

Uniqueness and nondegeneracy of ground states for

$(-\Delta)^s u + u = 2(I_2 \star u^2)u$ in \mathbb{R}^N when s is close to 1^*

Huxiao Luo[†]

Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua, Zhejiang, 321004, P. R. China

Abstract: In this article, we study the uniqueness and nondegeneracy of ground states to the fractional Choquard equation:

$$(-\Delta)^s u + u = 2(I_2 \star u^2)u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $N \in \{3, 4, 5\}$, $s \in (0, 1)$ is sufficiently close to 1. Our method is to make a continuation argument with respect to the power $s \in (0, 1)$ appearing in $(-\Delta)^s$. This approach is based on [M. M. Fall and E. Valdinoci, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 329 (2014) 383-404].

Keywords: Fractional Choquard equation; Uniqueness and nondegeneracy.

MSC(2010): 35A02; 35B20; 35J61

1 Introduction

In this article, we study the uniqueness and nondegeneracy of ground states for the fractional Choquard equation

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s u + u = 2(I_2 \star u^2)u & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N), \end{cases} \quad (\text{P})$$

where $2 < N < 2 + 4s$, $s \in (0, 1)$ sufficiently close to 1, \star represents the convolution operation in \mathbb{R}^N . I_α is the Riesz potential, which is defined for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$ by

$$I_\alpha(\cdot) = \frac{A_\alpha}{|\cdot|^{N-\alpha}}, \quad \alpha \in (0, N),$$

where the normalisation constant A_α ensures that the semigroup property

$$I_\alpha \star I_\beta = I_{\alpha+\beta}, \quad \forall \alpha, \beta > 0 \text{ such that } \alpha + \beta < N,$$

*This work was partially supported by NSFC(11901532).

[†]Corresponding author. E-mail: luohuxiao@zjnu.edu.cn (H. Luo).

see [18]. In addition, I_2 is the Green function of the Laplacian $-\Delta$ on \mathbb{R}^N . The fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^s$ defined as

$$(-\Delta)^s u(x) = C_{N,s} P.V. \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy$$

where P.V. denotes the Cauchy principal value,

$$C_{N,s} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1 - \cos \zeta_1}{|\zeta|^{N+2s}} d\zeta \right)^{-1}.$$

The fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^s$ can be viewed as a pseudo-differential operator of symbol $|\xi|^{2s}$,

$$\widehat{(-\Delta)^s u}(\xi) = |\xi|^{2s} \widehat{u}(\xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (1.1)$$

where \widehat{u} is the Fourier transform of the function u , see [2, 3, 10, 6] for details.

When $s = 1$, $N = 3$, (P) changes to be the Schrödinger-Newton equation

$$-\Delta u + u = 2u (I_2 \star u^2) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (1.2)$$

(1.2) describe standing waves for the Hartree equation or the coupling of the Schrödinger's equation under a classical Newtonian gravitational potential. Pekar [19] used (1.2) to describe the quantum theory of a polaron at rest. Later, Choquard [13] used it to model one-component plasmas.

The uniqueness of the solution for (1.2) has been solved, see in [13, 16, 22, 24]. In [23], by developing Lieb's methods [13], T. Wang and T. S. Yi established uniqueness of the positive radial solutions to

$$-\Delta u + u = \left(\frac{1}{|x|^{N-2}} \star u^2 \right) u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \quad u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N). \quad (1.3)$$

This, together with L. Ma and L. Zhao's classification results [16], shows the uniqueness of the positive solutions when $N = 3, 4, 5$.

The nondegeneracy of the solution for (1.2) was proved by Lenzmann [12, Theorem 1.4], see also Tod-Moroz [22] and Wei-Winter [24]. This nondegeneracy result has been generalized to (1.3) for general dimensions $N = 3, 4, 5$, see [4].

In [25], C.-L. Xiang obtained uniqueness and nondegeneracy results for ground states of the Choquard equation

$$-\Delta u + u = \left(\frac{1}{|x|} \star |u|^p \right) |u|^{p-2} u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3,$$

provided that $p > 2$ and p is sufficiently close to 2.

As far as we know, there is no uniqueness and nondegeneracy result for fractional Choquard equations at present, except for the pseudorelativistic Hartree equation studied in [12].

The uniqueness and nondegeneracy of ground state for the following fractional Schrödinger equations have been solved,

$$(-\Delta)^s u + u = u^p, \quad (1.4)$$

where $s \in (0, 1)$ and $p \in (1, 2_s^* - 1)$. In [7], M. M. Fall and E. Valdinoci proved that if s is sufficiently close to 1 equation (1.4) possesses a unique minimizer, which is nondegenerate. Soon after, R. L. Frank, E. Lenzmann and L. Silvestre [8] proved that the uniqueness and nondegeneracy of ground states for (1.4) hold for any $s \in (0, 1)$.

Inspired by [7], we study the uniqueness and nondegeneracy of ground states for (P) by the continuation argument with respect to the power $s \in (0, 1)$. Compared with [7], there are some new difficulties.

- (1) Firstly, the non-local property of Hartree term prevents us from getting the uniform boundedness of the minimizer. To overcome this problem, we rewrite the equation (P) into the system (Q), and obtain uniform boundedness by using the double blow-up method;
- (2) The core problem in our setting is the proof of the nondegeneracy for ground states. Due to the presence of the Hartree term, we can't use the Perron-Frobenius-type arguments in [7] directly. To overcome this difficulty, we rely on more complex analysis for the action of the linearized system (3.14) with respect to decomposition into spherical harmonics, which is the main innovation of this article, see Lemma 3.3;
- (3) After decomposing the solution of the linearized system (3.14) into spherical harmonics, we use the nondegeneracy of the solution of the limit equation ($s \nearrow 1$) to prove that the radial symmetric part $w_s \equiv 0$. To this end, we need to show that $\|w_s\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)}$ is bounded. Due to the nonlocality of Hartree term, we can't prove the boundedness similar to [7]. However, we overcome this difficulty by the semigroup property of the Riesz potential, see Sec. 3.3.

We point out that the existence of ground states for (P) has been obtained. In [5], P. d'Avenia, G. Siciliano and M. Squassina studied the ground state for the general fractional Choquard equation

$$(-\Delta)^s u + \omega u = (I_\alpha \star |u|^p)|u|^{p-2}u, \quad u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad (1.5)$$

where $\omega > 0$, $N \geq 3$, $\alpha \in (0, N)$, $p > 1$ and $s \in (0, 1)$. By assuming that

$$1 + \frac{\alpha}{N} < p < \frac{N + \alpha}{N - 2s}, \quad (1.6)$$

the authors obtained the following results.

Proposition 1. ([5, Theorem 1.1])

- (i) There exists a ground state $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to problem (1.5) which is positive, radially symmetric and decreasing;
- (ii) $u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and moreover if $s \leq 1/2$, $u \in C^{0,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for some $\sigma \in (0, 2s)$, if $s > 1/2$, $u \in C^{1,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for some $\sigma \in (0, 2s - 1)$;

(iii) If $p \geq 2$, there exists $C > 0$ such that $u(x) = \frac{C}{|x|^{N+2s}} + o(|x|^{-N-2s})$, as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$;

(iv) If $2 \leq p < 1 + (2s + \alpha)/N$ and $s > 1/2$, the Morse index of u is equal to one.

Take $p = 2$ and $\alpha = 2$ in (1.6), by using the above Proposition, under condition $2 < N < 2 + 4s$, we obtain a ground state $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to (P) which is positive, regularity, radially symmetric and decreasing.

Now, we equivalent the equation (P) as follows

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s u + u = 2uv & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ -\Delta v = u^2 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ (u, v) \in H, \end{cases} \quad (\text{Q})$$

where $H := H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \times \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. The ground state for (Q) can be obtained (up to scaling) by the constrained minimization problem

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_s &:= \frac{1}{3} \inf_{(u,v) \in H, \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2 v dx = 1} \left(\|u\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 + \|v\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \inf_{(u,v) \in H \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|u\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 + \|v\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2 v dx}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

We point out that for any $(u, v) \in H$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2 v dx \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^{\frac{4N}{N+2}} dx \right)^{\frac{N+2}{2N}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v^{2^*} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2^*}} < +\infty,$$

thanks to $\frac{4N}{N+2} \in [2, 2^*]$ and Sobolev embedding.

Let $(U_s, V_s) = (u_s(|x|), v_s(|x|))$ be a minimizer for ν_s , i.e. $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} U_s^2 V_s dx = 1$ and $3\nu_s = \|U_s\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 + \|V_s\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2$, then it is a ground state solution of

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s u + u = 2\nu_s v u & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ -\Delta v = \nu_s u^2 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases} \quad (\text{Q}^*)$$

and so it solves (P) (up to scaling). Its derivatives $(\partial_i U_s, \partial_i V_s)$ are solution of the linearized system

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s \xi + \xi = 2\nu_s V_s \xi + 2\nu_s \zeta U_s, \\ -\Delta \zeta = 2\nu_s \xi U_s. \end{cases} \quad (1.8)$$

Define the linear operator \mathcal{L}_s^+ associated to (U_s, V_s) by

$$\mathcal{L}_s^+ \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \zeta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (-\Delta)^s \xi + \xi - 2\nu_s V_s \xi - 2\nu_s \zeta U_s \\ -\Delta \zeta - 2\nu_s \xi U_s \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1.9)$$

Now, we can state the nondegeneracy result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $s \in (\frac{1}{4}, 1)$, $3 \leq N < 2 + 4s$. There exists $s_0 \in (\frac{1}{4}, 1)$ such that for every $s \in (s_0, 1)$, the operator \mathcal{L}_s^+ defined as in (1.9) is nondegenerate around (U_s, V_s) . That is,*

$$\text{Ker}(\mathcal{L}_s^+) = \text{span}\{(\partial_i U_s, \partial_i V_s), i = 1, \dots, N\}.$$

In the second part of this article, we prove the uniqueness of ground state.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $s \in (\frac{1}{4}, 1)$, $3 \leq N < 2 + 4s$. There exists $s_0 \in (\frac{1}{4}, 1)$ such that for every $s \in (s_0, 1)$, the minimizer for ν_s is unique, up to translations.*

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we provide uniform estimates and asymptotics of minimizers. In Section 3-4 we prove Theorem 1.1-1.2 respectively.

- ◇ For the sake of simplicity, integrals over the whole \mathbb{R}^N will be often written \int ;
- ◇ $\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^N) := \{u \in L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N) : \nabla u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)\}$, $\|u\|_{\dot{H}^1} = \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}$;
- ◇ $\|\cdot\|_{H^s}$ denotes the standard norm for the fractional Sobolev space $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$;
- ◇ $\|\cdot\|_{L^q}$ denotes the $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ -norm for $q \in [1, \infty]$;
- ◇ $o(1)$ denotes the infinitesimal as $n \rightarrow +\infty$;
- ◇ $2_s^* := \frac{2N}{N-2s}$ and $2^* := \frac{2N}{N-2}$ denote fractional Sobolev critical exponent and Sobolev critical exponent, respectively;
- ◇ t' denotes the conjugate exponent of t , i.e., $\frac{1}{t'} + \frac{1}{t} = 1$.
- ◇ Unless otherwise specified, C represents a pure constant independent of any variable.

2 Uniform estimates and asymptotics

We call \mathcal{M}_s the the space of these positive, radially symmetric minimizers $(u_s, v_s) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \times \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for ν_s normalized so that $\int u_s^2 v_s dx = 1$. Therefore if $(u_s, v_s) \in \mathcal{M}_s$ then

$$\|u_s\|_{L^\infty} = |u_s(x_0^s)|, \quad \|v_s\|_{L^\infty} = |v_s(x_0^s)|, \quad (2.1)$$

for some $x_0^s \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

Lemma 2.1. *ν_s is uniform bounded, i.e., $\sup_{s \in (0,1]} \nu_s < +\infty$.*

Proof. Let $(u_1, v_1) \in \mathcal{M}_1$. It follows from $|\xi|^{2s} \leq 1 + |\xi|^2$ that

$$\|u_1\|_{H^s}^2 + \|v_1\|_{\dot{H}^1}^2 \leq 2\|u_1\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\xi|^2 |\widehat{u}|^2 d\xi + \|v_1\|_{\dot{H}^1}^2 \leq 2\|u_1\|_{H^1}^2 + 2\|v_1\|_{\dot{H}^1}^2 = 6\nu_1.$$

Since $\nu_s \leq \|u_1\|_{H^s}^2 + \|v_1\|_{\dot{H}^1}^2$, the desired result follows. \square

To get uniform bounds on the minimizers, we need the following regularity theory of fractional Laplacian.

Proposition 2. ([20, Proposition 2.1.9], see also [1].) Assume $s > 0$, $u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $(-\Delta)^s u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

If $2s \leq 1$, then $u \in C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $\alpha < 2s$. Moreover

$$\|u\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C(s, N, \alpha) (\|(-\Delta)^s u\|_{L^\infty} + \|u\|_{L^\infty}).$$

If $2s > 1$, then $u \in C^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $\alpha < 2s - 1$. Moreover

$$\|u\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C(s, N, \alpha) (\|(-\Delta)^s u\|_{L^\infty} + \|u\|_{L^\infty}).$$

Now we use Gidas-Spruck's blowup method [9] to get uniform L^∞ bounds on the minimizers.

Lemma 2.2. Given $s_0 \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$0 < \gamma_{s_0} := \sup_{s \in (s_0, 1)} \sup_{(u_s, v_s) \in \mathcal{M}_s} (\|u_s\|_{L^\infty} + \|v_s\|_{L^\infty}) < \infty. \quad (2.2)$$

Also, given $s_1 > 1/2$ and $\sigma \in (0, 1)$,

$$\sup_{s \in (s_1, 1)} \sup_{(u_s, v_s) \in \mathcal{M}_s} (\|u_s\|_{C^{1,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \|v_s\|_{C^{1,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^N)}) < \infty. \quad (2.3)$$

Proof. $\gamma_{s_0} > 0$ is obvious. Now we prove the second inequality in (2.2). For this, we argue by contradiction: let

$$\lambda_s := \|u_s\|_{L^\infty} + \|v_s\|_{L^\infty} \quad (2.4)$$

and assume that $\lambda_s \rightarrow +\infty$ for a sequence $s \rightarrow \bar{\sigma} \in [s_0, 1]$. We set

$$w_s(x) := \lambda_s^{-1} u_s(\lambda_s^{\frac{2}{2s-N}} x + x_0^s), \quad \psi_s(x) := \lambda_s^{-1} v_s(\lambda_s^{\frac{2}{2s-N}} x + x_0^s)$$

so that

$$\|w_s\|_{L^\infty} + \|\psi_s\|_{L^\infty} = w_s(0) + \psi_s(0) = 1,$$

and by $\frac{2s-2}{N-2s} < 0$

$$\|w_s\|_{\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \|\psi_s\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \|u_s\|_{\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \lambda_s^{\frac{2s-2}{N-2s}} \|v_s\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq \nu_s \leq C.$$

Therefore $(w_s, \psi_s) \rightharpoonup (w, \psi)$ in $H^t(\mathbb{R}^N) \times H^k(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for every $t < \bar{\sigma}$ and $k < 1$, and

$$(w_s, \psi_s) \rightarrow (w, \psi) \text{ in } L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow \bar{\sigma}.$$

Since u_s satisfies (Q*), then (w_s, ψ_s) satisfies

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s w_s + \lambda_s^{-\frac{4s}{N-2s}} w_s = 2\nu_s \lambda_s^{1-\frac{4s}{N-2s}} \psi_s w_s & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ -\Delta \psi_s = \nu_s \lambda_s^{1-\frac{4}{N-2s}} w_s^2 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

By Proposition 2,

$$\|w_s\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C(s, N, \alpha) (\|(-\Delta)^s w_s\|_{L^\infty} + \|w_s\|_{L^\infty}),$$

$$\|\psi_s\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C(N, \alpha) (\|-\Delta \psi_s\|_{L^\infty} + \|\psi_s\|_{L^\infty}),$$

where one can fix $\alpha < 2\bar{\sigma}$ for $2\bar{\sigma} < 1$ and $\alpha < 2\bar{\sigma} - 1$ for $2\bar{\sigma} > 1$ and the constant $C(s, N, \alpha)$ is bounded uniformly in $s \in [s_0, 1]$. Then by (2.5) and Lemma 2.1, we see that $\|w_s\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \|\psi_s\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)}$ is bounded uniformly when $s \rightarrow \bar{\sigma}$. Accordingly, by the Ascoli theorem, we may suppose that (w_s, ψ_s) converges locally uniformly to (w, ψ) and passing to the limit in (2.5), by $2s < N < 2 + 4s$ we have that

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{\bar{\sigma}} w = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ -\Delta \psi = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases}$$

Then by Liouville theorem we get $w = \psi \equiv 0$. In particular

$$0 = \lim_{s \rightarrow \bar{\sigma}} (|w_s(0)| + |\psi_s(0)|) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \bar{\sigma}} (\lambda_s^{-1} |u_s(x_0^s)| + \lambda_s^{-1} |v_s(x_0^s)|) = 1,$$

due to (2.1) and (2.4). This is a contradiction and so (2.2) is proved.

By using once again Proposition 2, for any $s \in (s_1, 1]$ and $\alpha < 2s - 1$,

$$\|u_s\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C(s, N, \alpha) (\|(-\Delta)^s u_s\|_{L^\infty} + \|u_s\|_{L^\infty}),$$

$$\|v_s\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C(N, \alpha) (\|-\Delta v_s\|_{L^\infty} + \|v_s\|_{L^\infty}),$$

where $C(s, N, \alpha)$ is uniformly bounded on $[s_1, 1]$. Then, (Q*), (2.2) and Lemma 2.1 imply (2.3).

□

Corollary 1. *Given $s_0 \in (\frac{1}{4}, 1)$, we have*

$$\sup_{s \in (s_0, 1)} \sup_{(u_s, v_s) \in \mathcal{M}_s} (\|u_s\|_{H^{2s}} + \|v_s\|_{\dot{H}^2}) < \infty.$$

Proof. First, from Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\|u_s\|_{H^s}^2 + \|v_s\|_{\dot{H}^1}^2 = 3\nu_s \leq C_1,$$

with $C_1 > 0$ independent of s and (u_s, v_s) .

Let $s_0 \in (0, 1)$, $(u_s, v_s) \in \mathcal{M}_s$ and

$$f_s^{(1)}(x) := 2\nu_s v_s u_s(x) - u_s(x), \quad f_s^{(2)}(x) := \nu_s u_s^2(x).$$

By (2.2), Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_s u_s|^2 dx \leq \|u_s\|_{L^2}^2 \|v_s\|_{L^\infty}^2 \leq C_2,$$

where $C_2 > 0$ is a constant independent of s and (u_s, v_s) . As a consequence, and using Lemma 2.1 again, we obtain that

$$\|f_s^{(1)}\|_{L^2} + \|f_s^{(2)}\|_{L^2} \leq 2\nu_s \|v_s u_s\|_{L^2} + \|u_s\|_{L^2} + \nu_s \|u_s\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C_3,$$

with $C_3 > 0$ independent of s and (u_s, v_s) . Also, from (Q*),

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s u_s = f_s^{(1)}, \\ -\Delta v_s = f_s^{(2)}, \end{cases}$$

that is, recalling (1.1),

$$|\xi|^{2s} \widehat{u}_s = \widehat{f_s^{(1)}}, \quad |\xi|^2 \widehat{v}_s = \widehat{f_s^{(2)}}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u_s\|_{H^{2s}}^2 + \|v_s\|_{\dot{H}^2}^2 \\ &= \|u_s\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\xi|^{4s} |\widehat{u}_s|^2 d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\xi|^4 |\widehat{v}_s|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq 3\nu_s + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\widehat{f_s^{(1)}}|^2 d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\widehat{f_s^{(2)}}|^2 d\xi \\ &= 3\nu_s + \|f_s^{(1)}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|f_s^{(2)}\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C_1 + C_3, \end{aligned}$$

and the desired result follows. \square

Proposition 3. ([7, Lemma 2.4].) *Let $s, \sigma \in (0, 1]$ and*

$$\delta > 2|\sigma - s|. \quad (2.6)$$

For any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$,

$$\left| |\xi|^{2s} - |\xi|^{2\sigma} \right| \leq 4C_{\sigma, \delta} |\sigma - s| (1 + |\xi|^{2(\sigma + \delta)}), \quad (2.7)$$

and for any $\varphi \in H^{2(\sigma + \delta)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$\|(-\Delta)^\sigma \varphi - (-\Delta)^s \varphi\|_{L^2} \leq C_{\sigma, \delta} |\sigma - s| \|\varphi\|_{H^{2(\sigma + \delta)}},$$

where

$$C_{\sigma, \delta} = \frac{1}{e} \left(\frac{1}{2\sigma} + \frac{1}{\delta} \right).$$

Lemma 2.3. *Fix $\sigma \in (0, 1]$. Then $\lim_{s \rightarrow \sigma} \nu_s = \nu_\sigma$.*

Proof. Let $s_0 \in (0, 1)$. Let $s, s' \in (s_0, 1]$, that will be taken one close to the other, namely such that

$$s > 2|s - s'|.$$

Let $(u_s, v_s) \in \mathcal{M}_s$. Since $\int u_s^2 v_s dx = 1$, we get that $3\nu_{s'} \leq \|u_s\|_{H^{s'}}^2 + \|v_s\|_{\dot{H}^1}^2$. Hence, by (2.7), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} 3\nu_{s'} - 3\nu_s &\leq \|u_s\|_{H^{s'}}^2 - \|u_s\|_{H^s}^2 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\xi|^{2s'} - |\xi|^{2s}) |\widehat{u}_s|^2 \leq C(s_0) |s' - s| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (1 + |\xi|^{4s}) |\widehat{u}_s|^2 \\ &= C(s_0) |s' - s| \|u_s\|_{H^{2s}}^2 \end{aligned}$$

Since the roles of s and s' may be interchanged, and recalling Corollary 1, we obtain that

$$|\nu_{s'} - \nu_s| \leq C|s' - s|,$$

where the constant C doesn't depend with s' , s , and the desired result follows. \square

Lemma 2.4. Fix $\sigma \in (0, 1]$. Let $s_n \in (\frac{1}{4}, 1)$ be such that $s_n \rightarrow \sigma$. Let $(u_{s_n}, v_{s_n}) \in \mathcal{M}_{s_n}$. Then there exist $(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in \mathcal{M}_\sigma$ and a subsequence (still denoted by s_n) such that

$$\|\psi_{s_n}\|_{\dot{H}^2} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty;$$

if $\sigma < 1$ then

$$\|\omega_{s_n}\|_{H^{2s_n}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty;$$

if $\sigma = 1$ then

$$\|\omega_{s_n}\|_{H^2} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where

$$\omega_{s_n}(x) := u_{s_n}(x) - \bar{u}, \quad \psi_{s_n}(x) := v_{s_n}(x) - \bar{v}. \quad (2.8)$$

Proof. To alleviate the notation, we write s instead of s_n . From Lemma 2.2 and Corollary 1 we have that (u_s, v_s) is bounded in $H_{rad}^t(\mathbb{R}^N) \times H_{rad}^k(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for every $t < \sigma$ and $k < 2$. Therefore, by Strauss's compactness embedding theorem [21], we obtain that there exists \bar{u} such that

$$u_s \rightarrow \bar{u} \quad \text{in } L^q(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ for every } q \in (2, 2_\sigma^*),$$

$$v_s \rightarrow \bar{v} \quad \text{in } L^q(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ for every } q \in (2, 2^*).$$

Since we have uniform decay bounds at infinity and uniform L^∞ bounds (recall Lemma 2.2), this and the interpolation inequality implies that the convergence also holds for $q \in (1, 2]$, hence

$$u_s \rightarrow \bar{u} \quad \text{in } L^q(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ for every } q \in (1, 2_\sigma^*), \quad (2.9)$$

$$v_s \rightarrow \bar{v} \quad \text{in } L^q(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ for every } q \in (1, 2^*).$$

In partical, \bar{u} and \bar{v} are radially symmetric. What is more, by Fatou's lemma, it follows that $\bar{v} \in \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $\bar{u} \in H^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^N)$ because $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\xi|^{2s} |\widehat{u}_s|^2 d\xi \leq 3\nu_s \leq C$. Also, by (Q*),

$$\begin{cases} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_s (-\Delta)^s \varphi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_s \varphi = 2\nu_s \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_s u_s \varphi & \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N), \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_s (-\Delta) \phi = \nu_s \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_s^2 \phi & \forall \phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N). \end{cases} \quad (2.10)$$

Using Proposition 3,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} | [(-\Delta)^s \varphi - (-\Delta)^\sigma \varphi] |^2 dx \leq C(\sigma - s)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (1 + |\xi|^4) |\widehat{\varphi}|^2 d\xi \leq C \|\varphi\|_{H^2}^2.$$

Hence we can pass to the limit in (2.10) and conclude that (\bar{u}, \bar{v}) is a weak solution to the system

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^\sigma \bar{u} + \bar{u} = 2\nu_\sigma \bar{v} \bar{u}, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ -\Delta \bar{v} = \nu_\sigma \bar{u}^2, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases} \quad (2.11)$$

that belongs to $H^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^N) \times \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. So, by testing the equation against (\bar{u}, \bar{v}) itself, we see that

$$\|\bar{u}\|_{H^\sigma}^2 + \|\bar{v}\|_{\dot{H}^1}^2 = 3\nu_\sigma \int \bar{u}^2 \bar{v} = 3\nu_\sigma,$$

hence (\bar{u}, \bar{v}) is a minimizer for ν_σ . Furthermore, by (Q*), (2.8) and (2.11),

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s \omega_s + \omega_s = 2\nu_s (\bar{v} \omega_s + \bar{u} \psi_s + \omega_s \psi_s) + [(-\Delta)^\sigma \bar{u} - (-\Delta)^s \bar{u}] + 2(\nu_s - \nu_\sigma) \bar{v} \bar{u}, \\ -\Delta \psi_s = \nu_s (u_s + \bar{u}) \omega_s. \end{cases} \quad (2.12)$$

We observe that, since $\bar{u}, u_s, \bar{v}, v_s \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, (2.12) holds pointwise and thus, from Proposition 3 and (2.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \|-\Delta \psi_s\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq \|\omega_s\|_{L^2}^2 \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } s \nearrow \sigma, \\ \|(-\Delta)^s \omega_s\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq \|\omega_s\|_{L^2}^2 + C(|\sigma - s|^2 + |\nu_\sigma - \nu_s|^2 + \|\omega_s\|_{L^2}^2) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } s \nearrow \sigma. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

(2.13) implies that $\|\psi_s\|_{\dot{H}^2} \rightarrow 0$, $\|\omega_s\|_{H^{2s}} \rightarrow 0$ as $s \nearrow \sigma$, as desired.

Next we consider the case $\sigma = 1$. By (Q*) and Lemma 2.2 we have that for every s close to 1

$$\|\partial_j u_s\|_{H^{2s}} \leq C.$$

From this, (2.11) and (2.8), we deduce that

$$\|\partial_j \omega_s\|_{H^{2s}} \leq C.$$

In particular $\|\omega_s\|_{H^{2s+1}}$ is uniformly bounded. We let f_s be the right hand side of (2.12) so that

$$(-\Delta)^s \omega_s + \omega_s = f_s$$

and so

$$-\Delta \omega_s + \omega_s = f_s + [-\Delta \omega_s - (-\Delta)^s \omega_s].$$

Using Proposition 3, we conclude that, for every $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{4})$ and $\delta > 2|1 - s|$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [(-\Delta)^1 \omega_s - (-\Delta)^s \omega_s]^2 \leq C_{N,\delta} (1 - s) \|\omega_s\|_{H^{2+2\delta}}^2 \leq C(1 - s) \|\omega_s\|_{H^{2s+1}}^2 \leq C(1 - s),$$

provided s is close to 1. Also, by recalling (2.13) and (2.9), we obtain that $\|f_s\|_{L^2} \rightarrow 0$ as $s \nearrow 1$, and therefore $\|\omega_s\|_{H^2} \rightarrow 0$. \square

3 The proof of Theorem 1.1

3.1 Local realization of $(-\Delta)^s$ for $s \in (0, 1)$

Following [2, 3, 10], we recall here an extension property that provides a local realization of the fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^s$ by means of a divergence operator in the upper half-space \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} . Namely, given $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, there exists a unique $\mathcal{H}(u) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}; t^{1-2s})$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(t^{1-2s}\nabla\mathcal{H}(u)) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}, \\ \mathcal{H}(u) = u & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ \lim_{t \searrow 0} t^{1-2s}\mathcal{H}(u)_t = d_s(-\Delta)^s u & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

where $d_s = 2^{2s-1} \frac{\Gamma(s)}{\Gamma(1-s)}$ is a positive normalization constant. Equivalently for every $\Psi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}; t^{1-2s})$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} \nabla\mathcal{H}(u) \cdot \nabla\Psi t^{1-2s} dt dx = d_s \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\xi|^{2s} \widehat{u} \widehat{\Psi} d\xi, \quad (3.2)$$

where here and hereafter we denote the trace of a function with the same letter. From now on, we use \mathcal{H} to denote the s -harmonic operator. Moreover, for any $\Phi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}; t^{1-2s})$, the trace Φ on \mathbb{R}^N belongs to $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$; and $\mathcal{H}(\operatorname{tr}(\Phi)) := \mathcal{H}(\Phi)$ has minimal Dirichlet energy.

Hence $\mathcal{H}(u_s)$ is radially symmetric with respect to the x variable and it is a minimizer for

$$\nu_s = \frac{1}{3} \inf_{(U,V) \in (H^1(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}; t^{1-2s}) \times \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{d_s^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} |\nabla U|^2 t^{1-2s} dt dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla V|^2}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V U^2}. \quad (3.3)$$

Moreover, by (3.1), system (Q*) become to

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(t^{1-2s}\nabla\mathcal{H}(u)) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} \\ d_s^{-1} t^{1-2s} \mathcal{H}(u)_t + \mathcal{H}(u) = 2\nu_s v \mathcal{H}(u) & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N \\ -\operatorname{div}(\nabla v) = \nu_s \mathcal{H}(u)^2 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

3.2 Nondegeneracy

In this section, we assume that $u_s \in \mathcal{M}_s$ and we prove that it is nondegenerate for s sufficiently close to 1. For this, we denote by \perp_{H^s} and $\perp_{\dot{H}^1}$ the orthogonality relation in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ respectively.

From now on, we will use the uniqueness and nondegeneracy results for the Schrödinger-Newton equation

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + u = 2\nu_1 v u & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ -\Delta v = \nu_1 u^2 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

where $N = 3, 4, 5$, see [23, 4]. Namely, we recall that there exists a unique radial minimizer ($U_1(x) = \bar{U}_1(|x|)$, $V_1(x) = \bar{V}_1(|x|)$) for ν_1 , such that

$$\text{Ker}(\mathcal{L}_1^+) = \text{span}\{(\partial_j U_1, \partial_j V_1), j = 1, \dots, N\}. \quad (3.6)$$

Define the energy functional $I_s : H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \times \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ for (Q^*) as

$$I_s(u, v) := \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{H^s}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|v\|_{\dot{H}^1}^2 - \nu_s \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2 v dx.$$

Moreover,

$$\langle I'_s(u, v), (\varphi, \psi) \rangle = (u, \varphi)_{H^s} + (v, \psi)_{\dot{H}^1} - 2\nu_s \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} uv\varphi dx - \nu_s \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2 \psi dx.$$

And the second order Gateaux derivative $I''_s(u_s, v_s)$ possess the following property.

Lemma 3.1. *For every $\varphi \perp_{H^s} u_s$ and $\psi \perp_{\dot{H}^1} v_s$ we have that*

$$0 \leq I''_s(u_s, v_s)[(\varphi, \psi), (\varphi, \psi)] = \|\varphi\|_{H^s}^2 + \|\psi\|_{\dot{H}^1}^2 - 2\nu_s \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_s \varphi^2 dx - 4\nu_s \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_s \varphi \psi dx.$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $\varphi \perp_{H^s} u_s$ and $\psi \perp_{\dot{H}^1} v_s$, we have

$$\|\varepsilon\varphi + u_s\|_{H^s}^2 = \varepsilon^2\|\varphi\|_{H^s}^2 + \|u_s\|_{H^s}^2, \quad \|\varepsilon\psi + v_s\|_{\dot{H}^1}^2 = \varepsilon^2\|\psi\|_{\dot{H}^1}^2 + \|v_s\|_{\dot{H}^1}^2. \quad (3.7)$$

Moreover, by using the system (Q^*) , we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_s u_s \varphi dx = 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_s^2 \psi dx = 0.$$

Recalling also that functions in \mathcal{M}_s are normalized with

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_s u_s^2 dx = 1,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\varepsilon\psi + v_s)(\varepsilon\varphi + u_s)^2 dx \\ &= 1 + \varepsilon^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi^2 \psi dx + 2\varepsilon^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \psi \varphi u_s dx + \varepsilon^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi^2 v_s dx + \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_s^2 \psi dx + 2\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_s \varphi v_s dx \\ &= 1 + \varepsilon^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi^2 \psi dx + 2\varepsilon^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \psi \varphi u_s dx + \varepsilon^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi^2 v_s dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Now we recall the Taylor expansion

$$\frac{1}{1+x} = 1 - x + O(x^2) \quad (3.9)$$

for small x . Thus, by inserting (3.8) and (3.9), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\varepsilon\psi + v_s)|\varepsilon\varphi + u_s|^2)} = 1 - \varepsilon^2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi^2 v_s + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \psi \varphi u_s \right) + O(\varepsilon^3).$$

From this and (3.7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\|\varepsilon\varphi + u_s\|_{H^s}^2 + \|\varepsilon\psi + v_s\|_{\dot{H}^1}^2}{\int (\varepsilon\psi + v_s)|\varepsilon\varphi + u_s|^2} \\
&= \left(1 - \varepsilon^2 \left(\int \varphi^2 v_s + 2 \int \psi\varphi u_s\right) + O(\varepsilon^3)\right) (\|u_s\|_{H^s}^2 + \|v_s\|_{\dot{H}^1}^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\varphi\|_{H^s}^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\psi\|_{\dot{H}^1}^2) \\
&= \|u_s\|_{H^s}^2 + \|v_s\|_{\dot{H}^1}^2 + \varepsilon^2 \left(\|\varphi\|_{H^s}^2 + \|\psi\|_{\dot{H}^1}^2 - (\|u_s\|_{H^s}^2 + \|v_s\|_{\dot{H}^1}^2) \left(\int \varphi^2 v_s + 2 \int \psi\varphi u_s\right)\right) \\
&\quad + O(\varepsilon^3) \\
&= \|u_s\|_{H^s}^2 + \|v_s\|_{\dot{H}^1}^2 + \varepsilon^2 \left(\|\varphi\|_{H^s}^2 + \|\psi\|_{\dot{H}^1}^2 - \nu_s \left(\int \varphi^2 v_s + 2 \int \psi\varphi u_s\right)\right) + O(\varepsilon^3).
\end{aligned}$$

Then the desired result follows since (u_s, v_s) attains the minimal value $\nu_s = \|u_s\|_{H^s}^2 + \|v_s\|_{\dot{H}^1}^2$.

□

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\Phi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}; t^{1-2s})$, $\Psi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
d_s^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} \nabla \Phi \cdot \nabla \mathcal{H}(u_s) t^{1-2s} dt dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi \mathcal{H}(u_s) dx &= 0, \\
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla \Psi \cdot \nabla v_s dx &= 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& I_s''(\mathcal{H}(u_s), v_s)[(\Phi, \Psi), (\Phi, \Psi)] \\
&= d_s^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} |\nabla \Phi|^2 t^{1-2s} dz + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi^2 dx \\
&\quad - 2\nu_s \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_s \Phi^2 dx - 4\nu_s \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi \Psi u_s dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \Psi|^2 dx \\
&\geq 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

In particular for any $(h, l) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}_{++}^2; t^{1-2s} r^{N-1}) \times \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}_+; r^{N-1})$

$$\begin{aligned}
& A_1((h, l), (h, l)) \\
&:= \int_{\mathbb{R}_{++}^2} h_t^2 t^{1-2s} r^{N-1} dt dr + (N-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}_{++}^2} h^2 t^{1-2s} r^{N-3} dt dr + \int_{\mathbb{R}_{++}^2} h_r^2 t^{1-2s} r^{N-1} dt dr \\
&\quad + d_s \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} h^2 r^{N-1} dr \\
&\quad - 2\nu_s d_s \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} v_s h^2 r^{N-1} dr - 4\nu_s d_s \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} h l u_s r^{N-1} dr \\
&\quad + d_s \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} l_r^2 r^{N-1} dr + (N-1) d_s \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} l^2 r^{N-3} dr \\
&\geq 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Proof. The proof of (3.11) is similar to the proof of Lemma 3.1, since $\mathcal{H}(u_s)$ minimizes (3.3). Next, let $h \in H^1(\mathbb{R}_{++}^2; t^{1-2s} r^{N-1})$, $l \in \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}_+; r^{N-1})$ and define $\Phi_i(x) := h(t, |x|) \frac{x^i}{|x|}$, $\Psi_i(x) :=$

$l(|x|)\frac{x^i}{|x|}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t \Phi_i &= h_t \frac{x_i}{r}, \quad \partial_i \Phi_i = \frac{x_i}{r} \left(h_r \frac{x_i}{r} - h \frac{x_i}{r^2} \right) + h \frac{1}{r}, \quad \partial_j \Phi_i = \frac{x_i}{r} \left(h_r \frac{x_j}{r} - h \frac{x_j}{r^2} \right), \quad j \neq i; \\ \partial_i \Psi_i &= \frac{x_i}{r} \left(l_r \frac{x_i}{r} - l \frac{x_i}{r^2} \right) + l \frac{1}{r}, \quad \partial_j \Psi_i = \frac{x_i}{r} \left(l_r \frac{x_j}{r} - l \frac{x_j}{r^2} \right), \quad j \neq i,\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_i (\partial_t \Phi_i)^2 &= h_t^2; \\ \sum_i (\partial_i \Phi_i)^2 + \sum_{j \neq i} \sum_i (\partial_j \Phi_i)^2 &= h_r^2 + (N-1) \frac{h^2}{r^2}; \\ \sum_i (\partial_i \Psi_i)^2 + \sum_{j \neq i} \sum_i (\partial_j \Psi_i)^2 &= l_r^2 + (N-1) \frac{l^2}{r^2}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.13}$$

Since $\mathcal{H}(u_s)$ is radial in the x variable, by odd symmetry we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla \Phi \nabla \mathcal{H}(u_s) dx &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi \Delta \mathcal{H}(u_s) dx = 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi \mathcal{H}(u_s) dx = 0, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla \Psi \nabla v_s dx &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Psi \Delta v_s dx = 0,\end{aligned}$$

and so Φ, Ψ satisfies (3.10). Then (3.10), (3.11) and (3.13) yield (3.12). \square

By [12], for linearized operators L_+ arising from ground states Q for NLS with local nonlinearities, it is a well-known fact that $\text{Ker} L_+ = \{0\}$ when L_+ is restricted to radial functions implies that $\text{Ker} L_+$ is spanned by $\{\partial_i Q\}_{i=1}^3$.

The proof, however, involves some Sturm-Liouville theory which is not applicable to \mathcal{L}_+ given in (1.9), due to the presence of the nonlocal term. Also, recall that Newton's theorem [14, (9.7.5)] is not at our disposal, since we do not restrict ourselves to radial functions anymore. To overcome this difficulty, we have to develop Perron-Frobenius-type arguments for the action of \mathcal{L}_+ with respect to decomposition into spherical harmonics.

Now we consider the spherical harmonics on \mathbb{R}^N for $N \geq 2$, i.e., the solution of the classical eigenvalue problem

$$-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} Y_k^i = \lambda_k Y_k^i \quad \text{on } \mathbb{S}^{N-1}.$$

Let n_k be the multiplicity of λ_k .

Proposition 4. ([11]) *The eigenvalue $\lambda_k = k(k + N - 2)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$.*

$$n_0 = 1, \quad Y_0 = \text{Const}; \quad n_1 = N, \quad Y_1^i = \frac{x^i}{|x|} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, N,$$

and

$$\langle Y_k^i, Y_k^j \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = j; \\ 0, & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 3.3. *Let $(\varphi, \psi) \in \text{Ker}(I_s''(u_s, v_s))$. Then*

$$\varphi = \varphi_0(|x|) + \sum_{i=1}^N c^i \partial_i u_s, \quad \psi = \psi_0(|x|) + \sum_{i=1}^N c^i \partial_i v_s,$$

where $\varphi_0(r) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \varphi(r\theta) d\sigma(\theta)$, $\psi_0(r) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \psi(r\theta) d\sigma(\theta)$ and $c^i \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Let $(\varphi, \psi) \in \text{Ker}(I_s''(u_s, v_s))$ which means

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s \varphi + \varphi = 2\nu_s \psi u_s + 2\nu_s v_s \varphi, \\ -\Delta \psi = 2\nu_s u_s \varphi. \end{cases} \quad (3.14)$$

Let $\mathcal{H}(\varphi) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}; t^{1-2s})$ be the s -harmonic extension of φ which satisfies

$$\begin{cases} d_s^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} \nabla \mathcal{H}(\varphi) \cdot \nabla \Psi t^{1-2s} dt dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi \Psi dx = 2\nu_s \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\psi u_s + v_s \varphi) \Psi dx \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla \psi \cdot \nabla \Phi dx = 2\nu_s \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_s \varphi \Phi dx \end{cases} \quad (3.15)$$

for all $\Psi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}; t^{1-2s})$, $\Phi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Now we decompose $\mathcal{H}(\varphi)$, ψ in the spherical harmonics and we obtain

$$\mathcal{H}(\varphi)(t, x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} f_i^k(t, |x|) Y_k^i\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right), \quad \psi(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} g_i^k(|x|) Y_k^i\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right), \quad (3.16)$$

where $f_i^k \in H^1(\mathbb{R}_{++}^2; t^{1-2s} r^{N-1})$, $g_i^k \in H^1(\mathbb{R}_+; r^{N-1})$.

Remark 1. Since φ and ψ are related, f_i^k and g_i^k are not independent.

By testing the first equation in (3.15) against the function $\Psi = h(t, |x|) Y_k^i$ and using polar coordinates and Proposition 4, we obtain that, for any $h \in H^1(\mathbb{R}_{++}^2; t^{1-2s} r^{N-1})$, any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $i \in [1, n_k]$,

$$\begin{aligned} & A_k((f_i^k, g_i^k), h)_1 \\ & := \int_{\mathbb{R}_{++}^2} (f_i^k)_t h_t t^{1-2s} r^{N-1} dt dr + \int_{\mathbb{R}_{++}^2} (f_i^k)_r h_r t^{1-2s} r^{N-1} dt dr \\ & \quad + \lambda_k \int_{\mathbb{R}_{++}^2} f_i^k h t^{1-2s} r^{N-3} dt dr + d_s \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} f_i^k h r^{N-1} dr \\ & \quad - 2\nu_s d_s \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} g_i^k u_s h r^{N-1} dr - 2\nu_s d_s \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} v_s f_i^k h r^{N-1} dr \\ & = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

By testing the second equation in (3.15) against the function $\Phi = l(|x|) Y_k^i$ and using polar coordinates and Proposition 4, we obtain that, for any $l \in H^1(\mathbb{R}_+; r^{N-1})$, any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and any

$i \in [1, n_k]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& A_k((f_i^k, g_i^k), l)_2 \\
& := \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} (g_i^k)_r l_r r^{N-1} dr + \lambda_k \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} g_i^k l r^{N-3} dr - 2\nu_s \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} u_s f_i^k l r^{N-1} dr \\
& = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

Now we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
& A_k((f_i^k, g_i^k), (f_i^k, g_i^k)) \\
& := A_k((f_i^k, g_i^k), f_i^k)_1 + d_s A_k((f_i^k, g_i^k), g_i^k)_2 \\
& = \int_{\mathbb{R}_{++}^2} |(f_i^k)_t|^2 t^{1-2s} r^{N-1} dt dr + \int_{\mathbb{R}_{++}^2} |(f_i^k)_r|^2 t^{1-2s} r^{N-1} dt dr \\
& \quad + \lambda_k \int_{\mathbb{R}_{++}^2} |f_i^k|^2 t^{1-2s} r^{N-3} dt dr + d_s \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |f_i^k|^2 r^{N-1} dr \\
& \quad - 4\nu_s d_s \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} f_i^k g_i^k u_s r^{N-1} dr - 2\nu_s d_s \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} v_s |f_i^k|^2 r^{N-1} dr \\
& \quad + d_s \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |(g_i^k)_r|^2 r^{N-1} dr + \lambda_k d_s \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |g_i^k|^2 r^{N-3} dr \\
& = A_1((f_i^k, g_i^k), (f_i^k, g_i^k)) + (\lambda_k - (N-1)) \int_{\mathbb{R}_{++}^2} |f_i^k|^2 t^{1-2s} r^{N-3} dt dr \\
& \quad + (\lambda_k - (N-1)) d_s \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |g_i^k|^2 r^{N-3} dr \\
& = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.2 ($A_1((f_i^k, g_i^k), (f_i^k, g_i^k)) \geq 0$) and the fact that $\lambda_k > N-1$ for $k \geq 2$, we obtain from the identities above that

$$\begin{aligned}
0 & = A_k((f_i^k, g_i^k), (f_i^k, g_i^k)) \\
& \geq (\lambda_k - (N-1)) \int_{\mathbb{R}_{++}^2} |f_i^k|^2 t^{1-2s} r^{N-3} dt dr \\
& \quad + (\lambda_k - (N-1)) d_s \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |g_i^k|^2 r^{N-3} dr.
\end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, $f_i^k = 0$ for every $k \geq 2$. Accordingly, (3.16) becomes

$$\mathcal{H}(\varphi)(t, x) = \sum_{i=1}^N f_i^1(t, |x|) Y_1^i\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right), \quad \psi(x) = \sum_{i=1}^N g_i^1(|x|) Y_1^i\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right).$$

To complete the proof we need to characterize f_i^1 and g_i^1 . For this, we notice that, for $i = 1, \dots, N$, the function

$$f_i^1(t, r) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \mathcal{H}(\varphi)(t, r\theta) \theta^i d\sigma(\theta), \quad g_i^1(r) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \psi(r\theta) \theta^i d\sigma(\theta)$$

satisfies $f_i^1(t, 0) = 0$, $g_i^1(0) = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& A_1((f_i^1, g_i^1), h)_1 \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}_{++}^2} (f_i^1)_t h_t t^{1-2s} r^{N-1} dt dr + \int_{\mathbb{R}_{++}^2} (f_i^1)_r h_r t^{1-2s} r^{N-1} dt dr \\
&\quad + (N-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}_{++}^2} f_i^1 h t^{1-2s} r^{N-3} dt dr + d_s \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} f_i^1 h r^{N-1} dr \\
&\quad - 2\nu_s d_s \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} g_i^1 u_s h r^{N-1} dr - 2\nu_s d_s \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} v_s f_i^1 h r^{N-1} dr \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& A_1((f_i^1, g_i^1), l)_2 \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} (g_i^1)_r l_r r^{N-1} dr + (N-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} g_i^1 l r^{N-3} dr - 2\nu_s \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} u_s f_i^1 l r^{N-1} dr \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

for every $h \in H^1(\mathbb{R}_+^2; t^{1-2s} r^{N-1})$ and $l \in H^1(\mathbb{R}_+; r^{N-1})$, due to $\lambda_1 = N-1$ and (3.17)-(3.18).

Now we define $\bar{U}(t, |x|) = \mathcal{H}(u_s)(t, x)$ and $\bar{v}(|x|) = v_s(x)$. Note that $\partial_r(r^{N-1}\partial_r\bar{U}) = r^{N-1}\Delta_x\mathcal{H}(u_s)$ and

$$r^{N-1}\operatorname{div}_{t,x}(t^{1-2s}\nabla_{t,x}\mathcal{H}(u_s)) = \operatorname{div}_{t,r}(t^{1-2s}r^{N-1}\nabla_{t,r}\bar{U}).$$

Then we have

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \operatorname{div}(t^{1-2s}r^{N-1}\nabla\bar{U}) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_{++}^2, \\ \lim_{t \searrow 0} -t^{1-2s}r^{N-1}\bar{U}_t + d_s r^{N-1}\bar{U} = d_s r^{N-1}2\nu_s\bar{U}v_s \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}_+, \\ -\partial_{rr}\bar{v} - \frac{N-1}{r}\partial_r\bar{v} = \nu_s\bar{U}^2 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}_+, \\ \lim_{r \searrow 0} r^{N-1}\bar{U}_r(t, 0) = 0, \quad \lim_{r \searrow 0} r^{N-1}\bar{v}_r = 0. \end{array} \right.$$

We differentiating the above equation with respect to r . We obtain

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \operatorname{div}(t^{1-2s}r^{N-1}\nabla\bar{U}_r) + (N-1)t^{1-2s}r^{N-3}\bar{U}_r = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_{++}^2, \\ \lim_{t \searrow 0} -t^{1-2s}r^{N-1}\bar{U}_{rt} + d_s r^{N-1}\bar{U}_r = 2\nu_s d_s r^{N-1}(\bar{U}_r\bar{v} + \bar{U}\bar{v}_r) \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}_+, \\ -\partial_r \left(\frac{1}{r^{N-1}}\partial_r(r^{N-1}\bar{v}_r) \right) = 2\nu_s\bar{U}\bar{U}_r \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}_+, \\ \lim_{r \searrow 0} r^{N-1}\bar{U}_r = 0, \quad \lim_{r \searrow 0} r^{N-1}\bar{v}_r = 0. \end{array} \right. \tag{3.21}$$

By Proposition 1 ([5, Theorem 1.1]), \bar{U}, \bar{v} are positive, radially symmetric and decreasing, we may assume that $\bar{U}_r, \bar{v}_r < 0$ on \mathbb{R}_{++}^2 .

Given $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_{++}^2 \cup \{t=0\})$, we define

$$\varsigma := \frac{f}{\bar{U}_r} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}_{++}^2; t^{1-2s} r^{N-1}).$$

Simple computations show that

$$|\nabla f|^2 = |V\nabla\varsigma|^2 + \nabla\bar{U}_r \cdot \nabla(\bar{U}_r\varsigma^2).$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}_{++}^2} |\nabla f|^2 t^{1-2s} r^{N-1} dt dr \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_{++}^2} |\bar{U}_r \nabla\varsigma|^2 t^{1-2s} r^{N-1} dt dr + \int_{\mathbb{R}_{++}^2} \nabla(\bar{U}_r\varsigma^2) \cdot (t^{1-2s} r^{N-1} \nabla\bar{U}_r) dt dr. \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

By testing the first equation of (3.21) with $\frac{f^2}{\bar{U}_r} = \bar{U}_r\varsigma^2$, integrating by parts, and note that $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} t^{1-2s} \bar{U}_{rt} \frac{f^2}{\bar{U}_r} = \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} r^{N-1} \bar{U}_{rr} \frac{f^2}{\bar{U}_r} = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} r^{N-1} \bar{U}_{rr} \frac{f^2}{\bar{U}_r} = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (N-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}_{++}^2} f^2 t^{1-2s} r^{N-3} dt dr \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}_{++}^2} \operatorname{div}(t^{1-2s} r^{N-1} \nabla\bar{U}_r) \frac{f^2}{\bar{U}_r} dt dr \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} t^{1-2s} r^{N-1} \bar{U}_{rt} \frac{f^2}{\bar{U}_r} dr - \int_{\mathbb{R}_{++}^2} \nabla(\bar{U}_r\varsigma^2) \cdot (t^{1-2s} r^{N-1} \nabla\bar{U}_r) dt dr. \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

By testing the second equation of (3.21) with $\frac{f^2}{\bar{U}_r} = \bar{U}_r\varsigma^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} & d_s \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} f^2 r^{N-1} dr - d_s 2\nu_s \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} (\bar{v} + \bar{U}\bar{v}_r/\bar{U}_r) f^2 r^{N-1} dr \\ &= - \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} t^{1-2s} r^{N-1} \bar{U}_{rt} \frac{f^2}{\bar{U}_r} dr. \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

By (3.22)-(3.24), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}_{++}^2} |\nabla f|^2 t^{1-2s} r^{N-1} dt dr + (N-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}_{++}^2} f^2 t^{1-2s} r^{N-3} dt dr \\ &+ d_s \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} f^2 r^{N-1} dr - d_s 2\nu_s \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} (\bar{v} + \bar{U}\bar{v}_r/\bar{U}_r) f^2 r^{N-1} dr \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_{++}^2} |\bar{U}_r \nabla\varsigma|^2 t^{1-2s} r^{N-1} dt dr. \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

Note from (3.19) that

$$\begin{aligned} & A_1((f, g), f)_1 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_{++}^2} f^2 t^{1-2s} r^{N-1} dt dr + \int_{\mathbb{R}_{++}^2} f_r^2 t^{1-2s} r^{N-1} dt dr \\ &+ (N-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}_{++}^2} f^2 t^{1-2s} r^{N-3} dt dr + d_s \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} f^2 r^{N-1} dr \\ &- 2\nu_s d_s \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} f g \bar{U} r^{N-1} dr - 2\nu_s d_s \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \bar{v} f^2 r^{N-1} dr. \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

By (3.25) and (3.26), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& A_1((f, g), f)_1 \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} |\bar{U}_r \nabla(f/\bar{U}_r)|^2 t^{1-2s} r^{N-1} dt dr - 2\nu_s d_s \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} f g \bar{U} r^{N-1} dr \\
&\quad + d_s 2\nu_s \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \bar{U} \bar{v}_r / \bar{U}_r f^2 r^{N-1} dr.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

Given $g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$, by testing the third equation of (3.21) with $\frac{g^2}{\bar{v}_r} r^{N-1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2\nu_s \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \bar{U} \frac{\bar{U}_r}{\bar{v}_r} g^2 r^{N-1} dr \\
&= - \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \partial_r \left(\frac{1}{r^{N-1}} \partial_r (r^{N-1} \bar{v}_r) \right) r^{N-1} \frac{g^2}{\bar{v}_r} dr \\
&:= I
\end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
I &= (N-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{1}{r^{N-1}} \partial_r (r^{N-1} \bar{v}_r) r^{N-2} \frac{g^2}{\bar{v}_r} dr + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{1}{r^{N-1}} \partial_r (r^{N-1} \bar{v}_r) r^{N-1} \partial_r \left(\frac{g^2}{\bar{v}_r} \right) dr \\
&= (N-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \partial_r (r^{N-1} \bar{v}_r) \frac{g^2}{r \bar{v}_r} dr + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \partial_r (r^{N-1} \bar{v}_r) \frac{2gg_r \bar{v}_r - g^2 \bar{v}_{rr}}{\bar{v}_r^2} dr \\
&= - (N-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} r^{N-1} \bar{v}_r \left(-\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{g^2}{\bar{v}_r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{2gg_r \bar{v}_r - g^2 \bar{v}_{rr}}{\bar{v}_r^2} \right) dr \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} ((N-1)r^{N-2} \bar{v}_r + r^{N-1} \bar{v}_{rr}) \frac{2gg_r \bar{v}_r - g^2 \bar{v}_{rr}}{\bar{v}_r^2} dr \\
&= (N-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} g^2 r^{N-3} dr - \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \left[\left(\frac{\bar{v}_{rr}}{\bar{v}_r} g \right)^2 - 2 \frac{\bar{v}_{rr}}{\bar{v}_r} gg_r \right] r^{N-1} dr.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2\nu_s \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \bar{U} \frac{\bar{U}_r}{\bar{v}_r} g^2 r^{N-1} dr \\
&= (N-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} g^2 r^{N-3} dr - \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \left[\left(\frac{\bar{v}_{rr}}{\bar{v}_r} g \right)^2 - 2 \frac{\bar{v}_{rr}}{\bar{v}_r} gg_r \right] r^{N-1} dr.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

Note from (3.20) that

$$A_1((f, g), g)_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} g_r^2 r^{N-1} dr + (N-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} g^2 r^{N-3} dr - 2\nu_s \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \bar{U} f g r^{N-1} dr. \tag{3.29}$$

By (3.28) and (3.29), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& A_1((f, g), g)_2 = 2\nu_s \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \bar{U} \frac{\bar{U}_r}{\bar{v}_r} g^2 r^{N-1} dr - 2\nu_s \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \bar{U} f g r^{N-1} dr \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \left[g_r^2 + \left(\frac{\bar{v}_{rr}}{\bar{v}_r} g \right)^2 - 2 \frac{\bar{v}_{rr}}{\bar{v}_r} gg_r \right] r^{N-1} dr.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

Combining (3.30) and (3.27), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1((f, g), (f, g)) &= A_1((f, g), f)_1 + d_s A_1((f, g), g)_2 \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}_{++}^2} |\bar{U}_r \nabla(f/\bar{U}_r)|^2 t^{1-2s} r^{N-1} dt dr + 2\nu_s d_s \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \left(\frac{\bar{v}_r}{\bar{U}_r} f^2 + \frac{\bar{U}_r}{\bar{v}_r} g^2 - 2fg \right) \bar{U} r^{N-1} dr \\
&\quad + d_s \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \left[g_r^2 + \left(\frac{\bar{v}_{rr}}{\bar{v}_r} g \right)^2 - 2 \frac{\bar{v}_{rr}}{\bar{v}_r} g g_r \right] r^{N-1} dr.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

Therefore, by elementary inequality

$$a^2 + b^2 - 2ab \geq 0,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1((f, g), (f, g)) &\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}_{++}^2} |\bar{U}_r \nabla(f/\bar{U}_r)|^2 t^{1-2s} r^{N-1} dt dr \\
&\quad + 2\nu_s d_s \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \left(\sqrt{\frac{\bar{v}_r}{\bar{U}_r}} f - \sqrt{\frac{\bar{U}_r}{\bar{v}_r}} g \right)^2 \bar{U} r^{N-1} dr.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.32}$$

In particular, by density we have that, for every $i = 1, \dots, N$,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 = A_1((f_i^1, g_i^1), (f_i^1, g_i^1)) &\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}_{++}^2} \left| \bar{U}_r \nabla \left(\frac{f_i^1}{\bar{U}_r} \right) \right|^2 t^{1-2s} r^{N-1} dt dr \\
&\quad + 2\nu_s d_s \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \left(\sqrt{\frac{\bar{v}_r}{\bar{U}_r}} f_i^1 - \sqrt{\frac{\bar{U}_r}{\bar{v}_r}} g_i^1 \right)^2 \bar{U} r^{N-1} dr.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that the last two terms vanish and therefore

$$\frac{f_i^1}{\bar{U}_r} = \frac{g_i^1}{\bar{v}_r} \equiv c^i$$

for some constant $c^i \in \mathbb{R}$. We then conclude that

$$f_i^1(0, |x|) = c^i \partial_r \bar{U}(0, |x|), \quad g_i^1(0, |x|) = c^i \partial_r \bar{v}(0, |x|) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Thus, we have proved that for any $(\varphi, \psi) \in \text{Ker}(I_s''(u_s, v_s))$

$$\mathcal{H}(\varphi)(0, x) = \varphi(x) = f_1^0(0, |x|) + \sum_{i=1}^N f_i^1(0, |x|) \frac{x^i}{|x|} = f_1^0(0, |x|) + \sum_{i=1}^N c^i \partial_i u_s(x),$$

and

$$\psi(x) = g_1^0(|x|) + \sum_{i=1}^N g_i^1(|x|) \frac{x^i}{|x|} = g_1^0(|x|) + \sum_{i=1}^N c^i \partial_i v_s(x),$$

as desired. □

Now we are ready to prove our nondegeneracy result for s close to 1.

3.3 Completion of the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Let $(w_s, \vartheta_s) \in \text{Ker}(I_s''(u_s, v_s))$ be a radial function. To proof Theorem 1.1, according to Lemma 3.3, it is suffice to prove the following Claim.

Claim: If s is close to 1, we have $w_s = \vartheta_s \equiv 0$.

Assume by contradiction that there exists a sequence s_n -still denoted by s - with $s \nearrow 1$ and such that $(w_s, \vartheta_s) \neq (0, 0)$. Up to normalization, we can assum that $\int (I_2 \star w_s^2) w_s^2 dx = 1$. By Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, using equation (3.14) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_s\|_{H^s}^2 &= 2\nu_s \int v_s w_s^2 dx + 2\nu_s \int u_s w_s \vartheta_s dx = (2\nu_s)^2 \int (I_2 \star u_s^2) w_s^2 dx + (2\nu_s)^2 \int (I_2 \star u_s w_s) u_s w_s dx; \\ \|\vartheta_s\|_{\dot{H}^1}^2 &= 2\nu_s \int u_s w_s \vartheta_s dx = (2\nu_s)^2 \int (I_2 \star u_s w_s) u_s w_s dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.33)$$

In order to prove the boundedness of w_s in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we need some properties of the Riesz potential I_α . First, by the semigroup property of the Riesz potential, we have the following conclusion.

Proposition 5. ([15, Proposition 2.2]) *Let $\alpha \in (0, N)$ and $f, g \in L^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then*

$$\int (I_\alpha \star f) g dx = \int (I_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \star f) (I_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \star g) dx = \int (I_\alpha \star g) f dx. \quad (3.34)$$

Moreover,

$$\int (I_\alpha \star f) g dx \leq \left[\int (I_\alpha \star f) f dx \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\int (I_\alpha \star g) g dx \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (3.35)$$

Corollary 2. *Let $u, v \in L^{\frac{4N}{N+2}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.*

$$\int (I_2 \star uv) uv dx \leq \int (I_2 \star u^2) v^2 dx \leq \left(\int (I_2 \star u^2) u^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int (I_2 \star v^2) v^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Proof. For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, by the Hölder inequality, one has

$$|I_2 \star uv|(x) \leq (I_2 \star u^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} (I_2 \star v^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}(x).$$

Then, using the Hölder inequality again, one has

$$\int (I_2 \star uv) uv dx \leq \left(\int (I_2 \star u^2) v^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int (I_2 \star v^2) u^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Therefore, by Proposition 5, we get the conclusion. \square

Using (3.33), Proposition 5, Corollary 2 and $\int v_s u_s^2 dx = \int (I_2 \star u_s^2) u_s^2 dx = 1$, one has

$$\|w_s\|_{H^s}^2 + \|\vartheta_s\|_{\dot{H}^1}^2 \leq \text{Const}. \quad (3.36)$$

By (3.36), w_s is a radial sequence and bounded in $H^t(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for every $t \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. Then by Strauss's compactness embedding, up to a subsequence,

$$w_s \rightarrow w \text{ in } L^q(\mathbb{R}^N) \forall q \in (2, 2^*), \text{ as } s \rightarrow 1.$$

Recalling Lemma 2.1 ($\|u_s\|_{H^s} \leq \nu_s \leq C$), we have (up to a subsequence) $u_s \rightarrow U_1$ in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for every $q \in (2, 2^*)$. Since we have uniform decay bounds at infinity and uniform L^∞ bounds (recall Lemma 2.2), this and the interpolation inequality implies that the convergence also holds for $q \in (1, 2]$. That is

$$u_s \rightarrow U_1 \text{ in } L^q(\mathbb{R}^N) \forall q \in (1, 2^*), \text{ as } s \rightarrow 1.$$

On the other hand, since ϑ_s, v_s are bounded in $\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \subset H_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we have (up to a subsequence)

$$\vartheta_s \rightarrow \vartheta, \quad v_s \rightarrow V_1 \text{ in } L_{loc}^q(\mathbb{R}^N) \forall q \in (1, 2^*), \text{ as } s \rightarrow 1.$$

Then by the Hölder inequality and $2 \in (1, 2^*)$, for any $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ we have

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_s \vartheta_s \varphi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} U_1 \vartheta \varphi.$$

By using the Hölder inequality with conjugate exponentials: $2 + \epsilon \in (2, 2^*)$ and $(2 + \epsilon)' \in (1, 2)$, for any $\varphi, \phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ we have

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} w_s v_s \varphi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} w V_1 \varphi, \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} w_s u_s \phi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} w U_1 \phi.$$

In particular, by $\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ we have $\vartheta_s \rightarrow \vartheta$ in $L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. By $\frac{4N}{N+2} \in (1, 2^*)$ we have $w_s^2 \rightarrow w^2$ in $L^{\frac{2N}{N+2}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Next we observe that (w_s, ϑ_s) is a solution of the linearized equation and therefore for any $\varphi, \phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$

$$\begin{cases} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} w_s (-\Delta)^s \varphi dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} w_s \varphi dx = 2\nu_s \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} w_s v_s \varphi dx + 2\nu_s \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_s \vartheta_s \varphi dx, \\ - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \vartheta_s \Delta \phi dx = 2\nu_s \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} w_s u_s \phi dx, \end{cases}$$

so by (2.2), Lemma 2.3, $w_s \rightarrow w$ in $L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and the fact that $(-\Delta)^s \varphi \rightarrow -\Delta \varphi$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ thanks to Proposition 3, we infer that

$$\begin{cases} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} w \Delta \varphi dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} w \varphi dx = 2\nu_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} w V_1 \varphi dx + 2\nu_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} U_1 \vartheta \varphi dx, \\ - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \vartheta \Delta \phi dx = 2\nu_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} w U_1 \phi dx, \end{cases}$$

Applying Fatou lemma to (3.36), we get $w \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\vartheta \in \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. We then conclude that (w, ϑ) is radial, nontrivial and belongs to $\text{Ker}(I_1''(U_1, V_1))$. This is clearly a contradiction and the claim is proved.

4 The proof of Theorem 1.2

4.1 Convert the system (Q*) into a single equation

The system (Q*) equivalent to

$$(-\Delta)^s u + u = 2\nu_s^2 (I_2 \star u^2)u. \quad (\text{P}^*)$$

The ground state for (P*) equivalent to the minimizer for

$$2\nu_s^2 = \inf_{u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|u\|_{H^s}^2}{\|u\|_{HL}^2}, \quad (4.1)$$

up to scaling, where

$$\|u\|_{HL} := \left(\int (I_2 \star u^2)u^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

Let \mathcal{M}_s be still defined as the space of these positive, radially symmetric minimizers $u_s \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for (4.1) normalized so that $\|u_s\|_{HL} = 1$.

The energy functional J_s associated to (P*) defined as

$$J_s(u, \nu) := \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{H^s}^2 - \frac{\nu^2}{2} \|u\|_{HL}^4.$$

To study J_s in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we need the Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev inequality (or abbreviated H-L-S inequality).

Proposition 6. [18] *Let $t, r > 1$ and $0 < \alpha < N$ with $\frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{r} = 1 + \frac{\alpha}{N}$, $f \in L^t(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $h \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$. There exists a constant $C(N, \alpha, t, r)$, independent of f, h , such that*

$$\|I_\alpha \star h\|_{L^{t'}} \leq C(N, \alpha, t, r) \|h\|_{L^r}$$

and

$$\int (I_\alpha \star h) f dx \leq C(N, \alpha, t, r) \|f\|_{L^t} \|h\|_{L^r}.$$

Combining the H-L-S inequality and the Sobolev inequality yields

$$\|u\|_{HL}^4 \leq C \|u\|_{L^{\frac{4N}{N+2}}}^4 \leq C \|u\|_{H^s}^4, \quad N < 4s + 2 \Rightarrow \frac{4N}{N+2} < \frac{2N}{N-2s}. \quad (4.2)$$

Furthermore, in [17] the authors noted that $\|u\|_{HL}^4$ is naturally settled in the so called Coulomb spaces L^{HL} , defined as the vector spaces of measurable functions $u : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\|u\|_{HL}$ is finite. They also proved that the quantity $\|u\|_{HL}$ defines a norm, which will guarantees the convexity of the functional $\|\cdot\|_{HL}^4$. Hence, inequality (4.2) corresponds to the embedding

$$H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \subset L^{\frac{4N}{N+2}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \subset L^{HL}.$$

The paper [17] then introduces and carefully studies the Coulomb-Sobolev spaces and regularity properties in this framework.

4.2 Preliminary observations

First, we observe that for every $\varphi \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$J_s''(u_s, \nu_s)[\varphi, \varphi] = \|\varphi\|_{H^s}^2 - 2\nu_s^2 \int (I_2 \star u_s^2) \varphi^2 dx - 4\nu_s^2 \int (I_2 \star u_s \varphi) u_s \varphi dx. \quad (4.3)$$

Similar to $I_s''(u_s, \nu_s)$, $J_s''(u_s, \nu_s)$ also have the following property.

Lemma 4.1. *For every $\varphi \perp_{H^s} u_s$,*

$$J_s''(u_s, \nu_s)[\varphi, \varphi] \geq 0.$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $\varphi \perp_{H^s} u_s$, we have

$$\|\varepsilon\varphi + u_s\|_{H^s}^2 = \varepsilon^2 \|\varphi\|_{H^s}^2 + \|u_s\|_{H^s}^2. \quad (4.4)$$

We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int (I_2 \star |\varepsilon\varphi + u_s|^2) |\varepsilon\varphi + u_s|^2 dx \\ &= \int (I_2 \star |u_s|^2) |u_s|^2 dx + \varepsilon^4 \int (I_2 \star |\varphi|^2) |\varphi|^2 dx + 2\varepsilon^2 \int (I_2 \star |u_s|^2) |\varphi|^2 dx \\ & \quad + 4\varepsilon \int (I_2 \star u_s^2) u_s \varphi dx + 4\varepsilon^3 \int (I_2 \star \varphi^2) u_s \varphi dx + 4\varepsilon^2 \int (I_2 \star u_s \varphi) u_s \varphi dx. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Furthermore, by testing (P*) against φ and using again that $\varphi \perp_{H^s} u_s$, we conclude that

$$\int (I_2 \star u_s^2) u_s \varphi dx = 0,$$

hence the first order in ε in (4.5) vanishes. Consequently, recalling also that functions in \mathcal{M}_s are normalized with $\|u\|_{HL} = 1$, we write (4.5) as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int (I_2 \star |\varepsilon\varphi + u_s|^2) |\varepsilon\varphi + u_s|^2 dx \\ &= 1 + 2\varepsilon^2 \left(2 \int (I_2 \star u_s \varphi) u_s \varphi dx + \int (I_2 \star u_s^2) \varphi^2 dx \right) + O(\varepsilon^3). \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Now we recall the Taylor expansion

$$\frac{1}{(1+x)^{\frac{1}{2}}} = 1 - \frac{1}{2}x + O(x^2) \quad (4.7)$$

for small x . Thus, by inserting (4.6) and (4.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\left(\int (I_2 \star |\varepsilon\varphi + u_s|^2) |\varepsilon\varphi + u_s|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ &= 1 - \varepsilon^2 \left(2 \int (I_2 \star u_s \varphi) u_s \varphi dx + \int (I_2 \star u_s^2) \varphi^2 dx \right) + O(\varepsilon^3). \end{aligned}$$

From this and (4.4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\|\varepsilon\varphi + u_s\|_{H^s}^2}{\left(\int (I_2 \star |\varepsilon\varphi + u_s|^2) |\varepsilon\varphi + u_s|^2 dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\
&= \left(1 - \varepsilon^2 \left(2 \int (I_2 \star u_s \varphi) u_s \varphi dx + \int (I_2 \star u_s^2) \varphi^2 dx\right) + O(\varepsilon^3)\right) (\varepsilon^2 \|\varphi\|_{H^s}^2 + \|u_s\|_{H^s}^2) \\
&= \|u_s\|_{H^s}^2 + \varepsilon^2 \left(\|\varphi\|_{H^s}^2 - \|u_s\|_{H^s}^2 \int (I_2 \star u_s^2) \varphi^2 dx - 2\|u_s\|_{H^s}^2 \int (I_2 \star u_s \varphi) u_s \varphi dx\right) + O(\varepsilon^3).
\end{aligned}$$

Since u_s attains the minimal value $2\nu_s^2 = \|u_s\|_{H^s}^2$, thus $J_s''(u_s, \nu_s)[\varphi, \varphi] \geq 0$. \square

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\Lambda_s := (Ker(J_s''(u_s, \nu_s)) \oplus \mathbb{R}u_s)^\perp$.*

(i) *We have*

$$J_s''(u_s, \nu_s)[u_s, u_s] = -2\|u_s\|_{H^s}^2. \quad (4.8)$$

(ii) *There exists $s_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that for every $s \in (s_0, 1)$ and every minimizer u_s for $2\nu_s^2$*

$$K(s, u_s) := \inf_{\varphi \in \Lambda_s \setminus \{0\}} \frac{J_s''(u_s, \nu_s)[\varphi, \varphi]}{\|\varphi\|_{H^s}^2} > 0. \quad (4.9)$$

(iii) *Let*

$$\Lambda_s^r := \{\varphi \in H_{rad}^s(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad \varphi \perp_{H^s} u_s\}$$

and

$$K_r(s, u_s) := \inf_{\varphi \in \Lambda_s^r \setminus \{0\}} \frac{J_s''(u_s, \nu_s)[\varphi, \varphi]}{\|\varphi\|_{H^s}^2}.$$

Then there exists $s_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\inf_{s \in (s_0, 1)} \inf_{u \in \mathcal{M}_s} K_r(s, u) > 0. \quad (4.10)$$

Proof. (i). Using (4.3), we immediately get (4.8).

(ii). We first show that for any $\varphi \in \Lambda_s$

$$J_s''(u_s, \nu_s)[\varphi, \varphi] = 0 \Rightarrow \varphi \equiv 0. \quad (4.11)$$

That is to say that $J_s''(u_s, \nu_s)$ defines a scalar product on Λ_s by Lemma 4.1. For this, assume that $\varphi \in \Lambda_s$ and

$$J_s''(u_s, \nu_s)[\varphi, \varphi] = 0.$$

Pick $\psi \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\psi \perp_{H^s} u_s$. We also have $\varphi \perp_{H^s} u_s$ due to $\varphi \in \Lambda_s$. Then by Lemma 4.1, we have

$$J_s''(u_s, \nu_s)[\varphi + \varepsilon\psi, \varphi + \varepsilon\psi] \geq 0.$$

Hence for any $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq J_s''(u_s, \nu_s)[\varphi, \varphi] + 2\varepsilon J_s''(u_s, \nu_s)[\varphi, \psi] + \varepsilon^2 J_s''(u_s, \nu_s)[\psi, \psi] \\
&= 2\varepsilon J_s''(u_s, \nu_s)[\varphi, \psi] + \varepsilon^2 J_s''(u_s, \nu_s)[\psi, \psi].
\end{aligned}$$

Then we conclude that

$$J_s''(u_s, \nu_s)[\varphi, \psi] = 0 \quad \text{for any } \psi \perp_{H^s} u_s. \quad (4.12)$$

Now we observe from $\varphi \perp_{H^s} u_s$ and (P*) that

$$0 = \langle \varphi, u_s \rangle_{H^s} = 2\nu_s^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_2 \star u_s^2) u_s \varphi dx,$$

and so

$$J_s''(u_s, \nu_s)[\varphi, u_s] = \langle \varphi, u_s \rangle_{H^s} - 6\nu_s^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_2 \star u_s^2) u_s \varphi dx = 0.$$

This and (4.12) yield $\varphi \in \text{Ker}(J_s''(u_s, \nu_s))$. Since also $\varphi \perp_{H^s} \text{Ker}(J_s''(u_s, \nu_s))$ it follows that $\varphi = 0$, and (4.11) is proved.

Now we prove (4.9) by contradiction. Assume that exists a sequence $\varphi_n \in \Lambda_s$ such that $\|\varphi_n\|_{H^s} = 1$ and

$$J_s''(u_s, \nu_s)[\varphi_n, \varphi_n] \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.13)$$

Let φ be the weak limit of φ_n in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then, by Lemma 4.1, we have that

$$0 \leq J_s''(u_s, \nu_s)[\varphi, \varphi] \leq \liminf J_s''(u_s, \nu_s)[\varphi_n, \varphi_n] = 0.$$

We deduce from this and (4.11) that $\varphi = 0$, that is

$$\varphi_n \rightharpoonup 0 \quad \text{in } H^s(\mathbb{R}^N). \quad (4.14)$$

Now, since $u_s \in L^{HL}$, given $\varepsilon \geq 0$ there exists $w_\varepsilon \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that

$$\|u_s - w_\varepsilon\|_{HL} < \varepsilon \quad (4.15)$$

By (4.14) and the compact embedding in fractional Sobolev spaces ([6, Theorem 7.1]), we obtain

$$\varphi_n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

and therefore

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_2 \star w_\varepsilon^2) \varphi_n^2 dx \right| \leq \|I_2 \star w_\varepsilon^2\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} \|\varphi_n\|_{L^2(\text{Supp}w_\varepsilon)}^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad (4.16)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Here, we use the estimate: for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2 \star w_\varepsilon^2|(x) &= \int_{B_1(x)} \frac{w_\varepsilon(y)^2}{|x-y|^{N-2}} dy + \int_{B_1^c(x)} \frac{w_\varepsilon(y)^2}{|x-y|^{N-2}} dy \\ &\leq \|w_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty}^2 \int_{B_1(0)} \frac{1}{|y|^{N-2}} dy + \|w_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

We also have from the elementary inequality $|u_s|^2 \leq 2|u_s - w_\varepsilon|^2 + 2|w_\varepsilon|^2$ that

$$\left| \int (I_2 \star u_s^2) \varphi_n^2 dx \right| \leq 2\|u_s - w_\varepsilon\|_{HL}^2 \|\varphi_n\|_{HL}^2 + 2 \int (I_2 \star w_\varepsilon^2) \varphi_n^2 dx \leq \varepsilon^2 \nu_s^{-1} + o(1).$$

This, (4.15) and (4.16) imply that

$$\int (I_2 \star u_s^2) \varphi_n^2 dx = o(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Moreover, by Corollary 2

$$\int (I_2 \star u_s \varphi_n) u_s \varphi_n dx = o(1).$$

Hence, by recalling Lemma 2.1 we obtain

$$J_s''(u_s, \nu_s)[\varphi_n, \varphi_n] = \|\varphi_n\|_{H^s}^2 - 2\nu_s^2 \int (I_2 \star u_s^2) \varphi_n^2 dx - 4\nu_s^2 \int (I_2 \star u_s \varphi_n) u_s \varphi_n dx = 1 + o(1).$$

This is in contradiction with (4.13) and the proof of (4.9) is complete.

(iii). Now we prove (4.10). Assume by contradiction that for every $s_0 \in (0, 1)$

$$\inf_{s \in (s_0, 1]} \inf_{u_s \in \mathcal{M}_s} K_r(s, u_s) = 0.$$

Then there exist a sequence $s_n \nearrow 1$ and radial minimizers u_{s_n} for ν_{s_n} such that

$$K_r(s_n, u_{s_n}) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.17)$$

For fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by the Riesz representation theorem and Ekeland variational principle, we obtain that there exist $f_{n,m} \in \Lambda_s^r$ and a minimizing sequence $\psi_{n,m} \in \Lambda_{s_n}^r$ for $K_r(s_n, u_{s_n})$ such that

$$\|\psi_{n,m}\|_{H^{s_n}} = 1 \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N} \quad (4.18)$$

and

$$J_s''(u_{s_n}, \nu_{s_n})[\psi_{n,m}, v] - K_r(s_n, u_{s_n}) \langle \psi_{n,m}, v \rangle_{H^{s_n}} = \langle f_{n,m}, v \rangle_{H^{s_n}}, \quad \forall v \in \Lambda_{s_n}^r, \quad (4.19)$$

where $\|f_{n,m}\|_{H^{s_n}} \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Then there exists a sequence of sub-indices m_n such that $\|f_{n,m_n}\|_{H^{s_n}} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Recalling Lemma 2.3, we may assume that $\nu_{s_n} \rightarrow \nu_1$ and, by Lemma 2.4, that

$$\|u_{s_n} - U_1\|_{H^2} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.20)$$

In particular, from (4.19) we have

$$J_s''(u_{s_n}, \nu_{s_n})[\psi_{n,m_n}, v] - K_r(s_n, u_{s_n}) \langle \psi_{n,m_n}, v \rangle_{H^{s_n}} = \langle f_{n,m_n}, v \rangle_{H^{s_n}}. \quad (4.21)$$

Let $w \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap \Lambda_1^r$. Then, from (2.7) and (4.20) we have

$$\langle \widehat{w}, \widehat{u_{s_n}} \rangle_{H^{s_n}} = \int (1 + |\xi|^{2s_n}) \widehat{u_{s_n}} \widehat{w} d\xi = o(1) \|\widehat{w}\|_{H^{2s_n+1}},$$

and

$$\int (1 + |\xi|^{2s_n}) (i\xi^j) \widehat{u_{s_n}} \widehat{w} d\xi = o(1) \|\widehat{w}\|_{H^{2s_n+2}}, \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, N.$$

Let

$$v_n = w - \frac{\langle w, u_{s_n} \rangle_{H^{s_n}}}{\|u_{s_n}\|_{H^{s_n}}^2} u_{s_n} \in \Lambda_{s_n}^r.$$

Using it as test function in (4.21) and recalling that $\psi_{n,m_n} \in \Lambda_{s_n}^r$, we get

$$J_s''(u_{s_n}, \nu_{s_n})[\psi_{n,m_n}, w] - K_r(s_n, u_{s_n}) \langle \psi_{n,m_n}, w \rangle_{H^{s_n}} = o(1). \quad (4.22)$$

Since $\|\psi_{n,m_n}\|_{H^{s_n}} = 1$, up to a subsequence, we have $\psi_{n,m_n} \rightharpoonup \psi$ in $H^t(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for every fixed $t \in (0, 1)$. Passing to the limit in (4.22) and recalling (4.17), we get

$$J''(U_1, \nu_1)[\psi, w] = 0 \quad \forall w \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap \Lambda_1^r.$$

Since, by Fatou's lemma, the latter identity implies that $\psi = 0$, because the case $s = 1$ is nondegenerate and $\psi \in \Lambda_1^r$.

That is, $\psi_{n,m_n} \rightharpoonup \psi = 0$ in $H_r^t(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for every fixed $t \in (0, 1)$ and so, by compactness,

$$\psi_{n,m_n} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } L^{\frac{4N}{N+2}}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Also, by (4.21), we have

$$J_s''(u_{s_n}, \nu_{s_n})[\psi_{n,m_n}, \psi_{n,m_n}] - K_r(s_n, u_{s_n}) \|\psi_{n,m_n}\|_{H^{s_n}}^2 = o(1) \quad (4.23)$$

and, by Corollary 2 and H-L-S inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int (I_2 \star u_{s_n} \psi_{n,m_n}) u_{s_n} \psi_{n,m_n} dx \right| &\leq \left| \int (I_2 \star u_{s_n}^2) \psi_{n,m_n}^2 dx \right| \\ &\leq (\|u_{s_n}\|_{HL})^2 (\|\psi_{n,m_n}\|_{HL})^2 \\ &= (\|\psi_{n,m_n}\|_{HL})^2 \\ &\leq \|\psi_{n,m_n}\|_{L^{\frac{4N}{N+2}}}^2 = o(1), \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Therefore, by (4.23) and (4.17) we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\psi_{n,m_n}\|_{H^{s_n}}^2 - 4\nu_{s_n}^2 \int (I_2 \star u_{s_n} \psi_{n,m_n}) u_{s_n} \psi_{n,m_n} dx - 2\nu_{s_n}^2 \int (I_2 \star u_{s_n}^2) \psi_{n,m_n}^2 dx \\ &= o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, passing to the limit, using (4.18) and (4.24), we get $1 - 0 = 0$, that is a contradiction. \square

4.3 Construction of pseudo-minimizers

Define the mapping

$$\Phi_s : H_{rad}^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \mapsto H_{rad}^s(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad \Phi_s(\omega) = J_s'(U_1 + \omega, \nu_s),$$

$\Phi_s(\omega)$ and $J_s'(U_1 + \omega, \nu_s)$ are equal in the following sense: for any $w \in H_{rad}^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, by Riesz representation theorem, there exists $\Phi_s(\omega)$ such that

$$\langle \Phi_s(\omega), w \rangle_{H^s} = J_s'(U_1 + \omega, \nu_s)[w].$$

Lemma 4.3. For every $f \in H_{rad}^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, there exists a unique $\bar{w}^s \in H_{rad}^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that

$$\langle \Phi'_s(0)[\bar{w}^s], w \rangle_{H^s} = \langle f, w \rangle_{H^s} \quad \forall w \in H_{rad}^s(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

In addition there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$\|(\Phi'_s(0))^{-1}\| \leq C_1 \quad \forall s \in (s_0, 1). \quad (4.25)$$

Proof. We observe that

$$\langle \Phi'_s(0)[w'], w \rangle_{H^s} = J''_s(U_1, \nu_s)[w', w].$$

Hence solving the equation

$$\langle \Phi'_s(0)[\bar{w}], w \rangle_{H^s} = \langle f, w \rangle_{H^s} \quad \forall w \in H_{rad}^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

is equivalent to find a solution \bar{w} to the equation

$$J''_s(U_1, \nu_s)[\bar{w}, w] = \langle f, w \rangle_{H^s}, \quad (4.26)$$

for any $w \in H_{rad}^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$. By H-L-S inequality and Hölder inequality, for every $w \in H_{rad}^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |(J''_s(U_1, \nu_s) - J''_s(u_s, \nu_s))[w, w]| \\ &= 2\nu_s^2 \left| \int (I_2 \star (u_s^2 - U_1^2))w^2 dx + 2 \int (I_2 \star (u_s - U_1)w)u_s w dx \right. \\ & \quad \left. + 2 \int (I_2 \star U_1 w)(u_s - U_1)w dx \right| \\ & \leq 2\nu_s^2 C \|u_s - U_1\|_{L^{\frac{4N}{N+2}}} \|w\|_{L^{\frac{4N}{N+2}}}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.27)$$

From Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.3 we know that $\|u_s - U_1\|_{H^s} \rightarrow 0$ and $\nu_s \rightarrow \nu_1$ as $s \nearrow 1$. This implies that $u_s \rightarrow U_1$ in $L^{\frac{4N}{N+2}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Therefore, from (4.27),

$$|J''_s(U_1, \nu_s) - J''_s(u_s, \nu_s)[w, w]| = o(1)\|w\|_{H^s}^2, \quad (4.28)$$

where $o(1)$ is an infinitesimal quantity as $s \nearrow 1$. This together with (4.10) and (4.8) in Lemma 4.2 implies that there exist $C, s_0 > 0$ such that for all $s \in (s_0, 1)$

$$|J''_s(U_1, \nu_s)[v, v]| = |J''_s(u_s, \nu_s)[v, v] + o(1)\|v\|_{H^s}^2| \geq C\|v\|_{H^s}^2 \quad \forall v \in H_{rad}^s(\mathbb{R}^N). \quad (4.29)$$

Hence, by the Lax-Milgram theorem, there exists a unique $\bar{w}^s \in H_{rad}^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that

$$J''_s(U_1, \nu_s)[\bar{w}^s] = f$$

and by (4.29)

$$\|\bar{w}^s\|_{H^s} \leq C\|f\|_{H^s},$$

which gives the desired result. \square

Proposition 7. For every $r > 0$ and $s > 0$, let

$$B_{r,s} = \{\omega \in H_{rad}^s(\mathbb{R}^N) : \|\omega\|_{H^s} \leq r \max\{1-s, |\nu_1 - \nu_s|\}\}.$$

Then there exist $s_0 \in (0, 1)$ and $r_0 > 0$ such that for any $s \in (s_0, 1)$, there exists a unique $\omega^s \in B_{r_0, s_0}$ such that $\Phi_s(\omega^s) = 0$.

Proof. We transform the equation $\Phi_s(\omega) = 0$ to a fixed point problem:

$$\omega = -(\Phi'_s(0))^{-1}\{\Phi_s(0) + Q_s(\omega)\}, \quad (4.30)$$

where

$$Q_s(\omega) := \Phi_s(\omega) - \Phi_s(0) - \Phi'_s(0)[\omega].$$

$Q_s(\omega)$ is well-posed thanks to (4.25). We observe that if $\omega \in H_{rad}^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ then the mapping

$$\omega \mapsto (\Phi'_s(0))^{-1}\{\Phi_s(0) + Q_s(\omega)\}$$

is radial too, since U_1 is radial.

For very $\bar{\omega} \in H_{rad}^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_s(\omega)[\bar{\omega}] &:= \langle Q_s(\omega), \bar{\omega} \rangle_{H^s} \\ &= J'_s(U_1 + \omega, \nu_s)[\bar{\omega}] - J'_s(U_1, \nu_s)[\bar{\omega}] - J''_s(U_1, \nu_s)[\omega, \bar{\omega}] \\ &= 2\nu_s^2 \left(- \int (I_2 \star (U_1 + \omega)^2)(U_1 + \omega)\bar{\omega} dx + \int (I_2 \star (U_1)^2)U_1\bar{\omega} dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int (I_2 \star (U_1)^2)\omega\bar{\omega} dx + 2 \int (I_2 \star U_1\omega)U_1\bar{\omega} dx \right) \\ &= 2\nu_s^2 \left(-2 \int (I_2 \star (U_1\omega))\omega\bar{\omega} dx - \int (I_2 \star \omega^2)(U_1 + \omega)\bar{\omega} dx \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then by H-L-S inequality, Hölder inequality and Sobolev inequality, we obtain

$$|\mathcal{N}_s(\omega)[\bar{\omega}]| \leq C(\|\omega\|_{H^s}^2 + \|\omega\|_{H^s}^3)\|\bar{\omega}\|_{H^s}$$

and

$$\|\mathcal{N}_s(\omega_1) - \mathcal{N}_s(\omega_2)\| \leq C(\|\omega_1\|_{H^s} + \|\omega_1\|_{H^s}^2 + \|\omega_2\|_{H^s} + \|\omega_2\|_{H^s}^2)\|\omega_1 - \omega_2\|_{H^s}.$$

This implies that for every $\|\omega_1\|_{H^s}, \|\omega_2\|_{H^s} < 1$,

$$\|Q_s(\omega_1)\|_{H^s} = \|\mathcal{N}_s(\omega_1)\| \leq C_3\|\omega_1\|_{H^s}^2 \quad (4.31)$$

and

$$\|Q_s(\omega_1) - Q_s(\omega_2)\|_{H^s} = \|\mathcal{N}_s(\omega_1) - \mathcal{N}_s(\omega_2)\| \leq C_3\|\omega_1 - \omega_2\|_{H^s}, \quad (4.32)$$

where C_3 is independent on $s \in (s_0, 1)$.

Now we claim that there exists a constant $C_2 > 0$ independent on $s \in (s_0, 1)$ such that

$$\|\Phi_s(0)\| \leq C_2 \max\{1 - s, |\nu_1 - \nu_s|\}. \quad (4.33)$$

Indeed, we have from (2.7) that

$$|J'_s(U_1, \nu_s)[v] - J'_1(U_1, \nu_1)[v]| \leq (1 - s)C_{\delta, N}\|U_1\|_{H^{2-s+2\delta}}\|v\|_{H^s} + |\nu_1 - \nu_s|\|v\|_{H^s}.$$

Then by (P*), $J'_1(U_1, \nu_1) = 0$, we get (4.33).

Now we solve the fixed point equation (4.30) in the ball

$$B_{r,s} = \{\omega \in H_{rad}^s(\mathbb{R}^N) : \|\omega\|_{H^s} \leq r\alpha_s\}$$

to finish the proof of Proposition 7, where $\alpha_s = \max\{1 - s, |\nu_1 - \nu_s|\}$ and $r > 0$ will be selected later. Indeed for $\omega \in B_{r,s}$, by (4.25), (4.33) and (4.31), we have

$$\|\Phi'_s(0)^{-1}\{\Phi_s(0) + Q_s(\omega)\}\|_{H^s} \leq C_1(C_2\alpha_s + C_3r^2\alpha_s^2).$$

There exists $r_0 > 0$ large and $s_0 = s_0(r_0) \in (0, 1)$ such that for any $s \in (s_0, 1)$ we have

$$C_1(C_2\alpha_s + C_3r^2\alpha_s^2) < C_1(C_2\alpha_{s_0} + C_3r_0^2\alpha_{s_0}^2) \leq r_0\alpha_{s_0}.$$

Since $\lim_{s \nearrow 1} \alpha_s = 0$, then for every $s \in (s_0, 1)$ the mapping

$$\omega \mapsto -(\Phi'_s(0))^{-1}\{\Phi_s(0) + Q_s(\omega)\}$$

maps B_{r_0, s_0} into itself. Select a suitable s_0 , this map is a contraction on B_{r_0, s_0} by (4.32).

Therefore, by the Banach fixed point theorem, for every $s \in (s_0, 1)$, there exists a unique function $\omega^s \in B_{r_0, s_0}$ solving the fixed point equation (4.30). □

4.4 Completion of the proof of Theorem 1.2.

By using the same argument of Sec. 5.2 in [7], we get the uniqueness result.

References

- [1] X. CABRÉ AND Y. SIRE, *Nonlinear equations for fractional Laplacians, I: Regularity, maximum principles, and Hamiltonian estimates*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire, 31 (2014), pp. 23–53.
- [2] L. CAFFARELLI AND L. SILVESTRE, *An extension problem related to the fractional Laplacian*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 32 (2007), pp. 1245–1260.

- [3] S.-Y. A. CHANG AND M. D. M. GONZÁLEZ, *Fractional Laplacian in conformal geometry*, Adv. Math., 226 (2011), pp. 1410–1432.
- [4] G. CHEN, *Nondegeneracy of ground states and multiple semiclassical solutions of the Hartree equation for general dimensions*, Results Math., 76 (2021), pp. Paper No. 34, 31.
- [5] P. D’AVENIA, G. SICILIANO, AND M. SQUASSINA, *On fractional Choquard equations*, Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci., 25 (2015), pp. 1447–1476.
- [6] E. DI NEZZA, G. PALATUCCI, AND E. VALDINOCI, *Hitchhiker’s guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces*, Bull. Sci. Math., 136 (2012), pp. 521–573.
- [7] M. M. FALL AND E. VALDINOCI, *Uniqueness and nondegeneracy of positive solutions of $(-\Delta)^s u + u = u^p$ in \mathbb{R}^N when s is close to 1*, Comm. Math. Phys., 329 (2014), pp. 383–404.
- [8] R. L. FRANK, E. LENZMANN, AND L. SILVESTRE, *Uniqueness of radial solutions for the fractional Laplacian*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 69 (2016), pp. 1671–1726.
- [9] B. GIDAS AND J. SPRUCK, *A priori bounds for positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 6 (1981), pp. 883–901.
- [10] C. R. GRAHAM AND M. ZWORSKI, *Scattering matrix in conformal geometry*, Invent. Math., 152 (2003), pp. 89–118.
- [11] H. GROEMER, *Geometric applications of Fourier series and spherical harmonics*, vol. 61, Cambridge University Press, 1996.
- [12] E. LENZMANN, *Uniqueness of ground states for pseudorelativistic Hartree equations*, Anal. PDE, 2 (2009), pp. 1–27.
- [13] E. H. LIEB, *Existence and uniqueness of the minimizing solution of Choquard’s nonlinear equation*, Studies in Appl. Math., 57 (1976/77), pp. 93–105.
- [14] E. H. LIEB AND M. LOSS, *Analysis, volume 14 of graduate studies in mathematics*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 4 (2001).
- [15] H. LUO, B. RUF, AND C. TARSI, *Bifurcation into spectral gaps for strongly indefinite choquard equations*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.02542, (2022).
- [16] L. MA AND L. ZHAO, *Classification of positive solitary solutions of the nonlinear Choquard equation*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 195 (2010), pp. 455–467.
- [17] C. MERCURI, V. MOROZ, AND J. VAN SCHAFTINGEN, *Groundstates and radial solutions to nonlinear schrödinger–poisson–slater equations at the critical frequency*, Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations, 55 (2016), pp. 1–58.

- [18] V. MOROZ AND J. VAN SCHAFTINGEN, *A guide to the Choquard equation*, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl., 19 (2017), pp. 773–813.
- [19] S. PEKAR, *Untersuchung über die Elektronentheorie der Kristalle*, Akedemie Verlag, Berlin, 1954.
- [20] L. SILVESTRE, *Regularity of the obstacle problem for a fractional power of the Laplace operator*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 60 (2007), pp. 67–112.
- [21] W. A. STRAUSS, *Existence of solitary waves in higher dimensions*, Comm. Math. Phys., 55 (1977), pp. 149–162.
- [22] P. TOD AND I. M. MOROZ, *An analytical approach to the Schrödinger-Newton equations*, Nonlinearity, 12 (1999), pp. 201–216.
- [23] T. WANG AND T. YI, *Uniqueness of positive solutions of the Choquard type equations*, Appl. Anal., 96 (2017), pp. 409–417.
- [24] J. WEI AND M. WINTER, *Strongly interacting bumps for the Schrödinger-Newton equations*, J. Math. Phys., 50 (2009), pp. 012905, 22.
- [25] C.-L. XIANG, *Uniqueness and nondegeneracy of ground states for Choquard equations in three dimensions*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, 55 (2016), pp. Art. 134, 25.