

NONLINEAR INVISCID DAMPING FOR 2-D INHOMOGENEOUS INCOMPRESSIBLE EULER EQUATIONS

QI CHEN, DONGYI WEI, PING ZHANG, AND ZHIFEI ZHANG

ABSTRACT. We prove the asymptotic stability of shear flows close to the Couette flow for the 2-D inhomogeneous incompressible Euler equations on $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}$. More precisely, if the initial velocity is close to the Couette flow and the initial density is close to a positive constant in the Gevrey class 2, then 2-D inhomogeneous incompressible Euler equations are globally well-posed and the velocity converges strongly to a shear flow close to the Couette flow, and the vorticity will be driven to small scales by a linear evolution and weakly converges as $t \rightarrow \infty$. To our knowledge, this is the first global well-posedness result for the 2-D inhomogeneous incompressible Euler equations.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Main equations and bootstrap proposition	4
2.1. Main equations and sketch of the idea	4
2.2. Energy functional and bootstrap proposition	6
2.3. Proof of Theorem 1.1	8
3. Improved control of the pressure	11
3.1. Some product estimates	11
3.2. Elliptic estimates	17
3.3. Estimates for nonlinear term q	18
3.4. Proof of Proposition 3.1	21
4. Improved control of the vorticity	24
4.1. Nonlinear estimate for $\mathcal{N}_1 - \mathcal{N}_3$	24
4.2. Nonlinear estimate for \mathcal{N}_4	26
4.3. Nonlinear estimate for \mathcal{N}_5	30
5. Improved control of the stream function	33
6. Improved control of the density	34
6.1. Nonlinear estimate for \mathcal{N}_6	34
6.2. Nonlinear estimate for \mathcal{N}_7	35
6.3. Nonlinear estimate for \mathcal{N}_8	37
7. Improved control of the coordinate functions	38
Appendix A. Main weights and basic properties	42
A.1. Main weights	42
A.2. Basic bounds on the weights	44
Appendix B. Weighted bilinear estimates(I)	46
Appendix C. Weighted bilinear estimates(II)	53
Acknowledgments	63
References	63

Date: March 28, 2023.

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider 2-D inhomogeneous incompressible Euler equations on $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}$:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \rho = 0, \\ \rho(\partial_t \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}) + \nabla p = 0, \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Here $\rho(t, x, y), \mathbf{v}(t, x, y) = (v^1(t, x, y), v^2(t, x, y)), p(t, x, y)$ denote the density, the velocity and the hydrostatic pressure of the ideal fluid respectively (see [16] for instance).

When $\rho = 1$, the system (1.1) is reduced to the classical incompressible Euler equations. It is well known that the 2-D classical incompressible Euler equations are globally well-posed for smooth data [5, 17], see also [13] about some classical results on the stability of some special solutions to these equations. To our knowledge, the global well-posedness for the system (1.1) is still an open question even in the case when the density is close enough to a positive constant. One may check page 159 of [16] for the “state of the art” concerning the system (1.1).

In this paper, we study the asymptotic stability of the Couette flow, i.e., $\rho = 1, \mathbf{v} = (y, 0)$, which is a steady solution of (1.1). To this end, we introduce the perturbation

$$d = \frac{1}{\rho} - 1, \quad u = (u^1, u^2) = \mathbf{v} - (y, 0), \quad \Pi = p.$$

Then (d, u, Π) satisfies

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t d + u \cdot \nabla d + y \partial_x d = 0, \\ \partial_t u + y \partial_x u + u \cdot \nabla u + \begin{pmatrix} u^2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + (1 + d) \nabla \Pi = 0, \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0, \\ d|_{t=0} = d_0, \quad u|_{t=0} = u_0. \end{cases}$$

Next we introduce the vorticity $\omega = \partial_x u^2 - \partial_y u^1$ and let φ be the stream function which solves $\Delta \varphi = \omega$. Then ω satisfies

$$(1.3) \quad \partial_t \omega + y \partial_x \omega + u \cdot \nabla \omega = -(\partial_x d \partial_y \Pi - \partial_y d \partial_x \Pi).$$

For the classical 2-D incompressible Euler equations on $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}$, the asymptotic stability of the Couette flow has been proved by Bedrossian and Masmoudi in a breakthrough work [2]. Roughly speaking, if the initial velocity is a small perturbation of the Couette flow in the Gevrey class $2-$, then the velocity converges strongly to a shear flow close to the Couette flow, and the vorticity will be driven to small scales by a linear evolution and weakly converges as $t \rightarrow \infty$. This phenomenon is the so-called inviscid damping, which is an analogue to the Landau damping in the plasma physics found by Landau [12]. We also refer to [20] on the breakthrough of nonlinear Landau damping. Ionescu and Jia [9] proved the asymptotic stability of the Couette flow in a finite channel when the initial velocity is a small perturbation of the Couette flow in the Gevrey class 2 and the initial vorticity is supported in the interior of the channel. It is easy to observe in these works that the regularity of the perturbations plays a crucial role on nonlinear inviscid damping and Landau damping, see [14, 15, 7] on negative results in the lower regularity and the instability in the Gevrey class $2+$. For general shear flows, the linear inviscid damping was proved in a series of works [21, 22, 23], see also earlier results [25, 26] and some relevant results [1, 6, 8, 24, 11]. Finally let us mention

recent breakthrough on nonlinear inviscid damping for stable monotone shear flows, which was independently proved by Ionescu-Jia [10] and Masmoudi-Zhao [19].

Now we state our main result of this paper.

Let us first introduce the Gevrey class $\mathcal{G}^{\lambda, s}$, whose norm is defined by

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{G}^{\lambda, s}}^2 = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\lambda(1+k^2+\xi^2)^{\frac{s}{2}}} |\tilde{f}(k, \xi)|^2 d\xi,$$

where \tilde{f} denotes the Fourier transform of f , and $s \in [0, 1]$ and $\lambda > 0$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\beta_0 \in (0, \frac{1}{8}]$. There exist $\beta_1 = \beta_1(\beta_0)$ and $\bar{\epsilon} = \bar{\epsilon}(\beta_0)$ such that if the initial data $d_0, \omega_0 \in \mathcal{G}^{\lambda, \frac{1}{2}}$ with $\int_{\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}} |y| |\omega_0(x, y)| dx dy < +\infty$ and $\lambda = \beta_0$ satisfy*

$$\|d_0\|_{\mathcal{G}^{\lambda, \frac{1}{2}}} + \|\omega_0\|_{\mathcal{G}^{\lambda, \frac{1}{2}}} \leq \epsilon \leq \bar{\epsilon}, \quad \int_{\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}} \omega_0(x, y) dx dy = 0,$$

then the system (1.2) has a unique global-in-time smooth solution (d, u, Π) which satisfies:

1. There exists $\omega_\infty(x, y)$ such that

$$(1.4) \quad \|\omega(t, x + ty + \Phi(t, y), y) - \omega_\infty(x, y)\|_{\mathcal{G}^{\beta_1, \frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim_{\beta_0} \frac{\epsilon}{\langle t \rangle},$$

where

$$\Phi(t, y) = \int_0^t \bar{u}^1(\tau, y) d\tau, \quad \bar{u}^1(t, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} u^1(t, x, y) dx.$$

2. There exists $d_\infty(x, y)$ such that

$$(1.5) \quad \|d(t, x + ty + \Phi(t, y), y) - d_\infty(x, y)\|_{\mathcal{G}^{\beta_1, \frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim_{\beta_0} \frac{\epsilon}{\langle t \rangle}.$$

3. There exists $u_\infty(y) \in \mathcal{G}^{\beta_1, \frac{1}{2}}$ so that the velocity (u^1, u^2) satisfies:

$$(1.6) \quad \|\bar{u}^1(t, y) - u_\infty(y)\|_{\mathcal{G}^{\beta_1, \frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim_{\beta_0} \frac{\epsilon}{\langle t \rangle^2},$$

$$(1.7) \quad \|u^1(t, x, y) - \bar{u}^1(t, y)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R})} \lesssim_{\beta_0} \frac{\epsilon}{\langle t \rangle},$$

$$(1.8) \quad \|u^2(t, x, y)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R})} \lesssim_{\beta_0} \frac{\epsilon}{\langle t \rangle^2}.$$

Let us give some remarks on our result.

1. To our knowledge, our result gives the first global well-posedness result for the 2-D inhomogeneous incompressible Euler equations.
2. For simplicity, we consider the problem in the domain $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}$. It seems possible to generalize our result to a finite channel, i.e., $\mathbb{T} \times [-1, 1]$, under the assumption that the initial vorticity is supported in the interior of the channel. In this case, the main trouble lies in the estimate of the pressure.
3. As in [3], our result could be proved via the inviscid limit.
4. As in [4, 18], it is quite interesting to study the stability threshold problem for the 2-D inhomogeneous incompressible Navier-Stokes equations at high Reynolds number (Re): find the threshold $\beta_1 \geq 0$ and $\beta_2 \geq 0$ so that if the initial perturbations satisfy

$$\|d_0\|_{H^N} \leq c\nu^{\beta_1}, \quad \|u_0\|_{H^N} \leq c\nu^{\beta_2},$$

with $\nu = Re^{-1} \ll 1$, then the corresponding system (1.2) related to the 2-D inhomogeneous incompressible Navier-Stokes equations is globally stable.

Compared with [2, 9], the main difficulty is to control the growth of the density and the pressure. Under nonlinear coordinate transform (2.1), the vorticity satisfies

$$\partial_t f = (1 + V_1)\partial_v \phi_{\neq} \partial_z f - (V_3 + (1 + V_1)\partial_z \phi)\partial_v f + (1 + V_1)\{a, P\}.$$

To control new nonlinear term $\{a, P\}$, we need to construct suitable multipliers for the density a and the pressure P , which match their growth mechanism. The multiplier of a should have similar properties as the multiplier of f introduced in [2, 9]. Moreover, the multipliers for a and P need to ensure that nonlinear term $\{a, P\}$ can be controlled by using bilinear weighted estimates. See section 2.1 for the details.

Let us end this section with some **notations** that we shall use in the following context:

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\neq} &= \mathbb{P}_{\neq 0} f = f - \bar{f}, & \bar{f} &= \mathbb{P}_0 f = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(x, y) dx, \\ \langle a \rangle &= (1 + a^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}, & \langle a, b \rangle &= (1 + a^2 + b^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\{f_1, f_2\} = \partial_v f_1 \partial_z f_2 - \partial_z f_1 \partial_v f_2$ be the poisson bracket and $(\mathcal{F}f)(t, k, \xi) = \tilde{f}(t, k, \xi)$ denotes the Fourier transform of $f(t, z, v)$ in (z, v) .

2. MAIN EQUATIONS AND BOOTSTRAP PROPOSITION

2.1. Main equations and sketch of the idea. The proof of Theorem 1.1 follows the framework in [9]. To explain main difficulties and new ideas of this paper, we first recall two key ingredients in [2, 9].

The first key ingredient is to introduce the following nonlinear coordinate transform:

$$(2.1) \quad v = y + \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \bar{u}^1(\tau, y) d\tau, \quad z = x - tv.$$

Then we define

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, z, v) &= \omega(t, x, y), & a(t, z, v) &= d(t, x, z), \\ \phi(t, z, v) &= \varphi(t, x, y), & P(t, z, v) &= \Pi(t, x, y), \\ V_1(t, v) &= \partial_y v(t, y) - 1, & V_2(t, v) &= \partial_y^2 v(t, y), & V_3(t, v) &= \partial_t v(t, y), \\ \mathcal{H}(t, z, v) &= t(1 + V_1(t, v))\partial_v V_3(t, v) = -V_1(t, v) - (\mathbb{P}_0 f)(t, v). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify that

$$\partial_t f = (1 + V_1)\partial_v \phi_{\neq} \partial_z f - (V_3 + (1 + V_1)\partial_z \phi)\partial_v f + (1 + V_1)\{a, P\}.$$

Then the new unknowns f, a, V_1, \mathcal{H} satisfy the following system

$$(2.2) \quad \partial_t f + V_3 \partial_v f = (1 + V_1)\{\phi_{\neq}, f\} - (1 + V_1)\{P, a\},$$

$$(2.3) \quad \partial_t a + V_3 \partial_v a = (1 + V_1)\{\phi_{\neq}, a\},$$

$$(2.4) \quad \partial_t V_1 + V_3 \partial_v V_1 = \mathcal{H}/t,$$

$$(2.5) \quad \partial_t \mathcal{H} + V_3 \partial_v \mathcal{H} + \mathcal{H}/t = -(1 + V_1)\mathbb{P}_0(\{\phi_{\neq}, f\} - \{P, a\}).$$

Moreover, ϕ, V_2, V_3 satisfy

$$(2.6) \quad \partial_z^2 \phi + (V_1 + 1)^2 (\partial_v - t\partial_z)^2 \phi + V_2 (\partial_v - t\partial_z) \phi = f,$$

$$(2.7) \quad \partial_v V_3 = \mathcal{H}/(t(V_1 + 1)), \quad V_2 = (V_1 + 1)\partial_v V_1,$$

and the pressure P satisfies

$$(2.8) \quad \partial_z^2 P + (V_1 + 1)^2 (\partial_v - t\partial_z)^2 P + V_2 (\partial_v - t\partial_z) P + \partial_z (a \partial_z P)$$

$$+ (V_1 + 1)(\partial_v - t\partial_z)(a(V_1 + 1)(\partial_v - t\partial_z)P) + q = 0,$$

where q is given by (in the Euler coordinates)

$$\begin{aligned} q(t, z, v) &= \operatorname{div}(u \cdot \nabla u) + 2\partial_x u^2 = 2(\partial_x \partial_y \varphi)^2 - 2\partial_x^2 \varphi \partial_y^2 \varphi + 2\partial_x^2 \varphi \\ &= 2\partial_x^2 \varphi (1 - \omega) + 2(\partial_x^2 \varphi)^2 + 2(\partial_y \partial_x \varphi)^2. \end{aligned}$$

In terms of new unknowns, we have

$$(2.9) \quad q = 2\partial_z^2 \phi (1 - f) + 2(\partial_z^2 \phi)^2 + 2[(V_1 + 1)(\partial_v - t\partial_z)\partial_z \phi]^2.$$

We also define

$$(2.10) \quad \Theta(t, z, v) = (\partial_z^2 + (\partial_v - t\partial_z)^2)\phi(t, z, v).$$

Compared with [2, 9], the equation of f here contains a new nonlinear term $(1 + V_1)\{P, a\}$. Moreover, the control for a and P is rather non-trivial.

The most key ingredient is to introduce time-dependent imbalanced weights like $A_k(t, \xi)$ with the key property

$$\frac{A_l(t, \eta)}{A_k(t, \xi)} \approx \left| \frac{\eta}{l^2} \right| \frac{1}{1 + |t - \eta/l|},$$

when $k \neq l, \xi = \eta + O(1), k = l + O(1)$, and t is resonant for the frequency (l, η) . Then the energy functional of f is defined by

$$\mathcal{E}_f(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_k(t, \xi)^2 |\tilde{f}(t, k, \xi)|^2 d\xi.$$

Due to the structural similarity of the equations of f and a , it seems natural to introduce the same energy functional for a . However, this idea does not work due to new nonlinear term $(1 + V_1)\{P, a\}$ in the equation of f , which requires one more derivative of a in the process of energy estimate. Therefore, we have to introduce a new energy functional for a , which is defined as follows

$$\mathcal{E}_a(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_k^*(t, \xi)^2 |\tilde{a}(t, k, \xi)|^2 d\xi,$$

where

$$A_k^*(t, \xi) = A_k(t, \xi) \left(1 + \frac{k^2 + |\xi|}{\langle t \rangle^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The construction of new weight $A_k^*(t, \xi)$ is rather tricky. On one hand, $A_k^*(t, \xi)$ should have similar properties as $A_k(t, \xi)$ so that the weighted bilinear estimates for $A_k(t, \xi)$ still hold for new weight $A_k^*(t, \xi)$, see Appendix B and C. On the other hand, the weights for a and P need to ensure that nonlinear term $(1 + V_1)\{P, a\}$ can be controlled by using bilinear weighted estimates.

The construction of the multiplier for the pressure P is partially motivated by the following toy models for P and ϕ

$$\partial_z^2 P + (\partial_v - t\partial_z)^2 P = \partial_z^2 \phi, \quad \partial_z^2 \phi + (\partial_v - t\partial_z)^2 \phi = \Theta.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$k^2 \langle t - \xi/k \rangle^2 \tilde{P} = \frac{\tilde{\Theta}}{\langle t - \xi/k \rangle^2}.$$

Thanks to

$$\langle t \rangle + |(k, \xi)| \lesssim |k| \langle \xi/k \rangle \langle t - \xi/k \rangle,$$

we have

$$\frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \xi)|)}{|k| \langle \xi/k \rangle^2} \lesssim \frac{t \langle t - \xi/k \rangle}{\langle \xi/k \rangle},$$

from which, we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{k^2 \langle t \rangle^2 (\langle t \rangle^2 + |(k, \xi)|^2) \langle t - \xi/k \rangle^4}{\langle \xi/k \rangle^4} |\tilde{P}(t, k, \xi)|^2 &\lesssim \frac{\langle t \rangle^2}{\langle \xi/k \rangle^2 \langle t - \xi/k \rangle^2} |\tilde{\Theta}(t, k, \xi)|^2 \\ &\lesssim \frac{\langle t \rangle^2 k^2}{|\xi|^2 + k^2 \langle t \rangle^2} |\tilde{\Theta}(t, k, \xi)|^2 \\ &\lesssim |\tilde{f}(t, k, \xi)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, there holds that for $k, l \neq 0$ ($\sigma = k - l, \rho = \xi - \eta$)

$$\begin{aligned} A_k(t, \xi) \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \xi)|)}{|k| \langle \xi/k \rangle^2} &\lesssim_\delta A_l(t, \eta) A_\sigma(t, \rho) \frac{|l| \langle t \rangle \langle t - \eta/l \rangle^2}{|\eta| + |l| \langle t \rangle} \\ &\quad \times \{ \langle l, \eta \rangle^{-2} + \langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{-2} \}. \end{aligned}$$

This property is crucial to control nonlinear terms in the equation of P . Based on the above analysis, we choose the following energy functional of P and Θ :

$$\begin{aligned} (2.11) \quad \mathcal{E}_P(t) &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_k(t, \xi)^2 \frac{k^2 \langle t \rangle^2 (\langle t \rangle^2 + |(k, \xi)|^2) \langle t - \xi/k \rangle^4}{\langle \xi/k \rangle^4} |\tilde{P}(t, k, \xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_0(t, \xi)^2 \frac{\langle t \rangle^2 (\langle t \rangle^2 + |\xi|^2) |\xi|^2}{\langle \xi \rangle^4} |\tilde{P}(t, 0, \xi)|^2 d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.12) \quad \mathcal{E}_\Theta(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_k(t, \xi)^2 \frac{|k|^2 \langle t \rangle^2}{|\xi|^2 + |k|^2 \langle t \rangle^2} |\tilde{\Theta}(t, k, \xi)|^2 d\xi.$$

Here and in all that follows, we always denote $|(k, \xi)|^2 = k^2 + \xi^2$.

2.2. Energy functional and bootstrap proposition. Let the weights A_R, A_{NR}, A_k be defined by (A.8) and (A.9). We define

$$A_k^*(t, \xi) = A_k(t, \xi) \left(1 + \frac{k^2 + |\xi|}{\langle t \rangle^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

and let

$$\dot{A}_*(t, \xi) = (\partial_t A_*)(t, \xi), \quad \dot{A}_k^*(t, \xi) = (\partial_t A_k^*)(t, \xi).$$

Then we introduce the energy functional

$$(2.13) \quad \mathcal{E}_f(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_k(t, \xi)^2 |\tilde{f}(t, k, \xi)|^2 d\xi,$$

$$(2.14) \quad \mathcal{E}_a(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_k^*(t, \xi)^2 |\tilde{a}(t, k, \xi)|^2 d\xi,$$

$$(2.15) \quad \mathcal{E}_{V_1}(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_R(t, \xi)^2 |\tilde{V}_1(t, k, \xi)|^2 d\xi,$$

$$(2.16) \quad \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}}(t) = K_{\delta}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_{NR}(t, \xi)^2 (\langle t \rangle / \langle \xi \rangle)^{3/2} |\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(t, k, \xi)|^2 d\xi,$$

and $\mathcal{E}_P(t), \mathcal{E}_{\Theta}(t)$ are given respectively by (2.11) and (2.12). We also introduce

$$(2.17) \quad \mathcal{B}_f(t) = \int_1^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\dot{A}_k(s, \xi)| |A_k(s, \xi)| |\tilde{f}(s, k, \xi)|^2 d\xi ds,$$

$$(2.18) \quad \mathcal{B}_a(t) = \int_1^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\dot{A}_k^*(s, \xi)| |A_k^*(s, \xi)| |\tilde{a}(s, k, \xi)|^2 d\xi ds,$$

$$(2.19) \quad \mathcal{B}_P(t) = \int_1^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\dot{A}_0(s, \xi)| |A_0(s, \xi)| \frac{\langle s \rangle^2 (\langle s \rangle^2 + |\xi|^2)^2 |\xi|^2}{\langle \xi \rangle^4} |\tilde{P}(s, 0, \xi)|^2 d\xi ds \\ + \int_1^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\dot{A}_k(s, \xi)| |A_k(s, \xi)| \frac{k^2 \langle s \rangle^2 (\langle s \rangle^2 + |(k, \xi)|^2) \langle s - \xi/k \rangle^4}{\langle \xi/k \rangle^4} |\tilde{P}(s, k, \xi)|^2 d\xi ds,$$

$$(2.20) \quad \mathcal{B}_{V_1}(t) = \int_1^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\dot{A}_R(s, \xi)| |A_R(s, \xi)| |\tilde{V}_1(s, k, \xi)|^2 d\xi,$$

$$(2.21) \quad \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{H}}(t) = K_{\delta}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\dot{A}_{NR}(s, \xi)| |A_{NR}(s, \xi)| (\langle s \rangle / \langle \xi \rangle)^{3/2} |\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(s, k, \xi)|^2 d\xi ds,$$

$$(2.22) \quad \mathcal{B}_{\Theta}(t) = \int_1^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\dot{A}_k(s, \xi)| |A_k(s, \xi)| \frac{|k|^2 \langle s \rangle^2}{|\xi|^2 + |k|^2 \langle s \rangle^2} |\tilde{\Theta}(s, k, \xi)|^2 d\xi ds.$$

Now the key bootstrap proposition is stated as follows.

Proposition 2.1. *Assume $T \geq 1$ and let $\omega \in C([0, 1] : \mathcal{G}^{2\delta_0, 1/2})$, $d \in C([0, 1] : \mathcal{G}^{2\delta_0, 1/2})$ be a sufficiently smooth solution of the system (1.2) with $\|\bar{\omega}\|_{H^{10}} \ll 1$ for all $t \in [0, T]$. Assume that ϵ_1 is a sufficiently small constant depending on δ_0 and δ so that*

$$\sum_{g \in \{f, V_1, \mathcal{H}, \Theta\}} [\mathcal{E}_g(t) + \mathcal{B}_g(t)] \leq \epsilon_1^3 \quad \text{for any } t \in [0, 1], \\ \mathcal{E}_a(t) + \mathcal{B}_a(t) \leq \epsilon_1^3 \quad \text{for any } t \in [0, 1], \\ \mathcal{E}_P(t) + \mathcal{B}_P(t) \leq \epsilon_1^3 \quad \text{for any } t \in [0, 1],$$

and

$$\sum_{g \in \{f, V_1, \mathcal{H}, \Theta\}} [\mathcal{E}_g(t) + \mathcal{B}_g(t)] \leq \epsilon_1^2 \quad \text{for any } t \in [1, T], \\ \mathcal{E}_a(t) + \mathcal{B}_a(t) \leq \epsilon_1^2 \quad \text{for any } t \in [1, T], \\ \mathcal{E}_P(t) + \mathcal{B}_P(t) \leq \epsilon_1^2 \quad \text{for any } t \in [1, T].$$

Then for any $t \in [1, T]$, there holds the following improved bounds

$$\sum_{g \in \{f, V_1, \mathcal{H}, \Theta\}} [\mathcal{E}_g(t) + \mathcal{B}_g(t)] \leq \epsilon_1^2/2 \quad \text{for any } t \in [1, T], \\ \mathcal{E}_a(t) + \mathcal{B}_a(t) \leq \epsilon_1^2/2 \quad \text{for any } t \in [1, T], \\ \mathcal{E}_P(t) + \mathcal{B}_P(t) \leq \epsilon_1^2/2 \quad \text{for any } t \in [1, T].$$

Moreover, for $g \in \{f, \Theta, a, P\}$, we have the stronger bounds

$$\sum_{g \in \{f, \Theta, a, P\}} [\mathcal{E}_g(t) + \mathcal{B}_g(t)] \leq C\epsilon_1^3 \quad \text{for any } t \in [1, T].$$

Under the bootstrap assumptions, we have the following estimates for the coordinate functions (Lemma 4.2 in [9], more precisely, in arXiv:1808.04026v1).

Lemma 2.2. *For any $t \in [1, T]$ and $F \in \{V_1, V_1^2, \langle \partial_v \rangle^{-1} V_2\}$, we have*

$$(2.23) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_R(t, \xi)^2 |\widetilde{F}(t, \xi)|^2 d\xi \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^2, \\ & \int_1^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\dot{A}_R(s, \xi)| A_R(s, \xi) |\widetilde{F}(s, \xi)|^2 d\xi ds \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^2. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for any $t \in [1, T]$, there holds

$$(2.24) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_{NR}(t, \xi)^2 (1 + \langle \xi \rangle^{-3/2} \langle t \rangle^{3/2}) |\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(t, \xi)|^2 d\xi \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^2, \\ & \int_1^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\dot{A}_{NR}(s, \xi)| A_{NR}(s, \xi) (1 + \langle \xi \rangle^{-3/2} \langle s \rangle^{3/2}) |\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(s, \xi)|^2 d\xi ds \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.25) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_{NR}(t, \xi)^2 (\langle t \rangle^2 + \langle \xi \rangle^{-3/2} \langle t \rangle^{7/2}) |\widetilde{\partial_v V_3}(t, \xi)|^2 d\xi \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^2, \\ & \int_1^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\dot{A}_{NR}(s, \xi)| A_{NR}(s, \xi) (\langle s \rangle^2 + \langle \xi \rangle^{-3/2} \langle s \rangle^{7/2}) |\widetilde{\partial_v V_3}(s, \xi)|^2 d\xi ds \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^2. \end{aligned}$$

The following lemma will be constantly used (Lemma 4.3 in [9]).

Lemma 2.3. *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $n \geq 1$, $\beta \in [0, 1]$. Then*

$$(2.26) \quad \langle b \rangle \geq \beta \langle a - b \rangle \quad \text{implies} \quad \langle a \rangle^{1/2} \leq \langle b \rangle^{1/2} + (1 - \sqrt{\beta}/2) \langle a - b \rangle^{1/2}.$$

2.3. Proof of Theorem 1.1. With the key bootstrap Proposition 2.1 at hand, the proof of Theorem 1.1 is quite similar to those in [9]. Let us just give a sketch.

The first step is to prove a local regularity lemma (see Lemma 3.1 in [9]), which ensures that the bootstrap assumptions in Proposition 2.1 hold in a time interval $[0, T]$ for some $T \geq 1$. Then we apply Proposition 2.1 to conclude that for any $t \in [0, \infty)$, there holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{g \in \{f, V_1, \mathcal{H}, \Theta\}} [\mathcal{E}_g(t) + \mathcal{B}_g(t)] \leq \epsilon_1^2, \\ & \mathcal{E}_a(t) + \mathcal{B}_a(t) \leq \epsilon_1^2, \quad \mathcal{E}_P(t) + \mathcal{B}_P(t) \leq \epsilon_1^2, \\ & \sum_{g \in \{f, \Theta\}} [\mathcal{E}_g(t) + \mathcal{B}_g(t)] \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^3. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $A_k(t, \xi) \geq e^{\delta_0 \langle k, \xi \rangle^{1/2}}$ and $A_R(t, \xi) \geq A_{NR}(t, \xi) \geq e^{\delta_0 \langle \xi \rangle^{1/2}}$ for any $(t, \xi, k) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}$. Then we deduce that

$$(2.27) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left\| e^{\delta_0 \langle k, \xi \rangle^{1/2}} \widetilde{f}(t, k, \xi) \right\|_{L_{k, \xi}^2} + \left\| \mathbf{1}_{k \neq 0} e^{(\delta_0/2) \langle k, \xi \rangle^{1/2}} \widetilde{\Theta}(t, k, \xi) \right\|_{L_{k, \xi}^2} \\ & + \left\| e^{\delta_0 \langle \xi \rangle^{1/2}} \widetilde{V}_1(t, \xi) \right\|_{L_\xi^2} \lesssim \epsilon_1, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.28) \quad \left\| e^{\delta_0 \langle k, \xi \rangle^{1/2}} \tilde{f}(t, k, \xi) \right\|_{L_{k, \xi}^2} + \left\| \mathbf{1}_{k \neq 0} e^{(\delta_0/2) \langle k, \xi \rangle^{1/2}} \tilde{\Theta}(t, k, \xi) \right\|_{L_{k, \xi}^2} \lesssim_{\delta} \epsilon_1^{3/2},$$

and

$$(2.29) \quad \left\| e^{\delta_0 \langle k, \xi \rangle^{1/2}} \tilde{a}(t, k, \xi) \right\|_{L_{k, \xi}^2} + \langle t \rangle^4 \left\| e^{(\delta_0/2) \langle k, \xi \rangle^{1/2}} \widetilde{\partial_z P}(t, k, \xi) \right\|_{L_{k, \xi}^2} \\ + \langle t \rangle^3 \left\| e^{(\delta_0/2) \langle \xi \rangle^{1/2}} \widetilde{\partial_v P}(t, \xi) \right\|_{L_{\xi}^2} \lesssim \epsilon_1.$$

The second step is to show that for some $\delta_1 = \delta_1(\beta_0) > 0$,

$$(2.30) \quad \left\| e^{\delta_1 \langle \xi \rangle^{1/2}} \widetilde{\partial_t v}(t, \xi) \right\|_{L_{\xi}^2} \lesssim \epsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{-2}.$$

Notice that

$$(2.31) \quad \partial_t v(t, y) = \frac{1}{t} \left[-\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \bar{u}^1(\tau, y) d\tau + \bar{u}^1(t, y) \right] = \frac{1}{t^2} \int_0^t \int_{\tau}^t \partial_s \bar{u}^1(s, y) ds d\tau,$$

and

$$\partial_t \bar{u}^1(t, y) + \mathbb{P}_0(u^2 \partial_y u^1)(t, y) + \mathbb{P}_0(d \partial_x \Pi)(t, y) = 0.$$

Thanks to $\mathbb{P}_0(u^2 \partial_y u^1) = -\mathbb{P}_0(\partial_x \varphi \partial_y^2 \varphi)$, we have

$$(2.32) \quad \partial_t \bar{u}^1(t, y) = F_1(t, v(t, y)) + F_2(t, v(t, y)),$$

where

$$F_1(t, v) = |V_1 + 1|^2 \mathbb{P}_0(\partial_z \phi (\partial_v - t \partial_z)^2 \mathbb{P}_{\neq \phi})(t, v) + V_2 \mathbb{P}_0(\partial_z \phi (\partial_v - t \partial_z) \mathbb{P}_{\neq \phi})(t, v), \\ F_2(t, v) = -\mathbb{P}_0(a \partial_z P)(t, v).$$

By (2.27), Lemma B.1 and $V_2 = (V_1 + 1) \partial_v V_1$, we have

$$\left\| e^{(\delta_0/4) \langle \xi \rangle^{1/2}} \widetilde{F}_1(t, \xi) \right\|_{L_{\xi}^2} \lesssim \epsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{-3},$$

and by (2.29) and Lemma B.1, we have

$$\left\| e^{(\delta_0/4) \langle \xi \rangle^{1/2}} \widetilde{F}_2(t, \xi) \right\|_{L_{\xi}^2} \lesssim \epsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{-3}.$$

Notice that $\partial_v \mathcal{Y}(t, v) = (1/V')(t, v)$, where $\mathcal{Y}(t, \cdot)$ is the inverse of the function $y \rightarrow v(t, y)$. By (2.27), we can get, for some constant $K_3 = K_3(\beta_0)$,

$$(2.33) \quad |D_v^{\alpha} \mathcal{Y}(t, v)| \leq K_3^m (m+1)^{2m}, \quad |D_y^{\alpha} v(t, y)| \leq K_3^m (m+1)^{2m},$$

for all $m \geq 1$ and $|\alpha| \in [1, m]$. Then can deduce that

$$(2.34) \quad \left\| e^{\delta_1 \langle \xi \rangle^{1/2}} \widetilde{\partial_t \bar{u}^1}(t, \xi) \right\|_{L_{\xi}^2} \lesssim \epsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{-2},$$

for some $\delta_1 = \delta_1(\beta_0) > 0$. From (2.31) and (2.34), we get

$$\left\| e^{\delta_1 \langle \xi \rangle^{1/2}} \widetilde{\partial_t v}(t, \xi) \right\|_{L_{\xi}^2} \lesssim \frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^2} \int_0^t \int_{\tau}^t \left\| e^{\delta_1 \langle \xi \rangle^{1/2}} \widetilde{\partial_t \bar{u}^1}(s, \xi) \right\|_{L_{\xi}^2} ds d\tau \lesssim \epsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{-2},$$

which gives (2.30). Consequently, $v_{\infty} := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} v(t, y)$ exists in $\mathcal{G}^{\delta_1, 1/2}$ and

$$(2.35) \quad \left\| e^{\delta_1 \langle \xi \rangle^{1/2}} [\tilde{v}(t, \xi) - \tilde{v}_{\infty}(\xi)] \right\|_{L_{\xi}^2} \lesssim \epsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{-1}.$$

The third step is to prove the convergence of the profiles f and a . Recall that

$$(2.36) \quad \partial_t f = -V_3 \partial_v f + (1 + V_1) \{\phi_{\neq}, f\} - (1 + V_1) \{P, a\}.$$

Using the bounds (2.27) on \mathcal{E}_Θ , we have

$$(2.37) \quad \left\| \mathbf{1}_{k \neq 0} e^{(\delta_0/3)\langle k, \xi \rangle^{1/2}} \widetilde{\phi}(t, k, \xi) \right\|_{L_{k, \xi}^2} \lesssim \epsilon_1 \langle t \rangle^{-2}.$$

We use (2.30), (2.33) to conclude

$$(2.38) \quad \left\| e^{\delta'_1 \langle \xi \rangle^{1/2}} \widetilde{V}_3(t, \xi) \right\|_{L_\xi^2} \lesssim \epsilon_1 \langle t \rangle^{-2},$$

for some $\delta'_1 = \delta'_1(\beta_0) > 0$. Using (2.36), (2.37), (2.38), (2.27) and (2.29), we have

$$\left\| e^{\delta_2 \langle \xi \rangle^{1/2}} \widetilde{\partial_t f}(t, \xi) \right\|_{L_\xi^2} \lesssim \epsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{-2}$$

for some $\delta_2 = \delta_2(\delta_0) > 0$. In particular, $f(t, z, v)$ converges to $f_\infty(z, v)$ in $\mathcal{G}^{\delta_2, 1/2}$ with

$$(2.39) \quad \left\| e^{\delta_2 \langle \xi \rangle^{1/2}} [\widetilde{f}(t, k, \xi) - \widetilde{f}_\infty(k, \xi)] \right\|_{L_{k, \xi}^2} \lesssim \epsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{-1}.$$

Thus, we infer from (2.35) and (2.39) that

$$\omega(t, x + tv(t, y), y) = f(t, x, v(t, y))$$

converges to $f_\infty(x, v_\infty(y))$ with

$$(2.40) \quad \left\| e^{\delta'_2 \langle k, \xi \rangle^{1/2}} [\mathcal{F}(\omega(t, x + tv(t, y), y))(t, k, \xi) - \mathcal{F}(f_\infty(x, v_\infty(y)))(k, \xi)] \right\|_{L_{k, \xi}^2} \lesssim \epsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{-1}$$

for some $\delta'_2 = \delta'_2(\delta_0) > 0$.

Similarly, we can deduce by using (2.3), (2.37), (2.38), (2.27) and (2.29) that

$$\left\| e^{\delta_3 \langle \xi \rangle^{1/2}} \widetilde{\partial_t a}(t, \xi) \right\|_{L_\xi^2} \lesssim \epsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{-2}$$

for some $\delta_3 = \delta_3(\delta_0) > 0$. In particular, $a(t, z, v)$ converges to $a_\infty(z, v)$ in $\mathcal{G}^{\delta_3, 1/2}$ with

$$(2.41) \quad \left\| e^{\delta_3 \langle \xi \rangle^{1/2}} [\widetilde{a}(t, k, \xi) - \widetilde{a}_\infty(k, \xi)] \right\|_{L_{k, \xi}^2} \lesssim \epsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{-1}.$$

Moreover, we have

$$(2.42) \quad \left\| e^{\delta'_3 \langle k, \xi \rangle^{1/2}} [\mathcal{F}(d(t, x + tv(t, y), y))(t, k, \xi) - \mathcal{F}(a_\infty(x, v_\infty(y)))(k, \xi)] \right\|_{L_{k, \xi}^2} \lesssim \epsilon_1^2 \langle t \rangle^{-1}$$

for some $\delta'_3 = \delta'_3(\delta_0) > 0$.

Finally, we are in a position to prove (1.4)-(1.8). Let $\omega_\infty(x, y) = f_\infty(x, v_\infty(y))$ and $d_\infty(x, y) = a_\infty(x, v_\infty)$. The bounds (1.4) and (1.5) follow from (2.42), (2.39) and the definition of $v(t, y)$ and $\Phi(t, y)$. Moreover, let $u_\infty(y) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \bar{u}^1(t, y)$. The existence of the limit in $\mathcal{G}^{\delta_1, 1/2}$ follows from (2.34), and the bound (1.6) follows from the definition.

Notice that

$$(2.43) \quad \begin{aligned} u^1(t, x, y) &= -\partial_y \varphi(t, x, y) = -V_1 [(\partial_v - t \partial_z) \phi](t, z, v), \\ u^2(t, x, y) &= \partial_x \varphi(t, x, y) = \partial_z \phi(t, z, v). \end{aligned}$$

By (2.27), (2.37) and Lemma B.1, we have

$$\left\| \mathbf{1}_{k \neq 0} e^{(\delta_0/4)\langle k, \xi \rangle^{1/2}} (V_1 [(\partial_v - t \partial_z) \phi])(t, k, \xi) \right\|_{L_{k, \xi}^2} \lesssim \epsilon_1 \langle t \rangle^{-1},$$

$$\left\| e^{(\delta_0/4)\langle k, \xi \rangle^{1/2}} \widetilde{\partial_z \phi}(t, k, \xi) \right\|_{L^2_{k, \xi}} \lesssim \epsilon_1 \langle t \rangle^{-2}.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\|V_1[(\partial_v - t\partial_z)\phi_\neq]\|_{\mathcal{G}^{\delta_4, 1/2}} + \langle t \rangle \|\partial_z \phi\|_{\mathcal{G}^{\delta_4, 1/2}} \lesssim \epsilon_1 \langle t \rangle^{-1}$$

for some $\delta_4 = \delta_4(\delta_0) > 0$. Hence,

$$\|V_1[(\partial_v - t\partial_z)\phi_\neq]\|_{L^{\infty}_{z, v}} + \langle t \rangle \|\partial_z \phi\|_{L^{\infty}_{z, v}} \lesssim \epsilon_1 \langle t \rangle^{-1},$$

which along with (2.43) yields (1.7) and (1.8).

3. IMPROVED CONTROL OF THE PRESSURE

In this section, we prove an improved control for the pressure under the bootstrap assumptions in Proposition 2.1. This part is completely new.

Proposition 3.1. *With the definitions and assumptions in Proposition 2.1, there exists $c(\delta) > 0$, such that if $\epsilon_1 \leq c(\delta)$, then it holds that*

$$\mathcal{E}_P(t) + \mathcal{B}_P(t) \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^4 + \mathcal{E}_\Theta(t) + \mathcal{B}_\Theta(t). \quad \text{for any } t \in [1, T].$$

Let us first introduce the following weighted norms:

$$\begin{aligned} \|g\|_A^2 &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_k(t, \xi)^2 \frac{\langle t \rangle^2 (\langle t \rangle^2 + |(k, \xi)|^2) \langle t - \xi/k \rangle^2}{\langle \xi/k \rangle^4} |\tilde{g}(t, k, \xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &+ \int_1^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\dot{A}_k(s, \xi)| A_k(s, \xi) \frac{\langle s \rangle^2 (\langle s \rangle^2 + |(k, \xi)|^2) \langle s - \xi/k \rangle^2}{\langle \xi/k \rangle^4} |\tilde{g}(s, k, \xi)|^2 d\xi ds, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|g\|_B^2 &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_k(t, \xi)^2 \frac{\langle t \rangle^2 (\langle t \rangle^2 + |(k, \xi)|^2)}{k^2 \langle \xi/k \rangle^4} |\tilde{g}(t, k, \xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &+ \int_1^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\dot{A}_k(s, \xi)| A_k(s, \xi) \frac{\langle s \rangle^2 (\langle s \rangle^2 + |(k, \xi)|^2)}{k^2 \langle \xi/k \rangle^4} |\tilde{g}(s, k, \xi)|^2 d\xi ds. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that $\|(\partial_z, \partial_v - t\partial_z)g\|_B \approx \|g\|_A$. For the zero mode, we introduce the norm

$$\begin{aligned} \|g\|_{A_0}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_0(t, \xi)^2 \frac{\langle t \rangle^2 (\langle t \rangle^2 + |\xi|^2)^2}{\langle \xi \rangle^4} |\tilde{g}(t, 0, \xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &+ \int_1^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\dot{A}_0(s, \xi)| A_0(s, \xi) \frac{\langle s \rangle^2 (\langle s \rangle^2 + |\xi|^2)^2}{\langle \xi \rangle^4} |\tilde{g}(s, 0, \xi)|^2 d\xi ds. \end{aligned}$$

In this section, we always assume the bootstrap assumptions in Proposition 2.1.

3.1. Some product estimates.

Lemma 3.2. *It holds that*

$$(3.1) \quad \|V_1 h_\neq\|_B + \|V_1^2 h_\neq\|_B \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1 \|h_\neq\|_B,$$

$$(3.2) \quad \|V_1 h_\neq\|_A + \|V_1^2 h_\neq\|_A \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1 \|h_\neq\|_A,$$

$$(3.3) \quad \|V_2 h_\neq\|_B \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1 \|h_\neq\|_B \lesssim \epsilon_1 \|h_\neq\|_A.$$

Proof. For (3.1), in view of Lemma B.1 and (2.23), it suffices to prove that (for $k \neq 0$, $t > 1$)

$$(3.4) \quad A_k(t, \xi) \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \xi)|)}{|k| \langle \xi/k \rangle^2} \lesssim_\delta A_R(t, \xi - \eta) A_k(t, \eta) \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \eta)|)}{|k| \langle \eta/k \rangle^2} \\ \times \{ \langle \xi - \eta \rangle^{-2} + \langle k, \eta \rangle^{-2} \},$$

and

$$(3.5) \quad |(\dot{A}_k A_k)(t, \xi)|^{1/2} \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \xi)|)}{|k| \langle \xi/k \rangle^2} \lesssim_\delta \left[|(\dot{A}_R/A_R)(t, \xi - \eta)|^{1/2} + |(\dot{A}_k/A_k)(t, \eta)|^{1/2} \right] \\ \times A_R(t, \xi - \eta) A_k(t, \eta) \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \eta)|)}{|k| \langle \eta/k \rangle^2} \{ \langle \xi - \eta \rangle^{-2} + \langle k, \eta \rangle^{-2} \}.$$

Notice that

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \xi)|)}{|k| \langle \xi/k \rangle^2} \lesssim_\delta \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \eta)|)}{|k| \langle \eta/k \rangle^2} e^{\delta \min(\langle \xi - \eta \rangle, \langle k, \eta \rangle)^{1/2}}.$$

Then (3.4)-(3.5) follow from (B.9), (B.10) and (3.6). This finishes the proof of (3.1).

For (3.2), by Lemma B.1 and Lemma 2.2, we only need to prove that

$$A_k(t, \xi) \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \xi)|) \langle t - \xi/k \rangle}{\langle \xi/k \rangle^2} \lesssim_\delta A_R(t, \xi - \eta) A_k(t, \eta) \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \eta)|) \langle t - \eta/k \rangle}{\langle \eta/k \rangle^2} \\ \times \{ \langle \xi - \eta \rangle^{-2} + \langle k, \eta \rangle^{-2} \},$$

and

$$|(\dot{A}_k A_k)(t, \xi)|^{1/2} \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \xi)|) \langle t - \xi/k \rangle}{\langle \xi/k \rangle^2} \lesssim_\delta \left[|(\dot{A}_R/A_R)(t, \xi - \eta)|^{1/2} + |(\dot{A}_k/A_k)(t, \eta)|^{1/2} \right] \\ \times A_R(t, \xi - \eta) A_k(t, \eta) \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \eta)|) \langle t - \eta/k \rangle}{\langle \eta/k \rangle^2} \{ \langle \xi - \eta \rangle^{-2} + \langle k, \eta \rangle^{-2} \},$$

which can be deduced from (B.9), (B.10) and

$$\frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \xi)|) \langle t - \xi/k \rangle}{\langle \xi/k \rangle^2} \lesssim_\delta \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \eta)|) \langle t - \eta/k \rangle}{\langle \eta/k \rangle^2} e^{\delta \min(\langle \xi - \eta \rangle, \langle k, \eta \rangle)^{1/2}}.$$

For (3.3), it suffices to prove that

$$(3.7) \quad A_k(t, \xi) \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \xi)|)}{\langle \xi/k \rangle^2} \lesssim_\delta \frac{A_R(t, \xi - \eta)}{\langle \xi - \eta \rangle} A_k(t, \eta) \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \eta)|)}{\langle \eta/k \rangle^2} \\ \times \{ \langle \xi - \eta \rangle^{-2} + \langle k, \eta \rangle^{-2} \},$$

and

$$(3.8) \quad |(\dot{A}_k A_k)(t, \xi)|^{1/2} \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \xi)|)}{\langle \xi/k \rangle^2} \lesssim_\delta \left[|(\dot{A}_R/A_R)(t, \xi - \eta)|^{1/2} + |(\dot{A}_k/A_k)(t, \eta)|^{1/2} \right] \\ \times \frac{A_R(t, \xi - \eta)}{\langle \xi - \eta \rangle} A_k(t, \eta) \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \eta)|)}{\langle \eta/k \rangle^2} \{ \langle \xi - \eta \rangle^{-2} + \langle k, \eta \rangle^{-2} \}.$$

In view of (B.10), (3.7) and (3.8) can be deduced from the bound

$$(3.9) \quad A_k(t, \xi) \frac{\langle t \rangle + |(k, \xi)|}{\langle \xi/k \rangle^2} \lesssim_\delta \frac{A_R(t, \xi - \eta)}{\langle \xi - \eta \rangle} A_k(t, \eta) \frac{\langle t \rangle + |(k, \eta)|}{\langle \eta/k \rangle^2} e^{-20\sqrt{\delta} \min(\langle \xi - \eta \rangle, \langle k, \eta \rangle)^{1/2}},$$

which is a consequence of (B.9) and

$$\frac{\langle \eta/k \rangle \langle t \rangle + |\xi| + |k| \langle \eta/k \rangle \langle \xi - \eta \rangle}{\langle \xi/k \rangle \langle t \rangle + |\eta| + |k| \langle \xi/k \rangle} \lesssim_\delta e^{(\lambda(t)/40) \min(\langle \xi - \eta \rangle, \langle k, \eta \rangle)^{1/2}}.$$

□

Lemma 3.3. *It holds that*

$$(3.10) \quad \|V_1 \bar{h}\|_{A_0} + \|V_1^2 \bar{h}\|_{A_0} \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1 \|\bar{h}\|_{A_0},$$

Proof. In view of Lemma B.1 and (2.23), it suffices to prove that

$$(3.11) \quad A_0(t, \xi) \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle^2 + |\xi|^2)}{\langle \xi \rangle^2} \lesssim_\delta A_R(t, \xi - \eta) A_0(t, \eta) \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle^2 + |\eta|^2)}{\langle \eta \rangle^2} \{ \langle \xi - \eta \rangle^{-2} + \langle \eta \rangle^{-2} \},$$

and

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} |(\dot{A}_0 A_0)(t, \xi)|^{1/2} \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle^2 + |\xi|^2)}{\langle \xi \rangle^2} &\lesssim_\delta [|(\dot{A}_R/A_R)(t, \xi - \eta)|^{1/2} + |(\dot{A}_0/A_0)(t, \eta)|^{1/2}] \\ &\times A_R(t, \xi - \eta) A_0(t, \eta) \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle^2 + |\eta|^2)}{\langle \eta \rangle^2} \{ \langle \xi - \eta \rangle^{-2} + \langle \eta \rangle^{-2} \}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$(3.13) \quad \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle^2 + |\xi|^2)}{\langle \xi \rangle^2} \lesssim_\delta \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle^2 + |\eta|^2)}{\langle \eta \rangle^2} e^{\delta \min(\langle \xi - \eta \rangle, \langle \eta \rangle)^{1/2}}.$$

Then the desired bounds (3.11) and (3.12) follow from (3.13) and Lemma B.3. □

Lemma 3.4. *It holds that*

$$(3.14) \quad \|[ah]_{\neq}\|_A + \|[a(V_1 + 1)h]_{\neq}\|_A + \|\overline{ah}\|_{A_0} \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1 (\|h_{\neq}\|_A + \|\bar{h}\|_{A_0}).$$

Proof. Step 1. We first estimate $\|[ah]_{\neq}\|_A$ and we will prove that

$$(3.15) \quad \|[ah]_{\neq}\|_A \lesssim_\delta (\mathcal{E}_a(t) + \mathcal{B}_a(t))^{1/2} (\|h_{\neq}\|_A + \|\bar{h}\|_{A_0}) \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1 (\|h_{\neq}\|_A + \|\bar{h}\|_{A_0}).$$

Let $(\sigma, \rho) = (k - l, \xi - \eta)$. Thanks to Lemma B.1 and (A.26), it suffices to prove that

(i) for $k \neq 0, l \neq 0$, we have

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} A_k(t, \xi) \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \xi)|) \langle t - \xi/k \rangle}{\langle \xi/k \rangle^2} &\lesssim_\delta A_l(t, \eta) \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(l, \eta)|) \langle t - \eta/l \rangle}{\langle \eta/l \rangle^2} A_\sigma^*(t, \rho) \\ &\times \{ \langle l, \eta \rangle^{-2} + \langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{-2} \}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{aligned} |(\dot{A}_k A_k)(t, \xi)|^{1/2} \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \xi)|) \langle t - \xi/k \rangle}{\langle \xi/k \rangle^2} &\lesssim_\delta [|(\dot{A}_l/A_l)(t, \eta)|^{1/2} + |(\dot{A}_\sigma/A_\sigma)(t, \rho)|^{1/2}] \\ &\times A_l(t, \eta) \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(l, \eta)|) \langle t - \eta/l \rangle}{\langle \eta/l \rangle^2} A_\sigma^*(t, \rho) \{ \langle l, \eta \rangle^{-2} + \langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{-2} \}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) for $k \neq 0, l = 0$, we have

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{aligned} A_k(t, \xi) \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \xi)|) \langle t - \xi/k \rangle}{\langle \xi/k \rangle^2} &\lesssim_\delta A_0(t, \eta) \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |\eta|)^2}{\langle \eta \rangle^2} A_k^*(t, \rho) \\ &\times \{ \langle \eta \rangle^{-2} + \langle k, \rho \rangle^{-2} \}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.19) \quad \begin{aligned} |(\dot{A}_k A_k)(t, \xi)|^{1/2} \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \xi)|) \langle t - \xi/k \rangle}{\langle \xi/k \rangle^2} &\lesssim_\delta [|(\dot{A}_0/A_0)(t, \eta)|^{1/2} + |(\dot{A}_k/A_k)(t, \rho)|^{1/2}] \\ &\times A_0(t, \eta) \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |\eta|)^2}{\langle \eta \rangle^2} A_k^*(t, \rho) \{ \langle \eta \rangle^{-2} + \langle k, \rho \rangle^{-2} \}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us first prove (3.16) and (3.17).

Case 1. $|(\sigma, \rho)| \leq |(l, \eta)|$. By (B.32) with $j = 2$, we have

$$(3.20) \quad A_k(t, \xi) \langle t - \xi/k \rangle \lesssim_\delta A_l(t, \eta) \langle t - \eta/l \rangle A_\sigma(t, \rho) e^{-(\lambda(t)/20) \langle \sigma \rho \rangle^{1/2}}.$$

Thanks to

$$\frac{\langle t \rangle + |(k, \xi)|}{\langle t \rangle + |(l, \eta)|} \frac{\langle \eta/l \rangle^2}{\langle \xi/k \rangle^2} \lesssim \langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^3,$$

we get

$$(3.21) \quad \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \xi)|)}{\langle \xi/k \rangle^2} \lesssim_\delta \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(l, \eta)|)}{\langle \eta/l \rangle^2} e^{\delta \langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{1/2}}.$$

By (3.20), (3.21), $A_\sigma(t, \rho) \leq A_\sigma^*(t, \rho)$ and (B.33), we deduce (3.16) and (3.17) for Case 1.

Case 2. $|(l, \eta)| \leq |(\sigma, \rho)|$ and $\sigma \neq 0$. By (B.32) with $j = 2$, we have

$$(3.22) \quad A_k(t, \xi) \langle t - \xi/k \rangle \lesssim_\delta A_l(t, \eta) A_\sigma(t, \rho) \langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle e^{-(\lambda(t)/20) \langle l, \eta \rangle^{1/2}},$$

Thanks to $\langle t \rangle + |(k, \xi)| \lesssim (\langle t \rangle + |k|) \langle \xi/k \rangle$, a direct calculation gives

$$\frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \xi)|) \langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle}{\langle \xi/k \rangle^2} \lesssim \left(1 + \frac{|k|}{\langle t \rangle}\right) \frac{\langle t \rangle^2 \langle t - \rho/k \rangle}{\langle \xi/k \rangle} \lesssim \left(1 + \frac{|k|}{\langle t \rangle}\right) \left(\langle t \rangle^3 + \frac{\langle t \rangle^2 |\rho|}{|k| \langle \xi/k \rangle}\right).$$

Notice that

$$\left(1 + \frac{|k|}{\langle t \rangle}\right) \lesssim \left(1 + \frac{|\sigma|}{\langle t \rangle}\right) |l|,$$

and thanks to $\langle t - \eta/l \rangle \langle \eta/l \rangle \gtrsim \langle t \rangle$, we have

$$\langle t \rangle^3 \lesssim \langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(l, \eta)|) \langle t - \eta/l \rangle \langle \eta/l \rangle \lesssim \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(l, \eta)|) \langle t - \eta/l \rangle}{\langle \eta/l \rangle^2} \langle l, \eta \rangle^3,$$

and

$$\frac{\langle t \rangle^2 |\rho|}{|k| \langle \xi/k \rangle} \lesssim \frac{\langle t \rangle^2 |\rho|}{\langle \xi \rangle} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^2 \langle \eta \rangle \lesssim \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(l, \eta)|) \langle t - \eta/l \rangle}{\langle \eta/l \rangle^2} \langle l, \eta \rangle^3.$$

The above inequalities ensure that

$$\frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \xi)|) \langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle}{\langle \xi/k \rangle^2} \lesssim \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(l, \eta)|) \langle t - \eta/l \rangle}{\langle \eta/l \rangle^2} \left(1 + \frac{|\sigma|}{\langle t \rangle}\right) \langle l, \eta \rangle^4,$$

which gives

$$(3.23) \quad \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \xi)|)}{\langle \xi/k \rangle^2} \lesssim_\delta \frac{|l| \langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(l, \eta)|) \langle t - \eta/l \rangle}{\langle \eta/l \rangle^2 \langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle} \left(1 + \frac{\sigma^2}{\langle t \rangle^2}\right)^{1/2} e^{\delta \langle l, \eta \rangle^{1/2}}.$$

By (3.22), (3.23), $A_\sigma(t, \rho) (1 + \sigma^2/\langle t \rangle^2)^{1/2} \leq A_\sigma^*(t, \rho)$ and (B.33), we deduce (3.16) and (3.17) for Case 2.

Case 3. $|(l, \eta)| \leq |\rho|$ and $\sigma = k - l = 0$. By (B.32) with $j = 2$, we have

$$(3.24) \quad A_k(t, \xi) \langle t - \xi/k \rangle \lesssim_\delta A_k(t, \eta) A_0(t, \rho) (\langle t \rangle + |\rho|) e^{-(\lambda(t)/20) \langle k, \eta \rangle^{1/2}}.$$

A direct calculations gives

$$\frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \xi)|) (\langle t \rangle + |\rho|)}{\langle \xi/k \rangle^2} \lesssim \left(1 + \frac{|k|}{\langle t \rangle}\right) \left(\langle t \rangle^3 + \frac{\langle t \rangle^2 |\rho|}{\langle \xi/k \rangle}\right) \lesssim |k| \left(\langle t \rangle^3 + \frac{\langle t \rangle^2 |\rho|}{\langle \xi/k \rangle}\right)$$

$$\lesssim |k|\langle t \rangle^3 + \frac{|k|^2 \langle t \rangle^2 \langle \rho \rangle}{\langle \xi \rangle} \lesssim |k|^2 \langle \eta \rangle \langle t \rangle^3.$$

Thanks to $\langle t - \eta/k \rangle \langle \eta/k \rangle \gtrsim \langle t \rangle$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |k|^2 \langle \eta \rangle \langle t \rangle^3 &\lesssim |k|^2 \langle \eta \rangle \langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \eta)|) \langle t - \eta/k \rangle \langle \eta/k \rangle \\ &\lesssim \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \eta)|) \langle t - \eta/k \rangle}{\langle \eta/k \rangle^2} \langle k, \eta \rangle^6. \end{aligned}$$

The above inequalities ensure that

$$\frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \xi)|) (\langle t \rangle + |\rho|)}{\langle \xi/k \rangle^2} \lesssim \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \eta)|) \langle t - \eta/k \rangle}{\langle \eta/k \rangle^2} \langle k, \eta \rangle^6,$$

which gives

$$(3.25) \quad \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \xi)|)}{\langle \xi/k \rangle^2} \lesssim_\delta \frac{|k| \langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \eta)|) \langle t - \eta/k \rangle}{\langle \eta/k \rangle^2 (\langle t \rangle + |\rho|)} e^{\delta \langle k, \eta \rangle^{1/2}}.$$

By (3.24), (3.25), $A_0(t, \rho) \leq A_0^*(t, \rho)$ and (B.33), we deduce (3.16) and (3.17) for Case 3.

Next we prove (3.18) and (3.19).

Case 1. $|(k, \rho)| \leq |\eta|$. By (B.32) with $j = 2$, we have

$$(3.26) \quad A_k(t, \xi) \langle t - \xi/k \rangle \lesssim_\delta A_0(t, \eta) (\langle t \rangle + |\eta|) A_k(t, \rho) e^{-(\lambda(t)/20) \langle k, \rho \rangle^{1/2}}.$$

A direct calculation shows

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \xi)|)}{\langle \xi/k \rangle^2} &\lesssim \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |\eta|)}{\langle \eta \rangle^2} \frac{\langle \eta \rangle^2}{\langle \xi/k \rangle^2} \frac{\langle t \rangle + |(k, \xi)|}{\langle t \rangle + |\eta|} \\ &\lesssim \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |\eta|)}{\langle \eta \rangle^2} \frac{|k|^2 \langle \eta \rangle^2}{\langle \xi \rangle^2} \frac{\langle t \rangle + |(k, \xi)|}{\langle t \rangle + |\eta|} \lesssim \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |\eta|)}{\langle \eta \rangle^2} \langle k, \rho \rangle^5, \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$(3.27) \quad \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \xi)|)}{\langle \xi/k \rangle^2} \lesssim_\delta \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |\eta|)}{\langle \eta \rangle^2} e^{\delta \langle k, \rho \rangle^{1/2}}.$$

Then by (3.26), (3.27), $A_k(t, \rho) \leq A_k^*(t, \rho)$ and (B.33), we deduce (3.18) and (3.19) for Case 1.

Case 2. $|\eta| \leq |(k, \rho)|$. By (B.32) with $j = 2$, we have

$$(3.28) \quad A_k(t, \xi) \langle t - \xi/k \rangle \lesssim_\delta A_0(t, \eta) A_k(t, \rho) \langle t - \rho/k \rangle e^{-(\lambda(t)/20) \langle \eta \rangle^{1/2}},$$

A direct calculations gives

$$\frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \xi)|) \langle t - \rho/k \rangle}{\langle \xi/k \rangle^2} \lesssim \left(1 + \frac{|k|}{\langle t \rangle}\right) \left(\langle t \rangle^3 + \frac{\langle t \rangle^2 |\rho|}{|k| \langle \xi/k \rangle}\right).$$

Notice that

$$\langle t \rangle^3 + \frac{\langle t \rangle^2 |\rho|}{|k| \langle \xi/k \rangle} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^3 + \frac{\langle t \rangle^2 |\rho|}{\langle \xi \rangle} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^3 \langle \eta \rangle \lesssim \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |\eta|)^2}{\langle \eta \rangle^2} \langle \eta \rangle^3.$$

Then we have

$$\frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \xi)|)}{\langle \xi/k \rangle^2} \lesssim \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |\eta|)^2}{\langle \eta \rangle^2 \langle t - \rho/k \rangle} \left(1 + \frac{k^2}{\langle t \rangle^2}\right)^{1/2} \langle \eta \rangle^3$$

$$(3.29) \quad \lesssim_\delta \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |\eta|)^2}{\langle \eta \rangle^2 \langle t - \rho/k \rangle} \left(1 + \frac{k^2}{\langle t \rangle^2}\right)^{1/2} e^{\delta \langle \eta \rangle^{1/2}}.$$

Then by (3.28), (3.29), $A_k(t, \rho) (1 + k^2/\langle t \rangle^2)^{1/2} \leq A_k^*(t, \rho)$ and (B.33), we deduce (3.18) and (3.19) for Case 2.

Step 2. We estimate $\| [a(V_1 + 1)h]_{\neq} \|_A$ and we will prove that

$$(3.30) \quad \| [a(V_1 + 1)h]_{\neq} \|_A \lesssim_\delta (\mathcal{E}_a(t) + \mathcal{B}_a(t))^{1/2} (\|h_{\neq}\|_A + \|\bar{h}\|_{A_0}) \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1 (\|h_{\neq}\|_A + \|\bar{h}\|_{A_0}).$$

By Step 1, we have

$$\| [a(V_1 + 1)h]_{\neq} \|_A \lesssim_\delta (\mathcal{E}_a(t) + \mathcal{B}_a(t))^{1/2} (\|[(V_1 + 1)h]_{\neq}\|_A + \|(V_1 + 1)\bar{h}\|_{A_0}),$$

By Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3, we get

$$\|[(V_1 + 1)h]_{\neq}\|_A + \|(V_1 + 1)\bar{h}\|_{A_0} \lesssim \|h_{\neq}\|_A + \|\bar{h}\|_{A_0}.$$

Then we finish the proof of (3.30).

Step 3. We estimate $\|\bar{a}\bar{h}\|_{A_0}$ and we will prove that

$$(3.31) \quad \|\bar{a}\bar{h}\|_{A_0} \lesssim_\delta (\mathcal{E}_a(t) + \mathcal{B}_a(t))^{1/2} (\|h_{\neq}\|_A + \|\bar{h}\|_{A_0}) \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1 (\|h_{\neq}\|_A + \|\bar{h}\|_{A_0}).$$

By Lemma B.1 and (A.26), it suffices to prove that

(i) for $k \neq 0$, we have

$$(3.32) \quad A_0(t, \xi) \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |\xi|)^2}{\langle \xi \rangle^2} \lesssim_\delta A_k(t, \eta) \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \eta)|) \langle t - \eta/k \rangle}{\langle \eta/k \rangle^2} A_{-k}^*(t, \rho) \times \{ \langle k, \eta \rangle^{-2} + \langle k, \rho \rangle^{-2} \},$$

and

$$(3.33) \quad |(\dot{A}_0 A_0)(t, \xi)|^{1/2} \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |\xi|)^2}{\langle \xi \rangle^2} \lesssim_\delta [|(\dot{A}_k/A_k)(t, \eta)|^{1/2} + |(\dot{A}_{-k}/A_{-k})(t, \rho)|^{1/2}] \times A_k(t, \eta) \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \eta)|) \langle t - \eta/k \rangle}{\langle \eta/k \rangle^2} A_{-k}^*(t, \rho) \{ \langle k, \eta \rangle^{-2} + \langle k, \rho \rangle^{-2} \}.$$

(ii) for $k = 0$, we have

$$(3.34) \quad A_0(t, \xi) \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |\xi|)^2}{\langle \xi \rangle^2} \lesssim_\delta A_0(t, \eta) \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |\eta|)^2}{\langle \eta \rangle^2} A_0^*(t, \rho) \{ \langle \eta \rangle^{-2} + \langle \rho \rangle^{-2} \},$$

and

$$(3.35) \quad |(\dot{A}_0 A_0)(t, \xi)|^{1/2} \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |\xi|)^2}{\langle \xi \rangle^2} \lesssim_\delta [|(\dot{A}_0/A_0)(t, \eta)|^{1/2} + |(\dot{A}_0/A_0)(t, \rho)|^{1/2}] \times A_0(t, \eta) \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |\eta|)^2}{\langle \eta \rangle^2} A_0^*(t, \rho) \{ \langle \eta \rangle^{-2} + \langle \rho \rangle^{-2} \}.$$

Let us first prove (3.32) and (3.33).

Case 1. $|(k, \rho)| \leq |(k, \eta)|$. By (B.32) with $j = 2$, we have

$$(3.36) \quad A_0(t, \xi) (\langle t \rangle + |\xi|) \lesssim_\delta A_k(t, \eta) \langle t - \eta/k \rangle A_{-k}(t, \rho) e^{-(\lambda(t)/20) \langle k, \rho \rangle^{1/2}},$$

Notice that

$$\frac{\langle t \rangle + |\xi|}{\langle t \rangle + |(k, \eta)|} \frac{\langle \eta/k \rangle^2}{\langle \xi \rangle^2} \lesssim \langle k, \rho \rangle^3,$$

which gives

$$(3.37) \quad \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |\xi|)}{\langle \xi \rangle^2} \lesssim_\delta \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \eta)|)}{\langle \eta/k \rangle^2} e^{\delta \langle k, \rho \rangle^{1/2}}.$$

By (3.36), (3.37), $A_{-k}(t, \rho) \leq A_{-k}^*(t, \rho)$ and (B.33), we deduce (3.32) and (3.33) for Case 1.

Case 2. $|(k, \eta)| \leq |(k, \rho)|$. By (B.32) in Lemma B.13 (taking $j = 2$), we have

$$(3.38) \quad A_0(t, \xi) (\langle t \rangle + |\xi|) \lesssim_\delta A_k(t, \eta) A_{-k}(t, \rho) \langle t + \rho/k \rangle e^{-(\lambda(t)/20) \langle k, \eta \rangle^{1/2}}.$$

A direct calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |\xi|) \langle t + \rho/k \rangle}{\langle \xi \rangle^2} &\lesssim \langle t \rangle^3 / \langle \xi \rangle^2 + \langle t \rangle^2 \langle \rho/k \rangle / \langle \xi \rangle^2 + \langle t \rangle^2 / \langle \xi \rangle + \langle t \rangle \langle \rho/k \rangle / \langle \xi \rangle \\ &\lesssim \langle t \rangle^3 \left(1 + \frac{\langle \rho/k \rangle}{\langle \xi \rangle} \right) \lesssim \langle t \rangle^3 \langle k, \eta \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to $\langle t - \eta/k \rangle \langle \eta/k \rangle \gtrsim \langle t \rangle$, we have

$$\langle t \rangle^3 \lesssim \langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \eta)|) \langle t - \eta/k \rangle \langle \eta/k \rangle \lesssim \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \eta)|) \langle t - \eta/k \rangle}{\langle \eta/k \rangle^2} \langle k, \eta \rangle^3.$$

The above inequalities ensure that

$$\frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |\xi|) \langle t + \rho/k \rangle}{\langle \xi \rangle^2} \lesssim \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \eta)|) \langle t - \eta/k \rangle}{\langle \eta/k \rangle^2} \langle k, \eta \rangle^4,$$

which gives

$$(3.39) \quad \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |\xi|)}{\langle \xi \rangle^2} \lesssim_\delta \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \eta)|) \langle t - \eta/k \rangle}{\langle \eta/k \rangle^2 \langle t + \rho/k \rangle} e^{\delta \langle k, \eta \rangle^{1/2}}.$$

By (3.38), (3.39), $A_{-k}(t, \rho) \leq A_{-k}^*(t, \rho)$ and (B.33), we deduce (3.32) and (3.33) for Case 2.

Next we prove (3.34) and (3.35). By (B.32) with $j = 1$ and $k = l = 0$, we have

$$(3.40) \quad A_0(t, \xi) \lesssim_\delta A_0(t, \eta) A_0(t, \rho) e^{-(\lambda(t)/20) \min(\langle \rho \rangle, \langle \eta \rangle)^{1/2}},$$

and we also have

$$(3.41) \quad \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |\xi|)^2}{\langle \xi \rangle^2} \lesssim_\delta \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |\eta|)^2}{\langle \eta \rangle^2} e^{\delta \min(\langle \rho \rangle, \langle \eta \rangle)^{1/2}}.$$

Then by (3.40), (3.41), $A_0(t, \rho) \leq A_0^*(t, \rho)$ and (B.33), we deduce (3.34) and (3.35).

This finishes the proof of this lemma. \square

3.2. Elliptic estimates. In this subsection, we prove the following elliptic estimates.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $h(t, z, v)$, $H(t, z, v)$, $H_1(t, z, v)$, $H_2(t, z, v)$ satisfy*

$$\partial_z^2 h + (V_1 + 1)^2 (\partial_v - t \partial_z)^2 h + V_2 (\partial_v - t \partial_z) h = H + \partial_z H_1 + (V_1 + 1) (\partial_v - t \partial_z) H_2.$$

There exists $c_1(\delta) > 0$ such that if $\epsilon_1 \leq c_1(\delta)$, then we have

$$\|(\partial_z, \partial_v - t \partial_z) h\|_A \lesssim_\delta \|H\|_B + \|H_{1, \neq}\|_A + \|H_{2, \neq}\|_A.$$

Proof. We rewrite the equation as

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_z^2 h_{\neq} + (\partial_v - t\partial_z)^2 h_{\neq} &= - (V_1^2 + 2V_1)(\partial_v - t\partial_z)^2 h_{\neq} - V_2(\partial_v - t\partial_z)h_{\neq} \\ &\quad + H_{\neq} + \partial_z H_{1,\neq} + (V_1 + 1)(\partial_v - t\partial_z)H_{2,\neq}. \end{aligned}$$

By the definitions of $\|\cdot\|_A$ and $\|\cdot\|_B$, we have

$$\|\partial_z^2 h_{\neq} + (\partial_v - t\partial_z)^2 h_{\neq}\|_B \approx \|(\partial_z, \partial_v - t\partial_z)h_{\neq}\|_A.$$

Then we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\partial_z, \partial_v - t\partial_z)h_{\neq}\|_A &\lesssim_\delta \|(V_1^2 + 2V_1)(\partial_v - t\partial_z)^2 h_{\neq}\|_B + \|V_2(\partial_v - t\partial_z)h_{\neq}\|_B \\ &\quad + \|H_{\neq}\|_B + \|\partial_z H_{1,\neq}\|_B + \|(V_1 + 1)(\partial_v - t\partial_z)H_{2,\neq}\|_B. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(V_1^2 + 2V_1)(\partial_v - t\partial_z)^2 h_{\neq}\|_B &\lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1 \|(\partial_v - t\partial_z)^2 h_{\neq}\|_B \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1 \|(\partial_v - t\partial_z)h_{\neq}\|_A, \\ \|V_2(\partial_v - t\partial_z)h_{\neq}\|_B &\lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1 \|(\partial_v - t\partial_z)h_{\neq}\|_A, \\ \|(V_1 + 1)(\partial_v - t\partial_z)H_{2,\neq}\|_B &\lesssim_\delta (1 + \epsilon_1) \|(\partial_v - t\partial_z)H_{2,\neq}\|_B \lesssim_\delta \|H_{2,\neq}\|_A. \end{aligned}$$

Then by taking $\epsilon_1 > 0$ sufficiently small (dependent on δ), we obtain

$$\|(\partial_z, \partial_v - t\partial_z)h_{\neq}\|_A \lesssim_\delta \|H_{\neq}\|_B + \|\partial_z H_{1,\neq}\|_B + \|H_{2,\neq}\|_A.$$

Thanks to $\|\partial_z H_{1,\neq}\|_B \leq \|H_{1,\neq}\|_A$, we finish the proof of this lemma. \square

3.3. Estimates for nonlinear term q . Recall that $q = 2\partial_z^2 \phi(1 - f) + 2(\partial_z^2 \phi)^2 + 2[(V_1 + 1)(\partial_v - t\partial_z)\partial_z \phi]^2$. Let

$$(3.42) \quad Q_1 = -\partial_z^2 \phi f, \quad Q_2 = (\partial_z^2 \phi)^2, \quad Q_3 = [(V_1 + 1)(\partial_v - t\partial_z)\partial_z \phi]^2.$$

Lemma 3.6. *It holds that for $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$,*

$$(3.43) \quad \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_k(t, \xi)^2 \frac{\langle t \rangle^2 (\langle t \rangle^2 + |(k, \xi)|^2)}{k^2 \langle \xi/k \rangle^4} |\widetilde{Q}_j(t, k, \xi)|^2 d\xi \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^4,$$

and

$$(3.44) \quad \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \int_1^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\dot{A}_k A_k)(s, \xi)| \frac{\langle s \rangle^2 (\langle s \rangle^2 + |(k, \xi)|^2)}{k^2 \langle \xi/k \rangle^4} |\widetilde{Q}_j(s, k, \xi)|^2 d\xi ds \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^4.$$

Proof. Let $(\sigma, \rho) = (k - l, \xi - \eta)$.

Step 1. Let us claim that for $k, l \neq 0$,

$$(3.45) \quad A_k(t, \xi) \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \xi)|)}{|k| \langle \xi/k \rangle^2} \lesssim_\delta A_l(t, \eta) A_\sigma(t, \rho) \frac{|l| \langle t \rangle \langle t - \eta/l \rangle^2}{|\eta| + |l| \langle t \rangle} \times \{ \langle l, \eta \rangle^{-2} + \langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{-2} \},$$

and

$$(3.46) \quad \begin{aligned} |(\dot{A}_k A_k)(t, \xi)|^{1/2} \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \xi)|)}{|k| \langle \xi/k \rangle^2} &\lesssim_\delta [|(\dot{A}_l/A_l)(t, \eta)|^{1/2} + |(\dot{A}_\sigma/A_\sigma)(t, \rho)|^{1/2}] \\ &\quad \times A_l(t, \eta) A_\sigma(t, \rho) \frac{|l| \langle t \rangle \langle t - \eta/l \rangle^2}{|\eta| + |l| \langle t \rangle} \{ \langle l, \eta \rangle^{-2} + \langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{-2} \}. \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to

$$\langle t \rangle + |(k, \xi)| \lesssim |k| \langle \xi/k \rangle \langle t - \xi/k \rangle, \quad \langle t \rangle + |\eta/l| \lesssim \langle t - \eta/l \rangle \langle \eta/l \rangle,$$

we have

$$(3.47) \quad \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \xi)|)}{|k| \langle \xi/k \rangle^2 \langle t - \xi/k \rangle} \frac{\langle t \rangle + |\eta/l|}{\langle t \rangle \langle t - \eta/l \rangle^2} \lesssim \frac{\langle \eta/l \rangle}{\langle \xi/k \rangle \langle t - \eta/l \rangle},$$

which implies

$$\frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \xi)|)}{|k| \langle \xi/k \rangle^2 \langle t - \xi/k \rangle} \frac{\langle t \rangle + |\eta/l|}{\langle t \rangle \langle t - \eta/l \rangle^2} \lesssim \frac{\langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^2}{\langle t - \eta/l \rangle}.$$

For $\sigma \neq 0$, we have

$$\frac{\langle \eta/l \rangle \langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle}{\langle \xi/k \rangle \langle t - \eta/l \rangle} \lesssim \frac{\langle \eta/l \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |\rho/\sigma|)}{\langle \xi/k \rangle \langle t - \eta/l \rangle} \lesssim \frac{\langle \eta/l \rangle \langle t \rangle}{\langle t - \eta/l \rangle} + \frac{\langle \eta/l \rangle \langle \rho/\sigma \rangle}{\langle \xi/k \rangle} \lesssim \langle l, \eta \rangle^2.$$

Thus, we conclude that for $\sigma \neq 0$,

$$(3.48) \quad \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \xi)|)}{|k| \langle \xi/k \rangle^2 \langle t - \xi/k \rangle} \frac{\langle t \rangle + |\eta/l|}{\langle t \rangle \langle t - \eta/l \rangle^2} \lesssim_{\delta} \min \left(\frac{e^{\delta \langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{1/2}}}{\langle t - \eta/l \rangle}, \frac{e^{\delta \langle l, \eta \rangle^{1/2}}}{\langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle} \right).$$

Then for $\sigma \neq 0$, (3.45) follows from (3.48) and (B.32) with $j = 2$; (3.46) follows from (3.48), (B.32) with $j = 2$ and (B.33).

For $\sigma = 0$, we have

$$\frac{\langle \eta/l \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |\rho|)}{\langle \xi/k \rangle \langle t - \eta/l \rangle} \lesssim \frac{\langle \eta/l \rangle \langle t \rangle}{\langle t - \eta/l \rangle} + \frac{\langle \eta/l \rangle |\rho|}{\langle \xi/k \rangle} \lesssim \langle l, \eta \rangle^2.$$

Thus, we conclude that for $\sigma = 0$,

$$(3.49) \quad \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \xi)|)}{|k| \langle \xi/k \rangle^2 \langle t - \xi/k \rangle} \frac{\langle t \rangle + |\eta/l|}{\langle t \rangle \langle t - \eta/l \rangle^2} \lesssim_{\delta} \min \left(\frac{e^{\delta \langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{1/2}}}{\langle t - \eta/l \rangle}, \frac{e^{\delta \langle l, \eta \rangle^{1/2}}}{\langle t \rangle + |\rho|} \right).$$

Then for $\sigma = 0$, (3.45) follows from (3.49) and (B.32) with $j = 2$; (3.46) follows from (3.49), (B.32) with $j = 2$ and (B.33).

Step 2. Estimate for Q_1 .

By Lemma B.1, the bootstrap assumption for Θ and f , $[\partial_z^2 + (\partial_v - t\partial_z)^2]\phi = \Theta$, the estimate for Q_1 follows from (3.45) and (3.46).

Step 3. Estimate for Q_2 .

Thanks to

$$\frac{\langle t \rangle \langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2}{\langle t \rangle + |\rho/\sigma|} \gtrsim \frac{\langle t \rangle \langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle}{\langle t \rangle + |\rho/\sigma|} \gtrsim 1,$$

we get by (3.45) and (3.46) that for $k, l, \sigma \neq 0$

$$A_k(t, \xi) \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \xi)|)}{|k| \langle \xi/k \rangle^2} \lesssim_{\delta} A_l(t, \eta) \frac{|l| \langle t \rangle \langle t - \eta/l \rangle^2}{|\eta| + |l| \langle t \rangle} A_{\sigma}(t, \rho) \frac{|\sigma| \langle t \rangle \langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2}{|\rho| + |\sigma| \langle t \rangle} \times \{ \langle l, \eta \rangle^{-2} + \langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{-2} \},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |(\dot{A}_k A_k)(t, \xi)|^{1/2} \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \xi)|)}{|k| \langle \xi/k \rangle^2} &\lesssim_{\delta} [|(\dot{A}_l/A_l)(t, \eta)|^{1/2} + |(\dot{A}_{\sigma}/A_{\sigma})(t, \rho)|^{1/2}] \\ &\times A_l(t, \eta) \frac{|l| \langle t \rangle \langle t - \eta/l \rangle^2}{|\eta| + |l| \langle t \rangle} A_{\sigma}(t, \rho) \frac{|\sigma| \langle t \rangle \langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2}{|\rho| + |\sigma| \langle t \rangle} \{ \langle l, \eta \rangle^{-2} + \langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{-2} \}. \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to Lemma B.1 and the bootstrap assumption for Θ , we deduce (3.43) and (3.44) for Q_2 .

Step 4. Estimate for Q_3 .

We denote

$$Q_3^{(0)} = (\partial_v - t\partial_z)\partial_z\phi, \quad Q_3^{(1)} = V_1(\partial_v - t\partial_z)\partial_z\phi, \quad Q_3^{(2)} := (V_1 + 1)(\partial_v - t\partial_z)\partial_z\phi.$$

We claim that for $j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$,

$$(3.50) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_k(t, \xi)^2 \frac{|k|^2 \langle t \rangle^2 \langle t - \xi/k \rangle^2}{|\xi|^2 + |k|^2 \langle t \rangle^2} |\widetilde{Q_3^{(j)}}(t, k, \xi)|^2 d\xi \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^2, \\ & \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \int_1^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\dot{A}_k A_k)(s, \xi)| \frac{|k|^2 \langle s \rangle^2 \langle s - \xi/k \rangle^2}{|\xi|^2 + |k|^2 \langle s \rangle^2} |\widetilde{Q_3^{(j)}}(s, k, \xi)|^2 d\xi ds \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^2. \end{aligned}$$

Due to $\Theta = [\partial_z^2 + (\partial_v - t\partial_z)^2]\phi$, (3.50) holds for $j = 0$. In view of Lemma B.3 and the fact

$$\frac{|k| \langle t \rangle \langle t - \xi/k \rangle}{|\xi| + |k| \langle t \rangle} \lesssim_\delta \frac{|k| \langle t \rangle \langle t - \eta/k \rangle}{|\eta| + |k| \langle t \rangle} e^{\delta \min(\langle \xi - \eta \rangle, \langle k, \eta \rangle)^{1/2}},$$

we have

$$(3.51) \quad A_k(t, \xi) \frac{|k| \langle t \rangle \langle t - \xi/k \rangle}{|\xi| + |k| \langle t \rangle} \lesssim_\delta A_R(t, \xi - \eta) \frac{|k| \langle t \rangle \langle t - \eta/k \rangle}{|\eta| + |k| \langle t \rangle} A_k(t, \eta) \{ \langle k, \eta \rangle^{-2} + \langle \xi - \eta \rangle^{-2} \},$$

and

$$(3.52) \quad \begin{aligned} & |(\dot{A}_k A_k)(t, \xi)|^{1/2} \frac{|k| \langle t \rangle \langle t - \xi/k \rangle}{|\xi| + |k| \langle t \rangle} \lesssim_\delta \left[|(\dot{A}_R/A_R)(t, \xi - \eta)|^{1/2} + |(\dot{A}_k/A_k)(t, \eta)|^{1/2} \right] \\ & \quad \times A_R(t, \xi - \eta) \cdot A_k(t, \eta) \frac{|k| \langle t \rangle \langle t - \eta/k \rangle}{|\eta| + |k| \langle t \rangle} \cdot \{ \langle \xi - \eta \rangle^{-2} + \langle k, \eta \rangle^{-2} \}. \end{aligned}$$

Then by (ii) of Lemma B.1, (3.51), (3.52), (2.23) and (3.50)($j = 0$), we prove (3.50) for $j = 1$. Thanks to $Q_3^{(2)} = Q_3^{(0)} + Q_3^{(1)}$, (3.50) holds for $j = 2$.

In view of Lemma B.1 again, thanks to $Q_3 = Q_3^{(2)} \times Q_3^{(2)}$ and (3.50), it suffices to prove that for $k, l, \sigma \neq 0$,

$$(3.53) \quad A_k(t, \xi) \frac{\langle t \rangle \langle t \rangle + |(k, \xi)|}{|k| \langle \xi/k \rangle^2} \lesssim_\delta A_l(t, \eta) A_\sigma(t, \rho) \frac{|l| \langle t \rangle \langle t - \eta/l \rangle}{|\eta| + |l| \langle t \rangle} \frac{|\sigma| \langle t \rangle \langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle}{|\rho| + |\sigma| \langle t \rangle} \times \{ \langle l, \eta \rangle^{-2} + \langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{-2} \},$$

and

$$(3.54) \quad \begin{aligned} & |(\dot{A}_k A_k)(t, \xi)|^{1/2} \frac{\langle t \rangle \langle t \rangle + |(k, \xi)|}{|k| \langle \xi/k \rangle^2} \lesssim_\delta \left[|(\dot{A}_l/A_l)(t, \eta)|^{1/2} + |(\dot{A}_\sigma/A_\sigma)(t, \rho)|^{1/2} \right] \\ & \quad \times A_l(t, \eta) A_\sigma(t, \rho) \frac{|l| \langle t \rangle \langle t - \eta/l \rangle}{|\eta| + |l| \langle t \rangle} \frac{|\sigma| \langle t \rangle \langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle}{|\rho| + |\sigma| \langle t \rangle} \{ \langle l, \eta \rangle^{-2} + \langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{-2} \}, \end{aligned}$$

By symmetry, we only consider the case $|(\sigma, \rho)| \leq |(l, \eta)|$. By applying (B.32) with $j = 2$ and (B.33), it suffices to prove that

$$(3.55) \quad \frac{\langle t \rangle \langle t \rangle + |(k, \xi)|}{|k| \langle \xi/k \rangle^2} \frac{|\eta/l| + \langle t \rangle}{\langle t \rangle \langle t - \eta/l \rangle} \frac{|\rho/\sigma| + \langle t \rangle}{\langle t \rangle \langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle} \frac{\langle t - \eta/l \rangle}{\langle t - \xi/k \rangle} \lesssim \langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^3.$$

First of all, we have

$$\frac{\langle t \rangle \langle t \rangle + |(k, \xi)|}{|k| \langle \xi/k \rangle^2} \frac{|\eta/l| + \langle t \rangle}{\langle t \rangle \langle t - \eta/l \rangle} \frac{|\rho/\sigma| + \langle t \rangle}{\langle t \rangle \langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle} \frac{\langle t - \eta/l \rangle}{\langle t - \xi/k \rangle}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{\langle t \rangle + |(k, \xi)|}{|k| \langle \xi/k \rangle^2} \frac{|\eta/l| + \langle t \rangle}{\langle t - \xi/k \rangle \langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle} \frac{|\rho/\sigma| + \langle t \rangle}{\langle t \rangle} \\
&\lesssim \frac{\langle t \rangle + |(k, \xi)|}{|k| \langle \xi/k \rangle^2} \frac{|\eta/l| + \langle t \rangle}{\langle t - \xi/k \rangle \langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle} \langle \sigma, \rho \rangle \\
(3.56) \quad &\lesssim \langle \sigma, \rho \rangle \left(\frac{|\eta/l| + \langle t \rangle}{\langle \xi/k \rangle \langle t - \xi/k \rangle} + \frac{\langle t \rangle |\eta/l|}{|k| \langle \xi/k \rangle^2 \langle t - \xi/k \rangle} + \frac{\langle t \rangle^2}{|k| \langle \xi/k \rangle^2 \langle t - \xi/k \rangle \langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$(3.57) \quad \frac{|\eta/l| + \langle t \rangle}{\langle \xi/k \rangle \langle t - \xi/k \rangle} \lesssim \frac{|\eta/l|}{\langle \xi/k \rangle} + \frac{\langle t \rangle}{\langle \xi/k \rangle \langle t - \xi/k \rangle} \lesssim \langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^2,$$

$$(3.58) \quad \frac{\langle t \rangle |\eta/l|}{|k| \langle \xi/k \rangle^2 \langle t - \xi/k \rangle} = \frac{|\eta/l|}{|k| \langle \xi/k \rangle} \frac{\langle t \rangle}{\langle \xi/k \rangle \langle t - \xi/k \rangle} \lesssim \langle \sigma, \rho \rangle.$$

For $\frac{\langle t \rangle^2}{|k| \langle \xi/k \rangle^2 \langle t - \xi/k \rangle \langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle}$, we estimate it by considering three cases:

Case 1. $|t - \rho/\sigma| \leq |\rho/(10\sigma)|$. In this case, we have $t \approx \rho/\sigma$ and then

$$\frac{\langle t \rangle^2}{|k| \langle \xi/k \rangle^2 \langle t - \xi/k \rangle \langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^2 \lesssim \langle \rho/\sigma \rangle^2 \lesssim \langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^2.$$

Case 2. $|t - \xi/k| \leq |\xi/(10k)|$. In this case, we have $t \approx \xi/k$ and then

$$\frac{\langle t \rangle^2}{|k| \langle \xi/k \rangle^2 \langle t - \xi/k \rangle \langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle} \lesssim \frac{\langle t \rangle^2}{|k| \langle \xi/k \rangle^2} \lesssim 1.$$

Case 3. $|t - \rho/\sigma| \geq |\rho/(10\sigma)|$ and $|t - \xi/k| \geq |\xi/(10k)|$. In this case, we have $|t - \rho/\sigma| \geq t/11$ and $|t - \xi/k| \geq t/11$ and then

$$\frac{\langle t \rangle^2}{|k| \langle \xi/k \rangle^2 \langle t - \xi/k \rangle \langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle} \lesssim \frac{\langle t \rangle^2}{\langle t - \xi/k \rangle \langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle} \lesssim 1.$$

Thus, we arrive at

$$(3.59) \quad \frac{\langle t \rangle^2}{|k| \langle \xi/k \rangle^2 \langle t - \xi/k \rangle \langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle} \lesssim \langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^2.$$

Then (3.55) follows from (3.56), (3.57), (3.58) and (3.59). \square

3.4. Proof of Proposition 3.1. We first estimate the zero mode. Recall that

$$\partial_t u + y \partial_x u + u \cdot \nabla u + \begin{pmatrix} u^2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + (1 + \tilde{a}) \nabla \Pi = 0,$$

which implies

$$\overline{u \cdot \nabla u^2} + \partial_y \overline{\Pi} + \tilde{a} \partial_y \overline{\Pi} = 0.$$

Thank to $u = (-\partial_y \varphi, \partial_x \varphi)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
u \cdot \nabla u^2 &= -\partial_y \varphi \partial_x^2 \varphi + \partial_x \varphi \partial_x \partial_y \varphi = -\partial_x (\partial_y \varphi \partial_x \varphi) + 2 \partial_x \varphi \partial_x \partial_y \varphi \\
&= -\partial_x (\partial_y \varphi \partial_x \varphi) + \partial_y ((\partial_x \varphi)^2),
\end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$(3.60) \quad \partial_y \overline{[(\partial_x \varphi)^2 + \Pi]} + \tilde{a} \partial_y \overline{\Pi} = 0.$$

In terms of (t, z, v) variable, we may write

$$(3.61) \quad \partial_v \overline{[(\partial_z \phi)^2 + P]} + \overline{a(\partial_v - t\partial_z)P} = 0.$$

Lemma 3.7. *It holds that*

$$\|\partial_v \overline{(\partial_z \phi)^2}\|_{A_0} \lesssim_\delta \mathcal{E}_\Theta(t) + \mathcal{B}_\Theta(t) \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^2.$$

Proof. Let $\rho = \xi - \eta$. In view of Lemma B.1, it suffices to prove that ($l \neq 0$)

$$(3.62) \quad A_0(t, \xi) \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle^2 + |\xi|^2) |\xi|}{\langle \xi \rangle^2} \lesssim_\delta A_{-l}(t, \rho) \frac{|l|^2 \langle t \rangle \langle t + \rho/l \rangle^2}{|\rho| + |l| \langle t \rangle} A_l(t, \eta) \frac{|l|^2 \langle t \rangle \langle t - \eta/l \rangle^2}{|\eta| + |l| \langle t \rangle} \\ \times \{ \langle l, \rho \rangle^{-2} + \langle l, \eta \rangle^{-2} \}.$$

and

$$(3.63) \quad |(\dot{A}_0 A_0)(t, \xi)|^{1/2} \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle^2 + |\xi|^2) |\xi|}{\langle \xi \rangle^2} \lesssim_\delta [|(\dot{A}_{-l}/A_{-l})(t, \rho)|^{1/2} + |(\dot{A}_l/A_l)(t, \eta)|^{1/2}] \\ \times A_{-l}(t, \rho) \frac{|l|^2 \langle t \rangle \langle t + \rho/l \rangle^2}{|\rho| + |l| \langle t \rangle} A_l(t, \eta) \frac{|l|^2 \langle t \rangle \langle t - \eta/l \rangle^2}{|\eta| + |l| \langle t \rangle} \{ \langle l, \rho \rangle^{-2} + \langle l, \eta \rangle^{-2} \}.$$

By the symmetry, we only need to consider the case of $|(-l, \rho)| \leq |(l, \eta)|$. By Lemma B.13, we have

$$(3.64) \quad A_0^{(2)}(t, \xi) \lesssim_\delta A_{-l}(t, \rho) A_l^{(2)}(t, \eta) e^{-\langle \lambda(t)/20 \rangle \langle l, \rho \rangle^{1/2}}, \\ \Leftrightarrow A_0(t, \xi) (\langle t \rangle + |\xi|) \lesssim_\delta A_{-l}(t, \rho) A_l(t, \eta) \langle t - \eta/l \rangle e^{-\langle \lambda(t)/20 \rangle \langle l, \rho \rangle^{1/2}}.$$

Notice that

$$\langle t \rangle \langle t + \rho/l \rangle^2 \langle \rho/l \rangle^2 \gtrsim (\langle t \rangle + \langle \rho/l \rangle)^3 \gtrsim (\langle t \rangle + \langle \rho/l \rangle)^2 (|\rho| + |l| \langle t \rangle) / |l|,$$

and $\langle t \rangle \langle t - \eta/l \rangle \gtrsim (|l| \langle t \rangle + \langle \eta \rangle) / |l|$. Then we infer that

$$(3.65) \quad \frac{|l|^2 \langle t \rangle \langle t + \rho/l \rangle^2}{|\rho| + |l| \langle t \rangle} \langle \rho/l \rangle^2 \gtrsim |l| (\langle t \rangle + \langle \rho/l \rangle)^2 \gtrsim \langle t \rangle^2, \\ \frac{|l|^2 \langle t \rangle \langle t - \eta/l \rangle}{|\eta| + |l| \langle t \rangle} \gtrsim |l| \gtrsim 1, \\ \Rightarrow \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |\xi|) |\xi|}{\langle \xi \rangle^2} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^2 \lesssim \frac{|l|^2 \langle t \rangle \langle t + \rho/l \rangle^2}{|\rho| + |l| \langle t \rangle} \frac{|l|^2 \langle t \rangle \langle t - \eta/l \rangle}{|\eta| + |l| \langle t \rangle} \times \langle \rho/l \rangle^2.$$

Then the bounds (3.62) and (3.63) follow from (B.33) with $k = 0$, (3.64) and (3.65). \square

Lemma 3.8. *It holds that*

$$\|\partial_v \overline{P}\|_{A_0} \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^2.$$

Proof. Using (3.61), we get by (3.14) and Lemma 3.7 that

$$\|\partial_v \overline{P}\|_{A_0} \lesssim \|\partial_v \overline{(\partial_z \phi)^2}\|_{A_0} + \|\overline{a(\partial_v - t\partial_z)P}\|_{A_0} \\ \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^2 + \epsilon_1 (\|(\partial_v - t\partial_z)P_\neq\|_A + \|\overline{(\partial_v - t\partial_z)P}\|_{A_0}) \\ \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^2 + \epsilon_1 (\|(\partial_v - t\partial_z)P_\neq\|_A + \|\partial_v \overline{P}\|_{A_0}).$$

This along with (2.11) and (2.19) gives

$$(3.66) \quad \|\partial_v \overline{P}\|_{A_0} \lesssim \epsilon_1^2 + \epsilon_1 (\mathcal{E}_P(t) + \mathcal{B}_P(t))^{1/2}.$$

Then the lemma follows from the bootstrap assumption. \square

Proof of Proposition 3.1. Recall that by (2.8)

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_z^2 P + (V_1 + 1)^2 (\partial_v - t\partial_z)^2 P + V_2 (\partial_v - t\partial_z) P &= -\partial_z (a\partial_z P) \\ &\quad - (V_1 + 1) (\partial_v - t\partial_z) (a(V_1 + 1) (\partial_v - t\partial_z) P) - q. \end{aligned}$$

Then by Lemma 3.2, Lemma 3.4, and Lemma 3.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\partial_z, \partial_v - t\partial_z) P_{\neq}\|_A &\lesssim_\delta \| [a\partial_z P]_{\neq} \|_A + \| [a(V_1 + 1) (\partial_v - t\partial_z) P]_{\neq} \|_A + \| q_{\neq} \|_B \\ &\lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1 (\| \partial_z P_{\neq} \|_A + \| (\partial_v - t\partial_z) P_{\neq} \|_A) + \epsilon_1 \| \partial_v \bar{P} \|_{A_0} + \| q_{\neq} \|_B. \end{aligned}$$

Then by taking $\epsilon_1 > 0$ sufficiently small, we get by Lemma 3.8 that

$$\|(\partial_z, \partial_v - t\partial_z) P_{\neq}\|_A \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1 \| \partial_v \bar{P} \|_{A_0} + \| q_{\neq} \|_B \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^2 + \| q_{\neq} \|_B.$$

Then by Lemma 3.6 and the fact that $q = 2(\partial_z^2 \phi + Q_1 + Q_2 + Q_3)$, we have

$$(3.67) \quad \|(\partial_z, \partial_v - t\partial_z) P_{\neq}\|_A \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^2 + \| \partial_z^2 \phi_{\neq} \|_B + \sum_{j=1,2,3} \| Q_{j,\neq} \|_B \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^2 + \| \partial_z^2 \phi_{\neq} \|_B.$$

By (3.47) with $(k, \xi) = (l, \eta)$, we have

$$(3.68) \quad \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \xi)|)}{|k| \langle \xi/k \rangle^2 \langle t - \xi/k \rangle^2} \lesssim \frac{\langle t \rangle}{|\xi/k| + \langle t \rangle} = \frac{|k| \langle t \rangle}{|\xi| + |k| \langle t \rangle}.$$

By the definition of norm $\| \cdot \|_B$ again and $(\partial_z^2 + (\partial_v - t\partial_z)^2) \phi = \Theta$, we get by (3.68) that

$$\begin{aligned} \| \partial_z^2 \phi_{\neq} \|_B^2 &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_k(t, \xi)^2 \frac{k^2 \langle t \rangle^2 (\langle t \rangle^2 + |(k, \xi)|^2)}{\langle \xi/k \rangle^4} | \tilde{\phi}(t, k, \xi) |^2 d\xi \\ &\quad + \int_1^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} | \dot{A}_k(s, \xi) | A_k(s, \xi) \frac{k^2 \langle s \rangle^2 (\langle s \rangle^2 + |(k, \xi)|^2)}{\langle \xi/k \rangle^4} | \tilde{\phi}(s, k, \xi) |^2 d\xi ds \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_k(t, \xi)^2 \frac{\langle t \rangle^2 (\langle t \rangle^2 + |(k, \xi)|^2)}{k^2 \langle \xi/k \rangle^4 \langle t - \xi/k \rangle^4} | \tilde{\Theta}(t, k, \xi) |^2 d\xi \\ &\quad + \int_1^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} | \dot{A}_k(s, \xi) | A_k(s, \xi) \frac{\langle s \rangle^2 (\langle s \rangle^2 + |(k, \xi)|^2)}{k^2 \langle \xi/k \rangle^4 \langle s - \xi/k \rangle^4} | \tilde{\Theta}(s, k, \xi) |^2 d\xi ds \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_k(t, \xi)^2 \frac{|k|^2 \langle t \rangle^2}{|\xi|^2 + |k|^2 \langle t \rangle^2} | \tilde{\Theta}(t, k, \xi) |^2 d\xi \\ &\quad + \int_1^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} | \dot{A}_k(s, \xi) | A_k(s, \xi) \frac{|k|^2 \langle s \rangle^2}{|\xi|^2 + |k|^2 \langle s \rangle^2} | \tilde{\Theta}(s, k, \xi) |^2 d\xi ds \\ &= \mathcal{E}_\Theta(t) + \mathcal{B}_\Theta(t). \end{aligned}$$

This along with (3.67) and Lemma 3.8 gives

$$\| \partial_v \bar{P} \|_{A_0} + \| (\partial_z, \partial_v - t\partial_z) P_{\neq} \|_A \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^2 + (\mathcal{E}_\Theta(t) + \mathcal{B}_\Theta(t))^{1/2}.$$

Thanks to $\| \partial_v \bar{P} \|_{A_0}^2 + \| (\partial_z, \partial_v - t\partial_z) P_{\neq} \|_A^2 = \mathcal{E}_P(t) + \mathcal{B}_P(t)$, we deduce by taking $\epsilon_1 > 0$ sufficiently small (depends on δ) that

$$\mathcal{E}_P(t) + \mathcal{B}_P(t) \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^4 + \mathcal{E}_\Theta(t) + \mathcal{B}_\Theta(t).$$

This finishes the proof of Proposition 3.1. \square

4. IMPROVED CONTROL OF THE VORTICITY

In this section, we prove an improved control for the vorticity part f under the bootstrap assumptions in Proposition 2.1.

Proposition 4.1. *With the definitions and assumptions in Proposition 2.1, we have*

$$\mathcal{E}_f(t) + \mathcal{B}_f(t) \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^3 \quad \text{for any } t \in [1, T].$$

It is easy to find that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}_f(t) &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} 2\dot{A}_k(t, \xi) A_k(t, \xi) |\tilde{f}(t, k, \xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &\quad + 2\operatorname{Re} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_k(t, \xi)^2 \partial_t \tilde{f}(t, k, \xi) \overline{\tilde{f}(t, k, \xi)} d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Then we get by $\partial_t A_k \leq 0$ that for any $t \in [1, T]$, we have

$$(4.1) \quad \mathcal{E}_f(t) + 2\mathcal{B}_f(t) = \mathcal{E}_f(1) + 2\operatorname{Re} \int_1^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_k(s, \xi)^2 \partial_s \tilde{f}(s, k, \xi) \overline{\tilde{f}(s, k, \xi)} d\xi ds.$$

Thus, it suffices to prove that

$$(4.2) \quad \left| 2\operatorname{Re} \int_1^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_k(s, \xi)^2 \partial_s \tilde{f}(s, k, \xi) \overline{\tilde{f}(s, k, \xi)} d\xi ds \right| \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^3.$$

Recall that $\partial_t f + V_3 \partial_v f = (1 + V_1) \{\mathbb{P}_{\neq 0} \phi, f\} - (1 + V_1) \{P, a\}$, which gives

$$\partial_s f = \mathcal{N}_1 + \mathcal{N}_2 + \mathcal{N}_3 + \mathcal{N}_4 + \mathcal{N}_5,$$

where

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_1 &= (V_1 + 1) \partial_v \mathbb{P}_{\neq 0} \phi \partial_z f, & \mathcal{N}_2 &= -(1 + V_1) \partial_z \mathbb{P}_{\neq 0} \phi \partial_v f, & \mathcal{N}_3 &= -V_3 \partial_v f, \\ \mathcal{N}_4 &= (V_1 + 1) \partial_v P \partial_z a, & \mathcal{N}_5 &= -(1 + V_1) \partial_z P \partial_v a. \end{aligned}$$

Then the bound (4.2) follows from the following Lemma 4.2, Lemma 4.3, Lemma 4.4, Lemma 4.5 and Lemma 4.7.

4.1. Nonlinear estimate for $\mathcal{N}_1 - \mathcal{N}_3$. The following two lemmas have been proved in [9].

Lemma 4.2. *It holds that for any $t \in [1, T]$, we have*

$$\left| 2\operatorname{Re} \int_1^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_k(s, \xi)^2 \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_1(s, k, \xi) \overline{\tilde{f}(s, k, \xi)} d\xi ds \right| \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^3.$$

Lemma 4.3. *It holds that for any $t \in [1, T]$, we have*

$$\left| 2\operatorname{Re} \int_1^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_k(s, \xi)^2 \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_2(s, k, \xi) \overline{\tilde{f}(s, k, \xi)} d\xi ds \right| \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^3.$$

For \mathcal{N}_3 , we need to give a proof since V_3 has no compact support.

Lemma 4.4. *It holds that for any $t \in [1, T]$, we have*

$$(4.4) \quad \left| 2\operatorname{Re} \int_1^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_k(s, \xi)^2 \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_3(s, k, \xi) \overline{\tilde{f}(s, k, \xi)} d\xi ds \right| \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^3.$$

Proof. We write

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| 2\mathbf{Re} \int_1^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_k(s, \xi)^2 \widetilde{N}_3(s, k, \xi) \overline{\widetilde{f}(s, k, \xi)} d\xi ds \right| \\
&= \left| 2\mathbf{Re} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_1^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} A_k(s, \xi)^2 \widetilde{V}_3(s, \xi - \eta) i\eta \widetilde{f}(s, k, \eta) \overline{\widetilde{f}(s, k, \xi)} d\xi d\eta ds \right| \\
&= \left| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_1^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} [\eta A_k(s, \xi)^2 - \xi A_k(s, \eta)^2] \widetilde{V}_3(s, \xi - \eta) \widetilde{f}(s, k, \eta) \overline{\widetilde{f}(s, k, \xi)} d\xi d\eta ds \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

For $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, we define

$$(4.5) \quad \Sigma_i = \{((k, \xi), (l, \eta)) \in R_i : k = l\},$$

where R_i are defined in (4.17)-(4.20). Then we denote that for $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{W}_i &= \int_1^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbf{1}_{|\rho| \geq 1} \mathbf{1}_{\Sigma_i}((k, \xi), (k, \eta)) |\eta A_k(s, \xi)^2 - \xi A_k(s, \eta)^2| |\widetilde{V}_3(s, \xi - \eta)| \\
&\quad \times |\widetilde{f}(s, k, \eta)| |\widetilde{f}(s, k, \xi)| d\xi d\eta ds,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.6) \quad \mathcal{W}_4 &= \int_1^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbf{1}_{|\rho| \leq 1} |\eta A_k(s, \xi)^2 - \xi A_k(s, \eta)^2| |\widetilde{V}_3(s, \xi - \eta)| \\
&\quad \times |\widetilde{f}(s, k, \eta)| |\widetilde{f}(s, k, \xi)| d\xi d\eta ds.
\end{aligned}$$

For \mathcal{W}_i , $i \in \{0, 1\}$, we get by (i) of Lemma B.6 and (2.25) that ($\rho = \xi - \eta$)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{W}_i &\lesssim_\delta \int_1^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbf{1}_{|\rho| \geq 1} [\langle \rho \rangle \langle s \rangle + \langle \rho \rangle^{1/4} \langle s \rangle^{7/4}] A_{NR}(s, \rho) e^{-(\delta_0/200)\langle \rho \rangle^{1/2}} |\widetilde{V}_3(s, \rho)| \\
&\quad \times \sqrt{|(A_k \dot{A}_k)(s, \eta)|} |\widetilde{f}(s, k, \eta)| \sqrt{|(A_k \dot{A}_k)(s, \xi)|} |\widetilde{f}(s, k, \xi)| d\xi d\eta ds \\
&\lesssim_\delta \left\| [\langle s \rangle + \langle \rho \rangle^{-3/4} \langle s \rangle^{7/4}] |\rho| A_{NR}(s, \rho) e^{-(\delta_0/300)\langle \rho \rangle^{1/2}} \widetilde{V}_3(s, \rho) \right\|_{L_s^\infty L_\rho^2} \\
&\quad \times \left\| \sqrt{|(A_k \dot{A}_k)(s, \eta)|} |\widetilde{f}(s, k, \eta)| \right\|_{L_s^2 L_{k, \eta}^2} \cdot \left\| \sqrt{|(A_k \dot{A}_k)(s, \xi)|} |\widetilde{f}(s, k, \xi)| \right\|_{L_s^2 L_{k, \xi}^2} \\
&\lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^3.
\end{aligned}$$

For \mathcal{W}_2 , we get by (ii) of Lemma B.6 and (2.25) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{W}_2 &\lesssim_\delta \int_1^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbf{1}_{|\rho| \geq 1} [\langle \rho \rangle \langle s \rangle + \langle \rho \rangle^{1/4} \langle s \rangle^{7/4}] \sqrt{|(A_{NR} \dot{A}_{NR})(s, \rho)|} |\widetilde{V}_3(s, \rho)| \\
&\quad \times A_k(s, \eta) e^{-(\delta_0/200)\langle k, \eta \rangle^{1/2}} |\widetilde{f}(s, k, \eta)| \sqrt{|(A_k \dot{A}_k)(s, \xi)|} |\widetilde{f}(s, k, \xi)| d\xi d\eta ds \\
&\lesssim_\delta \left\| [\langle s \rangle + \langle \rho \rangle^{-3/4} \langle s \rangle^{7/4}] |\rho| \sqrt{|(A_{NR} \dot{A}_{NR})(s, \rho)|} \widetilde{V}_3(s, \rho) \right\|_{L_s^2 L_\rho^2} \\
&\quad \times \left\| A_k(s, \eta) e^{-(\delta_0/300)\langle k, \eta \rangle^{1/2}} |\widetilde{f}(s, k, \eta)| \right\|_{L_s^\infty L_{k, \eta}^2} \cdot \left\| \sqrt{|(A_k \dot{A}_k)(s, \xi)|} |\widetilde{f}(s, k, \xi)| \right\|_{L_s^2 L_{k, \xi}^2}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\lesssim \delta \epsilon_1^3.$$

The case of $i = 3$ is the same as the case of $i = 2$ by the symmetry.

For \mathcal{W}_4 , we get by Lemma C.5, $\mathbf{1}_{|\rho| \leq 1} A_{NR}(s, \rho) \gtrsim_\delta \mathbf{1}_{|\rho| \leq 1}$ and (2.25) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_4 &\lesssim_\delta \int_1^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbf{1}_{|\rho| \leq 1} \langle s \rangle^{7/4} |\rho| \widetilde{V}_3(s, \rho) \sqrt{|(A_k \dot{A}_k)(s, \eta)|} |\widetilde{f}(s, k, \eta)| \\ &\quad \times \sqrt{|(A_k \dot{A}_k)(s, \xi)|} |\widetilde{f}(s, k, \xi)| d\xi d\eta ds \\ &\lesssim_\delta \left\| \left[\langle s \rangle + \langle \rho \rangle^{-3/4} \langle s \rangle^{7/4} \right] |\rho| A_{NR}(s, \rho) e^{-(\delta_0/300)\langle \rho \rangle^{1/2}} \widetilde{V}_3(s, \rho) \right\|_{L_s^\infty L_\rho^2} \\ &\quad \times \left\| \sqrt{|(A_k \dot{A}_k)(s, \eta)|} |\widetilde{f}(s, k, \eta)| \right\|_{L_s^2 L_{k, \eta}^2} \cdot \left\| \sqrt{|(A_k \dot{A}_k)(s, \xi)|} |\widetilde{f}(s, k, \xi)| \right\|_{L_s^2 L_{k, \xi}^2} \\ &\lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^3, \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the desired bound (4.4) follows. \square

4.2. Nonlinear estimate for \mathcal{N}_4 .

Lemma 4.5. *It holds that for any $t \in [1, T]$, we have*

$$(4.7) \quad \left| 2\mathrm{Re} \int_1^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_k(s, \xi)^2 \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_4(s, k, \xi) \overline{\widetilde{f}(s, k, \xi)} d\xi ds \right| \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^3.$$

Let

$$(4.8) \quad H_5 = \partial_v P, \quad H_6 = (V_1 + 1)\partial_v P.$$

We first prove the following lemma.

Lemma 4.6. *For any $t \in [1, T]$ and $j \in \{5, 6\}$, we have*

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{aligned} &\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_k(t, \xi)^2 \frac{\langle t \rangle^2 (\langle t \rangle^2 + |(k, \xi)|^2) \langle t - \xi/k \rangle^4}{\langle \xi/k \rangle^4 \langle \xi \rangle^2 / k^2} |\widetilde{H}_j(t, k, \xi)|^2 d\xi \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^2, \\ &\int_1^T \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\dot{A}_k A_k)(s, \xi)| \frac{\langle s \rangle^2 (\langle s \rangle^2 + |(k, \xi)|^2) \langle s - \xi/k \rangle^4}{\langle \xi/k \rangle^4 \langle \xi \rangle^2 / k^2} |\widetilde{H}_j(s, k, \xi)|^2 d\xi ds \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}} A_0(t, \xi)^2 \frac{\langle t \rangle^2 (\langle t \rangle^2 + |\xi|^2)^2}{\langle \xi \rangle^4} |\widetilde{H}_j(t, 0, \xi)|^2 d\xi \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^2, \\ &\int_1^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\dot{A}_0 A_0)(s, \xi)| \frac{\langle s \rangle^2 (\langle s \rangle^2 + |\xi|^2)^2}{\langle \xi \rangle^4} |\widetilde{H}_j(s, 0, \xi)|^2 d\xi ds \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The bounds on H_5 follow directly from the bootstrap assumption on $\mathcal{E}_P(t)$ and $\mathcal{B}_P(t)$.

Notice that $H_6 = H_5 + V_1 H_5$. By Lemma B.1 (ii), (2.23), it suffices to prove the following multiplier bounds for $k \neq 0$,

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{aligned} &A_k(t, \xi) \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \xi)|) \langle t - \xi/k \rangle^2}{\langle \xi/k \rangle^2 \langle \xi \rangle / |k|} \lesssim_\delta A_R(t, \xi - \eta) A_k(t, \eta) \\ &\quad \times \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \eta)|) \langle t - \eta/k \rangle^2}{\langle \eta/k \rangle^2 \langle \eta \rangle / |k|} \cdot \{ \langle \xi - \eta \rangle^{-2} + \langle k, \eta \rangle^{-2} \}. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{aligned} & |(\dot{A}_k A_k)(t, \xi)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \xi)|) \langle t - \xi/k \rangle^2}{\langle \xi/k \rangle^2 \langle \xi \rangle / |k|} \lesssim_\delta \left[|(\dot{A}_R/A_R)(t, \xi - \eta)|^{\frac{1}{2}} + |(\dot{A}_k/A_k)(t, \eta)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \\ & \times A_R(t, \xi - \eta) A_k(t, \eta) \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \eta)|) \langle t - \eta/k \rangle^2}{\langle \eta/k \rangle^2 \langle \eta \rangle / |k|} \cdot \{ \langle \xi - \eta \rangle^{-2} + \langle k, \eta \rangle^{-2} \}, \end{aligned}$$

and for zero mode (i.e., $k = 0$)

$$(4.13) \quad A_0(t, \xi) \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle^2 + |\xi|^2)}{\langle \xi \rangle^2} \lesssim_\delta A_R(t, \xi - \eta) \cdot A_0(t, \eta) \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle^2 + |\eta|^2)}{\langle \eta \rangle^2} \cdot \{ \langle \xi - \eta \rangle^{-2} + \langle \eta \rangle^{-2} \}.$$

and

$$(4.14) \quad \begin{aligned} & |(\dot{A}_0 A_0)(t, \xi)|^{1/2} \frac{\langle t \rangle (t^2 + |\xi|^2)}{\langle \xi \rangle^2} \lesssim_\delta \left[|(\dot{A}_R/A_R)(t, \xi - \eta)|^{1/2} + |(\dot{A}_0/A_0)(t, \eta)|^{1/2} \right] \\ & \times A_R(t, \xi - \eta) \cdot A_0(t, \eta) \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle^2 + |\eta|^2)}{\langle \eta \rangle^2} \cdot \{ \langle \xi - \eta \rangle^{-2} + \langle \eta \rangle^{-2} \}, \end{aligned}$$

By considering the cases $|\xi - \eta| \leq 10|(k, \eta)|$ and $|\xi - \eta| \geq 10|(k, \eta)|$, it is easy to see that ($k \neq 0$)

$$(4.15) \quad \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \xi)|) \langle t - \xi/k \rangle^2}{\langle \xi/k \rangle^2 \langle \xi \rangle / |k|} \lesssim_\delta \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \eta)|) \langle t - \eta/k \rangle^2}{\langle \eta/k \rangle^2 \langle \eta \rangle / |k|} e^{\delta \min(\langle \xi - \eta \rangle, \langle k, \eta \rangle)^{1/2}},$$

and by considering the cases $|\xi - \eta| \leq 10|\eta|$ and $|\xi - \eta| \geq 10|\eta|$, we have

$$(4.16) \quad \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle^2 + |\xi|^2)}{\langle \xi \rangle^2} \lesssim_\delta \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle^2 + |\eta|^2)}{\langle \eta \rangle^2} e^{\delta \min(\langle \xi - \eta \rangle, \langle \eta \rangle)^{1/2}}.$$

Then the bound (4.11) follows from (B.9) and (4.15); the bounds (4.12) follows from (B.9), (B.10) and (4.15); the bound (4.13) follows from (B.9) and (4.16); the bound (4.14) follows from (B.9), (B.10) and (4.16). \square

We now turn to the proof of (4.7).

Proof. We write

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| 2\operatorname{Re} \int_1^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_k(s, \xi)^2 \widetilde{N}_4(s, k, \xi) \overline{\widetilde{f}(s, k, \xi)} d\xi ds \right| \\ & = 2 \left| \operatorname{Re} \sum_{k, l \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_1^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} A_k(s, \xi)^2 \widetilde{H}_6(s, k - l, \xi - \eta) i l \widetilde{a}(s, l, \eta) \overline{\widetilde{f}(s, k, \xi)} d\xi d\eta ds \right|. \end{aligned}$$

We introduce the sets

$$(4.17) \quad R_0 = \left\{ ((k, \xi), (l, \eta)) \in (\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R})^2 : \right.$$

$$\left. \min(\langle k, \xi \rangle, \langle l, \eta \rangle, \langle k - l, \xi - \eta \rangle) \geq \frac{\langle k, \xi \rangle + \langle l, \eta \rangle + \langle k - l, \xi - \eta \rangle}{20} \right\},$$

$$(4.18) \quad R_1 = \left\{ ((k, \xi), (l, \eta)) \in (\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R})^2 : \langle k - l, \xi - \eta \rangle \leq \frac{\langle k, \xi \rangle + \langle l, \eta \rangle + \langle k - l, \xi - \eta \rangle}{10} \right\},$$

$$(4.19) \quad R_2 = \left\{ ((k, \xi), (l, \eta)) \in (\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R})^2 : \langle l, \eta \rangle \leq \frac{\langle k, \xi \rangle + \langle l, \eta \rangle + \langle k - l, \xi - \eta \rangle}{10} \right\},$$

$$(4.20) \quad R_3 = \left\{ ((k, \xi), (l, \eta)) \in (\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R})^2 : \langle k, \xi \rangle \leq \frac{\langle k, \xi \rangle + \langle l, \eta \rangle + \langle k - l, \xi - \eta \rangle}{10} \right\}.$$

Then we denote that for $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}_j^{(1)} &= \int_1^t \sum_{k, l \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbf{1}_{R_j}((k, \xi), (l, \eta)) |l| A_k(s, \xi)^2 |\widetilde{H}_6(s, k - l, \xi - \eta)| \\ &\quad \times \mathbf{1}_{k-l \neq 0} \cdot |\widetilde{a}(s, l, \eta)| \cdot |\widetilde{f}(s, k, \xi)| d\xi d\eta ds, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{U}_4^{(1)} = \int_1^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |k| A_k(s, \xi)^2 |\widetilde{H}_6(s, 0, \xi - \eta)| \cdot |\widetilde{a}(s, k, \eta)| \cdot |\widetilde{f}(s, k, \xi)| d\xi d\eta ds.$$

Let $(\sigma, \rho) = (k - l, \xi - \eta)$. For $j = 0$, by Lemma B.4 (i) and (A.26), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}_0^{(1)} &\lesssim_\delta \int_0^t \sum_{k, l \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \sqrt{|(A_k \dot{A}_k)(s, \xi)|} |\widetilde{f}(s, k, \xi)| \sqrt{|(A_l \dot{A}_l)(s, \eta)|} |\widetilde{a}(s, l, \eta)| \frac{\langle s \rangle}{|\rho/\sigma| + \langle s \rangle} \\ &\quad \times \mathbf{1}_{\sigma \neq 0} \frac{\langle s - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2}{\langle \rho \rangle / \sigma^2} A_\sigma(s, \rho) |\widetilde{H}_6(s, \sigma, \rho)| e^{-(\delta_0/200)\langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{1/2}} d\xi d\eta ds \\ (4.21) \quad &\lesssim_\delta \left\| \sqrt{|(A_k \dot{A}_k)(s, \xi)|} |\widetilde{f}(s, k, \xi)| \right\|_{L_s^2 L_{k, \xi}^2} \left\| \sqrt{|(A_l^* \dot{A}_l^*)(s, \eta)|} |\widetilde{a}(s, l, \eta)| \right\|_{L_s^2 L_{l, \eta}^2} \\ &\quad \times \left\| \mathbf{1}_{\sigma \neq 0} A_\sigma(s, \rho) \frac{\langle s \rangle}{|\rho/\sigma| + \langle s \rangle} \frac{\langle s - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2}{\langle \rho \rangle / \sigma^2} \widetilde{H}_6(s, \sigma, \rho) e^{-(\delta_0/300)\langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{1/2}} \right\|_{L_s^\infty L_{\sigma, \rho}^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to

$$\langle \rho/\sigma \rangle^2 |\sigma| = \langle \rho/\sigma \rangle |(\sigma, \rho)| \leq (|\rho/\sigma| + \langle s \rangle) (\langle s \rangle + |(\sigma, \rho)|),$$

we have

$$(4.22) \quad \frac{\langle s \rangle}{|\rho/\sigma| + \langle s \rangle} \frac{\langle s - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2}{\langle \rho \rangle / \sigma^2} \lesssim \frac{\langle s \rangle (\langle s \rangle + |(\sigma, \rho)|) \langle s - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2}{\langle \rho/\sigma \rangle^2 \langle \rho \rangle / |\sigma|}.$$

which along with Lemma 4.6 gives

$$\left\| \mathbf{1}_{\sigma \neq 0} A_\sigma(s, \rho) \frac{\langle s \rangle}{|\rho/\sigma| + \langle s \rangle} \frac{\langle s - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2}{\langle \rho \rangle / \sigma^2} \widetilde{H}_6(s, \sigma, \rho) e^{-(\delta_0/300)\langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{1/2}} \right\|_{L_s^\infty L_{\sigma, \rho}^2} \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1,$$

from which and (4.21), we infer that

$$\mathcal{U}_0^{(1)} \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^3.$$

For $j = 1$, we get by Lemma C.2 and Lemma 4.6 that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}_1^{(1)} &\lesssim_\delta \int_0^t \sum_{k, l \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \sqrt{|(A_k \dot{A}_k)(s, \xi)|} |\widetilde{f}(s, k, \xi)| \sqrt{|(A_l^* \dot{A}_l^*)(s, \eta)|} |\widetilde{a}(s, l, \eta)| \\ &\quad \times \mathbf{1}_{\sigma \neq 0} \langle s \rangle^3 A_\sigma(s, \rho) |\widetilde{H}_6(s, \sigma, \rho)| e^{-(\delta_0/200)\langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{1/2}} d\xi d\eta ds \\ &\lesssim_\delta \left\| \sqrt{|(A_k \dot{A}_k)(s, \xi)|} |\widetilde{f}(s, k, \xi)| \right\|_{L_s^2 L_{k, \xi}^2} \left\| \sqrt{|(A_l^* \dot{A}_l^*)(s, \eta)|} |\widetilde{a}(s, l, \eta)| \right\|_{L_s^2 L_{l, \eta}^2} \\ &\quad \times \left\| \mathbf{1}_{\sigma \neq 0} A_\sigma(s, \rho) \frac{\langle s \rangle (\langle s \rangle + |(\sigma, \rho)|) \langle s - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2}{\langle \rho/\sigma \rangle^2 \langle \rho \rangle / |\sigma|} \widetilde{H}_6(s, \sigma, \rho) e^{-(\delta_0/300)\langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{1/2}} \right\|_{L_s^\infty L_{\sigma, \rho}^2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\lesssim_{\delta} \epsilon_1^3.$$

Similarly, for $j = 2$ we get by Lemma B.4 (ii), Lemma 4.6 and (4.22) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}_2^{(1)} &\lesssim_{\delta} \int_0^t \sum_{k,l \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbf{1}_{\sigma \neq 0} \sqrt{|(A_{\sigma} \dot{A}_{\sigma})(s, \rho)|} \frac{\langle s \rangle}{|\rho/\sigma| + \langle s \rangle} \frac{\langle s - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2}{\langle \rho \rangle / \sigma^2} |\widetilde{H}_6(s, \sigma, \rho)| \\ &\quad \times \sqrt{|(A_k \dot{A}_k)(s, \xi)|} |\widetilde{f}(s, k, \xi)| A_l(s, \eta) e^{-(\delta_0/200)\langle l, \eta \rangle^{1/2}} |\widetilde{a}(s, l, \eta)| d\xi d\eta ds \\ &\lesssim_{\delta} \left\| \sqrt{|(A_k \dot{A}_k)(s, \xi)|} |\widetilde{f}(s, k, \xi)| \right\|_{L_s^2 L_{k, \xi}^2} \left\| A_l(s, \eta) e^{-(\delta_0/300)\langle l, \eta \rangle^{1/2}} \widetilde{a}(s, l, \eta) \right\|_{L_s^{\infty} L_{l, \eta}^2} \\ &\quad \times \left\| \mathbf{1}_{\sigma \neq 0} \sqrt{|(A_{\sigma} \dot{A}_{\sigma})(s, \rho)|} \frac{\langle s \rangle}{|\rho/\sigma| + \langle s \rangle} \frac{\langle s - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2}{\langle \rho \rangle / \sigma^2} \widetilde{H}_6(s, \sigma, \rho) \right\|_{L_s^2 L_{\sigma, \rho}^2} \\ &\lesssim_{\delta} \left\| \sqrt{|(A_k \dot{A}_k)(s, \xi)|} |\widetilde{f}(s, k, \xi)| \right\|_{L_s^2 L_{k, \xi}^2} \left\| A_l^*(s, \eta) e^{-(\delta_0/300)\langle l, \eta \rangle^{1/2}} \widetilde{a}(s, l, \eta) \right\|_{L_s^{\infty} L_{l, \eta}^2} \\ &\quad \times \left\| \mathbf{1}_{\sigma \neq 0} \sqrt{|(A_{\sigma} \dot{A}_{\sigma})(s, \rho)|} \frac{\langle s \rangle (\langle s \rangle + |(\sigma, \rho)|) \langle s - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2}{\langle \rho/\sigma \rangle^2 \langle \rho \rangle / |\sigma|} \widetilde{H}_6(s, \sigma, \rho) \right\|_{L_s^2 L_{\sigma, \rho}^2} \\ &\lesssim_{\delta} \epsilon_1^3. \end{aligned}$$

The case $j = 3$ is similar to the case $j = 2$. Now we deal with the case of $j = 4$. We get by Lemma B.11 that

$$\begin{aligned} (4.23) \quad A_k(t, \xi) &\lesssim_{\delta} \left(\frac{\mathbf{1}_{t \in I_{k, \xi}} |\xi| / k^2}{\langle t - \xi/k \rangle} + 1 \right) A_{NR}(t, \rho) A_k(t, \eta) e^{-(\lambda(t)/20) \min(\langle \rho \rangle, \langle k, \eta \rangle)^{1/2}}, \\ &\lesssim_{\delta} \left(\frac{\mathbf{1}_{t \in I_{k, \xi}} \langle t \rangle / |k|}{\langle t - \xi/k \rangle} + 1 \right) A_0(t, \rho) A_k(t, \eta) e^{-(\lambda(t)/20) \min(\langle \rho \rangle, \langle k, \eta \rangle)^{1/2}}, \end{aligned}$$

by noticing that $A_0(t, \rho) = e^{\lambda(t)\langle \rho \rangle^{1/2}} + A_{NR}(t, \rho)$.

For $t \in I_{k, \xi}$, we get by (A.19) and (A.21) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\langle \rho \rangle^2 |k|}{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle^2 + |\rho|^2)} A_k(t, \xi)^2 \\ &\lesssim_{\delta} \frac{1}{\langle t - \xi/k \rangle} A_0(t, \rho) A_k(t, \xi) A_k(t, \eta) e^{-(\lambda(t)/20) \min(\langle \rho \rangle, \langle k, \eta \rangle)^{1/2}} \\ &\lesssim_{\delta} \mathbf{1}_{\langle \rho \rangle \leq \langle k, \eta \rangle} A_0(t, \rho) \sqrt{|(\dot{A}_k A_k)(t, \xi)|} \sqrt{|(\dot{A}_k A_k)(t, \eta)|} e^{-(\delta_0/200)\langle \rho \rangle^{1/2}} \\ &\quad + \mathbf{1}_{\langle k, \eta \rangle \leq \langle \rho \rangle} \sqrt{|(\dot{A}_0 A_0)(t, \rho)|} \sqrt{|(\dot{A}_k A_k)(t, \xi)|} A_k(t, \eta) e^{-(\delta_0/200)\langle k, \eta \rangle^{1/2}} \\ &\lesssim_{\delta} \mathbf{1}_{\langle \rho \rangle \leq \langle k, \eta \rangle} A_0(t, \rho) \sqrt{|(\dot{A}_k A_k)(t, \xi)|} \sqrt{|(\dot{A}_k^* A_k^*)(t, \eta)|} e^{-(\delta_0/200)\langle \rho \rangle^{1/2}} \\ &\quad + \mathbf{1}_{\langle k, \eta \rangle \leq \langle \rho \rangle} \sqrt{|(\dot{A}_0 A_0)(t, \rho)|} \sqrt{|(\dot{A}_k A_k)(t, \xi)|} A_k^*(t, \eta) e^{-(\delta_0/200)\langle k, \eta \rangle^{1/2}}, \end{aligned}$$

and for $t \notin I_{k, \xi}$, (as $(1 + |k|/\langle t \rangle) A_k(t, \eta) \lesssim A_k^*(t, \eta)$)

$$\frac{\langle \rho \rangle^2 |k|}{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle^2 + |\rho|^2)} A_k(t, \xi)^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lesssim_{\delta} \left(\frac{\langle \rho \rangle^{1/4} |k|}{\langle t \rangle^{5/4}} \mathbf{1}_{\langle k, \eta \rangle \leq \langle \rho \rangle} + \frac{\langle \rho \rangle^2 |k|}{\langle t \rangle^3} \mathbf{1}_{\langle \rho \rangle \leq \langle k, \eta \rangle} \right) A_0(t, \rho) A_k(t, \xi) A_k(t, \eta) e^{-(\lambda(t)/20) \min(\langle \rho \rangle, \langle k, \eta \rangle)^{1/2}} \\
&\lesssim_{\delta} \left(\frac{\langle \rho \rangle^{1/4}}{\langle t \rangle^{5/4}} \mathbf{1}_{\langle k, \eta \rangle \leq \langle \rho \rangle} + \frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^2} \mathbf{1}_{\langle \rho \rangle \leq \langle k, \eta \rangle} \right) A_0(t, \rho) A_k(t, \xi) A_k^*(t, \eta) e^{-(\delta_0/200) \min(\langle \rho \rangle, \langle k, \eta \rangle)^{1/2}} \\
&\lesssim_{\delta} \mathbf{1}_{\langle k, \eta \rangle \leq \langle \rho \rangle} \sqrt{|(\dot{A}_0 A_0)(t, \rho)|} \sqrt{|(\dot{A}_k A_k)(t, \xi)|} |A_k^*(t, \eta)| e^{-(\delta_0/200) \min(\langle \rho \rangle, \langle k, \eta \rangle)^{1/2}} \\
&\quad + \mathbf{1}_{\langle \rho \rangle \leq \langle k, \eta \rangle} A_0(t, \rho) \sqrt{|(\dot{A}_k A_k)(t, \xi)|} \sqrt{|(\dot{A}_k^* A_k^*)(t, \eta)|} e^{-(\delta_0/200) \min(\langle \rho \rangle, \langle k, \eta \rangle)^{1/2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\langle \rho \rangle^2 |k|}{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle^2 + |\rho|^2)} A_k(t, \xi)^2 \\
&\lesssim_{\delta} A_0(t, \rho) \sqrt{|(\dot{A}_k A_k)(t, \xi)|} \sqrt{|(\dot{A}_k^* A_k^*)(t, \eta)|} e^{-(\delta_0/200) \min(\langle \rho \rangle, \langle k, \eta \rangle)^{1/2}} \\
&\quad + \sqrt{|(\dot{A}_0 A_0)(t, \rho)|} \sqrt{|(\dot{A}_k A_k)(t, \xi)|} |A_k^*(t, \eta)| e^{-(\delta_0/200) \min(\langle \rho \rangle, \langle k, \eta \rangle)^{1/2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{U}_4^{(1)} &\lesssim_{\delta} \int_0^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{\langle s \rangle (\langle s \rangle^2 + |\rho|^2)}{\langle \rho \rangle^2} A_0(s, \rho) |\widetilde{H}_6(s, 0, \rho)| \sqrt{|(\dot{A}_k A_k)(s, \xi)|} |\widetilde{f}(s, k, \xi)| \\
&\quad \times \sqrt{|(\dot{A}_k^* A_k^*)(s, \eta)|} e^{-(\delta_0/200) \min(\langle \rho \rangle, \langle k, \eta \rangle)^{1/2}} |\widetilde{a}(s, k, \eta)| d\xi d\eta ds \\
&\quad + \int_0^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{\langle s \rangle (\langle s \rangle^2 + |\rho|^2)}{\langle \rho \rangle^2} \sqrt{|(\dot{A}_0 A_0)(s, \rho)|} |\widetilde{H}_6(s, 0, \rho)| \sqrt{|(\dot{A}_k A_k)(s, \xi)|} |\widetilde{f}(s, k, \xi)| \\
&\quad \times |A_k^*(s, \eta)| e^{-(\delta_0/200) \min(\langle \rho \rangle, \langle k, \eta \rangle)^{1/2}} |\widetilde{a}(s, k, \eta)| d\xi d\eta ds \\
&\lesssim_{\delta} \left\| \sqrt{|(\dot{A}_k A_k)(s, \xi)|} |\widetilde{f}(s, k, \xi)| \right\|_{L_s^2 L_{k, \xi}^2} \left\| \sqrt{|(\dot{A}_k^* A_k^*)(s, \eta)|} |\widetilde{a}(s, k, \eta)| \right\|_{L_s^2 L_{k, \eta}^2} \\
&\quad \times \left\| A_0(s, \rho) \frac{\langle s \rangle (\langle s \rangle^2 + |\rho|^2)}{\langle \rho \rangle^2} \widetilde{H}_6(s, 0, \rho) \right\|_{L_s^\infty L_\rho^2} \\
&\quad + \left\| \sqrt{|(\dot{A}_k A_k)(s, \xi)|} |\widetilde{f}(s, k, \xi)| \right\|_{L_s^2 L_{k, \xi}^2} \|A_k^*(s, \eta) \widetilde{a}(s, k, \eta)\|_{L_s^\infty L_{k, \eta}^2} \\
&\quad \times \left\| \sqrt{|(\dot{A}_0 A_0)(s, \rho)|} \frac{\langle s \rangle (\langle s \rangle^2 + |\rho|^2)}{\langle \rho \rangle^2} \widetilde{H}_6(s, 0, \rho) \right\|_{L_s^2 L_\rho^2} \\
&\lesssim_{\delta} \epsilon_1^3.
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\mathcal{U}_j^{(1)} \lesssim_{\delta} \epsilon_1^3$ for all $j \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$, and the desired bound (4.7) follows. \square

4.3. Nonlinear estimate for \mathcal{N}_5 .

Lemma 4.7. *It holds that for any $t \in [1, T]$ we have*

$$(4.24) \quad \left| 2\text{Re} \int_1^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_k(s, \xi)^2 \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_5(s, k, \xi) \overline{\widetilde{f}(s, k, \xi)} d\xi ds \right| \lesssim_{\delta} \epsilon_1^3.$$

Let

$$(4.25) \quad H_7 = \partial_z P, \quad H_8 = (1 + V_1)\partial_z P.$$

We first prove the following lemma.

Lemma 4.8. *For any $t \in [1, T]$ and $j \in \{7, 8\}$ we have*

$$(4.26) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_k(t, \xi)^2 \frac{\langle t \rangle^4 (\langle t \rangle^2 + |(k, \xi)|^2) \langle t - \xi/k \rangle^4}{(\langle t \rangle^2 + |\xi/k|^2) \langle \xi/k \rangle^4} |\widetilde{H}_j(t, k, \xi)|^2 d\xi \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^2, \\ & \int_1^T \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\dot{A}_k A_k)(s, \xi)| \frac{\langle s \rangle^4 (\langle s \rangle^2 + |(k, \xi)|^2) \langle s - \xi/k \rangle^4}{(\langle s \rangle^2 + |\xi/k|^2) \langle \xi/k \rangle^4} |\widetilde{H}_j(s, k, \xi)|^2 d\xi ds \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The bounds on H_7 follow directly from the bootstrap assumption on $\mathcal{E}_P(t)$ and $\mathcal{B}_P(t)$ and

$$\frac{\langle t \rangle^4 (\langle t \rangle^2 + |(k, \xi)|^2) \langle t - \xi/k \rangle^4}{(\langle t \rangle^2 + |\xi/k|^2) \langle \xi/k \rangle^4} \leq \frac{\langle t \rangle^2 (\langle t \rangle^2 + |(k, \xi)|^2) \langle t - \xi/k \rangle^4}{\langle \xi/k \rangle^4}.$$

Notice that $H_8 = H_7 + V_1 H_7$. By Lemma B.1 (ii) and (2.23), it suffices to prove the following multiplier bounds for $k \neq 0$,

$$(4.27) \quad \begin{aligned} & A_k(t, \xi) \frac{\langle t \rangle^2 (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \xi)|) \langle t - \xi/k \rangle^2}{(\langle t \rangle + |\xi/k|) \langle \xi/k \rangle^2} \\ & \lesssim_\delta A_R(t, \xi - \eta) \cdot A_k(t, \eta) \frac{\langle t \rangle^2 (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \eta)|) \langle t - \eta/k \rangle^2}{(\langle t \rangle + |\eta/k|) \langle \eta/k \rangle^2} \cdot \{ \langle \xi - \eta \rangle^{-2} + \langle k, \eta \rangle^{-2} \}. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4.28) \quad \begin{aligned} & |(\dot{A}_k A_k)(t, \xi)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\langle t \rangle^2 (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \xi)|) \langle t - \xi/k \rangle^2}{(\langle t \rangle + |\xi/k|) \langle \xi/k \rangle^2} \lesssim_\delta \left[|(\dot{A}_R/A_R)(t, \xi - \eta)|^{\frac{1}{2}} + |(\dot{A}_k/A_k)(t, \eta)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \\ & \times A_R(t, \xi - \eta) \cdot A_k(t, \eta) \frac{\langle t \rangle^2 (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \eta)|) \langle t - \eta/k \rangle^2}{(\langle t \rangle + |\eta/k|) \langle \eta/k \rangle^2} \cdot \{ \langle \xi - \eta \rangle^{-2} + \langle k, \eta \rangle^{-2} \}, \end{aligned}$$

By considering the cases $|\xi - \eta| \leq 10|(k, \eta)|$ and $|\xi - \eta| \geq 10|(k, \eta)|$, it is easy to see that ($k \neq 0$)

$$(4.29) \quad \frac{\langle t \rangle^2 (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \xi)|) \langle t - \xi/k \rangle^2}{(\langle t \rangle + |\xi/k|) \langle \xi/k \rangle^2} \lesssim_\delta \frac{\langle t \rangle^2 (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \eta)|) \langle t - \eta/k \rangle^2}{(\langle t \rangle + |\eta/k|) \langle \eta/k \rangle^2} e^{\delta \min(\langle \xi - \eta \rangle, \langle k, \eta \rangle)^{1/2}}.$$

Then the bound (4.27) follows from (B.9) and (4.29); the bound (4.28) follows from (B.9), (B.10) and (4.29). \square

We now turn to the proof of (4.24).

Proof. We write

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| 2\operatorname{Re} \int_1^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_k(s, \xi)^2 \widetilde{N}_5(s, k, \xi) \overline{f(s, k, \xi)} d\xi ds \right| \\ & = 2 \left| \operatorname{Re} \sum_{k, l \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_1^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} A_k(s, \xi)^2 \widetilde{H}_8(s, k - l, \xi - \eta) i \eta \widetilde{a}(s, l, \eta) \overline{f(s, k, \xi)} d\xi d\eta ds \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Let the sets R_0, R_1, R_2, R_3 be defined by (4.17)-(4.20) and we denote that for $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_j^{(1)} &= \int_1^t \sum_{k, l \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbf{1}_{R_j}((k, \xi), (l, \eta)) |\eta| A_k(s, \xi)^2 |\widetilde{H}_8(s, k-l, \xi-\eta)| \\ &\quad \times |\widetilde{a}(s, l, \eta)| |\widetilde{f}(s, k, \xi)| d\xi d\eta ds. \end{aligned}$$

Let $(\sigma, \rho) = (k-l, \xi-\eta)$. For $j = 0$, we get by Lemma B.5 (i) and (A.26) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_0^{(1)} &\lesssim_\delta \int_0^t \sum_{k, l \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \sqrt{|(A_k \dot{A}_k)(s, \xi)|} |\widetilde{f}(s, k, \xi)| \sqrt{|(A_l \dot{A}_l)(s, \eta)|} |\widetilde{a}(s, l, \eta)| \langle s - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2 \\ &\quad \times \mathbf{1}_{\sigma \neq 0} \frac{|\sigma| \langle s \rangle^2}{|\rho/\sigma|^2 + \langle s \rangle^2} A_\sigma(s, \rho) |\widetilde{H}_8(s, \sigma, \rho)| e^{-(\delta_0/200)\langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{1/2}} d\xi d\eta ds \\ (4.30) \quad &\lesssim_\delta \left\| \sqrt{|(A_k \dot{A}_k)(s, \xi)|} |\widetilde{f}(s, k, \xi)| \right\|_{L_s^2 L_{k, \xi}^2} \left\| \sqrt{|(A_l^* \dot{A}_l^*)(s, \eta)|} |\widetilde{a}(s, l, \eta)| \right\|_{L_s^2 L_{l, \eta}^2} \\ &\quad \times \left\| \mathbf{1}_{\sigma \neq 0} A_\sigma(s, \rho) \langle s - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2 \frac{|\sigma| \langle s \rangle^2}{|\rho/\sigma|^2 + \langle s \rangle^2} \widetilde{H}_8(s, \sigma, \rho) e^{-(\delta_0/300)\langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{1/2}} \right\|_{L_s^\infty L_{\sigma, \rho}^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to $|\sigma| \langle \rho/\sigma \rangle^2 \leq (|\rho/\sigma| + \langle s \rangle)(\langle s \rangle + |(\sigma, \rho)|)$, we have

$$(4.31) \quad \langle s - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2 \frac{|\sigma| \langle s \rangle^2}{|\rho/\sigma|^2 + \langle s \rangle^2} \lesssim \frac{\langle s \rangle^2 (\langle s \rangle + |(\sigma, \rho)|) \langle s - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2}{(\langle s \rangle + |\rho/\sigma|) \langle \rho/\sigma \rangle^2}.$$

This along with Lemma 4.8 gives

$$\left\| \mathbf{1}_{\sigma \neq 0} A_\sigma(s, \rho) \langle s - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2 \frac{|\sigma| \langle s \rangle^2}{|\rho/\sigma|^2 + \langle s \rangle^2} \widetilde{H}_8(s, \sigma, \rho) e^{-(\delta_0/300)\langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{1/2}} \right\|_{L_s^\infty L_{\sigma, \rho}^2} \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1,$$

from which and (4.30), we infer that

$$\mathcal{V}_0^{(1)} \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^3.$$

For $j = 1$, we get by Lemma C.2 and Lemma 4.8 that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_1^{(1)} &\lesssim_\delta \int_0^t \sum_{k, l \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \sqrt{|(A_k \dot{A}_k)(s, \xi)|} |\widetilde{f}(s, k, \xi)| \sqrt{|(A_l^* \dot{A}_l^*)(s, \eta)|} |\widetilde{a}(s, l, \eta)| \\ &\quad \times \mathbf{1}_{\sigma \neq 0} \langle s \rangle^3 A_\sigma(s, \rho) |\widetilde{H}_8(s, \sigma, \rho)| e^{-(\delta_0/200)\langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{1/2}} d\xi d\eta ds \\ &\lesssim_\delta \left\| \sqrt{|(A_k \dot{A}_k)(s, \xi)|} |\widetilde{f}(s, k, \xi)| \right\|_{L_s^2 L_{k, \xi}^2} \left\| \sqrt{|(A_l^* \dot{A}_l^*)(s, \eta)|} |\widetilde{a}(s, l, \eta)| \right\|_{L_s^2 L_{l, \eta}^2} \\ &\quad \times \left\| \mathbf{1}_{\sigma \neq 0} A_\sigma(s, \rho) \frac{\langle s \rangle^2 (\langle s \rangle + |(\sigma, \rho)|) \langle s - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2}{(\langle s \rangle + |\rho/\sigma|) \langle \rho/\sigma \rangle^2} \widetilde{H}_8(s, \sigma, \rho) e^{-(\delta_0/300)\langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{1/2}} \right\|_{L_s^\infty L_{\sigma, \rho}^2} \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^3. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for $j = 2$, we get by Lemma B.5 (ii), Lemma 4.8 and (4.31) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_2^{(1)} &\lesssim_\delta \int_0^t \sum_{k, l \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbf{1}_{\sigma \neq 0} \sqrt{|(A_\sigma \dot{A}_\sigma)(s, \rho)|} \frac{|\sigma| \langle s \rangle^2}{|\rho/\sigma|^2 + \langle s \rangle^2} \langle s - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2 |\widetilde{H}_8(s, \sigma, \rho)| \\ &\quad \times \sqrt{|(A_k \dot{A}_k)(s, \xi)|} |\widetilde{f}(s, k, \xi)| A_l(s, \eta) e^{-(\delta_0/200)\langle l, \eta \rangle^{1/2}} |\widetilde{a}(s, l, \eta)| d\xi d\eta ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lesssim_\delta \left\| \sqrt{|(A_k \dot{A}_k)(s, \xi)|} \tilde{f}(s, k, \xi) \right\|_{L_s^2 L_{k, \xi}^2} \left\| A_l(s, \eta) e^{-(\delta_0/300)\langle l, \eta \rangle^{1/2}} \tilde{a}(s, l, \eta) \right\|_{L_s^\infty L_{l, \eta}^2} \\
&\quad \times \left\| \mathbf{1}_{\sigma \neq 0} \sqrt{|(A_\sigma \dot{A}_\sigma)(s, \rho)|} \frac{|\sigma \langle s \rangle^2}{|\rho/\sigma|^2 + \langle s \rangle^2} \langle s - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2 \widetilde{H}_8(s, \sigma, \rho) \right\|_{L_s^2 L_{\sigma, \rho}^2} \\
&\lesssim_\delta \left\| \sqrt{|(A_k \dot{A}_k)(s, \xi)|} \tilde{f}(s, k, \xi) \right\|_{L_s^2 L_{k, \xi}^2} \left\| A_l^*(s, \eta) e^{-(\delta_0/300)\langle l, \eta \rangle^{1/2}} \tilde{a}(s, l, \eta) \right\|_{L_s^\infty L_{l, \eta}^2} \\
&\quad \times \left\| \mathbf{1}_{\sigma \neq 0} \sqrt{|(A_\sigma \dot{A}_\sigma)(s, \rho)|} \frac{\langle s \rangle^2 (\langle s \rangle + |\langle \sigma, \rho \rangle|) \langle s - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2}{(\langle s \rangle + |\rho/\sigma|) \langle \rho/\sigma \rangle^2} \widetilde{H}_8(s, \sigma, \rho) \right\|_{L_s^2 L_{\sigma, \rho}^2} \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^3.
\end{aligned}$$

The case $j = 3$ is similar to the case $j = 2$. Thus, $\mathcal{V}_j^{(1)} \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^3$ for all $j \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, and the desired bound (4.24) follows. \square

5. IMPROVED CONTROL OF THE STREAM FUNCTION

In this section, we prove an improved control for the stream function under the bootstrap assumptions in Proposition 2.1.

Proposition 5.1. *With the definitions and assumptions in Proposition 2.1, we have*

$$\mathcal{E}_\Theta(t) + \mathcal{B}_\Theta(t) \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^3 \quad \text{for any } t \in [1, T].$$

Recall the elliptic equation (2.6)

$$\partial_z^2 \phi + (V_1 + 1)^2 (\partial_v - t \partial_z)^2 \phi + V_2 (\partial_v - t \partial_z) \phi = f,$$

which gives

$$\partial_z^2 \phi + (\partial_v - t \partial_z)^2 \phi = f - (2V_1 + V_1^2) (\partial_v - t \partial_z)^2 \phi - V_2 (\partial_v - t \partial_z) \phi.$$

Therefore, by the definition of (2.10), we get

$$\Theta = f - (2V_1 + V_1^2) (\partial_v - t \partial_z)^2 \phi - V_2 (\partial_v - t \partial_z) \phi = f + g_{11} + g_{12},$$

where

$$g_{11} = -(2V_1 + V_1^2) (\partial_v - t \partial_z)^2 \phi, \quad g_{12} = -V_2 (\partial_v - t \partial_z) \phi.$$

Proposition 5.1 follows from the following lemma, which has been proved in [9].

Lemma 5.2. *For any $t \in [1, T]$ and $G \in \{f, g_{11}, g_{12}\}$, we have*

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_k(t, \xi)^2 \frac{k^2 \langle t \rangle^2}{|\xi|^2 + k^2 \langle t \rangle^2} |\tilde{G}(t, k, \xi)|^2 d\xi \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^3,$$

and

$$\int_1^T \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\dot{A}_k(s, \xi)| A_k(s, \xi) \frac{k^2 \langle s \rangle^2}{|\xi|^2 + k^2 \langle s \rangle^2} |\tilde{G}(s, k, \xi)|^2 d\xi ds \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^3.$$

6. IMPROVED CONTROL OF THE DENSITY

In this section, we prove an improved control for the density a under the bootstrap assumptions in Proposition 2.1.

Proposition 6.1. *With the definitions and assumptions in Proposition 2.1, we have*

$$\mathcal{E}_a(t) + \mathcal{B}_a(t) \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^3 \quad \text{for any } t \in [1, T].$$

It is easy to find that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}_a(t) &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} 2\dot{A}_k^*(t, \xi) A_k^*(t, \xi) |\tilde{a}(t, k, \xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &\quad + 2\mathbf{Re} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_k^*(t, \xi)^2 \partial_t \tilde{a}(t, k, \xi) \overline{\tilde{a}(t, k, \xi)} d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$(6.1) \quad \mathcal{E}_a(t) + 2\mathcal{B}_a(t) = \mathcal{E}_a(1) + 2\mathbf{Re} \int_1^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_k^*(s, \xi)^2 \partial_s \tilde{a}(s, k, \xi) \overline{\tilde{a}(s, k, \xi)} d\xi ds.$$

Since $\dot{A}_k^*(t, \xi) \leq 0$, it suffices to prove that

$$(6.2) \quad \left| 2\mathbf{Re} \int_1^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_k^*(s, \xi)^2 \partial_s \tilde{a}(s, k, \xi) \overline{\tilde{a}(s, k, \xi)} d\xi ds \right| \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^3.$$

Recall that

$$\partial_s a = \mathcal{N}_6 + \mathcal{N}_7 + \mathcal{N}_8,$$

where

$$\mathcal{N}_6 = (1 + V_1) \partial_v \mathbb{P}_{\neq 0} \phi \partial_z a, \quad \mathcal{N}_7 = -(1 + V_1) \partial_z \mathbb{P}_{\neq 0} \phi \partial_v a, \quad \mathcal{N}_8 = -V_3 \partial_v a.$$

Proposition 6.1 is a direct consequence of Lemma 6.3, Lemma 6.5 and Lemma 6.6.

6.1. Nonlinear estimate for \mathcal{N}_6 . Let

$$(6.3) \quad H_1 = \partial_v \mathbb{P}_{\neq 0} \phi, \quad H_2 = (1 + V_1) \partial_v \mathbb{P}_{\neq 0} \phi.$$

Recall the following lemma from [9].

Lemma 6.2. *For any $t \in [1, T)$ and $j \in \{1, 2\}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_k(t, \xi)^2 \frac{\langle t \rangle^2}{|\xi/k|^2 + \langle t \rangle^2} \frac{\langle t - \xi/k \rangle^4}{(\langle \xi \rangle/k^2)^2} |\widetilde{H}_j(t, k, \xi)|^2 d\xi &\lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^2, \\ \int_1^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\dot{A}_k(s, \xi)| A_k(s, \xi) \frac{\langle s \rangle^2}{|\xi/k|^2 + \langle s \rangle^2} \frac{\langle s - \xi/k \rangle^4}{(\langle \xi \rangle/k^2)^2} |\widetilde{H}_j(s, k, \xi)|^2 d\xi ds &\lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^2. \end{aligned}$$

We then prove the following lemma.

Lemma 6.3. *It holds that for any $t \in [1, T]$, we have*

$$(6.4) \quad \left| 2\mathbf{Re} \int_1^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_k^*(s, \xi)^2 \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_6(s, k, \xi) \overline{\tilde{a}(s, k, \xi)} d\xi ds \right| \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^3.$$

Proof. We write

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| 2\mathbf{Re} \int_1^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_k^*(s, \xi)^2 \widetilde{N}_6(s, k, \xi) \overline{\widetilde{a}(s, k, \xi)} d\xi ds \right| \\ &= 2 \left| \mathbf{Re} \sum_{k, l \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_1^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} A_k^*(s, \xi)^2 \widetilde{H}_2(s, k-l, \xi-\eta) i l \widetilde{a}(s, l, \eta) \overline{\widetilde{a}(s, k, \xi)} d\xi d\eta ds \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{k, l \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_1^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} [l A_k^*(s, \xi)^2 - k A_l^*(s, \eta)^2] \widetilde{H}_2(s, k-l, \xi-\eta) \widetilde{a}(s, l, \eta) \overline{\widetilde{a}(s, k, \xi)} d\xi d\eta ds \right|, \end{aligned}$$

where the second identity used the symmetrization (H_2 is real-valued).

Let the sets R_0, R_1, R_2, R_3 be defined by (4.17)-(4.20) and we denote that for $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}_j &= \int_1^t \sum_{k, l \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbf{1}_{R_j}((k, \xi), (l, \eta)) |l A_k^*(s, \xi)^2 - k A_l^*(s, \eta)^2| |\widetilde{H}_2(s, k-l, \xi-\eta)| \\ &\quad \times |\widetilde{a}(s, l, \eta)| |\widetilde{a}(s, k, \xi)| d\xi d\eta ds. \end{aligned}$$

Let $(\sigma, \rho) = (k-l, \xi-\eta)$. For $j = 0, 1$, we get by Lemma C.1 (i) and Lemma 6.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}_j &\lesssim_\delta \int_0^t \sum_{k, l \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \sqrt{|(A_k^* \dot{A}_k^*)(s, \xi)|} |\widetilde{a}(s, k, \xi)| \sqrt{|(A_l^* \dot{A}_l^*)(s, \eta)|} |\widetilde{a}(s, l, \eta)| \frac{\langle s \rangle}{|\rho/\sigma| + \langle s \rangle} \\ &\quad \times \mathbf{1}_{\sigma \neq 0} \frac{\langle s - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2}{\langle \rho \rangle / \sigma^2} A_\sigma(s, \rho) |\widetilde{H}_2(s, \sigma, \rho)| e^{-(\delta_0/201)\langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{1/2}} d\xi d\eta ds \\ &\lesssim_\delta \left\| \sqrt{|(A_k^* \dot{A}_k^*)(s, \xi)|} |\widetilde{a}(s, k, \xi)| \right\|_{L_s^2 L_{k, \xi}^2} \left\| \sqrt{|(A_l^* \dot{A}_l^*)(s, \eta)|} |\widetilde{a}(s, l, \eta)| \right\|_{L_s^2 L_{l, \eta}^2} \\ &\quad \times \left\| \mathbf{1}_{\sigma \neq 0} A_\sigma(s, \rho) \frac{\langle s \rangle}{|\rho/\sigma| + \langle s \rangle} \frac{\langle s - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2}{\langle \rho \rangle / \sigma^2} \widetilde{H}_2(s, \sigma, \rho) e^{-(\delta_0/300)\langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{1/2}} \right\|_{L_s^\infty L_{\sigma, \rho}^2} \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^3. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for $j = 2$, we get by Lemma C.1 (ii) and Lemma 6.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}_2 &\lesssim_\delta \int_0^t \sum_{k, l \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbf{1}_{\sigma \neq 0} \sqrt{|(A_\sigma \dot{A}_\sigma)(s, \rho)|} \frac{\langle s \rangle}{|\rho/\sigma| + \langle s \rangle} \frac{\langle s - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2}{\langle \rho \rangle / \sigma^2} |\widetilde{H}_2(s, \sigma, \rho)| \\ &\quad \times \sqrt{|(A_k^* \dot{A}_k^*)(s, \xi)|} |\widetilde{a}(s, k, \xi)| A_l(s, \eta) e^{-(\delta_0/201)\langle l, \eta \rangle^{1/2}} |\widetilde{a}(s, l, \eta)| d\xi d\eta ds \\ &\lesssim_\delta \left\| \sqrt{|(A_k^* \dot{A}_k^*)(s, \xi)|} |\widetilde{a}(s, k, \xi)| \right\|_{L_s^2 L_{k, \xi}^2} \left\| A_l^*(s, \eta) e^{-(\delta_0/300)\langle l, \eta \rangle^{1/2}} \widetilde{a}(s, l, \eta) \right\|_{L_s^\infty L_{l, \eta}^2} \\ &\quad \times \left\| \mathbf{1}_{\sigma \neq 0} \sqrt{|(A_\sigma \dot{A}_\sigma)(s, \rho)|} \frac{\langle s \rangle}{|\rho/\sigma| + \langle s \rangle} \frac{\langle s - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2}{\langle \rho \rangle / \sigma^2} \widetilde{H}_2(s, \sigma, \rho) \right\|_{L_s^2 L_{\sigma, \rho}^2} \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^3. \end{aligned}$$

The case $j = 3$ is identical to the case $j = 2$ by the symmetry. Thus, $\mathcal{U}_j \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^3$ for all $j \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, and the desired bound (6.4) follows. \square

6.2. Nonlinear estimate for \mathcal{N}_7 . Let

$$(6.5) \quad H_3 = \partial_z \mathbb{P}_{\neq 0} \phi, \quad H_4 = (1 + V_1) \partial_z \mathbb{P}_{\neq 0} \phi.$$

Recall the following lemma from [9].

Lemma 6.4. *For any $t \in [1, T)$ and $j \in \{3, 4\}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_k(t, \xi)^2 \frac{k^2 \langle t \rangle^4 \langle t - \xi/k \rangle^4}{(|\xi/k|^2 + \langle t \rangle^2)^2} |\widetilde{H}_j(t, k, \xi)|^2 d\xi \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^2, \\ & \int_1^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\dot{A}_k(s, \xi)| A_k(s, \xi) \frac{k^2 \langle s \rangle^4 \langle s - \xi/k \rangle^4}{(|\xi/k|^2 + \langle s \rangle^2)^2} |\widetilde{H}_j(s, k, \xi)|^2 d\xi ds \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^2. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 6.5. *It holds that for any $t \in [1, T]$, we have*

$$(6.6) \quad \left| 2\mathbf{Re} \int_1^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_k^*(s, \xi)^2 \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_7(s, k, \xi) \overline{\widetilde{a}(s, k, \xi)} d\xi ds \right| \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^3.$$

Proof. We write

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| 2\mathbf{Re} \int_1^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_k^*(s, \xi)^2 \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_7(s, k, \xi) \overline{\widetilde{a}(s, k, \xi)} d\xi ds \right| \\ &= 2 \left| \mathbf{Re} \sum_{k, l \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_1^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} A_k^*(s, \xi)^2 \widetilde{H}_4(s, k - l, \xi - \eta) i\eta \widetilde{a}(s, l, \eta) \overline{\widetilde{a}(s, k, \xi)} d\xi d\eta ds \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{k, l \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_1^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} [\eta A_k^*(s, \xi)^2 - \xi A_l^*(s, \eta)^2] \widetilde{H}_4(s, k - l, \xi - \eta) \widetilde{a}(s, l, \eta) \overline{\widetilde{a}(s, k, \xi)} d\xi d\eta ds \right|, \end{aligned}$$

where the second identity used the symmetrization (H_4 is real-valued). We denote that for $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_j &= \int_1^t \sum_{k, l \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbf{1}_{R_j}((k, \xi), (l, \eta)) |\eta A_k^*(s, \xi)^2 - \xi A_l^*(s, \eta)^2| |\widetilde{H}_4(s, k - l, \xi - \eta)| \\ &\quad \times |\widetilde{a}(s, l, \eta)| |\widetilde{a}(s, k, \xi)| d\xi d\eta ds. \end{aligned}$$

Let $(\sigma, \rho) = (k - l, \xi - \eta)$. For $j = 0, 1$, we get by Lemma C.3 (i) and Lemma 6.4 that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_j &\lesssim_\delta \int_0^t \sum_{k, l \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \sqrt{|(A_k^* \dot{A}_k^*)(s, \xi)|} |\widetilde{a}(s, k, \xi)| \sqrt{|(A_l^* \dot{A}_l^*)(s, \eta)|} |\widetilde{a}(s, l, \eta)| \\ &\quad \times \mathbf{1}_{\sigma \neq 0} \cdot \frac{|\sigma| \langle s \rangle^2}{|\rho/\sigma|^2 + \langle s \rangle^2} \langle s - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2 A_\sigma(s, \rho) |\widetilde{H}_4(s, \sigma, \rho)| e^{-(\delta_0/201)\langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{1/2}} d\xi d\eta ds \\ &\lesssim_\delta \left\| \sqrt{|(A_k^* \dot{A}_k^*)(s, \xi)|} |\widetilde{a}(s, k, \xi)| \right\|_{L_s^2 L_{k, \xi}^2} \cdot \left\| \sqrt{|(A_l^* \dot{A}_l^*)(s, \eta)|} |\widetilde{a}(s, l, \eta)| \right\|_{L_s^2 L_{l, \eta}^2} \\ &\quad \times \left\| \mathbf{1}_{\sigma \neq 0} \cdot \frac{\sigma \langle s \rangle^2}{|\rho/\sigma|^2 + \langle s \rangle^2} \langle s - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2 \widetilde{H}_4(s, \sigma, \rho) e^{-(\delta_0/300)\langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{1/2}} \right\|_{L_s^\infty L_{\sigma, \rho}^2} \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^3. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for $j = 2$ we get by Lemma C.3 (ii) and Lemma 6.4 that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_2 &\lesssim_\delta \int_0^t \sum_{k, l \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbf{1}_{\sigma \neq 0} \cdot \frac{|\sigma| \langle s \rangle^2}{|\rho/\sigma|^2 + \langle s \rangle^2} \langle s - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2 \sqrt{|(A_\sigma \dot{A}_\sigma)(s, \rho)|} |\widetilde{H}_4(s, \sigma, \rho)| \\ &\quad \times \sqrt{|(A_k^* \dot{A}_k^*)(s, \xi)|} |\widetilde{a}(s, k, \xi)| |A_l(s, \eta)| e^{-(\delta_0/201)\langle l, \eta \rangle^{1/2}} |\widetilde{a}(s, l, \eta)| d\xi d\eta ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\lesssim_\delta \left\| \sqrt{|(A_k^* \dot{A}_k^*)(s, \xi)|} \tilde{a}(s, k, \xi) \right\|_{L_s^2 L_{k, \xi}^2} \cdot \left\| A_l^*(s, \eta) e^{-(\delta_0/300)\langle l, \eta \rangle^{1/2}} \tilde{a}(s, l, \eta) \right\|_{L_s^\infty L_{l, \eta}^2} \\ &\quad \times \left\| \mathbf{1}_{\sigma \neq 0} \cdot \frac{\sigma \langle s \rangle^2}{|\rho/\sigma|^2 + \langle s \rangle^2} \langle s - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2 \sqrt{|(A_\sigma \dot{A}_\sigma)(s, \rho)|} \widetilde{H}_4(s, \sigma, \rho) \right\|_{L_s^2 L_{\sigma, \rho}^2} \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^3. \end{aligned}$$

The case $j = 3$ is identical to the case $j = 2$ by the symmetry. Thus, $\mathcal{V}_j \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^3$ for all $j \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, and the desired bound (6.6) follows. \square

6.3. Nonlinear estimate for \mathcal{N}_8 .

Lemma 6.6. *It holds that for any $t \in [1, T]$, we have*

$$(6.7) \quad \left| 2\mathbf{Re} \int_1^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_k^*(s, \xi)^2 \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_8(s, k, \xi) \overline{\tilde{a}(s, k, \xi)} d\xi ds \right| \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^3.$$

Proof. As before, we write

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| 2\mathbf{Re} \int_1^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_k^*(s, \xi)^2 \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_8(s, k, \xi) \overline{\tilde{a}(s, k, \xi)} d\xi ds \right| \\ &= 2 \left| \mathbf{Re} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_1^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} A_k^*(s, \xi)^2 \widetilde{V}_3(s, \xi - \eta) i\eta \tilde{a}(s, k, \eta) \overline{\tilde{a}(s, k, \xi)} d\xi d\eta ds \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_1^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} [\eta A_k^*(s, \xi)^2 - \xi A_k^*(s, \eta)^2] \widetilde{V}_3(s, \xi - \eta) \tilde{a}(s, k, \eta) \overline{\tilde{a}(s, k, \xi)} d\xi d\eta ds \right|. \end{aligned}$$

For $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, we recall the sets Σ_i defined by (4.5):

$$\Sigma_i = \{((k, \xi), (l, \eta)) \in R_i : k = l\}.$$

We denote that for $j \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}'_j &= \int_1^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbf{1}_{|\rho| \geq 1} \mathbf{1}_{\Sigma_j}((k, \xi), (k, \eta)) |\eta A_k^*(s, \xi)^2 - \xi A_k^*(s, \eta)^2| |\widetilde{V}_3(s, \xi - \eta)| \\ &\quad \times |\tilde{a}(s, k, \eta)| |\tilde{a}(s, k, \xi)| d\xi d\eta ds, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(6.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}'_4 &= \int_1^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbf{1}_{|\rho| \leq 1} |\eta A_k^*(s, \xi)^2 - \xi A_k^*(s, \eta)^2| |\widetilde{V}_3(s, \xi - \eta)| \\ &\quad \times |\tilde{a}(s, k, \eta)| |\tilde{a}(s, k, \xi)| d\xi d\eta ds. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\rho = \xi - \eta$. For $j \in \{0, 1\}$, we get by Lemma C.4 (i) and (2.25) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}'_j &\lesssim_\delta \int_1^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbf{1}_{|\rho| \geq 1} [\langle \rho \rangle \langle s \rangle + \langle \rho \rangle^{1/4} \langle s \rangle^{7/4}] A_{NR}(s, \rho) e^{-(\delta_0/201)\langle \rho \rangle^{1/2}} |\widetilde{V}_3(s, \rho)| \\ &\quad \times \sqrt{|(A_k^* \dot{A}_k^*)(s, \eta)|} |\tilde{a}(s, k, \eta)| \sqrt{|(A_k^* \dot{A}_k^*)(s, \xi)|} |\tilde{a}(s, k, \xi)| d\xi d\eta ds \\ &\lesssim_\delta \left\| [\langle s \rangle + \langle \rho \rangle^{-3/4} \langle s \rangle^{7/4}] |\rho| A_{NR}(s, \rho) e^{-(\delta_0/300)\langle \rho \rangle^{1/2}} \widetilde{V}_3(s, \rho) \right\|_{L_s^\infty L_\rho^2} \\ &\quad \times \left\| \sqrt{|(A_k^* \dot{A}_k^*)(s, \eta)|} |\tilde{a}(s, k, \eta)| \right\|_{L_s^2 L_{k, \eta}^2} \cdot \left\| \sqrt{|(A_k^* \dot{A}_k^*)(s, \xi)|} |\tilde{a}(s, k, \xi)| \right\|_{L_s^2 L_{k, \xi}^2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^3.$$

For $j = 2$, we get by Lemma C.4 (ii) and (2.25) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}'_j &\lesssim_\delta \int_1^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbf{1}_{|\rho| \geq 1} [\langle \rho \rangle \langle s \rangle + \langle \rho \rangle^{1/4} \langle s \rangle^{7/4}] \sqrt{|(A_{NR} \dot{A}_{NR})(s, \rho)|} |\widetilde{V}_3(s, \rho)| \\ &\quad \times A_k^*(s, \eta) e^{-(\delta_0/201)\langle k, \eta \rangle^{1/2}} |\widetilde{a}(s, k, \eta)| \sqrt{|(A_k^* \dot{A}_k^*)(s, \xi)|} |\widetilde{a}(s, k, \xi)| d\xi d\eta ds \\ &\lesssim_\delta \left\| [\langle s \rangle + \langle \rho \rangle^{-3/4} \langle s \rangle^{7/4}] |\rho| \sqrt{|(A_{NR} \dot{A}_{NR})(s, \rho)|} |\widetilde{V}_3(s, \rho)| \right\|_{L_s^2 L_\rho^2} \\ &\quad \times \left\| A_k^*(s, \eta) e^{-(\delta_0/300)\langle k, \eta \rangle^{1/2}} \widetilde{a}(s, k, \eta) \right\|_{L_s^\infty L_{k, \eta}^2} \cdot \left\| \sqrt{|(A_k^* \dot{A}_k^*)(s, \xi)|} |\widetilde{a}(s, k, \xi)| \right\|_{L_s^2 L_{k, \xi}^2} \\ &\lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^3. \end{aligned}$$

The case $j = 3$ is identical to the case $j = 2$ by the symmetry. For \mathcal{W}'_4 , we get by Lemma C.5 and $A_{NR}(s, \rho) \gtrsim_\delta 1$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}'_4 &\lesssim_\delta \int_1^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbf{1}_{|\rho| \leq 1} \langle s \rangle^{7/4} |\rho| |\widetilde{V}_3(s, \rho)| \sqrt{|(A_k^* \dot{A}_k^*)(s, \eta)|} |\widetilde{a}(s, k, \eta)| \\ &\quad \times \sqrt{|(A_k^* \dot{A}_k^*)(s, \xi)|} |\widetilde{a}(s, k, \xi)| d\xi d\eta ds \\ &\lesssim_\delta \left\| [\langle s \rangle + \langle \rho \rangle^{-3/4} \langle s \rangle^{7/4}] |\rho| A_{NR}(s, \rho) e^{-(\delta_0/300)\langle \rho \rangle^{1/2}} \widetilde{V}_3(s, \rho) \right\|_{L_s^\infty L_\rho^2} \\ &\quad \times \left\| \sqrt{|(A_k^* \dot{A}_k^*)(s, \eta)|} |\widetilde{a}(s, k, \eta)| \right\|_{L_s^2 L_{k, \eta}^2} \cdot \left\| \sqrt{|(A_k^* \dot{A}_k^*)(s, \xi)|} |\widetilde{a}(s, k, \xi)| \right\|_{L_s^2 L_{k, \xi}^2} \\ &\lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^3. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\mathcal{W}'_j \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^3$ for all $j \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$, and the desired bound (6.7) follows. \square

7. IMPROVED CONTROL OF THE COORDINATE FUNCTIONS

In this section, we prove an improved control for the coordinate functions under the bootstrap assumptions in Proposition 2.1.

Proposition 7.1. *With the definitions and assumptions in Proposition 2.1, we have*

$$\mathcal{E}_{V_1}(t) + \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}}(t) + \mathcal{B}_{V_1}(t) + \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{H}}(t) \leq \epsilon_1^2/20 + C\epsilon_1^3 \quad \text{for any } t \in [1, T].$$

We denote

$$(7.1) \quad \mathcal{L}_1(t) = 2\mathbf{Re} \int_1^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_R^2(s, \xi) \partial_s \widetilde{V}_1(s, \xi) \overline{\widetilde{V}_1(s, \xi)} d\xi ds,$$

and

$$(7.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_2(t) &= K_\delta^2 2\mathbf{Re} \int_1^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_{NR}(s, \xi)^2 (\langle s \rangle / \langle \xi \rangle)^{3/2} \partial_s \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(s, \xi) \overline{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(s, \xi)} d\xi ds \\ &\quad + K_\delta^2 \int_1^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_{NR}(s, \xi)^2 \frac{3}{2} (s \langle s \rangle^{-1/2} \langle \xi \rangle)^{-3/2} |\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(s, \xi)|^2 d\xi ds. \end{aligned}$$

As in [9], it suffices to prove that for any $t \in [1, T]$,

$$(7.3) \quad -\mathcal{B}_{V_1}(t) - \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{H}}(t) + \mathcal{L}_1(t) + \mathcal{L}_2(t) \leq \epsilon_1^2/30.$$

Using the equations (2.4) and (2.5), we extract the quadratic components of \mathcal{L}_1 and \mathcal{L}_2 . We define

$$(7.4) \quad \mathcal{L}_{1,2}(t) = 2\mathbf{Re} \int_1^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{A_R(s, \xi)^2}{s} \widetilde{H}(s, \xi) \overline{\widetilde{V}_1(s, \xi)} d\xi ds,$$

and

$$(7.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{2,2}(t) &= K_\delta^2 \int_1^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left\{ -A_{NR}(s, \xi)^2 \frac{2\langle s \rangle^{3/2}}{s\langle \xi \rangle^{3/2}} |\widetilde{H}(s, \xi)|^2 + A_{NR}(s, \xi)^2 \frac{3s/2}{\langle s \rangle^{1/2} \langle \xi \rangle^{3/2}} |\widetilde{H}(s, \xi)| \right\} d\xi ds \\ &= -K_\delta^2 \int_1^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_{NR}(s, \xi)^2 \frac{2 + s^2/2}{s\langle \xi \rangle^{3/2} \langle s \rangle^{1/2}} |\widetilde{H}(s, \xi)|^2 d\xi ds. \end{aligned}$$

Then the desired bound (7.3) follows from Lemma 7.2 and Lemma 7.3.

Lemma 7.2. [9] *For any $t \in [1, T]$, we have*

$$- \mathcal{B}_{V_1}(t) - \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{H}}(t) + \mathcal{L}_{1,2}(t) + \mathcal{L}_{2,2}(t) \leq \epsilon_1^2/40.$$

We denote

$$(7.6) \quad \begin{aligned} F_1 &= -V_3 \partial_v V_1, \quad G_1 = -V_3 \partial_v \mathcal{H}, \\ G_2 &= (V_1 + 1) [-\mathbb{P}_0(\partial_v \mathbb{P}_{\neq 0} \phi \partial_z f) + \mathbb{P}_0(\partial_z \phi \partial_v f)], \\ G_4 &= (V_1 + 1) [\mathbb{P}_0(\partial_v P \partial_z a) - \mathbb{P}_0(\partial_z P \partial_v a)]. \end{aligned}$$

The following lemma is devoted to the estimates for the cubic and higher order contributions.

Lemma 7.3. *For any $t \in [1, T]$, we have*

$$(7.7) \quad \left| 2\mathbf{Re} \int_1^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_R(s, \xi)^2 \widetilde{F}_1(s, \xi) \overline{\widetilde{V}_1(s, \xi)} d\xi ds \right| \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^3,$$

and

$$(7.8) \quad \left| 2\mathbf{Re} \int_1^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_{NR}(s, \xi)^2 (\langle s \rangle / \langle \xi \rangle)^{3/2} \widetilde{G}_j(s, \xi) \overline{\widetilde{H}(s, \xi)} d\xi ds \right| \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^3, \quad j \in \{1, 2\}$$

$$(7.9) \quad \left| 2\mathbf{Re} \int_1^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_{NR}(s, \xi)^2 (\langle s \rangle / \langle \xi \rangle)^{3/2} \widetilde{G}_4(s, \xi) \overline{\widetilde{H}(s, \xi)} d\xi ds \right| \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^3.$$

Proof. The bound (7.8) for $j = 2$ was proved in [9] (see Lemma 6.3).

Step 1. Proof of (7.7).

We write

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| 2\mathbf{Re} \int_1^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_R(s, \xi)^2 \widetilde{F}_1(s, \xi) \overline{\widetilde{V}_1(s, \xi)} d\xi ds \right| \\ &= \left| \int_1^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} [\eta A_R(s, \xi)^2 - \xi A_R(s, \eta)^2] \widetilde{V}_3(s, \xi - \eta) \widetilde{V}_1(s, \eta) \overline{\widetilde{V}_1(s, \xi)} d\xi d\eta ds \right|. \end{aligned}$$

We define the sets

$$(7.10) \quad \begin{aligned} S_0 &= \left\{ (k, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \min(\langle \xi \rangle, \langle \eta \rangle, \langle \xi - \eta \rangle) \geq \frac{\langle \xi \rangle + \langle \eta \rangle + \langle \xi - \eta \rangle}{20} \right\}, \\ S_1 &= \left\{ (k, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \langle \xi - \eta \rangle \leq \frac{\langle k, \xi \rangle + \langle l, \eta \rangle + \langle \xi - \eta \rangle}{10} \right\}, \\ S_2 &= \left\{ (k, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \langle \eta \rangle \leq \frac{\langle \xi \rangle + \langle \eta \rangle + \langle \xi - \eta \rangle}{10} \right\}, \\ S_3 &= \left\{ (k, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \langle \xi \rangle \leq \frac{\langle \xi \rangle + \langle \eta \rangle + \langle \xi - \eta \rangle}{10} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and then we denote that for $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$,

$$\mathcal{I}_i = \int_1^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbf{1}_{S_i}(\xi, \eta) |\eta A_R(s, \xi)^2 - \xi A_R(s, \eta)^2| |\widetilde{V}_3(s, \xi - \eta)| |\widetilde{V}_1(s, \eta)| |\widetilde{V}_1(s, \xi)| d\xi d\eta ds.$$

It suffices to prove that for $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$,

$$(7.11) \quad \mathcal{I}_i \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^3.$$

Let $\rho = \xi - \eta$. By (B.20), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{1}_{(\xi, \eta) \in S_0 \cup S_1} |\eta A_R(s, \xi)^2 - \xi A_R(s, \eta)^2| \\ & \lesssim_\delta s^{1.6} |\rho| \sqrt{|(A_R \dot{A}_R)(s, \xi)|} \sqrt{|(A_R \dot{A}_R)(s, \eta)|} A_{NR}(s, \rho) e^{-(\lambda(s)/40)\langle \rho \rangle^{1/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for $j = 0, 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_j & \lesssim_\delta \left\| \sqrt{|(A_R \dot{A}_R)(s, \xi)|} |\widetilde{V}_1(s, \xi)| \right\|_{L_s^2 L_\xi^2} \left\| \sqrt{|(A_R \dot{A}_R)(s, \eta)|} |\widetilde{V}_1(s, \eta)| \right\|_{L_s^2 L_\eta^2} \\ & \quad \times \left\| s^{1.6} A_{NR}(s, \rho) |\rho| e^{-(\lambda(s)/50)\langle \rho \rangle^{1/2}} \widetilde{V}_3(s, \rho) \right\|_{L_s^\infty L_\rho^2}, \end{aligned}$$

and the desired estimate (7.11) ($j = 0, 1$) follows from (2.23) and (2.25).

If $(\xi, \eta) \in S_2$, then $10\langle \eta \rangle \leq \langle \xi \rangle + \langle \eta \rangle + \langle \rho \rangle \leq 2(\langle \eta \rangle + \langle \rho \rangle)$, $\langle \rho \rangle \geq 4\langle \eta \rangle \geq 4$, $|\rho| \geq 3$, $\langle \xi \rangle \leq \langle \eta \rangle + \langle \rho \rangle \leq \frac{5}{4}\langle \rho \rangle \leq 2|\rho|$. By (B.21), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{1}_{(\xi, \eta) \in S_2} |\eta A_R(s, \xi)^2 - \xi A_R(s, \eta)^2| \lesssim \mathbf{1}_{(\xi, \eta) \in S_2} \langle \eta \rangle A_R(s, \xi)^2 \\ & \lesssim_\delta s^{1.1} \langle \xi \rangle^{0.6} \sqrt{|(A_R \dot{A}_R)(s, \xi)|} \sqrt{|(A_{NR} \dot{A}_{NR})(s, \rho)|} A_R(s, \eta) e^{-(\lambda(s)/40)\langle \eta \rangle^{1/2}} \\ & \lesssim_\delta s^{1.1} |\rho| \langle \rho \rangle^{-0.4} \sqrt{|(A_R \dot{A}_R)(s, \xi)|} \sqrt{|(A_{NR} \dot{A}_{NR})(s, \rho)|} A_R(s, \eta) e^{-(\lambda(s)/40)\langle \eta \rangle^{1/2}}, \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_2 & \lesssim_\delta \left\| \sqrt{|(A_R \dot{A}_R)(s, \xi)|} |\widetilde{V}_1(s, \xi)| \right\|_{L_s^2 L_\xi^2} \left\| s^{1.1} |\rho| \langle \rho \rangle^{-0.4} \sqrt{|(A_R \dot{A}_R)(s, \rho)|} \widetilde{V}_3(s, \rho) \right\|_{L_s^2 L_\rho^2} \\ & \quad \times \left\| A_R(s, \eta) e^{-(\lambda(s)/50)\langle \eta \rangle^{1/2}} \widetilde{V}_1(s, \eta) \right\|_{L_s^\infty L_\eta^2}, \end{aligned}$$

and the desired estimate (7.11) ($j = 2$) follows from (2.23) and (2.25). The argument for $j = 3$ is similar to $j = 2$.

Step 2. Proof of (7.8) for $j = 1$.

We write

$$\left| 2\mathbf{Re} \int_1^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_{NR}(s, \xi)^2 (\langle s \rangle / \langle \xi \rangle)^{3/2} \widetilde{G}_1(s, \xi) \overline{\widetilde{H}(s, \xi)} d\xi ds \right| = \left| \int_1^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \langle s \rangle^{3/2} \right|$$

$$\times \left[\eta A_{NR}(s, \xi)^2 \langle \xi \rangle^{-3/2} - \xi A_{NR}(s, \eta)^2 \langle \eta \rangle^{-3/2} \right] \widetilde{V}_3(s, \xi - \eta) \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(s, \eta) \overline{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(s, \xi)} d\xi d\eta ds \Big|.$$

We denote that for $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$,

$$(7.12) \quad \mathcal{J}_j = \int_1^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbf{1}_{(\xi, \eta) \in S_j} \langle s \rangle^{3/2} \left| \eta A_{NR}(s, \xi)^2 \langle \xi \rangle^{-3/2} - \xi A_{NR}(s, \eta)^2 \langle \eta \rangle^{-3/2} \right| \\ \times \left| \widetilde{V}_3(s, \xi - \eta) \right| \left| \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(s, \eta) \right| \left| \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(s, \xi) \right| d\xi d\eta ds.$$

Let $\rho = \xi - \eta$. It suffices to prove that for $j \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$

$$(7.13) \quad \mathcal{J}_i \lesssim_\delta \epsilon_1^3.$$

It follows from (B.20)(taking $\alpha = 3/2$) that

$$\mathbf{1}_{(\xi, \eta) \in S_0 \cup S_1} \left| \eta A_{NR}^2(t, \xi) \langle \xi \rangle^{-3/2} - \xi A_{NR}(t, \eta)^2 \langle \eta \rangle^{-3/2} \right| \\ \lesssim_\delta t^{1.6} |\rho| \frac{\sqrt{|(A_{NR} \dot{A}_{NR})(t, \xi)|}}{\langle \xi \rangle^{3/4}} \frac{\sqrt{|(A_{NR} \dot{A}_{NR})(t, \eta)|}}{\langle \eta \rangle^{3/4}} \cdot A_{NR}(t, \rho) e^{-(\lambda(t)/40) \langle \rho \rangle^{1/2}}.$$

Therefore, we deduce that for $j = 0, 1$,

$$\mathcal{J}_j \lesssim_\delta \left\| s^{3/4} \frac{\sqrt{|(A_{NR} \dot{A}_{NR})(t, \xi)|}}{\langle \xi \rangle^{3/4}} \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(s, \xi) \right\|_{L_s^2 L_\xi^2} \left\| s^{3/4} \frac{\sqrt{|(A_{NR} \dot{A}_{NR})(t, \eta)|}}{\langle \eta \rangle^{3/4}} \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(s, \eta) \right\|_{L_s^2 L_\eta^2} \\ \times \left\| s^{1.6} |\rho| A_{NR}(t, \rho) e^{-(\lambda(t)/50) \langle \rho \rangle^{1/2}} \widetilde{V}_3(s, \rho) \right\|_{L_s^\infty L_\rho^2},$$

and the desired conclusion (7.13)($j \in \{0, 1\}$) follows from (2.24) and (2.25).

If $(\xi, \eta) \in S_2$, then $|\rho| \geq 3$. It follows from (B.22) that

$$\left| \eta A_{NR}(s, \xi)^2 \langle \xi \rangle^{-3/2} - \xi A_{NR}(s, \eta)^2 \langle \eta \rangle^{-3/2} \right| \lesssim_\delta \langle \eta \rangle A_{NR}(t, \xi)^2 \langle \xi \rangle^{-3/2} \\ \lesssim_\delta t^{1.1} \langle \xi \rangle^{-1.9} \sqrt{|(A_{NR} \dot{A}_{NR})(t, \xi)|} \sqrt{|(A_{NR} \dot{A}_{NR})(t, \rho)|} A_{NR}(t, \eta) e^{-(\lambda(t)/40) \langle \eta \rangle^{1/2}} \\ \lesssim_\delta t^{1.1} \sqrt{|(A_{NR} \dot{A}_{NR})(t, \xi)|} \sqrt{|(A_{NR} \dot{A}_{NR})(t, \rho)|} A_{NR}(t, \eta) e^{-(\lambda(t)/40) \langle \eta \rangle^{1/2}} \\ \lesssim_\delta t^{1.1} |\rho| \langle \rho \rangle^{-0.1} \sqrt{|(A_{NR} \dot{A}_{NR})(t, \xi)|} \sqrt{|(A_{NR} \dot{A}_{NR})(t, \rho)|} A_{NR}(t, \eta) e^{-(\lambda(t)/41) \langle \eta \rangle^{1/2}}$$

for any $(\xi, \eta) \in S_2$. Therefore, we arrive at

$$\mathcal{J}_2 \lesssim_\delta \left\| \sqrt{|(A_{NR} \dot{A}_{NR})(t, \xi)|} \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(s, \xi) \right\|_{L_s^2 L_\xi^2} \left\| s^{1.1} |\rho| \langle \rho \rangle^{-0.1} \sqrt{|(A_{NR} \dot{A}_{NR})(t, \rho)|} \widetilde{V}_3(s, \rho) \right\|_{L_s^2 L_\rho^2} \\ \times \left\| A_{NR}(t, \eta) e^{-(\lambda(t)/50) \langle \eta \rangle^{1/2}} \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(s, \eta) \right\|_{L_s^\infty L_\eta^2},$$

and the desired bound (7.13)($j = 2$) follows from (2.24) and (2.25). The proof for $j = 3$ is similar to $j = 2$.

Step 3. Proof of (7.9).

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\left| \int_1^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_{NR}(s, \xi)^2 (\langle s \rangle / \langle \xi \rangle)^{3/2} \widetilde{G}_4(s, \xi) \overline{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(s, \xi)} d\xi ds \right|^2 \\ \lesssim \mathcal{B}_H(t) \int_1^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\dot{A}_{NR}(s, \xi)|^{-1} A_{NR}(s, \xi)^3 (\langle s \rangle / \langle \xi \rangle)^{3/2} |\widetilde{G}_4(s, \xi)|^2 d\xi ds.$$

In view of the bootstrap assumption on $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{H}}(t)$, it suffices to prove that

$$(7.14) \quad \int_1^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\dot{A}_{NR}(s, \xi)|^{-1} A_{NR}(s, \xi)^3 (\langle s \rangle / \langle \xi \rangle)^{3/2} |\widetilde{G}_4(s, \xi)|^2 d\xi ds \lesssim_{\delta} \epsilon_1^4.$$

Let $G_4^{(0)} = \mathbb{P}_0(\partial_v P \partial_z a) - \mathbb{P}_0(\partial_z P \partial_v a) = \mathbb{P}_0[\partial_z(\partial_v P a) - \partial_v(\partial_z P a)] = -\partial_v \mathbb{P}_0(\partial_z P a)$. We first prove that for any $t \in [1, T]$,

$$(7.15) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\dot{A}_{NR}|^{-2} A_{NR}(t, \xi)^4 (\langle t \rangle / \langle \xi \rangle)^{3/2} |\widetilde{G}_4^{(0)}(t, \xi)|^2 d\xi \lesssim_{\delta} \epsilon_1^4,$$

and

$$(7.16) \quad \int_1^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\dot{A}_{NR}(s, \xi)|^{-1} A_{NR}(t, \xi)^3 (\langle s \rangle / \langle \xi \rangle)^{3/2} |\widetilde{G}_4^{(0)}(s, \xi)|^2 d\xi ds \lesssim_{\delta} \epsilon_1^4.$$

For this, we use the following multiplier bounds

$$(7.17) \quad \frac{A_{NR}(t, \xi)^2}{|\dot{A}_{NR}(t, \xi)|} \frac{\langle t \rangle^{3/4}}{\langle \xi \rangle^{3/4}} |\xi| \lesssim_{\delta} A_k(t, \eta) \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \eta)|) \langle t - \eta/k \rangle^2}{\langle \eta/k \rangle^2} \times A_{-k}^*(t, \xi - \eta) \{ \langle \xi - \eta \rangle^{-2} + \langle \eta \rangle^{-2} \},$$

and

$$(7.18) \quad \frac{A_{NR}(t, \xi)^{3/2}}{|\dot{A}_{NR}(t, \xi)|^{1/2}} \frac{\langle t \rangle^{3/4}}{\langle \xi \rangle^{3/4}} |\xi| \lesssim_{\delta} \left[|(\dot{A}_k/A_k)(t, \eta)|^{1/2} + |(\dot{A}_{-k}^*/A_{-k}^*)(t, \xi - \eta)|^{1/2} \right] A_k(t, \eta) \times \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \eta)|) \langle t - \eta/k \rangle^2}{\langle \eta/k \rangle^2} A_{-k}^*(t, \xi - \eta) \{ \langle \xi - \eta \rangle^{-2} + \langle \eta \rangle^{-2} \},$$

for any $t \in [1, T]$, $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, and $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$. The estimate (7.17) follows from (B.23), while the estimate (7.18) follows from (B.23), (B.18) and (A.26). By Lemma B.1 with $g = \partial_z P$, $h = a$, (7.15) and (7.16) follow from the multiplier bounds (7.17) and (7.18).

Next we prove (7.14). Notice that $G_4 = V_1 G_4^{(0)} + G_4^{(0)}$. In view of Lemma B.1, (7.15) and (7.16), it suffices to prove the following multiplier estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{A_{NR}(t, \xi)^{3/2}}{|\dot{A}_{NR}(t, \xi)|^{1/2}} \frac{\langle t \rangle^{3/4}}{\langle \xi \rangle^{3/4}} \lesssim_{\delta} \left[|(\dot{A}_{NR}/A_{NR})(t, \eta)|^{1/2} + |(\dot{A}_R/A_R)(t, \xi - \eta)|^{1/2} \right] \\ & \quad \times \frac{A_{NR}(t, \eta)^2}{|\dot{A}_{NR}(t, \eta)|} \frac{\langle t \rangle^{3/4}}{\langle \eta \rangle^{3/4}} A_R(t, \xi - \eta) \{ \langle \xi - \eta \rangle^{-2} + \langle \eta \rangle^{-2} \}, \end{aligned}$$

which can be deduced by using (B.19), (B.8), (A.22) and $A_{NR}(t, \rho) \leq A_R(t, \rho)$. \square

APPENDIX A. MAIN WEIGHTS AND BASIC PROPERTIES

A.1. Main weights. Let us recall some main weights in [9], which are introduced to match nonlinear transient growth of the system.

For fixed $\delta_0 > 0$, we define the function $\lambda : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [\delta_0, 3\delta_0/2]$ by

$$(A.1) \quad \lambda(0) = \frac{3\delta_0}{2}, \quad \lambda'(t) = -\frac{\delta_0 \sigma_0^2}{\langle t \rangle^{1+\sigma_0}},$$

for small positive constant σ_0 .

Take small $\delta > 0$ with $\delta \ll \delta_0$. For $\eta > \delta^{-10}$, we define $k_0(\eta) = \lfloor \sqrt{\delta^3 \eta} \rfloor$. For $l \in \{1, \dots, k_0(\eta)\}$, we define

$$t_{l,\eta} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\eta}{l+1} + \frac{\eta}{l} \right), \quad t_{0,\eta} = 2\eta, \quad I_{l,\eta} = [t_{l,\eta}, t_{l-1,\eta}].$$

Notice that $|I_{l,\eta}| \approx \frac{\eta}{l^2}$ and

$$(A.2) \quad \delta^{-3/2} \sqrt{\eta}/2 \leq t_{k_0(\eta),\eta} \leq \dots \leq t_{l,\eta} \leq \eta/l \leq t_{l-1,\eta} \leq \dots \leq t_{0,\eta} = 2\eta.$$

Now we define the weights w_{NR} and w_R . For $|\eta| \leq \delta^{-10}$, we define

$$w_{NR}(t, \eta) = 1, \quad w_R(t, \eta) = 1;$$

For $\eta > \delta^{-10}$, if $t \geq t_{0,\eta} = 2\eta$, then we define

$$w_{NR}(t, \eta) = 1, \quad w_R(t, \eta) = 1;$$

For $k \in \{1, \dots, k_0(\eta)\}$, we define

$$w_{NR}(t, \eta) = \left(\frac{1 + \delta^2 |t - \eta/k|}{1 + \delta^2 |t_{k-1,\eta} - \eta/k|} \right)^{\delta_0} w_{NR}(t_{k-1,\eta}, \eta) \quad \text{if } t \in [\eta/k, t_{k-1,\eta}],$$

$$w_{NR}(t, \eta) = \left(\frac{1}{1 + \delta^2 |t - \eta/k|} \right)^{1+\delta_0} w_{NR}(\eta/l, \eta) \quad \text{if } t \in [t_{k,\eta}, \eta/k],$$

and

$$w_R(t, \eta) = \begin{cases} w_{NR}(t, \eta) \frac{1 + \delta^2 |t - \eta/k|}{1 + \delta^2 \eta/(8k^2)} & \text{if } |t - \eta/k| \leq \eta/(8k^2), \\ w_{NR}(t, \eta) & \text{if } t \in I_{k,\eta}, |t - \eta/k| \geq \eta/(8k^2). \end{cases}$$

For $t \leq t_{k_0(\eta),\eta}$, we define

$$(A.3) \quad w_{NR}(t, \eta) = w_R(t, \eta) = (e^{-\delta\sqrt{\eta}})^\beta w_{NR}(t_{k_0(\eta),\eta}, \eta)^{1-\beta}$$

if $t = (1 - \beta)t_{k_0(\eta),\eta}$, $\beta \in [0, 1]$.

For $\eta < -\delta^{-10}$, we define

$$w_R(t, \eta) = w_R(t, |\eta|), \quad w_{NR}(t, \eta) = w_{NR}(t, |\eta|),$$

and the resonant intervals $I_{k,\eta} = I_{-k,-\eta}$. Thus, the resonant intervals $I_{k,\eta}$ are defined for $(k, \eta) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $|\eta| > \delta^{-10}$, $1 \leq |k| \leq \sqrt{\delta^3 |\eta|}$, and $\eta/k > 0$. (Otherwise $I_{k,\eta} = \emptyset$).

We have the following basic properties for the weights: for $t \in I_{k,\eta}$, we have

$$(A.4) \quad w_R(t, \eta) \approx w_{NR}(t, \eta) \left[\frac{k^2}{\delta^2 \eta} (1 + \delta^2 |t - \eta/k|) \right],$$

and

$$(A.5) \quad \frac{\partial_t w_{NR}(t, \eta)}{w_{NR}(t, \eta)} \approx \frac{\partial_t w_R(t, \eta)}{w_R(t, \eta)} \approx \frac{\delta^2}{1 + \delta^2 |t - \eta/k|}.$$

Next we define the weights $w_k(t, \eta)$, which crucially distinguish the way resonant and nonresonant modes grow around the critical times η/k , by the formula

$$(A.6) \quad w_k(t, \eta) = \begin{cases} w_{NR}(t, \eta) & \text{if } t \notin I_{k,\eta}, \\ w_R(t, \eta) & \text{if } t \in I_{k,\eta}. \end{cases}$$

Fix $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ an even smooth function supported in $[-8/5, 6/5]$ and equal to 1 in $[-5/4, 5/4]$ and let $d_0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x) dx$. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $Y \in \{NR, R, k\}$, let

$$(A.7) \quad \begin{aligned} b_Y(t, \xi) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} w_Y(t, \rho) \varphi\left(\frac{\xi - \rho}{L_\kappa(t, \xi)}\right) \frac{1}{d_0 L_\kappa(t, \xi)} d\rho, \\ L_\kappa(t, \xi) &= 1 + \frac{\kappa \langle \xi \rangle}{\langle \xi \rangle^{1/2} + \kappa t}. \end{aligned}$$

Here κ is a sufficiently small positive constant depending only on δ .

Now we define

$$(A.8) \quad A_R(t, \xi) = \frac{e^{\lambda(t)\langle \xi \rangle^{1/2}}}{b_R(t, \xi)} e^{\sqrt{\delta}\langle \xi \rangle^{1/2}}, \quad A_{NR}(t, \xi) = \frac{e^{\lambda(t)\langle \xi \rangle^{1/2}}}{b_{NR}(t, \xi)} e^{\sqrt{\delta}\langle \xi \rangle^{1/2}},$$

and

$$(A.9) \quad A_k(t, \xi) = e^{\lambda(t)\langle k, \xi \rangle^{1/2}} \left(\frac{e^{\sqrt{\delta}\langle \xi \rangle^{1/2}}}{b_k(t, \xi)} + e^{\sqrt{\delta}|k|^{1/2}} \right).$$

A.2. Basic bounds on the weights. Let us first collect some basic bounds on the weights w_Y, b_Y and A_Y with $Y \in \{NR, R, k\}$ from [9].

Lemma A.1. *For all $t \geq 0$, $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$, and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have*

$$(A.10) \quad \frac{w_{NR}(t, \xi)}{w_{NR}(t, \eta)} + \frac{w_R(t, \xi)}{w_R(t, \eta)} + \frac{w_k(t, \xi)}{w_k(t, \eta)} \lesssim_\delta e^{\sqrt{\delta}|\eta - \xi|^{1/2}}.$$

Moreover, if $|\xi - \eta| \leq 10L_1(t, \eta)$, then we have the stronger bound

$$(A.11) \quad \frac{w_{NR}(t, \xi)}{w_{NR}(t, \eta)} + \frac{w_R(t, \xi)}{w_R(t, \eta)} + \frac{w_k(t, \xi)}{w_k(t, \eta)} \lesssim_\delta 1.$$

Lemma A.2. *For $t \geq 0$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $Y \in \{NR, R, k\}$, we have*

$$(A.12) \quad b_Y(t, \xi) \approx_\delta w_Y(t, \xi),$$

$$(A.13) \quad |\partial_\xi b_Y(t, \xi)| \lesssim_\delta b_Y(t, \xi) \frac{1}{L_\kappa(t, \xi)},$$

$$(A.14) \quad \frac{b_Y(t, \xi)}{b_Y(t, \eta)} \lesssim_\delta e^{\sqrt{\delta}|\eta - \xi|^{1/2}}.$$

Lemma A.3. *(i) Assume that $t \in [0, \infty)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $Y \in \{NR, R, k\}$. Then for any $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $|\eta| \geq |\xi|/8$ (or $|(k, \eta)| \geq |(k, \xi)|/8$ if $Y = k$), we have*

$$(A.15) \quad \frac{A_Y(t, \xi)}{A_Y(t, \eta)} \lesssim_\delta e^{0.9\lambda(t)|\xi - \eta|^{1/2}}.$$

(ii) Assume that $t \in [0, \infty)$, $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $|(l, \eta)| \geq |(k, \xi)|/8$. If $t \notin I_{k, \xi}$ or if $t \in I_{k, \xi} \cap I_{l, \eta}$, then

$$(A.16) \quad \frac{A_k(t, \xi)}{A_l(t, \eta)} \lesssim_\delta e^{0.9\lambda(t)|(k-l, \xi - \eta)|^{1/2}}.$$

If $t \in I_{k, \xi}$ and $t \notin I_{l, \eta}$, then

$$(A.17) \quad \frac{A_k(t, \xi)}{A_l(t, \eta)} \lesssim_\delta \frac{|\xi|}{k^2} \frac{1}{1 + |t - \xi/k|} e^{0.9\lambda(t)|(k-l, \xi - \eta)|^{1/2}}.$$

Lemma A.4. (i) For all $t \geq 0, \xi \in \mathbb{R}$, and $Y \in \{NR, R\}$, we have

$$(A.18) \quad -\frac{\partial_t A_Y(t, \xi)}{A_Y(t, \xi)} \approx_\delta \left[\frac{\langle k, \xi \rangle^{1/2}}{\langle t \rangle^{1+\sigma_0}} + \frac{\partial_t w_Y(t, \xi)}{w_Y(t, \xi)} \right],$$

and for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$(A.19) \quad -\frac{\partial_t A_k(t, \xi)}{A_k(t, \xi)} \approx_\delta \left[\frac{\langle k, \xi \rangle^{1/2}}{\langle t \rangle^{1+\sigma_0}} + \frac{\partial_t w_k(t, \xi)}{w_k(t, \xi)} \frac{1}{1 + e^{\sqrt{\delta}(|k|^{1/2} - \langle \xi \rangle^{1/2})} w_k(t, \xi)} \right].$$

(ii) For all $t \geq 0, \xi \in \mathbb{R}$, and $Y \in \{NR, R\}$, we have

$$(A.20) \quad |(\dot{A}_Y/A_Y)(t, \xi)| \lesssim_\delta |(\dot{A}_Y/A_Y)(t, \eta)| e^{4\sqrt{\delta}|\xi - \eta|^{1/2}}.$$

Moreover, if $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ then

$$(A.21) \quad |(\dot{A}_k/A_k)(t, \xi)| \lesssim_\delta |(\dot{A}_l/A_l)(t, \eta)| e^{4\sqrt{\delta}|k-l, \xi-\eta|^{1/2}}.$$

Finally, if $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfy $|k| \leq \langle \xi \rangle + 10$, then

$$(A.22) \quad |(\dot{A}_k/A_k)(t, \xi)| \approx_\delta |(\dot{A}_{NR}/A_{NR})(t, \xi)| \approx_\delta |(\dot{A}_R/A_R)(t, \xi)|.$$

As a consequence of (A.19), we have (see (8.40) in [9], more precisely arXiv:1808.04026v1)

$$(A.23) \quad \left| \frac{\partial_t A_\sigma(t, \rho)}{A_\sigma(t, \rho)} \right| \gtrsim_\delta \frac{1}{\langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle}, \quad \text{if } 0 < t < 2|\rho/\sigma|, \quad \sigma \neq 0.$$

Notice that for any $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $\frac{k^2 + |\xi|}{\langle t \rangle^2} \lesssim \frac{l^2 + |\eta|}{\langle t \rangle^2} + \frac{|k-l|^2 + |\xi-\eta|}{\langle t \rangle^2}$, which gives

$$(A.24) \quad \frac{\left(1 + \frac{k^2 + |\xi|}{\langle t \rangle^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left(1 + \frac{l^2 + |\eta|}{\langle t \rangle^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim \left(1 + \frac{|k-l|^2 + |\xi-\eta|}{\langle t \rangle^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \begin{cases} \langle k-l, \xi-\eta \rangle, \\ 1 + \frac{|k-l|^2 + |\xi-\eta|}{\langle t \rangle^2}. \end{cases}$$

Similar to Lemma A.4, we have

Lemma A.5. For any $t \geq 0, k \in \mathbb{Z}, \xi \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$(A.25) \quad -\frac{\partial_t A_k^*(t, \xi)}{A_k^*(t, \xi)} \approx_\delta \left[\frac{\langle k, \xi \rangle^{1/2}}{\langle t \rangle^{1+\sigma_0}} + \frac{\partial_t w_k(t, \xi)}{w_k(t, \xi)} \frac{1}{1 + e^{\sqrt{\delta}(|k|^{1/2} - \langle \xi \rangle^{1/2})} w_k(t, \xi)} \right],$$

$$(A.26) \quad -\dot{A}_k^*(t, \xi) \approx_\delta -\dot{A}_k(t, \xi) \left(1 + \frac{k^2 + |\xi|}{\langle t \rangle^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Moreover, for any $t \geq 0, k, l \in \mathbb{Z}, \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$(A.27) \quad |(\dot{A}_k^*/A_k^*)(t, \xi)| \lesssim_\delta |(\dot{A}_l^*/A_l^*)(t, \eta)| e^{4\sqrt{\delta}|k-l, \xi-\eta|^{1/2}}.$$

Proof. Recall that

$$A_k^*(t, \xi) = A_k(t, \xi) \left(1 + \frac{k^2 + |\xi|}{\langle t \rangle^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Then we have

$$(A.28) \quad \frac{\dot{A}_k^*(t, \xi)}{A_k^*(t, \xi)} = \frac{\dot{A}_k(t, \xi)}{A_k(t, \xi)} + \frac{\partial_t \left(1 + \frac{k^2 + |\xi|}{\langle t \rangle^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left(1 + \frac{k^2 + |\xi|}{\langle t \rangle^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \frac{\dot{A}_k(t, \xi)}{A_k(t, \xi)} - \frac{2t(k^2 + |\xi|)}{\langle t \rangle^4}.$$

It is easy to verify that

$$(A.29) \quad \frac{\frac{2t(k^2 + |\xi|)}{\langle t \rangle^4}}{2 \left(1 + \frac{k^2 + |\xi|}{\langle t \rangle^2}\right)} \lesssim \frac{\langle k \rangle^{1/2}}{\langle t \rangle^{3/2}} + \frac{\langle \xi \rangle^{1/2}}{\langle t \rangle^2} \lesssim_\delta \frac{\langle k, \xi \rangle^{1/2}}{\langle t \rangle^{1+\sigma_0}}.$$

By (A.19), we know

$$(A.30) \quad -\frac{\partial_t A_k(t, \xi)}{A_k(t, \xi)} \approx_\delta \left[\frac{\langle k, \xi \rangle^{1/2}}{\langle t \rangle^{1+\sigma_0}} + \frac{\partial_t w_k(t, \xi)}{w_k(t, \xi)} \frac{1}{1 + e^{\sqrt{\delta}(|k|^{1/2} - \langle \xi \rangle^{1/2})} w_k(t, \xi)} \right].$$

This along with (A.28) and (A.29) gives (A.25).

By (A.30) again, we get

$$-\frac{\partial_t A_k(t, \xi)}{A_k(t, \xi)} \approx_\delta -\frac{\partial_t A_k^*(t, \xi)}{A_k^*(t, \xi)},$$

which gives

$$-\partial_t A_k^*(t, \xi) \approx_\delta -\partial_t A_k(t, \xi) \frac{A_k^*(t, \xi)}{A_k(t, \xi)} \approx_\delta -\dot{A}_k(t, \xi) \left(1 + \frac{k^2 + |\xi|}{\langle t \rangle^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

This proves (A.26).

Thanks to the fact that

$$-\frac{\partial_t A_k(t, \xi)}{A_k(t, \xi)} \approx_\delta -\frac{\partial_t A_k^*(t, \xi)}{A_k^*(t, \xi)}, \quad -\frac{\partial_t A_l(t, \eta)}{A_l(t, \eta)} \approx_\delta -\frac{\partial_t A_l^*(t, \eta)}{A_l^*(t, \eta)},$$

we get by (A.21) that

$$\begin{aligned} |(\dot{A}_k^*/A_k^*)(t, \xi)| &\approx_\delta |(\dot{A}_k/A_k)(t, \xi)| \\ &\lesssim |(\dot{A}_l/A_l)(t, \eta)| e^{4\sqrt{\delta}|k-l, \xi-\eta|^{1/2}} \approx_\delta |(\dot{A}_l^*/A_l^*)(t, \eta)| e^{4\sqrt{\delta}|k-l, \xi-\eta|^{1/2}}, \end{aligned}$$

which gives (A.27). \square

APPENDIX B. WEIGHTED BILINEAR ESTIMATES(I)

The following lemmas from [9](see Lemma 8.1-8.6) are devoted to nonlinear estimates.

Lemma B.1. (i) Assume that $m, m_1, m_2 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are symbols satisfying

$$(B.1) \quad |m(\xi)| \lesssim |m_1(\xi - \eta)| |m_2(\eta)| \{ \langle \xi - \eta \rangle^{-2} + \langle \eta \rangle^{-2} \}$$

for any $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$. If M, M_1, M_2 are the operators defined by these symbols, then

$$(B.2) \quad \|M(gh)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \|M_1 g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \|M_2 h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}.$$

(ii) Assume that $m, m_2 : \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $m_1 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are symbols satisfying

$$(B.3) \quad |m(k, \xi)| \lesssim |m_1(\xi - \eta)| |m_2(k, \eta)| \{ \langle \xi - \eta \rangle^{-2} + \langle k, \eta \rangle^{-2} \}$$

for any $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. If M, M_1, M_2 are the operators defined by these symbols, then

$$(B.4) \quad \|M(gh)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R})} \lesssim \|M_1 g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \|M_2 h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R})}.$$

(iii) Assume that $m, m_1, m_2 : \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are symbols satisfying

$$(B.5) \quad |m(k, \xi)| \lesssim |m_1(k-l, \xi-\eta)| |m_2(l, \eta)| \{ \langle k-l, \xi-\eta \rangle^{-2} + \langle l, \eta \rangle^{-2} \}$$

for any $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$, $l, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. If M, M_1, M_2 are the operators defined by these symbols, then

$$(B.6) \quad \|M(gh)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R})} \lesssim \|M_1 g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R})} \|M_2 h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R})}.$$

Lemma B.2. For any $t \geq 1$, $\alpha \in [0, 4]$, $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$, and $Y \in \{NR, R\}$, we have

$$(B.7) \quad \langle \xi \rangle^{-\alpha} A_Y(t, \xi) \lesssim_\delta \langle \xi - \eta \rangle^{-\alpha} A_Y(t, \xi - \eta) \langle \eta \rangle^{-\alpha} A_Y(t, \eta) e^{-(\lambda(t)/20) \min(\langle \xi - \eta \rangle, \langle \eta \rangle)^{1/2}},$$

and

$$(B.8) \quad |(\dot{A}_Y/A_Y)(t, \xi)| \lesssim_\delta \left\{ |(\dot{A}_Y/A_Y)(t, \xi - \eta)| + |(\dot{A}_Y/A_Y)(t, \eta)| \right\} e^{4\sqrt{\delta} \min(\langle \xi - \eta \rangle, \langle \eta \rangle)^{1/2}}.$$

Lemma B.3. For any $t \geq 1$, $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$, and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$(B.9) \quad A_k(t, \xi) \lesssim_\delta A_R(t, \xi - \eta) A_k(t, \eta) e^{-(\lambda(t)/20) \min(\langle \xi - \eta \rangle, \langle k, \eta \rangle)^{1/2}},$$

and

$$(B.10) \quad |(\dot{A}_k/A_k)(t, \xi)| \lesssim_\delta \left\{ |(\dot{A}_R/A_R)(t, \xi - \eta)| + |(\dot{A}_k/A_k)(t, \eta)| \right\} e^{12\sqrt{\delta} \min(\langle \xi - \eta \rangle, \langle \eta \rangle)^{1/2}}.$$

The following stronger estimates hold for the case when $((k, \xi), (l, \eta))$ belongs to some range.

Lemma B.4. Assume $t \geq 1$ and let the sets R_0, R_1, R_2, R_3 be defined by (4.17)-(4.20) and $(\sigma, \rho) = (k-l, \xi-\eta)$. Assume $\sigma \neq 0$. Then it holds that

(i) If $((k, \xi), (l, \eta)) \in R_0 \cup R_1$, then

$$(B.11) \quad \frac{|\rho/\sigma| + \langle t \rangle}{\langle t \rangle} \frac{\langle \rho \rangle / \sigma^2}{\langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2} |l A_k^2(t, \xi) - k A_l^2(t, \eta)| \\ \lesssim_\delta \sqrt{|(A_k \dot{A}_k)(t, \xi)|} \sqrt{|(A_l \dot{A}_l)(t, \eta)|} A_\sigma(t, \rho) e^{-(\delta_0/200) \langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{1/2}}.$$

Moreover, if $((k, \xi), (l, \eta)) \in R_0$, the term $|l A_k^2(t, \xi) - k A_l^2(t, \eta)|$ in the above inequality can be replaced by $|l A_k^2(t, \xi)| + |k A_l^2(t, \eta)|$.

(ii) If $((k, \xi), (l, \eta)) \in R_2$, then

$$(B.12) \quad \frac{|\rho/\sigma| + \langle t \rangle}{\langle t \rangle} \frac{\langle \rho \rangle / \sigma^2}{\langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2} (|l A_k^2(t, \xi)| + |k A_l^2(t, \eta)|) \\ \lesssim_\delta \sqrt{|(A_k \dot{A}_k)(t, \xi)|} \sqrt{|(A_\sigma \dot{A}_\sigma)(t, \rho)|} A_l(t, \eta) e^{-(\delta_0/200) \langle l, \eta \rangle^{1/2}}.$$

Lemma B.5. Assume $t \geq 1$ and let the sets R_0, R_1, R_2, R_3 be defined by (4.17)-(4.20) and $(\sigma, \rho) = (k-l, \xi-\eta)$. Assume $\sigma \neq 0$. Then it holds that

(i) If $((k, \xi), (l, \eta)) \in R_0 \cup R_1$, then

$$(B.13) \quad \frac{|\rho/\sigma|^2 + \langle t \rangle^2}{|\sigma| \langle t \rangle^2} \frac{1}{\langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2} |\eta A_k^2(t, \xi) - \xi A_l^2(t, \eta)| \\ \lesssim_\delta \sqrt{|(A_k \dot{A}_k)(t, \xi)|} \sqrt{|(A_l \dot{A}_l)(t, \eta)|} A_\sigma(t, \rho) e^{-(\delta_0/200) \langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{1/2}}.$$

Moreover, if $((k, \xi), (l, \eta)) \in R_0$, the term $|\eta A_k^2(t, \xi) - \xi A_l^2(t, \eta)|$ in the above inequality can be replaced by $|\eta A_k^2(t, \xi)| + |\xi A_l^2(t, \eta)|$.

(ii) If $((k, \xi), (l, \eta)) \in R_2$, then

$$(B.14) \quad \frac{|\rho/\sigma|^2 + \langle t \rangle^2}{|\sigma| \langle t \rangle^2} \frac{1}{\langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2} (|\eta A_k^2(t, \xi)| + |\xi A_l^2(t, \eta)|) \\ \lesssim_\delta \sqrt{|(A_k \dot{A}_k)(t, \xi)|} \sqrt{|(A_\sigma \dot{A}_\sigma)(t, \rho)|} A_l(t, \eta) e^{-(\delta_0/200)\langle l, \eta \rangle^{1/2}}.$$

Lemma B.6. Assume $t \geq 1$ and let the sets $\Sigma_0, \Sigma_1, \Sigma_2, \Sigma_3$ be defined by (4.5) and $\rho = \xi - \eta$. It holds that

(i) If $((k, \xi), (l, \eta)) \in \Sigma_0 \cup \Sigma_1$, then

$$(B.15) \quad \frac{1}{\langle \rho \rangle \langle t \rangle + \langle \rho \rangle^{1/4} \langle t \rangle^{7/4}} |\eta A_k^2(t, \xi) - \xi A_k^2(t, \eta)| \\ \lesssim_\delta \sqrt{|(A_k \dot{A}_k)(t, \xi)|} \sqrt{|(A_k \dot{A}_k)(t, \eta)|} A_{NR}(t, \rho) e^{-(\delta_0/200)\langle \rho \rangle^{1/2}}.$$

Moreover, if $((k, \xi), (l, \eta)) \in \Sigma_0$, the term $|\eta A_k^2(t, \xi) - \xi A_k^2(t, \eta)|$ in the above inequality can be replaced by $|\eta A_k^2(t, \xi)| + |\xi A_l^2(t, \eta)|$.

(ii) If $((k, \xi), (l, \eta)) \in \Sigma_2$, then

$$(B.16) \quad \frac{1}{\langle \rho \rangle \langle t \rangle + \langle \rho \rangle^{1/4} \langle t \rangle^{7/4}} (|\eta A_k^2(t, \xi)| + |\xi A_k^2(t, \eta)|) \\ \lesssim_\delta \sqrt{|(A_k \dot{A}_k)(t, \xi)|} \sqrt{|(A_{NR} \dot{A}_{NR})(t, \rho)|} A_k(t, \eta) e^{-(\delta_0/200)\langle k, \eta \rangle^{1/2}}.$$

Lemma B.7. For any $t \geq 1$, $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, and $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$(B.17) \quad \frac{A_{NR}^2(t, \xi)}{|\dot{A}_{NR}(t, \xi)|} \langle t \rangle^{3/4} \langle \xi \rangle^{1/4} \lesssim_\delta A_k(t, \eta) \frac{\langle t \rangle \langle t - \eta/k \rangle^2}{\langle t \rangle + |\eta/k|} A_{-k}(t, \rho) e^{-(\lambda(t)/20)[\min(\langle \rho \rangle, \langle \eta \rangle) + |k|]^{1/2}},$$

and

$$(B.18) \quad |(\dot{A}_{NR}/A_{NR})(t, \xi)| \lesssim_\delta \left\{ |(\dot{A}_k/A_k)(t, \eta)| + |(\dot{A}_{-k}/A_{-k})(t, \rho)| \right\} e^{12\sqrt{\delta}[\min(\langle \rho \rangle, \langle \eta \rangle) + |k|]^{1/2}},$$

where $\rho = \xi - \eta$.

Lemma B.8. For any $t \geq 1$ and $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$(B.19) \quad \frac{A_{NR}^2(t, \xi)}{|\dot{A}_{NR}(t, \xi)|} \frac{\langle t \rangle^{3/4}}{\langle \xi \rangle^{3/4}} \lesssim_\delta \frac{A_{NR}^2(t, \eta)}{|\dot{A}_{NR}(t, \eta)|} \frac{\langle t \rangle^{3/4}}{\langle \eta \rangle^{3/4}} A_{NR}(t, \rho) e^{-(\lambda(t)/40) \min(\langle \rho \rangle, \langle \eta \rangle)^{1/2}},$$

where $\rho = \xi - \eta$.

Lemma B.9. Assume $t \geq 1$ and let the sets S_0, S_1, S_2 defined by (7.10). It holds that

(i) If $(\xi, \eta) \in S_0 \cup S_1$, $\alpha \in [0, 4]$, and $Y \in \{NR, R\}$ then, with $\rho = \xi - \eta$,

$$(B.20) \quad \left| \eta A_Y^2(t, \xi) \langle \xi \rangle^{-\alpha} - \xi A_Y^2(t, \eta) \langle \eta \rangle^{-\alpha} \right| \\ \lesssim_\delta t^{1.6} |\rho| \frac{\sqrt{|(A_Y \dot{A}_Y)(t, \xi)|}}{\langle \xi \rangle^{\alpha/2}} \frac{\sqrt{|(A_Y \dot{A}_Y)(t, \eta)|}}{\langle \eta \rangle^{\alpha/2}} \cdot A_{NR}(t, \rho) e^{-(\lambda(t)/40)\langle \rho \rangle^{1/2}}.$$

(ii) If $(\xi, \eta) \in S_2$, then

$$(B.21) \quad \langle \eta \rangle A_R^2(t, \xi) \lesssim_\delta t^{1.1} \langle \xi \rangle^{0.6} \sqrt{|(A_R \dot{A}_R)(t, \xi)|} \sqrt{|(A_{NR} \dot{A}_{NR})(t, \rho)|} \\ \times A_R(t, \eta) e^{-(\lambda(t)/40)\langle \eta \rangle^{1/2}},$$

and

$$(B.22) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle \eta \rangle A_{NR}^2(t, \xi) &\lesssim \delta t^{1.1} \langle \xi \rangle^{-0.4} \sqrt{|(A_{NR} \dot{A}_{NR})(t, \xi)|} \sqrt{|(A_{NR} \dot{A}_{NR})(t, \rho)|} \\ &\quad \times A_{NR}(t, \eta) e^{-(\lambda(t)/40) \langle \eta \rangle^{1/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma B.10. For any $t \geq 1$, $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, and $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$, we have, with $\rho = \xi - \eta$,

$$(B.23) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{A_{NR}^2(t, \xi)}{|\dot{A}_{NR}(t, \xi)|} \langle t \rangle^{3/4} \langle \xi \rangle^{1/4} &\lesssim_{\delta} A_k(t, \eta) \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \eta)|) \langle t - \eta/k \rangle^2}{\langle \eta/k \rangle^2} A_{-k}^*(t, \rho) \\ &\quad \times e^{-(\lambda(t)/20) [\min(\langle \rho \rangle, \langle \eta \rangle) + |k|]^{1/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Thanks to the facts that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\langle t \rangle \langle t - \eta/k \rangle^2}{\langle t \rangle + |\eta/k|} &\lesssim \frac{\langle t \rangle (\langle t \rangle + |(k, \eta)|) \langle t - \eta/k \rangle^2}{\langle \eta/k \rangle^2}, \\ A_{-k}(t, \rho) &\leq A_{-k}(t, \rho) \left(1 + \frac{k^2 + |\rho|}{\langle t \rangle^2} \right)^{1/2} = A_{-k}^*(t, \rho), \end{aligned}$$

the desired bound follows from (B.17). \square

Lemma B.11. For any $t \geq 1$, $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$A_k(t, \xi) \lesssim_{\delta} \left(\frac{\mathbf{1}_{t \in I_{k, \xi}} |\xi|/k^2}{\langle t - \xi/k \rangle} + 1 \right) A_{NR}(t, \xi - \eta) A_k(t, \eta) e^{-(\lambda(t)/20) \min(\langle \xi - \eta \rangle, \langle k, \eta \rangle)^{1/2}}.$$

Proof. If $t \notin I_{k, \xi}$, then $b_k(t, \xi) \approx_{\delta} w_k(t, \xi) = w_{NR}(t, \xi) \approx_{\delta} b_{NR}(t, \xi)$ and by (A.14),

$$\frac{b_{NR}(t, \xi - \eta)}{b_k(t, \xi)} \approx_{\delta} \frac{b_{NR}(t, \xi - \eta)}{b_{NR}(t, \xi)} \lesssim_{\delta} e^{\sqrt{\delta} |\eta|^{1/2}}.$$

If $t \in I_{k, \xi}$. By (A.4) and (A.12), we have $b_k(t, \xi) \approx_{\delta} b_R(t, \xi)$ and

$$b_R(t, \xi) \approx_{\delta} b_{NR}(t, \xi) \frac{\langle t - \xi/k \rangle}{|\xi|/k^2}.$$

Hence, we get by (A.14) that

$$\frac{b_{NR}(t, \xi - \eta)}{b_k(t, \xi)} \approx_{\delta} \frac{b_{NR}(t, \xi - \eta)}{b_R(t, \xi)} \approx_{\delta} \frac{|\xi|/k^2}{\langle t - \xi/k \rangle} \frac{b_{NR}(t, \xi - \eta)}{b_{NR}(t, \xi)} \lesssim_{\delta} \frac{|\xi|/k^2}{\langle t - \xi/k \rangle} e^{\sqrt{\delta} |\eta|^{1/2}}.$$

Combining two cases, we arrive at

$$(B.24) \quad \frac{b_{NR}(t, \xi - \eta)}{b_k(t, \xi)} \lesssim_{\delta} \left(\frac{\mathbf{1}_{t \in I_{k, \xi}} |\xi|/k^2}{\langle t - \xi/k \rangle} + 1 \right) e^{\sqrt{\delta} |\eta|^{1/2}}.$$

By (A.14), we have

$$(B.25) \quad \frac{b_k(t, \eta)}{b_k(t, \xi)} \lesssim_{\delta} e^{\sqrt{\delta} |\xi - \eta|^{1/2}}.$$

By (B.24), (B.25) and the fact that $b_k(t, \eta), b_{NR}(t, \xi - \eta) \lesssim_{\delta} 1$, it holds that

$$(B.26) \quad \frac{1}{b_k(t, \xi)} \lesssim_{\delta} \left(\frac{\mathbf{1}_{t \in I_{k, \xi}} |\xi|/k^2}{\langle t - \xi/k \rangle} + 1 \right) \frac{1}{b_{NR}(t, \xi - \eta)} \frac{1}{b_k(t, \eta)} e^{\sqrt{\delta} \min(\langle \xi - \eta \rangle, \langle \eta \rangle)^{1/2}}.$$

By (2.26), we have

$$e^{\lambda(t) \langle k, \xi \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} + \sqrt{\delta} |k|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim_{\delta} e^{\lambda(t) \langle \xi - \eta \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{\lambda(t) \langle k, \eta \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} + \sqrt{\delta} |k|^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{-\frac{\lambda(t)}{15} \min(\langle \xi - \eta \rangle, \langle k, \eta \rangle)^{\frac{1}{2}}},$$

$$e^{\lambda(t)\langle k, \xi \rangle \frac{1}{2}} e^{\sqrt{\delta} \langle \xi \rangle \frac{1}{2}} \lesssim_{\delta} e^{\lambda(t)\langle \xi - \eta \rangle \frac{1}{2}} e^{\sqrt{\delta} \langle \xi - \eta \rangle^{1/2}} \cdot e^{\lambda(t)\langle k, \eta \rangle \frac{1}{2}} e^{\sqrt{\delta} \langle \eta \rangle \frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{\lambda(t)}{15} \min(\langle \xi - \eta \rangle, \langle k, \eta \rangle) \frac{1}{2}},$$

which along with (B.26) and the definition of A_k and A_{NR} implies

$$A_k(t, \xi) \lesssim_{\delta} \left(\frac{\mathbf{1}_{t \in I_{k, \xi}} |\xi|/k^2}{\langle t - \xi/k \rangle} + 1 \right) A_{NR}(t, \xi - \eta) A_k(t, \eta) e^{-(\lambda(t)/20) \min(\langle \xi - \eta \rangle, \langle k, \eta \rangle)^{1/2}}.$$

□

Lemma B.12. *Let*

$$(B.27) \quad A_k^{(1)}(t, \xi) = \frac{A_k(t, \xi) \langle t - \xi/k \rangle}{\langle t - \xi/k \rangle + |\xi|/k^2} \quad \text{for } k \neq 0; \quad A_0^{(1)}(t, \xi) = A_0(t, \xi),$$

$$(B.28) \quad A_k^{(2)}(t, \xi) = A_k(t, \xi) \langle t - \xi/k \rangle \quad \text{for } k \neq 0; \quad A_0^{(2)}(t, \xi) = A_0(t, \xi)(t + |\xi|).$$

If $|(l, \eta)| \geq |(k, \xi)|/8$, then it holds that for $j = 1, 2$,

$$\frac{A_k^{(j)}(t, \xi)}{A_l^{(j)}(t, \eta)} \lesssim_{\delta} e^{0.9\lambda(t)\langle k-l, \xi-\eta \rangle^{1/2}}.$$

Proof. Recall the definition of $w_R(t, \xi)$ and $w_k(t, \xi)$:

$$w_k(t, \xi) = \begin{cases} w_{NR}(t, \xi) \frac{1 + \delta^2 |t - \xi/k|}{1 + \delta^2 |\xi|/(8k^2)}, & \text{if } t \in I_{k, \xi} \text{ and } |t - \xi/k| \leq |\xi|/(8k^2), \\ w_{NR}(t, \xi), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence, if $|\xi| \geq \delta^{-10}$, $1 \leq |k| \leq \lfloor \sqrt{\delta^3 |\xi|} \rfloor$, $\xi/k \geq 0$, we have

$$w_k(t, \xi) \frac{|\xi|/k^2 + \langle t - \xi/k \rangle}{\langle t - \xi/k \rangle} \approx_{\delta} w_{NR}(t, \xi).$$

On the other hands, if $|\xi| \leq \delta^{-10}$ or $|k| \geq \lfloor \sqrt{\delta^3 |\xi|} \rfloor + 1$ or $\xi/k \leq 0$, it holds that

$$w_k(t, \xi) = w_{NR}(t, \xi), \quad \frac{|\xi|/k^2 + \langle t - \xi/k \rangle}{\langle t - \xi/k \rangle} \approx_{\delta} 1,$$

which gives

$$w_k(t, \xi) \frac{|\xi|/k^2 + \langle t - \xi/k \rangle}{\langle t - \xi/k \rangle} \approx_{\delta} w_{NR}(t, \xi).$$

Thus, it always holds that

$$(B.29) \quad w_k(t, \xi) \frac{|\xi|/k^2 + \langle t - \xi/k \rangle}{\langle t - \xi/k \rangle} \approx_{\delta} w_{NR}(t, \xi).$$

Thanks to the definition of A_{NR} and A_k , we get by (B.29) and (A.12) that

$$\begin{aligned} A_k^{(1)}(t, \xi) &= A_k(t, \xi) \frac{\langle t - \xi/k \rangle}{|\xi|/k^2 + \langle t - \xi/k \rangle} \\ &\approx_{\delta} e^{\lambda(t)\langle k, \xi \rangle^{1/2}} \left(\frac{e^{\sqrt{\delta} \langle \xi \rangle^{1/2}}}{w_k(t, \xi)} \frac{|\xi|/k^2 + \langle t - \xi/k \rangle}{\langle t - \xi/k \rangle} + e^{\sqrt{\delta} |k|^{1/2}} \frac{\langle t - \xi/k \rangle}{|\xi|/k^2 + \langle t - \xi/k \rangle} \right) \\ &\approx_{\delta} \frac{e^{\lambda(t)\langle k, \xi \rangle^{1/2} + \sqrt{\delta} \langle \xi \rangle^{1/2}}}{w_{NR}(t, \xi)} + \frac{e^{\lambda(t)\langle k, \xi \rangle^{1/2} + \sqrt{\delta} |k|^{1/2}} \langle t - \xi/k \rangle}{|\xi|/k^2 + \langle t - \xi/k \rangle} \end{aligned}$$

$$\approx_{\delta} \frac{e^{\lambda(t)\langle k, \xi \rangle^{1/2} + \sqrt{\delta}\langle \xi \rangle^{1/2}}}{w_{NR}(t, \xi)} + \frac{e^{\lambda(t)\langle k, \xi \rangle^{1/2} + \sqrt{\delta}|k|^{1/2}} \langle t - \xi/k \rangle \mathbf{1}_{\{\langle \xi \rangle \leq |k|\}}}{|\xi|/k^2 + \langle t - \xi/k \rangle},$$

as $w_{NR}(t, \xi) \leq 1$. Hence, if $|(l, \eta)| \geq |(k, \xi)|/8$, by using (A.10) and (2.26), we have ($k, l \neq 0$)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{A_k^{(1)}(t, \xi)}{A_l^{(1)}(t, \eta)} &\lesssim_{\delta} \frac{e^{\lambda(t)\langle k, \xi \rangle^{1/2} + \sqrt{\delta}\langle \xi \rangle^{1/2}} w_{NR}(t, \eta)}{e^{\lambda(t)\langle l, \eta \rangle^{1/2} + \sqrt{\delta}\langle \eta \rangle^{1/2}} w_{NR}(t, \xi)} \\ &\quad + \frac{e^{\lambda(t)\langle k, \xi \rangle^{1/2} + \sqrt{\delta}|k|^{1/2}} \langle t - \xi/k \rangle \mathbf{1}_{\{\langle \xi \rangle \leq |k|\}}}{e^{\lambda(t)\langle l, \eta \rangle^{1/2} + \sqrt{\delta}|l|^{1/2}} |\xi|/k^2 + \langle t - \xi/k \rangle} \frac{|\eta|/l^2 + \langle t - \eta/l \rangle}{\langle t - \eta/l \rangle} \\ &\lesssim_{\delta} e^{0.85\lambda(t)\langle k-l, \xi-\eta \rangle^{1/2}}, \end{aligned}$$

here we used the fact that

$$\frac{\langle t - \xi/k \rangle \mathbf{1}_{\{\langle \xi \rangle \leq |k|\}}}{|\xi|/k^2 + \langle t - \xi/k \rangle} \frac{|\eta|/l^2 + \langle t - \eta/l \rangle}{\langle t - \eta/l \rangle} \leq \mathbf{1}_{\{\langle \xi \rangle \leq |k|\}} (|\eta|/l^2 + 1) \leq \frac{|\eta|/l^2}{\langle \xi \rangle/k^2} + 1 \lesssim \langle k-l, \xi-\eta \rangle^3.$$

Since

$$A_0(t, \xi) = e^{\lambda(t)\langle \xi \rangle^{1/2}} \left(\frac{e^{\sqrt{\delta}\langle \xi \rangle^{1/2}}}{b_{NR}(t, \xi)} + 1 \right) \approx_{\delta} e^{\lambda(t)\langle \xi \rangle^{1/2}} \frac{e^{\sqrt{\delta}\langle \xi \rangle^{1/2}}}{b_{NR}(t, \xi)} = \frac{e^{(\lambda(t) + \sqrt{\delta})\langle \xi \rangle^{1/2}}}{w_{NR}(t, \xi)},$$

we get by (A.10) and (2.26) (if $|\eta| \geq |\xi|/8$) that

$$\frac{A_0^{(1)}(t, \xi)}{A_0^{(1)}(t, \eta)} \lesssim_{\delta} e^{(\lambda(t) + \sqrt{\delta})(\langle \xi \rangle^{1/2} - \langle \eta \rangle^{1/2})} \frac{w_{NR}(t, \eta)}{w_{NR}(t, \xi)} \lesssim_{\delta} e^{0.85\lambda(t)\langle \xi - \eta \rangle^{1/2}}.$$

By (A.10) and (2.26) again, we have (for $l \neq 0$ and $|(l, \eta)| \geq |\xi|/8$)

$$\frac{A_0^{(1)}(t, \xi)}{A_l^{(1)}(t, \eta)} \lesssim_{\delta} e^{\lambda(t)(\langle \xi \rangle^{1/2} - \langle l, \eta \rangle^{1/2})} e^{\sqrt{\delta}(\langle \xi \rangle^{1/2} - \langle \eta \rangle^{1/2})} \frac{w_{NR}(t, \eta)}{w_{NR}(t, \xi)} \lesssim_{\delta} e^{0.85\lambda(t)\langle l, \xi - \eta \rangle^{1/2}}.$$

For $k \neq 0$ and $|\eta| \geq |(k, \xi)|/8$, we get by using (A.10), (2.26) and $w_{NR}(t, \eta) \leq 1$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{A_k^{(1)}(t, \xi)}{A_0^{(1)}(t, \eta)} &\lesssim_{\delta} e^{\lambda(t)(\langle k, \xi \rangle^{1/2} - \langle \eta \rangle^{1/2})} e^{\sqrt{\delta}(\langle \xi \rangle^{1/2} - \langle \eta \rangle^{1/2})} \frac{w_{NR}(t, \eta)}{w_{NR}(t, \xi)} \\ &\quad + e^{\lambda(t)(\langle k, \xi \rangle^{1/2} - \langle \eta \rangle^{1/2})} e^{\sqrt{\delta}(|k|^{1/2} - \langle \eta \rangle^{1/2})} w_{NR}(t, \eta) \\ &\lesssim_{\delta} e^{0.85\lambda(t)\langle k, \xi - \eta \rangle^{1/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$, $|(l, \eta)| \geq |(k, \xi)|/8$, we arrive at

$$(B.30) \quad \frac{A_k^{(1)}(t, \xi)}{A_l^{(1)}(t, \eta)} \lesssim_{\delta} e^{0.85\lambda(t)\langle k-l, \xi-\eta \rangle^{1/2}}.$$

This finishes the proof for the case of $j = 1$.

Notice that

$$\frac{A_k^{(2)}(t, \xi)}{A_l^{(2)}(t, \eta)} = \frac{A_k^{(1)}(t, \xi)}{A_l^{(1)}(t, \eta)} \times \frac{A_k^{(2)}(t, \xi)}{A_k^{(1)}(t, \xi)} \times \frac{A_l^{(1)}(t, \eta)}{A_l^{(2)}(t, \eta)}.$$

Thus, it suffices to prove that

$$(B.31) \quad \frac{A_k^{(2)}(t, \xi)}{A_k^{(1)}(t, \xi)} \times \frac{A_l^{(1)}(t, \eta)}{A_l^{(2)}(t, \eta)} \lesssim \langle k-l, \xi-\eta \rangle^3 \lesssim_{\delta} e^{0.05\lambda(t)\langle k-l, \xi-\eta \rangle^{1/2}},$$

for $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$, $|(l, \eta)| \geq |(k, \xi)|/8$.

For $k = l = 0$, we have

$$\frac{A_0^{(2)}(t, \xi)}{A_0^{(1)}(t, \xi)} \times \frac{A_0^{(1)}(t, \eta)}{A_0^{(2)}(t, \eta)} \lesssim \frac{t + |\xi|}{t + |\eta|} \lesssim \langle \xi - \eta \rangle.$$

For $k = 0$, $l \neq 0$, we have

$$\frac{A_0^{(2)}(t, \xi)}{A_0^{(1)}(t, \xi)} \times \frac{A_l^{(1)}(t, \eta)}{A_l^{(2)}(t, \eta)} \lesssim \frac{t + |\xi|}{\langle t - \eta/l \rangle + |\eta/l^2|} \lesssim |l| + l^2 |\xi| / \langle \eta \rangle \lesssim \langle l, \xi - \eta \rangle^3.$$

For $k \neq 0$, $l = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{A_k^{(2)}(t, \xi)}{A_k^{(1)}(t, \xi)} \times \frac{A_0^{(1)}(t, \eta)}{A_0^{(2)}(t, \eta)} &\lesssim \frac{\langle t - \xi/k \rangle + |\xi/k^2|}{t + |\eta|} \lesssim \frac{t + \langle \xi/k \rangle + |\xi/k^2|}{t + |\eta|} \\ &\lesssim 1 + |\xi| / \langle \eta \rangle \lesssim \langle \xi - \eta \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

For $k \neq 0, l \neq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{A_k^{(2)}(t, \xi)}{A_k^{(1)}(t, \xi)} \times \frac{A_l^{(1)}(t, \eta)}{A_l^{(2)}(t, \eta)} &= \frac{\langle t - \xi/k \rangle + |\xi/k^2|}{\langle t - \eta/l \rangle + |\eta/l^2|} \lesssim \frac{\langle t - \xi/k \rangle}{\langle t - \eta/l \rangle + |\eta/l^2|} + \frac{|\xi|}{\langle \eta \rangle} \frac{l^2}{k^2} \\ &\lesssim \frac{|\xi/k - \eta/l|}{\langle t - \eta/l \rangle + |\eta/l^2|} + \left(\frac{|\xi - \eta|}{\langle \eta \rangle} + 1 \right) \left(\frac{|k - l|}{|k|} + 1 \right)^2 \\ &\lesssim \frac{|\xi - \eta| |l| + |k - l| |\eta|}{|kl| (\langle t - \eta/l \rangle + |\eta/l^2|)} + \langle k - l, \xi - \eta \rangle^3 \\ &\lesssim \langle k - l, \xi - \eta \rangle^3. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above cases, we prove (B.31). Thus, we prove the case of $j = 2$. \square

Lemma B.13. *Let $A_k^{(j)}$ ($j = 1, 2$) be defined by (B.27) and (B.28). For any $t \geq 1$, $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have*

$$(B.32) \quad \begin{aligned} A_k^{(j)}(t, \xi) &\lesssim_\delta \mathbf{1}_{\{|(k-l, \xi-\eta)| \leq |(l, \eta)|\}} A_{k-l}(t, \xi - \eta) A_l^{(j)}(t, \eta) e^{-(\lambda(t)/20) \langle k-l, \xi-\eta \rangle^{1/2}} \\ &\quad + \mathbf{1}_{\{|(l, \eta)| \leq |(k-l, \xi-\eta)|\}} A_{k-l}^{(j)}(t, \xi - \eta) A_l(t, \eta) e^{-(\lambda(t)/20) \langle l, \eta \rangle^{1/2}}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(B.33) \quad |(\dot{A}_k/A_k)(t, \xi)| \lesssim_\delta (|(\dot{A}_{k-l}/A_{k-l})(t, \xi - \eta)| + |(\dot{A}_l/A_l)(t, \eta)|) e^{4\sqrt{\delta} \min(|l, \eta|, |k-l, \xi-\eta|)^{1/2}}.$$

Proof. The inequality (B.33) follows from (A.21). If $|(l, \eta)| \geq |(k-l, \xi-\eta)|$, then we have $|(l, \eta)| \geq |(k, \xi)|/2$. Thus, we get by Lemma B.12 that

$$\frac{A_k^{(j)}(t, \xi)}{A_l^{(j)}(t, \eta)} \lesssim_\delta e^{0.9\lambda(t) |(k-l, \xi-\eta)|^{1/2}}.$$

Thanks to $A_{k-l}(t, \xi - \eta) \geq e^{\lambda(t) \langle k-l, \xi-\eta \rangle^{1/2}}$, we get

$$A_k^{(j)}(t, \xi) \lesssim_\delta A_{k-l}(t, \xi - \eta) A_l^{(j)}(t, \eta) e^{-(\lambda(t)/20) \langle k-l, \xi-\eta \rangle^{1/2}}.$$

If $|(k-l, \xi-\eta)| \geq |(l, \eta)|$, we can similarly obtain

$$A_k^{(j)}(t, \xi) \lesssim_\delta A_{k-l}^{(j)}(t, \xi - \eta) A_l(t, \eta) e^{-(\lambda(t)/20) \langle l, \eta \rangle^{1/2}}.$$

This proves (B.32). \square

APPENDIX C. WEIGHTED BILINEAR ESTIMATES(II)

In this appendix, we establish some weighted bilinear estimates on the new weight A_k^* . Let us recall the following notations:

$$\begin{aligned} R_0 &= \left\{ ((k, \xi), (l, \eta)) \in (\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R})^2 : \min(\langle k, \xi \rangle, \langle l, \eta \rangle, \langle k-l, \xi-\eta \rangle) \geq \frac{\langle k, \xi \rangle + \langle l, \eta \rangle + \langle k-l, \xi-\eta \rangle}{20} \right\}, \\ R_1 &= \left\{ ((k, \xi), (l, \eta)) \in (\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R})^2 : \langle k-l, \xi-\eta \rangle \leq \frac{\langle k, \xi \rangle + \langle l, \eta \rangle + \langle k-l, \xi-\eta \rangle}{10} \right\}, \\ R_2 &= \left\{ ((k, \xi), (l, \eta)) \in (\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R})^2 : \langle l, \eta \rangle \leq \frac{\langle k, \xi \rangle + \langle l, \eta \rangle + \langle k-l, \xi-\eta \rangle}{10} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and for $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$,

$$\Sigma_i = \{((k, \xi), (l, \eta)) \in R_i : k = l\}.$$

The following lemma is an analogue of Lemma B.4.

Lemma C.1. *Assume $t \geq 1$ and let $(\sigma, \rho) = (k-l, \xi-\eta)$. Assume $\sigma \neq 0$. It holds that*

(i) *If $((k, \xi), (l, \eta)) \in R_0 \cup R_1$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(C.1)} \quad & \frac{|\rho/\sigma| + \langle t \rangle}{\langle t \rangle} \frac{\langle \rho \rangle / \sigma^2}{\langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2} |lA_k^*(t, \xi)^2 - kA_l^*(t, \eta)^2| \\ & \lesssim_\delta \sqrt{|(A_k^* \dot{A}_k^*)(t, \xi)|} \sqrt{|(A_l^* \dot{A}_l^*)(t, \eta)|} A_\sigma(t, \rho) e^{-(\delta_0/201)\langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{1/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) *If $((k, \xi), (l, \eta)) \in R_2$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(C.2)} \quad & \frac{|\rho/\sigma| + \langle t \rangle}{\langle t \rangle} \frac{\langle \rho \rangle / \sigma^2}{\langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2} (|lA_k^*(t, \xi)^2| + |kA_l^*(t, \eta)^2|) \\ & \lesssim_\delta \sqrt{|(A_k^* \dot{A}_k^*)(t, \xi)|} \sqrt{|(A_l^* \dot{A}_l^*)(t, \eta)|} A_\sigma(t, \rho) e^{-(\delta_0/201)\langle l, \eta \rangle^{1/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Step 1. Assume that $((k, \xi), (l, \eta)) \in R_0$.

In this case, we have $\langle k, \xi \rangle + \langle l, \eta \rangle \lesssim \langle \sigma, \rho \rangle$. We get by Lemma B.4 that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{|\rho/\sigma| + \langle t \rangle}{\langle t \rangle} \frac{\langle \rho \rangle / \sigma^2}{\langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2} (|lA_k(t, \xi)^2| + |kA_l(t, \eta)^2|) \\ & \lesssim_\delta \sqrt{|(A_k \dot{A}_k)(t, \xi)|} \sqrt{|(A_l \dot{A}_l)(t, \eta)|} A_\sigma(t, \rho) e^{-(\delta_0/200)\langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{1/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then by $A_j \leq A_j^*$, $|\dot{A}_j| \lesssim |\dot{A}_j^*|$ for $j = k, l$ (see (A.26)) and $\langle k, \xi \rangle + \langle l, \eta \rangle \lesssim \langle \sigma, \rho \rangle$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{|\rho/\sigma| + \langle t \rangle}{\langle t \rangle} \frac{\langle \rho \rangle / \sigma^2}{\langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2} (|lA_k^*(t, \xi)^2| + |kA_l^*(t, \eta)^2|) \\ & \leq \frac{|\rho/\sigma| + \langle t \rangle}{\langle t \rangle} \frac{\langle \rho \rangle / \sigma^2}{\langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2} (|lA_k^2(t, \xi)| + |kA_l^2(t, \eta)|) \left(1 + \frac{l^2 + |\eta|}{\langle t \rangle^2} + \frac{k^2 + |\xi|}{\langle t \rangle^2} \right) \\ & \lesssim_\delta \sqrt{|(A_k \dot{A}_k)(t, \xi)|} \sqrt{|(A_l \dot{A}_l)(t, \eta)|} A_\sigma(t, \rho) e^{-(\delta_0/200)\langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{1/2}} (\langle k, \xi \rangle^2 + \langle l, \eta \rangle^2) \\ & \lesssim_\delta \sqrt{|(A_k^* \dot{A}_k^*)(t, \xi)|} \sqrt{|(A_l^* \dot{A}_l^*)(t, \eta)|} A_\sigma(t, \rho) e^{-(\delta_0/201)\langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{1/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Step 2. Assume that $((k, \xi), (l, \eta)) \in R_1$.

We write

$$[lA_k^*(t, \xi)^2 - kA_l^*(t, \eta)^2] = \mathcal{M}_1 + \mathcal{M}_2,$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_1 &= [lA_k^2(t, \xi) - kA_l^2(t, \eta)] \left(1 + \frac{l^2 + |\eta|}{\langle t \rangle^2}\right), \\ \mathcal{M}_2 &= lA_k^2(t, \xi) \left[\frac{k^2 - l^2}{\langle t \rangle^2} + \frac{|\xi| - |\eta|}{\langle t \rangle^2}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

In order to prove Lemma C.3, we also define

$$\mathcal{M}'_2 = \eta A_k^2(t, \xi) \left[\frac{k^2 - l^2}{\langle t \rangle^2} + \frac{|\xi| - |\eta|}{\langle t \rangle^2}\right].$$

Then it suffices to prove that for $i = 1, 2$,

$$(C.3) \quad \frac{|\rho/\sigma| + \langle t \rangle}{\langle t \rangle} \frac{\langle \rho \rangle / \sigma^2 \cdot |\mathcal{M}_i|}{\langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2} \lesssim_\delta \sqrt{|(A_k^* \dot{A}_k^*)(t, \xi)|} \sqrt{|(A_l^* \dot{A}_l^*)(t, \eta)|} A_\sigma(t, \rho) e^{-(2\delta_0/401)\langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

Moreover, we have

$$(C.4) \quad \frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^2} |\mathcal{M}'_2| \lesssim_\delta \sqrt{|(A_k^* \dot{A}_k^*)(t, \xi)|} \sqrt{|(A_l^* \dot{A}_l^*)(t, \eta)|} A_\sigma(t, \rho) e^{-(\delta_0/200)\langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{1/2}}.$$

For \mathcal{M}_1 , we get by Lemma B.4 that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{|\rho/\sigma| + \langle t \rangle}{\langle t \rangle} \frac{\langle \rho \rangle / \sigma^2}{\langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2} |lA_k(t, \xi)^2 - kA_l(t, \eta)^2| \\ & \lesssim_\delta \sqrt{|(A_k \dot{A}_k)(t, \xi)|} \sqrt{|(A_l \dot{A}_l)(t, \eta)|} A_\sigma(t, \rho) e^{-(\delta_0/200)\langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{1/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then by (A.26) and (A.24), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{|\rho/\sigma| + \langle t \rangle}{\langle t \rangle} \frac{\langle \rho \rangle / \sigma^2}{\langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2} |\mathcal{M}_1| \\ & \leq \frac{|\rho/\sigma| + \langle t \rangle}{\langle t \rangle} \frac{\langle \rho \rangle / \sigma^2}{\langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2} |lA_k(t, \xi)^2 - kA_l(t, \eta)^2| \left(1 + \frac{l^2 + |\eta|}{\langle t \rangle^2}\right) \\ & \lesssim_\delta \frac{|\rho/\sigma| + \langle t \rangle}{\langle t \rangle} \frac{\langle \rho \rangle / \sigma^2}{\langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2} |lA_k(t, \xi)^2 - kA_l(t, \eta)^2| \left(1 + \frac{k^2 + |\xi|}{\langle t \rangle^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{l^2 + |\eta|}{\langle t \rangle^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle \sigma, \rho \rangle \\ & \lesssim_\delta \sqrt{|(A_k \dot{A}_k)(t, \xi)|} \left(1 + \frac{k^2 + |\xi|}{\langle t \rangle^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{|(A_l \dot{A}_l)(t, \eta)|} A_\sigma(t, \rho) \left(1 + \frac{l^2 + |\eta|}{\langle t \rangle^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \quad \times \langle \sigma, \rho \rangle e^{-(\delta_0/200)\langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{1/2}} \\ & \lesssim_\delta \sqrt{|(A_k^* \dot{A}_k^*)(t, \xi)|} \sqrt{|(A_l^* \dot{A}_l^*)(t, \eta)|} A_\sigma(t, \rho) e^{-(2\delta_0/401)\langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{1/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (C.3) for $i = 1$.

It is easy to see that

$$(C.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{k^2 - l^2}{\langle t \rangle^2} + \frac{|\xi| - |\eta|}{\langle t \rangle^2} \right| \lesssim \frac{(k-l)^2 + |k||k-l|}{\langle t \rangle^2} + \frac{|\xi - \eta|}{\langle t \rangle^2} \\ & \lesssim \langle k-l, \xi - \eta \rangle^2 \frac{\langle k \rangle}{\langle t \rangle^2} \lesssim \langle k-l, \xi - \eta \rangle^2 \left(1 + \frac{k^2 + |\xi|}{\langle t \rangle^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle t \rangle^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then by (A.26), (C.5) and (C.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^2} (|\mathcal{M}_2| + |\mathcal{M}'_2|) \\
& \lesssim \frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^2} (|lA_k(t, \xi)| + |\eta A_k(t, \xi)|) \langle k - l, \xi - \eta \rangle^2 \left(1 + \frac{k^2 + |\xi|}{\langle t \rangle^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle t \rangle^{-1} \\
& \lesssim_\delta \sqrt{|(A_k \dot{A}_k)(t, \xi)|} \sqrt{|(A_l^* \dot{A}_l^*)(t, \eta)|} A_\sigma(t, \rho) e^{-(\delta_0/100)\langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{1/2}} \langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^2 \left(1 + \frac{k^2 + |\xi|}{\langle t \rangle^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \lesssim_\delta \sqrt{|(A_k^* \dot{A}_k^*)(t, \xi)|} \sqrt{|(A_l^* \dot{A}_l^*)(t, \eta)|} A_\sigma(t, \rho) e^{-(\delta_0/110)\langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{1/2}},
\end{aligned}$$

Then the bounds (C.3) and (C.4) follow from the fact that

$$\frac{|\rho/\sigma| + \langle t \rangle}{\langle t \rangle} \frac{\langle \rho \rangle / \sigma^2}{\langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-2} e^{(\delta_0/300)\langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{1/2}}.$$

Step 3. Assume that $((k, \xi), (l, \eta)) \in R_2$.

By Lemma B.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(C.6)} \quad & \frac{|\rho/\sigma| + \langle t \rangle}{\langle t \rangle} \frac{\langle \rho \rangle / \sigma^2}{\langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2} (|lA_k(t, \xi)| + |kA_l(t, \eta)|) \\
& \lesssim_\delta \sqrt{|A_k \dot{A}_k(t, \xi)|} \sqrt{|A_\sigma \dot{A}_\sigma(t, \rho)|} A_l(t, \eta) e^{-(\delta_0/200)\langle l, \eta \rangle^{1/2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that

$$1 + \frac{l^2 + |\eta|}{\langle t \rangle^2} \lesssim \left(1 + \frac{l^2 + |\eta|}{\langle t \rangle^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle l, \eta \rangle \leq \left(1 + \frac{l^2 + |\eta|}{\langle t \rangle^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{k^2 + |\xi|}{\langle t \rangle^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle l, \eta \rangle.$$

This along with (A.26) and (C.6) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(C.7)} \quad & \frac{|\rho/\sigma| + \langle t \rangle}{\langle t \rangle} \frac{\langle \rho \rangle / \sigma^2}{\langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2} |kA_l^*(t, \eta)| \lesssim_\delta \sqrt{|(A_k^* \dot{A}_k^*)(t, \xi)|} \sqrt{|(A_\sigma \dot{A}_\sigma)(t, \rho)|} \\
& \quad \times A_l^*(t, \eta) e^{-(\delta_0/201)\langle l, \eta \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, it remains to prove that

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(C.8)} \quad & \frac{|\rho/\sigma| + \langle t \rangle}{\langle t \rangle} \frac{\langle \rho \rangle / \sigma^2}{\langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2} |lA_k^*(t, \xi)| \\
& \lesssim_\delta \sqrt{|(A_k^* \dot{A}_k^*)(t, \xi)|} \sqrt{|(A_\sigma \dot{A}_\sigma)(t, \rho)|} A_l^*(t, \eta) e^{-(\delta_0/201)\langle l, \eta \rangle^{1/2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

If $k^2 + |\xi| \leq \langle t \rangle^2$, then

$$\text{(C.9)} \quad 1 + \frac{k^2 + |\xi|}{\langle t \rangle^2} \lesssim 1 \lesssim \left(1 + \frac{k^2 + |\xi|}{\langle t \rangle^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{l^2 + |\eta|}{\langle t \rangle^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

and (C.8) follows from (C.6) and (A.26). Now we assume $k^2 + |\xi| \geq \langle t \rangle^2$. We get by (A.24) that

$$\text{(C.10)} \quad 1 + \frac{k^2 + |\xi|}{\langle t \rangle^2} \lesssim \left(1 + \frac{k^2 + |\xi|}{\langle t \rangle^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{l^2 + |\eta|}{\langle t \rangle^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{\rho^2 + |\sigma|}{\langle t \rangle^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Thanks to (A.26) and (C.10), we only need to prove that

$$(C.11) \quad \left\langle \frac{|\sigma| + |\rho|^{1/2}}{\langle t \rangle} \right\rangle \frac{|\rho/\sigma| + \langle t \rangle}{\langle t \rangle} \frac{\langle \rho \rangle / \sigma^2}{\langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2} |lA_k(t, \xi)^2| \\ \lesssim_\delta \sqrt{|(A_k \dot{A}_k)(t, \xi)|} \sqrt{|(A_\sigma \dot{A}_\sigma)(t, \rho)|} A_l(t, \eta) e^{-(\delta_0/200)\langle l, \eta \rangle^{1/2}}.$$

If $\rho^2 + |\sigma| \leq \langle t \rangle^2$, then (C.11) follows from (C.6). If $\rho^2 + |\sigma| \geq \langle t \rangle^2$, then (C.11) follows from $k^2 + |\xi| \geq \langle t \rangle^2$, $\langle \rho \rangle / \sigma^2 \leq \langle \rho / \sigma \rangle / |\sigma|$ and (C.13). \square

Lemma C.2. *Assume $t \geq 1$ and let $(\sigma, \rho) = (k - l, \xi - \eta)$. Suppose $\sigma \neq 0$. If $((k, \xi), (l, \eta)) \in R_1$, then it holds that*

$$(C.12) \quad \frac{|lA_k(t, \xi)^2| + |\eta A_k(t, \xi)^2|}{\langle t \rangle^3} \lesssim_\delta \sqrt{|(A_k \dot{A}_k)(t, \xi)|} \sqrt{|(A_l^* \dot{A}_l^*)(t, \eta)|} A_\sigma(t, \rho) e^{-(\delta_0/100)\langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{1/2}}.$$

If $((k, \xi), (l, \eta)) \in R_2$, $k^2 + |\xi| \geq \langle t \rangle^2$, $\sigma^2 + |\rho| \geq \langle t \rangle^2$, then

$$(C.13) \quad \frac{|\sigma| + |\rho|^{1/2}}{\langle t \rangle} \frac{|\rho/\sigma| + \langle t \rangle}{\langle t \rangle |\sigma|} \frac{\langle \rho / \sigma \rangle}{\langle t - \rho / \sigma \rangle^2} (|lA_k(t, \xi)^2| + |\eta A_k(t, \xi)^2|) \\ \lesssim_\delta \sqrt{|(A_k \dot{A}_k)(t, \xi)|} \sqrt{|(A_\sigma \dot{A}_\sigma)(t, \rho)|} A_l(t, \eta) e^{-(\delta_0/100)\langle l, \eta \rangle^{1/2}}$$

Proof. If $((k, \xi), (l, \eta)) \in R_1$, then $|(k - l, \xi - \eta)| \leq |(l, \eta)|$, and by (B.32) for $j = 1$, we have

$$A_k^{(1)}(t, \xi) \lesssim_\delta A_\sigma(t, \rho) A_l^{(1)}(t, \eta) e^{-(\lambda(t)/20)\langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{1/2}}.$$

By (B.27), we have $A_l^{(1)}(t, \eta) \leq A_l(t, \eta)$ and

$$(C.14) \quad A_k(t, \xi) = A_k^{(1)}(t, \eta) \left(1 + \mathbf{1}_{\{k \neq 0\}} \frac{|\xi|/k^2}{\langle t - \xi/k \rangle} \right) \lesssim A_k^{(1)}(t, \eta) (1 + \mathbf{1}_{\{k \neq 0, |\xi/k| \leq 2t\}} |\xi/k^2|).$$

Thus,

$$A_k(t, \xi) \lesssim_\delta A_\sigma(t, \rho) A_l(t, \eta) e^{-(\lambda(t)/20)\langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{1/2}} (1 + \mathbf{1}_{\{k \neq 0, |\xi/k| \leq 2t\}} |\xi/k^2|), \\ \frac{|lA_k(t, \xi)^2| + |\eta A_k(t, \xi)^2|}{\langle t \rangle^3} \\ \lesssim_\delta \frac{|(l, \eta)|}{\langle t \rangle^3} A_k(t, \xi) A_l(t, \eta) A_\sigma(t, \rho) e^{-(\lambda(t)/20)\langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{1/2}} (1 + \mathbf{1}_{\{k \neq 0, |\xi/k| \leq 2t\}} |\xi/k^2|).$$

Note that if $k \neq 0$, $|\xi/k| \leq 2t$, then

$$|(l, \eta)|^{1/2} |\xi/k^2| \lesssim \langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{1/2} |(k, \xi)|^{1/2} |\xi/k^2| \\ = \langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{1/2} \langle \xi/k \rangle^{1/2} |k|^{1/2} |\xi/k| |k|^{-1} \leq \langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{1/2} \langle \xi/k \rangle^{3/2} \lesssim \langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{1/2} \langle t \rangle^{3/2}.$$

We have

$$\frac{|(l, \eta)|^{1/2}}{\langle t \rangle^{3/2}} (1 + \mathbf{1}_{\{k \neq 0, |\xi/k| \leq 2t\}} |\xi/k^2|) \lesssim \frac{|(l, \eta)|^{1/2}}{\langle t \rangle^{3/2}} + \langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{1/2} \leq \left(\frac{|(l, \eta)|^{1/2}}{\langle t \rangle} + 1 \right) \langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{1/2} \\ \lesssim \left(\frac{|l| + |\eta|}{\langle t \rangle^2} + 1 \right)^{1/2} \langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{1/2} \leq \left(\frac{|l|^2 + |\eta|}{\langle t \rangle^2} + 1 \right)^{1/2} \langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{1/2},$$

and

$$\frac{|lA_k(t, \xi)^2| + |\eta A_k(t, \xi)^2|}{\langle t \rangle^3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lesssim_\delta \frac{|(l, \eta)|^{1/2}}{\langle t \rangle^{3/2}} A_k(t, \xi) A_l(t, \eta) A_\sigma(t, \rho) e^{-(\lambda(t)/20)\langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{1/2}} \left(\frac{|l|^2 + |\eta|^2}{\langle t \rangle^2} + 1 \right)^{1/2} \langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{1/2} \\
&= \frac{|(l, \eta)|^{1/2}}{\langle t \rangle^{3/2}} A_k(t, \xi) A_l^*(t, \eta) A_\sigma(t, \rho) e^{-(\lambda(t)/20)\langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{1/2}} \langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{1/2} \\
&\lesssim_\delta \frac{\langle l, \eta \rangle^{1/4} \langle k, \xi \rangle^{1/4}}{\langle t \rangle^{3/2}} A_k(t, \xi) A_l^*(t, \eta) A_\sigma(t, \rho) e^{-(\lambda(t)/21)\langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{1/2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then the bound (C.12) follows from (A.19) and (A.25).

Now we assume $((k, \xi), (l, \eta)) \in R_2$, $k^2 + |\xi| \geq \langle t \rangle^2$, $\sigma^2 + |\rho| \geq \langle t \rangle^2$. Then $|(l, \eta)| \leq |(k - l, \xi - \eta)|$, and by (B.32) for $j = 1$, we have

$$A_k^{(1)}(t, \xi) \lesssim_\delta A_\sigma^{(1)}(t, \rho) A_l(t, \eta) e^{-(\lambda(t)/20)\langle l, \eta \rangle^{1/2}}.$$

By (B.27), we have $A_\sigma^{(1)}(t, \rho) \leq A_\sigma(t, \rho)$ and (C.14). Note that if $k \neq 0$, $|\xi/k| \leq 2t$, as $k^2 + |\xi| \geq \langle t \rangle^2$, then $(k^2 + |\xi|)|\xi/k^2| = |\xi| + |\xi/k|^2 \leq |\xi| + |2t|^2$,

$$(C.15) \quad |\xi/k^2| \leq \frac{|\xi| + |2t|^2}{k^2 + |\xi|} \leq 1 + \frac{|2t|^2}{k^2 + |\xi|} \leq 1 + \frac{|2t|^2}{\langle t \rangle^2} \leq 5,$$

and (C.14) becomes $A_k(t, \xi) \lesssim A_k^{(1)}(t, \eta)$. Thus,

$$(C.16) \quad |l A_k(t, \xi)^2| + |\eta A_k(t, \xi)^2| \lesssim_\delta |(l, \eta)| A_k(t, \xi) A_l(t, \eta) A_\sigma(t, \rho) e^{-(\lambda(t)/20)\langle l, \eta \rangle^{1/2}}.$$

Case 1. $|t - \rho/\sigma| \geq \frac{|\rho|}{10|\sigma|}$. Then $\langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle \approx \langle t \rangle + |\rho/\sigma|$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{|\sigma| + |\rho|^{1/2}}{\langle t \rangle} \frac{|\rho/\sigma| + \langle t \rangle}{\langle t \rangle |\sigma|} \frac{\langle \rho/\sigma \rangle}{\langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2} \lesssim \frac{|\sigma| + |\rho|^{1/2}}{\langle t \rangle} \frac{|\rho/\sigma| + \langle t \rangle}{\langle t \rangle |\sigma|} \frac{\langle \rho/\sigma \rangle}{(|\rho/\sigma| + \langle t \rangle)^2} \\
&\leq \frac{|\sigma| + |\rho|^{1/2}}{\langle t \rangle} \frac{1}{\langle t \rangle |\sigma|} = \frac{|\sigma| + |\rho|^{1/2}}{\langle t \rangle^2 |\sigma|} \lesssim \frac{\langle \rho \rangle^{1/2}}{\langle t \rangle^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then by (C.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{|\sigma| + |\rho|^{1/2}}{\langle t \rangle} \frac{|\rho/\sigma| + \langle t \rangle}{\langle t \rangle |\sigma|} \frac{\langle \rho/\sigma \rangle}{\langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2} (|l A_k(t, \xi)^2| + |\eta A_k(t, \xi)^2|) \\
&\lesssim_\delta \frac{\langle \rho \rangle^{1/2}}{\langle t \rangle^2} |(l, \eta)| A_k(t, \xi) A_l(t, \eta) A_\sigma(t, \rho) e^{-(\lambda(t)/20)\langle l, \eta \rangle^{1/2}} \\
&\lesssim_\delta \frac{\langle \rho \rangle^{1/4} \langle \xi \rangle^{1/4}}{\langle t \rangle^2} A_k(t, \xi) A_l(t, \eta) A_\sigma(t, \rho) e^{-(\lambda(t)/21)\langle l, \eta \rangle^{1/2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the bound (C.13) follows from (A.19).

Case 2. $|t - \rho/\sigma| \leq \frac{|\rho|}{10|\sigma|}$. Then $0 < t < 2|\rho/\sigma| < 4t$. Similar to the proof of (C.15), as $\sigma^2 + |\rho| \geq \langle t \rangle^2$, we have $|\rho/\sigma^2| \leq 5$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{|\sigma| + |\rho|^{1/2}}{\langle t \rangle} \frac{|\rho/\sigma| + \langle t \rangle}{\langle t \rangle |\sigma|} \frac{\langle \rho/\sigma \rangle}{\langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2} \lesssim \frac{|\sigma| + |\rho|^{1/2}}{\langle t \rangle} \frac{\langle t \rangle}{\langle t \rangle |\sigma|} \frac{\langle t \rangle}{\langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2} \\
&= \frac{|\sigma| + |\rho|^{1/2}}{|\sigma|} \frac{1}{\langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2} \lesssim \frac{1}{\langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2} \leq \frac{1}{\langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then by (C.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{|\sigma| + |\rho|^{1/2}}{\langle t \rangle} \frac{|\rho/\sigma| + \langle t \rangle}{\langle t \rangle |\sigma|} \frac{\langle \rho/\sigma \rangle}{\langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2} (|lA_k(t, \xi)| + |\eta A_k(t, \xi)|) \\ & \lesssim_\delta \frac{1}{\langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle} |(l, \eta)| A_k(t, \xi) A_l(t, \eta) A_\sigma(t, \rho) e^{-(\lambda(t)/20)\langle l, \eta \rangle^{1/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the bound (C.13) follows from (A.23) and (A.21). \square

The following lemma is an analogue of Lemma B.5.

Lemma C.3. *Assume $t \geq 1$ and let $(\sigma, \rho) = (k - l, \xi - \eta)$. Suppose $\sigma \neq 0$. Then it holds that*

(i) *If $((k, \xi), (l, \eta)) \in R_0 \cup R_1$, then*

$$(C.17) \quad \frac{|\rho/\sigma|^2 + \langle t \rangle^2}{|\sigma| \langle t \rangle^2} \frac{1}{\langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2} |\eta A_k^*(t, \xi)^2 - \xi A_l^*(t, \eta)^2| \\ \lesssim_\delta \sqrt{|(A_k^* \dot{A}_k^*)(t, \xi)|} \sqrt{|(A_l^* \dot{A}_l^*)(t, \eta)|} A_\sigma(t, \rho) e^{-(\delta_0/201)\langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{1/2}}.$$

(ii) *If $((k, \xi), (l, \eta)) \in R_2$, then*

$$(C.18) \quad \frac{|\rho/\sigma|^2 + \langle t \rangle^2}{|\sigma| \langle t \rangle^2} \frac{1}{\langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2} (|\eta A_k^*(t, \xi)| + |\xi A_l^*(t, \eta)|) \\ \lesssim_\delta \sqrt{|(A_k^* \dot{A}_k^*)(t, \xi)|} \sqrt{|(A_\sigma \dot{A}_\sigma)(t, \rho)|} A_l^*(t, \eta) e^{-(\delta_0/201)\langle l, \eta \rangle^{1/2}}.$$

Proof. Step 1. If $((k, \xi), (l, \eta)) \in R_0$, the proof is exactly as in **Step 1** of the proof of (C.1). We omit the details.

Step 2. Assume that $((k, \xi), (l, \eta)) \in R_1$ and we now prove (C.17).

We write

$$\eta A_k^*(t, \xi)^2 - \xi A_l^*(t, \eta)^2 = \mathcal{M}'_1 + \mathcal{M}'_2,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}'_1 &= (\eta A_k^2(t, \xi) - \xi A_l^2(t, \eta)) \left(1 + \frac{l^2 + |\eta|}{\langle \eta \rangle^2} \right), \\ \mathcal{M}'_2 &= \eta A_k^2(t, \xi) \left[\frac{k^2 - l^2}{\langle t \rangle^2} + \frac{|\xi| - |\eta|}{\langle t \rangle^2} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

It suffices to prove that for $i = 1, 2$,

$$(C.19) \quad \frac{|\rho/\sigma|^2 + \langle t \rangle^2}{|\sigma| \langle t \rangle^2} \frac{|\mathcal{M}'_i|}{\langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2} \lesssim_\delta \sqrt{|(A_k^* \dot{A}_k^*)(t, \xi)|} \sqrt{|(A_l^* \dot{A}_l^*)(t, \eta)|} A_\sigma(t, \rho) e^{-(\delta_0/201)\langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{1/2}}.$$

By Lemma B.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{|\rho/\sigma|^2 + \langle t \rangle^2}{|\sigma| \langle t \rangle^2} \frac{1}{\langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2} |\eta A_k(t, \xi)^2 - \xi A_l(t, \eta)^2| \\ & \lesssim_\delta \sqrt{|(A_k \dot{A}_k)(t, \xi)|} \sqrt{|(A_l \dot{A}_l)(t, \eta)|} A_\sigma(t, \rho) e^{-(\delta_0/200)\langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{1/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then by (A.24) and (A.26) in Lemma A.5, we have

$$\frac{|\rho/\sigma|^2 + \langle t \rangle^2}{|\sigma| \langle t \rangle^2} \frac{1}{\langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2} |\mathcal{M}'_1|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{|\rho/\sigma|^2 + \langle t \rangle^2}{|\sigma|\langle t \rangle^2} \frac{1}{\langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2} |\eta A_k(t, \xi)^2 - \xi A_l(t, \eta)^2| \left(1 + \frac{l^2 + |\eta|}{\langle t \rangle^2}\right) \\
&\lesssim_\delta \frac{|\rho/\sigma|^2 + \langle t \rangle^2}{|\sigma|\langle t \rangle^2} \frac{1}{\langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2} |\eta A_k(t, \xi)^2 - \xi A_l(t, \eta)^2| \left(1 + \frac{k^2 + |\xi|}{\langle t \rangle^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{l^2 + |\eta|}{\langle t \rangle^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle \sigma, \rho \rangle \\
&\lesssim_\delta \sqrt{|(A_k \dot{A}_k)(t, \xi)|} \left(1 + \frac{k^2 + |\xi|}{\langle t \rangle^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{|(A_l \dot{A}_l)(t, \eta)|} A_\sigma(t, \rho) \left(1 + \frac{l^2 + |\eta|}{\langle t \rangle^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad \times \langle \sigma, \rho \rangle e^{-(\delta_0/200)\langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{1/2}} \\
&\lesssim_\delta \sqrt{|(A_k^* \dot{A}_k^*)(t, \xi)|} \sqrt{|(A_l^* \dot{A}_l^*)(t, \eta)|} A_\sigma(t, \rho) e^{-(2\delta_0/401)\langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{1/2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves (C.19) for $i = 1$.

The inequality (C.19) for $i = 2$ can be deduced from (C.4) and the fact

$$\frac{|\rho/\sigma|^2 + \langle t \rangle^2}{|\sigma|\langle t \rangle^2} \frac{1}{\langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2} \lesssim_\delta \frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^2} e^{\delta \langle \sigma, \rho \rangle^{1/2}}.$$

Step 3. Assume that $((k, \xi), (l, \eta)) \in R_2$ and we prove (C.18).

By Lemma B.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(C.20)} \quad &\frac{|\rho/\sigma|^2 + \langle t \rangle^2}{|\sigma|\langle t \rangle^2} \frac{1}{\langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2} (|\eta A_k(t, \xi)^2| + |\xi A_l(t, \eta)^2|) \\
&\lesssim_\delta \sqrt{|(A_k \dot{A}_k)(t, \xi)|} \sqrt{|(A_\sigma \dot{A}_\sigma)(t, \rho)|} A_l(t, \eta) e^{-(\delta_0/200)\langle l, \eta \rangle^{1/2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that

$$1 + \frac{l^2 + |\eta|}{\langle t \rangle^2} \lesssim \left(1 + \frac{l^2 + |\eta|}{\langle t \rangle^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle l, \eta \rangle \leq \left(1 + \frac{l^2 + |\eta|}{\langle t \rangle^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{k^2 + |\xi|}{\langle t \rangle^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle l, \eta \rangle.$$

This along with (A.26), (C.20) and the definition of $A_l^*(t, \eta)$ gives

$$\text{(C.21)} \quad \frac{|\rho/\sigma|^2 + \langle t \rangle^2}{|\sigma|\langle t \rangle^2} \frac{|\xi A_l^*(t, \eta)^2|}{\langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2} \lesssim_\delta \sqrt{|(A_k^* \dot{A}_k^*)(t, \xi)|} \sqrt{|(A_\sigma \dot{A}_\sigma)(t, \rho)|} A_l^*(t, \eta) e^{-(\delta_0/201)\langle l, \eta \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

Therefore, for (C.18), it remains to prove that

$$\text{(C.22)} \quad \frac{|\rho/\sigma|^2 + \langle t \rangle^2}{|\sigma|\langle t \rangle^2} \frac{|\eta A_k^*(t, \xi)^2|}{\langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2} \lesssim_\delta \sqrt{|(A_k^* \dot{A}_k^*)(t, \xi)|} \sqrt{|(A_\sigma \dot{A}_\sigma)(t, \rho)|} A_l^*(t, \eta) e^{-(\delta_0/201)\langle l, \eta \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

If $k^2 + |\xi| \leq \langle t \rangle^2$, then (C.22) follows from (C.20), (C.9) and (A.26). Now we assume $k^2 + |\xi| \geq \langle t \rangle^2$. Thanks to (A.26) and (C.10), we only need to prove that

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(C.23)} \quad &\left\langle \frac{|\sigma| + |\rho|^{1/2}}{\langle t \rangle} \right\rangle \frac{|\rho/\sigma|^2 + \langle t \rangle^2}{|\sigma|\langle t \rangle^2} \frac{|\eta A_k(t, \xi)^2|}{\langle t - \rho/\sigma \rangle^2} \\
&\lesssim_\delta \sqrt{|(A_k \dot{A}_k)(t, \xi)|} \sqrt{|(A_\sigma \dot{A}_\sigma)(t, \rho)|} A_l(t, \eta) e^{-(\delta_0/200)\langle l, \eta \rangle^{1/2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

If $\rho^2 + |\sigma| \leq \langle t \rangle^2$, then (C.23) follows from (C.20). If $\rho^2 + |\sigma| \geq \langle t \rangle^2$, then (C.23) follows from $k^2 + |\xi| \geq \langle t \rangle^2$, (C.13) and

$$\frac{|\rho/\sigma|^2 + \langle t \rangle^2}{|\sigma|\langle t \rangle^2} \leq \frac{|\rho/\sigma| + \langle t \rangle}{|\sigma|\langle t \rangle} \frac{|\rho/\sigma| + \langle t \rangle}{\langle t \rangle} \lesssim \frac{|\rho/\sigma| + \langle t \rangle}{|\sigma|\langle t \rangle} \langle \rho/\sigma \rangle.$$

□

The following lemma is an analogue of Lemma B.6.

Lemma C.4. *Assume $t \geq 1$ and let $\rho = \xi - \eta$. It holds that*

(i) *If $((k, \xi), (l, \eta)) \in \Sigma_0 \cup \Sigma_1$, then*

$$(C.24) \quad \frac{1}{\langle \rho \rangle \langle t \rangle + \langle \rho \rangle^{1/4} \langle t \rangle^{7/4}} |\eta A_k^*(t, \xi)^2 - \xi A_k^*(t, \eta)^2| \\ \lesssim_\delta \sqrt{|(A_k^* \dot{A}_k^*)(t, \xi)|} \sqrt{|(A_k^* \dot{A}_k^*)(t, \eta)|} A_{NR}(t, \rho) e^{-(\delta_0/201) \langle \rho \rangle^{1/2}}.$$

(ii) *If $((k, \xi), (l, \eta)) \in \Sigma_2$, then*

$$(C.25) \quad \frac{1}{\langle \rho \rangle \langle t \rangle + \langle \rho \rangle^{1/4} \langle t \rangle^{7/4}} (|\eta A_k^*(t, \xi)^2| + |\xi A_k^*(t, \eta)^2|) \\ \lesssim_\delta \sqrt{|(A_k^* \dot{A}_k^*)(t, \xi)|} \sqrt{|(A_{NR} \dot{A}_{NR})(t, \rho)|} A_k^*(t, \eta) e^{-(\delta_0/201) \langle k, \eta \rangle^{1/2}}.$$

Proof. Step 1. Assume that $((k, \xi), (l, \eta)) \in \Sigma_0 \cup \Sigma_1$ and we prove (C.24).

By the symmetry, we assume $|\xi| \leq |\eta|$. We write

$$[\eta A_k^*(t, \xi)^2 - \xi A_k^*(t, \eta)^2] = \mathcal{T}_1'' + \mathcal{T}_2'',$$

where

$$\mathcal{T}_1'' = [\eta A_k(t, \xi)^2 - \xi A_k(t, \eta)^2] \left(1 + \frac{k^2 + |\eta|}{\langle t \rangle^2}\right), \quad \mathcal{T}_2'' = \eta A_k^2(s, \xi) \frac{|\xi| - |\eta|}{\langle t \rangle^2}.$$

For \mathcal{T}_1'' , we get by Lemma B.6, (A.24) and (A.26) that

$$\frac{|\mathcal{T}_1''|}{\langle \rho \rangle \langle t \rangle + \langle \rho \rangle^{1/4} \langle t \rangle^{7/4}} \lesssim_\delta \sqrt{|(A_k \dot{A}_k)(t, \xi)|} \sqrt{|(A_k \dot{A}_k)(t, \eta)|} A_{NR}(t, \rho) e^{-(\delta_0/200) \langle \rho \rangle^{1/2}} \\ \times \left(1 + \frac{k^2 + |\eta|}{\langle t \rangle^2}\right) \\ \lesssim_\delta \sqrt{|(A_k \dot{A}_k)(t, \xi)|} \sqrt{|(A_k \dot{A}_k)(t, \eta)|} A_{NR}(t, \rho) e^{-(\delta_0/201) \langle \rho \rangle^{1/2}} \\ \times \left(1 + \frac{k^2 + |\eta|}{\langle t \rangle^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{k^2 + |\xi|}{\langle t \rangle^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \lesssim_\delta \sqrt{|(A_k^* \dot{A}_k^*)(t, \xi)|} \sqrt{|(A_k^* \dot{A}_k^*)(t, \eta)|} A_{NR}(t, \rho) e^{-(\delta_0/201) \langle \rho \rangle^{1/2}}.$$

Thus, it suffices to prove that

$$(C.26) \quad \frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^{7/4}} |\mathcal{T}_2''| \lesssim_\delta \sqrt{|(A_k^* \dot{A}_k^*)(t, \xi)|} \sqrt{|(A_k^* \dot{A}_k^*)(t, \eta)|} A_{NR}(t, \rho) e^{-(\delta_0/201) \langle \rho \rangle^{1/2}}.$$

We get by (A.14) that

$$|\mathcal{T}_2''| = |\eta| e^{2\lambda(t) \langle k, \xi \rangle^{1/2}} \left[\frac{e^{\sqrt{\delta} \langle \xi \rangle^{1/2}}}{b_k(t, \xi)} + e^{\sqrt{\delta} |k|^{1/2}} \right]^2 \left| \frac{|\xi| - |\eta|}{\langle t \rangle^2} \right| \\ \lesssim_\delta |\eta| e^{2\lambda(t) \langle k, \xi \rangle^{1/2}} \left[\frac{e^{\sqrt{\delta} \langle \xi \rangle^{1/2}}}{b_k(t, \xi)} + e^{\sqrt{\delta} |k|^{1/2}} \right] \left[\frac{e^{\sqrt{\delta} \langle \xi \rangle^{1/2}}}{b_k(t, \eta)} + e^{\sqrt{\delta} |k|^{1/2}} \right] e^{2\sqrt{\delta} \langle \rho \rangle^{1/2}} \frac{|\rho|}{\langle t \rangle^2} \\ \lesssim_\delta \langle \eta \rangle^{1/2} \langle \xi \rangle^{1/2} e^{2\lambda(t) \langle k, \xi \rangle^{1/2}} \left[\frac{e^{\sqrt{\delta} \langle \xi \rangle^{1/2}}}{b_k(t, \xi)} + e^{\sqrt{\delta} |k|^{1/2}} \right] \left[\frac{e^{\sqrt{\delta} \langle \xi \rangle^{1/2}}}{b_k(t, \eta)} + e^{\sqrt{\delta} |k|^{1/2}} \right] \frac{e^{3\sqrt{\delta} \langle \rho \rangle^{1/2}}}{\langle t \rangle^2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\lesssim_\delta e^{2\lambda(t)\langle k, \xi \rangle^{1/2}} \left[\frac{e^{\sqrt{\delta}\langle \xi \rangle^{1/2}}}{b_k(t, \xi)} + e^{\sqrt{\delta}|k|^{1/2}} \right] \left[\frac{e^{\sqrt{\delta}\langle \xi \rangle^{1/2}}}{b_k(t, \eta)} + e^{\sqrt{\delta}|k|^{1/2}} \right] e^{3\sqrt{\delta}\langle \rho \rangle^{1/2}} \\ &\quad \times \left(1 + \frac{k^2 + |\xi|}{\langle t \rangle^2} \right)^{1/2} \left(1 + \frac{k^2 + |\eta|}{\langle t \rangle^2} \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Using $A_{NR}(t, \rho) \geq e^{\lambda(t)\langle \rho \rangle^{1/2}}$, $|\xi| \leq |\eta|$ and the definition of $A_k^*(t, \xi)$, $A_k^*(t, \eta)$, we infer that

$$\frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^{7/4}} |\mathcal{T}_2''| \lesssim_\delta \frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^{7/4}} A_k^*(t, \xi) A_k^*(t, \eta) A_{NR}(t, \rho) e^{-(\lambda(t)/20)\langle \rho \rangle^{1/2}}.$$

The bound (C.26) then follows from (A.25).

Step 2. Assume that $((k, \xi), (l, \eta)) \in \Sigma_2$ and we prove (C.25).

Assuming $\sigma \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ and $((k, \xi), (l, \eta)) \in \Sigma_2$, we get by Lemma B.6 that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\xi A_k^*(t, \eta)^2|}{\langle \rho \rangle \langle t \rangle + \langle \rho \rangle^{1/4} \langle t \rangle^{7/4}} &\lesssim_\delta \sqrt{|(A_k \dot{A}_k)(t, \xi)|} \sqrt{|(A_{NR} \dot{A}_{NR})(t, \rho)|} A_k(t, \eta) e^{-(\delta_0/200)\langle k, \eta \rangle^{1/2}} \\ &\quad \times \left(1 + \frac{k^2 + |\eta|}{\langle t \rangle^2} \right) \\ &\lesssim_\delta \sqrt{|(A_k \dot{A}_k)(t, \xi)|} \sqrt{|(A_{NR} \dot{A}_{NR})(t, \rho)|} A_k(t, \eta) e^{-(\delta_0/200)\langle k, \eta \rangle^{1/2}} \\ &\quad \times \langle k, \eta \rangle^2 \\ &\lesssim_\delta \sqrt{|(A_k \dot{A}_k)(t, \xi)|} \sqrt{|(A_{NR} \dot{A}_{NR})(t, \rho)|} A_k(t, \eta) e^{-(\delta_0/201)\langle k, \eta \rangle^{1/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to (A.26), we deduce that $A_k \leq A_k^*$, $|\dot{A}_k| \lesssim_\delta |\dot{A}_k^*|$, and

$$\frac{|\xi A_k^*(t, \eta)^2|}{\langle \rho \rangle \langle t \rangle + \langle \rho \rangle^{1/4} \langle t \rangle^{7/4}} \lesssim_\delta \sqrt{|(A_k^* \dot{A}_k^*)(t, \xi)|} \sqrt{|(A_{NR} \dot{A}_{NR})(t, \rho)|} A_k^*(t, \eta) e^{-(\delta_0/201)\langle k, \eta \rangle^{1/2}}.$$

It remains to prove the harder inequality:

$$(C.27) \quad \frac{|\eta A_k^*(t, \xi)^2|}{\langle \rho \rangle \langle t \rangle + \langle \rho \rangle^{1/4} \langle t \rangle^{7/4}} \lesssim_\delta \sqrt{|(A_k^* \dot{A}_k^*)(t, \xi)|} \sqrt{|(A_{NR} \dot{A}_{NR})(t, \rho)|} A_k^*(t, \eta) e^{-(\delta_0/201)\langle k, \eta \rangle^{1/2}}.$$

By Lemma B.6, (A.24) and (A.26), it suffices to prove that

$$(C.28) \quad \frac{\langle \rho \rangle}{\langle t \rangle^2} \frac{|\eta A_k(t, \xi)^2|}{\langle \rho \rangle \langle t \rangle + \langle \rho \rangle^{1/4} \langle t \rangle^{7/4}} \lesssim_\delta \sqrt{|(A_k \dot{A}_k)(t, \xi)|} \sqrt{|(A_{NR} \dot{A}_{NR})(t, \rho)|} A_k(t, \eta) e^{-\frac{\delta_0}{201}\langle k, \eta \rangle^{1/2}}.$$

By Lemma B.11 (see also (4.23)), we have

$$\frac{\langle \rho \rangle}{\langle t \rangle^2} \frac{|\eta A_k(t, \xi)^2|}{\langle \rho \rangle \langle t \rangle + \langle \rho \rangle^{1/4} \langle t \rangle^{7/4}} \leq \frac{|\eta A_k(t, \xi)^2|}{\langle t \rangle^3} \lesssim_\delta \frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^2} A_k(t, \xi) A_{NR}(t, \rho) A_k(t, \eta) e^{-(\lambda(t)/20)\langle k, \eta \rangle^{1/2}},$$

and (C.28) follows from (A.18) and (A.19). \square

The following lemma shows the estimates on weights when $|\rho|$ is small.

Lemma C.5. *Assume $t \geq 1$ and let $\rho = \xi - \eta$. If $|\rho| \leq 1$, then it holds that*

$$(C.29) \quad \frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^{7/4}} |\eta A_k(t, \xi)^2 - \xi A_k(t, \eta)^2| \lesssim_\delta |\rho| \sqrt{|(A_k \dot{A}_k)(t, \xi)|} \sqrt{|(A_k \dot{A}_k)(t, \eta)|},$$

and

$$(C.30) \quad \frac{1}{\langle t \rangle^{7/4}} |\eta A_k^*(t, \xi)^2 - \xi A_k^*(t, \eta)^2| \lesssim_\delta |\rho| \sqrt{|(A_k^* \dot{A}_k^*)(t, \xi)|} \sqrt{|(A_k^* \dot{A}_k^*)(t, \eta)|}.$$

Proof. We first prove (C.29). We write

$$\eta A_k(t, \xi)^2 - \xi A_k(t, \eta)^2 = \mathcal{T}_1'' + \mathcal{T}_2'',$$

where

$$(C.31) \quad \mathcal{T}_1'' = \left(\eta e^{2\lambda(t)\langle k, \xi \rangle^{1/2}} - \xi e^{2\lambda(t)\langle k, \eta \rangle^{1/2}} \right) \left[\frac{e^{\sqrt{\delta}\langle \xi \rangle^{1/2}}}{b_k(t, \xi)} + e^{\sqrt{\delta}|k|^{1/2}} \right]^2,$$

$$(C.32) \quad \mathcal{T}_2'' = \xi e^{2\lambda(t)\langle k, \eta \rangle^{1/2}} \left[\frac{e^{\sqrt{\delta}\langle \xi \rangle^{1/2}}}{b_k(t, \xi)} - \frac{e^{\sqrt{\delta}\langle \eta \rangle^{1/2}}}{b_k(t, \eta)} \right] \left[\frac{e^{\sqrt{\delta}\langle \xi \rangle^{1/2}}}{b_k(t, \xi)} + \frac{e^{\sqrt{\delta}\langle \eta \rangle^{1/2}}}{b_k(t, \eta)} + 2e^{\sqrt{\delta}|k|^{1/2}} \right].$$

Thus, it remains to prove that for $i = 1, 2$,

$$(C.33) \quad \frac{|\mathcal{T}_i''|}{\langle t \rangle^{7/4}} \lesssim_\delta |\rho| \sqrt{|(A_k \dot{A}_k)(t, \xi)|} \sqrt{|(A_k \dot{A}_k)(t, \eta)|}.$$

We first estimate \mathcal{T}_1'' . We have

$$\left| \eta e^{2\lambda(t)\langle k, \xi \rangle^{1/2}} - \xi e^{2\lambda(t)\langle k, \eta \rangle^{1/2}} \right| \lesssim |\rho| \left(1 + \frac{|\xi|}{\langle k, \xi \rangle^{1/2}} \right) \left[e^{2\lambda(t)\langle k, \xi \rangle^{1/2}} + e^{2\lambda(t)\langle k, \eta \rangle^{1/2}} \right],$$

which gives

$$(C.34) \quad \frac{|\mathcal{T}_1''|}{\langle t \rangle^{7/4}} \lesssim_\delta \frac{|\rho|}{\langle t \rangle^{7/4}} \left(1 + \frac{|\xi|}{\langle k, \xi \rangle^{1/2}} \right) \left[e^{2\lambda(t)\langle k, \xi \rangle^{1/2}} + e^{2\lambda(t)\langle k, \eta \rangle^{1/2}} \right] \left[\frac{e^{\sqrt{\delta}\langle \xi \rangle^{1/2}}}{b_k(t, \xi)} + 2e^{\sqrt{\delta}|k|^{1/2}} \right]^2.$$

Then we get by (A.14) that

$$(C.35) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{|\mathcal{T}_1''|}{\langle t \rangle^{7/4}} &\lesssim_\delta \frac{|\rho|}{\langle t \rangle^{7/4}} \left(1 + \frac{|\xi|}{\langle k, \xi \rangle^{1/2}} \right) \left[e^{2\lambda(t)\langle k, \xi \rangle^{1/2}} + e^{2\lambda(t)\langle k, \eta \rangle^{1/2}} \right] \left[\frac{e^{\sqrt{\delta}\langle \xi \rangle^{1/2}}}{b_k(t, \xi)} + 2e^{\sqrt{\delta}|k|^{1/2}} \right] \\ &\quad \times \left[\frac{e^{\sqrt{\delta}\langle \xi \rangle^{1/2}}}{b_k(t, \eta)} + 2e^{\sqrt{\delta}|k|^{1/2}} \right] \\ &\lesssim_\delta |\rho| \frac{\langle \xi \rangle^{1/2}}{\langle t \rangle^{7/4}} A_k(t, \xi) A_k(t, \eta). \end{aligned}$$

Then the bound (C.33) for $i = 1$ follows from (C.35) and (A.19).

Now we estimate \mathcal{T}_2'' . By (A.13) and (A.14), we have (for $|\rho| \leq 1$)

$$\begin{aligned} |\xi| \left| \frac{e^{\sqrt{\delta}\langle \xi \rangle^{1/2}}}{b_k(t, \xi)} - \frac{e^{\sqrt{\delta}\langle \eta \rangle^{1/2}}}{b_k(t, \eta)} \right| &\lesssim_\delta |\rho| \left(\frac{\langle \xi \rangle}{L_\kappa(t, \xi)} + \langle \xi \rangle^{1/2} \right) \cdot \min \left(\frac{e^{\sqrt{\delta}\langle \eta \rangle^{1/2}}}{b_k(t, \eta)}, \frac{e^{\sqrt{\delta}\langle \xi \rangle^{1/2}}}{b_k(t, \xi)} \right) \\ &\lesssim_\delta |\rho| \left(\langle \xi \rangle \frac{\langle \xi \rangle^{1/2} + \langle t \rangle}{\langle \xi \rangle + \langle t \rangle} \right) \min \left(\frac{e^{\sqrt{\delta}\langle \eta \rangle^{1/2}}}{b_k(t, \eta)}, \frac{e^{\sqrt{\delta}\langle \xi \rangle^{1/2}}}{b_k(t, \xi)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

then, thank to $|\rho| \leq 1$, we get

$$(C.36) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{|\mathcal{T}_2''|}{\langle t \rangle^{7/4}} &\lesssim_\delta \frac{|\rho| e^{2\lambda(t)\langle k, \xi \rangle^{1/2}}}{\langle t \rangle^{7/4}} \left(\langle \xi \rangle \frac{\langle \xi \rangle^{1/2} + \langle t \rangle}{\langle \xi \rangle + \langle t \rangle} \right) \left[\frac{e^{\sqrt{\delta}\langle \xi \rangle^{1/2}}}{b_k(t, \xi)} + 2e^{\sqrt{\delta}|k|^{1/2}} \right] \left[\frac{e^{\sqrt{\delta}\langle \xi \rangle^{1/2}}}{b_k(t, \eta)} + 2e^{\sqrt{\delta}|k|^{1/2}} \right] \\ &\lesssim_\delta \frac{|\rho| \langle \xi \rangle^{1/2}}{\langle t \rangle^{5/4}} A_k(t, \xi) A_k(t, \eta). \end{aligned}$$

Then the desired bound (C.33) for $i = 2$ follows from (C.36) and (A.19).

Next we prove (C.30). We write

$$\eta A_k^*(t, \xi)^2 - \xi A_k^*(t, \eta)^2 = \mathcal{T}_1'' \left(1 + \frac{k^2}{\langle t \rangle^2} \right) + \mathcal{T}_3'' + \mathcal{T}_2'' \left(1 + \frac{k^2 + |\eta|}{\langle t \rangle^2} \right),$$

where \mathcal{T}_1'' and \mathcal{T}_2'' are defined by (C.31) and (C.32) and

$$\mathcal{T}_3'' = \xi \eta \left(\frac{\operatorname{sgn}(\xi) e^{2\lambda(t)\langle k, \xi \rangle^{1/2}}}{\langle t \rangle^2} - \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(\eta) e^{2\lambda(t)\langle k, \eta \rangle^{1/2}}}{\langle t \rangle^2} \right) \left[\frac{e^{\sqrt{\delta}\langle \xi \rangle^{1/2}}}{b_k(t, \xi)} + e^{\sqrt{\delta}|k|^{1/2}} \right]^2.$$

Let

$$\mathcal{T}_4'' = \mathcal{T}_1'' \left(1 + \frac{k^2}{\langle t \rangle^2} \right), \quad \mathcal{T}_5'' = \mathcal{T}_2'' \left(1 + \frac{k^2 + |\eta|}{\langle t \rangle^2} \right).$$

Then by Lemma (A.5), (A.24) and $|\rho| \leq 1$, it remains to prove that for $i = 3, 4, 5$,

$$(C.37) \quad \frac{|\mathcal{T}_i''|}{\langle t \rangle^{7/4}} \lesssim_\delta |\rho| \sqrt{|(A_k \dot{A}_k)(t, \xi)|} \sqrt{|(A_k \dot{A}_k)(t, \eta)|} \left(1 + \frac{k^2 + |\eta|}{\langle t \rangle^2} \right).$$

Thanks to (as $\rho = \xi - \eta$, if $|\xi| > |\rho|$ then $\operatorname{sgn}(\xi) = \operatorname{sgn}(\eta)$)

$$\left| \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(\xi) e^{2\lambda(t)\langle k, \xi \rangle^{1/2}}}{\langle t \rangle^2} - \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(\eta) e^{2\lambda(t)\langle k, \eta \rangle^{1/2}}}{\langle t \rangle^2} \right| \lesssim_\delta \left[e^{2\lambda(t)\langle k, \xi \rangle^{1/2}} + e^{2\lambda(t)\langle k, \eta \rangle^{1/2}} \right] \\ \times \left(\mathbf{1}_{\{|\xi| \leq |\rho|\}} + |\rho| / \langle k, \xi \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) / \langle t \rangle^2,$$

we have (using $|\xi \eta| (\mathbf{1}_{\{|\xi| \leq |\rho|\}} + |\rho| / \langle k, \xi \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}) \leq |\rho| (1 + |\xi| / \langle k, \xi \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}) |\eta|$)

$$\frac{|\mathcal{T}_3''|}{\langle t \rangle^{7/4}} \lesssim_\delta \frac{|\rho|}{\langle t \rangle^{7/4}} \left(1 + \frac{|\xi|}{\langle k, \xi \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \left[e^{2\lambda(t)\langle k, \xi \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}} + e^{2\lambda(t)\langle k, \eta \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right] \left[\frac{e^{\sqrt{\delta}\langle \xi \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}}}{b_k(t, \xi)} + 2e^{\sqrt{\delta}|k|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right] \frac{|\eta|}{\langle t \rangle^2}.$$

Then the desired bound (C.37) ($i = 3$) can be proved in a similar way as in the proof of (C.33) for $i = 1$. The bound (C.37) ($i = 4$) directly follows from (C.33) ($i = 1$) and the definition of \mathcal{T}_4'' . The bound (C.37) ($i = 5$) directly follows from (C.33) ($i = 2$) and the definition of \mathcal{T}_5'' . \square

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

P. Zhang is supported by National Key R&D Program of China under grant 2021YFA1000800 and K. C. Wong Education Foundation. He is also partially supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grants 12288201 and 12031006. Z. Zhang is partially supported by NSF of China under Grant 12171010 and 12288101.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Bedrossian, M. Coti Zelati and V. Vicol, *Vortex axisymmetrization, inviscid damping, and vorticity depletion in the linearized 2D Euler equations*, Ann. PDE, 5 (2019), Art. 4, 192 pp.
- [2] J. Bedrossian and N. Masmoudi, *Inviscid damping and the asymptotic stability of planar shear flows in the 2D Euler equations*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci., 122(2015), 195-300.
- [3] J. Bedrossian, N. Masmoudi and V. Vicol, *Enhanced dissipation and inviscid damping in the inviscid limit of the Navier-Stokes equations near the two dimensional Couette flow*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 219 (2016), 1087-1159.
- [4] J. Bedrossian, V. Vicol and F. Wang, *The Sobolev stability threshold for 2D shear flows near Couette*, J. Nonlinear Sci., 28 (2018), 2051-2075.

- [5] J. Y. Chemin, *Perfect incompressible fluids*. Translated from the 1995 French original by Isabelle Gallagher and Dragos Iftimie. Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications, **14**. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1998.
- [6] M. Coti Zelati and C. Zillinger, *On degenerate circular and shear flows: the point vortex and power law circular flows*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, **44** (2019), 110-155.
- [7] Y. Deng and N. Masmoudi, *Long time instability of the Couette flow in low Gevrey spaces*, arXiv:1803.01246.
- [8] E. Grenier, T. Nguyen, F. Rousset and A. Soffer, *Linear inviscid damping and enhanced viscous dissipation of shear flows by using the conjugate operator method*, J. Funct. Anal., **278** (2020), 108339.
- [9] A. Ionescu and H. Jia, *Inviscid damping near the Couette flow in a channel*, Comm. Math. Phys., **374** (2020), 2015-2096.
- [10] A. Ionescu and H. Jia, *Nonlinear inviscid damping near monotonic shear flows*, arXiv:2001.03087.
- [11] A. Ionescu and H. Jia, *Linear vortex symmetrization: the spectral density function*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., **246** (2022), 61-137.
- [12] L. Landau, *On the vibration of the electronic plasma*, J. Phys. USSR, **10**(1946), 25.
- [13] C. Marchioro and M. Pulvirenti, *Mathematical theory of incompressible nonviscous fluids*, Applied Mathematical Sciences, **96**. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994.
- [14] Z. Lin and C. Zeng, *Inviscid dynamic structures near Couette flow*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., **200**(2011), 1075-1097.
- [15] Z. Lin and C. Zeng, *Small BGK waves and nonlinear Landau damping*, Comm. Math. Phys., **306** (2011), 291-331.
- [16] P.-L. Lions, *Mathematical topics in fluid mechanics*. Vol. 1. Incompressible models. Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications, **3**. Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1996.
- [17] A. J. Majda and A. L. Bertozzi, *Vorticity and incompressible flow*. Cambridge Texts in Applied Mathematics, **27**. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002
- [18] N. Masmoudi and W. Zhao, *Stability threshold of two-dimensional Couette flow in Sobolev spaces*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire, **39** (2022), 245-325.
- [19] N. Masmoudi and Z. Zhao, *Nonlinear inviscid damping for a class of monotone shear flows in finite channel*, arXiv:2001.08564.
- [20] C. Mouhot and C. Villani, *On Landau damping*, Acta Math., **207** (2011), 29-201.
- [21] D. Wei, Z. Zhang and W. Zhao, *Linear inviscid damping for a class of monotone shear flow in Sobolev spaces*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **71**(2018), 617-687.
- [22] D. Wei, Z. Zhang and W. Zhao, *Linear inviscid damping and vorticity depletion for Shear Flows*, Ann. PDE, **5** (2019), Art. 3, 101 pp.
- [23] D. Wei, Z. Zhang and W. Zhao, *Linear inviscid damping and enhanced dissipation for the Kolmogorov Flow*, Adv. Math., **362** (2020), 106963, 103 pp.
- [24] D. Wei, Z. Zhang and H. Zhu, *Linear inviscid damping for the β -plane equation*, Comm. Math. Phys., **375** (2020), 127-174.
- [25] C. Zillinger, *Linear inviscid damping for monotone shear flows*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **369**(2017), 8799-8855.
- [26] C. Zillinger, *Linear inviscid damping for monotone shear flows in a finite periodic channel, boundary effects, blow-up and critical Sobolev regularity*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., **221**(2016), 1449-1509.

ACADEMY OF MATHEMATICS & SYSTEMS SCIENCE, THE CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, BEIJING 100190, CHINA

Email address: chenqi@amss.ac.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE, PEKING UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100871, CHINA

Email address: jnwdyi@pku.edu.cn

ACADEMY OF MATHEMATICS & SYSTEMS SCIENCE, THE CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, BEIJING 100190, CHINA, AND SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, BEIJING 100049, CHINA.

Email address: zp@amss.ac.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE, PEKING UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100871, CHINA

Email address: zfzhang@math.pku.edu.cn