

# ON A WEAK MAXIMUM PRINCIPLE FOR A CLASS OF FRACTIONAL DIFFUSIVE EQUATIONS

CYRILLE KENNE AND GISÈLE MOPHOU

**ABSTRACT.** We consider two evolution equations involving space fractional Laplace operator of order  $0 < s < 1$ . We first establish some existence and uniqueness results for the considered evolution equations. Next, we give some comparison theorems and prove that, if the data of each equation are data bounded, then the solutions are also bounded.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we denote by  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$  an open bounded domain with a Lipschitz continuous boundary  $\partial\Omega$  and  $\omega$  an open subset of  $\Omega$ . For  $T > 0$ , we set  $Q := \Omega \times (0, T)$  and  $\Sigma := (\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega) \times (0, T)$ . We consider the space fractional diffusion model with non-homogeneous Robin boundary condition

$$\begin{cases} \rho_t + (-\Delta)^s \rho = f & \text{in } Q, \\ \mathcal{N}_s \rho + \rho = g & \text{in } \Sigma, \\ \rho(\cdot, 0) = \rho_0 & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

and the space fractional diffusion model with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition

$$\begin{cases} \rho_t + (-\Delta)^s \rho = f & \text{in } Q, \\ \rho = 0 & \text{in } \Sigma, \\ \rho(\cdot, 0) = \rho_0 & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where  $T > 0$ , the functions  $\rho_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$ ,  $f \in L^2(Q)$  and  $g \in L^2(\Sigma)$ .  $(-\Delta)^s$  ( $0 < s < 1$ ) denotes the fractional Laplace operator given formally for a suitable function  $\psi$  by:

$$(-\Delta)^s \psi = C_{N,s} \text{P.V.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\psi(x) - \psi(y)}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy,$$

where P.V denotes the principal value and  $C_{N,s}$  is a normalization constant depending only on  $N$  and  $s$ . We refer to Section 2 for more details. We aim to establish the weak maximum principle results for the systems (1.1)-(1.2).

Recently, the fractional calculus has become increasingly considered by researchers. It has been used for many application, for instance, modeling of chemical and physical process, in engineering and finance (see e.g [8, 12, 14, 15, 20, 21, 23] for instance and the references therein). With this emergence of fractional calculus, the maximum principles results have gained much attention. Theses techniques are used to obtain more information about a solution even if we do not have its explicit expression. They are also of a good use to prove existence and uniqueness results for partial differential equations. They can also be used in control theory to prove the uniqueness of an optimal control, specially for bilinear systems.

The maximum principles for fractional order has been widely concerned by authors. In [18], Luchko formulated and established a maximum principle result for a time-fractional diffusion equation. It was done

---

*Date:* March 28, 2023.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 26A33, 35B50, 46E35, 49K20.

*Key words and phrases.* Fractional Laplacian, maximum principle.

by using an extremum principle established earlier for the Caputo-Dzherbashyan fractional derivative. This result was used later in [19], to prove well posedness results of a initial-boundary-value problem for the multi-dimensional time-fractional diffusion equation. Al-Refai *et al.* [1] established maximum principles for a fractional diffusion equation involving the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative. They employed these results to show an uniqueness result for solutions to a initial-boundary-value problem for the nonlinear fractional diffusion equation under some standard assumptions.

We point out that in the above papers, there is no consideration of the fractional Laplace operator. But, this latter is a non local operator and has found applications in many areas see [7], for instance. For more topics on fractional Laplace and its applications, we refer to [3, 4, 5, 6, 25, 27] and the references therein. Concerning maximum principle involving fractional operator, Wang *et al.* [28] established maximum principles results for Hadamard fractional differential equation with fractional Laplace operator. They applied this result to a linear and nonlinear Hadamard fractional equations in order to prove the uniqueness and continuous dependence of the solution to the initial-boundary-value problem.

More recently, Barrios *et al.* [22], studied comparison results for a class of elliptic and parabolic problems involving the fractional Laplace operator. They proved a generalization of a Hopf's lemma in a non-local form for elliptic and parabolic problems with mixed conditions. Trong *et al.* [26], proved a strong maximum principle result for the spectral Dirichlet Laplacian. The result was obtained by using the Poincaré's inequality in a fractional version and by means of a certain truncated function.

In this article, we study two evolution equations involving space fractional Laplace operator. After some well posedness results of our systems, we establish weak maximum principles. The rest of the work is organized as follows. We introduce some Sobolev spaces and recall some known results on Fractional Laplace operator in Section 2. In Section 3, the existence and uniqueness of solutions to systems (1.1)-(1.2) is established. We derive maximum principles results in Section 4. In Section 5, a conclusion is given.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

We start this section by recalling the definition of the fractional Laplace operator. Given  $0 < s < 1$ , we let the space

$$\mathcal{L}_s^1(\mathbb{R}^N) := \left\{ u : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ measurable and } \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x)|}{(1+|x|)^{N+2s}} dx < \infty \right\}.$$

Let  $w \in \mathcal{L}_s^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we set

$$(-\Delta)_\varepsilon^s w(x) := C_{N,s} \int_{\{y \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x-y| > \varepsilon\}} \frac{w(x) - w(y)}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where  $C_{N,s}$ , normalization constant is given by

$$C_{N,s} := \frac{s 2^{2s} \Gamma\left(\frac{2s+N}{2}\right)}{\pi^{\frac{N}{2}} \Gamma(1-s)}. \quad (2.1)$$

We define the fractional Laplace operator  $(-\Delta)^s w$  as the following singular integral:

$$(-\Delta)^s w(x) := C_{N,s} \text{P.V.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{w(x) - w(y)}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dy = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} (-\Delta)_\varepsilon^s w(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (2.2)$$

provided that the limit exists for a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . We refer to [10] and the references therein for the class of functions for which the limit in (2.2) exists for a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ .

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  ( $N \geq 1$ ) be an arbitrary open set and  $0 < s < 1$ . We define the fractional order Sobolev space

$$H^s(\Omega) := \left\{ \rho \in L^2(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\rho(x) - \rho(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy < \infty \right\}$$

and we endow it with the norm given by

$$\|\rho\|_{H^s(\Omega)} = \left( \int_{\Omega} |\rho|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\rho(x) - \rho(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \right)^{1/2}.$$

We introduce for any  $\rho \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$  the *nonlocal normal derivative*  $\mathcal{N}_s$  defined by

$$\mathcal{N}_s \rho(x) := C_{N,s} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\rho(x) - \rho(y)}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{\Omega}, \quad (2.3)$$

where  $C_{N,s}$  is the normalization constant given in (2.1). We have that  $\mathcal{N}_s$  maps  $\rho \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$  continuously into  $L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{\Omega})$  (see [9, Lemma 2.5, page 1604]).

We have the integration by parts formula which can be found in [11, 30] for smooth functions. Let  $\rho \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$  be such that  $(-\Delta)^s \rho \in L^2(\Omega)$  and  $\mathcal{N}_s \rho \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega)$ . Then for every  $\psi \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , the following equality

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{C_{N,s}}{2} \int \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N} \setminus (\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega)^2} \frac{(\rho(x) - \rho(y))(\psi(x) - \psi(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\ = \int_{\Omega} \psi (-\Delta)^s \rho dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega} \psi \mathcal{N}_s \rho dx, \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

holds.

Hence, if  $\rho, \psi \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$  with  $(-\Delta)^s \rho, (-\Delta)^s \psi \in L^2(\Omega)$  and  $\mathcal{N}_s \rho, \mathcal{N}_s \psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega)$ . Then the following identity holds,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \psi (-\Delta)^s \rho dx &= \int_{\Omega} \rho (-\Delta)^s \psi dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega} \rho \mathcal{N}_s \psi dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega} \psi \mathcal{N}_s \rho dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

It is clear that if  $\rho = 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega$  or  $\psi = 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega$ , then

$$\int \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N} \setminus (\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega)^2} \frac{(\rho(x) - \rho(y))(\psi(x) - \psi(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(\rho(x) - \rho(y))(\psi(x) - \psi(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy,$$

and the integration by part formula (2.4) becomes

$$\frac{C_{N,s}}{2} \int \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N} \setminus (\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega)^2} \frac{(\rho(x) - \rho(y))(\psi(x) - \psi(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy = \int_{\Omega} \psi (-\Delta)^s \rho dx \quad \forall \rho, \psi \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad (2.6)$$

We set

$$\mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega) := \left\{ \rho \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) : \rho = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega \right\}.$$

Then, endowed with the norm

$$\|\rho\|_{\mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega)} := \left( \frac{C_{N,s}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(\rho(x) - \rho(y))^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \right)^{1/2}, \quad (2.7)$$

$\mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega)$  is a Hilbert space (see e.g. [24, Lemma 7]). Let  $\mathbb{H}^{-s}(\Omega) := (\mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega))^*$  be the dual space of  $\mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega)$  with respect to the pivot space  $L^2(\Omega)$ . Then we have the following continuous embedding (see e.g. [2]):

$$\mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^2(\Omega) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{H}^{-s}(\Omega). \quad (2.8)$$

From now on, for any  $\rho, \psi \in \mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega)$ , we set

$$\mathcal{F}(\rho, \psi) := \frac{C_{N,s}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(\rho(x) - \rho(y))(\psi(x) - \psi(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy. \quad (2.9)$$

Therefore, the norm on  $\mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega)$  given by (2.7) becomes  $\|\rho\|_{\mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega)} = (\mathcal{F}(\rho, \rho))^{1/2}$ .

Let  $(-\Delta)_D^s$  be the operator defined on  $L^2(\Omega)$  by

$$D((-\Delta)_D^s) := \{\rho \in \mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega) : (-\Delta)^s \rho \in L^2(\Omega)\}, \quad (-\Delta)_D^s \rho := (-\Delta)^s \rho \text{ in } \Omega. \quad (2.10)$$

Then,  $(-\Delta)_D^s$  is the realization in  $L^2(\Omega)$  of  $(-\Delta)^s$  with the zero Dirichlet exterior condition.

The following result is well-known (see e.g. [9, 13]).

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $(-\Delta)_D^s$  be the operator defined in (2.10). Then,  $(-\Delta)_D^s$  can be also viewed as a bounded operator from  $H_0^s(\Omega)$  into  $H^{-s}(\Omega)$  given by*

$$\langle (-\Delta)_D^s \rho, \varphi \rangle_{H^{-s}(\Omega), H_0^s(\Omega)} = \mathcal{F}(\rho, \varphi), \quad \rho, \varphi \in \mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega). \quad (2.11)$$

**Remark 2.2.** Note that if  $N > 2s$ , then there is a positive constant  $C_0 = C(N, s) > 0$  such that for any  $\rho \in \mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega)$ ,

$$\|\rho\|_{L^r(\Omega)} \leq C_0 \|\rho\|_{\mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega)}, \quad (2.12)$$

where  $r = \frac{2N}{N-2s}$  (see e.g. [24, Lemma 6 a)). Therefore,

$$\|\rho\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C_0 \|\rho\|_{\mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega)}, \quad (2.13)$$

because  $\Omega$  is an open and bounded subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and  $r > 2$ . Moreover, the following holds true,

$$\|\rho\|_{L^2((0,T);L^2(\Omega))} \leq C_0 \|\rho\|_{L^2((0,T);\mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega))}. \quad (2.14)$$

Next, let  $0 < s < 1$ , we consider the space

$$\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s := \{\rho : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ measurable such that } \|\rho\|_{\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s} < \infty\}, \quad (2.15)$$

where

$$\|\rho\|_{\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s} := \left( \|\rho\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 + \frac{C_{N,s}}{2} \int \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N} \setminus (\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega)^2} \frac{(\rho(x) - \rho(y))(\psi(x) - \psi(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (2.16)$$

Then proceeding exactly as for the proof of [11, Proposition 3.1, page 385], we have that  $\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s$  endowed with the norm (2.16) is a Hilbert space.

**Remark 2.3.** For any  $\rho$  and  $\psi$  in  $\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s$ , we set

$$\mathcal{F}_R(\rho, \psi) := \frac{C_{N,s}}{2} \int \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N} \setminus (\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega)^2} \frac{(\rho(x) - \rho(y))(\psi(x) - \psi(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy. \quad (2.17)$$

Then the norm on  $\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s$  becomes

$$\|\rho\|_{\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s} := \left( \|\rho\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 + \mathcal{F}_R(\rho, \rho) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (2.18)$$

Note also that from (2.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\rho\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} &\leq \|\rho\|_{\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s}, \\ \|\rho\|_{L^2(\Omega)} &\leq \|\rho\|_{\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

Let  $(\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)^*$  the dual of  $\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s$ . Then

$$\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s \hookrightarrow L^2(\Omega) \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)^*. \quad (2.20)$$

We denote by  $(-\Delta)_R^s$  on  $L^2(\Omega)$ , the operator defined as follows:

$$D((-\Delta)_R^s) = \{\rho \in \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s : (-\Delta)^s \rho \in L^2(\Omega), \mathcal{N}_s \rho \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega)\}; \quad (-\Delta)_R^s \rho = (-\Delta)^s \rho \text{ in } \Omega. \quad (2.21)$$

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $(-\Delta)_R^s$  be the operator defined in (2.21). Then  $(-\Delta)_R^s$  can be viewed as a bounded operator from  $\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s$  into  $(\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)^*$  given by*

$$\langle (-\Delta)_R^s \rho, \phi \rangle_{(\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)^*, \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s} = \mathcal{F}_R(\rho, \phi) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega} \mathcal{N}_s \rho \phi dx \quad \forall \rho, \phi \in \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s$$

*Proof.* From (2.21) and the integration by parts formula (2.4) we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \phi (-\Delta)_R^s \rho dx = \int_{\Omega} \phi (-\Delta)^s \rho dx = \mathcal{F}_R(\rho, \phi) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega} \phi \mathcal{N}_s \rho dx, \quad \forall \phi, \rho \in \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s.$$

Then using the definition of the norm of  $\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s$  given by (2.16) and (2.19), we have that,

$$\left| \mathcal{F}_R(\rho, \phi) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega} \mathcal{N}_s \rho \phi dx \right| \leq \left( \mathcal{F}_R(\rho, \rho) + \|\mathcal{N}_s \rho\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega)}^2 \right)^{1/2} \|\phi\|_{\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s}$$

Therefore for any  $\phi \in \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s$ ,

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} \phi (-\Delta)_R^s \rho dx \right| \leq \left( \mathcal{F}_R(\rho, \rho) + \|\mathcal{N}_s \rho\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega)}^2 \right)^{1/2} \|\phi\|_{\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s}$$

and we deduce that

$$\|(-\Delta)_R^s \rho\|_{(\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)^*} \leq \left( \mathcal{F}_R(\rho, \rho) + \|\mathcal{N}_s \rho\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega)}^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

□

Let  $\mathbb{X}^*$  be the dual of  $\mathbb{X}$ . Set

$$W(0, T; \mathbb{X}) := \{ \zeta \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{X}) : \zeta_t \in L^2((0, T); \mathbb{X}') \}. \quad (2.22)$$

Then  $W(0, T; \mathbb{X})$  endowed with the norm given by

$$\|\psi\|_{W(0, T; \mathbb{X})}^2 = \|\psi\|_{L^2(0, T; \mathbb{X})}^2 + \|\psi_t\|_{L^2(0, T; \mathbb{X}')}^2, \quad \forall \psi \in W(0, T; \mathbb{X}), \quad (2.23)$$

is a Hilbert space. Moreover, if  $\mathbb{Y}$  is a Hilbert space that can be identified with its dual  $\mathbb{Y}^*$  and we have the continuous embeddings  $\mathbb{X} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Y} = \mathbb{Y}^* \hookrightarrow \mathbb{X}^*$ , then using [16, Theorem 1.1, page 102], we have the continuous embedding

$$W(0, T; \mathbb{X}) \hookrightarrow C([0, T]; \mathbb{Y}). \quad (2.24)$$

### 3. EXISTENCE RESULTS

In this section, we are interested in the existence and uniqueness of solutions to system (1.1). To this end, we start by studying the existence and uniqueness of an auxiliary model. So, we consider the auxiliary system:

$$\begin{cases} z_t + (-\Delta)^s z + z &= \zeta & \text{in } Q, \\ \mathcal{N}_s z + z &= \eta & \text{in } \Sigma, \\ z(\cdot, 0) &= \rho_0 & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$\zeta = e^{-t} f \text{ and } \eta = e^{-t} g. \quad (3.2)$$

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $\zeta \in L^2((0, T); (\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)^*)$ ,  $\eta \in L^2(\Sigma)$  and  $\rho_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}_R(\cdot, \cdot)$  be defined by (2.17). A function  $z \in W(0, T; \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)$  is said to be a weak solution to (3.1), if the following equality holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_0^T \langle \phi_t, z \rangle_{(\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)^*, \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s} dt + \int_0^T \mathcal{F}_R(z, \phi) dt + \int_Q z \phi dx dt + \int_\Sigma z \phi dx dt \\ &= \int_0^T \langle \zeta, \phi \rangle_{(\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)^*, \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s} dt + \int_\Sigma \eta \phi dx dt + \int_\Omega \rho_0 \phi(\cdot, 0) dx, \quad \forall \phi \in H(Q), \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$H(Q) := \{\xi \in W(0, T; \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s) \text{ and } \xi(\cdot, T) = 0 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega\}.$$

**Remark 3.2.** Note also that if  $\varphi \in H(Q)$ , then  $\varphi \in W(0, T; \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)$ . Therefore, we have from (2.24) that  $\varphi(0)$  and  $\varphi(T)$  exist and belong to  $L^2(\Omega)$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $\zeta \in L^2((0, T); (\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)^\star)$ ,  $\eta \in L^2(\Sigma)$  and  $\rho_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$ . Then, there exists a unique weak solution  $z \in W(0, T; \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)$  to (3.1) in the sense of Definition 3.1. In addition, the following estimates hold:*

$$\sup_{\tau \in [0, T]} \|z(\tau)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \left( \|\zeta\|_{L^2((0, T); (\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)^\star)}^2 + \|\eta\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O} \times (0, T))}^2 + \|\rho_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right)^{1/2}, \quad (3.4)$$

$$\|z\|_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)} \leq \left( \|\zeta\|_{L^2((0, T); (\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)^\star)} + \|\eta\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} + \|\rho_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right). \quad (3.5)$$

and there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\|z\|_{W(0, T; \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)} \leq C \left( \|\zeta\|_{L^2((0, T); (\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)^\star)} + \|\eta\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} + \|\rho_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right). \quad (3.6)$$

*Proof.* The proof is done in three steps.

**Step 1.** We proceed as in [17, Page 37] to prove the existence of solutions. To this end, we recall that the norm on  $L^2((0, T); \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)$  is given by

$$\|\varphi\|_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)}^2 = \int_0^T \|\varphi(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s}^2 dt = \int_0^T \left( \|\varphi(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 + \mathcal{F}_R(\varphi(\cdot, t), \varphi(\cdot, t)) \right) dt$$

and we consider the norm on  $H(Q)$  given by

$$\|\rho\|_{H(Q)}^2 := \|\rho\|_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)}^2 + \|\rho(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2, \quad \forall \rho \in H(Q).$$

It is clear that for any  $\rho \in H(Q)$ ,

$$\|\rho\|_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)} \leq \|\rho\|_{H(Q)}.$$

This shows that embedding  $H(Q) \hookrightarrow L^2((0, T); \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)$  is continuous.

Now, let  $\varphi \in H(Q)$  and consider the bilinear form  $\mathcal{E}(\cdot, \cdot)$  defined on  $L^2((0, T); \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s) \times H(Q)$  by

$$\mathcal{E}(z, \varphi) := - \int_0^T \langle \varphi_t, z \rangle_{(\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)^\star, \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s} dt + \int_0^T \mathcal{F}_R(z, \varphi) dt + \int_\Sigma z \varphi dx dt + \int_Q z \varphi dx dt, \quad (3.7)$$

where  $\mathcal{F}_R(\cdot, \cdot)$  is defined as in (2.17). Using Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality, the continuity of the bilinear form  $\mathcal{F}_R$  and (2.19), it follows that there exists a constant  $C = C(\varphi, N, s) > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{E}(z, \varphi)| &\leq \|z\|_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)} \|\varphi_t\|_{L^2((0, T); (\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)^\star)} + \left( \int_0^T \mathcal{F}_R(z, z) dt \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_0^T \mathcal{F}_R(\varphi, \varphi) dt \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad + \|z\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \|\varphi\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} + \|z\|_{L^2(Q)} \|\varphi\|_{L^2(Q)} \\ &\leq \|z\|_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)} \left( \|\varphi_t\|_{L^2((0, T); (\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)^\star)} + 3\|\varphi\|_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We have shown that there is a constant  $C = C(\varphi, N, s) > 0$  such that

$$|\mathcal{E}(z, \varphi)| \leq C \|z\|_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)}.$$

Consequently, for every fixed  $\varphi \in H(Q)$ , the functional  $z \mapsto \mathcal{E}(z, \varphi)$  is continuous on  $L^2((0, T); \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)$ .

Next, using a simple integration by parts, it follows that for every  $\varphi \in H(Q)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(\varphi, \varphi) &= - \int_0^T \langle \varphi_t, \varphi \rangle_{(\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)^*, \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s} dt + \int_0^T \mathcal{F}_R(\varphi, \varphi) dt + \int_\Sigma \varphi^2 dx dt + r \int_Q \varphi^2 dx dt dx dt \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|\varphi(0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \int_0^T \mathcal{F}_R(\varphi, \varphi) dt + \int_\Sigma |\varphi|^2 dx dt + \|\varphi\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|\varphi(0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\varphi\|_{L^2((0,T); \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)}^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|\varphi\|_{H(Q)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we define the functional  $L(\cdot) : H(Q) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$L(\varphi) := \int_0^T \langle \zeta, \varphi \rangle_{(\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)^*, \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s} dt + \int_\Sigma \eta \varphi dx dt + \int_\Omega \rho_0 \varphi(0) dx \quad \forall \varphi \in H(Q).$$

Using Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality and (2.19), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} |L(\varphi)| &\leq \|\varphi(0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\rho_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\zeta\|_{L^2((0,T); (\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)^*)} \|\varphi\|_{L^2((0,T); \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)} + \|\eta\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \|\varphi\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \\ &\leq (\|\rho_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\zeta\|_{L^2((0,T); (\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)^*)} + \|\eta\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}) \|\varphi\|_{H(Q)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the functional  $L(\cdot)$  is continuous on  $H(Q)$ . Thus, there is  $z \in L^2((0, T); \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)$  such that

$$\mathcal{E}(z, \varphi) = L(\varphi), \quad \forall \varphi \in H(Q).$$

Hence, according to Definition 3.1, the system (3.1) has a solution  $z \in L^2((0, T); \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)$ .

**Step 2.** We show that  $z_t \in L^2((0, T); (\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)^*)$

Since  $z \in L^2((0, T); \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)$ , it follows from Proposition 2.4 that  $(-\Delta)_R^s z(\cdot, t) \in (\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)^*$  and thus  $z_t(\cdot, t) = -(-\Delta)_R^s z(\cdot, t) - z(\cdot, t) + \zeta(\cdot, t) \in (\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)^*$ . If we multiply the first equation in (3.1) by  $\phi \in L^2((0, T); \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)$ , and use the integration by parts formula (2.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega} \eta(t) \phi(t) dx + \langle \zeta(t), \phi(t) \rangle_{(\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)^*, \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s} &= \langle z_t(t), \phi(t) \rangle_{(\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)^*, \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s} + \mathcal{F}_R(z(t), \phi(t)) \\ &+ \int_\Omega z(t) \phi(t) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega} z(t) \phi(t) dx \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \langle z_t(t), \phi(t) \rangle_{(\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)^*, \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s} \right| &\leq \|\eta(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega)} \|\phi(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega)} + \|\zeta(t)\|_{(\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)^*} \|\phi(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s} \\ &+ (\mathcal{F}_R(z(t), z(t)))^{1/2} (\mathcal{F}_R(\phi(t), \phi(t)))^{1/2} + \|z(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega)} \|\phi(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega)} \\ &+ \|z(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\phi(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &\leq 2 \|\phi(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s} \left( \|\eta(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega)}^2 + \|\zeta(t)\|_{(\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)^*}^2 + 3 \|z(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s}^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C \|\phi(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s} \left( \|\eta(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega)} + \|\zeta(t)\|_{(\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)^*} + \|z(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s} \right). \end{aligned}$$

This means that

$$\left| \langle z_t(t), \phi(t) \rangle_{(\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)^*, \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s} \right| \leq C \|\phi(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s} \left( \|\eta(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega)} + \|\zeta(t)\|_{(\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)^*} + \|z(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s} \right), \quad (3.8)$$

where  $C > 0$ .

Integrating (3.8) over  $(0, T)$ , we get

$$\int_0^T \left| \langle z_t(t), \phi(t) \rangle_{(\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)^*, \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s} \right| dt \leq C \|\phi\|_{L^2((0,T); \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)} \left( \|\eta\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} + \|\zeta\|_{L^2((0,T); (\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)^*)} + \|z\|_{L^2((0,T); \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)} \right) \quad (3.9)$$

Using (3.5) we get from (3.9) that

$$\|z_t\|_{L^2((0,T); (\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)^*)} \leq C \left( \|\eta\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} + \|\zeta\|_{L^2((0,T); (\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)^*)} + \|\rho_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right). \quad (3.10)$$

Thus,  $z_t \in L^2((0, T); (\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)^\star)$  and we have shown that  $z \in W(0, T; \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)$ .

**Step 3.** We show the estimates (3.4)-(3.6).

Multiplying the first equation in (3.1) by  $z \in L^2((0, T); \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)$  and integrating by parts, we obtain using (2.4) that,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|z(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \mathcal{F}_R(z(t), z(t)) + \|z(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega)}^2 + \|z(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 &= \langle \zeta(t), z(t) \rangle_{(\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)^\star, \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s} \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega} \eta(x, t) z(x, t) dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\zeta(t)\|_{(\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)^\star}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\eta(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega)}^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \|z(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|z(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

We then can deduce that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|z(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|z(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s}^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\zeta(t)\|_{(\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)^\star}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\eta(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega)}^2. \quad (3.11)$$

Integrating (3.11) over  $(0, \tau)$  with  $\tau \in [0, T]$  yields

$$\frac{1}{2} \|z(\tau)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\tau \|z(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s}^2 dt \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\zeta\|_{L^2(0, T); (\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)^\star}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\eta\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\rho_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.$$

Therefore, we can deduce that

$$\sup_{\tau \in [0, T]} \|z(\tau)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \|\zeta\|_{L^2(0, T); (\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)^\star}^2 + \|\eta\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^2 + \|\rho_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2,$$

and

$$\int_0^T \|z(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s}^2 dt \leq \left( \|\zeta\|_{L^2(0, T); (\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)^\star}^2 + \|\eta\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^2 + \|\rho_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right).$$

Combining (3.10) and (3.5) we have obtain (3.6).

**Step 4.** We prove uniqueness.

Assume that there exist  $z_1 \in W(0, T; \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)$  and  $z_2 \in W(0, T; \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)$ , solutions to (3.1) with the same right hand sides  $\zeta$ ,  $\eta$  and initial datum  $\rho_0$ . Set  $y := z_1 - z_2 \in W(0, T; \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)$ . Then,  $y$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} y_t + (-\Delta)^s y + y = 0 & \text{in } Q, \\ \mathcal{N}_s y + y = 0 & \text{in } \Sigma, \\ y(\cdot, 0) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (3.12)$$

Multiplying the first equation of (3.12) by  $y$  and integrating by parts over  $Q$  using (2.4), it follows that,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{1}{2} \|y(T)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \int_0^T \mathcal{F}_R(y, y) dt + \|y\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 + \|y\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|y(T)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|y\|_{L^2(0, T); \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s}^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|y\|_{L^2(0, T); \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we can deduce that  $y = 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Thus,  $z_1 = z_2$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and we have shown uniqueness. The proof is finished.  $\square$

The existence result of solutions to the system (1.1) is derived from Theorem 3.3 as follows.



**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $f \in L^2((0, T); (\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)^*)$ ,  $g \in L^2(\Sigma)$ , and  $\rho^0 \in L^2(\Omega)$ . Then, there exists a unique weak solution  $\rho \in W(0, T; \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)$  of (1.1). Moreover, the following estimates hold:*

$$\sup_{\tau \in [0, T]} \|\rho(\tau)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq e^T \left( \|f\|_{L^2((0, T); (\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)^*)} + \|g\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O} \times (0, T))} + \|\rho_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right), \quad (3.13)$$

$$\|z\|_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)} \leq e^T \left( \|f\|_{L^2((0, T); (\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)^*)} + \|g\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} + \|\rho_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right). \quad (3.14)$$

and

$$\|z\|_{W(0, T; \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)} \leq C e^T \left( \|f\|_{L^2((0, T); (\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)^*)} + \|g\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} + \|\rho_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right). \quad (3.15)$$

for some constant  $C > 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f \in L^2((0, T); (\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)^*)$ ,  $g \in L^2(\Sigma)$  and  $\rho^0 \in L^2(\Omega)$ . Then  $\rho = e^t z$  is a weak solution of (1.1) if and only if  $z$  is a weak solution of (3.1). Consequently, using Theorem 3.3, we have that there exists a unique  $\rho \in W(0, T; \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)$ , solution of (1.1). Moreover, letting  $z = e^{-t} \rho$  in from the estimates (3.4)-(3.6), we can deduce that (3.13)-(3.15) hold, because for  $t \in [0, T]$ , we have

$$\|e^{-t} \rho\|_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)} \geq e^{-T} \|\rho\|_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)} \quad \text{and} \quad \|e^{-t} \rho(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \geq e^{-T} \|\rho(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

The proof is finished.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.5.** *Let  $f \in L^2((0, T); \mathbb{H}^{-s}(\Omega))$ , and  $\rho^0 \in L^2(\Omega)$ . Let also  $\mathcal{F}(\cdot, \cdot)$  be defined as in (2.9). Then, there exists a unique weak solution  $\rho \in W(0, T; \mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega))$  to (1.2) in the following sense:*

$$-\int_0^T \langle \phi_t, \rho \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^{-s}(\Omega), \mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega)} dt + \int_0^T \mathcal{F}(\rho, \phi) dt = \int_0^T \langle f, \phi \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^{-s}(\Omega), \mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega)} dt + \int_\Omega \rho_0 \phi(0) dx, \quad \forall \phi \in H(Q), \quad (3.16)$$

where

$$H(Q) := \{\xi \in W(0, T; \mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega)) \text{ and } \xi(\cdot, T) = 0 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega\}.$$

In addition, the following estimates hold

$$\|\rho\|_{\mathcal{C}([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))}^2 \leq \left[ \|\rho_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|f\|_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{H}^{-s}(\Omega))}^2 \right], \quad (3.17)$$

$$\|\rho\|_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega))}^2 \leq \left[ \|\rho_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|f\|_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{H}^{-s}(\Omega))}^2 \right] \quad (3.18)$$

and

$$\|\rho\|_{W((0, T); \mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega))}^2 \leq C \left[ \|\rho_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|f\|_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{H}^{-s}(\Omega))}^2 \right] \quad (3.19)$$

for some constant  $C > 0$ .

*Proof.* We proceed as above for the proof of Theorem 3.3.

**Step 1.** We first observe that embedding  $H(Q) \hookrightarrow L^2((0, T); \mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega))$  is continuous since for any  $\rho \in H(Q)$ ,

$$\|\rho\|_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega))} \leq \|\rho\|_{H(Q)},$$

where the norm on  $L^2((0, T); \mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega))$  is given by

$$\|\varphi\|_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega))}^2 = \int_0^T \|\varphi(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega)}^2 dt = \mathcal{F}(\varphi(\cdot, t), \varphi(\cdot, t)) dt, \quad \forall \varphi \in L^2((0, T); \mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega))$$

and the norm on  $H(Q)$  given by

$$\|\rho\|_{H(Q)}^2 := \|\rho\|_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega))}^2 + \|\rho(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2, \quad \forall \rho \in H(Q).$$

We then consider the bilinear form  $\mathcal{E}(\cdot, \cdot)$  defined on  $L^2((0, T); \mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega)) \times H(Q)$  by

$$\mathcal{E}(\rho, \varphi) := -\int_0^T \langle \varphi_t, \rho \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^{-s}(\Omega), \mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega)} dt + \int_0^T \mathcal{F}(\rho, \varphi) dt. \quad (3.20)$$

Using Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality, the continuity of the bilinear form  $\mathcal{F}$  and (2.7), it follows that

$$|\mathcal{E}(\rho, \varphi)| \leq \|\rho\|_{L^2((0,T); \mathbb{H}^s(\Omega))} \left( \|\varphi_t\|_{L^2((0,T); \mathbb{H}^{-s}(\Omega))} + \|\varphi\|_{L^2((0,T); \mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega))} \right).$$

Thus, for every fixed  $\varphi \in H(Q)$ , the functional  $\rho \mapsto \mathcal{E}(\rho, \varphi)$  is continuous on  $L^2((0, T); \mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega))$ .

Next, for every  $\varphi \in H(Q)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(\varphi, \varphi) &= - \int_0^T \langle \varphi_t, \varphi \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^{-s}(\Omega), \mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega)} dt + \int_0^T \mathcal{F}(\varphi, \varphi) dt \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|\varphi(0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \int_0^T \mathcal{F}(\varphi, \varphi) dt \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|\varphi\|_{H(Q)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we define the functional  $L(\cdot) : H(Q) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$L(\varphi) := \int_0^T \langle f, \varphi \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^{-s}(\Omega), \mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega)} dt + \int_{\Omega} \rho_0 \varphi(0) dx.$$

Using Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality and (2.7), we get that

$$|L(\varphi)| \leq (\|\rho_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|f\|_{L^2((0,T); \mathbb{H}^{-s}(\Omega))}) \|\varphi\|_{H(Q)}.$$

Therefore, the functional  $L(\cdot)$  is continuous on  $H(Q)$ . Thus, there is  $\rho \in L^2((0, T); \mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega))$  such that

$$\mathcal{E}(\rho, \varphi) = L(\varphi), \quad \forall \varphi \in H(Q).$$

Hence, the system (1.2) has a solution  $\rho \in L^2((0, T); \mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega))$ .

**Step 2.** We show that  $\rho_t \in L^2((0, T); \mathbb{H}^{-s}(\Omega))$

Since  $\rho \in L^2((0, T); \mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega))$ , it follows from Proposition 2.1 that  $(-\Delta)_D^s \rho(\cdot, t) \in \mathbb{H}^{-s}(\Omega)$  and thus  $\rho_t(\cdot, t) = -(-\Delta)_D^s \rho(\cdot, t) + f(\cdot, t) \in \mathbb{H}^{-s}(\Omega)$ . If we multiply the first equation in (1.2) by  $\phi \in L^2((0, T); \mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega))$ , and use the integration by parts formula (2.6), we obtain

$$\langle f(t), \phi(t) \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^{-s}(\Omega), \mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega)} = \langle \rho_t(t), \phi(t) \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^{-s}(\Omega), \mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega)} + \mathcal{F}(\rho(t), \phi(t)).$$

Thus

$$\left| \langle \rho_t(t), \phi(t) \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^{-s}(\Omega), \mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega)} \right| \leq \|\phi(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega)} (\|f(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^{-s}(\Omega)} + \|\rho(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega)}),$$

which integrating over  $(0, T)$  gives

$$\int_0^T \left| \langle \rho_t(t), \phi(t) \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^{-s}(\Omega), \mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega)} \right| dt \leq \|\phi\|_{L^2((0,T); \mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega))} (\|f\|_{L^2((0,T); \mathbb{H}^{-s}(\Omega))} + \|\rho\|_{L^2((0,T); \mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega))}) \quad (3.21)$$

Using (3.18) we get from (3.21) that

$$\|\rho_t\|_{L^2((0,T); \mathbb{H}^{-s}(\Omega))} \leq C \|\phi\|_{L^2((0,T); \mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega))} (\|f\|_{L^2((0,T); \mathbb{H}^{-s}(\Omega))} + \|\rho\|_{L^2((0,T); \mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega))}) \quad (3.22)$$

for some constant  $C > 0$ .

**Step 3.** We show the estimates (3.17)-(3.19).

Multiplying the first equation in (1.2) by  $\rho \in W((0, T); \mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega))$  and integrating by parts, we deduce that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\rho(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\rho(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega)}^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \|f(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega)}^2. \quad (3.23)$$

Integrating (3.23) over  $(0, \tau)$  with  $\tau \in [0, T]$  yields

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\rho(\tau)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\tau \|\rho(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega)}^2 dt \leq \frac{1}{2} \|f\|_{L^2((0,T); \mathbb{H}^{-s}(\Omega))}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\rho_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.$$

Therefore, we can deduce that (3.17) and (3.18). Combining (3.18) and (3.22), we obtain (3.19).

**Step 4.** We prove uniqueness.

Assume that there exist  $\rho_1 \in W(0, T; \mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega))$  and  $\rho_2 \in W(0, T; \mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega))$ , solutions to (1.2) with the same right hand side  $f$  and initial datum  $\rho_0$ . Set  $y := \rho_1 - \rho_2 \in W(0, T; \mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega))$ . Then,  $y$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} y_t + (-\Delta)^s y = 0 & \text{in } Q, \\ y = 0 & \text{in } \Sigma, \\ y(\cdot, 0) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (3.24)$$

By multiplying the first equation of (3.24) by  $y$  and integrating by parts over  $Q$  using (2.6), it follows that,

$$0 \geq \frac{1}{2} \|y(T)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|y\|_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega))}^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} \|y\|_{L^2((0, T); \mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega))}^2.$$

Hence, we can deduce that  $y = 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Thus,  $\rho_1 = \rho_2$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and we have shown uniqueness.

The proof is finished.  $\square$

#### 4. WEAK MAXIMUM PRINCIPLE RESULTS

In this section, we give some comparison theorems and establish weak maximum principles for models (1.1) and (1.2). We first prove the following result.

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $\varphi \in \mathbb{V}$ , where  $\mathbb{V} := \mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega)$  or  $\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s$ . Let also  $\mathcal{F}(\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $\mathcal{F}_R(\cdot, \cdot)$  be defined as in (2.9) and (2.17) respectively. If we write  $\varphi(x) = \varphi^+(x) - \varphi^-(x)$ , where  $\varphi^+(x) = \max(\varphi(x), 0)$  and  $\varphi^-(x) = \max(0, -\varphi(x))$ . Then the following inequality holds for  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{F}$  or  $\mathcal{F}_R$ ,*

$$-\mathcal{G}(\varphi, \varphi^-) \geq \|\varphi^-\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2 \geq 0. \quad (4.1)$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}(\varphi, \varphi^-) &= \frac{C_{N,s}}{2} \int \int_{(\mathbb{R}^{2N} \setminus (\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega)^2)} \frac{(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))(\varphi^-(x) - \varphi^-(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\ &= -\frac{C_{N,s}}{2} \int \int_{(\mathbb{R}^{2N} \setminus (\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega)^2)} \frac{(\varphi^-(x) - \varphi^-(y))^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\ &\quad - \frac{C_{N,s}}{2} \int \int_{(\mathbb{R}^{2N} \setminus (\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega)^2)} \frac{\varphi^-(x)\rho^+(y) + \rho^+(x)\varphi^-(y)}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\ &= -\|\varphi^-\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2 - \frac{C_{N,s}}{2} \int \int_{(\mathbb{R}^{2N} \setminus (\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega)^2)} \frac{\varphi^-(x)\varphi^+(y) + \varphi^+(x)\varphi^-(y)}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

Using that  $\varphi^+(x)\varphi^-(y) \geq 0$  for a.e.  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , we obtain (4.1).  $\square$

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $\rho \in W(0, T; \mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega))$  be the solution of (1.2) corresponding to data  $f \in L^2(Q)$  and  $\rho_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$  and  $\tilde{\rho} \in W(0, T; \mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega))$  be the solution of (1.2) corresponding to data  $\tilde{f} \in L^2(Q)$  and  $\tilde{\rho}_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$ . If  $\rho_0 \leq \tilde{\rho}_0$  almost everywhere in  $\Omega$  and  $f \leq \tilde{f}$  almost everywhere in  $Q$ , then  $\rho \leq \tilde{\rho}$  almost everywhere in  $\mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T]$ . In particular, if  $f \geq 0$ , then  $\rho \geq 0$  almost everywhere in  $\mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T]$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $z = \tilde{\rho} - \rho$ . Then,  $z \in W(0, T; \mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega))$  is solution to

$$\begin{cases} z_t + (-\Delta)^s z = \tilde{f} - f & \text{in } Q, \\ z = 0 & \text{in } \Sigma, \\ z(\cdot, 0) = \tilde{\rho}_0 - \rho_0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

Let  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T]$ , we write  $z(x, t) = z^+(x, t) - z^-(x, t)$ , where  $z^+(x, t) = \max(z(x, t), 0)$  and  $z^-(x, t) = \max(0, -z(x, t))$ . It is sufficient to show that  $z^-(x, t) = 0$  for almost every  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T]$ . Then we

have

$$\begin{aligned} z^- z^+ &= 0 \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T], \\ z^- &= 0 \text{ in } \Sigma, \\ z^-(x, 0) &= \max(0, -(\tilde{\rho}_0(x) - \rho_0(x))) = 0 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega, \end{aligned}$$

and  $z^- \in W(0, T; \mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega))$  (see e.g. [29]). If we take the duality map between (4.2) and  $\psi \in \mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega)$ , and use the integration by parts (2.4), we have for any  $t \in [0, T]$ ,

$$\langle z_t(t), \psi \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^{-s}(\Omega), \mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega)} + \mathcal{F}(z(t), \psi) = \int_{\Omega} (\tilde{f}(t) - f(t)) \psi dx.$$

Taking  $\psi = z^-(t)$  in this latter identity yields

$$\langle z_t(t), z^-(t) \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^{-s}(\Omega), \mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega)} + \mathcal{F}(z(t), z^-(t)) = \int_{\Omega} (\tilde{f}(t) - f(t)) z^-(t) dx, \quad (4.3)$$

where  $\mathcal{F}$  is defined as in (2.9). Observing that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle z_t(t), z^-(t) \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^{-s}(\Omega), \mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega)} &= \langle (z^+ - z^-)_t(t), z^-(t) \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^{-s}(\Omega), \mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega)} \\ &= -\langle (z^-)_t(t), z^-(t) \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^{-s}(\Omega), \mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega)} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|z^-(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \end{aligned}$$

and using this latter identity, (4.3) becomes,

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|z^-(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - \mathcal{F}(z(t), z^-(t)) = - \int_{\Omega} (\tilde{f}(t) - f(t)) z^-(t) dx.$$

Using (4.1) and the fact that  $\tilde{f} - f \geq 0$  we deduce that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|z^-(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq 0 \quad (4.4)$$

and it follows from the Gronwall's Lemma that

$$\|z^-(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \|z^-(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 0,$$

because  $z^-(x, 0) = 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ . Hence  $z^-(x, t) = 0$  for almost every  $(x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, T]$  and since  $z^- = 0$  in  $\Sigma$ , it follows that  $z^- = 0$  almost everywhere in  $\mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T]$ . Consequently,  $\tilde{\rho} \geq \rho$  almost everywhere in  $\mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T]$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $f \in L^\infty(Q)$  be such that  $f \leq 0$  a.e in  $Q$  and  $\rho_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ . Then the weak solution of (1.2) satisfies*

$$\rho(x, t) \leq \|f\|_{L^\infty(Q)} + \|\rho_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T]. \quad (4.5)$$

*Proof.* We set  $w(x, t) = \|f\|_{L^\infty(Q)} + \|\rho_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} - \rho(x, t)$  for any  $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, T)$ . Then,

$$w(x, 0) = \|f\|_{L^\infty(Q)} + \|\rho^0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} - \rho^0(x) \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in \Omega.$$

Moreover,  $w$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} w_t + (-\Delta)^s w &= -f & \text{in } Q, \\ w &= \|f\|_{L^\infty(Q)} + \|\rho^0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} & \text{in } \Sigma, \\ w(\cdot, 0) &= \|f\|_{L^\infty(Q)} + \|\rho^0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} - \rho^0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (4.6)$$

We write for  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T]$ ,  $w(x, t) = w^+(x, t) - w^-(x, t)$ , where  $w^+(x, t) = \max(w(x, t), 0)$  and  $w^-(x, t) = \max(0, -w(x, t))$ . It is sufficient to show that  $w^-(x, t) = 0$  for almost every  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T]$ . Since  $\|f\|_{L^\infty(Q)} + \|\rho^0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \geq 0$ , we have that  $w^- = 0$  on  $\Sigma$ . We also have  $w^- \in W(0, T; \mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega))$ . If we

take the duality map between (4.6) and  $w^- \in W(0, T; \mathbb{H}_0^s(\Omega))$ , and use the integration by parts (2.4), we have for any  $t \in [0, T]$ ,

$$-\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|w^-(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \mathcal{F}(w(t), w^-(t)) = - \int_{\Omega} f(t) w^-(t) \, dx, \quad (4.7)$$

where  $\mathcal{F}$  is defined as in (2.9). Hence,

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|w^-(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - \mathcal{F}(w(t), w^-(t)) = \int_{\Omega} f(t) w^-(t) \, dx.$$

Since  $f(t, x) \leq 0$  and  $w^-(t, x) \geq 0$  for a.e.  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T]$ , using (4.1), we deduce that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|w^-(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq 0. \quad (4.8)$$

By using the Gronwall's Lemma it follows that

$$\|w^-(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \|w^-(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 0,$$

because  $w^-(x, 0) = 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ . Hence  $w^-(x, t) = 0$  for almost every  $(x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, T]$  and since  $w^- = 0$  in  $\Sigma$ , it follows that  $w^- = 0$  almost everywhere in  $\mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T]$ . Consequently,  $w \geq 0$  almost everywhere in  $\mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T]$  and so the claim is proved. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 4.4.** Note that from Theorem 4.3, we deduce that

$$\|\rho\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T])} \leq \|f\|_{L^\infty(Q)} + \|\rho_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}. \quad (4.9)$$

In order to prove the weak maximum principle for model (1.1), we first prove the following results for the auxiliary model (3.1).

**Theorem 4.5.** *Let  $z \in W(0, T; \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)$  be the solution of (3.1) associated to data  $\zeta \in L^2(Q)$ ,  $\eta \in L^2(\Sigma)$  and  $\rho_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$  and  $\tilde{z} \in W(0, T; \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)$  be the solution of (3.1) associated to data  $\tilde{\zeta} \in L^2(Q)$ ,  $\tilde{\eta} \in L^2(\Sigma)$  and  $\tilde{\rho}_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$ . If  $\rho_0 \leq \tilde{\rho}_0$  almost everywhere in  $\Omega$ ,  $\eta \leq \tilde{\eta}$  almost everywhere in  $\Sigma$  and  $\zeta \leq \tilde{\zeta}$  almost everywhere in  $Q$ , then  $z \leq \tilde{z}$  almost everywhere in  $\mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T]$ . In particular, if  $\eta \geq 0$  and  $\rho_0 \geq 0$ , then  $z \geq 0$  almost everywhere in  $\mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T]$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $u = \tilde{z} - z$ . Then,  $u \in W(0, T; \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)$  is solution to

$$\begin{cases} u_t + (-\Delta)^s u + u &= \tilde{\zeta} - \zeta & \text{in } Q, \\ \mathcal{N}_s u + u &= \tilde{\eta} - \eta & \text{in } \Sigma, \\ u(\cdot, 0) &= \tilde{\rho}_0 - \rho_0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (4.10)$$

Let  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T]$ , we write  $u(x, t) = u^+(x, t) - u^-(x, t)$ , where  $u^+(x, t) = \max(u(x, t), 0)$  and  $u^-(x, t) = \max(0, -u(x, t))$ . It is sufficient to show that  $u^-(x, t) = 0$  for almost every  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T]$ . Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} u^- u^+ &= 0 \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T], \\ u^-(x, 0) &= \max(0, -(\tilde{\rho}_0(x) - \rho_0(x))) = 0 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega \end{aligned}$$

and  $u^- \in W(0, T; \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)$ . If we take the duality map between (4.2) and  $\psi \in \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s$ , and use the integration by parts (2.4), we have for any  $t \in [0, T]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle u_t(t), \psi \rangle_{(\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)^*, \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s} + \mathcal{F}_R(u(t), \psi) + \int_{\Omega} u(t) \psi \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega} u(t) \psi \, dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (\tilde{\zeta}(t) - \zeta(t)) \psi \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega} (\tilde{\eta}(t) - \eta(t)) \psi \, dx, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{F}_R$  is defined as in (2.17). Taking  $\psi = u^-(t)$  in this latter identity yields

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u^-(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \mathcal{F}_R(u(t), u^-(t)) - \int_{\Omega} (u^-(t))^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega} (u^-(t))^2 dx \\ & = \int_{\Omega} (\tilde{\zeta}(t) - \zeta(t)) u^-(t) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega} (\tilde{\eta}(t) - \eta(t)) u^-(t) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Using (4.1) and the fact that  $\tilde{\zeta} - \zeta \geq 0$  almost everywhere in  $Q$  and  $\tilde{\eta} - \eta \geq 0$  almost everywhere in  $\Sigma$ , we deduce that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u^-(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq 0. \quad (4.11)$$

By using the Gronwall's Lemma it follows that

$$\|u^-(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \|u^-(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 0,$$

because  $u^-(x, 0) = 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ . Hence  $u^-(x, t) = 0$  for almost every  $(x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, T]$  and since  $u^- = 0$  in  $\Sigma$ , it follows that  $u^- = 0$  almost everywhere in  $\mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T]$ . Consequently,  $\tilde{z} \geq z$  almost everywhere in  $\mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T]$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.6.** *Let  $\rho \in W(0, T; \mathbb{H}_{\Omega}^s)$  be the solution of (1.1) associated to data  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ ,  $g \in L^2(\Sigma)$  and  $\rho_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$  and  $\tilde{\rho} \in W(0, T; \mathbb{H}_{\Omega}^s)$  be the solution of (1.1) associated to data  $\tilde{f} \in L^2(Q)$ ,  $\tilde{g} \in L^2(\Sigma)$  and  $\tilde{\rho}_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$ . If  $\rho_0 \leq \tilde{\rho}_0$  almost everywhere in  $\Omega$ ,  $g \leq \tilde{g}$  almost everywhere in  $\Sigma$  and  $f \leq \tilde{f}$  almost everywhere in  $Q$ , then  $\rho \leq \tilde{\rho}$  almost everywhere in  $\mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T]$ . In particular, if  $g \geq 0$ ,  $f \geq 0$  and  $\rho_0 \geq 0$ , then  $\rho \geq 0$  almost everywhere in  $\mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T]$ .*

*Proof.* Since,  $\rho_0 \leq \tilde{\rho}_0$  almost everywhere in  $\Omega$ ,  $g \leq \tilde{g}$  almost everywhere in  $\Sigma$  and  $f \leq \tilde{f}$  almost everywhere in  $Q$ , then using (3.2), we have that  $\eta \leq \tilde{\eta}$  almost everywhere in  $\Sigma$  and  $\zeta \leq \tilde{\zeta}$  almost everywhere in  $Q$ . Thus,  $z = e^{-t}\rho$  is the solution of (3.1) associated to data  $\zeta$ ,  $\eta$  and  $\rho_0$  and  $\tilde{z} = e^{-t}\tilde{\rho}$  is the solution of (3.1) associated to data  $\tilde{\zeta}$ ,  $\tilde{\eta}$  and  $\tilde{\rho}_0$ . Hence, using Theorem 4.5, we deduce that  $z \leq \tilde{z}$  a.e in  $\mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T]$ . This means that  $e^{-t}\rho \leq e^{-t}\tilde{\rho}$  a.e in  $\mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T]$ . Therefore,  $\rho \leq \tilde{\rho}$  a.e in  $\mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T]$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.7.** *Let  $\zeta \in L^\infty(Q)$ ,  $\eta \in L^\infty(\Sigma)$  and  $\rho_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ . Then we have the following boundedness result for the weak solution of (3.1):*

$$z(x, t) \leq \|\rho_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|\zeta\|_{L^\infty(Q)} + \|\eta\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma)} \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T]. \quad (4.12)$$

*Proof.* Set  $K = \|\rho_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|\zeta\|_{L^\infty(Q)} + \|\eta\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma)}$  and  $w(x, t) = K - z(x, t)$  for any  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T]$ . Then

$$K \geq 0, \quad (4.13a)$$

$$K \geq \zeta(x, t) \text{ for a.e } (x, t) \in Q, \quad (4.13b)$$

$$K \geq \eta(x, t) \text{ for a.e } (x, t) \in \Sigma, \quad (4.13c)$$

$$K \geq \rho_0(x) \text{ for a.e } \Omega. \quad (4.13d)$$

In addition,

$$w(x, 0) = K - \rho_0(x) \geq 0 \text{ for a.e. } x \in \Omega. \quad (4.14)$$

Moreover,  $w$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} w_t + (-\Delta)^s w + w & = K - \zeta & \text{in } Q, \\ \mathcal{N}_s w + w & = K - \eta & \text{in } \Sigma, \\ w(\cdot, 0) & = K - \rho_0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (4.15)$$

For  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T]$ , we write  $w(x, t) = w^+(x, t) - w^-(x, t)$ , where  $w^+(x, t) = \max(w(x, t), 0)$  and  $w^-(x, t) = \max(0, -w(x, t))$ . It is sufficient to show that  $w^-(x, t) = 0$  for almost every  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T]$ . Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} w^- w^+ &= 0 \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T], \\ w^-(x, 0) &= \max(0, -w(x, 0)) = 0 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega, \end{aligned}$$

and  $w^- \in W(0, T; \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)$ . If we take the duality map between (4.15) and  $\psi \in \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s$ , and use the integration by parts (2.4), we have for any  $t \in [0, T]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle w_t(t), \psi \rangle_{(\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)^*, \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s} + \mathcal{F}_R(w(t), \psi) + \int_\Omega w(t) \psi \, dx \\ &= \int_\Omega (K - \zeta(t)) \psi \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega} (K - \eta(t)) \psi \, dx, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{F}_R$  is defined as in (2.17). Taking  $\psi = w^-(t)$ , this latter identity gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle w_t(t), w^-(t) \rangle_{(\mathbb{H}_\Omega^s)^*, \mathbb{H}_\Omega^s} + \mathcal{F}_R(w(t), w^-(t)) + \int_\Omega w(t) w^-(t) \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega} w(t) w^-(t) \, dx \\ &= \int_\Omega (K - \zeta(t)) w^-(t) \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega} (K - \eta(t)) w^-(t) \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|w^-(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - \mathcal{F}_R(w(t), w^-(t)) - \int_\Omega (w^-(t))^2 \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega} (w^-(t))^2 \, dx \\ &= - \int_\Omega (K - \zeta(t)) w^-(t) \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega} (K - \eta(t)) w^-(t) \, dx. \end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

Next, using (4.13b), (4.13c) and (4.1), we deduce from (4.16) that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|w^-(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq 0.$$

By using the Gronwall's Lemma it follows that

$$\|w^-(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \|w^-(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 = 0,$$

because  $w^-(x, 0) = 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ . Hence  $w^-(x, t) = 0$  for almost every  $(x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, T]$  and since  $w^- = 0$  in  $\Sigma$ , it follows that  $w^- = 0$  almost everywhere in  $\mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T]$ . Consequently,  $z \leq K$  almost everywhere in  $\mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T]$  and (4.12) holds.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.8.** *Let  $f \in L^\infty(Q)$ ,  $g \in L^\infty(\Sigma)$  and  $\rho_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ . Then we have the following boundedness result for the weak solution of (1.1):*

$$\rho(x, t) \leq e^T (\|\rho_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|f\|_{L^\infty(Q)} + \|g\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma)}) \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T]. \tag{4.17}$$

*Proof.* Let  $f \in L^\infty(Q)$ ,  $g \in L^\infty(\Sigma)$  and  $\rho$  be the weak solution of (1.1). Then  $\zeta = e^{-t} f \in L^\infty(Q)$  and  $\eta = e^{-t} g \in L^\infty(\Sigma)$ . Thus,  $z = e^{-t} \rho$  is the solution of (3.1) associated to data  $\zeta$ ,  $\eta$  and  $\rho_0$ . Therefore, using Theorem 4.7, we obtain

$$e^{-t} \rho(x, t) \leq (\|\rho_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|\zeta\|_{L^\infty(Q)} + \|\eta\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma)}) \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T].$$

Hence,

$$e^{-t} \rho(x, t) \leq (\|\rho_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|f\|_{L^\infty(Q)} + \|g\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma)}) \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T].$$

Therefore,

$$\rho(x, t) \leq e^T (\|\rho_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|f\|_{L^\infty(Q)} + \|g\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma)}) \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T]. \tag{4.18}$$

The proof is finished.  $\square$

**Remark 4.9.** Note that from Corollary 4.8, we deduce the following

$$\|\rho\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T])} \leq e^T [\|\rho_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|f\|_{L^\infty(Q)} + \|g\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma)}]. \quad (4.19)$$

**Remark 4.10.** We notice that solutions of the systems (1.1)-(1.2) can be represented by using the semigroups theory as introduced in [9, 13]. This could simplify the proofs of the manuscript.

## 5. CONCLUSION

In this article, we established existence results for a class of linear parabolic equations involving the fractional Laplace operator of order  $s$  ( $0 < s < 1$ ). We proved the positiveness of the solutions under the assumption that the data are positive and established weak maximum principle for these equations. We also proved that the solutions of the equations considered in the paper are bounded in  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T])$  if the initial condition is bounded in  $L^\infty(\Omega)$  and the source term is bounded in  $L^\infty(Q)$ . We believe that the boundedness results obtained in this paper can, for instance, contribute significantly to prove the well posedness of some nonlinear systems involving fractional Laplace operators as well as the uniqueness results of optimal control associated to such systems.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Al-Refai and Y. Luchko. Maximum principle for the fractional diffusion equations with the riemann-liouville fractional derivative and its applications. *Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis*, 17(2):483–498, 2014.
- [2] W. Arendt, A. F. M. ter Elst, and M. Warma. Fractional powers of sectorial operators via the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 43(1):1–24, 2018.
- [3] B. Barrios, E. Colorado, A. De Pablo, and U. Sánchez. On some critical problems for the fractional laplacian operator. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 252(11):6133–6162, 2012.
- [4] C. Brändle, E. Colorado, A. de Pablo, and U. Sánchez. A concave—convex elliptic problem involving the fractional laplacian. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh Section A: Mathematics*, 143(1):39–71, 2013.
- [5] X. Cabré and E. Cinti. Sharp energy estimates for nonlinear fractional diffusion equations. *Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations*, 49(1):233–269, 2014.
- [6] L. A. Caffarelli, S. Salsa, and L. Silvestre. Regularity estimates for the solution and the free boundary of the obstacle problem for the fractional laplacian. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 171(2):425–461, 2008.
- [7] L. A. Caffarelli and A. Vasseur. Drift diffusion equations with fractional diffusion and the quasi-geostrophic equation. *Annals of Mathematics*, 171(3):1903–1930, 2010.
- [8] A. V. Chechkin, R. Gorenflo, and I. M. Sokolov. Fractional diffusion in inhomogeneous media. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*, 38(42):L679, 2005.
- [9] B. Claus and M. Warma. Realization of the fractional laplacian with nonlocal exterior conditions via forms method. *Journal of Evolution Equations*, 20(4):1597–1631, 2020.
- [10] E. Di Nezza, G. Palatucci, and E. Valdinoci. Hitchhiker’s guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces. *Bull. Sci. Math.*, 136(5):289–307, 2012.
- [11] S. Dipierro, X. Ros-Oton, and E. Valdinoci. Nonlocal problems with Neumann boundary conditions. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam*, 33(2):377–416, 2017.
- [12] A. Freed, K. Diethelm, and Y. Luchko. Fractional-order viscoelasticity (fov): Constitutive development using the fractional calculus: First annual report. *First annual report (No. NAS 1.15: 211914)*, 2002.
- [13] C. G. Gal and M. Warma. Nonlocal transmission problems with fractional diffusion and boundary conditions on non-smooth interfaces. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 42(4):579–625, 2017.
- [14] R. Hilfer. *Applications of fractional calculus in physics*. World scientific, 2000.
- [15] A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava, and J. J. Trujillo. *Theory and applications of fractional differential equations*, volume 204. elsevier, 2006.
- [16] J. Lions. *Optimal control of systems governed partial differential equations*. Springer, NY, 1971.
- [17] J. L. Lions. *Equations différentielles opérationnelles: et problèmes aux limites*, volume 111. Springer-Verlag, 2013.
- [18] Y. Luchko. Maximum principle for the generalized time-fractional diffusion equation. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 351(1):218–223, 2009.
- [19] Y. Luchko. Some uniqueness and existence results for the initial-boundary-value problems for the generalized time-fractional diffusion equation. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, 59(5):1766–1772, 2010.



- [20] R. Metzler and J. Klafter. The random walk's guide to anomalous diffusion: a fractional dynamics approach. *Physics reports*, 339(1):1–77, 2000.
- [21] A. Milchev, J. L. Dubbeldam, V. G. Rostiashvili, and T. A. Vilgis. Polymer translocation through a nanopore: A showcase of anomalous diffusion. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1161(1):95–104, 2009.
- [22] R. Musina and A. I. Nazarov. Strong maximum principles for fractional laplacians. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh Section A: Mathematics*, 149(5):1223–1240, 2019.
- [23] I. Podlubny. *Fractional differential equations: an introduction to fractional derivatives, fractional differential equations, to methods of their solution and some of their applications*. Elsevier, 1998.
- [24] R. Servadei and E. Valdinoci. Mountain Pass solutions for non-local elliptic operators. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 389:887–898, 2012.
- [25] L. Silvestre. Regularity of the obstacle problem for a fractional power of the laplace operator. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics: A Journal Issued by the Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences*, 60(1):67–112, 2007.
- [26] N. N. Trong, B. L. T. Thanh, et al. On the strong maximum principle for a fractional laplacian. *Archiv der Mathematik*, pages 1–11, 2021.
- [27] T. Q. Viet, N. M. Dien, and D. D. Trong. Stability of solutions of a class of nonlinear fractional laplacian parabolic problems. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 355:51–76, 2019.
- [28] G. Wang, X. Ren, and D. Baleanu. Maximum principle for hadamard fractional differential equations involving fractional laplace operator. *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*, 43(5):2646–2655, 2020.
- [29] M. Warma. The fractional relative capacity and the fractional Laplacian with Neumann and Robin boundary conditions on open sets. *Potential Anal.*, 42(2):499–547, 2015.
- [30] M. Warma. Approximate controllability from the exterior of space-time fractional diffusive equations. *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, 57(3):2037–2063, 2019.

CYRILLE KENNE, LABORATOIRE L.A.M.I.A., DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES ET INFORMATIQUE, UNIVERSITÉ DES ANTIILLES, CAMPUS FOUILLOLE, 97159 POINTE-À-PITRE,(FWI), GUADELOUPE - LABORATOIRE L3MA, UFR STE ET IUT, UNIVERSITÉ DES ANTIILLES, SCHOELCHER, MARTINIQUE.

*Email address:* [kenne853@gmail.com](mailto:kenne853@gmail.com)

GISÈLE MOPHOU, LABORATOIRE L.A.M.I.A., DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES ET INFORMATIQUE, UNIVERSITÉ DES ANTIILLES, CAMPUS FOUILLOLE, 97159 POINTE-À-PITRE,(FWI), GUADELOUPE - LABORATOIRE MAINEGE, UNIVERSITÉ OUAGA 3S, 06 BP 10347 OUAGADOUGOU 06, BURKINA FASO.

*Email address,* Mophou: [gisele.mophou@univ-antilles.fr](mailto:gisele.mophou@univ-antilles.fr)