

# AVERAGE ENTROPY AND ASYMPTOTICS

TATYANA BARRON AND MANIMUGDHA SAIKIA

**Abstract.** We determine the  $N \rightarrow \infty$  asymptotics of the expected value of entanglement entropy for pure states in  $H_{1,N} \otimes H_{2,N}$ , where  $H_{1,N}$  and  $H_{2,N}$  are the spaces of holomorphic sections of the  $N$ -th tensor powers of hermitian ample line bundles on compact complex manifolds.

**MSC 2020:** 53D50, 81S10, 81P17

**Keywords:** Kähler manifold, line bundle, semiclassical limit, entanglement entropy

## 1. INTRODUCTION

There are various mathematical notions of entropy. It quantifies chaos, mixing, disorder or complexity. The Shannon entropy used in information theory has a probabilistic interpretation or it can be viewed as a way to quantify information. The Shannon entropy of a probability measure  $P_X$  on a finite set  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$  with masses  $\{p_1, \dots, p_r\}$  ( $p_j = P_X(x_j)$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq r$ ) equals  $-\sum_{j=1}^r p_j \ln p_j$  with the convention  $p_j \ln p_j = 0$  when  $p_j = 0$ . Let  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  be finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces. The entanglement entropy  $E(v)$  of a vector  $v \in H_1 \otimes H_2$  is the Shannon entropy of the reduced density matrix  $\text{tr}_2(vv^*)$ , where  $\text{tr}_2$  is the partial trace. The vector  $v$  is decomposable if and only if  $E(v) = 0$ . Calculations of entropy on the Hilbert spaces of geometric quantization or Toeplitz quantization lead to interesting insights [1, 2]. In [10], the main result is the  $k \rightarrow \infty$  asymptotics of the Shannon entropies of  $\mu_z^k$ , where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $z \in M$ ,  $M$  is a toric Kähler manifold with an ample toric hermitian line bundle, and  $\mu_z^k$  are the Bergman measures that were introduced by Zelditch in [9] to define generalized Bernstein polynomials and were subsequently used in [8, 11]. In a series of papers on random sections of line bundles, starting with [7], Shiffmann and Zelditch worked with the probability space

$$\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} SH^0(M, L^k)$$

where  $L \rightarrow M$  is an ample holomorphic hermitian line bundle on a compact complex manifold  $M$  and  $SH^0(M, L^k)$  is the unit sphere in the finite-dimensional Hilbert space  $H^0(M, L^k)$ . In this paper, we consider instead the probability space

$$(1) \quad \Omega = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} S(H^0(M, L^k) \otimes H^0(M, L^k)).$$

and a sequence of random variables ( $\mathbb{R}$ -valued functions on  $\Omega$ )  $E_k \circ p_k$ , where  $p_k$  is the projection to the  $k$ -th component in the product  $\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}$  above in (1), and  $E_k$  is the entanglement entropy. We find the  $k \rightarrow \infty$  asymptotics of the sequence of expected values of these random variables. In fact, we prove a more general result.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $L_1 \rightarrow M_1$  and  $L_2 \rightarrow M_2$  be positive holomorphic hermitian line bundles on compact complex manifolds  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  of complex dimensions  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  respectively. Assume w.l.o.g.  $d_1 \leq d_2$ . Let  $d\mu_N$ , for each  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , be the measure on the unit sphere  $S_N = S(H^0(M_1, L_1^N) \otimes H^0(M_2, L_2^N))$  induced by the hermitian metrics. There are the following  $N \rightarrow \infty$  asymptotics for the average entanglement entropy*

$$\langle E_N \rangle = \frac{\int_{S_N} E_N(v) d\mu_N(v)}{\int_{S_N} d\mu_N(v)}.$$

Let

$$A_1 = \ln \int_{M_1} \frac{c_1(L_1)^{d_1}}{d_1!}$$

and

$$A_2 = -\frac{\int_{M_1} \frac{c_1(L_1)^{d_1}}{d_1!}}{2 \int_{M_2} \frac{c_1(L_2)^{d_2}}{d_2!}}.$$

If  $d_1 = d_2$ , then

$$\langle E_N \rangle = d_1 \ln N + A_1 + A_2 + O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)$$

as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .

If  $d_1 < d_2$ , then

$$\langle E_N \rangle = d_1 \ln N + A_1 + O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)$$

as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .

## 2. ASYMPTOTICS

In this section, we establish the background and write the proofs needed for Theorem 1.1. An expression for the average entanglement entropy for the tensor product of two finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces is the statement of Page conjecture [5]. There were several derivations of this formula in physics literature, including [6]. They assume the equality (2) (see below) as a starting point. Our Theorem 2.2 below is a proof of (2). Then, our proof of Theorem 2.3 follows the idea of Sen [6]. We rely on the semiclassical methods, together with the statement of Theorem 2.3, to prove our main result, Theorem 1.1 above.

**2.1. Preliminaries.** Let  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  be two complex Hilbert spaces of complex-dimension  $m$  and  $n$  respectively with  $m \leq n$ . We note that  $H_1 \otimes H_2 \cong \mathbb{C}^m \otimes \mathbb{C}^n \cong \mathbb{R}^{2mn}$ . Let  $\mathbb{S}^{2mn-1} = \{v \in H_1 \otimes H_2 : \|v\| = 1\} \subset H_1 \otimes H_2$  be the unit sphere in  $H_1 \otimes H_2$  and  $d\mu$  be the standard spherical measure on  $\mathbb{S}^{2mn-1}$ , normalized so that  $\int_{\mathbb{S}^{2mn-1}} d\mu = 1$ . We fix an orthonormal basis  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m\}$  for  $H_1$  and  $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\}$  for  $H_2$ . Then we have a canonical isomorphism  $A : H_1 \otimes H_2 \rightarrow M_{n \times m}(\mathbb{C})$  given by  $v = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m a_{jk}(v) e_k \otimes f_j \mapsto A(v) = (a_{jk}(v))_{n \times m}$  (this is also a diffeomorphism). Using  $M_{n \times m}(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{R}^{2mn}$ , we identify an  $n \times m$  matrix with a point in  $\mathbb{R}^{2mn}$ . For  $v \in H_1 \otimes H_2$ , we have the Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) of  $A(v) = U(v) \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma(v) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} V(v)^*$ , where  $U(v) \in U(n)$  and  $V(v) \in U(m)$  and  $\Sigma(v) = \text{diag}\{\sigma_1(v), \sigma_2(v), \dots, \sigma_m(v)\}$  is a diagonal matrix with  $0 \leq \sigma_1 \leq \sigma_2 \leq \dots \leq \sigma_m$ , called the singular values of the matrix  $A(v)$ . Note that in

the SVD of a matrix, the diagonal matrix  $\Sigma(v)$  is unique (up to permutation), however the choice of  $U(v)$  and  $V(v)$  is not unique.

The Schmidt coefficients of  $v$  are the same as the singular values of  $A(v)$ . If  $\sigma_1(v), \sigma_2(v), \dots, \sigma_m(v)$  are Schmidt coefficients of  $v \in \mathbb{B}^{2mn}$  (where  $\mathbb{B}^{2mn}$  is the closed unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^{2mn}$ ), then  $\sum_{j=1}^m \sigma_j(v)^2 = \|v\|^2 \leq 1$ , i.e. for every  $v \in \mathbb{B}^{2mn}$ , there exists a triple  $(U(v), \Sigma(v), V(v))$  such that  $A(v) = U(v) \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma(v) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} V(v)^*$ , where  $U(v) \in U(n)$  and  $V(v) \in U(m)$  are unitary matrices and  $\Sigma(v) = \text{diag}\{\sigma_1(v), \sigma_2(v), \dots, \sigma_m(v)\}$  a diagonal matrix with  $0 \leq \sigma_1(v) \leq \sigma_2(v) \leq \dots \leq \sigma_m(v)$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^m \sigma_j(v)^2 \leq 1$ . Conversely, if  $A(v) \in M_{n \times m}$  has a SVD  $A(v) = U(v) \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma(v) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} V(v)^*$  such that  $\sum_{j=1}^m \sigma_j^2 \leq 1$ , then  $v \in \mathbb{B}^{2mn}$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Singular value decomposition  $A = U \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} V^*$  of a matrix  $A \in M_{n \times m}(\mathbb{C})$  is not unique. However, if we put the condition that diagonal entries of  $\Sigma$  are in the ascending order, the diagonal entries of the unitary matrix  $V$  are non-negative and consider  $U$  as an element of the complex Stiefel manifold  $V_m^n(\mathbb{C}) (= U(n)/U(n-m))$ , then this ‘‘Modified SVD’’ is unique.*

*Proof.* Let  $A = U \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} V^*$  be an SVD of  $A$ . Let  $U = (U_1 \ U_2)$  where  $U_1$  is the matrix whose columns are the first  $m$  columns of  $U$  and  $U_2$  is the matrix whose columns are the last  $n - m$  columns of  $U$ . Then we see that  $A = U_1 \Sigma V^*$ . To see that SVD is not unique, let  $\tau = \text{diag}\{e^{i\theta_1}, e^{i\theta_2}, \dots, e^{i\theta_m}\}$  for  $-\pi < \theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_m \leq \pi$ . Let  $V' = V\tau$  and  $U' = U \begin{pmatrix} \tau & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$  are unitary matrices respective size and  $U' \Sigma V'^* = (U_1 \ U_2) \begin{pmatrix} \tau & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} (V\tau)^* = U_1 \tau \Sigma \tau^* V^* = U_1 \Sigma \tau \tau^* V^* = U_1 \Sigma V^* = A$  is also a SVD of  $A$ .

Let  $V = [v_{jk}] \in U(m)$ . For each  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ , we can write  $v_{jj} = |v_{jj}|e^{i\theta_j}$  where  $\theta_j \in (-\pi, \pi]$ . Then

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} |v_{11}| & v_{12}e^{-i\theta_2} & \dots & v_{1m}e^{-i\theta_m} \\ v_{21}e^{-i\theta_1} & |v_{22}| & \dots & v_{2m}e^{-i\theta_m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ v_{m1}e^{-i\theta_1} & v_{m2}e^{-i\theta_2} & \dots & |v_{mm}| \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta_1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\theta_2} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & e^{i\theta_m} \end{pmatrix} = \tilde{V}\tau \text{ (say)}.$$

Therefore, we get that any unitary matrix  $V$  can be factored into a product of unitary matrix  $\tilde{V}$  with diagonal entries being non-negative and a unitary diagonal matrix  $\tau$ . The factorization is unique and this gives us the one-to-one correspondence  $U(m) \cong (U(m)/(U(1))^m) \times (U(1))^m$ .

If  $A = U \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} V^*$  is a SVD of  $A$  and  $V = \tilde{V}\tau$  is the factorization of  $V$  as above and  $\tilde{U} = (U_1 \ U_2) \begin{pmatrix} \tau^* & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} = (U_1 \tau^* \ U_2) = (\tilde{U}_1 \ \tilde{U}_2)$  (say), then let us call  $A = \tilde{U} \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \tilde{V}^*$  the

“Modified SVD” of  $A$ . Because of the uniqueness of the factors  $\tilde{V}$  and  $\tau$ , the modified SVD gives the unique triple  $(\tilde{U}, \Sigma, \tilde{V})$  with  $\tilde{U} \in V_m^n(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq}^m$  (with ascending diagonal) and  $\tilde{V} \in U(m)/(U(1))^m$ .

This modified SVD gives one-to-one correspondence (up to permutation of the diagonal entries of the diagonal matrix) between  $M_{n \times m}(\mathbb{C})$  and  $V_m^n(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq}^m \times (U(m)/(U(1))^m)$  via the map  $V_m^n(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq}^m \times (U(m)/(U(1))^m) \rightarrow M_{n \times m}(\mathbb{C})$  by  $(\tilde{U}, \Sigma, \tilde{V}) = \tilde{U} \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \tilde{V}^*$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $f$  be a continuous function on  $\mathbb{S}^{2mn-1}$  that depends only on the squares of the Schmidt coefficients. For  $v \in \mathbb{B}^{2mn}$ , let  $\sigma_1(v), \dots, \sigma_m(v)$  be the Schmidt coefficients of  $v$  and  $p_1(v), \dots, p_m(v)$  be the eigenvalues of  $\text{tr}_2(vv^*)$  (i.e.  $p_j(v) = \sigma_{\tau(j)}^2(v)$  for some  $\tau \in S_m$ ), then*

(2)

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{2mn-1}} f(u) d\mu(u) = \frac{\int_{T_m} f\left(\frac{p_1(v)}{\sum_{k=1}^m p_k(v)}, \dots, \frac{p_m(v)}{\sum_{k=1}^m p_k(v)}\right) \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} (p_k(v) - p_j(v))^2 \prod_{j=1}^m p_j(v)^{n-m} dp_j(v)}{\int_{T_m} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} (p_k(v) - p_j(v))^2 \prod_{j=1}^m p_j(v)^{n-m} dp_j(v)}$$

where  $T_m = \{(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m : x_1, \dots, x_m \geq 0 \text{ and } x_1 + \dots + x_m \leq 1\}$ .

*Proof.* We will use the Lebesgue measure  $\nu$  of the ambient Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^{2mn}$  and then for  $X \subset \mathbb{S}^{2mn-1}$ ,  $\mu(X) = \nu(\{tx | x \in X \text{ and } t \in [0, 1]\})$ . Then for an integrable function defined on  $\mathbb{S}^{2mn-1}$ , we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{2mn-1}} f(u) d\mu(u) = \int_{\mathbb{B}^{2mn}} f\left(\frac{v}{\|v\|}\right) d\nu(v).$$

For  $v \in \mathbb{B}^{2mn}$ , let  $A(v)$  be the matrix of  $v$  as above. We will change variables using “modified SVD”  $A(v) = \tilde{U}(v) \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma(v) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \tilde{V}(v)^*$ , where  $\tilde{U} = (\tilde{U}_1 \quad \tilde{U}_2)$  with  $\tilde{U}_1$  and  $\tilde{U}_2$  the matrices with columns the first  $m$  columns of  $\tilde{U}$  and last  $n - m$  columns of  $\tilde{U}$  respectively. Let  $\tilde{u}_j(v)$  be the  $j$ -th column of  $\tilde{U}(v)$  and  $\tilde{v}_k(v)$  be the  $k$ -th column of  $\tilde{V}(v)$ . Now,

$$A(v) = \tilde{U}(v) \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma(v) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \tilde{V}^*(v)$$

hence

$$dA(v) = d\tilde{U}(v) \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma(v) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \tilde{V}^*(v) + \tilde{U}(v) \begin{pmatrix} d\Sigma(v) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \tilde{V}^*(v) + \tilde{U}(v) \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma(v) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} d\tilde{V}^*(v).$$

The volume measure at  $v_0 \in \mathbb{B}^{2mn}$  can be written as  $\tilde{U}^*(v_0) dA(v) \tilde{V}(v_0)|_{v=v_0}$  (as  $\tilde{U}^*(v_0)$  and  $\tilde{V}(v_0)$  being unitary matrices do not affect the volume). Let

$$dB(v_0) = \tilde{U}^*(v_0) dA(v) \tilde{V}(v_0)|_{v=v_0}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} dB(v_0) &= \tilde{U}^*(v_0) d\tilde{U}(v_0) \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma(v_0) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} d\Sigma(v_0) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma(v_0) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} d\tilde{V}^*(v_0) \tilde{V}(v_0) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{U}_1^*(v_0) d\tilde{U}_1(v_0) \Sigma(v_0) + d\Sigma(v_0) + \Sigma(v_0) d\tilde{V}^*(v_0) \tilde{V}(v_0) \\ \tilde{U}_2^*(v_0) d\tilde{U}_1(v_0) \Sigma(v_0) \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

As  $v_0$  was an arbitrary point in  $\mathbb{B}^{2mn}$ , so we have

$$dB(v) = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{U}_1^*(v)d\tilde{U}_1(v)\Sigma(v) + d\Sigma(v) + \Sigma(v)d\tilde{V}^*(v)\tilde{V}(v) \\ \tilde{U}_2^*(v)d\tilde{U}_1(v)\Sigma(v) \end{pmatrix}$$

For notational convenience, we drop the “ $(v)$ ” to write

$$dB = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{U}_1^*d\tilde{U}_1\Sigma + d\Sigma + \Sigma d\tilde{V}^*\tilde{V} \\ \tilde{U}_2^*d\tilde{U}_1\Sigma \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since  $\tilde{U}_1^*\tilde{U}_1 = I$ , it follows that  $d\tilde{U}_1^*\tilde{U}_1 + \tilde{U}_1^*d\tilde{U}_1 = 0$ , then

$$\tilde{U}_1^*d\tilde{U}_1 = -d\tilde{U}_1^*\tilde{U}_1 = -(\tilde{U}_1^*d\tilde{U}_1)^*,$$

so  $\tilde{U}_1^*d\tilde{U}_1$  is an anti-hermitian matrix. Similarly,  $\tilde{V}^*d\tilde{V}$  is also anti-hermitian. Denote  $E = \tilde{U}_1^*d\tilde{U}_1$  and  $F = \tilde{V}^*d\tilde{V}$ , then for  $j, k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  we have  $E_{jk} = \tilde{u}_j^*d\tilde{u}_k$  and  $F_{jk} = \tilde{v}_j^*d\tilde{v}_k$ . Now,

$$dB = \begin{pmatrix} E\Sigma + d\Sigma - \Sigma F \\ \tilde{U}_2^*d\tilde{U}_1\Sigma \end{pmatrix}.$$

As  $E$  and  $F$  are anti-hermitian, the diagonal elements of  $E$  and  $F$  are imaginary. It follows that the real parts of diagonal elements of  $dB$  are exactly the diagonal elements of  $d\Sigma$  and imaginary parts of the diagonal elements of  $dB$  come from the matrix  $E\Sigma - \Sigma F$ , i.e.,

$$\Re(dB_{jj}) = d\Sigma_{jj} = d\sigma_j$$

$$\Im(dB_{jj}) = \sigma_j(\Im(\tilde{u}_j^*d\tilde{u}_j) - \Im(\tilde{v}_j^*d\tilde{v}_j))$$

for  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ . Let  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  with  $j > k$ , then

$$dB_{jk} = \sigma_k E_{jk} - \sigma_j F_{jk}$$

$$dB_{kj} = \sigma_j E_{kj} - \sigma_k F_{kj}$$

$$= -\sigma_j \overline{E_{jk}} + \sigma_k \overline{F_{jk}}.$$

We get,

$$\Re(dB_{jk}) = \sigma_k \Re(E_{jk}) - \sigma_j \Re(F_{jk})$$

$$\Im(dB_{jk}) = \sigma_k \Im(E_{jk}) - \sigma_j \Im(F_{jk})$$

$$\Re(dB_{kj}) = -\sigma_j \Re(E_{jk}) + \sigma_k \Re(F_{jk})$$

$$\Im(dB_{kj}) = \sigma_j \Im(E_{jk}) - \sigma_k \Im(F_{jk})$$

Computing the wedge of real parts,

$$\begin{aligned} & \Re(dB_{jk})\Re(dB_{kj}) \\ &= (\sigma_k^2 - \sigma_j^2)\Re(E_{jk})\Re(F_{jk}) \end{aligned}$$

and the imaginary parts,

$$\begin{aligned} & \Im(dB_{jk})\Im(dB_{kj}) \\ &= (\sigma_k^2 - \sigma_j^2)\Im(F_{jk})\Im(E_{jk}) \end{aligned}$$

we get that

$$\begin{aligned} & \Re(dB_{jk})\Im(dB_{jk})\Re(dB_{kj})\Im(dB_{kj}) \\ &= -(\sigma_k^2 - \sigma_j^2)^2 \Re(\tilde{u}_j^*d\tilde{u}_k)\Im(\tilde{u}_j^*d\tilde{u}_k)\Re(\tilde{v}_j^*d\tilde{v}_k)\Im(\tilde{v}_j^*d\tilde{v}_k). \end{aligned}$$

Combining all these and ignoring the sign, we get the form

$$\begin{aligned} & \bigwedge_{j=1}^m \Re(dB_{jj}) \bigwedge_{j,k=1, j \neq k}^m \Re(dB_{jk}) \Im(dB_{jk}) \\ &= \bigwedge_{j=1}^m d\sigma_j \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} (\sigma_k^2 - \sigma_j^2)^2 \eta \bigwedge_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} \Re(\tilde{u}_j^* d\tilde{u}_k) \Im(\tilde{u}_j^* d\tilde{u}_k) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\eta = \bigwedge_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} \Re(\tilde{v}_j^* d\tilde{v}_k) \Im(\tilde{v}_j^* d\tilde{v}_k).$$

We note that  $\eta$  is a volume form of  $U(m)/(U(1)^m)$ . For the imaginary part of the diagonal entries, for  $j, k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  with  $j \neq k$ , let's look at the following

$$\begin{aligned} & \Im dB_{jj} \wedge \Im dB_{kk} \\ &= \sigma_j (\Im(\tilde{u}_j^* d\tilde{u}_j) - \Im(\tilde{v}_j^* d\tilde{v}_j)) \wedge \sigma_k (\Im(\tilde{u}_k^* d\tilde{u}_k) - \Im(\tilde{v}_k^* d\tilde{v}_k)) \\ &= \sigma_j \sigma_k \Im(\tilde{u}_j^* d\tilde{u}_j) \Im(\tilde{u}_k^* d\tilde{u}_k) + \kappa \end{aligned}$$

where  $\kappa = \sigma_j \sigma_k [\Im(\tilde{v}_j^* d\tilde{v}_j) \Im(\tilde{v}_k^* d\tilde{v}_k) - \Im(\tilde{u}_j^* d\tilde{u}_j) \Im(\tilde{v}_k^* d\tilde{v}_k) - \Im(\tilde{u}_k^* d\tilde{u}_k) \Im(\tilde{v}_j^* d\tilde{v}_j)]$ . We note that  $\eta$  is the volume form of the compact group  $U(m)/U(1)^m$ , so  $\kappa \wedge \eta = 0$  (as  $\eta$  already contains all the independent variables coming from  $V$ ). Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \bigwedge_{j,k=1}^m (\Re(dB_{jk}) \Im(dB_{jk})) \\ &= \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} (\sigma_k^2 - \sigma_j^2)^2 \eta \bigwedge_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} \Re(\tilde{u}_j^* d\tilde{u}_k) \Im(\tilde{u}_j^* d\tilde{u}_k) \bigwedge_{j=1}^m \Im(\tilde{u}_j^* d\tilde{u}_j) \bigwedge_{j=1}^m d\sigma_j \end{aligned}$$

Now, for  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  and  $j \in \{m+1, m+2, \dots, n\}$ , we have

$$dB_{jk} = (\tilde{U}_2 d\tilde{U}_1 \Sigma)_{j-m, k} = \sigma_k \tilde{u}_j^* d\tilde{u}_k.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \bigwedge_{j=m+1}^n \bigwedge_{k=1}^m \Re(dB_{jk}) \Im(dB_{jk}) &= \bigwedge_{j=m+1}^n \bigwedge_{k=1}^m \sigma_k^2 \Re(\tilde{u}_j^* d\tilde{u}_k) \Im(\tilde{u}_j^* d\tilde{u}_k) \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^m \sigma_k^{2(n-m)} \bigwedge_{j=m+1}^n \bigwedge_{k=1}^m \Re(\tilde{u}_j^* d\tilde{u}_k) \Im(\tilde{u}_j^* d\tilde{u}_k) \end{aligned}$$

Gathering all these and ignoring sign, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho &= \bigwedge_{j=1}^n \bigwedge_{k=1}^m \Re(dB_{jk}) \Im(dB_{jk}) \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^m \sigma_j \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} (\sigma_k^2 - \sigma_j^2)^2 \prod_{j=1}^m \sigma_k^{2(n-m)} \eta \omega \bigwedge_{j=1}^m d\sigma_j \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\omega = \bigwedge_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} \Re(\tilde{u}_j^* d\tilde{u}_k) \Im(\tilde{u}_j^* d\tilde{u}_k) \bigwedge_{j=1}^m \Im(\tilde{u}_j^* d\tilde{u}_j) \bigwedge_{j=m+1}^n \bigwedge_{k=1}^m \Re(\tilde{u}_j^* d\tilde{u}_k) \Im(\tilde{u}_j^* d\tilde{u}_k)$$

is a form independent of  $\sigma_j$ 's. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2mn-1}} d\mu &= \int_{\mathbb{B}^{2mn}} d\nu \\ &= c(m, n) \int_{(U(m)/(U(1))^m) \times \mathbb{B}_{\geq 0}^m \times V_m^n(\mathbb{C})} \rho \\ &= c(m, n) \int_{U(m)/(U(1))^m} \eta \int_{V_m^n(\mathbb{C})} \omega \int_{\mathbb{B}_{\geq 0}^m} \prod_{j=1}^m \sigma_j \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} (\sigma_k^2 - \sigma_j^2)^2 \prod_{j=1}^m \sigma_j^{2(n-m)} d\sigma_j \end{aligned}$$

where  $c(m, n)$  is a constant due to the requirement that  $\int_{\mathbb{S}^{2mn-1}} d\mu = 1$  and  $\mathbb{B}_{\geq 0}^m = \{(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m : x_1, \dots, x_m \geq 0 \text{ and } x_1^2 + \dots + x_m^2 \leq 1\}$ . For the integral  $\int_{\mathbb{B}_{\geq 0}^m} \prod_{j=1}^m \sigma_j \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} (\sigma_k^2 - \sigma_j^2)^2 \prod_{j=1}^m \sigma_j^{2(n-m)} d\sigma_j$ , we change variables to  $p_j = \sigma_j^2$  to get

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_{\geq 0}^m} \prod_{j=1}^m \sigma_j \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} (\sigma_k^2 - \sigma_j^2)^2 \prod_{j=1}^m \sigma_j^{2(n-m)} d\sigma_j = \frac{1}{2^m} \int_{T_m} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} (p_k - p_j)^2 \prod_{j=1}^m p_j^{n-m} dp_j.$$

We note that for  $v \in \mathbb{B}^{2mn}$ , if  $p_1, \dots, p_m$  are the eigenvalues of  $\text{tr}_2(vv^*)$ , then  $\frac{p_1}{\sum_{j=1}^m p_j}, \dots, \frac{p_m}{\sum_{j=1}^m p_j}$  are the eigenvalues of  $\text{tr}_2\left(\frac{vv^*}{\|v\|^2}\right)$ . Therefore, for a function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{S}^{2mn-1}$  that depends only on the squares of the Schmidt coefficients  $\sigma_j(u)$  of  $u \in \mathbb{S}^{2mn-1}$  (in other words, depends only on  $p_j(u)$ 's), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{S}^{2mn-1}} f(u) d\mu(u) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{B}^{2mn}} f\left(\frac{v}{\|v\|}\right) d\nu(v) \\ &= c(m, n) \int_{(U(m)/(U(1))^m) \times \mathbb{B}_{\geq 0}^m \times V_m^n(\mathbb{C})} f\left(\frac{v}{\|v\|}\right) \rho \\ &= \frac{c(m, n) \int_{(U(m)/(U(1))^m) \times \mathbb{B}_{\geq 0}^m \times V_m^n(\mathbb{C})} f\left(\frac{v}{\|v\|}\right) \rho}{c(m, n) \int_{(U(m)/(U(1))^m) \times \mathbb{B}_{\geq 0}^m \times V_m^n(\mathbb{C})} \rho} \quad (\text{as the denominator is } \int_S d\mu = 1) \\ &= \frac{\int_{T_m} f\left(\frac{p_1(v)}{\sum_{k=1}^m p_k(v)}, \dots, \frac{p_m(v)}{\sum_{k=1}^m p_k(v)}\right) \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} (p_k(v) - p_j(v))^2 \prod_{j=1}^m p_j(v)^{n-m} dp_j(v)}{\int_{T_m} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} (p_k(v) - p_j(v))^2 \prod_{j=1}^m p_j(v)^{n-m} dp_j(v)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 2.3.** *The expected value of the entropy of entanglement of all the pure states in  $H_1 \otimes H_2$  is*

$$\langle E_{(m,n)} \rangle = \sum_{k=n+1}^{mn} \frac{1}{k} + \frac{m-1}{2n}.$$

*Proof.* We base our proof on the general idea of the proof in [6]. The expected value of the entropy of entanglement is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2mn-1}} E_{(m,n)}(u) d\mu(u) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{B}^{2mn}} E_{(m,n)} \left( \frac{v}{\|v\|} \right) d\nu(v) \\
(3) \quad &= \frac{\int_{T_m} \left( -\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{p_j}{\sum_{k=1}^m p_k} \ln \frac{p_j}{\sum_{k=1}^m p_k} \right) \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} (p_k - p_j)^2 \prod_{j=1}^m p_j^{n-m} dp_j}{\int_{T_m} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} (p_k - p_j)^2 \prod_{j=1}^m p_j^{n-m} dp_j}.
\end{aligned}$$

We change variables to  $(q_1, \dots, q_{m-1}, r)$  such that  $q_1 + \dots + q_{m-1} + q_m = 1$ , and  $q_k = rp_k$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$  (i.e.  $r = \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^m p_j}$ ), so  $r \in [1, \infty)$ . Then for  $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial q_k}{\partial p_j} &= \delta_{jk} r \text{ for } k \in \{1, \dots, m-1\} \\
\frac{\partial r}{\partial p_j} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} \left( \frac{1}{\sum_k p_k} \right) = \frac{-1}{(\sum_k p_k)^2} = -r^2
\end{aligned}$$

We denote  $F_m = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m : x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m \geq 0 \text{ and } x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_m = 1\}$ . So, the integral becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\int_1^\infty \int_{F_m} \left( -\sum_{j=1}^m q_j \ln q_j \right) \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} \left( \frac{q_k}{r} - \frac{q_j}{r} \right)^2 \prod_{j=1}^m \left( \frac{q_j}{r} \right)^{n-m} \frac{1}{r^{m+1}} dr \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} dq_j}{\int_1^\infty \int_{F_m} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} \left( \frac{q_k}{r} - \frac{q_j}{r} \right)^2 \prod_{j=1}^m \left( \frac{q_j}{r} \right)^{n-m} \frac{1}{r^{m+1}} dr \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} dq_j} \\
&= \frac{\int_1^\infty \frac{dr}{r^{mn+1}} \int_{F_m} \left( -\sum_{j=1}^m q_j \ln q_j \right) \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} (q_k - q_j)^2 \prod_{j=1}^m q_j^{n-m} \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} dq_j}{\int_1^\infty \frac{dr}{r^{mn+1}} \int_{F_m} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} (q_k - q_j)^2 \prod_{j=1}^m q_j^{n-m} \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} dq_j} \\
(4) \quad &= \frac{\int_{F_m} \left( -\sum_{j=1}^m q_j \ln q_j \right) \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} (q_k - q_j)^2 \prod_{j=1}^m q_j^{n-m} \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} dq_j}{\int_{F_m} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} (q_k - q_j)^2 \prod_{j=1}^m q_j^{n-m} \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} dq_j}
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $x_k = tq_k$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$  with  $t \in [0, \infty)$ , so that  $x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_m = t$  and  $x_k \in [0, \infty)$ . Then, for  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial q_k}{\partial x_j} &= \delta_{jk} t \text{ for } k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m-1\} \\
\frac{\partial t}{\partial x_j} &= 1
\end{aligned}$$

Using the determinant of the Jacobian, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
dq_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dq_{m-1} \wedge dt &= \frac{1}{t^{m-1}} dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{m-1} \wedge dx_m \\
&= \frac{1}{t^{m-1}} dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{m-1} \wedge d(x_1 + \dots + x_{m-1} + x_m) \\
(5) \quad &= \frac{1}{t^{m-1}} dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{m-1} \wedge dt
\end{aligned}$$



Now, we use the gamma function  $\Gamma(z) = \int_0^\infty y^{z-1} e^{-y} dy$  and the derivative of the gamma function  $\Gamma'(z) = \int_0^\infty y^{z-1} e^{-y} \ln y dy$ . In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty t^{mn} e^{-t} dt &= \Gamma(mn + 1) = (mn)!, \\ \int_0^\infty t^{mn} e^{-t} \ln t dt &= \Gamma'(mn + 1) = (mn)! \psi(mn + 1), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\psi(N + 1) = -\gamma + \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{1}{k}$  and  $\gamma$  is the Euler constant. We have,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\int_{F_m} \left( -\sum_{j=1}^m q_j \ln q_j \right) \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} (q_k - q_j)^2 \prod_{j=1}^m q_j^{n-m} \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} dq_j}{\int_{F_m} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} (q_k - q_j)^2 \prod_{j=1}^m q_j^{n-m} \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} dq_j} \\ &= \frac{(mn-1)! \int_0^\infty t^{mn} e^{-t} dt \int_{F_m} \left( -\sum_{j=1}^m q_j \ln q_j \right) \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} (q_k - q_j)^2 \prod_{j=1}^m q_j^{n-m} \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} dq_j}{(mn)! \int_0^\infty t^{mn-1} e^{-t} dt \int_{F_m} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} (q_k - q_j)^2 \prod_{j=1}^m q_j^{n-m} \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} dq_j} \\ &= \frac{1}{mn} \frac{\int_{[0, \infty)^m} \left( -\sum_{j=1}^m \left( \frac{x_j}{t} \right) \ln \left( \frac{x_j}{t} \right) \right) \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} \left( \frac{x_k}{t} - \frac{x_j}{t} \right)^2 \prod_{j=1}^m \left( \frac{x_j}{t} \right)^{n-m} e^{-t} t^{mn} \frac{dt}{t^{m-1}} \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} dx_j}{\int_{[0, \infty)^m} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} \left( \frac{x_k}{t} - \frac{x_j}{t} \right)^2 \prod_{j=1}^m \left( \frac{x_j}{t} \right)^{n-m} e^{-t} t^{mn-1} \frac{dt}{t^{m-1}} \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} dx_j} \\ &= \frac{1}{mn} \frac{\int_{[0, \infty)^m} \left( -\sum_{j=1}^m x_j \ln \left( \frac{x_j}{t} \right) \right) \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} (x_k - x_j)^2 \prod_{j=1}^m x_j^{n-m} e^{-t} dt \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} dx_j}{\int_{[0, \infty)^m} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} (x_k - x_j)^2 \prod_{j=1}^m x_j^{n-m} e^{-t} dt \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} dx_j} \\ &= \frac{\int_{[0, \infty)^m} t \ln t \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} (x_k - x_j)^2 \prod_{j=1}^m x_j^{n-m} e^{-t} dt \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} dx_j}{mn \int_{[0, \infty)^m} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} (x_k - x_j)^2 \prod_{j=1}^m x_j^{n-m} e^{-t} dt \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} dx_j} \\ & \quad (6) \\ &= \frac{\int_{[0, \infty)^m} \left( \sum_{j=1}^m x_j \ln x_j \right) \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} (x_k - x_j)^2 \prod_{j=1}^m x_j^{n-m} e^{-t} dt \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} dx_j}{mn \int_{[0, \infty)^m} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} (x_k - x_j)^2 \prod_{j=1}^m x_j^{n-m} e^{-t} dt \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} dx_j} \end{aligned}$$

Let the first and the second integral in equation (6) denoted by  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  respectively. We have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \frac{\int_{[0, \infty)^m} t \ln t \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} (x_k - x_j)^2 \prod_{j=1}^m x_j^{n-m} e^{-t} dt \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} dx_j}{mn \int_{[0, \infty)^m} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} (x_k - x_j)^2 \prod_{j=1}^m x_j^{n-m} e^{-t} dt \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} dx_j} \\ &= \frac{\int_0^\infty \int_{F_m} t \ln t \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} (tq_k - tq_j)^2 \prod_{j=1}^m (tq_j)^{n-m} e^{-t} t^{m-1} dt \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} dq_j}{mn \int_0^\infty \int_{F_m} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} (tq_k - tq_j)^2 \prod_{j=1}^m (tq_j)^{n-m} e^{-t} t^{m-1} dt \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} dq_j} \\ &= \frac{\int_0^\infty t^{mn} \ln t e^{-t} dt \int_{F_m} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} (q_k - q_j)^2 \prod_{j=1}^m q_j^{n-m} \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} dq_j}{mn \int_0^\infty t^{mn-1} e^{-t} dt \int_{F_m} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} (q_k - q_j)^2 \prod_{j=1}^m q_j^{n-m} \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} dq_j} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma'(mn + 1)}{mn \Gamma(mn)} \\ & \quad (7) \\ &= \psi(mn + 1) \end{aligned}$$

Using equation (5), the second integral in equation (6) becomes

$$(8) \quad I_2 = \frac{\int_{[0,\infty)^m} \left( \sum_{j=1}^m x_j \ln x_j \right) \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} (x_k - x_j)^2 \prod_{j=1}^m x_j^{n-m} e^{-t} dt \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} dx_j}{mn \int_{[0,\infty)^m} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} (x_k - x_j)^2 \prod_{j=1}^m x_j^{n-m} e^{-t} dt \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} dx_j}$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{l=1}^m \int_{[0,\infty)^m} x_l \ln x_l \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} (x_k - x_j)^2 \prod_{j=1}^m x_j^{n-m} e^{-(x_1+\dots+x_m)} \prod_{j=1}^m dx_j}{mn \int_{[0,\infty)^m} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} (x_k - x_j)^2 \prod_{j=1}^m x_j^{n-m} e^{-(x_1+\dots+x_m)} \prod_{j=1}^m dx_j}$$

We observe that the van der Monde determinant

$$\Delta(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} (x_k - x_j) = \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ x_1 & \dots & x_m \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_1^{m-1} & \dots & x_m^{m-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

As determinant remains unchanged after applying elementary row operations, we see that

$$\prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} (x_k - x_j) = \det \begin{pmatrix} f_0(x_1) & \dots & f_0(x_m) \\ f_1(x_1) & \dots & f_1(x_m) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_{m-1}(x_1) & \dots & f_{m-1}(x_m) \end{pmatrix} = \det_{m \times m} (f_{j-1}(x_k)),$$

for any set of polynomials  $\{f_0, f_1, \dots, f_{m-1}\}$  with  $f_0 = 1$  and  $f_k$ 's are monic with  $\deg(f_k) = k$  for  $k = 1, \dots, m-1$ . We choose these polynomials cleverly for the  $\Delta(x_1, \dots, x_m)^2$  appearing in the numerator and the denominator in equation (8). We use a class of orthogonal polynomials, generalized Laguerre polynomials, given by

$$L_k^{(\alpha)}(x) = \frac{x^{-\alpha} e^x}{k!} \frac{d^k}{dx^k} (e^{-x} x^{k+\alpha}),$$

where  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . These polynomials satisfy the orthogonality relation,

$$(9) \quad \int_0^\infty x^\alpha e^{-x} L_k^{(\alpha)}(x) L_j^{(\alpha)}(x) dx = \frac{\Gamma(k + \alpha + 1)}{k!} \delta_{jk}.$$

Using these polynomials,

$$\Delta(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \det_{m \times m} \left( L_{j-1}^{(\alpha)}(x_k) \right) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{k=1}^m L_{\sigma(k-1)}^{(n-m)}(x_k).$$

The integral in equation (8) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_2 &= \frac{\sum_{l=1}^m \int_{[0,\infty)^m} x_l \ln x_l \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} (x_k - x_j)^2 \prod_{j=1}^m x_j^{n-m} e^{-(x_1+\dots+x_m)} \prod_{j=1}^m dx_j}{mn \int_{[0,\infty)^m} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq m} (x_k - x_j)^2 \prod_{j=1}^m x_j^{n-m} e^{-(x_1+\dots+x_m)} \prod_{j=1}^m dx_j} \\
 &= \frac{\sum_{l=1}^m \int_{[0,\infty)^m} x_l \ln x_l \Delta(x_1, \dots, x_m) \Delta(x_1, \dots, x_m) \prod_{j=1}^m x_j^{n-m} e^{-x_j} dx_j}{mn \int_{[0,\infty)^m} \Delta(x_1, \dots, x_m) \Delta(x_1, \dots, x_m) \prod_{j=1}^m x_j^{n-m} e^{-x_j} dx_j} \\
 &= \frac{\sum_{l=1}^m \int_{[0,\infty)^m} x_l \ln x_l \sum_{\sigma, \tau \in S_m} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \operatorname{sgn}(\tau) \prod_{k=1}^m L_{\sigma(k-1)}^{(n-m)}(x_k) L_{\tau(k-1)}^{(n-m)}(x_k) \prod_{j=1}^m x_j^{n-m} e^{-x_j} dx_j}{mn \int_{[0,\infty)^m} \sum_{\sigma, \tau \in S_m} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \operatorname{sgn}(\tau) \prod_{k=1}^m L_{\sigma(k-1)}^{(n-m)}(x_k) L_{\tau(k-1)}^{(n-m)}(x_k) \prod_{j=1}^m x_j^{n-m} e^{-x_j} dx_j} \\
 &= \frac{\sum_{l=1}^m \sum_{\sigma, \tau \in S_m} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \operatorname{sgn}(\tau) \int_{[0,\infty)^m} x_l \ln x_l \prod_{k=1}^m L_{\sigma(k-1)}^{(n-m)}(x_k) L_{\tau(k-1)}^{(n-m)}(x_k) x_k^{n-m} e^{-x_k} dx_k}{mn \sum_{\sigma, \tau \in S_m} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \operatorname{sgn}(\tau) \int_{[0,\infty)^m} \prod_{k=1}^m L_{\sigma(k-1)}^{(n-m)}(x_k) L_{\tau(k-1)}^{(n-m)}(x_k) x_k^{n-m} e^{-x_k} dx_k} \\
 &= \frac{\sum_{l=1}^m \sum_{\sigma, \tau \in S_m} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \operatorname{sgn}(\tau) \prod_{k=1}^m \int_0^\infty (x_k \ln x_k)^{\delta_{lk}} L_{\sigma(k-1)}^{(n-m)}(x_k) L_{\tau(k-1)}^{(n-m)}(x_k) x_k^{n-m} e^{-x_k} dx_k}{mn \sum_{\sigma, \tau \in S_m} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \operatorname{sgn}(\tau) \prod_{k=1}^m \int_0^\infty L_{\sigma(k-1)}^{(n-m)}(x_k) L_{\tau(k-1)}^{(n-m)}(x_k) x_k^{n-m} e^{-x_k} dx_k} \\
 &= \frac{\sum_{l=1}^m \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} \prod_{k=1}^m \int_0^\infty (x_k \ln x_k)^{\delta_{lk}} [L_{\sigma(k-1)}^{(n-m)}(x_k)]^2 x_k^{n-m} e^{-x_k} dx_k}{mn \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} \prod_{k=1}^m \int_0^\infty [L_{\sigma(k-1)}^{(n-m)}(x_k)]^2 x_k^{n-m} e^{-x_k} dx_k} \text{ (using the orthogonal property)} \\
 &= \frac{\sum_{l=1}^m \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} \int_0^\infty x_l^{n-m+1} \ln x_l [L_{\sigma(l-1)}^{(n-m)}(x_l)]^2 e^{-x_l} dx_l \prod_{k=1, k \neq l}^m \int_0^\infty [L_{\sigma(k-1)}^{(n-m)}(x_k)]^2 x_k^{n-m} e^{-x_k} dx_k}{mn \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} \prod_{k=1}^m \int_0^\infty [L_{\sigma(k-1)}^{(n-m)}(x_k)]^2 x_k^{n-m} e^{-x_k} dx_k} \\
 &= \frac{\sum_{l=1}^m \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} \int_0^\infty x^{n-m+1} \ln x [L_{\sigma(l-1)}^{(n-m)}(x)]^2 e^{-x} dx \prod_{k=1, k \neq l}^m \int_0^\infty [L_{\sigma(k-1)}^{(n-m)}(x)]^2 x^{n-m} e^{-x} dx}{mn \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} \prod_{k=1}^m \int_0^\infty [L_{\sigma(k-1)}^{(n-m)}(x)]^2 x^{n-m} e^{-x} dx} \\
 &= \frac{\sum_{l=1}^m (m-1)! \sum_{k=1}^m \int_0^\infty x^{n-m+1} \ln x [L_{l-1}^{(n-m)}(x)]^2 e^{-x} dx \prod_{k=1, k \neq l}^m \int_0^\infty [L_{k-1}^{(n-m)}(x)]^2 x^{n-m} e^{-x} dx}{mn(m)! \prod_{j=1}^m \int_0^\infty [L_{j-1}^{(n-m)}(x)]^2 x^{n-m} e^{-x} dx} \\
 &= \sum_{l=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\int_0^\infty x^{n-m+1} \ln x [L_{l-1}^{(n-m)}(x)]^2 e^{-x} dx}{m^2 n \int_0^\infty [L_{l-1}^{(n-m)}(x)]^2 x^{n-m} e^{-x} dx} \\
 &= m \sum_{l=1}^m \frac{\int_0^\infty x^{n-m+1} \ln x [L_{l-1}^{(n-m)}(x)]^2 e^{-x} dx}{m^2 n \int_0^\infty [L_{l-1}^{(n-m)}(x)]^2 x^{n-m} e^{-x} dx} \\
 (10) \quad &= \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{\int_0^\infty x^{n-m+1} \ln x [L_k^{(n-m)}(x)]^2 e^{-x} dx}{\int_0^\infty [L_k^{(n-m)}(x)]^2 x^{n-m} e^{-x} dx}
 \end{aligned}$$

Let  $I_k^{(\alpha)} = \int_0^\infty x^{\alpha+1} \ln x [L_k^{(\alpha)}(x)]^2 e^{-x} dx$  and  $J_k(\alpha) = \int_0^\infty x^{\alpha+1} [L_k^{(\alpha)}(x)]^2 e^{-x} dx$ . By properties of Laguerre polynomials, we have

$$(11) \quad J_k(\alpha) = \frac{(2k + \alpha + 1)\Gamma(k + \alpha + 1)}{k!}.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d\alpha} J_k(\alpha) &= \int_0^\infty x^{\alpha+1} \ln x [L_k^{(\alpha)}(x)]^2 e^{-x} dx + 2 \int_0^\infty x^{\alpha+1} L_k^{(\alpha)}(x) \frac{dL_k^{(\alpha)}(x)}{d\alpha} e^{-x} dx \\
(12) \quad \implies I_k^{(n-m)} &= \left[ \frac{d}{d\alpha} J_k(\alpha) - 2 \int_0^\infty x^{\alpha+1} L_k^{(\alpha)}(x) \frac{dL_k^{(\alpha)}(x)}{d\alpha} e^{-x} dx \right]_{\alpha=n-m}
\end{aligned}$$

Using equation (11), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d\alpha} J_k(\alpha) &= \frac{d}{d\alpha} \left( \frac{(2k + \alpha + 1)\Gamma(k + \alpha + 1)}{k!} \right) \\
&= \frac{\Gamma(k + \alpha + 1)}{k!} + \frac{2k + \alpha + 1}{k!} \frac{d\Gamma(k + \alpha + 1)}{d\alpha} \\
&= \frac{\Gamma(k + \alpha + 1)}{k!} + \frac{2k + \alpha + 1}{k!} \Gamma(k + \alpha + 1) \psi(k + \alpha + 1) \\
(13) \quad &= \frac{\Gamma(k + \alpha + 1)}{k!} [1 + (2k + \alpha + 1)\psi(k + \alpha + 1)]
\end{aligned}$$

We use the property  $L_k^{(\alpha)}(x) = L_k^{(\alpha+1)}(x) - L_{k-1}^{(\alpha+1)}(x)$  and  $\frac{dL_k^{(\alpha)}(x)}{d\alpha} = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{L_j^\alpha(x)}{k-j}$  to compute

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^\infty x^{\alpha+1} L_k^{(\alpha)}(x) \frac{dL_k^{(\alpha)}(x)}{d\alpha} e^{-x} dx \\
&= \int_0^\infty x^{\alpha+1} L_k^{(\alpha)}(x) \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{L_j^\alpha(x)}{k-j} e^{-x} dx \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{k-j} \int_0^\infty x^{\alpha+1} \left( L_k^{(\alpha+1)}(x) - L_{k-1}^{(\alpha+1)}(x) \right) \left( L_j^{(\alpha+1)}(x) - L_{j-1}^{(\alpha+1)}(x) \right) e^{-x} dx \\
&= - \int_0^\infty x^{\alpha+1} [L_{k-1}^{(\alpha+1)}(x)]^2 e^{-x} dx \\
(14) \quad &= - \frac{\Gamma(k + \alpha + 1)}{(k-1)!}
\end{aligned}$$

Using (13) and (14) in (12), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
I_k^{(n-m)} &= \left[ \frac{\Gamma(k + \alpha + 1)}{k!} [1 + (2k + \alpha + 1)\psi(k + \alpha + 1)] + 2 \frac{\Gamma(k + \alpha + 1)}{(k-1)!} \right]_{\alpha=n-m} \\
&= \left[ \frac{\Gamma(k + \alpha + 1)}{k!} (1 + 2k + (2k + \alpha + 1)\psi(k + \alpha + 1)) \right]_{\alpha=n-m} \\
(15) \quad &= \frac{\Gamma(k + n - m + 1)}{k!} [1 + 2k + (2k + n - m + 1)\psi(k + n - m + 1)]
\end{aligned}$$

Using equation (9) and (15) in equation (10), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_2 &= \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} [1 + 2k + (2k + n - m + 1)\psi(k + n - m + 1)] \\
 &= \frac{1}{mn} \sum_0^{m-1} (1 + 2k) + \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \left[ (2k + n - m + 1) \left( -\gamma + \sum_{r=1}^{n-m+k} \frac{1}{r} \right) \right] \\
 &= \frac{m + m(m-1)}{mn} - \gamma \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (2k + n - m + 1) + \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \sum_{r=1}^{n-m+k} \frac{2k + n - m + 1}{r} \\
 &= \frac{m}{n} - \gamma + \left[ mn + \frac{mn}{2} + \dots + \frac{mn}{n-m} + \frac{mn - (n-m+1)}{n-m+1} + \dots \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{mn - (n-m+1) - (n-m+1+2) \dots - ((n-m-1) + 2(m-1))}{n-m+m-1} \right] \times \frac{1}{mn} \\
 &= \frac{m}{n} - \gamma + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k} - \frac{1}{mn} \left[ \frac{n-m+1}{n-m+1} + \dots + \frac{(m-1)(n-m) + (m-1)^2}{n-m+m-1} \right] \\
 &= \frac{m}{n} - \gamma + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k} - \frac{1}{mn} [1 + \dots + (m-1)] \\
 &= -\gamma + \sum_{k=1}^{mn} \frac{1}{k} - \sum_{k=n+1}^{mn} \frac{1}{k} + \frac{m-1}{2n} \\
 (16) \quad &= \psi(mn+1) - \sum_{k=n+1}^{mn} \frac{1}{k} + \frac{m-1}{2n}
 \end{aligned}$$

Using equation (7), (16), (6) and (4), we get the expected value of Entropy of Entanglement over the pure states is

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{mn} \frac{1}{k} - \frac{m-1}{2n}.$$

□

**2.2. Proof of Theorem 1.1.** For  $j \in \{1, 2\}$ , let  $(L_j, h_j)$  be a holomorphic hermitian line bundle on a compact connected Kähler manifold  $(M_j, \omega_j)$  of complex dimension  $d_j \geq 1$  such that the curvature of the Chern connection on  $L_j$  is  $-i\omega_j$ , and  $d_1 \leq d_2$ . For  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , the Hilbert spaces  $H_1$  (of dimension  $m = m(N)$ ) and  $H_2$  (of dimension  $n = n(N)$ ) will be  $H^0(M_1, L_1^N)$  and  $H^0(M_2, L_2^N)$ . Let  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (17) \quad m = m(N) &= \int_{M_1} \frac{c_1(L_1)^{d_1}}{d_1!} N^{d_1} + O(N^{d_1-1}) \\
 n = n(N) &= \int_{M_2} \frac{c_1(L_2)^{d_2}}{d_2!} N^{d_2} + O(N^{d_2-1}).
 \end{aligned}$$

We notice that  $m \leq n$  for large  $N$ . To figure out the asymptotics of

$$(18) \quad \left( \sum_{k=n+1}^{mn} \frac{1}{k} \right) - \frac{m-1}{2n}$$

we apply the Euler-Maclaurin formula to  $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ , to conclude that

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{mn} \frac{1}{k} = \int_n^{mn} \frac{1}{x} dx + \frac{f(mn) - f(n)}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor} \frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!} (f^{(2k-1)}(mn) - f^{(2k-1)}(n)) + R_p$$

where  $B_{2k}$  are the Bernoulli numbers, in particular  $B_2 = \frac{1}{6}$ , and for the remainder we have the estimate

$$|R_p| \leq \frac{2\zeta(p)}{(2\pi)^p} \int_n^{mn} |f^{(p)}(x)| dx.$$

So, the average entropy (18) equals

$$(19) \quad \ln m + \frac{1}{2mn} - \frac{m}{2n} + \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor} \frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!} (f^{(2k-1)}(mn) - f^{(2k-1)}(n)) + R_p.$$

The expected value of the entropy of entanglement over all the pure states in  $H^0(M_1, L_1^N) \otimes H^0(M_2, L_2^N)$  by (17) and (19) is asymptotic to

$$d_1 \ln N + A_1 + \frac{1}{2mn} - \frac{m}{2n} + O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)$$

where

$$A_1 = \ln \int_{M_1} \frac{c_1(L_1)^{d_1}}{d_1!}.$$

As  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , the term  $\frac{1}{2mn}$  decreases more rapidly than  $O(\frac{1}{N})$ . If  $d_1 = d_2$ , then

$$-\frac{m}{2n} = A_2 + O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)$$

where

$$A_2 = -\frac{\int_{M_1} \frac{c_1(L_1)^{d_1}}{d_1!}}{2 \int_{M_2} \frac{c_1(L_2)^{d_2}}{d_2!}}.$$

If  $d_1 < d_2$ , then

$$-\frac{m}{2n} = O\left(\frac{1}{N^{d_2-d_1}}\right).$$

The statement of the theorem follows.

## REFERENCES

- [1] T. Barron, T. Pollock. Kähler quantization and entanglement. *Rep. Math. Phys.* 80 (2017), no. 2, 217-231.
- [2] L. Charles, B. Estienne. Entanglement entropy and Berezin-Toeplitz operators. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 376 (2020), no. 1, 521-554.
- [3] A. Edelman. Jacobians of matrix transforms (with wedge products). February 2005. Class Notes: Finite Random Matrix Theory, Handout #3. <http://web.mit.edu/18.325/www/handouts.html>
- [4] A. Edelman. Volumes and integration. March 2005. Class Notes: Finite Random Matrix Theory, Handout #4. <http://web.mit.edu/18.325/www/handouts.html>
- [5] D. Page. Average entropy of a subsystem. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 71 (1993), no. 9, 1291-1294.
- [6] S. Sen. Average entropy of a quantum subsystem. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 77 (1996), no. 1, 1-3.
- [7] B. Shiffman, S. Zelditch. Distribution of zeros of random and quantum chaotic sections of positive line bundles. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 200 (1999), no. 3, 661-683.
- [8] J. Song, S. Zelditch. Test configurations, large deviations and geodesic rays on toric varieties. *Adv. Math.* 229 (2012), no. 4, 2338-2378.
- [9] S. Zelditch. Bernstein polynomials, Bergman kernels and toric Kähler varieties. *J. Symplectic Geom.* 7 (2009), no. 2, 51-76.
- [10] S. Zelditch, P. Flurin. Entropy of Bergman measures of a toric Kaehler manifold. *Pure Appl. Math. Q.* 18 (2022), no. 1, 269-303.
- [11] S. Zelditch, P. Zhou. Central limit theorem for toric Kähler manifolds. *Pure Appl. Math. Q.* 17 (2021), no. 3, 843-864.
- [12] Lin Zhang. Volumes of orthogonal groups and unitary groups. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1509.00537>

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN ONTARIO, LONDON ONTARIO N6A 5B7,  
CANADA

*Email address:* [tatyana.barron@uwo.ca](mailto:tatyana.barron@uwo.ca)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN ONTARIO, LONDON ONTARIO N6A 5B7,  
CANADA

*Email address:* [msaikia@uwo.ca](mailto:msaikia@uwo.ca)