

# RESULTS ON VIRTUAL RESOLUTIONS FOR TORIC VARIETIES

MICHAEL K. BROWN AND DANIEL ERMAN

**ABSTRACT.** We give a short, new proof of a recent result of Hanlon-Hicks-Lazarev about toric varieties. As in their work, this leads to a proof of a conjecture of Berkesch-Erman-Smith on virtual resolutions and to a resolution of the diagonal in the simplicial case.

## 1. MAIN RESULT

We give a short, new proof of a recent result of Hanlon-Hicks-Lazarev about toric varieties and their multigraded Cox rings. Throughout, we let  $X$  be a simplicial, projective toric variety over a field  $k$  with  $\text{Cl}(X)$ -graded Cox ring  $S$ . Our main result (Theorem 1.2) was first proven in [HHL23], but our proof is independent from their methods. Our approach is more algebraic and simpler, but their approach is far more explicit and connects to a much wider range of topics of interest, e.g. symplectic geometry and homological mirror symmetry; see also the related work of Favero-Huang [FH23].

Our interest in these topics begins with a program to extend results on syzygies to multigraded or toric settings. The basic perspective, introduced by Berkesch-Erman-Smith in [BES20], is that many classical results about minimal free resolutions will have strong analogues in the toric setting, as long as one replaces minimal free resolutions with the more flexible notion of a virtual resolution.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $\text{Cl}(X)$ -graded  $S$ -module. A **virtual resolution** of  $M$  is a free complex  $F_\bullet$  of  $S$ -modules such that there is a quasi-isomorphism  $\widetilde{F}_\bullet \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{M}$  of complexes of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules.

The following theorem is a consequence of Hanlon-Hicks-Lazarev’s main result [HHL23, Theorem A]:

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $Y$  be a normal toric subvariety of  $X$  with defining ideal  $I \subseteq S$ . The  $S$ -module  $S/I$  admits a virtual resolution of length equal to  $\text{codim}(Y \subseteq X)$ .*

And here is our short proof of Theorem 1.2.

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* Let  $R$  be the normalization of  $S/I$  and  $F_\bullet$  the minimal free resolution of  $R$  as an  $S$ -module. Since  $Y$  is normal,  $\widetilde{R} = \mathcal{O}_Y$  as a sheaf on  $X$ , and so  $F_\bullet$  is a virtual resolution of  $S/I_Y$ . Hochster’s Theorem implies that  $R$  is a Cohen-Macaulay ring [Hoc72, Theorem 1] and therefore also a Cohen-Macaulay  $S$ -module. The length of  $F_\bullet$  is the projective dimension of  $R$ , which, by the Auslander-Buchsbaum Theorem [Eis95, Theorem 19.9], equals the codimension of  $S/I$ , which is in turn equal to  $\text{codim}(Y \subseteq X)$ .  $\square$

---

*Date:* March 28, 2023.

The second author was supported by NSF grant DMS-2200469.

Let us briefly describe some applications of Theorem 1.2 and their history. For a fuller discussion, see [HHL23, §1]. First, we have the following special case, first proven by Hanlon-Hicks-Lazarev:

**Theorem 1.3** (Virtual Diagonal Theorem, [HHL23] Corollary B). *The coordinate ring of the diagonal embedding  $X \subseteq X \times X$  admits a virtual resolution of length  $\dim X$ .*

It was known that this result would immediately yield proofs of two conjectures that had received independent interest. The first conjecture is due to Berkesch-Erman-Smith [BES20, Question 1.3] and was proven by Hanlon-Hicks-Lazarev:

**Theorem 1.4** (Virtual Syzygy Theorem, [HHL23] Corollary C). *Any module  $M$  as in Definition 1.1 has a virtual resolution of length  $\leq \dim X$ .*

Hilbert’s Syzygy Theorem gives a bound of  $\dim S = \dim X + \text{rank Cl}(X)$ ; Theorem 1.4 implies that the added flexibility of virtual resolutions allows for significantly shorter resolutions, especially when  $\text{rank Cl}(X)$  is large. See [BES20, HNVT22, BKLY21] and elsewhere for many examples of this phenomenon. In [HHL23], Theorem 1.3 is stated for smooth varieties, but as we will see, the basic ideas easily extend to the simplicial case. Prior to their work, Theorem 1.4 had been proven in several special cases: when  $\text{rank Pic}(X) = 1$  it essentially follows from Hilbert’s Syzygy Theorem; for products of projective spaces it was shown in [BES20, Theorem 1.2] (see also [EES15, Corollary 1.14]); Yang proved it for any monomial ideal in the Cox ring of a smooth toric variety [Yan21]; and Brown-Sayrafi proved it for smooth projective toric varieties of Picard rank 2 [BS22].

The second conjecture, due to Orlov, is the special case of [Orl09, Conjecture 10] for toric varieties. This was first proven by Favero-Huang in [FH23, Theorem 1.2], and independently and essentially simultaneously in [HHL23, Corollary E].

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let  $X$  be a normal toric variety. The Rouquier dimension of  $D^b(X)$  equals  $\dim X$ .*

Special cases of Theorem 1.5 had been established in [BC23, BF12, BDM19, BFK19] before Favero-Huang and Hanlon-Hicks-Lazarev proved it in general. The full version of Orlov’s Conjecture states that Theorem 1.5 extends to any smooth quasi-projective variety; see [BC23, §1.2] for a list of known cases of this conjecture.

Theorem 1.2 easily implies Theorems 1.3, 1.4 and 1.5. To prove Theorem 1.3, observe that the diagonal  $X \subseteq X \times X$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1.2. To prove Theorem 1.4, one can simply follow the method [BES20, Proof of Theorem 1.2]. For Theorem 1.5, one can use standard techniques on derived categories; see, e.g., the proof of [HHL23, Corollary E].

Our proof of Theorem 1.2 is quite simple, perhaps embarrassingly so given the prior partial results on [BES20, Question 1.3] and the toric case of [Orl09, Conjecture 10]. It is not yet clear how to compare our resolutions to those obtained in [HHL23], but we believe that the two constructions agree. Their work gives a marvelously creative perspective on building these resolutions, making essential use of the symplectic side of the mirror symmetry functor, as well as a wide array of ideas, including discrete morse theory, quiver diagrams, and more. (See also Borisov’s work [Bor00] for an alternative proof of Hochster’s Theorem [Hoc72, Theorem 1]—the main ingredient of our proof of Theorem 1.2—and an explanation of how the techniques used there relate to mirror symmetry.) The resolutions they obtain are

quite explicit; indeed, their resolution of the diagonal yields a canonical generating set for the derived category of any normal toric variety, proving a claim of Bondal [HHL23, Corollary D]. However, some algebraic aspects of their constructions are harder to determine. For instance, if  $F_\bullet$  is the free complex of  $S$ -modules corresponding to one of their resolutions, their work implies that the modules  $H_i(F_\bullet)$  correspond to the zero sheaf on  $X$  for all  $i > 0$ , but it is not clear whether  $H_i(F_\bullet)$  equals the zero module on the nose, i.e. it is not clear if  $F_\bullet$  is acyclic as a complex of  $S$ -modules. The  $S$ -module that arises as  $H_0(F_\bullet)$  is also unclear. By comparison, the complexes that arise in our construction are always acyclic, and we know which modules they resolve. However, we are not able to give as explicit of a description of the terms. It would be very interesting to better compare these complexes, and to compare them with those in [BE21, BS22].

*Remark 1.6.* As our resolutions from Theorem 1.2 rely only on standard algebraic constructions, they can be directly computed in *Macaulay2*. The constructions in [HHL23] are explicit, but due to their novelty, computing them in practice requires more effort. Of course, if one could show that the two constructions coincide, this would shed more light on both.

## 2. EXAMPLES

**Example 2.1.** Let  $X = \mathbb{P}^n$  and  $T = k[x_0, \dots, x_n, y_0, \dots, y_n]$ , the Cox ring of  $X \times X$ . Let  $I_\Delta \subseteq T$  be the defining ideal of the diagonal  $X \subseteq X \times X$ . Since points in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  are equivalence classes of tuples  $(x_0, \dots, x_n)$  up to scalar multiple, the diagonal is the closure of the locus of pairs  $(x_0, \dots, x_n, tx_0, \dots, tx_n)$  where  $t \in k^*$ ; this corresponds to the semigroup ring  $k[x_0, \dots, x_n, tx_0, \dots, tx_n]$ , which is normal.

The ideal  $I_\Delta$  is generated by the  $2 \times 2$  minors of the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & \cdots & x_n \\ y_0 & y_1 & \cdots & y_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

More specifically: these minors vanish on  $\Delta$ , and since this is a generic matrix, the ideal of  $2 \times 2$  minors is prime of codimension  $n$ . As  $T/I_\Delta$  is already normal, the virtual resolution of  $T/I_\Delta$  arising from Theorem 1.2 is just the minimal free resolution of  $T/I_\Delta$ , which is given by the Eagon-Northcott complex on this matrix.

**Example 2.2.** Let  $X$  be the weighted projective space  $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2)$  and  $T = k[x_0, x_1, x_2, y_0, y_1, y_2]$ , the Cox ring of  $X \times X$ . The ring  $T/I_\Delta$  is isomorphic to the semigroup ring

$$k[x_0, x_1, x_2, tx_0, tx_1, t^2x_2],$$

which is not normal because  $tx_2$  lies in the fraction field and satisfies the integral equation  $(tx_2)^2 - x_2 \cdot (t^2x_2) = 0$ . Let  $R$  be the normalization of  $T/I_\Delta$ . A presentation matrix for  $R$  as a  $T$ -module is given as follows, where the rows correspond to the generators 1 and  $tx_2$ :

$$\begin{matrix} 1 \\ tx_2 \end{matrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1y_0 - x_0y_1 & x_2y_0 & x_2y_1 & x_0y_2 & x_1y_2 \\ 0 & -x_0 & -x_1 & -y_0 & -y_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The free resolution of  $R$  as a  $T$ -module is given by:

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & T(-1, -1) & \begin{bmatrix} -x_2 & 0 & -y_2 \\ x_1 & -y_1 & 0 \\ -x_0 & y_0 & 0 \\ 0 & -x_1 & -y_1 \end{bmatrix} & T(-3, -1) & & \\ & & & \oplus & & \oplus & \\ T & \leftarrow \begin{bmatrix} x_1 y_0 & -x_0 y_1 & x_2 y_0 & x_2 y_1 & x_0 y_2 & x_1 y_2 \\ 0 & -x_0 & -x_1 & -y_0 & -y_1 & \end{bmatrix} & T(-2, -1)^2 & \leftarrow \begin{bmatrix} -x_2 & 0 & -y_2 \\ x_1 & -y_1 & 0 \\ -x_0 & y_0 & 0 \\ 0 & -x_1 & -y_1 \end{bmatrix} & T(-2, -2) & \leftarrow 0. & \\ \oplus & & & \oplus & & \oplus & \\ T(-1, -1) & & & T(-1, -2)^2 & & T(-1, -3) & \end{array}$$

Additionally: we have the short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow S/I_\Delta \rightarrow R \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$ , and  $Q = tx_2 \cdot k[x_2, y_2]$ . One can directly compute that  $Q$  determines the zero sheaf on  $X \times X$ . Indeed, since  $tx_2$  has degree  $(1, 1)$ ,  $Q_{(a,b)} \neq 0$  if and only if  $a, b \geq 0$  and  $a, b$  are both odd. But a  $T$ -module determines the zero sheaf on  $X \times X$  if and only if it is zero in degrees  $(a, b)$  for all even integers  $a, b \gg 0$ .

*Remark 2.4.* Since  $\mathcal{O}(-1)$  and  $\mathcal{O}(-3)$  are not vector bundles on  $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2)$ , the resolution (2.3) does not induce a locally free resolution of the diagonal. Indeed, virtual resolutions are not guaranteed to induce locally free resolutions of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules unless  $X$  is smooth. Alternatively, as in [HHL23], one could consider the corresponding toric stack.

*Remark 2.5.* In many of the prior known cases of Theorem 1.4, a slightly stronger result was proven. Namely, it was shown that for any such  $M$ , there exists another module  $M'$  satisfying  $\widetilde{M} = \widetilde{M}'$  and  $\text{pdim}(M') \leq \dim X$ ; see [EES15, BHS21, Yan21]. It would be interesting if this was true in general. The fact that the virtual resolution of the diagonal from Theorem 1.3 has this property makes it seem reasonable to hope that this might hold.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are very grateful to Andrew Hanlon, Jeff Hicks, and Oleg Lazarev for patiently talking to us about their work and for several inspiring conversations. We only found this approach because of our efforts to understand their beautiful results.

#### REFERENCES

- [BC23] S. Bai and L. Côté, *On the Rouquier dimension of wrapped Fukaya categories and a conjecture of Orlov*, *Compos. Math.* **159** (2023), no. 3, 437–487.
- [BDM19] M. R. Ballard, A. Duncan, and P. K. McFaddin, *The toric Frobenius morphism and a conjecture of Orlov*, *Eur. J. Math.* **5** (2019), no. 3, 640–645.
- [BE21] M. K. Brown and D. Erman, *Tate resolutions on toric varieties*, to appear in the *Journal of the European Mathematical Society (JEMS)* (2021).
- [BES20] C. Berkesch, D. Erman, and G. G. Smith, *Virtual resolutions for a product of projective spaces*, *Algebraic Geometry* **7** (2020), no. 4, 460–481.
- [BF12] M. Ballard and D. Favero, *Hochschild dimensions of tilting objects*, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* **11** (2012), 2607–2645.
- [BFK19] M. Ballard, D. Favero, and L. Katzarkov, *Variation of geometric invariant theory quotients and derived categories*, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **746** (2019), 235–303.
- [BHS21] J. Bruce, L. C. Heller, and M. Sayrafi, *Characterizing multigraded regularity on products of projective spaces*, 2021. arXiv:2110.10705.
- [BKLY21] C. Berkesch, P. Klein, M. C. Loper, and J. Yang, *Combinatorial aspects of virtually Cohen-Macaulay sheaves*, *Sém. Lothar. Combin.* **85B** (2021), Art. 63, 13.
- [Bor00] L. A. Borisov, *String cohomology of a toroidal singularity*, *J. Algebraic Geom.* **9** (2000), no. 2, 289–300.

- [BS22] M. K. Brown and M. Sayrafi, *A short resolution of the diagonal for smooth projective toric varieties of Picard rank 2*, 2022. arXiv:2208.00562.
- [EES15] D. Eisenbud, D. Erman, and F.-O. Schreyer, *Tate resolutions for products of projective spaces*, *Acta Mathematica Vietnamica* **40** (2015), no. 1, 5–36.
- [Eis95] D. Eisenbud, *Commutative algebra*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 150, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995. With a view toward algebraic geometry.
- [FH23] D. Favero and J. Huang, *Rouquier dimension is Krull dimension for normal toric varieties*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.09158 (2023).
- [HHL23] A. Hanlon, J. Hicks, and O. Lazarev, *Resolutions of toric subvarieties by line bundles and applications*, 2023. arXiv:2303.03763.
- [HNVT22] M. Harada, M. Nowroozi, and A. Van Tuyl, *Virtual resolutions of points in  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$* , *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **226** (2022), no. 12, Paper No. 107140, 18.
- [Hoc72] M. Hochster, *Rings of invariants of tori, Cohen-Macaulay rings generated by monomials, and polytopes*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **96** (1972), 318–337.
- [Orl09] D. Orlov, *Remarks on generators and dimensions of triangulated categories*, *Mosc. Math. J.* **9** (2009), no. 1, 153–159, back matter.
- [Yan21] J. Yang, *Virtual resolutions of monomial ideals on toric varieties*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. Ser. B* **8** (2021), 100–111.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, AUBURN UNIVERSITY, AUBURN, AL  
*E-mail address:* mkb0096@auburn.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON, MADISON, WI  
*E-mail addresses:* derman@math.wisc.edu