

# GENERAL HYPERPLANE SECTIONS OF LOG CANONICAL THREEFOLDS IN POSITIVE CHARACTERISTIC

KENTA SATO

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove that if a 3-dimensional quasi-projective variety  $X$  over an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p > 3$  has only log canonical singularities, then so does a general hyperplane section  $H$  of  $X$ . We also show that the same is true for klt singularities, which is a slight extension of [10]. In the course of the proof, we provide a sufficient condition for log canonical (resp. klt) surface singularities to be geometrically log canonical (resp. geometrically klt) over a field.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The classical Bertini theorem states that if a projective scheme  $X$  over an algebraically closed field is smooth, then a general hyperplane section  $H$  of  $X$  is also smooth. Many variants of this theorem have been established; for instance, it is known that if  $X$  is reduced (resp. normal, Cohen-Macaulay, Gorenstein), then so is  $H$ . Furthermore, in characteristic zero, the argument presented in Reid's paper ([9]) implies that certain classes of singularities in the minimal model program possess a similar property. Specifically, if  $X$  has only log canonical (resp. klt, canonical, or terminal) singularities, then the same property holds for  $H$ . In this paper, we consider the case of positive characteristic, and pose the following question:

**Question 1.1.** Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p > 0$  and  $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}_k^N$  be a subvariety of  $\mathbb{P}_k^N$ . If  $X$  has only log canonical (resp. klt, canonical, terminal) singularities, does a general hyperplane section  $H$  have the same properties?

If  $X$  is two-dimensional, then the assertion in Question 1.1 holds true, as  $X$  has only isolated singularities. Likewise, if  $X$  is three-dimensional with terminal singularities, then the question is confirmed for the same reason. However, the remaining cases, even in dimension three, are more intricate. Note that Reid's argument is not applicable in positive characteristic due to the Bertini theorem's failure for base point free linear systems. Recently, an affirmative answer has been provided in [10] using jet schemes and  $F$ -singularities, in the case where  $X$  has canonical or klt singularities and is three-dimensional, while requiring  $p$  to be greater than 5 in the klt case.

In this paper, we give an affirmative answer to the log canonical case and klt case in dimension three with  $p > 3$ .

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14B05, 14J17.

*Key words and phrases.* Bertini theorem, geometrically log canonical singularities, surface singularities, dual graph.

**Theorem A** (Corollary 5.2). *Let  $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}_k^N$  be a three-dimensional normal quasi-projective variety over an algebraically closed field  $k$  of characteristic  $p > 3$ . If  $X$  has only log canonical (resp. klt) singularities, then so does a general hyperplane section  $H$  of  $X$ .*

It is worth noting that the result is novel even in the klt case, as in [10], the case where  $p = 5$  was beyond the scope of the investigation.

In order to prove Theorem A, we examine the closed subscheme  $\mathcal{X}$  of the product  $X \times_k (\mathbb{P}_k^N)^*$  whose closed fiber over  $(\mathbb{P}_k^N)^*$  at a closed point  $H \in (\mathbb{P}_k^N)^*$  coincides with the hyperplane section  $X \cap H$ . To verify that a general closed fiber of  $\mathcal{X}$  is log canonical (resp. klt), we need to show that the generic fiber  $\mathcal{X}_\eta$  is geometrically log canonical (resp. geometrically klt) over the function field  $K((\mathbb{P}_k^N)^*)$ . (See Proposition 5.1 for more details). On the other hand, since the natural map  $p : \mathcal{X}_\eta \rightarrow X$  has geometrically regular fibers, if  $X$  is log canonical (resp. klt), then so is  $\mathcal{X}_\eta$ . Furthermore, we also know that  $\mathcal{X}_\eta$  is geometrically normal over  $K((\mathbb{P}_k^N)^*)$  ([2]). This leads to the following question:

**Question 1.2.** Let  $S$  denote a two-dimensional geometrically normal variety over a field  $K$  that is not necessarily perfect, and suppose that  $S$  has log canonical (resp. klt) singularities. What is a sufficient condition for  $S$  to be geometrically log canonical (resp. geometrically klt) over  $K$ ?

It should be noted that in characteristic 2 or 3, there exist instances of geometrically normal surface singularities ( $s \in S$ ) over a field  $K$  with the residue field isomorphic to  $K$  that are klt but not geometrically klt over  $K$  (Example 4.7). However, in Section 4, it is observed that such examples do not occur in characteristic larger than 3. To be precise, we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem B** (Corollary 4.6). *Let  $X$  be a 2-dimensional geometrically normal variety over a field  $k$  of characteristic  $p > 3$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$  be the non-smooth points of  $X$  over  $k$ . Suppose that  $X$  is klt at  $x_i$  and the residue field  $\kappa(x_i)$  of  $X$  at  $x_i$  is separable over  $k$  for every  $i$ . Then  $X$  is geometrically klt over  $k$ .*

On the other hand, perhaps more surprisingly, we also prove an analogous result for log canonical singularities. A normal surface singularity ( $x \in X$ ) is said to be *simple elliptic* if the exceptional divisor  $E$  of the minimal resolution  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  is irreducible with arithmetic genus one.

**Theorem C** (Corollary 4.17). *Let  $X$  be a 2-dimensional geometrically normal variety over a field  $k$  of characteristic  $p > 3$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$  be the non-smooth points of  $X$  over  $k$ . Suppose that  $X$  is log canonical at  $x_i$  and the residue field  $\kappa(x_i)$  of  $X$  at  $x_i$  is separable over  $k$  for every  $i$ . We further assume that one of the following holds:*

- (a) *The singularity ( $x_i \in X$ ) is not simple elliptic for every  $i$ , or*
- (b)  *$k$  is a finitely generated over a perfect field  $k_0$  such that the transcendental degree  $\text{tr.deg}_{k_0}(k)$  is one.*

*Then  $X$  is geometrically log canonical over  $k$ .*

The klt case (resp. log canonical case) of Theorem A follows from Theorem B (resp. a variant of Theorem C (2)).

*Acknowledgments.* The author wishes to express his gratitude to Professor Shunsuke Takagi for his valuable advice and suggestions. This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 20K14303.

**Notation.** Throughout this paper, all rings are assumed to be commutative and with unit element and all schemes are assumed to be Noetherian and separated.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

This section provides preliminary results needed for the rest of the paper.

**2.1. Singularities in MMP.** In this subsection, we recall the definition and basic properties of singularities in minimal model program (or MMP for short).

Throughout this subsection, unless otherwise stated,  $X$  denotes an excellent normal integral  $\mathbb{Q}$ -scheme with a dualizing complex  $\omega_X^\bullet$ . The *canonical sheaf*  $\omega_X$  associated to  $\omega_X^\bullet$  is the coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module defined as the first nonzero cohomology of  $\omega_X^\bullet$ . A *canonical divisor* of  $X$  associated to  $\omega_X^\bullet$  is any Weil divisor  $K_X$  on  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{O}_X(K_X) \cong \omega_X$ . We fix a canonical divisor  $K_X$  of  $X$  associated to  $\omega_X^\bullet$ , and given a proper birational morphism  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  from a normal integral scheme  $Y$ , we always choose a canonical divisor  $K_Y$  of  $Y$  that is associated to  $\pi^!\omega_X^\bullet$  and coincides with  $K_X$  outside the exceptional locus  $\text{Exc}(f)$  of  $f$ .

**Definition 2.1.** A proper birational morphism  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  from a regular integral scheme  $Y$  is said to be a *resolution of singularities* of  $X$ . A resolution  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  is said to be a *log resolution* if the exceptional locus  $\text{Exc}(f)$  of  $f$  is a simple normal crossing divisor.

First, we give the definition of singularities in the MMP that makes sense in arbitrary characteristic.

**Definition 2.2.** Suppose that  $X$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein (that is,  $K_X$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier).

- (i) Given a proper birational morphism  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  from a normal integral scheme  $Y$ , we write

$$K_{Y/X} := K_Y - f^*(K_X).$$

For each prime divisor  $E$  on  $Y$ , the *discrepancy*  $a_E(X)$  of  $X$  at  $E$  is defined as

$$a_E(X) := \text{ord}_E(K_{Y/X}).$$

- (ii)  $X$  is said to be *log canonical* (resp. *klt*) at a point  $x \in X$  if  $a_E(\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{X,x}) \geq -1$  (resp.  $> -1$ ) for every proper birational morphism  $f : Y \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  from a normal integral scheme  $Y$  and for every prime divisor  $E$  on  $Y$ . We say that  $X$  is *log canonical* (resp. *klt*) if it is log canonical (resp. klt) for every  $x \in X$ .

*Remark 2.3.* Suppose that  $X$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein and there exists a log resolution  $f : Y \rightarrow X$ . Then for a point  $x \in X$ , the following are equivalent.

- $X$  is log canonical (resp. klt) at  $x$ .

- $a_E(X) \geq -1$  (resp.  $> -1$ ) for all prime divisor  $E$  in  $Y$  whose center  $f(E)$  contains  $x$ .
- There exists an open neighborhood  $U \subseteq X$  of  $x$  such that  $U$  is log canonical (resp. klt).

Given a property  $\mathcal{P}$  of local rings, we say that a scheme  $X$  satisfies  $\mathcal{P}$  at  $x \in X$  if the stalk  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  satisfy  $\mathcal{P}$ .

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $X$  be a scheme over a field  $k$  and  $x \in X$  be a point. We say that  $X$  is *geometrically  $\mathcal{P}$*  at  $x$  if for every finite field extension  $\ell$  of  $k$ , the base change  $X_\ell := X \times_k \ell$  satisfies  $\mathcal{P}$  at any point  $y \in X_\ell$  lying over  $x$ .

*Remark 2.5.* Let  $X$  be a scheme of finite type over a field  $k$  and we set  $\mathcal{P} :=$  "regular" (resp. " $(R_n)$ " for some fixed  $n$ , "normal", "reduced", "irreducible", "integral"). It is known that the following are equivalent:

- $X$  is geometrically  $\mathcal{P}$  over  $k$ .
- $X \times_k \ell$  satisfies  $\mathcal{P}$  for every finitely generated field extension  $\ell$  of  $k$ .
- $X \times_k \ell$  satisfies  $\mathcal{P}$  for every field extension  $\ell$  of  $k$ .
- $X \times_k \bar{k}$  satisfies  $\mathcal{P}$ , where  $\bar{k}$  is the algebraic closure of  $k$ .

(c.f. [12, Lemma 037K] for  $\mathcal{P} =$  "irreducible" and [12, Lemma 038U, 038X and 02V4] for  $\mathcal{P} =$  " $(R_n)$ ".)

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $(Y, D)$  be a pair of a Noetherian integral scheme  $Y$  over a field  $k$  and a reduced divisor  $D$  on  $Y$ . We say that  $(Y, D)$  is *relatively SNC* over  $k$  if for every finite field extension  $\ell$  of  $k$ , the pair  $(Y_\ell, D_\ell)$  of the base change  $Y_\ell := Y \times_k \ell$  and the flat pullback  $D_\ell$  of  $D$  is a SNC pair.

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $X$  be a variety over a field  $k$  and  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  be a log resolution of  $X$  such that the pair  $(Y, \text{Exc}(f))$  is relatively SNC over  $k$ . If  $X$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein and klt (resp. log canonical), then  $X$  is geometrically klt (resp. geometrically log canonical) over  $k$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\ell$  be a finite field extension of  $k$ . We first show that  $X_\ell$  is normal and  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein. Since  $\ell$  is flat over  $k$ , if  $X$  satisfies the Serre condition  $(S_m)$  for some  $m > 0$ , then so is the base change  $X_\ell := X \times_k \ell$ . On the other hand, since  $Y$  is smooth over  $k$ , so is  $X$  around the locus where  $f$  is isomorphic. Therefore,  $X_\ell$  satisfies the  $(R_1)$ -condition, too. We also note by [12, Lemma 0E9U] that one has  $\nu^* \omega_X \cong \omega_{X_\ell}$  if dualizing complexes are chosen suitably, here  $\nu : X_\ell \rightarrow X$  is the natural morphism. Therefore,  $X_\ell$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein.

In order to show that  $X_\ell$  is klt (resp. log canonical), we consider the following Cartesian diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Y_\ell & \xrightarrow{f_\ell} & X_\ell & \longrightarrow & \text{Spec}(\ell) \\ \downarrow \mu & & \downarrow \nu & & \downarrow \\ Y & \xrightarrow{f} & X & \longrightarrow & \text{Spec}(k) \end{array}$$

We note that  $f_\ell$  is a log resolution of  $X_\ell$  by the assumption. By Remark 2.3, it is enough to show that  $a_E(X_\ell) > -1$  (resp.  $\geq -1$ ) for every exceptional prime divisor

$E \subseteq Y_\ell$ . Let  $F := \mu(E) \subseteq Y$  be the image of  $E$  by the finite morphism  $\mu$ . Since  $F$  is an exceptional prime divisor over  $X$ , it follows from the assumption that  $F$  is smooth over  $k$ . Therefore, the coefficient of  $\mu^*F$  at  $E$  is one. Combining this with the equation

$$K_{Y_\ell/X_\ell} = \mu^*(K_{Y/X}),$$

we conclude that  $a_F(X_\ell) = a_E(X) > -1$  (resp.  $\geq -1$ ), as desired.  $\square$

**2.2. Curves.** In this paper, a curve is a (not necessarily regular) integral projective scheme  $C$  over a field  $k$  with  $\dim C = 1$ .

For a curve  $C$  over  $k$ , the *arithmetic genus*  $g(C)$  is defined by

$$g(C) := \frac{\dim_k(H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C))}{\dim_k(H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C))} \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}.$$

For a coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $C$ , we write

$$\chi(C/k, \mathcal{F}) := \dim_k(H^0(C, \mathcal{F})) - \dim_k(H^1(C, \mathcal{F}))$$

For a Weil divisor  $D = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i P_i$  on  $C$ , we define the *degree* of  $D$  over  $k$  by

$$\deg_{C/k}(D) := \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \dim_k(\kappa(P_i)) \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where  $\kappa(P_i)$  is the residue field of  $C$  at  $P_i$ . This defines the homomorphism

$$\deg_{C/k} : \text{Pic}(C) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}.$$

See [4, Subsection 1.4] for more details.

We recall the Riemann-Roch theorem on a curve.

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let  $C$  be a (not necessarily regular) curve over a field  $k$  and  $L$  be an invertible sheaf on  $C$ . Then the following hold.*

- (1)  $\chi(C/k, L) = \deg_{C/k}(L) + \chi(C/k, \mathcal{O}_C)$ .
- (2) *If we have  $H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C) = k$  and  $C$  is Gorenstein, then we have*

$$\deg_{C/k}(\omega_C) = 2g(C) - 2$$

*Proof.* The assertion in (1) follows from [4, Example 18.3.4]. For (2), it follows from Serre duality that

$$\chi(C/k, \omega_C) = -\chi(C/k, \mathcal{O}_C) = g(C) - 1$$

Then the assertion follows from (1).  $\square$

### 2.3. Surface Singularities and dual graphs.

**Definition 2.9.** We say that  $(x \in X)$  is a *normal surface singularity* if  $X = \text{Spec } R$  is an affine scheme with a dualizing complex where  $R$  is a two-dimensional excellent normal local ring and if  $x$  is the unique closed point of  $X$ .

First we recall the definition and basic properties of intersection numbers. Let  $(x \in X)$  be a normal surface singularity and  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  be a proper birational morphism from a normal integral scheme  $Y$  with the exceptional divisor  $\text{Exc}(f) = \sum_i^n E_i$ . For a Cartier divisor  $D$  on  $Y$  and an exceptional Weil divisor  $Z = \sum_i a_i E_i$  on  $Y$ , we define the intersection number  $(D \cdot Z)$  as follows:

$$(D \cdot Z) = \sum_i a_i \deg_{E_i/\kappa(x)}(\mathcal{O}_Y(D)|_{E_i}),$$

where  $\kappa(x)$  is the residue field of  $X$  at  $x$ . We say that  $D$  is  $f$ -nef if  $D \cdot E_i \geq 0$  for all  $i$ .

**Definition 2.10.** A resolution  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  of a normal surface singularity  $(x \in X)$  is called *minimal* if a canonical divisor  $K_Y$  is  $f$ -nef.

*Remark 2.11.*

- (i) A minimal resolution of a normal surface singularity exists by [6, Theorem 2.25].
- (ii) Let  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  and  $f' : Y' \rightarrow X$  be minimal resolutions and  $\mu : W \rightarrow X$  be a resolution which factors through both  $Y$  and  $Y'$ . Then it follows from [7, Theorem 3.52 (2)], combining with the rigidity lemma (c.f. [3, Lemma 1.15]), that  $Y$  is isomorphic to  $Y'$ , that is, a minimal resolution is unique up to isomorphism.

In this paper, a *graph* is a  $\mathbb{Z}^3$ -weighted undirected multigraph.

**Definition 2.12.** Let  $(x \in X)$  be a normal surface singularity,  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  be the minimal resolution with the exceptional divisor  $\text{Exc}(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n E_i$ .

- (1) A *dual graph* of  $(x \in X)$  is a graph whose set of vertexes is  $\{E_1, \dots, E_n\}$ , the number of edges between  $E_i$  and  $E_j$  is  $(E_i \cdot E_j) \in \mathbb{N}$  and the weight at  $E_i$  is  $(\dim_{\kappa(x)} H^0(E_i, \mathcal{O}_{E_i}), g(E_i), (E_i^2)) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ .
- (2) We define the *parameter*  $r$  of the dual graph of  $(x \in X)$  by

$$r := \min_i \dim_{\kappa(x)}(H^0(E_i, \mathcal{O}_{E_i})) \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}.$$

*Remark 2.13.* With the above notation, the intersection numbers  $(E_i^2)$  and  $(E_i \cdot E_j)$  are divided by  $r_i := \dim_{\kappa(x)}(H^0(E_i, \mathcal{O}_{E_i}))$ . Moreover, since  $f$  is minimal, we have the inequality  $(E_i^2) \leq -2r_i$ .


**Notation 2.14.** Let  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  be the minimal resolution of a normal surface singularity  $(x \in X)$  with the exceptional divisor  $\text{Exc}(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n E_i$ . We draw the dual graph of  $(x \in X)$  as follows:

- For each  $i$ , we represent the vertex  $E_i$  with a circle  $\bigcirc$
- Within each circle corresponding to  $E_i$ , we write the number  $-a_i := (E_i^2)$ , the number  $g_i := g(E_i)$  below the circle, and the number  $r_i := \dim_{\kappa(x)}(H^0(E_i, \mathcal{O}_{E_i}))$  above the circle.

$$\begin{array}{c} r_i \\ \bigcirc \\ -a_i \\ g_i \end{array}$$

If one has  $g_i = 0$  (resp.  $r_i = 1$ ,  $a_i = -2r_i$ ), then we omit writing  $g_i$  (resp.  $r_i$ ,  $a_i$ ).

- If the intersection number  $(E_i^2)$  is not determined, we denote it by  $\textcircled{*}$ . In other words, the symbol "∗" can take any integer divided by  $r_i$  and smaller than or equal to  $-2r_i$  (c.f. Remark 2.13).
- For each  $i \neq j$ , we draw  $e := (E_i \cdot E_j)$ -parallel lines between the circles corresponding to  $E_i$  and  $E_j$ .



We also draw as  $\textcircled{\langle e \rangle}$  if  $e \geq 4$  or if  $e$  is not an explicit number.

### 3. LEMMATA ON CURVES

In this section, we prove some results about a curve over a (not necessarily algebraically closed) field with arithmetic genus zero or one, which we will need in Section 4. Some of the results may be known to experts (even if it is not defined over an algebraically closed field), but we include their proofs here for convenience.

#### 3.1. Smoothness of geometrically integral curves.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $C$  be a curve over a field  $k$  with  $g(C) = 0$ . We assume that one of the following holds:*

- (i)  $C$  is geometrically integral over  $k$ , or
- (ii)  $C$  is Gorenstein,  $K := H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C)$  is separable over  $k$  and there exists an invertible sheaf  $L$  on  $C$  such that the integer  $\deg_{C/k}(L)/\dim_k(K)$  is an odd number.

Then  $C$  is smooth over  $k$ .

*Proof.* We first assume the assumption (ii). Noting that  $C$  has a  $K$ -scheme structure and  $\deg_{C/K}(L) = \deg_{C/k}(L)/\dim_k(K)$ , it follows from [6, Lemma 10.6 (2), (3)] that  $C$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}_K^1$ , which is smooth over  $K$ . Combining this with the separability of  $K$  over  $k$ , the assertion holds.

We next assume (i). Let  $C' := C \times_{\text{Spec}(k)} \text{Spec}(\bar{k})$  be the base change of  $C$  to the algebraic closure  $\bar{k}$  of  $k$ . By flat base change theorem, we have  $H^1(C', \mathcal{O}_{C'}) = 0$ . Therefore,  $C'$  is a curve over  $\bar{k}$  with arithmetic genus zero, that is,  $C'$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}_{\bar{k}}^1$ . Since  $C' = C \times_k \bar{k}$  is smooth over  $\bar{k}$ , it follows from [12, Lemma 02V4] that  $C$  is smooth over  $k$ .  $\square$

We next consider the singularities of curves with arithmetic genus one.

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $C$  be a geometrically integral curve over a field  $k$  with  $g(C) = 1$  and the characteristic  $\text{ch}(k)$  of  $k$  larger than 3. Then one of the following holds:*

- (1)  $C$  is smooth over  $k$ , or
- (2) there exists a non-regular point  $Q \in C$  such that  $\kappa(Q) \cong k$  and  $C \setminus \{Q\}$  is smooth over  $k$ .

*Proof. Step 1:* We will prove the assertion in the case where the following assumptions are satisfied:

- (i) There is a closed immersion  $C \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^2$  and
- (ii) there exist a  $k$ -rational regular point  $P \in C$  and a line  $\ell \subseteq \mathbb{P}_k^2$  such that the divisor  $\ell|_C$  coincides with  $3P$ .

To achieve this, we first observe that  $C$  is smooth over  $k$  around  $P$  since  $P$  is a regular point with residue field  $k$  ([12, Lemma 00TV]). Furthermore, following the proof of [5, Proposition IV.4.6], we note that the affine subscheme  $C \setminus \{P\}$  is isomorphic to

$$U := \text{Spec}(k[x, y]/(f)),$$

where  $f = ay^2 + x^3 + bx + c \in k[x, y]$  with  $a \neq 0$ . (Here, we use the assumption that  $\text{ch}(k) > 3$ .) Let  $\Delta := -4b^3 - 27c^2$  be the discriminant of the equation  $x^3 + bx + c = 0$ . It then follows from the Jacobian criterion that  $U$  is smooth if  $\Delta \neq 0$ , and otherwise, the smooth locus of  $U$  is  $U \setminus \{Q\}$ , where  $Q$  is the  $k$ -rational point  $(-\frac{3c}{2b}, 0) \in \mathbb{A}_k^2$  if  $b \neq 0$  (resp.  $(0, 0)$  otherwise). This proves the assertion in the case where  $C$  satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii).

We also note that in the case where  $\Delta = 0$ , we have  $\dim_k(\nu_*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{C}}/\mathcal{O}_C) = 1$  by explicit computation, where  $\nu : \overline{C} \rightarrow C$  is the normalization.

**Step 2:** In this step, we will prove the proposition when the curve  $C$  in the assertion satisfies the following additional condition:

- (iii) There exists a  $k$ -rational regular point  $P \in C$ .

Given that  $P$  is a Cartier divisor of  $C$ , we can infer from Lemma 2.8 that the natural inclusion

$$H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(2P)) \hookrightarrow H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(3P))$$

is not surjective, that is,  $P$  is not included in the base locus of  $\mathcal{O}_C(3P)$ . Therefore, the invertible sheaf  $L := \mathcal{O}_C(3P)$  is base point free. Consider the morphism  $\Phi : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^2$  defined by  $L$ . Let  $D \subseteq \mathbb{P}_k^2$  be the image of  $\Phi$  with the reduced structure and  $\varphi : C \rightarrow D$  be the induced morphism.

We will show that  $\varphi$  is isomorphic around  $x := \varphi(P) \in D$ . Given that  $\varphi$  is finite (c.f. [12, Lemma 02LS]) and  $D$  is reduced, it suffices to show that  $\varphi^\# : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2} \rightarrow \varphi_*\mathcal{O}_C$  is surjective at  $x$ . Since there exists a line  $\ell$  in  $\mathbb{P}_k^2$  such that  $\Phi^*(\ell) = 3P$ , the morphism  $\varphi$  is injective at  $x$ . Therefore, we have  $(\varphi_*\mathcal{O}_C)_x \cong \mathcal{O}_{C,P}$ . By the Riemann-Roch theorem (Lemma 2.8), it follows from the proof of [5, Proposition IV.3.1] that the natural map

$$\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{P}^2, x}/\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{P}^2, x}^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_{C, P}/\mathfrak{m}_{C, P}^2$$

is surjective. On the other hand, we have  $\kappa(P) \cong \kappa(x)$  since one has  $\kappa(P) \cong k$ . Therefore, it follows from [5, Lemma II.7.4] that  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2, x} \rightarrow (\varphi_*\mathcal{O}_C)_x \cong \mathcal{O}_{C, P}$  is surjective, as desired.

Since  $\varphi$  is birational and  $C$  is geometrically integral over  $k$ , so is  $D$ . Moreover, we have  $g(D) = 1$  by the projection formula that

$$\deg_{D/k}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)) = \deg_{C/k}(L) = 3.$$

Therefore, by Step 1, either  $D$  is smooth or there exists a  $k$ -rational singular point  $Q \in D$  such that  $D$  is smooth outside  $Q$ . In the former case, the finite birational



morphism  $\varphi$  is isomorphism and  $C$  is smooth over  $k$ , as desired. In the latter case, let  $\nu : \overline{D} \rightarrow D$  be the normalization. Since  $\varphi$  factors through  $\nu$ , we have the inclusion

$$\mathcal{O}_D \subseteq \varphi_* \mathcal{O}_C \subseteq \nu_* \mathcal{O}_{\overline{D}}.$$

From this, combined with the equation  $\dim_k(\nu_* \mathcal{O}_{\overline{D}}/\mathcal{O}_D) = 1$  in Step. 1, it follows that  $C$  is isomorphic to either  $D$  or  $\overline{D}$ . Noting that  $\overline{D}$  is a geometrically integral curve with genus zero, we can conclude from Lemma 3.1 that  $\overline{D}$  is smooth over  $k$ . This proves the assertion in the case where  $C$  satisfies the assumption (iii).

**Step 3** In this step, we will show the assertion in general case. Consider the base change  $C' := C \times_{\text{Spec}(k)} \text{Spec}(K(C))$  of  $C$  to the function field  $K(C)$ . Let  $U \subseteq C$  be the smooth locus of  $C$  over  $k$ . It follows from [12, Lemma 02V4] that the pullback  $p^{-1}(U)$  of  $U$  by the first projection  $p : C' \rightarrow C$  coincides with the smooth locus of  $C'$  over  $K(C)$ .

Additionally, as per Remark 2.5,  $C'$  is geometrically integral over  $K(C)$ . Since the natural morphism  $\text{Spec}(K(C)) \rightarrow C'$  defines a  $K(C)$ -rational regular point of  $C'$ , we conclude from Step 2 that either  $C'$  is smooth over  $K(C)$ , or there exists a  $K(C)$ -rational singular point  $Q' \in C'$  such that  $C' \setminus \{Q'\}$  is smooth over  $K(C)$ .

In the former case, since  $p$  is surjective and  $p^{-1}(U) = C'$ , we have  $U = C$ , indicating that  $C$  is smooth over  $k$ . In the latter case, by the equation  $p^{-1}(U) = C' \setminus \{Q'\}$ , we deduce that  $C \setminus U$  consists of a single point  $Q$  and  $p^{-1}(Q) = \{Q'\}$  as a set. Observing that  $K(C)$  is separable over  $k$ , the Artin ring  $\kappa(Q) \otimes_k K(C)$  is reduced. Consequently, we obtain the isomorphism

$$\kappa(Q) \otimes_k K(C) \cong \kappa(Q') \cong K(C)$$

as  $K(C)$ -algebra. By counting the dimension as  $K(C)$ -vector space, we conclude that  $\kappa(Q) \cong k$ , as desired.  $\square$

**3.2. Geometric reducedness of curves.** In this subsection, we give sufficient conditions for curves with genus zero or one to be geometrically reduced. We first consider the genus zero case.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $C$  be a curve over a field  $k$  with  $\text{ch}(k) > 2$  and  $g(C) = 0$ . We further assume that  $C$  is Gorenstein and the field  $H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C)$  is separable over  $k$ . Then  $C$  is geometrically reduced over  $k$ .*

*Proof.* After replacing  $k$  by  $H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C)$ , we may assume that  $H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C) = k$ . By [6, Lemma 10.6 (3)],  $C$  is isomorphic to a conic in  $\mathbb{P}_k^2$ . If  $C$  is not geometrically reduced, then there exists a purely inseparable finite field extension  $\ell$  of  $k$  such that the pullback  $\mu^*C$  of the divisor  $C$  by the natural morphism  $\mu : \mathbb{P}_\ell^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^2$  has a coefficient divided by  $p := \text{ch}(k)$ . Since  $p > 2$ , this is a contradiction to the fact that  $\mu^*C$  is linearly equivalent to  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_\ell^2}(2)$ .  $\square$

We next consider the genus one case.

**Definition 3.4.** A local ring  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  is a *node* if there exists an isomorphism

$$A \cong R/(f)$$

of rings where  $(R, \mathfrak{n})$  is a regular local ring of dimension 2 and  $f \in \mathfrak{n}^2$  is an element such that  $\overline{uf} \in R/\mathfrak{n}^3$  is not a square in the ring  $R/\mathfrak{n}^3$  for every unit  $u \in R$ .

*Remark 3.5.* Let  $A := R/(f)$  be a node which is an integral domain and  $\overline{A}$  be the integral closure of  $A$ . Since the order of  $f$  is two, if we take a (sufficiently general) generator  $x, y$  of the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}_A$ , then one has

$$ux^2 + vxy + wy^2 = 0$$

for some  $u, v, w \in A$  with  $u$  a unit. Then the following hold:

- (1)  $\overline{A} = A[x/y]$ .
- (2) The extension ideal  $\mathfrak{m}_A \cdot \overline{A}$  coincides with  $\mathfrak{m}_A$  itself.
- (3) The quotient ring  $\overline{A}/\mathfrak{m}_A$  is isomorphic to  $\kappa \times \kappa$  or a field extension  $\ell$  with  $[\ell : \kappa] = 2$ , where  $\kappa := A/\mathfrak{m}_A$  is the residue field of  $A$ .

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $(A, \mathfrak{m}_A, \kappa)$  be a Noetherian local domain which is a node and  $a \in \text{Frac}(A)$  be an element in the fraction field  $\text{Frac}(A)$  of  $A$ . We assume that the characteristic  $p := \text{ch}(\kappa)$  of  $\kappa$  is larger than 2. If we have  $a^p \in A$  then one has  $a \in A$ .*

*Proof.* We first note that the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & \overline{A} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \kappa & \longrightarrow & \overline{A}/(\mathfrak{m}_A \cdot \overline{A}) \end{array}$$

is a Cartesian diagram of  $A$ -modules since the kernels of vertical maps are isomorphic. By Remark 3.5, the quotient ring  $\overline{A}/(\mathfrak{m}_A \cdot \overline{A})$  is isomorphic to  $\kappa \times \kappa$  or a separable field extension  $\ell$  of  $\kappa$ .

In the first case, it follows from the above Cartesian diagram that

$$A \cong \{x \in \overline{A} \mid p_1(x) = p_2(x) \in \kappa\},$$

where  $p_i : \overline{A} \rightarrow \kappa$  is the projection to the  $i$ -th component. Since we have  $a^p \in A$ , one has  $p_1(a^p) = p_2(a^p) \in \kappa$ . Therefore, we have  $p_1(a)^p = p_2(a)^p \in \kappa$ . This proves that  $p_1(a) = p_2(a) \in \kappa$ , and hence  $a \in A$ .

In the latter case, we have

$$A = \{x \in \overline{A} \mid \text{the image } \overline{x} \in \ell = \overline{A}/(\mathfrak{m}_A \cdot \overline{A}) \text{ of } x \text{ is contained in the subfield } \kappa\}.$$

Since we have  $a^p \in A$ , the element  $\overline{a^p} \in \ell$  is contained in  $\kappa$ . Combining this with the separability of the extension  $\ell \supseteq \kappa$ , we conclude that  $\overline{a} \in \kappa$ , which proves the assertion.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.7.** *Let  $C$  be a curve over a field  $k$  with  $\text{ch}(k) > 2$  and  $H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C) = k$ . Assume that  $\mathcal{O}_{C,P}$  is regular or node for every closed point  $P \in C$ . Then the following hold.*

- (1) *There exists no inseparable finite extension of  $k$  contained in the function field  $K(C)$ .*

- (2) *We further assume that  $k$  is a finitely generated field extension of a perfect field  $k_0$  such that the transcendental degree  $\text{tr.deg}_{k_0} k$  of  $k$  over  $k_0$  is 1. Then  $C$  is geometrically reduced over  $k$ .*

*Proof.* (1) Assume that the assertion is not true. Then there exists an element  $a \in K(C)$  such that  $a \in k^{1/p} \setminus k$ . Since  $C$  is integral, we may consider  $\mathcal{O}_{C,P}$  as a subrings of  $K(C)$  for every closed point  $P$ . Then it follows from the sheaf condition for  $\mathcal{O}_C$  that we have the equation

$$H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C) = \bigcap_{P \in C} \mathcal{O}_{C,P}.$$

Therefore, there exists a closed point  $P \in C$  such that  $a \notin \mathcal{O}_{C,P}$  and  $a^p \in \mathcal{O}_{C,P}$ . If  $P$  is a regular point, then this is a contradiction because  $\mathcal{O}_{C,P}$  is integrally closed. Otherwise, it is also a contradiction by Lemma 3.6.

For (2), it suffices to show that  $K(C)$  is separable over  $k$ . This follows from (1) and (the proof of) [1, Lemma 7.2].  $\square$

**Corollary 3.8.** *Let  $C$  be a curve over a field  $k$  with  $H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C) = k$ ,  $g(C) = 1$  and  $\text{ch}(k) > 2$ . We further assume that  $C$  is not regular, but every non-regular point is a node. Then  $C$  is geometrically reduced over  $k$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\nu : \overline{C} \rightarrow C$  be the normalization of  $C$ . We set  $\ell := H^0(\overline{C}, \mathcal{O}_{\overline{C}})$  and  $\mathcal{F} := (\nu_* \mathcal{O}_{\overline{C}}) / \mathcal{O}_C$ . By taking the global section of the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \nu_* \mathcal{O}_{\overline{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0,$$

we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow k \rightarrow \ell \rightarrow H^0(C, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow k \rightarrow H^1(\overline{C}, \mathcal{O}_{\overline{C}}) \rightarrow 0$$

of  $k$ -modules. By counting dimension, we have

$$[\ell : k] = \dim_k H^0(C, \mathcal{F}) + [\ell : k] \dim_\ell (H^1(\overline{C}, \mathcal{O}_{\overline{C}})).$$

Since we have  $H^0(C, \mathcal{F}) \neq 0$ , we conclude that  $H^1(\overline{C}, \mathcal{O}_{\overline{C}}) = 0$ . On the other hand,  $\ell$  is separable over  $k$  by Proposition 3.7 (1). Therefore, it follows from Lemma 3.3 that  $\overline{C}$  is geometrically reduced over  $k$ , which implies the assertion in the corollary.  $\square$

#### 4. GEOMETRICALLY LOG CANONICAL (RESP. GEOMETRICALLY KLT) SINGULARITIES

In this section, we will give sufficient conditions for normal surface singularities to be geometrically log canonical (resp. geometrically klt).

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $(x \in X)$  be a normal surface singularity,  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  be a proper birational morphism from an integral scheme  $Y$  and  $\text{Exc}(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n E_i$  be the irreducible decomposition of the exceptional locus.

- (1) We say that  $f$  satisfies the *(\*)-condition* if
- (a)  $E_i$  is a smooth curve over  $\kappa(x)$  for every  $i$ , and
  - (b) the scheme theoretic intersection  $E_i \cap E_j$  is smooth over  $\kappa(x)$  for every  $i \neq j$ .

- (2) We say that  $f$  satisfies the  $(**)$ -condition if there exists an étale surjective morphism  $\varphi : X' \rightarrow X$  from a scheme  $X'$  such that the base change  $f'_{x'} : Y \times_X \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X',x'}) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X',x'})$  of  $f$  satisfies the  $(*)$ -condition for every closed point  $x' \in X'$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $X$  be a 2-dimensional normal variety over a field  $k$ . We assume that the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i)  $X$  is smooth over  $k$  outside a finite closed points  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ .
- (ii) For every  $i$ , the residue field  $\kappa(x_i)$  of  $X$  at  $x_i$  is separable over  $k$ .
- (iii) For every  $i$ , there exists a resolution  $f_i : Y_i \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x_i})$  which satisfies the  $(**)$ -condition.

If  $X$  is log canonical (resp. klt), then  $X$  is geometrically log canonical (resp. geometrically klt) over  $k$ .

*Proof.* After shrinking  $X$ , we may assume that  $X$  is smooth over  $k$  outside a single closed point  $x \in X$ . Moreover, we may assume that there exists a resolution  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  which is isomorphic outside  $x$  and whose restriction to  $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x})$  satisfies the  $(**)$ -condition. It then follows from the definition of  $(**)$ -condition that there exist an étale morphism  $\varphi : X' \rightarrow X$  from a normal variety  $X'$  such that  $\varphi^{-1}(x)$  is non-empty and the base change  $f' : Y' := Y \times_X X' \rightarrow X'$  of  $f$  satisfies the  $(*)$ -condition after restricting to  $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X',x'})$  for every point  $x' \in \varphi^{-1}(x)$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y' & \xrightarrow{\psi} & Y \\ \downarrow f' & & \downarrow f \\ X' & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & X \end{array}$$

Since the morphism  $\psi : Y' \rightarrow Y$  is an étale morphism, the morphism  $f'$  is again a resolution of singularities and every coefficient of  $K_{Y'/X'} = \psi^*(K_{Y/X})$  (c.f. [11, Lemma 2.6]) is at least (resp. larger than)  $-1$ . On the other hand, since  $\kappa(x')$  is separable over  $k$  and  $f'$  satisfies the  $(*)$ -condition after restricting to  $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X',x'})$ , we conclude that the pair  $(Y', \text{Exc}(f'))$  is relatively SNC over  $k$ . Therefore, it follows from Lemma 2.7 that  $X'$  is geometrically log canonical (resp. geometrically klt) over  $k$ , that is,  $X' \times_k \ell$  is log canonical (resp. klt) for every finite extension  $\ell$  of  $k$ . Then the assertion in the lemma follows by applying [11, Lemma 2.6] to the étale morphism  $\varphi \times_k \ell : X' \times_k \ell \rightarrow X \times_k \ell$ .  $\square$

The following lemma is used in Section 5.

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $\mu : Z \rightarrow X$  be a flat surjective morphism between normal surface singularities ( $z \in Z$ ) and ( $x \in X$ ). If a log resolution  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  satisfies the  $(*)$ -condition (resp.  $(**)$ -condition), then so is the base change  $g : W := Y \times_X Z \rightarrow Z$  of  $f$ .*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W & \xrightarrow{\nu} & Y \\ \downarrow g & & \downarrow f \\ Z & \xrightarrow{\mu} & X \end{array}$$

*Proof.* We first assume that  $f$  satisfies the  $(*)$ -condition and will show that  $g$  satisfies the same condition. Since every generic point of  $W$  maps to the generic point of  $Y$ , we can show that  $W$  is integral. On the other hand, given that the scheme theoretic inverse image  $\nu^{-1}(C) \subseteq W$  of any closed subscheme  $C \subseteq Y$  contained in  $f^{-1}(x)$  is isomorphic to  $C \times_{\kappa(x)} \kappa(z)$ , every stratum of  $\text{Exc}(g)$  with reduced structure is a connected component of the base change  $S \times_{\kappa(x)} \kappa(z)$  of some stratum  $S$  of  $\text{Exc}(f)$ , which is smooth over  $\kappa(x)$  by the assumption. Therefore,  $g$  satisfies the  $(*)$ -condition, too.

We next assume that  $f$  satisfies the  $(**)$ -condition. Let  $\varphi : X' \rightarrow X$  be an étale surjective morphism such that the base change  $f' : Y' \rightarrow X'$  of  $f$  satisfies the  $(*)$ -condition after restricting to  $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X',x'})$  for every closed point  $x'$ . We set  $Z' := X' \times_X Z$  and let  $g' : W' := W \times_Z Z' \rightarrow Z'$  be the base change of  $g$ . Since the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W' & \longrightarrow & Y' \\ \downarrow g' & & \downarrow f' \\ Z' & \longrightarrow & X' \end{array}$$

is Cartesian, it follows from the fast half of this proof that  $g'$  satisfies the  $(*)$ -condition after restricting to  $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{Z',z'})$  for every closed point  $z' \in Z'$ , as desired.  $\square$

**4.1. Rational singularities.** In this subsection, we give a sufficient condition for 2-dimensional log canonical and rational singularities to satisfy the  $(**)$ -condition.

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $(x \in X)$  be a normal surface singularity and  $\ell$  be a finite separable field extension of the residue field  $\kappa := \kappa(x)$ . Then there exists an étale surjective morphism  $\varphi : X' \rightarrow X$  from a normal surface singularity  $(x' \in X')$  such that the residue field  $\kappa(x')$  is isomorphic to  $\ell$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $R$  be the structure ring of  $X$  and  $\mathfrak{m}$  be the maximal ideal of  $R$ . Since  $\ell$  is finite separable extension of  $\kappa$ , there exists a monic polynomial  $g(t) \in \kappa[t]$  such that  $\ell \cong \kappa[t]/(g)$ . Take a monic polynomial  $G(t) \in R[t]$  whose image to  $\kappa[t]$  corresponds to  $g(t)$  and we set  $B := R[t]/(G)$ . Then the natural morphism  $\varphi : X' := \text{Spec}(B) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$  is a desired morphism.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.5.** *Let  $(x \in X)$  be a normal surface singularity. We assume that the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i) *the characteristic  $\text{ch}(\kappa(x))$  of the residue field  $\kappa(x)$  satisfies  $\text{ch}(\kappa(x)) > 3$ , and*
- (ii)  *$(x \in X)$  is a log canonical and rational singularity,*

*Then the minimal resolution  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  satisfies the  $(**)$ -condition.*

*Proof.* Let  $\text{Exc}(f) = \sum_{i=1}^m E_i$  be the irreducible decomposition of the exceptional locus  $\text{Exc}(f)$ . We consider  $E_i$  as the reduced closed subscheme of  $Y$ .

Take a separable finite extension  $\ell$  of  $\kappa(x)$  such that every irreducible component of the base change  $E_i \times_{\kappa(x)} \ell$  is geometrically irreducible over  $\ell$  for every  $i$ . By Lemma 4.4, there exists an étale surjective morphism  $\varphi : X' \rightarrow X$  from a normal surface singularity  $(x' \in X')$  with the residue field  $\ell$ . We note that the base change  $f' : Y' := Y \times_X X' \rightarrow$

$X'$  of  $f$  is again the minimal resolution since  $K_{Y'/X'}$  is the pullback of  $K_{Y/X}$  (c.f. [11, Lemma 2.6]). Therefore, by replacing  $X$  by  $X'$ , we may assume that every irreducible component of  $\text{Exc}(f)$  is geometrically irreducible.

On the other hand, It follows from the classification of the dual graph (Theorem A.3 (1) and (2) below) that we have  $g(E_i) = 0$  and  $r_i := \dim_{\kappa(x)}(H^0(E_i, \mathcal{O}_{E_i})) \leq 4$  for all  $i$ . In particular, each  $E_i$  is smooth over  $\kappa(x)$  by Lemma 3.1 (i) and Lemma 3.3.

We next show that the scheme theoretic intersection  $E_i \cap E_j$  is smooth over  $\kappa(x)$  for every  $i \neq j$ . It follows from the classification that  $(E_i \cdot E_j)$  is either 0 or  $\max\{r_i, r_j\}$ . We may assume that  $(E_i \cdot E_j) = r_i$ . Combining the equation

$$r_i = (E_i \cdot E_j) = \sum_{P \in E_i \cap E_j} \dim_{\kappa(x)}(\mathcal{O}_{E_i \cap E_j, P})$$

with the fact that  $\mathcal{O}_{E_i \cap E_j, P}$  is an algebra over the field  $K_i := H^0(E_i, \mathcal{O}_{E_i})$  whose degree over  $\kappa(x)$  is  $r_i$ , the scheme theoretic intersection  $E_i \cap E_j$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Spec}(K_i)$ . Since the extension degree  $r_i$  of  $K_i$  over  $\kappa(x)$  is not divisible by  $\text{ch}(\kappa(x))$ , the scheme theoretic intersection  $E_i \cap E_j$  is smooth over  $\kappa(x)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.6.** *Let  $X$  be a 2-dimensional geometrically normal variety over a field  $k$  of characteristic  $p > 3$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$  be the non-smooth points of  $X$  over  $k$ . Suppose that  $X$  is klt at  $x_i$  and the residue field  $\kappa(x_i)$  of  $X$  at  $x_i$  is separable over  $k$  for every  $i$ . Then  $X$  is geometrically klt over  $k$ .*


*Proof.* This follows from Lemma 4.2 and Proposition 4.5.  $\square$

**Example 4.7.** In Corollary 4.6, the assumption that  $p$  is larger than 3 is optimal. We give counter-examples in characteristic 2 and 3

- (i) Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic 2 and  $a \in k$  be an element with  $\sqrt{a} \notin k$ . Then the normal surface

$$X := \text{Spec}(k[x, y, z]/(z^2 = x^3 + ay^4 + y^7))$$

is smooth over  $k$  outside the origin  $P := (0, 0, 0) \in X$  and is klt at  $x$  because the dual graph of  $(P \in X)$  is




which appears in the classification (Figure 1) of numerically klt graphs. However, the base change  $X_\ell := X \times_k \ell$  of  $X$  to  $\ell := k(\sqrt{a})$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Spec}(\ell[x, y, z]/(z^2 = x^3 + y^7))$ , which is not klt.

- (ii) Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic 3 and  $a \in k$  be an element with  $\sqrt[3]{a} \notin k$ . Then the normal surface

$$X := \text{Spec}(k[x, y, z]/(z^2 = x^3 + ay^3 + y^7))$$

is smooth over  $k$  outside the origin  $P := (0, 0, 0) \in X$  and is klt at  $x$  because the dual graph of  $(P \in X)$  is



which appears in the classification (Figure 1) of numerically klt graphs. However, the base change  $X_\ell := X \times_k \ell$  of  $X$  to  $\ell := k(\sqrt[3]{a})$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Spec}(\ell[x, y, z]/(z^2 = x^3 + y^7))$ , which is not klt.

**4.2. (Twisted) cusp singularities.** In this subsection, we give a sufficient condition for (twisted) cusp singularities to satisfy the (\*\*)-condition.

**Lemma 4.8.** *Let  $Y$  be a Noetherian integral scheme with  $\dim Y = 2$  and  $Z, E$  be reduced Weil divisors on  $Y$  with no common component. We write  $Z \cap E = \{P_1, \dots, P_n\}$ . We assume that the pair  $(Y, Z + E)$  is SNC around  $P_i$  for every  $i$ . Then the ring  $H^0(Z + E, \mathcal{O}_{Z+E})$  is naturally isomorphic to the subring*

$$\{(s, t) \in H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z) \times H^0(E, \mathcal{O}_E) \mid s(P_i) = t(P_i) \in \kappa(P_i), \forall i\}$$

of  $H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z) \times H^0(E, \mathcal{O}_E)$ , where  $s(P_i)$  (resp.  $t(P_i)$ ) is the image of  $s$  (resp.  $t$ ) by the natural morphism from  $H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$  (resp.  $H^0(E, \mathcal{O}_E)$ ) to the residue field  $\kappa(P_i)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f_i$  and  $g_i$  be the natural surjections

$$f_i : \mathcal{O}_{Z+E, P_i} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Z, P_i} \quad \text{and} \quad g_i : \mathcal{O}_{Z+E, P_i} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{E, P_i}$$

for every  $i$ . We first show that the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^0(Z + E, \mathcal{O}_{Z+E}) & \longrightarrow & H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z) \times H^0(E, \mathcal{O}_E) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \prod_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}_{Z+E, P_i} & \xrightarrow{\prod_i (f_i \times g_i)} & \prod_{i=1}^n (\mathcal{O}_{Z, P_i} \times \mathcal{O}_{E, P_i}) \end{array} \quad (4.1)$$

is Cartesian, in other words,  $H^0(Z + E, \mathcal{O}_{Z+E})$  is naturally isomorphic to the subring

$$A := \{(s, t, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in B \mid \forall i, s_{P_i} = f_i(\alpha_i) \text{ and } t_{P_i} = g_i(\alpha_i)\}$$

of the ring  $B := H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z) \times H^0(E, \mathcal{O}_E) \times \prod_i \mathcal{O}_{Z+E, P_i}$ , where  $s_{P_i}$  (resp.  $t_{P_i}$ ) is the stalk of  $s$  (resp.  $t$ ) at  $P_i$ . Let  $\varphi : H^0(Z + E, \mathcal{O}_{Z+E}) \rightarrow B$  be the natural morphism. Since  $\varphi$  is obviously injective, it is enough to show that  $\text{Im}(\varphi) = A$ . Take an element  $(s, t, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in A$ . Let  $Z^\circ$  and  $E^\circ$  be the open subschemes of  $Z + E$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} Z^\circ &:= Z \setminus \{P_1, \dots, P_n\}, \quad \text{and} \\ E^\circ &:= E \setminus \{P_1, \dots, P_n\}. \end{aligned}$$

We write  $s^\circ$  (resp.  $t^\circ$ ) as the restriction of  $s$  (resp.  $t$ ) to  $H^0(Z^\circ, \mathcal{O}_Z) \cong H^0(Z^\circ, \mathcal{O}_{Z+E})$  (resp.  $H^0(E^\circ, \mathcal{O}_E) \cong H^0(E^\circ, \mathcal{O}_{Z+E})$ ). For every  $i$ , take an open neighborhood  $U_i \subseteq Z + E$  of  $P_i$  and a section  $u_i \in H^0(U_i, \mathcal{O}_{Z+E})$  whose stalk at  $P_i$  coincides with  $\alpha_i$ . Since we have  $s_{P_i} = f_i(\alpha_i) = (u_i|_Z)_{P_i}$ , after shrinking  $U_i$ , the restrictions of  $s$  and  $u_i$  to  $U_i \cap Z$  coincide. Therefore, we have the equation

$$s^\circ|_{U_i \cap Z^\circ} = u_i|_{U_i \cap Z^\circ} \in H^0(U_i \cap Z^\circ, \mathcal{O}_{Z+E}).$$

Similarly, after shrinking  $U_i$ , we have

$$t^\circ|_{U_i \cap E^\circ} = u_i|_{U_i \cap E^\circ} \in H^0(U_i \cap E^\circ, \mathcal{O}_{Z+E})$$

for every  $i$ . Since  $Z + E = Z^\circ \cup E^\circ \cup U_1 \cup \cdots \cup U_n$  is an open immersion, the local sections  $s^\circ, t^\circ, u_1, \dots, u_n$  patch together to give a global section  $u \in H^0(Z + E, \mathcal{O}_{Z+E})$  as desired.

We next show that for every  $i$ , the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_{Z+E, P_i} & \xrightarrow{f_i \times g_i} & \mathcal{O}_{Z, P_i} \times \mathcal{O}_{E, P_i} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \kappa(P_i) & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & \kappa(P_i) \times \kappa(P_i) \end{array} \quad (4.2)$$

is Cartesian, where the vertical maps are the natural surjections and  $\Delta : \kappa(P_i) \rightarrow \kappa(P_i) \times \kappa(P_i)$  is the diagonal map. By the assumption,  $R := \mathcal{O}_{Y, P_i}$  is a two-dimensional regular local ring and if  $x \in R$  and  $y \in R$  are defining equations of  $Z$  and  $E$  at  $P_i$ , respectively, then  $x, y$  is a regular system of parameter of  $R$ . Since we have  $\mathcal{O}_{Z+E, P_i} = R/(xy)$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_{Z, P_i} = R/(x)$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{E, P_i} = R/(y)$ , it is straightforward to verify that the diagram (4.2) is Cartesian.


By combining Cartesian diagrams (4.1) and (4.2), we obtain the Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^0(Z + E, \mathcal{O}_{Z+E}) & \longrightarrow & H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z) \times H^0(E, \mathcal{O}_E) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \prod_{i=1}^n \kappa(P_i) & \xrightarrow{\prod_{i=1}^n \Delta} & \prod_{i=1}^n (\kappa(P_i) \times \kappa(P_i)) \end{array}$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.9.** *Let  $(x \in X)$  be a normal surface singularity. We assume that the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i)  $(x \in X)$  is log canonical, and
- (ii) the dual graph of  $(x \in X)$  is a cusp with parameter  $r \geq 1$  and length  $n \geq 3$ , that is, the dual graph is



where the number of vertices is  $n \geq 3$ . (c.f. Notation 2.14).

Then  $H^0(E_i, \mathcal{O}_{E_i})$  is a cyclic extension of  $\kappa(x)$  for every vertex  $E_i$ . In particular, the minimal resolution  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  satisfies the  $(*)$ -condition.

*Proof.* Let  $\text{Exc}(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n E_i$  be the irreducible decomposition and we write  $K_i := H^0(E_i, \mathcal{O}_{E_i})$ . After renumbering, We may assume that  $E_i \cap E_{i+1}$  is non-empty for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , where we set  $E_{n+1} := E_1$ . We note that in the case where  $n \geq 4$ , it follows from the shape of the dual graph that  $E_i \cap E_j \cap E_k$  is empty for every  $i < j < k$ . The same holds even in the case where  $n = 3$ , because  $(x \in X)$  is log canonical.



On the other hand, for every  $i$ , it follows from the equation  $(E_i \cdot E_{i+1}) = [K_i : \kappa(x)] = [K_{i+1} : \kappa(x)] = r$  that the following properties hold:

- (1)  $E_i$  and  $E_{i+1}$  intersects transversally at a single point  $P_i$ ,
- (2) the natural morphism  $f_i : K_i = H^0(E_i, \mathcal{O}_{E_i}) \rightarrow \kappa(P_i)$  is isomorphic, and
- (3) the natural morphism  $g_i : K_{i+1} = H^0(E_{i+1}, \mathcal{O}_{E_{i+1}}) \rightarrow \kappa(P_i)$  is isomorphic, where we set  $K_{n+1} := K_1$ .

We write  $Z := E_1 + \cdots + E_{n-1} = E - E_n$ . By repeatedly applying Lemma 4.8, the natural restriction morphism  $h_i : H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z) \rightarrow H^0(E_i, \mathcal{O}_{E_i}) = K_i$  is isomorphic for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ . It again follows from Lemma 4.8 that we have the isomorphism

$$H^0(E, \mathcal{O}_E) \cong \{(s, t) \in H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z) \times K_n \mid f_{n-1}(h_{n-1}(s)) = g_{n-1}(t), g_n(h_1(s)) = f_n(t)\}.$$


as  $\kappa(x)$ -algebras. Since  $f_i, g_i, h_i$  are isomorphic, this  $\kappa(x)$ -algebra is isomorphic to the invariant subring  $H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)^\sigma$  of  $H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$ , where the isomorphism  $\sigma : H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$  of  $\kappa(x)$ -algebras is the the composite map

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z) & \xrightarrow{h_1} & K_1 & & K_n & \xrightarrow{(h_{n-1})^{-1}} & K_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{(h_{n-1})^{-1}} & H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z). \\ & & \searrow^{g_n} & \nearrow^{(f_n)^{-1}} & \searrow^{g_{n-1}} & \nearrow^{(f_{n-1})^{-1}} & & & \\ & & \kappa(P_n) & & \kappa(P_{n-1}) & & & & \end{array}$$

Therefore,  $H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$  is a cyclic extension of  $H^0(E, \mathcal{O}_E)$ . Since  $H^0(E, \mathcal{O}_E)$  is isomorphic to  $\kappa(x)$  by [6, Corollary 10.10], we obtain the first assertion. We now apply Lemma 3.1 (ii) to obtain the second assertion.  $\square$

*Remark 4.10.* With the notation above, we further assume that  $r \neq 1$ . Since  $K_i := H^0(E_i, \mathcal{O}_{E_i})$  is isomorphic to  $K_1$  and  $K_i$  is a Galois extension of degree  $r$  over  $\kappa(x)$ , we have the isomorphism  $K_i \otimes_{\kappa(x)} K_1 \cong K_1^r$ . Therefore, the following property hold.

- (1)  $E_i \times_{\kappa(x)} K_1 \cong \coprod_{i=1}^r \mathbb{P}_{K_1}^1$ .
- (2) Let  $\varphi : X' \rightarrow X$  be an étale morphism from a normal surface singularity ( $x' \in X'$ ) whose residue field  $\kappa(x')$  is isomorphic to  $K_1$  (Lemma 4.4). Then the dual graph of  $(x' \in X')$  is a cusp with parameter 1 and length  $rn$ :



**Proposition 4.11.** *Let  $(x \in X)$  be a normal surface singularity. We assume that the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i)  $\text{ch}(\kappa(x)) > 2$ ,
- (ii)  $(x \in X)$  is log canonical, and

- (iii) the dual graph of  $(x \in X)$  is a twisted cusp with parameter  $r \geq 1$  and length  $n \geq 3$ , that is, the dual graph is

$$\begin{array}{c} r & & 2r & & 2r & & \dots & & 2r & & 2r & & r \\ \circ & \langle 2r \rangle & \circ & \langle 2r \rangle & \circ & \langle 2r \rangle & \dots & \langle 2r \rangle & \circ & \langle 2r \rangle & \circ & \langle 2r \rangle & \circ \\ * & & * & & * & & & & * & & * & & * \end{array},$$

where the number of vertices is  $n \geq 3$ . (c.f. Notation 2.14).

Then there is an étale morphism  $\varphi : X' \rightarrow X$  from a normal surface singularity  $(x' \in X')$  whose dual graph is a cusp with parameter 1:

$$\begin{array}{c} 1 & & & & 1 \\ \circ & \dots & \dots & \dots & \circ \\ * & & & & * \\ 1 & & & & 1 \\ \circ & & & & \circ \\ * & & & & * \\ 1 & & & & 1 \\ \circ & \dots & \dots & \dots & \circ \\ * & & & & * \end{array},$$

In particular, the minimal resolution  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  satisfies the  $(**)$ -condition.

*Proof.* As in the proof of Proposition 4.5, we can take an étale morphism  $\varphi : X' \rightarrow X$  from a normal surface singularity  $(x' \in X')$  such that every exceptional prime divisor of the minimal resolution of  $X'$  is geometrically irreducible over  $\kappa(x')$ . It is enough to show that the dual graph  $\Gamma$  of  $(x' \in X')$  is a cusp with parameter 1.

We first note that  $(x' \in X')$  is again log canonical and not rational since  $\varphi$  is étale. Moreover, since the base change  $f' : Y' \rightarrow X'$  of  $f$  is the minimal resolution of  $(x' \in X')$ , the number of the vertexes of  $\Gamma$  is at least three. Therefore, it follows from the classification (Theorem A.3 (3) below) that  $\Gamma$  is either a cusp with length  $\leq 3$  or a twisted cusp with length  $\leq 3$ . In the former case, since every exceptional prime divisor in  $Y'$  is geometrically irreducible, the parameter is one by Remark 4.10.

In the latter case, take an exceptional prime divisor  $E_i \subseteq Y'$  such that the extension degree  $[H^0(E_i, \mathcal{O}_{E_i}) : \kappa(x')]$  is divisible by two. It then follows from the assumption  $\text{ch}(\kappa(x)) \neq 2$  that  $H^0(E_i, \mathcal{O}_{E_i})$  is not purely inseparable over  $\kappa(x')$ . Therefore,  $E_i$  is not geometrically connected over  $\kappa(x')$ , which is a contradiction to the choice of  $\varphi$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.12.** *Let  $(x \in X)$  be a normal surface singularity. We assume the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i)  $\text{ch}(\kappa(x)) > 2$ ,
- (ii)  $(x \in X)$  is log canonical, and
- (iii) the dual graph of  $(x \in X)$  is a cusp with parameter  $r \geq 1$  and length 2, that is, the dual graph is

$$\begin{array}{c} r & & r \\ \circ & \langle 2r \rangle & \circ \end{array}.$$

Then the minimal resolution  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  satisfies the  $(**)$ -condition.

*Proof.* Let  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  be a minimal resolution and  $\text{Exc}(f) = E_1 \cup E_2$  be the irreducible decomposition. Since we have

$$2r = (E_1 \cdot E_2) = \sum_{P \in E_1 \cap E_2} \dim_{\kappa(x)} \mathcal{O}_{E_1 \cap E_2, P}$$

and  $\dim_{\kappa(x)} \mathcal{O}_{E_1 \cap E_2, P}$  is divisible by  $r$ , one of the following holds:

- (1)  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  transversally intersects at two points  $P, Q$  such that

$$[\kappa(P) : \kappa(x)] = [\kappa(Q) : \kappa(x)] = r.$$

- (2)  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  transversally intersects at a single point  $P$  such that

$$[\kappa(P) : \kappa(x)] = 2r.$$

- (3)  $E_1 \cap E_2$  is a single point  $P$  such that

$$[\kappa(P) : \kappa(x)] = r$$

and the scheme theoretic intersection  $E_1 \cap E_2$  is non-reduced.

In the first case, the proof is similar to that of Proposition 4.9. In the second case, the assertion follows from the similar argument as in Proposition 4.11.

We consider the third case. Since we have  $K_Y + (E_1 + E_2) = f^*K_X$  (c.f. Theorem A.3 (3) below), the pair  $(Y, E_1 + E_2)$  is log canonical at  $P$ . Therefore, it follows from [6, Theorem 2.31] that the pair  $(Y, E_1 + E_2)$  is SNC at  $P$ , which is a contradiction since  $E_1 \cap E_2$  is non-reduced.  $\square$

**4.3. Simple elliptic singularities.** In this subsection, we give a sufficient condition for simple elliptic singularities to satisfy the (\*\*)-condition. We recall that a normal surface singularity is *simple elliptic* if the dual graph is the following:



**Definition 4.13.** Let  $(x \in X)$  be a simple elliptic singularity and  $E$  be the exceptional prime divisor of the minimal resolution. We say that  $(x \in X)$  is *simple regular elliptic* if  $E$  is regular, and is *simple nodal elliptic* otherwise.

**Proposition 4.14.** *Let  $(x \in X)$  be a normal surface singularity. We assume the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i)  $\text{ch}(\kappa(x)) > 3$ ,
- (ii)  $(x \in X)$  is log canonical and simple nodal elliptic.

*Then  $X$  admits a resolution of singularities which satisfies the (\*\*)-condition.*

*Proof.* Let  $E$  be the exceptional divisor of the minimal resolution  $f : Y \rightarrow X$ . As in the proof of Proposition 4.5, we may assume that  $E$  is geometrically irreducible over  $\kappa(x)$ . Since the pair  $(Y, E)$  is log canonical, every singular point of  $E$  is a node by [6, Theorem 2.31]. It then follows from Corollary 3.8 that  $E$  is geometrically reduced over  $\kappa(x)$ . Therefore, by Proposition 3.2, there exists a non-regular  $\kappa(x)$ -rational point  $P \in E$  such that  $E$  is smooth over  $\kappa(x)$  outside  $P$ . Let  $g : Z \rightarrow Y$  be the blowing up

along  $P$ . Then it is straightforward to show that the composite  $f \circ g : Z \rightarrow X$  satisfies the  $(*)$ -condition.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.15.** *Let  $(x \in X)$  be a normal surface singularity. We assume the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i)  $\text{ch}(\kappa(x)) > 3$ ,
- (ii)  $(x \in X)$  is log canonical and simple regular elliptic, and
- (iii)  $\kappa(x)$  is a finitely generated field extension of a perfect field  $k$  such that one has  $\text{tr.deg}_k \kappa(x) = 1$ .

*Then the minimal resolution  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  satisfies the  $(**)$ -condition.*

*Proof.* Let  $E$  be the exceptional divisor of  $f$ . As in the proof of Proposition 4.5, we may assume that  $E$  is geometrically irreducible over  $\kappa(x)$ . On the other hand, combining the assumption (iii) with Proposition 3.7,  $E$  is geometrically reduced. It then follows from Proposition 3.2 that  $E$  is smooth over  $\kappa(x)$ , as desired.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.16.** *Let  $(x \in X)$  be a normal surface singularity. We assume the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i)  $\text{ch}(\kappa(x)) > 3$ ,
- (ii)  $(x \in X)$  is log canonical, and
- (iii) *One of the following conditions holds:*
  - (a) *The singularity  $(x \in X)$  is not a simple regular elliptic, or*
  - (b)  *$\kappa(x)$  is a finitely generated field extension of a perfect field  $k$  such that one has  $\text{tr.deg}_k \kappa(x) = 1$ .*

*Then there is a resolution  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  which satisfies the  $(**)$ -condition.*

*Proof.* The assertion follows from the classification (Theorem A.3 (2), (3) below) combining with Propositions 4.5, 4.9, 4.11, 4.12, 4.14 and 4.15.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.17.** *Let  $X$  be a 2-dimensional geometrically normal variety over a field  $k$  of characteristic  $p > 3$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$  be the non-smooth points of  $X$  over  $k$ . Suppose that  $X$  is log canonical at  $x_i$  and the residue field  $\kappa(x_i)$  of  $X$  at  $x_i$  is separable over  $k$  for every  $i$ . We further assume that one of the following holds:*

- (a) *The singularity  $(x_i \in X)$  is not a simple regular elliptic for every  $i$ , or*
- (b)  *$k$  is a finitely generated over a perfect field  $k_0$  with the transcendental degree 1.*

*Then  $X$  is geometrically log canonical over  $k$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from Lemma 4.2 and Corollary 4.16.  $\square$

## 5. BERTINI TYPE THEOREM FOR LOG CANONICAL (RESP. KLT) THREEFOLDS

In this section, we prove the main theorem of this paper.

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let  $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow T$  be a quasi-projective morphism between varieties over an algebraically closed field  $k$ . We assume the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i) *The geometric generic fiber  $\mathcal{X}_{\overline{\eta}} := \mathcal{X} \times_T \text{Spec}(\overline{K(T)})$  admits a log resolution  $g : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\overline{\eta}}$  which is a projective morphism.*

- (ii) *The generic fiber  $\mathcal{X}_\eta := \mathcal{X} \times_T \text{Spec}(K(T))$  is geometrically log canonical (resp. geometrically klt) over  $K(T)$ .*

*Then the fiber  $\mathcal{X}_t$  is log canonical (resp. klt) for a general closed point  $t \in T(k)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{X}_\eta$  is quasi-projective over  $\overline{K(T)}$  and  $g$  is projective, there exist a finite field extension  $L$  of  $K(T)$  and a Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{g} & \mathcal{X}_\eta \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{h} & X_L := \mathcal{X}_\eta \times_{K(T)} L \end{array} ,$$

where  $h$  is projective. It follows from the faithfully flat descent of regularity ([8, Theorem 23.7]) that  $h$  is a resolution of  $X_L$ . After replacing  $L$  by its finite extension, we may assume that every stratum  $Z$  of  $\text{Exc}(h)$  with a reduced structure is geometrically integral over  $L$ . We note that  $Z$  is smooth over  $L$  since the base change  $Z \times_L \overline{K(T)}$  of a stratum  $Z$  is isomorphic to some stratum of  $\text{Exc}(g)$ . After replacing  $T$  by its normalization in  $L$  and  $\mathcal{X}$  by  $\mathcal{X} \times_T S$ , we may assume that there exists a projective log resolution  $h : Y' \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_\eta$  such that the pair  $(Y', \text{Exc}(h))$  is relatively SNC over  $K(T)$ .

On the other hand, it follows from the fact that  $\mathcal{X}$  is quasi-projective over  $T$  and  $h$  is projective that there exists a non-empty open subset  $U \subseteq T$  and a Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y' & \xrightarrow{h} & \mathcal{X}_\eta \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{Y} & \xrightarrow{\mu} & f^{-1}(U) \end{array} ,$$

where  $\mu$  is a projective morphism. Therefore, after shrinking  $T$ , we may assume that there exists a log resolution  $\mu : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  such that every stratum of the pair  $(\mathcal{Y}, \text{Exc}(\mu))$  is smooth over  $T$  and maps surjectively onto  $T$ . Moreover, since the generic fiber  $\mathcal{X}_\eta$  is assumed to be  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein and normal, we may shrink  $T$  so that  $T$  is smooth and  $\mathcal{X}$  is normal and  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein.

Let  $t : \text{Spec}(\ell) \rightarrow T$  be any morphism from the spectrum of a field  $\ell$  and  $\mu_t : \mathcal{Y}_t \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_t$  be the base change of  $\mu$ , which is a log resolution.

*Claim.* The restriction  $(K_{\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{X}})|_{\mathcal{Y}_t}$  of the relative canonical divisor  $K_{\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{X}}$  of  $\mu$  to the fiber  $\mathcal{Y}_t$  coincides with the relative canonical divisor  $K_{\mathcal{Y}_t/\mathcal{X}_t}$  of  $\mu_t$ .

*Proof of Claim.* Since  $T$  is Gorenstein, the structure sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_T$  is a dualizing complex of  $T$  ([12, Lemma 0BFQ]). We consider the dualizing complexes  $\omega_{\mathcal{X}}^\bullet := \pi^! \mathcal{O}_T$  and  $\omega_{\mathcal{Y}}^\bullet := (\pi \circ \mu)^! \mathcal{O}_T$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$ , respectively. Similarly, we take the upper shriek pullbacks of  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec}(\ell)}$  as dualizing complexes on  $\mathcal{X}_t$  and  $\mathcal{Y}_t$ . Let  $\omega_{\mathcal{X}}$ ,  $\omega_{\mathcal{Y}}$ ,  $\omega_{\mathcal{X}_t}$  and  $\omega_{\mathcal{Y}_t}$  be the canonical sheaves associated to these dualizing complexes. It then follows from [12, Lemma 0EA0] and [5, Proposition II. 8.10] that we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{\mathcal{X}_t} &\cong (\omega_{\mathcal{X}}|_{\mathcal{X}_t})^{**} \quad \text{and} \\ \omega_{\mathcal{Y}_t} &\cong (\omega_{\mathcal{Y}}|_{\mathcal{Y}_t})^{**}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $**$  denotes the reflexive hull, which implies the assertion in the claim.  $\square$

Since the support of the relative canonical divisor  $K_{\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{X}}$  is contained in  $\text{Exc}(\mu)$ , every irreducible component of the support is smooth over  $T$  and maps surjectively onto  $T$ . Therefore, the set of all coefficients of  $K_{\mathcal{Y}_t/\mathcal{X}_t}$  coincides with that of  $K_{\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{X}}$ . The assertion now follows from Remark 2.3.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.2.** *Let  $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}_k^N$  be a three dimensional normal quasi-projective variety over an algebraically closed field  $k$  of characteristic  $p > 3$ . If  $X$  has only log canonical (resp. klt) singularities, then so does a general hyperplane section  $H$  of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbb{P}^* := \mathbb{P}_k^N$  be the dual projective space and  $\mathcal{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_k^N \times \mathbb{P}^*$  be the universal family of hyperplanes of  $\mathbb{P}_k^N$ , that is, the reduced closed subscheme of  $\mathbb{P}_k^N \times_k \mathbb{P}^*$  such that the set of closed points of  $\mathcal{Z}$  coincides with

$$\{(x, H) \in \mathbb{P}_k^N(k) \times (\mathbb{P}^*)(k) \mid x \in H\}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be the scheme theoretic intersection  $\mathcal{Z} \cap (X \times \mathbb{P}^*)$ . We note that a general hyperplane section of  $X$  is none other than a general closed fiber of the second projection

$$p_2 : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^*.$$

Since the generic fiber  $\mathcal{X}_\eta$  is a hypersurface of the three-dimensional variety  $X \times_k \text{Spec}(K(\mathbb{P}^*))$  over  $K(\mathbb{P}^*)$ , it is two-dimensional. Given that any two-dimensional variety admits a log resolution which is a projective morphism, by Lemma 5.1, it suffices to show that the generic fiber  $\mathcal{X}_\eta$  is geometrically normal and geometrically log canonical (resp. geometrically klt) over the function field  $K(\mathbb{P}^*)$ .

Take a (not necessarily closed) point  $P \in \mathcal{X}_\eta$  and we set  $x := \pi(P) \in X$ , where  $\pi : \mathcal{X}_\eta \rightarrow X$  is the morphism obtained by the first projection. It then follows from the proof of [2, Theorem 1] that the fiber  $\pi^{-1}(x)$  is geometrically regular both over  $\kappa(x)$  and  $K(\mathbb{P}^*)$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Spec}(\kappa(x)) & \longleftarrow & \pi^{-1}(x) & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ X & \longleftarrow & \mathcal{X} & \longleftarrow & \mathcal{X}_\eta \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & \mathbb{P}^* & \longleftarrow & \text{Spec}(K(\mathbb{P}^*)) \end{array}$$

By Lemma 4.2, it suffices to prove that if  $\mathcal{X}_\eta$  is not smooth over  $K(\mathbb{P}^*)$  at  $P$ , then the following properties hold:

- (a)  $P$  is a closed point of  $\mathcal{X}_\eta$ ,
- (b)  $\mathcal{X}_\eta$  is log canonical (resp. klt) at  $P$ ,
- (c) the residue field  $\kappa(P)$  is separable over  $K(\mathbb{P}^*)$ , and
- (d)  $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_\eta, P})$  admits a log resolution which satisfies the  $(**)$ -condition.

**Case. 1 :** We first consider the case where  $X$  is regular at  $x$ . In this case, take a finite field extension  $L$  of  $K(\mathbb{P}^*)$  and a point  $Q \in \mathcal{X}_L := \mathcal{X}_\eta \times_{K(\mathbb{P}^*)} L$  over  $P$ . Since the fiber of the first projection  $\mathcal{X}_L \rightarrow X$  at  $x$  is isomorphic to the regular scheme

$\pi^{-1}(x) \times_{K(\mathbb{P}^*)} L$ , it follows from the ascent of regularity ([8, Theorem 23.7]) that  $\mathcal{X}_L$  is regular at  $Q$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{X}_\eta$  is smooth over  $K(\mathbb{P}^*)$  at  $P$  and there is nothing to say.

**Case. 2:** We next consider the case where  $X$  is non-regular at  $x$ . Since  $\pi : \mathcal{X}_\eta \rightarrow X$  is flat, we have the dimension formula ([12, Lemma 00ON])

$$\dim(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_\eta, P}) = \dim(\mathcal{O}_{X, x}) + \dim(\mathcal{O}_{\pi^{-1}(x), P}),$$

which implies that  $\dim \mathcal{O}_{X, x} = 2$ ,  $P$  is a closed point of  $\mathcal{X}_\eta$  and  $\pi^{-1}(x)$  consists of a single point  $P$  around  $P$ . Combining the third property with the fact that  $\pi^{-1}(x)$  is geometrically regular over  $K(\mathbb{P}^*)$ , the residue field  $\kappa(P)$  is separable over  $K(\mathbb{P}^*)$ . Similarly, since  $\pi^{-1}(x)$  is geometrically regular over  $\kappa(x)$ , it follows from [11, Lemma 2.6 (3)]<sup>1</sup> that  $\mathcal{X}_\eta$  is log canonical (resp. klt) at  $P$ .

On the other hand, since  $\dim(\mathcal{O}_{X, x}) = 2$ , the transcendental degree of the residue field  $\kappa(x)$  over  $k$  is one, which shows that  $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X, x})$  admits a log resolution which satisfies the (\*\*)-condition by Corollary 4.16. It then follows from Lemma 4.3 that  $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_\eta, P})$  admits a log resolution satisfying (\*\*)-condition, as desired.  $\square$

---

<sup>1</sup>The assumption in loc. cit. that the ring is in characteristic zero is unnecessary since the two dimensional scheme  $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X, x})$  admits a log resolution.

APPENDIX A. CLASSIFICATION OF DUAL GRAPHS OF NUMERICALLY LOG  
CANONICAL SURFACE SINGULARITIES

In this appendix, we list up the dual graphs of normal surface singularities which are log canonical (resp. klt). See [6] for more details.

**Definition A.1.** Let  $(x \in X)$  be a normal surface singularity,  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  be the minimal resolution and  $\text{Exc}(f) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i$  be the irreducible decomposition of the exceptional divisor. Let  $\Delta_Y$  be the unique  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on  $Y$  which satisfies

$$(\Delta_Y \cdot E_i) = -(K_Y \cdot E_i)$$

for all  $i$ . We say that  $(x \in X)$  is *numerically log canonical* (resp. *numerically klt*) if every the coefficient of  $\Delta_Y$  is at most (resp. smaller than) 1.

**Definition A.2.** A normal surface singularity  $(x \in X)$  is *rational* if the minimal resolution  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  satisfies  $R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_Y = 0$ .

**Theorem A.3** ([6]). *Let  $(x \in X)$  be a normal surface singularity,  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  be a minimal resolution,  $\Gamma$  is the dual graph of  $X$  (see Notation 2.14),  $E = \sum_{i=1}^n E_i$  be the sum of all exceptional prime divisors and  $\Delta_Y$  be as in Definition A.1. Then the following holds:*

- (1)  $(x \in X)$  is numerically klt if and only if the dual graph  $\Gamma$  coincides with one of the graphs in Figure (1) below.
- (2)  $(x \in X)$  is rational and numerically log canonical but not numerically klt if and only if  $\Gamma$  coincides with one of the graphs in Figure (2) or Figure (3) below.
- (3) The following are equivalent.
  - (a)  $(x \in X)$  is numerically log canonical, but not a rational singularity.
  - (b)  $\Gamma$  coincides with one of the graph in Figure (4) below.
  - (c)  $\Delta_Y = E$ .

*Proof.* We first prove the implication (c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) in (3). Since we have  $\omega_E \cong \omega_Y(E)|_E$ , it follows from the assumption (c) that one has

$$\deg_{E/\kappa(x)}(\omega_E) := \sum_{i=1}^n \deg_{E_i/\kappa(x)}(\omega_E|_{E_i}) = \sum_{i=1}^n (K_Y + E \cdot E_i) = 0.$$

Noting that Riemann-Roch theorem (Lemma 2.8 (1)) holds true even for reducible curve ([4, Example 18.3.6]), combining with Serre duality, we conclude that

$$\deg_{E/\kappa(x)}(\omega_E) = 2\chi(E/\kappa(x), \mathcal{O}_E).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\dim_{\kappa(x)}(H^1(E, \mathcal{O}_E)) = \dim_{\kappa(x)}(H^0(E, \mathcal{O}_E)) \neq 0.$$

It then follows from the exact sequence

$$R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow H^1(E, \mathcal{O}_E) \rightarrow R^2 f_* \mathcal{O}_Y(-E) = 0$$

that  $(x \in X)$  is non-rational, which proves the implication (c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) in (3). The converse implication (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (c) follows from [6, Theorem 2.28]. The rest of the proof follows from [6, Subsection 3.3].  $\square$






FIGURE 1. List of dual graphs of numerical klt singularities




FIGURE 2. List of non-twisted dual graphs of rational numerical log canonical singularities




FIGURE 3. List of twisted dual graphs of rational numerical log canonical singularities




FIGURE 4. List of dual graphs of non-rational numerical log canonical singularities

## REFERENCES

- [1] L. Bădescu, *Algebraic surfaces*, Springer, New York, 2001.
- [2] C. Caterina, S. Greco and M. Manaresi, An axiomatic approach to the second theorem of Bertini, *J. Algebra*, **98** (1986), no. 1, 171–182.
- [3] O. Debarre, *Higher-dimensional algebraic geometry*, Vol. 3, Springer, New York, 2001.
- [4] W. Fulton, *Intersection theory*, Vol. 2. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [5] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 52, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977.
- [6] J. Kollár, *Singularities of the minimal model program* **200**, Cambridge University Press, 2013.
- [7] J. Kollár and S. Mori, *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 134, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [8] H. Matsumura, *Commutative ring theory*, Translated from the Japanese by M. Reid, Second edition, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, **8**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [9] M. Reid, Canonical 3-folds, in: A. Beauville (Ed.), *Journées de géométrie algébrique d’Angers 1979*, Sijthoff & Noordhoff, Alphen, 1980, pp. 273–310.
- [10] K. Sato, and S. Takagi. General hyperplane sections of threefolds in positive characteristic, *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu* **19** (2020), no. 2, 647–661.
- [11] K. Sato and S. Takagi, Arithmetic and geometric deformations of  $F$ -pure and  $F$ -regular singularities, arXiv:2103.03721, preprint (2021).
- [12] T. Stacks Project Authors, The Stacks Project.

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, KYUSHU UNIVERSITY, 744 MOTOOKA, NISHI-KU, FUKUOKA 819-0395, JAPAN

*Email address:* ksato@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp