Hol}(T, S) = 1$ . By the transitivity of  $\mathrm{Hol}(T)$  on  $T$ , we may assume  $1 \in S$ .

**Lemma 2.16.** *If  $1 \in S_1 \cap S_2$  and  $S_1^{g^\alpha} = S_2$ , then  $g \in S_1$ .*

*Proof.* We have  $g^{-1}S_1 = S_2^{\alpha^{-1}}$ , so  $1 \in g^{-1}S_1$ , which implies  $g \in S_1$ .  $\square$

Now we give some sufficient conditions of  $S$  containing 1 such that  $\mathrm{Hol}(T, S) = 1$ . Here we write  $\mathrm{Aut}(T, R)$  for the setwise stabiliser of  $R \subseteq T^\#$  in  $\mathrm{Aut}(T)$ .

**Lemma 2.17.** *Let  $t_1 = 1$ . Then  $\text{Hol}(T, S) = 1$  if  $S = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k\} \in \mathcal{P}_k$  satisfies the following conditions:*

- (i)  $\text{Aut}(T, \{t_2, \dots, t_k\}) = 1$ ;
- (ii) for all  $2 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $\{|t_i^{-1}t_1|, \dots, |t_i^{-1}t_k|\} \neq \{1, |t_2|, \dots, |t_k|\}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $g\alpha \in \text{Hol}(T, S)$ , where  $g \in T$  and  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(T)$ . By Lemma 2.16, we have  $g \in S$ . If  $g = t_1 = 1$  then  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(T, \{t_2, \dots, t_k\})$  and the condition (i) forces  $\alpha = 1$ . If  $g = t_i$  for some  $2 \leq i \leq k$  then  $t_i^{-1}S = S^{\alpha^{-1}}$ , which implies that  $\{|t_i^{-1}t_1|, \dots, |t_i^{-1}t_k|\} = \{1, |t_2|, \dots, |t_k|\}$  and is incompatible with the condition (ii).  $\square$

**Corollary 2.18.** *Suppose  $\text{Out}(T) = 1$  and let  $t_1 = 1$ . Then  $\text{Hol}(T, S) = 1$  if  $S = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k\} \in \mathcal{P}_k$  satisfies the following conditions:*

- (i)  $t_2, \dots, t_k$  have distinct orders;
- (ii)  $K = \langle t_2, \dots, t_k \rangle$  is a maximal subgroup of  $T$  such that  $Z(K) = 1$ ;
- (iii) for all  $2 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $\{|t_i^{-1}t_1|, \dots, |t_i^{-1}t_k|\} \neq \{1, |t_2|, \dots, |t_k|\}$ .

*Proof.* In view of Lemma 2.17, it suffices to show that the conditions (i) and (ii) in the statement imply  $\text{Aut}(T, \{t_2, \dots, t_k\}) = 1$ . Suppose  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(T, \{t_2, \dots, t_k\})$ . Then  $\alpha \in C_{\text{Aut}(T)}(t_i)$  for each  $i$ , as  $t_2, \dots, t_k$  have distinct orders. It follows that  $\alpha$  centralises  $\langle t_2, \dots, t_k \rangle = K$  and so  $\alpha \in C_{\text{Aut}(T)}(K)$ . As we assume  $\text{Out}(T) = 1$ , this implies  $\alpha \in C_T(K) \leq N_T(K) = K$  since  $K$  is maximal, so  $\alpha \in Z(K) = 1$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.19.** *Let  $S_1 = \{t_1, \dots, t_k\}$  and  $S_2 = \{s_1, \dots, s_k\}$  be elements in  $\mathcal{P}_k$  such that  $1 \in S_1 \cap S_2$  and  $\text{Hol}(T, S_j) = 1$  for each  $j \in \{1, 2\}$ . Then  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are in distinct  $\text{Hol}(T)$ -orbits if*

$$\{|t_i^{-1}t_1|, \dots, |t_i^{-1}t_k|\} \neq \{|s_1|, \dots, |s_k|\}$$

for any  $i \in [k]$ .

*Proof.* This follows from Lemma 2.16 immediately.  $\square$

We will use the conditions given in Lemma 2.19 to do random search in MAGMA if we need to prove  $r(G) \geq 2$ . With this in mind, there is no need to construct  $G$  or  $\text{Hol}(T)$  in MAGMA, and the random search can be done in  $T$ .

### 3. PROBABILISTIC METHODS

In this section, we assume  $G = T^k \cdot (\text{Out}(T) \times S_k)$ . Note that Lemma 2.15 shows that  $r(G) \geq 2$  for  $k = m$  if and only if  $r(G) \geq 2$  for  $k = |T| - m$ . With this in mind, we will consider the cases where  $5 \leq k \leq |T|/2$  in this section.

**3.1. Holomorph and subsets.** To determine the existence of a regular orbit of  $\text{Hol}(T)$  on  $\mathcal{P}_k$ , we will use the probabilistic approach.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\sigma \in \text{Hol}(T)$  be of prime order  $r$  with cycle shape  $[r^m, 1^{|T|-mr}]$  and let  $\text{fix}(\sigma, \mathcal{P}_k)$  be the set of fixed points of  $\sigma$  on  $\mathcal{P}_k$ . Then*

$$|\text{fix}(\sigma, \mathcal{P}_k)| = \sum_{u=0}^{\lfloor k/r \rfloor} \binom{m}{u} \binom{|T| - mr}{k - ru}.$$

*Proof.* This follows by noting that any subset fixed by  $\sigma$  is a union of some cycles comprising  $\sigma$ .  $\square$

If  $\sigma \in \text{Hol}(T)$  is an element as described in Lemma 3.1, then  $|T| - mr$  is the number of elements in  $T$  fixed under  $\sigma$ . It follows that  $|T| - mr \leq \text{fix}(\text{Hol}(T))$ , where  $\text{fix}(\text{Hol}(T))$  is the fixity of  $\text{Hol}(T)$  (the *fixity* of a permutation group is the maximum number of elements fixed by a non-identity permutation). Recall that

$$h(T) = \max\{|C_T(x)| : 1 \neq x \in \text{Aut}(T)\},$$

which has been determined in Theorem 2.9.

**Lemma 3.2.** *We have  $\text{fix}(\text{Hol}(T)) = h(T)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\sigma \in \text{Hol}(T)$  be such that it fixes at least one element in  $T$ . We may assume  $\sigma$  fixes  $1 \in T$  by the transitivity of  $\text{Hol}(T)$ . Thus,  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(T)$  and hence  $C_T(\sigma)$  is the set of fixed points of  $\sigma$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $\sigma \in \text{Hol}(T)$  be of prime order  $r$ . Then*

$$|\text{fix}(\sigma, \mathcal{P}_k)| \leq \sum_{u=0}^{\lfloor k/r \rfloor} \binom{|T|/r}{u} \binom{h(T)}{k - ru}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{S \in \mathcal{P}_k : \text{Hol}(T, S) \neq 1\}$  and suppose  $S \in \mathcal{F}$ . Then there exists  $\sigma \in \text{Hol}(T, S)$  of prime order. In other words,  $S \in \text{fix}(\sigma, \mathcal{P}_k)$ . It follows that

$$|\mathcal{F}| = \left| \bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{R}} \text{fix}(\sigma, \mathcal{P}_k) \right| \leq \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{R}} |\text{fix}(\sigma, \mathcal{P}_k)|,$$

where  $\mathcal{R}$  is the set of elements of prime order in  $\text{Hol}(T)$ . Thus, in order to prove  $\text{Hol}(T)$  has at least 2 regular orbits on  $\mathcal{P}_k$ , it suffices to show that

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{R}} |\text{fix}(\sigma, \mathcal{P}_k)| < \binom{|T|}{k} - |\text{Hol}(T)|.$$

Moreover, as we assume  $5 \leq k \leq |T|/2$ , we always have  $|\text{Hol}(T)| < \frac{1}{2} \binom{|T|}{k}$  by Lemma 2.8. With this in mind, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.4.** *We have  $r(G) \geq 2$  (in particular,  $b(G) = 2$ ) if*

$$\binom{|T|}{k} > 2 \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{R}} |\text{fix}(\sigma, \mathcal{P}_k)|, \quad (5)$$

where  $\mathcal{R}$  is the set of elements of prime order in  $\text{Hol}(T)$ .

To establish (5), we will give upper bounds on the right-hand side of (5). We need some bounds on binomial coefficients. For example, the following is [52, Theorem 2.6], where  $e$  is the exponential constant.

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $\ell, m, n$  be positive integers with  $n > m$ . Then*

$$e^{-\frac{1}{8\ell}} a(\ell, m, n) < \binom{n\ell}{m\ell} < a(\ell, m, n),$$

where

$$a(\ell, m, n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \ell^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{n}{(n-m)m} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{n^n}{(n-m)^{n-m} m^m} \right)^\ell.$$

**Corollary 3.6.** *Suppose  $n = tm$  for some integer  $t \geq 2$ . Then*

$$e^{-\frac{1}{8}} \left( \frac{t^2}{(t-1)n} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{t^t}{(t-1)^{t-1}} \right)^{\frac{n}{t}} < \sqrt{2\pi} \binom{n}{m} < \left( \frac{t^2}{(t-1)n} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{t^t}{(t-1)^{t-1}} \right)^{\frac{n}{t}}. \quad (6)$$

*Proof.* Put  $\ell = 1$  and  $m = n/t$  in Lemma 3.5.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.7.** *If  $4 \log |T| < k \leq |T|/2$ , then  $r(G) \geq 2$  (in particular,  $b(G) = 2$ ).*

*Proof.* The case where  $T = A_5$  can be checked using MAGMA, so we now assume  $|T| \geq 168$ , where we have  $4 \log |T| < |T|/4$ . First assume  $|T|/4 \leq k \leq |T|/2$ . By Corollary 3.3, we have

$$|\text{fix}(\sigma, \mathcal{P}_k)| \leq 2^{|T|/2} \binom{h(T)}{\lfloor h(T)/2 \rfloor}$$

for every element  $\sigma \in \text{Hol}(T)$  of prime order. Hence, (5) holds if

$$\binom{|T|}{k} > |\text{Hol}(T)| 2^{|T|/2+1} \binom{h(T)}{\lfloor h(T)/2 \rfloor}, \quad (7)$$

and it suffices to consider  $k = |T|/4$ . Now we apply (6), which gives

$$\binom{|T|}{|T|/4} > \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{8}} \frac{4}{\sqrt{3|T|}} \left( \frac{4}{3^{3/4}} \right)^{|T|}$$

and

$$\binom{h(T)}{\lfloor h(T)/2 \rfloor} < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{4}{h(T)}} \cdot 2^{h(T)} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{40}{|T|}} \cdot 2^{|T|/10}$$

as  $h(T) \leq |T|/10$  by Corollary 2.11. Combining the inequalities above, we see that (7) holds for  $k = |T|/4$  if

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{8}} \frac{4}{\sqrt{3|T|}} \left( \frac{4}{3^{3/4}} \right)^{|T|} > |\text{Hol}(T)| \cdot 2^{|T|/2+1} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{40}{|T|}} \cdot 2^{|T|/10}.$$

Finally, since  $|\text{Out}(T)| < |T|^{1/3}$  by Lemma 2.8, it suffices to show that

$$t_0^{|T|} > \sqrt{30} e^{\frac{1}{8}} |T|^{\frac{7}{3}}, \quad (8)$$

where

$$t_0 = 4 \cdot 3^{-\frac{3}{4}} \cdot 2^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{10}} = 1.1577\dots$$

Note that (8) holds for all  $|T| \geq 168$ .

Now assume  $4 \log |T| < k < |T|/4$  and let  $\sigma \in \text{Hol}(T)$  be of prime order  $r$ . Note that  $ru \leq k < |T|/4$  for any  $u \in \{0, \dots, \lfloor k/r \rfloor\}$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{u=0}^{\lfloor k/r \rfloor} \binom{|T|/r}{u} \binom{h(T)}{k-ru} &< \sum_{u=0}^{\lfloor k/r \rfloor} \binom{|T|/2}{u} \binom{h(T)}{k-ru} \\ &< \sum_{u=0}^{\lfloor k/r \rfloor} \binom{|T|/2}{ru} \binom{h(T)}{k-ru} \\ &< \binom{|T|/2 + h(T)}{k}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (5) holds if

$$\binom{|T|}{k} > 2|\text{Hol}(T)| \binom{|T|/2 + h(T)}{k}. \quad (9)$$

It is easy to see that (9) is equivalent to

$$\frac{|T|!}{(|T|-k)!} > 2|\text{Hol}(T)| \frac{(|T|/2 + h(T))!}{(|T|/2 + h(T) - k)!}.$$

Now

$$\frac{|T| - m}{|T|/2 + h(T) - m} \geq \frac{|T|}{|T|/2 + h(T)} =: t$$

for every  $m \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$  and thus (9) holds if  $t^k > 2|\text{Hol}(T)|$ . By Corollary 2.11, we have  $|T|/h(T) \geq 10$ , and hence  $t \geq 5/3$ . Therefore, (9) holds if  $(5/3)^k > |T|^{8/3}$  (by applying Lemma 2.8), which implies the desired result.  $\square$

Now we turn to the cases where  $5 \leq k \leq 4 \log |T|$ .

**Lemma 3.8.** *Suppose  $5 \leq k \leq 4 \log |T|$ . Then  $r(G) \geq 2$  (in particular,  $b(G) = 2$ ) if*

$$\binom{|T|}{k} > 2|\text{Hol}(T)| \sum_{u=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} \binom{|T|/2}{u} \binom{h(T)}{k-2u}. \quad (10)$$

*Proof.* If  $8 \log |T| < h(T)$ , then  $k < h(T)/2$ , so (5) follows by (10) and Corollary 3.3. By inspecting Table 1,  $8 \log |T| \geq h(T)$  only if  $T$  is isomorphic to one of the following groups:

$$M_{11}, J_1, {}^2B_2(8), L_3(3), L_2(q) \quad (q \leq 167). \quad (11)$$

Let  $\sigma \in \text{Hol}(T)$  of prime order  $r$ . If  $\sigma$  is fixed-point-free on  $T$ , then  $|\text{fix}(\sigma, \mathcal{P}_k)| = 0$  if  $r \nmid k$ , and

$$|\text{fix}(\sigma, \mathcal{P}_k)| = \binom{|T|/r}{k/r}$$

otherwise. In particular, we have

$$|\text{fix}(\sigma, \mathcal{P}_k)| < \sum_{u=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} \binom{|T|/2}{u} \binom{h(T)}{k-2u}. \quad (12)$$

On the other hand, if  $\sigma$  has a fixed point on  $T$ , then  $\sigma$  is conjugate to an element fixing the identity element in  $T$ . Thus, to check (12), we may assume  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(T)$ . By applying Corollary 3.3 and using MAGMA, it is easy to check that (12) holds for every  $5 \leq k \leq 4 \log |T|$  and  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(T)$  of prime order, if  $T$  is one of the groups in (11). This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.9.** *The inequality (10) holds if*

$$2^u u^u |T|^{k-u} > 2|\text{Hol}(T)| \lfloor k/2 \rfloor k^{2u} e^{k+u} h(T)^{k-2u} \quad (13)$$

for every  $u \in \{0, \dots, \lfloor k/2 \rfloor\}$ , where we define  $u^u = 1$  if  $u = 0$ .

*Proof.* Note that (10) holds if

$$\binom{|T|}{k} > 2|\text{Hol}(T)| \lfloor k/2 \rfloor \binom{|T|/2}{u} \binom{h(T)}{k-2u} \quad (14)$$

for every  $u \in \{0, \dots, \lfloor k/2 \rfloor\}$ , and we have

$$\left( \frac{k}{k-2u} \right)^{k-2u} < e^{2u}$$

for any  $u$ . Hence, (14) is implied by combining (13) and the well-known bounds on binomial coefficients

$$\frac{n^m}{m^m} < \binom{n}{m} < \frac{(en)^m}{m^m}$$

for any integers  $n \geq m \geq 0$ , where we define  $m^m = 1$  if  $m = 0$ .  $\square$

We conclude this section by the following two lemmas, which will be the key in Section 4 later to prove Theorems 1, 2 and 4.

**Lemma 3.10.** *Suppose  $|T| > 4080$  and  $5 \leq k_0 \leq k \leq 4 \log |T|$ . Then (10) holds if*

$$|T|^{k_0} > |\text{Hol}(T)|^2 k_0^{2+k_0} e^{3k_0} \quad (15)$$

and

$$h(T)^2 < k_0 |T|. \quad (16)$$

*Proof.* We first prove that (10) holds if  $k = k_0$ . Assume  $k_0$  is odd, so  $\lfloor k_0/2 \rfloor = (k_0 - 1)/2$ . Then (13) for  $u = \lfloor k_0/2 \rfloor$  becomes

$$\left( \frac{|T|(k_0 - 1)}{k_0^2 e^3} \right)^{k_0} |T| > \frac{k_0 - 1}{k_0^2 e} \cdot 4|\text{Hol}(T)|^2 \left( \frac{k_0 - 1}{2} \right)^2 h(T)^2. \quad (17)$$

Now apply (16), so (17) holds if

$$\left( \frac{|T|}{k_0 e^3} \right)^{k_0} \left( \frac{k_0 - 1}{k_0} \right)^{k_0 - 1} e > 2|\text{Hol}(T)|^2 \left( \frac{k_0}{2} \right)^2,$$



which is implied by (15) since  $\left(\frac{k_0-1}{k_0}\right)^{k_0-1} > e^{-1}$ . Thus, if  $k_0$  is odd and  $u = \lfloor k_0/2 \rfloor$ , then (15) implies (13). Note that (15) is equivalent to (13) when  $k_0$  is even and  $u = k_0/2$ . Now we use induction, and suppose (13) holds for  $u = u_0$  and  $k = k_0$ , where  $1 \leq u_0 \leq \lfloor k_0/2 \rfloor$ . It suffices to show that (13) holds for  $u = u_0 - 1$ . To see this, we only need to show that

$$2^{-1}|T| \cdot \frac{(u_0 - 1)^{u_0-1}}{u_0^{u_0}} > k_0^{-2}e^{-1} \cdot h(T)^2,$$

which is implied by (16), noting that  $\left(\frac{u_0-1}{u_0}\right)^{u_0-1} > e^{-1}$  and  $2u_0 \leq k_0$ . This implies (13) for all  $u \in \{0, \dots, \lfloor k_0/2 \rfloor\}$ , and hence (10) holds by Lemma 3.9.

Finally, we need to show that (10) holds for all  $k_0 \leq k \leq 4 \log |T|$ . It is clear that  $h(T)^2 < k_0|T| \leq k|T|$ . Thus, with the same argument as above, it suffices to show that

$$|T|^k > |\text{Hol}(T)|^2 k^{2+k} e^{3k}. \quad (18)$$

Note that  $|T| > 4080$  as assumed, which implies

$$|T| > 4e^4(4 \log |T| + 1) > \left(\frac{k+1}{k}\right)^{k+2} e^3(k+1).$$

Therefore, (18) holds for all  $k_0 \leq k \leq 4 \log |T|$  by induction, and the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.11.** *Suppose  $5 \leq k_0 \leq k \leq 4 \log |T|$ . Then (10) holds if*

$$|T|^{k_0} > 2|\text{Hol}(T)| \lfloor k_0/2 \rfloor e^{k_0} h(T)^{k_0} \quad (19)$$

and

$$2h(T)^2 > (4 \log |T|)^2 e|T|. \quad (20)$$

*Proof.* To begin with, we will show that (10) holds if  $k = k_0$ . Note that (19) is equivalent to (13) for  $u = 0$  and  $k = k_0$ . We will show that (13) holds for any  $u \in \{0, \dots, \lfloor k_0/2 \rfloor\}$  by induction. Suppose (13) holds for  $u = u_0$ , where  $0 \leq u_0 \leq \lfloor k_0/2 \rfloor - 1$ . It suffices to show that (13) holds for  $u = u_0 + 1$ . To see this, we only need to show that

$$2|T|^{-1} \cdot \frac{(u_0 + 1)^{u_0+1}}{u_0^{u_0}} > k_0^2 e \cdot h(T)^{-2},$$

which is implied by the inequality (20). Therefore, (13) holds for any  $u \in \{0, \dots, \lfloor k_0/2 \rfloor\}$ , so (10) holds for  $k = k_0$  by Lemma 3.9.

To complete the proof, we need to show that

$$|T|^k > 2|\text{Hol}(T)| \lfloor k/2 \rfloor e^k h(T)^k$$

for all  $k_0 \leq k \leq 4 \log |T|$ . This is clear by induction, since we have

$$|T| > 2eh(T)$$

for every  $T$  by Corollary 2.11.  $\square$

**3.2. Fixed point ratios.** There is another probabilistic method given in [24, Section 4] to deal with the cases where  $k$  is small. This method was initially introduced by Liebeck and Shalev [42]. Here we adopt the notation in [24], where  $R(G)$  is the set of representatives for the  $G$ -conjugacy classes of elements in the stabiliser  $D$  in  $G$  which have prime order,

$$R_1(G) := \{(\alpha, \dots, \alpha)\pi \in R(G) : \pi \text{ is fixed-point-free on } [k]\},$$

$$R_2(G) := \{(\alpha, \dots, \alpha)\pi \in R(G) : \pi = 1\},$$

$$R_3(G) := \{(\alpha, \dots, \alpha)\pi \in R(G) : \pi \neq 1 \text{ and } \pi \text{ has a fixed point on } [k]\},$$

and

$$r_i(G) := \sum_{x \in R_i(G)} \frac{|D \cap x^G|^2 |C_G(x)|}{|G|}.$$

As noted in [24], we have

$$1 - \frac{r(G)|G|}{|T|^{2k-2}} \leq r_1(G) + r_2(G) + r_3(G), \quad (21)$$

which gives a lower bound on  $r(G)$ . In particular,  $b(G) = 2$  if  $r_1(G) + r_2(G) + r_3(G) < 1$ . Thus, we need to bound each  $r_i(G)$  above.

**Lemma 3.12.** *We have  $r_1(G) < (k!)^2|T|^{8/3-\lceil k/2 \rceil}$ .*

*Proof.* This can be found in the proof of Theorem 1.5 in [24].  $\square$

**Lemma 3.13.** *We have  $r_2(G) < (|T|/h(T))^{4-k}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f_p(X)$  be the number of conjugacy classes of elements of prime order in a group  $X$ . Then as noted in the proof of [24, Lemma 4.2], we see that

$$r_2(G) \leq |\text{Out}(T)|f_p(\text{Aut}(T)) \left( \frac{h(T)}{|T|} \right)^{k-2}.$$

Thus, it suffices to show that

$$|\text{Out}(T)|f_p(\text{Aut}(T)) < \left( \frac{|T|}{h(T)} \right)^2. \quad (22)$$

First, assume  $T = A_n$  is an alternating group. Then as discussed in the proof of [24, Lemma 4.2], we have  $f_p(\text{Aut}(T)) < \frac{n^2}{2}$ . This implies (22).

Next, assume  $T$  is a sporadic group. Then  $f_p(\text{Aut}(T))$  can be read off from the character table of  $T$ , which can be accessed computationally via GAP Character Table Library [6]. Thus, (22) holds in every case.

Finally, assume  $T$  is a simple group of Lie type over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . We divide the proof into several cases.

*Case 1.*  $T \neq L_n^\epsilon(q)$ .

In this setting, [27, Theorem 1.2] implies that  $f_p(T) < |T|/h(T)$ . With (22) in mind, it suffices to show that

$$h(T)|\text{Out}(T)|^2 < |T| \quad (23)$$

as  $f_p(\text{Aut}(T)) \leq |\text{Out}(T)|f_p(T)$  by [24, Lemma 4.7].

First, we assume  $T \neq \text{P}\Omega_8^+(q)$ . By inspecting Table 1, one can see that  $|T|/h(T) \geq q^3/2$ . Moreover, we have  $|\text{Out}(T)| \leq 8 \log q$ . It is straightforward to check that if  $q \geq 13$ , then  $128(\log q)^2 < q^3$ , which implies that (23) holds for  $q \geq 13$ . Thus, there are finitely many exceptional simple groups remained to consider, where we can apply the explicit  $h(T)$  in Table 1 to check (23) in each case. Hence, we may assume  $q \leq 11$  and  $T$  is a classical simple group. By our assumption,  $T = \text{PSp}_n(q)$ ,  $\Omega_n(q)$ ,  $\text{P}\Omega_n^-(q)$ , or  $\text{P}\Omega_n^+(q)$  for  $n \geq 10$ . In each case, we have  $|T|/h(T) > q^{n-2}$  by inspecting Table 1, so if  $n \geq 8$  we have

$$|\text{Out}(T)|^2 \leq 64(\log q)^2 < q^6 \leq q^{n-2} < |T|/h(T)$$

and thus (23) holds. There are finitely many groups remaining and we can check that (23) holds in each case.

Now assume  $T = \text{P}\Omega_8^+(q)$ . We have  $|T|/h(T) > q^6$  in this setting, and  $|\text{Out}(T)| \leq 24f \leq 24 \log q$ . This shows that (23) holds for  $q \geq 4$  since we have  $24^2(\log q)^2 < q^6$ . If  $q = 2$  or  $3$ , then we have  $|\text{Out}(T)| \leq 8$  and  $|T|/h(T) > 64$ , so (23) holds.

*Case 2.*  $T = \text{U}_n(q)$ ,  $n \geq 3$ .

In this setting, [27, Theorem 1.2] implies  $f_p(T) < \frac{1}{2}|T|/h(T)$ , except when  $(n, q) = (3, 3)$  or  $(4, 3)$ , in each of which cases it is easy to check (22). In other cases, we have  $|T|/h(T) > q^n$  by inspecting Table 1, so (22) holds if

$$|\text{Out}(T)|^2 < 2q^n. \quad (24)$$

On the other hand,  $|\text{Out}(T)| \leq 2(q+1) \log q < q^2$  for  $q \geq 7$ , and for  $q = 3, 5$  we still have  $|\text{Out}(T)| \leq 2(q+1) < q^2$ . This implies if  $q \neq 2, 4$  and  $n \geq 4$ , we have

$$|\text{Out}(T)|^2 < q^4 \leq q^n < 2q^n$$

and so (24) is satisfied. If  $q = 2$  then  $|\text{Out}(T)| \leq 6$ , so (24) holds if  $n \geq 5$ ; and if  $q = 4$  then  $|\text{Out}(T)| \leq 20$ , which implies (24) holds for  $n \geq 4$ . It is straightforward to check (22) when  $T = \text{U}_4(2)$ , where we have  $f_p(T) = 7$ .

Thus, it suffices to consider the case where  $n = 3$ . In this setting, we have  $|\text{Out}(T)| \leq 6 \log q$ . It is routine to check that  $(6 \log q)^2 < 2q^3$  for all  $q > 4$ , so (24) is satisfied. By our assumption, the only remaining case is  $T = \text{U}_3(4)$  (note that  $\text{U}_3(2)$  is soluble), where we have  $f_p(T) = 12$  and (22) is satisfied.

*Case 3.*  $T = \text{L}_n(q)$ .

Here we assume  $(n, q) \neq (2, 4), (2, 5), (2, 9), (4, 2)$  by (3). If  $n = 2$  and  $q \in \{7, 11\}$ , then an easy computation using MAGMA shows that (22) holds, and the result follows.

Assume otherwise, so  $|T|/h(T) > q^{n-1}$  by inspecting Table 1. Moreover, [26, Corollary 1.2] implies that  $f_p(\text{Aut}(T)) < 100|T|/h(T)$ , so (22) holds if

$$100|\text{Out}(T)| < q^{n-1}. \quad (25)$$

Since  $|\text{Out}(T)| \leq 2(q-1) \log q < q^2$  for all  $q$ , we have (25) holds if  $n \geq 10$ . Moreover, if  $d \geq 4$  then (25) holds if  $q > 100$ , and for  $q < 100$  we can easily check that (25) still holds in each case except  $q = 2$  and  $n \leq 8$ ,  $n \in \{5, 6\}$  and  $q \leq 4$ , or  $n = 4$  and  $q \leq 9$ , while (22) holds in each of these cases. Now we assume  $n = 3$ , so  $|\text{Out}(T)| \leq 6 \log q$ , and (25) holds if we can show that  $600 \log q < q^2$ . This implies (25) holds if  $q > 59$ . Indeed, by applying the exact  $|\text{Out}(T)|$ , we can see that (25) holds if  $q > 25$ , while if  $q \leq 25$  we can also easily check (22) using MAGMA.

To complete the proof, we assume  $T = \text{L}_2(q)$ , so  $|\text{Out}(T)| \leq 2 \log q$  and  $|T|/h(T) \geq (q+1)q^{1/2}/2$ . Thus, (22) holds if

$$800 \log q < (q+1)^2$$

since we have  $f_p(\text{Aut}(T)) < 100q$  by [26, Corollary 1.2], which shows that (22) holds if  $q \geq 71$ . For the remainder, we can use MAGMA to check that (22) holds in each case.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.14.** *We have*

$$r_3(G) < \binom{k}{2} \left( \frac{1}{|T|} + \frac{|\text{Out}(T)|h(T)^{k-3}}{|T|^{k-3}} \right) + \frac{k!}{|T|^{\frac{4}{3}}} + |T|^{-\frac{1}{3}} \left( \binom{k}{3} + \frac{1}{2} \binom{k}{2} \binom{k-2}{2} \right).$$

*Proof.* First, let  $R_4(G) = \{(\alpha, \dots, \alpha)\pi \in R_3(G) : \pi = (1, 2)\}$  and  $R_4(T) = \{\alpha \in \text{Aut}(T) : (\alpha, \dots, \alpha)\pi \in R_4(G)\}$  as in the proof of [24, Theorem 1.5]. We have

$$\begin{aligned} r_4(G) &:= |(1, 2)^{S_k}| \sum_{\alpha \in R_4(T)} \frac{|\alpha^{\text{Aut}(T)}|}{|T|} \left( \frac{|C_{\text{Inn}(T)}(\alpha)|}{|T|} \right)^{k-3} \\ &\leq \binom{k}{2} \left( \frac{1}{|T|} + \frac{|\text{Aut}(T)|}{|T|} \left( \frac{|C_{\text{Inn}(T)}(\alpha)|}{|T|} \right)^{k-3} \right) \\ &\leq \binom{k}{2} \left( \frac{1}{|T|} + |\text{Out}(T)| \left( \frac{h(T)}{|T|} \right)^{k-3} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

As noted in the proof of [24, Theorem 1.5], we have

$$r_3(G) \leq r_4(G) + \sum_{\pi \in R \setminus \{(1,2)\}} \frac{|\pi^P|}{|T|^{k-r_\pi-\frac{5}{3}}}, \quad (27)$$

where  $P = S_k$ ,  $R$  denotes a set of representatives for the conjugacy classes of elements of prime order in  $S_k$  containing  $(1, 2)$ , and  $r_\pi$  is the number of cycles in the full cycle decomposition of  $\pi$  in  $S_k$ , including fixed points.

Let  $x, y \in R$  be the representatives of  $(1, 2, 3)$  and  $(1, 2)(3, 4)$ , respectively. Note that  $r_x = r_y = k - 2$ , and for any  $z \in R \setminus \{(1, 2), x, y\}$ , we have  $r_z \leq k - 3$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\pi \in R \setminus \{(1, 2)\}} \frac{|\pi^P|}{|T|^{k-r_\pi-\frac{5}{3}}} &= \sum_{\pi \in R \setminus \{(1, 2), x, y\}} \frac{|\pi^P|}{|T|^{k-r_\pi-\frac{5}{3}}} + |T|^{-\frac{1}{3}} \left( \binom{k}{3} + \frac{1}{2} \binom{k}{2} \binom{k-2}{2} \right) \\ &< \frac{k!}{|T|^{\frac{4}{3}}} + |T|^{-\frac{1}{3}} \left( \binom{k}{3} + \frac{1}{2} \binom{k}{2} \binom{k-2}{2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and so the lemma follows by combining (26) and (27).  $\square$

Now we define

$$Q_1(G) := (k!)^2 |T|^{\frac{8}{3} - \frac{k}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{5,k}} + \frac{k!}{|T|^{\frac{4}{3}}} + \frac{k^4}{2|T|^{\frac{1}{3}}}$$

and

$$Q_2(G) := \left( \frac{|T|}{h(T)} \right)^{4-k} + \binom{k}{2} |\text{Out}(T)| \left( \frac{|T|}{h(T)} \right)^{3-k}.$$

By Lemmas 3.12, 3.13 and 3.14, we have

$$r_1(G) + r_2(G) + r_3(G) < Q_1(G) + Q_2(G). \quad (28)$$

**Lemma 3.15.** *If  $Q_1(G) + Q_2(G) < 1/2$  and  $k \leq 4 \log |T|$ , then  $r(G) \geq 2$  (in particular,  $b(G) = 2$ ).*

*Proof.* By (21) and (28), we have

$$\frac{1}{2} > Q_1(G) + Q_2(G) > 1 - \frac{r(G)|G|}{|T|^{2k-2}} \geq 1 - \frac{r(G)|\text{Out}(T)| \cdot k!}{|T|^{k-2}}.$$

It suffices to prove that

$$2|\text{Out}(T)| \cdot k! \geq |T|^{k-2},$$

which is clear since  $k \leq 4 \log |T|$ .  $\square$

#### 4. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 1, 2 AND 4

By Proposition 2.7, we have  $r(G) \geq 2$  if  $P \notin \{A_k, S_k\}$  and  $k > 32$ , and by Corollary 2.4, if  $P \in \{A_k, S_k\}$ , then  $b(G) = 2$  only if  $2 < k < |T|$ . Thus, to prove Theorems 1 and 2, we only need to consider the cases where  $P \in \{A_k, S_k\}$  and  $2 < k < |T|$ . We will consider the following cases in turn:

- (a)  $P \in \{A_k, S_k\}$  and  $k \in \{3, 4, |T| - 4, |T| - 3\}$ ;
- (b)  $P \in \{A_k, S_k\}$  and  $k \in \{|T| - 2, |T| - 1\}$ ;
- (c)  $P = S_k$ ,  $5 \leq k \leq |T|/2$  and  $G = W$ .

More specifically, we will prove that  $r(G) \geq 2$  for every group in cases (a) and (c), with two exceptions in the statement of Theorem 2, where  $r(G) = 1$  (so  $b(G) = 2$ ). By Lemma 2.15, this gives part (ii) of Theorem 1 and establishes Theorem 4. Case (b) will be handled in Proposition 4.7 separately, which gives part (iii) of Theorem 1. This also completes the proof of Theorem 2.

4.1. **The cases where**  $k \in \{3, 4, |T| - 4, |T| - 3\}$ . We start with case (a). First we define the following sets of finite simple groups of Lie type:

$$\mathcal{C}_1 := \{{}^2B_2(8), {}^2B_2(32), G_2(3), G_2(4), {}^2F_4(2)', {}^3D_4(2), F_4(2)\},$$

$$\mathcal{C}_2 := \{L_2(q) : q \leq 81\} \cup \{L_3^\varepsilon(3), L_3^\varepsilon(4), L_3^\varepsilon(7), L_3^\varepsilon(16), L_4^\varepsilon(2), L_4^\varepsilon(3), L_4^\varepsilon(5), L_5^\varepsilon(2), U_6(2)\},$$

$$\mathcal{C}_3 := \{\Omega_7(3), \Omega_8^\varepsilon(2), P\Omega_8^\varepsilon(3), \Omega_8^+(4), P\Omega_8^+(5), \Omega_{10}^\varepsilon(2), \Omega_{12}^+(2)\}$$

and define  $\mathcal{C} := \mathcal{C}_1 \cup \mathcal{C}_2 \cup \mathcal{C}_3$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *Suppose  $T \notin \mathcal{C}$  is a finite simple group of Lie type. Then  $T$  has at least 8 regular semisimple  $\text{Aut}(T)$ -classes.*

*Proof.* First consider classical groups. The precise number  $m$  of regular semisimple  $X$ -classes for  $X \in \{\text{SL}_n^\varepsilon(q), \text{Sp}_n(q), \text{SO}_n^\varepsilon(q)\}$  are given in [25]. Note that  $T$  has at least 8 regular semisimple  $\text{Aut}(T)$ -classes if

$$m|T| \geq 8|\text{Out}(T)||X|. \quad (29)$$

With this in mind, the lemma follows by inspecting [25]. For example, if  $T = P\Omega_8^+(q)$  and  $q$  is odd, then  $|\text{Out}(T)| \leq 24 \log q$  and the number of regular semisimple conjugacy classes of  $\text{SO}_8^+(q)$  is

$$m = q^4 - q^3 + q^2 - 5q + 6$$

by [25, Theorem 5.6(2)]. Note that we have  $|X| = 4|T|$  in this setting. Thus, (29) holds if

$$q^4 - q^3 + q^2 - 5q + 6 \geq 768 \log q,$$

which holds for all  $q \geq 9$ . The case where  $q = 7$  can be handled using the explicit  $|\text{Out}(T)| = 24$ . We use very same method to treat other classical groups.

Now consider the cases where  $T$  is exceptional. Then the number  $m$  of  $\text{Inndiag}(T)$ -classes of regular semisimple elements can be found in [43]. With a similar argument,  $T$  has at least 8 regular semisimple  $\text{Aut}(T)$ -classes if  $m \geq 8|\text{Aut}(T) : \text{Inndiag}(T)|$ . By inspecting [43], one can check that this inequality holds for every  $T \notin \mathcal{C}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.** *Suppose  $P \in \{S_3, S_4\}$  and  $T$  is a sporadic simple group. Then  $r(G) \geq 2$ .*

*Proof.* If  $T \notin \{\text{Ly}, \text{Th}, J_4, \text{O}'\text{N}, \mathbb{B}, \mathbb{M}\}$  then we can construct  $T$  as a permutation group in MAGMA using `AutomorphismGroupSimpleGroup`. In this setting, we can apply Lemmas 2.17 and 2.19, and the result follows by random search. If  $T \in \{\text{Ly}, \text{Th}, J_4, \mathbb{B}, \mathbb{M}\}$ , then  $|\text{Out}(T)| = 1$ . We do random search in MAGMA by combining Corollary 2.18 and Lemma 2.19 instead. For the subgroup  $K$  stated in Corollary 2.18, we choose

$$(T, K) = (\text{Ly}, G_2(5)), (\text{Th}, \text{AGL}_2(5)), (J_4, \text{M}_{22}.2), (\mathbb{B}, \text{Fi}_{23}), (\mathbb{M}, L_2(71)). \quad (30)$$

Finally, if  $T = \text{O}'\text{N}$ , then we can also construct  $T$  as a permutation group in MAGMA using the generators given in [55]. Then the proof is very similar.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.3.** *Suppose  $P = S_3$  and  $T \notin \mathcal{C}$  is Lie type. Then  $r(G) \geq 2$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x$  and  $y$  be as described in Theorem 2.13. Let  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  be semisimple elements in  $T$  lying in distinct  $\text{Aut}(T)$ -classes and

$$z_1, z_2 \notin x^{\text{Aut}(T)} \cup (x^{-1})^{\text{Aut}(T)} \cup y^{\text{Aut}(T)} \cup (y^{-1})^{\text{Aut}(T)}.$$

Note that the existence follows from Lemma 4.1. Then by applying [30, Theorem 2], there exist  $g_i$  and  $h_i$  in  $T$  such that  $z_i = x^{g_i}y^{h_i}$ , and without loss of generality we may assume  $g_i = 1$ , so  $z_i = xy^{h_i}$ . It is easy to see that  $\text{Hol}(T, \{1, x^{-1}, y^{h_i}\}) = 1$ , and so  $b(G) = 2$ . By Lemma 2.15, it suffices to show that  $S_1 = \{1, x^{-1}, y^{h_1}\}$  and  $S_2 = \{1, x^{-1}, y^{h_2}\}$  are in distinct  $\text{Hol}(T)$ -orbits. Suppose  $S_1^{g\alpha} = S_2$  for some  $g\alpha \in \text{Hol}(T)$ , and note that  $g \in S_1$  by Lemma 2.16. If  $g = 1$  then  $(x^{-1})^\alpha = x^{-1}$  and  $(y^{h_1})^\alpha = y^{h_2}$ . However, this implies that

$$z_1^\alpha = (xy^{h_1})^\alpha = xy^{h_2} = z_2,$$

which is incompatible with our assumption  $z_1^{\text{Aut}(T)} \neq z_2^{\text{Aut}(T)}$ . If  $g = x^{-1}$  then  $(y^{h_1})^g = xy^{h_1} = z_1$ , which is not  $\text{Aut}(T)$ -conjugate to any element in  $S_2$ , a contradiction. Finally, if  $g = y^{h_1}$  then  $(x^{-1})^g = y^{-h_1}x^{-1} = z_1^{-1}$ . With the same reason, this is impossible. Therefore, there is no  $g\alpha \in \text{Hol}(T)$  such that  $S_1^{g\alpha} = S_2$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.4.** *Suppose  $P = S_4$  and  $T \notin \mathcal{C}$  is Lie type. Then  $r(G) \geq 2$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x$  and  $y$  be as in Theorem 2.13. By [30, Theorem 2], any semisimple element in  $T$  lies in  $x^T y^T$ , so we may assume that

$$x^{-1}y \notin x^{\text{Aut}(T)} \cup (x^{-1})^{\text{Aut}(T)} \cup y^{\text{Aut}(T)} \cup (y^{-1})^{\text{Aut}(T)}. \quad (31)$$

Additionally, let  $z_0$  be a regular semisimple element such that

$$z_0 \notin x^{\text{Aut}(T)} \cup (x^{-1})^{\text{Aut}(T)} \cup y^{\text{Aut}(T)} \cup (y^{-1})^{\text{Aut}(T)} \cup (x^{-1}y)^{\text{Aut}(T)} \cup (y^{-1}x)^{\text{Aut}(T)}. \quad (32)$$

Note that the existence follows from Lemma 4.1. Again, [30, Theorem 2] implies that  $x^T z_0^T$  contains all semisimple elements in  $T$ . Thus, by Lemma 4.1, there exists  $z \in z_0^T$  such that

$$z^{-1}x \notin x^{\text{Aut}(T)} \cup (x^{-1})^{\text{Aut}(T)} \cup y^{\text{Aut}(T)} \cup (y^{-1})^{\text{Aut}(T)} \cup (x^{-1}y)^{\text{Aut}(T)} \cup (y^{-1}x)^{\text{Aut}(T)}. \quad (33)$$

Set  $S_1 = \{1, x, y, z\}$  and suppose  $g\alpha \in \text{Hol}(T, S_1)$ . If  $g = 1$  then  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(T, S_1) = 1$  as  $\langle x, y \rangle = T$  and  $x, y, z$  are in distinct  $\text{Aut}(T)$ -classes. If  $g = x$  then  $x^{-1}z \in x^{-1}S_1 = S_1^{\alpha^{-1}}$ , which is incompatible with (33). The case where  $g = z$  can be eliminated using very same argument. If  $g = y$ , then  $y^{-1}x \in y^{-1}S_1 = S_1^{\alpha^{-1}}$ , which is incompatible with either (31) or (32). Thus, we have  $b(G) = 2$ .

Similarly, there exists a regular semisimple element  $w \in T$  such that  $w \neq z$ ,

$$w \notin x^{\text{Aut}(T)} \cup (x^{-1})^{\text{Aut}(T)} \cup y^{\text{Aut}(T)} \cup (y^{-1})^{\text{Aut}(T)} \cup (x^{-1}y)^{\text{Aut}(T)} \cup (y^{-1}x)^{\text{Aut}(T)}$$

and

$$w^{-1}x \notin x^{\text{Aut}(T)} \cup (x^{-1})^{\text{Aut}(T)} \cup y^{\text{Aut}(T)} \cup (y^{-1})^{\text{Aut}(T)} \cup (x^{-1}y)^{\text{Aut}(T)} \cup (y^{-1}x)^{\text{Aut}(T)}.$$

Once again, the existence follows from Lemma 4.1. Set  $S_2 = \{1, x, y, w\}$ , and with very same argument as above, we have  $\text{Hol}(T, S_2) = 1$ . It suffices to show that  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are in distinct  $\text{Hol}(T)$ -orbits. Suppose  $S_1^{g\alpha} = S_2$  and note that  $g \in S_1$  by Lemma 2.16. If  $g = 1$  then  $x^\alpha = x$  and  $y^\alpha = y$ , which implies  $\alpha = 1$ . However, this is incompatible with  $z \neq w$ . If  $g = x$  then

$$1^g = x^{-1}, \quad y^g = x^{-1}y \quad \text{and} \quad z^g = x^{-1}z.$$

Thus, one of the above is  $\text{Aut}(T)$ -conjugate to  $\omega$ , which has to be  $z^g = x^{-1}z$  by our assumption. However, this gives a contradiction since  $y^g = x^{-1}y$  is not  $\text{Aut}(T)$ -conjugate to  $x$  or  $y$  by (31). The case where  $g = y$  can be eliminated similarly. Finally, if  $g = z$  then

$$x^g = z^{-1}x, \quad y^g = z^{-1}y \quad \text{and} \quad 1^g = z^{-1}.$$

Once again, the only possibility is  $x^{g\alpha} = w$  by (33). But this leaves  $(z^{-1})^\alpha = 1^{g\alpha} \in \{x, y\}$ , which is incompatible with (32).  $\square$

**Proposition 4.5.** *The conclusions to Theorems 1 and 2 hold true if  $k \in \{3, 4, |T| - 4, |T| - 3\}$ .*

*Proof.* First we assume  $P \in \{S_3, S_4\}$ . The cases where  $T$  is sporadic has been treated in Lemma 4.2. If  $T \notin \mathcal{C}$  is Lie type, then by Lemmas 4.3 and 4.4, we have  $r(G) \geq 2$  as desired. The cases where  $T \in \mathcal{C}$  can be handled by random search.

Thus, to complete the proof for  $P \in \{S_3, S_4\}$ , it suffices to consider the case where  $T = A_n$  is an alternating group. First assume  $P = S_3$ . The cases where  $n \leq 8$  can be easily handled using MAGMA. Now assume  $n \geq 9$ , so by [44], there exist  $x_1, y_1 \in T$  such that  $|x_1| = 2$ ,  $|y_1| = 3$  and  $\langle x_1, y_1 \rangle = T$ . Note that if  $|x_1 y_1| = 2$  or  $3$ , then  $\langle x_1, y_1 \rangle = S_3$  or  $A_4$  respectively, which leads to a contradiction. Hence,  $\text{Hol}(T, \{1, x_1, y_1\}) = 1$  by Lemma 2.17, which implies  $b(G) = 2$ . Let  $x_2 = (1, 2, \dots, n)$  if  $n$  is odd, while  $x_2 =$

$(1, 2)(3, \dots, n)$  if  $n$  is even, and let  $y_2 = (1, 2, 3)x_2^{-1}$ . Then  $\langle x_2, y_2 \rangle = T$  and Lemma 2.17 implies  $\text{Hol}(T, \{1, x_2, y_2\}) = 1$ , so we have  $r(G) \geq 2$  by applying Lemma 2.19.

Next, assume  $T = A_n$  and  $P = S_4$ . The cases where  $n \leq 11$  can be handled using MAGMA. Assume  $n \geq 12$  and let  $x = (1, 2)(3, 4)$ . Let  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  be the set of involutions moving 8 and 12 points in  $[n]$ , respectively. Then there exist  $y_1 \in C_1$  and  $y_2 \in C_2$  such that  $xy_i \neq y_ix$ . Moreover, by [7], there exist  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  such that

$$T = \langle x, z_1 \rangle = \langle y_1, z_1 \rangle = \langle x, z_2 \rangle = \langle y_2, z_2 \rangle.$$

In particular,  $2 \notin \{|z_i|, |xz_i|, |y_iz_i|\}$ . Set  $S_1 = \{1, x, y_1, z_1\}$  and  $S_2 = \{1, x, y_2, z_2\}$ . We first prove that  $\text{Hol}(T, S_i) = 1$ . Suppose  $g\alpha \in \text{Hol}(T, S_i)$ . If  $g = 1$  then  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(T, S) = 1$  since  $\langle x, z_i \rangle = 1$  and  $x, y_i, z_i$  are in distinct  $\text{Aut}(T)$ -classes. If  $g = x$  then  $2 \notin \{|y_i^g|, |z_i^g|\} = \{|xy_i|, |xz_i|\}$ , which is impossible. The cases where  $g \in \{y_i, z_i\}$  can be eliminated similarly. This implies  $b(G) = 2$ . By applying Lemma 2.16, one can show that  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are in distinct  $\text{Hol}(T)$ -orbits.

Therefore, the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 are complete for  $k \in \{3, 4\}$ . In particular, when  $k \in \{3, 4\}$ , we have  $r(G) \geq 2$  in every case except  $G = A_5^3.(2 \times S_3)$ . By Lemma 2.15, it suffices to consider the case where  $T = A_5$  and  $k = |A_5| - 3 = 57$ . Note that  $r(G) = 1$  if  $G = W$ . If  $G < W$ , then it suffice to find a base for  $G$  of size 2 that is not a base for  $W$ . First, consider the case where  $S_{57} \leq G$ , so  $G = A_5^{57}.S_{57}$ . With the same argument in the proof of Lemmas 2.14 and 2.15,  $r(G)$  is the number of regular orbits of  $A_5:\text{Inn}(A_5) \leq \text{Hol}(A_5)$  on  $\mathcal{P}_{57}$  (or on  $\mathcal{P}_3$ ). Thus,  $r(G) = r(A_5^3.S_3)$ , which is at least 2 by the result on  $k = 3$ . Now we turn to the case where  $S_{57}$  is not a subgroup of  $G$ . By Corollary 2.6, we have  $A_5^{57}:A_{57} \leq G$ , so  $(56, 57) \notin G$ , otherwise  $S_{57} \leq G$ , which is incompatible with our assumption. As can be checked using MAGMA, there exists  $S \subseteq A_5^\#$  such that  $|S| = 4$  and  $\text{Aut}(A_5, S) = 1$ . Let  $\{t_1, \dots, t_{55}\} = A_5^\# \setminus S$ ,  $t_{56} = t_{57} = 1$  and  $\Delta = \{D, D(\varphi_{t_1}, \dots, \varphi_{t_{57}})\}$ . Suppose  $(\alpha, \dots, \alpha)\pi \in G_{(\Delta)}$ . Then  $t_i^\alpha = t_i^\pi$  for all  $i$  by Lemma 2.1, which implies  $\{t_1, \dots, t_{55}\}^\alpha = \{t_1, \dots, t_{55}\}$ , and thus  $\alpha = 1$ . It follows that  $\pi \in \langle (56, 57) \rangle$ , so  $\pi = 1$  as  $(56, 57) \notin G$ . Thus,  $\Delta$  is a base for  $G$  but not for  $W$ . We conclude that  $r(G) \geq 2$  in every case where  $G < W$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

#### 4.2. The cases where $P \in \{A_k, S_k\}$ and $k \in \{|T| - 2, |T| - 1\}$ .

**Lemma 4.6.** *Suppose  $m \in \{2, 3\}$ . Then there exist  $S_1, S_2 \subseteq T^\#$  such that  $|S_i| = m$ ,  $\text{Aut}(T, S_i) = 1$  and  $S_1^{\text{Aut}(T)} \neq S_2^{\text{Aut}(T)}$ .*

*Proof.* Note that if  $S_1 \cup \{1\}$  and  $S_2 \cup \{1\}$  are in distinct regular  $\text{Hol}(T)$ -orbits, then all conditions in the statement of the lemma are satisfied. Thus, the lemma follows by Lemma 2.15 and Proposition 4.5, except when  $T = A_5$  and  $m = 2$ , where we can also check the lemma using MAGMA.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.7.** *Assume  $k = |T| - 1$  or  $|T| - 2$ .*

- (i) *If  $G$  contains  $S_k$ , then  $b(G) = 3$ .*
- (ii) *If  $G$  does not contain  $S_k$ , then  $r(G) \geq 2$ .*

*Proof.* First assume  $G$  contains  $S_k$ . It suffices to show that  $b(G) = 3$  if  $G = T^k:S_k$ . Suppose  $\{D, D(\varphi_{t_1}, \dots, \varphi_{t_k})\}$  is a base for  $G$ . If  $t_i = t_j$  for some  $i \neq j$ , then  $(i, j) \in G$  stabilises  $D$  and  $D(\varphi_{t_1}, \dots, \varphi_{t_k})$  pointwise. Therefore,  $t_1, \dots, t_k$  are distinct. Let  $S = T \setminus \{t_1, \dots, t_k\}$ , so  $|S| \in \{1, 2\}$ . Without loss of generality, we may also assume  $1 \in S$ . Thus, there exists  $1 \neq t \in T$  such that  $S^{\varphi^t} = S$ , and hence  $\varphi_t \in \text{Hol}(T, T \setminus S)$ , which is incompatible with Lemma 2.14.

Now we turn to the case where  $G$  does not contain  $S_k$ . Recall that Corollary 2.6 implies  $T^k:A_k \leq G$ . By Lemma 4.6, there exist  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  of size  $|T| - k + 2$  lying in distinct regular  $\text{Aut}(T)$ -orbits. Write  $S_i = \{t_{i,1}, \dots, t_{i,k-2}\}$  and consider  $\Delta_i = \{D, D(\varphi_{t_{i,1}}, \dots, \varphi_{t_{i,k}})\}$ , where  $t_{i,k-1} = t_{i,k} = 1$ . Suppose  $x = (\alpha, \dots, \alpha)\pi \in G_{(\Delta_i)}$ . By Lemma 2.1,  $t_{i,j}^\alpha = t_{i,j}^\pi$  for all  $j$ . It follows that  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(T, S_i)$ , which implies  $\alpha = 1$ . Thus,  $x = \pi \in \langle (k-1, k) \rangle$ , and

so  $x = 1$  since  $G$  does not contain  $S_k$ . This shows that  $b(G) = 2$ . Finally, if  $\Delta_1$  and  $\Delta_2$  are in the same  $G_D$ -orbit, then

$$D(\varphi_{t_{1,1}}, \dots, \varphi_{t_{1,k}})^{(\alpha, \dots, \alpha)\pi} = D(\varphi_{t_{2,1}}, \dots, \varphi_{t_{2,k}})$$

for some  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(T)$  and  $\pi \in S_k$ . This implies that  $S_1^\alpha = S_2$ , which is incompatible with our assumption. Therefore,  $r(G) \geq 2$  and the proof is complete.  $\square$

**4.3. The cases where  $P = S_k$ ,  $5 \leq k \leq |T|/2$  and  $G = W$ .** Finally, let us turn to case (c) mentioned in the beginning of this section. Note that if  $r(G) \geq 2$  in every case, then the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 are complete by combining Propositions 2.7, 4.5 and 4.7. By Proposition 3.7, it suffices to consider the cases where  $5 \leq k \leq 4 \log |T|$ . Recall that  $r(G) \geq 2$  if (10) holds or  $Q_1(G) + Q_2(G) < 1/2$ .

**Proposition 4.8.** *The conclusions to Theorems 1 and 2 hold when  $T$  is a sporadic simple group.*

*Proof.* As noted above, we may assume  $5 \leq k \leq 4 \log |T|$ . With the aid of MAGMA, it is easy to check that (10) holds for all  $k$  in this range unless  $T$  is one of the following groups:

$$\text{Suz}, \text{Co}_1, \text{Co}_2, \text{Fi}_{22}, \text{Fi}_{23}, \text{Fi}'_{24}, \mathbb{B}, \mathbb{M}.$$

Assume  $T \in \{\text{Suz}, \text{Co}_1, \text{Co}_2, \text{Fi}_{22}, \text{Fi}_{23}, \text{Fi}'_{24}\}$ , where we can construct  $T$  as a permutation group in MAGMA using `AutomorphismGroupSimpleGroup`. In this setting, it can be checked using MAGMA that (10) holds for  $9 \leq k \leq 4 \log |T|$ . The cases where  $5 \leq k \leq 8$  can be handled by random search using MAGMA.

Finally, if  $T \in \{\mathbb{B}, \mathbb{M}\}$  then (10) holds unless  $k = 5$  or  $(T, k) = (\mathbb{B}, 6)$ . In each case, we do random search in view of Corollary 2.18 with the same  $K$  chosen in (30).  $\square$

**Proposition 4.9.** *The conclusions to Theorems 1 and 2 hold when  $T = A_n$  is an alternating group.*

*Proof.* Once again, we may assume  $5 \leq k \leq 4 \log |T|$ . The cases where  $n \in \{5, 6\}$  can be easily handled using MAGMA, so we also assume  $n \geq 7$ . First assume  $n \leq k \leq 4 \log |T|$ . With the aid of MAGMA, it is easy to check (10) holds for all  $7 \leq n \leq 29$  and  $n \leq k \leq 4 \log |T|$ . Note that  $h(T) = (n-2)!$ , which implies (20). By Lemma 3.11, it suffices to prove (19) for  $k_0 = n$ . Thus, we only need to show that

$$\left(\frac{n(n-1)}{2e}\right)^n > \frac{n(n!)^2}{2},$$

which holds for all  $n \geq 30$ .

Next, let us turn to the cases where  $5 \leq k < n$ . In this setting,

$$Q_1(G) = (k!)^2 |T|^{\frac{8}{3} - \frac{k}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\delta_{5,k}} + \frac{k!}{|T|^{\frac{4}{3}}} + \frac{k^4}{2|T|^{\frac{1}{3}}} < (6!)^2 \left(\frac{2}{n!}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} + \frac{2^{\frac{4}{3}}}{(n!)^{\frac{1}{3}}} + \frac{2^{\frac{1}{3}}n^4}{2(n!)^{\frac{1}{3}}}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Q_2(G) &= \left(\frac{|T|}{h(T)}\right)^{4-k} + \binom{k}{2} |\text{Out}(T)| \left(\frac{|T|}{h(T)}\right)^{3-k} \\ &< \frac{2}{n(n-1)} + 20 \left(\frac{2}{n(n-1)}\right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

With this in mind, one can check that  $Q_1(G) + Q_2(G) < 1/2$  for all  $n \geq 21$ . Finally, for the cases where  $7 \leq n \leq 20$  and  $5 \leq k < n$ , we can check that either (10) or  $Q_1(G) + Q_2(G) < 1/2$ , or we do random search using the conditions in Lemma 2.19.  $\square$

To complete the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2, we now turn to the cases where  $T$  is a finite simple group of Lie type. First we consider some low rank groups, where  $h(T)$  is small and Lemma 3.10 can be applied.



**Lemma 4.10.** *Suppose  $T = L_2(q)$  and  $5 \leq k \leq 4 \log |T|$ . Then  $r(G) \geq 2$ .*

*Proof.* If  $|T| \leq 4080$  then  $q \leq 13$ , in which cases one can check that  $r(G) \geq 2$  for all  $5 \leq k \leq 4 \log |T|$  in turn using MAGMA. Here we first check (10), and if it fails, then we do random search in  $\text{Hol}(T)$  since  $|T|$  is small.

Thus, we may assume  $q \geq 16$ . First assume  $6 = k_0 \leq k$ . For  $q \leq 733$ , one can check (10) using MAGMA. Assume  $q > 733$  and note that  $h(T) \leq q^{1/2}(q-1)$  by Theorem 2.9, so (16) holds. Moreover, as  $|\text{Out}(T)| \leq 2 \log q$ , we can check that (15) holds if

$$q^2(q^2 - 1)^2 > 16(\log q)^2 6^8 e^{18},$$

which holds true for all  $q > 733$ . Now apply Lemma 3.10.

To complete the proof, we assume  $k = 5$ . By Lemma 3.9,  $r(G) \geq 2$  if (13) holds for every  $u \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ . Recall that  $|\text{Out}(T)| \leq 2 \log q$  and  $h(T) \leq q^{1/2}(q-1)$ . Thus, if  $u = 2$ , then (13) holds if

$$q^{1/2}(q+1) > 5^4 e^7 \log q,$$

which holds for all  $q > 48449$ . With the same method, one can check that (13) holds for  $u \in \{0, 1\}$  if  $q > 48449$ . With the aid of MAGMA, we see that (10) holds for all  $16 \leq q \leq 48449$ , unless  $q \in \{16, 25, 49, 81\}$ . Finally, for  $q \in \{16, 25, 49, 81\}$ , we do random search using MAGMA with the method given in Lemma 2.19.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.11.** *Suppose  $T \in \{L_3^\varepsilon(q), {}^2B_2(q), {}^2G_2(q)\}$  and  $5 \leq k \leq 4 \log |T|$ . Then  $r(G) \geq 2$ .*

*Proof.* Note that  $|T| > 4080$  and that  $h(T)^2 < 5|T|$  by Theorem 2.9. Thus, in view of Lemma 3.10, we only need to prove (15) for  $k_0 = 5$ . Assume  $T = L_3^\varepsilon(q)$ , so  $|T| \geq q^3(q^2 - 1)(q^3 - 1)/3$  and  $|\text{Out}(T)| \leq 6 \log q$ . Thus, (15) holds if

$$q^3(q^2 - 1)(q^3 - 1) > 3(6 \log q)^2 5^7 e^{15},$$

which is true for all  $q > 73$ . Now we apply the explicit  $h(T)$  and  $|\text{Out}(T)|$ , and thus (10) holds unless  $\varepsilon = -$ ,  $k = 5$  and  $q \in \{3, 5, 8\}$ , or  $\varepsilon = +$  and

$$(q, k) = (3, 5), (3, 6), (4, 5), (13, 5),$$

all of which can be handled easily by random search. We can apply the same method to the cases where  $T = {}^2B_2(q)$  or  ${}^2G_2(q)$ , where (15) holds if  $T \neq {}^2G_2(27)$ ,  ${}^2B_2(8)$ ,  ${}^2B_2(32)$  or  ${}^2B_2(128)$ . For these remainders, one can check (10).  $\square$

**Proposition 4.12.** *The conclusions to Theorems 1 and 2 hold when  $T$  is an exceptional group.*

*Proof.* Once again, by the previous results, we may assume  $5 \leq k \leq 4 \log |T|$ . With Lemma 4.11 in mind, we may also assume  $T \neq {}^2B_2(q)$  or  ${}^2G_2(q)$ . Note that

$$\frac{|T|}{h(T)} > 10|\text{Out}(T)| \geq 10$$

and  $|T| > \frac{1}{6}q^d$ , where  $d$  is as defined in Lemma 2.12.

First assume  $5 \leq k \leq 8$ . Then

$$Q_2(G) < \frac{h(T)}{|T|} + 10|\text{Out}(T)| \cdot \frac{h(T)^2}{|T|^2} < \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} = \frac{1}{5}$$

and

$$Q_1(G) < \frac{(6!)^2}{|T|^{\frac{1}{3}}} + \frac{8!}{|T|^{\frac{4}{3}}} + \frac{8^4}{2|T|^{\frac{1}{3}}} < \frac{6^{\frac{1}{3}}(6!)^2}{q^{\frac{d}{3}}} + \frac{6^{\frac{4}{3}} \cdot 8!}{q^{\frac{4d}{3}}} + \frac{6^{\frac{1}{3}}8^4}{2q^{\frac{d}{3}}} < \frac{3}{10}$$

unless  $T \in \{{}^2F_4(2)', {}^3D_4(2), {}^3D_4(3), {}^3D_4(4), F_4(2)\}$  or  $T = G_2(q)$  for  $q \leq 23$ . For these remainders, one can check (10) with the aid of MAGMA unless  $T = {}^3D_4(q)$  and  $k = 5$ , or  $T = F_4(2)$  and  $k \in \{5, 6\}$ , in which cases we can do random search using MAGMA.

To complete the proof, we assume  $9 \leq k \leq 4 \log |T|$ . The case where  $q = 2$  can be handled by proving (10) directly, so we now assume  $q \geq 3$ . We first prove (19) for  $k_0 = 9$ . By inspecting Table 1, we have

$$2^9 \left( \frac{|T|}{h(T)} \right)^9 > |T|^2 q^{22}. \quad (34)$$

For example, if  $T = E_8(q)$ , then

$$\frac{|T|}{h(T)} = \frac{(q^{30} - 1)(q^{24} - 1)(q^{20} - 1)}{(q^{10} - 1)(q^6 - 1)} > \frac{1}{2} q^{58}$$

and  $|T| < q^{248}$  by Lemma 2.12, which implies (34). With (34) in mind, (19) holds for  $k_0 = 9$  if

$$q^{22} > 48 \log q \cdot (2e)^9$$

since  $|\text{Out}(T)| \leq 6 \log q$ , which holds true for all  $q \geq 3$ . By Lemma 3.11, it suffices to prove (20). Here we only give a proof for the case where  $T = G_2(q)$ , as other cases are very similar. Note that  $|T| = q^6(q^6 - 1)(q^2 - 1) < q^{14}$  and  $h(T) = q^6(q^2 - 1) > \frac{1}{2} q^8$ . Then (20) holds if

$$q^2 > 56^2 (\log q)^2 e,$$

which holds true for all  $q > 907$ . One can also check that (20) for all  $601 < q \leq 907$ . If  $q \leq 601$ , then we can apply explicit  $|T|$ ,  $h(T)$  and  $|\text{Out}(T)|$  to check (10) for every  $9 \leq k \leq 4 \log |T|$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.13.** *Suppose  $T = L_4^\varepsilon(q)$  and  $5 \leq k \leq 4 \log |T|$ . Then  $r(G) \geq 2$ .*

*Proof.* First assume  $k \geq 7$ . Note that  $h(T) = (2, q - \varepsilon) |\text{PGSp}_4(q)| / (4, q - \varepsilon)$  by Theorem 2.9. For the cases where  $q \leq 89$ , one can check (10) with the aid of MAGMA. Now assume  $q > 89$ . It is easy to see that

$$q^5 > 48(4e)^7 \log q$$

and

$$q^5 > 4e \cdot 60^2 (\log q)^2,$$

which implies (19) for  $k_0 = 7$  and (20), respectively.

Now assume  $k \in \{5, 6\}$ . Note that  $|T|/h(T) > 10|\text{Out}(T)| \geq 10$ , which implies  $Q_2(G) < \frac{1}{5}$ . Moreover,

$$Q_1(G) < \frac{(6!)^2}{|T|^{\frac{1}{3}}} + \frac{6!}{|T|^{\frac{4}{3}}} + \frac{6^4}{2|T|^{\frac{1}{3}}},$$

so we have  $Q_1(G) < \frac{3}{10}$  if  $q \geq 19$ . We can check  $r(G) \geq 2$  for all  $q \leq 17$  and  $k \in \{5, 6\}$  by random search using MAGMA.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.14.** *Suppose  $T = \text{PSp}_4(q)$  and  $5 \leq k \leq 4 \log |T|$ . Then  $r(G) \geq 2$ .*

*Proof.* First assume  $k \geq 6$ . It can be checked using MAGMA that (10) holds for  $q \leq 607$  and  $6 \leq k \leq 4 \log |T|$ , unless  $(q, k) = (3, 6)$ , in which case we do random search in MAGMA by applying Lemma 2.19. Now assume  $q > 607$ . By applying the bounds  $|T| < q^{10}$  as in Lemma 2.12,  $h(T) > q^6/2$  and  $q^4/4 < |T|/h(T) < q^4$ , we have (19) holds for  $k_0 = 6$  if

$$q^4 > 3(4e)^6 \log q,$$

and (20) holds if

$$q^2 > 40^2 (\log q)^2 e.$$

Note that both inequalities holds for all  $q > 607$ .

Now we turn to the case where  $k = 5$ . Once again, we have  $|T|/h(T) > 10|\text{Out}(T)| \geq 10$ , which implies  $Q_2(G) < \frac{1}{5}$ . Additionally,

$$Q_1(G) = \frac{(5!)^2}{|T|^{\frac{1}{3}}} + \frac{5!}{|T|^{\frac{4}{3}}} + \frac{5^4}{2|T|^{\frac{1}{3}}} < \frac{3}{10}$$

for all  $q \geq 27$ . Therefore, we only need to consider the cases where  $q \leq 25$  and  $k = 5$ , which can be handled with the aid of MAGMA via random search.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.15.** *The conclusions to Theorems 1 and 2 hold when  $T$  is a classical group.*

*Proof.* Let  $T$  be a classical group of dimension  $n$  defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Note that  $|T| > \frac{1}{8}q^{n(n-1)/2}$  by Lemma 2.12. Similar as above, it suffices to consider the cases where  $5 \leq k \leq 4 \log |T|$ , and we may assume  $n \geq 5$  by Lemmas 4.10, 4.11, 4.13 and 4.14. Then

$$\frac{|T|}{h(T)} > 10|\text{Out}(T)| \geq 10$$

by inspecting Table 1, and thus

$$Q_2(G) < \frac{h(T)}{|T|} + 10|\text{Out}(T)| \cdot \frac{h(T)^2}{|T|} < \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} = \frac{1}{5}.$$

Now we assume  $5 \leq k \leq n+3$  and we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1(G) &< \frac{(6!)^2}{|T|^{\frac{1}{3}}} + \frac{(n+3)!}{|T|^{\frac{4}{3}}} + \frac{(n+3)^4}{2|T|^{\frac{1}{3}}} \\ &< \frac{8^{\frac{1}{3}}(6!)^2}{q^{\frac{n(n-1)}{6}}} + \frac{8^{\frac{4}{3}}(n+3)!}{q^{\frac{2n(n-1)}{3}}} + \frac{8^{\frac{1}{3}}(n+3)^4}{2q^{\frac{n(n-1)}{6}}} =: Q(n, q). \end{aligned}$$

Evidently,  $Q(n, q)$  is a decreasing function on  $q$ . In addition, if  $q$  is fixed, then each summand is a decreasing function on  $n$ . Thus,  $Q(n, q)$  is also decreasing on  $n$ . Note that  $Q(n, q) < \frac{3}{10}$  if

$$(n, q) \in \{(12, 2), (10, 3), (9, 4), (8, 7), (7, 9), (6, 23), (5, 97)\} =: \mathcal{B}.$$

Hence, we only need to consider the cases where  $n < n_0$  or  $q < q_0$  for some  $(n_0, q_0) \in \mathcal{B}$ . For these groups, we can show that  $r(G) \geq 2$  either by checking  $Q_1(G) + Q_2(G) < 1/2$  or (10), or by random search using the conditions in Lemma 2.19. This shows that  $r(G) \geq 2$  if  $5 \leq k \leq n+3$ .

To complete the proof, assume  $n+4 \leq k \leq 4 \log |T|$  and let  $k_0 = n+4$ . We first consider the case where  $T = L_n^\varepsilon(q)$ . Note that  $|T| < q^{n^2-1}$  and

$$\frac{|T|}{h(T)} \geq \frac{|\text{PGL}_n^\varepsilon(q)|}{|\text{GU}_{n-1}(q)|} > \frac{1}{2}q^{2n-2}$$

by Theorem 2.9 and Lemma 2.12. Hence, (19) holds if

$$q^{6n-8} > 2(n+4)(2e)^{n+4}$$

since  $|\text{Out}(T)| \leq 2q \log q < 2q^2$ . This holds true if  $q \geq 3$  or  $n \geq 7$ , while we can check (19) directly when  $(n, q) = (5, 2)$  or  $(6, 2)$ . Thus, we have (19) for all  $n \geq 5$  and  $q \geq 2$ . By Lemma 3.11, it suffices to prove (20). Note that

$$h(T) \geq q^{2n-3}|\text{PGL}_{n-2}^\varepsilon(q)| > \frac{1}{2}q^{2n-3}q^{(n-2)^2-1} = \frac{1}{2}q^{n^2-2n}$$

by Theorem 2.9 and Lemma 2.12. It follows that (20) holds if

$$q^{n^2-4n-1} > 32e(n^2-1)^2$$

as  $\log q < q$ . One can easily check that the above inequality holds for all  $n \geq 5$  and  $q \geq 2$ , unless  $n = 5$  and  $q \leq 13$  or  $(n, q) = (6, 2)$ , in which cases we can also check (20). This completes the proof for linear and unitary groups.

Next, we turn to the case where  $T = \text{PSp}_n(q)$ , so  $n \geq 6$  is even. In this setting, we have  $|T| < q^{n(n+1)/2}$  by Lemma 2.12 and

$$\frac{|T|}{h(T)} = \frac{q^n - 1}{(2, q - 1)} > q^{n-1}.$$

Thus, (19) holds if

$$q^{2n-4} > 2 \log q \cdot (n+4)e^{n+4}$$

since  $|\text{Out}(T)| \leq 2 \log q$ , which holds true for all  $n \geq 6$  and  $q \geq 2$ , unless  $q = 2$  and  $n \leq 28$ ,  $n = 6$  and  $q \leq 5$ , or  $(n, q) \in \{(8, 3), (10, 3)\}$ . For these remainders, one can also check (19) by applying the explicit  $|T|$ ,  $h(T)$  and  $|\text{Out}(T)|$ . Similar as above, it suffices to prove (20). Note that by Lemma 2.12,

$$h(T) = q^{n-1} |\text{Sp}_{n-2}(q)| > \frac{1}{2} q^{n(n-1)/2}.$$

It follows that (20) holds true if we prove that

$$q^{n(n-3)/2} > 8en^2(n+1)^2(\log q)^2,$$

which holds for all  $n \geq 6$  and  $q \geq 2$  unless  $(n, q) = (6, 2)$  or  $(6, 3)$ , in which cases one can check that (20) also holds. By Lemma 3.11, this implies  $r(G) \geq 2$  if  $T = \text{PSp}_n(q)$  and  $n+4 \leq k \leq 4 \log |T|$ .

Finally, assume  $T = \text{P}\Omega_n^\varepsilon(q)$  is an orthogonal group, so  $n \geq 7$ , and  $q$  is odd if  $n$  is odd. In this setting,  $|T| < q^{n(n-1)/2}$  and

$$\frac{|T|}{h(T)} > \frac{1}{2} q^{n-1}$$

by Theorem 2.9 and Lemma 2.12. Similar as above, (19) holds if

$$q^{4n-4} > 24 \log q \cdot (n+4)(2e)^{n+4}$$

since  $|\text{Out}(T)| \leq 24 \log q$ . This holds true for all  $n \geq 7$  and  $q \geq 2$  unless  $q = 2$  and  $n \leq 14$ , in which cases (19) can also be checked. To prove (20), note that

$$h(T) > \frac{1}{4} q^{(n-1)(n-2)/2}$$

by Theorem 2.9 and Lemma 2.12, and we only need to prove

$$q^{(n-1)(n-4)/2} > 32en^2(n-1)^2(\log q)^2.$$

This holds unless  $(n, q) = (7, 3)$  or  $(8, 2)$ . Once again, when  $(n, q) = (7, 3)$  or  $(8, 2)$ , we can also check (20). This completes the proof by applying Lemma 3.11.  $\square$

We conclude that the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 are complete by combining Propositions 4.8, 4.9, 4.12 and 4.15. As noted in the beginning of this section, the proof of Theorem 4 is also complete. Note that Corollary 5 follows directly from Theorem 4 if  $2 \leq m \leq |T| - 4$ . The case where  $m = |T| - 3$  is also straightforward, noting that  $\text{Aut}(T, S) = \text{Aut}(T, T^\# \setminus S)$ .

## 5. PROOF OF THEOREM 3

In this section, we establish our main result Theorem 3. By Theorems 1, 2.3, and Proposition 4.7, we only need to consider the cases where  $k = 2$  or  $k > |T|$  and  $P \in \{A_k, S_k\}$ .

**5.1. The case where  $k = 2$ .** We first consider the case where  $k = 2$ . As recorded in Theorem 2.3(ii), we have  $b(G) = 3$  if  $P = 1$ , and  $b(G) \in \{3, 4\}$  if  $P = S_2$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** *Suppose  $W = T^2 \cdot (\text{Out}(T) \times S_2)$  and  $s, t \in T$ . Then  $\{D, D(1, \varphi_s), D(1, \varphi_t)\}$  is a base for  $W$  if and only if the following holds:*

- (i)  $C_{\text{Aut}(T)}(s) \cap C_{\text{Aut}(T)}(t) = 1$ ;
- (ii) there is no  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(T)$  such that  $s^\alpha = s^{-1}$  and  $t^\alpha = t^{-1}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $\Delta = \{D, D(1, \varphi_s), D(1, \varphi_t)\}$  is a base for  $W$ . If (i) fails to hold, then it is easy to see that  $(\alpha, \alpha) \in W_{(\Delta)}$  if  $1 \neq \alpha \in C_{\text{Aut}(T)}(s) \cap C_{\text{Aut}(T)}(t)$ , which is incompatible with  $\Delta$  being a base. If (ii) does not hold, then  $(\alpha, \alpha)(1, 2) \in W_{(\Delta)}$  for  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(T)$  such that  $s^\alpha = s^{-1}$  and  $t^\alpha = t^{-1}$ , which gives rise to a contradiction.

On the other hand, if both conditions (i) and (ii) hold, then we need to show that  $\Delta = \{D, D(1, \varphi_s), D(1, \varphi_t)\}$  is a base for  $W$ . Let  $x = (\alpha, \alpha)\pi \in W_{(\Delta)}$ . If  $\pi = (1, 2)$  then

$$D(1, \varphi_s) = D(1, \varphi_s)^x = D(1, \varphi_s^{\alpha})^{(1,2)} = D(\varphi_s^\alpha, 1) = D(1, \varphi_{s^{-1}}^\alpha).$$

This implies  $s^{\alpha^{-1}} = s^{-1}$ , and similarly  $t^{\alpha^{-1}} = t^{-1}$ , which is incompatible with the condition (ii) and so  $\pi = 1$ . It follows that  $\alpha \in C_{\text{Aut}(T)}(s) \cap C_{\text{Aut}(T)}(t)$  and thus  $\alpha = 1$  by (i). Therefore,  $x = 1$  and the proof is complete.  $\square$

The following is [38, Theorem 1.1].

**Theorem 5.2.** *Let  $T$  be a non-abelian finite simple group, not  $A_7$ ,  $L_2(q)$  or  $L_3^\varepsilon(q)$ . Then there exists a generating pair  $(s, t)$  of  $T$  with  $|s| = 2$  and there is no  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(T)$  such that  $s^\alpha = s$  and  $t^\alpha = t^{-1}$ .*

**Proposition 5.3.** *The conclusion to Theorem 3 holds for  $k = 2$ .*

*Proof.* Recall that  $b(G) = 3$  if  $P = 1$  by Theorem 2.3(ii). Thus, we may assume  $P = S_2$ . By Lemma 5.1 and Theorem 5.2, we have  $b(G) = 3$  if  $T \notin \{A_7, L_2(q), L_3^\varepsilon(q)\}$ . The case where  $T = A_7$  can be easily handled using MAGMA, where  $b(W) = 3$ .

Assume  $T = L_2(q)$ , so  $\text{Aut}(T) = \text{P}\Gamma\text{L}_2(q)$ . If  $q \in \{4, 5, 9\}$  then  $T$  is isomorphic to  $A_5$  or  $A_6$  and we can prove the proposition with the aid of MAGMA, where  $b(W) = 4$  and  $b(G) = 3$  if  $G < W$ . Now we consider the cases where  $q \notin \{4, 5, 9\}$  and let  $s$  be an element in  $T$  of order  $(q-1)/(2, q-1)$ . Then we have  $N_{\text{P}\Gamma\text{L}_2(q)}(\langle s \rangle) \cong D_{2(q-1)}$  and

$$C_{\text{P}\Gamma\text{L}_2(q)}(s) = C_{\text{P}\Gamma\text{L}_2(q)}(s) \cong C_{q-1}.$$

One can show that  $\text{P}\Gamma\text{L}_2(q)$  is base-two on  $[\text{P}\Gamma\text{L}_2(q) : N_{\text{P}\Gamma\text{L}_2(q)}(\langle s \rangle)]$  (see for example [8, Lemma 4.7]), which implies that there exists  $g \in \text{P}\Gamma\text{L}_2(q)$  such that

$$N_{\text{P}\Gamma\text{L}_2(q)}(\langle s \rangle) \cap N_{\text{P}\Gamma\text{L}_2(q)}(\langle s^g \rangle) = 1.$$

We claim that the pair  $(s, s^g)$  satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii) in Lemma 5.1. Indeed, (i) is clear since  $C_{\text{P}\Gamma\text{L}_2(q)}(s) = C_{\text{P}\Gamma\text{L}_2(q)}(s)$  and so it suffices to check (ii). Note that there exists an element  $\beta \in \text{P}\Gamma\text{L}_2(q)$  such that  $s^\beta = s^{-1}$ . Therefore, if  $\alpha \in \text{P}\Gamma\text{L}_2(q)$  and  $s^\alpha = s^{-1}$ , then  $\alpha$  is contained in the coset  $C_{\text{P}\Gamma\text{L}_2(q)}(s)\beta$ . In particular,  $\alpha \in \text{P}\Gamma\text{L}_2(q)$  as  $C_{\text{P}\Gamma\text{L}_2(q)}(s) \leq \text{P}\Gamma\text{L}_2(q)$ . It follows that  $\alpha \in N_{\text{P}\Gamma\text{L}_2(q)}(\langle s \rangle)$ . Similarly, if  $(s^g)^\alpha = (s^g)^{-1}$  then  $\alpha \in N_{\text{P}\Gamma\text{L}_2(q)}(\langle s^g \rangle)$ , which yields  $\alpha = 1$ . This leads to a contradiction as  $s$  is not an involution. Thus,  $b(G) = 3$  by Lemma 5.1.

Finally, let us turn to the case where  $T = L_3^\varepsilon(q)$ . One can easily check the proposition for  $q = 3$  using MAGMA, and we will assume  $q \neq 2$  as  $L_3(2) \cong L_2(7)$  has been handled above, and  $U_3(2)$  is not simple. Let  $N$  be a subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(T)$  of type  $\text{GL}_1^\varepsilon(q^3)$ . Then  $N$  is a maximal subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(T)$ , and  $N \cap T \cong \langle s \rangle : C_3$ , where  $|s| = (q^3 - \varepsilon)/d(q - \varepsilon)$  and  $d = (3, q - \varepsilon)$  (see [36, Proposition 4.3.6]). Note that  $N = N_{\text{Aut}(T)}(\langle s \rangle)$ . By [8, Lemma 6.4],  $\text{Aut}(T)$  is base-two on  $[\text{Aut}(T) : N]$ , so there exists  $g \in \text{Aut}(T)$  such that  $N_{\text{Aut}(T)}(\langle s \rangle) \cap N_{\text{Aut}(T)}(\langle s^g \rangle) = 1$ . With very same argument as above, this implies that the conditions (i) and (ii) in Lemma 5.1 are satisfied if we take  $t = s^g$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

**5.2. The cases where  $|T|^{\ell-1} < k \leq |T|^\ell$ .** Finally, we assume  $|T|^{\ell-1} < k \leq |T|^\ell$  for some integer  $\ell \geq 2$ . In this setting, Theorem 2.3(iii) implies that  $b(G) \in \{\ell + 1, \ell + 2\}$ .

Similar as in the statement of Lemma 2.2, for any partition  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $[k]$  into  $|T|$  parts, where some parts are allowed to be empty, we may write  $\mathcal{P} = \{\mathcal{P}_t : t \in T\}$ . Recall that  $\text{Aut}(T, S)$  is the setwise stabiliser of  $S \subseteq T^\#$  in  $\text{Aut}(T)$ .

**Lemma 5.4.** *If  $|T|^{\ell-1} < k < |T|^\ell$ , then there exists a partition  $\mathcal{P} = \{\mathcal{P}_t : t \in T\}$  of  $[k]$  satisfying the following properties:*

(P1)  $|\mathcal{P}_1| \neq |\mathcal{P}_t|$  for any  $t \neq 1$ .

(P2)  $|\mathcal{P}_1| \leq |T|^{\ell-1} + 1$  and  $|\mathcal{P}_t| \leq |T|^{\ell-1}$  if  $t \neq 1$ .

(P3) There exists  $t_0 \in T^\#$  such that  $|\mathcal{P}_{t_0}| > 0$  and  $\text{Aut}(T, S) = 1$ , where

$$S = \{t \in T^\# : |\mathcal{P}_t| = |\mathcal{P}_{t_0}|\}.$$

(P4) There exists  $g \in T^\#$  such that  $|\mathcal{P}_g| \in \{1, |T|^{\ell-1} - 1\}$ .

*Proof.* First assume  $3|T|^{\ell-1} + 2 \leq k$ . Let  $m$  be the largest integer such that  $2 \leq m \leq |T| - 3$  and  $(m+1)|T|^{\ell-1} + 2 \leq k$ . Then Corollary 5 implies that there exists  $S \subseteq T^\#$  of size  $m$  such that  $\text{Aut}(T, S) = 1$ . Now define  $\mathcal{P} = \{\mathcal{P}_t : t \in T\}$  such that  $|\mathcal{P}_1| = |T|^{\ell-1} + 1$ ,  $|\mathcal{P}_t| = |T|^{\ell-1}$  if  $t \in S$ ,  $|\mathcal{P}_g| \in \{1, |T|^{\ell-1} - 1\}$  and  $|\mathcal{P}_t| \leq |T|^{\ell-1} - 1$ , where  $|\mathcal{P}_g|$  and  $|\mathcal{P}_t|$  for  $t \notin S \cup \{1\}$  are chosen appropriately, noting that there exists  $t \notin S \cup \{1\}$  such that  $|\mathcal{P}_t| \neq 0$ . It is easy to check all the conditions.

To complete the proof, we assume  $|T|^{\ell-1} < k \leq 3|T|^{\ell-1} + 1$  and let  $S = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\} \in T^\#$  be such that  $\text{Aut}(T, S) = 1$ . In this setting, we can define  $\mathcal{P} = \{\mathcal{P}_t : t \in T\}$  where  $|\mathcal{P}_{x_i}| = 1$ ,  $|\mathcal{P}_1| = |T|^{\ell-1} - 2$ , and  $|\mathcal{P}_t| \notin \{1, |T|^{\ell-1} - 2\}$  for other  $t$  with suitable choices.  $\square$

Let  $\mathcal{Q} = \{\mathcal{Q}_t : t \in T\}$  be a partition of  $[k]$  satisfying the above properties, where  $S \subseteq T^\#$  and  $g \in T^\#$  are as described in (P3) and (P4), respectively. Define  $\mathbf{a}_0 = (\varphi_{t_0,1}, \dots, \varphi_{t_0,k}) \in \text{Inn}(T)^k$  by  $t_{0,h} = t$  if  $h \in \mathcal{Q}_t$ .

**Lemma 5.5.** *Suppose  $(\alpha, \dots, \alpha)\pi \in G_{D\mathbf{a}_0}$ . Then  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\pi \in P_{(\mathcal{Q})}$ .*

*Proof.* First note that  $\pi \in P_{\{\mathcal{Q}\}}$  and  $t_{0,i}^\alpha = t_{0,i}^\pi$  by Lemma 2.2. This implies that  $\pi$  fixes the set  $\{\mathcal{Q}_t : t \in S\}$ . Hence, for any  $i \in [m]$ , we have  $x_i^\alpha = x_j$  for some  $j \in [m]$ , so  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(T, S) = 1$ . It follows that  $\pi \in P_{(\mathcal{Q})}$  immediately.  $\square$

Write  $T^{\ell-1} = \{\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_{|T|^{\ell-1}}\}$ , where  $\mathbf{b}_j = (a_{1,j}, \dots, a_{\ell-1,j})$ . Moreover, if  $|\mathcal{Q}_g| = 1$ , then we may assume  $\mathbf{b}_1 = (1, \dots, 1)$ , and if  $|\mathcal{Q}_g| = |T|^{\ell-1} - 1$ , we assume  $\mathbf{b}_{|T|^{\ell-1}} = (1, \dots, 1)$ . Let  $1 \leq i \leq \ell - 1$  and define  $\mathbf{a}_i = (\varphi_{t_{i,1}}, \dots, \varphi_{t_{i,k}}) \in \text{Inn}(T)^k$  be such that

$$t_{i,h} = \begin{cases} a_{i,j} & h \text{ is the } j\text{-th number in } \mathcal{Q}_t; \\ 1 & h \text{ is the } (|T|^{\ell-1} + 1)\text{-th number in } \mathcal{Q}_1 \text{ (if exists)}. \end{cases} \quad (35)$$

Define  $X_{i,t} := \{h \in \mathcal{Q}_g : t_{i,h} = t\}$ . It is easy to prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.6.** *For any  $t \neq 1$  and  $i \in \{1, \dots, \ell - 1\}$ , we have  $|X_{i,t}| \neq |X_{i,1}|$ .*

Now we determine the pointwise stabiliser of  $\{D, D\mathbf{a}_0, D\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, D\mathbf{a}_{\ell-1}\}$  in the group  $W = T^k \cdot (\text{Out}(T) \times S_k)$ .

**Lemma 5.7.** *Let  $\Delta = \{D, D\mathbf{a}_0, D\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, D\mathbf{a}_{\ell-1}\}$  be as above and assume  $G = W$ . Then the pointwise stabiliser  $G_{(\Delta)}$  is generated by a transposition in  $S_k$  if  $|\mathcal{P}_1| = |T|^{\ell-1} + 1$ , otherwise  $\Delta$  is a base for  $G$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 5.5, it suffices to show that if  $\pi \in P_{(\mathcal{Q})}$  fixes  $\Delta$  pointwise, then either  $\pi = 1$  or  $\pi$  is a transposition. Note that for any  $i \in \{1, \dots, \ell - 1\}$ , there exists a unique  $g_i \in T$  such that  $t_{i,h} = g_i t_{i,h}^\pi$  for any  $h \in [k]$ . Observe that  $h \in X_{i,1}$  if and only if  $h^\pi \in X_{i,g_i^{-1}}$ . This implies  $g_i = 1$  by Lemma 5.6, and hence  $t_{i,h} = t_{i,h}^\pi$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, \ell - 1\}$  and  $h \in [k]$ . Now let  $t \neq 1$  and consider  $\mathcal{Q}_t$ . From the definition of  $\mathbf{a}_i$ , we see that if  $h, h' \in \mathcal{Q}_t$  and  $h \neq h'$ , then there exists  $i \in \{1, \dots, \ell - 1\}$  such that  $t_{i,h} \neq t_{i,h'}$ . This yields  $h^\pi \neq h'$ , so  $h^\pi = h$  is the only possibility as  $\pi \in P_{\{\mathcal{Q}_t\}}$ . That is,  $\pi \in P_{(\mathcal{Q}_t)}$  for any  $t \neq 1$ . Finally, we turn to  $\mathcal{Q}_1$ . If  $|\mathcal{Q}_1| \leq |T|^{\ell-1}$  then a similar argument shows that  $\pi \in P_{(\mathcal{Q}_1)}$ , so  $\pi = 1$  and  $\Delta$  is a base for  $G$ . Assume  $|\mathcal{Q}_1| = |T|^{\ell-1} + 1$ , and let  $h_0$  be the last number in

$\mathcal{Q}_1$ , so  $t_{i,h_0} = 1$  for any  $i \in \{0, \dots, \ell - 1\}$ . Moreover, there exists a unique  $h \in \mathcal{Q}_1 \setminus \{h_0\}$  such that  $t_{i,h} = t_{i,h_0} = 1$  for any  $i \in \{0, \dots, \ell - 1\}$ , and thus  $(h, h_0) \in G_{(\Delta)}$ . Once again, if  $h' \in \mathcal{Q}_1 \setminus \{h, h_0\}$  then  $\pi \in P_{h'}$ , so this implies  $G_{(\Delta)} = \{1, (h, h_0)\}$  and completes the proof.  $\square$

This immediately implies the following.

**Proposition 5.8.** *Assume  $|T|^{\ell-1} < k < |T|^\ell$  for some integer  $\ell \geq 2$ . Then  $b(G) = \ell + 1$  if one of the following holds:*

- (i)  $P = A_k$ .
- (ii)  $P = S_k$  and  $G$  does not contain  $P$ .
- (iii)  $P = S_k$  and  $k \leq |T|^\ell - |T| - 1$ .

*Proof.* In view of Theorem 2.3(iii), it suffices to show that there exists a base for  $G$  of size  $\ell + 1$ , so part (i) follows directly from Lemma 5.7. For part (ii), Corollary 2.6 implies  $A_k \leq G$ , so it also follows from Lemma 5.7 immediately. With a similar argument as in Lemma 5.4, if  $k \leq |T|^\ell - |T| - 1$ , then there exists a partition  $\mathcal{P} = \{\mathcal{P}_t : t \in T\}$  such that (P1), (P3) and (P4) holds, with (P2) slightly adjusted to  $|\mathcal{P}_1| \leq |T|^{\ell-1}$  and  $|\mathcal{P}_t| \leq |T|^{\ell-1} - 1$  if  $t \neq 1$ . Once again, Lemma 5.7 shows that  $b(G) = \ell + 1$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 5.9.** *If  $\ell \geq 2$ ,  $P \in \{A_k, S_k\}$  and  $|T|^\ell - |T| \leq k \leq |T|^\ell - 3$ , then  $b(G) = \ell + 1$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to consider the case where  $G = W$ . Recall that by Theorem 4, there exists  $S \subseteq T$  of size  $|T| - 3$  such that  $\text{Hol}(T, S) = 1$ , and we may assume  $1 \in S$  by the transitivity of  $\text{Hol}(T)$  on  $T$ . Let  $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_{|T|-3}\}$ . We use a similar technique as in Lemma 5.7 to construct a base for  $G$  of size  $\ell + 1$ .

Assume  $k \neq |T|^\ell - |T|$  and let  $\mathcal{P} = \{\mathcal{P}_t : t \in T\}$  be a partition of  $[k]$  such that  $|\mathcal{P}_t| = |T|^{\ell-1}$  if  $t \in S$ , and  $|\mathcal{P}_t| \leq |T|^{\ell-1} - 1$  otherwise (with suitable sizes). In particular, we may assume  $|\mathcal{P}_g| \in \{1, |T|^{\ell-1} - 1\}$  for some  $g \in T$ . The existence of such a partition is clear. Define  $\mathbf{a}_0 = (\varphi_{t_{0,1}}, \dots, \varphi_{t_{0,k}}) \in \text{Inn}(T)^k$  by  $t_{0,h} = t$  if  $h \in \mathcal{P}_t$ . If  $(\alpha, \dots, \alpha)\pi \in G_{D\mathbf{a}_0}$ , then  $\pi \in P_{\{\mathcal{P}\}}$  by Lemma 2.2(i), which implies that  $\pi$  fixes  $\{\mathcal{P}_t : t \in S\}$ . It follows that there exists  $t_0 \in T$  such that for any  $i \in \{1, \dots, |T| - 3\}$ ,  $x_i^\alpha = t_0 x_j$  for some  $j \in \{1, \dots, |T| - 3\}$ . Now the assumption  $\text{Hol}(T, S) = 1$  yields  $t_0 = 1$  and  $\alpha = 1$ , so  $\pi \in P_{(\mathcal{P})}$ . Finally, we claim that  $\Delta = \{D, D\mathbf{a}_0, D\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{\ell-1}\}$  is a base for  $G$ , where  $\mathbf{a}_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq \ell - 1$  is defined as in (35) and  $\mathbf{b}_1 = (1, \dots, 1)$  if  $|\mathcal{P}_g| = 1$ , otherwise  $\mathbf{b}_{|T|^{\ell-1}} = (1, \dots, 1)$ . With very same method as in proof of Lemma 5.7, we have  $\pi \in P_{(\mathcal{P}_t)}$  for each  $t$ , which gives  $\pi = 1$ .

Finally, if  $k = |T|^\ell - |T|$  then we assume  $|\mathcal{P}_t| = |T|^{\ell-1} - 1$  if  $t \in S$ , with other  $|\mathcal{P}_t| \neq |T|^{\ell-1} - 1$  of suitable sizes and  $\mathbf{b}_{|T|^{\ell-1}} = (1, \dots, 1)$ . With the same technique as above, one can construct a base for  $G$  of size  $\ell + 1$ .  $\square$

To complete the proof of Theorem 3, we turn to the cases where  $k \in \{|T|^\ell - 2, |T|^\ell - 1, |T|^\ell\}$ .

**Lemma 5.10.** *Suppose  $k = |T|^2 - 2$ ,  $T \in \{A_5, A_6\}$  and  $G = T^k \cdot (\text{Out}(T) \times S_k)$ . Then  $b(G) = 4$ .*

*Proof.* Recall that Theorem 2.3(iii) implies that  $b(G) \in \{3, 4\}$  in this setting. It suffices to show that there is no base for  $G$  of size 3.

We argue by contradiction and suppose  $\Delta = \{D, D\mathbf{a}_0, D\mathbf{a}_1\}$  is a base for  $G$ , where  $\mathbf{a}_i = (\varphi_{t_{i,1}}, \dots, \varphi_{t_{i,k}}) \in \text{Inn}(T)^k$ . If  $\varphi_t$  appears at least  $|T| + 1$  times in the entries of  $\mathbf{a}_0$  for some  $t$ , then there exist  $j, j' \in [k]$  such that  $j \neq j'$ ,  $t_{0,j} = t_{0,j'} = t$  and  $t_{1,j} = t_{1,j'}$ , which implies  $(j, j') \in G_{(\Delta)}$ . Thus, we may assume that each  $\varphi_t$  appears at most  $|T|$  times in the entries of  $\mathbf{a}_0$ . The same argument holds for  $\mathbf{a}_1$ . It follows that the set

$$S_i = \{t \in T : \varphi_t \text{ appears exactly } |T| \text{ times in the entries of } \mathbf{a}_i\}$$

has size at least  $|T| - 2$ , so  $|S_i| \in \{|T| - 2, |T| - 1\}$ .

First, assume either  $|S_0|$  or  $|S_1|$  is  $|T| - 1$ , and we may assume  $|S_0| = |T| - 1$  and  $1 \notin S_0$ . With the same reason as above, for any  $j, j'$  such that  $j \neq j'$  and  $t_{0,j} = t_{0,j'}$ , we have  $t_{1,j} \neq t_{1,j'}$ , otherwise  $(j, j') \in G_{(\Delta)}$ . This implies  $|S_1| = |T| - 2$ , and we may assume  $T \setminus S_1 = \{1, x\}$  for some  $x \neq 1$ . Here we write  $\mathbf{c}_j = (t_{0,j}, t_{1,j})$  for  $j \in [k]$ , noting that

$$\{\mathbf{c}_j : j \in [k]\} = T^2 \setminus \{(1, 1), (1, x)\}.$$

That is,  $\{\mathbf{c}_j : j \in [k]\}$  is fixed by  $\varphi_x$  setwise, with the componentwise action. This induces a permutation  $\pi \in S_k$ , where

$$j^\pi = m \text{ if } \mathbf{c}_j^{\varphi_x} = \mathbf{c}_m.$$

In particular,  $t_{i,j}^{\varphi_x} = t_{i,j^\pi}$  for each  $i \in \{0, 1\}$ . Then

$$D\mathbf{a}_i^{(\varphi_x, \dots, \varphi_x)^\pi} = D(\varphi_{t_{i,1}^{\varphi_x}}^{\varphi_x}, \dots, \varphi_{t_{i,k^\pi}^{\varphi_x}}^{\varphi_x}) = D(\varphi_{t_{i,1}}, \dots, \varphi_{t_{i,k}}) = D\mathbf{a}_i$$

for each  $i \in \{0, 1\}$ , and so  $(\varphi_x, \dots, \varphi_x)^\pi \in G_{(\Delta)}$ .

Next, we turn to the case where  $|S_0| = |S_1| = |T| - 1$ , so we may assume  $T \setminus S_0 = \{1, x\}$  and  $T \setminus S_1 = \{1, y\}$ . Write  $\mathbf{c}_j = (t_{0,j}, t_{1,j})$  for  $j \in [k]$  as above, and observe that

$$T^2 \setminus \{\mathbf{c}_j : j \in [k]\} = \{(1, 1), (x, y)\} \text{ or } \{(1, y), (x, 1)\}.$$

It is easy to check with the aid of MAGMA that there exists an automorphism  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(T)$  such that  $1 \neq \alpha \in C_{\text{Aut}(T)}(x) \cap C_{\text{Aut}(T)}(y)$ , or  $(x, y)^\alpha = (x^{-1}, y^{-1})$ .

Assume  $\alpha \neq 1$  and  $(x, y)^\alpha = (x, y)$ . Then  $\{\mathbf{c}_j : j \in [k]\}$  is fixed by  $\alpha$  setwise, with the componentwise action. Once again,  $\alpha$  induces a permutation  $\pi \in S_k$ , where

$$j^\pi = m \text{ if } \mathbf{c}_j^\alpha = \mathbf{c}_m.$$

With the same reason as above, we have  $(\alpha, \dots, \alpha)^\pi \in G_{(\Delta)}$ .

Finally, assume  $(x, y)^\alpha = (x^{-1}, y^{-1})$ . Note that

$$\{\mathbf{c}_j : j \in [k]\}^\alpha = \{(x^{-1}, y^{-1})\mathbf{c}_j : j \in [k]\}.$$

In this setting,  $\alpha$  also induces a permutation  $\pi \in S_k$ , where

$$j^\pi = m \text{ if } \mathbf{c}_j^\alpha = (x^{-1}, y^{-1})\mathbf{c}_m,$$

and thus  $t_{0,j}^\alpha = x^{-1}t_{0,j^\pi}$  and  $t_{1,j}^\alpha = y^{-1}t_{1,j^\pi}$  for all  $j \in [k]$ , noting that  $\pi \neq 1$  if  $\alpha = 1$ . Now we have

$$D\mathbf{a}_0^{(\alpha, \dots, \alpha)^\pi} = D(\varphi_{t_{i,1}^\alpha}^{\alpha}, \dots, \varphi_{t_{i,k^\pi}^\alpha}^{\alpha}) = D(\varphi_{x^{-1}t_{i,1}}^{\alpha}, \dots, \varphi_{y^{-1}t_{i,k}}^{\alpha}) = D\mathbf{a}_0$$

and similarly,  $D\mathbf{a}_1^{(\alpha, \dots, \alpha)^\pi} = D\mathbf{a}_1$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.11.** *The conclusion to Theorem 3 holds true for  $k \in \{|T|^\ell - 2, |T|^\ell - 1, |T|^\ell\}$  for some  $\ell \geq 2$ .*

*Proof.* Throughout the proof, for an element  $\mathbf{a}_0 = (\varphi_{t_{0,1}}, \dots, \varphi_{t_{0,k}}) \in \text{Inn}(T)^k$ , we define the partition  $\mathcal{P} = \{\mathcal{P}_t : t \in T\}$  by  $i \in \mathcal{P}_t$  if  $t_{0,i} = t$ . We also write  $T^{\ell-1} = \{\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_{|T|^{\ell-1}}\}$ , where  $\mathbf{b}_j = (a_{1,j}, \dots, a_{\ell-1,j})$ .

First assume  $k = |T|^\ell - 2$ . By Proposition 5.8, we may also assume  $S_k \leq G$ . To begin with, let us assume  $\ell \geq 3$ . In view of Theorem 2.3(iii), we will show that  $b(G) = \ell + 1$  by constructing a base of size  $\ell + 1$ . Recall that Lemma 5.1 and Proposition 5.3 implies that if  $T \notin \{A_5, A_6\}$ , then there exist  $x, y \in T$  such that  $C_{\text{Aut}(T)}(x) \cap C_{\text{Aut}(T)}(y) = 1$  and there is no  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(T)$  satisfying  $(x, y)^\alpha = (x^{-1}, y^{-1})$ . Let  $z$  be any element in  $T$  if  $T \notin \{A_5, A_6\}$ . If  $T \in \{A_5, A_6\}$ , then one can use MAGMA to check that there exist  $x, y, z \in T$  such that

$$C_{\text{Aut}(T)}(x) \cap C_{\text{Aut}(T)}(y) \cap C_{\text{Aut}(T)}(z) = 1$$

and there is no  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(T)$  such that

$$(x, y, z)^\alpha = (x^{-1}, y^{-1}, z^{-1}).$$



Let  $\mathbf{a}_0 \in \text{Inn}(T)^k$  be such that  $|\mathcal{P}_1| = |\mathcal{P}_x| = |T|^{\ell-1} - 1$  and  $|\mathcal{P}_t| = |T|^{\ell-1}$  if  $t \notin \{1, x\}$ . We may assume  $\mathbf{b}_1 = (1, \dots, 1)$  and  $\mathbf{b}_{|T|^{\ell-1}} = (y, z, \dots, z)$ . Now define  $\mathbf{a}_i = (\varphi_{t_{i,1}}, \dots, \varphi_{t_{i,k}}) \in \text{Inn}(T)^k$  for  $1 \leq i \leq \ell - 1$  by

$$t_{i,h} = \begin{cases} a_{i,j} & t \neq 1 \text{ and } h \text{ is the } j\text{-th number in } \mathcal{P}_t; \\ a_{i,j+1} & h \text{ is the } j\text{-th number in } \mathcal{P}_1. \end{cases}$$

In particular, 1 and  $\varphi_y$  appears exactly  $|T|^{\ell-1} - 1$  times in the entries of  $\mathbf{a}_1$ , while other elements in  $\text{Inn}(T)$  appears exactly  $|T|^{\ell-1}$  times. Similarly, if  $i \in \{2, \dots, \ell - 1\}$ , then 1 and  $\varphi_z$  appears exactly  $|T|^{\ell-1} - 1$  times in the entries of  $\mathbf{a}_i$ , while other elements in  $\text{Inn}(T)$  appears exactly  $|T|^{\ell-1}$  times. Now we claim that  $\Delta := \{D, D\mathbf{a}_0, D\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, D\mathbf{a}_{\ell-1}\}$  is a base for  $G$ . Suppose  $(\alpha, \dots, \alpha)\pi \in G_{(\Delta)}$ . By Lemma 2.2, we have  $\pi \in P_{\{\mathcal{P}\}}$ , so either  $\pi \in P_{\{\mathcal{P}_1\}} \cap P_{\{\mathcal{P}_x\}}$  or  $\mathcal{P}_1^\pi = \mathcal{P}_x$ . Assume  $\mathcal{P}_1^\pi = \mathcal{P}_x$ . Note that there exists a unique  $g \in T$  such that  $t_{0,j}^\alpha = gt_{0,j}^\pi$  for all  $j \in [k]$ , and by taking  $j \in \mathcal{P}_1$  we have  $g = x^{-1}$ . This implies  $x^\alpha = x^{-1}$  by taking  $j \in \mathcal{P}_x$ . Consider  $\mathbf{a}_1$  and similarly, let  $\mathcal{Q}_t \subseteq [k]$  be such that  $j \in \mathcal{Q}_t$  if and only if  $t_{1,j} = t$ . In particular,  $|\mathcal{Q}_1| = |\mathcal{Q}_y| = |T|^{\ell-1} - 1$  and  $|\mathcal{Q}_t| = |T|^{\ell-1}$  if  $t \notin \{1, y\}$ . With the same argument as above, either  $\pi \in P_{\{\mathcal{Q}_1\}} \cap P_{\{\mathcal{Q}_y\}}$  or  $\mathcal{Q}_1^\pi = \mathcal{Q}_y$ . If the former holds, then

$$(\mathcal{P}_1 \cap \mathcal{Q}_1)^\pi = (\mathcal{P}_x \cap \mathcal{Q}_1).$$

However, as can be seen from our construction, we have  $|\mathcal{P}_1 \cap \mathcal{Q}_1| = |T|^{\ell-2} - 1$ , while  $|\mathcal{P}_x \cap \mathcal{Q}_1| = |T|^{\ell-2}$ . Thus, we have  $\mathcal{Q}_1^\pi = \mathcal{Q}_y$ , so  $y^\alpha = y^{-1}$  with the same argument as above. Similarly, we have  $z^\alpha = z^{-1}$  by considering  $\mathbf{a}_2$ . By our assumptions on  $x, y$  and  $z$ , there is no  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(T)$  simultaneously inverting all of them, which gives a contradiction. Hence,  $\mathcal{P}_1^\pi = \mathcal{P}_x$  is impossible, so  $\pi \in P_{\{\mathcal{P}_1\}} \cap P_{\{\mathcal{P}_x\}}$  and  $t_{0,j}^\alpha = t_{0,j}^\pi$  for all  $j \in [k]$ . Similarly, we have  $t_{i,j}^\alpha = t_{i,j}^\pi$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, \ell - 1\}$  and  $j \in [k]$ . In particular,

$$\alpha \in C_{\text{Aut}(T)}(x) \cap C_{\text{Aut}(T)}(y) \cap C_{\text{Aut}(T)}(z),$$

which implies  $\alpha = 1$ . Moreover, note that if  $h, h' \in \mathcal{P}_t$  for some  $t \in T$  and  $h \neq h'$ , then there exists  $i \in \{1, \dots, \ell - 1\}$  such that  $t_{i,h} \neq t_{i,h'}$ . Hence,  $\pi = 1$  and so  $\Delta$  is a base for  $G$ .

With very same argument as above, if  $k = |T|^2 - 2$  and  $T \notin \{A_5, A_6\}$ , then  $\{D, D\mathbf{a}_0, D\mathbf{a}_1\}$  is a base for  $G$ , and we have  $b(G) = 3$ . Moreover, if  $T \in \{A_5, A_6\}$  and  $k = |T|^2 - 2$ , then by Lemma 5.10, we only need to consider the cases where  $G < T^k \cdot (\text{Out}(T) \times S_k)$ . In this setting,  $G = T^k \cdot (O \times S_k)$  for some  $O < \text{Out}(T)$ , as  $S_k \leq G$ . We write  $K := \text{Inn}(T) \cdot O < \text{Aut}(T)$ . Note that there exist  $x, y \in T$  such that  $C_K(x) \cap C_K(y) = 1$  and there is no  $\alpha \in K$  with  $(x, y)^\alpha = (x^{-1}, y^{-1})$ . This can be checked using MAGMA. With the same construction, one can show that  $\{D, D\mathbf{a}_0, D\mathbf{a}_1\}$  is a base for  $G$ .

Next, we consider the case where  $k = |T|^\ell - 1$ . In this setting, if  $G$  does not contain  $S_k$  then Proposition 5.8 implies that  $b(G) = \ell + 1$ , and if  $S_k \leq G$  then Theorem 2.3(iii) shows that  $b(G) = \ell + 2$ .

Finally, let us assume  $k = |T|^\ell$ . Recall that by Theorem 2.3(iii), we have  $b(G) = \ell + 2$  if  $S_k \leq G$ . Thus, we may assume that  $G$  does not contain  $S_k$ , and we claim that  $b(G) = \ell + 1$  if  $\ell \geq 2$  (recall that the case where  $\ell = 1$  has been handled in Theorem 2.3(iii)). Suppose  $\langle x, y \rangle = T$  and let  $\mathbf{a}_0 \in \text{Inn}(T)^k$  be such that  $|\mathcal{P}_1| = |T|^{\ell-1} + 1$ ,  $|\mathcal{P}_x| = |T|^{\ell-1} - 1$  and  $|\mathcal{P}_t| = |T|^{\ell-1}$  if  $t \notin \{1, x\}$ . We assume  $\mathbf{b}_{|T|^{\ell-1}} = (y, \dots, y)$ . Similar as in (35), define  $\mathbf{a}_i = (\varphi_{t_{i,1}}, \dots, \varphi_{t_{i,k}}) \in \text{Inn}(T)^k$  for  $1 \leq i \leq \ell - 1$  by

$$t_{i,h} = \begin{cases} a_{i,j} & h \text{ is the } j\text{-th number in } \mathcal{P}_t; \\ 1 & h \text{ is the } (|T|^{\ell-1} + 1)\text{-th number in } \mathcal{P}_1. \end{cases}$$

We claim that  $\Delta := \{D, D\mathbf{a}_0, D\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, D\mathbf{a}_{\ell-1}\}$  is a base for  $G$ . Suppose  $(\alpha, \dots, \alpha)\pi \in G_{(\Delta)}$ . By Lemma 2.2, we have  $\pi \in P_{\{\mathcal{P}\}}$  and  $t_{0,j}^\alpha = t_{0,j}^\pi$ , which implies  $\pi \in P_{\{\mathcal{P}_1\}}$  and  $\pi \in P_{\{\mathcal{P}_x\}}$ . It follows that  $\alpha \in C_{\text{Aut}(T)}(x)$ . Note that for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, \ell - 1\}$ , 1 appears exactly  $|T|^{\ell-1} + 1$  times in the entries of  $\mathbf{a}_i$ , while  $\varphi_y$  appears exactly  $|T|^{\ell-1} - 1$  times

and each of other elements appears exactly  $|T|^{\ell-1}$  times. In particular, Lemma 2.2 implies that  $t_{i,j}^\alpha = t_{i,j}^\pi$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, \ell-1\}$ , and with the same argument as above, we have  $\alpha \in C_{\text{Aut}(T)}(y)$ . This implies  $\alpha = 1$  as  $\langle x, y \rangle = T$ . Moreover, if  $t \neq 1$  and  $h, h' \in \mathcal{P}_t$  with  $h \neq h'$ , then  $t_{i,h} \neq t_{i,h'}$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, \ell-1\}$ . And there exists a unique  $\{h_0, h'_0\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_1$  such that  $h_0 \neq h'_0$  and  $t_{i,h_0} = t_{i,h'_0}$  for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, \ell-1\}$ . Therefore,  $\pi \in \langle (h_0, h'_0) \rangle$ , which yields  $\pi = 1$  as  $G$  does not contain  $S_k$  and  $T^k : A_k \leq G$  by Corollary 2.6.  $\square$

We conclude that the proof of Theorem 3 is complete by combining Theorems 1, 2.3, Propositions 5.3, 5.8, 5.9 and 5.11.

## 6. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 6 AND 7

In this final section, we will prove Theorems 6 and 7. As introduced in Section 1, let  $\mathbb{P}_k(T)$  be the probability that a random  $k$ -subset  $R$  of  $T^\#$  has a non-trivial setwise stabiliser in  $\text{Aut}(T)$ . That is,

$$\mathbb{P}_k(T) := \frac{|\{R \in \mathcal{S}_k(T) : \text{Aut}(T, R) \neq 1\}|}{|\mathcal{S}_k(T)|},$$

where  $\mathcal{S}_k(T)$  is the set of  $k$ -subsets of  $T^\#$  (we will simply write  $\mathcal{S}_k$  if  $T$  is clear from the context). Suppose  $G = T^k \cdot (\text{Out}(T) \times S_k)$ . We also define

$$\mathbb{Q}_k(T) := \frac{|\{(t_1, \dots, t_{k-1}) \in T^{k-1} : \{D, D(\varphi_{t_1}, \dots, \varphi_{t_{k-1}}, 1)\} \text{ is a base for } G\}|}{|T|^{k-1}},$$

which is the probability that a random element in  $\Omega$  is in a regular orbit of  $G_D = D$ .

The following is [24, Theorem 1.5].

**Theorem 6.1.** *Let  $k \geq 5$  and  $(T_n)$  be a sequence of finite simple groups such that  $|T_n| \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then  $\mathbb{Q}_k(T_n) \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

**Lemma 6.2.** *For any  $k \geq 5$ , we have  $\mathbb{P}_{k-1}(T) \leq 1 - \mathbb{Q}_k(T)$ .*

*Proof.* First, by Lemma 2.14, we have  $\{D, D(\varphi_{t_1}, \dots, \varphi_{t_{k-1}}, 1)\}$  is a base for  $G$  if and only if  $t_1, \dots, t_{k-1} \in T^\#$  are distinct and  $\text{Hol}(T, \{t_1, \dots, t_{k-1}, 1\}) = 1$ . The latter condition implies  $\text{Aut}(T, \{t_1, \dots, t_{k-1}\}) = 1$ . Hence,

$$\mathbb{Q}_k(T) \leq \frac{|\{(t_1, \dots, t_{k-1}) \in (T^\#)^k : t_1, \dots, t_{k-1} \text{ are distinct and } \text{Aut}(T, \{t_1, \dots, t_{k-1}\}) = 1\}|}{|T|^{k-1}},$$

where we can see that the numerator of the right-hand side is equal to

$$(k-1)! \cdot |\{R \in \mathcal{S}_{k-1} : \text{Aut}(T, R) = 1\}|.$$

Thus, we have

$$\mathbb{Q}_k(T) \leq \frac{(k-1)! \cdot |\{R \in \mathcal{S}_{k-1} : \text{Aut}(T, R) = 1\}|}{|T|^{k-1}}$$

and it suffices to show that

$$|T|^{k-1} \geq (k-1)! \cdot |\mathcal{S}_{k-1}|.$$

This is clear, as  $|\mathcal{S}_{k-1}| = \binom{|T|-1}{k-1}$ .  $\square$

The proof of Theorem 6 is complete by combining Theorem 6.1 and Lemma 6.2. Finally, we establish Theorem 7. Recall that  $\mathcal{P}_k$  is the set of  $k$ -subsets of  $T$ .

**Proposition 6.3.** *We have  $\mathbb{P}_k(T) < 1/m$  if*

$$\binom{|T|}{k} > m \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{R}} |\text{fix}(\sigma, \mathcal{P}_k)|, \quad (36)$$

where  $\mathcal{R}$  is the set of elements of prime order in  $\text{Hol}(T)$ .

*Proof.* As noted in Section 3.1,

$$|\{S \in \mathcal{P}_k : \text{Hol}(T, S) \neq 1\}| \leq \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{R}} |\text{fix}(\sigma, \mathcal{P}_k)|,$$

which implies that  $\text{Hol}(T)$  has

$$r > \frac{m-1}{m|\text{Hol}(T)|} \binom{|T|}{k}$$

regular orbits on  $\mathcal{P}_k$ . Then

$$|\{R \in \mathcal{S}_k : \text{Hol}(T, R) = 1\}| = r(|T| - k)|\text{Aut}(T)| > \frac{(m-1)(|T| - k)}{m|T|} \binom{|T|}{k}.$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{P}_k(T) = \frac{|\{R \in \mathcal{S}_k : \text{Aut}(T, R) \neq 1\}|}{|\mathcal{S}_k|} < 1 - \frac{(m-1)(|T| - k)}{m|T|} \cdot \frac{\binom{|T|}{k}}{\binom{|T|-1}{k}} = \frac{1}{m},$$

as desired. □

*Proof of Theorem 7.* Note that if  $T = A_5$ , then  $5 \log |T| < k < |T| - 5 \log |T|$  implies  $k = 30$ , in which case we can check the theorem using MAGMA. Now assume  $|T| \geq 168$ , so  $5 \log |T| < |T|/4$ . It suffices to prove (36) for  $m = |T|$  and  $5 \log |T| < k \leq |T|/2$ , for which one can use very similar method in the proof of Proposition 3.7. More specifically, if  $|T|/4 \leq k \leq |T|/2$  then (36) holds for  $m = |T|$  if

$$2t_0^{|T|} > \sqrt{30}e^{\frac{1}{8}}|T|^{\frac{10}{3}},$$

where

$$t_0 = 4 \cdot 3^{-\frac{3}{4}} \cdot 2^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{10}} = 1.1577\dots$$

This inequality holds true for all  $|T| \geq 168$ . If  $k < |T|/4$  then (36) holds for  $m = |T|$  if  $(5/3)^k > |T|^{10/3}$ , which holds true for all  $k > 5 \log |T|$ . □

**Remark 6.4.** By Proposition 6.3, we have  $\mathbb{P}_k(T) < 1/2$  if (5) holds. We refer the reader to the proofs in Section 4 for a wider range of  $k$  satisfying (5) for each class of simple groups. For example, as can be seen in the proof of Proposition 4.9, if  $T = A_n$  and  $n \geq 7$  then (5) holds for all  $n \leq k \leq 4 \log |T|$ , which implies  $\mathbb{P}_k(T) < 1/2$  for all  $n \leq k \leq |T| - n$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] L. Babai, *Finite digraphs with given regular automorphism groups*, Period. Math. Hungar. **11** (1980), 257–270.
- [2] L. Babai and C.D. Godsil, *On the automorphism groups of almost all Cayley graphs*, European J. Combin. **3** (1982), 9–15.
- [3] R.F. Bailey and P.J. Cameron, *Base size, metric dimension and other invariants*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **43** (2011), 209–242.
- [4] K.D. Blaha, *Minimum bases for permutation groups: the greedy approximation*, J. Algorithms **13** (1992), 297–306.
- [5] W. Bosma, J. Cannon and C. Playoust, *The Magma algebra system. I. The user language*, J. Symb. Comput. **24** (1997), 235–265.
- [6] T. Breuer, *The GAP Character Table Library, Version 1.3.1*, GAP package, <http://www.math.rwth-aachen.de/~Thomas.Breuer/ctbllib>, 2020.
- [7] T. Breuer, R.M. Guralnick and W.M. Kantor, *Probabilistic generation of finite simple groups, II*, J. Algebra **320** (2008), 443–494.
- [8] T.C. Burness, *Base sizes for primitive groups with soluble stabilisers*, Algebra Number Theory **15** (2021), 1755–1807.
- [9] T.C. Burness, *Simple groups, fixed point ratios and applications*, in Local representation theory and simple groups, 267–322, EMS Ser. Lect. Math., Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2018.
- [10] T.C. Burness, *Fixed point ratios in actions in finite classical groups. II*, J. Algebra **309** (2007), 80–138.
- [11] T.C. Burness, *On base sizes for actions of finite classical groups*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. **75** (2007), 545–562.

- [12] T.C. Burness and M. Giudici, *On the Saxl graph of a permutation group*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **168** (2020), 219–248.
- [13] T.C. Burness and M. Giudici, *Classical groups, derangements and primes*, Australian Mathematical Society Lecture Series, vol. 25, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2016.
- [14] T.C. Burness, R.M. Guralnick and J. Saxl, *On base sizes for symmetric groups*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **43** (2011), 386–391.
- [15] T.C. Burness and H.Y. Huang, *On base sizes for primitive groups of product type*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **227** (2023), Paper No. 107228, 43 pp.
- [16] T.C. Burness and H.Y. Huang, *On the Saxl graphs of primitive groups with soluble stabilisers*, Algebr. Comb. **5** (2022), 1053–1087.
- [17] T.C. Burness, M.W. Liebeck and A. Shalev, *Base sizes for simple groups and a conjecture of Cameron*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. **98** (2009), 116–162.
- [18] T.C. Burness and A.R. Thomas, *The classification of extremely primitive groups*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2022, 10148–10248.
- [19] T.C. Burness, E.A. O’Brien and R.A. Wilson, *Base sizes for sporadic simple groups*, Israel J. Math. **177** (2010), 307–333.
- [20] P.J. Cameron, *Permutation groups*, London Math. Soc. Student Texts, vol. 45 (Cambridge University Press, 1999).
- [21] H. Chen and S. Du, *On the Burness-Giudici conjecture*, submitted (2020), arXiv:2008.04233.
- [22] H. Duyan, Z. Halasi and A. Maróti, *A proof of Pyber’s base size conjecture*, Adv. Math. **331** (2018), 720–747.
- [23] J.B. Fawcett, *Bases of twisted wreath products*, J. Algebra **607** (2022), 247–271.
- [24] J.B. Fawcett, *The base size of a primitive diagonal group*, J. Algebra **375** (2013), 302–321.
- [25] J. Fulman and R.M. Guralnick, *The number of regular semisimple conjugacy classes in the finite classical groups*, Linear Algebra Appl. **439** (2013), 488–503.
- [26] J. Fulman and R.M. Guralnick, *Bounds on the number and sizes of conjugacy classes in finite Chevalley groups with applications to derangements*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **364** (2012), 3023–3070.
- [27] D. Garzoni and N. Gill, *On the number of conjugacy classes of a primitive permutation group*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **153** (2023), 115–136.
- [28] C.D. Godsil, *On the full automorphism group of a graph*, Combinatorica **1** (1981), 243–256.
- [29] D. Gorenstein, R. Lyons and R. Solomon, *The Classification of the Finite Simple Groups. Number 3*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 40. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI., 1998.
- [30] R. Gow, *Commutators in finite simple groups of Lie type*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **32** (2000), 311–315.
- [31] R.M. Guralnick and W.M. Kantor, *Probabilistic generation of finite simple groups*, J. Algebra **234** (2000), 743–792.
- [32] R.M. Guralnick and G. Malle, *Simple groups admit Beauville structures*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. **85** (2012), 694–721.
- [33] Z. Halasi, M.W. Liebeck and A. Maróti, *Base sizes of primitive groups: bounds with explicit constants*, J. Algebra **521** (2019), 16–43.
- [34] Z. Halasi and K. Podowski, *Every coprime linear group admits a base of size two*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **368** (2016), 5857–5887.
- [35] W.M. Kantor, A. Lubotzky and A. Shalev, *Invariable generation and the Chebotarev invariant of a finite group*, J. Algebra **348** (2011), 302–314.
- [36] P.B. Kleidman and M.W. Liebeck, *The Subgroup Structure of the Finite Classical Groups*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series, vol. 129, Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [37] M. Lee and T. Popiel, *Saxl graphs of primitive affine groups with sporadic point stabilisers*, Internat. J. Algebra Comput., to appear.
- [38] D. Leemans and M.W. Liebeck, *Chiral polyhedra and finite simple groups*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **49** (2017), 581–592.
- [39] M.W. Liebeck, C.E. Praeger and J. Saxl, *On the O’Nan-Scott theorem for finite primitive permutation groups*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. **44** (1988), 389–396.
- [40] M.W. Liebeck and G.M. Seitz, *Unipotent and nilpotent classes in simple algebraic groups and Lie algebras*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 180, Amer. Math. Soc., 2012.
- [41] M.W. Liebeck and A. Shalev, *Bases of primitive permutation groups*, in Groups, combinatorics & geometry (Durham, 2001), 147–154, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 2003.
- [42] M.W. Liebeck and A. Shalev, *Simple groups, permutation groups, and probability*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **12** (1999), 497–520.
- [43] F. Lübeck, *Centralisers and numbers of semisimple classes in exceptional groups of Lie type*, <http://www.math.rwth-aachen.de/~Frank.Luebeck/chev/CentSSClasses>
- [44] G.A. Miller, *On the groups generated by two operators*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **7** (1901), 424–426.

- [45] J. Morris and P. Spiga, *Asymptotic enumeration of Cayley digraphs*, Israel J. Math. **242** (2021), 401–459.
- [46] M. Neunhöffer, F. Noeske, E.A. O’Brien and R.A. Wilson, *Orbit invariants and an application to the Baby Monster*, J. Algebra **341** (2011), 297–305.
- [47] L. Pyber, *Asymptotic results for permutation groups*, in Groups and Computation (eds. L. Finkelstein and W. Kantor), DIMACS Series, vol. 11, pp.197–219, 1993.
- [48] Á. Seress, *Permutation group algorithms*, Cambridge Tracts in Math. **152**, Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- [49] Á. Seress, *Primitive groups with no regular orbits on the set of subsets*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **29** (1997), 697–704.
- [50] Á. Seress, *The minimal base size of primitive solvable permutation groups*, J. London Math. Soc. **53** (1996), 243–255.
- [51] N. Spaltenstein, *Caractères unipotents de  ${}^3D_4(\mathbb{F}_q)$* , Comment. Math. Helv. **57** (1982), 676–691.
- [52] P. Stănică, *Good lower and upper bounds on binomial coefficients*, JIPAM. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **2** (2001), Article 30, 5 pp.
- [53] M. Suzuki, *On a class of doubly transitive groups*, Annals of Math. **75** (1962), 105–145.
- [54] G. Verret and B. Xia, *Oriented regular representations of out-valency two for finite simple groups*, Ars Math. Contemp. **22** (2022), Paper No. 7, 6 pp.
- [55] R.A. Wilson et al., *A World-Wide-Web Atlas of finite group representations*, <http://brauer.maths.qmul.ac.uk/Atlas/v3/>
- [56] B. Xia, S. Zheng and S. Zhou, *Cubic graphical regular representations of some classical simple groups*, J. Algebra **612** (2022), 256–280.

H.Y. HUANG, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BRISTOL, BRISTOL BS8 1UG, UK  
Email address: [hy.huang@bristol.ac.uk](mailto:hy.huang@bristol.ac.uk)