

---

# SUBTRACTIVE SPACES OF SEMIRINGS

by

Amartya Goswami

---

**Abstract.** — Using the closure operator that defines a subtractive ideal of a semiring  $S$ , in this note we introduce a topology on the set of all ideals of  $S$  induced by that operator. We show that the corresponding subtractive space is  $T_0$  and every nonempty irreducible closed set has a unique generic point, whereas the restricted subspace of subtractive ideals is  $T_1$ . Using a semiring homomorphism, we obtain a continuous map between the corresponding subtractive spaces.

## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Since the introduction of semirings in [5], it is natural to compare and extend results from rings to semirings. One may think that semirings can always be extended to rings, but [6] gives examples of semirings that can not be embedded in rings. Furthermore, the lack of ‘subtraction’ in a semiring makes the behaviour of ideals substantially different from that of rings. To minimize this gap, the notion of a ‘ $k$ -ideal’ (also called a subtractive ideal in [1]) has been introduced in [2]. There is a natural closure operator that defines a subtractive ideal. The aim of this note is to introduce a topology on the set of all ideals of a semiring induced by this closure operator. We study a few topological properties of these spaces.

A (commutative) *semiring* is a system  $(S, +, 0, \cdot, 1)$  such that  $(S, +, 0)$  is a commutative monoid,  $(S, \cdot, 1)$  is a commutative monoid,  $0 \cdot x = 0 = x \cdot 0$  for all  $x \in S$ , and  $\cdot$  distributes over  $+$ . We shall write  $xy$  for  $x \cdot y$ . A *semiring homomorphism*  $\phi: S \rightarrow S'$  is a map such that  $\phi(x + y) = \phi(x) + \phi(y)$ ,  $\phi(xy) = \phi(x)\phi(y)$ , and  $\phi(1) = 1$  for all  $x, y \in S$ . An *ideal*  $I$  of a semiring  $S$  is an additive submonoid of  $S$  such that  $rx \in I$  for all  $x \in I$  and  $r \in S$ . An ideal  $I$  is called *proper* if  $I \neq S$ . We also use the symbol  $0$  to denote the zero ideal of  $S$ .

Recall from [1] that a *subtractive ideal*  $I$  of  $S$  is an ideal of  $R$  such that  $x, x + y \in I$  imply  $y \in I$ . Equivalently, an ideal  $I$  of  $S$  is called a  $k$ -ideal if  $x + y \in I$  implies either  $x, y \in I$  or  $x, y \notin I$ . Surely, the zero ideal is subtractive and is contained in every  $k$ -ideal of  $S$ . We denote the set of all ideals and all subtractive ideals of  $S$  by  $\mathcal{I}l(S)$  and  $\mathcal{I}l_{\text{sub}}(S)$  respectively. The notion of a subtractive ideal

---

**2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.** — 16Y60.

**Key words and phrases.** — semiring, subtractive ideal, generic point.

can also be characterized in terms of a closure operator endowed on  $\mathcal{Idl}(S)$ . Suppose  $I \in \mathcal{Idl}(S)$ . A *subtractive closure* (also known as  $k$ -closure, see [4, Lemma 2.2]) operator  $\mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}$  is defined by

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}(I) = \{r \in S \mid r + x \in I \text{ for some } x \in I\}.$$

**Lemma 1.1.** — *Let  $I, \{I_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ , and  $J$  be ideals of a semiring  $S$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}$  has the following properties.*

- (1)  $I \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}(I)$ .
- (2)  $\mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}(0) = 0$ .
- (3)  $\mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}(S) = S$ .
- (4)  $\mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}(\mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}(I)) = \mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}(I)$ .
- (5)  $I \subseteq J$  implies  $\mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}(I) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}(J)$ .
- (6)  $\mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}(I \cup J) \supseteq \mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}(I) \cup \mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}(J)$ .
- (7)  $\mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}\left(\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} I_\lambda\right) = \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}(I_\lambda)$ .
- (8)  $\mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}(I)$  is the smallest subtractive ideal containing  $I$ .
- (9)  $I$  is a subtractive if and only if  $I = \mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}(I)$ .

*Proof.* — The proofs of (1)–(7) are straightforward. For (8), see [3, Proposition 3.1], whereas for (9), we refer to [4, Lemma 2.2].  $\square$

It is obvious from Lemma 1.1(5) that  $\mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}(I) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}(\sqrt{I})$  for all  $I \in \mathcal{Idl}(S)$ . Note that we may use Lemma 1.1(9) as an alternative definition of a subtractive ideal of a semiring. From (8), it follows that a  $\mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}$  is indeed a map

$$\mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}} : \mathcal{Idl}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{Idl}_{\text{sub}}(S)$$

defined by (1.1). Considering the inclusion map  $\iota : \mathcal{Idl}_{\text{sub}}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{Idl}(S)$ , it is easy to see the following.

**Proposition 1.2.** — *The pair  $(\mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}, \iota)$  forms a Galois connection.*

**Lemma 1.3.** — *If  $I$  and  $J$  are two subtractive ideals of a semiring  $S$ , then their product  $IJ$  is also a subtractive ideal of  $R$ , and  $IJ \subseteq I \cap J$ .*

*Proof.* — Suppose  $x, x + y \in IJ$ . Then  $x = ij$  and  $x + y = i'j'$  for some  $i, i' \in I$  and  $j, j' \in J$ . Since  $I$  is an ideal,  $ij, i'j' \in I$ , that is,  $x, x + y \in I$ . Since  $I$  is also a subtractive ideal, this implies  $y \in I$ . Similarly, we can show that  $y \in J$ . Hence,  $y \in IJ$ , and this proves that  $IJ$  is a subtractive ideal.

Let  $r \in IJ$ . Then there exists an  $x \in IJ$  such that  $r + x \in IJ$ . Since  $I$  and  $J$  are ideals of  $S$ , we definitely have  $IJ \subseteq I \cap J$ , which implies that  $r + x, x \in I \cap J$ . Since  $I$  and  $J$  are also subtractive ideals, we must have  $r \in I \cap J$ , as required.  $\square$

If  $\{I_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  is a family of subtractive ideals, then their intersection  $\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} I_\lambda$  is also a subtractive ideal. Note that the sum of two subtractive ideals of a semiring need not be a subtractive ideal. Recall from [1, Example 6.19] that  $2\mathbb{N}$  and  $3\mathbb{N}$  are subtractive ideals of the semiring  $\mathbb{N}$ , however  $2\mathbb{N} + 3\mathbb{N} = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$  is not a subtractive ideal of  $\mathbb{N}$ , however it is so in a lattice ordered semiring (cf. [1, Corollary 21.22]). The lattice of all ideals of a ring is modular, whereas the same is not true for a semiring. Nevertheless, we have the following result that announced in [2]. For a proof, see [1, Proposition 6.38].

**Proposition 1.4.** — *Let  $S$  be a semiring. Then  $\mathcal{J}dl_{\text{sub}}(S)$  is a modular lattice.*

## 2. Subtractive spaces

From Lemma 1.1(1)–(5), we observe that a closure operator  $\mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}$  satisfies the axioms of an algebraic closure operators. However, it is not true in general that  $\mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}$  is closed under finite unions, and hence, it is not a Kuratowski closure operator. Considering the subsets  $\{\mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}(I)\}_{I \in \mathcal{J}dl(S)}$  of  $S$  as subbasic closed sets, nevertheless, induce a topology on  $\mathcal{J}dl(S)$ , which we call a *subtractive topology* and denote by  $\tau_s$ . For a semiring  $S$ , the set  $\mathcal{J}dl(S)$  endowed with a subtractive topology is called a *subtractive space*, and instead of  $(\mathcal{J}dl(S), \tau_s)$ , we denote the space also by  $\mathcal{J}dl(S)$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** — *The subbasic closed sets of a subtractive space  $\mathcal{J}dl(S)$  are the subtractive ideals of  $S$ .*

*Proof.* — The proof follows from (8) and (9) of Lemma 1.1.  $\square$

If  $I, I' \in \mathcal{J}dl(S)$  and  $I \neq I'$ , then it is easy to see that  $\mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}(I) \neq \mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}(I')$ , and hence we have

**Lemma 2.2.** — *Every subtractive space is  $T_0$ .*

Recall that a nonempty closed subset  $D$  of a topological space is *irreducible* if  $D \neq D_1 \cup D_2$  for any two proper closed subsets  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  of  $D$ . A point  $x$  in a closed subset  $D$  is called a *generic point* of  $D$  if  $D = \overline{\{x\}}$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** — *Every nonempty subbasic closed set of a subtractive space is irreducible.*

*Proof.* — We show that  $\mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}(I) = \overline{\{I\}}$  for all  $I \in \mathcal{J}dl(S)$ . Since  $\overline{\{I\}}$  is the smallest closed set containing  $I$ , it follows from Lemma 1.1(1) that  $\mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}(I) \supseteq \overline{\{I\}}$ . To have the other inclusion, first consider the trivial case of  $\overline{\{I\}} = \mathcal{J}dl(S)$ . For this we have

$$\mathcal{J}dl(S) = \overline{\{I\}} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}(I) \subseteq \mathcal{J}dl(S),$$

and hence  $\mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}(I) \subseteq \overline{\{I\}}$ . Now suppose

$$\overline{\{I\}} = \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_\lambda} \mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}(I_{i\lambda}) \right).$$

This means that  $I \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}(I_{i\lambda})$  for some  $i$  and each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . But that implies

$$\mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}(I) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}(\mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}(I_{\lambda i})) = \mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}(I_{\lambda i}) \subseteq \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_\lambda} \mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}(I_{i\lambda}) \right),$$

and hence we have the desired inclusion.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.4.** —  *$\mathcal{J}dl_{\text{sub}}(S)$  is the largest  $T_1$ -subspace of a subtractive space  $\mathcal{J}dl(S)$ .*

*Proof.* — If  $I \in \mathcal{J}dl_{\text{sub}}(S)$ , then by Proposition 2.3, we have  $I = \mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}(I) = \overline{\{I\}}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.5.** — *Every nonempty irreducible closed subset of a subtractive space has a unique generic point.*

*Proof.* — Suppose  $D$  is a nonempty irreducible closed subset of a subtractive space  $\mathcal{Jdl}(S)$ . Then  $D = \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathcal{E}_\lambda$ , where each  $\mathcal{E}_\lambda$  is a finite union of subbasic closed sets of  $\tau_S$ . Since  $D$  is irreducible, for every  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , there exists an  $I_\lambda \in \mathcal{Jdl}(S)$  such that

$$D \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}(I_\lambda) \subseteq \mathcal{E}_\lambda,$$

and this implies

$$D = \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}(I_\lambda) = \mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}\left(\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} I_\lambda\right) = \overline{\left\{\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} I_\lambda\right\}},$$

where, the last equality follows from Proposition 2.3. This proves the existence of the generic point, whereas the uniqueness of it follows from Lemma 2.2.  $\square$

Using a semiring homomorphism, our aim in this section is to construct a continuous map between the corresponding subtractive spaces and study some of the properties of these maps. The main difference compared to Zariski topology is that we have to use subbasic-closed-set formulation to study these maps.

**Lemma 2.6.** — *If  $\phi : S \rightarrow S'$  is a semiring homomorphism and  $J \in \mathcal{Jdl}_{\text{sub}}(S')$ . Then the following hold.*

- (1)  $\phi^{-1}(J)$  is a subtractive ideal of  $S$ . In particular,  $\ker \phi$  is a subtractive ideal of  $S$ .
- (2)  $\phi^{-1}(J) = \mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}(\phi^{-1}(J))$ .

*Proof.* — For the first part of (1), it is well-known that  $\phi^{-1}(J) \in \mathcal{Jdl}(S)$ . Suppose  $x, x + y \in \phi^{-1}(J)$ . Then  $\phi(x), \phi(x + y) = \phi(x) + \phi(y) \in J$ . Since  $J \in \mathcal{Jdl}_{\text{sub}}(S')$ , we must have  $\phi(y) \in J$ , and hence  $y \in \phi^{-1}(J)$ . For the second part of (1), let  $x, x + y \in \ker \phi$ . This implies  $\phi(y) = \phi(x) + \phi(y) = \phi(x + y) = 0$ , and hence  $y \in \ker \phi$ . The proof of (2) follows immediately from (1).  $\square$

**Proposition 2.7.** — *Suppose  $\phi : S \rightarrow S'$  is a semiring homomorphism.*

- (1) *The map  $\phi$  induces a continuous map  $\phi_! : \mathcal{Jdl}(S') \rightarrow \mathcal{Jdl}(S)$  defined by  $\phi_!(J) = \phi^{-1}(J)$ , where  $J \in \mathcal{Jdl}(S')$ .*
- (2) *If  $\phi$  is surjective, then the subtractive spaces  $\mathcal{Jdl}_{\text{sub}}(S')$  and  $\mathcal{Jdl}_{\text{sub}}(S)$  are homeomorphic.*

*Proof.* — To show (1), let  $\mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}(I)$  be a subbasic closed set of the subtractive space  $\mathcal{Jdl}(S)$ , and for us it is sufficient to show that  $\phi(\mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}(I)) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}(\langle \phi(I) \rangle)$ . Let  $s' \in \phi(\mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}(I))$ . This implies  $\phi^{-1}(s') \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}(I)$ , and hence

$$\phi \phi^{-1}(s') + \phi(i) \in \phi(I) \subseteq \langle \phi(I) \rangle,$$

for some  $i \in I$ . From this we conclude that  $s' \in \phi \phi^{-1}(s') \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}(\langle \phi(I) \rangle)$ .

For (2), it is easy to see that the map  $\phi_!$  is injective. Since by hypothesis  $\phi_!$  is surjective and by (1),  $\phi_!$  is continuous, what remains is to show that  $\phi_!$  is closed. Notice that if  $\mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}(I)$  is a subbasic closed subset of  $\mathcal{Jdl}_{\text{sub}}(S')$ , then by Lemma 2.6(2),  $\phi_!(\mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}(I))$  is also a subbasic closed set of  $\mathcal{Jdl}_{\text{sub}}(S)$ . Now if  $K$  is a closed subset of  $\mathcal{Jdl}_{\text{sub}}(S')$ , then there exists a collection  $\{I_{j\lambda} \mid \lambda \in \Lambda, 1 \leq j \leq m_\lambda\}$  of

subtractive ideals of  $S'$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_!(K) &= \phi_! \left( \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \left( \bigcup_{j=1}^{m_\lambda} \mathcal{C}_{\text{sub}}(I_{j\lambda}) \right) \right) \\ &= \phi_! \left( \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \left( \bigcup_{j=1}^{m_\lambda} I_{j\lambda} \right) \right) \\ &= \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \bigcup_{j=1}^{m_\lambda} \phi^{-1}(I_{j\lambda}),\end{aligned}$$

a closed subset of  $\mathcal{Idl}_{\text{sub}}(S)$ . □

### References

- [1] J. S. Golan, *Semirings and their applications*, Springer, 1999.
- [2] M. Henriksen, Ideals in semirings with commutative addition, *Amer. Math. Soc. Notices*, 6(3) 31 (1958), 321.
- [3] J. Jun, S. Ray, and J. Tolliver, Lattices, spectral spaces, and closure operators on idempotent semirings, *J. Algebra*, 594 (2022), 313–363.
- [4] M. K. Sen and M. R. Adhikari, On  $k$ -ideals of semirings, *Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci.*, 15(2) (1992), 347–350.
- [5] H. S. Vandiver, Note on a simple type of algebra in which the cancellation law of addition does not hold, *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.*, 40(12) (1934), 914–920.
- [6] H. S. Vandiver, On some simple types of semi-rings, *Am. Math. Monthly*, 46(1) (1939), 22–26.

---

AMARTYA GOSWAMI, [1] Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics, University of Johannesburg, P.O. Box 524, Auckland Park 2006, South Africa. [2] National Institute for Theoretical and Computational Sciences (NITheCS), South Africa. • *E-mail* : agoswami@uj.ac.za