

# Large gaps between sums of two squareful numbers

Alexander Kalmynin <sup>\*†</sup>                      Sergei Konyagin <sup>†</sup>  
 email: alkalb1995cd@mail.ru              email: konyagin23@gmail.com

## Abstract

Let  $M(x)$  be the length of the largest subinterval of  $[1, x]$  which does not contain any sums of two squareful numbers. We prove a lower bound

$$M(x) \gg \frac{\ln x}{(\ln \ln x)^2}$$

for all  $x \geq 3$ . The proof relies on properties of random subsets of the prime numbers.

## 1 Introduction

A positive integer  $n$  is called squareful (or powerful) if in its factorization  $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} \dots p_s^{\alpha_s}$  we have  $\alpha_i \geq 2$  for all  $i$ . Equivalently,  $n$  is squareful if and only if it can be represented as  $n = a^2 b^3$  for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ . One can show (see [5]) that the number  $k(x)$  of squareful numbers  $n$  below  $x$  satisfies

$$k(x) = \frac{\zeta(3/2)}{\zeta(3)} \sqrt{x} + O(x^{1/3}),$$

which implies that squares form a subset of squareful numbers of positive relative density. However, it turns out that sumsets of these two sets are very different. Namely, if  $\mathcal{S}$  is the set of all sums of two squares, then by theorem of Landau [7] we have

$$|\mathcal{S} \cap [1, x]| \sim \frac{Kx}{\sqrt{\ln x}},$$

where  $K \approx 0.764$  is the Landau-Ramanujan constant. Now, let us denote by  $\mathcal{V}$  the set of all numbers which are sums of two squareful numbers. Then V. Blomer [1] proved the following estimate

---

<sup>\*</sup>National Research University Higher School of Economics, Moscow, Russia

<sup>†</sup>Steklov Mathematical Institute of Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia

**Theorem 1.** For  $x \rightarrow +\infty$  we have

$$V(x) := |\mathcal{V} \cap [1, x]| = \frac{x}{(\ln x)^{\alpha+o(1)}},$$

where  $\alpha = 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{2}} \approx 0.206299$ .

Theorem 1 was further improved by V. Blomer and A. Granville [2], they proved that  $V(x) = \frac{x(\ln \ln x)^{O(1)}}{(\ln x)^\alpha}$ .

In this work, we study the distribution of gaps between elements of  $\mathcal{V}$ . Namely, let  $x$  be a large positive number, then we define  $M(x)$  as the length of the largest subinterval of  $[1, x]$  without elements of  $\mathcal{V}$ . Theorem 1 implies that  $M(x) \gg (\ln x)^{\alpha+o(1)}$ , since the largest gap is at least as large as the average gap. Here we prove the following result

**Theorem 2.** For  $x \geq 3$  we have

$$M(x) \gg \frac{\ln x}{(\ln \ln x)^2}.$$

The proof of Theorem 2 is in some ways similar to proofs of similar lower bounds for gaps between sums of two squares (see, for example, [4, 8, 3]), but also different in several crucial aspects. For example, unlike  $\mathcal{S}$ , the set  $\mathcal{V}$  does not avoid any residue classes, so we need to count numbers of solutions of certain congruences throughout the proof.

## 2 Proof of Theorem 2

To prove our result, we will use two auxiliary statements. Let us start with the more elementary one.

**Lemma 1.** Let  $P$  be a squarefree number,  $A, B$  — integers with  $(AB, P) = 1$ . Then for any  $u$  the number of solutions of the congruence

$$Ax^2 + By^2 \equiv u \pmod{P}$$

does not exceed  $\sigma_1(P) = \sum_{d|P} d \ll P \ln \ln P$ .

*Proof.* Let  $p$  be a prime factor of  $P$ . Let us prove that the number  $S_p$  of solutions of the congruence

$$Ax^2 + By^2 \equiv u \pmod{p}$$

is at most  $p + 1$ . For  $p = 2$  it is clear, so we consider the case of odd prime  $p$ . For any  $a \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  the number of solutions of the congruence  $Ax^2 \equiv a \pmod{p}$  is equal to

$$1 + \left( \frac{Aa}{p} \right).$$

From this we obtain the following formula for  $S_p$ :

$$\begin{aligned} S_p &= \sum_{a+b=u} \left(1 + \left(\frac{Aa}{p}\right)\right) \left(1 + \left(\frac{Bb}{p}\right)\right) = \\ &= \sum_{a \pmod p} \left(1 + \left(\frac{Aa}{p}\right)\right) \left(1 + \left(\frac{B(u-a)}{p}\right)\right) = \\ &\sum_{a \pmod p} \left(1 + \left(\frac{Aa}{p}\right) + \left(\frac{B(u-a)}{p}\right) + \left(\frac{ABa(u-a)}{p}\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

The first three summands in the resulting sum are equal to  $p$ ,  $0$  and  $0$  correspondingly. Therefore,

$$S_p = p + \left(\frac{AB}{p}\right) \sum_{a \pmod p} \left(\frac{a(u-a)}{p}\right) = p \pm \sum_{a \pmod p}^* \left(\frac{ua^{-1}-1}{p}\right).$$

Here the sum with the symbol  $*$  means that we sum over  $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod p$ . Clearly, this sum differs from the complete sum by  $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)$ , hence

$$S_p = p + \left(\frac{-AB}{p}\right) \leq p + 1.$$

By Chinese Remainder Theorem, the number of solutions of the congruence  $Ax^2 + By^2 \equiv u \pmod P$  is equal to

$$\prod_{p|P} S_p \leq \prod_{p|P} (p+1) = \sigma_1(P),$$

which was to be proved.  $\square$

The next lemma we need is a version of Linnik's theorem on the least prime in arithmetic progression.

**Lemma 2.** *There is a real number  $A > 0$  such that for all  $x \geq q^A$  and all primitive real characters  $\chi \pmod q$  for  $x \rightarrow +\infty$  the inequality*

$$\psi(2x; \chi) - \psi(x; \chi) \leq 0.1x.$$

*holds.*

*Proof.* By [6, Prop. 18.5], for  $x \geq q^A$  for large enough  $A$  we have for any  $a$  with  $(a, q) = 1$

$$\psi(x; q, a) = \frac{x}{\varphi(q)} \left(1 - \delta\chi_1(a) \frac{x^{\beta_1-1}}{\beta_1} + \theta ce^{-cA} + O\left(\frac{\ln q}{q}\right)\right).$$

Here  $\chi_1$  and  $\beta_1$  are the exceptional character and the exceptional zero of corresponding  $L$ -function,  $c, C > 0$  are constants,  $|\theta| \leq 1$ ,  $\delta = 1$  if  $\chi_1$  exists and

its modulus  $q_1$  divides  $q$ ,  $\delta = 0$  otherwise. A primitive character  $\chi_1 \pmod{q_1}$  is called exceptional if there is a real zero  $\beta_1$  of  $L(s, \chi_1)$  with  $1 - \beta_1 < \frac{c_1}{\ln q_1}$ ,  $c_1 > 0$  is an absolute constant. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(2x; \chi) - \psi(x; \chi) &= \sum_{(a, q)=1} \chi(a)(\psi(2x; q, a) - \psi(x; q, a)) = \\ &= \frac{x}{\varphi(q)} \sum_{(a, q)=1} \chi(a) - \delta \frac{(2x)^{\beta_1} - x^{\beta_1}}{\varphi(q)\beta_1} \sum_{(a, q)=1} \chi(a)\chi_1(a) + ce^{-CA}\theta_1 x + O\left(\frac{x \ln q}{q}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $|\theta_1| \leq 1$ . Now, the first sum is equal to 0. Due to orthogonality of characters, the second summand is nonpositive. Choosing  $A$  large enough, we prove the desired inequality.

In other words, the possible contribution of exceptional character  $\pmod{q}$  to the sum we are interested in turns out to always be nonpositive, which allows us to prove Lemma 2.  $\square$

We now proceed to the proof of the main theorem.

*Proof of Theorem 2:* Let us take a large natural  $M$  and construct a positive integer  $u$  with  $u \leq \exp(CM(\ln M)^2)$  for some constant  $C > 0$  such that the numbers  $u + 1, u + 2, \dots, u + M$  do not lie in  $\mathcal{V}$ . Set  $N = M^D$ , where  $D = \max(4A + 1, 100)$  and  $A$  is a constant from Lemma 2,  $K = \lceil B \ln M \rceil$ , where  $B$  is a constant much larger than  $D$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the set of all primes between  $N$  and  $2N$ . The number of elements in this set is  $\pi(2N) - \pi(N) \sim \frac{N}{\ln N}$ . For all  $j \leq M$  we choose random subsets  $P_j \subset \mathcal{P}$  of size  $K$  independently. Equivalently, random variables  $P_j$  are jointly independent and for any subset  $X \subset \mathcal{P}$  with  $|X| = K$  we have

$$\mathbb{P}(P_j = X) = \binom{|\mathcal{P}|}{K}^{-1}.$$

Since for every  $j$  and every  $N < p < 2N$  the relation

$$\mathbb{P}(p \in P_j) = \frac{\binom{|\mathcal{P}|-1}{K-1}}{\binom{|\mathcal{P}|}{K}} = \frac{K}{|\mathcal{P}|} \ll \frac{K \ln N}{N} \ll (\ln M)^2 M^{-D}$$

holds, at least two of the sets  $P_j$  have non-empty intersection with probability  $O(M^{3-D})$ . Next, let  $c \leq M^4$ . Consider the set  $R(c)$  of all primes  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  such that  $\left(\frac{-c}{p}\right) = -1$ . It is easy to see that there is a primitive real character  $\chi_c$  to the modulus  $q \leq 4|c|$  such that for all  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  we have  $\left(\frac{-c}{p}\right) = \chi_c(p)$ . Therefore, in view of Lemma 2, the set  $R(c)$  has at least  $(0.45 + o_M(1))|\mathcal{P}|$  elements. Consequently, the probability of the event that  $P_j$  does not intersect  $R(c)$  can be estimated as follows

$$\frac{\binom{|\mathcal{P}|-|R(c)|}{K}}{\binom{|\mathcal{P}|}{K}} \ll 0.6^K \ll M^{-0.5B}.$$

The probability of existence of at least one pair  $c \leq M^4$  and  $j \leq M$  with non-intersecting  $P_j$  and  $R(c)$  is  $O(M^{5-0.5B})$ , hence with large probability all  $P_j$  are pairwise disjoint and also intersect with every  $R(c)$  for  $c \leq M^4$ . Let us choose some realization  $P_j^*$  of these random sets which satisfies the above conditions. Construct now a number  $u$  which satisfies a congruence

$$u + j \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

for all  $j \leq M$  and all  $p \in P_j^*$ . This number can be chosen to be at most

$$P = \prod_{j, p \in P_j^*} p \leq (2M^D)^{MK} \leq \exp(CM(\ln M)^2)$$

for some  $C > 0$ . Consider the set  $U$  of all numbers  $v \in [0, P^{10}]$  which are congruent to  $u$  modulo  $P$ . Let us show that for most numbers from  $U$  none of the numbers  $v + j$  is representable as  $a^3x^2 + b^3y^2$  with positive integers  $a, b, x, y$  and  $ab \leq M^4$ . Indeed, if  $v \in U$  and  $v + j = a^3x^2 + b^3y^2$ , then there is a prime  $p \in P_j^*$  with  $\left(\frac{-c}{p}\right) = -1$ , where  $c$  is the squarefree part of  $ab$ . Then  $v + j$  must be divisible by  $p^2$ : if  $x$  or  $y$  is not divisible by  $p$ , then

$$-\frac{a^3}{b^3} \equiv \frac{x^2}{y^2} \pmod{p} \text{ or } -\frac{b^3}{a^3} \equiv \frac{y^2}{x^2} \pmod{p},$$

which contradicts the identity  $\left(\frac{-c}{p}\right) = -1$ . The number of values of  $v$  for which  $v + j$  is divisible by  $p^2$  is  $O(P^9p^{-2})$ . Summing over all  $j$  and  $p$  we get at most  $O(MP^9M^{-4A-1}) = O(P^9M^{-4A})$  exceptions.

Next, we prove that for most  $v$  there is also no  $j \leq M$  with  $v + j = a^3x^2 + b^3y^2$  and  $ab \geq M^4$ . Fix  $j, a$  and  $b$ . Suppose first that  $a$  or  $b$  is larger than  $P^{8/3}$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that  $a > P^{8/3}$ . The number of  $x$  with  $a^3x^2 \leq P^{10}$  is at most  $P^5a^{-3/2}$ , the number of admissible  $y$  is at most  $P^5$ . Summing over all  $a$ , we get at most

$$\sum_{a > P^{8/3}} \frac{P^{10}}{a^{3/2}} \ll P^{10-4/3} = P^{9-1/3}$$

possible values. Multiplying by the total amount of values of  $j$ , we see that the proportion of elements  $v$  of  $U$  for which  $v + j$  is representable by  $a^3x^2 + b^3y^2$  with  $\max(a, b) > P^{8/3}$  is at most  $O\left(\frac{M}{P^{1/3}}\right)$ .

So, it is enough to assume that  $a, b \leq P^{8/3}$ . If  $(ab, P) = 1$ , then by Lemma 1 the number of solutions of the congruence  $aX^2 + bY^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{P}$  does not exceed  $O(P \ln \ln P)$ . Every such solution corresponds to the numbers  $x, y$  with  $a^3x^2 + b^3y^2 \leq P^{10}$  and also  $ax \equiv X \pmod{P}$  and  $by \equiv Y \pmod{P}$ . Since  $x \leq \frac{P^5}{a^{3/2}}$  and  $y \leq \frac{P^5}{b^{3/2}}$ , for fixed  $X, Y$  the number of representable numbers is  $O\left(\frac{1}{P^2} \frac{P^{10}}{a^{3/2}b^{3/2}}\right)$ . Summing over  $X, Y$  and also  $a, b$  with  $ab > M^4$ , we get at most

$$O\left(\frac{P^9 \ln \ln P \ln M}{M^2}\right)$$

representable numbers and  $O\left(\frac{P^9(\ln M)^2}{M}\right)$  exceptional values of  $v \in U$ .

Finally, if the product  $ab$  is not coprime to  $P$ , set  $(ab, P) = \frac{P}{Q}$ . Same consideration as above, but with congruences  $\pmod{P}$  replaced by congruences  $\pmod{Q}$ , shows that for fixed  $a, b$  we have  $O\left(Q \ln \ln P \frac{P^{10}}{a^{3/2}b^{3/2}Q^2}\right)$  representable numbers. Summing over all  $a, b$  and using  $\frac{P}{Q} \mid ab$ , we get

$$O\left(\frac{P^{10}\tau(P/Q)\ln \ln P}{Q(P/Q)^{3/2}}\right) = O(\tau(P/Q)P^{8.5}Q^{1/2}\ln \ln P).$$

Therefore, the total number of exceptional  $v$  with such  $a, b$  can be estimate as

$$O\left(P^9 \ln \ln P \sum_{Q \mid P, Q < P} \tau(P/Q) \left(\frac{P}{Q}\right)^{-1/2}\right).$$

Due to multiplicativity, the last sum can be bounded as follows:

$$\sum_{Q \mid P, Q < P} \tau(P/Q) \left(\frac{P}{Q}\right)^{-1/2} = \prod_{p \mid P} \left(1 + \frac{2}{\sqrt{p}}\right) - 1 \leq \left(1 + \frac{2}{\sqrt{N}}\right)^{KM} - 1 \ll \frac{KM}{\sqrt{N}},$$

because  $P$  has  $KM$  prime factors, each at least  $N$ . Hence, the number of exceptional  $v$  is  $O(P^9 M^{4-D/2})$ . This means that for most  $v \in U$  none of the numbers  $v + j$  is of the form  $a^3x^2 + b^3y^2$ , so the interval  $[0, P^{10}]$  contains a subinterval of length  $M$  without sums of squareful numbers which concludes the proof, because  $P^{10} \leq \exp(10CM(\ln M)^2)$ .  $\square$

### 3 Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Igor Shparlinski for bringing our attention to the set  $\mathcal{V}$  and gaps between its elements.

### References

- [1] V. Blomer, “Binary Quadratic Forms with Large Discriminants and Sums of Two Squareful Numbers II”, *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, Volume 71, Issue 1, 69–84, 2005
- [2] V. Blomer and A. Granville, Estimates for representation numbers of quadratic forms, *Duke Math. J.* 135 no. 2, 261-302, 2006
- [3] R. Dietmann, C. Elsholtz, A. Kalmynin, S. Konyagin, J. Maynard, “Longer Gaps Between Values of Binary Quadratic Forms”, *International Mathematics Research Notices* (2022)
- [4] P. Erdős “Some problems and results in elementary number theory.” *Publ. Math. Debrecen* 2, 103–9, 1951

- [5] S.W. Golomb, “Powerful Numbers.”, Amer. Math. Monthly 77, 848-855, 1970
- [6] H. Iwaniec, E. Kowalski, Analytic Number Theory, American Mathematical Society, Colloquium Publications, vol. 53, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004
- [7] E. Landau, “Über die Einteilung der positiven ganzen Zahlen in vier Klassen nach der Mindestzahl der zu ihrer additiven Zusammensetzung erforderlichen Quadrate”, Archiv der Mathematik und Physik (3) 13 (1908), 305-312
- [8] I. Richards, “On the gaps between numbers which are sums of two squares.” Adv. Math. 46, no. 1, 1–2, 1982