

# On the finite axiomatizability of some metabelian profinite groups

Dan Segal

March 28, 2023

A group  $G$  is *finitely axiomatizable* (FA) in a class  $\mathcal{C}$  if  $G$  satisfies a first-order sentence  $\sigma$  such that every  $\mathcal{C}$  group satisfying  $\sigma$  is isomorphic to  $G$ . Some examples of this phenomenon where  $\mathcal{C}$  consists of profinite groups were discussed in [NST]; one of the questions raised in that paper was: *are finitely generated free pro- $p$  groups FA*, in either of the classes profinite groups, pro- $p$  groups?

This is still unknown; a small step in that direction is the following:

**Theorem 1** *Each f.g. free metabelian pro- $p$  group on at least two generators is FA in the class of all profinite groups.*

The proof depends on

**Theorem 2** *For each  $m, d \geq 1$  the profinite wreath product  $W_{m,d} = \mathbb{Z}_p^{(m)} \wr \mathbb{Z}_p^{(d)}$  is FA in the class of profinite groups.*

Here,

$$W_{m,d} = \varprojlim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_{p^n}^{(m)} \wr C_{p^n}^{(d)}.$$

The analogue in the class of abstract groups of Theorem 1 was recently established by Kharlampovich, Miasnikov and Sohrabi; they deduce it from the stronger result that *a free metabelian group is bi-interpretable with  $\mathbb{Z}$*  ([KMS] Theorem 30). The proof of this is quite elaborate; it seems plausible that the analogue should hold for free metabelian pro- $p$  groups and  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , but this seems quite difficult.

Facts from Sections 2 and 5 of [NST] will be used without special mention. We also often use the fact  $\mathcal{H}$ : *every finitely generated profinite group is Hopfian*, that is, each surjective endomorphism is an isomorphism.

Logical terms (‘formula’, ‘sentence’) all refer to the ordinary first-order language of group theory. As discussed in [NST], ‘isomorphism’ for profinite groups will mean ‘continuous isomorphism’ (among finitely generated profinite groups these are actually equivalent, for non-trivial reasons).

## 1 A reduction

A subgroup  $H$  of a profinite group  $G$  is *definably closed* if there is a formula  $\phi(x)$  such that

(i) for every profinite group  $P$ , the subset

$$\phi(P) := \{s \in P \mid P \models \phi(s)\}$$

is a closed subgroup, and

(ii)  $H = \phi(G)$ .

**Proposition 3** *Let  $G$  be a pro- $p$  group. Suppose that  $G$  has a definably closed abelian normal subgroup  $A \neq 1$  of infinite index such that  $A = C_G(a)$  for each  $1 \neq a \in A$ . Then  $G$  satisfies a sentence  $\chi$  such that for any profinite group  $H$ , if  $H \models \chi$  then  $H$  is a pro- $p$  group.*

For the proof, we combine Lemmas 4.5 and 4.6 of [S] to obtain

**Lemma 4** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a profinite group and  $A$  a profinite  $\Gamma$ -module such that*

$$\text{for } a \in A, x \in \Gamma, \quad ax = a \implies (a = 0 \vee x = 1), \quad (1)$$

$$pA + A(\Gamma - 1) < A, \quad (2)$$

$$\bigcap_{1 \neq x \in \Gamma} A(x - 1) = 0. \quad (3)$$

*Then both  $\Gamma$  and  $A$  are pro- $p$  groups.*

Now set  $\Gamma = G/A$  in Proposition 3. Then the conditions (1), (2) and (3) are satisfied (see the Remark following Lemma 4.6 in [S]). So  $G$  satisfies a sentence  $\alpha$  such that for any profinite group  $H$  satisfying  $\alpha$ ,  $H$  has a closed, definable abelian normal subgroup  $B$ , and each of (1), (2) and (3) holds with  $B$  for  $A$  and  $H/B$  for  $\Gamma$ . It follows by the preceding lemma that  $H$  is a pro- $p$  group.

## 2 Ring lemmas

**Lemma 5** *Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}_p[[\zeta]]$  and  $M = R^{(m)}$ , a free  $R$ -module of rank  $m \geq 1$ . Let  $K$  be an  $R$ -submodule of  $M$  such that*

- $M/(K + M\zeta) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^{(m)}$
- $a\zeta \in K \implies a \in K$  for all  $a \in M$ .

*Then  $K = 0$ .*

**Proof.** The quotient map  $M \rightarrow M/K$  induces an epimorphism

$$\mathbb{Z}_p^{(m)} \cong M/M\zeta \rightarrow M/(K + M\zeta) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^{(m)}.$$

This must be an isomorphism (by  $\mathcal{H}$ ), so  $K \subseteq M\zeta$ . Thus  $K = K \cap M\zeta = K\zeta$ , which implies that  $K = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K\zeta^n = 0$ . ■

Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}_p[[\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_d]]$ . Let us call the tuple  $(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_d)$  a *base* for  $R$  (together with  $p$  it forms a particular kind of system of parameters for the local ring  $R$ ). The set of all such bases is denoted  $\mathcal{B}(R)$ , and for  $i < d$  set

$$\mathcal{B}_i(R) = \{(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_i) \mid (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_d) \in \mathcal{B}(R) \text{ for some } \zeta_{i+1}, \dots, \zeta_d\}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{X}_i \subseteq \mathcal{B}_i(R)$  for  $1 \leq i < d$ . I will call the sequence  $(\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_{d-1})$  *rich* if  $\mathcal{X}_1$  contains infinitely many pairwise non-associate elements, and for  $i > 1$  and each fixed  $(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{i-1}) \in \mathcal{X}_{i-1}$ , there are infinitely many distinct ideals of the form

$$\zeta_1 R + \dots + \zeta_i R$$

with  $(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_i) \in \mathcal{X}_i$ .

**Lemma 6** *Assume that  $d \geq 2$ . For  $1 \leq i < d$  let  $\mathcal{X}_i$  be a subset of  $\mathcal{B}_i(R)$  such that  $(\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_{d-1})$  forms a rich sequence. Then for  $1 \leq i < d$  we have*

$$D_i := \bigcap_{\bar{\zeta} \in \mathcal{X}_i} (\zeta_1 R + \dots + \zeta_i R) = 0.$$

**Proof.** Suppose first that  $i = 1$ . As  $\zeta_1$  ranges over  $\mathcal{X}_1$ ,  $\zeta_1 R$  ranges over an infinite set of prime ideals of height 1 in the Noetherian integral domain  $R$ , which forces  $D_1 = 0$ . (This step isn't really necessary: we could allow  $i = 1$  in the following argument; but this way may be less confusing.)

Now let  $i > 1$  and fix  $(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{i-1}) \in \mathcal{X}_{i-1}$ . Set

$$Y = \{\zeta_i \in R \mid (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_i) \in \mathcal{X}_i\}.$$

Writing  $\pi : R \rightarrow \tilde{R} = R/(\zeta_1 R + \dots + \zeta_{i-1} R)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_i \pi &\subseteq \bigcap_{\zeta_i \in Y} (\zeta_1 R + \dots + \zeta_i R) \pi \\ &= \bigcap_{\zeta_i \in Y} \tilde{\zeta}_i \tilde{R}. \end{aligned}$$

This is the intersection of an infinite set of prime ideals of height 1 in the Noetherian integral domain  $\tilde{R}$ . It follows that  $D_i \pi = 0$ , and hence that  $D_i \subseteq \zeta_1 R + \dots + \zeta_{i-1} R$ . As  $(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{i-1})$  ranges over  $\mathcal{X}_{i-1}$  these ideals intersect in  $D_{i-1}$ .

The result follows by induction. ■

**Corollary 7** *Suppose  $R = \mathbb{Z}_p[[X]]$  where  $X$  is the free abelian pro- $p$  group on  $x_1, \dots, x_d$  and  $d \geq 2$ . Let  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq X^{(d)}$  denote the set of all bases for  $X$ . Then*

$$\bigcap_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{C}} ((y_1 - 1)R + \dots + (y_{d-1} - 1)R) = 0.$$

**Proof.** The ring  $R$  is equal to  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d]]$  where  $\xi_i = x_i - 1$  ([DDMS] Thm. 7.20). Set

$$\mathcal{X} = \{(y_1 - 1, \dots, y_d - 1) \mid (y_1, \dots, y_d) \in \mathcal{C}\},$$

and let  $\pi_i : R^{(d)} \rightarrow R^{(i)}$  denote the projection to the first  $i$  factors. Then

$$(\mathcal{X}\pi_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}\pi_{d-1})$$

is a rich sequence. To see this, note that for  $i < d$  and  $(y_1, \dots, y_{i-1}) \in \mathcal{C}\pi_{i-1}$ , the group  $\tilde{X} = X/\overline{\langle y_1, \dots, y_{i-1} \rangle}$  is free abelian of rank at least 2, and  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\tilde{X}]]$  is naturally identified with  $R/\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (y_j - 1)R$ . Now  $\tilde{X}$  has infinitely many 1-generator direct factors  $\overline{\langle \tilde{y} \rangle}$ , giving rise to infinitely many distinct augmentation ideals  $(\tilde{y} - 1)\mathbb{Z}_p[[\tilde{X}]]$ , the required condition for a rich sequence. The corollary now follows from the lemma with  $i = d - 1$ . ■

### 3 Wreath products

Now we prove Theorem 2.

$W := W_{m,d} = M \rtimes X$  where  $X = \overline{\langle x_1, \dots, x_d \rangle}$  say is a free abelian pro- $p$  group and the  $X$ -module  $M$  is isomorphic to  $R^{(m)}$  where  $R = \mathbb{Z}_p[[X]] = \mathbb{Z}_p[[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d]]$ , writing  $\xi_i = x_i - 1$ .

Taking  $G = W$  and  $A = M$  in Proposition 3, we see that  $W$  satisfies a sentence  $\chi$  such that every profinite group satisfying  $\chi$  is a pro- $p$  group. So it will suffice to show that  $W$  is FA in the class of pro- $p$  groups.

Assume to begin with that  $d = 1$ , and write  $x = x_1$  etc.

Say  $M = a_1R \oplus \dots \oplus a_mR$ . Then  $W$  satisfies a sentence  $\Psi(\mathbf{a}, x)$  asserting the following (within the class of pro- $p$  groups):

- The set  $\{a_1, \dots, a_m, x\}$  generates  $W$
- $\overline{\langle x \rangle} = C_W(x) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ ,
- $C_W(a_1) = \dots = C_W(a_m) := M$ , say,
- $M$  is abelian and normal in  $W$ ,
- $M/[M, x] \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^{(m)}$ ,
- $M \cap C_W(x) = 1$ .

(In [NST], §5.1 and §5.4, it is explained how these are expressed in first-order language.)

Now suppose that  $G$  is a pro- $p$  group and that  $G \models \Psi(\mathbf{b}, y)$  for some  $b_1, \dots, b_m, y \in G$ . Write  $B$  for the (topological) normal closure of  $\{b_1, \dots, b_m\}$  and set  $Y = \overline{\langle y \rangle}$ . Then  $Y = C_G(y) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ ,  $B$  is an abelian normal subgroup contained in  $C_G(b_i)$  for each  $i$ , and  $G = BY$ . It follows that  $C_G(b_i) = B.C_Y(b_i) = B$  for each  $i$ , because  $C_G(b_i) \cap C_G(y) = 1$ . Thus  $G = B \rtimes Y$ . We consider  $B$  as an  $R$ -module via  $x \mapsto y$ , and then  $B = b_1R + \dots + b_mR$ .

Let  $K$  be the kernel of the epimorphism  $M \rightarrow B$  that sends  $a_i$  to  $b_i$  for each  $i$ . The sentence  $\Psi(\mathbf{b}, y)$  implies that  $B/B\xi \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^{(m)}$  and that  $b\xi = 0 \implies b = 0$ . It follows that  $K$  satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 5, and so  $K = 0$ . Thus  $B$  is free of rank  $m$  as a module for  $\mathbb{Z}_p Y$ , and so  $G \cong W$ .

Thus  $W_{m,1}$  is FA in pro- $p$  groups. Suppose now that  $d \geq 2$ , and  $W = W_{m,d}$ . The subgroups  $X$  and  $M$  are definable by

$$X := C_W(x_1) = \dots = C_W(x_d) \quad (4)$$

$$M := C_W(a_1) = \dots = C_W(a_m). \quad (5)$$

Let  $\Phi(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x})$  be a first-order formula which asserts (for the pro- $p$  group  $W$ ) that (4) and (5) hold and

$$\begin{aligned} X &= \overline{\langle x_1, \dots, x_d \rangle} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^{(d)}, \\ W &= \overline{\langle a_1, \dots, a_m, x_1, \dots, x_d \rangle} \\ [M, M] &= 1, \quad M \triangleleft W \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that  $G$  is a pro- $p$  group and  $G \models \Phi(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{y})$  for some  $b_i, y_j \in G$ . There is an epimorphism  $\phi_{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{y}} : W \rightarrow G$  sending  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x}$  to  $\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{y}$  respectively. Then  $Y = \overline{\langle y_1, \dots, y_d \rangle} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^d \cong X$ , so  $\phi$  induces an isomorphism from  $X$  to  $Y$  (in view of  $\mathcal{H}$ ), and so  $K_{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{y}} := \ker \phi_{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{y}} \leq M$ .

Suppose that  $(t_1, \dots, t_d)$  is a basis for  $X$ . Denote the (topological) normal closure of  $\{t_1, \dots, t_{d-1}\}$  in  $W$  by  $N_{\mathbf{t}}$ . So

$$N_{\mathbf{t}} = [M, t_1] \dots [M, t_{d-1}] \overline{\langle t_1, \dots, t_{d-1} \rangle},$$

and it is easy to see that

$$g \in N_{\mathbf{t}} \iff [W, g] \subseteq [M, t_1] \dots [M, t_{d-1}].$$

Thus  $N_{\mathbf{t}}$  is definable by a formula  $\nu(t_1, \dots, t_d)$ , so by the first case, there is a formula  $\Upsilon(t_1, \dots, t_d)$  which asserts that  $W/N_{\mathbf{t}} \cong W_{m,1}$ ; this statement is true whenever  $(t_1, \dots, t_d)$  is a basis for  $X$ .

Finally, let  $\Theta$  be the sentence asserting, for a pro- $p$  group  $G$ , that there exist  $b_1, \dots, b_m, y_1, \dots, y_d \in G$  such that (a)  $G \models \Phi(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{y})$  and (b) for each tuple  $(s_1, \dots, s_d)$  that generates  $Y := C_G(y_1)$ ,  $G \models \Upsilon(\mathbf{s})$ .

We have seen that  $W$  satisfies  $\Theta$ . Suppose that the pro- $p$  group  $G$  satisfies  $\Theta$ . Then  $\phi := \phi_{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{y}}$  maps  $W$  onto  $G$  and  $X$  onto  $Y$ . Let  $\mathbf{t}$  be a basis for  $X$  and set  $\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{t}\phi$ . Then  $N_{\mathbf{t}}\phi \leq N_{\mathbf{s}}$ , so we have an induced epimorphism  $\phi^* : F/N_{\mathbf{t}} \rightarrow G/N_{\mathbf{s}}$ . Now  $\Upsilon(\mathbf{s})$  asserts that  $G/N_{\mathbf{s}} \cong W_{m,1} \cong F/N_{\mathbf{t}}$ , and it follows by  $\mathcal{H}$  that  $K_{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{y}} \leq N_{\mathbf{t}}$ . We know that  $K_{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{y}} \leq M$ , and so

$$K_{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{y}} \leq M \cap N_{\mathbf{t}} = \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} M(t_i - 1).$$

Corollary 7 shows that as  $\mathbf{t}$  ranges over all bases for  $X$ , these modules intersect in zero. It follows that  $K_{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{y}} = 1$ , and so  $G \cong W$ .

## 4 Free metabelian groups

$F = F_d$  is a free metabelian pro- $p$  group on  $d \geq 2$  generators  $g_1, \dots, g_d$ . We set  $x_i = g_i F'$ ,  $X = F/F' = \langle x_1, \dots, x_d \rangle$  and  $A = F'$ . Then  $A$  is a module for the completed group algebra  $R = \mathbb{Z}_p[[X]]$ . For  $1 \leq j \leq d$  set  $X_j = \langle x_1, \dots, x_j \rangle$  and  $R_j = \mathbb{Z}_p[[X_j]]$ .

Note that  $R_j$  is equal to the power series ring  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_j]]$  where  $\xi_i = x_i - 1$  for each  $i$  ([DDMS] Thm. 7.20). Thus it is a regular local ring of dimension  $1 + j$ .

Write  $\Delta_{ji} = \sum_{l=1}^i \xi_l R_j$ ; the unique maximal ideal of  $R_j$  is  $\mathfrak{m}_j = pR_j + \Delta_{jj}$ .

Recall that if  $G = \langle h_1, \dots, h_d \rangle$  is a pro- $p$  group then  $G' = [h_1, G] \dots [h_d, G]$ , a definably closed normal subgroup. In particular,  $A$  is definably closed in  $F$ .

We will often use the ‘Jacobi identity’ for metabelian groups,

$$[a, b, c][b, c, a][c, a, b] = 1;$$

this follows at once from the Hall-Witt identity when the derived group is abelian.

Putting  $u_{ij} = [g_i, g_j]$  we have

**Proposition 8** *Each element of  $A$  is uniquely expressible as*

$$a = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq d} u_{ij} r_{ij} \tag{6}$$

with  $r_{ij} \in R_j$  for each  $i$  and  $j$ .

**Proof.** The analogue of this result for the abstract free metabelian group,  $F_0$  say, is established in [MR], section 6 (cf. also [B], [BR]). The *existence* of a representation (6), also in the pro- $p$  case, is easily deduced from the Jacobi identity. The proof of *uniqueness* explained in [MR] uses Fox derivatives; these induce mappings  $d_j : F'_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(F_0^{\text{ab}})$  which are  $F_0$ -module homomorphisms and satisfy

$$d_j(u_{ik}) = \begin{cases} 0 & j \neq i, k \\ x_k - 1 & j = i \\ 1 - x_i & j = k \end{cases}.$$

It is easy to verify that each  $d_j$  extends by continuity to an  $R$ -module homomorphism from  $A$  to  $R$ , noting that  $A$  is the completion of  $F'_0$  w.r.t. the  $I$ -adic topology where  $I$  is the ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}(F_0^{\text{ab}})$  generated by  $p$  and  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d$ , while  $R$  is the completion of  $\mathbb{Z}(F_0^{\text{ab}})$  w.r.t. the  $I$ -adic topology.

Now suppose that  $a$  in (6) is equal to 0. We have to show that each  $r_{ij}$  is zero. Arguing by induction on  $d$ , we may suppose that  $r_{ij} = 0$  for all  $i < j < d$ . Then for  $1 \leq j < d$  we have

$$0 = d_j(a) = (x_d - 1)r_{jd},$$

and the result follows. ■

The uniqueness of expression in (6) implies in particular that  $ax_1 \neq a$  if  $a \neq 0$ . It follows by symmetry that  $ax_d \neq a$  if  $a \neq 0$ , so the mapping  $a \mapsto a\xi_d$  is injective. Noting that for  $i < j < d$  we have  $u_{ij}\xi_d = u_{id}\xi_j - u_{jd}\xi_i$ , we see that  $A$  embeds in a free submodule:

**Corollary 9**

$$A \cong A\xi_d \leq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{d-1} u_{id}R.$$

It follows in turn that  $0 \neq a \in A$  implies  $A = C_F(a)$ . So we may apply Proposition 3 to find a sentence  $\chi$ , satisfied by  $F$ , such that every profinite group satisfying  $\chi$  is a pro- $p$  group. Thus to complete the proof of Theorem 1 it will suffice to show that  $F$  is FA in the class of pro- $p$  groups.

Now set

$$\begin{aligned} C &= C_{\mathbf{g}} = C_F(g_d) \\ H &= H_{\mathbf{g}} = AC \\ B &= B_{\mathbf{g}} = A\xi_1 + \cdots + A\xi_{d-1} \\ Z &= Z_{\mathbf{g}} = \{a \in A \mid a\xi_d \in B\}. \end{aligned}$$

(If  $d = 2$ , this means that  $Z = B$ .)

It follows from Proposition 8 that

$$A/B = Z/B \oplus (D + B)/B$$

where

$$D = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{d-1} u_{id}R,$$

and that  $D \cap B = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{d-1} u_{id}(R\xi_1 + \cdots + R\xi_{d-1})$ . This implies that

$$A/Z \cong (D + B)/B \cong D/(D \cap B) \cong S^{(d-1)}$$

where  $S = \mathbb{Z}_p[[\overline{\langle x_d \rangle}]]$ .

Also  $C = \langle g_d \rangle$ . Thus

$$\frac{H}{Z} = \frac{A}{Z} \overline{\langle g_d \rangle} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^{(d-1)} \overline{\mathbb{Z}_p} = W_{d-1,1},$$

the wreath product discussed above.

Now all the subgroups mentioned, with the possible exception of  $D$ , are definable relative to the parameters  $(g_1, \dots, g_d)$ . In view of Theorem 2, there is a formula  $\Omega(t_1, \dots, t_d)$  such that  $F \models \Omega(\mathbf{g})$  expresses the fact that  $C_{\mathbf{g}} = \langle g_d \rangle$  and  $H_{\mathbf{g}}/Z_{\mathbf{g}} \cong W_{d-1,1}$ .

Let  $\mu$  be a sentence asserting for a pro- $p$  group  $G$  that  $G$  is metabelian and that  $G/\gamma_3(G) \cong F/\gamma_3(F)$  (recall that  $F/\gamma_3(F)$  is FA in pro- $p$  groups by [NST], Theorem 5.15). In particular, if  $G \models \mu$  then  $G$  is generated by  $d$  elements.

Suppose now that  $G$  is a pro- $p$  group and that  $G$  satisfies

$$\mu \wedge (\forall t_1, \dots, t_d) (\beta_d(t_1, \dots, t_d) \rightarrow \Omega(t_1, \dots, t_d))$$

where  $G \models \beta_d(t_1, \dots, t_d)$  iff  $G = \overline{\langle t_1, \dots, t_d \rangle}$ . Let  $\theta : F \rightarrow G$  be an epimorphism and set  $K = \ker \theta$ .

The induced epimorphism  $F/\gamma_3(F) \rightarrow G/\gamma_3(G)$  is an isomorphism by  $\mathcal{H}$ , so  $K \leq \gamma_3(F) = [A, F]$ .

Let  $t_i = g_i \theta$  for each  $i$ . Then  $H_{\mathbf{g}} \theta = H_{\mathbf{t}}$  and  $Z_{\mathbf{g}} \theta \subseteq Z_{\mathbf{t}}$ , so  $\theta$  induces an epimorphism  $\theta^* : H/Z \rightarrow H_{\mathbf{t}}/Z_{\mathbf{t}}$ . Since  $t_1, \dots, t_d$  generate  $G$ ,  $G \models \Omega(\mathbf{t})$ , so  $H_{\mathbf{t}}/Z_{\mathbf{t}} \cong W_{d-1,1} \cong H/Z$ , whence  $\theta^*$  is an isomorphism (by  $\mathcal{H}$ ); since  $K \leq [A, F] \leq H$  it follows that  $K \leq Z$ . Thus

$$K \leq Z \cap [A, F] = Z \cap (B + A\xi_d) \leq Z \cap D \leq B = B_{\mathbf{g}}.$$

This holds irrespective of the chosen basis  $\mathbf{g}$  for  $F$ . Now Corollaries 9 and 7 together show that as  $\mathbf{g}$  runs over all such bases, the submodules  $B_{\mathbf{g}}$  intersect in zero. Thus  $K = 1$ , and so  $G \cong F$ .

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

## 5 The case $d = 2$

There is a much simpler proof when  $d = 2$ . Assume now that  $F$  is the free metabelian pro- $p$  group on generators  $g, h$ . Adapting the notation of the preceding section, write  $A = F'$ ,  $x = Ag$ ,  $y = Ah$ ,  $u = [g, h]$ ,  $R = \mathbb{Z}_p[[F/F']] = \mathbb{Z}_p[[\xi, \eta]]$  where  $\xi = x - 1$ ,  $\eta = y - 1$ . Thus

$$A = uR \cong R$$

by Proposition 8, and

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_3(F) &= [A, g][A, h] \\ &= A\xi + A\eta \end{aligned}$$

using additive notation for the  $F/F'$ -module  $A$ .

Set

$$H = F/\gamma_3(F),$$

this is the free class-2 nilpotent pro- $p$  group (the Heisenberg group over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ ).

Let  $\Psi(s, t)$  be a first-order formula such that for a pro- $p$  group  $G$  and  $s, t \in G$ ,  $G \models \Psi(s, t)$  if and only if

1.  $s$  and  $t$  generate  $G$
2.  $B := [G, s][G, t]$  is abelian (recall that given  $\mathbf{1}$ .,  $B$  is in fact the derived group of  $G$ )
3.  $G/[B, s][B, t] \cong H$  (note that given  $\mathbf{2}$ .,  $[B, s][B, t] = \gamma_3(G)$ )



4. For  $a, b \in B$ ,

$$[a, s][b, t] = 1 \iff a = [c, t] \wedge b = [c^{-1}, s] \text{ for some } c \in B.$$

(Here we use the fact that  $H$  is FA in the class of pro- $p$  groups, a special case of [NST], Theorem 5.15.)

Now I claim (a)  $F$  satisfies  $\Psi(g, h)$  and (b) if  $G$  is a pro- $p$  group  $G$ ,  $s, t \in G$ , and  $G \models \Psi(s, t)$  then  $F \cong G$  by a map sending  $g$  to  $s$  and  $h$  to  $t$ .

This shows that  $F$  is FA in the class of pro- $p$  groups; as above we quote Proposition 3 to infer that  $F$  is FA in the class of all profinite groups.

*Proof of (a).* Only condition 4. needs comment. Writing  $A$  additively, this asserts for  $a, b \in A$  that

$$a\xi + b\eta = 0 \iff a = c\eta \wedge b = -c\xi \text{ for some } c \in A.$$

As  $A \cong R$ , this follows from the fact that  $R\xi \cap R\eta = R\xi\eta$  (while neither of  $\xi, \eta$  is a zero-divisor).

*Proof of (b).* Now  $G$  is a metabelian pro- $p$  group generated by  $s$  and  $t$ , so there exists an epimorphism  $\theta : F \rightarrow G$  with  $g\theta = s$  and  $h\theta = t$ . In view of  $\mathcal{H}$ , Condition 3. implies that the induced epimorphism  $F/\gamma_3(F) \rightarrow G/\gamma_3(G)$  is an isomorphism. It follows that  $\ker \theta := K$  is contained in  $\gamma_3(F) = [A, g][A, h]$ .

Suppose now that  $w = [a, g][b, h] \in K$ . Then

$$1 = [a, g][b, h]\theta = [a', s][b', t]$$

where  $a' = a\theta, b' = b\theta \in A\theta = B$ . According to 4., there exists  $c' \in B$  such that  $a' = [c', t]$  and  $b' = [c'^{-1}, s]$ . Say  $c' = c\theta$  for some  $c \in A$  (this exists because  $\theta$  maps  $A$  onto  $B$ ). Then

$$a = [c, h]w_1, \quad b = [c^{-1}, g]w_2$$

with  $w_1, w_2 \in K$ . Thus translating into additive notation we have

$$\begin{aligned} w &= [[c, h]w_1, g][[c^{-1}, g]w_2, h] \\ &= (c\eta + w_1)\xi + (-c\xi + w_2)\eta \\ &= w_1\xi + w_2\eta. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $K \subseteq [K, F]$ . As  $F$  is a pro- $p$  group this forces  $K = 1$ , so  $\theta$  is an isomorphism as required.

## References

- [B] S. Bachmuth, Automorphisms of free metabelian groups, *Trans. AMS* **118** (1965), 93-104.
- [BR] R. Bryant and V. A. Romankov, Automorphism groups of relatively free groups, *Math.Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.* **127** (1999), 411-424.
- [DDMS] J. D. Dixon, M. P. F. du Sautoy, A. Mann and D. Segal, *Analytic pro-p groups*, 2nd ed., CUP, Cambridge, 1999.
- [KMS] O. Kharlampovich, A. Miasnikov and M. Sohrabi, Rich groups, weak second-order logic, and applications, [arXiv:2109.13133](https://arxiv.org/abs/2109.13133) (2022).
- [MR] A. Myasnikov and V. A. Romankov, Diophantine cryptography in free metabelian groups, *Groups Complex. Cryptol.* **6**(2) (2014), 103-120.
- [S] D. Segal, A profinite analogue of Lasserre's theorem, [arXiv 2022](https://arxiv.org/abs/2022)
- [NST] A. Nies, D. Segal and K. Tent, Finite axiomatizability for profinite groups, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **123**(2021), 597-635.